

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OU_218812

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Call No. 954. 0135 / F445 Accession No. 15713

Author Fick, Richard.

Title Social organisation in North East India

This book should be returned on or before the date last marked below.

in Buddha's time 1920

THE SOCIAL ORGANISATION

THE
SOCIAL ORGANISATION
IN NORTH-EAST INDIA
IN BUDDHA'S TIME

BY
RICHARD FICK

TRANSLATED BY
SHISHIRKUMAR , M.A., Ph.D.
LATE DIRECTOR, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF PHILOSOPHY, AMALNER.



PUBLISHED BY TUK
UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA
1920

PRINTED BY ATULCHANDRA BHATTACHARYYA
AT TUK CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY PRESS, SENATE HOUSE, CALCUTTA

TRANSLATOR'S DEDICATION.

To the Memory of
My dear departed brother
Prof. NIKHILNATH MAITRA,
to whose encouragement I owe the impulse
to translate German works into English,
This translation is
humbly dedicated by his unworthy brother

AUTHOR'S PREFACE

The title requires some explanation and justification. The sources upon which the inquiry that follows is based are the so-called Jatakas or legends of previous births contained in the Pali Canon of Southern Buddhism, that is, they are the stories which relate to the five hundred and fifty existences which Buddha in his various forms, ranging from that of an animal to that of a god, must have gone through before his final existence. The true and most ancient germ of the Jataka collection is contained in the verses (gathas) which exhibit *in muce* the essential events of the legends and whose number determines the arrangement of the Jatakas. These verses constitute, with the prose-commentary which gives the legend a more explicit form, the so-called *alitavatthu*, the “story of the past” which has for its subject-matter the experiences of Buddha in one of his former existences. Each of these stories is preceded as an introduction by a *paccuppannavaiṭhu* or “history of | the present times,” which tells us of the event in Buddha's life which impelled him to narrate the history of the past for illustrating the events of the

present day. Then follows the samodhana, or “connexion” by which Buddha, who remembers not only his own previous existence but that of people around him, identifies the persons of the *atitavattha* with those of the *paccuppannavatthu*.

The Jatakas are most varied in their subject-matter: they consist, in part, of stories, parables or fables of animals, partly, of scenes from Indian folk-life of a cheering or edifying character. Consequently, our source is not only competent to arouse interest in the domain of the history of literature; the collection of legends is of more importance as a reservoir for material for culture -history. Every account of the life in ancient India which will ever be written in future must take account of the Jataka which has justly been called a thesaurus of Indian antiquities, State and private.

That I have placed the culture which the Jatakas exhibit in North-Eastern India, will, I hope, not provoke any opposition. For although most of the stories contained in our sources are, so far as their contents are concerned, the common property of the Indian people and were known all over India, yet in the form in which they appear in the Jatakas, they belong to a particular part of India, namely, the north-east, the home of Buddhism.

Here, in the kingdom of the Kasi-Kosala and Magadha, in the States where Buddha, according to tradition, lived and taught, the somewhat ancient stories took the garb of Buddhistic birth-legends ; here the acts of by far the largest majority of the Jatakas were performed, and if some particular incidents among them occurred elsewhere, even in the most distant west, still the whole mass of detail, in which all the Jatakas agree, points to the fact that what we find here is only an external transference of the scene.

it may seem to be a very bold thing to take an entirely determinate period, namely, the age of Buddha, for the age of the legends and the state of civilization depicted in them.) Taken as a whole, the collection of Jatakas in their present form surely does not represent any single culture period. Many of the Jatakas are undoubtedly very old and belong, so far as their origin is concerned, to the pre-Buddhistic period. That they were known in the third century B. C. and that too in the form of legends of previous births of Buddha designed for the education of laymen—for this we have irrefutable evidence in the sculptures in the third century B. C. which are found in the tombstones of Sanchi, Amara-vati and Bharhut; these reliefs represent scenes from the Jatakas and the superscriptions which

are added to the individual representations agree with the titles contained in our texts. If these sculptures give us, as the lower limit of the age of the Jatakas, the third century B.C, there are reasons—as has been shown clearly by Bühler (*Indian Studies*, No. 3, p. 17 sq. in the “ *Sitzungsberichten der Wiener Akademie, Philo-hist. Classe* ” Vol. 132)—for placing it in a still earlier age.

On the original bed much clay was deposited in the course of centuries, till the Jatakas came to acquire the form which they at present have. The introductory narratives are, although they relate to the time of Buddha and go back to the older portions of the Pali canon, manifestly composed after the beginning of the Christian era; the conditions described in the *paccup-pannavatthu*, the life of the cloister which is portrayed with the minutest detail and regulated by precise rules, the relation between the laymen and the confederation and many other matters show a departure from the culture period of the *attav-
vatthu* and point to a time when Buddhism had already become a power and ruled over everything. If the view of culture to be sketched by me appears somewhat uniform, it is because the later components of the Jataka collection are left out of account; where they have been considered, I have brought out always very prominently

their character as *paccuppannavatthu*. Now, even in the oldest form, there was some portion which was specifically Buddhistic and there was a good deal of subsidiary matter that was introduced later to continue the thread of the narrative. In general, we can suppose that our legends have changed very little from their original form, as the oral transmission of such stories is generally true to the letter of the original and has preserved the form in which they obtained currency among the disciples of Buddha and spread from mouth to mouth.

Out of the rich historical material which the Jatakas contain, I have put together in the present work first that which refers to the social organisation and specially to the caste-relationship; from this, the inquiry has no doubt at times drifted to other matters. A thorough-going account of the political and economical relations, as they ruled in Buddha's time in North-East India, I hope to be able to give at a later time on a broader basis by drawing upon the whole Pali canon, but I do not think of dealing with this problem before I have made myself clear on a question without a solution of which a complete understanding of the **life of** ancient India is unthinkable.

The responsibility for this work is **entirely mine** but I feel it my duty to thank **Prof.**

Oldenberg for various suggestions and kind help. I have also to give my thanks to Prof. Jacobi, who was kind enough to go through the proofs, as well as to my friend and former colleague, Dr. Wischmann, who has also helped me in reading the proofs.

The quotations from the Jatakas refer to Fausholl's editions, of which up to now five volumes have appeared. The sixth volume which is being published I have not been able to make use of.

Regarding the transliteration of Pali and Sanskrit words it is to be remarked that *e* sounds like the German "tsch " and *j* has the same sound as in the English word 'journey'; *s* and *sh* are pronounced like the German* ' seh,' *s* is as sharp as " ss " and *e* and *o* are always long.

• That is, like *sh* in the English word shame—Translator.

PREFACE TO THE ENGLISH EDITION.

Thanks to the original conception and adroit execution of Sir Asutosh Mookorjee, Sarasvati, President of the Post-Graduate Department, a special Degree in M.A. in Ancient Indian History and Culture was instituted by the Calcutta University in 1918. And as the course in its entirety was too vast for the comprehension of any student within the time at his disposal, the subject was divided into four Groups. One of these Groups is Social and Constitutional History dealing with social life, manners, customs and ceremonies, as well as economic life, principles and methods of administration and ethnology. It is impossible to exaggerate the special difficulty with which the University lecturers had to struggle, because there were very few manuals or text-books which could be prescribed for the use of the student. Of the few books again that were available, most were either in German or in French. Such, *e.g.*, was Dr. Richard Fick's *Die Sociale Gliederung im Nordostlichen Indien zu Buddhas Zeit*. The importance of this book **for** the social and administrative history of

ancient India cannot possibly be denied, based as it is on the Jatakas of the Pali Buddhist canon. One has only to look to the contents of this book to be convinced of it. The different subjects handled in the different chapters throw a flood of light on the social life of northern India in and before Buddha's time which is believed to have been portrayed by the Jatakas. Unfortunately for the students of India, Dr. Pick's work is in German and could in no way be useful to them, most of them not being acquainted with German. In these circumstances the Board of History recommended that it should be translated into English and that this translation should be published by the University. It was no easy matter, however, to find out a scholar capable of undertaking this translation. Just at that time Dr. S. K. Maitra, Director, Indian Institute of Philosophy, Amalner, came to Calcutta from the Bombay Presidency on some business. He had already undertaken the translation of Dr. Ludwig Stein's *Die Philosophischen Stromungen der Gegemoart* for the Calcutta University and done it satisfactorily. The History Board was thus in a way induced to approach him with their request, and he with his inherited zeal for the cause of education allowed this new task to be imposed on him, in spite of the multifarious duties that pressed on him heavily at that time. For the present publication, therefore, the

University is highly indebted to him. How beautifully Dr. Maitra has performed his task **will** be seen from the fact that none who goes **through** the book ever feels or even suspects that it is a translation.

D. R. BHANDARKAR,
*Carmichael Professor of Ancient
 Indian History and Culture,
 Calcutta University,*

CALCUTTA :

The 8th August, 1920.

CONTENTS

Chapter I

INTRODUCTION	...	...	...	1

One-sidedness of Brahmanical literature—
The Brahmanical caste-theory—Superiority of
Brahmana caste in Western India—Contrast
between the Western and Eastern culture —
Tendency of the Pali texts.

Chapter II

GENERAL VIEW OF THE CASTES	...	17

The Brahmanical caste-theory in the Pali
canon—Theoretical discussions about the worth-
lessness of the caste—Existence of castes in
Buddha's time—Definition of the modern caste—
The castes of the Brahmanical theory—The essen-
tial characteristics of castes—The castes in the
Jatakas—Impurity carried by air—Impurity
through the sight of a low-born person—Im-
pure food—Marriage within the caste.

Chapter III

THE HOMELESS ASCETICS	...	...	00

Transition to the homeless condition a
universal characteristic of Eastern culture—
The *samanas* are recruited from all classes—

Examples of the adoption of the homeless condition among the Khattiyas, Brahmanas and members of the middle and low classes—Causes of asceticism.

Chapter II

THE RELING; CLASS ... 79

Who were the Khattiyas ; Not the warrior caste hut the ruling class -Well-marked consciousness of rank and respect for purity of blood—Superiority of the Khattiyas over the Brahmanas Religious study for the Khattiyas.

Chapter V

THE HEAD OF THE STATE ... 07

'I he chief representative of the Khattiyas is the king—General view The duties of, the king (in war: to lead the army ; in pence, administration of justice, exercise of the power of inflicting punishment)—His revenues—Succession and consecration—The Viceroy—Limits of royal power—Oligarchical constitutions.

Chapter VI

THE KING'S OFFICIOUS ... 139

General view of ministers—Their caste—The adviser of the king in worldly and spiritual things—The leader of the army—The minister of

justice—The surveyor—The corn measurer—
The chariot-driver—The superintendent of the
king's treasury and the supervisor of wares—
The gate-keeper—The town sentinel—The executioner—The superintendents of villages.

Chapter VII

THE HOUSE PRIEST OF THE KING ... 164

Historical evolution of the post of *pmohila*
—His personal relationship with the king -The
king's teacher—His share in administration—
His political power—The *purohita* as saerificer
and magician—The country *purohita*.

Chapter VIII

THE BRAHMANAS ... 180

The Brahmanas are a caste in the sense of
their own theory—(Jeneral view of the Brahma-
nas according to the Jatakas—The proper Brah-
manas—The four asramas—Duties and privileges
of the Brahmanas—The worldly Brahmanas
—Brahmanas in king's service—Their functions,
sacrifice, prophecy, magic—Brahmanas practis-
ing civil professions; Brahmana agriculturists,
tradesmen, hunters and carpenters.

chapter IX

THE LEADING MIDDLE CLASS FAMILIES ... 251

The caste Vessa is without a real meaning—The position of the *gahapali*—The *kulumbikas*—The *gahapali*'s consciousness of rank—The *Setthi*—The *Setthi* as the representative of the trading classes in the Royal Court—The *Setthi* as a wholesale trader.

Chapter X

THE GUILDS OF TRADESMEN AND ARTISANS... 267

Stage of economical evolution *in* the Jatakas—The combination of tradesmen into professional unions—The elder at the head of trade guilds—Hereditary character of individual branches of trade—Organisation of the artisan class—Local isolation of the different guilds—The elders of the trade unions—Hereditary character of the professions.

Chapter XI

CASTELESS PROFESSIONS ... 286

Artisans in the king's service—The Court barber—The king's cook—The royal valuer—The Court artist—Itinerant jugglers—The tramp—The country professions of herdsmen, fishermen and hunters—The hired labourer—The slaves.

Chapter XII

THE DESPISED CASTES ... 314

A Sudda caste has never existed—Despised ethnical castes—The Candala—The Pukkusa—The Nesada—Despised professional castes—The Vena—The Rathakara—The basket-maker, flute-maker, cobbler, potter, weaver. Conclusion. Index of names and topics. Pali and Sanskrit Inex.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

ce n'est pas la 1 theorie qui peut rendre compte des
faits; ce sont les faits qui anient :a voir la theorie seus son
vrai jour, a la ramener dans ses justes limites.

Smart. Las Caste dnus I luda

-The; time, is past when people used to think
that so far as ancient India was concerned, it
was enough to consider only Brahmanical
literature, The view that for ancient Indian
culture and ancient Indian life, we require only
, to consider Brahmanical sources, is necessarily
one-sided, because these sources were written
from a one-sided point of view. For their authors
immersed in the priestly views, the world which
surrounded them, material as well as spiritual,
existed only so far as it related to the sacrifices
with their litany and their ritual which filled
all their thoughts and aspirations, and where, as
in the text-books of law, there was a departure
from this special view-point and the entire
domain of law and morals for private as well as
public life was taken into account, this was
still done from the standpoint of theorising

Brahmanism¹; nay, even in epic literature, where one could expect most a view of the real state of things, this was prevented or, at any rate, rendered difficult by the all-cclipsing under-
[p. 2.]

wood of theories and systems. No wonder that this world which the Brahmanas interpreted in their own way appears so foreign and so strange to us; no wonder that in many points it agrees so little with what we know of ancient India from other sides. Now-a-days, however, we no more consider a non-Brahmanical source, such as the accounts of the Greek messenger Megasthenes, unreliable simply because it cannot be brought into harmony with the Brahmanical theory²; we try, on the other hand, to collect all available material, whether it is of Buddhistic, Jaina or Greek origin, which exhibits the priestly theories in their true light—nay, we do not even hesitate to make use of the conditions of modern India which on account of the

* Tho figures on the margin [²], [³], etc., relate to tho page numbers of tho original (German.—*Trantltttnr*.

¹ Oldenberg, *Religion des Veda*, p. 25.

² So writes Max Duncker, (*Geschichte des Alterthums*, 4th Edition, Vol. III, p. 319 "When the Greeks G seven instead of four castes, when the officials, tho spies and tho artisans, and lastly, the hunters and tho shepherds are designated as separate classes by them, this error arises from the reason that they look upon these as caste-divisions." Cf. further Lassen, *Indische Altrrthumskunde*, 2nd edition, Vol. II. p.715, "Why he (Megasthenes) took seven instead of four is not clear."

stability of most Oriental cultures have preserved so much of the past, for comparison with, and for the explanation of earlier periods.

Nowhere does the one-sidedness of Brahmanical explanation seem so manifest as in the manner in which the Indian society is shaped in priestly literature. Heedless of all reference to facts, the Brâhmanas built up a theory which appeared to them to establish for ever, through eternal and Divine reasons, their rule. As the foundation for their caste-theory, they made use of certain class-distinctions which, as everywhere, existed in a wholly analogous manner among the Iranians and which existed in India even in the oldest Vedic age and were transmitted to the Vedas. Here they found as a line of demarcation which was authoritative for them and which was not too circumscribed, that suggested by the opposition between the ruling classes, the priestly classes and the common people and the still sharper separation of the entire Aryan population from the peoples who were inimical to them, namely, the *daiyus*, who by their dark skins were distinguished from the fair conquerors, and, so far as they were subjugated, were employed in the capacity of slaves. With these distinctions of profession and race, the authors of the lawbooks made certain rules

concerning religious rites and regulating marriage and food which probably had been current from ancient times in many tribes of the people and specially, in their class, and thus developed the concept 'caste,' whose chief characteristic they took to be that which was the most obvious mark of the four castes, namely colour (varna).¹

The Brahmanical theory divides Indian society into four castes and prescribes for each of these wholly fixed professions and activities. At the head stand the Brâthmanas; they represent the priest and teacher class. They have for their work the teaching and

[p. 4]

study of the Veda, the offering of sacrifices for themselves and for others and the receiving and giving of alms. The

It is beyond the scope of my problem which is only concerned with the condition of a particular period, to examine in detail the question of the origin of the castes and especially, of the influence which the institution of family has upon the building up of caste. I refer the reader to the article of Senart, *Les Castes dans l'Inde* in the *Revue des deux mondes*¹ (Vols. 121, 122, 123), which I consider the most sensible and acute to thing that has ever been written about the Indian castes. In particular points the statements no doubt stand in need of proof before they can be regarded as scientific facts. Especially, the proposition which is to be looked upon as the cardinal point of his theory, namely, that "caste is the normal development of the ancient Aryan family conception" seems hardly to meet adequately the objection that no traces of this development of the family into the caste are to be found in the Vedas, with the help of the following statement: "The development might have taken place too slowly, it rests upon too instinctive, too primitive elements to give us much evidence of this element in a literature such as that of the hymns."

Kshatriyas or “warriors” have for their duty the protection of the people, the giving of alms, the offering of sacrifices and the study of the Vedas ; to the Vaisyas, breeding of cattle, trade and agriculture were assigned as their work, but along with these, giving alms, offering sacrifices and study are made their duties; the Sudras, finally, have only one work and that is to serve the other three castes (Mânavadharmasâstra 1.87-91). Tho authors of the lawbooks could not possibly conceal the inconsistency of this their theory with the surrounding facts. Most early probably, the two highest castes, the priests and the warriors, were brought into relation with the real facts, but the great mass of the people who in the course of a progressive civilization were assigned the various professions could only be hold fast by doing violence to facts. Hut how to explain the existence of innumerable facts contradicting the theory? It would not do to introduce new castes without destroying the sacred old tradition. “The Brâthmana, the Kshatriya, the Vaisya, these three castes have two births, the fourth has only one birth ; and there is no fifth (caste)”—so it is said in Manu (X. 4).

People joined with the already current theory another, and that was the theory of mixed castes.

Acting upon this, people began to look upon only the children by properly wedded women of the same caste as belonging to the caste of their father and the children resulting from the union of different castes as mixed castes, and this in the following way: the higher the caste of the mother and the lower the caste of the father, the lower became the caste of the issue. Thus, the child born of the union of a Brâhmana with a Vaisya girl was called an Ambashtha, so it was called a Nishâda when the union was with a Sûdra's daughter; the issue of the marriage of a Kshatriya with the daughter of a Sûdra was called

[p-5.]

Ugra; the children resulting from the opposite kind of union, namely, when the mother belonged to a higher and the father to a lower caste, were called in the descending order of their social rank, Sûta, Mâgadha, Vaideha, Âyogava, Kshattri and Candâla. All these mixed castes were characterised as of low birth (apasada), the lowest and most contemptible was, according to the theory, the Candâla, because resulting from the union of a Brâhmana's daughter with a Sûdra. Through further combinations, through further alliances between the four recognised castes and the mixed castes and through marriages among the latter, there arose a further number

of mixed castes. Among others—I only mention the names which occur to me in this connexion—tho issue of the union of a Nishâda with a Sûdra wife was called Pukkasa and the Vena was the issue of the alliance between a Vaidhaka and an Ambashtha woman. This, however, in no way exhausts the number of mixed castes; here come first the so-called Vrâtyas, who are the issue of legitimate unions of the three higher castes but who through neglect of religious obligation, that is, neglect of taking admission into their caste (upanayana) at the right time, lost the right to belong to that caste. Their descendants fall again into different groups of castes, according as they were originally of Brâhmana, Kshatriya or Vaisya origin. We shall in course of our enquiry come across them as Mallas, Licchavis and Natas who were all descended from the Vrâtyas of the Kshatriya caste. Innumerable despised mixed castes, again, are the offspring of those who through some fault—adultery, consanguineous marriage, abandonment of the prescribed profession—are excommunicated from the Aryan Society; thus we have the origin of the Kaivarta out of the union of a Nishâda with an Âyogava woman. To these mixed castes professions were assigned with as much strictness as in the case of the four official castes; for instance, to the Sûta

was assigned the work of a cart-driver, to the Ambashtha, the medical profession, to the Mâgadha, trade, to the Nishâda, the killing of fish, to the Pukkasa, the capturing and killing of the cave-dwelling animals, to the Candâlas, the carrying of corpses and the execution of criminals.

So much for the theory of the Brahmanas (according to Manu, X. 5 sq). It is *prima facie* evident that we cannot possibly have in it a true picture of the real state of things; and it is not difficult to see how then; has been developed such a system. The names of the particular mixed castes show very plainly the material out of which the system is built; throughout it is either geographical or ethnical relations, names of lands or peoples, which give them their distinctive names, as, for example, Mâgadha, Nishâda, Vaideha, Ambashtha, Malla, Licchavi and Candâla. Along with these and to a much smaller extent, professional categories determine the names, as, Sûta, cart-driver, Vena, maker of reeds, Nata, dancer, Kaivarta, fisherman. The self-contained existence of these and similar groups separated from the Aryan Society, through contempt shown towards their race or their callings, was too evident to make it possible to ignore them quietly or to bring them under one or other of the four castes. The theory was

widened and the mixed castes were annexed to the four original and recognised castes by giving their families or professional groups a wholly arbitrary genesis.

That the inadequacy of the orthodox theory and the necessity for making certain concessions to truth was felt is shown by numerous exceptions to the general rule recognised for particular cases. The law, according to which every caste was assigned a wholly fixed calling, could not be maintained in this its exclusive form; people permitted at first the higher castes to follow the mode of life of the caste next in order in the system, but people could not stop there and allowed, evidently, under pressure of circumstances, the higher castes to adopt the professions which were originally considered proper for the lower ones. Thus, a Brâhmana may, if he cannot earn his living by the work proper to his own caste or by that of the warrior caste, adopt the life of a Vaisya and earn his livelihood by agriculture and rearing cattle. (Manu X, 82). In practice people went further And we may suppose that even among the Brâhmanas of ancient times the ways of earning a livelihood were no less manifold than they are to-day.¹

¹ From the list of Brâhmanas who According to law cannot be invited to take part in the offering to one's departed ancestor (Manu

If we thus have in the Brahmanical theory anything but a picture of the real social conditions, we should not also lose sight of the fact that this theory after it was promulgated without much reference to facts, reacted powerfully upon the facts (actual conditions). The more Brahmanical culture spread in the course of centuries, the more did the priestly classes succeed in stamping their desired physiognomy upon the Indian society through their religious and social influence. The superiority of the Brâhmana caste which came gradually into recognition and at first, surely, not without opposition, influenced to a great extent the further

III. 151 sq), we can get an idea of the multifariousness of the professions followed by them in spite of the prohibition. We thus meet with players (kitava), medical men (cikitsaka), butchers (mâpsavikrayin), shopkeepers (vipanona jivan) paid professions (preshyo grâmasya rājñasca), usurers (vârddhushi), herdsmen (pasupâta) actors (kuâilava) singers (bandin), oil millers (tailika), dealers in spices (rasavikrayin), makers of bows and arrows (dhanuhsarânâm kartâ) restrainers of elephant, cattle, horses and camels (hastigosvoshttradamaka), astrologers, tamers of birds, instructors in the use of the weapons (yuddhâcârya), architects, tamers of dogs (Svakridin), falconers (âyenajivin), agriculturists (kriahijtvin) and even carriers of corpses (pretaniryâtaka)—Now-a-days one can find, as stated in Neafield's *Brief View of the caste system of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh*, Allahabad, 1885, Brâhmanas following any paid profession, with the exception of such as bring on religious defilement and eventually, loss of caste, such as, for example, that of a sweeper or a washerman. We find them following the occupation of a water-carrier, cook, cart-driver, watchman, field-watch, postman, policeman, professional singer, dancer, etc., etc

development of the social condition; it was essentially the prim© cause of the various groups of the Indian society which had been formed on the lines of professions or of races, modelling themselves upon the Brahmanical castes and so becoming what the theory had already made them.

This superiority of the Brâhmana caste, however, extended in no way over the whole province of ancient India conquered by Aryan culture. The proper centres of Brahmauism, the provinces which in Manu were styled the land of Brahmarshi, comprise the countries of the Kurus, Matsyas, Pañcâlas and Sûrasenas. The land bordering this, the portion of Northern India which was called Madhyadesa or middle land, forms, starting eastward, a region indicated by a line drawn from the Himâlaya through Prayâga, called Allahabad to-day, towards the high land of Amarakantaka¹. The provinces to the east of this line, the countries known to-day as Oudh and Behar, where in Buddha's time we find the people of Kâsi, kosala, Videha and Magadha, are excluded from Brahmarshidesa. According to the evidence of the Brâhmana texts and the lawbooks, there can be no doubt that these people, especially, those of Magadha

and Videha came late in contact with Vedic culture—and—were—never influenced so much by it as the western people. We should not forget that the Aryan immigration in India took place by stages and was not uniform throughout; the Aryan races, who proceeding farthest east, subjugated first the Gangetic people, may have separated themselves from the great body of the Aryans for a time, when there was still no culture which we are accustomed to designate by the term “Vedic.” Also it is doubtful whether these advanced guards of Aryan civilization ever succeeded in fully absorbing the aboriginal races who, however inferior they might have been to the Aryans in point of culture, were numerically much stronger than their invaders. So also the circumstance that in Manu the names of some of these eastern races, namely, those of [p 9] Magadha and Videha, are applied to the mixed castes not belonging to the Aryan family, points to the conclusion that they were not regarded as of the same rank by people who considered themselves true representatives of Aryan culture¹ The possibility, therefore, is in no way excluded

¹ Of. The excursus “Uber das geographische Verhältniss der vedischen und der buddhistischen Kultur” in Oldenberg’s *Buddha* Berlin, 1881, p, 389 sq.

that we have to see in the individual races of princes repeatedly mentioned in the Pali texts, such as, the Licchavis of Vesâlî and the Mallas, the lords of Kusinârâ, and Pâvâ, who were both looked upon by Manu as the descendants of a *Vrâtya* of the Kshatriya caste, the non-Aryan aboriginal rulers.

If this supposition of an ethnical and cultural opposition between the specifically Brahmanic west and the less Brahmanised east, the home of Buddhism, is correct, then we can presume that even the social conditions, as we find them here, are different from those of the west; for the social organisation of a people is essentially dependent upon the above-named factors and is probably more so in India than elsewhere; Cethnical differences and religious views have principally determined the social physiognomy of the Indian people. To this we have to add a circumstance which even for India cannot be left out of consideration and has to be brought in here for the explanation of the opposition mentioned above, namely, the influence of climate and the soil; climatical and geographical differences have even in India, which is so often called "a world in itself," sometimes even a world which unites the greatest contradictories, played a part in the shaping of the social conditions.

Now let us leave the province of *a priori* suppositions and take our stand upon firm ground which will form the basis of the inquiry that follows. From the Pali texts and especially, the Jâtakas, we will take the material for the reconstruction of such a picture as the Indian society in Buddha's age and the field of his work may have presented. Here, however, we must consider that when this picture differs from that which is presented by the Brâhmanical sources, this difference arises not necessarily from local variations but in part from the nature of the texts. Just as the Brâhmana texts, the lawbooks and even the Brahmanical epics reflect very little the real state of Indian culture and just as in reality they represent the state of culture as it occurs in the imagination of their Brâhmana authors, so also in the case of the Buddhist texts, one should not lose sight of the subjective element. The authors of the Pali canon were Buddhist monks, and as such, kept aloof from, if they were not hostile to, Brahmanical culture. The sacrificial ceremony, the study of the Vedas, the Brahmanical caste, in short, everything which gave the Brâhmanas their special position, was looked upon as worthless by these and challenged. Many of the disciples of Buddha belonged, before their acceptance

of the homeless life (pabbajjâ), like Buddha himself, to the Kshatriya caste and showed a preference for their former caste even when they entered the monk's state; many were rich, influential citizens, before they renounced the world, and in consequence of this, looked at their own former condition with more favourable eyes than the Brâhmanas ; and whoever, among these classes even accepted Buddhism was very likely to view Brahmanism with great and even unjust severity. But the Buddhist monks among whom the tradition spread and to whom we owe its fixation, showed a more objective attitude towards the worldly life which they had renounced and in exchange for which they had adopted the homeless life, than the Brâhmanas who were always conscious of their Brahmanism which they spread over the society in which they lived.

Besides, Buddhistic narratives which are in other respects altogether different, exhibit the same tendency in the region of morals; the great mass of concrete data regarding the life of the people which are found in the realistic pictures of the Jâtakas is for them a matter of indifference; it is purely casual, and this casual manner, this parenthetic way *in* which these things are described, has preserved it from distortions.

16

For these reasons—so it seems to me at
[p.11] least—the picture of Indian society,
as we obtain it from the Pali
texts and principally, from the Jâtakas, is
more life-like and true than that which the
Brâhmanas through their one-sided exaltation
of their own caste have given us and so we
may hope to get some material from our sources
which can throw light upon the caste-relations
in eastern India.

CHAPTER II

GENERAL VIEW OF THE CASTES

If we first seek, on the basis of quotations which relate to castes in general, a conception of their meaning, and of their relation to one another, we are confronted at first sight with a circumstance which seems to give the lie direct to the introductory words—the circumstance, namely, that even in the Pali canon we find the Brâhmanical caste-theory. The division of Indian society into four castes is in no way unknown to Buddhist literature.

"Just so, you monks"—with these words Buddha teaches his disciples in the Cullavagga of the Vinaya-Pitaka (IX, 1, 4) about the relation of the castes to the Order—"just as the great rivers, such as, the Ganga, the Yamuna, the Aciravati, the Sarabhu and the Mahi, when they pour their waters into the great ocean, lose their names and origins and become the great-ocean, precisely so, you monks, do these four castes, the Khattiya (=Skr. Kshatriya), the Brâhmana, the Vessa (=Skr. Vaisya) and Sudda (=Skr. Sûdra) when they pass, according to the doctrines and prescriptions of those who have attained perfection, from home to homelessness,

lose their names and origins and take from here onward the name *samana* attaching to the son of Sakya."

In Kannakathâla Sutta (No. 90 of the Majjhima Nikâya) the following words were put into the mouth of Buddha : " These are the four
[p.12] castes, O great king: Khattiya, Brâhmana, Vessa and Sudda. Of these four castes, O great king, two stand in the front rank, namely, the Khattiya and the Brâhmana, so far as relates to the salute, the seat to be offered, the extension of the folded hands and the service to be rendered."

The Assalâyana Sutta,¹ which tries to prove the worthlessness of the castes, likewise speaks of four castes: "Once stopped"—so it begins—"the Holy at Sâvatthi, in Jetavana, the park of Anâthapindika. At that time there lived in Sâvatthi five hundred Brâhmanas hailing from different places for some religious purpose; among them there was a rumour that this hermit (*samana*) Gotama proclaimed the purity of the four castes. Who is in a position to challenge the *samana* Gotama with regard to this question ?" As the Sutta proceeds, this division is surely not always observed : the author is occasionally—as if unconsciously and perhaps against his will

¹ Ed. and transl. by Richard Pischel. Chemnitz, 1880.

—forced by reality to mention, by the side of the Khattiyas and the Brâhmanas, the Râjannas¹ and as lower castes, the Candâla, Nesâda, Vena, Rathakâra and Pukkusa; but the existence of the four castes is still the tacit assumption which is in no way given up even in the admission which Gotama at the end of his dispute forces the Brâhmana Assalâyana to make, namely, that caste is worthless and that the claim of the Brâhmanas to be the best caste is untenable.

The same subject is also handled in the Madhura Sutta² (No. 81 of the Majjhima Nikâya) and to some extent the same words are used. The king Madhura Avantiputta betakes himself to Samana Kaccâna and puts to him the question : “ The Brâhmanas, Kaccâna, maintain that the Brâhmana is the best caste and every other caste is low, that the Brâhmana is the white caste and every other caste is black, that the Brâhmanas are pure and not the non-Brâhmanas, that the Brahmanas are the favourite sons of Brahma, that they are born out of his mouth,

[p. 13.]

born of Brahma, successors of Brahma. What do you say to this, Kaccâna ?” And Kaccâna replies that caste neither assures material success in life nor makes any difference

¹ Cf. with this the remark in the sixth chapter.

² Ed. and transl. by Robert Chalmers in the “Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society” 1894, p. 394 sq.

with regard to the punishment or happiness that awaits after death, that it does not protect wrong-doers from the punishment prescribed by law and that above all, for the homeless ascetics it is a matter of indifference. But here, too, the argument rests upon the four castes and the result is, not that these four castes do not exist but only that they are all the same.

The thought that a Brâhmana does not occupy a special place by reason of his birth but that virtue alone constitutes a true Brâhmana, recurs also in the Jâtakas ; in many ways the theme of the worthlessness of the castes is varied and an opportunity given to the narrator for lengthy discussions on the caste. Especially, the Uddâlaka Jâtaka deals in a most penetrating way with the caste question. "A long time ago, when Brahmadatta ruled in Benares, the Bodhisatta¹ was appointed his house priest, on account of his scholarship and intelligence. The latter saw one day in a pleasant spot in the park an extremely beautiful courtesan and fell in love with her. She became pregnant (with his child) and when she recognised her condition, she said to him, "O Lord, I have received a live fruit; when it is born I will give the child the name of its grandfather." He reflected,

¹ Skr. Bodhisattwa, i.e., Buddha in one of his former existences.

"A child which is born of a low woman cannot possibly be given the family name" and said to her, "My love, this tree here is called Uddâla; because you have got your child here, you may call it Uddâlaka". Then he gave her a signet ring and continued, "If you get a daughter, bring her up with this, if you get a son, you can show him to me after he is grown up". She gave birth some time

[p. 14.]

later to a son and named him Uddâlaka. When this son grew up, he asked his mother, "Dear mother, who is my father?" "The *purohita*, my son." "If that is the case, I will study the Vedas". With this resolution, he took the ring and the honorarium for his teacher from his mother and went to Takkasilâ and read there with a world-renowned teacher. At the sight of a crowd of ascetics, the thought occurred to him, "These must be in possession of the highest knowledge, I must learn it from them"; full of desire for knowledge, he adopted the homeless state, rendered all manner of service to the ascetics and prayed that they might teach him their wisdom. They taught him according to the measure of their wisdom, but among the five hundred ascetics there was not a single one who excelled him in intelligence; he was the wisest among them all. Therefore they agreed and made him their chief.

He said, however, to them, "Brothers, you live permanently in the woods and eat the roots and fruits of the forest; why don't you tread the soil of men?" "Brother, men want, as soon as they have given us alms, to hear in return the doctrine (*dhamma*) from us; they put questions to us and for fear of this we don't go there". "Brothers, even if a world-conqueror should come, as you have me, let the answer be my care and do not fear". With these words, he betook himself with them to the route followed by them in begging and gradually reached Benares; here he stopped in the park of the king and went next day a-begging in the company of all to a village provided with a door. The people gave them copious alms; next day, the ascetics came to the city where, too, abundant alms fell to their lot. The ascetic Uddâlaka gave the thanks, pronounced the benedictions and answered the questions. The people were greatly edified, gave the ascetics liberally, as much as they wanted. Throughout the town the rumour spread that a wise teacher and pious ascetic had come; people narrated this even to the king. The latter asked where he was staying and when he heard that he was staying with the rest of the ascetics in the park, he said, "Good, I will go and see him to-day". Somebody announced to Uddâlaka that the

king was coming to see him. He called together the host of wise men and said
 p.15] to them, "Dear brothers, if one wins a prince even for a single day, this is enough for his whole life". "What, however, shall we do, O teacher?" He gave them the following advice: Some of you should behave like a bat¹; some of you should sit with folded legs and practise penance, some should lie on a bed of thorns, some should practise the penance relating to the live fires,² others should plunge into the water, still others should recite verses in different places". They did accordingly. He himself, however, sat with eight or ten learned men, surrounded by disciples upon a space specially prepared for this and placed a book upon a beautiful desk. In a moment the king came to the park with the *purohita*, surrounded by a large number of men, and as he saw the false ascetics practising penance, he reflected, "They are all free from all fear of misery," went to Uddâlaka, seated himself by his side after accosting him with reverence, and

raggulivatam carantu. What is to be understood by this expression, I cannot state exactly; it seems to indicate a special kind of posture, by which the holy man in question performs a vow, just as is the case with the 'cock-holies' mentioned by Oldenberg (*Buddha* p. 69) who pick out their food like cocks and behave always like cocks,

² *pañcatapam*, "the five tapas". These are the four fires directed towards the four directions and the sun which shines above.

began the following conversation with the *purohita* in a happy spirit:

"These here, who wear coarse skins, have long tufts of hair and recite verses with unclean teeth and dirty face, are they really, as they recognise this (that is, penances) as the duty of men, free from misery?"

When the *purohita* hoard this, he said to himself, "This king is favourably disposed towards wrong persons; I must not remain silent" and so he recited the second verse:

"When, O king, a learned man does an evil act, does not live virtuously, he cannot, even if he knows a thousand Vedas, be free from misery without finding the right path."

[p.16]

When Uddâlaka heard these

[p. 10.]

words, he reflected : " The king is in every way pleased with this host of holy persons; this Brâhmana, however, strikes the running ox in the mouth; filth is thrown upon the prepared meal. I will speak to him". He recited the third verse directed towards the *purohita*:

"If when a man knew a thousand Vedas and yet could not be free from misery, so long as he did not know the right path, my opinion is, that the Vedas are useless, the path of self-restraint is the truth".

To this the *purohita* replied :

“The Vedas are not in any way useless, nor is the path of self-restraint undoubtedly the truth : for he who studies the Vedas attains fame, whereas he attains only peace who restrains himself in his life”.

When Uddâlaka heard this, he meditated, “Towards this man here I cannot in any way adopt an attitude of hostility; if I tell him that I am his son, he cannot but show love to me; I will make myself known to him”. He recited the fifth verse :

"Parents and other kinsmen one should support; he to whom one owes one's origin is identical with oneself; I am Uddâlaka, sprung from thy Brâhmana family".

The former asked, "Are you really Uddâlaka", and as the latter answered in the affirmative, he said "I have given thy mother a mark of identity, where is it ?" With the words, "Here is it, O Brâhmana," Uddâlaka reached him the ring. The Brâhmana recognised the ring and said "Surely, you are a Brâhmana¹, do you know however, the duty of a Brâhmana ?" In asking

¹ Cf. what is said below at p. 35. Another reading omits *brâhmana* ; probably, to the writer the express recognition of a bastard as

Brâhmana was repugnant; this omission makes no difference with regard to the fact that the *purohita* looks upon his illegitimate son as belonging to his caste.

him about the duty of a Brâhmana, he uttered the sixth verse :

"Tell me who a Brâhmana is, how he becomes perfect, how extinction¹ takes place and what is to be understood by the righteous."

[p.17] Upon this, Uddâlaka explained in the seventh verse :

"Rejecting (all worldly thoughts), taking the fire with him, sprinkling water, offering sacrifices, th Brâhmana sets up the sacrificial post. Acting in this way he attains peace of mind, and for this reason he is called virtuous."

The *purohita* replied to him, finding fault with his conception of the duties of a Brâhmana:

"The Brâhmana is not pure through the sprinkling of water, nor is he perfect through it, nor does there arise peace or virtuousness, nor does he thereby attain Nirvâna"

Wishing to know how anybody could be a Brâhmana if not in the way shown by him, Uddâlaka asked, reciting the ninth verse :

"How is he a Brâhmana, how does he become perfect, how does he attain Nirvâna, what is understood by the righteous ?"

The purohita taught him in these words :—

"Without land, without kinsmen, indifferent to the sensuous world, free from desire, immune

¹ parinibbâna, Skr. parinivâna, the attainment of Nirvâna, the extinction, abnegation of self.

from lust, careless of existence, acting in this way, the Brâhmana attains peace of the soul, and so is called virtuous."

On this, Uddâlaka recited the verse :

"Khattiya, Brâhmana, Vessa, Sudda, Candâla and Pukkusa can all be virtuous, self-restrained and can attain nirvâna ; is there any among them, when they have all attained peace of the soul, who is better or who is worse ?"

To him replied the *purohita*, in order to show him that the moment Arhatship¹ is attained, inferiority and superiority cease to exist :

"Khattiya, Brâhmana, Vessa, Sudda, Candâla and Pukkusa can all be virtuous and self-restrained and attain nirvâna ; among them, when they have attained peace of the soul, there is no one who is better and no one who is worse"

Uddâlaka, however, found fault with him and said :

"Khattiya, Brâhmana, Vessa, Sudda, Candâla and Pukkusa can all be virtuous and self-restrained and attain nirvâna ; among them, there is no one who is better or worse, when they have attained peace of the soul. If this

¹ *arahatta*, position of an arhat, a holy man, the highest of the four stages in the path of emancipation, complete holiness. Cf. Oldenberg, *Buddha*, p. 326 Note.

is so, then Brahmanism which you hold and your belonging to a family noted for its knowledge of the Vedas, are of no value."

The *purohita*, however, taught him through a parable and recited two verses :

"A tent is covered with fabrics of various colours, but the colour does not follow the shades of the fabrics. So it is also with men ; always men attain purity; the virtuous don't ask a person about his birth, when they have recognised his piety."

As Uddâlaka could not regain his ground, he sat down, without answering. The Brâhmana however, said to the king : All these, O great king, are cheats, they will ruin the whole of India with their hypocrisy. Make Uddâlaka give up his asceticism and make him my disciple ; make the rest return to their former condition, give them arms and make them your servants," The king was pleased with this advice of his teacher and so they were all enlisted in the service of the king.

The fundamental thought appears in a somewhat different way in Silavimamsa Jâtaka (III. 194 sq). The *purohita* of the king of Benares wants to examine him with a view to knowing whether he esteems him on account of his virtuousness or on account of his learning. He commits theft, is shown to the king and

learns that the latter only esteems him for his virtue. With this knowledge he resolves to renounce the worldly life and with these words takes leave of the king :

"Whether virtue is better than learning—about this I had doubts ; that virtue is better than learning, of this I doubt no more.

Birth and caste cause conceit ; verily, is virtue the highest ; he who does not possess virtue, for him learning also has no value.

A Khattiya who has aimed at vice and a
 . Vessa who acts viciously, both come
 [p. 19.]

to grief after they have passed away from the world.

Khattiya, Brâhmana, Vessa, Sudda, Candâla and Pukkusa will be all equal in the world of the gods, if they have acted virtuously here.

Of no value are the Vedas, of no value is birth or kinsmen for the future world, only one's own pure virtue brings him happiness in the next world."

The same account of castes and the same conception of their equality, as viewed from the ethical standpoint, meets us in Amba Jâtaka (IV, 205), where it is said :

"As a man who seeks juice in the forest considers that tree the best in which he finds

it, whether it is the *eranda*¹ tree, the *pucimanda*² tree or the *pâlibhadda*³ tree,

also among the Khattiyas, Brâhmanas, Vessas, Suddas, Candâḥlas and Pukkusas, he is the best from whom one can learn what is right."

Thus we meet everywhere in the Pali canon and even in the Jâtakas⁴, although the worthlessness of the castes is emphasised, with the Brahmanical caste theory and the division of society into four castes, the Khattiya, Brâhmana, Vessa and Sudda, as something self-evident, and nowhere is any doubt expressed. Still let us look a little more minutely at these passages. These are, so to speak, academical discussions regarding the value of castes which all only serve—not to give us a picture of Indian society—but to oppose the claim of the Brâhmanas to be through their caste in sole possession of truth, of the knowledge of the path of emancipation. In my opinion,

¹ Ricinus shrub.

² Skr. picamanda, Azadirachta Indica.

³ Skr. pâlibhadra, Butca Frondosa.

⁴ That in the passages quoted from the Jâtakas, not four but six castes, that is, in addition to the official castes of the Brahmanical theory, the two castes, Candala and] Pukkusa, reckoned among the mixed castes, are enumerated, has for its reason this, that people felt in the circles from which the verses came, the non-reality of the Vessa and Sudda castes and thereby the two hated classes, whose real existence people could perceive everyday, were added. Our position that the Brahmanical caste theory is present even in the Jâtakas is thereby not modified.

there is no more reality in these theoretical speculations than in the theory of the Brâhmanas ; they are nothing else than a reflex of the priestly literature and show us that the Brahmanical theory was not only well known to the Buddhist monks but was so strongly inbedded in their consciousness, that they could not free themselves from it, although in all probability, they were quite convinced of its incongruence with the real world as well as of the worthlessness of the caste. Moreover, the Buddhist writers never cared in the least to contradict the caste-theory as such and thereby introduce a better organisation of society ; what they tried to do was simply to show that caste is of no value for the striving for emancipation.

Only this much appears to me to emerge clearly out of these theoretical discussions, that the castes in Buddha's time and in the eastern lands were an important factor in the social life. The question, in my opinion, can never be of any influence which Buddha's doctrine of the worthlessness of the castes may have had upon the existing condition of society, of any weakening of the class-oppositions through Buddhism. The conception of the non-existence of caste-distinctions which we notice everywhere **in Buddhistic** writings, may (at first sight) **seem**

to suggest the thought that we are to see in this peculiarity of the Buddhistic doctrine, a reformatory act of Buddha and have to look upon Buddha as the destroyer of rigid limits fixed by orthodox practice. This view, however, is not at all correct.¹ The castes continued after the spread [p.21] of the Buddhistic doctrine quite as well as before; the social organisation in India was not in the least altered by Buddha's appearance. We see that there is constant reference to the institution of castes and that not simply in the older portions of the Pali canon. Even the later portions, the commentaries on the Jâtikas bear witness to this, that in the caste distinctions one has to see a real force, even' long after Buddhism had acquired a firm footing and Buddha's doctrine of the worthlessness of castes had found universal acceptance as a means of acquiring emancipation.

Even among Buddhist monks we find this conception of the distinction of castes, of the value of high birth, in no way extinguished. As

¹ cf. Koeppen (*Die Religion des Buddha*, Berlin Vol. I. p. 127 sq) against whom the unjustified polemic has been thrust by Hopkins (*The Religions of India*, Boston and London, 1895 p. 586 note) that he saw in Buddha an emancipator, a political reformer. Cf. further Oldenberg's *Buddha* p. 155 sq—When even in the second edition of Battel's *Völkerkunde* (Leipzig and Vienna 1895 p. 599), it is said of Buddhism that it "abolished the castes" and not "that it was in a position to check its further growth," it is hoped that it will not be considered beating the air if I polemiae against this conception.

evidence of this, we have the introduction to the Tittira Jâtaka (J. 217 sq.) where it is said that the disciples of the six¹ (chabbaggikâ) requisitioned all places for themselves and their teachers, so that the wisest men who came later got no quarters. When Buddha became aware of this, he called an assembly of monks and put the question, Who deserves to have the best quarters, the best water, the best food ? He received from some the reply, "He who was a Khattiya before he became initiated" (khattiya kulâ pabbajito) ; from others, "He who was a Brâhmana or a gahapati (brâhmanakulâ gahapatikulâ, pabbajito). Here the three classes looked upon by the Indians are narrated in their order of succession and even though Buddha himself in summing up the debate recognises no privilege grounded on birth, the narrative still shows that in the consciousness of the great majority of the monks the caste distinctions had value. The action of Devadatta² and Kokila mentioned in the introduction to Jambukhâdaka Jâtaka (II. 438) is also evidence of the respect which people had for the higher castes—the action, namely, of their

[p. 22]

trying to revive their lost reputation.

¹ The six monks who in the Vinaya Pitaka play the role of the scoundrel and who try to go against Buddha's prescriptions in every possible way. Cf. Oldenberg, *Buddha* p. 342 sq.

² Buddha's cousin and rival. See Oldenberg, *Buddha* p. 162 sq.

The two, that is to say, visit the householders, moving from house to house, sing praises of each other and speak with pride of each other, one stating that Devadatta is descended from Mahâ-sammata¹ and can point to an unbroken succession of princes in the royal house of Okkâka,² the other that Kokila had belonged to a north-western Brâhmana family before he was initiated (udiccabrâhmanakula nikkhamitvâ pabbajito).

But the question whether or not Buddhism has changed the caste-relationships is not of great value for us, as we, starting from the assumption³ that the Jâtakas in their older forms exhibit the social condition of India, as it existed in Buddha's time, have only made this the subject of our study. In any case, one thing appears very clearly from the passages of a theoretical character quoted above, and that is, that the concept of caste, of *jâti*,⁴ was widely prevalent in Buddha's

¹ The traditional name of the first king.

² Skr. Ikshvaku, name of a mythical king.

³ Cf. the Preface.

The word *jâti*, i.e., "birth" is also in Sanskr. the term for the concept "Caste." By the side of *jâti*, there occurs in the Pali texts in the sense of "caste," though much more rarely, the word *vanna* (=Skr. *varna*) and *kula*. Cf. Vinaya Pitaka, ed. by Oldenberg Vol. 2 p. 239, *cattâro' nie vannâ khattiyâ brâhmanâ vessâ suddâ* Vol. 3. p. 184 sq, *cattâri kulâni, khattiyakulam brâhmanakulam, vesaakulam suddakulam* The use of *kula* which as a rule denotes family in the sense of "caste" shows how much the two concepts overlap each Other in the consciousness of the Indians and how closely allied they are.

time. Consequently, our purpose is not much achieved if we consider how far the views relating to the essence of the caste are divergent, how much the views of the different authors vary here and there, when the question is to determine the concept of caste for a special period of Indian culture-history. Our problem will be to determine what is to be understood by caste in this period and to answer the question : "How far is the concept of caste, as it has come to us from the Brahmanical theory, or as we are accustomed to use it, when we speak of the modern conditions of India, applicable to the *jâti* of the Pali texts?"

We must distinguish between the two concepts, between the modern caste and that which the Brahmanical law-books understand by caste ; they do not coincide with each other in any way and it would be a great mistake to make them identical. We cannot also hope to arrive at a universally valid definition by a combination of the two ; a definition like this which is true of all stages of evolution of Indian culture, cannot at all be given ; we can only try, through a comparison of the different stages which the caste has gone through in the course of centuries, to find persisting, and consequently, probably

essential moments, in order, with the help of these, to get an approximate idea of the general meaning of caste in the earlier Buddhistic age. On the other hand, we must not ignore the development of the modern castes and confine ourselves wholly to literary tradition, for it is precisely the modern castes which give us many valuable parallels where the Brahmanical theory leaves us in the lurch, and many conditions of the social life of ancient times are only intelligible to us in the light of the present day.

By a caste of modern India, we understand, if I am to adhere in all essentials to the definition given by Senart,¹ a self-contained body which with a certain traditional and independent organisation, has a chief as well as a council, the membership of which is hereditary and which is thus determined not by chance or free selection but by birth, which gathers more or less fully on certain occasions, for instance, in certain festivals, and which is generally held together by a common hereditary calling, which observes the same

[p

24.]

customs, especially, with regard to marriage, eating and various acts of purification, which, finally, is provided with a judiciary that makes the authority of the corporate body felt

¹ *Revue des deux mondes*, Tome 121, p. 606.

principally through the punishment of excommunication. The essential element by which the castes are even now characterised as an order *sui generis* and are distinguished from other similar social groups, is to be sought in the customs relating to the *connubium*, interdining and contact of impure persons ; it is precisely these rules which set up absolute barriers between the innumerable modern castes and thereby give the Indian society its own proper physiognomy.

We must at once eliminate, if we wish to determine the concept of caste as it agitated the minds of the authors of the lawbooks, some of the attributes which have only reference to the castes of the present day; they are obviously of modern origin, for neither in the lawbooks nor anywhere else are found any traces which point to their existence in a former age. The caste in the Brahmanical theory is, although no less strictly isolated and self-contained, still externally not so well organised as the modern caste.) A chief, a council, we notice as little as common festivals or assemblies for other purposes; what unites the castes of ancient times and isolates them from one another—be it well-understood, according to the theory—is, along with the confinement of every class within a wholly fixed profession, those customs which rule the modern

caste. Precise rules with regard to marriage, food and even touch govern the mutual relationship of the castes in its minutest detail and try to prevent their fusion. Thus a Brâhmana, although he is allowed wives of other castes, should have for his first wife one belonging to his own caste ; for only such a one can attend to his bodily needs and can stand by his side in the performance of religious rites ¹; she alone

[p. 25] assures for the son his belonging to the caste of the father. The Brâh-

mana is forbidden² to take food from a man belonging to a lower caste, and as especially impure, the leavings of a Sudra's table³ are regarded. Even the sight of a despised human being, especially, of a Candâla, whose touch itself causes impurity⁴, is sufficient to spoil a Brâhmana's meal.⁵ All these prescriptions have the force of laws, a transgression of which involves

¹ Manu IX, 86:

Bhartuh sarirasusrûshâham dharmakâryam ca naityakam svâ caiva kuryât sarveshâm nâsvnjâti kathamcana.

² Manu IX. 210 sq; Vasishtha XIV. 1 sq; Apastamba 11622; Gautama XV11 17 ; Vishnu LI. 7 sq.

³ Manu XI, 153; Vasishtha XIV, 33; Vishnu LI. 50, 64, 66.

⁴ Manu V 85 ; " If he (a Brâhmana) sees a Candâla, a menstruating woman, a man excommunicated from the caste, a woman in childbed, a corpse, or a person rendered impure by the touch of a corpse, he will purify himself with a bath.

⁵ Mann III, 239 : " A Candâla. a hog, a cock, a dog, a menstruating woman and a eunuch should not be seen by a Brahman while eating.

special expiatory ceremonies and as the severest expiation, excommunication from the caste.

If we can thus see in the practices mentioned here, an essential and permanent characteristic of the caste, we shall—in order now to pass to the caste-relations, as they are described in the Jâtakas—look here for their presence. The scrupulous care with which the proximity of a person belonging to a despised or even a very low caste is avoided is evident in numerous instances contained in the Jâtakas.

