

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OU_214189

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

214189

214159

OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Call No. 891.6/AR55 Accession No. 3449

Author J. L. Arnold

Title The study of Celtic literature

This book should be returned on or before the date
last marked below.

CELTIC LITERATURE

(ANNOTATED EDITION)

WORKS BY THE EDITOR

THE ARYAN EXPULSION- AND RETURN
FORMULA IN THE FOLK AND HERO TALES
OF THE CELTS FOLK LORE RECORD Vol IV
1881 10s 6d net

MABINOGION STUDIES I THE MABINOGI
OI BRANWEN DAUGHTER OF LLYR FOLK LORE
RECORD Vol V 1882 10s 6d net

STUDIES ON THE LEGEND OF THE HOLY
GRAIL With especial reference to the Hypothesis of
its Celtic origin 1888 Out of print

THE VOYAGE OF BRAN, SON OF FEBAI,
TO THE LAND OF THE LIVING With an Essay
upon the Irish Vision of the Happy Otherworld and
the Celtic Doctrine of Rebirth 2 Vols 1895-97
£1 11s 6d net

CELTIC AND MEDIAEVAL ROMANCE 1899
6d net

OSSIAN AND THE OSSIANIC LITERATURE
1900 6d net

THE FAIRY MYTHOLOGY OF SHAKESPEARE
1900 6d net

CUCHULAINN, THE IRISH ACHILLES 1900

LEGENDS OF THE HOLY GRAIL 1902
6d net

THE MABINOGION Mediaeval Welsh Romances
Translated by Lady CHARLOTTE GUEST With Notes by
ALFRED NUTT Third Edition 1910

THE STUDY
OF
CELTIC LITERATURE

BY
MATTHEW ARNOLD

WITH INTRODUCTION, NOTES, AND APPENDIX

BY
ALFRED NUTT

AUTHORISED EDITION

DAVID NUTT
AT THE SIGN OF THE PHŒNIX, LONG ACRE
1910

PREFACE

MATTHEW ARNOLD'S Oxford Lectures on the Study of Celtic Literature are a masterpiece of critical insight and suggestive power, but they are far more than this: they inaugurate a new period in the relations between England and Ireland, between Teutonicism and Celticism, as animating ethical and æsthetical conceptions, they herald a new attitude of the Celtic-speaking peoples towards their national literature, they suggest and adumbrate problems, political, racial, æsthetic, upon the solution of which much thought and intellectual labour and self-sacrificing zeal have been expended in the last forty years, they are still, in a most effective sense, a quickening ferment in the movement of Neo-Celtic Revival. Their influence has been largely for good, but not wholly; they have attracted many to the study of the Celtic spirit manifesting itself in letters, they have pleaded eloquently for a generous and broad-minded enthusiasm in the prosecution of such studies, they have opened up new and fascinating lines of thought. On the other hand, they have given currency to conceptions erroneous in themselves and singularly susceptible of originating new forms of error, and by the very perfection with which certain critical principles

are enunciated they have substituted in too many minds blind acceptance and parrot-like repetition for that critical individual attitude which is the first and foremost requisite in literary studies. It is now several years since I became aware how deep and widespread is the mischief thus caused. I was asked to judge Eisteddfod essays dealing with Celtic Influences upon English Literature. Paper after paper was a mere amplification of Arnold's theses, until at last I became half sick of passages which had been a delight and inspiration to me for over twenty years. And to this day, when the popular lecturer or the journalist quotes Arnold, as now he so frequently does, it is as a rule the questionable, nay the provenly mistaken views to which he gives currency.

The last forty years have witnessed a wonderful development not alone in Celtic studies, strictly speaking, but in everything—prehistoric archæology, folk-lore, anthropology—that pertains to the study of early European culture outside the Classic, the Mediterranean area. As was inevitable, this increase of knowledge has brought with it change of view. It seemed to me desirable to mark where later research has confirmed or modified or impugned Arnold's assertions. In many cases my task has been simply to enter a *caveat*, for in almost every branch of Celtology we must know more before we can assert confidently, and those who know most will be chariest of dogmatic assertion. Again, Arnold's method is essentially one of suggestion rather than of information, the reader is stimulated to follow up a line of thought, but the facts which he must master are withheld from him. Was it not possible

to append such a brief framework of primary essential facts as might enable better, because more fully informed, appreciation of the author's arguments ?

At times my commentary may seem unduly antagonistic. But in this, as in all Arnold's critical work, there are grave faults, to which reverence for his essential fairness, gratitude for his stimulating insight, must not blind us. He was too easily content to rely upon intuition, too reluctant, possibly too indolent, to analyse all the elements of a question before presenting a brilliant (and, for most readers, satisfactory) synthesis, too prone to advance arguments and illustrations which more ample consideration would have shown him to be inadequate, if not positively misleading. There are minds in which this tendency induces a feeling of resentment, nay of repulsion, minds to which this great opponent of Philistinism and Journalism appears too often to borrow weapons from his foes. An estimate of Arnold which aims at being truthful must take account of defects as well as of virtues.

Portions of the Lectures are wholly obsolete, and might, some will think, be omitted with advantage. But they have at least historical interest, and the whole work is so short, and is read with so much ease, that abridgment seemed unnecessary.

I have written nothing in the shape of adverse or appreciative comment, of amplification or correction of argument, that Arnold would not, I trust, approve were he now living. I owe too much to the book not to pay it my meed of faithful and searching criticism.

ALFRED NUTT

CONTENTS

	PAGE
EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION	• XI
MATTHEW ARNOLD'S INTRODUCTION	xxix
THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE	• I
APPENDIX	151

EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION

ARNOLD discusses and criticises the attitude of most Englishmen of his day towards Celtic matters—he pleads at the same time for recognition of kinship between Celt and Teuton, and insists upon those features which differentiate the two peoples, and thanks to which each has something to learn from the other—he emphasises and illustrates the value of further knowledge of the Celt generally, and in particular of his literature—he pleads for constructive sympathetic study of the Celtic past, which he traces back to Roman times—he exemplifies certain essential characteristics, as he deems them, of the Celtic spirit. He discusses the historic contact of Celt and Teuton in the British Isles, and urges that it must needs have left its mark upon the resulting race and its resulting literature—he contrasts the Germanic spirit, as manifested in Germany proper and in England, with the Celtic—he surveys the achievements of the Celt in art and in practical life—he insists that varying strains of character are present in the modern Englishman, referable partly to Teutonic, partly to Celtic, partly to Norman-French influence—he exemplifies them from language, from public life, from art and morals, but mainly from English poetry,

in which he essays to discriminate the specific Celtic element. Finally, he pleads for generous and sympathetic recognition and support of Celtic studies.

The above synopsis represents fairly, I think, the main lines of thought and argument in these Lectures. It will be found that Arnold expresses definite opinions, both political (in the widest sense of the word) and æsthetic, basing them, more or less, upon definite scientific conceptions. The examination of these and of the resultant æsthetic theory will be the main object of this Introduction and of the Notes accompanying the text. But it is desirable in the first place to consider the political questions discussed by Arnold or implied in his argument.

In the Preface I assert that Arnold's work heralds a new attitude of the Celtic-speaking peoples towards their national literature. Up to its appearance the cultivation of this literature, alike in Ireland, Scotland and Wales, had been either purely instinctive or purely antiquarian, the next forty years were to witness a mighty development of the language-and-literature movement as an instrument of national defence and—offence. This movement has progressed far beyond the bounds which, implicitly if not explicitly, Arnold assigns to it¹. If one can fancy a *Times* leader-writer admitted to the Elysian fields, it is easy to imagine his triumphant onslaught on the sentimentalist who had scarified him so keenly. 'See,' he would say, 'how blind you were, how right I, the dull, stupid Philistine, was. My policy of thorough was the only sound one. A nation must have one form of speech, one common

¹ See pp. 10-12

stock of intellectual and moral ideals. Allow the particularist to cultivate his corner of the garden at his own sweet will, and you do but afford a breeding-ground for weeds that will overrun and destroy all that the labour of the gardener has effected.' What would Arnold answer *now*, now that the compromise he advocates on pp. 11 and xli-ii has broken down, now that it is patent how powerful a factor in the Neo-Celtic movement is the passion of aversion from and opposition to England, whether considered as a political, an intellectual, or a moral entity, how keen and widespread is the hope of an entire divorce, not alone material but intellectual and spiritual, between the two races whose joint work in the complex fabric of English literature he traced so acutely, and from whose close and more sympathetic mingling he anticipated so much good? Would he have denied the god whose shrine he had so laboured to raise? No one can say for certain, but I think he would not. He would have recognised that the movement had developed in other directions, had tended to far wider issues than he anticipated. He would, I think, have wondered, as some other Englishmen wonder, at the short-sightedness with which (save for some most honourable exceptions) what is, from the English point of view, the friendly section of Ireland has allowed so mighty an instrument as is the cherishing of native speech and art to fall so largely into the hands of the unfriendly section. But in the face of a deep and far-reaching movement which has roused so much enthusiasm, raised up so many willing and devoted workers, and produced within a short period so much that is full of promise, he would, I believe,

have said with Gamaliel ' If this work be of men it will come to naught , but if it be of God ye cannot overthrow it ' Assuredly, too, he would have urged that error, if error there be, must be combated by reason and frank discussion, but never by repression or contemptuous reviling In any case, he would not have shut his eyes to facts, but have considered them steadily and fairly, and it behoves Englishmen to do likewise

Thus considered, is it not evident that the questions pending between England and Ireland, or, in a lesser degree, between England and Wales, are not special to these islands, but form part of a far larger question common to all Europe—indeed, to the whole of the white world? Three main tendencies may be distinguished during the past half-century among the communities which make up that world a unifying tendency, which seeks to obliterate particularist distinctions within a given area , a nationalist tendency, which accentuates and strives to perpetuate distinctions which up to a short while before had been falling into disuse , a humanitarian tendency, which is, in its essence, opposed to both of the former in so far as they found themselves upon existing forms of political organisation The first tendency has given us United Germany and United Italy, and for the student of history who knows within how near a period to our own day German stood opposed to German and Italian to Italian wellnigh as decidedly as either is now opposed to other nations, this cannot but present itself as the most potent and vital tendency of our time Without doubt the consolidation and advance of Germany constitute the chief element in the political Europe of our day, the one which con-

sciously or unconsciously affects or moulds every other. The second tendency has largely broken up the Turkish Empire in Europe, is modifying the character of the Austrian Empire, has disunited Sweden and Norway, and threatens to affect the United Kingdom. It has worked marvels in the domain of language and literature. A form of speech which within the memory of living man was a despised *patois* may now be the organ of parliament or government, the vehicle of a flourishing press and a promising literature. The Irishman who dreams of an Erin from which Gaelic has wholly ousted English can find warrant in contemporary Europe for his boldest hopes. Both of these tendencies, Imperialism and Nationalism, which logically should everywhere be opposed to each other, have a common element which often neutralises their antagonism. Both hold in the main by the conception of the strongly organised State based upon military power. Thus the humanitarian tendency challenges with its ideal of a loosely organised, almost anachist State, seeking points of contact rather than of difference with its neighbours, emphasising the kinship of human beings as such rather than as members of a definite political group. It is at present anathema to the Imperialist, but equally so to the Nationalist, rejected at once by the Briton, who desires to see all the energies of the United Kingdom, nay of the entire Empire, animated by one spirit and tending to one goal, and by the Irishman or the Australian, who desires to establish his national individuality as distinct from, and possibly opposed to, other national individualities.

Who can forecast what the outcome of these varied

tendencies will be in the course of the next half-century? One thing alone seems certain—however strong may be the disruptive factors, they will not, even in the Austrian Empire where they are strongest, exercise their full effect so long as the organisation of the Great Powers on a military basis persists. And in spite of the crushing burden which militarism lays upon every European State, in spite of the real advance which the internationalist humanitarian tendency has made both in France and in Germany, that organisation is likely to last for many years to come. But speculation concerning what might happen if the anarchist, humanitarian ideal of the State were to gain the upper hand is not idle. Europe, in such a case, would probably resolve itself into groups of federations, of which the component parts would be far less closely knit than are the federated States of America, in the British grouping, Ireland, in whole or for the major part, would be autonomous, though under the new order of things autonomy would be void of those elements for which the present-day nationalist welcomes it. This is, however, a remote contingency. The chances are all for prosecution of the nationalist ideal in Celtdom upon the lines of existing political organisation, based upon force and inspired by latent hostility to all that is not itself.

No clear-sighted and unprejudiced observer will hold the attainment of such an ideal to be impossible. A pressing danger menaces the politico-economic unity of Great Britain and Ireland which Arnold looked upon as perpetual. If we abandon our policy of free trade, we at once call into being warring economic entities,

and if economic opposition (which would inevitably be participated in by *all* Ireland) be superadded to the other elements of discord, a condition of things so intolerable would result that separation would be the only remedy. By the most ironic of paradoxes, the present Unionist party stands committed to a policy necessarily fatal to the Union. The ideal of Protection is that most detestable one—the self-contained nation, detestable because it is only in proportion as a nation is receptive and diffusive that it can fulfil itself in any kind of activity. And this false and pernicious ideal is cherished, with a fervour equal to that of the Protectionist, by the most extreme opponent of English Irish unity, by the Sinn Féinn movement.

In view of issues such as these, the part to be played by the language-and-literature movement in determining the future relations of the Celtic and Teutonic elements in the United Kingdom may seem of small account. But it may be contended—and Arnold would, I believe, contend—that it forms the most vital element of the question, the one whose influence will be most profound and enduring. He would add, I fancy, that the part it will play, the influence it will exercise, are not what either friend or foe imagines. His whole argument in these Lectures is in effect a plea for the study of the Celtic spirit, because instead of being alien to and remote from that of England, it forms in reality a portion of the latter, which it has fertilised and enriched. See, he says, what this hitherto unsuspected union of the Celtic and Teutonic spirit has been able to effect, although it has worked under the most adverse conditions, obscurely, unconsciously. What happy results may

not be expected from a union realised consciously on the basis of full knowledge and sympathy. Writing, as he did, to impress upon Englishmen the need for recognising and honouring the Celtic element, he naturally insisted upon its beneficial character. Writing to-day, would he not rather point out to the Celt the danger of disregarding and neglecting the Teutonic element—that element, moreover, by which the chief Celtic-speaking communities are in contact with the living culture of the period? If he heard, as he well might, that this culture was precisely the accursed thing of which it behoved the Celt to get rid, I can imagine with what ironic gravity he would approach the contention that any people, no matter how gifted, can, spider-like, spin wholly out of its own bowels a cobweb house in which to shroud itself from the surrounding light and air.

If, then, Arnold's argument is sound, if in the complex fabric of the English people and of English letters Celtic woof and Teutonic warp intertwine, each contributing its share towards the completed whole—is not the spirit of disunion, the spirit which would boycott, would reject the partner element, rebuked in advance? But is that argument a sound one? Is it true, from the Englishman's point of view, that the Celtic element has been of such moment and significance in his historic personality? Here we leave the quaking ground of political prophecy, we are confronted with deductions professing to be drawn from facts scientifically ascertained and interpreted. Science has had much to say during the last generation concerning these facts. Does she confirm or invalidate Arnold's thesis?

Arnold has clearly seen that whilst there is an

undoubted measure of truth in the physical conception of race, whilst we may legitimately appeal to it in vindication of a fundamental kinship between Celt and Teuton, yet that for his purpose—a definitely historical one—race is the sum-total of historic conditions acting upon a particular group of men, that the conception of race is cultural rather than physical. The Englishman or the Irishman is not to be defined in the terms of craniometry, but in those of historic psychology—he is a human being who has expressed himself in a particular form of speech which has developed in such and such a way, who has evolved and in turn been moulded by such and such institutions, produced such and such works of thought and fancy which have each at its time and in the measure of its force expressed and helped to fix the racial type. He sees that such types do become sharply defined and persist most tenaciously, he sees that contact between racial types must necessarily have definite results. His grasp of the essential factors of his arguments is firm, despite that, as was inevitable, he at times uses language borrowed from the dominant theory of his day—that which regarded Aryan kinship as essentially, if not exclusively, physical, due to community of blood, to descent from a common ancestry. As is well known, this conception has been fiercely assailed during the past quarter-century. It is held that Aryan speech-unity does not correspond to blood-unity—that the predominance of Aryan speech is, for the most part, an artificial, an imposed instead of a free development, and that, as a matter of fact, the majority of those who now use some form of Aryan speech derive the most part of their physical being from men who were in

Europe before the Aryan individuality defined itself, and whose physical type has persisted despite their acceptance of Aryan speech and of all involved in such acceptance. I will not stop to inquire how far this reaction against the Aryanism of the 'sixties is justified—personally, I hold it to have gone too far, for, as I have said, Arnold's argument is but little affected. In the main he deals with Celt and Teuton not as x factors of an x Aryan equation, but as historic entities known to us from historic records of the past fifteen centuries, and, in particular, from that form of historic record we style literature.

For Arnold's purpose it seemed sufficient to discriminate the dominant characteristics of the Celtic spirit manifesting itself in literature and to use them as a touchstone, a reagent for detecting the Celtic element in the English complex. Obviously, success in the one process does not necessarily entail success in the other. He may have rightly discerned the characteristics of Celticism, have wrongly analysed the English spirit. What has increased knowledge to say on both points?

The distinguishing mark of the Celtic temperament is defined by Arnold as sentimentality, in the sense of a readiness to react against the despotism of fact. The definition is largely borrowed from Henri Martin, and is, unfortunately, disfigured by a use of the word sentimentality which differs from its usual significance. But if Arnold's terminology is unusual it is self-consistent, and the attentive reader has no difficulty in following his meaning, though inattentive readers have not infrequently based themselves upon him in their attribution to the Celts of sentimentality in the ordinary

English sense of the word, than which nothing can be more ludicrously wrong. As further distinguishing marks of Celtic literature he notes 'its turn for style, its turn for melancholy, its turn for natural magic.'

The question of Celtic sentimentality in the sense of reaction against the despotism of fact is largely bound up with that of 'the turn for melancholy,' and the two may profitably be considered together. When we come to consider the evidence upon which Arnold founded his assertions, we find to our surprise that it is singularly slight, and resolves itself into Macpherson's 'Ossian' and a group of early Welsh poems of a lyrico-dramatic nature associated with the name of Llywarch Hen. Now, although Arnold did not know it, there is kinship between the two witnesses to whom he appeals. For the Welsh Llywarch Hen poems find their closest Celtic analogue in the Irish Ossianic poetry, which is to some slight extent the source of Macpherson's work. To some extent Macpherson does derive his note of mournful dwelling upon the glories of the past, of piercing regret for the pride and splendour of vanished youth, from that archaic and genuine literature which he assailed with the bitterest invective in order to bolster up belief in his own fictitious compositions. But how different from the genuine expression of those feelings which nameless singers on one side of the Channel put in the mouth of Llywarch Hen, on the other in the mouth of Oisín, is the eighteenth-century sentimentalist fed upon Young and Rousseau. So far from its being true that Macpherson's measured prose brought a revelation to contemporary Europe, its sudden and immense

popularity was due, as Mr Smart has so convincingly shown, to the fact that Macpherson expressed, with a power and beauty I have no wish to deny, the fashionable sentiment of his day, and that he was clever enough to invest it with the appeal and sanction of immemorial antiquity. But it is emphatically not true that the defiant tone and accent of the Llywarch Hen or certain of the Oisín poems (to say nothing of Macpherson's counterfeit) are characteristic of Celtic literature at large. On the contrary, so sharply do they contrast with the bulk of that literature, that it might almost be questioned if an alien intrusive spirit is not at work, and if the Ossianic cycle, the framework and machinery of which are largely the product of the centuries of contact and strife between Celt and Scandinavian (i.e. Northern Teutondom), has not embodied a spiritual element akin to that which stamps so much of the Norse poetry of the tenth and eleventh centuries.

Thus Arnold's most famous generalisation turns out to be unsubstantial and questionable. That which characterises the Celtic spirit, in so far as it exhibits itself in literature, is not so much a passionate reaction against the despotism of fact as an imaginative transcendence of fact. The expression may, it is true, at times be fierce, or, far more rarely, ironic, in the main it is *fancy-full*, and the fancy is optimistic rather than pessimistic. Byron, whom Arnold cites in this connection, is in reality the least Celtic of great English poets. Had Arnold been able to overcome his instinctive aversion from that friend of Byron who outsoars him so infinitely as a poet, he would surely have found in Shelley—with his unforced and *simple* feeling for Nature

(simple in itself, however gorgeous and elaborate the form in which he has clothed it), with his invincible bent to weave a wonder-world of dream-like beauty in which he loses himself—moods, emotions, and instincts akin (if allowance be made for the difference of period and culture) to those of the poets who sang of Bran or Connla or Cuchulinn in the land of the ever-living, or of the romance which followed Christian saint and pagan hero as they wandered round Ireland, joyed in its beauty spots and invested its past with a soft and shimmering silver haze

By this definition of the essential mark of the Celtic spirit Arnold is perhaps better and more widely known than by any other pronouncement of his. How many a sermon, eloquent or otherwise, has been preached on the texts of Celtic 'Titanism,' of the Celt's 'passionate, turbulent, indomitable reaction against the despotism of fact' ? These catchwords have become a creed, they have fired a whole generation of writers, they have been largely instrumental in setting up, before the literature of the Celtic Revival, a definite aim and purpose. It is in the eloquent utterance of an English writer, founded, as I believe upon imperfect knowledge and mistaken interpretation of certain facts, that many a Celt has sought for and acclaimed his ideal. Nor has the effort been confined to the realms of Art. Arnold's generalisation has passed into current political thought, both Broadbent and his nationalist critics have accepted it with equal readiness, and vied with each other in drawing from it the most *sanguine* conclusions.

If 'melancholy Titanism' is no true characteristic of Celtic artistry, it may seem idle to inquire whether

the manifestations of it which Arnold detects in English literature, e g in Milton and Byron, are or are not derived from a Celtic source. But I shall have occasion to recur to this point later (*infra*, pp 132, 172-5), and to show in what measure Arnold is justified even here, and how his insight serves him even where he is betrayed by imperfect knowledge.

With Arnold's other definitions, in so far as Celtdom alone is involved, there is no fault to find. Increasing knowledge has revealed countless new examples of the Celtic 'turn for style' and for 'natural magic,' for catching the charm of nature in a wonderfully new and vivid way. And yet true and telling as what Arnold says upon the first point may be, his analysis of the facts before him is imperfect, and the conclusion which he draws respecting the connection in this respect between Celtic and English literature is doubtful. In the three instances which he adduces (pp 122-3) the presence of style is seen to be associated with a formal device—triadic enumeration. Now whilst a formal device is incapable in itself of ensuing 'style,' for which there must be present qualities of the highest artistic potency, still it is significant to find the association so marked. On a previous page (p 88) Arnold had marked with unerring insight the Celt's disposition 'to run off into technic, where he employs the utmost elaboration, and attains the most astonishing skill.' It is strange that he should not have recalled this saying, and asked himself in how far the 'turn for style' which he noted and admired in Celtic literature was conditioned by and dependent upon a specially elaborated technic, still more that

he should not have asked himself 'How, if this elaborated technic, this complex machinery of formal devices, be absent in English literature, as it demonstrably is, can the turn for style which I detect in it be referred to and made partly dependent upon the Celtic turn for style?' This brings us to the main defect of Arnold's criticism here as elsewhere—the neglect of historic conditions. He is satisfied with his intuitive perception that things *must* have happened so and so, he makes no attempt to determine when, where, and how they happened. His intuition is frequently right, even where wrong it leads to statements which have a permanent value of suggestion. But his reliance upon it alone has had a doubly fatal effect: it tends to discredit his insight in the eyes of the student who demands, and rightly demands, proof, it leads the ordinary man to believe, as he is by nature prone to do, that inspired guesswork, and not disciplined reason applied to the knowledge and interpretation of facts, is the chief method of criticism.

I may be thought to have left little standing of the critical edifice reared by Arnold. You deny, it may be said, the truth of part—perhaps the most important part—of his characterisation of the Celtic spirit, whilst admitting the truth of the remaining parts, you raise doubts concerning that influence upon the English spirit which it was his main object to assert. This is so, and when I now proceed to signal another yawning lacuna in that analysis of his elements which should have preceded and conditioned his synthesis, I may be thought to overdo the part of devil's advocate. Those who follow me with patience will, I trust, find that

this is not so, and that, ultimately, I recognise the essential truth of the Arnoldian doctrine apart from the 'accidents' with which he has associated it. I now proceed to formulate my last criticism of principle.

Arnold casually and incidentally employs France and the French spirit as terms of comparison with both of the entities, Celtism and English Teutondom, whose relation to each other he is investigating. In particular he dwells at some length and with insistence upon the influence which that spirit may have exercised through the medium of the Norman Conquest. He also notes (p. 88), in a passage of equal insight and force, the Celtic lack of what he calls 'true art, the *architectonicé* which shapes great works. Finally, he has dwelt frequently—not, it is true, here—upon the French gift and capacity for achieving 'form,' for attaining an effect which may be reproached with lack of subtlety, of depth, of suggestive power, but which is clear-cut and harmonious. Should he not, then, have realised that 'form' in this sense, the one the purely French critics, Brunetière or Lemaitre, use, the term is lacking in Celtic as well as in English literature? This negative quality, common to both literatures, is at least as marked as the positive qualities which he emphasises as common to the two. Had he followed up this line of thought, which would seem to arise so naturally out of the usual equation of Frenchman and Celt, it must needs have modified many of his conclusions. He may have been instinctively warned upon this ground by his intuition (a correct one) that this equation, in so far as it rests upon the achievement of either people in the domain of art or thought or institution, is wholly

false, if we consider these factors alone, nothing can be more unlike than the historic Celt and the historic Frenchman

In spite of errors due to imperfect knowledge, to incorrect interpretation, Arnold's main thesis is, I believe, well founded. English letters, and the whole complex life out of which letters spring, and whose *εθός* they manifest, are what they are because historically (I decline to go behind history) we are a mixed people, composed partly of varying and very diverse Teutonic strains, partly of varying Celtic strains, themselves by no means homogeneous, as the common terminology would seem to imply. I hold that to the presence of the Celtic strains are due distinct qualities which have greatly enriched the resulting whole, without which it would lack some of its most precious characteristics, would lack precisely that which entitles it to rank among the supreme manifestations of humanity.

In extending my comment beyond what Arnold has actually said to embrace what he might reasonably be assumed to say now, I have had to amplify ideas which with him are only latent and implicit. I believe that, on the whole, the comment would win his assent. Were he living to-day, I believe that, despite the altered political conditions, despite the falsification of his confident prophecies, he would still urge that rigid inbreeding leads to sterility in the psychical as well as in the physical world, that cross-fertilisation is necessary for achievement of new and more splendid forms of life, that if the contact in these islands of Celt and Teuton has in the past produced results of such signal excellence, it is the best of reasons why we should

continue and intensify that contact Nor would he, I think, refuse to acknowledge that contact may produce effects, as beneficial in other domains as in the purely æsthetic one, which alone he considered Finally, recognising that contact implies possible friction, he would plead that tolerance, broad-minded sympathy, the resolute will to understand and to think the best, are the only efficacious lubricants

MATTHEW ARNOLD'S INTRODUCTION

THE following remarks on the study of Celtic Literature formed the substance of four lectures given by me in the chair of poetry at Oxford. They were first published in the *Cornhill Magazine*, and are now reprinted from thence. Again and again, in the course of them, I have marked the very humble scope intended, which is, not to treat any special branch of scientific Celtic studies (a task for which I am quite incompetent), but to point out the many directions in which the results of those studies offer matter of general interest, and to insist on the benefit we may all derive from knowing the Celt and things Celtic more thoroughly. It was impossible, however, to avoid touching on certain points of ethnology and philology, which can be securely handled only by those who have made these sciences the object of special study. Here the mere literary critic must owe his whole safety to his tact in choosing authorities to follow, and whatever he advances must be understood as advanced with a sense of the insecurity which, after all, attaches to such a mode of proceeding, and as put forward provisionally, by way of hypothesis rather than of confident assertion.

To mark clearly to the reader both this provisional

xxx THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

character of much which I advance, and my own sense of it, I have inserted, as a check upon some of the positions adopted in the text, notes and comments with which Lord Strangford has kindly furnished me Lord Strangford is hardly less distinguished for knowing ethnology and languages so scientifically than for knowing so much of them, and his interest, even from the vantage-ground of his scientific knowledge, and after making all due reserves on points of scientific detail, in my treatment,—with merely the resources and point of view of a literary critic at my command,—of such a subject as the study of Celtic Literature, is the most encouraging assurance I could have received that my attempt is not altogether a vain one

Both Lord Strangford and others whose opinion I respect have said that I am unjust in calling Mr Nash, the acute and learned author of *Talesin, or the Bards and Druids of Britain*, a ‘Celt-hater’ ‘He is a denouncer,’ says Lord Strangford in a note on this expression, ‘of Celtic extravagance, that is all, he is an anti-Philocelt, a very different thing from an anti-Celt, and quite indispensable in scientific inquiry As Philoceltism has hitherto,—hitherto, remember,—meant nothing but uncritical acceptance and irrational admiration of the beloved object’s sayings and doings, without reference to truth one way or the other, it is surely in the interest of science to support him in the main In tracing the workings of old Celtic heaven in poems which embody the Celtic soul of all time in a mediæval form, I do not see that you come into any necessary opposition with him, for your concern is with the spirit, his with the substance only’ I entirely agree

with almost all which Lord Strangford here urges, and indeed, so sincere is my respect for Mr Nash's critical discernment and learning, and so unhesitating my recognition of the usefulness, in many respects, of the work of demolition performed by him, that in originally designating him as a Celt-hater, I hastened to add, as the reader will see by referring to the passage,¹ words of explanation and apology for so calling him. But I thought then, and I think still, that Mr Nash, in pursuing his work of demolition, too much puts out of sight the positive and constructive performance for which this work of demolition is to clear the ground. I thought then, and I think still, that in this Celtic controversy, as in other controversies, it is most desirable both to believe and to profess that the work of construction is the fruitful and important work, and that we are demolishing only to prepare for it. Mr Nash's scepticism seems to me,—in the aspect in which his work, on the whole, shows it,—too absolute, too stationary, too much without a future, and this tends to make it, for the non-Celtic part of his readers, less fruitful than it otherwise would be, and for his Celtic readers, harsh and repellent. I have therefore suffered my remarks on Mr Nash still to stand, though with a little modification, but I hope he will read them by the light of these explanations, and that he will believe my sense of esteem for his work to be a thousand times stronger than my sense of difference from it *

¹ See p. 27 of the following essay

* Nash's work may practically be disregarded at this time of day. What is true in his criticism of the Philoceltic enthusiasts has passed into the body of received doctrine, whilst all the

To lead towards solid ground, where the Celt may with legitimate satisfaction point to traces of the gifts and workings of his race, and where the Englishman may find himself induced to sympathise with that satisfaction and to feel an interest in it, is the design of all the considerations urged in the following essay. Kindly taking the will for the deed, a Welshman and an old acquaintance of mine, Mr Hugh Owen, received my remarks with so much cordiality, that he asked me to come to the Eisteddfod last summer at Chester, and there to read a paper on some topic of Celtic literature or antiquities. In answer to this flattering proposal of Mr Owen's, I wrote him a letter which appeared at the time in several newspapers, and of which the following extract preserves all that is of any importance —

‘My knowledge of Welsh matters is so utterly insignificant that it would be impertinence in me, under any circumstances, to talk about those matters to an assemblage of persons, many of whom have passed their lives in studying them

‘Your gathering acquires more interest every year. Let me venture to say that you have to avoid two dangers in order to work all the good which your friends could desire. You have to avoid the danger of giving offence to practical men by retarding the spread of the English language in the principality. I believe that to preserve and honour the Welsh language and literature is quite compatible with not thwarting or delaying for a single hour the introduction, so undeniably useful, of

questions relating to the Taliesin poems have assumed a new shape since the appearance of Skene's *Four Ancient Books of Wales* (1868)

a knowledge of English among all classes in Wales. You have to avoid, again, the danger of alienating men of science by a blind, partial, and uncritical treatment of your national antiquities. Mr Stephens's excellent book, *The Literature of the Cymry*, shows how perfectly Welshmen can avoid this danger if they will.

'When I see the enthusiasm these Eisteddfods can awaken in your whole people, and then think of the tastes, the literature, the amusements, of our own lower and middle class, I am filled with admiration for you. It is a consoling thought, and one which history allows us to entertain, that nations disinherited of political success may yet leave their mark on the world's progress, and contribute powerfully to the civilisation of mankind. We in England have come to that point when the continued advance and greatness of our nation is threatened by one cause, and one cause above all. Far more than by the helplessness of an aristocracy whose day is fast coming to an end, far more than by the rawness of a lower class whose day is only just beginning, we are imperilled by what I call the "Philistinism" of our middle class.* On the side of beauty and taste, vulgarity, on the side of morals and feeling, coarseness, on the side of mind and spirit, unintelligence,—this is Philistinism. Now, then, is the moment for the greater

* It is interesting to note after forty years how events have discredited this prophecy. The advance and greatness of England have not suffered from the Philistinism of the middle class, largely because effective power has in a great measure passed away from that class, but also I think because Arnold overrated the extent and the evil influence of the phenomena which he grouped together as Philistinism. It is, however, only fair to add, that in so far as England has succeeded in purging herself of Philistinism, it is mainly thanks to Arnold.

delicacy and spirituality of the Celtic peoples who are blended with us, if it be but wisely directed, to make itself prized and honoured. In a certain measure the children of Taliesin and Ossian have now an opportunity for renewing the famous feat of the Greeks, and conquering their conquerors. No service England can render the Celts by giving you a share in her many good qualities, can surpass that which the Celts can at this moment render England, by communicating to us some of theirs.'