In Kâlingabodhi Jâtaka (IV. 231), there occurs the following conversation between a king's son living as an ascetic in the forest and a princess likewise seeking shelter with her kinsmen in the forest, whom he sees sitting on a mango tree : “ Well, who are you ?”, he says to her. “ I am a human being, gentleman”, “ Then descend ” “ That is not possible, my dear sir, I am a Khattiyâ. ” “ Well, I am also a Khattiya, so descend ” “ That means nothing, my dear sir, nobody is a Khattiya by his word merely; if you are a Khattiya, say the Khattiya formula.”¹

¹ cf. *Dhammapadam*, ed. Fausböll p. 155. For the explanation of the expression “ Khattiya-formala ” (Khattiyamâyâ) a practice prevailing among modern castes may be cited, which Jacobi mentions in the “ *Zeitschrift d. Deutsch. Morgen. Gesellschaft* ” Vol. 48. p. 417 According to an oral communication from Mr. Grierson, caste in Behar has got its own epic song, romance or ballad, of which everybody knows something and very few know everything.

They recited together the Khattiya formula and then it was that the king's daughter descended.

The first question which the Brâhmana youth Satadhamma asks a *Candâla* who happens to travel the same way with him is about the caste.

¹¹ To what caste do you belong " (*Kimjdtiko si* II. 82), asks the Brâhmana his travelling companion. "I am a Candâla", answers the other and asks the Brâhmana the same question. Haughtily comes the latter's reply, " I am a Brâhmana from the northwest" (*aham udicca-brahmano*)¹ and it seems to be a wonder when it is further narrated that the two continued to go by the same road. Another person, likewise a youth belonging to a Brâhmana family in the northwest, of whom it is expressly stated that he is very proud of his caste *tassa jâtim nissâya mahanto mâno ahosi* III. 232) exhibits greater anxiety for "atmospheric purification". On his return to the town of Benares he meets a Candâla. "Who art thou", he asks him and as the latter replies, "I am a Candâla", he tries to run away from him, for fear lest the wind which has moved through the body of the despised creature should touch him, and cries out loudly, "Damn you, Candâla, raven of evil omen, move out of the wind."

[p27]

¹ Cf. what is said in the eighth chapter on the *Udiccabrâhmana*.

Of a Brâhmana ascetic whose hermitage lies on the banks of a river, it is said (IV. 388) that one day as he takes water to rinse his mouth, a toothpick floating in the water which Candâla living near by has thrown into the water, is caught in a tuft of his hair. He notices it and cries out “Damn you, wretch.” With the words, “I will now see whence this evil omen has come,” he moves up-stream and as he notices the Candâla he asks him, “To what caste do you belong.” The reply comes, “I am a Candâla.” “You have then thrown the toothpick in the river?” “Indeed, I have,” replied the Ca\$d*la, and the Brâhmana said, “Damn you, wretched Candâla, you bird of evil omen, you shall not live here any longer, move your dwelling down the river.”²

As even in this narrative the pride of the Brâhmana in his caste (*jatim nissâya mahantam mânam akâsi*) is strongly indicated, it is possible that the scrupulous care with which in the last two cited instances, the contact of the Candâla is forbidden or the way in which pollution from

¹ *nassa casala*. The Brâhmana curses, without knowing who has thrown the piece of wood into the stream, the man who has polluted the water through the tooth-pick. That this tooth-pick was hanging from a tuft of his hair is an unfavourable omen for him and as he now learns that a Candâla has used it, his indignation knows no bounds.

² A narrative of a similar kind is found in Vinaya Pitaka (ed. Oldenberg, Vol. 4, p. 203 sq.).

his proximity is feared, is a manifestation of this pride, this arrogance of caste, and that on the other hand, the proximity of a Candâla had nothing of the character of a pollution. We find instances in which a Candâla has intercourse with a high-born without the latter making any objection to this. In Chavaka Jâtaka (III p. 27 sq.) it is narrated how a Candâla in order to get a mango for his pregnant wife, ascended a tree in the park of the king. He was surprised by dawn and continued to sit on the tree, waiting for night. In the meantime, the king came with his teacher, the *purohita*, to the garden, in order to learn the Vedas ; they sat under the mango-tree, the king on a higher and the teacher on a lower seat. When the Candâla saw this, he descended from the tree and explained the impropriety of their conduct (towards each other). The king was greatly edified by the instruction he received and asked him his caste. "I am a Candâla, O King." "A great pity,¹ for if you had been born of a higher caste (*jâti-sampanno*), I would have resigned the sovereignty in your favour; however, I will remain king during the day and you will be king at night." He gave the Candâla a cross

¹ *Manu*, V. 93. " The fault of impurity does not apply to **king**" of. *ibid*, V. 94, 97.

which he himself suspended from his neck and made him the town sentinel (*nagaraguttika*).

Now a king was no doubt permitted intercourse with the Candâla, for as king he seemed to be placed above the danger of pollution ; but even generally, people did not seem to be much afraid of the proximity of such a person, and it is doubtful whether there was a custom or a prescription whereby the higher classes were forbidden contact with the lower or residence in their vicinity, and if such a custom existed,¹ it is doubtful whether it was universally observed and was not rather violated every day and every hour. From the Jâtakas what appears clearly is only this, that the fear of such pollution was not confined to Brâhmanas proud of their caste. For specially sensitive feminine natures proud of their high descent, the mere sight of a despised person sufficed for the production of the feeling of impurity in their minds. As the daughter of the *setthi*, who as the daughter of a *gahapati* belonged to a respectable but always middle class family and that of a *purohita* of Ujjavini who had gone to the city

¹ That the law-books contain such a prescription for the Brâhmanas has been already mentioned. This, however, does not prove **its** existence at the time and in the places with which we have to deal.

gate to play, noticed two Candâla brothers, they washed their eyes with scented water and returned to the town. The people, to whom on such occasions food and drink were provided gratis, regarded themselves as cheated of a fine meal by the maiden's going away and so gave the Candâlas such a sound beating that they were almost dead (IV. 391). A similar thing happened to another Candâla who wanted to go to the gate of Benares, but encountered the daughter of the *setthi* and attracted by her beauty, stood gazing. The maiden who was peeping through the curtain of the palanquin in which she was carried, saw him and asked, " Who is this ?" and as the answer came, "A Candâla, noble one," she washed her eyes with scented water, saying, "Oh, I see something which I should not see" and went back. Her escort struck the Candâla with his hands and feet till he fell down (IV. 378).

It is clear that the impurity of a person extended to the objects touched by him ; this was especially the case with everything that had reference to food. When we remember how great a religious importance the meal had at all times for the Aryans, how eating together served as an external sign of sameness of blood, it seems possible to

assume that this principle of the exclusion of all impure people from the common table came from ancient times, was carried from the family over to the caste and was here developed with great precision. It should not, however, be overlooked that traces of such an exclusion of low persons from participation in the meal,

as we see it in India to-day, are only
[p.30.] found, when they are found at all in the Jâtakas, extremely rarely. The only passage which serves as evidence of the presence of special rules and customs relating to a common table, does not occur in a Jâtaka but in a *paccuppannavatthu*, in the introductory explanation in Bhaddasâla Jâtaka (IV. 144 sq.). Here it is narrated that the messengers of the Kosala king, sent to the Sâkiyas of Kapilavatthu, in order to bring a girl of this family for their chief, express doubts about the purity of her birth ; they are afraid of being cheated by the Sâkiyas who are proud of their family and so demand that the girl should dine with them. The Sâkiyas who as a matter of fact, wanted to pass on to them an illegitimate girl, namely, a Vâsabhakhattiyâ, the daughter of Mahânâma and a slave, are embarrassed and don't know what to do. Mahânâma says that they should not give themselves any **trouble about the matter, for** he knew a method : when he was

eating, they should bring the Vâtsabhakhattiyâ, adorned with her ornaments, and as soon as he swallowed one mouthful, show him a letter with the words : “ O prince, King so and so sent a letter, hear first this message.” The Sâkiyas are satisfied with the plan and when Mahânâma sits at his dinner, they adorn the maiden with ornaments. Mahânâma cries out, “ Bring my daughter here, she shall eat with me.” After making some delay under the pretext that she must be adorned first, they bring her. With the words, “ We will dine together with my father,” she held a dish. Mahânâma takes with her a lump and puts it into his mouth; when he, however, stretches out his hand for the second lump, he is brought a letter, as previously arranged. He puts his right hand into the dish, encouraging his daughter to eat and takes the letter with his left hand and reads it : the latter, however, continues to eat, whilst he explains the message. When she finishes eating, he washes his hands and rinses his mouth. In this way the messengers come to the conclusion that she is his daughter and overlooks any distinction of caste.

The father avoids not only eating with his illegitimate daughter but even eating the food brought by her. In the first place, he puts his hands without any scruple into the dish simultaneously with his

daughter; as soon, however, as the hands of the impure have touched the food, it becomes impure for him ; he stops, therefore, taking a second mouthful and lets the daughter eat alone, apparently absorbed in reading the letter. Not eating in the same table, but only eating from the same dish out of which the table companions have already eaten, the contact with the food already touched by them, is the criterion for a common caste.

Consequently, we cannot speak of any rule relating specially to persons having a common table which excludes lower castes¹; on the other hand, there can be no doubt that prescriptions which forbade contact with food touched by the impure and, specially, with the leavings of their table, and punished transgression, existed and too often showed themselves effective.

The eating of the leavings of a Candâla's table was looked upon, according to the Jâtakas, as such a great sin for the Brâhmana that it had for its consequence exclusion from the caste.² In the Mâtanga Jâtaka it is narrated

¹ Senart's remarks on this point (*Revue des deux mondes*, vol. 126, p. 328 sq.) seem to me to go too far, as they do not apply to all stages of evolution of the Indian caste.

² Acc. to *Manu* XI. 149, a Brâhmana who had drunk water which had previously been drunk by a Sûdra, must drink *kusa* water for three days and a Brâhmana who had eaten the table leavings of a Sûdra or a woman must drink barley water for seven days (XI. 153).

how sixteen thousand Brahmanas had to undergo rebirth, because water which had been mixed with rice which was the remnant left out of a Candâla's meal, dropped into their mouths. The immediate consequence of this pollution, for which they themselves were in no way to blame, was their exclusion from the caste. They ceased to be Brâhmanas (to brâhmanâ: , imehi candâlucchitthakam pîtan' ti abrâhmane karimsu. IV 388) and left Benares in disgrace. This passage—so far as I have seen, the only one in the Jâtakas which speaks of a punishment prescribed by the caste and thus, to some extent, of a jurisdiction exercised by it—sounds extremely legendary; still the actual occurrence of an excommunication from the caste, or at least, of any other difficult expiation for the sin of eating impure food, will appear extremely probable if we consider the realistic account given in the Satadhamma Jâtaka (II. 82 sq.).

The Bodhisatta, re-born as a Candâla, undertakes a journey after providing himself with some rice as viaticum and a provision basket and comes across a youth belonging to a rich Brâhmana family in the north-west who for some reason has not taken any of these things with him. After they have narrated to each other their castes—as has already been explained

above—they travel together. At the time of breakfast the Bodhisatta sits down near a well, washes his hands and opens his basket while he invites the youth to eat with him. “ I don’t want to eat, Candâla,” runs the answer. “Good” thinks the Bodhisatta and takes, that there may not remain anything in his dishes, only that much which he requires and places it on a leaf. Then he fastens his basket, places it by his side, eats and drinks. After his meal is finished, he washes his hands and feet, takes his rice and the remainder of his food and moves on with the words, “ Come let us go, young man.” They travel the whole day. In the evening, they come to a sheet of water and take both a bath. After finishing this, the Bodhisatta sits down at a pleasant spot, opens his basket and begins to eat without asking the young Brâhmana to eat with him. The youth tired with the day’s long march and feeling hungry stands by his side and looks on, reflecting, “If he gives me anything to eat, I will accept it.” The other says nothing and continues to eat. The Brâhmana reflects, “This Candâla eats all without saying anything to me. I will ask him for a crumb. If he gives it to me, I can throw away the outer portion which is impure and eat the rest.” He carries out his intention and eats the food **that remains out of** the Candâla’s meal. No

sooner, however, did he eat this than he was seized by the thought that he had eaten the table leavings of a Candâla and had thereby done a thing which was most improper for his caste, his family, his land and felt so repentant that he vomited out the food that he had swallowed mixed with blood. "For the sake of a trifling thing I have done a most improper act," so he laments, full of grief and recites the verse:

" It was a trifle that remained uneaten and he gave it to me against his will—to me, who am a Brâhmana by caste ! What I have eaten, I must throw out of myself " so he weeps and decides, sick of life which is worthless after such improper conduct, to die of starvation. He goes to the forest and as he does not allow himself to be seen by anybody, perishes helpless."

The instances hitherto cited which may be looked upon in several respects as typical, give us a view which represents the society of that time as governed by strict traditional morality or caste-rules. However much in the eastern countries, where the authority of the Brahmanical theory was less strong, practice may have transcended these limits, a sharp distinction between the despised people and the rest of the population was made here also. In the eyes of the aristocratic Aryans, the lower castes, such as the Candâlas, are impure. Their very sight causes pollution ; consequently, they must be excluded

from the general society and must live in a special village of their own outside of the town and earn a living by means of lower occupations. That under these circumstances, any mixture with these lower elements is sought to be prevented appears self-evident. Surely, there existed from ancient times certain usages regulating marriage among the aristocratic Aryan families which must have been built up into strict rules, as the danger of a mixture with the aboriginal tribes and the merging of themselves in these was always felt by the Aryan races, and the existence of these rules is even perceived in the

Jâtakas. When it is narrated in the [p. 34] Mâtanga Jâtaka that the Candâla gets the *setthi's* daughter as wife, this is due only to his position as Bodhisatta : “ For the resolve of such a man—so it is said (IV. ,376)—” always realises itself” In the course of the narrative it is expressly stated that he is not guilty of a transgression of the rules relating to the distinctions of caste (*jâtisambhedavâtikkamam akatvâ*), that is, that he abstains from sexual intercourse with the *setth's* daughter who stands by virtue of her caste much higher than he.

In general and as a rule, we can suppose that the *jâtis* of this age were endogamous; marriage within one's own *jâti* was the rule. Everywhere in the Jâtakas, we meet with the

effort to keep the family pure through marriage confined to people of one's own standing and profession and not to allow it to degenerate through mixture with lower elements. When the parents desire to marry their son, they seek a maiden of the same caste for him or give him the advice:

Take a girl out of a family who belongs to the, same Family as we" (ekam samajâtikakulâ kumârikam ganha. I I I , 422). The Brâhmana agriculturist marries his son to the daughter of a Brâhmana belonging to a similar family (so [brâhmano] puttassa vayappattassa samânakulato kumârikam ânsi. I I I . 162. So also IV. 22) ; the Brahmana parents give express instructions to the people whom they send for finding a girl for their son to bring a Brâhmana girl (brâhmana kumârikam ânetha. I I I . 93). Among the Brâhmanas, it is principally the rich and aristocratic families who wished to secure riches and aristocracy through equal marriage : the son of a good family (kulaputta) is married by the parents to the daughter of one belonging to an equal family (assa mâtâpitaro samânajatiyam kulato dârikam ânayimsu. I. 199). To the inclinations of young people, very little or no weight was attached; we always read that the elders consult with each other without consulting their children—who, it is remarked, are

grown up ; to the Bodhisatta who is re-born in a village not far from Benares in a gahapati family the elders send a girl of good family from Benares (kuladhitaram ânesum I I . 121) and a setthi living in a small market-town in the province courts ¹ for the sake of his son the daughter of a setthi in Benares. (II . 225.)

In spite of all this it seems to me to go a little too far if we are to speak of a strict rule of endogamy; there are instances in the Jâtakas in which the caste barriers are considered not insurmountable and thus the sharp lines of demarcation which we are inclined to draw by reason of the numerous instances prescribing marriage within the caste to be the rule are wiped away. If marriage within the caste was more than a universal custom, if it had been a law prescribed by the caste, then its transgression would have led to the non-recognition of children born of an illegitimate marriage. This seems, however, as a matter of fact, not always to have been the case. We have seen that the *purohita* recognises the son born of his union with a public woman, after he is satisfied about his identity, as his own son ; a fact, which if universalised makes the influence of caste upon actual life very **small** for us. Are we, however, justified in

¹ Cf. farther the introductory explanations in *Asitâbhu Jâtaka* (I I . 229) and in *Suvannamiga Jâtaka* (I I I . 182).

making such a generalisation ? It almost seems that we are, when we read the introductory explanation in Bhaddasâla Jâtaka (IV. 144 sq.), where the question whether the wife of a *khaitiya* belonging to a low caste and the children born of such a wife are to be looked upon as of the same rank as the *khattiya*, is made the principal topic of discussion between Buddha and the Kosala King. The latter had—so it is narrated here--sent a messenger to Kapila-Vatthu for getting for him a Sâkya daughter. The Sâkya princes, very little inclined, on the one hand, to fulfil his desire,¹ and on the other hand, fearing the wrath of the king, to whom they stand in a dependent relationship, resolve, on the advice of Mahânâma, to send him his daughter, the Vâsabhakhattiyâ³, who, on her mother's side is born of a slave but had a *khattiya* for her father. The messengers are deceived—in the manner already mentioned—by a stratagem and bring the daughter of Mahânâma to their king who makes her his principal consort (*aggamahesî*) and gets a son by her. This son wants, when he grows up, to see the family of his grandfather (maternal) and takes from his mother who tries in vain

¹ They are afraid of the traditions of the family (*kulavamsa*) being broken according to which, the Sîkya daughters can only be married to Sâkyas. Cf. Weber, *Indische Studien*, Vol. 5, p. 427,

to dissuade him, a letter to Kapilavatthu in which she asks her kinsmen not to notice any difference in point of birth between themselves and her son. What is feared happens, however, although things begin very well : He is taken to the audience hall and placed before his kinsmen. " This," people say to him, " my dear, is your grandfather, this your uncle." He goes round, accosting everybody with reverence, wonders why whilst he gets pain in the back through continuous bowing, he sees nobody who bows to him. But the Sâkiyas want to clear his doubts and explain to him, " The young princes, my dear, have gone to the country" and heap courtesies upon him. A few days later, he goes away in great pomp. Just at this time, however, a slave washes the place where he has eaten with milk water¹ and cries aloud, " At this place the son of the slave Vâsabhakhattiyâ has eaten." A man belonging to the retinue of the prince who has forgotten to take his weapons and returns, hears this insulting cry, asks for its cause and when he has heard the whole story, narrates it to others. Thus, the Kosala king also learns of the descent of his wife from a slave ; in a fit of wrath, he divests her and her son of all honour and throws them in the company of slaves only. Some days

¹ Cf. above. 157/3

later Buddha comes to the place and he narrates to him the incident, complaining of the deceit practised upon him by his kinsmen. The master replies that no doubt the Sâkiyas have done wrong, they ought to hare

[p.37]

given him a girl of the same caste, but that his wife is a king's daughter and the marriage took place in the house of a khattiya king and his son also is a king's son : for—he adds—“ the old wise men acted according to the principle : *the family of the mother does not matter; the family of the father alone is important* (mâtigottam nâma kim karissati, pitigottam eva pamânam) and cites as proof the Katthahâri Jâtaka.

Have we to see in this pronouncement which is put into the mouth of Buddha, an expression of a view which was current among the people of his time, or does the prevailing conception exhibit itself in the spontaneous, unreflecting action of the king who does not look upon the son of a *dâsi* as of equal rank with him but classes him as well as his mother, as soon as he is aware of her descent from a slave, with the slaves ? The question can hardly be answered definitely ; many things seem to me to point to the prevalence of the law stated by Buddha. We meet with a tendency similar to that noticed in Buddha's pronouncement in Brahmanical law-books ;

also here the principle is expressed that—in a marriage of a higher with a lower caste—the caste of the father is the most important and seems to determine that of the son.¹ On the other hand, the view, according to which the marriage of a *dvija* with a *Sûdra* woman is considered objectionable, appears to be predominant in the law-books ; it is true, a *Sûdra* woman is allowed even to a *Brâhmana*, along with women of higher castes, but it is added that such marriages bring about with certainty the degradation of the family.² If we add to this circumstance the tendency which is manifestly noticeable in the *Jâtakas*, of preventing a degradation of the family

[p. 38] through mixture with lower castes and mention further the fact that even in Greek accounts³ the prohibition of marriage between the different classes is pointed out as a characteristic feature of Indian Society, I should believe that the king followed the general rule and especially, the traditions of his class in

¹ Manu, X, 6 : “ Sons of the twice-born by women of the next **lower caste** are of equal rank (with the father, with reference to the caste) but bear the stain attached to the mother.”

• Manu, III, 116: “ The twice-born who in their foolishness **marry women of a lower caste**, bring their families and their **descendants rapidly to the position of sūdras.**” Cf. Vasishtha I, 25-29; *Apastamba* I. 18-33.

• Cf. the quotation from *Arrian* which occurs below.

his views concerning the degradation of his wife and the son born of her. Exceptions—we can find these in the case of this proposition, if they are not already self-evident—occur, and here belongs the incident narrated in the Katthahâri Jâtaka (I. 134 sq.), namely, the installation of a wood-gatherer (Katthahârikâ) as the *aggamahesi* and the handing over of the viceregency to the illegitimate son; here belongs the story (contained in the paccuppannavatthu of Kummâsapinda Jâtaka I I I . 406) of the queen Mallikâ who is brought by the Kosala king from the house of her father and made the principal queen. There are again exceptions which maintain the principle of endogamy as the rule, but on the other hand, show that the boundaries of the caste organisation were not inviolable, at any rate, not for one who, like the king, stood above the prescriptions of caste and represented a power which at least at that time and in that part of India of which we are speaking, had not yet been rendered inert through priestly influence, namely, the political power, the State.

What concerned us hitherto in our investigation was to show that we find precisely the attributes which the caste of the Brahmanical theory has in common with modern caste and in which we must look for the essence of a

caste, in the *jâti* of the Pali texts and that we have to recognise in them—without maintaining the existence of a strict caste order—a factor of great power which lies deeply rooted in the life of society as well as of the individual.

Now the question arises, how the particular castes of that time stood, whether and how far we are justified in applying the term “ caste ” to all the numerous groups of Indian society which we come across.

CHAPTER III

THE HOMELESS ASCETICS

(j)Before we attempt to analyse the structure of the social body and to look more closely to its separate parts, we must point to a circumstance which is of great importance for the whole condition of culture in the East and especially, for the position of the dominant classes and peoples with regard to the Brahmanical caste, the circumstance, namely, that the Khattiya, no less than the Brâhmana, nay, even the people belonging to the middle class, renounced the world and lived as hermits in the forest. And indeed, we meet with this view not as an exception, not as a chance occurrence, of which we can speak as we do of an unusual, extraordinary thing. No, the practice seems to be as common with the king, with the householder as it is with the pious Brâhmana. We have, in my opinion, to see here the key to what is often called the social reform of Buddhism, what, however, in reality, is only a further development of prevailing conditions—I mean the admission of all to the Buddhistic fold irrespective of their caste.

When later, as the followers of Buddha formed an organised body and introduced special rules, the admission of people of the Buddhist faith into the order was effected through the act of initiation and this was characterised as pabbajjâ as going out, no new thing was created; and nothing that was not known to Brahmanical law—the entrance of a Brâhmana into the state of a hermit (vânaprastha) was extremely well-known—was introduced into the life of the Buddhistic order. Even before Buddha's time, the custom of escaping from the worldly state and retiring from home into the isolation of the forest, was not confined to the Brâhmanas. As he himself, a *Khattiya* of the proud family of Sâtkeya princes, exchanged the glitter and pomp of worldly life for the homeless condition of the ascetic, so other sons "of aristocratic families" followed the tendency of that time and renounced their home in order to obtain possession of "the highest perfection of a holy striving."¹ Among the disciples who followed his doctrine we find, along with young Brâhmanas, people belonging to his own station and sons of rich tradesmen and high officials. They are all to be looked upon by us not as members of a well-organised order of monks—such an order was created centuries later—but rather as nothing else than

¹ See Oldenberg, *Buddha*, p. 158.

Tâpasas on *Samanas*, whom we come across so often in the *Jâtakas* who group round the person of a teacher (*ganasatthâ*) and listen to his word.

It will perhaps be stated against me that precisely at this point the *Jâtakas* do not exhibit the actual conditions of the pre-Buddhistic period but that their authors have put the later rules of the Buddhistic order back into earlier times. For this supposition, however, there is no sufficient ground, for in the first place, the possibility that all Aryan Indians in ancient times could embrace the homeless condition appears from the Brahmanical law books themselves.¹ Then again—and this in my opinion places the correctness of the accounts in the *Jâtakas* beyond question—we find this very thing in the report of Megasthenes who was sent about the year 300 B.C., as a messenger of Seleukas to the court of Candragupta in Pâtaliputra—and thus in eastern India, the heart of Buddhism. He places at the head of the Indian society which he divides into 7 *γενή* as first *γενος* the *σοφισταί* and says of these that they again fall into two *γενή*, namely, the *βραχμαναί* and the *Σαρμαναί*. Whilst he understands by

¹ A limitation of this to Brâhmanas only follows, when in a passage of Maun in which he speaks of the homeless condition of a *dvija*, one understands by the word only a Brâhmana,

the first Brâhmanas in general—whom he, probably impelled by the fact that they, like the Samanas led the life of a hermit, puts with these in the same class—he makes the description which he gives of the *Σαρμαναι* applicable to the *Samanas* or ascetics of our text¹; above all—and this concerns us here—he applies to them the proposition which is used wrongly in Greek reports with regard to the *σοφισταί* in general, the proposition, namely, that whereas marriages between the separate castes are not allowed, nor is any change from one profession to another permissible, every man can adopt this condition.²

Also in Sanskrit literature we find instances of a non-Brâhmana entering into the ascetic state and especially, of a râjarshi, king, abdicating and becoming an ascetic. The story in the Râmâyana of the quarrel between Vasishtha and Visvâmitra

¹ Strabo, *Geographica*, Lib XV., Cap. 1,60.

² Arriani, *Indica*, Cap XII. 8. 9. Departing from this Strabo says (XV. 1. 49) that every class is assigned its special profession which cannot be exchanged for any other, that, however, the *φιλοσοφοί* were an exception, that is, they could adopt any profession. Whilst that which Arrian has said of the *σοφισταί* in general is true of the *Samanas* and not of Brâhmanas, what Strabo says is true of the Brâhmanas and not of the ascetics. These sources supplement each other and give as the result to which the Jâtakas lead, namely, that on the one hand, ascetics were recruited from all classes and on the other, the most divergent professions were foliowed by the Brâhmanas.

is well-known. In order to obtain the cow of the holy Vasishtha, king Visvamitra retires into the solitude of a forest, after handing over the reins of government to his son, where by means of severe austerities he tries to acquire mastery over his opponent. He obtains through his asceticism the weapon of the gods and attacks Vasishtha *de novo* but the latter survives this attack by reason of his rank as Brâhmana. Then the king resolves, as only a Brâhmana can defeat a Brâhmana, to attain the rank of a Brahmana. After a thousand years of severe austerities, he receives from Brahmâ, the title of a “kingly seer (râjarshi)” ; not satisfied with this, he practises further penance till he frightens the gods and at the request of these, Brahmâ raises him to the rank of a Brâhmana.

Now one cannot here properly speak of the entrance of the king into the state of an ascetic, as Visvamitra practises austerities for the sake of a special, transitory object ; but here in the Râmâyana the practice is repeatedly mentioned, by which kings when in advanced age exchanged the crown for the solitude of the forest ; thus, for example, we notice it when Lakshmana points out to his brother Râma (I I . 23, 26) that according to the custom of the old kingly seers (pûrvarâjarshivrittyâ) residence in the forest

takes place after one has left the subjects to the care of the sons, so that they protect them like children, as well as in Râma's words (II. 94.19), "This the kingly seers (râjarshayah), my ancestors, named nectar, this residence in a forest for the sake of the life after death."

Very often we meet with the form rârjashi in the Mahâbhârata. In the celebrated Sâvitri episode in the third book, Sâvitri chooses as his consort Satyavâna, the son of the blind Dyumatsena who was robbed of his kingdom and practises austerities in the forest with his [p. 43.] *wife and his son.*¹) In the ninth book it is narrated how Duryodhana voluntarily offered Yudhishtira of his own free will an uncontested kingdom, explaining that he wanted to go into the forest, clad in two animal skins (vanam eva gamishvâmi vasâno mrigacarmanî IX.31.52). Yudhishtira declines the offer and challenges him to a duel ; but after Duryodhana is defeated and his kingdom falls to Yudhishtira, the latter resolves, being himself tired of sovereignty, to practise austerities in the forest. "The wrong that has happened," so he explains to his brother Arjuna (XII. 7.37 sq:) "is expiated by virtue, by open confession, repentance, begging alms or by castigation, world-renunciation,

¹ III. 294.9 : sa bâlavatsayâ sârdham bhâryayâ prasthito vanam mahâranyam gatas câpi tapas tepe mahâvratah.

pilgrimage to sacred places or **recitation of sacred** verse. He who has renounced the world cannot sin any more, so goes the revelation. When, as the revelation teaches, a man renounces **the** world, he has neither birth nor death, then he is merged, after he has found the right path by conscious striving, in Brahma. So will I alone, **a seer in** possession of knowledge, go into the **forest** and take leave of you all."

In the later classical Sanskrit poetry, many imitations of these old stories are found, among other places, in the Raghuvamśa when it is said of Raghu that " withdrawing his heart from the sensuous world he gave the young son as a token of kingly dignity the white umbrella and went with the queen to the shades of the trees of the ascetic's forest: This was what the custom of the Ikshvâku family demanded when a man's youth was over" (III. 70). "For," so it is said in another place (VIII. 11), "the descendants of Dilîpaled in their old age, after handing over the reins of government to their virtuous sons, through extreme self-discipline the life of an ascetic, clad in the bark of trees."

Consequently, the phenomenon, although **in** Brahmanical literature it is treated as an unusual phenomenon¹ and as the custom of a

¹ One should consider that Visvâmitra seeks to attain by his penance a special object, that Dyumatsena is robbed of his kingdom,

legendary king of ancient times, and although
more frequently—as in the later

[p 44]

chapters of the Mahâbhârata—the rightness of such an act is doubted,¹ the phenomenon of a king abdicating in favour of his grown-up son and himself retiring into the solitude of the forest was not at all unknown in Brahmanical lands. What was characteristic, however, of the culture of the East, as it was reflected in the Jâtakas, was the universality which attached to the phenomenon of homeless asceticism.)

Not only did world-sick old people renounce the world but even kings who were in undisputed possession of sovereignty and in the fulness of their power; young princes preferred the severe life of the ascetic to the glitter of sovereign power; rich tradesmen gave away their riches

that Duryodhana has before his eyes the enjoyment of sovereign power and that Yudhishtira is stricken with grief at the death of his brother Karna.

¹ Cf. E. W. Hopkins, *The social and military position of the ruling caste in ancient India, as represented by the Sanskrit Epic*. In the "Journal of the American Oriental Society," Vol. 13, p. 179 sq., Hopkins speaks of the entrance of a king into the ascetic state as a change of caste. A change of caste, however, this action does **not** itself produce, especially, when, as is related of Visvamitra, **a king tries** through austerities to reach the rank of a Brâhmana. **The priestly compilers** of the epics wanted to see in the resignation of **the kingdom** and acceptance of the homeless condition, as they **looked** upon the **last** as a privilege of the Brâhmanas, an **inadmissible change of caste**.

and heads of families their wives and children in order to build a hut in the forests of the Himâlaya and to live on roots and fruits or to eke out an existence by begging alms. (The thought of the transitoriness of earthly goods, of the unworthiness of human existence supplies generally the impulse • to renounce the world. Similar narratives to those we find in the holy texts of the Buddhists about Buddha himself and his taking leave of his home,¹ we meet with even in the Jâtakas and are to some extent like these of great poetical beauty.

[p.45.] Thus, in Yuvanjaya Jâtaka (IV 119 sq.) it is narrated how the heir-presumptive one day drives early in his carriage and goes with a brilliant following to a delightful spot in the park. And as he sees dew-drops sparkling like pearls in a necklace on the leaves of the trees, on blades of grass and in the webs of the spiders he asks the driver of the carriage what they are. “ They are dew-drops, O King, which arise in cold weather,” the latter replies. In the evening, when the heir to the throne comes again, the dew has disappeared; he asks the driver, “Friend, where are the dew-drops, I don’t see them any more ?” “O King, when the sun rises they vanish and disappear in the earth.” When the prince hears this, he cries

¹ Cf. Oldenberg, Buddha, p. 105 sq.

out in grief, “ Even this life,¹ this being is like the dew-drop which hangs from the top of the blade of grass; I will take leave of my parents and become an ascetic before disease, age and death overcome me,” Thus, a dew-drop produces in him the thought of the non-reality of existence ;² he goes home to his father who sits in great pomp in the Council Hall, accosts him with reverence and requests to be allowed to adopt the homeless condition :

“The lord of the chariot-driver surrounded by friends and ministers, I adore; I will go into solitude, O great king, may the Lord permit me to do this.”

The king, however, wants him to desist and recites the second verse:

“ If you lack pleasure, I will create this for you. I will crush him who gives you pain, don't go away, O Yuvañjaya.”

To this the prince replies :

” I don't lack pleasures nor do I know anybody who hurts me ; but I want to light a light which age cannot extinguish.”

Repeated requests of his father do not succeed *in* dissuading him from his
 p.46] resolve and even to the imploring mother he replies :

¹ Jīvitasamkhârâpi, properly, “ the phenomena of life, what seems real is life.”

² “Iti ussâvabindum eva ârammanam katvâ âditte viya tayo bhava passanto,” lit. “ whilst he, starting from the dew-drops, looks upon the three states (disease, age, death) as flames.”

“ Like a dew-drop on the blade of grass at sunrise is the life of man (*i.e.*, as transitory as this); don't try to dissuade me, dear mother.”

When finally the king gives him permission, he leaves the city with his younger brother Yudhitthila; the great mass of people who accompanies them, they send back and both go to the Himâlaya and after building a hermitage at a charming place, they lead the life of a homeless ascetic ; they feed upon the roots and fruits of the forest and reach, after acquiring the highest knowledge with the help of meditation, the world of Brahma after death.

As in this narrative, a dew-drop, so in other cases (I. 138; III. 393) a grey hair, is the *ârammanam*, the cause which gives the king the thought of renouncing the sovereign power and going into the forest. In the Cullasutasoma Jâtaka (V. 177 sq.), the father of king Sutasoma whose barber has plucked a grey hair, tries to dissuade him from his resolve and points to his minor children. “If you, O dear Sutasoma, do not have so much love for your parents, see, you have sons and daughters of tender age who cannot live without you ; when they are grown up you may go into the homeless condition.” These representations, however, succeed as little in dissuading Sutasoma as the **earnest prayers**

of his pregnant wife and his seven-year-old son who clings to his neck.

In another case, the signs of the heavens show the king the transitoriness of his worldly pomp. In the Gandhâra Jâtaka (III. 364) the minister informs the king that the moon is seized by Rahu.¹ The king looks at the moon and reflects, "This moon is soiled by accidental dirt, and rendered lustreless ; my filth is this kingly pomp ; it is not, however, proper that I should become lustreless like this which is seized by Râhu. Therefore I will, like the disc of the moon in a clear sky, renounce my kingdom and lead the life of a hermit. What do I care for other people's opinion ? Released from my family and my followers, I will consult only myself and move about from place to place; this is proper for me." With the words, " Act according to your wishes," he gives the reins of Government to the ministers.

We should not be surprised at the fact that the prescription which among the Brâhmanas the law makes concerning residence in the forest as the third stage (âsrama) of life, is found, also in the Jâtakas. Less obvious is the fact that even among worldly Brâhmanas who, as we

¹ **Name** of the Demon who attacks the moon and the BUD, thereby causing their eclipses.

shall see, have often nothing in common with the proper representatives of their caste except the name, the adoption of the homeless condition is mentioned. So far as these Brâhmanas were in the service of the king, they had probably to get the permission of their lord before they could exchange their worldly state for the homeless condition ; for the king did not always agree in this way to lose his servants. Kassapa, the son of the king's house priest, reflects in the Lomasakassapa Jâtaka, " My friend has become a king and will lend me powerful help. But what should I require help for ? I will take leave of my parents and the king (or " ask their permission," *mâtâpitaro ca rājānañ ca âpucchitrâ*. III. 515) and adopt the homeless condition."

When a rich Brâhmana on an inspection of his jewel-room reads on a gold tablet the name of his ancestor by whom the properties were acquired, the thought occurs to him, "Those by whom the riches were accumulated are no more; the treasures are still there, not a single person has taken them with him during his departure. Verily, one cannot put money into a bag and take it with him to the other world." He goes to the king, begs his permission, gives away his entire wealth and goes as an ascetic to the Himalaya (IV. 7).

That even a whole Brâhmana family, including the parents and two sons, renounces worldly life is mentioned (V. 313). As the eldest son is not willing to lead the life of the householder and as the younger brother also wishes to adopt with him the homeless condition, the parents reflect, "These treat with contempt the enjoyment of the senses, though they are so young; how much more contemptuously should we then treat it; we will all together renounce the householder's life." They inform the king of their resolve, give away their entire wealth (eight hundred millions!) from which they keep only a legitimate portion for their kinsmen, set their slaves free and move out of the city to the Himâlaya.¹

Often an insight into the efforts and activities of people in one's own station, the knowledge of the deceits practised by them through greediness, makes homelessness appear to a virtuous Brâhmana more worthy than honour **and** wealth in worldly life. The young Brâhmana scholar (II. 422) who receives from his teacher, in answer to the question, How can one succeed

¹ That women, either alone or along with their own people, retire into the solitude of the forest is very often mentioned in the Jâtakas, eg. III. 882; IV. 23, 484. According to the law books, the dvija is free when he renounces worldly life to leave his wife to the care of his wons or to take her with him into the forest. Manu VI. 3. Cf. Apastamba I. 9. 22, 8-9; Vishnu LXXXXV. 3; Yajñavalkya III. 45.

in the world ? the reply that ho can do so only by intrigue and bad practices, chooses *pabbajjâ* with the words:

“ Even when a man wanders homeless, dish in hand, this is better than this immorality.”

Whilst we meet with instances in Sanskrit literature of Kshatrilas embracing the ascetic life, the preference among people for this practice of world-renunciation seems to be confined to eastern countries; here, however, it seems to prevail very much. Thus, we are told of members of aristocratic families who were fitted by their education to take part in the spiritual, life, that even they follow this practice which owes its origin principally to spiritual causes. The rich *setthi* makes over, as soon as his son can walk, all his possessions, along with his wife and child, to his younger brother, in the consciousness of the worthlessness of worldly enjoyments and the bliss of world-renunciation, and goes as an ascetic to the Himalaya (III. 300). The same thing is narrated in the Veluka Jâtaka of the members of a very rich family (*mahabhogakula* I. 245). As is natural, in these circles of householders, difficulties arise in the way of their carrying out their resolve; the relations who have to suffer in consequence of their supporter going away, try to dissuade him

in every way. Many of the Jâtnkas relate the opposition between the wish of the family head to renounce the world and the claims of the family remaining at home.¹ Thus, for instance, we read in the Bandhanâgâra Jâtaka (II. 139 sq.) “ Once upon a time, when Brahmadatta ruled in Benares, the Bodhisatta was re-born in the family of a poor *gahapati*. When he grew up, his father died and he supported his mother by working for a salary. His mother, however, quite against his will brought for him as his wife a girl of good family and died soon after. Now, his wife [p.50.] became pregnant ; he, however, knew nothing of her condition and said to

¹ Of an inner conflict between one's Conviction of the worthlessness of the world and the duties towards one's dependents which in our view must arise when, on account of the bread-winner going away, the family is thrown into poverty, no trace is found ; such duties don't exist for the Buddhists or are subordinate to the strong desire for emancipation. In this respect Buddhism comes in contact with the views of the older Christian Church. Hieronymus writes a letter to Heliodor, urging him to leave his family and become a monk. “ Even if your little nephew thrown his arms round your neck, if your mother tears her hair and cloth find beats her breast which sucked you, even if your father throws himself upon the ground before you——move even the body of your father, flee with tearless eyes to the sign of the cross. In this case, cruelty is the only virtue.” “ For,” says the same Hieronymus in another letter, how many monks have lost their souls, because they had pity for their father and mother !” Cf. Eicken, *Geschichte und System der mittelalterlichen Weltanschauung*, Stuttgart, 1887, p. 125.

her one clay, " My wife, you must see to it that you can maintain yourself by work, for I will renounce the world." "O Lord, I am pregnant; wait till the child is born and you have seen it, and then become an ascetic." He was pleased with this and as soon as she was confined, he said. " Now, my dear, as you are happily confined, I will go into the homeless condition." " Wait till the child is weaned." And she became pregnant for the second time.

" If I wait for her word," reflected the man, " I shall never be able to come away. I will flee and become an ascetic without saying a word to her." So he said nothing to her, got up one night and fled. The city guards arrested him. " I have to support a mother," he cried, " let me go." In this way, he got his release and went straight to the Himâlaya, when the main gate was opened. Here he lived as a hermit, became possessed of supernatural powers and enjoyed the pleasures of meditation. " The fetters of wife and child, the fetters of passion, so difficult to break, I have broken," so he shouted triumphantly and recited the verses:

"The wise have not named the fetters which are made of iron, wood or rope, but the love of precious stones and ear-rings, of wife and child.

These fetters they have called strong, rooted to the ground, broad,¹ difficult to break; when they have broken these, the wise men wander, free from passion, desire and earthly happiness."

After the Bodhisatta in this way gives vent to his feelings without disturbing his meditation, he entered the world of Brahma.

Similar domestic difficulties a potter encounters who wants to exchange his profession for the life of an ascetic (III. 381). It appears in all [these](#) stories where the question is of the retirement of people of a lower class into the ascetic life, that there is some irony which rests upon this, that in the later Buddhist society such *pahbajitâs*, although no doubt they had the right to be initiated, were not considered to have attained full asceticism. Only rarely in the Pali texts are people of a lower caste spoken of as members of the Buddhist order,² and as this represents according to its external organisation only a development of pre-Buddhist asceticism, it is probable that even among ascetics the lower castes were only represented in exceptional cases. No doubt in the Jâtakas we come across even Candâlas who adopt the homeless condition (IV. 392);

¹ *Sithila*, properly, "loose"; the meaning is, the fetters sit comfortably but are difficult to loosen.

² See Oldenberg, *Buddha*, p. 159.

but it seems to me, judging from their isolated **and** low position which excludes them from all communion with the Aryan people and as a consequence of this, from all participation in spiritual life that the actual existence of such holy men is extremely doubtful.

For it is in the spiritual region that we have to seek the cause of this asceticism ; the practice of world-renunciation, the retirement into the homeless condition is only the outward expression of that striving for knowledge and for emancipation which dominated large circles of society of eastern India in Buddha's time. Neither the study of the holy scripture nor occupation with religious things in general was in that time restricted to the learned Brahmanas ; other classes and professions took part in this search for truth, in the solution of the highest questions of metaphysics; among these we have in the front line the *Khattiyas*.

CHAPTER IV

THE RULING CLASS

It will, however, be well before we examine more closely the question of the participation of the *khattiya* in the spiritual activities of that time, to make clear who the *khattiyas* were. We are accustomed to identify the Pali expression corresponding in Sanskrit to the word *kshatriya* with “ warrior ” and thus

[p.52]

characterise the second highest caste in the Brahmanical theory as “ warrior caste.” If we, however, freeing ourselves from the influence of the theory consider the data relating to the *kshatriyas* contained in the epics, then we become aware that the expression “ warrior ” applies only in a certain sense to them, that we have rather to understand by a *kshatriya* a member of the ruling class which includes the king, his great lords and vassals, along with the higher portions of the army.¹ In a still more narrow sense is the concept of the *khattiya* of the Pali texts to be understood ; it corresponds to the Vedic *râjanya* and is applied to the descendants of the victorious classes under whose leadership the Aryan people acquired their new dwelling-places in

¹ Cf. Hopkins, 1. i.c., p. 73.

the Gangetic lands and to the conquerors of the aboriginal peoples who in their fight **with** foreign invaders maintained their independence. According to this, there belong to the *khattiya* class the kings standing at the head of the great monarchies of the east with their kinsmen—the rulers of Kosala, Magadha, Videha, etc.,—further, the ruling princely houses of the small bordering states of this kingdom, such as the family of the Sâkyas in Kapilavatthu, the Mallas of Kusinârâ and Pâvâ, the Licchavis of Vesâli; on the other hand, the holders of high political and military offices do not belong as such to this class but only so far as they are connected with ruling houses. In the time of war, probably, there fell to the *khattiyas* who were also invested with the highest offices in the army, the chief part in the conduct of the war and so far they could be looked upon as “warriors *par excellence*”; but it would be a mistake to suppose that the *khattiyas* only held military offices or that the army was composed only of *khattiyas*.¹

¹ Of passages in which *khattiya* is used as a synonym for *râjan*, I have made the following list from the Jâtakas. II. 166; III. 106; 154; V. 99 sq., 112. When the talk is of the warriors of the king, the Pali texts have other expressions, such as *balekâye* (III. 319) or *yodhâ* (Mahâvagga I. 40.2); even the esteemed military leaders (*senânâyake mahânmtte*) hardly belonged to the *khattiya* caste—otherwise, they would have been described as such—but rather to the *râjanhogga* or *râjañña* class of which we shall speak later.

The *khattiyas* are the representatives of political power; they symbolise the [p.53.] idea of a community which stands above the family, above the caste, the idea, namely of the State. If, however, this is so, the question naturally arises: Are we justified in combining all *khattiyas* into a unity, to which the word "caste" can be applied? In no way, if we take the word in its modern sense. The conflicting political interests of the different ruling families would alone prevent their union into an organised body ; they must render absolutely illusory the exercise of judicial rights by which offences against caste prescriptions were punished by excommunication from the caste or in other ways. But even a caste in the sense of the Brahmanical theory we cannot properly see in the *khattiya* of the Pali texts as it lacks, for reasons just mentioned, the compactness of it. Certain customs, especially, those relating to connubium and the prohibition of all impurity, may be noticed in certain ruling families which led to separation from the rest of the population ; but these customs—for whose existence, moreover, only isolated evidence can be found in the *Jâtakas*—do not seem to have the authority of laws the observance of which was enjoined upon the *khattiyas* and whose transgression was made punishable. The king,

however, according to the Brahmanical lawbooks, **stands** above the caste for this reason, that **the** prescriptions relating to impurity do not **apply** to him. Probably, the remaining non-ruling members of the ruling houses were more subject to rules relating to marriage and prohibition of impurity than the king himself ; instances which show the actual occurrence of cases in which the transgression of caste-rules was visited with some form of punishment, especially, with excommunication from the caste, are very rare in the Jâtakas.

The *khattiyas* of ancient times formed, in my opinion, like the dynasties of princes in other lands, a class by themselves, a class with only this difference, that it acquired in India to a greater extent than elsewhere the character of a caste or rather gradually acquired in course of time this character. For to the distinct consciousness of rank, the prominent characteristic of the ruling class in other lands, there was joined in India the customs, probably handed down from ancient times, which made marriage within the jâti the rule and tried to prohibit all impurity arising from mixture with the lower classes and even contact with them, and **thus led** to a specially sharp, caste-like division.

We have already mentioned a significant **instance** to which further examples **from the**

Jâtakas can be joined. It is never heard **that** a Khattiya is addressed by his name or in **the** second person by any person belonging to the lower classes.¹ The mother of King Udaya whom the barber Gangamâla calls by his family name (kulanamena, *i.e.*, by the name of his father Brahmadatta) cries angrily. "This filthy son of a barber, of low origin (hînajacca, I I I . 152), forgets himself so much that he calls my son, lord of earth, who is a *Khattiya* by caste, Brahmadatta." Even with regard to a Brâhmana the *Khattiya* feels his superiority so much that king Arindama calls Sonaka, the son of a *purohita*, a man of low birth (hînajacca V. 257). Himself he calls
asambhinnakhattiyavamse jâta, born

[p.55.] in a family with an uninterrupted succession of princes, *i.e.*, in a family the members of which both on their father and their mother's side were recognised as *khattiyas* The *khattiyas* attached great importance to purity of blood and did not consider any person who through his mother or through his father belonged to another

¹ Even in the epics the rule holds that younger persons or **persons** of the same age can be addressed "thou," but that a man must **address his** superior (older) neither by 'thou' nor by his real name. Mhbh, XII 193 25, twamkâram nâmadheyam ca jyeshthânâm parivarjayet. Cf. Hopkins I.e.p. 25 note.

² For a Brâhmana to be called hînajacca even by a **king is rare**. A **similar** idea is found in Kalpasûtra, Jinacarita \$17 to which Prof. Jacobi has drawn my attention. Brâhmana families **are her© placed** in the same **class** with lower, poor, begging **families**.

caste, of pure Wood, even if they looked upon **him** as of the same status as themselves. **Hence also** the repeatedly occurring expression used of a king (I.177 ; IV. 42 ; V. 123): *mahâraja mâtapitusu khattiya*, "O great king, by father and mother, a khattiya."

If now even in our eyes, the *khattiyas* of the Pali texts have a caste-like character, on account of their consciousness of their high status and their attaching great value to purity of blood, we should not be surprised if they were looked upon by the authors of the Buddhist canon as a " caste." Too much influenced by the Brahmanical theory, too much inclined by virtue of their being Indians to schematise, with the result that they made distinctions between class, caste, common status and profession, they saw in the *Khattiyas* as much a caste as in the *Brâhmanas*. Consequently, everywhere in the Pali texts, *khattiyas* are spoken of as a " caste " ; along with the *Brâhmanas*, *Vessas* and *Suddas*, they are mentioned and mentioned as the first in the caste-series.

This circumstance that in the enumeration of the castes the *khattiyas* **are** mentioned **first**¹ (III. 19 ; IV. 205, 303) is not a matter of

¹ *Digha Nikâya* III 1.15, even in the month of a *Brâhmana*. This no doubt fits in ill with the following words: " Of these (four castes), three, kh. v. and s., exist only to serve the *Brâhmanas*."

secondary importance. As from Brahmanical sources which place the Brâhm̐nas always at the head¹ whenever the castes are enumerated, not only the claim of the Brâhmanas to be the best caste but also their real position as such within the specially Brahmanical culture-sphere, can be inferred, so we have, in my opinion, in this assigning of the premier position to the Khattiyas a reaction brought about by the view which prevails in the eastern Buddhistic lands and by the balance of power which rules here. The superior position of the *khattiyas* in the eastern countries and the corresponding decline of Brahmanical influence present themselves to us with irresistible necessity when we study the Pali literature; even the Jâtakas affirm the correctness of this view.

In the introduction to the Jâtakas, in the Nidânakathâ which in a legendary form contains the history of Buddha before his last birth, as well as his life-history before the attainment of his *Buddha* condition, it is narrated that the future Buddha reflects in which caste he will be re-born. "The Budhas," he thinks, "have never been born in the Vessa or the Sudra caste, but they have been born in one or other of the

¹ Cf. Weber, *Collectanea über die Kastenverhältnisse in den Brâhmana and Sûtra*. Indische Studien, Vol. 10, p. 37.

two highest classes, the *Khattiya* caste or the *Brâhmana* caste; and because *just now the Khattiya caste is the highest* ¹ (I. 49), I will take my rebirth in this caste.” One should never, however, attach much importance to this sentence as well as to the prominence given to *Khattiya* monks in other passages of the *Nidânakathâ* and in the explanation of the commentator mentioned above (§ 10 of Chap. 2), because the *Nidânakatha*,² in which really we see no portion of the *Jâtakas* but rather a tradition independent of it and externally connected with it, as well as the [p.57.] commentary is of later origin and because its author probably imagines that the caste to which Buddha actually belonged is the highest. On the other hand, it must be admitted that such haughtiness as is exhibited in the words of *Arindama* (V. 257) already quoted, is hardly thinkable if the *Khattiya* did not as a matter

¹ The same view is found expressed in very similar words in the [Lalita Vistara Ch. III](#): “ The Bodhisattva was not born in lower families (*hînakuleshu*), *Candâla* families or in the families of Automakers or wheelwrights (*rathakara*), or in *Pokkasa* families. Rather he has appeared only in two castes (*kuladvaye*), in the *Brâhmana* caste and the *Kshatriya* caste. When the *Brâhmanas* are held in great esteem in the world he appears in *Brâhmana* families ; when the *Kahatriyas* stand in high esteem, he is born in *Kshatriya* families. Now-a-days, their monks hold the *Kshatriyas* in the highest esteem ; therefore, the *Bodhisattvas* appear in the *Kshatriya* caste.

² I, 57: *sace pi Buddhho bhavissati khattiyasamaneh' eva purakkhataparivârîto vicarissati*

of fact feel himself as of higher rank than the Brâhmanas. This perfectly agrees with the description given in the Digha Nikâya of the interview between the Brâhmana Pokkharasâdi and the Kosala King Pasenadi : “ The latter ”—so it is said (TIT. 26)—“ never allows the Brâhmana who is a dependant of his to see his face ; even when he consults him he speaks to him through a curtain.” This is further in agreement with the complaint of the Brâhmana Ambattha regarding the conduct of the haughty Sâkyas,¹ The complaint is as follows : He came one day to Kapilavatthu and entered the hall of the Sâkyas where they were seated upon high chairs. At his entrance ho was pushed back with the finger² amidst a loud outburst of laughter, and indeed they made merry at his expense and nobody asked him to take his seat.

This account, borrowed from the incidents of everyday life, too circumstantial to be considered a purely fictitious example, an evidence of the haughtiness of the members of the ruling

¹ Even the Jâtakas narrate this haughtiness of the Sâkyas, thus (I. 88) : Sâkiyâ nâma mânajâtikâ mânatthaddhâ ; IV. 145 ; ime **Sakyâ** nâma jâtim nissâya atimânino.

² The “ pushing with the finger ” (angulipatodaka) relates to the offence noted in Pâtimokkha. Patitnokkha : Pâcittiya 52. Acc. to the **explanation** given in Suttavibhanga it consists in this, that every one touches the body of every one else in order to make him laugh. Cf, **Vinaya Pitaka ed.** by U. Oldenberg, Vol. 3, p. 84; Vol. 4, p. 110 sq.

class in their dealings with the Brâhmanas, seems to me of no less weight than the later, (III. 1.24) more theoretical discussions between Buddha and Ambattha relating to the question whether a son born of the union of a Khattiya's son with a Brâhmana girl was legitimate or not.