Now certainly, in that letter, written to a Welshman and on the occasion of a Welsh festival, I enlarged on the merits of the Celtic spirit and of its works, rather than on their demerits. It would have been offensive and inhuman to do otherwise. When an acquaintance asks you to write his father's epitaph, you do not generally seize that opportunity for saying that his father was blind of one eye, and had an unfortunate habit of not paying his tradesmen's bills. But the weak side of Celtism and of its Celtic glorifiers, the danger against which they have to guard, is clearly indicated in that letter, and in the remarks reprinted in this volume,—remarks which were the original cause of Mr Owen's writing to me, and must have been fully present to his mind when he read my letter,—the shortcomings both of the Celtic race and of the Celtic students of its literature and antiquities, are unreservedly marked, and, so far as is necessary, blamed.¹ It was, indeed, not my purpose to make blame the chief part of what I said, for the Celts, like other people, are to be meliorated rather by developing their gifts

¹ See particularly pp 9, 10, 11, of the following essay

than by chastising their defects. The wise man, says Spinoza admirably, '*de humana impotentia non nisi parce loqui curabit, at largiter de humana virtute seu potentia*'. But so far as condemnation of Celtic failure was needful towards preparing the way for the growth of Celtic virtue, I used condemnation.

The *Times*, however, prefers a shorter and sharper method of dealing with the Celts, and in a couple of leading articles, having the Chester Eisteddfod and my letter to Mr Hugh Owen for their text, it developed with great frankness, and in its usual forcible style, its own views for the amelioration of Wales and its people. *Cease to do evil, learn to do good*, was the upshot of its exhortations to the Welsh, by *evil*, the *Times* understanding all things Celtic, and by *good* all things English. 'The Welsh language is the curse of Wales. Its prevalence, and the ignorance of English have excluded, and even now exclude, the Welsh people from the civilisation of their English neighbours. An Eisteddfod is one of the most mischievous and selfish pieces of sentimentalism which could possibly be perpetrated. It is simply a foolish interference with the natural progress of civilisation and prosperity. If it is desirable that the Welsh should talk English, it is monstrous folly to encourage them in a loving fondness for their old language. Not only the energy and power, but the intelligence and music of Europe have come mainly from Teutonic sources, and this glorification of everything Celtic, if it were not pedantry, would be sheer ignorance. The sooner all Welsh specialities disappear from the face of the earth the better.'

And I need hardly say, that I myself, as so often

happens to me at the hands of my own countrymen, was cruelly judged by the *Times*, and most severely treated. What I said to Mr Owen about the spread of the English language in Wales being quite compatible with preserving and honouring the Welsh language and literature, was tersely set down as 'arrant nonsense,' and I was characterised as 'a sentimentalist who talks nonsense about the children of Taliesin and Ossian, and whose dainty taste requires something more flimsy than the strong sense and sturdy morality of his fellow Englishmen.'

As I said before, I am unhappily inured 'to having these harsh interpretations put by my fellow Englishmen upon what I write, and I no longer cry out about it. And then, too, I have made a study of the Corinthian or leading article style, and know its exigencies, and that they are no more to be quailed with than the law of gravitation. So, for my part, when I read these asperities of the *Times*, my mind did not dwell very much on my own concern in them, but what I said to myself, as I put the newspaper down, was this '*Behold England's difficulty in governing Ireland!*' *

I pass by the dauntless assumption that the agricultural peasant whom we in England, without Eisteddfods, succeed in developing, is so much finer

* Here again it must be said that, if it is impossible for stuff such as this to appear in any self-respecting English paper, it is chiefly thanks to the spirit induced by Arnold's work. The danger now is, that Celtic matters are dealt with in the English press in a vague and ill-informed spirit, that knows neither what to praise nor what to blame, and when it praises, chiefly praises the wrong thing. What is prevalent is an idle acceptance of catchwords which are not really understood, and which in the course of parrot-like repetition give rise to false conceptions.

a product of civilisation than the Welsh peasant, retarded by these 'pieces of sentimentalism' I will be content to suppose that our 'strong sense and sturdy morality' are as admirable and as universal as the *Times* pleases. But even supposing this, I will ask did any one ever hear of strong sense and sturdy morality being thrust down other people's throats in this fashion? Might not these divine English gifts, and the English language in which they are preached, have a better chance of making their way among the poor Celtic heathen, if the English apostle delivered his message a little more agreeably? There is nothing like love and admiration for bringing people to a likeness with what they love and admire, but the Englishman seems never to dream of employing these influences upon a race he wants to fuse with himself. He employs simply material interests for his work of fusion, and, beyond these, nothing except scorn and rebuke. Accordingly there is no vital union between him and the races he has annexed, and while France can truly boast of her 'magnificent unity,' a unity of spirit no less than of name between all the people who compose her, in England the Englishman proper is in union of spirit with no one except other Englishmen proper like himself. His Welsh and Irish fellow-citizens are hardly more amalgamated with him now than they were when Wales and Ireland were first conquered, and the true unity of even these small islands has yet to be achieved. When these papers of mine on the Celtic genius and literature first appeared in the *Cornhill Magazine*, they brought me, as was natural, many communications from Welshmen and Irishmen having an interest in the subject, and

one could not but be painfully struck, in reading these communications, to see how profound a feeling of aversion and severance from the English they in general manifested. Who can be surprised at it, when he observes the strain of the *Times* in the articles just quoted, and remembers that this is the characteristic strain of the Englishman in commenting on whatsoever is not himself? And then, with our boundless faith in machinery, we English expect the Welshman as a matter of course to grow attached to us, because we invite him to do business with us, and let him hold any number of public meetings and publish all the newspapers he likes! When shall we learn, that what attaches people to us is the spirit we are of, and not the machinery we employ?

Last year there was a project of holding a Breton Eisteddfod at Quimper in Brittany, and the French Home Secretary, whether wishing to protect the magnificent unity of France from inroads of Bretonism, or fearing lest the design should be used in furtherance of Legitimist intrigues, or from whatever motive, issued an order which prohibited the meeting. If Mr Walpole had issued an order prohibiting the Chester Eisteddfod, all the Englishmen from Cornwall to John o' Groat's House would have rushed to the rescue, and our strong sense and sturdy morality would never have stopped gnashing their teeth and rending their garments till the prohibition was rescinded. What a pity our strong sense and sturdy morality fail to perceive that words like those of the *Times* create a far keener sense of estrangement and dislike than acts of those of the French Minister! Acts like those of the French Minister are attributed to reasons of State, and the Government

is held blameable for them, not the French people. Articles like those of the *Times* are attributed to the want of sympathy and of sweetness of disposition in the English nature, and the whole English people gets the blame of them. And deservedly, for from some such ground of want of sympathy and sweetness in the English nature, do articles like those of the *Times* come, and to some such ground do they make appeal. The sympathetic and social virtues of the French nature, on the other hand, actually repair the breaches made by oppressive deeds of the Government, and create, among populations joined with France, as the Welsh and Irish are joined with England, a sense of liking and attachment towards the French people. The French Government may discourage the German language in Alsace and prohibit Eisteddfods in Brittany, but the *Journal des Débats* never treats German music and poetry as mischievous lumber, nor tells the Bretons that the sooner all Breton specialities disappear from the face of the earth the better. Accordingly, the Bretons and Alsatians have come to feel themselves a part of France, and to feel pride in bearing the French name, while the Welsh and Irish obstinately refuse to amalgamate with us, and will not admire the Englishman as he admires himself, however much the *Times* may scold them and rate them, and assure them there is nobody on earth so admirable *

* Arnold should, I think, have stated in fairness that the religious element was absent from the questions pending in his time between France and Brittany, whilst it was present exacerbating the relation between England and Ireland, and, to a less degree, between England and Wales. Since then the religious factor has entered into *la question Bretonne*, and, as a

And at what a moment does it assure them of this, good heavens ! At a moment when the ice is breaking up in England, and we are all beginning at last to see how much real confusion and insufficiency it covered, when, whatever may be the merits,—and they are great,—of the Englishman and of his strong sense and sturdy morality, it is growing more and more evident that, if he is to endure and advance, he must transform himself, must add something to his strong sense and sturdy

consequence, the feeling is far more bitter than it was in Arnold's day, far more akin to that which unhappily characterises Anglo-Irish relations. Within the last few years distinguished Frenchmen, who were also eminent French scholars, have told me that Breton particularism must be put down with a strong hand, and when the war of the *inventaires* was in progress I read in the ablest and most influential French journal of the south-east an article to prove that whilst Provençal was a great and noble language with an illustrious past, Breton was a worthless, decaying *patois* which must be extirpated at all costs. At the present day (1910) the official attitude of France towards Breton is far more jealous and harsh than is that of England towards Irish or Welsh. Bearing all these things in mind, it nevertheless remains true that England still prejudices acts which are in themselves evidence of a desire to act justly and sympathetically by her mode of accomplishing them. The whole passage is one every Englishman should lay to heart.

In his *Randglossen einer Keltisten* (Berlin, 1907) Professor Zimmer has shown how nearly Brittany was lost to Catholicism in the sixteenth century by the anti-Breton attitude of the Gallican Church, and how the insight and energy of the Order of Jesus alone saved France from finding a second *Cevennes* in Brittany. But for their timely and successful action it is safe to say that the relations of France and Brittany would have yielded a chapter yet darker and sadder than that of England and Ireland. Where the *Camisards* resisted for a decade the Bretons would have struggled for a century, and it may be doubted if Louis XIV would have been any more successful in overcoming their resistance than was the *Directoire* a century later.

morality, or at least must give to these excellent gifts of his a new development. My friend Mr Goldwin Smith says, in his eloquent way, that England is the favourite of Heaven. Far be it from me to say that England is not the favourite of Heaven, but at this moment she reminds me more of what the prophet Isaiah calls, 'a bull in a net'. She has satisfied herself in all departments with clap-trap and routine so long, and she is now so astounded at finding they will not serve her turn any longer! And this is the moment, when Englishism pure and simple, which with all its fine qualities managed always to make itself singularly unattractive, is losing that imperturbable faith in its untransformed self which at any rate made it imposing,—this is the moment when our great organ tells the Celts that everything of theirs not English is 'simply a foolish interference with the natural progress of civilisation and prosperity', and poor Talhaiarn, venturing to remonstrate, is commanded 'to drop his outlandish title, and to refuse even to talk Welsh in Wales!'

But let us leave the dead to bury their dead, and let us who are alive go on unto perfection. Let the Celtic members of this empire consider that they too have to transform themselves, and though the summons to transform themselves be often conveyed harshly and brutally, and with the cry to root up their wheat as well as their tares, yet that is no reason why the summons should not be followed so far as their tares are concerned. Let them consider that they are inextricably bound up with us, and that, if the suggestions in the following pages have any truth, we English, alien and uncongenial to our Celtic partners as we may have hitherto

shown ourselves, have notwithstanding, beyond perhaps any other nation, a thousand latent springs of possible sympathy with them. Let them consider that new ideas and forces are stirring in England, that day by day these new ideas and forces gain in power, and that almost every one of them is the friend of the Celt and not his enemy. And, whether our Celtic partners will consider this or no, at any rate let us ourselves, all of us who are proud of being the ministers of these new ideas, work incessantly to procure for them a wider and more fruitful application, and to remove the main ground of the Celt's alienation from the Englishman, by substituting, in place of that type of Englishman with whom alone the Celt has too long been familiar, a new type, more intelligent, more gracious, and more humane *

* As I have hinted in my Introduction, these wise and noble words have not proved as prophetic as Arnold hoped. The new ideas and forces which he dimly discerned have developed in a direction he did not anticipate. The conflicting ideals of Imperialism and Nationalism have created an antagonism even stronger than in his day, and there seems little hope that this can be lessened save by the acceptance of a democratic humanitarian ideal opposed alike to both.

THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

‘ They went forth to the war, but they always fell ’ *

OSSIAN

SOME time ago I spent some weeks at Llandudno, on the Welsh coast. The best lodging-houses at Llandudno look eastward, towards Liverpool, and from that Saxon hive swarms are incessantly issuing, crossing the bay, and taking possession of the beach and the lodging-houses. Guarded by the Great and Little Orme's Head, and alive with the Saxon invaders from Liverpool, the eastern bay is an attractive point of interest, and many visitors to Llandudno never contemplate anything else. But, putting aside the charm of the Liverpool steamboats, perhaps the view, on this side, a little dissatisfies one after a while, the horizon wants mystery, the sea wants beauty, the coast wants verdure, and has a too bare austerity and aridity. At last one turns round and looks westward. Everything is changed. Over the mouth of the Conway and its sands is the eternal softness and mild light of the west, the low line of the mystic

* See *supra* Introduction, pp. xxi-xxii, *infra* p. 90 n, respecting this famous, or I should rather say, notorious, quotation

2 THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

Anglesey, and the precipitous Penmaenmawr, and the great group of Carnedd Llewelyn and Carnedd David and their brethren fading away, hill behind hill, in an aerial haze, make the horizon, between the foot of Penmaenmawr and the bending coast of Anglesey, the sea, a silver stream, disappears one knows not whither. On this side, Wales,—Wales, where the past still lives, where every place has its tradition, every name its poetry, and where the people, the genuine people, still knows this past, this tradition, this poetry, and lives with it, and clings to it, while, alas, the prosperous Saxon on the other side, the invader from Liverpool and Birkenhead, has long ago forgotten his. And the promontory where Llandudno stands is the very centre of this tradition, it is Creuddyn, *the bloody city*, where every stone has its story, there, opposite its decaying rival, Conway Castle, is Diganwy, not decaying but long since utterly decayed, some crumbling foundations on a crag-top and nothing more, Diganwy, where Maelgwyn shut up Elphin, and where Taliesin came to free him. Below, in a fold of the hill, is Llan-ihos, the church of the marsh, where the same Maelgwyn, a British prince of real history, a bold and licentious chief, the original, it is said, of Arthur's Lancelot, shut himself up in the church to avoid the Yellow Plague, and peeped out through a hole in the door, and saw the monster and died. Behind among the woods is Gloddaeth, *the place of feasting*, where the bards were entertained, and farther away, up the valley of the Conway towards Llanrwst, is the Lake of Cerrigydd and Taliesin's grave. Or, again, looking seawards and Anglesey-wards, you have Pen-mon, Seiriol's isle and

priory, where Mael-gwyn lies buried, you have the *Sands of Lamentation* and Llys Helig, *Helig's Mansion*, a mansion under the waves, a sea-buried palace and realm *Hac ibat Simois, hic est Sigeia tellus* *

As I walked up and down, looking at the waves as they washed this Sigeian land which has never had its Homer, and listening with curiosity to the strange, unfamiliar speech of its old possessors' obscure descendants,—bathing people, vegetable-sellers, and donkey-boys,—who were all about me, suddenly I heard through the stream of unknown Welsh, words, not English, indeed, but still familiar. They came from a French nursery-maid, with some children. Profoundly ignorant of her relationship, this Gaulish Celt moved among her British cousins, speaking her polite neo-Latin tongue, and full of compassionate contempt, probably, for the Welsh barbarians and then jaigon. What a revolution was here! How had the star of this daughter of Gomer waxed, while the star of these Cymry, his sons, had waned! What a difference of fortune in the two, since the days when, speaking the same language, they left their common dwelling-place in the heart of Asia, since the Cimmerians of the Euxine came in upon their western kinsmen, the sons of the giant Galates,

* The implied comparison is a good, or bad, instance of Arnold's skill, but unfair skill, of suggestion. The view westward from Llandudno is finer and fuller of charm than that eastwards. At Kildare the positions are reversed, eastwards one views Lugnaquilla and the Wicklow hills, westwards the Bog of Allan. What is proved thereby that a mountainous region is more picturesque than a flat one? Surely a self-evident proposition. That the inhabitants of a mountainous region possess qualities denied to dwellers of the plain? This is implied, but it by no means follows, indeed, it is demonstrably untrue.

4 THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

since the sisters, Gaul and Britain, cut the mistletoe in their forests, and saw the coming of Cæsar¹ *Blanc, rouge, rocher, champ, église, seigneur*,—these words, by which the Gallo-Roman Celt now names white, and red, and rock, and field, and church, and lord, are no part of the speech of his true ancestors, they are words he has learnt, but since he learned them they have had a world-wide success, and we all teach them to our children, and armies speaking them have domineered in every city of that Germany by which the British Celt was broken, and in the train of these armies, Saxon auxiliaries, a humbled contingent, have been fain to follow,—the poor Welshman still says, in the genuine tongue of his ancestors,¹ *gwyn, goch, craig, maes, llan, arglwydd*, but

¹ Lord Strangford remarks on this passage —‘ Your Gomer and your Cimmerians are of course only lay figures, to be accepted in the rhetorical and subjective sense. As such I accept them, but I enter a protest against the ‘genuine tongue of his ancestors.’ Modern Celtic tongues are to the old Celtic heard by Julius Cæsar, broadly speaking, what the modern Romanic tongues are to Cæsar’s own Latin. Welsh, in fact, is a *detritus*, a language in the category of modern French, or, to speak less roughly and with a closer approximation, of old Provençal, not in the category of Lithuanian, much less in the category of Basque. By true inductive research, based on an accurate comparison of such forms of Celtic speech, oral and recorded, as we now possess, modern philology has, in so far as was possible, succeeded in restoring certain forms of the parent speech, and in so doing has achieved not the least striking of its many triumphs, for those very forms thus restored have since been verified past all cavil by their actual discovery in the old Gaulish inscriptions recently come to light. The *phonesis* of Welsh as it stands is modern, not primitive, its grammar,—the verbs excepted,—is constructed out of the fragments of its earlier forms, and its vocabulary is strongly Romanised, two out of the six words here given being Latin of the Empire. Rightly understood, this enhances the value of modern Celtic

his land is a province, and his history petty, and his Saxon subduers scout his speech as an obstacle to civilisation, and the echo of all its kindred in other lands is growing every day fainter and more feeble, gone in Cornwall, going in Brittany and the Scotch Highlands, going, too, in Ireland,—and there, above

instead of depreciating it, because it serves to rectify it. To me it is a wonder that Welsh should have retained so much of its integrity under the iron pressure of four hundred years of Roman dominion. Modern Welsh tenacity and cohesive power under English pressure is nothing compared with what that must have been.*

* The comparison and contrast embodied in this paragraph are vitiated by the fact that we no longer believe that the ancestors of French and Welsh did 'leave their dwelling-place in the heart of Asia speaking the same language'. From the point of view of historic culture the French nursery maid was far more remote from the Welsh barbarian than either from the 'Saxon' visitor. And if physical race alone be considered, the odds were every whit as great in favour of the first 'English' nursery maid having as large an infusion of Celtic blood in her veins as the 'Gaulish Celt'.

The final sentences of Lord Strangford's note require modification in view of the theory that it is doubtful how far the modern Welshman is to be regarded as the descendant of the Romanised Briton. At the end of the fourth and beginning of the fifth century Wales received a considerable influx of population under the leadership of Cunedda and his sons. These came from the North, i.e. from some part of the present Lowlands of Scotland. It seems certain that the district, whatever its precise position may have been, can only have been very slightly Romanised, if at all, and that Cunedda's people had retained their tribal organisation and native social system. Sir Henry Howorth indeed has contended in his presidential address to the Cambrian Archæological Association (January 1910) that Cunedda and his people were Irish Picts. I have questioned this startling hypothesis (*Folk Lore*, 1910). In any case it would seem that the ethnic movements of the fourth-sixth centuries made almost as much difference in the population of what is now Wales as they did in that of the present England.

all, the badge of the beaten race, the property of the vanquished

But the Celtic genius was just then preparing, in Llandudno, to have its hour of revival. Workmen were busy in putting up a large tent-like wooden building, which attracted the eye of every newcomer, and which my little boys believed (then wish, no doubt, being father to their belief) to be a circus. It turned out, however, to be no circus for Castor and Pollux, but a temple for Apollo and the Muses. It was the place where the Eisteddfod, or Bardic Congress of Wales, was about to be held, a meeting which has for its object (I quote the words of its promoters) 'the diffusion of useful knowledge, the eliciting of native talent, and the cherishing of love of home and honourable fame by the cultivation of poetry, music, and art.' My little boys were disappointed, but I, whose circus days are over, I, who have a professional interest in poetry, and who, also, hating all one-sidedness and oppression, wish nothing better than that the Celtic genius should be able to show itself to the world, and to make its voice heard, was delighted. I took my ticket, and waited impatiently for the day of opening. The day came, an unfortunate one, storms of wind, clouds of dust, an angry, dirty sea. The Saxons who arrived by the Liverpool steamers looked miserable, even the Welsh who arrived by land,—whether they were discomposed by the bad morning, or by the monstrous and crushing tax which the London and North Western Railway Company levies on all whom it transports across those four miles of marshy peninsula between Conway and Llandudno,—did not look happy. First we went to the

Gorsedd, or preliminary congress for conferring the degree of bard. The Gorsedd was held in the open air, at the windy corner of a street, and the morning was not favourable to open-air solemnities. The Welsh, too, share, it seems to me, with their Saxon invaders, an inaptitude for show and spectacle. Show and spectacle are better managed by the Latin race, and those whom it has moulded, the Welsh, like us, are a little awkward and resourceless in the organisation of a festival. The presiding genius of the mystic circle, in our hideous nineteenth-century costume, relieved only by a green scarf, the wind drowning his voice and the dust powdering his whiskers, looked thoroughly wretched, so did the aspirants for bardic honours, and I believe, after about an hour of it, we all of us, as we stood shivering round the sacred stones, began half to wish for the Druid's sacrificial knife to end our sufferings. But the Druid's knife is gone from his hands, so we sought the shelter of the Eisteddfod building.

The sight inside was not lively. The president and his supporters mustered strong on the platform. On the floor the one or two front benches were pretty well filled, but their occupants were for the most part Saxons, who came there from curiosity, not from enthusiasm, and all the middle and back benches, where should have been the true enthusiasts,—the Welsh people,—were nearly empty. The president, I am sure, showed a national spirit which was admirable. He addressed us Saxons in our own language, and called us 'the English branch of the descendants of the ancient Britons.' We received the compliment with the impassive dulness which is the characteristic of our nature, and the lively

8 THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

Celtic nature, which should have made up for the dulness of ours, was absent. A lady who sat by me, and who was the wife, I found, of a distinguished bard on the platform, told me, with emotion in her look and voice, how dear were these solemnities to the heart of her people, how deep was the interest which is aroused by them. I believe her, but still the whole performance, on that particular morning, was incurably lifeless. The recitation of the prize compositions began—pieces of verse and prose in the Welsh language, an essay on punctuality being, if I remember right, one of them, a poem on the march of Havelock, another. This went on for some time. Then Dr. Vaughan,—the well-known Nonconformist minister, a Welshman and a good patriot,—addressed us in English. His speech was a powerful one, and he succeeded, I confess, in sending a faint thrill through our front benches, but it was the old familiar thrill which we have all of us felt a thousand times in Saxon chapels and meeting-halls, and had nothing bardic about it. I stepped out, and in the street I came across an acquaintance fresh from London and the parliamentary session. In a moment the spell of the Celtic genius was forgotten, the Philistinism of our Saxon nature made itself felt, and my friend and I walked up and down by the roaring waves, talking not of ovates and bards, and triads and englyns, but of the sewage question, and the glories of our local self-government, and the mysterious perfections of the Metropolitan Board of Works.*

* Here is another instance of a deficient point of view yielding an unfair suggestion. In themselves there is nothing 'Philistine' in sewage or local self-government. Both lend

I believe it is admitted, even by the admirers of Eisteddfods in general, that this particular Eisteddfod was not a success. Llandudno, it is said, was not the right place for it. Held in Conway Castle, as a few years ago it was, and its spectators,—an enthusiastic multitude,—filling the grand old ruin, I can imagine it a most impressive and interesting sight, even to a stranger labouring under the terrible disadvantage of being ignorant of the Welsh language. But even seen as I saw it at Llandudno, it had the power to set one thinking. An Eisteddfod is, no doubt, a kind of Olympic meeting, and that the common people of Wales should care for such a thing, shows something Greek in them, something spiritual, something humane, something (I am afraid one must add) which in the English common people is not to be found. This line of reflection has been followed by the accomplished Bishop of St David's, and by the *Saturday Review*, it is just, it is fruitful, and those who pursued it merit our best thanks. But, from peculiar circumstances, the Llandudno meeting was, as I have said, such as not at all to suggest ideas of Olympia, and of a multitude touched by the divine flame, and hanging on the lips of Pindar. It rather suggested the triumph of the prosaic, practical Saxon, and the approaching extinction of an enthusiasm which he derides as factitious, a literature

themselves to Philistine treatment, but then so do Eisteddfodau, Shakespeare, and the musical glasses. Humanity can never progress so long as we insist that Martha must needs be dull and *bornée*. We must insist and prove that her work can and should be done with the joy of the artist and the zeal of the missionary.

which he disdains as trash, a language which he detests as a nuisance *

I must say I quite share the opinion of my brother Saxons as to the practical inconvenience of perpetuating the speaking of Welsh. It may cause a moment's distress to one's imagination when one hears that the last Cornish peasant who spoke the old tongue of Cornwall is dead, but, no doubt, Cornwall is the better for adopting English, for becoming more thoroughly one with the rest of the country. The fusion of all the inhabitants of these islands into one homogeneous, English-speaking whole, the breaking down of barriers between us, the swallowing up of separate provincial nationalities, is a consummation to which the natural course of things irresistibly tends, it is a necessity of what is called modern civilisation, and modern civilisation is a real, legitimate force, the change must come, and its accomplishment is a mere affair of time. The sooner the Welsh language disappears as an instrument of the practical, political, social life of Wales, the better, the better for England, the better for Wales itself. Traders and tourists do excellent service by pushing the English wedge farther and farther into the heart of the principality, Ministers of Education, by hammering it harder and harder into the elementary schools. Nor, perhaps, can one have much sympathy with the literary cultivation of Welsh as an instrument

* Arnold would have welcomed the work done by the National Eisteddfod Association in directing and fostering the energies developed by the institution, in setting before them definite aims and linking them with the best culture of the day

of living literature, and in this respect Eisteddfods encourage, I think, a fantastic and mischief-working delusion. For all serious purposes in modern literature (and trifling purposes in it who would care to encourage ?) the language of a Welshman is and must be English, if an Eisteddfod author has anything to say about punctuality or about the march of Havelock, he had much better say it in English, or rather, perhaps, what he has to say on these subjects may as well be said in Welsh, but the moment he has anything of real importance to say, anything the world will the least care to hear, he must speak English. Dilettanteism might possibly do much harm here, might mislead and waste and bring to nought a genuine talent. For all modern purposes, I repeat, let us all as soon as possible be one people, let the Welshman speak English, and, if he is an author, let him write English *

So far, I go along with the stream of my brother Saxons, but here, I imagine, I part company with them. They will have nothing to do with the Welsh language and literature on any terms, they would gladly make a clean sweep of it from the face of the earth. I, on certain terms, wish to make a great deal more of it than is made now, and I regard the Welsh literature,—or rather, dropping the distinction between Welsh and Irish, Gaels and Cymris, let me say Celtic literature,—

* As I have stated in my Introduction, Arnold was profoundly mistaken in his forecast. The increasing tendency has been to promote Welsh and Irish and Scotch-Gaelic use of the language, not only on traditional, sentimental lines, but as a living instrument designed to play its part in modern culture. The Celtic nationalist rejects with scorn the idea that his language is only meant for prayer, or psalm or fairy-tale.

as an object of very great interest My brother Saxons have, as is well known, a terrible way with them of wanting to improve everything but themselves off the face of the earth, I have no such passion for finding nothing but myself everywhere, I like variety to exist and to show itself to me, and I would not for the world have the lineaments of the Celtic genius lost But I know my brother Saxons, I know their strength, and I know that the Celtic genius will make nothing of trying to set up barriers against them in the world of fact and brute force, of trying to hold its own against them as a political and social counter-power, as the soul of a hostile nationality To me there is something mournful (and at this moment, when one sees what is going on in Ireland, how well may one say so !) in hearing a Welshman or an Irishman make pretensions,—natural pretensions, I admit, but how hopelessly vain !—to such a rival self-establishment, there is something mournful in hearing an Englishman scout them Strength ! alas, it is not strength, strength in the material world, which is wanting to us Saxons, we have plenty of strength for swallowing up and absorbing as much as we choose, there is nothing to hinder us from effacing the last poor material remains of that Celtic power which once was everywhere, but has long since, in the race of civilisation, fallen out of sight We may threaten them with extinction if we will, and may almost say in so threatening them, like Cæsar in threatening with death the tribune Metellus who closed the treasury doors against him ‘ And when I threaten this, young man, to threaten it is more trouble to me than to do it ’ It is not in the outward and visible world of material

life, that the Celtic genius of Wales or Ireland can at this day hope to count for much, it is in the inward world of thought and science. What it *has* been, what it *has* done, let it ask us to attend to that, as a matter of science and history, not to what it will be or will do, as a matter of modern politics. It cannot count appreciably now as a material power, but, perhaps, if it can get itself thoroughly known as an object of science, it may count for a good deal,—far more than we Saxons, most of us, imagine,—as a spiritual power *

The bent of our time is towards science, towards knowing things as they are, so the Celt's claims towards having his genius and its works fairly treated, as objects of scientific investigation, the Saxon can hardly reject, when these claims are urged simply on their own merits, and are not mixed up with extraneous pretensions which jeopardise them. What the French call the *science des origines*, the science of origins,—a science which is at the bottom of all real knowledge of the actual world, and which is every day growing in interest and importance—is very incomplete without a thorough

* Here again Arnold has underrated the power of the spirit of nationalism. This *has* accomplished many of the things Arnold said it never could accomplish. How far it is likely to accomplish all, and what are the real factors of the problem, I have essayed to show in the Introduction. Moreover, it must be said that Arnold lays himself open to attack on both sides. His brother Saxon, no less than his cousin Celt, might well retort 'that if he liked variety and would not for the world have the lineaments of the Celtic genius lost,' it did not lie with him to limit arbitrarily that variety to just the shade of his special taste, nor to set such bounds to the manifestation of the Celtic genius as seemed fit and proper to himself.