The young Brâhmana must accept the answer that a son born of such a mixed marriage would get his seat and water among the Brâhmanas, that he would participate in the sacrifices and in the meals, that he would be instructed and that he could marry their women, but that, on the other hand, the *Khaltiyas* would never take him up into their caste. For on his mother's side, he is not of equal rank with them. Similarly, should the two castes look upon a son born of the union of a Brâhmana's son and a Khattiya's daughter; here also the Brâhmanas should look upon him as of equal rank, while the Khattiyas cannot regard him as their equal, on account of his not being of the same rank on his father's side. Even this Ambattha has to admit that the Brâhmanas, when they have driven one of their members for any cause out of the kingdom or town and disgraced him, cannot take him back into their society but can safely allow a Khattiya excommunicated from his caste to participate in meals, in sacrifice and in instruction, and even to marry

amongst them. “ Consequently, O Ambattha,” cries out Buddha at the end of the discourse, “even when a Khattiya has fallen into the lowest depths, he is still the best and the Brâhmanas are (in comparison with him) low,” and adds the verse which occurs repeatedly in Buddhistic Suttas : “ The *Khattiya* is considered the highest by men who attach value to family ” (*Khattiya settho jane tasmim yo gottapatisârino*).

One cannot help noticing, while reading this paragraph, the influence of a subjective bias on the part of the Buddhistic author; it is not to be supposed that orthodox Brâhmanas, proud of their caste, recognised even in Buddhistic lands a Khattiya excommunicated from, and despised by his caste as a Brâhmana and treated him as such ; such cases may occasionally have taken place ; in its general form, Ambattha’s admission does not seem to me at all acceptable.

But even when we ascribe a great portion of the pre-eminence of the Khattiyas appearing in the Pali texts to the monks who were
[p.59.] ill-disposed towards Brahmanism, there remains enough ground for supposing an actual superiority of the ruling class.¹ And this

¹ Chalmers explains (*Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 1894, p. 342) the pre-eminence of the Khattiya caste in the Pitaka by saying that this confirms the old tradition which represents the original conception, according to which “ the kingly classes as they arose first held the

superiority of the Khattiyas appears not only in the social domain which was assured to them through their material power itself; just in the spiritual region, the princely families of the East fought for supremacy with Brâhmanas engaged in the ceremonial of the sacrifice as well as with grossly worldly Brâhmanas. We need not confine ourselves to Buddhistic literature to prove this ; it is a known fact that in the Upanishads kings appear very often as teachers of Brâhmanas.¹ This circumstance makes the supposition well-grounded that the deep thoughts of the Upanishad doctrine which

supreme position in Indian society ” and that it represents the transition period in which the Brahmanical claim to pre-eminence, although advanced with great arrogance, was not universally recognised, at least not from the side of the Khattiyas. If this is true, then the Brâhman : texts which assert the superiority of the priestly classes as an indisputable fact represent a later stage of evolution of Indian culture, which assumption will not, however, do. The difference between the account in the Pali texts and that in the Brahmanical ones lies partly in the real balance of power which in the east was never on the side of the priestly class and partly in the subjective bias of the Buddhistic authors, on the one hand who oppose Brahmanism and that of the Brâhmanas, on the other, who worship their caste inordinately.

¹ Deussen, *System des Vedanta*, Lpz. 1883, p. 18 : “ Numerous indications point to this, that the proper guardians of this thought were not originally so much the priestly classes who were content with ceremonials as the *Khattiyas*; over and over again, we meet in the Upanishads with the situation that the Brâhmana asks for instruction from the *Kshatriya* which the latter, after all manner of reflexions on the impropriety of such a procedure, gives him.” Cf. also the essay of Garbe, *Die Weisheit des Brahmanen oder des Krisgers ?* in “Nord und Süd,” 1895.

culminated in the identity of the Âtman, the All-One, with one's own self did not [p.60.] proceed from Brahmanical circles but that we have to look for the intellectual originators of this doctrine in the ranks of the *Khattiyas*. To them also fell not a small share in the further development of the ideas contained in the Upanishads, in the building up of the doctrine of the migration of souls and emancipation, and after the ground had been prepared, through a growing influence of pessimistic views, for a doctrine of salvation which showed the way out of the painful circles of the migration of souls, it was reserved for a Khattiya to show this path, namely, Gotama of the family of the Sâkyas of Kapilavatthu.

Moreover, we can strengthen the proposition that there fell to the ruling class an essential portion of the duty of solving the problems which agitated all minds before and in Buddha's time by the proof—without this it would probably have only an aerial foundation—that, according to the accounts of the Jâtakas, the Khattiya of the eastern lands enjoyed a spiritual culture similar to that of the Brahmana. It is true that, in accordance with the law **books even** in the distinctly Brahmanical¹ lands, the

¹ Gautama XI. 3; Manu VII. 43.

three Vedas were prescribed for the king; as a matter of fact, however, this prescription, as the epics show, was purely theoretical; the knowledge of the Veda which is demanded of a prince, relates evidently to the *dhanurveda*, "the Veda of the bow," archery, the science of war.¹ On the other hand, there occur various passages in the Jâtakas which leave no room for doubt that the sons of princes had to devote, like the Brâhmana youths, a certain time of their life to religious studies. In the Gâmanicanda Jâtaka the king himself gives the prince instruction for seven years in the three Vedas and in all worldly duties (*tayo vede sabbañ ca loke kattabbam. II. 297*). Generally, the prince is sent to a Brâhmana and is taught by him. The Vedas are not always mentioned

distinctly as the subject of the [p.61.] studies to which the Brâhmana introduces the young princes ; what is said, on the contrary, most generally is that the prince learnt the sciences (*sippâni II. 2*) or "the science" (*sippam. II. 278*). Other passages make it appear probable that in this concept of *sippa* the three Vedas are included. Thus we read in the Dhonasâkha Jâtaka: "Princes and Brâhmana youths from all parts

¹ Cf, Hopkins, 1. c. p. 108 sq.

of India learnt the science from him (*khattiyu-mânavâ ca brâhmanamânavâ ca tass' eva santike sippam ugganhimsu*. I I I . 158). Even the son of the King of Benares learnt the Vedas from him." Similarly, it is said in the Thusa Jâtaka : "The Bodhisatta was a world-renowned teacher in Takkasilâ. and instructed many princes and young Brâhmanas in the science (*bahû rajakumâre ca brahmanakumâre ca sippam vâcesi*. I I I . 122 . Even the son of the King of Benares went to him at the age of sixteen and learnt the three Vedas and all the sciences " (*tayo vede sabbasippâni ca*). So also in the Dummedha Jâtaka, mention is made at first of the instruction of sixteen-year-old princes in general (*solasavassapadesiko hutvâ Takkasilâyam sippam ugganhitvâ*. I. 259), and then there are mentioned in detail, as subjects of study, the three Vedas and eighteen branches of knowledge ¹ (*tinnam vedânam pâram gantvâ athârasannam vijjatthânânam nipphattim pâpuni*). We shall have therefore to understand by *mante*,² which the Brâhmana learnt

¹ On the *athârasa vijjalthânâni*, see the remark on the discussion concerning the study of the Brâhmanas in the eighth chapter.

² In this sense the expressions *mante* and others are used in the Tittira Jâtaka, where it is said of the partridge that it listens, while the teacher teaches his pupils the *mante* and that in this way it learnt the three Vedas (*âcariyassa mânavânam mante vâcentassa sutvâ tayo pi vede ugganhi*. H I . 537.)

in Takkasîlâ, and which he then, himself a world-renowned teacher, introduces to the young princes and Brâhmanas in Benares, very probably the Vedic hymns.

The following point also I might make good, and that is, that the young *Khattiyas* did not simply outwardly pursue the study of the Vedas, which, according to the law-books, is a duty binding upon all the "twice-born." In all places where the question is of the education of the *Khattiyas*, the age at which the youth leaves his paternal home and goes to his teacher is universally given as the sixteenth year of life (I. 259, 262, 273; II. 2, 87, 277; III. 122). If the young prince had up to then been instructed in his father's house in the elementary sciences and physical exercise, there followed, on the attainment of maturity, the higher spiritual culture, the religious study.¹ When it is explained in the *Gâmanicanda Jâtaka* (II. 297) that a prince who has been instructed by his father for seven years in the three Vedas, is only seven

¹ In contrast with this, the fulfilment of the duty of studying the Veda is looked upon in the epics as a purely external form. The education of the young noble seems here to end with the sixteenth year and in any case, it is inconceivable how a boy at this age not only attained perfection in the use of arms but also fortified his memory with the collection of hymns of one of the three Vedas. cf. Hopkins, i, page 109 sq.

years old at the time of his father's death, we **have** to do with a marvellous child, a true prince of fiction,¹ whilst the remaining passages give us throughout the impression of a plain narrative.

As the place where the young princes go for their studies Takkasilâ invariably mentioned. The town, in Sanskrit Takshasilâ,, lies in Gandhâra land, in north-west India, and thus, far from the centres of Buddhistic culture. It seems clear that at the time to which our source refers this Takkasilâ, was the centre of the spiritual life of India, a high school of Brahmanism, greater in importance than even Benares, for it is repeatedly mentioned that the kings of Kâsî send their sons to the distant Takkasilâ for study. It sounds improbable when we read of such journeys of young princes mentioned at the foot of the page² (II. 277), and we are

¹ Probably, the Mandavyakumâra is to be placed in the same category, of whom it is said (IV. 379) that he was taught by Brâhmanas the three Vedas from his seventh or eighth year.

² All that the king gives his sixteen-year-old son in a pair of sandals with simple soles, a sunshade made of leaves and 1,000 kahâpanas, an equipment which cannot be called extravagant, when we learn that the money is not for boarding expenses but has to be handed over untouched to the teacher who asks the new-comer about the honorarium (acariyabhaga) after he has learnt his position and family (II. 277 sq. *Of. V. 467*).

inclined to ascribe their origin to the imagination of the narrator who knew the town probably only by name. Still one should consider that even in other Pali texts, Takkasilâ, is mentioned as a great seat of learning and the destination of youths with a thirst for knowledge. Thus, we have Mahavagga VIII. 1.6, where it is explained that in Takkasilâ a world-renowned physician lived, to whom the young Jîvaka went from Râjagaha in order to learn his art. It seems to me therefore that there is no reason to compel us to mistrust the words in which the narrator praises the pedagogic wisdom of the kings of ancient times: "They sent"—so it is said in Tilamutthi Jâtaka (II. 277)—"their sons, although in their own city there lived a world-renowned teacher, to a great distance over the borders of the kingdom for learning the sciences, and they thought, in this way, their pride and haughtiness will be broken, they will learn to bear heat and cold and learn also the ways of the world."

CHAPTER V

THE HEAD OF THE STATE

If our proposition is right, that by the *Khattiyas* of the Pali texts only the ruling families and not a nobility in possession of land and important military or political offices, are to be understood, it is clear that this class is only represented by its principal representative, the *râjan*. Apart from the mention of *Khattiyas* in general, very little is said of the rest of the members of the ruling class ; only, the viceroy, the *uparâjan*, appears occasionally by the side of the king, whilst this latter stands in the Jâtaka—one would like to say everywhere—in the centre of action.¹

"The king is the head of men" (*râjâ mukham manussânam*)—this oft-recurring expression in the Pali texts (*Sutta Nipâta*, p. 107 ; *Mahâvagga* VI. 35. 8), the counter-statement in relation to the Brahmanical doctrine, " The Brâhmana is the head of all this " (*Satapatha Brâhmana* III. 9. 1,14)—finds, as it were, an illustration

¹ That this phenomenon has its origin solely in the **pre-eminent social** position of the king, cannot certainly be maintained ; **this is partly** explained by the fact that for a story " the king " is a **specially favourite figure**.

in the Jâtakas. The fabulous pomp with which we think the royal court of an Oriental despot to be surrounded, covers also here the king. "After his entry into the city"—so the Pañcagaru Jâtaka (I. 470) describes the royal entry of a prince—"he went to the spacious hall of the palace and took his seat in godly pomp upon a throne ornamented with precious stones, over which the white umbrella was spread ; surrounding him, there stood, adorned with all their ornaments, the ministers, the Brâhmanas, the *Gahapati* etc., and the princesses, whilst sixteen thousand dancing girls skilled in dancing, singing and music, sang and played, so that the palace resounded like an ocean with the roar of which was mixed the noise of thunder.

Corresponding to this magnificent exterior, there was not, as in the Indian courts of to-day, an inner want of power; the *râjan* of that time is not only "the magnificent, shining in royal pomp," he is, as his name signifies, in the first place,¹ "the ruling one." The

[p.65.] Brahmanical authorities, no doubt, especially, the lawbooks, see in the king in

¹ As the root from which *râjan* is to be derived, Aug. Fick (*Verylei. chendes Worterbuch* 4th Edition. Part I. p. 117) indicates *rex* with the meaning "stretch." To this root are to be traced, among others, the Sanskrit *râj*, to rule, Greek *ῥαίνω* to stretch, Lat. *rego*, to direct, Goth, *uf-rak-jan*, to stretch oat, Mhd. *recken*. Sanskr. *râj*, *râjan*, consequently, denotes, exactly like the Latin *rex*, the Gallic *rue* in *Ambio-rix*,

several ways only "an appendage of the priests"; according to these the king is only there to supply the Brâhmanas with what they require.¹ It is different with the Jâtakas. Of a general control of the priestly caste over the administration, no traces are, in my opinion, to be found here or in other Pali literature. Where we meet with instances of a predominant influence on the part of the Brâhmanas, the reason is to be sought in the individual circumstances of the king and his spiritual advisers, especially, the royal house-priest, the *purohita*.

In general, the position of the king in the Jâtakas is the same as that in the older portions of ancient Indian epics, unaffected by priestly organisation. Here as there the political and military power rests with the king who is anything but a tool in the hands of the priests; for the power of the latter is here also purely personal. The Brâhmanas are dependent upon the king, from him they

the Gothic *reiks*, originally, "director, guido." The meaning "shine" from Skr. *râj* seems to have developed as a secondary phenomenon. At any rate, the proposition enunciated by Georg Curtius (*Kleine Schriften*, Leipzig 1886, Part I, p. 65)—*Rajan* denotes, "according to the derivation by the Indians, what shines, that which shows princely pomp" and this is "the most external and superficial name and one which does not touch the essence but only the appearance of royal power"—**teems** to have no real basis.

¹ Cf. Hopkins 1. c. p. 72.

receive their property in the shape of cattle **and** land which serves only to raise particular individuals to distinction but not the whole caste.¹

Just as in the epic, through the varnish which the priestly authors give to the original picture and by which they soften down its colour, the picture of the old unrestrained king appears who lets himself be guided by nothing except his own, often extremely selfish desires, so also the king shows a double face in the Jâtakas which is to be traced to the Buddhistic account of the original legends. To some extent he assumes the virtues of a pious layman obeying the moral law. The ten duties of the king (dasarâjadhamme) mentioned in different ways (III. 274, 320) are nothing else than prescriptions of the general Buddhistic

¹ Soo Hopkins l. e. p. 72. The later priestly author of the epic represents naturally the relation between the king and the priest differently. According to him, their relationship is one of mutual dependence. When moreover, Hopkins (p. 152) quotes Mahâbharata V. 37.62 sq. as a proof of this where the power of the king is represented as five-fold and his chief strength as lying in his wisdom and where it is further said : And this wisdom is the hoard of the priests : it is to be remembered that one cannot read so much in the original source. We meet with exactly the same words in the Jâtakas (V. 120), where an **auxiliary** meaning which might refer to the peculiar position of the priest as advisor of the king, is inadmissible. The verses are only a proverbial, everywhere current garb of the thought that the king **can** do nothing with physical strength and riches when he lacks intelligence.

morality applicable to all lay disciples :—

Giving alms, a moral course of life, sacrifice, truthfulness, mildness, self-denial, forgiveness, not to cause any pain to anybody, patience, a yielding disposition.

If the king follows these prescriptions as a rule of conduct, this necessarily takes much from his character as an absolute despot. As a matter of fact, with the gradual spread of Buddhism, the teachings of Buddha came to be not without influence upon the conduct of kings : Candragupta's grandson Asoka who ruled in the third century B. C, "the god-beloved king Piyadasi," as he calls himself in his stone edicts, shows himself here as a ruler who in several respects comes close to the ideal picture given in the Jâtakas.

This ideal of a virtuous Buddhistic layman, the king in the old stories does not always follow. Very often we see in him an unrestrained tyrant guided by his own whims and caprices, " who oppresses and puts down his subjects by punishments, taxes, torture and robbery, as one pounds sugar in a sugar mill, who is as odious to them as a particle of dust in the eye, as a particle of sand in the rice or as a thorn that has pierced the hand " (II. 240). To the virtues mentioned under *Dasarâjadharme* of the idealised ruler there stand in opposition as many vices;

[p.67]

these form, as it were, a legend on the reverse side of the coin, the side which depicts the true picture of the king—drunkenness and cruelty (in the Khantivâdi Jâtaka, I I . 3919; in the Culladhammapâla Jâtaka, I I I . 178 sq.), corruptibility (in the Bharu Jâtaka, I I . 169 sq.), untruthfulness and unrighteousness (in the Cetiya Jâtaka, I I I . 454 sq.). Neither obedience to the written laws,¹ nor to the customs that have become law through tradition seems to have prevented the king from realizing his whims and desires. Only the virtuousness of his counsellors in spiritual and worldly matters (atthadhammâtnusâsaka amacca), whose spiritual superiority sometimes (as in the Kukku Jâtaka, I I I . 317 sq.) triumphs over the weaknesses of the ruler, might be in a position to curb his arbitrariness and tyranny. Where this opposite force was absent and the

¹ Written laws are mentioned often in the Jâtakas- In the Tundila Jataka the Bodhisatta after the death of the king of Benares causes a book to be written "on the right decision" (vinicchaye potthakam likhâpotvâ I I . 292) and exhorts the people to see this book for the decision of law suits. In the Tesakuna Jâtaka, the ministers make the succession devolve upon the *senâpati*, he gives up the royal dignity, causes the "doctrine of truthfulness" to be written on a gold tablet (vinicchayadhammam suvannapatte likhâpetvâ V. 126) and orders the people to form their decisions in accordance with it. It may remain a disputed question whether we can infer from this mention of "law books" and "law tablets" in the Jâtakas the existence of written laws in earlier pro-Buddhistic times; none of these passages has any reference to the purpose of this story and both can be conceived as **later** additions.

ministers or the *purohita* only helped to carry out the desires of their ruler, there often arose circumstances which forced the people to take recourse to the only method available, namely, force, open rebellion. In the Padakusalamânava Jâtaka (III. 501 sq.) there is probably a germ of history; in spite of its legendary garb it may have preserved the memory of actual facts. It is there narrated how a young Brâhmana after he has discovered by magic the treasures stolen and concealed by the king and his *purohita* calls the king a thief in the presence of the assembled people and cries out:

"May the householders and citizens assembled here listen to me ! What should be water is fire, where safety is expected, from there comes danger.

The king plunders the land as also the Brâhmana, the *purohita*. Be on your guard; from your protector is your evil generated."

The people understand that the king who should protect them is himself a thief and in order to throw the blame from off his shoulders, has hidden the treasure and tries to discover the thief; they determine to kill the bad king so that he may not plunder them any more. **With** sticks and hammers they go out and beat **the** king and the *purohita* till they are dead.

The young Brâhmana is elected king and placed in power.

Another example of such a violent removal of the unrighteous king is found in the Saccamkira Jâtaka (I. 326). Here also the king is driven out of the town by the enraged Khattiyas, Brâhmanas and other citizens and in his place a Brâhmana is installed king.

Whether in this arbitrary, capricious and vicious despot of the Jâtakas we have to look for the true picture of the *râjan* of the older Buddhistic age, cannot be determined with certainty. Individual dispositions for giving the ruler unlimited power, now exclusively for his own ends, now for the good of his subjects come as much into view—though it should not be forgotten that we have to do not only in the literature but also in the history of India more with types than with individuals—as the attempt of the narrator to give the hoary legends as much an antiquated and primitive character as possible. In any case, this very little flattering picture of the *râjan* seems to me to come nearer the truth than the portrait of the ruler as sketched in other places and idealised under the influence of Buddhistic morality.

[p 69.]

The already-mentioned ten duties of the **king** give us, little as they contain a true picture

of the king, no idea of the essence of the kingly power, of the obligations or functions of the *râjan*, because they, as already said, contain universal prescriptions of morals applicable to the whole Buddhistic laity. From these *Dasarâjadhhamme* the special obligations of the king demanded by his position as ruler are essentially different; the traditional duties of the ruler to which the kings of the *Jâtakas* also are subject, consist above all in the protection of the subjects from external and internal enemies and the safety of their person and property, as assured by punishment of all violation of these.

Frequent wars seem, even in the period described in the *Jâtakas*, to give the king an opportunity to exercise his duty as protector of the people. It is true he is no more the robber and plunderer, as the oldest epic narrative depicts,¹ who earns his livelihood by plundering expeditions ; he lives no more permanently in the borders of his land, always ready to fall upon his neighbour, but lives with his court in a fortified town in the centre of his territory supported by regular taxes from the people. The people live in peace in their new residences and have the frontiers strongly protected ; gradually, with growing civilization

¹ Cf. Hopkins, 1. c, p. 76.

there come other interests into the foreground as the king's cares: the land is made fertile, cities are built, trade and commerce flourish. Nevertheless, there is no lack of warlike expeditions which are caused partly by the quarrels of the neighbouring kingdoms—as between Kosala and KM (I. 262, 409)—but mostly by the rebellions of the intermediate bordering tribes. Of such insurrections we read very often (I. 437; II. 74); the aboriginal tribes driven into the mountains and probably subjugated only in name, gave the Aryan invaders surely much to do. Not

[p.70]

always the troops stationed in the frontiers (paccante thitayodhâ) are sufficient to quell the rebellion. After fighting several battles with the rebels, as narrated in the Bandhana-mokkha Jâtaka (who are called *cord* robbers), they send information to the king that they cannot carry on the war. Then the king collects an army (balakkâyam sampharivâ, I. 437) and takes the field.

In times of peace the principal work of the king seems to be to take part in the administration of justice, and indeed, from our texts we get the information that this participation was no merely formal matter. When in the RâjoVâda jâtaka it is said of the king, “ He gave decisions in law-suits ” (*cinicchayam*

anuâsi I I . 2), it is clearly meant that the final administration of law rested with the king, that the final decision in law-suits as well as the final word regarding the punishment for breaking the law remained with him. With this there agrees what we learn from Pali texts about the manner of administering justice. According to the account given in the commentary on the Mahâ-parinibbâna Sutta concerning the administration of justice in Vesâli, the chief town of the Licchavis, the process of law from the institution of a suit to its final decision was a considerably complicated affair.¹ But here also

¹ The meaning of the passage *in question* is, according to the English translation given by (G. Turnour in the " Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal " Vol 7, Part 2, 1838, p. 993, Note, this : When in ancient times a criminal was brought before the rulers of the Vajjis (i.e., the Licchavis), they made him over at first to the *vinicchaya-mahâmattas*. These then tried him and if they were convinced that he was innocent, set him free. If they, on the other hand, held that he was guilty, they made him over to the *vohârikas*, without pronouncing any sentence. The latter examined the matter and set him free *in case he was innocent* ; if, on the contrary, he was guilty}', they took him to the *suttadhâras* (probably, they should be called *suttadharas*, " knowers of the Sutta, the law ") who proceeded in the same way with him. From there he was taken to the *atthakûlakas* (probably, *atthakulakas*, by which, according to Lassen's supposition, a court consisting of eight heads of families is to be understood) who in their turn left the decision to the *senâpati* ; from there, the accused was made over to the *uparâjan* and from him to the *râjan*. The latter then investigated the case and set the accused, if he held him innocent, at last free; if he, however, found him guilty he pronounced the judgment in accordance with the *pavenipothaka*, the " book of customs "—Of. also Lassen, *Indische Alterthumskunde*, 2nd Edn., Vol. 2, p. 86 sq.

the final decision remained in the hands of the king. In the Jâtakas, where apparently the more primitive conditions of a much earlier age, which presuppose a much more simple legal apparatus, are described, the criminal is brought direct before the king and generally sentenced by him without previously consulting the opinion of the ministers. An ascetic, who on a false suspicion of theft is arrested by the owners of the stolen property, is brought before the king and the latter pronounces the sentence without further examining the case : “ Go and impale him ” (IV. 29). Also in other narratives (for example, in the introduction to the Vattaka Jâtaka, I. -433, in the Avâriya Jâtaka, III. 232) the king alone pronounces the judgment ; it happens, however, that a protest is made from the side of the minister of justice (*vinicchayâmacca*) against an unjust judgment of the king. Occasions for such protests frequently arose, especially, when charges were brought by men in high position and favourites of the king against common people. A typical example of this is afforded by the following narrative : “ In ancient times ”—so begins the Rathalatthi Jâtaka (III. 101. sq.)—“ when Brahmadatta reigned, the Bodhisatta was his minister of justice (*vinicchayâmacca*). Once the

purohita of the king drove in his carriage to the village from which he used to collect his rents (*bhogagâma*) and when he came to a corner of the road he met a caravan. " Move your wagons out of the road, move them out of the road," he cried. As nobody yielded, he became angry and threw his spiked stick¹ at the driver of the nearest wagon. The stick struck the shaft of the wagon, returned and struck him on the forehead, so that there was a big bump. The

[p.72.] *purohita* returned and complained to
[p.72.]

the king that he was assaulted by the drivers. The king who was seated in his court-room asked the drivers to be brought before him and pronounced the judgment, without inquiring into the matter : " You have assaulted the *purohita*, so that he has got a bump on his forehead ; you must give up all your horses." Then the Boddhisatta said to him, "O great king, without inquiring into the matter you make these give up all their horses. There are, however, men who, when they themselves strike, say, " I am struck by another." Therefore, a ruler should not act without investigation ; when he has heard a matter, he should act." With these words, he recited the verses :

¹ Patodalatthi, " stick for driving animals."

“Although he has struck, he says he is struck ; although *ha* oppresses he says he is oppressed.¹ He who speaks, first, O King, should not at all be believed.

Therefore, one hears, O wise man, the other also; when one has heard both sides, one acts, as is proper.

A lazy fellow, given to sensual indulgence is not good, an ascetic who does not control himself is not good, a king is not good who acts without investigation, a wise man who is angry is also not good.

The king should act after he has heard, and not before he has heard, O ruler ! Honour and fame fall to the lot of him who acts after investigation, O King."

After the king heard the speech of the Bodhisatta, he decided rightly and in the right judgment blame was thrown upon the Brâhmana.

From this one case in which the king, influenced by the *rinicchayâmacca*, revises an unjust judgment, to infer a general power of this minister to pronounce an opinion upon the

¹ The text has: *Jetvâ jino ti bhâsati*, "although he has conquered, he says, ' I am conquered;' " but what we are concerned with is probably derivatives of the verb ' *jyâ*,' to oppress, fleece, and *jino* is to be changed into *jino*

² Cf. *Manu*, VIII., I : " A king who wishes to investigate a lawsuit should go to the court-room in a modest attitude with the Brâhmanas and ministers who know how to advise."

III

king's judgments, would be going too far. The right inference would be to suppose that the ministers, especially, the *vinicchayâmacca* and also the *purohita* and the *senâpati*, who, as we [p. 73] shall see, both took part in the administration of justice, advised the king and in some cases, had some influence upon his judgments. Also we are not in a position to draw a line between cases where the king alone pronounced the judgment and those which were judged by the ministers. That the entire province of the administration of justice did not lie in the hands of the king, although in the earliest times this might have been the case, is self-evident; the more complicated State organisation became, with a growing population and with the extension of territory, the more pressing must the necessity for a division of work have been felt, the more must the king have delegated his powers to the ministers. The legal life of the smaller towns and villages passed very much out of the direct sphere of action of the king and remained a matter for his representatives, as long as no appeal was made against the judgments of these to the king, looked upon as a higher authority. Quite in keeping with this, we also meet with a series of examples in the *Jâtakas* in which no mention is made of the participation

of the king in the administration of justice (II. 182; V. 229). In both the narratives, the question is of quarrels between two parties, of civil cases, not of the punishment of crimes.¹

15. Criminal jurisdiction seems, according to the Jâtakas, to be exclusively exercised by the king. That any person other than the king can pronounce a sentence of death seems to be nowhere mentioned in the Jâtakas. Serious crimes, such as theft, adultery, bodily injury were punished by *râjânâ*,² i.e, by the punishment inflicted by the king.

¹ Even the circumstance that in the Rathalatthi jâtaka stress is laid on the participation of the king in the administration of justice with regard to the drivers against whom the *purohita* preferred a charge (*râjâ sayam rinicchaye nisditrâ*, III. 105), signifies that under usual circumstances in cases of such little importance as the one in question, the king did not preside over the administration of justice. Still it is* to be noted that Brahmanical law books did not alone assign to the king the task of personally conducting law-suits: "the Greek reports, the Indian epics, the inscriptions and numerous works of Indian princes on *vyavahâra* show that the Indian princes often exercised judicial powers in person." Jolly in the "Zeitschrift der Deutsch. Morgenl. Gesellschaft," Vol. 44, p. 344.

³ The word denotes, according to its etymology, as it is a compound of the Sanskrit words *râja* and *âjnâ*, properly, "the king's command." Whether in the Pali, a special technical meaning is attached to the word, I cannot say. In the Culladhammapâla Jâtaka it is narrated **that** the king causes, with the help of the executioner, the hands and foot of the prince to be cut off. The executioner asks when he has carried out the order, "Have I, O King, executed the *râjânâ* " (*kami deva katâ râjânâ*, III. 180), Here *râjânâ* probably means only "the king's

Beyond this power of inflicting punishment, the king's authority does not seem, according to the Jâtakas, to have extended to the person of the subjects. We read nowhere in our texts of any right which the king had to force his subjects to military or other service; on the contrary, the limitations of the king's power are distinctly pointed out, when the king in reply to the request of the *yakkhini*,¹ who was made his chief consort, to give her unrestricted power over the whole kingdom, says, " My love, in no way do all the subjects of my kingdom belong to me, nor am I their lord (mayham sakalaratthavâsino na kiñci honti, nâham etesam sâmiko, I. 398); only over those who rise

command." In other passages, on the other hand, the expression seems to be employed as a *terminus technicus*. A ferryman who has assaulted an ascetic and his pregnant wife is brought before the king and the latter, after he has pronounced the judgment, causes the *râjânâ* to be executed (III. 232). What the punishment consisted in, is not further mentioned ; I suppose that either the capital sentence or some other serious corporal punishment, such as mutilation, is to be understood here. To this points also the introduction to the Vattaka Jâtaka (I. 438), where the son of a *setthi* who was suspected of having made short work of a prostitute, is brought for judgment by the *râjânâ*. The hands are tied behind his body and he is dragged in execution of the *râjânâ*. The whole town turns out in excitement as the report of the sentence upon the *setthi's* son spreads and a large crowd follows him beating the breast and mourning loudly. As the girl, however, in the meantime appears, the *râjânâ* is not executed, but the circumstances mentioned point to this, that the sentence was one of capital punishment.

¹ Skr. *Yakshini*, a female *yaksha*, a supernatural being, a demon.

against the king and do wrong am I lord. therefore, I cannot give you unrestricted power over the whole kingdom."

Still the subjects of the king were not only reminded in times of war, when the king's army protected the frontiers of the land, and through the administration of justice exercised by him and by those authorised by him, of a power standing above them and surrounding and protecting them all ; the people had duties which made them realize very clearly the fact of their being citizens of the State.¹ Whilst the king has for his care the securing of protection against external enemies and the preservation of internal order, the people also, as a counter-duty on their part, bear the cost of administration of the State, the army and the Court by payment of taxes. Similar conditions we have probably to suppose for the Vedic period ; at least there seems no reasonable ground for understanding by the Vedic *bali* anything else than a fixed tax.² Probably,

¹ This supposition seems to me justified by the fact of a tax imposed upon the whole land and by the institution of the *gâmahojakus* who represented the king in their village and collected taxes for him, and I don't understand how Senart will reconcile his theory with this fact when he denies the idea of the State to ancient India and never admits any beginnings of State-consciousness (*Revue des deux mondes*, Vol. 125 p. 343 sq)

² For the Brâhmana period the existence of taxes is certainly shown in the Aitareya Brahmana VI.1. 29, where the Vaisya is characterised as "one who pays taxes to another (*anyasya balikrit*), who is to be employed by another and taxed according to another's pleasure."

in the oldest times there were voluntary presents — according to Zimmer,¹ the only tax which the Vedas prescribed that the people should pay to the king -principally for

[p.

76.]

*

meeting the cost of the king's Court, and when we find mention of the offer of such presents in the epics² and also here and there in the Jâtakas, this is to be considered a survival of the old custom. On the occasion of the coronation ceremony (chattamangala), described in the Kummâsapinda Jâtaka, we find among the people surrounding the throne citizens with various kinds of presents³ (*nânâvidha-pannâkârahatthe nagaramanasse*). Petitioners expected obviously a favourable reception of their prayer when they did not appear before the king with empty hands. The Brâhmana who goes to the king with the request that he will replace the second dead ox, gives

¹ *Altindisches Lehen*, p. 166: "Fixed taxes the people didn't pay the king, they brought to him voluntary presents." Zimmer compares this with the old German condition which are mentioned in Tacitus, *Germania* 15: "Mos est civitatibus ultro ac viritim conferre principibus vel armentorum vel frugum, quod pro honore acceptumi etiam necessitatibus subvenit."

² Cf. Hopkins, 1. c, p. 90 sq.

³ The word *pannâkâra* means, since it is derived from Skr. *parna* - âkâra, "having the form of a leaf" and points to the custom, which is still common in India, of carrying fruits, sweets, etc., in the leaves of the banana or some other tree. Originally used in connexion with such offerings, the word came to acquire later the general sense "present." Cf. Childers, *Pali Dictionary*

him a present (*pannâkâra* II. 166) with the words, "May the king be victorious."

In the age we are considering, the taxes formed in every case the principal sources of revenue of the king ; they were an impost fixed by law, and were, if not brought voluntarily by the people themselves, collected forcibly by the king's officers (II. 210; IV. 224; V. 98). Still the *Jâtakas* contain, so far as I have seen, no fixed rule concerning the nature of these taxes nor concerning the amount of the king's share.¹

Only the fact that of the corn reaped a fixed portion fell to the king's share, appears from several passages. An over-conscientious *setthi* who has plucked from his rice field a handful of blades, in order to make out of them something with which to bind the twigs, has scruples about his conduct and reflects, "From this field I must give the king his portion (*rañño-bhâga* II. 378) and I have taken a handful of corn without making over

¹ According to *Manu* VII. 130, of the corn, an eighth, sixth or twelfth part belongs to the king. In X. 120, the eighth part is indicated as the lawful and permissible tax in peace times; in times of need, the king can take a fourth part (X. 118). According to *Gautama*, the tax of the agriculturists is a tenth, an eighth or a sixth part of the produce. According to *vasishtha* (I. 42), *Baudhâyana*, (I. 18. 1) *Nârada* (XVIII. 48) and *Vishnu* (III 22), the sixth part is universally given as the lawful share and this is in agreement with what occurs in the epics where the king is repeatedly described as "shadbhâgin," "a sharer of the sixth part,"

this portion." In the same passage of the Kuru-dhamma Jâtaka it is narrated how the tax-collecting official of the king (*donmâpaka*, properly, "measuring with the *dona*, *e.* certain dry-measure") measures at the door of the royal granary the rice paid to the king (*râjabhâge vihim minâpento*) and proceeds in this way, that he takes a grain out of the unmeasured heaps of rice and employs it as a marker. At that moment, it begins to rain. The official counts the markers and sweeps away with the words, "So much measured rice is there," the grains which have served him as markers and throws them over the measured heap. Then he rushes indoors and stands on the doorway. Here the thought occurs to him : "Have I thrown the markers over the heaps that have been measured or over those that have not been measured ? If I have placed them over the heaps that have been measured, I have without any reason increased the king's share and diminished that of the owner (*gahapatika*)."¹

The tax on the produce of the land mentioned here and consisting in a certain portion of the reaped corn constituted, according to the

¹ The story is told as an example of excessive conscientiousness. The official is seized with repentance at the thought that the **grains which** he swept away as markers, for the purpose of determining **how** much corn he has measured, from the unmeasured heap, **were placed over** the heaps that had been measured

lawbooks and epic texts, together with other natural products of the cow, [p. 78.] etc. the only taxes which could be collected from proprietor of land. Of a tax which was imposed on the land and which must be paid in the form of a rent, no mention is made here; the tax was fixed upon the annual produce. On the contrary, according to the Greek accounts, the agriculturists occupied the land as the king's tenants. The amount of the rent goes into the king's treasury, together with a fourth part of the produce as tax. What do our texts say on this question? It seems as if the statements of the Greek messengers receive confirmation from the Jâtakas. Among the ministers of the king, as we shall see, the "surveyor" (rajju-gâhaka amacca) occupies an important position; in the enumeration of the persons found in the court of the Ku:u king, he is mentioned immediately after the house priest (*purohita*). Moreover, it is mentioned in the Kâma Jâtaka that officers of the king (*râjakammikâ* come to a

¹ So also, according to Diodorus, II. 40.5, Strabo, on the other hand, only says that the agriculturists are tenants of the king and pay a fourth part of the corn as tax. Arrian speaks in extremely general terms of ~~φύρα~~ which the agriculturists had to pay to the kings or the autonomous states, without giving any particulars regarding the nature of the taxes and their amount.

village to measure the fields (*khcttrappamanâ gahanatthâya*, IV. 1(59), whose owners (so it is said immediately after this) pray for a remission of taxes. Most probably these surveys were undertaken in order to fix the quantity of land and with this the amount of contribution which was calculated upon the land and which had to be paid, irrespectively of the annual produce, as rent to the king. No doubt this inference of the existence of rent, from the simple fact that the land was measured, is not perfectly valid. Surveys would be necessary, even if the tax consisted in a portion of the produce, simply to give the officials some means of calculating the average produce and thus checking the accounts of the occupiers of the land.

Who were these tax-paying tenants? It seems that even in the eastern lands the *Khattiyas* and the Brâhmanas—this in spite of their wealth and in spite of the undisputed fact that the greater portion of the land was in their hands—were free from taxes, for in all passages in question the tax-payers are mentioned as belonging to the middle classes.¹ Thus, in the above-quoted Kurudhamma Jâtaka, *donamâpaka*, the royal

¹ The conditions described in the epic Hopkins characterises (I.c., p. 89) with the words: "The latter (the warriors) are as a matter of fact exempt from the taxes; the priests are so by Divine law."

tax-collector, who measures the corn to be paid to the king, is afraid lest he should encroach upon the property of the *gahapatika* ; to this class also belongs the *setthi* who is mentioned as the tax-payer (II. 378; IV. 169).

Exemption from taxes was occasionally obtained by pleading before the king. A *setthi* living in the frontier requests the brother of the king to send a letter to the king asking him to grant exemption, upon which the king grants him this (IV. 169).

The taxes are paid to the official who represents the king in the province allotted to him ; in the village they are given to the *gâmabhojaka*, the village superintendent, who " enjoys the revenue of the village." If the subjects did not pay willingly or if the king wanted—as seemed often to happen, according to the instances narrated (II. 2A0; III. 9; IV. 224)—to harass the people by enhancing the taxes, he sent his officials who had to use force in filling the coffers of the king. These tax-collectors (*balipatiggâhakas*, *niggâhakas*, *balisâdhakas*), according to the Jâtakas, did not play an unimportant part in public life; how they were looked upon by the people seems to me to be indicated by the conclusion of the *Gagga Jâtaka* (II. 17), where the man-eating demon (*yakkha*) whom the Bodhisatta has subdued is given by the king the

post of a *balipatiggâhaka*. In the Gandatindu Jâtaka the condition of a land ruled by an unrighteous king and plundered by his officials [p.80] is described. "Oppressed with taxes (*balipâtîtâ*, V. 98) the inhabitants lived in the forest like beasts with their wives and children; where there was once a village, there no village stood anymore, The men could not, for fear of the king's people, live in their houses, they surrounded their houses with hedges and went after sunrise to the forest. In the day the king's people (*râjapurisâ*) plundered, at night the thieves." Sometimes the king's officers made common cause with the last-mentioned class, when these officers were really there to pursue and arrest this class. A minister, whom the king gave the position of a village ruler (*gâmabhojaka*) (I. 355) in a frontier village and who collected there the taxes for the king, came to an understanding with the robbers that he would go with his people to the forest and they would in the mean time plunder the village and share the spoils with him.

Besides the taxes, there were certain privileges of the king which he could use for filling his treasury. The principle mentioned in the lawbooks,¹ that unclaimed property belonged to

¹ Cf. the passages quoted in Foy's *Die königliche Gewalt nach den altindischen Rechtbüchern*, Leipzig, 1895, p. 50.

the king, is seen also in the Jâtakas. If anybody dies without heirs, then his succession devolves upon the king. “Seven days and seven nights”—so we read in the introduction to the Mahyaka Jâtaka (III. 299)—“the army of the king took to bring the goods of people dying without heirs to the palace.” Even cases where whole families leave their possessions, when, on renouncing the world, they pass into the homeless condition, occur in the Jâtakas, and this custom might, at a time when through the prevalence of pessimistic views the impulse to renounce the world was widespread, become a source of great income to the king. Still we have grounds for thinking that it was opposed to the moral principles of that age when the king made use of this right. In the Hatthipâla Jâtaka it is narrated that the purohita and his wife after renouncing their entire worldly possessions go to the forest to join their sons who had already been in the homeless condition. The king hears this and resolves, “Unclaimed wealth

[p. 81]

comes to us (*assâmikadhanam amhâkam pâpunâti* IV. 485),¹ and fetches the

¹ Similarly, in the Telapatta Jâtaka the king justifies his capture of the widowed *Yakkhini*, saying, “Unclaimed property belongs to the king” (*assâmikabhandam nâma râjasantakam hoti*, I. 398).

money from the house of the *purohita*. The queen, however, explains to him, with the help of a parable, the wrong done by him.

As we, however, in our attempt to collect together the statements contained in the Jâtakas concerning the rights and duties of the king, have not hitherto been able to get an exhaustive account of the actual region of influence and sphere of power of the *râjan*, we must also examine the incomplete and partly contradictory details relating to the question of his succession.

In the Vedic age, the kingdom devolved either directly upon the eldest son of the king, or the new king was elected by the people.¹ The first case is what generally occurs even in the period described in the Jâtakas. Kingship was hereditary in the family (*kulasantakam rajjam*, I. 395; II. 116; IV. 124) and, indeed, when there were several sons, it was the eldest who succeeded his father on the throne (I. 127; II. 87, 212), whilst the second son became the Viceroy (*uparâjan*). As a rule, only the sons of the eldest queen (*aggamahesî*), who must be of the same caste as the king and thus a *Khattiyâ*, seem to be legitimate; yet there are instances which make it probable that this legitimacy was not always considered a *conditio sine qua non* of

¹ Zimmer, *Altindisches Leben*, pp. 162, 172.

succession. In the already-quoted Katthahâri Jâtaka the king makes the son born of a wood-gatherer (Katthahâri) the Viceroy (*uparâjan*) and after the death of his father, the latter succeeded to the throne.

If the king was without a male heir, then if he had a daughter, his son-in-law became heir to the throne; the son-in-law he sought either among his own kinsmen or among the members of another royal house.¹ In the Mudupâni Jâtaka (II. 323 sq.) the king makes his nephew his successor and explains to his ministers, "After my death my nephew will become king, my daughter will become his eldest consort (*aggamahesî*). " Later he changes his mind and informs his ministers that he will give his nephew another wife and marry his daughter into another royal house, in order to have as many kinsmen as possible. This intention is, however, frustrated by the cunning of these two lovers who at last carried out their desire and so we do not know how the succession would have taken place if the king had married his daughter to a foreign prince ; probably, the

¹ In order to keep his previous promise, the king has to depart from this rule in the Povadhamma Jâtaka (I. 127) and in the Dasarathu Jâtaka (IV.124).

latter would have received the *uparajja* with the daughter.

If there is neither a male heir nor a kinsman who can succeed to the throne, the successor seems to be chosen by the ministers; election by the people, as represented in the Vedas and the epics,¹ is nowhere mentioned. The legends speak of a remarkable custom which in such cases was connected with the election of the successor. Seven days after the death of the heirless king, the *purohita* lets a car, the *phussaratha*,² be driven, after the funeral ceremonies are over (III. 238 ; IV. 39; V. 218). By beat of drums it is announced in the city, "To-morrow Ave shall drive a *phussaratha*." The five insignias of royalty are placed on the car and this is put in motion by the ministers who reflect, "It will come to the man who will become the king." The car then leaves the city and remains, as is often the case in stories, standing at one and the same place, namely, the gate of the park, where it rotates ready to be driven by the future king.

This king is then soon found out by the *purohita*; he ascends the car and

¹ Hopkins, 1. c p. 143.

² =Skr. *pushparatha*, car of flower, desire ? Or is *phussa* — *sprsiya* and *pussaratha*, "the car which is to be touched, i.e., taken possession of"?

is anointed by the *purohita*. Have we to see in these legends the mythical form of an actual events namely, the selection of a king by the *purohita*, or is the *phussaratha* nothing but a product of the rich imagination of the story-teller? To this no definite answer can be given, so long as our knowledge of *phussaratha* is confined to the Jâtakas; but I don't consider the possibility excluded, that when the king died without an heir and the ministers chose a successor from among themselves or from another royal house, the latter was conveyed to his residence in a manner similar to the ceremony described in the stories, and that people spread rumours about him that he was discovered as the right man by a miracle introduced by the gods.

When the election of the successor takes place in the way described, the new king is not, as before, a *khattiya*, nor the scion of a foreign royal house, but the usurper son of a setthi's daughter, in fact, the child of a poor woman born in the street (IV. 38). The legendary character of this narrative does not allow this to be taken as a proof that kingship did not lie always in the hands of the *khattiyas* but that persons belonging to other castes might occasionally be in possession of it. There are, however, some passages which seem to support such a

theory. We have already met with of revolutions by which the reigning king is removed and in his place a Brâhmana is put. In the Pâdañjali Jâtaka (II. 264) the chief minister (atthadhammânusâsaka amacca), probably also belonging to the Brâhmana caste, of the deceased king, and not the weakminded prince, is anointed king by the ministers. Even the lawbooks speak of kings who do not belong to the Kshatriya caste and understand by these kings of low origin who have usurped the throne.¹

Such usurpations of the throne are mentioned in Indian history concerning historical personalities, as, for example, Chandragupta, the founder of the Maurya Dynasty who according to Brahmanical traditions was a Sûdra.

Did these usurpers change their caste when they ascended the throne? Did they become *ipso facto khattiyas* or did they continue to belong to their former castes? In the eyes of the Brâhmanas influenced by their caste-theory, they would remain what they originally were, whether they belonged to the Brâhmana or the Sûdra caste. In reality, this question—especially, in the eastern lands and at a time when the caste idea had not been developed very clearly—had

¹ Cf. Foy, *Die Königliche Gewalt*, p. 8.

for the usurper very little significance, as he belonged to the ruling class, whether or not he was reckoned a *khaltiya*, and by reason of this, as we have already seen, stood above this caste.

The ceremony which accompanied the accession to the throne was, according to the Jâtakas, the same as that which we know from the Vedas and the epics : The priest—generally, the *purohita* (III . 230; IV . 10)—consecrates the king and sprinkles water upon him (*abhisîñcati*). Whether this custom was also observed in the eastern lands seems open to question, on account of the superiority of the ruling class and its independence of the priestly caste. For, as a matter of fact, there lies in this act of *abhisecana*, though originally its significance may have been only a religious one, as an act by which the blessings of the gods were showered, or more correctly expressed, invoked by magic, upon the king, a certain dependence of the king upon the priest consecrating him. The refusal of the priest to perform this *abhisecana* could, under certain circumstances, call in question the succession of the right heir. Instances are not wanting in our texts which prove the possibility of the refusal to consecrate. In the Gâmanicanda Jâtaka it is narrated that the ministers, after they have performed the funeral ceremonies with great *éclat* and made funeral gifts, meet

in the palace and tell the prince, because he is too young, that he can only be consecrated after he has satisfied their

[p. 85]

tests (kumâro atidaharo, na sakkâ rajje abhisiñcitum vîmamsitvâ tarn abhisiñcissâma, I I . 297). Here the question is, however, only of a delay in consecration ; but in another case the investiture was not at all performed. "In ancient times"—so we read in the Pâdañjali Jâtaka—"when Brahmadata reigned in Benares, the Bodhisatta was his adviser in worldly and spiritual things (*althadhommanusâsaka amacca*, I I . 264). Now the king had a son named Pâdañjali who was a good-for-nothing fellow. In course of time the king died. When the funeral ceremonies were over, the ministers said that they wanted to install the prince as king. The Bodhisatta, however, said, "The prince is a fool and a good-for-nothing fellow, we will first examine him and then install him as king." The ministers held a Court, gave the prince a place in their middle and made a wrong judgment, inasmuch as they gave a thing to the wrong owner. Then they asked the prince whether they had judged rightly. He bit his lips. The Boddhisatta thought, "The prince, I think, is a clever fellow, he knows that we have judged wrongly" and recited the first verse:

“ Surely, Pâdañjali excels us all in wisdom ; for he bites his lips and certainly sees through Our game.”

On the following day, another Court was held: this time, however, they judged rightly and asked the prince what he thought of their judgment. Again he bit his lips. Then the Bodhisatta understood that he was an out-and-out fool and recited the second verse:

“ This man does not know right from wrong, or good from bad; beyond the biting of his lips he knows nothing.”

The ministers concluded that the prince Pâdañjali was a fool and made the Bodhisatta king.”

Had this priestly investiture been a condition of the validity of the succession, no small power in political matters would have been placed in (he hands of the priests ; on the other hand, this priestly influence, as well as the part of the ministers in the choice of the successor, seems to be confined to extraordinary cases—absence of an heir to the throne, minority or intellectual deficiency of the prince. The rule was that the father, according to the custom prevailing in the epic age¹, which was also recommended

¹ Cf. the passage quoted already from the Râmâyana (II . 23, 26); further, Mhbt. XII . 63, 19 :

athâpayitvâ prajāpâlam putram rājye ca pândava
anyagotram prasastam vâ kahatriyam kshatriyarshabha.....

in the lawbooks¹ placed the son in power in his lifetime which made the investiture by the priest a mere formality that had not much importance. It even happens that the king himself installs his son (*rajje abhisiñci*, IV.90, 105); whether in this we are to see a taking away of the privilege enjoyed by the priest or whether the expression has lost its special meaning which has reference to the ceremony of investiture and acquired the general meaning "handing over the government," I cannot say definitely.

The handing over of the viceroyalty (*uparâjja*) to the eldest son seems generally to take place after the completion of his studies (I. 259; III. 123, 407); often power was made over immediately by the father to the prince, returned home from the University (IV. 96, 316; V. 177). Whilst we read in the *Kummâsapinda Jâtaka* (III 407) that the prince *Brahmadatta* after he returned from *Takkasilâ* was made *uparâjan* by his father, to whom he had to furnish a proof of his ability and who was pleased with his performances, and that after the death of his father he succeeded him on the throne,

¹ *Manu*, IX. 323: " But (a king who feels his end approaching) shall give all his wealth derived from taxes to the *Brâhmanas*, hand over the reins of government to the son and seek death on the battlefield."

it is said in the Culasutasoma Jâtaka of Prince Sutasoma that after his return from Takkasilâ he received from his father the white umbrella (setacchatta, V. 177), the emblem of loyalty, and ruled justly.

So long as the king's son is not grown up and in case the king has no male descendant, the eldest among the younger brothers of the king gets the *uparajja* (I. 183, kanittabhâtâ uparâjâ,¹ II. 367).

What duties and functions were connected with the office of a viceroy, the Jâttakas do not make clear; their statements are confined to the description of superficial things. On ceremonial occasions the *upardjan* sits behind the king on the back of the elephant (II. 374), a seat which is otherwise occupied by the *purohita*. In the Kurudhamma Jâtaka it is further explained how the viceroy goes in the evening to do the king's work, accompanied by a large crowd from the street; "when he has driven to the palace he leaves on the yoke the reins and the spiked stick, in case he sleeps in the

¹ Both the expressions are connected closely with each other in the passage in question, a fact which Rouse in his translation (Cambridge, 1895, p. 251) has left unnoticed. Likewise, by each of the expressions *purohito brâhmano*, *rajjugâhako amacco*, *donmâpako mahâmatto*, *naga rasobhanâ cannadasi* only one person is denoted. an appears from the verse which follows.

palace after dinner. At this sign, the crowd disperses, comes again the next day in the morning and waits till the viceroy comes out; also the driver who was in charge of the carriage at night comes the next morning with the Carriage to the door of the palace. If, on the other hand, the *uparâjan* wants to return immediately, he places the rein and the stick in the carriage and goes to the palace to serve the king. This sign the people recognise as meaning that he will return immediately and wait at the gate of the palace." In reality, we have here a vivid picture of Court life in ancient India given in a few strokes; what, however, were the king's services which the *uparâjan* performed, we learn neither here nor anywhere else in the *Jâtakas*.¹ Also from the nature of our text we cannot safely trust to such statements, for whenever such statements occur, they appear only as incidental remarks. The narrator of a story is very little concerned with the actual political institutions; the events in the interior of the palace, in the chambers of the ladies, plots and palace intrigues occupy the foreground of his interest. In this the *uparâjan* naturally plays

¹ That, according to the commentary on the *Mahâparinibbâna Sutta*, the *uparâjan* had a share in the administration of justice, appears already from the remark made at p. 107 footnote.

an important part ; we read repeatedly of the king's fears that the *uparâjan* might become too powerful and dominate him, and of disciplinary measures taken by him to guard against such an eventuality. In the *Succaja Jâtaka* (III.67) it is narrated how the king sees his son on active service and how at the sight of him, the thought occurs to the king that he may probably injure him. He calls the prince and says to him, " My dear son, so long as I am living, you shall not live in the town ; remove your residence elsewhere and accept the reins of government after my death." Such banishments of the *uparâjan* do not seldom occur, according to the *Jâtakas* (I I . 203, 229); also the fears of the king were not always without foundation, as the *Thusa Jâtaka* shows (I I I . 121 sq.); the sixteen-year-old prince plots against the life of the king ; he communicates his plan to his servants who strengthen him in his resolve : " You are right O King, what is the use of kingship if one gets it in old age ; you must in some way or other kill the king and take upon yourself the rulership." The king discovers all plots, binds the prince and imprisons him. What is remarkable, however—one may see in this a proof of how deeply-rooted the idea was in the people's minds that the son was the legitimate heir to the throne—is, that the story ends with the words, " After

the funeral ceremonies of the deceased king were over, the prince was released and the reins of government handed over to him.”