14 THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

critical account of the Celts, and their genius, language, and literature This science has still great progress to make, but its progress, made even within the recollection of those of us who are in middle life, has already affected our common notions about the Celtic race, and this change, too, shows how science, the knowing things as they are, may even have salutary practical consequences I remember, when I was young, I was taught to think of Celt as separated by an impassable gulf from Teuton,¹ my father, in particular, was

¹ Here again let me have the pleasure of quoting Lord Strangford — 'When the Celtic tongues were first taken in hand at the dawn of comparative philological inquiry, the tendency was, for all practical results, to separate them from the Indo-European aggregate, rather than to unite them with it The great gulf once fixed between them was narrowed on the surface, but it was greatly and indefinitely deepened Their vocabulary and some of their grammar were seen at once to be perfectly Indo-European, but they had no case-endings to their nouns, —none at all in Welsh, none that could be understood in Gaelic, their *phonesis* seemed primeval and inexplicable, and nothing could be made out of their pronouns which could not be equally made out of many wholly un Aryan languages They were therefore co-ordinated, not with each single Aryan tongue, but with the general complex of Aryan tongues, and were conceived to be anterior to them and apart from them, as it were the strayed vanguard of European colonisation or conquest from the East The reason of this misconception was, that their records lay wholly uninvestigated as far as all historical study of the language was concerned, and that nobody troubled himself about the relative age and the development of forms, so that the philologists were fain to take them as they were put into their hands by uncritical or perverse native commentators and writers, whose grammars and dictionaries teemed with blunders and downright forgeries One thing, and one thing alone, led to the truth the sheer drudgery of thirteen long years spent by Zeuss in the patient investigation of the most ancient Celtic records, in their actual condition, line by line and letter by letter Then for the first time the foundation

never weary of contrasting them, he insisted much oftener on the separation between us and them than on the separation between us and any other race in the world, in the same way Lord Lyndhurst, in words long famous, called the Irish 'aliens in speech, in religion, in blood' This naturally created a profound sense of estrangement, it doubled the estrangement which political and religious differences already made between

of Celtic research was laid, but the great philologist did not live to see the superstructure which never could have been raised but for him Prichard was first to indicate the right path, and Bopp, in his monograph of 1839, displayed his incomparable and masterly sagacity as usual but for want of any trustworthy record of Celtic words and forms to work upon, the truth remained concealed or obscured until the publication of the *Grammatica Celtica* Dr Arnold, a man of the past generation, who made more use of the then uncertain and unfixed doctrines of comparative philology in his historical writings than is done by the present generation in the fullest noonday light of the *Vergleichende Grammatik*, was thus justified in his view by the philology of the period, to which he merely gave an enlarged historical expression The prime fallacy then as now, however, was that of antedating the distinction between Gaelic and Cymric Celts' *

* *Celt and Teuton* —The point of view has shifted alike from that of Arnold and from that of Lord Strangford It is now claimed that the terms Celt and Teuton as applied to inhabitants of a particular area comprise a number of persons belonging to stocks older than either Celt or Teuton, stocks which have persisted under the conquest of either The term Celt it is held covers a larger amount of older pre Aryan blood, the Teuton representing a less unmixed race In this sense it would be contended by many modern scholars that a real distinction of physical attributes carrying with it physical differences does exist between Celt and Teuton Arnold would be the less offended by this departure from the Aryan hypothesis as his argument is based throughout upon the historic culture rather than on the physical character of race.

us and the Irish it seemed to make this estrangement immense, incurable, fatal. It begot a strange reluctance, as any one may see by reading the preface to the great text-book for Welsh poetry, the *Myvyrian Archæology*, published at the beginning of this century, to further,—nay allow,—even among quiet, peaceable people like the Welsh, the publication of the documents of their ancient literature, the monuments of the Cymric genius, such was the sense of repulsion, the sense of incompatibility, of radical antagonism, making it seem dangerous to us to let such opposites to ourselves have speech and utterance. Certainly the Jew,—the Jew of ancient times, at least,—then seemed a thousand degrees nearer than the Celt to us. Puritanism had so assimilated Bible ideas and phraseology, names like Ebenezer, and notions like that of hewing Agag in pieces, came so natural to us, that the sense of affinity between the Teutonic and the Hebrew nature was quite strong, a steady, middle-class Anglo-Saxon much more imagined himself Ehud's cousin than Ossian's. But meanwhile, the pregnant and striking ideas of the ethnologists about the true natural grouping of the human race, the doctrine of a great Indo-European unity, comprising Hindoos, Persians, Greeks, Latins, Celts, Teutons, Slavonians, on the one hand, and, on the other hand, of a Semitic unity and of a Mongolian unity, separated by profound distinguishing marks from the Indo-European unity and from one another, was slowly acquiring consistency and popularising itself. So strong and real could the sense of sympathy or antipathy, grounded upon real identity or diversity in race, grow in men of culture that we read of a genuine Teuton,—Wilhelm von

Humboldt,—finding, even in the sphere of religion, that sphere where the might of Semitism has been so overpowering, the food which most truly suited his spirit in the productions not of the alien Semitic genius, but of the genius of Greece or India, the Teuton's born kinsfolk of the common Indo-European family 'Towards Semitism he felt himself,' we read, 'far less drawn', he had the consciousness of a certain antipathy in the depths of his nature to this, and to its 'absorbing, tyrannous, terrorist religion,' as to the opener, more flexible Indo-European genius, this religion appeared 'The mere workings of the old man in him!' Semitism will readily reply, and though one can hardly admit this short and easy method of settling the matter, it must be owned that Humboldt's is an extreme case of Indo-Europeanism, useful as letting us see what may be the power of race and primitive constitution, but not likely, in the spiritual sphere, to have many companion cases equalling it. Still, even in this sphere, the tendency is in Humboldt's direction, the modern spirit tends more and more to establish a sense of native diversity between our European bent and the Semitic bent, and to eliminate, even in our religion, certain elements as purely and excessively Semitic, and therefore, in right, not combinable with our European nature, not assimilable by it. This tendency is now quite visible even among ourselves, and even, as I have said, within the great sphere of the Semitic genius, the sphere of religion, and for its justification this tendency appeals to science, the science of origins, it appeals to this science as teaching us which way our natural affinities and repulsions lie. It appeals to this science, and in

18 THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

part it comes from it, it is, in considerable part, an indirect practical result from it

In the sphere of politics, too, there has, in the same way, appeared an indirect practical result from this science, the sense of antipathy to the Irish people, of radical estrangement from them, has visibly abated amongst all the better part of us, the remorse for past ill-treatment of them, the wish to make amends, to do them justice, to fairly unite, if possible, in one people with them, has visibly increased, hardly a book on Ireland is now published, hardly a debate on Ireland now passes in Parliament, without this appearing Fanciful as the notion may at first seem, I am inclined to think that the march of science,—science insisting that there is no such original chasm between the Celt and the Saxon as we once popularly imagined, that they are not truly, what Lord Lyndhuist called them, *aliens in blood* from us, that they are our brothers in the great Indo-European family,—has had a share, an appreciable share, in producing this changed state of feeling. No doubt, the release from alarm and struggle, the sense of firm possession, solid security, and overwhelming power, no doubt these, allowing and encouraging humane feelings to spring up in us, have done much, no doubt a state of fear and danger, Ireland in hostile conflict with us, our union violently disturbed, might, while it drove back all humane feelings, make also the old sense of utter estrangement revive. Nevertheless, so long as such a malignant revolution of events does not actually come about, so long the new sense of kinship and kindness lives, works, and gathers strength, and the longer it so lives and works, the more it makes

any such malignant revolution improbable And this new, reconciling sense has, I say, its roots in science *

However, on these indirect benefits of science we must not lay too much stress Only this must be allowed, it is clear that there are now in operation two influences, both favourable to a more attentive and impartial study of Celtism than it has yet ever received from us One is, the strengthening in us of the feeling of Indo-Europeanism, the other, the strengthening in us of the scientific sense generally The first breaks down barriers between us and the Celt, relaxes the estrangement between us, the second begets the desire to know his case thoroughly, and to be just to it This is a very different matter from the political and social Celtisation of which certain enthusiasts dream, but it is not to be despised by any one to whom the Celtic genius is dear, and it is possible, while the other is not

I

To know the Celtic case thoroughly, one must know the Celtic people, and to know them one must know that by which a people best express themselves,—their literature Few of us have any notion what a mass of Celtic literature is really yet extant and accessible One constantly finds even very accomplished people, who fancy that the remains of Welsh and Irish literature

* The whole of this paragraph is as true to-day and deserves even more to be laid to heart than when penned For if Celtic culture were to take, as some wish, an entirely distinct, nay, a directly opposed course of development to that of England, a sense of estrangement, of repulsion would inevitably be created, far greater than that due to the feeling of alien race

are as inconsiderable by their volume, as, in their opinion, they are by their intrinsic merit, that these remains consist of a few prose stories, in great part borrowed from the literature of nations more civilised than the Welsh or Irish nation, and of some unintelligible poetry. As to Welsh literature, they have heard, perhaps, of the *Black Book of Caermarthen*, or of the *Red Book of Hergest*, and they imagine that one or two famous manuscript books like these contain the whole matter. They have no notion that, in real truth, to quote the words of one who is no friend to the high pretensions of Welsh literature, but their most formidable impugner, Mr Nash — ‘The Myvyrian manuscripts alone, now deposited in the British Museum, amount to 47 volumes of poetry, of various sizes, containing about 4,700 pieces of poetry, in 16,000 pages, besides about 2,000 englynion or epigrammatic stanzas. There are also, in the same collection, 53 volumes of prose, in about 15,300 pages, containing a great many curious documents on various subjects. Besides these, which were purchased of the widow of the celebrated Owen Jones, the editor of the *Myvyrian Archæology*, there are a vast number of collections of Welsh manuscripts in London, and in the libraries of the gentry of the principality.’ The *Myvyrian Archæology*, here spoken of by Mr Nash, I have already mentioned, he calls its editor, Owen Jones, celebrated, he is not so celebrated but that he claims a word, in passing, from a professor of poetry. He was a Denbighshire *statesman*, as we say in the north, born before the middle of last century, in that vale of Myvyr, which has given its name to his archæology. From his childhood he had that passion

for the old treasures of his country's literature, which to this day, as I have said, in the common people of Wales is so remarkable, these treasures were unprinted, scattered, difficult of access, jealously guarded. 'More than once,' says Edward Lhuyd, who in his *Archæologia Britannica*, brought out by him in 1707, would gladly have given them to the world, 'more than once I had a promise from the owner, and the promise was afterwards retracted at the instigation of certain persons, pseudo-politicians, as I think, rather than men of letters.' So Owen Jones went up, a young man of nineteen, to London, and got employment in a furrier's shop in Thames Street, for forty years, with a single object in view, he worked at his business, and at the end of that time his object was won. He had risen in his employment till the business had become his own, and he was now a man of considerable means, but those means had been sought by him for one purpose only, the purpose of his life, the dream of his youth,—the giving permanence and publicity to the treasures of his national literature. Gradually he got manuscript after manuscript transcribed, and at last, in 1801, he jointly with two friends brought out in three large volumes, printed in double columns, his *Myvyrian Archæology of Wales*. The book is full of imperfections, it presented itself to a public which could not judge of its importance, and it brought upon its author, in his lifetime, more attack than honour. He died not long afterwards, and now he lies buried in All-hallows Church, in London, with his tomb turned towards the east, away from the green vale of Clwyd and the mountains of his native Wales, but his book is the

great repertory of the literature of his nation, the comparative study of languages and literatures gains every day more followers, and no one of these followers, at home or abroad, touches Welsh literature without paying homage to the Denbighshire peasant's name, if the bard's glory and his own are still matter of moment to him,—*si quid mentem mortalia tangunt*,—he may be satisfied

Even the printed stock of early Welsh literature is, therefore, considerable, and the manuscript stock of it is very great indeed. Of Irish literature, the stock, printed and manuscript, is truly vast, the work of cataloguing and describing this has been admirably performed by another remarkable man, who died only the other day, Mr Eugene O'Curry. Obscure Scaliger of a despised literature, he deserves some weightier voice to praise him than the voice of an unlearned bellettristic trifler like me, he belongs to the race of the giants in literary research and industry,—a race now almost extinct. Without a literary education, and impeded too, it appears, by much trouble of mind and infirmity of body, he has accomplished such a thorough work of classification and description for the chaotic mass of Irish literature, that the student has now half his labour saved, and needs only to use his materials as Eugene O'Curry hands them to him.* It was as a professor in the Catholic University in Dublin that

* For this noble tribute to O'Curry, the noblest and truest ever paid to that valiant and unwearied scholar, Arnold will always deserve the gratitude of every Irishman, and not alone of Irishmen, but of all who value scholarship, all who know how hard and thorny the path of the scholar is wont to be, how scant his meed of recognition and honour, how paltry his reward

O'Curry gave the lectures in which he has done the student this service, it is touching to find that these lectures, a splendid tribute of devotion to the Celtic cause, had no hearer more attentive, more sympathising, than a man, himself, too, the champion of a cause more interesting than prosperous,—one of those causes which please noble spirits, but do not please destiny, which have Cato's adherence, but not Heaven's,—Dr Newman Eugene O'Curry, in these lectures of his, taking as his standard the quarto page of Dr O'Donovan's edition of the *Annals of the Four Masters* (and this printed monument of one branch of Irish literature occupies by itself, let me say in passing, seven large quarto volumes, containing 4,215 pages of closely printed matter), Eugene O'Curry says, that the great vellum manuscript books belonging to Trinity College, Dublin, and to the Royal Irish Academy,—books with fascinating titles, the *Book of the Dun Cow*, the *Book of Leinster*, the *Book of Ballymote*, the *Speckled Book*, the *Book of Lecain*, the *Yellow Book of Lecain*,—have, between them, matter enough to fill 11,400 of these pages, the other vellum manuscripts in the library of Trinity College, Dublin, have matter enough to fill 8,200 pages more, and the paper manuscripts of Trinity College, and the Royal Irish Academy together, would fill, he says, 30,000 such pages more. The ancient laws of Ireland, the so-called Brehon laws, which a commission is now publishing, were not as yet completely transcribed when O'Curry wrote, but what had even then been transcribed was sufficient, he says, to fill nearly 8,000 of Dr O'Donovan's pages. Here are, at any rate, materials enough with a vengeance. These materials

fall, of course, into several divisions. The most literary of these divisions, the *Tales*, consisting of *Historic Tales* and *Imaginative Tales*, distributes the contents of its *Historic Tales* as follows — Battles, voyages, sieges, tragedies, cow-spoils, courtships, adventures, land-expeditions, sea-expeditions, banquets, elopements, loves, lake-irruptions, colonisations, visions. Of what a treasure-house of resources for the history of Celtic life and the Celtic genius does that bare list, even by itself, call up the image! The *Annals of the Four Masters* give ‘the years of foundations and destructions of churches and castles, the obituaries of remarkable persons, the inaugurations of kings, the battles of chiefs, the contests of clans, the ages of bards, abbots, bishops, &c.’¹ Through other divisions of this mass of materials, — the books of pedigrees and genealogies, the martyrologies and festologies, such as the *Féilré of Angus the Culdee*, the topographical tracts, such as the *Dinnsenchas*, — we touch ‘the most ancient traditions of the Irish, traditions which were committed to writing at a period when the ancient customs of the people were unbroken.’ We touch ‘the early history of Ireland, civil and ecclesiastical.’ We get ‘the origin and history of the countless monuments of Ireland, of the ruined church and tower, the sculptured cross, the holy well, and the commemorative name of almost every townland and parish in the whole island.’ We get, in short, ‘the most detailed information upon almost every part of ancient Gaelic life, a vast quantity of valuable details of life and manners.’²

¹ Dr O’Conor in his *Catalogue of the Stowe MSS* (quoted by O’Curry)

² O’Curry

And then, besides, to our knowledge of the Celtic genius, Mr Norris has brought us from Cornwall, M de la Villemarqué from Brittany, contributions, insignificant indeed in quantity, if one compares them with the mass of the Irish materials extant, but far from insignificant in value

We want to know what all this mass of documents really tells us about the Celt But the mode of dealing with these documents, and with the whole question of Celtic antiquity, has hitherto been most unsatisfactory Those who have dealt with them, have gone to work, in general, either as warm Celt-lovers or as warm Celt-haters, and not as disinterested students of an important matter of science One party seems to set out with the determination to find everything in Celtism and its remains, the other, with the determination to find nothing in them A simple seeker for truth has a hard time between the two An illustration or so will make clear what I mean First let us take the Celt-lovers, who, though they engage one's sympathies more than the Celt-haters, yet, inasmuch as assertion is more dangerous than denial, show their weaknesses in a more signal way A very learned man, the Rev Edward Davies, published in the early part of this century two important books on Celtic antiquity The second of these books, *The Mythology and Rites of the British Druids*, contains, with much other interesting matter, the charming story of Taliesin Bryant's book on mythology was then in vogue, and Bryant, in the fantastical manner so common in those days, found in Greek mythology what he called an arkite idolatry, pointing to Noah's deluge and the ark Davies, wishing

to give dignity to his Celtic mythology, determines to find the arkite idolatry there too, and the style in which he proceeds to do this affords a good specimen of the extravagance which has caused Celtic antiquity to be looked upon with so much suspicion. The story of Taliesin begins thus —

‘ In former times there was a man of noble descent in Penllyn. His name was Tegid Voel, and his paternal estate was in the middle of the Lake of Tegid, and his wife was called Ceridwen ’

Nothing could well be simpler, but what Davies finds in this simple opening of Taliesin’s story is prodigious —

‘ Let us take a brief view of the proprietor of this estate. Tegid Voel—*bald serenity*—presents itself at once to our fancy. The painter would find no embarrassment in sketching the portrait of this sedate venerable personage, whose crown is partly stripped of its hoary honours. But of all the gods of antiquity, none could with propriety sit for this picture excepting Saturn, the acknowledged representative of Noah, and the husband of Rhea, which was but another name for Ceres, the genius of the ark ’

And Ceres, the genius of the ark, is of course found in Ceridwen, ‘ the British Ceres, the arkite goddess who initiates us into the deepest mysteries of the arkite superstition ’

Now the story of Taliesin, as it proceeds, exhibits Ceridwen as a sorceress, and a sorceress, like a goddess, belongs to the world of the supernatural, but, beyond this, the story itself does not suggest one particle of relationship between Ceridwen and Ceres. All the

rest comes out of Davies's fancy, and is established by reasoning of the force of that about 'bald serenity'

It is not difficult for the other side, the Celt-haters, to get a triumph over such adversaries as these. Perhaps I ought to ask pardon of Mr Nash, whose *Tahesin* it is impossible to read without profit and instruction, for classing him among the Celt-haters, his determined scepticism about Welsh antiquity seems to me, however, to betray a preconceived hostility, a bias taken beforehand, as unmistakable as Mr Davies's prepossessions. But Mr Nash is often very happy in demolishing, for really the Celt-lovers seem often to try to lay themselves open, and to invite demolition. Full of his notions about an arkite idolatry and a Helio-dæmonic worship, Edward Davies gives this translation of an old Welsh poem, entitled *The Panegyric on Lludd the Great* —

'A song of dark import was composed by the distinguished Ogdoad, who assembled on the day of the moon, and went in open procession. On the day of Mars they allotted wrath to their adversaries, and on the day of Mercury they enjoyed their full pomp, on the day of Jove they were delivered from the detested usurpers, on the day of Venus, the day of the great influx, they swam in the blood of men,¹ on the day of the Sun there truly assemble five ships and five hundred of those who make supplication, O Brithi, O Brithoi! O son of the compacted wood, the shock overtakes me, we all attend on Adonai, on the area of Pwmpai'

¹ Here, where Saturday should come, something is wanting in the manuscript

That looks Helio-dæmonic enough, undoubtedly, especially when Davies prints *O Brithi, O Brithoi* ! in Hebrew characters, as being 'vestiges of sacred hymns in the Phœnician language' But then comes Mr Nash, and says that the poem is a middle-age composition with nothing Helio-dæmonic about it, that it is meant to ridicule the monks, and that *O Brithi, O Brithoi* ! is a mere piece of unintelligible jargon in mockery of the chants used by the monks at prayer, and he gives this counter-translation of the poem —

' They make harsh songs, they note eight numbers
On Monday they will be prying about On Tuesday
they separate, angry with their adversaries On
Wednesday they drink, enjoying themselves ostenta-
tiously On Thursday they are in the choir, their
poverty is disagreeable Friday is a day of abundance,
the men are swimming in pleasures On Sunday, cer-
tainly, five legions and five hundreds of them, they pray,
they make exclamations *O Brithi, O Brithoi* ! Like
wood-cuckoos in noise they will be, every one of the
idiots banging on the ground '

As one reads Mr Nash's explanation and translation after Edward Davies's, one feels that a flood of the broad daylight of common sense has been suddenly shed over the *Panegyric on Lludd the Great*, and one is very grateful to Mr Nash *

* The translation of Skene's *Four Ancient Books of Wales* (vol 1 p 271), whilst differing considerably from that of Davies, differs still more from that of Nash, whose theory that this is a satiric poem on the monks is utterly rejected Skene (vol II p 421) quotes O'Curry to the effect that the *Brithi, Brithoi* line is Irish incorrectly transcribed

Or, again, when another Celt-lover, Mr Herbert, has bewildered us with his fancies, as uncritical as Edward Davies's, with his neo-Druidism, his Mithraic heresy, his Crist-celi, or man-god of the mysteries, and, above all, his ape of the sanctuary, 'signifying the mercurial principle, that strange and unexplained disgrace of paganism,' Mr Nash comes to our assistance, and is most refreshingly rational. To confine ourselves to the ape of the sanctuary only. Mr Herbert constructs his monster,—to whom, he says, 'great sanctity, together with foul crime, deception, and treachery,' is ascribed,—out of four lines of old Welsh poetry, of which he adopts the following translation —

'Without the ape, without the stall of the cow, without the mundane rampart, the world will become desolate, not requiring the cuckoos to convene the appointed dance over the green'

One is not very clear what all this means, but it has, at any rate, a solemn air about it, which prepares one for the development of its first-named personage, the ape, into the mystical ape of the sanctuary. The cow, too,—says another famous Celt-lover, Dr Owen, the learned author of the *Welsh Dictionary*,—the cow (*henfon*) is the cow of transmigration, and this also sounds natural enough. But Mr Nash, who has a keen eye for the piecing which frequently happens in these old fragments, has observed that just here, where the ape of the sanctuary and the cow of transmigration make their appearance, there seems to come a cluster of adages, popular sayings, and he at once remembers an adage preserved with the word *henfon* in it, where, as he justly says, 'the cow of transmigration cannot very well

have place' This adage, rendered literally in English, is 'Whoso owns the old cow, let him go at her tail', and the meaning of it, as a popular saying, is clear and simple enough With this clue, Mr Nash examines the whole passage, suggests that *heb eppa*, 'without the ape,' with which Mr Herbert begins, in truth belongs to something going before and is to be translated somewhat differently, and, in short, that what we really have here is simply these three adages one after another 'The first share is the full one Politeness is natural, says the ape Without the cow-stall there would be no dung-heap' And one can hardly doubt that Mr Nash is quite right *

Even friends of the Celt, who are perfectly incapable of extravagances of this sort, fall too often into a loose mode of criticism concerning him and the documents of his history, which is unsatisfactory in itself, and also gives an advantage to his many enemies One of the best and most delightful friends he has ever had,—M de la Villemarqué,—has seen clearly enough that often the alleged antiquity of his documents cannot be proved, that it can be even disproved, and that he must rely on other supports than this to establish

* This passage is from the same poem as the one commented on in the previous note Here again Skene and his expert, Robert Williams of Rhydygroesau, entirely reject Nash's interpretation The poem as translated by Williams is obscurity itself, and seemingly nonsensical obscurity Whether this is due to the original being written in one of those esoteric forms which were as common in Wales as in Ireland and in which the skill of the poet was proportionate to the darkness of his rede, or whether it is due to the translator having misunderstood his text, cannot, in the present state of Welsh studies, be affirmed with certainty

what he wants, yet one finds him saying 'I open the collection of Welsh bards from the sixth to the tenth century Taliesin, one of the oldest of them,'

and so on But his adversaries deny that we have really any such thing as a 'collection of Welsh bards from the sixth to the tenth century,' or that a 'Taliesin, one of the oldest of them,' exists to be quoted in defence of any thesis * Sharon Turner, again, whose *Vindication of the Ancient British Poems* was prompted,

* After forty years the discrimination of the fairly considerable mass of old Welsh poetry, according to age and origin, has still made little progress Welsh is unfortunate in not possessing, like Irish, a considerable mass of written matter which we know to be older than A D 906 and in some cases to date from the eighth century The extant remains of pre- A D 906 Irish are sufficiently extensive to enable the construction of a grammar and to determine the main outlines of the *phonesis* We thus possess an element of comparison which allows us to detect that many texts only preserved in MSS of the eleventh and later centuries belong to a far earlier period In Welsh the elements of comparison are so slight as to yield the faintest of clues In default of linguistic we are thus for the most part thrown upon internal, subject-matter evidence In texts of an historical character this is often as valid as palæographical or linguistic evidence A reference to the Normans must indicate a post-eleventh-century date for the passage in which it occurs But many of the Welsh poets are at obvious pains to disguise their historical allusions in a form penetrable only by the initiate It is also certain that during two centuries at least (roughly speaking the twelfth and thirteenth) the bards were trained in accordance with a definite tradition to cast their poems into conventional moulds New was added to old, old was recast without thought of forgery in our sense of the word, but there was also a certain amount of deliberate invention intended to deceive Finally, a number of the poems are destitute of historic references in the ordinary sense of the word The extreme complexity of the task before the historian of Welsh literature is thus evident, as is also the fact that the first requisite

it seems to me, by a critical instinct at bottom sound, is weak and uncritical in details like this 'The strange poem of *Talesin*, called the *Spoils of Annwn*, implies the existence (in the sixth century, he means) of mythological tales about Arthur, and the frequent allusion of the old Welsh bards to the persons and incidents which we find in the *Mabinogion* are further proofs that there must have been such stories in circulation amongst the Welsh' But the critic has to show, against his adversaries, that the *Spoils of Annwn* is a real poem of the sixth century, with a real sixth-century poet called *Talesin* for its author, before he can use it to prove what Sharon Turner there wishes to prove, and, in like manner, the high antiquity of persons and incidents that are found in the manuscripts of the *Mabinogion*—manuscripts written, like the famous *Red Book of Hergest*, in the library of Jesus College at Oxford, in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries,—is not proved by allusions of the old Welsh bards, until (which is just the question at issue) the pieces containing these allusions are proved themselves to possess a very high antiquity. In the present state of the question as to the early Welsh literature, this sort of reasoning is inconclusive and bewildering, and merely carries us round in a circle.* Again, it is worse than inconclusive

is searching study of the language itself in order to determine its evolution and discriminate between early and late

John Strachan, who died last year, had set his hand to the task. His premature death is a grievous loss to Celtic scholarship

* This criticism of Sharon Turner is not so pertinent as it seems at first blush. Turner put the matter badly, but it is nevertheless true that the existence of allusions in anonymous, undated Welsh poems to incidents in undated, anonymous Welsh

reasoning, it shows so uncritical a spirit that it begets grave mistrust, when Mr Williams ab Ithel, employed by the Master of the Rolls to edit the *Brut y Tywysogion*, the 'Chronicle of the Princes,' says in his introduction, in many respects so useful and interesting 'We may add, on the authority of a scrupulously faithful antiquary, and one that was deeply versed in the traditions of his order—the late Iolo Morganwg—that King Arthur in his Institutes of the Round Table introduced the age of the world for events which

prose tales provides sound evidence for the antiquity of the subject matter common to tales and poems. In the first place the allusions are only referable in part to extant tales, i.e. they presuppose the existence of others which have perished, in the second place, they are in the last degree obscure, an obscurity due in part to the curt, fugitive nature of the allusions, showing that the subject matter must have been so familiar to singer and hearers that detailed exposition was unnecessary. Further, it seems evident that, as in the case of the Norse Skalds there is intentional obscurity—the poet deliberately aimed at being far-fetched, and the more he wrapped up his meaning the more highly was his skill esteemed. From the *kennings* of the Norse Skalds we can recover once we hold the key, not only the majority of the myths detailed in the Eddaic poems, but others of which no detailed account has been preserved. In the case of Wales we are less fortunate, where the detailed account of the *Four Branches of the Mabinogi* fails us it is extremely difficult to interpret allusions in the poems to similar subject matter. These facts seem to me to show conclusively that the bards are dealing with an ancient traditional body of romance and not with new creations of the twelfth century. The question how far back this tradition can be carried is of course a different one. See *infra*, pp 180-182

As regards the statements respecting the age of the *Red Book of Hergest* (fourteenth to fifteenth centuries), it must be added that nearly all of the tales commonly known as the *Mabinogion* are vouched for in thirteenth century MSS. See my edition of the *Mabinogion*

occurred before Christ, and the year of Christ's nativity for all subsequent events' Now, putting out of the question Iolo Morganwg's character as an antiquary, it is obvious that no one, not Grimm himself, can stand in that way as 'authority' for King Arthur's having thus regulated chronology by his Institutes of the Round Table, or even for there ever having been any such institutes at all And finally, greatly as I respect and admire Mr Eugene O'Curry, unquestionable as is the sagacity, the moderation, which he in general unites with his immense learning, I must say that he, too, like his brother Celt-lovers, sometimes lays himself dangerously open For instance, the Royal Irish Academy possesses in its Museum a relic of the greatest value, the *Domhnach Aird*, a Latin manuscript of the four gospels The outer box containing this manuscript is of the fourteenth century, but the manuscript itself, says O'Curry (and no man is better able to judge) is certainly of the sixth This is all very well 'But,' O'Curry then goes on, 'I believe no reasonable doubt can exist that the *Domhnach Aird* was actually sanctified by the hand of our great Apostle' One has a thrill of excitement at receiving this assurance from such a man as Eugene O'Curry, one believes that he is really going to make it clear that St Patrick did actually sanctify the *Domhnach Aird* with his own hands, and one reads on —

'As St Patrick, says an ancient life of St Mac Carthainn preserved by Colgan in his *Acta Sanctorum Hiberniæ*, was on his way from the north, and coming to the place now called Clogher, he was carried over a stream by his strong man, Bishop Mac Carthainn,

who, while bearing the Saint, groaned aloud, exclaiming
 “ Ugh ! Ugh ! ”

“ “ Upon my good word,” said the Saint, “ it was not usual with you to make that noise ”

“ “ I am now old and infirm,” said Bishop Mac Carthainn, “ and all my early companions in mission-work you have settled down in their respective churches, while I am still on my travels ”

“ “ Found a church then,” said the Saint, “ that shall not be too near us ” (that is to his own church of Armagh) “ for familiarity, nor too far from us for intercourse ”

‘ And the Saint then left Bishop Mac Carthainn there, at Clogher, and bestowed the *Domhnach Airgid* upon him, which had been given to Patrick from heaven, when he was on the sea, coming to Erin ’

The legend is full of poetry, full of humour, and one can quite appreciate, after reading it, the tact which gave St Patrick such a prodigious success in organising the primitive church in Ireland, the new bishop, ‘ not too near us for familiarity, nor too far from us for intercourse,’ is a masterpiece. But how can Eugene O’Curry have imagined that it takes no more than a legend like that, to prove that the particular manuscript now in the Museum of the Royal Irish Academy was once in St Patrick’s pocket ?

I insist upon extravagances like these, not in order to throw ridicule upon the Celt-lovers,—on the contrary, I feel a great deal of sympathy with them,—but rather, to make it clear what an immense advantage the Celt-haters, the negative side, have in the controversy about Celtic antiquity, how much a clear-head

sceptic, like Mr Nash, may utterly demolish, and, in demolishing, give himself the appearance of having won an entire victory. But an entire victory he has, as I will next proceed to show, by no means won

II

I said that a sceptic like Mr Nash, by demolishing the rubbish of the Celtic antiquaries, might often give himself the appearance of having won a complete victory, but that a complete victory he had, in truth, by no means won. He has cleared much rubbish away, but this is no such very difficult feat, and requires mainly common sense, to be sure, Welsh archæologists are apt to lose their common sense, but at moments when they are in possession of it they can do the indispensable, negative part of criticism, not, indeed, so briskly or cleverly as Mr Nash, but still well enough. Edward Davies, for instance, has quite clearly seen that the alleged remains of old Welsh literature are not to be taken for genuine just as they stand. ‘Some petty and mendicant minstrel, who only chaunted it as an old song, has tacked on’ (he says of a poem he is discussing) ‘these lines, in a style and measure totally different from the preceding verses. “May the Trinity grant us mercy in the day of judgment a liberal donation, good gentlemen!”’ There, fifty years before Mr Nash, is a clearance very like one of Mr Nash’s. But the difficult feat in this matter is the art of construction, to determine when one has cleared away all that is to be cleared away, what is the significance of that which is left, and here, I confess, I

think Mr Nash and his fellow-sceptics, who say that next to nothing is left, and that the significance of whatever is left is next to nothing, dissatisfy the genuine critic even more than Edward Davies and his brother enthusiasts, who have a sense that something primitive, august, and interesting is there, though they fail to extract it, dissatisfy him. There is a very edifying story told by O'Curry of the effect produced on Moore, the poet, who had undertaken to write the history of Ireland (a task for which he was quite unfit), by the contemplation of an old Irish manuscript. Moore had, without knowing anything about them, spoken slightly of the value to the historian of Ireland of the materials afforded by such manuscripts, but, says O'Curry —

‘In the year 1839, during one of his last visits to the land of his birth, he, in company with his old and attached friend Dr Petrie, favoured me with an unexpected visit at the Royal Irish Academy. I was at that period employed on the Ordnance Survey of Ireland, and at the time of his visit happened to have before me on my desk the *Books of Ballymote and Lecain*, *The Speckled Book*, *The Annals of the Four Masters*, and many other ancient books, for historical research and reference. I had never before seen Moore, and after a brief introduction and explanation of the nature of my occupation by Dr Petrie, and seeing the formidable array of so many dark and time-worn volumes by which I was surrounded, he looked a little disconcerted, but after a while plucked up courage to open the *Book of Ballymote* and ask what it was. Dr Petrie and myself then entered into a short explanation of the history and character

of the books then present as well as of ancient Gaedhelic documents in general Moore listened with great attention, alternately scanning the books and myself, and then asked me, in a serious tone, if I understood them, and how I had learned to do so Having satisfied him upon these points, he turned to Dr Petrie and said —“ Petrie, these huge tomes could not have been written by fools or for any foolish purpose I never knew anything about them before, and I had no right to have undertaken the *History of Ireland* ” ’

And from that day Moore, it is said, lost all heart for going on with his *History of Ireland*, and it was only the importunity of the publishers which induced him to bring out the remaining volume

Could not have been written by fools or for any foolish purpose That is, I am convinced, a true presentiment to have in one's mind when one looks at Irish documents like the *Book of Ballymote*, or Welsh documents like the *Red Book of Hergest* In some respects, at any rate, these documents are what they claim to be, they hold what they pretend to hold, they touch that primitive world of which they profess to be the voice The true critic is he who can detect this precious and genuine part in them, and employ it for the elucidation of the Celt's genius and history, and for any other fruitful purposes to which it can be applied Merely to point out the mixture of what is late and spurious in them, is to touch but the fringes of the matter In reliance upon the discovery of this mixture of what is late and spurious in them, to pooh-pooh them altogether, to treat them as a heap of rubbish, a mass of middle-age forgeries, is to fall into the greatest

possible error. Granted that all the manuscripts of Welsh poetry (to take that branch of Celtic literature which has had, in Mr. Nash, the ablest disparager), granted that all such manuscripts that we possess are, with the most insignificant exception, not older than the twelfth century, granted that the twelfth and thirteenth centuries were a time of great poetical activity in Wales, a time when the mediæval literature flourished there, as it flourished in England, France, and other countries, granted that a great deal of what Welsh enthusiasts have attributed to their great traditional poets of the sixth century belongs to this later epoch,—what then? Does that get rid of the great traditional poets,—the Cynveudd or old bards, Aneurin, Taliesin, Llywarch Hen, and their compeers,—does that get rid of the great poetical tradition of the sixth century altogether, does it merge the whole literary antiquity of Wales in her mediæval literary antiquity, or, at least, reduce all other than this to insignificance? *

* This criticism of Nash is pertinent as far as it goes, but the facts are susceptible of other explanations besides the traditional one which Nash was opposing and his own iconoclastic view. What are the facts? In the first place, as Arnold notes a little later (*in/ra*, p. 45), the fifth to sixth century and the events which filled the period 'were just the ground for one of those bursts of energetic national life which find a voice in a burst of poets and poetry.' The tradition so far is reasonable—heroic saga of a kind *must* have arisen among the Welshmen whose ancestors took part in these events. In the second place, the tradition respecting the poets who produced this poetry can be carried back to within a century after their date. The compiler of the *Historia Brittonum*, Nennius, wrote at the end of the eighth and beginning of the ninth century, he has embodied in his compilation a document relating to the struggles of the Britons and the Angles in Northumbria which two such

Mr Nash says it does, all his efforts are directed to show how much of the so-called sixth-century pieces may be resolved into mediæval, twelfth-century work,

eminent critics as Professor Zimmer (*Nennius Vindicatus*) and Monsignor Duchesne (*Revue celtique*, vol xvii No 1) agree in assigning to the last quarter of the seventh century, as it carries the record down to the ninth year of the reign of Ecgfrith (A D 679) Herein (Nennius, par 62) occurs the oft-quoted passage 'Tunc Talhaern Cataguen in poemate claruit et Neirin et Taliessin et Bluchbard et Cian qui vocatur Guenith Guaut simul uno tempore in poemate Britannico claruerunt' Nothing has come down to us under the name of Talhaern or Cian, whilst as regards the other three, if Bluchbard be rightly equated with Llywarch Hen, nearly three-fourths of extant old Welsh poetry is ascribed to one or the other Taliessin is always connected with Mailcun of North Wales (Maelgwn Gwynedd) who died in A D 548, so that his own death can hardly be put later than circa A D 580 Aneurin is the traditional author of the *Gododin*, a poem commemorating a battle fought according to Skene (*op cit* vol ii p 369), with whom Mr Nicholson agrees (cf *Cymmrodor*, xxi p 89), in A D 596, by other scholars at a somewhat later date Llywarch Hen, according to Skene (*op cit* i p 235), lived to A D 659

Two questions are involved Is the extant poetry in the main a product of the period A D 560-650, are the poets of the Nennian passage historical personages? Professor Zimmer (*op cit* p 103) emends the passage thus 'Tunc Talhaern claruit Aneurin et T etc, claruerunt' He holds that the *A* of Aneurin was taken by Nennius for the conjunction, whence his reading it Neirin, and he concludes that such an ignorant blunder proves all knowledge of sixth to seventh century poets to have been lost in eighth century Wales But, he proceeds, the early mention preserved by Nennius, whose work was widely spread, familiarised the Welsh bards of the ninth and following centuries with the names of the traditional early poets upon whom they fathered their own productions This seems to me a very bold conclusion to draw from what may be not even an error of Nennius but a simple scribal misreading Nor does it account for the fact that the hypothetical archaising fathers of the post-Nennian period picked out three only of the five

his grand thesis is that there is nothing primitive and pre-Christian in the extant Welsh literature, no traces of the Druidism and Paganism every one associates with Celtic antiquity, all this, he says, was extinguished by Paulinus in A.D. 59, and never resuscitated. 'At

bards whom he mentions, and ascribed a good deal of similar matter to Merlin whom he does not mention.

The tradition, it will be seen, is not held by this eminent scholar to warrant the genuine character of the extant poetry, but he apparently accepts it as testifying to the actual existence of the poets named. Another interpretation of the Nennian passage is however possible. None of the extant old Welsh poetry is of an epic character, it consists, for the most part of odes (encomiastic as a rule) and elegies, quasi-dramatic in form. There is, as a rule, no connected narrative of events, but allusions to them are put into the mouth of some personage who figures in a cycle grouped round some prominent chief or some famous combat. The poem says Aneurin sang this, Llywarch Hen that, and a later age regarded them as the authors of the poems. We know that this is what took place in the Irish cycle of Finn. Extant poems, many of a quasi-dramatic nature, are put in the mouth of Oisín or Fergus, who thus came to be looked upon as their authors. Misunderstood by Macpherson, this fact originated the ridiculous conception of Ossian as an epic writer. Thus, the Nennian passage may simply imply that the seventh century writer had before him five groups of lyrico-dramatic poems, associated each with a protagonist into whose mouth they were put and whom he regarded as the author. If this is so it certainly pleads in favour of the genuine character of much of the extant poetry. It is noteworthy that both Aneurin and Llywarch Hen are assigned by tradition to the chieftain warrior class, and are described as taking a prominent part in the struggles alluded to in the poems ascribed to them.

Subsequent criticism has given no decisive answer to the questions raised by Nash, but it has stated them differently. No decisive answer can be given so long as we lack sure linguistic texts for discriminating what is early and late in old Welsh poetry.

the time the Mabinogion and the Taliesin ballads were composed, no tradition or popular recollection of the Druids or the Druidical mythology existed in Wales. The Welsh bards knew of no older mystery, nor of any mystic creed, unknown to the rest of the Christian world.' And Mr Nash complains that 'the old opinion that the Welsh poems contain notices of Druid or Pagan superstitions of a remote origin' should still find promulgators, what we find in them is only, he says, what was circulating in Wales in the twelfth century, and 'one great mistake in these investigations has been the supposing that the Welsh of the twelfth, or even of the sixth century, were wiser as well as more pagan than their neighbours' *

Why, what a wonderful thing is this! We have, in the first place, the most weighty and explicit testimony, —Strabo's, Cæsar's, Lucan's,—that this race once possessed a special, profound, spiritual discipline, that they were, to use Mr Nash's words, 'wiser than their neighbours' Lucan's words are singularly clear and strong, and serve well to stand as a landmark in this controversy, in which one is sometimes embarrassed by hearing authorities quoted on this side or that, when one does not feel sure precisely what they say,

* Nash's contention would be perfectly sound if mediæval Welshmen were descended solely from the Britons whose Druidic institutions were destroyed by Paulinus in A.D. 59, but it loses all force if, as is now generally held (*supra*, p. 5), there was a resettlement of Wales in the fifth century by un-Romanised populations in partial if not full possession of their native institutions and beliefs. It should be noted that one eminent scholar, Professor Zimmer, shares Nash's opinion and maintains that Druidism was extirpated in Britain and could not have perpetuated itself here as it did in Ireland.

how much or how little, Lucan, addressing those hitherto under the pressure of Rome, but now left by the Roman civil war to their own devices, says —

‘Ye too, ye bards, who by your praises perpetuate the memory of the fallen brave, without hindrance poured forth your strains And ye, ye Druids, now that the sword was removed, began once more your barbaric rites and weird solemnities To you only is given knowledge or ignorance (whichever it be) of the gods and the powers of heaven, your dwelling is in the lone heart of the forest From you we learn, that the bourne of man’s ghost is not the senseless grave, not the pale realm of the monarch below, in another world his spirit survives still,—death, if your lore be true, is but the passage to enduring life’

There is the testimony of an educated Roman, fifty years after Christ, to the Celtic race being then ‘wiser than their neighbours’, testimony all the more remarkable because civilised nations, though very prone to ascribe to barbarous people an ideal purity and simplicity of life and manners, are by no means naturally inclined to ascribe to them high attainment in intellectual and spiritual things* And now, along with this

* As I have already pointed out (*Voyage of Bran*, II p 111), Arnold’s rendering does not give the full force of the passage Lucan says

regit idem spiritus artus
Orbe alio

i.e. the *same* spirit animates a body in another world It is thus not simply a question of the spirit’s survival but of the renewed linking of its fate with a body Again the words

longae, canitis si cognita, vitae
Mors media est

testimony of Lucan's, one has to carry in mind Cæsar's remark, that the Druids, partly from a religious scruple, partly from a desire to discipline the memory of their

are inadequately rendered by 'death is but the passage to enduring life' What is stated is that death is the centre, not the finish of the round of life Finally, the whole passage only becomes intelligible if the continuation is taken into account

certe populi quos aspicit Aretos
 Felices errore suo, quos ille timorum
 Maximus haud urget, leti metus Inde ruendi
 In ferrum mens prona viris, animaeque capaces
 Mortis, et ignavum rediturae parcere vitae

'Happy the folk upon whom the Bear looks down, happy in this error, whom the greatest of fears moves not, the dread of death Hence their warrior's heart hurls them against the steel, hence their ready welcome of death, for who were coward enough to grudge a life sure of its return'

This doctrine finds a remarkable confirmation in the oldest Irish heroic tales, if allowance be made for the fact that what the Latin poet states dogmatically and with a single eye to its practical effect on conduct, the story-teller presents indirectly and in an imaginative form The supreme achievement, the highest guerdon of the hero, is access to the land of the 'ever-living young ones,' to the deathless, ageless elysium of unending feasting and dalliance This is the lore which, whether taught directly by the Druids or set forth with all the vivid fancy of the story-teller, impelled the warrior *ruere in ferrum* As is inevitable, the stress of the conception is shifted in the heroic romances instead of the preacher's appeal to the fighter we have the artist's delight in depicting the wonderland under the most alluring colours

Arnold's comment upon this passage seems to me vitiated by the fact that he interprets Lucan's statement about the Gaulish Druids as he might the words of a contemporary English or French poet about Maori or Tuareg medicine-men The attitude of the 'civilised' Roman towards contemporary barbarism differed from that of the modern European, inasmuch as the feeling of higher civilisation did not carry with it the same sense of moral or even intellectual superiority We simply *cannot* admit the possibility of barbarian subsisting

pupils, committed nothing to writing. Well, then come the crushing defeat of the Celtic race in Britain and the Roman conquest, but the Celtic race subsisted here still, and anyone can see that, while the race subsisted, the traditions of a discipline such as that of which Lucan has drawn the picture were not likely to be so very speedily 'extinguished'. The withdrawal of the Romans, the recovered independence of the native race here, the Saxon invasion, the struggle with the Saxons, were just the ground for one of those bursts of energetic national life and self-consciousness which find a voice in a burst of poets and poetry. Accordingly, to this time, to the sixth century, the universal Welsh tradition attaches the great group of British poets, Taliesin and his fellows. In the twelfth century there began for Wales, along with another burst of national life, another burst of poetry, and this burst *literary* in the stricter sense of the word,—a burst which left, for the first time, written records. It wrote the records of its predecessors, as well as of itself, and therefore Mr Nash wants to make it the real author of the whole poetry, one may say, of the sixth century, as well as its own. No doubt one cannot

together 'with high attainment in intellectual and spiritual things', the Roman saw nothing strange in such a possibility, and Lucan's testimony to barbarian wisdom has not the same import and significance as an analogous modern testimony would have. It is further questioned how far the Roman of A.D. 50 did regard the Gaulish priest as a barbarian, and to what extent we have the right to apply Lucan's words respecting populations which had been for a number of generations in possible contact with Græco-Roman culture to the peoples of Britain and Ireland before they had felt in any measure the influence of Rome.

produce the texts of the poetry of the sixth century, no doubt we have this only as the twelfth and succeeding centuries wrote it down, no doubt they mixed and changed it a great deal in writing it down. But, since a continuous stream of testimony shows the enduring existence and influence among the kindred Celts of Wales and Brittany, from the sixth century to the twelfth, of an old national literature, it seems certain that much of this must be traceable in the documents of the twelfth century, and the interesting thing is to trace it. It cannot be denied that there is such a continuous stream of testimony, there is Gildas in the sixth century, Nennius in the eighth, the laws of Howel in the tenth, in the eleventh, twenty or thirty years before the new literary epoch began, we hear of Rhys ap Tudor having 'brought with him from Brittany the system of the Round Table, which at home had become quite forgotten, and he restored it as it is with regard to minstrels and bards, as it had been at Caerleon-upon-Usk, under the Emperor Arthur, in the time of the sovereignty of the race of the Cymry over the island of Britain and its adjacent islands' Mr Nash's own comment on this is 'We here see the introduction of the Arthurian romance from Brittany, preceding by nearly one generation the revival of music and poetry in North Wales', and yet he does not seem to perceive what a testimony is here to the reality, fulness, and subsistence of that primitive literature about which he is so sceptical. Then in the twelfth century testimony to this primitive literature absolutely abounds, one can quote none better than that of Giraldus de Barri, or Giraldus Cambrensis, as he is usually called. Giraldus is an

excellent authority, who knew well what he was writing about, and he speaks of the Welsh bards and rhapsodists of his time as having in their possession 'ancient and authentic books' in the Welsh language. The apparatus of technical terms of poetry, again, and the elaborate poetical organisation which we find, both in Wales and Ireland, existing from the very commencement of the mediæval literary period in each, and to which no other mediæval literature, so far as I know, shows at its first beginnings anything similar, indicates surely, in these Celtic peoples, the clear and persistent tradition of an older poetical period of great development, and almost irresistibly connects itself in one's mind with the elaborate Druidic discipline which Cæsar mentions *

* Arnold's argument is, I believe, perfectly sound. Mr Nash's contention that the Arthurian romance was introduced from Brittany has the support of at least one eminent Celtic scholar, Professor Zimmer, and of those German students of the Arthurian romance who are convinced that it is substantially a creation of twelfth-century France amplifying and developing a meagre outline derived from Breton minstrels. But though it is supported with desperate ingenuity, to use a vulgar phrase, it will not wash. One of the main arguments of the disbelievers in a Welsh background for the existing French Arthurian romances of the twelfth century is that most fallacious one, the argument *ex silentio*, where, they say, are the Welsh originals? As a simple matter of fact, putting aside Nennius in the eighth century, there does exist a certain amount of Welsh Arthurian matter which is demonstrably older than the year A.D. 1100, whereas there does not exist, and so far as we know, there never existed, a single line of Breton Arthurian matter. Again, the testimony respecting oral prevalence in the two districts, Wales and Brittany, i.e. the activity of minstrels whose lays were not committed to writing and a widespread popular interest and belief in Arthur and his knights, is earlier and fuller for Wales and Britain generally than for Brittany.

Arnold shows unerring judgment in maintaining that the

But perhaps the best way to get a full sense of the storied antiquity, forming as it were the background to those mediæval documents which in Mr Nash's eyes pretty much begin and end with themselves, is to take, almost at random, a passage from such a tale as *Kulhwch and Olwen*, in the *Mabinogion*,—that charming collection, for which we owe such a debt of gratitude to Lady Charlotte Guest (to call her still by the name she bore when she made her happy entry into the world of letters), and which she so unkindly suffers to remain out of print. Almost every page of this tale points to traditions and personages of the most remote antiquity, and is instinct with the very breath of the primitive world. Search is made for Mabon, the son of Modion, who was taken when three nights old from between his mother and the wall. The seekers first go to the Ousel of Cilgwri, the Ousel had lived long enough to peck a smith's anvil down to the size of a nut, but he had never heard of Mabon. 'But there is a race of animals who were formed before me, and I will be your guide to them.' So the Ousel guides them to the Stag of Redynvre. The Stag has

'elaborate poetical organisation' found in both Wales and Ireland affords clear proof that a considerable literature must have existed. This organisation, be it remembered, was part of the social system, it was bound up with the hierarchy of chiefs and tribes. Whether or no anything of the kind ever existed in Brittany we do not know, if it did we can only wonder why it should have disappeared utterly. The Breton minstrel, as far as we can judge from twelfth-century witness, is not, as is the Irish or Welsh bard, the member of a privileged class, subject to professional discipline, and attached to a particular chief, he comes before us as an unattached, wandering quasi-individual artist.

seen an oak sapling in the wood where he lived, grow up to be an oak with a hundred branches, and then slowly decay down to a withered stump, yet he had never heard of Mabon 'But I will be your guide to the place where there is an animal which was formed before I was', and he guides them to the Owl of Cwm Cawlwyd. 'When first I came hither,' says the Owl, 'the wide valley you see was a wooded glen And a race of men came and rooted it up And there grew a second wood, and this wood is the third My wings, are they not withered stumps?' Yet the Owl, in spite of his great age, had never heard of Mabon, but he offered to be guide 'to where is the oldest animal in the world, and the one that has travelled most, the Eagle of Gweir Abwy' The Eagle was so old, that a rock, from the top of which he pecked at the stars every evening, was now not so much as a span high He knew nothing of Mabon, but there was a monster Salmon, into whom he once struck his claws in Llyn Llyw, who might, perhaps, tell them something of him And at last the Salmon of Llyn Llyw told them of Mabon 'With every tide I go along the river upwards, until I come near to the walls of Gloucester, and there have I found such wrong as I never found elsewhere' And the Salmon took Arthur's messengers on his shoulders up to the wall of the prison in Gloucester, and they delivered Mabon

Nothing could better give that sense of primitive and pre-mediæval antiquity which to the observer with any tact for these things is, I think, clearly perceptible in these remains, at whatever time they may have been written, or better serve to check too absolute

an acceptance of Mr Nash's doctrine,—in some respects very salutary,—‘that the common assumption of such remains of the date of the sixth century, has been made upon very unsatisfactory grounds’ It is true, it has, it is true, too, that, as he goes on to say, ‘writers who claim for productions actually existing only in manuscripts of the twelfth, an origin in the sixth century, are called upon to demonstrate the links of evidence, either internal or external, which bridge over this great intervening period of at least five hundred years’ Then Mr Nash continues ‘This external evidence is altogether wanting’ Not altogether, as we have seen, that assertion is a little too strong But I am content to let it pass, because it is true, that without internal evidence in this matter the external evidence would be of no moment But when Mr Nash continues further ‘And the internal evidence even of the so-called historic poems themselves, is, in some instances at least, opposed to their claims to an origin in the sixth century,’ and leaves the matter there, and finishes his chapter, I say that is an unsatisfactory turn to give to the matter, and a lame and impotent conclusion to his chapter, because the one interesting, fruitful question here is, not in what instances the internal evidence opposes the claims of these poems to a sixth-century origin, but in what instances it supports them, and what these sixth-century remains, thus established, signify *

* Here again let me emphasise the soundness of Arnold's critical insight, one grain of positive evidence outweighs tons of presumptions founded on negative evidence, one single fossil detected in a stratum enables us to affirm the presence of organised life at a particular period though every other trace has vanished.

So again with the question as to the mythological import of these poems Mr Nash seems to me to have dealt with this, too, rather in the spirit of a sturdy enemy of the Celts and their pretensions,—often enough chimerical,—than in the spirit of a disinterested man of science ‘We find in the oldest compositions in the Welsh language no traces,’ he says, ‘of the Druids, or of a pagan mythology’ He will not hear of there being, for instance, in these compositions, traces of the doctrine of the transmigration of souls, attributed to the Druids in such clear words by Cæsar He is very severe upon a German scholar, long and favourably known in this country, who has already furnished several contributions to our knowledge of the Celtic race, and of whose labours the main fruit has, I believe, not yet been given us,—Mr Meyer He is very severe upon Mr Meyer, for finding in one of the poems ascribed to Taliesin, ‘a sacrificial hymn addressed to the god Pryd, in his character of god of the Sun’ It is not for me to pronounce for or against this notion of Mr Meyer’s I have not the knowledge which is needed in order to make one’s suffrage in these matters of any value, speaking merely as one of the unlearned public, I will confess that allegory seems to me to play, in Mr Meyer’s theories, a somewhat excessive part, Arthur and his Twelve (?) Knights of the Round Table signifying solely the year with its twelve months, Percival and the Miller signifying solely steel and the grindstone, Stonehenge and the *Gododin* put to purely calendarial purposes, the *Nibelungen*, the *Mahabharata* and the *Ihad*, finally following the fate of the *Gododin*, all this appears to me, I will confess, a little

prematurely grasped, a little unsubstantial. But that anyone who knows the set of modern mythological science towards astronomical and solar myths, a set which has already justified itself in many respects so victoriously, and which is so irresistible that one can hardly now look up at the sun without having the sensations of a moth,—that anyone who knows this, should find in the Welsh remains no traces of mythology, is quite astounding. Why, the heroes and heroines of the old Cymric world are all in the sky as well as in Welsh story, Arthur is the Great Bear, his harp is the constellation Lyra, Cassiopeia's chair is Llys Don, Don's Court, the daughter of Don was Arianrod, and the Northern Crown is *Caer Arianrod*, Gwydion was Don's son, and the Milky Way is *Caer Gwydion*. With Gwydion is Math, the son of Mathonwy, the 'man of illusion and phantasy', and the moment one goes below the surface,—almost before one goes below the surface,—all is illusion and phantasy, double-meaning, and far-reaching mythological import, in the world which all these personages inhabit. What are the three hundred ravens of Owen, and the nine sorceresses of Peredur, and the dogs of Annwn the Welsh Hades, and the birds of Rhiannon, whose song was so sweet that warriors remained spell-bound for eighty years together listening to them? What is the *Avanc*, the water-monster, of whom every lake-side in Wales, and her proverbial speech, and her music, to this day preserve the tradition? What is Gwyn the son of Nudd, king of fairie, the ruler of the *Tylwyth Teg*, or family of beauty, who till the day of doom fights on every first day of May,—the great feast of the sun

among the Celtic peoples,—with Gwythyr, for the fair Cordelia, the daughter of Lear ? What is the wonderful mare of Teirnyon, which on the night of every first of May foaled, and no one ever knew what became of the colt ? Who is the mystic Arawn, the king of Annwn, who changed semblance for a year with Pwyll, prince of Dyved, and reigned in his place ? These are no mediæval personages, they belong to an older, pagan, mythological world. The very first thing that strikes one, in reading the *Mabinogion*, is how evidently the mediæval story-teller is pillaging an antiquity of which he does not fully possess the secret, he is like a peasant building his hut on the site of Halicarnassus or Ephesus, he builds, but what he builds is full of materials of which he knows not the history, or knows by a glimmering tradition merely,—stones ‘not of this building,’ but of an older architecture, greater, cunninger, more majestical. In the mediæval stories of no Latin or Teutonic people does this strike one as in those of the Welsh. Kilhwch, in the story, already quoted, of *Kilhwch and Olwen*, asks help at the hand of Arthur’s warriors, a list of these warriors is given, which fills I know not how many pages of Lady Charlotte Guest’s book, this list is a perfect treasure-house of mysterious ruins —

‘Teithi Hen, the son of Gwynham—(his domains were swallowed up by the sea, and he himself hardly escaped, and he came to Arthur, and his knife had this peculiarity, that from the time that he came there no haft would ever remain upon it, and owing to this a sickness came over him, and he pined away during the remainder of his life, and of this he died)

‘ Drem, the son of Dremidydd—(when the gnat arose in the morning with the sun, Drem could see it from Gelli Wic in Cornwall, as far off as Pen Blathaon in North Britain)

‘ Kynyr Keinvarvawc—(when he was told he had a son born, he said to his wife Damsel, if thy son be mine, his heart will be always cold, and there will be no warmth in his hands) ’

How evident, again, is the slightness of the narrator’s hold upon the Twrch-Trwyth and his strange story ! How manifest the mixture of known and unknown, shadowy and clear, of different layers and orders of tradition jumbled together, in the story of Bran the Blessed, a story whose personages touch a comparatively late and historic time Bran invades Ireland, to avenge one of ‘ the three unhappy blows of this island,’ the daily striking of Branwen by her husband Matholwch, King of Ireland Bran is mortally wounded by a poisoned dart, and only seven men of Britain, ‘ the Island of the Mighty,’ escape, among them Taliesin —

‘ And Bran commanded them that they should cut off his head And take you my head, said he, and bear it even unto the White Mount in London, and bury it there with the face towards France And a long time will you be upon the road In Harlech you will be feasting seven years, the birds of Rhiannon singing unto you the while And all that time the head will be to you as pleasant company as it ever was when on my body And at Gwales in Penvro you will be fourscore years, and you may remain there, and the head with you uncorrupted, until you open the door that looks towards Aber Henvelen

THE STUDY OF CELTIC

and towards Cornwall And
that door, there you may no longer tarry, set forth
then to London to bury the head, and go straight
forward

‘So they cut off his head, and those seven went
forward therewith And Branwen was the eighth
with them, and they came to land at Aber Alaw in
Anglesey, and they sate down to rest And Branwen
looked towards Ireland and towards the Island of the
Mighty, to see if she could descry them “Alas,”
said she, “woe is me that I was ever born, two islands
have been destroyed because of me” Then she uttered
a loud groan, and there broke her heart And they made
her a four-sided grave, and buried her upon the banks
of the Alaw

‘Then they went to Harlech, and sate down to
feast and to drink there, and there came three birds
and began singing, and all the songs they had ever
heard were harsh compared thereto, and at this feast
they continued seven years Then they went to Gwales
in Penvro, and there they found a fair and regal spot
overlooking the ocean, and a spacious hall was therein
And they went into the hall, and two of its doors were
open, but the third door was closed, that which looked
towards Cornwall “See yonder,” said Manawyddan,
“is the door that we may not open” And that night
they regaled themselves and were joyful And there
they remained fourscore years, nor did they think they
had ever spent a time more joyous and mirthful And
they were not more weary than when first they came,
neither did they, any of them, know the time they
had been there And it was as pleasant to them having

the head with them as if Bran had been with them himself

‘ But one day said Heilyn, the son of Gwyn “ Evil betide me if I do not open the door to know if that is true which is said concerning it ” So he opened the door and looked towards Cornwall and Aber Henvelen And when they had looked, they were as conscious of all the evils they had ever sustained, and of all the friends and companions they had lost, and of all the misery that had befallen them, as if all had happened in that very spot, and especially of the fate of their lord And because of then perturbation they could not rest, but journeyed forth with the head towards London And they buried the head in the White Mount ’

Arthur afterwards, in his pride and self-confidence, disinterred the head, and this was one of ‘ the three unhappy disclosures of the island of Britain ’

There is evidently mixed here, with the newer legend, a *detritus*, as the geologists would say, of something far older, and the secret of Wales and its genius is not truly reached until this *detritus*, instead of being called recent because it is found in contact with what is recent, is disengaged, and is made to tell its own story

But when we show him things of this kind in the Welsh remains, Mr Nash has an answer for us ‘ Oh,’ he says, ‘ all this is merely a machinery of necromancers and magic, such as has probably been possessed by all people in all ages, more or less abundantly How similar are the creations of the human mind in times and places the most remote ! We see in this similarity only an evidence of the existence of a common stock of ideas, variously developed according to the formative

pressure of external circumstances The materials of these tales are not peculiar to the Welsh '* And then Mr Nash points out, with much learning and ingenuity, how certain incidents of these tales have their counterparts in Irish, in Scandinavian, in Oriental romance He says, fairly enough, that the assertions of Taliesin, in the famous *Hanes Taliesin*, or *History of Taliesin*, that he was present with Noah in the Ark, at the Tower of Babel, and with Alexander of Macedon, 'we may ascribe to the poetic fancy of the Christian priest of the thirteenth century, who brought this romance into its present form We may compare these statements of the universal presence of the wonder-working magician with those of the gleeman who recites the Anglo-Saxon metrical tale called the *Traveller's Song*' No doubt, lands the most distant can be shown to have a common property in many marvellous stories This is one of the most interesting discoveries of modern science, but modern science is equally interested in knowing how the genius of each people has differentiated, so to speak, this common property of theirs, in tracking out, in each case, that special 'variety of development,' which, to use Mr Nash's own words, 'the formative pressure of external circumstances' has occasioned, and not the formative pressure from without only, but also the formative pressure from within It is this which he who deals with the Welsh remains in a philosophic spirit wants

* This is quite true, but it is equally true that the form and colour which this common material, this folk-lore protoplasm as it may be called, has assumed in Celtdom, is something quite special

to know Where is the force, for scientific purposes, of telling us that certain incidents by which Welsh poetry has been supposed to indicate a surviving tradition of the doctrine of transmigration, are found in Irish poetry also, when Irish poetry has, like Welsh, its roots in that Celtism which is said to have held this doctrine of transmigration so strongly? Where is even the great force, for scientific purposes, of proving, if it were possible to prove, that the extant remains of Welsh poetry contain not one plain declaration of Druidical, Pagan, pre-Christian doctrine, if one has in the extant remains of Breton poetry such texts as this from the prophecy of Gwenchlan 'Three times must we all die, before we come to our final repose'? or as the cry of the eagles, in the same poem, of fierce thirst for Christian blood, a cry in which the poet evidently gives vent to his own hatred? since the solidarity, to use that convenient French word, of Breton and Welsh poetry is so complete, that the ideas of the one may be almost certainly assumed not to have been wanting to those of the other * The

* Unfortunately it is very doubtful if the quotation from the *Barzaz Breiz* upon which Arnold relies represents anything else than M H de la Villemarque's fancy Villemarque was persuaded, to use Arnold's expression, that the solidarity of Breton and Welsh was complete, and he solicited, and tortured and transmogrified the Breton material which he collected to make this solidarity patent As a matter of fact we have no evidence available to decide whether the 'ideas of the one' were or were not 'wanting in those of the other poetry' If there was a mediæval Breton poetry akin to that of eleventh to twelfth century Wales, it has perished utterly Existing Breton folk-poetry is largely of a ballad nature, and this ballad form is foreign to both Gaelic and Welsh poetry save in their very latest developments

question is, when Taliesin says, in the *Battle of the Trees* 'I have been in many shapes before I attained a congenial form I have been a narrow blade of a sword, I have been a drop in the air, I have been a shining star, I have been a word in a book, I have been a book in the beginning, I have been a light in a lantern a year and a half, I have been a bridge for passing over three-score rivers, I have journeyed as an eagle, I have been a boat on the sea, I have been a director in battle, I have been a sword in the hand, I have been a shield in fight, I have been the string of a harp, I have been enchanted for a year in the foam of water There is nothing in which I have not been,'—the question is, have these 'statements of the universal presence of the wonder-working magician' nothing which distinguishes them from 'similar creations of the human mind in times and places the most remote', have they not an inwardness, a severity of form, a solemnity of tone which indicate the still reverberating echo of a profound doctrine and discipline, such as was Druidism? Suppose we compare Taliesin, as Mr Nash invites us, with the gleeman of the Anglo-Saxon *Traveller's Song* Take the specimen of this song which Mr Nash himself quotes 'I have been with the Israelites and with the Essyringi, with the Hebrews and with the Indians and with the Egyptians, I have been with the Medes and with the Persians and with the Myrgings' It is very well to parallel with this extract Taliesin's 'I carried the banner before Alexander, I was in Canaan when Absalom was slain, I was on the horse's crupper of Elias and Enoch, I was on the high cross of the merciful son of God,

I was the chief overseer at the building of the tower of Nimrod, I was with my King in the manger of the ass, I supported Moses through the waters of Jordan, I have been in the buttery in the land of the Trinity, it is not known what is the nature of its meat and its fish' It is very well to say that these assertions 'we may fairly ascribe to the poetic fancy of a Christian priest of the thirteenth century' Certainly we may, the last of Taliesin's assertions more especially, though one must remark at the same time that the Welshman shows much more fire and imagination than the Anglo-Saxon But Taliesin adds, after his 'I was in Canaan when Absalom was slain,' '*I was in the hall of Don before Gwydion was born*', he adds, after 'I was chief overseer at the building of the tower of Nimrod,' '*I have been three times resident in the castle of Arianrod*', he adds, after 'I was at the cross with Mary Magdalene,' '*I obtained my inspiration from the cauldron of Ceridwen*' And finally, after the mediæval touch of the visit to the buttery in the land of the Trinity, he goes off at score 'I have been instructed in the whole system of the universe, I shall be till the day of judgment on the face of the earth I have been in an uneasy chair above Caer Sidin, and the whirling round without motion between three elements Is it not the wonder of the world that cannot be discovered?' And so he ends the poem But here is the Celtic, the essential part of the poem it is here that the 'formative pressure' has been really in operation, and here surely is paganism and mythology enough, which the Christian priest of the thirteenth century can have had nothing to do with It is unscientific, no doubt, to interpret

this part as Edward Davies and Mr Herbert do , but it is unscientific also to get rid of it as Mr Nash does . Wales and the Welsh genius are not to be known without this part , and the true critic is he who can best disengage its real significance *

I say, then, what we want is to *know* the Celt and his genius , not to exalt him or to abase him, but to know him . And for this a disinterested, positive, and constructive criticism is needed . Neither his friends nor his enemies have yet given us much of this . His friends have given us materials for criticism, and for these we ought to be grateful , his enemies have given us negative criticism, and for this, too, up to a certain point, we may be grateful , but the criticism we really want neither of them has yet given us

Philology, however, that science which in our time has had so many successes, has not been abandoned by her good fortune in touching the Celt , philology has brought, almost for the first time in their lives, the

* As I have made fully clear in the *Voyage of Bran* (vol II ch XIV), these Taliesin utterances belong to the most archaic stratum of Celtic fancy . They are akin to utterances placed in the mouth of Amairgin, the arch-wizard of the Sons of Mil, the race of mortals which in Irish myth overcomes and dispossesses the Tuatha de Danann, the earlier divine race, and they are token and warrant of the magic potency by which the primæval wizard is able to get the better of his immortal adversaries . In all probability the Taliesin utterances would not have survived but for that Christian admixture which contrasts so glaringly with the original pre-Christian elements . An early Irish legend tells how Mongan, the arch-wizard of the Northern Irish, crept into heaven under cover of Columba's cloak . Even so the Brythonic arch-wizard assumes a cleric's garb, but hides beneath it a not inconsiderable amount of paganism

Celt and sound criticism together The Celtic grammar of Zeuss, whose death is so grievous a loss to science, offers a splendid specimen of that patient, disinterested way of treating objects of knowledge, which is the best and most attractive characteristic of Germany Zeuss proceeds neither as a Celt-lover nor as a Celt-hater, not the slightest trace of a wish to glorify Teutonism or to abase Celtism, appears in his book The only desire apparent there, is the desire to know his object, the language of the Celtic peoples, as it really is In this he stands as a model to Celtic students, and it has been given to him, as a reward for his sound method, to establish certain points which are henceforth cardinal points, landmarks, in all the discussion of Celtic matters, and which no one had so established before People talked at random of Celtic writings of this or that age, Zeuss has definitely fixed the age of what we actually have of these writings To take the Cymric group of languages our earliest Cornish document is a vocabulary of the thirteenth century, our earliest Breton document is a short description of an estate in a deed of the ninth century, our earliest Welsh documents are Welsh glosses of the eighth century to Eutychus, the grammarian, and Ovid's *Art of Love*, and the verses found by Edward Lhuyd in the *Juvencus* manuscript at Cambridge The mention of this *Juvencus* fragment, by-the-by, suggests the difference there is between an interested and a disinterested critical habit Mr Nash deals with this fragment, but, in spite of all his great acuteness and learning, because he has a bias, because he does not bring to these matters the disinterested spirit they need, he is capable of getting rid, quite

unwarrantably, of a particular word in the fragment which does not suit him, his dealing with the verses is an advocate's dealing, not a critic's. Of this sort of thing Zeuss is incapable.