How far in addition to the *uparâjan* the remaining members of the ruling house participated in the administration does not appear clearly from the *Jâtakas*. Only, that standing next in rank to the viceroy, the *senâpati* was a kinsman of the king, we read from the *Devadhamma Jâtaka*, where it is said that the king gives his younger brother the *uparajja* and his step-brother the office of *senâpati*.

The less formal the participation of the king's relations in the administration was, the more must the state have lost the character of an absolute monarchy and approached that of an oligarchy. Whether we have to suppose the existence of oligarchies for the Vedic age, or whether in course of time, along with monarchies, States with an oligarchical form of government gradually developed themselves, I cannot decide.¹ As a matter of fact, according to Buddhist and Jaina sources, there were oligarchies in Buddha's time in Eastern India. “The proper constitution of the city of Vaisâli” which

¹ Cf. on the question, Zimmer, *Altindisches Leben*, p. 176. Foy, *Die K ttigliche Gewalt*, p. 6.

Lassen¹ mentions and of which he says that it is found nowhere else in ancient India, is in no way the only example of an oligarchical régime. According to the Jaina accounts,² there reigned, as subject States of Vaisâlî, nine confederate Licchavi princes in Kosala and nine Mallaki princes in Kâsi land. The Pali texts deviate from this tradition in so far as they know of only one aristocratic confederacy of the Licchavis in Vesâlî and place the seat of the Mallas—I suppose that this is identical with the Mallakis of the Jainas³—at Kusinârâ. and Pâvâ ; also according to the Buddhist sources, the two princely houses are absolutely independent of each other. The Licchavis, the rulers of the Vajjis,⁴ played, according to the Pali texts, an important part in the political life and gave the neighbouring king of Magadha much trouble ; we learn from the Mahâparinibbâna Sutta that Ajâtasattu, the son and successor of Bimbisâra, wanted to exterminate the powerful Vajjis, and to guard against their attacks caused a fortress to be built by his ministers

¹ *Indische Alterthumskunde*, 2nd Edition, Vol 2, p. 86.

² Kalpasûtra, § 128 and Note. Cf. Jacobi, *Das Râmâyana*, Bonn 1898, p. 106.

³ At oompletely self-evident, I can't regard this, aa Hardy has done (Buddhism, p.92).

⁴ The Vajjia- skr. Vrijis, were a race living north of the Ganges in Videha.

Sunidha and Vasakâra which later become Pataliputra, the capital of the Magadha kingdom. Of much less political significance was the family, from which Buddha himself was descended, the Sâkyas of Kapilavatthu ; our source further mentions that they stood in a relation of dependence (*ânâpavattitthâna*, IV. 145) to the Kosala king.

In these free states we have also, it appears to me, to seek the *πολεις αυτονομοι*, of which Megasthenes¹ speaks. That by these republics are to be understood, seems to me hardly probable. What the Greek messenger saw and what he tried to express by the word *αυτονομοι*, was, in my opinion, only the fact that in the immediate neighbourhood of great monarchies, such as, the kingdom of Magadha, whose capital town Pâtali-putra itself was, individual cities or small states maintained their independence and were autonomous. That, moreover, the constitution of these small states was wholly different from that in the monarchies, I cannot accept ; the difference consisted, in my opinion, only in the greater or less part which the remaining members of the royal families took in the government by the side of the king and by which they more or less limited his absolute power. A *râjan* stood even

¹ Arriani, *India*, Chapter XI. 9.

in Vesâlî and other free states at the head of the government, though he was only *primus inter pare*,¹ who had precedence over his kinsmen in the Council. The latter, however, whether in their position as *uparâjan* or *senâpati*, or as members of the Council, exercised no small influence upon the government.

¹ Cf. Oldenburg, *Buddha*, p. 101.

CHAPTER VI

THE KING'S OFFICERS

In the Jâtakas, where the king appears to us throughout as an absolute ruler, which he probably may have been in the great monarchies of the east, the advisory element of the administration is represented by the ministers (*anmccas*). Of a participation of the people in the administration of the State, of a limitation of the kingly power through the will of the people, such as we find in the Vedas,¹ there is nowhere any talk in the Buddhist age. We must admit that under the stupefying influence of the climate and long peace, which followed the subjugation of the aboriginal races and which was only broken by occasional quarrels with the neighbouring kings or with races who were not completely subjugated and who lived in the frontier, the strength and political independence of the people decreased. The secure possession of an over-rich land did away with the necessity for individuals to serve the king with their arms and defend their home which was at first liable to frequent attacks ; as they felt themselves sufficiently protected by the king and his

¹ Zimmer, *Altindisches Leben*, p. 172.

strong army, they directed their thoughts towards increasing and improving their worldly possessions and ensuring the prosperity of the family. Through this the condition of the people improved, vast accumulation of wealth took place, agriculture, trade and commerce flourished. With this progress of civilization, there went hand in hand a development of the communal sense—as it did in Greece after the Persian wars ; where Indian thought, dissociated from worldly things subserved higher interests, it was mostly occupied with metaphysical questions, with anxiety for the welfare of the soul.¹

The ancient power of the people manifesting itself in *the Samiti* was transferred to the council of ministers and here it developed

[p. 92.]

into a factor which had an extraordinary, and under certain circumstances, even a dominating, influence. We saw above, in the discussion relating to the succession of the king, that the decision regarding the succession to the throne was often left to the ministers; we also find mention of the actual exercise of sovereign powers by the ministers ; besides the passage already quoted from the Gândhâra Jâtaka, it is mentioned in the Ghata Jâtaka also that the king, sick of worldly life, hands over the reins of government to the ministers (rajjam amaccânam niyyâdetvâ.

¹ Cf. Oldenberg. *Buddha*, p. 11 sq.

III. 170). Probably, the question here, as in the short absence of the king from the city mentioned in the Râjovâda Jâtaka (janapadam pariganhissâmîti amacce rajjam paticchâpetvâ II. 2), is only of a temporary direction of State affairs. Leaving aside such exceptional cases, the influence of particular ministers upon the course of internal and external politics depends upon the intelligence and energy of the then head of the State. Not every king could be so independent of his ministers, could behave so arbitrarily with them as the Magadha King Bimbisâra, of whom it is said in the Cula-vagga of the Vinaya Pitaka (VII. 3.5), that he stripped some of his ministers (mahâmattas), who had advised him badly, of their offices, degraded other ministers with whose advice he was not satisfied, and promoted those, whose advice he approved of, to higher positions. In the Jâtakas even, we find examples of such an arbitrary treatment of ministers¹; but there occur in contrast with them, cases where the king makes over the entire charge of government to a minister and willingly abides by his superior advice.

¹ Inconvenient councillors the king gets rid of, probably often on his accession to the throne, on which occasion, as mentioned in the **Darimukha** Jâtaka, he "examines the offices of the ministers and **the duties** assigned to them" (amaccânam thânantarâni vicâretvâ, III, 239)

The court of the king consists in the epics of country nobles, the king's allies, the king's relations and feudatory kings ; to these are to be added the priests who likewise belong to the

King's Council but who seem
[p. 93.]

to be only admitted by gradual stages into the knightly assembly.¹ We find analogical conditions in the Jâtakas, though only partially. As the *uparâjan* always is, so also is the *senâpati* sometimes a relation of the king, a *khaitiya* (I. 133; IV. 168); of other offices which were also in the hands of the *khattiyas* we learn, on the other hand, nothing from the Jâtakas, nor do we find any conquered or friendly princes in the service of the king. As regards the share of the Brâhmanas in the administration, this is limited to individual cases. Wherever the question is of the king's officers, ministers and Brâhmanas are reckoned as separate classes and indeed, the latter, along with the other classes of people (the *gahapatis*, etc.), are set over against the ministers (*amacca ca brâhmanagahapati-âdayo ca*, I. 260). Thus we find this distinction in the Kumbhakâra Jâtaka, where it is described how, according to the Court etiquette, the mango is first eaten by the king, then by the ministers,

¹ Hopkins, i.c., p. 90,

then by the Brâhmanas, then by the *gahapatis*, etc. (III. 376). At the coronation ceremony, there stand round the throne of the king, at a distance from each other (*ekato-ekato*), the ministers, the Brâhmanas, the *gahapatis*, etc., as also the citizens and the dancing girls (III. 408). More clearly is the opposition between *amacca* and *brâhmana* expressed in the Mahâmora Jâtaka : the ministers refer the king, when he puts a question relating to the meaning of a dream, as they themselves don't know how to interpret it, to the Brâhmanas with the words: " The Brâhmanas know it, O great king " (IV. 335).

From the quotations it is evident that by the expression *amacca*, no Khattiya or Brâhmana is in general to be understood. But to what caste do the ministers belong, if they are not to be looked upon either as Khattiyas or as Brâhmanas ? In my opinion, they do not always belong to the same caste; the *amaccas* form a class by themselves which is generally hereditary, and in consequence of this hereditary character, to which probably, as in the case of the Khattiyas, a specially developed class-consciousness is joined, possesses a certain, though distant, resemblance with a caste. When asked about his *jâti*, a minister or some one belonging to him would perhaps have replied, if he was

neither a Khattiya nor a Brâhmana, "I come of a family of ministers" (*amaccakulo*. I I . 98, 125).

From this, however, it should not at all be inferred that not even occasionally did the Brâhmana act as a minister of the king and in this way exercise some influence over him as well as over the government. Of the two ministers of Bimbisâra, already mentioned, one, Vassakâra, whom the king employs in the construction of a fortress and thus in purely worldly matters, belongs to the Brâhmana caste. Also the *atthadhammânusâsaka amacca*, the "guide of the king in worldly and spiritual matters," repeatedly mentioned in the Jâtakas, seems always to be a Brâhmana. In the Sattubhastha Jâtaka, this fact of belonging to the Brâhmana caste is clearly stated ; the Brâhmana, who has gone to the court of the king of Kâsî after finishing his studies, receives the favour of the ruler and is loaded with honours by him. "The king"—so it is said further—"made him minister and was guided by him in worldly and spiritual things" (*atthañ ca dhammaiñ ca anusâsi*, I I I . 342). Regarding the particular functions of this *atthadhammânusâsaka amacca* we learn nothing definite from our sources; still we shall, I hope, not be wrong if we compare his position with that of the Chancellor in mediaeval

European Courts which post was generally held by the clergy. Even the Indian "Chancellor" of that time seems sometimes to take into his own hands all the reins of government, for very often the *atthadhammânusâsaka amacca* is characterised as one versed in all branches of public life (sabbatthaka, I I. 30, 74). In this "guide of the king in worldly and spiritual matters" of the Jâtakas we have to recognise the *amâtyamukhya* of the law-books, of whom it is said by Manu (VII. 141), "His first minister, who is versed in law, is wise, possesses self-control, and is of good family, he will put into this position, if he is himself fatigued with matters concerning his subjects."¹

Somewhat less general are the statements of the Jâtakas concerning the "leader of the army," the *senâpati*; of this officer they give us no clear picture but only a vague description. Often, as we saw, himself belonging to the ruling family, he seems to occupy a prominent place among the ministers, sometimes even the first place; in the Cullasutasoma Jâtaka, the King calls his ministers, having decided to renounce worldly life, with the *senâpati* at their

¹ In Mann VII. 58, the question is obviously of such a minister, who is here called the "best of all" (*sarveshâm visishta*) and a Brâhmana. Cf. Foy I.e., p. 68 sq.

head (*senâpatipamukhâni asitiamaccasahassâni*, V. 178). Whether this office, conformably to its literal meaning—*senâpati* means “chief of the army”—was principally a military one, does not appear clearly from our texts; probably, in wars the *senâpati* occupied the next highest military post after the king.¹ In times of peace, he seemed to play a part which had little or nothing to do with the army; his chief work seemed rather to be the administration of justice. We read of a *senâpati* who in discharging his duties as a judge takes bribes (*viniccayam karonto lañcam khâdati*) and thereby gives property to the wrong persons (*asâmike sâmikeya karoti*, II. 186).

Of a participation of the *senâpati* in legislation, the already-quoted passage from the *Tesakuna Jâtaka* speaks, where legislation in accordance with the Scripture is ascribed to him. After refusing the kingship offered to him by the ministers, he writes on a gold tablet, before he goes into the solitude of the forest, the laws to be followed in administering justice (*viniccaya-dhamma*, V. 125). “His opinion—so it is said at the end—remained in force for forty thousand years.”

¹ Besides the *senâpati*, another officer is mentioned in the *Jâtakas* whose title also points to a connection with the army, namely, the *mahâ-senâpati*. The only passage where I have found this mentioned (*Tesakuna Jâtaka*, V. 115) gives us no clear idea about his functions only **that** he is a high officer, is what it stipulates.

Along with the circumstance stated here, that the *senâpati* was offered the kingship, it may be mentioned, as proof of the importance of his position, that the residents of the city, when their request for help is refused by the king, resolve to go to the *senâpati*, thinking, "The king cares nothing for the city, we will inform the *senâpati*" (V. 459 sq.)

Wherein, in particular, the judicial work of the *senâpati* differs from that of the minister of justice (*viniccuyâmacca*), whose proper province—as his name implies—was the administration of justice, is not evident from the *Jâtakas*. According to the statement made in the commentary on the *Mahâparinibbâna Sutta* the *vincchayamahâmattas* represented the first and lowest stage of judicial work; their judgment was only final in the case of acquittal; in other cases, the matter was referred to the *vohârikas*.¹ In contrast with this, the *vinicchayâmacca* appears to be an important personality; his protest succeeds, as we have already seen, in revising a wrong judgment

¹ The existence of these *vohârikas* — Sk. *vyâvahârikas*, I have not found in the *Jâtakas*; we meet them, however, in the Vinaya **Pitaka**. In *Mahâvagga*, I. 40.3, the King Bimbisâra asks the *vohârîka mahâmatta* **what** punishment he deserves who initiates a hired soldier into **the** religious order; in *Cullavagga*, VI. 4.9, they become the subject of discussion between Anathapindika and the prince Jeta. Manifestly, we **are to understand** by the *voharika mahâmattas* "judicial officers."

pronounced by the king in favour of the priest.

Although, owing to the nature of our text, it is not everywhere possible to venture any general conclusion from any particular passage, one can mention the Kurudhamma Jâtaka (II. 380) as a proof of this, that the “ministers of justice” not only gave judicial decisions, but also advised on matters of law and morality. A prostitute received 1,000 gold pieces from a youth, and as he promised her that he would come back, made a vow that she would not receive the least thing from any other man, even if it was only a grain of paddy. After she had waited in vain for three years for his return without breaking her vow and had become at last poor, she went to the court and asked the *vinichayamahâmatas* for their advice: “My lords, it is three years since a man gave me money and went away; whether he is dead, I don't know. I have no means of livelihood, what shall I do?” They advised her to return to her former profession.

A very important personality for the king—the increase of king's wealth depended obviously in no small degree upon his work—was **the** rajjuka or the rajjugâhaka amacca, lit., “**the** rope-holding minister,” that is, as appears

from the description contained in the Kurudhamma Jâtaka, the "surveyor," the cadastral officer of the king.¹ As we saw in the account of the king's revenue, the lands of the tax-paying subjects were measured, either to determine the amount of rent payable by them to the king or to determine from the extent of land the average produce to be brought to the king's storeroom. "Whether the minister himself

¹ Bühler shows in the "Zeitschrift der D. M. G.," Vol. 47, 1893, p. 466 sq., the identity of this *rajjûka* with the *rājûkasor lajukas* mentioned in the inscriptions of Asoka, the highest officers of the Government.

The account of the *rajjugâhaka amacca* given in the Kurudhamma Jâtaka seems to be so simple, so obvious, that it is difficult to understand why Rouse in his translation of the Jatakas (Cambridge, 1895, p. 267) takes the meaning "cart-driver" given by Childers (*Pali Dictionary*, under the word *rajju*). Doubts only arise regarding whether and in what way the *rajjuka* or *rajjugâhaka amacca* was engaged in fixing and collecting the taxes, whether he is to be conceived, as Bühler wants to do, as a "tax-officer who measures the field" (for the purpose of fixing the land tax). I don't consider myself compelled, as I have already said, to accept the inference from the measurement of lands to a "land-tax," and even the circumstance that in the Kâma Jâtaka (IV. 169), in immediate connection with the measurement of the field by royal officers, the question of remission of taxes occurs, does not seem to me to establish conclusively the existence of the land tax, for by *bali*, a tax on the produce, a fixed percentage of the crops raised, might very well be meant. Even the method of collecting the taxes noticed by us above gives us no fixed data by which to decide the question, as by the corn which was measured in front of the king's granary, we might understand as well a portion of the produce as an amount fixed for all time, a ground-rent. Against the supposition of such a ground rent there is first the circumstance that neither in the lawbooks nor in the epics is it even mentioned that the taxes which are

measured the lands, or whether officers acting under his supervision measured the fields, as the *Kâma Jâtaka* (IV. 169) shows, cannot be determined from the two mutually contradictory statements; what seems more probable is that the episode of the *Kurudhamma Jâtaka* owes its origin to the attempt of the narrator to give as ancient a colouring as possible to the events described by him.

It is, however, narrated how the *rajjugâhaka amacca* is one day busy in the province, measuring a field. He fastens a rope to a stick, and whilst he gives one end of the rope to the owner of the field, he himself holds the other end (and wants to put the stick on the ground). In this way the stick got into the hole of a crab.¹ He reflects, "If I push the stick into the hole, the crab will perish, if I place the stick in front, the king will suffer loss, if I

only to be paid in the form of a portion of the yearly produce are to be looked upon as rent of the ground; rather, the scruples of the conscientious *setthi* already mentioned, have a meaning when the question is of a percentage of the produce; for had he had to pay a ground-rent, he would only have injured himself and not the king. That, nevertheless, in some parts of India even in the older Buddhistic age a ground-rent was not collected, is surely not proved; it is rather probable that in different kingdoms, the mode of taxation was different.

¹ What is meant here, as Bühler, (I.c., p. 469) remarks, is the land crabs which one finds in many parts of India, especially, in damp places.

place it behind, the farmer will be injured, what is then to be done ?”

By such considerations, however characteristic they may be of thinking influenced by Buddhistic morality, an officer can hardly be guided ; the scruples are represented in the narrative itself as examples of excessive conscientiousness. Rather, we have to suppose from the extremely ironical character of the Jâtakas, that frequent cheatings on the part of the royal surveyors may have served as an occasion for this narrative.

With the *rajjugâhaka amacca*, “ the surveyor,” the series of royal officers mentioned expressly as ministers (*amacca*) comes to an end ; of the remaining numerous courtiers it is doubtful whether they are to be reckoned as belonging to the category of *amaccas*. In part, they are called, as well as the “ taxing officers ” (*Donamâpaka*), *mahâmattas*, “ of great importance, esteem,” an expression which is probably to be regarded as a designation of an office, similar to that of an *amacca*¹ but is perhaps only to be treated as a predicate, corresponding to our “ grandee, magnate.” Whatever that may be,

¹ Synonymously with *amacca* the word *mahâmatta* is obviously used in the passage of the Vinaya Pitaka quoted above. The inscriptions also use the word in this sense. Cf. “ Zeitschr. d. Deutschen Morgenl. Ges.,” Vol. 37, pp. 267, 275.

this much seems to me certain, that the *mahâ-matta* and the *amacca*, as well as the other courtiers, belonged to one and the same class, namely, that of "people in the king's pay and service," the *râjabhoggas*,¹ who are reckoned in the Pâtimokkha (Nissaggiya 10), along with the *khattiyas*, *brâhmanas* and *gahapatis*, as a special class. In the passage of the Pâtimokkha in question the matter is this: A monk has been given a valuable object through a messenger, in exchange for which he wanted garments; as givers of such a present, which only wealthy and aristocratic people alone can possess, there are mentioned in order, the king, those in the king's pay, the Brâthmana, the householder (*râjâ, vâ râjabhoggo vâ brâhmano, vâ gahapatiko vâ*). Comparison with this passage of the Pâtimokkha has made me suppose—of the tentative character of this supposition I am perfectly conscious—that by the word *râjañña*, mentioned in the Assalâyana Sutta,² which has already been noted, "royal

¹ *Râjabhogga* is explained in the Suttavibhanga, Nissaggiya 10.2.1 (Vinaya Pitaka ed. Oldenburg, Vol. 3, p. 222) as "one who receives livelihood and money" (*yo koci rañño bhattavetanâhâro*). A similar idea is expressed by *râjabhata* (Mahavagga, I. 40. 3 sq.; 76. I; 76. 1), only *râjabhata* seems to me to have a narrower meaning and to denote especially a mercenary soldier in the king's army.

• Ed. Pischel, p. 1389: *Khattiyakulâ brâhmanakulâ râjaññakulâ uppannâ*.

officers” are to be understood. Also here the highest classes of the population are enumerated, as in the Pâtimokkha, with only this difference, that *the gahapatis are omitted*; the first-to be mentioned are the Khattiyas, corresponding to the *râjâ* of the Pâtimokkha, then—probably, through courtesy to Assahâvana,—in the second place and before the *râjaññas*, the Brâhmanas and thirdly, the *râjaññas*. I think these are identical with the *râjabhoggas* and that by this word “people in the king's service, high officials of the king, courtiers ” are to be understood.

¹ Tin; etymology of *râjañña* speaks no doubt against this view. In Sanskrit *râjanja* means “ princely, royal ” and “ one belonging to the royal family.” and if *râjaññ* in Pali preserved this meaning, the word *râjañnakula* must, as it is done in Pischel's translation, be translated by ‘ royal family.’ But, as is well-known, Pali words have very often deviated from the Sanskrit etymology and that *râjñña*, at least in this passage of (he Assalâyana Sutta, cannot have the meaning of the Sanskrit *râjanyu* seems to me beyond doubt, because otherwise, the concept ‘ princely, royal ’ would be repeated twice, once through *khattiya* and a second time through *râjñña*. To describe. *Khattiya-kula*, as “ warrior family ” and to look upon the scale, *khattiyakula*, *brâhmanakula*, *râjañnakula*, as an ascending one, is opposed to the terminology of Pali texts of that time and that found in the Assalâyana Sutta, which was to understand by *khattiyas* the princely or royal families and to give in an enumeration of castes, the highest rank, the first, and foremost position to the *khattiyu*.

Perhaps it will be objected against me that I have attached too much importance to this passage, of the Assalâyana Sutta and that probably the word *râjañña* is an error. This I cannot accept, for the same enumeration of the three *kulas* repeated in exactly the same form three times and because the Buddhistic writers were particularly careful about their terminology.

fey his profession, the “ produce-measurer ”
stands next to the *rajjûgdhaka*;
as befits his name *donamâpaka*,¹
lit., “ one who measures with a dry measure,”
the task devolves upon him of measuring
the produce given by the owners of land
(gahapatis) as the portion of the king (*râjabhâga*).
He is thus the proper tax officer of the king,
whilst the *rajjuka* in my view had no
direct connection with the collection of taxes.
Whether or not his work as described above,
can be called difficult or specially honourable,
he must have been one of the important
personages in the Court, for to him also
the title *mahâmatta*, “of great weight,
esteem,” is given (II. 378). It is probable that
even this narrative, like the account of the
work of the surveyor, purposely describes the
primitive conditions of former times, of which
the people had only a faint memory, and that
in reality, probably, in Buddha's time, only the
title *donamâpaka* remained and not the work
denoted by it, namely, measuring corn with
one's own hands. This was probably assigned
to an army of subordinate officers in which
category we must also include the tax-collectors,
and supervision of their work was only allotted
to the high official.

A well-known figure in the epics and the classical Sanskrit literature, the chariot-driver (*sârathi*) of the king, meets us also in the *Jâtakas* (IT. 377), but is pushed somewhat into the background, as compared with the other followers of the king at that time.

Likewise only occasionally do we find the keeper of the king's purse, the *heraññika*, (III. 193) and the superintendent of the king's storehouse, *bhandâgârîka* (IV. 13; V. 123) mentioned. The rare mention of these two officers does not, however, justify the supposition that they held an unimportant position in the Court ; the *bhandâgârîka*, at least, seems, according to the *Nigrodha Jâtaka*, where Pottika, the tailor's son, predicts to his friends that **on** the next day, one of them would be king, another *senâpati*, he himself *bhandâgârîka*, to have played no unimportant part in public life, especially, in trade matters; for, it is said at the end that the king makes Pottika who refuses to accept the office of *senâpati*, a superintendent of stores and that the latter " is worthy of the regard of all guilds" (*sabbaseninam vicâranâraham bhandâgârîkatthânam*, IV. 43).

So in the lowest rank of the courtiers the doorkeeper, *dovârîka*, seems to have been; we meet him, in the list of persons mentioned in the *Kurudhamma Jâtaka* as those of which the

king's Court consists, an occupying the last place but one, being above the public women (*ganikâs*). And in the Mahâpingala Jâtaka, the doorkeepers are mentioned after the "subjects in general" (*amaccâ ca brâhmanagahapatiratthikadovârikâ-dayo ca*) (I I. 241). It is true that he is called in the Sonaka Jâtaka "noble gatekeeper" (*ayyado-vârîka*, V. 250), but he might, as here, appear a noble and important personage to a poor wood-gatherer who wanted an audience of the king. According to the Mâtanga Jâtaka, his duty was to thrash Candâlas or similar vagabonds who wanted to peep at the palace, with sticks or bamboo posts, catch them by the throat and fling them on the ground (IV. 382). Also the treatment which the doorkeeper in the Mahâpingala jâtaka received during the lifetime of the cruel king does not indicate that he held a specially high rank. Whilst all people expressed jubilation and held festivities at the death of Mahâpingala, one of the doorkeepers moaned loudly. On being asked by the new king why he alone moaned, whilst all else were making merry, and whether probably his father was good and kind to him, he replied, "I don't weep because Pingala is dead. For my head his death is a real happiness. For the King Pingala used, every time he went away from or came to the palace, to strike eight blows upon

ray head with his fists as with the hammer of a blacksmith. So he will also, when he goes to the Beyond, oppress in hell the doorkeepers of Yama with blows from his fists, in the belief that he bestows them upon me, and then they will cry out, "He gives us much trouble," and send him back here. He will, I fear, come back and strike blows, as before, with his fists upon my head; it is for this reason that I weep."

Obviously, the *dorârîka* had for his duty the closing of the gate of the city at night. According to the Kurudhamma Jâtaka, he announces thrice at the time of shutting the gate the closing of the city gate (probably, by blowing upon a horn); a poor man who had gone to the forest with his sister to collect wood and had thereby been late, he addresses as follows, "Do you not know that the king is in the city and that the gate of the city is closed at the right time?" (II. 379). Foreigners, who did not know their way about the city, he had to direct. In the Mahâassaroha Jâtaka the king promises the *dovârîka* 1,000 gold pieces if he can take him to a man living in the frontier who would cause enquiry to be made about the house of Mahâassaroha.

Possibly, the person who closed the city gate was different from the palace door-keeper

and was to be counted among the officers who had to look after safety and discipline in the city ; still these also belonged probably to the class of *râjabhoggas*, the royal officers, as they were appointed and paid by the king and had to obey his orders. If a dangerous robber made the city unsafe, then the residents, as narrated in the Kanavera Jâtaka (**III**. 59), went to the king with the request that he would arrest the "great robber," upon which the king charged the *na araguttika* with the arrest and execution of this man. That he was appointed by the king is evident from the conversation between the king and the Candâla ; jokingly, the king calls here the *nagaraguttika* the "kingat night." Judging from the insecurity which on account of the frequent mention of robbers and thieves in the Jâtakas and other folk-literature must have existed in the Indian cities in ancient times, he was no small personage.

As the last of the royal officers who occupied a public office, the executioner, the *coraghâtaka*, must be mentioned¹ who came close to **the** *nagaraguttika* and who sometimes **represent-**

¹ Other persons of that time employed in the king's court whose work was of a pirate nature are treated of in the eleventh chapter.

ed him. According to the lawbooks¹ the office of the executioner was exclusively in the hands of the people belonging to the despised classes, Candâlas and Svapacas; thus even in ancient India—at certain times and in certain places—this profession had the same contemptuous odour about it which it had in the Middle Ages when one pointed out the executioner among the "unholy people". The Jâtakas know nothing of such a contempt attaching to the position of the *coraghdtaka*; rather, parades and ceremonial processions in which he appeared in front of the king, point to a certain respect which the executor of the king's commands enjoyed. When summoned, he comes, a hatchet and a thorny rope in his hand, dressed in a yellow garment and adorned with a cross of red flowers, salutes the king and asks for his commands (III. 41; so also III. 179).

With the offices enumerated above, the great class of *râjabhoggas* is in no way exhausted; apart from the fact that even the Jâtakas do not touch all the circumstances of public life—they speak, for example, almost nothing of the gradations of rank in the army—it is to be reflected that the apparatus of government, although all threads of the centralised State

¹ Manu, X. 66; Vishnu, XVI. 11.

government were spun round this one point, was not confined in the great monarchies within the capital of the kingdom; in order to hold such a vast territory, as the Magadha kingdom, under the sway of a single ruler, the king's power must have been represented by officers everywhere in the small towns and in the villages.

If the circumstances narrated in the Kharasara Jâtaka can be held typical, the superintendent of the village, the *gâmabhojaka*, was an *amacca* of the king; he

[P.105] collected the taxes for him (râjabalim labhitvâ I. 351) and was punished by the king appropriately, as he with his own people went to the forest, leaving the villagers at the mercy of robbers.¹ Other narratives make the official character of the village superintendent still less (or not at all) clear. In the Kulâvaka Jâtaka (I. 198 sq.), the *gâmabhojaka* spoke ill of the villagers to the king; as, however, their innocence was proved, the king gave them the whole of the possessions of the slanderer, made him their slave and turned him out of the village. Of the appointment of a new superintendent, nothing is mentioned, rather the further course of the story seems to bring out that the villagers henceforth looked after their own

³ In the introductory explanation, the king removes him and [sends](#) another *gâwabhojaka*.

affairs. Also when we read in other passages that the *gâmabhojaka* exercises judicial powers in the village, inasmuch as he settles quarrels and makes the guilty pay a fine (I. 483), that he issues prohibitions, for example, against the slaughter of animals (*mâghâtam kârâpesi*, IV. 115) and against the sale of intoxicating liquors (*majjavikkayam vâretvâ* IV. 115), that when through defective growth or flood, the crops fail and famine appears, he distributes meat to the villagers, whilst they on their part, have to promise him a portion of their next crops (II. 135), all these statements seem, indeed, to point to the position of the *gâmabhojaka* being one of power and honour¹ among the villagers but do not oblige us to see in him a king's officer. They rather seem to indicate an elected chief, to whom the village community itself gave the direction of the common affairs—a kind of self-government in the village communities. That self-government prevailed in India in villages is extremely probable and in particular localities of India it may have continued up to

¹ This honour however, was not always shown, as the Gahapati Jâtaka shows: the *gâmabhojaka* who has committed adultery, is held by the hair by the husband, flung upon the floor of the house, and while he protests loudly against this, crying "I am the village superintendent" (*gâmabhojako'mhi* II. 135) is beaten to a jelly and driven out of the house.

the period described in the Jâtakas.¹ As the royal power grew, this, with the rest of self-government, was more and more reduced ; in the Magadha kingdom, the village superintendent remained under the personal supervision of the king, as appears from a passage of the Vinaya Pitaka (Mahâvagga, V. I. I sq.): to the King Bimbisâra, a contemporary of Buddha, the overlordship of 80,000 villages is ascribed (*aaitiyâ gâmasâhassesu issarâdhipaccam rajjam kêreti*); he collects together the chiefs (*gâmikas*) of these villages and gives them instruction in worldly things (*ditthadhammike at the anusâsivâ*). About two hundred years later, King Asoka arranged a system of inspection tours for supervising the work of the administrative officers. "For this purpose"—so it is said in the first edict²—"in accordance with the law (*dhammate*) I shall send every fifth year (an officer) who is neither harsh nor impetuous, but mild in his acts." This arrangement of Asoka agrees, as he probably himself wanted to indicate by the expression *dhammate*,³ with the prescriptions of the lawbooks : in Manu it is said (VII. 120 sq.), after the gradations of rank

¹ The circumstance, among others, may be mentioned in favour of this supposition, that the village superintendents are only mentioned in the later lawbooks as king's officers. cf. Foy., *Die königliche Gewalt*, p. 65

² According to the translation given by Bühler in the "Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft," Vol 41, p. 13.

³ Bühler, l. c , p. 19,

among the administrative officers¹ are pointed out: " The business of these (officers), who are concerned with village matters and their special obligations, another officer

P 107

(saciva) of the king will examine who is mild and extremely industrious. And in every city he should appoint as a supervisor to look after all affairs, a magnanimous, highly esteemed person who is like a planet among the stars. The latter should visit these officers serially ; he should examine their work in their districts through spies specially selected (for this purpose)."

¹ Manu, VII. 115: lie (the king) should appoint an officer over (every individual) village, so also over ten villages (dasagrâmapati), over twenty villages (vimsatisa), over one hundred villages (satcsa) **and** over a thousand villages (sal.asrapati).

CHAPTER VII

THE HOUSE PRIEST OF THE KING.

Not properly belonging to the class of king's officers and yet partly entrusted with similar functions and surpassing them in many respects in importance and influence, the house priest of the king, the *purohita*, occupies an extremely peculiar position in the Court. We must, if we wish to arrive at a clear conception of the nature of the *purohita*, realize the historical evolution of his position of power.¹

Even in the pre-Vedic times, intercourse with the gods was not permitted to everybody, but it required the intervention of "a certain person with special knowledge and special magical powers."² This privilege, this claim, based upon wisdom and supernatural powers, to be alone in communication with the world of demons and gods and to exercise influence upon it through sacrifice and magic, led to the institution of the office of a priest, an

¹ Cf. on this : Weber, *Indische Studien*, Vol. 10, p. 30 8q., Pischel and Geldner, *Vedische Studien*, Vol. 2, N. I, p. 143 sq., Pischel in the *Gottingische gelehrte Anzeiger*, 1894 Vol.1, p, 420 sq. Oldenberg, *Religion des Veda*, p. 372 sq. For the epics, cf. Hopkins, *Ruling Caste*, p. 161 sq.

¹ Oldenberg, *Religion des Veda*, p. 372.

exclusive priest class, who through this privilege exercised a preponderating influence upon other classes of the population and even upon the ruling class. It is precisely the latter class which required the help of the priest,

P 108

either in injuring its enemies or for protection against threatening evil.

Even the Khattiyas of the east, however much they may have excelled the Brâhmanas in wealth and power, felt themselves standing on the same level with them in spiritual matters and were in this one point compelled to give the priests a power over themselves, not indeed the Brâhmana caste as such, for this had become through its worldliness something different in Buddha's time from what it claimed to be according to its own literature and what in fact it might originally have been, but individual representatives of this caste, and principally, the house priest, the *purohita*.

¹ From the later Sanskrit literature a passage of the Raghuvamśa (XI. 58 sq.) should here be quoted, where King Dasaratha, frightened by hostile wind and other strange natural phenomena, goes to his guru, the *purohita* Vasisha, for advice ; the latter removes his anxiety by explaining them as good signs. It is characteristic of the view which the poet has of the relation between the king and the *purohita* that the expression *kvityavit* is used, by which Kâlidâśa wants to say that the king knew how he was to behave in such cases, that he chose the only right and possible way of escaping the threatening danger when he sought the help of his priestly counsellor.

the proposition of the Aitareya Brâhmana (VIII. 24), that every king who wants to perform a sacrifice, must have a *purohita*, as otherwise the gods will not accept his offering, also held good in eastern lands, so long at any rate as sacrifice was held in esteem. A king without a *purohita* was even here inconceivable, before Buddhism called in question the efficacy of sacrifice; and magical chantings. Not being himself in a position—just because he lacks supernatural powers, the exclusive right of the priestly class—to propitiate the gods and demons, nor knowing the means by which the future could not only be known but made to favour him, he took recourse to the magician priest for influencing the transcendental world, to the Indian Shaman. In executing his commission, the *purohita* must perform the sacrifice, along with Brâhmanas who act under him, in order to drive away the misfortune which accrues to the king through bad dreams (in the Mahâsaripa Jâtaka, I. 334 sq.), or through sinister moaning (in the Lohakumbhi Jâtaka, III. 43 sq.) ; if inexplicable natural phenomena, such as the flashing of the weapons, cause anxiety to the king, the *purohita* refers

p 109

them to the constellation of the stars; arms and animals which the king uses, must be consecrated by magic formulae (for example,

the state elephants through *hattisutfa*, I I . 46), so that their use may bring luck. If, however, all this was the business of the *purohita*, then the destiny of the king was placed in his hands : it lay with him whether the favour of the gods was to be invoked on behalf of the king, his sacrificial lord; it was in his power to do the opposite ; to him the king must come if he wanted to know beforehand the result of any undertaking by means of any sign or constellation of stars ; especially, when he did not trust himself to answer the question whether he had any chance of conquering his enemies in war or thought it necessary to seek the help of the gods.¹ This position of the *purohita* with respect to the king led necessarily to an extremely intimate personal relation between the two ; under circumstances there might arise—when the king was weak and the *purohita* possessed great energy—a temporal power of the latter who as a matter of fact had originally nothing to do with administration. For both of these our text gives us instances.

The three priests who are considered specially holy in the epics, the *guru*, who has taught

¹ Cf. Weber, *Ind. Stud.*. Vol. 10, p. 31 : “ If a king wants to defeat the army of an enemy, he must go to a Brahmana for help. If he gives his consent, he consecrates the war-chariot of the king with all sorts of incantations and thus helps him on to victory : so also when a king is banished.” Ait. Br., VIII. 10 ; Vs. XI. 81.

the king in his youth, the sacrificial priest and the house priest¹ appear, according to the Jâtakas, to be united in the person of the *purohita*. He is the teacher, the *guru*, or, as usually said in our text, the *âcariya* of the king and is mentioned as such by the latter. " Give it to the *âcariya* "—with these words the king presents a costly carriage through his retinue to the *purohita* (II. 37(5)- When the king, as narrated in the SarabhaNga Jâtaka, hears the *purohita* knock at the door with his nails, he asks, " Who is there," and at the answer, 7 It is I, O king, the *purohita*" opens the door and says, " Come in, my teacher " (*âcariya*, IV. 270). Also in the passage already mentioned of the Sarabhang Jâtaka (V. 127), the king calls him several times *âcariya*. In answer to the *purohita*'s question whether he had a good sleep, he replies: " How could I sleep well, my teacher, when the weapons flashed to-day all over the palace." The priest soothes his anxiety as he points to the birth of **his** son as the cause of this phenomenon. "What, however, my teacher, will happen to a boy born under such circumstances ?" "Nothing,

¹ The three personalities do not appear even in the epics always sharply distinguished : Cf. Hopkins, *Ruling Caste*, p. 155.

O great king, he will become the best archer in the whole of India." "Good, my teacher, then educate him well and when he is grown up. present him to me."

Often the *purohita* is the teacher and guide of the king in his youthful days; in the Tillamutthi Jâtaka we read that the king makes the teacher who has taught him in Takkasilâ his *purohita* and looks upon him as if he was his father and follows his advice (II. 282). Still the *purohita* probably got the title of *âcariya*, not from his capacity as teacher of the prince ; he rather figured, even after his pupil had ascended the throne, still as his teacher, for a king did not apparently consider his spiritual education over with the termination of his studies and let himself be taught further by his *purohita* and given instruction in the Vedas (Bârânasirâjâ, puro
[p. III.] hittassa santike mante ganhâti¹
III. 28).

¹ That in this passage the Vedas are necessarily to be understood by *mante*, I won't maintain ; magical incantations may also be meant here, a knowledge of which the king, as appears from other passages, had at heart. Of such magical incantations learnt by a Khattiya, there, are mentioned in the Jatakas : the magical incantations with the help of which one conquers the earth (*patharijayamanta*, II. 24.3), the magic by which one can understand all tongues, even the tongues of animals (*sabbarâvajânanamanta*, III. 145), the magical incantation which helps to bring into view concealed treasures (*niddhindharanamanta*, III. 116).

This position as teacher made the *purohita* in many cases the fatherly friend and adviser of the king. What served to raise and consolidate the position of trust which the *purohita* held in the king's Court, was the circumstance that his office was ordinarily hereditary (I. 437; II. 47; III. 392, 455; *parohitakula*, IV. 200) and held by the same family for generations together. This circumstance further helped to bind the priest's family firmly with the ruling house. "For seven generations, the performance of elephant consecration (*hatthimangala*) has been hereditary in our family,"—so complains in the *Susîma Jâtaka* (II. 17) the mother of the young son of the *purohita* whose holding this lucrative post the *Brâhmanas* dispute on the ground of his youth and ignorance of the Vedas and of the elephant book (*hatthisutta*)—"the old custom will pass out of our hands and our wealth will disappear." Sometimes, in consequence of this hereditary character of the office of the *purohita*, friendly relations existed between the king and the latter from early youth. The son of the *purohita* born on the same day as the king's son grows up with the prince; they wear the same clothes and eat and drink together; when they are grown up, they go together for study to *Takkasilâ* (III. 31). This friendly relationship continues even after the prince is given

the *uparajja* after his return from the University. They continue to share the same food, drink and bed, and a strong mutual trust subsists between them, and as the prince, after the death of his father, ascends the throne, he wishes to give the post of *purohita* to his friend. It is true that in this case the *purohita*'s son prefers the homeless life, still it is narrated to us in the *Susîma Jâtaka* (III. 392) that directly on the commencement of the young prince's reign, the *purohita*'s son steps into his father's office.

Thus intimately associated from youth onward, the *purohita* and the king remain also in later years inseparable companions. We meet them together in a game at dice (in the *Andabhûta Jâtaka*, I. 289) ; we see the *purohita* on festive occasions on the back of an elephant behind the king, who is seated upon its shoulder. The king bestows honour and riches upon him ; of such favours we find repeated mention: thus, for example, we meet with the gift of a carriage (in the *Kurudhamma Jâtaka*, II. 376), of a village (in the *Nânacchanda Jâtaka*, II. 429). The last seems generally to be the source of livelihood of the *purohita*, for we read pretty often (III. 105, IV. 475) that he goes to his *bhogagâma*, that is, to the village from which he collects his rents.

As he shares the fortunes of the king, so also he shares the misfortune of his lord. When fleeing at night from an invaded town, the robbed king takes with him, besides the queen and a servant, only the *purohita* (III. 417). Ashe, as explained in the Padakusala-Mânava Jâtaka (III. 513 sq.), has plundered the land along with the king, ho is killed, along with the latter, by the enraged populace.

But the *purohita* is not only the fatherly adviser, the friend and inseparable companion; he appears sometimes as an officer of a purely temporal character. Mention has already been made of his participation in the administration of justice; the Kimchanda Jâtaka describes to us a slandering, corrupt *purohita* who when sitting in Court makes unjust judgments (*kutavinicchayiko ahosi* V. 1). In better light the Dhammadhaja Jâtaka (II 186sq.) shows the judicial work of the royal house priest. Here it is narrated how a man who is defeated in a lawsuit through the adverse judgment of a corrupt *senâpati* leaves the Court, wringing his bauds and weeping and meets the *purohita*, as he proceeds to do the king's work. He falls prostrate before him and complains that he has lost his case : "Whilst people like you, my lord, advise the king in worldly and spiritual things the *senâpati* takes bribes and robs the rightful owner of his

property." The *purohita* feels sympathy for him [p.113] and says to him, "Come, I will decide your case "; they go to the Court together where there is a great crowd assembled. The *purohita* reverses the judgment (*attam patinicchuritâ*, II. 187) and helps the rightful owner to get his own property. The crowd praised him loudly, so that a great noise arose. The king heard this and asked what the matter was. "O king, the wise Dhammaddhaja has set right a wrong judgment and hence this shout of praise." The king was pleased and asked the *purohita* : " People say, my teacher, you have decided a lawsuit; is it true?" "Yes, O Great King, I have set right a thing wrongly judged by the *senâpati*." "Then you shall from to-day try lawsuits; that will bring pleasure to my ears and prosperity to the world."

That guarding the king's treasures was part of his duties, we learn from the *Bandhana-mokkha Jâtaka*, where the priest who has fallen into disgrace and whom the king's people want to take to the place of execution, prays that he may be brought before the king, "for"—so runs his prayer—" I am an officer of the king (*aham râjakammiko*, I. 439) and have rendered him much service and I know where great **treasures** are **hidden**. The treasures of **the**

king, I have guarded ; if you don't take me to the king, much wealth will be lost."

Still all *purohitas* were obviously not content with the occasional care of state affairs; greediness and love of power would often lead them to use the influence which they had over the decisions of a weak and superstitious king in securing worldly prosperity. If an ambitious priest was in possession of complete mastery over the king's will, it was quite in the nature of things that he gave his thoughts to the acquisition of the highest position of power in the Court, that he tried to become the leader of the king in worldly and spiritual matters (*atthadhammânusâsaka*, V. 57) and as such, to take into his hands the whole direction of state affairs. If, as often happens, a minister or one of the remaining Brâhmanas has obtained that which is the highest aim of ambitious courtiers, in case the *purohita* is himself free from worldly cares, the latter is selected beforehand for the office of *atthadhammânusâsaka*. Not content with this, many *purohitas* aimed at something higher and tried to combine in themselves the office of the house priest of several kingdoms. Examples of this nature are found very often in Sanskrit literature; thus, in the *satapath Brâhmana* (II. 1, 4, 5), it is said of

Dcuvabhftga Srautarsha that he was the *purohita* of two kingdoms,¹ namely, those of the *Kurus* and the *Sriñjayas*. Such a *purohita* may have served as a model for the hard and cruel Pingiya mentioned in the Dhonasâkha Jâtaka. “ I will ” —so he thinks in his desire for fame—“make this king conquer all other kings in the whole of India; in this way he will become the sole king and I the sole house priest (*ekapnrohila*, III. 159.)”

We must always, however, bear in mind if we want to get a right estimate of the position of the *purohita*, that such a position of worldly power was neither necessarily connected with his office as house priest nor determined by proper regulations; the political power of the *purohita* was purely individual and had its source wholly and solely in the personal influence which he obtained over the king through his function as sacrificer and magician. From this side, in all cases, was derived the chief strength as well as the chief activity of the *purohita*. We get no impartial estimate or complete picture of his work as a sacrificial priest—and, indeed, nothing else can be expected from the standpoint of the Jâtakas —our sources make the *purohita* only exhibit his

¹ Weber, *Indische Studien*, Vol, 10, p. 34,

priestly office from the standpoint of its lucrativeness. When in the Lohakumbhi Jâtaka (I I I . 45), at the beginning of a sacrifice,¹ the eldest pupil comes to the *purohita* and asks, "Is it not mentioned, O teacher, in our Vedas that the killing of a man is not a fortune-bringing act?", the latter replies: "You

[P. 115.]

*

bring the gold of the king, we shall have meat. Remain silent." In a similar manner the *purohita* stops in the Mahâ-supina Jâtaka (I. 343) the wise and learned scholar who likewise expresses misgivings concerning the killing of any living being, saying, "My son, much money will come to us in this way; you seem to me, however, to take care to save the treasures of the king." Whilst in both these narratives the sacrifice is meant to protect the king from threatening misfortune, in the Dhonasâkha Jâtaka the ambitious *purohita* helps the king through a sacrificial ceremony to acquire a city which is difficult to conquer. He proposes to his lord to pluck out the eyes of the thousand captured kings, rip up the bellies and take out the entrails and thus give a bali-offering to a tree god (I I I . 159 sq.).

¹ The question here is of a *sabbacatukkayañña*, that is, a complete **fourfold** sacrifice, constating of four elephants, foûr horses, four bulls, **four men** and four samples of other creatures, quails, etc.

Just as the sacrifice, so also other magical performances the *purohita* did for his own enrichment and worldly prosperity. The consecration of State elephants brought the *purohita*, according to the *Susîma Jâtaka*, always ten millions (*koti* I I . 16), as all implements for consecration and the entire jewellery of the elephants fell to the lot of the performer of the *hatthimangala*. That he made use of his skill to read the signs of the future to promote his own interest, was only too obvious; to make a king subservient to his will, he used to read out of the signs only that which conformed to his wishes. In the well-known story of King Sufferlong and his son Livelong¹ the *purohita's* reading of the signs plays a rôle which can properly be called by no other name than cheating, though it is not employed for a bad purpose. The Kosala king Dîghîti "Sufferlong" is defeated by his neighbour, King Brahmadatta, and driven out of his kingdom. Along with the queen he wanders from place to place and comes at last to Benares, the seat of his enemy Brahmadatta, where he remains in hiding in the house of a potter, dressed as a

P. 116 begging ascetic. Not long after

¹ This is found in the *Mahāvagga* of the *Vinaya Pitaka* (ed. Oldenberg, Vol. I, p. 342 sq.). The conclusion of the narrative is also contained in the *Jâtaka* collection, namely, in the *Dightakosala Jataka* (VII. 211 sq.).

his wife becomes pregnant; she gets the desires which pregnancy creates and wishes to see at sunrise a four-limbed¹ army ready for fight, in full equipment and standing upon a ground which promises luck and to drink the water in which the swords have been washed. she narrates this to Dighîti and explains to him that as he in his poverty cannot fulfil such an extravagant desire of hers she will die, since she cannot see her desire fulfilled. Now the *purohita* of King Brahmadatta is a friend of Dîghîti; to him goes the Kosala king and explains in what difficulty he finds himself placed. "Let me see the queen," replies the *purohita*, and as he sees the queen, he cries out "Verily, a Kosala king resides in your womb! Rest assured, at sunrise you will see a four-limbed army ready for fight, in full equipment and standing upon a ground promising luck, and you will get the water in which the swords are washed to drink." He goes to Brahmadatta and says to him, "O king, the signs (nimittâni) demand that there should be to-morrow at sunrise a four-limbed army ready for fight, in full equipment and standing on a lucky ground and that the arms should be washed." The Kâsi king orders his people to satisfy the *purohita's* requirements.

¹ Caturaûgint sent, i.e., an army consisting of elephants, horses, chariots and infantry.

Thus the desire of the queen in her pregnant condition is fulfilled through the deceit practised by the *purohita*.

The activity of the *purohitas* who did not live in the king's Court but in the country seems really to be confined to magic, reading of signs and similar things. Here they stood with regard to the representatives of the king probably in a relationship similar to that of the house priest to the king. They, however, lacked all opportunity to develop any political capacity. These *purohitas* who were not in the service of the king are¹ also mentioned in Brahmanical literature, though rarely; still a verse of the Dasa-brâhmana Jâtaka (IV. 364) refers

P 117

to them and describes their work in these words:—

“ Food brought from a distance some *purohitas* in the villages eat, many people ask them (the meaning of star constellations, etc.), they castrate animals, (happy) signs they read.

“ Also (in the houses of these *purohitas*) there are slaughtered sheep, buffaloes, swine and goats. They are slaughterers, O great king, and yet they call themselves Brâhmanas.”

¹ On the *purohita* in a wider sense, cf. Oldenberg, *Religion des veda* p. 374 sq.

CHAPTER VIII

THE BRAHMANAS

We have placed the *purohita*, on account of his often purely worldly position, among the officers of the king but have emphasised the fact that the proper source of his political power is to be sought in his being a Brâhmana, in his belonging to the Brâhmana caste; with this we shall now deal minutely.

While we had to point out in the case of the *Khattiyas* that the expression "caste" did not strictly apply to them, either in the modern sense or in the sense of the Brahmanical theory, the case is different with Brâhmanas. They are no class and do not represent any special element of the Indian society which may be called the spiritual element, just as the *khattiyas* represent the ruling element; also they do not represent a purely hereditary rank, as do, for example, the ministers of the king, for we shall see that the Brâhmana and the priest are in no way identical. The Brâhmanas are a caste and that, too, almost in the sense in which they understand it in their own theory. Every one is a Brâhmana by his birth, not by his profession;

¹ So also a Brâhmana is defined in the Vinaya Pitaka (Nissag-giya-X. 2.1) : *brâhmano nâma jâtityâ brâhmano*.

he may change his profession, he may follow the most humble callings, still he remains a Brâhmana, a member of his caste. What lends exclusiveness to this Brâhmana society, what unites the Brâhmanas closely with one another and separates them from members of other castes is, firstly, the consciousness of being the premier caste, the only one which enjoys the privilege of offering sacrifice, as the only medium of communicating with the gods, and secondly, the contempt arising from this, of all people who are low by birth, whose contact is strictly prohibited, and finally, the observance of certain universal customs relating especially to *cannubium* and the eating of impure food, the violation of which leads *ip\$e jure* to excommunication from the caste. Of course, the exclusiveness of the Brâhmana caste exists only in idea. The great mass of Brâhmanas, spread over the whole of Northern India in Buddha's time, does not constitute a well-organised body with a chief and a council; such an external organisation, as we find in the modern castes, seems wholly wanting in that age.¹ Also

¹ Only when the Brâhmanas live in villages which are exclusively inhabited by them and live in anion, it the presence of any organisation thinkable. Such Brâhmana villages (*brâhmanagâma*) are mentioned in the Jâtakas; II.368; III. 293; IV. 276, further, Mahâvagga V. 13, 12; Digha Nikâya III. 1. 1; V. 1

the jurisdiction to which the members of the Brâhmana caste were subject is not to be looked upon as a formal court in which cases of violation of the caste rules were decided; it rather seems to consist in the pressure of public opinion which was strong enough to enforce the observance of the rules. If, for example, as in the cases cited above (pp. 31 and 33 of the original, pp. 42 and 44 of the translation), a Brâhmana had partaken of the table leavings of a Candâla, he ceased to be a Brâhmana; in order to avoid the contempt of his former caste people, he gave up his residence or committed suicide (II. 84).