The test which Zeuss used for establishing the age of these documents is a scientific test, the test of orthography and of declensional and syntactical forms. These matters are far out of my province, but what is clear, sound, and simple, has a natural attraction for us all, and one feels a pleasure in repeating it. It is the grand sign of age, Zeuss says, in Welsh and Irish words when what the grammarians call the '*destitutio tenuum*' has not yet taken place, when the sharp consonants have not yet been changed into flat, *p* or *t* into *b* or *d*, when, for instance, *map*, a son, has not yet become *mab*, *coet*, a wood, *coed*, *ocet*, a harrow, *oged*. This is a clear, scientific test to apply, and a test of which the accuracy can be verified, I do not say that Zeuss was the first person who knew this test or applied it, but I say that he is the first person who in dealing with Celtic matters has invariably proceeded by means of this and similar scientific tests, the first person, therefore, the body of whose work has a scientific, stable character, and so he stands as a model to all Celtic inquirers.

His influence has already been most happy, and as I have enlarged on a certain failure in criticism of Eugene O'Curry's,—whose business, after all, was the description and classification of materials rather than criticism,—let me show, by another example from Eugene O'Curry, this good influence of Zeuss upon Celtic studies. Eugene O'Curry wants to establish that

compositions of an older date than the twelfth century existed in Ireland in the twelfth century, and thus he proceeds. He takes one of the great extant Irish manuscripts, the *Leabhar na h'Uidhre*, or, *Book of the Dun Cow*. The compiler of this book was, he says, a certain Maelmuiri, a member of the religious house of Cluainmacnois. This he establishes from a passage in the manuscript itself. 'This is a trial of his pen here, by Maelmuiri, son of the son of Conn na m'Bocht.' The date of Maelmuiri he establishes from a passage in the *Annals of the Four Masters*, under the year 1106. 'Maelmuiri, son of the son of Conn na m'Bocht, was killed in the middle of the great stone church of Cluainmacnois, by a party of robbers.' Thus he gets the date of the *Book of the Dun Cow*. This book contains an elegy on the death of St. Columb. Now, even before 1106, the language of this elegy was so old as to require a gloss to make it intelligible, for it is accompanied by a gloss written between the lines. This gloss quotes, for the explanation of obsolete words, a number of more ancient compositions, and these compositions therefore must, at the beginning of the twelfth century, have been still in existence. Nothing can be sounder, every step is proved, and fairly proved, as one goes along. O'Curry thus affords a good specimen of the sane mode of proceeding so much wanted in Celtic researches, and so little practised by Edward Davies and his brethren, and to found this sane method, Zeuss, by the example he sets in his own department of philology, has mainly contributed *

* The eminent German scholar, Professor H. Zimmer, has carried the process of criticism a step further as regards many

Science's reconciling power, too, on which I have already touched, philology, in her Celtic researches, again and again illustrates. Races and languages have been absurdly joined, and unity has been often rashly assumed at stages where one was far, very far, from having yet really reached unity. Science has and will long have to be a divider and a separatist, breaking arbitrary and fanciful connections, and dissipating dreams of a premature and impossible unity. Still, science,—true science,—recognises in the bottom of her soul a law of ultimate fusion, of conciliation. To reach this, but to reach it legitimately, she tends. She draws, for instance, towards the same idea which fills her elder and diviner sister, poetry,—the idea of the substantial unity of man, though she draws towards it by roads of her own. But continually she is showing us affinity

of the texts found in the *Labor na h'Uidhre*. Several of these are also found in the fifty years later MS, the *Book of Leinster*. Comparison of the two shows that the later MS is not copied from the earlier one, and that the versions of the latter often represent a harmony of two forms of the story in question, one of which is that preserved by the *Book of Leinster*. The versions of this MS are thus carried back to beyond the date when the *Labor na h'Uidhre* was written. Further, it is argued from the composite, harmonistic character of the *L U* texts that they form a redaction due to Flann of Monasterboice, the chief Irish antiquarian of the eleventh century (†1050 A D), they contain precisely a number of editorial glosses of a chronological and historical nature or intended to reconcile or explain discrepancies between different forms of the story, a species of activity which tallies closely with what we know of Flann's interests and labours.

See Professor Zimmer's article, *Keltische Studien*, No V *Ueber dem compilatorischen Charakter der irischen Sagentexte in sogenannten Labor na h'Uidre*. *Zeit für vergl Sprachforschung* xxviii, 5, 6, Gutersloh, 1887

where we imagined there was isolation. What school-boy of us has not rummaged his Greek dictionary in vain for a satisfactory account of that old name for the Peloponnese, the *Apian Land*? and within the limits of Greek itself there is none. But the Scythian name for earth, 'apia,' *watery, water-issued*, meaning first *isle* and then *land*—this name, which we find in 'avia,' *Scandinavia*, and in 'ey' for *Alderney*, not only explains the *Apian Land* of Sophocles for us, but points the way to a whole world of relationships of which we knew nothing. The Scythians themselves again,—obscure, far-separated Mongolian people as they used to appear to us,—when we find that they are essentially Teutonic and Indo-European, their very name the same word as the common Latin word 'scutum,' the *shielded* people, what a surprise they give us! And then, before we have recovered from this surprise we learn that the name of their father and god, Targitavus, carries us I know not how much further into familiar company. This divinity, *Shining with the targe*, the Greek Hercules, the Sun, contains in the second half of his name, *tavus*, 'shining,' a wonderful cement to hold times and nations together. *Tavus*, 'shining,' from 'tava,'—in Sanscrit, as well as Scythian, 'to burn' or 'shine,'—is *Divus*, *dies*, *Zeus*, *Θεός*, *Dêva*, and I know not how much more, and *Taviti*, the bright and burnt, fire, the place of fire, the hearth, the centre of the family, becomes the family itself, just as our word family, the Latin *familia*, is from *thymelé*, the sacred centre of fire. The hearth comes to mean home. Then from home it comes to mean the group of homes, the tribe, from the tribe the entire nation, and in this sense of nation or people,

the word appears in Gothic, Norse, Celtic, and Persian, as well as in Scythian, the *Theuthisks*, Deutschen, Tudesques, are the men of one *theuth*, nation, or people, and of this our name *Germans* itself is, perhaps, only the Roman translation, meaning the men of one germ or stock. The Celtic divinity, Teutates, has his name from the Celtic *teuta*, people, *tavith*, fire, appearing here in its secondary and derived sense of *people*, just as it does in its own Scythian language in Targitavus's second name, *Tavit-varus*, *Teutaros*, the protector of the people. Another Celtic divinity, the Hesus of Lucan, finds his brother in the Gaisos, the sword, symbolising the god of battles of the Teutonic Scythians.¹

¹ See *Les Scythes, les Ancêtres des Peuples Germaniques et Slaves*, par F. G. Bergmann, professeur à la faculté des Lettres de Strasbourg. Colmar, 1858. But Professor Bergmann's etymologies are often, says Lord Strangford, 'false lights, held by an uncertain hand'. And Lord Strangford continues — 'The Apian land certainly meant the watery land, *Meer-Umschlungen*, among the pre-Hellenic Greeks, just as the same land is called Morea by the modern post-Hellenic or Romanic Greeks from *more*, the name for the sea in the Slavonic vernacular of its inhabitants during the heart of the middle ages. But it is only connected by a remote and secondary affinity, if connected at all, with the *avra* of Scandinavia, assuming that to be the true German word for *water*, which, if it had come down to us in Gothic, would have been *avi*, genitive *aujōs*, and not a mere Latinised termination. Scythian is surely a negative rather than a positive term, much like our *Indian*, or the *Turaman* of modern ethnologists, used to comprehend nomads and barbarians of all sorts and races north and east of the Black and Caspian Seas. It is unsafe to connect their name with anything as yet, it is quite as likely that it refers to the bow and arrow as to the shield, and is connected with our word to *shoot*, *scebtan*, *skritan*, Lithuanian *szau-ti*. Some of the Scythian peoples may have been Anarian, Allophylic, Mongolian, some were demonstrably Aryan, and not only that, but Iranian as well, as is best shown in a memoir read before the Berlin Academy

And after philology has thus related to each other the Celt and the Teuton, she takes another branch of the Indo-European family, the Slaves, and shows us them as having the same name with the German Suevi, the *solar* people, the common ground here, too, being that grand point of union, the sun fire. So, also, we find Mr Meyer, whose Celtic Studies I just now mentioned, harping again and again on the connection even in Europe, if you go back far enough, between Celt and German. So, after all we have heard, and truly heard, this last year, the evidence having been first indicated in the rough by Schaffarik the Slavonic antiquary. Coins, glosses, proper names, and inscriptions prove it. Targitaos (not -tavus) and the rest is guess-work or wrong. Herodotus's Ταβιρλ for the goddess Vesta is not connected with the root *div* whence Dêvas, Deus, &c., but the root *tap*, in Latin *tep* (of *tepere*, *tepefacere*), Slavonic *tepl*, *topl* (for *tep* or *top*), in modern Persian *tâb*. *Thymelê* refers to the hearth as the place of smoke (θύω, *thus*, *fumus*), but *familia* denotes household from *famulus* for *fagmulus*, the root, *fag* being equated with the Sansk *bhag*, *servira*. Lucan's Hesus or Esus may fairly be compared with the Welsh *Hu*. Gadarn by legitimate process, but no letter-change can justify his connection with *Gaisos*, the spear, not the sword, Virgil's *gæsum*, A S *gâr*, our verb *to gore*, retained in its outer form in *gar-fish*. For *Theuthisks lege Thrudisks*, from *thruda*, *populus*, in old High-German Diutisk, Diotisk, *popularis*, *vulgaris*, the country vernacular as distinguished from the cultivated Latin, hence the word *Dutch*, *Deutsch*. With our ancestors *theôd* stood for nation generally and *getheôde* for any speech. Our *diet* in the political sense is the same word, but borrowed from our German cousins, not inherited from our fathers. The modern Celtic form is the Irish *tuath*, in ancient Celtic it must have been *teuta*, *touta*, of which we actually have the adjective *toutius* in the Gaulish inscription of Nismes. In Oscan we have it as *turta*, *tuta*, its adjective being handed down in Livy's *meddix tuticus*, the mayor or chief magistrate of the *tuta*. In the Umbrian inscriptions it is *tota*. In Lithuanian *tauta*, the country opposed to the town, and in old Prussian *tauta* the country generally, *en Prus'skan tautan im Land zu Preussen* '.

of the diversity between all things Semitic and all things Indo-European, there is now an Italian philologist at work upon the relationship between Sanscrit and Hebrew

Both in small and great things, philology, dealing with Celtic matters, has exemplified this tending of science towards unity. Who has not been puzzled by the relation of the Scots with Ireland—that *vetus et major Scotia*, as Colgan calls it? Who does not feel what pleasure Zeuss brings us when he suggests that *Gael*, the name for the Irish Celt, and *Scot*, are at bottom the same word, both having their origin in a word meaning *wind*, and both signifying *the violent stormy people*?¹ Who does not feel his mind agreeably cleared about our friends the Fenians, when he learns that the root of their name, *fen*, 'white,' appears in the hero Fingal, in Gwynned, the Welsh name for North Wales, in the Roman Venedotia, in Vannes in Brittany, in Venice? The very name of Ireland, some say, comes from the famous Sanscrit word *Arya*, the land of the Aryans, or noble men, although the weight of opinion seems to be in favour of connecting it rather with another Sanscrit word, *avara*, occidental, the western land or isle of the west.² But, at any rate, who

¹ Lord Strangford observes here — 'The original forms of Gael should be mentioned—Gaedil, Goidil in modern Gaelic orthography Gaoidheal where the *dh* is not realised in pronunciation. There is nothing impossible in the connection of the root of this with that of Scot, if the *s* of the latter be merely prosthetic. But the whole thing is *in nubibus*, and given as a guess only.'

² 'The name of Erin,' says Lord Strangford, 'is treated at length in a masterly note by Whitley Stokes in the 1st series of Max Muller's lectures (4th ed.), p. 255, where its earliest *tangible* form is shown to have been Iverio. Pictet's connection with Arya is quite baseless.'

that has been brought up to think the Celts utter aliens from us and our culture, can come without a start of sympathy upon such words as *heol* (sol), or *buaist* (fuisti) ? or upon such a sentence as this, '*Peris Duw duw funnaun*' ('God prepared two fountains') ? Or when Mr Whitley Stokes, one of the very ablest scholars formed in Zeuss's school, a born philologist,—he now occupies, alas ! a post under the Government of India, instead of a chair of philology at home, and makes one think mournfully of Montesquieu's saying, that had he been an Englishman he should never have produced his great work, but have caught the contagion of practical life, and devoted himself to what is called 'rising in the world,'—when Mr Whitley Stokes, in his edition of *Cormac's Glossary*, holds up the Irish word *traith*, the sea, and makes us remark that, though the names *Triton*, *Amphitrite*, and those of corresponding Indian and Zend divinities, point to the meaning *sea*, yet it is only Irish which actually supplies the vocable, how delightfully that brings Ireland into the Indo-European concert ! What a wholesome buffet it gives to Lord Lyndhurst's alienation doctrines !

To go a little further Of the two great Celtic divisions of language, the Gaelic and the Cymric, the Gaelic, say the philologists, is more related to the younger, more synthetic, group of languages, Sanscrit, Greek, Zend, Latin, and Teutonic, the Cymric to the older, more analytic Turanian group Of the more synthetic Aryan group, again, Zend and Teutonic are, in their turn, looser and more analytic than Sanscrit and Greek, more in sympathy with the Turanian group and with Celtic What possibilities of affinity and influence

are here hinted at, what lines of inquiry, worth exploring, at any rate, suggest themselves to one's mind. By the form of its language a nation expresses its very self. Our language is the loosest, the most analytic, of all European languages. And we, then, what are we? what is England? I will not answer, A vast obscure Cymric basis with a vast visible Teutonic superstructure, but I will say that that answer sometimes suggests itself, at any rate,—sometimes knocks at our mind's door for admission, and we begin to cast about and see whether it is to be let in.

But the forms of its language are not our only key to a people, what it says in its language, its literature, is the great key, and we must get back to literature. The literature of the Celtic peoples has not yet had its Zeuss, and greatly it wants him. We need a Zeuss to apply to Celtic literature, to all its vexed questions of dates, authenticity, and significance, the criticism, the sane method, the disinterested endeavour to get at the real facts, which Zeuss has shown in dealing with Celtic language. Science is good in itself, and therefore Celtic literature,—the Celt-haters having failed to prove it a bubble,—Celtic literature is interesting, merely as an object of knowledge. But it reinforces and redoubles our interest in Celtic literature if we find that here, too, science exercises the reconciling, the uniting influence of which I have said so much, if we find here, more than anywhere else, traces of kinship, and the most essential sort of kinship, spiritual kinship, between us and the Celt, of which we had never dreamed. I settle nothing, and can settle nothing, I have not the special knowledge needed for that. I have no pretension to do more

than to try and awaken interest, to seize on hints, to point out indications, which, to anyone with a feeling for literature, suggest themselves, to stimulate other inquirers. I must surely be without the bias which has so often rendered Welsh and Irish students extravagant, why, my very name expresses that peculiar Semitico-Saxon mixture which makes the typical Englishman, I can have no ends to serve in finding in Celtic literature more than is there. What is there, is for me the only question.

III

We have seen how philology carries us towards ideas of affinity of race which are new to us. But it is evident that this affinity, even if proved, can be no very potent affair, unless it goes beyond the stage at which we have hitherto observed it. Affinity between races still, so to speak, in their mother's womb, counts for something, indeed, but cannot count for very much. So long as Celt and Teuton are in their embryo rudimentary state, or, at least, no such great while out of their cradle, still engaged in their wanderings, changes of place and struggle for development, so long as they have not yet crystallised into solid nations, they may touch and mix in passing, and yet very little come of it. It is when the embryo has grown and solidified into a distinct nation, into the Gaul or German of history, when it has finally acquired the characters which make the Gaul of history what he is, the German of history what he is, that contact and mixture are important, and may leave a long train of effects, for Celt and

Teuton by this time have their formed, marked, national, ineffaceable qualities to oppose or to communicate. The contact of the German of the Continent with the Celt was in the pre-historic times, and the definite German type, as we know it, was fixed later, and from the time when it became fixed was not influenced by the Celtic type. But here in our country, in historic times, long after the Celtic embryo had crystallised into the Celt proper, long after the Germanic embryo had crystallised into the German proper, there was an important contact between the two peoples, the Saxons invaded the Britons and settled themselves in the Britons' country. Well, then, here was a contact which one might expect would leave its traces, if the Saxons got the upper hand, as we all know they did, and made our country be England and us be English, there must yet, one would think, be some trace of the Saxon having met the Briton, there must be some Celtic vein or other running through us. Many people say there is nothing at all of the kind, absolutely nothing, the *Saturday Review* treats these matters of ethnology with great power and learning, and the *Saturday Review* says we are 'a nation into which a Norman element, like a much smaller Celtic element, was so completely absorbed that it is vain to seek after Norman or Celtic elements in any modern Englishman.' And the other day at Zurich I read a long essay on English literature by one of the professors there, in which the writer observed, as a remarkable thing, that while other countries conquered by the Germans,—France, for instance, and Italy,—had ousted all German influence from their genius and literature, there were two countries, not

originally Germanic, but conquered by the Germans, England and German Switzerland, of which the genius and the literature were purely and unmixedly German, and this he laid down as a position which nobody would dream of challenging

I say it is strange that this should be so, and we in particular have reason for inquiring whether it really is so, because though, as I have said, even as a matter of science the Celt has a claim to be known, and we have an interest in knowing him, yet this interest is wonderfully enhanced if we find him to have actually a part in us. The question is to be tried by external and by internal evidence, the language and the physical type of our race afford certain data for tying it, and other data are afforded by our literature, genius, and spiritual production generally. Data of this second kind belong to the province of the literary critic, data of the first kind to the province of the philologist and of the physiologist.

The province of the philologist and of the physiologist is not mine, but this whole question as to the mixture of Celt with Saxon in us has been so little explored, people have been so prone to settle it off-hand according to their prepossessions, that even on the philological and physiological side of it I must say a few words in passing. Surely it must strike with surprise anyone who thinks of it, to find that without any immense inpouring of a whole people, that by mere expeditions of invaders having to come over the sea, and in no greater numbers than the Saxons, so far as we can make out, actually came, the old occupants of this island, the Celtic Britons, should have been completely annihilated, or even so

completely absorbed that it is vain to seek after Celtic elements in the existing English race * Of deliberate wholesale extermination of the Celtic race, all of them who could not fly to Wales or Scotland, we hear nothing, and without some such extermination one would suppose that a great mass of them must have remained in the country, their lot the obscure and, so to speak, underground lot of a subject race, but yet insensibly getting mixed with their conquerors, and their blood entering into the composition of a new people, in which the stock of the conquerors counts for most, but the stock of the conquered, too, counts for something How little the triumph of the conqueror's laws, manners, and language, proves the extinction of the old race, we may see by looking at France, Gaul was Latinised in language, manners, and laws, and yet her people remained essentially Celtic † The Germanisation of

* This argument, perfectly sound in itself and accepted by later scholars such as Elton, would lose validity if we accepted Sir Henry Howorth's contention in his Presidential Address to the Cambrian Arch Association (January 1910) that the Romanised Britons withdrew almost entirely from Eastern and Southern Britain, leaving a blank which was filled up by the incoming Saxons Sir Henry's theory practically suppresses a Teutonic conquest of Eastern, Midland, and South-Eastern England It seems to me to involve too great a breach with tradition and to disregard too violently the authorities upon whom we rely for the history of the fifth to sixth centuries

† As already stated, many modern scholars, chief among them the late M H d Arbois de Jubainville, would deny that the people of France are essentially Celtic—they assert them to be essentially pre-Celtic I believe this reaction against the importance of the Celtic elements in the French people has been exaggerated At the same time Arnold here overlooks what he has argued on p 73 Whatever may be the ultimate constituents of the French people, the Frenchman of history has acquired

Britain went far deeper than the Latinisation of France, and not only laws, manners, and language, but the main current of the blood, became Germanic, but how, without some process of radical extirpation, of which, as I say, there is no evidence, can there have failed to subsist in Britain, as in Gaul, a Celtic current too? The indications of this in our language have never yet been thoroughly searched out, the Celtic names of places prove nothing, of course, as to the point here in question, they come from the pre-historic times, the times before the nations, Germanic or Celtic, had crystallised, and they are everywhere, as the impetuous Celt was formerly everywhere,—in the Alps, the Apennines, the Cevennes, the Rhine, the Po, as well as in the Thames, the Humber, Cumberland, London. But it is said that the words of Celtic origin for things having to do with every-day peaceful life,—the life of a settled nation,—words like *basket* (to take an instance which all the world knows) form a much larger body in our language than is commonly supposed, it is said that a number of our raciest, most idiomatic, popular words,—for example, *bam*, *kick*, *whop*, *twaddle*, *fudge*, *hitch*, *muggy*,—are Celtic. These assertions require to be carefully examined, and it by no means follows that because an English word is found in Celtic, therefore we get it from thence, but they have not yet had the attention which, as illustrating through language this matter of the subsistence and intermingling in our nation of a Celtic part, they merit.

‘marked, national, ineffaceable qualities’ which differentiate him completely from either the Irishman or the Welshman of history

Nor have the physiological data which illustrate this matter had much more attention from us in England. But in France, a physician, half English by blood though a Frenchman by home and language, Monsieur W F Edwards, brother to Monsieur Milne-Edwards, the well-known zoologist, published in 1839 a letter to Monsieur Amédée Thierry with this title *D-s Caractères Physiologiques des Races Humaines considérés dans leurs Rapports avec l'Histoire*. The letter attracted great attention on the Continent, it fills not much more than a hundred pages, and they are a hundred pages which well deserve reading and re-reading. Monsieur Thierry in his *Histoire des Gaulois* had divided the population of Gaul into certain groups, and the object of Monsieur Edwards was to try this division by physiology. Groups of men have, he says, their physical type which distinguishes them, as well as their language, the traces of this physical type endure as the traces of language endure, and physiology is enabled to verify history by them. Accordingly, he determines the physical type of each of the two great Celtic families, the Gaels and the Cymris, who are said to have been distributed in a certain order through Gaul, and then he tracks these types in the population of France at the present day, and so verifies the alleged original order of distribution. In doing this, he makes excursions into neighbouring countries where the Gaels and the Cymris have been, and he declares that in England he finds abundant traces of the physical type which he has established as the Cymric, still subsisting in our population, and having descended from the old British possessors of our soil before the Saxon

conquest But if we are to believe the current English opinion, says Monsieur Edwards, the stock of these old British possessors is clean gone On this opinion he makes the following comment —

‘ In the territory occupied by the Saxons, the Britons were no longer an independent nation, nor even a people with any civil existence at all For history, therefore, they were dead, above all for history as it was then written, but they had not perished, they still lived on, and undoubtedly in such numbers as the remains of a great nation, in spite of its disasters, might still be expected to keep That the Britons were destroyed or expelled from England, properly so called, is, as I have said, a popular opinion in that country It is founded on the exaggeration of the writers of history, but in these very writers, when we come to look closely at what they say, we find the confession that the remains of this people were reduced to a state of strict servitude Attached to the soil, they will have shared in that emancipation which during the course of the middle ages gradually restored to political life the mass of the population in the countries of Western Europe, recovering by slow degrees their rights without resuming their name, and rising gradually with the rise of industry, they will have got spread through all ranks of society The gradualness of this movement, and the obscurity which enwrapped its beginnings, allowed the contempt of the conqueror and the shame of the conquered to become fixed feelings, and so it turns out, that an Englishman who now thinks himself sprung from the Saxons or the Normans, is often in reality the descendant of the Britons ’

So physiology, as well as language, incomplete though the application of their tests to this matter has hitherto been, may lead us to hesitate before accepting the round assertion that it is vain to search for Celtic elements in any modern Englishman. But it is not only by the tests of physiology and language that we can try this matter. As there are for physiology physical marks, such as the square heads of the German, the round head of the Gael, the oval head of the Cymri, which determine the type of a people, so for criticism there are spiritual marks which determine the type, and make us speak of the Greek genius, the Teutonic genius, and so on. Here is another test at our service, and this test, too, has never yet been thoroughly employed. Foreign critics have indeed occasionally hazarded the idea that in English poetry there is a Celtic element traceable, and Mr Morley, in his very readable as well as very useful book on the English writers before Chaucer, has a sentence which struck my attention when I read it, because it expresses an opinion which I, too, have long held. Mr Morley says — ‘The main current of English literature cannot be disconnected from the lively Celtic wit in which it has one of its sources. The Celts do not form an utterly distinct part of our mixed population. But for early, frequent, and various contact with the race that in its half-barbarous days invented Ossian’s dialogues with St Patrick, and that quickened afterwards the Northmen’s blood in France, Germanic England would not have produced a Shakespeare.’ But there Mr Morley leaves the matter. He indicates this Celtic element and influence, but he does not show us,—

it did not come within the scope of his work to show us,—how this influence has declared itself Unlike the physiological test, or the linguistic test, this literary, spiritual test is one which I may perhaps be allowed to try my hand at applying I say that there is a Celtic element in the English nature, as well as a Germanic element, and that this element manifests itself in our spirit and literature But before I try to point out how it manifests itself, it may be as well to get a clear notion of what we mean by a Celtic element, a Germanic element, what characters, that is, determine for us the Celtic genius, the Germanic genius, as we commonly conceive the two

IV

Let me repeat what I have often said of the characteristics which mark the English spirit, the English genius This spirit, this genius, judged, to be sure, rather from a friend's than an enemy's point of view, yet judged on the whole fairly, is characterised, I have repeatedly said, by *energy with honesty* Take away some of the energy which comes to us, as I believe, in part from Celtic and Roman sources, instead of energy, say rather *steadiness*, and you have the Germanic genius *steadiness with honesty* It is evident how nearly the two characterisations approach one another, and yet they leave, as we shall see, a great deal of room for difference Steadiness with honesty, the danger for a national spirit thus composed is the humdrum, the plain and ugly, the ignoble in a word, *das Gemeine, die Gemeinheit*, that curse of Germany, against which

Goethe was all his life fighting. The excellence of a national spirit thus composed is freedom from whim, flightiness, perverseness, patient fidelity to Nature,—in a word, *science*,—leading it at last, though slowly, and not by the most brilliant road, out of the bondage of the humdrum and common, into the better life. The universal dead-level of plainness and homeliness, the lack of all beauty and distinction in form and feature, the slowness and clumsiness of the language, the eternal beer, sausages, and bad tobacco, the blank commonness everywhere, pressing at last like a weight on the spirits of the traveller in Northern Germany, and making him impatient to be gone,—this is the weak side, the industry, the well-doing, the patient steady elaboration of things, the idea of science governing all departments of human activity,—this is the strong side, and through this side of her genius, Germany has already obtained excellent results, and is destined, we may depend upon it, however her pedantry, her slowness, her fumbling, her ineffectiveness, her bad government, may at times make us cry out, to an immense development ¹ *

¹ It is to be remembered that the above was written before the recent war between Prussia and Austria

* This paragraph shows Arnold at his best and worst on the one hand the real insight, the prophetic vision in which he was so superior to nearly all the statesmen as well as to the publicists of his time, on the other hand imperfect 'documentation' leading to statements which, even at the time, more searching and accurate study would have shown him to be false, and that habit of hasty and unjust generalisation which is the curse of the journalistic spirit, but to which, staunch enemy as he was of that spirit, he too often yielded. Even in the mid 'sixties neither 'ineffectiveness' nor 'bad government' should have been charged against Germany without important restrictions. It is true

For dulness, the creeping Saxons,—says an old Irish poem, assigning the characteristics for which different nations are celebrated —

For acuteness and valour, the Greeks,
 For excessive pride, the Romans,
 For dulness, the creeping Saxons,
 For beauty and amorousness, the Gaedhils

We have seen in what sense, and with what explanation, this characterisation of the German may be allowed to stand, now let us come to the beautiful and amorous Gaedhil Or rather, let us find a definition which may suit both branches of the Celtic family, the Cymri as well as the Gael It is clear that special circumstances may have developed some one side in the national character of Cymri or Gael, Welshman or Irishman, so that the observer's notice shall be readily caught by this side, and yet it may be impossible to adopt it as characteristic of the Celtic nature generally For instance, in his beautiful essay on the poetry of the Celtic races, M Renan, with his eyes fixed on the Bretons and the Welsh, is struck with the timidity, the shyness, the delicacy of the Celtic nature, its preference for a retired life, its embarrassment at having

that Arnold sinned in large company, they were few, outside Prussia, who realised on the eve of the Austro-Prussian war how 'effective' an instrument the Prussian army had become

It is not, I protest, true that 'blank commonness' was so emphatically the distinguishing note of North Germany fifty years ago as Arnold states There was a *popular* national life which, if simple and homely, was real and genuine, and possessed elements of picturesqueness and that beauty which is the outcome of what is healthy and unsophisticated Arnold allowed himself to be unduly influenced by matters, trifling in comparison with others which he neglected, offensive to his fastidious taste

to deal with the great world. He talks of the *douce petite race naturellement chrétienne*, his *race fière et timide, à l'extérieur gauche et embarrassée*. But it is evident that this description, however well it may do for the Cymri, will never do for the Gael, never do for the typical Irishman of Donnybrook fair. Again, M. Renan's *infinie délicatesse de sentiment qui caractérise la race Celtique*, how little that accords with the popular conception of an Irishman who wants to borrow money! *Sentiment* is, however, the word which marks where the Celtic races really touch and are one, sentimental, if the Celtic nature is to be characterised by a single term, is the best term to take*. An organisation quick to feel impressions, and feeling them very strongly, a lively personality therefore, keenly sensitive to joy and to sorrow, this is the main point. If the downs of life too much outnumber the ups, this temperament, just because it is so quickly and nearly conscious of all impressions, may no doubt be seen shy and wounded, it may be seen in wistful regret, it may be seen in passionate, penetrating melancholy, but its essence is to aspire ardently after life, light, and emotion, to be expansive, adventurous, and gay. Our word *gay*, it is said, is itself Celtic. It is not from *gaudium*,

* As I have stated in the Introduction, Arnold's terminology is not that usual in English, and in consequence he has been largely and frequently misunderstood. He uses 'sentiment' in the French and not in the English sense. His definition, 'an organisation quick to feel impressions and feeling them very strongly,' shows this. But by a 'sentimental' nature we correctly mean in English something quite different, and in the current English sense the Celt would be the least sentimental of beings if the Frenchman did not exist.

but from the Celtic *garr*, to laugh,¹ and the impressionable Celt, soon up and soon down, is the more down because it is so his nature to be up—to be sociable, hospitable, eloquent, admired, figuring away brilliantly. He loves bright colours, he easily becomes audacious, overcrowing, full of fanfaionade. The German, say the physiologists, has the larger volume of intestines (and who that has ever seen a German at a table-d'hôte will not readily believe this?), the Frenchman has the more developed organs of respiration. That is just the expansive, eager Celtic nature, the head in the air, snuffing and snorting, *a proud look and a high stomach*, as the Psalmist says, but without any such settled savage temper as the Psalmist seems to impute by those words. For good and for bad, the Celtic genius is more airy and unsubstantial, goes less near the ground, than the German. The Celt is often called sensual, but it is not so much the vulgar satisfactions of sense that attract him as emotion and excitement, he is truly, as I began by saying, sentimental.

Sentimental,—*always ready to react against the despotism of fact*, that is the description a great friend²

¹ The etymology is Monsieur Henri Martin's, but Lord Strangford says —'Whatever *gar* may be, it is assuredly not Celtic. Is there any authority for this word *garr*, to laugh, or rather, "laughter," beyond O'Reilly? O'Reilly is no authority at all except in so far as tested and passed by the new school. It is hard to give up *gavisus*. But Diez, chief authority in Romanic matters, is content to accept Muratori's reference to an old High-German *gahi*, modern *jähe*, sharp, quick, sudden, brisk, and so to the sense of lively, animated, high in spirits.'

² Monsieur Henri Martin, whose chapters on the Celts, in his *Histoire de France*, are full of information and interest

of the Celt gives of him , and it is not a bad description of the sentimental temperament , it lets us into the secret of its dangers and of its habitual want of success *

* ' Always ready to react against the despotism of fact ' —a partially true description of the ' sentimental temperament ' in the usual English sense of the term, but utterly misleading as applied to the typical Celt. It is strange in the extreme that Henri Martin, with whom the essential Celticity of the French race was a fetish, and Arnold, who, in spite of his recognition of the marked unlikeness between the historic Frenchman and the historic Celt, yet insists upon this fundamental kinship, should neither have observed that no historic race, not even the Roman, has ever reacted less against the despotism of fact than the French. For the Frenchman has accepted fact in the domain of thought, has drawn from its uttermost, its most uncompromising conclusions in a way the Roman never did. In social organisation, in mental and artistic effort, that which characterises the French genius is the recognition of fact in its bare, naked, precise reality. Hence French thought, and the resulting art, have a quality of ' adulthood ' found among no other people , hence the French impatience of and secret (if politely veiled) contempt for what they consider the childishness of other peoples: their tendency to indulge their fancy, to believe in fairy-tales. Hence the strain of standing pessimism in French literature and art, the French rejection of what seems the shallow optimism of the German or the Anglo-Saxon, the self-drugged fantasy of the Celt. What probably misled both Martin and Arnold is a superficial view of the French Revolution. A ' reaction against the despotism of fact ' ? Not in the slightest, an extreme instance of the national tendency to recognise fact ! If the French had had the same capacity for make-believe as the English, their Revolution would have run a very different course , they simply could not deceive themselves, the moment a fact seemed to them worthy of condemnation they could not hide it away decorously and let it melt, they must needs root it up and utterly destroy it there and then.