If we try to get a picture of this caste from a popular source, like the Jâtakas, we should not be surprised to find it different from that of the Brahmanical sources. Freed from his worldly conditions, the Brâhmana appears to be placed, as it were, in an ideal world, as the centre of which he is regarded, standing above the gods, or at least, on the same level with them.¹ It is different with the Jâtakas which present to us the Brâhmanas as they are in their daily lives. We see him now as a teacher asking the new

¹ Manu IX. 316: "Who are the support of all worlds and gods, whose treasure is Brahmana (sacrifice, prayer, Veda)—who shall injure them, If he has any love for life?"

scholar about the honorarium he has brought, now he meets us behind the plough, now in the court of the king interpreting signs and dreams or predicting from the constellation of the stars the future of the newly-born prince, now as a rich merchant in the midst of his accumulated treasures, now at the head of a big caravan.

One may, however, object here that the Jâtakas, if they do not idealise, still commit the mistake that they give a prejudiced and contemptuous view of the Brâhmanas. Many narratives seem to justify this view, for in many cases the Brâhmanas are pictured as greedy, shameless and immoral and serve as a foil to the *Khattiyas* who play the part of the virtuous and noble humanity in stories. Such an intentional contrast appears to be fully evident in the Junha Jâtaka(IV.96 sq.).

“ In old times, when Brahmadata reigned in Benares, his son “ Prince Junha ” studied in Takkasîlâ. One night, as in darkness he quitted the house of the teacher to whom he was assigned, and went hurriedly to his residence, he met on the way a Brâhmana, who was also likewise going home after finishing his begging tour, and as he did not notice him, he pushed him with his arms, so that the alms pot of the Brâhmana broke in two. The Brâhmana threw himself down on the ground, weeping loudly. Filled

with pity, the prince returned, took him by the hand and raised him ; the latter, however, cried :
 “ You have broken my alms pot in two, my
 [P. dear, give me my food.” The

^{120.]}
 prince replied, “ Brâhmana, I cannot give you money just now, but I am the son of the King of Kâsi and am called Junha; when I ascend the throne, then come and ask the money from me.” The prince completed his studies, took leave of his teacher and returned to Benares, where he showed his father what he had learned. The father rejoiced that he saw his son before his death, wished to see him also as king and made over the government to him which he as “ King Junha ” conducted justly. The Brâhmana heard of this and reflected: “ Now I will fetch the money for my food :” he went to Benares and as he saw the king on a festive occasion in the adorned city, placed himself on an elevated seat, crying, “ Victory to the king.” The king passed by, without noticing him. As the Brâhmana knew that he remained unnoticed, he raised his voice and shouted:

“ Hear my word, O ruler of men ! - With a particular object in view I have come here, Junha; one should not pass by a wandering, Brâhmana, whom one meets on the way, it is said, (without noticing him), O best of men.”

When the king heard these words, he pulled up the elephant with his diamond-studded hook and recited the second verse :

" I hear, I stand. Say, O Brâhmana, on what purpose you have come here; tell me what you have come here to ask me, O Brâhmana."

Upon this, the following verses were recited in the course of the conversation between the king and the Brâhmana :

" Give me five rich villages, a hundred slaves, seven hundred cows and more than ten thousand gold pieces and two consorts of equal rank with me."

" Have you, O Brâhmana, made any penance of great severity, or do you possess, O Brâhmana, various magic incantations? Are any demons in your power, or have you rendered me any service ? "

" I have not done any penance or magic incantations, nor are any demons in my power, nor do I remember having rendered you any service. It concerns only a former meeting."

" I see you for the first time, so far as I know. I have not known you

[p. 121]

before this. Make clear to me in reply to my question, when and where our meeting took place."

" In the beautiful city of the Gandhâra king, in Takkasilâ we lived, O King. There, in darkness, at dead of night, we met each other, shoulder to shoulder. There we both exchanged, O Prince, friendly words; this is the only time that we have met and we did not meet since or before."

" If at any time among *men*, O Brâhmana, a meeting with another good man takes place, wise men do not ignore acquaintances resulting from casual meeting or long intercourse, nor do they leave out of account what is done before."

" Foolish men alone ignore such acquaintances as well as what was done before. Even great things which occur to fools come to nothing; for so are the fools, ungrateful by nature."

" The thoughtful, however, never allow transitory or long acquaintances or what was done before to disappear. Even a small thing which happens to thoughtful men does not go for nothing; for so are the thoughtful, mindful by nature."

" I give you the five rich villages, a hundred slaves, seven hundred cows and more than a thousand gold pieces and two consorts of equal birth with you."

" So it is with good men when they meet, O King, as it is with the moon when she meets the stars; she will be full, O lord of Kâsi, like

myself, for I have received to-day what was promised at our meeting."

"The Bodhisatta," so ends the Junha Jâtaka, "heaped wealth and honour upon him."

As the shamelessness of a Brâhmana is here ridiculed, so also in other passages, the greediness of the Brâhmanas gives the narrator a good opportunity for making fun of them. "The Brâhmanas are full of greed of gold" (brâhmaâ dhanalolâ honti, I. 125), so thinks the jackal in the Sigâla Jâtaka who ventured into the town at night and when he was sleeping was taken unawares by the breaking of the day and frightened by the inability to make good his escape without being noticed. He offers a Brâhmana two hundred *kahâ-panas* if he can take him under his shoulders, concealed by his overcoat, out of the town. The Brâhmana agrees, but is punished severely for his greed and in such a way that he cannot retaliate.

Especially, it is the Brâhmanas in the King's service whose greed is brought prominently into view. In the Susîma Jâtaka it is narrated that the Brâhmanas after the death of the *purohita*, who, as explained, got ten millions every time for the consecration of the State elephant, went to the king and told him that they wanted, as the *purohita*'s son was still too young and knew

neither the three Vedas nor the *hatthisutta*, to perform the elephant consecration themselves. The king agreed and the Brâhmanas were highly pleased to receive the money for the *hatthimangala*.

The power of the Brâhmanas to give an opinion by reading signs about the future of a man or the success of an enterprise had concealed in it the temptation to make this opinion depend upon the expected reward, and the Jâtakas make it probable that the Brâhmanas in many cases could not resist this temptation. An asilakkhanapâthakabrâhmana, *i.e.*, a Brâhmana who by fixed characteristics (for example, by scent) knows the goodness of a sword, says to people, who have simply paid him for this, "The sword has a lucky sign, it is luck-bringing" (*asi lakkhanasampanno mangalasamyutto* I. 455); if, however, he gets no reward for this, he declares the sword to be *avalakkhana*, *i.e.*, as "possessing **bad** characteristics."

In the class of enemies whom the dog of **Sakka** dressed as a hunter should kill (IV. 184), are included the reward-seeking Brâhmanas:—

"If the Brâhmanas, knowing the Vedas, the sâvitri and the sacrificial litany, make offerings **for** the sake of the reward, then the dog must be let loose."

¹ The verse in the **Rigveda** (II. 62. 10): *tat savitur varenyam*

Further, morality does not seem, according to the Jâtakas, to be in a good way with the Brâhmanas. We read in the Sambhava Jâtaka (V. 57 sq.) how the *purohita* Suchîrata is sent by his king, the ruler of the Kuru land, Dhanañ-jayakorabya, to the Brâhmnana Vidhura in Benares to bring an answer to the question relating to the *dhammayâga*¹ which he

[P. 123.]

cannot himself answer. He does not go from Indapatta straight to Benares but goes to Vidhura after first travelling all over India without being able to get any answer from any wise man. Vidhura cannot answer his question, as he is occupied with other thoughts and sends him to his son Bhadrakâra " My love," the latter replies to the request of the *purohita*, " I am in these days occupied in seducing the wife of another, my mind is full of it, so that I cannot answer your question, but my younger brother Sañjaya possesses a better understanding than I; ask him, he will be able to answer your question. But he gets nothing better from Sañjaya, for he also is

¹ *Dhammayâga* denotes literally an offering which suits the *dhamma*, the doctrine or the law. A special kind of offering is not to be understood by this, but rather, something like an " ideal offering which satisfies all requirement*." In the answer which is finally ascribed to sucirata, an offering in the Brahmanical sense is certainly not mentioned; for the Buddhist, even the *dhammayâga*, the ideal sacrifice, consists in virtuous life in accordance with the *dhamma*

in love with the wife of another and swims the Gangâ every day to go to his beloved: " Evening and morning, when I swim across the river, death can swallow me: of this ray mind is full." He points to him his seven-year-old brother and it is he who first answers his question.

Still, it would be wrong if we would infer from these examples a feeling in the Jâtakas hostile to the Brâhmanas. As everywhere in the Pali literature¹ the "true" Brâhmana—that is, according to the Bud-

[P. 124.]

dhistic view, the Brâhmana who attaches value not to birth, nor to the study of the Veda, nor to sacrifice, but only to virtuous conduct—is very much honoured. On account of the importance which is attached in Buddha's teachings to the virtuous life, there can be no

¹ So in the Brâhmanavagga of the Dhammapada (ed. Fausboll, p. 79): " Not the flowing pair, not the family, not the caste makes the Brahmana. He who possesses truth, virtue, is happy and is a Brâhmana" *Of.* farther the Brahmanadhammika Sutta in the Sutta Nipata (ed. Fausboll, p. 51 sq.) and the answer which in the Vinaya Pitaka Buddha gives to the high-minded Brâhmana in answer to the question regarding the characteristics of a Brâhmana (Mahavagga I. 2-3): " The Brâhmana who has removed all tins from himself, who is free from haughtiness, free from impurity and fall of self-control, who has mastered science folly, who has fulfilled the duties of a saint, such a Brâhmana can truly be called a Brâhmana, for whom there is no more any desire for anything in the world."

question here of a hostile attitude of Buddhism towards the world-renouncing Brahmanical ascetics. The spirit of the Buddhistic writings and even of the Jâtakas is only against the external conception of Brahmanical duties (*brâhmanadhamma* IV. 301 sq.), as it is developed, for example, by Uddâlaka in answer to his father's question (see above p. 26 sq.). Whilst Uddâlaka understands by *brâhmanadhamma* going round the fire, sprinkling water and the setting up of the sacrificial tire, the *purohita* who sees the ideal of the Brâhmana in the property-less, world-renouncing holy man, gives expression to the Buddhistic conception in these words:—

"Without land, without relations, unconcerned about the sensuous world, free from desires, immune from bad lusts, indifferent to existence, acting thus, the Brâhmana attains peace of mind; for this reason one calls him virtuous."

That this Brâhmana without property and without desires is even for the Buddhistic narrator a thoroughly honourable person, appears from numerous passages of the Jâtakas, for example, from the Saccamkira Jâtaka (1.323 sq.), where with a hard-hearted and cruel prince an amiable and sympathetic Brâhmana ascetic is contrasted. The frequent occurrence of *samana* and *brâhmana* together shows that the homeless

ascetic and the Brâhmana were for the Buddhist identical, just as for him

[P.

125.]

the attributes of a homeless ascetic, propertylessness and desirelessness, inhere in the notion of a " true " Brâhmana.

" Let virtuous ascetics and Brâhmanas (*silavante samana-brâhmane*¹ I. 187) sit in the stable of the (vicious) elephants and talk of the virtuous life" is the advice which the minister gives the king, as he hopes in this way to tame the elephant which has become wild through the plots of robbers. " Do you not know that you are a saint or a Brâhmana" (*tava samambhâvam vâ brâmnanabhâvam vâ na jânâhi* I. 305)—With these words the queen brings the sensual ascetics to their senses.

In my opinion, we have to distinguish between two kinds of Brâhmanas who, though they do not perhaps appear to be outwardly distinguishable in any way, are essentially different in nature⁸ and have nothing in common

¹ Even in the edict of Aśoka this juxtaposition of *samana* and *brâhmana* is found. In the fourth edict, among the duties laid down by Aśoka to be performed, proper conduct towards Brâhmanas and ascetics is mentioned (*bambhanasamanânam sampatipati*). cf. Zeitsch d. Deut. Morgenl. Gesellsch., Vol. 37, p. 265.

² A division of the Brâhmana caste into different sub-castes, a combination of those excommunicated from their castes to form a new casta, as takes place in India to-day, is I think, not to be supposed for the older Buddhistic period, as we find no trace of it anywhere in Pali literature. Also that the Brahmanical lawbooks know nothing

with each other except the name and the fact of belonging to the same caste. We speak of the distinction between "proper" and "worldly" Brâhmanas. The first class corresponds closely to the ideal sketched in their own writings. Their life is usually divided into three or four stages, into which the life of a Brâkmana is divided by the lawbooks and the observance of which, as is recommended here, appears to be looked upon as essential.¹

The Brâhmana goes, when grown up, to a teacher, studies here the Vedas,
[P. 126.]

sets up then a household, renounces later worldly life and goes to the forest where he lives either as a hermit or surrounded by a host of pupils and ascetics and which he quits in course of time to take up the life of the ascetic and provide himself of this, I would not with Senart [*Revue deux mondes* Vol. 122, p. 98] explain by the attempt of the authors to represent the castes in their ideal integrity, but would rather conclude from this, that it is first in modern times, when the castes take more and more the character of professional communities, that the old unity of the Brâhmana caste, although existing only in the idea, is lost.

¹ Apastambh. IT. 21.1 sq. "There are four stages of life (âsrama), the house-holder's stage, the scholar's stage, the stage of the ascetic and that of the hermit in the forest. Who lives in all these according to the prescribed rules, attains peace of the soul." Manu VI. 37 expressly states that going into the forest must follow the life of the scholar and that of the householder : " A. twice-born who seeks to be freed from the world without studying the Vedas and without producing any son, sinks."

with food by begging (Bodhisatto *Kâsiratthe* brâhmaiikulenibbattitvâ vayappatto Takkasilam gantvâ sabbasippâni ugganhitvâ ghârtvâsam pahâya isipabhajjam pabbajitvâ ganasatthâ hutvâ Himavantapadese ciram vasitvâ lonambilasevanatthâya janapodacârikam, caramâno Bârânasim patvâ râjuyyâne vasitvâ punadivase dvftragâme saporiso bhikkhâcârarn cari. II. 85. Similarly also I I . 394, 411; I I I . 147, 352). Here we have the four âsramas of the lawbooks—the period of life of the scholar, the period of life of the householder, the period of stay in the forest and the period of wandering as a beggar. The formula quoted, by which the mode of life of an "upright" Brâhmana should be characterised, occurs in exactly the same words at the beginning of a large number of Jâtakas. Still on a more minute comparison we notice differences; sometimes the Brâhmana renounces the world immediately after he is grown up, apparently without fulfilling the duties of the scholar and the householder, and becomes a homeless ascetic (I. 333, 361, 373, 450; I I . 131, 232, 262); sometimes we read of the beginning of the householder's stage and later renunciation of worldly life without any previous stage as scholar (II. 41, 145, 269, 437; I I I . 45); sometimes, the adoption of the houseless condition—residence in the forest or wandering—takes

place immediately after the completion of the studies (II. 72; III. 64, 79, 110, 119, 228, 249, 308; V. 152, 193). Between these two last stages of life, no distinction, as between two successive stages, is made anywhere in the Jâtakas, and it is probable that in practice also no distinction between the two was made, as inclemencies of weather and the necessities of life compelled every ascetic at times to exchange residence in the forest for the mode of life of a wandering beggar. If we do not wish to suppose that the Jâtakas purposely vary the wording, in order not to use the same words always, in enumerating the different stages of life of a Brâhmana—a supposition which is contradicted by the words which were wholly current in the then Pali literature and repeated to the point of weariness—we can, in my opinion, conclude from these variations that there was in reality no question of a schematic partition of the course of life of a Brâhmana. Often might the four stages in the life of an orthodox Brâhmana overlap one another and it rested with the authors of the lawbooks to try to make a model of this ideal in their theory: we should, however, be greatly mistaken if we would think of all Brâhmanas as given one and all to study and asceticism and suppose that they had divided their life into **four**

stages and dedicated the last two to the occupation of a hermit and a wandering beggar.¹

If we take into consideration this distinction between theory and practice brought about by the schematising influence of the Brahmanical lawbooks, there still arises a close approximation between the "proper" Brâhmana of the Jâtakas and the Brâhmana as we know him from the Brâhmana texts and the lawbooks, and this, not because of the external division of life but through the fact that he fulfils the duties of a Brâhmana and enjoys his privileges.

As the four duties of a Brâhmana the Satapatha Brâhmana mentions
[P.128.] (XI. 5. 7, I)²: Brahmanical parentage (brâhmanyam), suitable behaviour (pratirûpacharyâ), attainment of fame (yasas) and teaching of men (lokapakti). We should not from the nature of our source expect that it should offer us any detailed illustration of this scheme, for this reason that the duties mentioned consist in part in the carrying out of things which lie beyond the range of vision

¹ Senart, *Revue des deux mondes* Vol. 122, p. 102. The articles of Senart in the *Rente* quoted above (p. 8 Note, p. 3. Note in the original) have in the meantime appeared in book form under the title *Les Castes dans l' Inde. Les fsits et le systeme*. Paris 1896. I shall refer henceforth to this edition.

Cf. Weber, *Indische Studien*, Vol. 10, p. 4i, 69 sq.

of the Buddhistic narrator and of which he lacks any understanding. Thus, the Jâtakas contain no rules regarding sacrifice which together with study constitutes the duty of attainment of fame mentioned in the third passage; they only mention it, in order to exhibit its worthlessness and illustrate the swindling ways of the greedy Brâhmanas in tilling their pockets. For the Brâhmanas to make profit out of the sacrificial ceremonies seems to have passed into a proverb current among the people. As a king at a sacrificial ceremony gives money to the Brâhmanas, so does the senâpali willingly give his wife to his lord—thus runs a verse in the Ummadantî Jâtaka (V. 221). Also for the fulfilment of the first duty, namely, *brâhmanya*, I cannot give any illustration from the Jâtakas themselves, but we can infer from the polemic against the value attached to birth which we come across here, and indeed, generally, in the Jâtakas, that even in the eastern lands, great importance was attached, at least in some cases, to pure birth on the part of the Brâhmanas. What is meant here by a true Brâhmana we learn, for example, from a passage of the Nidânakathâ (I. 2), where it is said of the first Bodhisattwa, that is, Buddha in his first existence as Brâhmana Sumedha, " Of good family, on both sides, on the father's side as well as on the mother's

of pure origin up to the seventh generation, faultless and irreproachable, so far as birth is concerned." They are the same words which appear elsewhere in the Pali canon and in which in the Dîgha Nikâya ([V. 4) the Brâhmanas ask Sonadanda to seek for his ancestor in the Samana Gotama, while pointing out his Brahmanical origin. "Because you, O Sonadaijda, are of good family on both sides, therefore, you should not seek the

[p. 129.]

Samana Gotama but Samana Gotama must seek you."

That examples of virtuous Brâhmanas who were quite serious about the second duty, that of leading a proper life (*pratirûpacaryâ*), were not rare in the Jâtakas, has already been mentioned ; here we will only quote the answer which in the Samiddhi Jâtaka (II. 56 sq.) the young Brâhmana hermit gives with reference to the allurements of the nymph who reminds him that so long as he is young, he should enjoy life and not allow time to slip:—

"I don't know the time (of my death), the time is hidden from my sight: I will therefore lead the life of a beggar without enjoying ; the (right) time (of a virtuous course of life) should not slip from me."

¹ See the passage of the Vaeftha Sutta quoted below p. 220.

What is most explicit is the rule contained in the Jâtakas concerning study which constitutes, by the side of sacrifice, the third duty of the Brâhmana, namely, attainment of fame (*yasas*).

When the young Brâhmana is grown up he leaves his paternal home and goes to a teacher.¹ As a rule, the time for the beginning of studies is given as the end of boyhood: "After he was grown up (*vayappatta*)"—so it is said in the

¹ Another possible mode of life for which I find no analogue in Brahmanical sources is sometimes allowed to a young Brâhmana by his parents. These kindled a fire (*jâtayyi*) on the day of his birth and kept it burning ever since. When the boy becomes sixteen years old, his parents say to him, "Son, we have kindled a fire on the day of your birth and have not allowed it to be extinguished; if you wish to lead a householder's life, learn the three Vedas; if you, however, wish to enter the world of Brahmana, take the fire into the forest and serve it, so that you may win the favour of Muhâbrahna and attain the world of Brahma." The agni-service mentioned here is probably identical with the "service of fire" (*aggiparicariyâ*), the third of the four false paths (*apâyainukhâui*), of which it is said in the Digha Nikâya (III. 2.3) that they don't lead to the attainment of the highest perfection in knowledge and mode of life.

For the explanation of the *jâtnggi*, the tire for a woman in child-bed (*sûtikâgni*), mentioned by Hiranyakesin (*Grihyasûtra* II. 8) and which takes the place of the domestic sacrificial fire, should be pointed out here. Cf. Oldenberg, *Die Religion des Veda*, p. 338. The lawbooks know nothing of a fire kindled at the birth of a son; they speak, on the contrary, of a *vairâhika-agni* i.e., a fire kindled on the occasion of marriage which serves for the performance of domestic ceremonies, for sacrifices and for the cooking of the daily food, and consequently, requires to be kept permanently. Manu, III. 67.

Tittira Jâtaka (I, 431) and also in several other places (I. 436, 505; II. 52; III. 18, 171, 194, 228, 248; V. 193, 227)—"he learnt all sciences in Takkasîlâ." In the Jâtakas, however, the Brâhmana youth as well as the Khattiya was considered grown up when he had attained the sixteenth year.¹ This appears clearly in the Sarabha Jâtaka, where it is said of the purohita's son that in his sixteenth year he was extraordinarily beautiful and that his father sent him to Takkasîlâ on seeing the full growth of his body (*Sarîrasampatti*, V. 127). So also in the three Jâtakas where the parents give the son the option of either worshipping the "natal fire" (*jâtaggi*) in the forest or studying.

As in the case of the Khattiyas, so also in that of the Brâhmanas, Takkasîlâ is always mentioned as the place where youths carry on their studies; more rarely, Benares is mentioned as the place of residence of a world-renowned teacher (II.260; III. 18). This last appears, according to the Jâtakas—as already remarked—to be behind Takkasîlâ, in scientific importance,

According to the lawbooks, the completion of the sixteenth year is the time by which the *sâritri* i.e., the ceremonial introduction into the caste through the utterance of the must have been performed. The *upanayana*, on the other hand, the admission of the pupil into the doctrine and thus the beginning of the study, could very well take place in the eighth, sometimes even in the fifth year. Manu, 11. 36 sq.

and is only resorted to, as a young Brâhmana such as in mentioned in the Asanka Jâtaka (III. 218) born in a Kasi village would otherwise hardly go to the distant city of the Gandhâra kingdom for purposes of study but would rather go to the chief town of his own land, to Benares,

As the chief subject of the study of the Brâhmanas, the Vedas occur naturally in our sources. " In the three Vedas

[P. 131]

thoroughly proficient " (*tillam vednam paragu or param gato*, I.38.43. I66i etc.), "attained perfection in the three Vedas" (*Hsu vedesu nipphattim patto*, 1. 285)—these are the invariable epithets of a true Brâhmana. Instead of the three Vedas, the *manias* are sometimes mentioned which the teacher makes his pupils learn (*nutnte vacesi*, I. 102; II. 100, 260). "Formerly, I was a Brâhmana like you studying the Vedas " (*mantajjhayaka brahmana*, I. 167) says the goat, which remembers its former birth, to the Brahmanical teacher. Also when it is said generally of a Brâhmana, "he learnt the science" (*sippam*

' It is noteworthy that every where in our text only three Vedas are mentioned. It appears to me that herein we have a proof that the Atharvaveda in the older Buddhistic age, although it existed as a collection—as appears from Sutta Nipâta, Verse 927, and was made use of by the Brâhmanas in the performance of magical rites—was not considered from the religious standpoint of equal worth with the other three Vedas.

agganhi, I I I . 18; *uggahitasippa*, I I I . 249; V. 193), what is meant by it is the Brahmanical science $\kappa\alpha\iota'$ $\epsilon'\xi\omicron\chi\eta'$ ν , the study of the Veda. Still the three Vedas were manifestly not the sole subject which the Brâhmanas were taught during their student days; in several places " all the sciences " (*sabbasippâni*, I. 463; I I . 53; I I I . 219) are mentioned as what the Brâhmana has to learn and by this are to be understood, over and above the three Vedas, eighteen branches of science. The purohita in the Sahbadâtha Jâtaka is versed in the three Vedas and eighteen sciences (*tinnam vedânam athârasannam sippânam pâram gato*, I I . 243) and the *udicca-brâhmanam* of the Bhîmasena Jâtaka learns from a world-renowned teacher in Takkasilâ the three Vedas and the eighteen branches of knowledge (*tayo vede atthârasa vijjatthânâni*, I. 356. So also I. 463). Particulars about these *atthârasa vijjatthânâni* we don't learn from the Jâtakas themselves; still it is not improbable that they coincide approximately with the eighteen divisions which are mentioned in the Brahmanical systems and into which the Hindus still divide their sciences.¹

¹ In a probably very modern work of an orthodox Brahman, the Prasthâna-bheda mamifoldness of methode) of Madhusudana Saraawati, the following eighteen science are enumerated : 1. The four Vedas : *Rigveda*, *Yajurveda*, *Sâmaveda* and *Athartvavada*,. (2) The

The scholars (*antevasika*) were not always placed in the same category, but were divided, according to the *Tilamutthi Jâtaka*, into two classes, namely, into the *Dhammantevâsika*, that is, such as during the day-time rendered service to the teacher (as remuneration for the instruction received) and prosecuted their studies at night, and the *âcariyabhâgaâdyaka*, i.e., those who paid an honorarium to the teacher; these live—as it is said in I I . 278—like eldest sons in the house of the teacher. To the honorarium brought by the pupil, great importance is attached by the teacher. The meeting between the newly arrived scholar, a prince from Benares, and the teacher in *Takkasilâ*, narrated in the *Tilamutthi Jâtaka*, takes place in the following way : The young prince is informed where his teacher lives and meets him as he walks to and fro in

six *Vedângas* (limbs of the *Vedas*), namely, *Sikshû* (phonology), *kalpa* (ritual), *vyâkarana* (grammar), *nirukta* (word-meaning), *chandas* (metrics) and *jyotisha* (astronomical science of almanac-making) ; (3) The four *Upângas* (auxiliary members), namely, the *purânas* (stories of ancient times), *nyâya* (logic) *miraansâ* (Vedic dogmatics) and the *dharmaśâstras* (law books). To these fourteen sciences mentioned even by *Yājñavalkya* (1-3), *Madhusudan* adds four more *Upavedas* (auxiliary *Vedas*), namely, *âyurveda* (medical science), *dhanurveda* (military science), *gandharvaveda* (musical science) and *arthasastra* (practical art of teaching). so that in the total, eighteen sciences arise. With these the *âtthârasa vijjatthanani* of our text are surely not wholly identical, because in these the three *Vedas* are not • comprehended. *Of. B5hler, Indian Antiquary, 1894 p. 247.*

front of his house after finishing his teaching work. When he sees the teacher, he takes off his shoes, removes his umbrella and stands saluting with respect. The former notices that the new arrival is fatigued with the journey and welcomes him cordially. After the young man has eaten and rested a bit, he approaches the teacher again, saluting respectfully and the teacher makes a minute enquiry about his antecedents. "Where do you come from, my dear." he asks him. "From Benares." "Whose son are you?" "The son of the King of Benares." "For what purpose have you come?" •* "For the purpose of learning the science." "Have you brought your teacher's honorarium (*dearly bhaga*) or do you wish to become a *dhammantevdsika*?" "I have brought honorarium for the teacher," replies the prince and places a purse containing one thousand gold pieces at his feet.

This sum of one thousand *kahâpanas* is always indicated as the amount payable to the teacher at

Ace. to Manu III. 156, the teacher who teaches for a fixed fee belong to the class of Brâhmanas excluded from participation in the soma-offering. Teaching for the sake of money was considered undignified: the scholar might at the end of his studies make a present to the teacher, the amount of which was determined by his capacity and could consist in land, in gold, in a cow, a horse, an umbrella, shoes, a chair, a seat, corn, clothes and even vegetables. [Manu](#) I I . 245 sq.

the commencement of study. Of course, we cannot look upon such figures in our text as an indication of the amount of the honorarium, but we may perhaps draw the conclusion that the fees of the Brâhmana teacher were not trifling. Even the poor Brâhmana scholar who received a free education tried later to pay the teacher by earning the money jointly by begging (*dhammena bhikkham caritvâ âcariyadhanam âhârissâmi*. IV. 224); sometimes rich residents of the city, who took care to feed poor Brâhmana youths, bore also the expenses of their teaching (*Bârânasivâsino duggatânam paribbayam datvâ, sippam sikkhâpentî* I. 239).

Of other teachers for whom the question of honorarium was less important, it is narrated that in order that they might remain undisturbed, they leave the city and go with their pupils into the forest. These have to take with them the necessities of life (sesame, rice, oil, clothes, etc.) and must not build a cottage for themselves and the teacher far away from the street. The great reputation of the teacher protects them, moreover, from want, for not only do the relations of the scholars bring rice, etc., but even the inhabitants of the land provide them with the necessities of life (III.537).

The method of teaching must have been the same as that which we know from Brahmanical

sources, and that which is still in vogue in India ; the teacher recites verse after verse and the scholar repeats what is recited. The same thing also is to be understood when in the Tittira Jâtaka it is narrated that the parrot consoles the scholars after the death of the teacher by saying that it will undertake their teaching and when they ask in astonishment how it can do this, replies, " I have listened when your teacher recited before you and have committed to memory the three Vedas." The parrot explains difficult (lit. knotty) passages one after another before the scholars (ganthiganthitthânam osâresi. I I I . 538).

Outwardly, the intercourse between the teacher and the pupil took place with the exhibition of the greatest respect on the part of the latter. As characterising the view that the teacher under ail circumstances stands above the pupil, whatever may be the position of the latter, we have the Chavaka Jâtaka (I I I . 27 sq.), where, as already mentioned, a Candâla raises this protest against the king that he gives the *purohita* who teaches him the Vedas a low seat, whilst he himself occupies a higher one. The conduct of the king as well as of the *purohita* is characterised by the Candâla as *adhammika*, unlawful,

skr. karshâpa. It means originally a certain weight and is used of copper, as well as of gold and silver coins, so that we get an idea of the value of 1000 Kahâpattas. Cf. Angus' Pali Diet.

contrary to the *dhamma*; we see that the prescription of the law books,¹ in accordance with **which** the scholar must always occupy a lower seat than the teacher, held good even in Eastern India.

Much of what has hitherto been said in discussing the relationship between the teacher and the pupil falls under the category of the duty occupying the fourth place in the Scheme, the duty of *lokapafti*, properly, making the people ripe, *i.e.*, teaching them. The Brâhmana fulfils this in accordance with the Brahmanical texts, in his threefold position as teacher, as sacrificial priest and as *purohita*.² As from the Jâtakas we learn nothing of

[P. 135.]

the sacrificial priest, in case he is not in the service of the king, whilst the *purohita* on account of his political position, is treated apart from his caste, the picture of the Brahmanical teacher has still to be completed by certain characteristics taken from the Jâtakas. Our text is full of passages which describe the Brâhmanas as " world-renowned teachers " (*dispâmokkha âcariya*, I. 166, 239, 299, 317. 402, 436: II. 137, 260, 421; III.

¹ Of. Weber, *Indische Studien*, Vol. 10, p. 129. Zimmer, *Altindisches Leben*, p. 210 sq.

² *Apastamba* I. 2,21 ; *Vishnu* XXVIII. 12; *Manu* 11. 196.

215), surrounded by a great crowd of scholars whose number is given as five hundred. The scene of their activity is cities like Benares and Takkasilâ; here they teach the Vedas and all the sciences and maintain themselves and their families, at the head of which they stand as grihasthas, on the honoraria they get from the pupils. Of other Bnâhrnanas we read that immediately after they finish their studies, they accept the homeless state and go to the Himalayas where they gather round them a host of ascetics and figure as their advisers and teachers.

We mentioned the Chavaka Jâtaka as an instance of the high esteem in which even in Eastern Buddhistic lands the position of the Brahmanical teacher was held. That, on the other hand, the people occasionally knew and condemned small defects of the "world-renowned men," we can gather from the almost proverb-like expression of our text, *dcariyamutthim na karonli* (l. 221,250), if., "they don't make the closed fist of a teacher, they keep nothing secret," as the teachers evidently occasionally used to do, in order that they might have something not known to the pupils. They might be afraid that the same fate might befall them as befell the Brâhmana of the Mûlapariyâya Jâtaka (II. 260) with his five hundred pupils, who believed they knew as much as their

teacher and for this reason no more went to him or answered his questions.

As they had to perform the duties of their position, so were the "true" Brâhmanas undoubtedly given certain privileges even in the eastern lands. If their position was inferior to that of the Khattiyas who did not think it worth while to leave their seat at the sight of a Brâhmana and offer a seat to him, and even if the claim which the young Brâhmana Ambattha makes in the Digha Nikaya (III. 1, 15), namely, that of the four castes, three—Khattiya, Vessa, Sudda—existed in order that they might serve the Brâhmana, was not so absolutely valid as he thought, he never suffered from lack of *arca*, i.e., proper respect. If in the enumeration of the castes, the Brâhmanas are placed second, still even to Buddha himself the Kannakathâla Sutta ascribes the saying that along with the Khattiyas the Brâhmanas take precedence over the other castes, so far as visible marks of respect are concerned.

¹ As such there are mentioned in the Satapatha Brâhmana (XL. 5, 7, 1): 1. *Areâ* (honour due to the Brâhmanas). 2. *Dâna* (presents to the Brâhmanas). 3. *Ajyeyatâ* (unmolestation). 4. *Abadhyat* (immunity from being killed). Cf. Weber, Ind. Stud. Vol. 10, p. 40 sq.

² Cf. above p. 18 sq.

Even the privilege of *dâna* of receiving presents, the Brâhmanas of the Jâtakas enjoy in great measure. The liberality of the kings which probably laid the foundation for the wealth of individual Brâhmanas, seems to be even in the eastern lands, if not a duty, at least a recognised virtue. We have seen how willingly King Junha satisfies the by no means moderate demand of the Brâhmana; in the Somadatta Jâtaka it is narrated that the king gives a Brâhmana sixteen cows, articles of ornament and a village as a place of residence. The whole is described as a gift to a Brâhmana (*brahmadeyya*, I I , 166), an expression which indicates a standing custom and which we meet with elsewhere in Pali literature. In the Dîgha Nikaya mention is made in several places of villages which are given to Brâhmanas by kings as *brahmadeyya*.

But it is not only that the duty or custom of liberality towards the Brâhmanas falls upon the king ; we read also of gifts which come to their share. As the Brâhmana is still to-day in India
a personality upon whose
(P. 137.]
favour much depends for the
individual, as he requires him not only for

¹ Cf. Neafteld *The functions of modern Brâhmaya in Cppar India*, Calcutta Ravice, Vol. 84, 1887 p. 267 sq.

sacrifices but in all matters of daily life, such as protection against threatening evil coming from the stars, the ascertainment whether a day is good for a journey or for marriage or the consecration of a new house or new agricultural implements, so even in those times people tried to win the favour of the Brâhmanas whose services were required for similar purposes. People instituted festivities and invited Brâhmana teachers with their pupils (*brâhmanavâcanaka*. I. 318) to them. Such a *brâhmanavâcanaka* given by a villager is described in great detail in the Citta-Sambhûta Jâtaka (IV. 391). Because it rained on the previous night and the roads were full of water the âcariya gives one of his pupils, along with others, the task of uttering benediction (*mangala*), to eat his own portion of the presents and to bring him (the âcariya) his portion. Before the pupils sit down to breakfast they bathe and wash their face ; in the meantime, the people take the rice from the fire and set it down to cool. When the pupils gather together, they are given " guest-water" (*dakshinodaka*) and dishes are placed before them.

Whether the Brâhmanas enjoyed the remaining privileges which they claimed, according to the Brâhmana texts, namely, complete *ajyeyatâ*

(unmoiestability) and *abadhyatâ* (immunity from execution) in the eastern lands, cannot be determined with precision with the very limited materials which the Jâtakas offer on this question. Most probably, the Brâhmanas were free from taxes, for whenever the question is of taxes, the *gahapati* is mentioned as the person who is taxed ; on the other hand, the claim of the Brâhmanas to immunity from execution, even assuming that in ancient times it had more than a more theoretical value, seems to have found only a local recognition. The Pali texts know of no privileged position of the Brahmanas in the eye of the law ; rather the statement of

Madhura Sutta that a crimi-

[p. 138.]

nal, no matter whether he is a Brâhmana or belongs to any other caste, would be executed, appears in a number of passages of the Jâtakas where one speaks of the execution of a Brâmana (for example, I. 371, 439).

Along with the " proper " Brâhmanas we meet with another sort whom I might call "worldly" Brâhmanas and by whom I believe that the Brâhmana caste was chiefly represented in the eastern lands in Buddha's time. As the reason for this supposition, there is for me the circumstance that of one of the Brâhmanas hitherto described and conforming to the Brahmanical

ideal, it is said with emphasis that he belongs to the north, or is of northern extraction, *I.e.*, is an *udicca brâhmana* (I. 324, 356, 361, 373, 406, 431, 436, 450, 494, 505 ; II. 83 ; III 232 ; V. 193, 227). By these *udicca brâhmanas* we have, in my opinion, to understand Brâhmanas living in Kâsi or Magadha land who traced their descent to Brâhmana families living north-west of the centre of Buddhism, somewhere in the regions of Kuril and Pañcâla, attached great importance to this descent and tried by a strict observance of the caste-prescriptions to prove that they were true members of their caste. The pride with which the Brahmana, in reply to the Candâla's question to which caste he belonged, says, " I am a Brâhmana from the north-west," (*aham udicco brâhmano* IT. S3), corresponds to the suspicion which seizes him that he has probably violated the caste-prescriptions. In

¹ Sk. *udicca* signifies as an adjective " living in the north " and MM a substantive " the land lying in the north-west up to the river Saraswati," in the plural, " the inhabitants of this land." That by the *udicca brâhmanas* of our text is not meant, as I believe it does, " hailing from the north " but " Brâhmanas living in the north " as Chalmers (*Jâtaka* translation, Cambridge, 1895, pp. 178, 274, 308, 317) appears to suppose—is for this reason improbable that the scene of action of these narratives, in which *udicca brâhmanas* occur, is the kingdom of Kâsi. Moreover, in the *saccamkara Jâtaka* (I. 324) these very words occur : *Bodhisatto pi kho taamim kale Kâsiratthe udiccabrâhmanakule uibbattitvâ* ; " now even the Bodhisatta was at that time born in a northern (or north-western) Brâhmana family in the kingdom of Kâsi."

the Mangala Jâtaka (I. 371 sq.) such an *udicca b'âhmana* is placed in direct
 [p. 139] opposition to a worldly Brahmana. The latter, a *sâtakalakkhana brâhmana*, i.e., a Brâhmana who can read the future from signs which are found in articles of clothing, learns one day that a dress which was kept in a box and which he wants to wear, is eaten by a mouse. He reflects " If this dress which is eaten by a mouse remains in the house, there will be very great misfortune, for it is a very bad omen. Also one cannot possibly give it to a child or a slave, for whoever wears this brings ill-luck to the whole of his surroundings. I will throw it into a cremation ground, but I will not give it to any of my slaves, for he may desire to have it and keep it with him and thereby bring mischief. I will make it over to my son." He calls his son and after he has explained the thing to him, he enjoins him not to touch the cloth but to carry it with a stick and throw it away into the cremation ground ; after this, he should wash his whole body and return. Shortly before the son reached the cremation ground, the Bodhisatta reborn as *ndicca brâhmana* had gone there and sat near the gate. As the young man threw down the cloth, he took it up. The young Brâhmana narrated this to his father and the latter went to the

Bodhisatta and pressed him to throw away the cloth, as otherwise he would be ruined. The *udicca brdharma*, however, taught him that a cloth thrown into the cremation ground was good enough for him, that he did not believe in premonitory signs and that no wise man should cherish such superstitions.

Even in the *Mahâsupina Jâtaka* (I. 334 sq.) it is an *udicca brdharma* who explains to the king the true meaning of his dreams and the deceit practised by the *Brâhmanas* in his service.

This predominance of north-western *Brâhmanas* over those of the eastern lands forms a sort of complement to the statements which we find in Brahmanical sources about the *Brâhmanas* of Magadha—and in it I might see a further support for my assertion that in north-eastern India in Buddha's time the orthodox *Brâhmanas* were not the chief representatives of their caste but *Brâhmanas* who were unworthy, as estimated by the Brahmanical view. The name of these is in the *Brâhmana* texts (*Aitareya Br.* VII. 27) *brahmabandhu* and by this name the *mdgadhadesiya brahmabandhus* are expressly called.¹ The low opinion here formed

¹ *Kâtyâyana*, XXII. 4. 22. *Lâtyâyana*, VIII. 6. 28. Cf. Weber, *Indische Studien*, Vol. 10, p. 99.

of the Magadha Brâhmanas may, in part, be due to the low estimate in which the western Brâhmanas held Magadha which was at a great distance from them and was not wholly Brahmanised; partly, also the Brâhmanas by their conduct may have acquired this bad reputation.

Unworthy Brâhmanas are in fact those whom we meet with in the Dasabrâhmana Jâtaka (IV. 861 sq)—unworthy, as judged by strict Brahmanical ideas, unworthy, also in the eyes of the Buddhists who were above caste-rules and who judged from the standpoint of their morality :

" In ancient times there reigned in the city of Indapatta in the kingdom of Kuru, King Koravya of the family of Yuddhitthila. He was advised by his minister Vidhâra in worldly and spiritual things. The king made large gifts, whilst he set the whole of India in motion, but not a single person among the recipients possessed the five moral qualities and they all led bad lives, so that the king got no pleasure from his liberality. As he knew that gifts had only effect when there was a right choice (of recipients), he determined to give only to virtuous people and ask the advice of the wise Vidhûra. When, therefore, the latter came to have an audience with him, he gave him a seat and asked his advice:

" Seek Brâhmanas, O Vidhûra, that are virtuous and learned, who eschewing sensual pleasures would enjoy my gifts ; gifts, O friend, we will make where what is given will bear rich fruit."

" Very difficult to find are Brâhmanas, O king, that are virtuous and learned, who, eschewing sensual pleasures, would enjoy your gifts.

" Verily, there are ten classes of Brâhmanas, O king. Hear when I distinguish and classify them clearly : Provided with sacks which are filled and bound with roots, they gather herbs, bathe and mutter aphorisms. Physicians (tikic-chakas) they resemble, O king, even if they call themselves Brâhmanas; they are now known to you, O great king, to such we will go (with our gifts)."

" Strayed have they," replies King Koravya " from Brahmanism, they are not called (rightly) Brâhmanas; seek others, O Vidhûra, virtuous and learned,

Who giving up carnal pleasures would enjoy my gifts; gifts, O friend, we will give where what is given will bear rich fruit.

" Little bells they carry before you and ring, messages also they carry and they know how to drive wagons. Servants (paricârakas) they resemble, O king, they are also called Brâhmanas ; they are known to you, O great king, let us go

to such men." " Strayed have they, etc. (as above),"

" Carrying a waterpot and a bent stick they run behind the kings into the villages and the country-towns, saying—

' If nothing is given, we will not leave the village or the forests.' Taxcollectors ' (niggâhakas) they resemble." etc. (as above).

" Strayed have they, etc. (as above)."

" With long nails and hair on the body, filthy teeth, filthy hair, covered with dust and dirt, they go out as beggary.

Wood-cutters (khânughfttas) they resemble,"

[p. 142] etc. (as above).

" Strayed have they," etc. (as above).

" Myrobalans,² mango and jack fruits, vibhitaka nuts,³ lakuca fruits,¹ toothpicks, bilva fruits,⁵ and planks, rājâyatana wood," baskets

¹ AH the tax-collectors sit down in front of the gates of the taxpayers and do not leave until the tax is collected, so the Brâhman as do not reuse bogging till they are paid,

² Haritaka and âmalaka are the fruits of *terminalia chebula* and *cmblicrs officinalis*. Both were used as medi incs. The sale of fruits and herbs was forbidden to the Brâhmanas in Manu X. 87. Honey and ointment also were among the articles which the Brâhmanas were not allowed to deal in.

³ The fruit of *Terminalia Bellenica* Roxb. The kernels of these are odoriferous.

⁴ A tree belonging to the Citraen order, the unripe fruits of which are used as medicines.

⁵ *Artacarpas Lacucha* Roxb.

⁶ *Buchanania Latifolia* ?

made of sugar, scents, honey and ointment, the most diverse wares they sell, O Lord."

"Tradesmen (vâñijakas) they resemble," etc. (as above).

"Strayed have they," etc. (as above).

"Agriculture and trade they carry on, they breed goats and sheep, their daughters they give away (for money), marriages they arrange for their daughters and sons.

"The Ambattha¹ and vessa they resemble," etc. (as above).

"Strayed have they," etc. (as above).

"Some purohitas eat food brought from outside, many people ask them (regarding omens), animals they castrate and lucky signs they prepare."

"Sheep are also slaughtered there (in the houses of the purohitas), as also buffaloes, swine and goats ; slaughterers (goghâtakas) (hey resemble," etc. (as above).

"Strayed have they," etc. (as above).

"Armed with the sword and the shield, axe in hand, they stand in the roads of the vessas (*i.e.*, in the business streets), lead the caravans (through roads exposed to robbers).

"Cowherds (gopas) they resemble and *nisâdas*, etc. (as above)."

¹ Skr. Ambashtha, name of a race. According to the Brahmanioal caste-theory, son of a Brâhman a by a woman of the third caste.

" Strayed have they," etc. (as above).

" Building huts in the forest,
[p. 143.] they make noose; hares, eats,
lizards, fish and tortoises they kill.

Hunters (luddakas) are they, O great king,
even they," etc. (as above).

" Strayed have they, etc. (as above).

" Others lie, for love of money under the bed
of kings; the latter bathe over them after a
soma offering is ready.

" Bathers (malamajjanas) they resemble, etc.
(as above)."

" Strayed have they, etc. (as above)."

An appendix attached to the Dasabrâhmana
Jâtaka gives a sketch which in the Vasettha
Sutta (No. 35 of the Sutta Niâta)—indirectly
at any rate—is made of worldly Brâhmanas.
Between the two youths Vâsettha and Bhârad-
vftja a dispute arises as to whether a person
is a Brâhmana by birth or by act Whilst

¹ The verse describes in aphoristic brevity the celebration of a
sacrificial bath by which the king on the occasion of a soma-sacrifice
instituted by the Brâhmanas, becomes free from blame and sin. He
sits—so explains the commentator—on a platform adorned with the
precious stones and bathes on it, whilst Brâhmanas stand below if.
By this the impurity and blame of the king pass over to the Brâhmanas
standing below who then sit on the platform at the termination of the
sacrifice and are washed off all blame by other Brâhmanas. As rewards
they receive the costly bed and the whole jewellery of the king. On the
sacrificial bath and its original meaning, cf. Oldenberg, *Religion des
veda*, p. 407, sq.

Bhâradvâja maintains, " when anybody is of high birth on both sides, on his mother's side as well as on his father's, is of good family up to the seventh ancestor, blameless and irreproachable in respect of birth, he is *ipso facto* a Brâhmana," Vâsettha sees true Brahmanism in virtue and in good works. As they cannot convince each other, they resolve to have their dispute settled by the samana Gotama. The latter points out in his answer, that in contrast with other living beings who are divided into several species, human beings are not distinguished by external characteristics ; the differences among men lie only in their names.

" For he who earns a livelihood by cattle-breeding—know this, O Vâsettha is an agriculturist and no Brâhmana.

" And whoever among men gets a living through a many-sided skill in arts—know this, O Vâsettha—is an artist (*sippika*) and no Brâhmana. And whoever among men ekes out a living through service which he renders others—know this, O Vâsettha—is a servant (*pessika*) and no Brâhmana. And whoever among men lives by trade—know this, O Vâsettha—is a tradesman (*vânija*) and no Brâhmana.

" And whoever among men lives by skill of arras—know this, O Vâsettha—is a warrior

(Yodhvâjîva) and no Brâhmana. And whoever among men earns a living as *purohita*—know this, O Vâsettha—is a sacrificer (Yâcaka) and no Brâhmana. And whoever among men gets his rents from villages or lands—know this, O Vâsettha—is a king (râjan) and no Brâhmana."

Both the quotations show that the Brâhmana caste constituted an extremely parti-coloured society and was anything but a body of priests who studied or taught the Vedas and offered sacrifices to the gods. Whether all the professions mentioned therein were followed by them, is another question. Especially, the picture given by Vidhura may be a prejudiced and exaggerated one, and it is also to be considered that the *purohita* only says, "They resemble physicians, servants, collectors of taxes, etc.," and not that they were actually so. Nevertheless many details receive confirmation through other passages of the Jâtakas, where a subjective colouring on the part of the narrator is out of the

question for this reason that the statements concerning caste and profession are made parenthetically and are of secondary importance for the flow of the narrative.

According to the commentary of Sâyaija on the Aitareya¹ Brvmana, six categories of

¹ Ed. by Kâsnâthe sâstr Apâse (Anandâsrama Sanskrit Series, Ho. 32, Part I), Poona, 1896, p. 74.

Brâhmanas are distinguished in the Smṛiti of Sâtâtapâ. These, although Brâhmanas by birth, are not worthy of being so, and in the first place, among these improper Brâhmanas, the servant of the king (*rajabhṛitya*) is reckoned.¹ Probably, the sense of this passage is not directed against the service of the king as such—the work of the *purohita* did appear in the eyes of the Brâhmanas as a perfectly legitimate occupation—but against such services as are attributed to the Brâhmanas in the *Dasabn\hmana Jâtaka*. Surely, we must leave to Vidhûra in this case the responsibility for his statements, as further materials from which one might conclude that the Brâhmanas really had those low occupations which Vidhûra attributed to them, are not to be found in the *Jâtakas*. It is with difficulty that such individuals as figured as servants, messengers, carriage drivers of the king—although they might be found in particular cases—can be looked upon as the type of Brâhmanas in the king's service.

In the first place, the king employed Brâhmanas even in the eastern lands—at least in the old Buddhistic age—for sacrifice; for whenever, in general, a sacrifice was made Brâhmanas must be present who made the gods

¹ Cf. Weber, *Indische Studien*, Vol. 10, p. 100.

willing to accept the offerings. That, however, the practice of making offerings was in full bloom in Buddha's time, appears certainly from the criticism which is offered in the older Pali texts to the Vedic cult of sacrifice. It cannot have been a difficult problem for Buddhism to discredit sacrifice among the people, if it has had no other meaning than that which is ascribed to it in the Jâtakas. Here it preserves completely its sacerdotal character and is lowered to the rank of a magic art for protection from threatening evil. In the Mahâsupina Jâtaka the king makes an offering in order to prevent the effect of evil dreams. The Brâhmanas and the *purohita* come in the morning to the king who sits in his place full of thoughts of death and reflects on the sixteen dreams, and ask him if he has slept well. "How could I have slept well, my teachers," answers the king, "when towards morning I dreamt sixteen great dreams. Since then I have been full of tear; tell me, my teachers, what they signify." Then he narrates to them his dreams and asks what will happen to him in consequence of these. The Brâhmanas wring their hands. On the king asking, "What are you wringing your hands for?" they reply, "The dreams are bad, O great king." "What will result from them?" The Brâhmanas reply

that of the three evils—injury to the kingdom, injury to life, injury to property—one will happen. "Is there any means of preventing it, or is there none?" "In truth, the dreams are so extraordinarily frightful that there is properly no means of preventing their consequences. However, we will find some preventive means; for if we could not do this, what would be the use of all our learning?" They advise the king to perform a complete fourfold (sabbachatukkena) sacrifice. Full of fear, the king says, "So is my life in your hands, my teachers; make haste and look after my welfare." The Brâhmanas are highly pleased at the prospect of gold and feasts. They console the king, saying that he should not have any anxiety and go out of the city where they prepare a place for the sacrifice (yaññâvâta). After they have brought a number of quadrupeds to the place of sacrifice and have also collected a number of birds, they move about busily to and fro to bring this and that.

In the Lohakumbhi Jâtaka, it is not dreams which frighten the king but moans from the four sons of the king condemned to live in hell who *in* a former existence led a loose life. Here also the Brâhmanas advise a fourfold sacrifice (sabbacatukkayañña, I I I . 44) in order to avert the impending misfortune and the king orders

immediately to take four elephants, four horses, four bulls and four men and of all other creatures, quails, etc., any four samples and in this way to institute a complete fourfold sacrifice. "When the king later learns the true cause of the moan, he causes the place of sacrifice to be destroyed.

The conclusion of this Jâtaka as well as similar narratives—for instance, the story of the prince who terminates a sacrificial ceremony in his kingdom by a tournament and further, the prohibition of the slaughter of animals in the Ayakûta Jâtaka (III. 146)—point to this, that with the spread of Buddhistic doctrines the cult of sacrifice gradually declined. For the older age, however, quite apart from the fact that the origin of such stories is to be traced rather to the tendency of Buddhistic doctrines against the killing of living animals than to actual facts, we have to suppose an adherence to the practice of sacrifice for this reason, that we see Brâhmanas always appearing among the king's retinue.

But the kings required the Brâhmanas not simply for sacrifice. Manifestly not less important for them was a service the performance of which is even to-day in India an affair of the Brâhmanas,¹ namely, the prediction of

¹ Cf. the remark made below.

the future. Although the king in difficult cases, especially, when he had to decide on war and peace, first betook himself to his *purohita*, there remained also for the other Brâhmanas in his court enough opportunity for displaying their supernatural wisdom. Whether the king will take the field or not, whether the king remaining in the city or the king besieging him will attack, whether the king besieged in his city or the one who is outside will win—with such prophecies the Brâhmanas used to earn their livelihood, according to a Buddhist treatise?, called Mahâsîla, on the "right conduct" (sîla) of a *asmana* or Brâhmana (Digha Nikâya I I, 58).

On the birth of a king's child, it seems to have been a standing custom to have the future of the child predicted by Brâhmanas. Signs (*lakshana*) in the body of the newly-born served to the Brâhmanas versed in reading signs (*Lakshana-kusalâ Brâhmanâ*, I. 272; *angavijjapâthakâ*, II. 21; *lakshanapâthakâ*, II. 191; *nemittika-br&hman&*, IV. 79; *nemittâ*, IV. 280) for the deciphering of the future.

Also in interpreting the whims of the queen during the period of her pregnancy, the Brâhmanas had to show their skill. In the introduction to the *Thusa Jâtaka* (III. 121) King

Bimbisâra asks the fortune-teller (nemittika) what the whim of the queen, who wants to suck the blood from his knee, has for its significance. The fortune-teller's reply is that his son will kill him and take the kingship into his own hands. On the day of naming, the child is called, on account of this, Ajâtasattu, *i.e.*, one who though unborn is still an enemy (of his father).

As at the birth of a child, so also on other occasions, the Brâhmanas know how to find out from the physical signs of any ordinary mortal what is hidden from view. As *angavijjdpâthakas*,¹ they are in a position to judge from the external appearance not only the future of a man but also his worth, his character. For this reason, the king sends Brâhmanas, as narrated in the Ummadantî Jâtaka (V. 211), to the house of the father, a rich setthi who offers his extremely beautiful daughter, Ummadantî² to him, in order that they may examine the offered beauty. In a most charming manner it is described how the

¹ - Skr angavidy + pâthakâ, " versed in the science (of the signs) of the body, chiromancy."

² - Skr. Ummâdayant, " causing one to lose one's senses, become distracted." Her beauty was, as said in the course of the Jâtaka, of such a nature that ordinary men (puthujjanâ) when they saw her could not preterre their self-control.

Brahmanas perform their mission. Whilst they, after an honourable reception, are engaged in eating their porridge, Ummadantî appears, decked with all her jewellery. The effect of the sight of her makes it impossible to entertain any favourable opinion of the strength of character of the Brâhmanas ; they lose their self-control and seized with passion, forget that they have not yet finished their meal.

Some put their food on their head instead of into their mouth, others put it into the arm-pit, others, again, throw it towards the wall, in short, all lose their senses. When the girl sees their conduct, she cries out, " These should examine me for my signs ! Seize them by the throat and drive them out.* The ejected Brâhmanas report angrily to the king, " O king, the woman is a witch, she is not suitable for you."

We notice clearly enough that ridicule is thrown upon the incapacity and treachery of the Brâhmanas in the words of the narrative. To see in such things, as fortune-telling, interpretations of dreams, etc., only lying and deception, shows that these stories are a product of their age and their land. Originating in the circles of the common people in whose religious thought superstition occupied a large place, they retain traces of their origin notwithstanding

complete rejection and deprecation of superstitious ideas. But in the hands of the Buddhist monks to whom the above-named arts appear as endangering "right conduct" and as unworthy of a samara or Brâhmana, they receive a transformation which is directed against the conduct of the Brâhmanas practising these things for the sake of their own profit. Often these, according to the account of the Jâtakas, made their prophecies to a certain extent depend upon the gifts falling to their share; thus we read in the Kunâla Jâtaka how the dream-readers are bribed by the jealous wives of the king and predict before the latter that the dreams of his principal consort signify evil for him, to avert which he must place the queen in a ship and leave it at the mercy of the waves. In the opening chapter of the Pañcâudha Jâtaka it is narrated how the parents of the new-born prince on the day of the naming ceremony please the Brâhmanas by granting all they desire before they ask him about the signs which indicate the future (brâhmane subbakâmehi santappetvâ lakshanâni patipucchimsu, I. 272).

Along with the signs in the human body, other means are mentioned in the already quoted chapter of the Dîgha Nikâya which contains a complete list of superstitious practices, which

serves the Brâhmanas for purposes of fortune-telling. The question here is of prophecies from things, like cloths eaten by rats, pieces of cloth, etc., of fortune-telling from the flights of birds, the crowing of the raven, from interpretations of certain signs in precious stones, sticks, clothes, swords, arrows, bows, weapons, in women and men, boys and girls, male and female slaves, elephants and other animals; there is further mentioned here the prediction of coming natural phenomena, such as solar and lunar eclipses, falling meteors, earthquakes, etc., and the reading of the future from such events and from the position of the stars. In the Jâtakas we come across various Brâhmanas who are occupied in practising such "common arts (tiracchânavijjâ) and swindling trades" (micchâjîva) as are indicated in the Mahâsîla; we have already been acquainted with the asilakshanapâthakabrâhmana who predicts from the smell of a sword whether its use will bring luck or not, and the sâtakalakshanabrâhmana who sees an unlucky omen in a cloth eaten by rats. Even the art of interpreting the stars—to which probably even a Buddhist will make no objection—was, according to the Nânacchanda Jâtaka, practised by the Brâhmanas in such a manner that it deserved the name of "a swindling trade." The king is attacked at night **by robbers**

and listens, while the former purohita of his father, now removed from office, who reads the stars in a neighbouring street, says to his wife, " My lady, our king has fallen into the hands of enemies." My lord, what does the king matter to you ; the Brâhmanas will become aware of it." The king succeeds in escaping and as he returns he hears the purohita inform his wife of his escape through the position of the stars. At daybreak the king summons the Brâhmanas and asks them if they observed the stars at night. "Certainly, O king." "Was the constellation favourable or unfavourable?" "Favourable, O king." " Did no eclipse occur ?" " No, O king." The king orders the former purohita to be brought and asks him

[P. 151. J

likewise whether he observed the stars at night and whether he noticed any eclipse. " Yes, O king, last night you fell into the hands of your enemies, you were free, however, in a moment." " This is a reader of the stars (nakshattajânanaka), as he ought to be," cries out the king, removes the other Brâhmanas from his service and from that day onward keeps the *purohita* to himself.

Sacrifice and fortune-telling seem, however, to have been both not so valuable as a third art which was likewise a privilege of the Brâhmanas, namely, magic. We have heard

above (p. 120) what the king replies to the Brâhmana, manifestly shocked at his shameless demands :

"Hast thou, O Brâhmana, performed a difficult penance, or dost thou possess, O Brâhmana, various magic incantations; are any demons obedient to thee or dost thou know any service rendered to me?"

As sacrifice and fortune-telling, so also the three herein-mentioned things from which the Brâhmana, according to the view of the king, could have found a justification of his unlimited claims—asceticism, magic incantations and power over demons—are a work of supernatural powers. Through the magical power of asceticism (tapas) the Brâhmana could obtain ascendancy even over the gods which it lay in his hands to use for the king. What a great rôle asceticism plays in Brahmanical literature, how here, especially, in the epics, its influence as transcending all bounds is described, is known.¹ Buddhism preaches asceticism² in its

¹ Cf. L. V. Scheseder, *Indiens Literatur und Cultur*, Lpg. 1887, p. 388 sq.

² " What separated Buddha above all things from most of his rival was his rejection of penances in which these recognised the path of emancipation. We saw how according to tradition Buddha himself in the age in question, through which he lived as a boy, knew self-mortification in its severest form and perceived its worthlessness in himself. What drives earthly thoughts away from the soul is not fasting and bodily penances but work for its own sake, above all, the struggle for

dogma and even in the Jâtakas, the self-chastising Brâhmanas are attacked and ridiculed.¹ But asceticism with its magic influences has found recognition even among the Buddhists in a somewhat different form and consequently, also has found entrance into our story-literature. In place of penances there appears the holiness resulting from vision by whose power wonderful things are achieved and even gods are tranquillised and forced to give up their seat in heaven.

As we don't find asceticism—perhaps even for this reason—mentioned among what are called in the Mahâîa "low arts and swindling practices," magic incantations, the knowledge of which among the Brâmanas was taken for granted by King Junha, were looked upon as such by the Buddhists. The long list of magic incantations enumerated in the Mahâîl shows that the most ancient practice of magic was widely prevalent among the Brâmanas; of some of these *mantas* and their employment we read even in the Jâtakas. In the Vedabbba Jâtka (I. 253) we meet with a Brâhmana who being in possession of the knowledge of *vedabbhamanta* can bring

knowledge and for this struggle one creates the force only out of an external life which is as far removed from sensuality as it is from self-denial or even self-created pain." Oldenberg, *Buddha*, p. 178.

¹ See above (p. 23).

about a rain of precious stones at a certain position of the stars ; another Brâhmana knows the magic practice with the help of which one conquers the earth (pathavijayamanta, I I . 243). In the same category as the knowledge of these magic formulae belong sciences, mentioned likewise in the Mahâsîla, like knowledge of people and animal languages, which are ascribed in the Maccha Jâtaka to the purohita, (so pana habbaru-taññu hoti, I. 211) and further, the *vatthuvijjâ*, i.e., the art of knowing through supernatural signs the correct position of a house, a cloth, etc. In the Suruci Jâtka the king who wants to build a palace for his son summons the teachers of this art (vatthuvijjâcariyas, IV. 323) and lets them find an auspicious place for the building.

To magic incantations the Brhthmanas owe also the power over demons ascribed to them in the Junha Jâtaka. The ancient belief in an innumerable number of small super-terrestrial beings, who as tree or snake gods endanger the life of man, frighten him as man-eating or child-robbing demons or torture him as disease-bringing spirits, occupies naturally in our narratives, which reflect the conceptual world of the lower people, an important place and the art of making these beings harmless or useful through magic practices—a privilege of the Brâhmanas as old

as **the belief** in the demons itself—received also in Buddha's time no small recognition among **the** people. These people who had power over demons are called in the Pali texts *bhûtavejjas*, **knowers** of the science of the spirits (*bhûtavijjâ*), exorcists; such a *bhûtavejja* we come across in the Padakusulamftnava Jâtaka : the thief who sees an old woman in the hole where he has placed his stolen bundle, believes that she is a *yakkhini* and calls a *bhûtavejja*. The latter enters the hole and recites a magic verse (*man-tain karonto*, III. 511). The art of exorcism was chiefly employed where the question was of freeing the " possessed " of the evil spirit dwelling in them. " Some cure men bitten by snakes, the wise cure people possessed by evil spirits," so it is said in a verse of the Kâmanita Jâtaka and the method of cure used by the wise Brâhmanas (*panditas*) is mentioned in the commentary: making sacrifice (*balikamma*), incantations **for** preventing threatened evil (*parittakaranas*) and herbs (*osadhas*). " Physicians they resemble," says Vidhûra in the Dasabrâhmana Jâtaka of these herb-gathering and verse-uttering Brâhmaijas, and it is probable **that** not only **certain** branches of the curative art, such as, **exorcism** practised upon a person bitten by a **snake and** expulsion of evil **spirits, were practised by the Brâhmanas, but that the medical**

profession in general, which among most people separated itself from the beginning from the spiritual, was in ancient times even in India principally a matter for the Brâhmanas. Still there occur in the Jâtakas, side by side with the Brâhmana physicians (*vejjabrâhmana*, I I . 213), some who are simply called *vejjas* (I . 455 ; I I I . 202 ; *visavejjaktila*, 1.310 ; *vejjakulâni*, I I I . 145) and who probably at a later period, through the unity of a hereditary profession, were bound together to form a caste by themselves.

Allied to the magic exorcists by the nature of his work is the Brâhmana snake-charmer (*ahigunthikabrâhmana* I V . 457) of the Campeyya Jâtaka. He has learnt the *âlambanamanta* in Takkasilâ, from a world-renowned teacher and earns a living by making snakes rendered harmless by means of herbs and magic incantations, dance in villages, market towns and the residences of kings.

As this snake-charmer exhibited his art not only in the court of the king but also among the people, so also the other "worldly" Brâhmanas with whom we have hitherto had to do, and as functions of whom we have come to recognise sacrifice, prophecy and magic, are not exclusively employed in the service of a king. As little then as to-day, when the sign-reading and

prophesying Brâhmana is an indispensable personality¹ for every Hindu, do the Brâhmanas scorn to give man, where they
 [p.155.] can, the benefit of their wisdom for the sake of reward.

In the Nakkhatta Jâtaka we become acquainted with a "family ascetic" (kulûpaka âjivika, I. 257) who is asked by a family living in the city, who wants to marry the son of the house to a country girl, on the day fixed for the marriage whether the position of the stars is favourable. Ansrry at the circumstance that the day was chosen beforehand and that people consulted him afterwards, the Brâhmana determines to spoil the festivities and

¹ On the importance of the modern astrologer, the *jytishi*, see Nestfield, *Caste System*. p. 58 87. it is said there among other things, "The first thng which a father after the birth of n child docs, is to go to the jyotishi and tell him as accurately as he can the hour of birth. The jyotishi questions the stars and casts the horoscope by which the destiny of the child is determined." " In the case of illness or other misfortune, the astrologer is asked whether an evil star is in the ascendant which may have brought about the misfortune. When the answer is in the affirmative, as is naturally always the case, then the man seeking advice is told that he must make a gift of money or make some other present to propitiate the hostile star, and as the astrologer is the recognised exponent of the feeliugg and wishes of the star, he estimates what one would not otherwise have supposed, the gift required for the propitiation of the hostile star. This then constitutes a portion of the astrologer's dues." For bringing about betrothals and marriages, the services of the astrologer were indispensably necessary. When the family barber or *napit* had chosen a boy whom he considered eligible for a girl of the same caste, then no negotiations could be concluded between

says, "To-day the constellation is unfavourable; if in spite of this, you perform the marriage, it will bring you evil." The people believe in him and remain at home. Those who were in the country waited in vain for them and finally reflected: "They have fixed the marriage for to-day and have not yet come: what do we care any more for them?"—and married the girl elsewhere. Next day the townspeople came to fetch the girl. The country people received them with the words, "You townsmen are a shameless people, you fix the day and don't take the bride. As you did not come, we have given her to somebody else." "We asked the ascetic and did not come because he told us that the stars were unfavourable; give us the girl." "As you did not come, we have given her to somebody else, how can we

the parents before the astrologer was asked whether the atari of the boy were not hostile to those of the girl. As if this was not sufficient, he must also find out what were the castes of the boy and the girl in their former existence. If both belonged to the same caste, the betrothal contract can be made, provided that the stars are not in other respect* hostile. If it happens, however, that the caste of the boy in a former existence was lower than that of the girl, then betrothal is not permitted." "For all events which can take place in the life of a man or a woman, the astrologer must select an auspicious day—for marriage, for every part of the marriage ceremony, for the commencement of a journey, for the placing of the *first* plough on the ground, etc. A woman cannot wear a new set of bracelets before she knows that the stars are favourable and an orthodox Brâhmana will not put on a new garment until he has ascertained that the day is auspicious on which he wean it for the first time."

marry a girl already given away a second time ?" When they were quarrelling in this way among themselves, a wise man residing in the town appears who has occasionally business in the country. The townsmen narrate to him the story and believed that he would pronounce a judgment in their favour that they could not come on account of the sign of the stars. He replied, however, "What does the look of the star matter? the possession of the girl is the lucky star " and recited the verse :

" As he looked for favourable stars, fortune moved away from the fool. Fortune is the look of the star of fortune, what should the stars matter ?"

The townspeople had to go away without the girl, disappointed.

Still instances of such a (so to speak) private use of their supernatural skill was not so common among the Brâhmanas of the Jâtakas that we could suppose that their services were as much sought by the people of that time, were as indispensably necessary, as in India of to-day. We rather get from our sources the impression that as a rule, the court of the king was the meeting-place for the Brâhmanas, where they could best exhibit the arts and sciences learnt by them during their student days. To bring prosperity again to his family, the young Brâhmana of the

Somadatta Jâtaka goes to Benares and joins the king's service after he has studied in Takkasîlâ and on his return finds his parents in poverty (II. 165). As this Brâhmana youth, so also probably, the other Brâhmanas in the court, have received a scientific training and have deviated less from the customs prescribed for their caste by the Brahmanical theory than the members of the Brâhmana caste with whom we are here concerned, than the Brâhmanas employed in civil professions.

"Agriculture they carry on, goats and sheep they breed," so Vidhura in the Dasabâhmana Jâtaka protests against the Brâhmanas, a protest which was wholly justified if we are to follow the Pali texts. The land-cultivating and cattle-rearing Brâhmana is here such a permanently recurring figure that it seems probable that in the Buddhist countries land was mostly in the possession of the Brâhmanas.

¹ Besides the passages cited from the Jâtakas, we find, for example, the Brâhmana agriculturist mentioned in the Sutta Nipâta (ed. Fausbøll. p. 12), where it is said of the Brâhmana Kasibhâradvâja, that at the time of sowing he tills his soil with 500 ploughs. In the Brâhmana Tillage (brâhmanagâma) which is mentioned as his place of residence, Brâhmana agriculturists must have lived, just as also probably the Brâhmana villages mentioned in the Jâtakas are to be looked upon as principally occupied by agriculturists. In the Suttavibhanga, Pâcittiya XIX. 1 and in the Bhikkhunivibhanga, Pâcittiya IX. 1. (Vinaya Pitaka ed. Oldenberg, Vol. 4, pp. 47, 266) mention is made of the barley fields (yavakhottas) of a Brâhmana.

Land-cultivating and cattle-rearing Brâhmanas are also not uncommon in Western India; the law-books recognised certain exceptional cases when this occupation was permissible for a Brâhmana in cases of dire necessity.¹ For that was a time when liberality towards Brâthmanas was a clear duty of the king and the amassing of wealth and the possession of land by the Brâthmanas were necessary consequences of this. Nevertheless, in the western lands the circumstance that the Brâhmanas tried to maintain at any cost their premier position among the castes, the position of an age-long holy and (through the privilege of offering sacrifice) premier caste, worked itself in this way, that this occupation, which was reserved, according to the theory, for the Vaisyas, was followed by private individuals and as quietly as possible, probably, also by means of leases. To cultivate the land, plough in hand, could not be a worthy thing for a Brâhmana, because with a plough the killing of living beings was unavoidable.²

Things were different in the eastern lands. Through the liberality of the Khattiyas in possession of great land, there were no such strict caste-rules restraining individual conduct and this would lead to a limited or cautious use

¹ Manu X. 116

² Manu X, 83 aq.

of the goods presented. At every step we find Brâhmanas driving: the plough [p. 158.] in the Jâtakas and not only in the Jatakas and not only such as had their lauds cultivated by slaves or day-labourers but also small farmers who worked their fields themselves. We read of a Brâhmana who goes along with his son to the field and ploughs it, whilst the boy collects the weeds and burns them (III. 103) ; another Brâhmana unyokes his oxen after ploughing and begins to work upon his land with a spade (V. 68). The poor Brâhmana farmer of the Somadatta Jâtaka who ploughs with two oxen complains, as one of his oxen is dead, that he cannot any more drive his plough (*kamkammam na pavattali* II. 165).

The big Brâhmana landowners have their fields cultivated by their slaves or by day-labourers. Of a *kassakabrâhmana* who is in possession of **1,000** karîsas¹ it is narrated that he goes with his men to the field and supervises their ploughing (III. 293). The Brâhmana mentioned in the salikedâra jâtaka (IV. 276) possesses likewise a field of 1,000 kirîsas on which he has sown rice. When the crop is cut, he makes the hedge thick and places his own **men** (*attano purisâ*) to guard one-half of his

¹ A certain superficial measure- four *ammanas's* ; cf. Childers, *Pali Dictionary*.

property by assigning fifty *karîsas* to one, sixty to another, while the remaining five hundred *karîsas* he puts in charge of a hired labourer (*bhataka*) who is punished for every loss.

More frequently than the *Kassakabrâhmana* we meet in the *Jâtakas* with the figure of the rich *Brâhmana* (*brâhmano addho mahaddhano*, TV, 15 ; *brâhmano addho mahaddhano mahâbhogo*. IV. 22 ; *dve brfâmamâ asâtikotidhanavibhavft*. IV. 28), whose wealth is given as 800 millions (II. 272; III. 39; IV. 28, 237). The *mahâsâlaknlas* mentioned in the *Jâtakas*, that is, families of great wealth and influence, are all *Brâhmanas* (II. 272; IV. 237, 325; V. 227). About the manner in which such great wealth arose and whether it was employed in business or money

[p.

159.]

transactions, our sources say nothing; the narrator mentions the immense wealth of the *Brâhmanas* as a rule only to show in its proper light their great renunciation of worldly goods or their boundless liberality. Still it seems to me we can suppose that by these rich *Brâhmanas* big landholders or princely merchants are to be understood; for through presents alone such enormous riches could hardly have accumulated in *Brâhmana* families; it is also not probable that these could be amassed without recourse to money transactions carried

on from generation to generation, as narrated in the Kanha Jâtaka (IV. 7).

Moreover, we make the acquaintance of such a Brâhmana merchant-prince in the Mahâsutasoma Jâtaka who being in possession of great wealth engages in trade, as he sends five hundred wagons from the east to the west (samppannavibhavo brâhmano pañcahi sakatasathi vohâram karonto pubbantato aparantain sañcarati V. 471). Along with this, we also read of Brâhmana tradesmen who roam about the country, selling their wares. A hawker like this is the father of the Bodhisatta of whom it is said in the Gagga Jâtaka that he was re-born in a Brâhmana family in the kingdom of Kâsi and that in his sixteenth year his father gave him a bundle of water-pots which they used to sell in the villages and the country markets (II.15).

To engage in trade when necessity requires it, is also permitted by the Brahmanical lawbooks; but a number of things is mentioned which it does not become a Brâhmana to deal in, such are, among other things, fruits, roots, medicinal herbs, honey, oil and spirituous liquors.¹ If we believe in the words of Vidhûra already quoted, it was precisely these and similar

¹ **Manu** X. 86-89; **Gautama** VII. 9 sq. ; **Apaatamba** 1. 20. 12.

things with the sale of which the Brâhmana tradesmen were principally concerned.

If agriculture, cattle breeding and trade were looked upon by orthodox Brâhmanas as respectable professions and even as permissible occupations for a member of their own caste, other callings, the adoption of which by the Brâhmanas is likewise mentioned in the Jâtakas, belonged undoubtedly to the class of despised professions, which were practised as a rule by the lower classes of the population. It is true we don't find any more in our text Brâhmanas of whom Vidhûra says in the Dasabrâhmana Jâtaka that they drive the caravans of tradesmen through dangerous places. On the other hand, the Brâhmana hunter mentioned by him is represented in the Cûanandiya Jâtaka by a young Brâhmana who lives in a frontier village, hunts in the forest with his bow and earns his livelihood by selling the hunted beasts (II. 200). Still it is expressly added in this case that the Brâhmana youth who has studied in Takkasilâ takes up this profession which is followed, as we shall see, by especially despised people, for example, the Nishâda, because he cannot earn a livelihood by any other means. In the Phananda Jâtaka, a Brâhmana carpenter (brâhmanavaddhaki, IV. 207) is mentioned who brings

wood from the forest and earns his livelihood by making wagons and lives in a carpenter's village (vaddhaktgâma) outside the city. The proximity of the forest from which they obtained the wood—a purely economical reason—may have been the cause of the carpenters living apart, outside the city; perhaps also this isolation had a social meaning and had its ground in the meanness of the profession which exposed it to the contempt of fellowmen and necessitated isolated residence in a village outside the city.¹ It is doubtful, however, whether this contempt spread even to the Brâhmana carpenter in whom his high caste served as a counterpoise to the meanness of his profession.

With the Brâhmana agriculturists, morticians, hunters and carpenters we leave the solitary height upon which is enthroned the Brâhmana, who is raised according to his own theory above all other members of society, and descend to the motley groups of people where the care for material existence drives out all spiritual interests and throws into the shade the question relating to birth and caste. Or, shall we suppose that even here the Brâhmana,

¹ On the **low** social position of the carriage-builder (rathakâra) **and** the isolation of contemptible classes, see the last chapter.

remembering the special rights and duties, arising from his belonging to the Brâhmana caste, separates himself clearly from the rest of the population, that along with his (so to speak) civil occupation he has practised sacerdotal functions and in that way has secured a certain superiority over other classes ? The Jâtakas give no instance from which such a double function can be inferred. Something must have been said somewhere in our sources of one of these Brâhmana agriculturists or tradesmen which related to specifically Brahmanical functions and stamped him as a Brâhmana. The poor Brahmana farmer of the Somadatta Jâtaka (II. 165) whom his son forces at great pains to commit to memory a verse and who at the decisive moment says before the king exactly the opposite of what he wants to say, does not give one the impression that he can help his neighbours with advice in spiritual things.¹ We have to suppose in that age gradations and contradictions within the Brâhmana caste similar to those which India of to-day shows, where a wide gap separates the proud priests of Benares and the pandits of Bihar in their spotless garments from the potato-cultivating Brâhmanas

With another *kassakabrohmana* (III. 393) the care which he bestows upon the purification of his mouth is perhaps regarded as a sign of his Brahman hood.

of Orissa, half-naked farmers whom no one would think of as belonging to their caste, if the ornamental piece of Brahmanical thread round their neck had not proclaimed this.¹

He, however, who does not think the *argumentum ex silentio* sufficient as a proof of this proposition, should be reminded of the relation in which, according to the Tali canon, the worldly Brâhmanas stand to the Buddhist monks. Of an opposition, no trace is to be found; the Brâhmanas stand in friendly relationship with the monks : they give them shelter, invite them and entertain them.² Even in the Jâtakas the intercourse between the Brâhmanas and Buddha—of such a thing mention is of course made only in the commentary—is throughout represented as friendly ; the Brâhmana agriculturist occurring in the introduction to the Kâma jâtaka (IV. 167) exchanges friendly words with Buddha when he comes to his field; at the sowing season he even promises that when the corn will be ripe he will give handsome alms to his order. Such a relationship is only conceivable if we suppose that these Brâhmanas are

¹ Cf. Hunter, Gegethr, Vol. 6, p. 193.

² Setavibhanna, Pârâjika IV. S. 11 : 9. 3. pacithiya XXXV. 1. Sehtiya 51 (Vira.ya pitska. W. Oldenderg Vol. 3. 10, 103 : Vol. 4, pp. 81, 197.

distinguished from the Buddhistic laity by nothing except their Brâhmana birth, that they further did not care much either for their Veda study or their sacrifice—these special duties of a "correct" Brâhmana—the performance of which would certainly have erected a barrier between them and the Buddhist monks.

CHAPTER IX

THE LEADING MIDDLE CLASS FAMILIES

There was always, however, even for the worldly Brâhmanas, a circumstance which prevented their being entirely merged in the mass of the population, namely, their Brahmanical birth and their belonging in consequence to the Brâhmana caste. The attempt to marry within their own caste and thus prevent a mixing with the lower elements which we showed above from the Jâtakas, would alone have sufficed to erect a barrier between these Brâhmanas and the rest of the population.

Much less sharply pronounced, there appears to us another line which, according to the theory of the Brâhmanas, divided in Indian society the Aryan Indians from the mass of dark-coloured aborigines.¹ We can suppose that at a very early

¹ Even from Brahmanical literature we get the impression that Indian society divided itself into two groups, namely, into Brâhmanas and Kshatriyas, on the one side, and Vaisyas and Sûdras, on the other, and that irrespective of the distinction based upon Aryan birth, the last two classes formed in the eyes of the two higher ones, a conglomerate mass with which neither the Brâhmana nor the Kshatriya came much in contact. Cf. Hopkins, *The Mutual Relations of the Four Castes, according to the Mânava dharmasûtram*. Imag. Diss., Leipzig, 1881, pp. 78, 82.

age a mixture between the Aryan and non-Aryan elements of the population took place—a supposition which will only be improbable when we look upon the Aryan Indians, belonging neither to the Kshatriya nor to the Brâhmana caste, as enclosed within fixed bounds and united to form a caste. The Indian caste-theory comprised them under the third caste, the caste of the Vaisyas ; as their occupations and duties, there are mentioned in Manu (I. 90), "cattle-breeding, distribution of alms, sacrifice, study, trade, lending money at interest and agriculture."

Now we meet with the expression Vessa (=8kr. Vaisya) in Pali texts but only in passages where we have to do with theoretical discussions about the caste-question which, as mentioned above, prove nothing for the real existence of a caste called Vessa. Nowhere do we notice in the Jâtakas—where we should expect to find it first, seeing that they get their materials so often directly from the people—an indication that as a matter of fact a caste which did not comprise Aryans belonging either to the Brâhmana or the Kshatriya caste, did exist. A caste, in the sense of the Brahmanical theory, the Vaisyas never became even in the western Brahmanical lands; originally, in the oldest Vedic age, a name for the class of

cattle-breeding and land-cultivating Aryan settlers, it served later the purpose of the theorising Brâhmanas to bind together the unlimited number of social groups.

An expression which is exactly similar in meaning to the word Vaisya and likewise comprehends a definite class of people, is the word *gahapati*¹ so very common in Pali literature. According to its etymology, it means "householder, head of a household," and denotes generally, if not always, a landlord or merchant-prince of high birth and wealth. We shall not be mistaken if we see in these *gnhapatis* in part the gentry of the land, the lower land-owning nobility, in contrast with the nobility which is related to princely houses, the Khattiyas, and in part the high and rich middle class families of the big cities which can be compared with the patricians of the imperial and industrial cities of the Middle Ages. Like the khattiyas, the gahapatis also seem to have distinguished themselves from the great mass of the population by a certain consciousness of position and by pride in their Aryan descent. The son of the *setthi gahapati* Yasa is called in the Mahâvagga (I. 7. 7) *kulaputta*, a youth of high birth, good family. Such a *kulaputta* must, whenever

¹ Skr. *grihwpati*.

possible, marry in an old and rich family; the parents take care to avoid a *mesalliance* and bring for the grown-up son a girl of good family (Bodhisatto Bârânasito avidûre gâmake gahapatikule nibbatti. Ath assa vayappattassa Bârânasito kuladhâtaram ânesum II. 121). In the court of the king the (*gahapatis*, on account of their importance and wealth, played a significant part : either along with the ministers and Brâhmanas mentioned in the third place, or along with the last, they appear permanently in the retinue of the king.¹ At the coronation of the king there are represented : ministers, Brâhmanas, heads of households, citizens, gatekeepers, etc. (amaccâ ca brâhmanagahapatirattthikadovârikâdayo ca. II. 241).

This passage, where the *ratthikas* (=Skr. *râshtrika*, inhabitant of a kingdom, subject) are mentioned along with the *gahapatis*, shows that the concept *gahapati* is in no way identical with what, according to the Brahmanical caste-theory, is to be understood by *Faisya* ; for to this caste, which embraces all Aryan Indians with the exception of Kshatriyas and Brâhmanas, the *ratthikas* would have to be looked upon as belonging,

Not only in the jâtakas but also in other Pali texts, for example, **Mahâragga** I. 22. 3 (Vinaya **Pitaka** ed. Oldenberg, Vol. I, p. 36).

as well as the citizens (negamas) and farmers (jānapadas), who in another place (in the Nigrodhamiga Jātaka 1. 152) are counted among the "householders" as subjects of the king. For the Indians, subject to the influence of the (Brahmanical) theory and inclined to schematise, the *gahapalis* appear nevertheless as a caste, as, in fact, the third caste, corresponding to the Vaisyas of the Brahmanical system, as in the enumeration¹ of castes they very often appear in the third place after the *khattiyakulas* and the *brâhmanakulas*. A justification of such a schematism lay in this, that this class also through the value it attached to pure descent and through the prohibition of unequal marriages, liked to form a close body and showed a faint resemblance with the Brâhmana caste in this, that the *jāti* of a *gahapati* was hereditary, that a *gahapati* who through the loss of his fortune was ruined and was forced to maintain himself by following lower occupations, still remained always a *gahapati*. We read of one such *gahapati* who deals in vegetables (*pannikagahapati*, III. 21 ;

¹ Thus, in the already cited narrative of the *chabbaggikas* which is identical with Cullavagga, VI. 6. 2. (Cf. further Mahaparinibbala Sutta V. 24 (Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. s, p. 142): *Anandakhattiyapandith brôhmonapaivliô pi gahapatipanditô pi abhippasannâ* ; Mahāvngga, VI. 28.4 (Vinaya Pitaka, ed. Oldenburg, Vol. 1. p. 227) : *yadi khattiyaparisam yadi brâhmanaparsam yadi gijhapatiparisamm yadi samanaparisam arisôrado upasmkamati*.

IV. 446), of another poor *gnhapati* who maintains himself and his mother with difficulty by working as a hired labourer (III. 325).

But this hereditary character of the position, combined with a special value attached to purity of blood do not in my opinion suffice to make the *gahapatis* appear as a caste ; in our eyes they can only be regarded as a special class, as a special rank and not as a caste, the characteristic marks of which are lacking: apart from marriage within the limits of the class, we don't see any common customs, not to speak of any judicial powers, which would punish any transgression of caste-rides by exclusion from society.

Almost in the same sense as *gahapati* is the expression *kutumbika* used; this also denotes members of the citizen class, as a rule like *gahapatis*, wealthy citizens at the head of a household (*kutumba*). Connection **with** such a *kutumbika* family appears to have been considered suitable by the rich and aristocratic families : a leading citizen (*nagaravâsi kulaputta* I. 196) seeks the daughter of a *kutumbika* living in a village for his son. The *kutumbikas* living in the town engage in trade, according to the *Jâtakas*. Thus, in the *Sâlaka Jâtaka* it is said of the Bodhisatta that he is reborn in a *kutumbika* family and maintains his **livelihood**

by dealing in corn (dhaññavikkaya II. 2G7). Several times mention is made of money-transactions which the *kutumbikas* who are residents of a town carry on ;with the country. Over and above the *kutumbika* from Sâvatthi, mentioned in the *paccuppaunavatfhu* of the Suceaja Jâtaka (III. 66), who goes with his wife into the country in order to collect debts, there is mentioned in the Satapatta Jâtaka a *kutumbika* who lends a villager 1,000 kahâpanas (II. 388). The sons of another *kutumbika* determine after the death of their father to administer his goods and collect his assets; they go to the village and return after they collect 1,000 kahâpanas.

The most important and aristocratic representative of the gahapati class is the setthi. Although he appears to us, at least according to the Jâtakas, in the court of the king in whose service he is, we have not yet counted him among the king's officers, because he does not properly belong to the class of *râjabhoggas*, officers of the king, but a gahapati does²;

¹=Skr. sreshtin which is generally rendered by "the chief of the guild."

² The office of a setthi seems to be permanently occupied by a gahapati. Nowhere is it mentioned that a member of another caste or class, such as, a rich Brâhmana has held this position. If not in the brief form setthi of the setthi gahapati mention is made, in Vinaya Pifcaka, Mahavagga 1. 7.7; VIII. 1. 9,13; Cullavagga VI. 4.1 sq.

ho appears to play a double part, an official and a private part. In the Vinaya Pitaka the *setthi* plays an important private rôle; he appears throughout as a respectable tradesman enjoying a special position of honour among the members of his profession; such, for instance, was pre-eminently the much-quoted generous worshipper of Buddha, Anâthapindika. Still it is to be considered that in the Culavagga (VI. 4.1) it is said of him that he is the brother-in-law of "the *setthi* of Râjagaha"—an expression which in itself refers to an official position; also that Anâthaphsulika believes that his brother-in-law has invited King Bimbisâra to a banquet, speaks in favour of this supposition. Of the same *setthi* of Râjagaha it is said expressly in the Mahâvagga (VIII. 1. 16) that he rendered various services to the king as well as to the tradesmen (*bahûpakâro devassa c'eva negamassa ca*). In the Jâtakas the *setthi*, as already said, stands mostly in close proximity to the royal court. For the management of the finances of the State, for paying the army and the officials, for military operations, public buildings, etc., the king obviously consulted the opinion of a business man familiar with the trade affairs of the land; on **the** other hand, the commercial community

must also have tried to have its interests represented in the court and to watch carefully legislation and administration. Two purposes the *setthi*, the official "representative of the commercial community" at the king's court, served. In his official capacity he goes to the king's public audience (*râjupatthâna*. I. 269, 349; III. 119, 299 ; IV. 63), as said in another place, three times a day (*divasassa tayo vâre râjupatthânam gacchati* III. 175); in this capacity he takes (formal) leave of: the king when going out on a journey, and obtains the permission of the king when resigning his office or when he wants to renounce the worldly life and become a homeless ascetic (*pabbajjam meanujânâhi* II. 64)

Just as his social rank¹ was hereditary, so also the office (*setthitthâna*) of the father passed as a rule on to the
[p. 168.] son (I. 231, 248 ; III. 475).
Reborn in a *setthi* family, the Bodhisatta when

¹ Of *setthi* families mention is also made in the Vinaya .
Mahavagga I. 9. I has— *setthsnusetthinam kulsnam pattâ*. Whether by this *anusetthikula*, the * highest after the *setthi* families,' an the expression is rendered in the translation of Rhys Davids and Oldenberg (*Sacred Books of the East*, Vol. 13, p. J10). is meant, appears doubtful to me, as, according to the Jatakas, the *unusetthi*. in the same way as the *setthi*, seems to have held a fixed post in the royal court. In the *Sudhâbhojana Jataka* (V. 384) the *setthi* when he goes to the king, call on his way on the *annustihi* in order to take the latter with him.

he is grown up, sets up a household and obtains after the death of his father the position of a "representative of the commercial community" (setthikule nihbattitvâ vayappatto kutumbam santhâpetvâ pitu aecayena setthitthanam patvâ IV. 62).

Details of the duties and functions connected with this office we cannot obtain from our source. Possibly the king required him in order to exercise supervision over trade in accordance with the prescriptions of the lawbooks¹ and for the purpose of controlling through him the administration² of the laws relating to trade societies and guilds. Perhaps, along with this, he required of him personal service, management of money affairs, superintendence of the king's treasury; in any case,

¹ Mimū VIII. 40 1 sq : " He (the **king**) shall fix the sale price as well as the purchase price of all market commodities after carefully considering the place of their origin, their destination, the period of warehousing, the probable profit and loss. Every five days or at the expiry of a fortnight, the king shall fix the prices in the presence of experienced men "

* Manu VIII. 41 : " Versed in the law, he (the king) shall examine the laws of the castes and lands, the laws of guilds (sreni-dharma) and the laws of families and (so) fix the law for each of these (groups)—Cf. Hopkins, *Ruling Caste*, p. 81 : "Such associations"—namely, trade associations and guilds—" had their own rules and regulations which were under the supervision of the king; the king could (according to the theory) neither sanction law nor himself give laws which were opposed to the recognised laws or those **sanctioned** by **outtom**."

he seems by virtue of his immense wealth to have become indispensable to the king, as we find him constantly in his retinue. Out of the daily intercourse friendship sometimes grew between the king and his *setthi*, and just as was the case with the *purohita*, the fact of the office being hereditary in the same *setthi* family may have contributed towards making this family intimately connected with the ruling house. In the *Atthâua Jâtaka* (III. 475) the heir presumptive and the son of the *setthi* of Benares are playmates and are taught and educated in the house of the same teacher. Also after the prince has succeeded to the throne, the son of the *setthi* lives in his neighbourhood and later, after the death of his father, when he himself becomes *setthi*. he goes three times daily to the palace of the king and talks with him until nightfall. "Where is my friend?", cries the king as one day he misses the *setthi*.

In this official position as "a representative of the commercial community" the *setthi* does not appear always even in the *Jâtakas*, but appears here at times as a private gentleman, as a rich and influential merchant prince. A *setthi* living in Benares engages in trade and drives a caravan of live hundred wagons (I. 270); in the province (*paccante* I. 451; IV. 169) the *setthis*

living in the country (janapadasetthi IV. 37) for whom an official position is manifestly improbable, are mentioned in several places. How far these tradesmen differ from others, for example, from the caravan leaders (satthavâha), to be mentioned later, especially, whether they exercised any supervising functions with regard to these as "masters of the guild," does not appear from the Jâtakas; what we learn from our source is confined to a description of their wealth and their influence. The wealth of a setthi is given, like that of the rich Brâhmanas, uniformly as eight hundred millions (asâtikotivibhavo setthi, III. 128, 300, 444; V. 382), a statement which has very little value as a judgment concerning actual conditions, as, on account of the very little care which the Indians show for correct, or even approximately correct, numbers, any other great number would have had the same meaning, as we do not know what coin is to be added to this figure. Somewhat more accurately the wealth of a setthi is indicated, when in the Visayha Jâtaka (III. 129) it is narrated that Sakka, rendered uneasy by the charity of the setthi, destroys his entire wealth—money, corn, oil, honey, sugar, etc., even his slaves and hired labourers. As belonging to the household of a setthi, there are mentioned in

another place, besides wife and child, servants (parijana) and the headsman (vacchakapâlakâ). The cowherd of a setthi drives the cattle of his master into the forest when the corn begins to ripen, erects a stall for the cow and gives the milk from time to time to the setthi. If we add that occasionally the rice fields of a setthi are mentioned, it follows that we have to look upon the setthis not only as tradesmen but also as cattle-rearing and land-cultivating owners of the soil.

On account of the great wealth at his disposal, his influence manifestly extends outside the sphere of work of his own business ; it is true we do not find it expressly stated that he lends money at interest; we may, however, very well suppose that he gives the inn-keeper who " lives by him" (tarn upanissâyo eko varunivânijo jîvati, I. 252) sufficient means with which to carry on his trade in spirituous liquors. Even the tailor who lives with a setthi (setthim nissâya vasantassa tunnakârassa, TV. 38) may have stood in a similar relation to the maater of the house.

The desire to preserve wealth and respectability in the family may have strengthened the inclination and practice in setthi families of marrying within their own jâti and led to frequent marriages among themselves. The

setthi of Râjagaha, mentioned in the Nigrodha jâtaka, brings for his son the daughter of a *setthi* living in the country (IV. 37). The slave of the *set/hi* of Benares succeeds, as narrated in the Katâhaka Jâtaka, in winning by means of a false letter the hand of the daughter of a *seffhi* friendly to his master and living in the frontier. The letter which the slave has written himself and which he hands over to the business friend of his master begins with the words :

" The bearer of this letter is
lp. 171.]

my son N. N. I consider it
desirable that our children should marry with
each other" (*âvâhavivâhasambandho nâma
mayham tayâ tuyhañ ca mayâ saddhim patirûpo*,
I . 452).

Hand in hand with this regard for equal marriage and purity of blood, there goes in the the Khattiyas and the proud Brâhmanas, as well as in high *setthi* families, a deep contempt for people who are low either by profession or by race; especially, does this " caste-spirit " make itself felt with regard to the class of Candâlas, the pariahs in the then Indian society : We saw above what a shock the *setthi's* daughter gets when she learns that she has seen a Candâla and how very anxious she is to prevent the evil effects of this sight by washing her beautiful eyes.

The wealth and respectability of the *setthi* families brought it about naturally that the sons of such a family received a careful education ; it even appears from our source that they, partly at any rate, fulfilled the universal duty, according to the law-books, of the three higher castes, namely, that of studying the Vedas. The two sons of the *setthi* mentioned in the Nigrodha Jâtaka were sent to Takkasilâ to a teacher by the Setthi of Râjagaha and he paid the teacher 2,000 as honorarium (IV. 38); the already-mentioned young *setthi* occurring in the Atthâna Jâtaka, is instructed along with the prince by the same teacher (III. 475). It is true in both these cases it is only said that the youths " learnt the science " (*sipparp ugganhimsu*); still I think it probable that even in this passage by *εῖππα*, religious study is to be understood, because, as already said, among the disciples of Buddha the sons of rich and respectable families were in large measure represented—a fact which in my opinion is to be attributed principally to the participation of these circles in the spiritual activities of that age.

If these *setthi* families who were united through the common consciousness of rank, through the custom of contracting marriages with their own jâti and preventing mixture with

the lower castes, and further, through a common hereditary profession, present an appearance not wholly dissimilar to that of caste, there arise from the great mass of the people other social groups which through the appearance of another factor, external organisation, represent a still more sharply defined unity, namely, the associations of tradesmen and manufacturers comparable to our mediaeval guilds.

CHAPTER X

THE GUILDS OF TRADESMEN AND MANUFACTURERS

The existence of trade associations which grew partly for economical reasons—better employment of capital, facilities of intercourse—partly, for protecting the legal interests of their class is surely to be traced to an early period of Indian culture. When we read in the Dharmasûtras that the agriculturists, tradesmen, cattle-breeders, usurers (kusîdin) and manufacturers have their own special laws for their class which are authoritative for the king, we can infer from this with some certainty the organisation of trade and particular branches of it; in the later law-books, mention is expressly made of guilds (srei). Thus, it is said in *Manu* VIII. 41, that the king has to examine and determine the laws of the guilds. In the epics also the guilds appear as an important factor not only of the industrial but also of the political life.²

Still there is the question whether the economical conditions, as they are

[p. 173.]

described by *Manu* and in the epics, represent the view of culture unfolded by

¹ Gautama, XI. 21 : deējâtikuladharmâécâmnâyair aviruddhâh pramâgam krishivanikpasupâlyakusidakâravah sve sve Targe.

* Hopkins, *Ruling Caste*, p. 81 sq.

the Jâtakas, whether they can at once be assigned to the period of the older Buddhism. The systematised commercial law, as we find it in Manu, the rules relating to loans at interest, the institute of experts in sea-borne trade, the duties upon river-borne and sea-borne merchandise, all this presupposes a stage of economical development which appears to me to be centuries later than the stage of culture depicted in the Jâtakas.¹

It should not, however, be said that we have here the first beginnings of commercial transactions ; undoubtedly, the statements contained in the Jâtakas relate to oversea trade as well as to brisk inland trade. When in describing a caravan passing through sandy deserts, its march is compared with a journey through the sea (samuddagamanasadisam eva gamanam hoti. I. 107), when it is narrated that one entrusts the lead to a " land tax-collector " (thalaniyâmake) who directs the caravan with the help of astronomy, we find clearly expressed here acquaintance with navigation and the knowledge of the

¹ The opposite view is taken by Dahlmann in his book on the **Mahâbhârata**. According to him, there is " an extraordinary agreement between the culture-period represented in the Jâtakas and the Pali canon and that of the Mahâbhârata " ; " the blossoming of economical life," as described here, is, in his opinion, " in full agreement with the picture of culture in Manu." Jos. Dahlmann, *Das Mahâbhârata als Epos und Rechtsbuch*, Berlin, 1895, pp. 165, 180

starry heavens required for this. Also another thing which the Indians employed, like the seafaring Phoenicians and Babylonians of ancient times, for finding *the* direction during navigation, we find mentioned in the Jâtakas, namely, "direction-giving crows " (*disâkâka*); they showed the navigators, when they lost sight of the land, as they flew towards the land, in what direction the coast was to be found. On the high seas such a "compass" could not surely be of much use to the sailors for this reason it is narrated of the merchants in the Kâsi kingdom who have a *disâkâka* on board their ship, that they suffer shipwreck in mid-ocean (III. 267)—

but it is very useful for
[p. 174.] .

navigation along the coast

This, and not navigation in the open sea, is, I think, throughout meant when the Jâtakas speak of oversea trade. The disappearance of the coast after several days' navigation is especially brought into prominence and stated as a cause of shipwreck. "Five hundred tradesmen"—so it is said in the Pancjara Jâtaka (V. 75)—"went on a sea-veyage and suffered shipwreck on the ocean, as on the seventeenth day no land was to be seen; all on board, not one excepted, became the food of the fish." On the whole, we shall do well if we accept a bit cautiously this supposition of an extensive oversea trade. If there

really WAS regular trade¹ between India and countries like the Babylonian kingdom—whose **name** exists in the form *Bâverurattha* (III. 126)*—we should certainly have heard occasionally from the Jâtakas of the products of this land, of its inhabitants and their customs. The existence of the mere name shows that the city was known by name in the age to which our source relates; probably, Indian sailors went to Babylon and spread reports on their return home about the city and its wonders, so that from that time onward in every story in which the ship-wrecked played a part, the name of the city of *Bâveru* appeared, the mention of which served to make the listeners expect something wonderful. But oversea trade is not so frequently mentioned in the J&takas that one can talk of a *Samuddavanija* as a typical figure.

The plentifulness of great navigable waterways in Northern India allows us to assume an early development of internal maritime trade; still I have found it mentioned in only one place in the Jâtakas. In the sale of a ship's cargo mentioned in the Cullakasetthi Jâtaka (I. 126), the question is of wares unloaded at a port in the neighbourhood of Benares. The hero

¹ Cf. Dahlmaun, *Das Mahâbhârata*, p. 179.

* To the category of the quoted passages (I. 107; III. 126, 267; V. 75) belongs further the designation of a narrative (IV. 158) as *Samuddnrâniya Jâtaka*.

of the narrative, a youth of good hut poor family, learns from a sailor friend that a big ship has arrived at the port. He hires for eight kahâpanas a vehicle and drives with great pomp to the port. Here he buys the ship's cargo on credit and gives his signet ring as security; then he erects a tent close to the ship and after he takes his seat within it, he gives an order that if tradesmen want to see him they should only be admitted after a threefold notice. On the news of the arrival of the ship about a hundred tradesmen from Benares come to buy the cargo. They are told: "You cannot buy wares any more, for a great merchant who lives at such and such a place has bought them by advancing a deposit." When they hear this, they go to the youth and are admitted by the servants to his presence after a threefold notice in the way mentioned above. Everyone of the hundred tradesmen pays one thousand in order to receive a portion of the ship's cargo and finally in order to buy off his partnership, they pay each one of them for the rest of his goods a further 1,000. Thus the youth returns to Benares with 2,00,000 in his possession.

In the culture-period depicted in the Jatakas, overland trade seems to have far

surpassed in importance sea-borne trade. The tradesman who goes about the country with his caravan is in fact a typical figure in our narratives and, according to the statements in these, caravan traffic cannot have been small, either with regard to the distance traversed or with regard to wares carried. Big trade routes cross the land in all directions and carry on an exchange of goods between the several and (judged by their products and necessities) widely different parts of India; there was, especially, manifestly brisk trade between the eastern and the western parts. We read in the *Apannaka Jâtaka* of a caravan-leader (*satthavâtha*, I. 98) who goes from the east to the west with 500 wagon-loads and then returns. Tradesmen residing in Benares travel to Ujjani¹ in order to carry

[p.176.] on trade (II. 248). Also from trade relations between Kasmir and Gandhâra kingdoms, on the one side, and Videha land, on the other, we learn, as narrated in the *Gandhâra Jataka*, that the king of Videha inquires of the tradesmen about the health of his friend, the king of Kasmîra and Gandhâra (III. 365).

About the nature of the goods carried, the statements of the *Jâtakas* speak in a very

¹ — Skr. Ujjaini, the capital of Avanti.

uncertain voice. The narrator speaks mostly of five hundred wagons laden with valuable goods and leaves us to exercise our conjecture regarding the contents of these loads. Probably, the packages of the tradesmen contained cloths, for according to the Tundila Jâtaka there were in , the neighbourhood of Benares fields sown with cotton¹ (kâppâsakhetta I I I . 286) and this cotton was probably in Buddha's time chiefly used in the manufacture of goods in Benares.² On the other hand, cotton industry appears to have flourished in certain places in the west, as in the Mahâvagga of the Vinaya Pitaka ; Sivi cloth (Siveyyaka dussa VIII. 1. 29) is praised as specially valuable.

Famous were also the horses of the west, above all, the steed of Sindh (sindhava. I I . 288); according to the Jâtakas the kings of the eastern lands were provided mainly with horses brought from the north or the west; we meet very often in our texts with horse-merchants

¹ Cotton it even now found in places to the west of Benares as far as Agra. Cf. Table 13 in Bartholomew's *Hand Atlas of India*, Westminster, 1893.

* In the Mahâparinibbâna Sutta (V. 26) it is SAID that the mortal remains of a world-ruler were covered with folds of new cloths and torn cotton, and the commentator adds as an explanation of the expression *vihata kappasa*, " The cloth of Benares (Kasikavattha) on Account of the fineness of its texture, absorbs no oil."

who come from the north uttarâpathakâ aasavâniâ and sell their hoses in Benares (I. 124; II 31,287).

The insecurity of the road made the business of a ε 'tthnvâha at that time very troublesome and dangerous. Organized hands of robbers—in the 8attigumba Jâtaka a village containing five hundred robbers is mentioned (coragâmaka IV. 430), at its head stands the " oldest among the robbers (cdrajettthaka I I. 388)—lay in wait for the travelling tradesmen, especially in the forest, and forced them to employ armed men who for a salary led the caravans through the dangerous places.¹ Still the tradesmen were compensated for the troubles and dangers of caravan traffic by the great profit which, according to the Jâtakas, they made; in the Vannupatha Jâtaka it is stated that the caravan-leaders got twice or thrice their dues on the sale of their wares (bhaoclam vikkinitvâ dvigunnm eatuggunam bhogam labhitvâ, I. 109).

¹ The business of these forest-guards (atanârakkhika, II 335) formed ft profession by itself which was hereditary in the family and favoured an organisation similar to that of the guilds of tradesmen and manufacturers in this, that at the head of a number of forest-guaro families there was a leader (ârakkhikajettthaka): As we hare seen above, even Brâhmanas were driven into this profession according to the Dasabrâhmana Jataka.

If, according to the details given, trade exhibits in the Jâtakas, if not that stage of development which it shows in Manu, at least a high stage of growth, we should not be surprised at the mention of guilds (*seui*) in our text. It seems doubtful to me, however, whether from the few passages in which it is expressly mentioned, we can infer an organised guild life. Apart from the already-quoted passage from the Nigrodha Jâtaka, where it is said of the Royal storekeeper that he is worthy of the esteem of all guilds (*sabbasenhâin vicârauâraham bhamlâgârikatthânain* IV. 43), we find these also mentioned elsewhere (*sabbaseniyo* L 267, 314) but without any statement which allows any inference concerning their constitution or organisation. The *puccuppauarattha* of the Uraga Jâtaka gives some indication of a more developed guildhood ; mention is here made of a " guild quarrel" between two ministers in the service of the king and at the head of the guilds (*senipamukha*). For the older period, the period depicted in the Jatakas themselves, we can only speak with certainty of the presence of professional unions among the trading classes.