The Celt of history, the Gael, the Brython, displays, needless to say, no trace of such a tendency. In life he has, as Arnold well points out later (pp 87-90), neglected fact, in art he transcends fact. The distinguishing note of Celtic art is fancy, it might

Balance, measure, and patience, these are the eternal conditions, even supposing the happiest temperament to start with, of high success, and balance, measure,

almost be said that the distinguishing note of French art is lack of fancy

One exemplification of the foregoing contentions must be adduced, as it concerns matters vitally affecting the art of the three peoples,—French, English, Celts. In the passion of love, the physical element is a fact. No art has so emphasised this fact, has, if the expression is allowable, so exaggerated its factual character as the French. Hence French is of all modern literatures the most naked, the most unchaste, even more so than Italian. And whilst Italy conceived in its highest aspect and expressed in its most sublimated form the ideal of spiritual love, of the psychical transforming and transcending the physical, the realistic factual French genius has persistently and consistently held itself aloof from, if not opposed, this conception. The moment it seems to win admission into the circle of French art it evokes the crushing protest of a master exponent of the French genius. Jean de Meury succeeds and cancels Guillaume de Lorris, Rabelais answers the French Petrarchism of the Renaissance, Molière sweeps away D'Urfé and the brood that sprang from the *Astrée*.

Now, allowing for the fact that the Celtic literatures are, compared with those of France or England, primitive, simple, uncomplex, their lack of insistence upon the physical element in love is most marked. It is not from lack of poignancy, of deep and intense feeling, these are characteristics found, especially in early Irish literature, which surprise us by the beauty and sincerity of their expression. But, as a whole, Celtic literature is reticent, is 'chaste', when outspoken it is with the freedom of a primitive people to whom *naturalia* are not necessarily *turpia*. Generally 'it reasons not of these things, but withholds its gaze and passes by.'

Is the comparative reticence of English art, its greater chastity, due to the Celtic element which Arnold detects elsewhere? I hardly think so. It is noteworthy that nowhere have the teachings of Dante and Petrarch borne more fruit, been more ardently and successfully developed than by English writers, noteworthy that more than any other people the

and patience are just what the Celt has never had. Even in the world of spiritual creation, he has never, in spite of his admirable gifts of quick perception and warm emotion, succeeded perfectly, because he never has had steadiness, patience, sanity enough to comply with the conditions under which alone can expression be perfectly given to the finest perceptions and emotions. The Greek has the same perceptive, emotional temperament as the Celt, but he adds to this temperament the sense of *measure*, hence his admirable success in the plastic arts, in which the Celtic genius, with its chafing against the despotism of fact, its perpetual straining after mere emotion, has accomplished nothing. In the comparatively petty art of ornamentation, in rings, brooches, crosiers, relic-cases, and so on, he has done just enough to show his delicacy of taste, his happy temperament, but the grand difficulties of painting and sculpture, the prolonged dealings of spirit with matter, he has never had patience for.* Take the more spiritual arts of music and poetry. All that emotion alone can do in music the Celt has done, the very soul of emotion breathes in the Scotch and Irish airs, but with all this power of musical feeling, what has the

English have striven to translate the Italian ideal in terms of daily life, to retain the ardour of love whilst expressing it in a quasi-spiritual form. Herein the Englishman shows that tendency to 'react against the despotism of fact' which is the abiding mark of his, the most sentimental of all temperaments. Hence the disgust of Mr Shaw who, in so far (very slightly) as he is a Celt, is inclined to ignore passion, in so far as he is a disciple of France, is minded to insist upon its essential animality. What makes him wild is our English way of decking it with flowers.

* All this is admirably true if once it is admitted that the Frenchman is not a Celt, claim him as a Celt and it becomes nonsense.

Celt, so eager for emotion, that he has not patience for science, effected in music, to be compared with what the less emotional German, steadily developing his musical feeling with the science of a Sebastian Bach or a Beethoven, has effected? In poetry, again,—poetry which the Celt has so passionately, so nobly loved, poetry where emotion counts for so much, but where reason, too, reason, measure, sanity, also count for so much,—the Celt has shown genius, indeed, splendid genius, but even here his faults have clung to him, and hindered him from producing great works, such as other nations with a genius for poetry,—the Greeks, say, or the Italians,—have produced. The Celt has not produced great poetical works, he has only produced poetry with an air of greatness investing it all, and sometimes giving, moreover, to short pieces, or to passages, lines, and snatches of long pieces, singular beauty and power. And yet he loved poetry so much that he grudged no pains to it, but the true art, the *architectonicé* which shapes great works, such as the *Agamemnon* or the *Divine Comedy*, comes only after a steady, deep-searching survey, a firm conception of the facts of human life, which the Celt has not patience for. So he runs off into technic, where he employs the utmost elaboration, and attains astonishing skill, but in the contents of his poetry you have only so much interpretation of the world as the first dash of a quick, strong perception, and then sentiment, infinite sentiment, can bring you. Here, too, his want of sanity and steadfastness has kept the Celt back from the highest success.

If his rebellion against fact has thus lamed the Celt even in spiritual work, how much more must it have

lamed him in the world of business and politics ! The skilful and resolute appliance of means to ends which is needed both to make progress in material civilisation, and also to form powerful states, is just what the Celt has least turn for. He is sensual, as I have said, or at least sensuous, loves bright colours, company, and pleasure, and here he is like the Greek and Latin races, but compare the talent the Greek and Latin (or Latinised) races have shown for gratifying their senses, for procuring an outward life, rich, luxurious, splendid, with the Celt's failure to reach any material civilisation sound and satisfying, and not out at elbows, poor, slovenly, and half-barbarous. The sensuousness of the Greek made Sybaris and Corinth, the sensuousness of the Latin made Rome and Baiaë, the sensuousness of the Latinised Frenchman makes Paris, the sensuousness of the Celt proper has made Ireland. Even in his ideal heroic times, his gay and sensuous nature cannot carry him, in the appliances of his favourite life of sociability and pleasure, beyond the gross and creeping Saxon whom he despises, the regent Breas, we are told in the *Battle of Moytura of the Fomorians*, became unpopular because 'the knives of his people were not greased at his table, nor did their breath smell of ale at the banquet'. In its grossness and barbarousness is not that Saxon, as Saxon as it can be ? just what the Latinised Norman, sensuous and sociable like the Celt, but with the talent to make this bent of his serve to a practical embellishment of his mode of living, found so disgusting in the Saxon *

* This is rather too wide a generalisation from one instance. It is none the less true that the pre-Roman material culture

And as in material civilisation he has been ineffectual, so has the Celt been ineffectual in politics. This colossal, impetuous, adventurous wanderer, the Titan of the early world, who in primitive times fills so large a place on earth's scene, dwindles and dwindles as history goes on, and at last is shrunk to what we now see him. For ages and ages the world has been constantly slipping, ever more and more, out of the Celt's grasp. 'They went forth to the war,' Ossian says most truly, '*but they always fell*' *

And yet, if one sets about constituting an ideal genius, what a great deal of the Celt does one find oneself drawn to put into it! Of an ideal genius one does not want the elements, any of them, to be in a state of weakness, on the contrary, one wants all of them to be in the highest state of power, but with

of the Celtic was no more advanced than that of the Teutonic peoples, and that in both cases it was rudimentary in spite of much profusion of ornament and of a vigorous, if limited, racial art. The admission that their pagan forefathers were, in the strict sense of the word, barbarian, seems difficult to many Celts, but it is surely better to be descended from barbarians with a capacity for advancement than to hold that one's immediate ancestors have degenerated from a higher level.

* As a matter of fact, Ossian, if by Ossian we mean some mediæval Gael, does not say this. The eighteenth-century Scotch Gael, James Macpherson, says it. As Mr Smart contends, I believe, with truth, Macpherson reflects the mood of discouragement which overtook the Scotch Gael after the '45 and the break up of the racial clan organisation. The Irish Jacobite poets of the late seventeenth and early eighteenth century betray a similar feeling due to similar causes. As far as mediæval Ossianic literature is concerned, apart from the note of regret for the glory of past days, there is no sense of failure. The real Ossian does not talk about falling, but about knocking down the other fellow.

a law of measure, of harmony, presiding over the whole. So the sensibility of the Celt, if everything else were not sacrificed to it, is a beautiful and admirable force. For sensibility, the power of quick and strong perception and emotion, is one of the very prime constituents of genius, perhaps its most positive constituent, it is to the soul what good senses are to the body, the grand natural condition of successful activity. Sensibility gives genius its materials, one cannot have too much of it, if one can but keep its master and not be its slave. Do not let us wish that the Celt had had less sensibility, but that he had been more master of it. Even as it is, if his sensibility has been a source of weakness to him, it has been a source of power too, and a source of happiness. Some people have found in the Celtic nature and its sensibility the main root out of which chivalry and romance and the glorification of a feminine ideal spring, this is a great question, with which I cannot deal here.* Let me notice in

* The facts are these: the spirit of chivalry, of knight-errantry, is rampant in early Irish literature (i.e. in literature reaching back substantially to the seventh century), and it is fostered by institutions akin in their essence to those of mediæval knighthood, that which is the most marked feature of chivalric love is exemplified in twelfth-century literature, the subordination of lover to mistress, the standing tendency to place the latter on a higher social plane, finds a remarkable analogue in the pre-twelfth-century Irish fairy-mistress romances. In these the fairy-mistress woos the hero, allures him to her own land, retains her superiority and her freedom. I do not say, I have never said, that the twelfth-century ideal, as found in French literature and among the peoples affected by French culture, is due solely or even mainly to the fact that Celtic literature was revealed to France owing to the Norman conquest of England, I do say that the spread of this literature contributed

passing, however, that there is, in truth, a Celtic air about the extravagance of chivalry, its reaction against the despotism of fact, its straining human nature further than it will stand. But putting all this question of chivalry and its origin on one side, no doubt the sensibility of the Celtic nature, its nervous exaltation, have something feminine in them, and the Celt is thus peculiarly disposed to feel the spell of the feminine idiosyncrasy, he has affinity to it, he is not far from its secret. Again, his sensibility gives him a peculiarly near and intimate feeling of nature and the life of nature, here, too, he seems in a special way attracted by the secret before him, the secret of natural beauty and natural magic, and to be close to it, to half-divine it. In the productions of the Celtic genius, nothing, perhaps, is so interesting as the evidences of this power. I shall have occasion to give specimens of them by-and-by. The same sensibility made the Celts full of reverence and enthusiasm for genius, learning, and the things of the mind, *to be a bard, freed a man*,—that is a characteristic stroke of this generous and ennobling ardour of theirs, which no race has ever shown more strongly. Even the extravagance and exaggeration of the sentimental Celtic nature has often something romantic and attractive about it, something which has a sort of smack of misdirected good. The Celt, undisciplinable, anarchical, and turbulent by nature, but out of affection and admiration giving himself body and soul to some leader, that is not a promising

to this ideal, and was itself facilitated by its points of contact with it

political temperament, it is just the opposite of the Anglo-Saxon temperament, disciplinable and steadily obedient within certain limits, but retaining an inalienable part of freedom and self-dependence, but it is a temperament for which one has a kind of sympathy notwithstanding. And very often, for the gay defiant reaction against fact of the lively Celtic nature one has more than sympathy, one feels, in spite of the extravagance, in spite of good sense disapproving, magnetised and exhilarated by it. The Gauls had a rule inflicting a fine on every warrior who, when he appeared on parade, was found to stick out too much in front,—to be corpulent, in short. Such a rule is surely the maddest article of war ever framed, and to people to whom nature has assigned a large volume of intestines, must appear, no doubt, horrible, but yet has it not an audacious, sparkling, immaterial manner with it, which lifts one out of routine, and sets one's spirits in a glow?

All tendencies of human nature are in themselves vital and profitable, when they are blamed, they are only to be blamed relatively, not absolutely. This holds true of the Saxon's phlegm as well as of the Celt's sentiment. Out of the steady humdrum habit of the creeping Saxon, as the Celt calls him,—out of his way of going near the ground,—has come, no doubt, Philistinism, that plant of essentially Germanic growth, flourishing with its genuine marks only in the German fatherland, Great Britain and her colonies, and the United States of America, but what a soul of goodness there is in Philistinism itself! and this soul of goodness I, who am often supposed to be Philistinism's mortal

enemy merely because I do not wish it to have things all its own way, cherish as much as anybody. This steady-going habit leads at last, as I have said, up to science, up to the comprehension and interpretation of the world. With us in Great Britain, it is true, it does not seem to lead so far as that, it is in Germany, where the habit is more unmingled, that it can lead to science. Here with us it seems at a certain point to meet with a conflicting force, which checks it and prevents its pushing on to science, but before reaching this point what conquests has it not won! and all the more, perhaps, for stopping short at this point, for spending its exertions within a bounded field, the field of plain sense, of direct practical utility. How it has augmented the comforts and conveniences of life for us! Doors that open, windows that shut, locks that turn, razors that shave, coats that wear, watches that go, and a thousand more such good things, are the invention of the Philistines.

Here, then, if commingling there is in our race, are two very unlike elements to commingle, the steady-going Saxon temperament and the sentimental Celtic temperament. But before we go on to try and verify, in our life and literature, the alleged fact of this commingling, we have yet another element to take into account, the Norman element. The critic in the *Saturday Review*, whom I have already quoted, says that in looking for traces of Normanism in our national genius, as in looking for traces of Celtism in it, we do but lose our labour, he says, indeed, that there went to the original making of our nation a very great deal more of a Norman element than of a

Celtic element, but he asserts that both elements have now so completely disappeared, that it is vain to look for any trace of either of them in the modern Englishman. But this sort of assertion I do not like to admit without trying it a little. I want, therefore, to get some plain notion of the Norman habit and genius, as I have sought to get some plain notion of the Saxon and Celtic. Some people will say that the Normans are Teutonic, and that therefore the distinguishing characters of the German genius must be those of their genius also, but the matter cannot be settled in this speedy fashion. No doubt the basis of the Norman race is Teutonic, but the governing point in the history of the Norman race,—so far, at least, as we English have to do with it,—is not its Teutonic origin, but its Latin civilisation. The French people have, as I have already remarked, an undoubtedly Celtic basis, yet so decisive in its effect upon a nation's habit and character can be the contact with a stronger civilisation, that Gaul, without changing the basis of her blood, became, for all practical intents and purposes, a Latin country, France and not Ireland, through the Roman conquest. Latinism conquered Celtism in her, as it also conquered the Germanism imported by the Frankish and other invasions, Celtism is, however, I need not say, everywhere manifest still in the French nation, even Germanism is distinctly traceable in it, as anyone who attentively compares the French with other Latin races will see. No one can look carefully at the French troops in Rome, amongst the Italian population, and not perceive this trace of Germanism, I do not mean in the Alsatian soldiers only, but in the soldiers of genuine France. But

the governing character of France, as a power in the world, is Latin, such was the force of Greek and Roman civilisation upon a race whose whole mass remained Celtic, and where the Celtic language still lingered on, they say, among the common people, for some five or six centuries, after the Roman conquest. But the Normans in Neustria lost their old Teutonic language in a wonderfully short time, when they conquered England they were already Latinised, with them were a number of Frenchmen by race, men from Anjou and Poitou, so they brought into England more non-Teutonic blood, besides what they had themselves got by intermarriage, than is commonly supposed, the great point, however, is, that by civilisation this vigorous race, when it took possession of England, was Latin.

These Normans, who in Neustria had lost their old Teutonic tongue so rapidly, kept in England their new Latin tongue for some three centuries. It was Edward the Third's reign before English came to be used in law-pleadings and spoken at court. Why this difference? Both in Neustria and in England the Normans were a handful, but in Neustria, as Teutons, they were in contact with a more advanced civilisation than their own, in England, as Latins, with a less advanced. The Latinised Normans in England had the sense for fact, which the Celts had not, and the love of strenuousness, clearness, and rapidity, the high Latin spirit, which the Saxons had not. They hated the slowness and dulness of the creeping Saxon, it offended their clear, strenuous talent for affairs, as it offended the Celt's quick and delicate perception. The Normans

had the Roman talent for affairs, the Roman decisiveness in emergencies. They have been called prosaic, but this is not a right word for them, they were neither sentimental, nor, strictly speaking, poetical. They had more sense for rhetoric than poetry, like the Romans, but, like the Romans, they had too high a spirit not to like a noble intellectual stimulus of some kind, and thus they were carried out of the region of the merely prosaic. Their foible,—the bad excess of their characterising quality of strenuousness,—was not a prosaic flatness, it was hardness and insolence *

I have been obliged to fetch a very wide circuit, but at last I have got what I went to seek. I have got a rough, but, I hope, clear notion of these three forces, the Germanic genius, the Celtic genius, the Norman genius. The Germanic genius has steadiness as its main basis, with commonness and humdrum for its defect, fidelity to nature for its excellence. The Celtic genius, sentiment as its main basis, with love of beauty, charm, and spirituality for its excellence, ineffectualness and self-will for its defect. The Norman genius, talent

* There is little to add to this admirable analysis. The fundamental trait of the Norman is his realistic grip of a situation. He forsook his native speech in Neustria because it was obviously the most sensible, practical thing to do, he abandoned Norman-French in favour of English on both sides of the border as soon as he saw it was politic to do so, he acted in the same way in Ireland when he found himself isolated, as did the majority of Anglo-Norman chiefs outside the Pale, among an overwhelmingly Celtic population. Hard and insolent as he was, he nearly always knew when to pull up, when to compromise, he tried hard for the whole loaf, but knew that the half was better than none. This trait of his it is which seems to me to have impressed itself most abidingly on the composite English genius.

for affairs as its main basis, with strenuousness and clear rapidity for its excellence, hardness and insolence for its defect And now to try and trace these in the composite English genius

V

To begin with what is more external If we are so wholly Anglo-Saxon and Germanic as people say, how comes it that the habits and gait of the German language are so exceedingly unlike ours? Why while the *Times* talks in this fashion 'At noon a long line of carriages extended from Pall Mall to the Peers' entrance of the Palace of Westminster,' does the *Cologne Gazette* talk in this other fashion 'Nachdem die Vorbereitungen zu dem auf dem Gurzenich-Saale zu Ehren der Abgeordneten Statt finden sollenden Bankette bereits vollständig getroffen worden waren, fand heute vormittag auf polizeiliche Anordnung die Schliessung sammtlicher Zugänge zum Gurzenich Statt'?'¹ Surely the mental habit of people who express

¹ The above is really a sentence taken from the *Cologne Gazette* Lord Strangford's comment here is as follows — 'Modern Germanism, in a general estimate of Germanism, should not be taken, absolutely and necessarily, as the constant, whereof we are the variant The Low-Dutch of Holland, anyhow, are indisputably as genuine Dutch as the High-Dutch of Germany Proper But do they write sentences like this one—*informe, ingens, cui lumen ademptum*?' If not, the question must be asked, not how we have come to deviate, but how the Germans have come to deviate Our modern English prose in plain matters is often all just the same as the prose of *King Alfred* and the *Chronicle* Oh there's *North Sea Voyage* and *Wulfstan's Baltic Voyage* is the sort of thing which is sent in every day,

their thoughts in so very different a manner, the one rapid, the other slow, the one plain, the other embarrassed, the one trailing, the other striding, cannot be essentially the same. The English language, strange compound as it is, with its want of inflections, and with all the difficulties which this want of inflections brings upon it, has yet made itself capable of being, in

one may say, to the Geographical or Ethnological Society, in the whole style and turn of phrase and thought.

The mass of a stock must supply our data for judging the stock. But see, moreover, what I have said at p. 101.*

* Both Arnold and Lord Strangford seem to be partly in the wrong, Arnold by implying, as he tacitly does, that German and Germanic are equivalent terms, Lord Strangford, whilst demurring quite rightly to this implication, apparently contests the truth of Arnold's stricture upon German style. But it is easy to multiply instances of similar clumsiness of expression. So from the first German book that comes to my hand, Bohm-Bawerk's *Geschichte und Kritik der Capitalzinsentheorem*, I come across the following sentence: *Ich glaube vielmehr denjenigen, die sich für den historischen Werdegang der Ideen über die Capitalprobleme interessieren, sowohl die Orientierung als auch die unbefangene Würdigung der einzelnen Lehrmeinungen dadurch nicht unwesentlich zu erleichtern, dass ich das der ersten umfassenden Capitalkritik vorausgegangene von dem nachfolgenden Materiale schon in der äusseren Anordnung deutlich auseinanderhalte* (pp. viii, ix). Here the reason of the difficulty felt by the non-German reader is patent, until we come to the last word of the sentence we cannot be quite sure what the author intends to say. He insists that his method of arranging his material renders a service to his readers, but until we have read the whole through we do not know what that particular method is. An English or French writer would have put in the forefront of his sentence what the German writer reserves to the very last. In no language, moreover, is there such an abuse of parenthetical sentences as in German, unfortunately no disease is more catching, as it would be easy to prove from English and French writers who read German copiously.

good hands, a business-instrument as ready, direct, and clear, as French or Latin. Again perhaps no nation, after the Greeks and Romans, has so clearly felt in what true rhetoric, rhetoric of the best kind, consists, and reached so high a pitch of excellence in this, as the English. Our sense for rhetoric has in some ways done harm to us in our cultivation of literature, harm to us, still more, in our cultivation of science, but in the true sphere of rhetoric, in public speaking, this sense has given us orators whom I do think we may, without fear of being contradicted and accused of blind national vanity, assert to have inherited the great Greek and Roman oratorical tradition more than the orators of any other country.* Stiafford, Bolingbroke, the two Pitts, Fox,—to cite no other names,—I imagine few will dispute that these call up the notion of an oratory, in kind, in extent, in power, coming nearer than any other body of modern oratory to the oratory of Greece and Rome. And the affinity of spirit in our best public life and greatest public men to those of Rome, has often struck observers, foreign as well as English. Now, not only have the

* This assertion would be contested alike by Frenchmen, Italians, and Spaniards. Arnold's knowledge of French literature was wide, but he seems to have overlooked the first rate examples of French parliamentary oratory in the *Etats généraux* of the fifteenth to seventeenth centuries, and from 1789 onwards. At the present day I believe any unprejudiced and competent critic would rate the level of parliamentary oratory higher in France than in England. Modern Germany, despite forty years of parliamentary life, has made little progress in this respect since Arnold wrote. The whole genius of the language does seem rebellious to oratory. Arnold's admirable definition, 'half talk, half effusion,' still applies to most German public speaking.

Germans shown no eminent aptitude for rhetoric such as the English have shown,—that was not to be expected, since our public life has done so much to develop an aptitude of this kind, and the public life of the Germans has done so little,—but they seem in a singular degree void of any aptitude at all for rhetoric. Take a speech from the throne in Prussia, and compare it with a speech from the throne in England. Assuredly it is not in speeches from the throne that English rhetoric or any rhetoric shows its best side,—they are often cavilled at, often justly cavilled at,—no wonder, for this form of composition is beset with very trying difficulties. But what is to be remarked is this,—a speech from the throne falls essentially within the sphere of rhetoric, it is one's sense of rhetoric which has to fix its tone and style, so as to keep a certain note always sounding in it, in an English speech from the throne, whatever its faults, this rhetorical note is always struck and kept to, in a Prussian speech from the throne, never. An English speech from the throne is rhetoric, a Prussian speech is half talk,—heavy talk,—and half effusion. This is one instance, it may be said, true, but in one instance of this kind the presence or the absence of an aptitude for rhetoric is decisively shown. Well, then, why am I not to say that we English get our rhetorical sense from the Norman element in us,—our turn for this strenuous, direct, high-spirited talent of oratory, from the influence of the strenuous, direct, high-spirited Normans? Modes of life, institutions, government, and other such causes, are sufficient, I shall be told, to account for English oratory. Modes of life, institutions,

government, climate, and so forth,—let me say it once for all,—will further or hinder the development of an aptitude, but they will not by themselves create the aptitude or explain it. On the other hand, a people's habit and complexion of nature go far to determine its modes of life, institutions, and government, and even to prescribe the limits within which the influences of climate shall tell upon it.

However, it is not my intention, in these remarks, to lay it down for certain that this or that part of our powers, shortcomings, and behaviour, is due to a Celtic, German, or Norman element in us. To establish this I should need much wider limits, and a knowledge, too, far beyond what I possess, all I purpose is to point out certain correspondences, not yet, perhaps, sufficiently observed and attended to, which seem to lead towards certain conclusions. The following up the inquiry till full proof is reached,—or, perhaps, full disproof,—is what I want to suggest to more competent persons. Premising this, I now go on to a second matter, somewhat more delicate and inward than that with which I began. Everyone knows how well the Greek and Latin races, with their direct sense for the visible, palpable world, have succeeded in the plastic arts. The sheer German races, too, with their honest love of fact, and their steady pursuit of it,—their fidelity to nature, in short,—have attained a high degree of success in these arts, few people will deny that Albert Durer and Rubens, for example, are to be called masters in painting, and in the high kind of painting.* The

* It seems strange that Arnold, with Rembrandt before him as the highest example of the most essential and vital German

Celtic races, on the other hand, have shown a singular inaptitude for the plastic arts, the abstract, severe character of the Druidical religion, its dealing with the eye of the mind rather than the eye of the body, its having no elaborate temples and beautiful idols, all point this way from the first, its sentiment cannot satisfy itself, cannot even find a resting-place for itself, in colour and form, it presses on to the impalpable, the ideal. The forest of trees and the forest of rocks, not hewn timber and carved stones, suit its aspirations for something not to be bounded or expressed. With this tendency, the Celtic races have, as I remarked before, been necessarily almost impotent in the higher branches of the plastic arts.* Ireland, that has produced so many powerful spirits, has produced no great sculptors or painters. Cross into England. The inaptitude for the plastic art strikingly diminishes, as soon as the German, not the Celtic element, preponderates in the race. And yet in England, too, in the English race, there is something which seems to prevent our reaching real mastership in the plastic arts, as the more unmixed German races have reached it. Reynolds and

qualities in art, should have picked out Rubens as a partner to Durer.

* When penning these sentences Arnold must surely have forgotten what he said a few pages before 'Celtism is everywhere manifest in the French nation'. He could not have meant to deny the French potency in the higher branches of the plastic arts, nor could he fail to recognise that the French have precisely excelled, in a measure inferior to the Italians alone, in the power of composition. The moment he applies his own gift of critical insight and ceases to repeat the catchwords of others, he recognises, implicitly if not explicitly, that the Frenchman is not a Celt in the historic sense of the term.

Turner are painters of genius, who can doubt it? but take a European jury, the only competent jury in these cases, and see if you can get a verdict giving them the rank of masters, as this rank is given to Raphael and Correggio, or to Albert Durer and Rubens. And observe in what points our English pair succeed, and in what they fall short. They fall short in *architectonicé*, in the highest power of composition, by which painting accomplishes the very uttermost which it is given to painting to accomplish, the highest sort of composition, the highest application of the art of painting, they either do not attempt, or they fail in it. Their defect, therefore, is on the side of art, of plastic art. And they succeed in magic, in beauty, in grace, in expressing almost the inexpressible, here is the charm of Reynolds's children and Turner's seas, the impulse to express the inexpressible carries Turner so far, that at last it carries him away, and even long before he is quite carried away, even in works that are justly extolled, one can see the stamp-mark, as the French say, of insanity. The excellence, therefore, the success, is on the side of spirit. Does not this look as if a Celtic stream met the main German current in us, and gave it a somewhat different course from that which it takes naturally? We have Germanism enough in us, enough patient love for fact and matter, to be led to attempt the plastic arts, and we make much more way in them than the pure Celtic races make, but at a certain point our Celtism comes in, with its love of emotion, sentiment, the inexpressible, and gives our best painters a bias. And the point at which it comes in is just that critical point where the flowering of art

into its perfection commences, we have plenty of painters who never reach this point at all, but remain always mere journeymen, in bondage to matter, but those who do reach it, instead of going on to the true consummation of the masters in painting, are a little overbalanced by soul and feeling, work too directly for these, and so do not get out of their art all that may be got out of it

The same modification of our Germanism by another force which seems Celtic, is visible in our religion. Here, too, we may trace a gradation between Celt, Englishman, and German, the difference which distinguishes Englishman from German appearing attributable to a Celtic element in us. Germany is the land of exegesis, England is the land of Puritanism. The religion of Wales is more emotional and sentimental than English Puritanism, Romanism has indeed given way to Calvinism among the Welsh,—the one superstition has supplanted the other,—but the Celtic sentiment which made the Welsh such devout Catholics, remains, and gives unction to their Methodism, theirs is not the controversial, rationalistic, intellectual side of Protestantism, but the devout, emotional, religious side. Among the Germans, Protestantism has been carried on into rationalism and science. The English hold a middle place between the Germans and the Welsh; their religion has the exterior forms and apparatus of a rationalism, so far their Germanic nature carries them, but long before they get to science, their feeling, their Celtic element catches them, and turns their religion all towards piety and unction. So English Protestantism has the outside appearance

of an intellectual system, and the inside reality of an emotional system this gives it its tenacity and force, for what is held with the ardent attachment of feeling is believed to have at the same time the scientific proof of reason. The English Puritan, therefore (and Puritanism is the characteristic form of English Protestantism), stands between the German Protestant and the Celtic Methodist, his real affinity indeed, at present, being rather with his Welsh kinsman, if kinsman he may be called, than with his German.

Sometimes one is left in doubt from whence the check and limit to Germanism in us proceeds, whether from a Celtic source or from a Norman source. Of the true steady-going German nature the bane is, as I remarked, flat commonness, there seems no end to its capacity for platitude, it has neither the quick perception of the Celt to save it from platitude, nor the strenuousness of the Norman, it is only raised gradually out of it by science, but it jogs through almost interminable platitudes first. The English nature is not raised to science, but something in us, whether Celtic or Norman, seems to set a bound to our advance in platitude, to make us either shy of platitude, or impatient of it. I open an English reading-book for children, and I find these two characteristic stories in it, one of them of English growth, the other of German. Take the English story first —

‘A little boy accompanied his elder sister while she busied herself with the labours of the farm, asking questions at every step, and learning the lessons of life without being aware of it

“Why, dear Jane,” he said, “do you scatter good

grain on the ground, would it not be better to make good bread of it than to throw it to the greedy chickens ? ”

“ “ In time,” replied Jane, “ the chickens will grow big, and each of them will fetch money at the market. One must think on the end to be attained without counting trouble, and learn to wait ”

‘ Perceiving a colt, which looked eagerly at him, the little boy cried out “ Jane, why is the colt not in the fields with the labourers helping to draw the carts ? ”

“ “ The colt is young,” replied Jane, “ and he must lie idle till he gets the necessary strength, one must not sacrifice the future to the present ” ’

The reader will say that is most mean and trivial stuff, the vulgar English nature in full force, just such food as the Philistine would naturally provide for his young. He will say he can see the boy fed upon it growing up to be like his father, to be all for business, to despise culture, to go through his dull days, and to die without having ever lived. That may be so, but now take the German story (one of Krummacher’s), and see the difference —

‘ There lived at the court of King Herod a rich man who was the king’s chamberlain. He clothed himself in purple and fine linen, and fared like the king himself.

‘ Once a friend of his youth, whom he had not seen for many years, came from a distant land to pay him a visit. Then the chamberlain invited all his friends and made a feast in honour of the stranger.

‘ The tables were covered with choice food placed on dishes of gold and silver, and the finest wines of all kinds. The rich man sate at the head of the table,

glad to do the honours to his friend who was seated at his right hand So they ate and drank, and were merry

'Then the stranger said to the chamberlain of King Herod "Riches and splendour like thine are nowhere to be found in my country" And he praised his greatness, and called him happy above all men on earth

'Well, the rich man took an apple from a golden vessel The apple was large, and red, and pleasant to the eye Then said he "Behold, this apple hath rested on gold, and its form is very beautiful" And he presented it to the stranger, the friend of his youth The stranger cut the apple in two, and behold, in the middle of it there was a worm !

'Then the stranger looked at the chamberlain, and the chamberlain bent his eyes on the ground and sighed'

There it ends Now I say, one sees there an abyss of platitude open, and the German nature swimming calmly about in it, which seems in some way or other to have its entry screened off for the English nature The English story leads with a direct issue into practical life a narrow and dry practical life, certainly, but yet enough to supply a plain motive for the story, the German story leads simply nowhere except into bathos Shall we say that the Norman talent for affairs saves us here, or the Celtic perceptive instinct? one of them it must be, surely The Norman turn seems most germane to the matter here immediately in hand, on the other hand, the Celtic turn, or some degree of it, some degree of its quick perceptive instinct, seems necessary to account for the full difference between

the German nature and ours. Even in Germans of genius or talent the want of quick light tact, of instinctive perception of the impropriety or impossibility of certain things, is singularly remarkable. Herr Gervinus's prodigious discovery about Handel being an Englishman and Shakspeare a German, the incredible mare's-nest Goethe finds in looking for the origin of Byron's *Manfred*,—these are things from which no deliberate care or reflection can save a man, only an instinct can save him from them, an instinct that they are absurd, who can imagine Charles Lamb making Herr Gervinus's blunder, or Shakspeare making Goethe's? but from the sheer German nature this intuitive tact seems something so alien, that even genius fails to give it. And yet just what constitutes special power and genius in a man seems often to be his blending with the basis of his national temperament, some additional gift or grace not proper to that temperament, Shakspeare's greatness is thus in his blending an openness and flexibility of spirit, not English, with the English basis, Addison's, in his blending a moderation and delicacy, not English, with the English basis, Burke's, in his blending a largeness of view and richness of thought, not English, with the English basis. In Germany itself, in the same way, the greatness of their great Frederic lies in his blending a rapidity and clearness, not German, with the German basis, the greatness of Goethe in his blending a love of form, nobility, and dignity,—the grand style,—with the German basis. But the quick, sure, instinctive perception of the incongruous and absurd not even genius seems to give in Germany, at least, I can think of

only one German of genius, Lessing (for Heine was a Jew, and the Jewish temperament is quite another thing from the German), who shows it in an eminent degree *

If we attend closely to the terms by which foreigners seek to hit off the impression which we and the Germans make upon them, we shall detect in these terms a difference which makes, I think, in favour of the notion I am propounding Nations in hitting off one another's characters are apt, we all know, to seize the unflattering side rather than the flattering, the mass of mankind always do this, and indeed they really see what is novel, and not their own, in a disfiguring light Thus we ourselves, for instance, popularly say 'the phlegmatic Dutchman' rather than 'the sensible Dutchman,' or 'the grimacing Frenchman' rather than 'the polite Frenchman' Therefore neither we nor the Germans should exactly accept the description strangers give of us, but it is enough for my purpose that strangers, in characterising us with a certain shade of difference, do at any rate make it clear that there appears this shade of difference, though the character itself, which they give us both, may be a caricature rather than a faithful picture of us Now it is to be noticed that those sharp observers, the French,—who have a double turn for sharp observation, for they have both the quick perception of the Celt and the Latin's gift for coming plump upon the fact,—it is to be noticed,

* Is it fair to rule out Heine as Arnold does? Further, is the 'quick, sure, instinctive perception of the incongruous and 'absurd' characteristic of the Jewish temperament? If not, what has Heine's Jewish origin got to do with the matter?

I say, that the French put a curious distinction in their popular, depreciating, we will hope inadequate, way of hitting off us and the Germans. While they talk of the '*bête allemande*,' they talk of the '*gaucherie anglaise*,' while they talk of the '*Allemand balourd*,' they talk of the '*Anglais empêtré*,' while they call the German '*mars*,' they call the Englishman '*mélancolique*.' The difference between the epithets *balourd* and *empêtré* exactly gives the difference in character I wish to seize, *balourd* means heavy and dull, *empêtré* means hampered and embarrassed. This points to a certain mixture and strife of elements in the Englishman, to the clashing of a Celtic quickness of perception with a Germanic instinct for going steadily along close to the ground. The Celt, as we have seen, has not at all, in spite of his quick perception, the Latin talent for dealing with the fact, dexterously managing it and making himself master of it, Latin or Latinised people have felt contempt for him on this account, have treated him as a poor creature, just as the German, who arrives at fact in a different way from the Latins, but who arrives at it, has treated him. The couplet of Chrestien of Troyes about the Welsh —

Gallois sont tous, par nature,
Plus fous que bêtes en pâture—

is well known, and expresses the genuine verdict of the Latin mind on the Celts. But the perceptive instinct of the Celt feels and anticipates, though he has that in him which cuts him from off command of the world of fact, he sees what is wanting to him well enough, his mere eye is not less sharp, nay, it is sharper, than

the Latin's He is a quick genius, checkmated for want of strenuousness or else patience The German has not the Latin's sharp precise glance on the world of fact, and dexterous behaviour in it, he fumbles with it much and long, but his honesty and patience give him the rule of it in the long run,—a sure rule, some of us think, than the Latin gets,—still, his behaviour in it is not quick and dexterous The Englishman, in so far as he is German,—and he is mainly German,—proceeds in the steady-going German fashion, if he were all German he would proceed thus for ever without self-consciousness or embarrassment, but, in so far as he is Celtic, he has snatches of quick instinct which often make him feel he is fumbling, show him visions of an easier, more dexterous behaviour, disconcert him and fill him with misgiving No people, therefore, are so shy, so self-conscious, so embarrassed as the English, because two natures are mixed in them, and natures which pull them such different ways The Germanic part, indeed, triumphs in us, we are a Germanic people, but not so wholly as to exclude hauntings of Celtism, which clash with our Germanism, producing, as I believe, our *humour*, neither German nor Celtic, and so affect us that we strike people as odd and singular, not to be referred to any known type, and like nothing but ourselves 'Nearly every Englishman,' says an excellent and by no means unfriendly observer, George Sand, 'nearly every Englishman, however good-looking he may be, has always something singular about him which easily comes to seem comic,—a sort of typical awkwardness (*gaucherie typique*) in his looks or appearance, which hardly ever

wears out ' I say this strangeness is accounted for by the English nature being mixed as we have seen, while the Latin nature is all of a piece, and so is the German nature, and the Celtic nature

It is impossible to go very fast when the matter with which one has to deal, besides being new and little explored, is also by its nature so subtle, eluding one's grasp* unless one handles it with all possible delicacy and care It is in our poetry that the Celtic part in us has left its trace clearest, and in our poetry I must follow it before I have done

VI

If I were asked where English poetry got these three things, its turn for style, its turn for melancholy, and its turn for natural magic, for catching and rendering the charm of nature in a wonderfully near and vivid way,—I should answer, with some doubt, that it got much of its turn for style from a Celtic source, with less doubt, that it got much of its melancholy from a Celtic source, with no doubt at all, that from a Celtic source it got nearly all its natural magic

Any German with penetration and tact in matters of literary criticism will own that the principal deficiency of German poetry is in style, that for style, in the highest sense, it shows but little feeling Take the eminent masters of style, the poets who best give the idea of what the peculiar power which lies in style is,—Pindar, Virgil, Dante, Milton An example of the

peculiar effect which these poets produce, you can hardly give from German poetry. Examples enough you can give from German poetry of the effect produced by genius, thought, and feeling expressing themselves in clear language, simple language, passionate language, eloquent language, with harmony and melody, but not of the peculiar effect exercised by eminent power of style. Every reader of Dante can at once call to mind what the peculiar effect I mean is, I spoke of it in my lectures on translating Homer, and there I took an example of it from Dante, who perhaps manifests it more eminently than any other poet. But from Milton, too, one may take examples of it abundantly, compare this from Milton —

nor sometimes forget
Those other two equal with me in fate,
So were I equall'd with them in renown,
Blind Thamyris and Blind Mæonides—

with this from Goethe —

Es bildet ein Talent sich in der Stille,
Sich ein Character in dem Strom der Welt

Nothing can be better in its way than the style in which Goethe there presents his thought, but it is the style of prose as much as of poetry, it is lucid, harmonious, earnest, eloquent, but it has not received that peculiar kneading, heightening, and re-casting which is observable in the style of the passage from Milton,—a style which seems to have for its cause a certain pressure of emotion, and an ever-surging, yet bridled, excitement in the poet, giving a special intensity to his way of delivering

himself * In poetical races and epochs this turn for style is peculiarly observable, and perhaps it is only on condition of having this somewhat heightened and difficult manner, so different from the plain manner of prose, that poetry gets the privilege of being loosed, at its best moments, into that perfectly simple, limpid style, which is the supreme style of all, but the simplicity of which is still not the simplicity of prose. The simplicity of Menander's style is the simplicity of prose, and is the same kind of simplicity as that which Goethe's style, in the passage I have quoted, exhibits, but Menander does not belong to a great poetical moment, he comes too late for it, it is the simple passages in poets like Pindar or Dante which are perfect, being masterpieces of *poetical* simplicity. One may say the same of the simple passages in Shakspeare, they are perfect, their simplicity being a *poetical* simplicity. They are the golden, easeful, crowning moments of a manner which is always pitched in another key from that of prose, a manner changed and heightened, the Elizabethan style, regnant in most of our dramatic poetry to this day, is mainly the continuation of this manner of Shakspeare's. It was a manner much more turbid and strewn with blemishes than the manner of Pindar,

* There is no parity in the quotations from Milton and Goethe, the one is a magnificent outburst of self-assertion, lyric in feeling if not in form, the other a piece of impersonal, almost scientific observation. It is quite natural that a certain quality noticeable in the one should be absent from the other, 'twould be a marvel if it were present. I do not quarrel with Arnold's comment on the general lack of style, in the highest sense of the term, in German poetry, but I do think his illustration unfortunate, it begs the question.

Dante, or Milton, often it was detestable, but it owed its existence to Shakspeare's instinctive impulse towards *style* in poetry, to his native sense of the necessity for it, and without the basis of style everywhere, faulty though it may in some places be, we should not have had the beauty of expression, unsurpassable for effectiveness and charm, which is reached in Shakspeare's best passages. The turn for style is perceptible all through English poetry, proving, to my mind, the genuine poetical gift of the race, this turn imparts to our poetry a stamp of high distinction, and sometimes it doubles the force of a poet not by nature of the very highest order, such as Gray, and raises him to a rank beyond what his natural richness and power seem to promise. Goethe, with his fine critical perception, saw clearly enough both the power of style in itself, and the lack of style in the literature of his own country, and perhaps if we regard him solely as a German, not as a European, his great work was that he laboured all his life to impart style into German literature, and firmly to establish it there. Hence the immense importance to him of the world of classical art, and of the productions of Greek or Latin genius, where style so eminently manifests its power. Had he found in the German genius and literature an element of style existing by nature and ready to his hand, half his work, one may say, would have been saved him, and he might have done much more in poetry. But as it was, he had to try and create out of his own powers, a style for German poetry, as well as to provide contents for this style to carry, and thus his labour as a poet was doubled.

It is to be observed that power of style, in the sense in which I am here speaking of style, is something quite different from the power of idiomatic, simple, nervous, racy expression, such as the expression of healthy, robust natures so often is, such as Luther's was in a striking degree. Style, in my sense of the word, is a peculiar re-casting and heightening, under a certain condition of spiritual excitement, of what a man has to say, in such a manner as to add dignity and distinction to it, and dignity and distinction are not terms which suit many acts or words of Luther. Deeply touched with the *Gemeinheit* which is the bane of his nation, as he is at the same time a grand example of the honesty which is his nation's excellence, he can seldom even show himself brave, resolute and truthful, without showing a strong dash of coarseness and commonness all the while, the right definition of Luther, as of our own Bunyan, is that he is a Philistine of genius*. So Luther's sincere idiomatic German,—such language is this 'Hilf lieber Gott, wie manchen Jammer habe ich gesehen, dass der gemeine Mann doch so gar nichts weiss von der christlichen Lehre!'—no more proves a power of style in German literature, than Cobbett's sinewy idiomatic English proves it in English literature. Power of style, properly so-called, as manifested in masters of style like Dante or Milton in poetry, Cicero, Bossuet or Bolingbroke in prose, is something quite different, and has, as I

* Is Bunyan either coarse or common? I do not think Arnold meant to say so, but the sentence lends itself to that interpretation

have said, for its characteristic effect, this to add dignity and distinction

Style, then, the Germans are singularly without, and it is strange that the power of style should show itself so strongly as it does in the Icelandic poetry, if the Scandinavians are such genuine Teutons as is commonly supposed. Fauriel used to talk of the Scandinavian Teutons and the German Teutons, as if they were two divisions of the same people, and the common notion about them, no doubt, is very much this. Since the war in Schleswig-Holstein, however, all one's German friends are exceedingly anxious to insist on the difference of nature between themselves and the Scandinavians, when one expresses surprise that the German sense of nationality should be so deeply affronted by the rule over Germans, not of Latins or Celts, but of brother Teutons or next door to it, a German will give you I know not how long a catalogue of the radical points of unlikeness, in genius and disposition, between himself and a Dane. This emboldens me to remark that there is a fire, a sense of style, a distinction in Icelandic poetry, which German poetry has not. Icelandic poetry, too, shows a powerful and developed technic, and I wish to throw out, for examination by those who are competent to sift the matter, the suggestion that this power of style and development of technic in the Norse poetry seems to point towards an early Celtic influence or intermixture. It is curious that Zeuss, in his grammar, quotes a text which gives countenance to this notion, as late as the ninth century, he says, there were Irish Celts in Iceland, and the text he quotes to show this, is as follows.—' In 870 A D.,

when the Norwegians came to Iceland, there were Christians there, who departed, and left behind them Irish books, bells, and other things, from whence it may be inferred that these Christians were Irish' I speak, and ought to speak, with the utmost diffidence on all these questions of ethnology, but I must say that when I read this text in Zeuss, I caught eagerly at the clue it seemed to offer, for I had been hearing the *Nibelungen* read and commented on in German schools (German schools have the good habit of reading and commenting on German poetry, as we read and comment on Homer and Virgil, but do *not* read and comment on Chaucer and Shakspeare), and it struck me how the fatal humdrum and want of style of the Germans had marred their way of telling this magnificent tradition of the *Nibelungen*, and taken half its grandeur and power out of it, while in Icelandic poems which deal with this tradition, its grandeur and power are much more fully visible, and everywhere in the poetry of the Edda there is a force of style and a distinction as unlike as possible to the want of both in the German *Nibelungen*.¹ At the same time the Scandinavians have a realism, as

¹ Lord Strangford's note on this is — 'The Irish monks whose bells and books were found in Iceland could not have contributed anything to the old Norse spirit, for they had perished before the first Norseman had set foot on the island. The form of the old Norse poetry known to us as Icelandic, from the accident of its preservation in that island alone, is surely Pan-Teutonic from old times, the art and method of its strictly literary cultivation must have been much influenced by the contemporary Old-English national poetry, with which the Norsemen were in constant contact, and its larger, freer, and wilder spirit must have been owing to their freer and wilder life, to say nothing of their roused and warring paganism. They

it is called, in their genius, which abundantly proves their relationship with the Germans, anyone whom Mr Dasent's delightful books have made acquainted with the prose tales of the Norsemen, will be struck with the stamp of a Teutonic nature in them, but the Norse poetry seems to have something which from Teutonic sources alone it could not have derived, which the Germans have not, and which the Celts have *

could never have known any Celts save when living in embryo with other Teutons '

Very likely Lord Strangford is right, but the proposition with which he begins is at variance with what the text quoted by Zeuss alleges

* The foregoing pages afford a remarkable instance of Arnold's critical insight. When he wrote Vigfusson was still elaborating that theory of the Western origin of the Eddaic poetry first indicated in his Prolegomena to *Sturlunga* (Oxford, 1878), and fully developed in the *Corpus Poeticum Boreale* (1883), whilst Sophus Bugge had given no hint of his revolutionary views concerning the largely Christian origin of the Eddaic mythology through the medium of eighth to tenth century Viking contact with Anglo-Saxon and Celtic Christianity, first made widely known in his *Studien zur Entstehung der nordischen Gotter- und Heldensagen* (1889). The work of the two great Scandinavian scholars won wide assent for a time, and has left a permanent trace on Eddaic criticism. The most conservative scholars would now admit the late (i.e. post A.D. 900) origin of many of the Eddaic poems in their present forms, and the possibility of contamination from Christian sources, the possibility of origin in the south-western Viking area (i.e. in the British Isles) and especially in the debateable territory between Viking, Celt, and Anglo-Saxon along the two shores of the Irish Channel and the west coast of Scotland, is also admitted. In other words, the Scandinavian literature of the eighth to eleventh centuries is no longer regarded by anyone as an exclusive product of Norway and the Norse colony in Iceland or as wholly pagan in origin. But, on the whole, the conservative view of that literature has held its own

This something is *style*, and the Celts certainly have it in a wonderful measure. Style is the most striking quality of their poetry. Celtic poetry seems to make up to itself for being unable to master the world and give an adequate interpretation of it, by throwing all its force into style, by bending language at any rate to its will, and expressing the ideas it has with unsurpassable intensity, elevation, and effect

against the onslaught of Bugge and Vigfusson, its essentially Teutonic, its substantially pre-Christian nature has been vindicated. Enough, however, remains of what may be called anti-national Eddaic criticism to justify Arnold's surmise concerning possible Celtic literary influence on the Scandinavian poets. It is obvious now that Lord Strangford was wrong in asserting that the Norsemen 'could never have known any Celts save when living in embryo with other Teutons'.

The questions raised involve not literary influence alone but the whole problem of race, physical and historical. Physically the Scandinavians are certainly the purest examples of Teutonism, the pre-Teutonic populations they found in Scandinavia (Lapps and Finns?) must have been feeble and scanty, nor has there been in historic times, i.e. in the last two thousand years, any known admixture of non-Teutonic elements. The modern German, on the other hand, not only occupies large districts which were certainly in the possession of Celtic-speaking populations for several centuries of the first millennium B.C., but there has also been an immense Slavonic influx in the first millennium A.D. as well as a not inconsiderable amount of Romanic influence exercised intermittently throughout the whole of our era. The modern German is probably as much a mixed 'physical' product as the modern Englishman or Frenchman. If, therefore, certain literary traits are essentially Teutonic as Arnold claims, it is among the Scandinavian rather than among the Germans that they should be sought. But it is among the latter, among this Teutono-Celto-Slavonic complex, that they are found! Does not this bear out my contention, that in dealing with literature we may disregard the physical, and must emphasise the historical connotation of the term race?

It has all through it a sort of intoxication of style,— a *Pindarism*, to use a word formed from the name of the poet, on whom, above all other poets, the power of style seems to have exercised an inspiring and intoxicating effect, and not in its great poets only, in Taliesin, or Llywarch Hen, or Ossian, does the Celtic genius show this Pindarism, but in all its productions —

The grave of March is this, and this the grave of Gwythyr,
Here is the grave of Gwgawn Gledwyfreidd,
But unknown is the grave of Arthur

That comes from the Welsh *Memorials of the Graves of the Warriors*, and if we compare it with the familiar memorial inscriptions of an English churchyard (for we English have so much Germanism in us that our productions offer abundant examples of German want of style as well as of its opposite) —

Afflictions sore long time I bore,
Physicians were in vain,
Till God did please Death should me seize
And ease me of my pain—

If, I say, we compare the Welsh memorial lines with the English, which in their *Gemeinheit* of style are truly Germanic, we shall get a clear sense of what that Celtic talent for style I have been speaking of is *

* This is perhaps the most conspicuous instance of Arnold's bowing down in the temple of the journalistic Rimmon, the divinity which compels its devotees to make their point at all costs and in utter disregard of fairness and accuracy. There is no *ism*, not even Celtism, which could not be discredited by the easy process of comparing it at its worst with what another *ism* has produced at its best.

I have touched in the Introduction upon the fact that in the

Or take this epitaph of an Irish Celt, Angus the Culdee, whose *Féiluré*, or festology, I have already mentioned,—a festology in which, at the end of the eighth or beginning of the ninth century, he collected from 'the countless hosts of the illuminated books of Erin' (to use his own words) the festivals of the Irish saints, his poem having a stanza for every day in the year. The epitaph on Angus, who died at Cluain Eidhnech, in Queen's County, runs thus —

Angus in the assembly of Heaven,
Here are his tomb and his bed,
It is from hence he went to death,
In the Friday, to holy Heaven

It was in Cluain Eidhnech he was rear'd,
It was in Cluain Eidhnech he was buried,
In Cluain Eidhnech, of many crosses,
He first read his psalms

That is by no eminent hand, and yet a Greek epitaph could not show a finer perception of what constitutes propriety and felicity of style in compositions of this nature. Take the well-known Welsh prophecy about the fate of the Britons —

Their Lord they will praise,
Their speech they will keep,
Their land they will lose,
Except wild Wales

three instances cited by Arnold the feeling for style evinced depends upon a technical device triadic form. The over-elaborated technique, which is the bane of so much Celtic artistry, can and does produce at times effects of the highest and rarest quality. The point to note, however, is that English feeling for style is rarely manifested through the medium of formal technical device, save, perhaps, alliteration, and alliteration belongs certainly to the Teutonic side of the composite English genius.

To however late an epoch that prophecy belongs, what a feeling for style, at any rate, it manifests ! And the same thing may be said of the famous Welsh triads. We may put aside all the vexed questions as to their greater or less antiquity, and still what important witness they bear to the genius for literary style of the people who produced them !

Now we English undoubtedly exhibit very often the want of sense for style of our German kinsmen. The churchyard lines I just now quoted afford an instance of it, but the whole branch of our literature,—and a very popular branch it is, our hymnology,—to which those lines are to be referred, is one continued instance of it. Our German kinsmen and we are the great people for hymns. The Germans are very proud of their hymns, and we are very proud of ours, but it is hard to say which of the two, the German hymn-book or ours, has least poetical worth in itself, or does least to prove genuine poetical power in the people producing it. I have not a word to say against Sir Roundell Palmer's choice and arrangement of materials for his *Book of Praise*, I am content to put them on a level (and that is giving them the highest possible rank) with Mr Palgrave's choice and arrangement of materials for his *Golden Treasury*, but yet no sound critic can doubt that, so far as poetry is concerned, while the *Golden Treasury* is a monument of a nation's strength, the *Book of Praise* is a monument of a nation's weakness. Only the German race, with its want of quick instinctive tact, of delicate, sure perception, could have invented the hymn as the Germans and we have it, and our non-German turn for style,—style,

of which the very essence is a certain happy fineness and truth of poetical perception,—could not but desert us when our German nature carried us into a kind of composition which can please only when the perception is somewhat blunt. Scarcely anyone of us ever judges our hymns fairly, because works of this kind have two sides,—their side for religion and their side for poetry. Everything which has helped a man in his religious life, everything which associates itself in his mind with the growth of that life, is beautiful and venerable to him, in this way, productions of little or no poetical value, like the German hymns and ours, may come to be regarded as very precious. Their worth in this sense, as means by which we have been edified, I do not for a moment hold cheap, but there is an edification proper to all our stages of development, the highest as well as the lowest, and it is for man to press on towards the highest stages of his development, with the certainty that for those stages, too, means of edification will not be found wanting. Now certainly it is a higher state of development when our fineness of perception is keen than when it is blunt. And if,—whereas the Semitic genius placed its highest spiritual life in the religious sentiment, and made that the basis of its poetry,—the Indo-European genius places its highest spiritual life in the imaginative reason, and makes that the basis of its poetry, we are none the better for wanting the perception to discern a natural law, which is, after all, like every natural law, irresistible, we are none the better for trying to make ourselves Semitic, when Nature has made us Indo-European, and to shift the basis of our poetry. We may mean

well, all manner of good may happen to us on the road we go, but we are not on our real right road, the road we must in the end follow

That is why, when our hymns betray a false tendency by losing a power which accompanies the poetic work of our race on our other more suitable lines, the indication thus given is of great value and instructiveness for us. One of our main gifts for poetry deserts us in our hymns, and so gives us a hint as to the one true basis for the spiritual work of an Indo-European people, which the Germans, who have not this particular gift of ours, do not and cannot get in this way, though they may get it in others. It is worth noticing that the masterpieces of the spiritual work of Indo-Europeans, taking the pure religious sentiment, and not the imaginative reason, for their basis, are works like the *Imitation*, the *Dies Iræ*, the *Stabat Mater*—works clothing themselves in the middle-age Latin, the genuine native voice of no Indo-European nation. The perfection of their kind, but that kind not perfectly legitimate, they take a language not perfectly legitimate, as if to show, that when mankind's Semitic age is once passed, the age which produced the great incomparable monuments of the pure religious sentiment, the books of Job and Isaiah, the Psalms,—works truly to be called inspired, because the same divine power which worked in those who produced them works no longer,—as if to show us, that, after this primitive age, we Indo-Europeans must feel these works without attempting to re-make them, and that our poetry, if it tries to make itself simply the organ of the religious sentiment, leaves the true course, and must conceal this by not

speaking a living language The moment it speaks a living language, and still makes itself the organ of the religious sentiment only, as in the German and English hymns, it betrays weakness,—the weakness of all false tendency *

But if by attending to the Germanism in us English and to its works, one has come to doubt whether we, too, are not thorough Germans by genius and with the German deadness to style, one has only to repeat to oneself a line of Milton,—a poet intoxicated with the passion for style as much as Taliesin or Pindar,—to see that we have another side to our genius beside the German one Whence do we get it? The Normans may have brought in among us the Latin sense for rhetoric and style,—for, indeed, this sense goes naturally with a high spirit and a strenuousness like theirs,—but the sense for style which English poetry shows is

* France is even weaker than Germany or England in hymnology Celtdom, on the other hand, has produced alike in the Gaelic and Brythonic area remarkable religious poetry I do not think it is so much a question of Indo-European *versus* Semite, as of more against less primitive culture When the Indo-European peoples, which accepted and were fashioned by Roman culture, were still in the stage at which the artistic and religious feelings were not incompatible, i.e. throughout the Middle Ages, they used Latin for their hymns, not because it was a dead language, but because it was the professional mode of utterance of the religious class When, in the sixteenth century, Latin was dethroned in the Protestant area in favour of the vernacular, artistry had drifted away from religion in the countries of more advanced culture In the Celtic-speaking lands there was, on the whole, no such divorce, and artistry and religion remained united in the field of letters, the more so as they had not, as elsewhere in Christendom, manifested their union primarily in the field of architecture and the other plastic arts

something finer than we could well have got from a people so positive and so little poetical as the Normans , and it seems to me we may much more plausibly derive it from a root of the poetical Celtic nature in us

Its chord of penetrating passion and melancholy, again, its *Titanism* as we see it in Byron,—what other European poetry possesses that like the English, and where do we get it from ? The Celts, with their vehement reaction against the despotism of fact, with their sensuous nature, their manifold striving, their adverse destiny, their immense calamities the Celts are the prime authors of this vein of piercing regret and passion,—of this Titanism in poetry A famous book, Macpherson's *Ossian*, carried in the last century this vein like a flood of lava through Europe I am not going to criticise Macpherson's *Ossian* here Make the part of what is forged, modern, tawdry, spurious, in the book, as large as you please , strip Scotland, if you like, of every feather of borrowed plumes which on the strength of Macpherson's *Ossian* she may have stolen from that *vetus et major Scotia*, the true home of the Ossianic poetry, Ireland , I make no objection But there will still be left in the book a residue with the very soul of the Celtic genius in it, and which has the proud distinction of having brought this soul of the Celtic genius into contact with the genius of the nations of modern Europe, and enriched all our poetry by it Woody Morven, and echoing Sora, and Selma with its silent halls!—we all owe them a debt of gratitude, and when we are unjust enough to forget it, may the Muse forget us ! Choose any one of the better passages in Macpherson's *Ossian* and you can see even at this

time of day what an apparition of newness and power such a strain must have been to the eighteenth century —

‘ I have seen the walls of Balclutha, but they were desolate The fox looked out from the windows, the rank grass of the wall waved round her head Raise the song of mourning, O bards, over the land of strangers They have but fallen before us, for one day we must fall Why dost thou build the hall, son of the winged days ? Thou lookest from thy towers to-day , yet a few years, and the blast of the desert comes , it howls in thy empty court, and whistles round thy half-worn shield Let the blast of the desert come ! we shall be renowned in our day ’ *

All Europe felt the power of that melancholy , but what I wish to point out is, that no nation of Europe so caught in its poetry the passionate penetrating accent of the Celtic genius, its strain of Titanism, as the English Goethe, like Napoleon, felt the spell of Ossian very powerfully, and he quotes a long passage from him in his *Werther* But what is there Celtic, turbulent, and Titanic about the German Werther, that amiable, cultivated, and melancholy young man, having for his sorrow and suicide the perfectly definite motive that Lotte cannot be his ? Faust, again, has nothing unaccountable, defiant, and Titanic in him , his knowledge does not bring him the satisfaction he expected from it, and meanwhile he finds himself poor and

* I have dealt with this contention in my Introduction Let me again insist and refer the reader to Mr Smart's *Macpherson* for detailed proof that the ‘ melancholy,’ the ‘ penetrating passion ’ of the Scottish poet was largely a reflection of contemporary English and French literature, notably of Young and of Rousseau

growing old, and baulked of the palpable enjoyment of life, and here is the motive for Faust's discontent. In the most energetic and impetuous of Goethe's creations,—his *Prometheus*,—it is not Celtic self-will and passion, it is rather the Germanic sense of justice and reason, which revolts against the despotism of Zeus. The German *Sehnsucht* itself is a wistful, soft, tearful longing, rather than a struggling, fierce, passionate one. But the Celtic melancholy is struggling, fierce, passionate, to catch its note, listen to Llywarch Hen in old age, addressing his crutch —

O my crutch ! is it not autumn, when the fern is red, the water-flag yellow ? Have I not hated that which I love ?

O my crutch ! is it not winter-time now, when men talk together after that they have drunken ? Is not the side of my bed left desolate ?

O my crutch ! is it not spring, when the cuckoo passes through the air, when the foam sparkles on the sea ? The young maidens no longer love me

O my crutch ! is it not the first day of May ? The furrows, are they not shining, the young corn, is it not springing ? Ah ! the sight of thy handle makes me wroth

O my crutch ! stand straight, thou wilt support me the better, it is very long since I was Llywarch

Behold old age, which makes sport of me, from the hair of my head to my teeth, to my eyes, which women loved

The four things I have all my life most hated fall upon me together,—coughing and old age, sickness and sorrow

I am old, I am alone, shapeliness and warmth are gone from me, the couch of honour shall be no more mine, I am miserable, I am bent on my crutch

How evil was the lot allotted to Llywarch, the night when he was brought forth ! sorrows without end, and no deliverance from his burden *

* The finest Irish parallel I know is the poem of the *Duanarre Finn* put in Oisín's mouth (The Bathing of Oisín's Head)

There is the Titanism of the Celt, his passionate, turbulent, indomitable reaction against the despotism of fact, and of whom does it remind us so much as of Byron?

The fire which on my bosom preys
Is lone as some volcanic isle,
No torch is kindled at its blaze
A funeral pile!

Or, again —

Count o'er the joys thine hours have seen,
Count o'er thy days from anguish free,
And know, whatever thus hast been,
'Tis something better not to be

One has only to let one's memory begin to fetch passages from Byron striking the same note as that passage from *Llywarch Hen*, and she will not soon stop. And all Byron's heroes, not so much in collision with outward things, as breaking on some rock of revolt and misery in the depths of their own nature, Manfred, self-consumed, fighting blindly and passionately with I know not what, having nothing of the consistent development and intelligible motive of Faust,—Manfred, Lara, Cain, what are they but Titanic? Where in European poetry are we to find this Celtic passion of revolt so warm-breathing, puissant, and sincere, except perhaps in the creation of a yet greater poet

It has a ruder, more realistic touch than the Welsh poem, and makes an even more poignant appeal. But this strain is found elsewhere, e.g. in Icelandic in the lament of the fierce old warrior Skald Egil, in pre-Islamic Arabian poetry. I cannot admit that it is specially characteristic of the Celtic genius.

than Byron, but an English poet, too, like Byron,—
in the Satan of Milton ?

What though the field be lost ?
All is not lost , the unconquerable will,
And study of revenge, immortal hate,
And courage never to submit or yield,
And what is else not to be overcome

There, surely, speaks a genius to whose composition
the Celtic fibre was not wholly a stranger ! *

And as, after noting the Celtic Pindarism or power
of style present in our poetry, we noted the German
flatness coming in in our hymns, and found here a
proof of our compositeness of nature , so, after noting
the Celtic Titanism or power of rebellious passion in
our poetry, we may also note the Germanic patience
and reasonableness in it, and get in this way a second
proof how mixed a spirit we have After Llywarch
Hen's —

How evil was the lot allotted to Llywarch, the night when
he was brought forth

after Byron's —

Count o'er the joys thine hours have seen—

take this of Southey's, in answer to the question whether
he would like to have his youth over again —

* I am quite ready to believe that the Celtic fibre was not
wholly a stranger to Milton's composition At the same time
his essential affinity is with the thousand year older Anglo-Saxon
poetry which dealt with the same theme Milton and his Anglo-
Saxon predecessors (Caedmon, Cynewulf, or who they may be)
display, though with unequal mastery, a power, a weight, a
seriousness of passion unknown in any Celtic religious poetry
See *infra*, Appendix, pp 172-5

Do I regret the past ?
 Would I live o'er again
 The morning hours of life ?
 Nay, William, nay, not so !
 Praise be to God who made me what I am,
 Other I would not be

There we have the other side of our being, the Germanic goodness, docility, and fidelity to nature, in place of the Celtic Titanism

The Celt's quick feeling for what is noble and distinguished gave his poetry style, his indomitable personality gave it pride and passion, his sensibility and nervous exaltation gave it a better gift still, the gift of rendering with wonderful felicity the magical charm of nature. The forest solitude, the bubbling spring, the wild flowers, are everywhere in romance. They have a mysterious life and grace there, they are nature's own children, and utter her secret in a way which makes them something quite different from the woods, waters, and plants of Greek and Latin poetry. Now of this delicate magic, Celtic romance is so pre-eminent a mistress, that it seems impossible to believe the power did not come into romance from the Celts.¹ Magic is just the word for it,—the magic of nature, not merely the beauty of nature,—that the Greeks and Latins had, not merely an honest smack of the soil, a faithful realism,—that the Germans had, but the intimate life of nature, her weird power and her fairy charm. As the Saxon names of places, with the pleasant wholesome

¹ Rhyme,—the most striking characteristic of our modern poetry as distinguished from that of the ancients, and a main source, to our poetry, of its magic and charm, of what we call its *romantic element*,—rhyme itself, all the weight of evidence tends to show, comes into our poetry from the Celts

smack of the soil in them,—Weathersfield, Thaxted, Shalford,—are to the Celtic names of places, with their penetrating, lofty beauty,—Velindra, Tyntagel, Caernarvon,—so is the homely realism of German and Norse nature to the fairy-like loveliness of Celtic nature * Gwydion wants a wife for his pupil ‘Well,’ says Math, ‘we will seek, I and thou, by charms and illusions, to form a wife for him out of flowers So they took the blossoms of the oak, and the blossoms of the broom, and the blossoms of the meadow-sweet, and produced from them a maiden, the fairest and most graceful that man ever saw And they baptized her, and gave her the name of Flower-Aspect’ Celtic romance is full of exquisite touches like that, showing the delicacy of the Celt’s feeling in these matters, and how deeply nature lets him come into her secrets The quick dropping of blood is called ‘faster than the fall of the dewdrop from the blade of reed-grass upon the earth, when the dew of June is at the heaviest’ And thus

* I think this argument from nomenclature is overstrained and will not bear Arnold’s implication The Alpine district between France and Italy is inhabited by populations speaking neo-Latin languages and closely united ethnically and historically Yet, on one side we get such names as Mont Blanc, Le Grand Combin, Mont Pourri, La Grande Casse, Les Grandes Rousses, lacking all euphony, commonplace and undistinguished where not vulgar, on the other, such names as Il Gran Paradiso, Levanna, Ciamarella, Croce Rossa, names beautiful in themselves and suggestive of more than mere sound beauty Again, the German mountain names, Jungfrau, Schreckhorn, Finsteraarhorn, Wildstrubel, have a quality of imagination denied to their near French neighbours, Le Muveran, La Dent du Midi, and the like It would be unwise to build more upon these facts in estimating the respective qualities of French, Italian, and German literature

is Olwen described 'More yellow was her hair than the flower of the broom, and her skin was whiter than the foam of the wave, and fairer were her hands and her fingers than the blossoms of the wood-anemony amidst the spray of the meadow fountains' For loveliness it would be hard to beat that, and for magical clearness and nearness take the following —

'And in the evening Peredur entered a valley, and at the head of the valley he came to a hermit's cell, and the hermit welcomed him gladly, and there he spent the night And in the morning he arose, and when he went forth, behold, a shower of snow had fallen the night before, and a hawk had killed a wild-fowl in front of the cell And the noise of the horse scared the hawk away, and a raven alighted upon the bird And Peredur stood and compared the blackness of the raven, and the whiteness of the snow, and the redness of the blood, to the hair of the lady whom best he loved, which was blacker than the raven, and to her skin, which was whiter than the snow, and to her two cheeks, which were redder than the blood upon the snow appeared to be'

And this, which is perhaps less striking, is not less beautiful —

'And early in the day Gerant and Enid left the wood, and they came to an open country, with meadows on one hand and mowers mowing the meadows And there was a river before them, and the horses bent down and drank the water And they went up out of the river by a steep bank, and there they met a slender stripling with a satchel about his neck, and he had a small blue pitcher in his hand, and a bowl on the mouth of the pitcher'

And here the landscape, up to this point so Greek in its clear beauty, is suddenly magicalised by the romance touch —

‘ And they saw a tall tree by the side of the river, one-half of which was in flames from the root to the top, and the other half was green and in full leaf ’

Magic is the word to insist upon,—a magically vivid and near interpretation of nature , since it is this which constitutes the special charm and power of the effect I am calling attention to, and it is for this that the Celt’s sensibility gives him a peculiar aptitude But the matter needs rather fine handling, and it is easy to make mistakes here in our criticism In the first place, Europe tends constantly to become more and more one community, and we tend to become Europeans instead of merely Englishmen, Frenchmen, Germans, Italians , so whatever aptitude or felicity one people imparts into spiritual work, gets imitated by the others, and thus tends to become the common property of all Therefore anything so beautiful and attractive as the natural magic I am speaking of, is sure, now-a-days, if it appears in the productions of the Celts, or of the English, or of the French, to appear in the productions of the Germans also, or in the productions of the Italians , but there will be a stamp of perfectness and inimitableness about it in the literatures where it is native, which it will not have in the literatures where it is not native Novalis or Ruckert, for instance, have their eye fixed on nature, and have undoubtedly a feeling for natural magic , a rough-and-ready critic easily credits them and the Germans with the Celtic fineness of tact, the Celtic nearness to nature and her secret , but the question

is whether the strokes in the German's picture of nature ¹ have ever the indefinable delicacy, charm, and perfection of the Celt's touch in the pieces I just now quoted, or of Shakspeare's touch in his daffodil, Wordsworth's in his cuckoo, Keats's in his Autumn, Obermann's in his mountain birch-tree, or his Easter-daisy among the Swiss farms. To decide where the gift for natural magic originally lies, where it is properly Celtic or Germanic, we must decide this question.