The families in which wholly fixed branches of trade were hereditary formed professional unions. At their head stands a leader (*jetthaka*) about whose

powers we learn no details, whose existence, however, indicates in itself an organisation of different branches of trade. Thus we read of the leader of the *caravan drivers* (satthavâhjetthaka, I I . 295); if we add to this the hereditary character of this profession—which is sufficiently indicated by such expressions as " family of caravan-drivers " (sattbavâhakula, I. 98, 107 ; I I . 200) and " son of a caravan-driver " (satthavâhaputta, I. 99, 194; 11.335), who on his own account, again, is a caravan-driver—then we have in my opinion two criteria which point to the existence of a close order of caravan-drivers. From the criterion of hereditariness alone, it seems somewhat bold to infer a compactness and a certain organisation of the branch of trade in question; mention is made of the hereditary character of the profession of a grain merchant (dhañña vâñjakula, I I I . 198); the business of a green-grocer was also hereditary in the family (pannikakula, I. 312).

In individual branches of the tradesmen's profession, their small stability may be the reason why we don't read anything of a close organisation ; thus, nowhere is mention made of an organisation of sea-faring traders. Also the more frequently-mentioned tradesmen who cry out their wares in the streets of the city—a pedlar

dealing in pots and pans (kacchapatavânija I. III) sells his goods with the cry "Buy water-pots, buy waterpots" —or go about the land (II. 109) with a donkey on which they place their wares, can hardly have enjoyed the privilege which the membership of a guild conferred.

In general, the details cited only prove indistinct traces of professional unions within the trading classes, only the first beginnings of a guildhood. With the gradual development of trade relations, the significance and inner compactness of the guilds deepened, and being similar to the castes on account of the traditional organisation and the hereditariness of membership, they gradually got, in course of time, as certain rules and customs with reference to marriage and inter-dining were developed, the appearance of real castes, especially, the Brâhmana caste, till they finally became the modern trading classes.

But even to-day we don't find in the trading class such a strict caste organisation as in other departments of economic life: the greater flexibility which the trading profession demands by its very nature, in contrast with other professions, the changes to which it is subject, seem to have stood in the way of a strict schematic organisation of this professional

More sharply pronounced appear in our text the divisions within the manufacturing classes according to the individual branches of the profession. Here appear circumstances which greatly favour a combination and organisation of particular unions. For manufacture, the hereditariness of the profession, which for trade was originally nothing more than a mere custom, was of essential importance; under the direction of his father the son is introduced to the technicalities of the profession which he is to adopt, from his early youth, and the manual skill, the talent for a particular handicraft, is inherited and increases from generation to generation. The taking up of a profession other than the ancestral one was manifestly unheard of among the manufacturers; not a single exception to the rule do we find mentioned anywhere in our source. "Son of a smith" (*kammâraputta*, is in the Pali texts (*Sutta Nipâta*, verse 83; *Mahâ-parinibbâna Sutta*, iv. 14) used as a synonym for a smith. Along with the families of smiths we find also other families of artisans in the *Jâtakas*; the hereditary character of the manufacture of pots is exhibited in the *Kacchapa Jâtaka*, where it is said of the *Bodhisatta* that he was reborn in a potter family (*Kumbhakâra-kula*, I I . 70) and maintained his wife and child

by manufacturing pots. Similarly it is said in the Kumhhakâra Jâtaka: "The Bodhisatta was re-born in a potter family in a village lying in front of the gate of Benares ; when he grew up, he became a householder and receiving from his wife a present of a son and a daughter, he maintained his wife and children by manufacturing pots." With a stone-grinder family also we become acquainted in our text : the Bodhisatta born in it understands his handicraft thoroughly when he grows up, as mentioned in the Babbu Jâtaka.

More even than the hereditariness of the profession there was another factor which contributed to the organisation of particular branches of trade,¹ namely, the local union and isolation which the different handicrafts, according to the Jâtakas, undoubtedly experienced. In the city, fixed streets were the place of residence of fixed tradesmen ; for example, ivory-carvers (dantakâra) had a street to themselves. It is narrated how a man

¹ For the supposition of a local union of different branches of trade the Jâtakas give no ground. A passage in the Mricchakatika of Sûdraka allows the conclusion that the Setthis lived in a special part of the town by themselves ; as in the second act the Vasantasenâ asks her escort Madanikâ whether she knows the name of her (Vasantasenâ's) beloved one, Madanikâ replies evasively, in a joking spirit, " He lives in the quarters of the big tradesmen " (setthisttare padirasadi).

reaches Benares and going about the town comes to the ivory-carvers' street and sees how ivory things are made by them in various forms (dantakâravâthim patvâ dantakâre dantavikatiyo kurumâne disvâ, I. 320). In another passage also the street of the ivory-carvers is mentioned: A poor man who lives in Benares sees how in the street of the ivory-carvers, ivory rings, etc., are made (dantakâravâthiyam dantavalîyâdam karonte disvâ) and asks, " Will you buy tusks from me if I bring some ? " As they answer in the affirmative, he kills elephants, takes out the tusks and maintains his livelihood by their sale.

Some trades were followed not inside but outside the town, although mostly in its proximity, and in villages which were occupied by members of one and the same profession. Such tradesmen's villages are often mentioned as being in the neighbourhood of Benares: "Not far from Benares"—so it is said in the *Alînacitta Jâtaka* (II. 18)—" lay a carpenters' village" (*vaddhakigâtma*). There lived five hundred carpenters. They proceeded by river to a forest, prepared the wood for use as material for the construction of houses, constructed various kinds of one-storeyed, two-storeyed buildings, etc., and made sign-posts with all pieces of wood, beginning

with posts. Then they take the wood to the shore, put it on board the ship and go down stream to the city. Here they make houses for everyone who desires it, take the money, and return home and make new materials with it.

Such a village in the neighbourhood of Benares and occupied only by carpenters, occurs again in the Jâtakas (II. 405; IV. 159); in the last passage it is said that a thousand families lived in the big village (kulasahassanivâso mahâvaddhakîgâmo).

The potters also seem to have lived outside the town in a village by themselves; at least mention is made of one potter family who lives in a village in front of the gate of Benares (Bârânasinagarassa dvâragâme kumbhakârakula, III. 376).

More wonderful than these manufacturers' villages in the immediate neighbourhood of a big city which could find an easy market for their products and also could have their needs, such as clothes, implements, supplied, from the City, is the existence of such professional villages in the middle of the flat country. We read in the Sûci Jâtaka of two smiths' villages lying side by side, of which one consists of a thousand huts (sahassakutiko kammâragâmo III. 281). From the neighbouring villages, people go to this village in order

to provide themselves with axes, hatchets, ploughshares, spikes and other implements. When one reflects what a difficulty such a local isolation creates in the economical relations, one will see in these manufacturing villages not a phenomenon of secondary importance, but a highly important factor and one that is characteristic of the physiognomy of the social life of that time. The power of traditional customs which suit the spirit of the Indian people inclined to schematism, has created and maintained here a new impetus which is stronger than the practical need which obviously points to a variety of professions within the same common life. However much the origin of professional communities may have to be traced, as we have to do in the case of the Russian village communities, to the close relationship of the villagers with one another and to the equal right of all in the common property,¹ on the Indian soil, the maintenance of such a remarkable institution seems to have been due Principally to the inborn tendency towards organisation, classification, schematism in the minds of the Indians. As the Brâhmanas worked together in villages in which foreign, especially, lower, elements were not tolerated, so, following their example, social

¹ Cf. Senart, *Les castes de l'Inde*, pp. 197, 229.

groups, united , by community of profession, separated themselves from one another and helped to create the manifoldness of modern caste-life.

As with the guilds of tradesmen, so can we also in the case of the manufacturers, infer from the institution of the elders (jetthakas) the presence of a certain organisation. Such elders stand at the head of the smiths (kammâ-rajjetthaka or jetthakakammâra), garland-makers (mâlakârajjetthaka I I I . 105), and carpenters (vaddhaki IV . 161). It appears that the number of manufacturers combined into a guild having a common leader could not exceed a certain figure ; at least, it is said, in the last-named passage of the Samuddavânija Jâtaka, that in a village inhabited by a thousand carpenter families, every five hundred families had a head (kulasahasse pañcannam pañcannam kulasatânâ jetthakâ dve vaddhaki ahesum). Whether the elder had either by law or by custom any recognised authority over the members of his [p.183.] union, is not mentioned; his office seems to have been an honorary one which was held by specially skilled manufactures and not always by the oldest in point

¹ *Kammbra* is used of blacksmiths as well as of silversmiths, (Dhammapada 43) and, as here, of goldsmiths. The latter are called in other places (I . 182 ; V . 438) *suvannakbra*.

of age. The elder seems to have occupied a peculiar position in the royal court. "The senior among the hundred smiths"—so it is said in the *Sûci Jâtaka* (III. 281)—"was a favourite of the king, blessed with wealth and property." In another place it is narrated that a prince asks a *kammârajetthaka* to come to him and commissions him to make a female figure out of a quantity of gold (V. 282).

The three conditions mentioned: local division of different kinds of work, hereditary character of branches of profession and the existence of an elder: seem to me to indicate clearly an organisation of handicraft which can be compared in many respects with our corporations in the Middle Ages. Also in these tradesmen's corporations of ancient India the principle finds application, which has already been mentioned in connexion with the guilds of tradesmen: the more in the course of centuries the caste theory—even in Buddhist lands—obtained currency, the greater the exclusiveness of, and respect for, the leading castes, the more did the manufacturers' corporations become incorporated in the caste-order. After the example set by nobility and the Brahmanical caste, they surrounded themselves with limitations by which a common bed and a common table were forbidden with members of castes

who on account of the lowness of their race occupied a lower stage of human society than they themselves. That many of the manufacturers mentioned above occupied a comparatively low social position admits of no doubt and was, in my opinion, the chief reason why they separated themselves from the rest of the population and thereby had in ancient times the appearance of being something akin to a caste : the corporations of the manufacturers fall—partly, at any rate—undoubtedly under the category of the despised castes which will be treated of in a later chapter.

CHAPTER XI.

CASTELESS PROFESSIONS.

But from these despised and shunned portions of the population the guilds of tradesmen and most of the manufacturers with which we have had hitherto to do, are far removed. Between these there is a multiform and chaotic society which resists more or less every attempt at classification and about which there can be no talk of an organisation according to castes in that age. To this belong the great number of manufacturers standing outside their corporation and exclusively in the service of the king—namely, contractors and artists, the wandering dancers and musicians who move from village to village, showing their skill, and the tramps who consider every means good which helps them to earn their livelihood, and further, the herdsmen, huntsmen and fishermen living in the country, in the forest and in mountains, and finally, the mass of labourers and slaves.

In the lawbooks we find the rule that the kings could make the artisans work one day in the month for him ;¹ the Jâtakas know

¹ Manu VII. 138; X. 120.

nothing of this; on the other hand, artisans are mentioned who seem to have worked only for him. In the Kusa Jâtaka there appear three distinct artisans in the pay of the king in the following order: a court potter (râja-kumbhakâra V. 290)—we meet with one in the Cullakasetthi Jâtaka (I. 121), where it is described how he seeks on horseback to burn pots for the royal court—a basketmaker (râjupatthâka naḷakâra. V. 291) in the king's service and a court gardener (râjamâlakâra V. 292). The designation of these as "court purveyors" seems to me to refer to a special position which raises them above their otherwise low or even despised rank and makes improbable their being members of a corporation, in the same way as in the case of the barber working in the royal court whose occupation as barber ranked certainly among the lowest professions. This court barber was no unimportant person in the king's court, he sometimes even stands in friendly intercourse with his employer.¹ "Friend," (samma kappaka I. 137) so addresses the king in the Makhâdeva Jâtaka his barber, while asking the latter to inform him if he finds any grey

¹ Upâli, the barber of the Sakyas, seems in the *Cullavagga of the Vinaya Pitaka* (VII. 1. 4) to be a personal friend of the Sakyas. Cf. Oldenberg, *Buddha*, p. 168, Note.

hair on his head. The many small personal services for which people wanted him—as such there are mentioned in the introduction to the Sigâla Jâtaka (II. 5), shaving the beard, curling the hair, placing the dice-board in position, etc.—seem to have made him indispensable for the king, the royal ladies, the princes and princesses. Also the position of a court barber is sometimes described as highly lucrative : in the Makhâdeva Jâtaka it is narrated that the Videha king when he renounces worldly life gives a village to his barber which brought him 100,000 (satasahassutthânam gâmvaram. I. 138).

Even the king's cook (rañño sûda V. 292) does not hold an insignificant position in the royal household, at least not in that of a king who was such a " gourmand " as King Bhojanasuddhika,¹ whose dinners cost, according to the description in the Dûta Jâtaka (II.319), 100,000 every time and consisted of a hundred different dishes. Still in the Kusa Jâtaka, the occupation of a cook is described as one to be practised by slaves or hired labourers (dâsakammakarehi kattabbam. V. 293).

A special office in the royal court was that of the estimator (agghakâraka. I. 124;

¹ Literally, *'one who is very particular, scrupulous with regard to eating." Cf. the expression used in the Upasâtha Jâtaka (II. 54) of a Brâhmana, namely, *susânasuddhika*, which signifies something like "scrupulous, superstitious with regard to the cremation ground."

aggpanikattâna, I. 126) whose work consisted in estimating the value of elephants, horses, precious stones, gold, etc., and in paying the owners of wares their proper

[P. 186.]

price. In the Suppâraka Jâtaka the work of an estimator was given to a blind fisherman who exchanges his work for service in the Court. He determines as valuer the things which are most heavily taxed. Thus he knows by merely touching with the hand that a carriage which should serve the king as a State carriage is built with the wood of a perforated tree or that a costly garment is eaten by mice at one place ; he receives, however, from the king only eight kahâpanas for every valuation. Not willing to accept a payment which according to him is suitable for a barber (imassa dâyo nahâpitadâyo. IV. 138), he leaves the service of the king and returns to his fisherman's village.

Besides the men who had to look to the daily needs of the king and his Court, a whole army of skilled men of every kind surrounded the person of the king in the courts of the powerful princes of that time. Thus, we come across musicians (gandhabba I. 384; I I . 250), dancers and singers (nâtaka IV. 324; naccagitâdisu kusalâ, I I . 227); elephant tamers (hattâcariya, I I . 221) and archers (dhanuggaba, I I . 87; V.

128). Whilst, moreover, these skilled men in ancient India, as we shall see, were of little respectability and the arts practised by them were mostly unprofitable, the artists in the service of the king did not seem to have been from the pecuniary point of view in a bad way. An archer demands from the king an annual salary of 100,000 (*ekasamvaccharena satasahassam*, I I . 87). The king agrees to this; on the other hand, the old archers (*porânaka-dhanuggaha*) consider the salary too high. Still better paid is another *dhanuggaha*; he receives daily 1,000 (*devasikaip sahasam labhitvâ râjanam upatthahi*, V. 128) and incurs in this way the displeasure of the rest of the king's servants. These figures, though, as everywhere in Indian sources, bad as a statistical material, point to a respectable and lucrative profession. Probably the archer was entitled to high salaries, as he could render the king valuable services, whether in hunting or in war.

About the salaries of the other Court artists there are found in the **Jâtakas**
[p. 187.]

only a few general statements. An old musician (*gandhabba*) informs the king that his pupil wants to serve him and adds, "Fix the nature of the salary" (*deyyadhammam assa jânâtha*, I I . 250), to which **the** king replies, "He shall get one-half of

your salary." To this the pupil, however, does not agree; he demands equal salary, as he knows his art quite as well as his teacher. A musical tournament ordered by the king ends the matter in this way, that the vanquished scholar at a sign from the king was belaboured with stones and clubs and killed, whilst the teacher receives much money from the king and the residents.

Similarly in the Upâhana Jâtaka mention is made of a pupil of an elephant tamer (hatthâ-cariya, I I . 221) who demands the same salary as his teacher. The king announces by beat of drum, "To-morrow a teacher and his pupil will both exhibit their skill in elephant-taming; who wants to see must come to the palace." The night before the performance, the teacher instructs the elephant to commit all kinds of mistakes, so that when given the order "Go forward," it goes backwards, and when ordered to go backwards, it goes forward, etc. The consequence is, that next day, as the elephant does the reverse of what it is ordered to do by the pupil, the angry crowd kills him by throwing stones and beating him with sticks.

That many of the artists mentioned here, especially, the archers, belong as much to the class of "Court people" as, for example, the executioner or the gate-keeper, I do not consider impossible.

Like the latter, they were paid by the king; like these they were attached to the king's service which they could not quit against the wish of their master. Whoever among them—like the court barber in the Garigamâla Jâtaka—wants to lead the homeless life, must get the permission of the king (râjânam pabbajjam anujânâpetva, I I I . 452). But they are distinguished from the râjabhoggas or râjaññas, by which I understand only the "royal officers," by their purely private character. They hold no public position and their work is

[p. 188]

confined to personal service which they render to the king and his family.

On account of the comparatively great respectability and the high salary which the Court artists enjoyed, the effort of most of the artists was to get into the king's service; as, however, this fell to the lot of only a very few, others tried to serve under rich private persons. Very often we meet with artists in the service of young tradesmen whom they help, along with other parasites, to squander their paternal property: jumpers, runners, singers, dancers, etc., receive each one of them 1,000 from the squandering and pleasure-seeking setthi's son (lapghanadhâvanagîtanaccâdîni karontânam sahasam dadamâno, I I . 431) and make him in a short time a beggar. In contrast with these parasitic

artists, there were many who earned their bread with difficulty by catering for the amusement of people at festivities. We read of a dancer (*nata* I I I . 507) who lives in a village not far from Benares and goes with his wife into the town, where he gets money through dancing and singing which accompanies his lyre (*vînâ*). As, however, such festivities, in spite of their frequency, formed only an occasional break in their daily life, the dancers led at other times a thoroughly miserable life, as did the dancing family (*natakakula*, I I . 167) in the *Ucchittha-bhatta Jâtaka* in which the Bodhisatta was re-born. This family maintained itself by begging and even the Bodhisatta had no other way of earning a livelihood than through alms.

It seems to me that by this *nata* or *nataka* we are not to understand actors, as in later times, for in our text dramatic performances are nowhere described. A sort of pantomime which is performed by two dancers is no doubt described; probably, in this we are to look for a fore-runner of the later Indian drama. "At that time there were"—so it is narrated in the *Suruci Jâtaka* (IV . 324)—"two skilled dancers, named 'Dull ear ' and ' White ear ' ; they tried to wake the king laugh. One of these, ' Dull ear,' set up at the gate of the palace a big tree called *Atula*, threw

a ball of rope and climbed, after attaching the rope to a branch of the tree, the Atulamba tree with the help of the rope. The tree of Vesaavana¹ is also called Atulamba. Now the servants of Vessavana arrested him, hacked his body to pieces and let these pieces fall. The other dancers joined the pieces together and sprinkled them with water, upon which the dancer revived and danced in a garment of flowers. The other dancer, 'White ear' set up a funeral pyre and went with his followers into the fire. When he disappeared and the funeral pyre was burnt out, people sprinkled water upon the ashes. On this, the dancer rose with his followers and danced, decked in a garment of flowers."

If the dances described here are more than a creation of the narrator's fancy, jugglery must have reached in ancient India a comparatively high stage, as their explanation can only be sought in mirrors which give the spectator the illusion of a person climbing the rope or going into the fire. That the concave mirror, this most important instrument in modern magic, was known in ancient India, is in itself undoubtedly highly improbable. Still a passage in Safikara's commentary on the Vedânta,'

¹ skr. Vaiâravana, patronymic of Kabera.

² Quoted by Deuaaen, *Sytem der Vedarta*, p, 822,

where reference is made to jugglery exactly similar to the first trick in our text, presupposes likewise the use of a mirror: "The highest God"—so runs the passage—"is only as much different from the acting and enjoying individual called *vijnânâtman*, created by ignorance, as the magician climbing a rope with shield and sword is different from the same magician really standing on the ground."

It is in an illusion of the spectator that probably the trick of the sword-cater consists, of whom it is narrated in the Dasanpaka Jâtaka that he swallows a sword thirty-three feet long and having a sharp edge.

We make the acquaintance of a special kind of dancers in the "jumpers," the *langhananataka* of the Dubbaka Jâtaka, namely, an acrobat who knows how to jump over a number of lances sunk in the ground and placed one behind the other. The Boddhisatta who is born in an acrobat family, learns from a *nataka* the art of jumping and tours with his teacher exhibiting his art. "His teacher, however"—so it is said further (I. 430)—"knew how to jump over four lances, not over five." Now one day he appeared in a village **and** placed, while he was drunk, five lances on the ground, one behind the other, with the intention of jumping over them. Then the

Bodhisatta said, " You don't know the art of jumping over five lances, my teacher; take away one lance, for if you try to leap over the five lances, you will be pierced by the fifth lance and die." " You don't know what I can do," answered the teacher in a drunken fit, and leaps, without paying heed to his pupil's words, over the four but is fixed by the fifth, as the *madhuka*¹ flower is fixed on its stem, and falls to the ground crying loudly."

In the same category of touring jugglers who exhibit their art in the court of princes or in festivities for the entertainment of the people, the snake charmers (*ahigunthika*) of our text are to be placed. Of such an *ahigunthika* it is said in the *Sâlaka Jâtaka* (II. 267), that he has trained an ape to which he has given an antidote- and which he then
 [P. 191] allows to play with a snake
 and that in this way he earns a
 livelihood. Another snake charmer has likewise

¹ *Bassia latifolia*

² *Osadha* = Skr. *aushadha*, herb, medicine. It appears that in ancient times the snake tamers used to make themselves and the animals which they allowed to play with the snakes proof against snake-bite through some plant juice. On the other hand, the practice, so common among Indian snake-charmers to-day, of extracting the poison teeth, was introduced later. This is only mentioned in the *paccrppannaratthu*, namely, in the introduction to the *Mûlaparjyâya Jâtaka*, where the monks whose pride Buddha curbs, are compared to snakes whose teeth have been extracted (*uddhâfadhitha viya*. II. 969).

trained an ape; when a festival (ussava) is announced, he keeps it in the house of a grain merchant, travels seven days and then lets his snakes play (ahim kîlâpento). (III. 198).

At such exhibitions and popular festivals in ancient India, music was not wanting: either the dancers themselves made singing and playing on the lute accompany their dances or there came professional musicians to the festivals, in order to practise their art for money. Instruments, mostly very primitive, on which in India even to-day jugglers play as an accompaniment of their dances and skilful performances,¹ were to some extent in existence at that time: besides the lute (vînâ), we find the drum (bheri) and the conch (saftkha) mentioned in our text. A drummer (bherivâdaka I. 283), who, as mentioned in the Bheri Jâtaka, lives in a village, goes with his son to the city, as he hears that in Benares a festival (nakkhatta) is announced, in order to play here on the drum in the circle of those who take part in the festival; he acquires by his play a good deal of money. The same is said in somewhat different words in the Sankhadhamana Jâtaka of a conch-blower (saftkhadhamaka, I. 284).

As there were drummers and conch-blowers in the king's service—edicts of the king, Announcements of public sports, execution, etc., were made known in the city by beat of drum or by the blowing of the conch—and others who played on their instruments at popular festivals, so we find, along with the already-mentioned court musicians, also some who were employed by private persons on festive occasions. Tradesmen of Benares who come to Ujjenî on a business tour make an appointment and come to a place of amusement, carrying with them garlands, perfumery, ointment, food and drink. Then they fetch a musician and that the best (jettha-
 [p. 192] gandhabba) in Ujjenî (te tarn pakkosâpetvâ attano gandhabbara kâresum I I . 249). As the tradesmen, accustomed to the performance of the court musicians of Benares, are not satisfied with his work, he returns the reward paid him and travels with them to Benares. Here he becomes the pupil of the old court musician and meets with a sorry end in the way already described.

That in these artists, as described in the Jâtakas, we have something similar to castelike organisation, is obviously highly improbable. And still these professions in course of time developed into castes: in *Manu* we find *nata* mentioned among the mixed castes, the forerunners

of the modern *nafas* or *natakas* who wander in the villages of Hindustan as jugglers, buffoons, actors, acrobats, snakecharmers and exhibit their skill for money or for presents.¹ A tendency towards organisation, towards combination based upon a common profession, as we, I believe, can assume, is present in ancient times even among these classes of Indian people; as proof of this we have the oft-mentioned circumstance that professions were hereditary in artist families: we have already made the acquaintance of a dancer family (*natakakula*), of a drummer or conch-blower family (*bherivâdakakula* I. 283; *sankhadhamakakula*, I. 284); the son of an elephant-tamer (*hatthâcariya*, I I. 221) practises the art of his father, and the son of an acrobat learns the art of jumping (*laAghanasippam sikkhitvâ* I. 430). To this add that these professions were very little respectable and that in consequence, people compelled men who earned their livelihood by the practice of these, probably to live outside the city; thus it is said of a dancer, as well as of a drummer who goes to Benares for a festival, that he lives in a village not far from Benares. Nevertheless, these artists, at any rate, in ancient times, lacked all essential conditions for the formation of a caste: neither the feeling of race-community—a factor

¹ Nesfield, *Caste System* p. 6.

which is of great importance in the formation [p. 193.] of the despised castes—nor the need of external organisation could cause them to be formed into a close corporation; rather compelled by their profession to restless wandering, necessity made them seek such other means of earning money as opportunity offered.

Often the life of such touring people was spent in the manner, described in the Tittira Jâtaka (III. 541):

"He has (as porter of the tradesmen) wandered in Kâlinga kingdom, he has engaged in trade, stick in hand, he walks over the country road.¹ With dancers he has wandered with hunters; with sticks he has fought with the crowd.

"He has caught birds, he has measured (corn) with the *âlhaka* measure, he has (at a dice-game, with regard to false players) removed the dice, he has transgressed² the moral laws, he has staunched the blood of (the punished),³ his

¹ Sankupatha? lit, "a path studded with nails."

² *Samyamo abbatto* is explained by the commentator with the words: *jtvilavuttim sistya pabbajanten'eva stlasamyamo atikkanto*, "inasmuch as for earning a livelihood he embraced the homeless condition, he transgressed the moral prescriptions."

³ *Abbuhitam pupphakam aggharattam*. The commentator adds by way of explanation. "To earn a livelihood he brought criminals whose hands and feet were chopped, to a hall and returning at about

hands are burnt by taking hot food (during begging)."

Here is given us in a brief form the picture of the life of an Indian tramp and of the sphere in which his destiny unfolded itself: dancers, hunters, club-fighters, players—this is the society in which the adventurous period of his life is spent. Finally, after trying all possible occupations he earns his living by begging as a fraudulent ascetic (*duttha-tâpasa*).

Although more settled than these wandering occupations, the rural professions of [p. 194.] herdsmen, huntsmen, fisher-
men do not seem in ancient times to have come under the organisation of caste, as, on account of their work, they inclined more towards a solitary life. Only when we see them in great number in the town or united into a village community can we suppose the existence of organisations similar, for example, to those of the artisans. In a sea-port town (*pattanagâma*. IV. 137) the son of an elder among the fishermen after the death of his father steps into his shoes. He becomes blind, however, later, and takes to the service

midnight, he stopped the blood flowing out of the wounds with *kundaka* (the red powder, which is found in the rice com under the husk) and smoke."

of the king, as he cannot any more follow the occupation of a fisherman, although he, as mentioned in the passage of the Suppâraka Jâtaka in question, " was the elder among the fishermen."

Noteworthy as an example of the fact that the thorough-going division of work characteristic of the social life of India of to-day is a factor of ancient origin, is the circumstance that the different designations of fishermen appear to coincide with the names of modern fishermen castes and point to this, that even at that time there were special branches in the profession of fishermen whose work was so precisely defined. Thus, the fishermen with nets and baskets (jâlakuminâdini khipitvâ macche ganhanti, I. 427) were called *Kevatlas*¹ (II. 178, 124); the angling fishermen were called *bâlisika* (I. 482; III. 52) on account of their fishing pole (*balisa*).

Also among hunters we find this moment of division of work which surely must have become important in the later development of the castes: the huntsman * pursuing the deer is called *migaltiddaka* (III. 49, 184) or simply, *lud-daka*; a bird-hunter (*sakunaluddaka*, II. 161) we come across in the *kakkara Jâtaka*, nay,

¹=Skr. *kaivarta*, by which, as we saw above, in the Brahmanical system, a certain mixed caste is understood. *Kevoat* is even in these days a name of a class of fishermen Cf. Nesfield, *Caste system*, p. 9.

even a quail-catcher (*vattakaluddaka*, I. 208) is represented. In the *Kuruiigamiga jâtaka* (I. 173) a *gâ mavâ eiattakahiddaka* is mentioned, i.e., a hunter living in the village who prepares an ambush in tall trees under which he has noticed traces of the deer and from there kills the animal.

Much less than in the case of the [p. 195.] casteless professions hitherto treated, is mention made of an external co-ordination or any organisation whatever in the case of the serving classes, as they were composed of all possible elements of the population differing in point of race and professional work. He who suffered shipwreck in the struggle with the waves of life and was rendered poor must have been forced even at that time—whatever might be his descent—to win his bread by service. We read of a poor *gahapati* who supports himself and his mother by working as a hired labourer (*bhatim katvâ* I I I . 325); he complains that he earns only one or half a *rnâsaka*¹ and that his mother can with difficulty be supported. The three Brâhmana daughters of the *Suvannahamsa Jâtaka* have, as their supporter is dead, to serve in other families and pass their days in trouble (*paresam bhatim*

¹=skr. *mâshaks*, a coin of small value,

katvâ kicchena jtvanti, I. 475). Of course, these members of the aristocratic castes formed a small fragment of the serving classes; the majority was formed by the classes of the population in whom the profession of a hired labourer was as much hereditary as the poverty connected with it. The Bodhisatta, re-born in a poor family (daliddakula), as described in the Kummâsapinda Jâtaka, works, when he is grown-up, for money at a *setthi's* and maintains his livelihood in this way (III. 409; similarly, III. 444). The payment which falls to the lot of the day-labourers seems, according to the Jâtakas, to be so miserable as to be hardly sufficient to enable them to eke out their livelihood. As the *gahapati* through his paid works earns no more than one or half a *mâsaka*, so also it is said of a *bhataka* who supports himself by carrying water (udakabhatim katvâ, III. 446) that he has saved half a *mâsaka*. With such a low pay and owing to the impossibility of gaining access to any higher profession, the possibility seems to be wholly excluded—and in this it has a certain resemblance with a caste—of the Indian hired labourer emerging out of his miserable position: born and bred in poverty, he bore

[p. 196] his sad lot as a necessity in order to leave it to his children as a legacy.

Those day-labourers enjoyed a comparatively favourable position who were in the service of one and the same employer for a long time or permanently. Every big land-owner, every rich tradesman had, according to the Jâtakas, along with his slaves, a number of day-labourers in his service. One's own people (attano purisâ), the bondsmen, to whom in the Sâikedâra Jâtaka (IV. 277) the Brâhmana gives a portion of the rice fields for guarding, are contrasted with the *bhataka* who gets a salary (bhati) for watching and who is held responsible for any damage and has to pay a compensation according to the appraisement of the owner (brâhmano sâlim agghâpetvâ mayhatp inam karissati). In the house of the pious Brâhmana Dhammapâla even the slaves and labourers (dâsakammakarâ IV. 50) give alms; they obey the moral prescriptions and observe the fasts. That in the Visayha Jâtaka the slaves and day-labourers (dâsakammakaraporisa) are reckoned among the property of a setthi has already been mentioned (p. 262). Of another setthi, it is narrated in the introduction to the Mayhaka Jâtaka, that at the sight of a begging monk whom he sees coming with a full alms pot from his house, he cannot restrain his thoughts: " If my slaves or labourers (dâsâ vâ. kammakârâ vâ I I I . 300) had received

this food, they would have done more difficult work ; alas, this is really a loss for me."

According to the Gangamâla Jâtaka, these labourers were taken care of in the house of their master; they did not, however, live there but went to their lodgings in the evening (*sabbe attano attano vasanattânâni gatâ* III. 445). Probably these were, like the residences of poor people generally, outside the city. The already-mentioned water-carrier lived with a poor woman who likewise supports herself by carrying water, at the northern gate of Benares. "Living by the side of the gate" signified apparently something like "poor, lowly." "I am the daughter of one living by the side of the gate" (*dhitâ dvâra-*

[p. 197.] *vasinâ* V. 441), says the poor girl in the Kunâla Jâtaka to the king in reply to his question whose daughter she is.

If now the position of these hired labourers was in no respect enviable, they still enjoyed a certain freedom, as they in all probability could change their masters when they liked. On the other hand, the slaves (*dâsa*) had absolutely no freedom ; they were, just like the cow, devoid of **any** rights and were absolutely at the mercy of their masters.

In Manu (VIII, 416), seven kinds of slaves are enumerated: those who are captured in the field (during war) (*dhvajâhrita*), those who

serve in return for maintenance (*bhaktadâsa*) those that are born in the house (*grihaja*), those that are bought (*krîta*), those that are received as gifts (*datrima*) those that are inherited from the father (*paitrika*) and those that are made slaves by way of punishment (*dandadâsa*). If we exclude the *bhaktadâsa* as not belonging properly to the class of bondsmen and also the *dmidadâsa*, there remain four classes which reduce to the three mentioned in the Vinaya Pitaka (*Bhikkhunâvibhailga*, *Sanghâdisesa* I. 2. 1), as we can put " those that are born in the house " and " those inherited from father " on one side and " those acquired by gift or purchase " on the other. Here these classes are distinguished : those that are born in the house, those that are bought with money and those that are captured in a war (*dâso nâma antojâto dhanakkito karamarânito*). That the *datdadâsa* mentioned by Manu in the last sentence is not mentioned here, must seem strange, as we have in the Jâtakas an example of a slave robbed of his freedom as a punishment. The village superintendent (*gâmabhojaka*) of the Kulâvaka Jâtaka, who has spoken ill of the inhabitants of the village before the king, is condemned to lose not only his property but also his freedom : the king makes him the slave of the village inhabitants (*tan ca tesañ ñeva dâsam*

katvâ I. 200). We also find " those that are acquired by purchase " and " those that are born in the house " represented in our text. A Brâhmana is sent by his careless wife who pretends to be unable to do household work, to beg money that she may have a female slave (dâsî). The Brâhmana begs 700 *kahâpanas*, [p. 198.] a sum which he considers sufficient for buying a female or male slave (alam me ettakam dhanam dâsi-dâsamûlâya I I I . 343). The fraudulent slave Katâhaka who deserts his master and whom we already know (p. 170), is " a slave born in the house " ; he is born on the same day as the son of his master, a setthi of Benares, and is educated along with him. Of slaves captured in war, from which class in the oldest times the slaves were probably exclusively recruited, no mention is made in the Jâtakas; at least in the passages of our source which tell us of wars between neighbouring kings, no mention is made of prisoners of war ; only of robbers (*paccantavâsino corâ*) it is mentioned in the Cullanârada Jâtaka that they plunder a village and capture its inhabitants and make them slaves (*karamare gahetvâ* IV. 220).

Owing to the complete absence of legal rights of the slaves, their work differed with the individual temperament of their master,

Sometimes in our source the relation in which the slaves stood to their master is represented as a familiar one and their treatment as quite humane. The family of the Brâhmana agriculturist in the *Uraga Jâtaka* consists of six members ; the Brâhmana, his wife, his son, his daughter, his daughter-in-law and the female slave. " They all "—so it is said further (I I I . 162)—" lived together in harmony and amity."

As, however, the son of the Brâhmana dies is burnt without a tear and without a moan, Sakka, disquieted by such conduct and forced to quit his heavenly seat, asks the slave, " My daughter, in what relation did you stand to this." " He was my master !" " Surely; he has at times molested and oppressed you and therefore you are glad at his death and do not weep." " O lord, don't speak so, never saw anybody like him ; patient, loving, sympathetic was the son of my master and loved me as a child reared on the breast." To a similar familiar relationship between the female slave and the master, the *Nânacchanda Jâtaka* (I I . 428) also points; the *purohita* whom the king asks to demand [p. 199.] a favour, asks, besides the members of his household, also the slave *Punnâ*¹ what her desire is. And the

¹ Probably, a shortened form of *punnamamanathiâ*, "one whose desire it fulfilled, the contented."

slave desires, humble as she is, a mortar, a pestle and a sieve.¹

All the same, the examples quoted do not justify our inferring a specially favourable position for the slaves of ancient India ; other passages of our text speak clearly of the miserable lot of the bondsmen who frequently had to put up with thrashing, imprisonment and bad food. For this reason, the slave Katâhaka, who has learnt to read and write along with the son of the family and who is otherwise clever and knows the art of speaking, discharges the duties of a store-keeper (bhandâgârîka), but is afraid lest he should lose one day this office. " Not always," he reflects within himself, " will one care to let me have the office of a store-keeper; one good day some defect will be noticed in me and then people will thrash me, lock me up, brand me and give me the food of a slave to eat" (tâletvâ bandhitvâ lakkhanena anketvâ dâsaparibhogena pi paribhunjissanti I. 451). A female slave, who is sent by her master to work at other people's place for money, is thrown into a corner of the bouse and struck with a stick, as she cannot bring any money back to the house (I . 402).

¹ Udukkhalamnsalañ c'eva snppañ oa. I I . 428. All the three implements the slave obviously used for crushing and winn wing rice. Also the slave Rohini of the Rohini Jataka uses the mortar for pressing rice (rihipaharana, I. 248).

The work which the slaves had to do was naturally extremely manifold and differed with the social position of the master and the intelligence of the slave. Many might be employed, like the slave Katâhaka, in higher employments, like those of the store-keeper, treasurer or private secretary ; as a rule, however, the work of the slaves was of a lower nature. The slave Pingalâ in the Silâvimamsa Jâtaka (I I I . 101) before she can go to a rendezvous must wash the

[p. 200.] feet of her master; only when he
[p. 200.] *

is tranquillised does she sit on the door-sill and await his pleasure. With considerable detail the duties of a slave are described in the Katâhaka Jâtaka. The slave Katâhaka who gives himself out as the son of his master and marries the daughter of a Setthi friendly to his master, hears that his master has gone to the country and is afraid that he may come to him. He resolves to meet him and propitiate him by doing a slave's work (dâsakammam katvâ I. 452). Everywhere he explains loudly how little respect other young people show to their parents, as they sit at the same table with them, instead of serving them ; he himself would, when his elders took their meals, set before them the dishes, place the spittoons in their position, take particular care of their drink and stand behind them with a brush; up to the most common performances

he enumerates all services which a slave must render to his master (yâva sarîravalanjanak&le udakalasain âdâya paticchannatthânagamanâ sabbam dâsehi sâmikânam kattabbakiccam pakâsesi I. 453).

On account of the lowness of such services, the slave himself was considered of little worth by a master who treated him humanely. The female slave Punna receives from her master, the *purohita*, who asks her what she wishes, the epithet *jammî* "the low, contemptible." "Son of a slave" (*dâsiputtra*) was a term of reproach; when in the *Nigrodha Jâtaka* (IV. 41) the *senâpati* is informed that his friend is there, he comes in angrily and cries, "Who is that friend? He is a despised son of a slave. Throw him out!" "Servant of a slave's son" (*dâsiputtacetaka* I. 225)—so addresses in the *Nanda Jâtaka* the bold slave his master.

In spite of their low status the slaves occupied in Indian society a different position from that of the despised castes who will occupy our attention in the next chapter. They could not, like the latter, be regarded as impure, because their work brought them constantly into close contact with their master whom they helped to dress and undress and assisted in the care of their body, whose food they prepared and whom they served at dinner. As they lived together with the families to which

they belonged, they lacked the local isolation and external combination of the despised castes; they were, in consequence of this, as little a "caste" as the slaves of the Greeks and Romans, in whom we find the same categories and similar relations, so far as conduct and legal position are concerned. Also the Indian slaves resembled those of the ancient classical ages in this, that under certain circumstances they could obtain freedom. We read of such "freed slaves" in the Sona-Nanda Jâtaka; as the rich Brâhmana renounces the world, he disposes of his property and sets his slaves free (*dâsajanam bhujissam katvâ* V. 313). It is true, according to Manu (VIII. 414), a Sûdra, even when he is set free by his master, is not released from his condition of slavery: "for who can take away that which is in-born in him?" Still the view expressed here is only a consequence of the Brahmanical system which in practice did not have much importance. In reality, a slave set free—proof of this is not, however, to be got from the Jâtakas—probably either served as a day-labourer or adopted some other profession, for which he possessed the means or the skill.

CHAPTER XII.

THE DESPISED CASTES.

We have hitherto repeatedly moved in our accounts within the limits of a province which comprises, according to the Brahmanical theory, the aboriginal population and is enclosed by the barrier of non-Aryan birth and separated by this from the rest of the society. In vain do we look in the bright light which the Jâtakas throw upon the true life of ancient India for a line of demarcation separating the entire Aryan from the entire non-Aryan population ; if we leave out of account the occurrence of the word Sudda (= Skr.

Sûdra) in theoretical discussions,

[p. 202.]

nothing points to the real existence of a fourth caste, the Sûdra. Probably we have to suppose for the first period following the Aryan migration a separation of the dark-coloured aborigines from the bright-coloured conquerors : it is exhibited by the contrast between the *ârya varna* and *dâsa rarna* in the Vedas. But already in very early times—and indeed, the further from the borders of the Aryan culture-sphere, the more pronouncedly—a mixture with the native population took place; nay, it seems to me in no way certain, in the lands lying

farthest east, especially, in the provinces in which Buddhism first made its appearance, in the Kosala and Magadha land, that the distinction between the Aryan conquerors and the conquered who were employed in slavish work was not abolished altogether: many of the non-Aryan stems seem to have preserved their political independence and to have come under the higher Aryan culture by adopting its language and customs. Under the influence of the Brahmanical theory we are extremely accustomed to see in the aborigines of ancient India a great mass, namely, the conquered Sûdras. Surely, this name, applicable in the Brahmanical system to all non-Aryan Indians, is taken from the name of one particular stem out of the innumerable aboriginal stems, which from the ethnical and cultural point of view were no less different from one another than the bearers of the new culture who spread from the north-west to the Gangetic plain.

Among these numerous races some manifestly stood on a specially low culture-stage. Just as the wild hunting races of the Himâlaya must have distinguished themselves by their external appearance, by their undeveloped language, their customs relating to food, from the more advanced population of the plains, so their low position later prevented a mixture with the higher

developed Aryans and preserved their racial peculiarity up to this day. Even to-day they have not gone beyond the first beginnings of culture: incapable of lasting work, they lead a wandering life and feed mostly on animals, roots and fruits which Nature has given them freely¹; where they set up their residences among the cultivated population, they are compelled to live in isolation outside the city and maintain their livelihood by the meanest kinds of work. These are the races of whom it is said in Manu (X. 50) :

" Under well-known trees and in the cremation-ground, on mountains and in the woods should they live, recognised (by fixed marks) and living by work proper to them."

These races were and are even to-day looked upon by the Indians as castes, and indeed, they are classed in the Brahmanical theory with the lowest mixed castes. What gives them in fact in ancient times the appearance of a caste is their local isolation, their living together outside the rest of the society which avoids contact with them, on account of their low position, and their despised profession which is hereditary. From the higher castes they are distinguished by this, that their isolation is not a voluntary one; the

¹ Neifield, Caste System, p. 6; Peschel, Völkerkunde, 6th Edn., p. 444,

barriers which surround them and which prevent their straying from their narrowly circumscribed profession as well as ail mixture through marriage with those standing higher, are not erected by them but are forced upon them by their conquerors.

Of these low races we meet with a number even in the Jâtakas, Above all, we meet with the Candâla, a race which we come across in great numbers even to-day in north-east India, the scene of our narratives, and in Bengal.¹ In the eyes of the Indians the Candâla has always been the symbol of lowness and subjection.

" But the residences of the Candâlas"—so it is said in Manu (X. 51 sq.)—" should be outside the village, their dress should consist of garments of the dead: they must eat their food out of broken pots; black iron should be their ornament and always they must wander from place to place. A man who fulfils a religious duty should not seek intercourse with them ; their business they should conduct among themselves and their marriages they must contract with their equals.

"Their food must be given them by somebody other than an Aryan in a broken vessel; at

¹ Schlagintweit, *Indien in Wort und Bild*, Vol. I, p. 216: "Over a million of these people are to the east of Calcutta running up to the borders of Burma."

night they shall not go about in the villages or in the towns.

"In the day-time they may do the work assigned to them by order of the king; the corpse of anybody who has no relations, they must carry out of the house—such is the standing rule.

"Criminals they shall kill, according to the law, by order of the king; the clothes of the criminal, their beds or other ornamental articles they may keep to themselves."

The contempt with which the authors of the lawbooks who were Brâhmanas looked upon the lower classes of people, and the attempt to confirm them in their low position by legal prescriptions may have caused them to select purposively dark colours for the sketch they made of the Candâla, whilst the Jâtakas show that the reality was not far different from the priestly theory.

The Candâlas of our text live outside the town (bahinagare, IV. 876) in a village (candâlagâmaka, IV. 200, 390) by themselves.¹ Two Candâla brothers who know how to blow a Candâla flute must show their art outside the city gate; the one plays at the northern, the other at the eastern gate.

¹ The Candâla village placed in the Citta Sambbûra Jâtaka in front of the gate of Ujjatn and thus to the west of India, may have probably existed only in the imagination of the narrator who carried the narrow oopditious of his home over to the whole of India.

For the despised position of the Candâla we have already given examples in an earlier chapter (p. 26 sq.); we have seen how the eating of the food left by them (candfâlucchitthabhatta) had as its consequence, for the members of the Brâhmana caste, exclusion from their caste¹; we have seen further how the wind which had touched the body of a Candâla was considered impure and how the very look of such a miserable creature sufficed to call up the feeling of impurity in those occupying a higher rank. "Contemptuous as a Candâla" has become a proverbial expression. Into the mouth of the young lioness to whom a jackal had made a proposal of marriage the words are put: "This jackal is considered low and wretched among the four-footed animals, similar to a Candâla (hino patikuttho candâlasadiso. I I . 6); we are, however, esteemed members of the highest royal family. This one **addresses** me indecent and improper words; what **shall I** do with life after I have listened to such **words**? I will hold my breath and die." The name **Candâla stands** for a word of contempt by which

¹ That the food left by a Capgâla is impure, is not a purely Brahmanical view. In the introduction to the *Satadbamma Jâtaka* (II. 82), Buddha explains to the monks that for the followers of his doctrine the eating of food obtained in an unlawful manner is like eating the table leavings of a Candâla.

a Brâhmana, for example, designates his adulterous wife (pâpacandâli IV. 246).

Of the " marks by order of the king " mentioned in Manu, we know nothing from our text. Still even according to the Jâtakas, the Caodâlas appear to be known outwardly as such by their dress : " clad in a bad under-garment of a red colour round which a belt is tied : above this a dirty upper garment, an earthen pot in hand "— so in Mâtanga Jâtaka (IV. 379) the exterior of a Caijdâla is described.

Also by their speech the Candâlas apparently were distinguished from the rest of the population. To their isolation, their complete separation, is to be ascribed the fact that in the midst of a population speaking an Aryan dialect they preserved even in linguistic matters their racial individuality. In the Citta-Sambhûta Jâtaka it is narrated how two Candâlas dressed as Brâhmanas go to Takkasilâ and study there ; later, however, as one of them, on the occasion of a Brâhmanavâcanaka, burns his face with a heated lamp, they forget themselves and are detected by their language (candâiabhâsâ IV. 391).

With the exception of the account of the two flute-players mentioned above, the Jâtakas contain no detailed account of the professional work of the candâla According to the commentary on

the *Sîlavîmamsa Jâtaka*, there are people who are engaged in carrying corpses (*chavachaddaka* I I I . 195) ; still it is doubtful whether this work which was also indicated for them in the Brahmanical theory, was in reality their only occupation, although their low stage of culture debarred them from practising any higher profession, even that of an artisan.

Along with the *candâlas* there are mentioned in the *Jâtakas*, in the enumeration of the castes, the *Pukkusas*, who are the *Puhkasas* or *Pulkasas* of the Brahmanical system where they are called descendants of a *Nishâda* by a *Sûdra* wife. These *Pukkusas* were also most probably a non-Aryan caste occupying a very low position in society. According to the commentary on the *Sîlavîmamsa Jâtaka*, by this are meant men who have for their profession the plucking of flowers (*pupphachacj-daka* I I I . 195): as, however, in *Manu* the catching and killing of cave-dwelling animals is given as their work, I don't believe that the *Pukkusas* were a special professional class but a race that lived generally by hunting and only occasionally by dirty work, like cleaning temples and palaces.¹

¹ To these *Pukkusas* belonged manifestly also the elder *Sunâta* before his adoption of monkhood, who says in the *Theragâthâ* of him. self : " Of low family am I, I was poor and needy. Low was the work which I did, namely, that of removing faded flowers (from temples and palaces). I was despised by men, held in low esteem and reproved," Cf. Oldenberg, *Buddha* p. 159, Remark.

Undoubtedly we have to see in Hie Nesâda, the Nishâda or Naishâda of the Brahmanical caste theory a non-Aryan race in a barbarous condition. They are regarded in the System as descendants of a Brâhmana by a Sûdrâ; their work consists, according to [p. 207.] Manu (X. 48), in killing fish.

As, according to the Jâtakas, the Nesâdas lived by hunting, we can suppose that fishing and hunting formed their exclusive sources of earning. Through this their professional work they fell into contempt, for the occupation of a fisherman or hunter which represents in itself the earliest and lowest stage of evolution of human culture, could not in India come to be held in respect, for this reason, that it necessarily presupposed the killing of a living being. In various ways the despised position of the hunter is indicated in the Jâtakas ; it is narrated that a Brâhmana youth adopts the occupation of a hunter when he cannot maintain himself by following any other art (I I . 200). Also the words of the king, in which he asks the hunter in the Bohantamiga Jâtaka (IV. 422) to give up his occupation and recommends other means of earning money, such as agriculture, trade, lending money, point to the despised position of the hunter. For the same reason, the son of the setthi also causes the *luddaka*, whom he keeps with him along

with his family and with whom he remains on friendly terms to the end of his life, to give up his profession (luddakakammato apanetvâ, III. 51).

We have enumerated above (p. 193 sq.) the occupation of hunters and fishermen among the professions which from their very nature resist a castelike organisation ; if, however, this in-itself despised profession is followed by an entire branch of a low race, then such a group, held together by unity of profession or race and separated from the rest of the population, takes the appearance of a caste and is regarded in all such cases as such by the Indians. This is the case also with the Nesâdas; along with the Candâlas, Venas, Bathakâras and Pukkusas they are introduced in the Assalâyana Sutta¹ as a low caste. Despised and avoided, they must, like the Candâlas, live outside the town. A Nesâda lives not far from the town of 3akuja in the Mahimsaka kingdom in a Nesâda village (nagarato avidftre

[P. 208.] 337) ^{ekasmim nesâdagâmake} V. ; he sells the birds which

he caught with a noose in the city and in this way maintains himself.

The Nesâda of the Mora Jâtaka also who is ordered by the king to catch a golden peacock

¹ Ed. Pischei, Chemnitz, 1880, pp. 13, 14. So also in the Suttavibhângga Pâcittiya II. 2.1, it is said : hinâ nâma jâti candâlajâti venajâti andsajâti raihakaxajati pakkuaajâti, and hinâ nâma jâti.

practises the profession of a hunter in a Nesâda village lying near Benares (Bârânasiyâ avidûre nesâdagâmavâsî nesâdo I I . 36). Likewise, in a village inhabited by members of his race and lying not far from Benares, lives the Nesâda of the Rohantamiga Jâtaka ; he captures a deer, while he sets up with a stick a sling fitted with leather straps.

Besides these wild peoples whom I might call "ethnical castes," as they were held together by a common race, we meet other groups reckoned likewise by the Indians among the despised castes, in which their mean work seems to have been the separating line which in course of time has stamped them into a caste ; they can be characterised, in contrast with the "ethnical castes," as "low professional castes." Originally these despised professional castes were nothing else than non-Aryan races who, although they stood on a higher culture-level than the hunting and fishing races, engaged in branches of profession the practice of which presupposed no acquaintance with metals and their employment and were therefore held in low esteem by the Aryans who worked with iron instruments. To this class belong such occupations as form even to-day the exclusive occupation of people standing on a low level, such as that of making

baskets from willows and bamboos, plaiting and weaving, the manufacture of leather and earthen vessels. Not a bias against handicraft in general ¹ but against a profession which they found was followed by low races, originally made the Aryans avoid such means of earning a livelihood and leave them to the aboriginal races. Later the stain of impurity was attached to the occupation, even when, owing to the mixture of races, this ceased to be reserved for particular races, and in course of time this stain spread to all possible handicrafts and professions, the more so, as with advancing civilization the higher classes became exempted from manual occupations.

Such despised professional castes we have in the Venas and Rathakâras who are reckoned

¹ Senart, *Les Castes dans l'Inde*, p. 286 : " Nowhere have the Aryans shown any great preference for handicraft. The Greeks and Romans left it to slaves or the middle class, to those that have been set free or the retainers. As the Aryans in India settled in villages where originally agriculture was followed, they were less inclined than in other countries to take to manual work. This must generally have fallen to the lot either of the primitive population or those portions of the population whom their bastard origin or their despised descent placed upon the same level. Opposed to the view stated here, of a disinclination of the Aryans for manual work, stands the fact that the age of Homer and Hesiodic poetry showed no trace of a contempt of professional work. In Homer a number of occupations which were later followed professionally, fall completely to the share of free men, nay, even the aristocrats were not **ashamed** of them. Cf. K. F. Hermann's *Lehrbuch der griechischen Antiquitäten*, Vol 4, 3rd Edn., p. 389 sq.

in the already-quoted passages of the Assalâyana Sutta and the Suttavibhanga among the low castes (hlnajâti) : these are the castes of the "bamboo worker " and "carriage-builder." Precisely in the example of the Venas we can get, in my opinion, a view of the probable origin of the despised professional castes and a proof of the theory that originally they were nothing else than low races. For when the Aryans pushed to the Gangetic plains and found peoples unacquainted with agriculture or metal work occupied solely with bamboo work or similar things, nothing was more natural than that they should give them names after the material with which they worked. Thus they named those who worked with bamboo (venu) bamboo-workers (vena or vaina). In a similar manner they must have named another race which possessed special skill in making carriages, carriage builders, after

[p. 210.] its principal industrial product.

That these two branches of profession, the manufacture of bamboo products and the building of carriages, were assigned, even long after Buddha's time, to special races, seems to me to appear from the passage of the Suttavibhanga (Pâcittiya I I . 2.1) already quoted, where the Vens and Ratbakâras, along with the Candâlas, Nesâdas and pukkusas are called "castes" (jâti)

and are not enumerated among the low professions (hinasippa) which are named as such **after** these in the following manner: the occupation of the basketmaker, the potter, the weaver, the cobbler, the barber (hînam nâma sippam nalakârasippam kumbhakârasippam pesakârasippam cammakârasippam nahâpitasipparp). This distinction between castes (jâti) and occupations (sippa) has gradually been obliterated and in modern times has been almost wholly abolished.

Individual castes among the low professional ones already mentioned are even represented in the Jâtakas ; such, for example, is the Vena which in the Kusa Jâtaka (II. 306) is placed on the same level with the Candâla on account of its low character. The queen reproaches her daughter-in-law with the words : " You are a Venî or a Candâlâ, a disgrace to your family : how can you, born in the house of Madda, bring your husband down to the rank of a slave ?" The commentator explains venî by *tacchikâ*,¹ "widow of a carpenter," and thus explains the despised caste of bamboo-workers by means of another low caste, namely, *tacchika* or "carpenter/" All the artisans whose

¹ **Skr.** *takskakâ*. In the commentary of Xahidhara on the **Vâjasaṇeyi saṇhitâ** (I. 18) the *takshan* is called Impure (asuddhe) **and of a low caste** (nicajâti).

occupation consists in working with wood, the carriage-builder (rathakâra), the joiner and the carpenter (vaddaki, tacchika), were considered low in the Buddhistic age, so that the guess hazarded above (p. 160), that their living in isolation in a village in front of the city gate is to be ascribed to the lowness of their profession, seems justified. Always, however, as their work is not conceivable without the use of implements, they will have

[p. 211.]

attained even then¹ a higher place in the social scale, than, for instance, the bamboo-workers, who employed their material as they found it without working much upon it.

Akin to the *venas* so far as their work is concerned, and treated as contemptuously as these, are the two artisans appearing in the Takkâriya Jâtaka (IV. 251), namely, the basket-maker (nalakâra) and the flute-maker (velukâra); the latter, the *velukâra* or *venukâra*, is, as we saw above (p. 86, Footnote), reckoned in the Lalita Vistara among the castes in which a Bodhisatta is not re-born.

As the work of the weaver (pesakârasippa) which represents a process similar to the twisting of bamboo and straw, so as to make

¹ Now-a-days the caste of joiner or Barhai occupies almost the same social rank as the agricultural caste Knrmi. Nesfield, *Caste system*, p. 28,

mats and baskets,¹ was originally principally **carried** on by the aborigines, the weaver also occupied a low position in the society of ancient **India**: in the Bhîmasena Jâtaka, the Brahmanical archer calls the work of a weaver (tantavâya) **a** miserable, low work (lâmakakamma I. 356).

As the last of the despised professions the occupation of a barber (nahâpitasippa) is mentioned in the Suttavibhanga. In this business, we do not look for the reason of its lowness to any ethnical relations : the duties connected with it and which are to some extent dirty show the barber *ipso facto* as occupying a low position and place him almost in the same line with the temple-cleaning Pukkusas.²

In the introduction to the Sigâla Jâtaka, it is narrated how the son of a barber living in Vesâli (nahâpitaputta II. 5) falls in love with a Licchavi princess and explains to his father that he would die if he did not get her for wife. The **father** replies to him, " My son, don't fix your desires upon impossible things; you are the

¹ Nesfield, Caste System, p. 22 sq.

² The modern barber or *nâpit* occupies a higher position, **as** he **plays a great part** in **all** family events, such AS birth, marriage and **funeral**. **He serves** as a marriage negotiator among the respectable **castes and in** the marriage ceremony assists the Brâhmâna or takes up **himself, probably among** the lower castes who cannot pay a **Brâhmans, the office of the priest**. *Ibid*, p. 42.

son of a barber and of low caste (hînajacca), the Licchavi princess is of high birth (jâtisampanna) as the daughter of a khattiya and no possible party for you. I will seek another girl for you who will suit you in caste and family."

A further example of the low esteem in which the barber was held is afforded by another instance: the mother of King Brahmadatta calls the ascetic Gangamâla, a former barber, " the son of a filth-cleaning barber born of a low caste " (hînajacco malamajjano nahâpita-putto I I . 452) and adds the verse:

" Through asceticism they give up their bad occupation, through asceticism (they give up) their position as barber or potter; conquering through asceticism, you now call my son by his name Brahraadatta."

CONCLUSION

We have come in the course of our remarks to the lowest rungs of the social ladder. Since the days of Bernardin de St. Pierre people have always complained of the lot of the despised classes of India and thrown the responsibility for their miserable position upon the priests; people speak very often even to-day of a demon which possessed the Indian people in consequence of the caste-organisation and

represent the caste as an artificial product of priestly selfishness. European travellers when they first gave us a knowledge of modern India, made the want of freedom and low position of the Parias and the rigid organisation of Indian society an object calling for expressions of pity, and ever since people became acquainted in Brahmanical literature with a one-sided representation of the social relations of ancient India, they believed, as they took the theory for the truth, to have found here the key to the origin and development of caste-life.

The picture which we can draw from our popular sources of the social conditions which ruled in eastern India about Buddha's time, does not give occasion, in my opinion, for a highly sentimental view, nor does it justify the theory that the castes were invented by the priests for establishing and strengthening a hierarchical social organisation. The political influence of the Brâhmanas greatly diminished, especially, in the eastern lands, as compared with the position and power of the ruling classes who, leaving out of account special cases, did not allow much scope for any Brahmanical desire for power ; even of an intellectual supremacy of the Brâhmanas no trace is to be found in the age and the subject with which we have to do, for even in

the spiritual province, other classes, especially, the ruling princely families, challenged the premier position of the worldly Brâhmana caste. As for the position of the lower classes, it was not better, but also not Worse, than it would appear to be under similar conditions ; aboriginal races standing at a low culture-stage are oppressed in all ages and times by their more highly cultured conquerors and employed in a slave's work: also similar contrasts between immense wealth on the one side and miserable poverty on the other we meet with wherever a more highly cultured race wants to use its superiority even in economical matters.

The social organisation of ancient India which appears to us very strange, nay, even monstrous, in the form in which we find it in the Brahmanical lawbooks in which it is made into an unalterable system, shows itself in reality as the necessary development of conditions imposed by ethnical and cultural distinctions. Instead of the [p. 214.] four strictly isolated castes of the Brahmanical system and the mixed castes arising from their combination, we notice a number of essentially distinct social groups which in the majority of cases cannot properly be called "castes," in which, however, we see the first germs and beginnings of an

organisation of the modern type. A caste in the sense of their own theory only the Brâhmanas form ; other groups, like the ruling class of Khattiyas, the class of royal officers, the leading middleclass families have particular characteristics in common with the *jâti* of the Brâhmanas ; they cannot, however, lay any claim to the designation "caste," because they lack the essential characteristics of this; the same is true of the rest of the *jâtis* which are sharply distinguished from the great mass of the people, such as the guilds of tradesmen and artisans, the lower professions, the despised and shunned races. All these *jâtis*—and in this the Indian society of that time have their own peculiar, specifically Indian stamp—are hereditary and to go out of the circle fixed by birth is impossible, according to the rules.

So far as they are described in the Jâtakas, the social conditions remained probably unchanged even long after Buddha's time. When about two hundred years after Buddha's death the Greek messenger Megasthenes lived in the court of Candragupta in Pâtaliputra, he manifestly found similar conditions. The Greek reports which are traceable to him contain a description of the Indian society of that time which, it is true, does not wholly agree with facts which we can gather from our

source, but can be brought much more into agreement with these than with the Brahmanical system. They give the number of *Jâtis* or classes (*γένη* or *μέρη*) as seven; as the first γένος they mention the σοφισταί or φιλοσοφοί who, as we have seen, correspond to the Samanas and partly to the Brâhmanas of our source ; the second γένος, the farmers or γεωργοί, can be placed in the same class with the *gahapali* or *kulumbika* of the Pali Texts. By the herdsmen and hunters named in the third place, we have probably to understand the low non-Aryan races of the

[P. 215.]

Jâtakas, whilst the fourth γένος, that of the τεχνίται or the γένος δημιουργοῦν, agrees with the artisans of our text. The remaining three γένη, the γένος of the warriors (πολεμισταί), that of the supervisor (ἐπισχοποι or ἐφοροι) and that of the king's councillor (συνμβολαί or συνεδροί) belong to the category of *râjabhogga*, the officers in the king's employ. After the enumeration of the seven γένη the Greek sources point out as their characteristic feature the fact that they did not allow any inter-marriage and that it was not permissible to pass from one γένος to another or to follow the profession of two classes at one and the same time.

Later, in the course of centuries, the *jâtis*, as we know them in the *Jâtakas*, have experienced continuous changes under the most

divers influences: the official theory of the Brâhmagas, ethnical and geographical influences, the tendency of the Indians to schematise, the placing of the concepts " profession " and " caste " side by side—all this has worked upon the *jâtis*, transformed them and made them resemble one another more and more, so far as their essence and organisation are concerned, until, finally, they became modern castes. This process of transformation is in no way, as one hears it maintained so often to-day, broken by Buddhism, nay, not even retarded. Buddha's doctrine does not aim at a transformation or improvement of the social conditions; the worldly life and its forms are a matter of indifference to the virtuous Buddhist who renounces the world. He never raises the question whether the worldly life could be different from what it is but accepts it in its incompleteness and badness as something unchangeable. The destiny of man, the external organisation of his earthly life is for the Buddhist a necessary consequence of his *karman*, his former deeds: wealth or poverty, high or low caste, the individual has deserved through his deeds in a former existence. The human social order was for the Indians even of that time a reproduction of natural life and moved, according to their view, like this in eternally identical paths ; he who was

born a Candâla must—so long as he did not retire from human society and pass into asceticism—remain a Candâla during his life-time and bear the lot of such a one, just as everybody who expiates the sins of former existence by re-birth as low beast, has to live through the whole existence of such a beast till death makes him pass into another existence.

The doctrine of *karman* and re-birth and the supposition of an unalterable social order are closely connected with each other and have exercised a mutual influence upon each other in their further development; both dogmas are deeply rooted in the consciousness of the Indian people and rule their thoughts even to the present day. Even to day they influence the organisation of social life and determine its forms: even the modern castes are, as little as the castes of ancient India, an artificial product; on the other hand, they have grown out of the spirit of the Indian people whose stamp they bear.

INDEX OF NAMES AND TOPICS.

A.

Acrobat	
Acrobat family	
Actor	
—Brâhmana	
Administration of justice—King's share in,	
—As current in Venâlf	
Addressing by name and in the second person	
Agriculturist, Brâhmana	
—Indian, acc. to Greek reports, tax-payers of the king	
Ajâtasattu	136,228
Ambashtha	8sq., 219
Ambattha ...	87, 88,209
Ambattha, tribe of	219
Anâthapindika	18. 147f. 258
Animal tamer	10f
Archer	289. 290
Archery ...	92
Architect, Brâhmana ...	10f
Arhatship	27
Arindama ...	89,86
Arjuna	65
Army commander	145, 147
—a relation of the king	185
Atrian,	63f 118, 187f
Artisans, in the king's service	286
—Organisation of	278sq.
Artist, Brâhmana	221
—in the employ of young merchants ..	292
—in the King's Court	289sq.
Aryans, their attitude towards manual work ...	325,325f
Asceticism	233, 234
—its causes ...	
Ascetic, the state of the,	192f, 193
.. ; —passing into the condition of the,	63f
—false	301

	PAGE
Ascetics	.21,23, 60sq.
Asoka	101, 162
—Edicts of	... 149f, 192f
Assalâyana,	18
Astrologer—Br&hm&nm	IOf, 231
—Importance of the modern astrologer	288/,
Atharvaveda	201f
Atufa	203
Avantipatta ..	19
Ayogays	6

B.

Babylonian kingdom	270
Bamboo- worker	326
Bârânasî	169, 194, 206, 324
Barber	.. 83, 327sq.
Barhai	328f
Barley fields, of a Brâhmana	241f
Bosket-maker	327, 328
—in the king's service	287
Bastard recognised as a Brâhmana	25f
Bather	220
Benares	20 etc.
—Workmen's villages in the neighbourhood of ...	280
Bbadrakâra ,..	189
Dhâradvâja ...	220,221
Bhojauaenddhikft	288
Bimbisâra ...	136, 141, 144, 147f, 162, 228, 268
Bird-catcher	802
Bird-taraer, Brâhmana	10f
Bodhsatta ...	20, 76, 77, 108, 109,129, t87, 197, 212f, 214, 246, 264, 278, 279, 293, 295, 304
Bodhisattva ...	20f,
Border people, incursions of,	106
Bows, Brâhmana makers of,	10f
Bouxuayau	... 62
Brâhma, world of	190f
Brahmanatta	20, 83, 108,129,177, 183, 330
Brâhmana, elected king,	104
Brâhmanas	180sq

	PAGE,
—engaged in agriculture and cattle-breeding	241sq
—their share in administration	142,144
—in civil occupations	241sq
—in the king's service ...	223sq., 240, 241
—" proper " and " worldly "	198
—as exorcists	236
—greed of the,	187
—of Magadha	215, 216
— manifoldness of professions followed by the	10f
—as king's ministers	144
—hailing from the north-west	213, 214
—their duties	25, 191, 195
—wealth of the,	210,242, 245
—morality of the,	189,198
—their exemption from taxes	212
—as dream -readers	... 224-25,230
—their immunity from capital punishment	212
—their privileges	200sq.
—as fortune-tellers	227,231
—worldly . .	212sq.
—their relationship with the Buddhist monks	249
—presents to,	210
Brâhmana caste, contradictions noticed in the,...	24S
—super iority of the,	10, 11
—its division into sub-casten ...	192f
Brâhmana's daughters, poor	210
Brâhmana villages	181f, 241f
Brâhmarshi, land of	11
Branches of learning	93, 202, 202f
Buddha	14sq., 85, 89, 137, 209, 233f
— was a <i>khattiyu</i>	86,91
—was no social reformer	32, 335
Buhler	149f, 150f, 162f,
Batcher, Brâhmana	10f

C.

Cadastral officer, of the king	149
Capdâlas	6, 8, 19, 27sq., 30f, 40sq., 47sq, 77, 165, 158, 206, 213, 264, 317sq. 336

	PAGE.
—their very sight is considered impure	38, 38f, 264, 319
—their profession	820,821
—as executioner	151,318
—their drew ...	818,820
—table leavings of the, considered impure ...	47sq, 182
—their language—adopt the homeless condition	320
	77
—atmosphericimpurity caused by their presence.	40
Candâla flute	318
Candâla village	... 318,318f
Candragupta	62, 101, 127, 333
Caravan drivers	272,274
—hereditary character of their profession	276
—organisation of the,	276
Caravan trade	272
Carpenters	281,827
Carriage builder	247f, 326, 328
Carriage driver	156
Carriers of corpses, Brâhmaua	10f
Cart drivers, Brâhmana	10f
Caste	86
—expulsion from the,	48,181
—definition of the modern caste	36,87
—Brâhmanical theory concerning,	87
—the caste of the father as determining that of the son	6
Caste—Change of,	67f
—worthlessness of,	... 18, 20,31
Castes, ethnical,	... 324
. — low professional,	
Chalmers,	19f, 89f,213f
Chief consort,	54, 68,113,124
Chief minister, .	127,144,145
Childers,	115f, 149f, 206f, 243f
City gate, person who closes the, ...	157
City watch, ...	43,157
Class oppositions, not softened by Buddhism, ...	31,335
Cobbler, ...	
"Cook-holy,	
Conoh-blower,	

	PAGE
Connubium,	37, 81, 181
Consciousness of rank, of the ruling classes,	82
of <i>setthi</i> families,	264
Consecration, of the king,	128sq.
Contact of impure persons,	88
Cook, king's,	288
—— Brâhmans,	10f
Court, modern Indian, ...	96
Court barber,	287, 292
Court gardener,	287
Court musician,	298
Court potter,	287
Criminal law, administration of, an affair of the king,	112
Curtius, G.,	99f

D.

Dahlmanu, Jos.	... 268f. 270f
Dancers,	... 289, 292, 300
—— — Brâhmana,	10f
—— — lead a pantomime,	293, 294
Dasaratha, ...	165f
Daughter, of a <i>khattiya</i> by a slave,	64
of a <i>purohita</i> ,	43
of a <i>setthi</i> ,	43, 44, 51. 53, 126, 264
Demon,	185, 233sq
	334
Deussen,	90f, 294f
Derabhâga Srautarsha,	175
Deradatta, ...	33
Dhammaddhaja,	173
Dhanañjayakorabya,	189
Dighiti,	177
Dillpa,	66
Diodorus,	118f
"Direction crows,"	269
Division of work,	302
Dog-tamer, Brâhmana	101
Draam-reader,	230
Dranunar, ...	297
Duncker, M.,	2f

lit

	PAGE.
Duryodhana,	66,67f
Duties, Brahmanical,	... 191,195sq
——— the ten duties of the King,	100, 104
Dyumatsena,	65,66f

E.

Eicken, V.,	75f
Elders at the head of guilds,	276, 283, 284
——— among fishermen,	301-302
among robbers, ...	274
among forest guards,	274f
Election of the king by the ministers,	126sq.
Elephant book,	170
Elephant consecration, ...	170,177,187
Elephant tamer,	289,291,299
Endogamy, law of,	53,58
	334
	334
Estimator, royal,	288,289
Executioner, his office in the hands of Candâlae and Sva-	
pacas,	159
Exorcists, Brâhmana,	236
Expulsion from the caste,	... 47, 48, 319

F

Falconer, Brâhmana,	10f
Families of smiths,	278
Families, leading middle class,	251sq.
"Family ascetic,"	238
Family barber,	238f
Fencer,	300
Fiok, Aug., ...	
Fire, kindled at the birth of a Brâhmana,	
Fisherman, ...	3C1,302
a blind, as king's estimator,	
Flute-maker,	86f,328
• Food, touched by an impure person.	
Fortune-telling,	
Foy,	

a

PAGE.

Gandhâra King,	186, 272
Gandhâra land,	... 95.201,272
Gangamâla,	83,330
Garbe,	90f
Qarlandmakere, elders amons the, ..	288
Geldner,	164f
गण्य, Indian Society ace. to Megnsthenna divided into seven,	62
गण्यो,	834
Gotama,	18, 19, 91, 198,221
Grain measurer, royal, ...	184
Grain merchant,	276
Green-grocer,	276
Grieraon,	39f
Ground-rent.	... 118, 149f
Guide, of the king in temporal and spiritual affairs,	.102,144, 174
Guilds, of tradesmen and manufacturers,	267sq.
• their laws,	260f
Guild, chief of the,	257f. 262
"Gnild-quarrel,"	275

H

Hardy, E., ...	136f
Hawker,	276
———Brâhmana,	245
Hereditariness of professions,	... 36,276,278
Hermit,	... 60,61, 193f
Herrmann,	325f
Hiaronymus on world -renunciation,	' 75f
Hirapyakeén,	199f
Hired labourer,	43,262, 288, 303sq,
Honorarium of the Brâhmana teacher,	, 208, 204, 204f ,265
Hopkins,	32f, 67, 92f, 99f, 105f, 115f, 119f, 125f, 168f, 251f,260f 267f.
Householder,	233.
Hoosholder's state,	193f
Hunter,	249f
Hunters, : ...	301,303,334

	PAGE.
their profession is considered degrading	246
Brâhmana,	220,246
X.	
Ikshvâku, ...	34f,66
Impurity, usage and prescriptions relating to, ...	37sq.,81
Incantations,	166, 167, 186, 233, 234
Indapatta,	180,216
Instructor, in the use of arms,	10f
Interpretation of signs, by the <i>purohitn</i> .	177
X	
Jacobi,	39f, 83f, 136f
Jâtaka, tendency of the,	15,190
Jeta, Prince,	417f
Jtraka,.	86
Joiner,	283, 327, 828f
———Brâhmna,	246
Joiners' villages,	280
Jolly,	112f
Jumper,	292
Junha,	.183,184,210
Kaecâna,	19
Kâlinga Kingdom,	300
Kapilavatthu,	45, 54, 55, 80, 87, 91, 137
Katâhaka, ...	308sq.
Keeper of the king's treasures,	155.
Kewat,	302f
—— his supervision of trade,	97sq.
——-limitations of his power,	200,200f
—— net aubjet to the danger of Impurity,	113, 114
—— has to examine the laws of guilds,	... 42f,43,32
——his caste,	267
—— his obligations.	... 127,128
—— his ten dtties,	... 100,101
—— and purohita,	... 167sq,296

— and <i>setthi</i> ,	260,264
—punishment awarded by him ...	112
—his share in the administration of justice,	106, 107
—his privileges,	121, 122
—his election by the ministers,	125sq.
Kings—their liberality towards Brâhmanas,	210
— not belonging to the <i>kshatriya</i> caste,	127
—as teachers of Brâhmanas,	90,90f
Kingship—hereditariness of,	12.1
Koppen,	32f
Koravya,	216,217
Kosala,	11,80, 106, 315
Kosnla King,	40, 54, 55, 137
Kshattri,	6
Kubera,	294f
Kurmi,	32af
Knra,	11, 175, 213, 216
Kusinârâ,	... 13, 80, 136

L.

Lakshmana,	64
Lanâlord,	263
Lassen,	2f, 11f, 107f, 136
Laws, written,	... 102, 102f
Legislation, shaie of the <i>senapati</i> in,	146
Liberated slaves,	313
Licohavi princes, nine confederate, in Kosala,	136

M.

Maijbusâdana Sarasvati,	202f
Madhyadeâa ,	11
Masadha ,	6,8
Brâhmanas of,	215,216
Mâgadha	6,8
Magical incantations	166, 167, 185, 233, 234
Magucal performances, of the Brâhmanas	238sq.
Magical priest of the king	166
	157
Mahâbrahma	199sq,
Mahânama	... 45,46,54

	PAGE.
Mahâpiṅgala	166
Mahasaramata	84
Mahirpsaka kingdom	323
Malla	7, 8, 13, 80, 136
Mallaki princes, nine, in Kâsi kingdom	136
Mandavyakamâra	95f
Manual work, attitude of the Aryans towards, ...	... 325, 325f
—Importance of heredity for,	278
Marriage, of a Brâhmana's son with a <i>khattiya's</i> daughter	88
—of a <i>dvija</i> with a <i>sûdrâ</i> ...	67
—customs relating to,	51
—within the <i>jâti</i> was the rule	51
—between a Brâhmana and a <i>sûdrâ</i> ...	57
—between one caste and another forbidden	67
Matsya	11
Megasthenes	2, 2/, 62, 137, 333
Messenger, Brâhmana ...	10f, 217, 218, 228
Method of teaching, Brahmanioal ...	206, 206
Military soienoe	90
Ministers	98, 103, 140sq.
—exercise of power by the,	141
—as opposed to the Brâhmanas	142
—their caste ...	148, 144
—participate in the administration of justice	111
—election of the king by the,	126sq.
—at the head of guilds	275
Mixed castes	5sq., 30f, 332
Money-lender	267
Musical tournament	291

V.

Naishâda	322
Nâpit	238f
Nata and Nataka	299
Navigation, acquaintance with,	268
—round the coast	289
Neaîda	19, 322sq.
Neaâda village	323, 324
Nesfield	10f, 210f, 299f, 302f, 316f, 328f
Nightwatch, Brâhmana,	10f

	PAGE.
O.	
Officers, king's	139sqf
Oilmiller, Brâhmana	13
Oktâtaka	34
Oldenberg	2f, 12f, 23f, 27f, 32f, 33f, 34f, 61f, 68f, 77f, 138f, 140f, 164f, 179f, 199f, 220f, 234f, 269f, 287f, 321f
Oligarchies in Eastern India	135
Organisation of trade	... 207, 275sq.

F.

Pâdañjali	129
Pañcâla	11,213
Pantomime	293
Participation in common meals, lower caste people debarred	
from,	46
Pasenadi	87
Pâtaliputra	62, 333
Pâvâ	... 13,80,136
People—Share of the, in administration	139
—their duties to the king	114
Peschel	316f
Physician	237
—Brâhtuana ...	10f, 217,237
Piâgala	156
Pingalâ	311
Pingiya	175
Pisohel	18f, 152f, 164f, 323f
Piyadasi	101
Pokkbarasâdi	87
<i>πολλὰς αὐτῶν μοῖραι</i>	137
Police	10f
Possessed, by evil spirits	236
Pot manufacture, its hereditary character	278,279
Potter	77,327,330
—fires outside the city	281
Potter family	278
Pottika	156
Pregnancy, desires during,	178,227

	PAGE.
Premonitory signs	177, 188
Presents, voluntary presents to the king	115
Priests end Brâmanes not identical	186
Professions, despised	... 160, 327sq.
Profit*, of trade	374
Prostitute	...20, 148, 166
Pukkasa	... 7,86/, 321
Pnkkusa	19, 27sq., 30f, 321, 321/, 329
Pulkasa	321
Punnâ	309,312

Q

Quail catcher	303

.R

Raghu	66
Râhn	71
Râma	64
Rathakâra	19, 247/, 323, 325
Ratsel	32/
Râjagaha	96, 268, 264, 265
Rebirth, doctrine of,	336
Rent, paid to the king ...	119
Representative of tradesmen, official	259sq.
—hereditary character of his office	..259,260,261
Residences of poor people	306
Rhys Davids	259f
Robbers, organised bands of,	274
Rohioî	310f
Rouse	132f, 149f
Royal cook	288
Royal doorkeeper	155,157
Royal surveyor	149sq.
Runner	292

8.

Sacrifice	166
—for preventing threatening evil	176
—enrichment of the Brâhmaoas through	197

—for helping in acquiring a town	
—a complete fourfold	.176,225,226
Saint-Pierre, B. de	330
Sâkiya	45, 55, 56
Sakka.	...188,262,309
Sakuia	323
Sakya princes, family of,	45, 54,55, 61,80, 91,137
—hanghtiness of the,	87,87f
—their relation of dependence to the Koisala king ...	54, 137
Sañjaya	189
Sapkara	294
Sarâvntî	213f
~~Sappara~~	62
Sâtatnpa	228
Satyavant	65
Sâratthi	257
Sâvitri	65
Sâyana	222
Sohtagintweit	... 297f, 317f
Fcholar, Brâhmana	203sq.
———Stage of the,	193f, 194
BchÖder, L. V.	235f
Self-Government in village communities	161
Senart	4f, 36, 114f, 193f, 196f, 282, 325f
Ship's Cargo, sale of a.	270,271
Shopkeeper, Brûhmana	10f
Sindh, steed of,	273
Singer	289,292
Sivi cloth	273
Slaughterer	219
Slaves	243,262,288,305sq.
———different categories of,	306-7
———their treatment	308—10
Small states, independent	137
Snake-chartner	296
Brâhmana	10f
Soma offering	220,220f
Son of a purohita by a prostitute	63
Sons of a setthi, their education	266

	PAGE.
— of princes, their religions study	91sq.
Sonadanda	198
Sonaka.	83
Spices, Brâhmana gelleis of,	10f, 218, 245
Sprinkling of water, upon the king, by the <i>purohita</i>	128sq.
Spy	168
Sriñjaya	292
Stages of life, the four	193f
State	81, 114, 114f
—, head of the,	97sq.
State elephant	167
Strabo	... 68f, 118f
Streets, of ivory-carvers	280
Study, of a Brâhmana	199sq.
—, religious, of the <i>khattiyaa</i> ...	91sq.
Successor, king's	123, 124, 125, 140
Suoîrata	... 189, 189f
Sumedha	197
Sunîdha	187
Snnîta	821f
Superintendent of the king's stores	165, 276
Superiority of the Brâhmana caste	10
Sûraseua	11
Surveyor	118
Sutasoma	70, 132
Svapaca	169
Sword-eater	296
<i>συμβουλευ</i> ...	334
<i>συμβουλοι</i>	884
T	
Table leavings, of Candâla	47sq., 182, 319
— of Sûdra	38
Takkasilâ ...	21, 94sq., 131, 169, 194, 200sq., 208, 287, 241, 246, 266, 880
Takshasilâ	96
Tax, in the Vedic period	114-15
—consisting in a portion of the annual produce	150f
—the chief source of income of the king	116
Tax-officere, royal	151, 164

	PAGE.
Teacher, Brâhmana	200sq.
~~TECHNICAL~~	334
Toothpick, thrown by a Candâla into the river	41
Trade, overseas	268
Trader, Brâhmana	244-45
Trade relations between the East and the West	272
Tarnour, G.	107f

u

Unclaimed property	121, 122, 122
Udaya	83
Uddâlaka ...	... 20sq., 191
Ugra	6
Ujjayinî ...	43,272f
Ujjenî	272, 298, 318f
Umtnadantî	228
Usurer	
Usurpers	128, 127
Upâli	287f

v

Yaideha ,...	6,8
Vajji.	707f, 136, 136f
Vatsâlî	136
Vaisravana	294f
Vâsabhakbattiyâ	46,54
Vâsettha	220,221
Vasihtha ...	... 64, 165sq.
Vassakâra ...	137, 144
Vedas, knowledge of the,	24,25
prescribed for the king	92
—instruction of the <i>khattiya</i> in the three Vedas	92sq.
Vega	7, 8, 19, 323,326
Venî	327
Vesâlî	13, 80, 107, 138
	294
Viceroy	97
—his functions	.., 132, 133f

Viceroyalty—passes to the eldest son	134
Videhn	11,30, 136f, 272
Videha <i>king</i>	288
Vidhura	189
Vidhûra	216, 223, 236, 245, 246
Village communities, Self .Government in,	161
Villages of smiths	278
Village Superintendents	280
Visrâmitra	63,66f
Vriji	136f

W

Warrior, Brâhmana	222
———Pali words for,	80f
Warrior caste	80
Water-carrier	304,306
— , Brâhmana	10f

Y.

Yama,	157
Yasa,	253
Ynddhitthila,	70,216
Yndhishthira,	66,67f
Yuvafijaya,	68

Z.

Ziuimer, H.,	... 115, 115f, 123f, 185f, 139f, 207f

INDEX OF PALI AND SANSKRIT WORKS.

	PACK.
<i>Aggamahest</i>	54, 58, 124
<i>Aggiparicariyâ</i>	199f
<i>Agghakâraka</i>	288
<i>Agghâpanikattâna</i>	280
<i>Angavijjâpâthaka</i>	228
<i>Angavidyâ</i>	228f
<i>Angulipatodaka</i>	87f
<i>Ajveyatâ</i>	209f.211
<i>Ataviârakkhika</i>	
<i>Atta</i>	178
<i>Attakaludddka</i>	308
<i>Atthakulaka</i>	107f
<i>Atthârasa vijjatthânâni</i>	93, 93f, 202, 203f
<i>Atthadhammânusâsaka amucca</i>	102, 129, 144, 146
<i>Adhammika</i>	206
<i>Anusetthi</i>	259f
<i>Antsvâsika</i>	208
<i>Antojâta</i>	307
<i>Apasada</i>	B
<i>Apâyamukhâni</i>	199f
<i>Abrâhmana</i>	48
<i>Abhisecana</i>	128
<i>Amacca</i>	139sq., 151, 151f, 160, 254
<i>Amaccakula</i>	94
<i>Amanussavaddha</i>	158
<i>Amâtyamukhya</i>	145
<i>Ammana</i>	243f
<i>Ayyadovânka</i>	186
<i>Arahatta</i>	27f
<i>Areâ</i>	209,209f
<i>Avalakkana</i>	209,211
<i>Avalakkhana</i>	188
<i>Asaddha</i>	327

	PAGE.
<i>Asilakkhanapâthakabrâhmana,</i>	188, 231
<i>Assavânija</i>	274
<i>Assâmikadhana</i>	122
<i>Assâmikabhanda</i>	122f
<i>Ahigunthika</i>	296
<i>Ahigunthikabrâhmana</i> ...	287
<i>cariya</i>	168, 211
<i>Âcariyadhana</i>	205
<i>Âcariyabhâya</i>	95/, 203, 204
<i>Âcaryabhâgadâyaka</i>	203
<i>Âcariyamutthi</i>	208
<i>Ânâpavattitthâna</i>	137
<i>Âmalaka</i>	218f
<i>Ârakkhikajetthaka</i>	274f
<i>Ârammann</i>	69f, 70
<i>Âryuvarna</i>	314
<i>Âlambanamanta</i>	237
<i>Âlhaka</i>	300
<i>Âvâhnvirâhasambandna</i>	264
<i>Âsrama</i>	71, 193f
<i>Isipabajjâ</i>	194
<i>Udakabhata</i>	304
<i>Udiccabrâhmanut</i>	40, 202, 213, 214
<i>Udiccabrâkmanukula</i>	34
<i>Udicya</i>	213f
<i>Udukkhala</i>	310f
<i>Upanayana</i>	200f
<i>Uparajja</i>	97, 107f, 123, 131, 132, 133, 133f, 138, 142
<i>Uasara</i>	297
<i>Ekapurohita</i>	175
<i>Eranda</i>	30
<i>Oparajja</i>	171
<i>Osadha</i>	236, 296f
<i>Attshadha</i>	296f
<i>Kacchaputavânija,</i>	277
<i>Katthahâri</i>	124
<i>Katthahârika</i>	58
<i>Kappaka</i>	285
<i>Kappâsa,</i>	273
<i>Keppâsakhetta</i>	273

	PAGE.
<i>Kammahnra</i>	288, 305
<i>Kammakâra</i>	305
<i>Kammâra</i>	283f
<i>Kammâragâma</i>	281
<i>Kammârajethaka</i>	283, 284
<i>Kammârputta</i>	278
<i>Karamara</i>	307, 308
<i>Karîsa</i>	
<i>Karman</i>	336
<i>Kanikamma</i>	213
<i>Kassaka</i>	144
<i>Kassakabrâhmana</i>	243, 248f
<i>Kahâpana</i>	95f. 187, 204, 200f, 257, 271, 287, 308
<i>Kârnhâpana</i>	206
<i>Kâsikavattha</i>	273f
<i>Kitaca</i>	10f
<i>Kutumba</i>	250, 200
<i>Kutumbika</i>	256, 334
<i>Kundaka</i>	301
<i>Kumbhakârakula</i>	278, 281
<i>Kumbhakârasippa</i>	327
<i>Kula</i>	34f
<i>Kuladharmâ</i>	267f
<i>Kuladhîtar</i>	53. 254
<i>Kulanâma</i>	83
<i>Kulaputta</i>	253, 256
<i>Kulavamsa</i>	54f
<i>Kulaasntaka rajja</i>	123
<i>Kulûpaka âjîrika</i>	172
<i>Ktityavid</i>	156f
<i>Krishijîvin</i>	10f
<i>Keratta</i>	302
<i>Kaivarta</i>	7, 8, 302f
<i>Koti</i>	177
<i>Krtta</i>	307
<i>Kshatriya</i>	5, 15, 17, 74, 79, 86/, 264
<i>Khattiya</i>	17, 18, 27sq ., 39,60, 61,79sq., 97,104, 119, 126, 127, 144, 152, 165, 183, 209, 242, 263, 264, 333
<i>Khattiyakula</i>	33, 34f, 152f, 255

<i>Khattiyaparisad</i>	255f
<i>Khattiyamânava</i>	08
<i>Khattiyamâyâ</i>	39f
<i>Khattiyamrnana</i>	86
<i>Khattiyâ</i>	39,123
<i>Khânughâta ...</i>	218
<i>Khettappamâna</i>	110
<i>Ganasatthar ...</i>	62,194
<i>Ganikâ</i>	166
<i>Gandhabba ...</i>	289,290,298
<i>Gahapati</i>	43, 75, 98, 142-43, 152sq., 212, 253sq., 303, 334
<i>Gahapatika ...</i>	117,120,162,153
<i>Gahapatskula</i>	33,254
<i>Gahapatiparisad</i>	255f
<i>Gâma</i>	160
<i>Gâmâbhojaka</i>	114f, 120, 121, 160,161,307
<i>Gâmika</i>	162
<i>Gîta</i>	280
<i>Guru ...</i>	165/, 167
<i>Qrihaja</i>	307
<i>Grihupati</i>	253f
<i>Grihasmvvesaka</i>	10f
<i>Grihastha</i>	208
<i>Goghâtaka</i>	210
<i>Gopa</i>	210
<i>Gharâvâsa</i>	104
	318
<i>Candâlajâti</i>	323f
<i>Candâlabhâsâ</i>	320
	310
<i>Oa*4&lucchiUKabhatta</i>	310
<i>Caturaâgiâi cenâ</i>	178f
<i>Cammakârasippn</i>	327
<i>Oikitsaka</i>	10f
<i>Cora</i>	106,308
<i>Coragâmaka</i>	274
<i>Coraghâtaha</i>	168
<i>Corajetthaka</i>	274
<i>Chettmangala</i>	115
<i>Chabbaggika</i>	38

	PAGE.
<i>CHavacchaddaka</i>	321
<i>Janapadastthi</i>	262
<i>Jammt</i>	812
<i>Jâtaggi</i>	199f, 200
Jâti	34, 35, 40, 41, 51, 57, 82, 87/, 143, 255, 263, 265, 323f, 326, 333, 334, 336
<i>Jâtidharma ...</i>	267f
<i>Jâtisampanna</i>	42. 330
<i>Jâtisambheda</i>	51
<i>Jânapada</i>	255
<i>Jina</i>	110f
<i>Jetthaka</i>	275, 283
<i>Jetthakakammâra</i>	283
<i>Jetthagandhabba</i>	208
Jyâ	110f
<i>Takshakâ</i>	327f
<i>Takdhan</i>	327f
<i>Tacchika</i>	327
<i>Tantavâya ...</i>	329
<i>Tapas</i>	233
<i>Tâpasa</i>	62
<i>Tikicchaka</i>	217
<i>Tiracchânnavijjâ</i>	231
Tailika	10f
<i>Thalaniyâamaha</i>	268
<i>Dakkhinodaka</i>	211
<i>Dandadâsa</i>	307
<i>Datrima</i>	307
<i>Dantakâra ...</i>	279
<i>Dantakâravtthi</i>	280
<i>Daliddakla ...</i>	304
<i>Dasagrâmapati</i>	163f
<i>Daia râjadhama</i>	100, 101, 105
Dasys	8
Dâna	209f, 210
Dâns	288, 305sq.
Dâsa varna	314
Dâeckamma	311
Dâscparibhoga	310
Dâsiputta	312

	PAGE.
<i>Dâst</i>	56,308
<i>Disâkâku</i>	209
<i>Disâpâmokkha âcariya</i>	207
<i>Dullhatâpasa</i>	301
<i>Deyyadhamms</i>	290
<i>Desadharma ...</i>	267f
<i>Dana</i>	117, 154, 154f
<i>Donamâpaka</i>	117, 132f, 151, 154
<i>Dovârîka</i>	155, 157, 254
<i>Dohala</i>	148
<i>Dvâravâsin</i>	306
<i>Dvija</i>	57,62f, 73f
<i>Dhaññavânîjakula</i>	276
<i>Dhannavikkaya</i>	257
<i>Dhanakkita ...</i>	307
<i>Dhanuggaha</i>	290
<i>Dhanurreda</i>	92, 203f
<i>Dhanuhsnrânâm kartar</i>	10f
<i>Dkamma</i>	22, 190, 207
<i>Dhammanterâsika</i>	203, 204
<i>Dhammayâga</i>	189,189f
<i>Dhâvana</i>	292
<i>Dhvajâhrita</i>	306
<i>Nakkhatta</i>	297
<i>Nakkhattajânanaka</i>	232
<i>Nakshatrair yo jlvati</i>	10f
<i>Nagaraguttika</i>	43, 158
<i>Nagarisobhanâ vannadâsî</i>	132f
<i>Nacca</i>	289,292
<i>Nata</i>	7, 8, 293 , 298, 299
<i>Natakakula</i>	293.299
<i>Nalakâra</i>	287,328
<i>Nalakârsippa</i>	327
<i>Nahâpitadâya</i>	289
<i>Nahâpitaputta</i>	329,330
	327,329
<i>Niggâhaka</i>	289
<i>Niggâhaka</i>	120, 218
<i>Nidhiuddharanarruinta ..</i>	169f
<i>Nimitta</i>	178

	PAGE.
<i>Niruâna</i>	26f
<i>Nīcajāti</i>	327f
<i>Negama</i>	255, 258
<i>Nemittn</i>	227
<i>Nemittika</i>	227
<i>Nemittikabrâhmana</i>	227
<i>Netâdayâma</i>	323
<i>Nesâdajāti</i>	323f
<i>Pakshinâm poshaka</i>	10f
<i>Paccuppannavatthu</i>	58, 296f
<i>Pañcatapa</i>	23f
<i>Pcttanagâma</i>	301
<i>Pathavijayamanta</i>	235
<i>Pandita</i>	236
<i>Pannâkâra</i>	115, 115f
<i>Pannikakula</i>	276
<i>Pannikagahapati</i>	255
<i>Patodalatthi</i>	109f
<i>Pabbajita</i>	34, 77
<i>Pabbajjâ</i>	15, 61, 74, 259, 292
<i>Paricâraka</i>	217
<i>Parijana</i>	263
<i>Parittakarana</i>	236
<i>Parinibbâna</i>	26f
<i>Parintrvâna</i>	26f
<i>Paribbaya</i>	205
<i>Pavenipotthaka</i>	107f
<i>Pasupâla</i>	10f
<i>Pâlibhadda</i>	30
<i>Pâibhadra</i>	30f
<i>Pitigotta</i>	56
<i>Pukkusajāti</i>	323f
<i>Pucimanda</i>	30
<i>Puriiiamanoratha</i>	309f
<i>Pupphaka</i>	300f
<i>Purohita</i>	20sq, 42, 83, 99, 103, 109, 111, 112f, 118, 123sq., 128, 132, 132f, 164sq., 187sq., 202, 206, 207, 219, 222sq., 232, 309
<i>Purohitakula</i>	170
<i>Puahparatha</i>	125sq

	PAGE.
<i>Pesakârasippa</i>	327,328
Pessika	221
<i>Paitrika</i>	307
<i>Pratiârpacaryâ</i>	196,198
<i>Pretaniryâtaka</i>	10f
<i>Prenhyo grâmasya rājñasca</i>	10f
<i>Phussaratha</i>	125, 126
<i>Bondin</i>	10f
<i>Bambhanammunânânam sampatipati</i>	192f
<i>Balakâya</i>	90f, 106
<i>Bali</i>	114, 120, 121, 149sq.
<i>Balikamma</i>	236
<i>Balikrit</i>	112sq.
<i>Bulipatiggâhaka</i>	120, 121
<i>Balipuita</i>	121
<i>Balisa</i>	302
<i>Balisâddhaka</i>	120
<i>Bâlisika</i>	302
<i>Brahmadeyya</i>	210
<i>Brahman</i>	182sq.
<i>Bahrnabandhu</i>	215
<i>Brâhmana</i>	8, 16, 18, 26sq., 84, 85, 84sq., 97, 142, 148 152, 180sq., 186, 191, 192, 223, 232sq., 305,338
<i>Brâkmanakumârikâ</i>	52
<i>Brâhmanakula</i>	33, 34f, 153f, 194, 255
<i>Brâhmanagâma</i>	181f, 241f
<i>Brâhmanadhamma</i>	191
<i>Brâhmanaparisad</i>	255f
<i>Brâhmasamânava</i>	98
<i>Brâhmanavaddhaki</i>	246
<i>Brâmanavâcanaka</i>	211,320
<i>Brâhmanya</i>	197
<i>Bhaktadâsa</i>	307
<i>Bhandâgârîka</i>	155, 310
<i>Bhandâgdrikatthâna</i>	155, 276
<i>Bhataka</i>	244,304,306
<i>Bhatt</i>	303 305
<i>Bhajissa</i>	313

	PAGE.
<i>Bheri</i>	297
<i>Bherivâda</i>	297
<i>Bhoga</i>	274
<i>Bhogagâma</i>	109, 171
<i>Mangala</i>	211
<i>Mahgalasainyutta</i>	186
<i>Majjavikhaya</i>	151
<i>Manta</i>	93, 93f, 169f, 201, 235, 230
<i>Malamajjana</i>	220, 330
<i>Mahâbhogakula</i>	74
<i>Mahâmatta</i>	2f, 141, 147f, 152, 152f, 154
<i>Mahâsâlakula</i>	244
<i>Mahâsenagutta</i>	146
<i>Mâmsavikrayin</i>	10f
<i>Mâgadhadêsîya</i> <i>Brahmabandhu</i>	215
<i>Mâghâta</i>	161
<i>Mâtigotta</i>	50
<i>Mâlakârâjetthaka</i>	283
<i>Mâahaka</i>	303f
<i>Mâsaka</i>	303
<i>Migaluddaka</i>	302
<i>Micchâjîfca</i>	231
<i>Musala</i>	310f
<i>Yakkha</i>	120
<i>Yakkhîni</i>	113, 236
<i>Yaksha</i>	113f
<i>Yakshini</i>	113f
<i>Yaññavâta</i>	225
<i>Yavakhetta</i>	241f
<i>Yasas</i>	196, 199
<i>Yâcaka</i>	222
<i>Yuddhâcârya</i>	10f
<i>Yodha</i>	80f
<i>Yodhâjîfva</i>	222
<i>Rajja</i>	131, 140, 141
<i>Rajju</i>	149f
<i>Rajjuka</i>	148, 149f
<i>Rajjugâhaka amacca</i>	118, 132, 148, 149f, 154
<i>Raño bhâga</i>	116
<i>Ratthika</i>	156, 254

	PAGE.
<i>Rathakâra</i>	86f, 326
<i>Rathakârajâti</i>	323f
<i>Rasavikrayin</i>	10f
<i>Râgakkammika</i>	118,173
<i>Râjakumârâ.</i>	93
<i>Râjakumbhakâra</i>	287
<i>Râjañña</i>	19, 80f, 152, 152f, 292
<i>Râjaññakula</i>	152f
<i>Râjan</i>	80f, 97, 98, 96f, 104, 105, 107f, 112f, 123, 137, 152, 158
<i>Râjanya</i>	79, 153f
<i>Râjapurisa</i>	121
<i>Râjabali</i>	152
<i>Râjabhâya</i>	117,154
<i>Râjabhritya</i>	228
<i>Râjabhagga</i>	80f, 152, 152f, 153, 158, 159, 257, 292, 334
<i>Râjamâlakâra</i>	287
<i>Râjarshi</i>	64
<i>Râjând</i>	112,113f
<i>Râjâyatana</i>	218
<i>RâJupatthâka nalakâra</i>	287
<i>Râjuka</i>	146f
<i>Râjâpatthâna</i>	259
<i>Râihrika</i>	254
<i>Lakkhana</i>	227, 230, 310
<i>Lakkhnakusala</i>	227
<i>Lakkhanapâfha</i>	227
<i>Lakkhanasampanna</i>	188
<i>Langhana</i>	292
<i>Langhananafnka</i>	295
<i>Langhunsippu</i>	299
<i>Lajuka</i>	149f
<i>Lâmakakammn</i>	329
<i>Luddaka</i>	229
<i>Luddakakamma</i>	323
<i>Lokapakti</i>	
<i>vagguliuata</i>	23f
<i>Yacchakapâlaka</i>	263
<i>Vattnkaluddaka</i>	368
Vaddhaki	283,328

	PAGE,
<i>Vadhakigâma</i>	247, 281
<i>Yanna</i>	84f
<i>Vatthuvijjâ ...</i>	235
<i>Vayappatta</i>	104, 199, 254, 260
<i>Varna</i>	4, 34f
<i>Vasanattâna</i>	306
<i>Vasala</i>	41f
	221
<i>Vânijaka</i>	210
<i>Vânaprastha</i>	61
<i>Vârddhushi</i>	10f
<i>Vârunivânija</i>	263
<i>Vimsattsa</i>	163f
<i>Vinicchaya</i>	107, 112f, 140
<i>Vinicchayadhamma</i>	102f
<i>Vinicchayamahâmatta</i>	107f, 147, 148
<i>Vinicchayâmacca</i>	108, 110, 147
<i>Vipanenajtvana</i>	10f
<i>Vibhittaka ...</i>	218
<i>Visavejjakula</i>	237
<i>Vinâ</i>	293, 297
<i>Vihipaharana</i>	310f
	325, 328
<i>Venajati</i>	323f
	327
<i>Venu</i>	326
<i>Veryukâra</i>	328
<i>Vejja</i>	237
<i>Vejjakula</i>	237
<i>Vejjabvâhmana</i>	237
<i>Veda</i>	92
<i>Vedabbhnmanta</i>	234
<i>Vessa</i>	17, 18, 27sq., 84, 85, 209, 219, 262
<i>Vessakula</i>	34f
<i>Vaina</i>	326
<i>Vaivâhtka agni</i>	199f
<i>Vaisya</i>	6, 17, 114f, 242, 252sq
<i>Vahâra</i>	
<i>Vahârîka</i>	107f, 147, 147f
<i>Vyavahâra</i>	112f

<i>Vrâtya</i>	7,13
<i>Satesa</i>	163f
<i>Sûdra</i>	5, 6, 17, 38, 127, 251f, 314, 315
<i>Syenajtvin</i>	10f
<i>Sreni</i>	267
<i>Srenidharma</i>	260f
<i>Sreshthin</i>	267f
<i>Svakridin</i>	10f
<i>Shadbhâgin</i>	116f
<i>Samyama</i>	300f
<i>Sakunaluddaka</i>	302
<i>Sankupatha</i>	300f
<i>Sankha</i>	297
<i>Sankhadhamaka</i>	207
<i>Sankhadhamakaukla</i>	299
<i>Saciva</i>	163
<i>Satthavâha</i>	262, 272, 274
<i>Satthavâhakula</i>	276
<i>Satthavâhjetthaka</i>	276
<i>Satthavâhaputta</i>	276
<i>Sabbacatukkayañña</i>	176/, 226
<i>Sabbatthaka</i>	146
<i>Sabbarâvajânanamunta</i>	169f
<i>Sabbarutañña</i>	236
<i>Sabbasippâni</i>	93, 194,202
<i>Samajâtikakula</i>	62
<i>Samana</i>	18, 19, 62, 63, 191, 192, 192f, 198, 227, 334
<i>Samanaparisad</i>	255f
<i>Samânakula</i>	52
<i>Samânajâti</i>	52
<i>Samiti</i>	140
<i>Samuddogamana</i>	268
<i>Satnuddavânija</i>	270,270f
<i>Sartrasampatti</i>	200
<i>Sahasrapati</i>	163/
<i>Sâtakalakkanabrâhmana</i>	214,281
<i>Sâcitrt</i>	188, 200f
<i>Sârathi</i>	155
<i>Sithila</i>	77f
<i>Sindhava</i>	273

365

PAG*.

<i>Sîppa</i>	92, 201, 205, 265, 327
<i>Sippika.</i>	221
<i>Siveyyaka dussa</i>	273
<i>Sîal</i>	227
<i>Suttadhara</i>	107f
<i>Sndda</i>	17, 18, 27s2., 84, 209, 314
<i>Suddukual</i>	34f
<i>Supinapâthaka</i>	149
<i>Suppa</i>	310f
<i>Suvannkâra</i>	283f
<i>Sueânasuddhika</i>	288f
<i>Sûta</i>	6, 8
<i>Sûtikâgni</i>	199f
<i>Sûida</i>	288
<i>Setthi</i>	43, 51, 53, 74, 116, 120, 150f, 228, 259s2., 304, 305, 308, 311
<i>Setthikula</i>	259
<i>Setthi gahaputi</i>	253
<i>Settkitthâna</i>	250
<i>Seni</i>	155, 275
<i>Setacchatta</i>	132
<i>Senânâyaka</i>	80f
<i>Senâpati</i>	102f, 107f, 111, 135sq., 142, 145, 147, 155, 172, 173, 197, 312
<i>Hatthâcariya</i>	289, 291, 299
<i>Hatthimahgalo</i>	170, 177, 188
<i>Hatthisutta</i>	167, 170, 188
<i>Harîtaka</i>	218f
<i>Hastigosroshlradamaka</i>	10f
<i>Hîna</i>	319, 323f
<i>Hinakula</i>	86f
<i>Hinajacca</i>	83, 83f
<i>Hinajâti</i>	826
<i>Hinasippa</i>	327
<i>Keraññaika</i>	155

ERRATA.

At p. 121, for *balipîtitd*, read *balipîlitâ*.

At p. 171, for *nparajja*, read *oparajja*.

At p. 182, footnote, for *Brahmana*, read *brahman*.

At p. 206, footnote, for *Angns*, read *Child en*.

At p. 209, for *area*, read *arcâ*.

At p. 218, footnote, for *Sarasuali*, read *Sarârâlî*.

At do. for *udicca*, read *udicya*.

At p. 227, for *lakshana*, read *lakkhana*.

At do. , for *lakskanakusalâ*, read *takkhanaknsalâ*.

At do. , for *l' kshanapâtkakâd*, read *takkhanapâthakâ*

At p. 230, for *lakshanâni*, read *lakkhanâni*

At p. 241) footnote, for *Gegether*, read *Gazetteer*.

At p. 277, for *kacckapatacânija*, read *kncchapntapntacânija*.

At p. 309, for *punnamanathiâ*, read *ptnnamanorathâ*.