In the second place, there are many ways of handling nature, and we are here only concerned with one of them, but a rough-and-ready critic imagines that it is all the same so long as nature is handled at all, and fails to draw the needful distinction between modes of handling her. But these modes are many, I will mention four of them now. There is the conventional way of handling nature, there is the faithful way of handling nature, there is the Greek way of handling nature, there is

¹ Take the following attempt to render the natural magic supposed to pervade Lieck's poetry — 'In diesen Dichtungen herrscht eine geheimnissvolle Innigkeit, ein sonderbares Einverständnis mit der Natur, besonders mit der Pflanzen- und Steinreich. Der Leser fühlt sich da wie in einem verzauberten Walde, er hört die unterirdischen Quellen melodisch rauschen, wildfremde Wunderblumen schauen ihn an mit ihren bunten sehnsuchtigen Augen, unsichtbare Lippen küssen seine Wangen mit neckender Zärtlichkeit, *hohe Pilze, wie goldne Glocken, wachsen klingend empor am Fusse der Bäume*', and so on. Now that stroke of the *hohe Pilze*, the great funguses, would have been impossible to the tact and delicacy of a born lover of nature like the Celt, and could only have come from a German who has *hineinstudirt* himself into natural magic. It is a crying false note, which carries us at once out of the world of nature-magic and the breath of the woods, into the world of theatre-magic, and the smell of gas and orange-peel.

the magical way of handling nature In all these three last the eye is on the object, but with a difference, in the faithful way of handling nature, the eye is on the object, and that is all you can say, in the Greek, the eye is on the object, but lightness and brightness are added, in the magical, the eye is on the object, but charm and magic are added In the conventional way of handling nature, the eye is not on the object, what that means we all know, we have only to think of our eighteenth-century poetry —

As when the moon, refulgent lamp of night—

to call up any number of instances Latin poetry supplies plenty of instances too, if we put this from Propertius's *Hylas* —

manus heroum

Mollia composita litora fronde tegit—

side by side with the line of Theocritus by which it was suggested —

λειμὼν γάρ σφιν ἔκειτο μέγας, στιβάδεσσιν ὕνειαρ—

we get at the same moment a good specimen both of the conventional and of the Greek way of handling nature But from our own poetry we may get specimens of the Greek way of handling nature, as well as of the conventional for instance, Keats's —

What little town, by river or seashore,
Or mountain-built with quiet citadel,
Is emptied of its folk, this pious morn ?

is Greek, as Greek as a thing from Homer or Theocritus, it is composed with the eye on the object, a radiancy and light clearness being added German poetry abounds in specimens of the faithful way of handling

nature, an excellent example is to be found in the stanzas called *Zueignung*, prefixed to Goethe's poems, the morning walk, the mist, the dew, the sun, are as faithful as they can be, they are given with the eye on the object, but there the merit of the work, as a handling of nature, stops, neither Greek radiance nor Celtic magic is added, the power of these is not what gives the poem in question its merit, but a power of quite another kind, a power of moral and spiritual emotion. But the power of Greek radiance Goethe could give to his handling of nature, and nobly too, as anyone who will read his *Wanderer*,—the poem in which a wanderer falls in with a peasant woman and her child by their hut, built out of the ruins of a temple near Cuma,—may see. Only the power of natural magic Goethe does not, I think, give, whereas Keats passes at will from the Greek power to that power which is, as I say, Celtic, from his —

What little town, by river or seashore—

to his —

White hawthorn and the pastoral eglantine,
Fast-fading violets cover'd up in leaves—

or his —

magic casements, opening on the foam
Of perilous seas, in fairy lands forlorn—

in which the very same note is struck as in those extracts which I quoted from Celtic romance, and struck with authentic and unmistakable power *

* In many of the most charming examples of early Irish nature-poetry (see Appendix, p 177), a poetry which would have delighted Arnold, he would have detected the Greek rather

Shakspeare, in handling nature, touches this Celtic note so exquisitely, that perhaps one is inclined to be always looking for the Celtic note in him, and not to recognise his Greek note when it comes. But if one attends well to the difference between the two notes, and bears in mind, to guide one, such things as Virgil's 'moss-grown springs and grass softer than sleep' —

Muscosi fontes et somno mollior herba—

as his charming flower-gatherer, who—

Pallentes violas et summa papavera carpens
Narcissum et florem jungit bene olentis anethi—

as his quinces and chestnuts —

cana legam tenera lanugine mala
Castaneasque nuces

then, I think, we shall be disposed to say that in Shakspeare's—

I know a bank where the wild thyme blows,
Where oxlips and the nodding violet grows,
Quite over-canopied with luscious woodbine,
With sweet musk-roses and with eglantine—

it is mainly a Greek note which is struck. Then, again in his —

look how the floor of heaven
Is thick inlaid with patines of bright gold !

we are at the very point of transition from the Greek note to the Celtic, there is the Greek clearness and brightness, with the Celtic aerialness and magic coming

than what he deems the specific Celtic note. See, for instance, the ninth century poem, *King and Hermit*, edited and translated by Professor K. Meyer

in Then we have the sheer, inimitable Celtic note in passages like this —

Met we on hill, in dale, forest or mead,
By paved fountain or by rushy brook,
Or in the beached margent of the sea—

or this, the last I will quote —

The moon shines bright In such a night as this,
When the sweet wind did gently kiss the trees,
And they did make no noise, in such a night
Troilus, methinks, mounted the Trojan walls—

in such a night
Did Thisbe fearfully o'ertrip the dew—

in such a night
*Stood Dido, with a willow in her hand,
Upon the wild sea-banks, and waved her love
To come again to Carthage **

And those last lines of all are so drenched and intoxicated with the fairy-dew of that natural magic which is our theme, that I cannot do better than end with them

And now, with the pieces of evidence in our hand, let us go to those who say it is vain to look for Celtic elements in any Englishman, and let us ask them, first, if they seize what we mean by the power of natural magic in Celtic poetry, secondly, if English poetry does not eminently exhibit this power, and, thirdly, where they suppose English poetry got it from ?

I perceive that I shall be accused of having rather the air, in what I have said, of denying this and that

* In so far as the effect of this supremely lovely passage is produced by a formal device, it is by alliteration, which is characteristic of Teutonic rather than of Celtic artistry. But the formal element is immeasurably the least of those which contribute to the effect of beauty

gift to the Germans, and establishing our difference from them a little ungraciously and at their expense. The truth is, few people have any real care to analyse closely in their criticism, they merely employ criticism as a means for heaping all praise on what they like, and all blame on what they dislike. Those of us (and they are many) who owe a great debt of gratitude to the German spirit and to German literature, do not like to be told of any powers being lacking there, we are like the young ladies who think the hero of their novel is only half a hero unless he has all perfections united in him. But Nature does not work, either in heroes or races, according to the young ladies' notion. We all are what we are, the hero and the great nation are what they are, by our limitations as well as by our powers, by lacking something as well as by possessing something. It is not always gain to possess this or that gift, or loss to lack this or that gift. Our great, our only first-rate body of contemporary poetry is the German, the grand business of modern poetry,—a moral interpretation, from an independent point of view, of man and the world,—it is only German poetry, Goethe's poetry, that has, since the Greeks, made much way with it. Campbell's power of style, and the natural magic of Keats and Wordsworth, and Byron's Titanic personality, may be wanting to this poetry, but see what it has accomplished without them! How much more than Campbell with his power of style, and Keats and Wordsworth with their natural magic, and Byron with his Titanic personality! Why, for the immense serious task it had to perform, the steadiness of German poetry, its going near the ground, its

patient fidelity to nature, its using great plainness of speech, poetical drawbacks in one point of view, were safeguards and helps in another. The plainness and earnestness of the two lines I have already quoted from Goethe —

Es bildet ein Talent sich in der Stille,
Sich ein Character in dem Strom der Welt—

compared with the play and power of Shakspeare's style or Dante's, suggest at once the difference between Goethe's task and theirs, and the fitness of the faithful laborious German spirit for its own task. Dante's task was to set forth the lesson of the world from the point of view of mediæval Catholicism, the basis of spiritual life was given, Dante had not to make this anew. Shakspeare's task was to set forth the spectacle of the world when man's spirit reawoke to the possession of the world at the Renaissance. The spectacle of human life, left to bear its own significance and tell its own story, but shown in all its fulness, variety and power, is at that moment the great matter, but, if we are to press deeper, the basis of spiritual life is still at that time the traditional religion, reformed or unreformed, of Christendom, and Shakspeare has not to supply a new basis. But when Goethe came, Europe had lost her basis of spiritual life, she had to find it again, Goethe's task was,—the inevitable task for the modern poet henceforth is,—as it was for the Greek poet in the days of Pericles, not to preach a sublime sermon on a given text like Dante, not to exhibit all the kingdoms of human life and the glory of them like Shakspeare, but to interpret human life afresh, and to supply a new spiritual basis to it. This is not

only a work of style, eloquence, charm, poetry, it is a work for science, and the scientific, serious German spirit, not carried away by this and that intoxication of ear, and eye and self-will, has peculiar aptitudes for it

We, on the other hand, do not necessarily gain by the commixture of elements in us, we have seen how the clashing of natures in us hampers and embarrasses our behaviour, we might very likely be more attractive, we might very likely be more successful, if we were all of a piece. Our want of sureness of taste, our eccentricity, come in great measure, no doubt, from our not being all of a piece, from our having no fixed, fatal, spiritual centre of gravity. The Rue de Rivoli is one thing, and Nuremberg is another, and Stonehenge is another, but we have a turn for all three, and lump them all up together. Mr Tom Taylor's translations from Breton poetry offer a good example of this mixing, he has a genuine feeling for these Celtic matters, and often, as in the *Evil Tribute of Nomenoë*, or in *Lord Nann and the Fairy*, he is, both in movement and expression, true and appropriate, but he has a sort of Teutonism and Latinism in him too, and so he cannot forbear mixing with his Celtic strain such disparates as —

'Twas mirk, mirk night, and the water bright
Troubled and drumlie flowed—

which is evidently Lowland-Scotch, or as —

Foregad, but thou 'rt an artful hand !

which is English-stagey, or as —

To Gradlon's daughter, bright of blee,
Her lover he whispered tenderly—
Bethink thee, sweet Dahut, the key !

which is Anacreontic in the manner of Tom Moore * Yes, it is not a sheer advantage to have several strings to one's bow ! if we had been all German, we might have had the science of Germany, if we had been all Celtic, we might have been popular and agreeable, if we had been all Latinised, we might have governed Ireland as the French govern Alsace, without getting ourselves detested But now we have Germanism enough to make us Philistines, and Normanism enough to make us imperious, and Celtism enough to make us self-conscious and awkward, but German fidelity to Nature, and Latin precision and clear reason, and Celtic quick-wittedness and spirituality, we fall short of Nay, perhaps, if we are doomed to perish (Heaven avert the omen !), we shall perish by our Celtism, by our self-will and want of patience with ideas, our inability to see the way the world is going, and yet those very Celts, by our affinity with whom we are perishing, will be hating and upbraiding us all the time †

This is a somewhat unpleasant view to take of the matter, but if it is true, its being unpleasant does not make it any less true, and we are always the better for seeing the truth What we here see is not the whole truth, however So long as this mixed constitution of our nature possesses us, we pay it tribute and serve it, so soon as we possess it, it pays us tribute and

* The defects of a third-rate translator are rather a narrow basis for Arnold's wide generalisation I have no quarrel with the latter, but I should like to see it better supported

† Again, one must wonder that when Arnold set down 'want of patience with ideas' as a specially Celtic trait, he should not have recalled that no people is so enamoured of ideas as the French, whom he nevertheless treats as mainly Celts

serves us So long as we are blindly and ignorantly rolled about by the forces of our nature, their contradiction baffles us and lames us, so soon as we have clearly discerned what they are, and begun to apply to them a law of measure, control, and guidance, they may be made to work for our good and to carry us forward Then we may have the good of our German part, the good of our Latin part, the good of our Celtic part, and instead of one part clashing with the other, we may bring it in to continue and perfect the other, when the other has given us all the good it can yield, and by being pressed further, could only give us its faulty excess Then we may use the German faithfulness to Nature to give us science, and to free us from insolence and self-will, we may use the Celtic quickness of perception to give us delicacy, and to free us from hardness and Philistinism, we may use the Latin decisiveness to give us strenuous clear method, and to free us from fumbling and idling Already, in their untrained state, these elements give signs, in our life and literature, of their being present in us, and a kind of prophecy of what they could do for us if they were properly observed, trained, and applied But this they have not yet been, we ride one force of our nature to death, we will be nothing but Anglo-Saxons in the Old World or in the New, and when our race has built Bold Street, Liverpool, and pronounced it very good, it hurries across the Atlantic, and builds Nashville, and Jacksonville, and Milledgeville, and thinks it is fulfilling the designs of Providence in an incomparable manner But true Anglo-Saxons, simply and sincerely rooted in the German nature, we are not and cannot

be, all we have accomplished by our onesidedness is to blur and confuse the natural basis in ourselves altogether, and to become something eccentric, unattractive, and inharmonious

A man of exquisite intelligence and charming character, the late Mr Cobden, used to fancy that a better acquaintance with the United States was the grand panacea for us, and once in a speech he bewailed the inattention of our seats of learning to them, and seemed to think that if our ingenuous youth at Oxford were taught a little less about Ilissus, and a little more about Chicago, we should all be the better for it. Chicago has its claims upon us, no doubt, but it is evident that from the point of view to which I have been leading, a stimulation of our Anglo-Saxonism, such as is intended by Mr Cobden's proposal, does not appear the thing most needful for us, seeing our American brothers themselves have rather, like us, to try and moderate the flame of Anglo-Saxonism in their own breasts, than to ask us to clap the bellows to it in ours. So I am inclined to beseech Oxford, instead of expiating her over-addiction to the Ilissus by lectures on Chicago, to give us an expounder for a still more remote-looking object than the Ilissus,—the Celtic languages and literature. And yet why should I call it remote? if, as I have been labouring to show, in the spiritual frame of us English ourselves, a Celtic fibre, little as we may have ever thought of tracing it, lives and works. *Aliens in speech, in religion, in blood*! said Lord Lyndhurst, the philologists have set him right about the speech, the physiologists about the blood, and perhaps, taking religion in the wide but true sense of our whole spiritual

activity, those who have followed what I have been saying here will think that the Celt is not so wholly alien to us in religion. But, at any rate, let us consider that of the shrunken and diminished remains of this great primitive race, all, with one insignificant exception, belongs to the English empire, only Brittany is not ours, we have Ireland, the Scotch Highlands, Wales, the Isle of Man, Cornwall. They are a part of ourselves, we are deeply interested in knowing them, they are deeply interested in being known by us, and yet in the great and rich universities of this great and rich country there is no chair of Celtic, there is no study or teaching of Celtic matters, those who want them must go abroad for them. It is neither right nor reasonable that this should be so. Ireland has had in the last half-century a band of Celtic students,—a band with which death, alas! has of late been busy,—from whence Oxford or Cambridge might have taken an admirable professor of Celtic, and with the authority of a university chair, a great Celtic scholar, on a subject little known, and where all would have readily deferred to him, might have by this time doubled our facilities for knowing the Celt, by procuring for this country Celtic documents which were inaccessible here, and preventing the dispersion of others which were accessible. It is not much that the English Government does for science or literature, but if Eugene O'Curry from a chair of Celtic at Oxford, had appealed to the Government to get him copies or the originals of the Celtic treasures in the Burgundian Library at Brussels, or in the library of St Isidore's College at Rome, even the English Government could not well have refused

him The invaluable Irish manuscripts in the Stowe Library the late Sir Robert Peel proposed, in 1849, to buy for the British Museum, Lord Macaulay, one of the trustees of the Museum, declared, with the confident shallowness which makes him so admired by public speakers and leading-article writers, and so intolerable to all searchers for truth, that he saw nothing in the whole collection worth purchasing for the Museum, except the correspondence of Lord Melville on the American war That is to say, this correspondence of Lord Melville's was the only thing in the collection about which Lord Macaulay himself knew or cared Perhaps an Oxford or Cambridge professor of Celtic might have been allowed to make his voice heard, on a matter of Celtic manuscripts, even against Lord Macaulay The manuscripts were bought by Lord Ashburnham, who keeps them shut up, and will let no one consult them (at least up to the date when O'Curry published his *Lectures* he did so), 'for fear an actual acquaintance with their contents should decrease their value as matter of curiosity at some future transfer or sale' Who knows? Perhaps an Oxford professor of Celtic might have touched the flinty heart of Lord Ashburnham

At this moment, when the narrow Philistinism which has long had things its own way in England, is showing its natural fruits, and we are beginning to feel ashamed, and uneasy, and alarmed at it, now, when we are becoming aware that we have sacrificed to Philistinism culture, and insight, and dignity, and acceptance, and weight among the nations, and hold on events that deeply concern us, and control of the

future, and yet that it cannot even give us the fool's paradise it promised us, but is apt to break down, and to leave us with Mr Roebuck's and Mr Lowe's laudations of our matchless happiness, and the largest circulation in the world assured to the *Daily Telegraph*, for our only comfort, at such a moment it needs some moderation not to be attacking Philistinism by storm, but to mine it through such gradual means as the slow approaches of culture, and the introduction of chairs of Celtic. But the hard unintelligence, which is just now our bane, cannot be conquered by storm, it must be supplanted and reduced by culture, by a growth in the variety, fulness, and sweetness of our spiritual life, and this end can only be reached by studying things that are outside of ourselves, and by studying them disinterestedly. Let us reunite ourselves with our better mind and with the world through science, and let it be one of our angelic revenges on the Philistines, who among their other sins are the guilty authors of Fenianism, to found at Oxford a chair of Celtic, and to send, through the gentle ministration of science, a message of peace to Ireland *

* If the official and academic attitude towards Celtic studies has changed in the last forty years, this is largely due to the stimulus of these *Lectures*. But their essential, their most intimate message, their appeal to the forces of intelligent sympathy, of large-minded fairness, of clear-sighted justice is wellnigh as much needed to-day as it was half a century ago, and needed perhaps equally by Celt and Teuton. In spite of all imperfections of execution, the nobility of Arnold's aim, the essential rightness of his conception will always enshrine this book in the hearts of those men and women of goodwill who long and strive for the healing of ancient feuds, for the more efficient co-operation of all that is best and strongest in the inextricably mixed peoples of these islands

APPENDIX

SKETCH OF EARLY CELTIC LITERATURE ¹

LIKE all European literatures, save those of Greece and Rome, Celtic literature belongs to the Christian era, entirely in so far as the date of its earliest written records is concerned—the art of writing, although not unknown, was unused outside the classic area, and Cæsar expressly informs us that the literary class in Gaul relied upon oral teaching—and, almost certainly, entirely also as far as the substance of anything that has reached us is concerned. But it is the oldest of post-classic European literatures, and it has probably preserved a larger mass of material unaffected by classic and Christian culture than its only rival in this respect, the old Norse literature of the northern Teutons.

¹ The present sketch merely purposes to indicate the rough outlines of the development of early Celtic literature and to give such bibliographical information as will enable the reader to refer to the original texts. In drafting it I have borne in mind the main implication of Arnold's essay and have striven, where opportunity offered, to reinforce my comments in the Introduction and Notes thereto. I have only dealt with post-twelfth century matter when it is a continuation of the earlier literature or when it is necessary to illustrate the general development.

An excellent survey of native Irish literature will be found in Miss Eleanor Hull's *Text Book of Irish Literature*, 2 vols 1906-8 (3s net each). There is unfortunately nothing equally good for Welsh.

The difference between these two bodies of literature may be stated briefly in both cases the pre-Christian material is partly mythic, partly heroic, among the Celts the stress is upon the heroic element, among the northern Teutons upon the mythic. This is due to the fact that Christianity reached and conquered Celtdom five centuries before it touched Scandinavia. The mythology of Celtdom was written down by men who had been affected for centuries by Christian culture, that of northern Teutondom when a majority of the people still believed in it to a considerable extent. In both cases the mythology has only reached us in a romanticised form, i.e. with modifications due to artistic or other non-religious considerations, but in Celtdom the process of romanticisation was already complete, in northern Teutondom it had only begun when the extant texts assumed their present form.

Early Celtic literature has been preserved to us solely by the Gael of Ireland and by the Brythons of Wales and, possibly, Cumbria (i.e. portions of the district between Humber and Forth). The oldest Irish profane MSS. belong to the eleventh century, the oldest Welsh to the late twelfth century. The bulk of Irish MSS. belongs to the twelfth to fourteenth centuries, the bulk of Welsh to the thirteenth to fifteenth centuries. We possess Irish Christian MSS. of the seventh to tenth centuries, we only possess scraps of Welsh writing older than the twelfth century. In Ireland the oldest texts profess to be copies of earlier originals. Thanks to the presence of a considerable mass of Irish writing anterior to the Norse invasions of the ninth century, we can control these professions by the application of linguistic tests and in many cases accept them as correct. Here, too, history reinforces philology. During the height of the Norse invasions, say from 820 to 950, there was a considerable destruction of literary centres, a considerable exodus of the literary

class as represented by the monkish communities and their school. The emigrants took with them the Christian MSS, their professional tools so to say, they left behind them their profane MSS. Hence nearly all the pre-tenth century Irish MSS are preserved in Continental libraries. When in the tenth to eleventh centuries the Norse elements were assimilated, there ensued a period of vigorous literary revival, what remained of the profane MSS was copied with more or less retention of the actual language of the original (in certain cases existing MS tradition represented by perhaps a dozen versions can be carried back with certainty to one fragmentary archetype), and there was also a considerable amount of retelling of the older stories as well as of new development of the themes found in them. Thus, apart from the date of record (i.e. of the eleventh, twelfth, or thirteenth century MSS), we can distinguish between the date of the *substance* of an extant text (i.e. the literary form independent of the actual phonetic and grammatical phenomena) and that of the *subject matter* (i.e. the theme independent of the literary form). This process of criticism had hardly begun when Arnold wrote, and it is still far from covering even the quarter of extant early Irish literature, but it has made sufficient progress to enable a tentative sketch of its development.

In Wales, as already explained, we are less fortunate than in Ireland. It is hard to say why there should be no pre-twelfth century profane MSS, the historic causes which led to their destruction in Ireland were far less operative in Wales, and the tenth century which substituted for the written code of Howel Dda an oral customary legislation, in which great stress is laid upon the status and function of the bardic class, *ought* to have been fertile in literary production.

Recapitulating the comparison of the two early

Celtic literatures, we may say that the Irish record is from one to three centuries older than the Welsh, the *substance* of much early Irish extant can be carried back almost certainly to the eighth or even seventh century, that of a portion of Welsh possibly to the ninth or even eighth century, some of the *subject matter* of both literatures may be of immemorial antiquity, a good deal of the Irish belongs to the first three centuries of the Christian era, a good deal of the Welsh to the sixth and seventh centuries

The very earliest utterances of extant Celtic literature are possibly the mythical ones in an archaic metre associated with the personality of Amairgin in Ireland, of Taliesin (to be distinguished from the historic sixth-century bard) in Wales. We detect in these the presence and activity of a primæval magician and shape shifter, who, like the magician priests of Vedic India, is able to hold his own with, even to overcome, beings of godlike power and attributes. But, as a whole, Celtic mythology has only survived thanks to its having almost completely shed its religious character. The myths, though too dear to the people to be lost, only survived in virtue of suffering change. This change is of a twofold nature: a euhemerising process turned the mythology into a proto-history of Ireland which it connected with the Biblical record, a romanticising process wove the mythology into the racial heroic sagas, or stripped its personages of their specifically divine attributes and developed the story of their fates without reference to belief and ritual. The outcome of the first process is the so-called *Lebor Gabala* or Book of Invasions, a text which assumed its final form in the tenth to eleventh centuries. But the process must have begun far earlier, probably as far back as the seventh century, as the *Historia Brittonum* of Nennius, compiled at the end of the eighth century in Wales, embodies a first draft of the *Lebor Gabala*. Herein we get the

skeleton of the mythology, but with scarcely any animating details. The Christian antiquarian compiler has, however, preserved the Amaigin chants, possibly on account of the traditional sanctity attaching to them, possibly because he did not recognise their true character. The corresponding Welsh texts ascribed to Taliesin are later in record (thirteenth-century MSS), and are associated with more matter of definitely Christian origin, as well as with heroic material associated with the sixth-century bard, nevertheless, the substantial agreement of Irish and Welsh texts is remarkable.¹

Outside these utterances ascribed to the primæval wizard, the euhemerised, Christianised form of the mythology yields little but names and outlines of stories. The romanticised form specifies and characterises a considerable *dramatis personae* and preserves a not inconsiderable number of detailed stories. Both in Ireland and in Wales it takes the form of cycles dealing with the fates of a group of superhuman beings, in Ireland the Tuatha de Danann, the Folk of the Goddess Danu, chief amongst whom are the Dagda and his son Aengus, Nuada, Cian and his son Lug, Lir and his son Manannán, to these correspond in Wales the Children of Llŷr and the Children of Dôn. The primitive mythic character of these beings is better preserved in Ireland than in Wales. Though fallen from the estate of godhood they are distinctly superhuman, possessing the attributes of deathlessness and invisibility and dwelling in an extra-mundane land of perpetual joy and dalliance, whereas in Wales they have almost entirely put off their superhuman nature,

¹ The Amaigin and Taliesin texts may be found in M. H. d'Arbois de Jubainville's *Le cycle mythologique irlandais* (French, 6s 6d net, English translation, 7s 6d net). I have discussed them in vol. II of *The Voyage of Bran* (10s 6d net). The *Lebor Gabála* has not been edited or translated as yet in full, but M. d'Arbois' work gives a good summary of it.

retaining only their powers of magic and shape shifting¹

In Ireland, as in Greece, mythology and heroic saga were in all probability connected from the outset, it is certain that no small portion of the mythic corpus was saved by being worked into the heroic cycles. This process is effected chiefly through the medium of two closely allied themes—the love of immortal for mortal, the luring of mortal to the land of deathless, ageless joy. The *Wooring of Etain* is an example of the former theme, the *Sick-Bed of Cuchulinn*² combines both, the *Voyages of Connla* and of *Bran*³ to the Irish Elysium are examples of the latter. All these tales are found in the eleventh-century MSS, but their substantial redaction in their present form is from three to four centuries earlier. The second theme—the Voyage to Elysium—assumed a less mythical and more romantic form by the eighth to ninth century, to which period we must assign the *Voyage of Maeldune*,⁴ it then suffered a Christian transformation, the final outcome of which in the ninth to tenth century was the *Navigation of St Brendan*,⁵ which exercised such a marked influence upon general European literature. We can trace step by step the transition from the

¹ I have fully discussed the Tuatha de Danann, *Voyage of Bran*, vol. II, the chief points of my argument will be found in *Fairy Mythology of Shakespeare*, 6d net

² Translated by Leahy, *Heroic Romances of Ireland*, 2 vols 8s net

³ Edited and translated by Professor K Meyer, *Voyage of Bran*, 2 vols £1 11s 6d net

⁴ Edited and translated by Wh Stokes, *Revue Celtique*, vols x, xi

⁵ Latin texts, edited by Jubinal, 1836, and Schröder, 1871. Irish text edited and translated by Wh Stokes in *Lives of the Saints from the Book of Lismore*. An exhaustive critical study by Professor H Zimmer in the *Zeit für Deutsches Altertums-wissenschaft*, vol xxxiii

pagan and wholly joyous Other-world to the Christian Heaven and Hell ¹

There also exist mythico-romantic tales in which the personages of the mythology appear alone (i.e. distinct from any admixture with the heroic cycles). Some, such as the *Conquest of the Sid*, are early both in record and in composition, others, such as the *Battle of Moytura*,² are late in record (fourteenth century), but probably very early (seventh to eighth century?) in composition, others again, the *Fate of the Children of Lir*, the *Fate of the Children of Tuireann*,³ are late both in record and in composition (thirteenth to fifteenth century). For, be it noted, the personages of the mythology, the Tuatha de Danann, retain vitality throughout the entire range of Irish literature, indeed, they still live on in Gaelic peasant lore as rulers in Faery.

In Wales the only connected treatment of the mythology is to be found in the small but precious cycle known as the *Four Branches of the Mabinogi*, which probably assumed its extant form in the late eleventh century.⁴ Herein, as already stated, the mythic nature of the *dramatis personae* is no longer apparent as it is in the Irish tales, on the other hand, this cycle is entirely unconnected with the racial heroic saga of Arthur. Indeed, it is noteworthy that wherever

¹ An excellent study of the Christian vision and Other-world literature will be found in Mr C. S. Boswell's *An Irish Precursor of Dante*, 8s. 6d. net.

² Edited and translated by Wh. Stokes, *Revue Celtique*, vol. xii.

³ Cheap editions, with accompanying translation, of both these tales are issued by the Society for the Preservation of the Irish Language. Neither has received adequate critical study as yet.

⁴ Cf. *The Mabinogion*, translated by Lady Charlotte Guest, with Notes by Alfred Nutt, 2s. 6d. net, and Ivor B. John, *The Mabinogion*, a Critical Study, 6d. net.

both the great Irish heroic cycles, the Ultonian and the Ossianic, introduce freely persons and incidents of the mythology, the Arthurian cycle lacks almost all *direct* contact with it. This is the more remarkable as the fairy and magician machinery of the Arthurian stories is strikingly kin to that of the Irish Ossianic cycle, and as several of the main incidents of Arthurian legend are, in substance, similar to incidents in Irish mythical romance. Moreover, the fusion into one personality of a sixth-century Taliesin, connected with Arthur, and an older mythical magician bard of the same name afforded a natural opportunity for the fusion of the two bodies of romance. Yet they remain separate¹

Finally, in Ireland and in Wales a certain amount of mythic material has come down to us in a fragmentary and in what may be called an unromanticised form. In Ireland this is chiefly preserved in the so-called *Dinnshenchas*, a compilation of local legends put together in the tenth to eleventh centuries². The material comprised in this is of varying age, some coeval with the date of compilation, but some as archaic as anything we have in Irish save the *Amairgin* chants. Notably the *Dinnshenchas* has preserved the sole native testimony to the ritual side of Irish mythology. The corresponding Welsh material found in the old Welsh poems and in the *Triads* is scantier, less archaic, and is, in part, doubtfully genuine³.

¹ To prevent misunderstanding I note that several of the Welsh Arthurian tales are mythical, but they do not directly involve the mythical personages of the *Four Branches* cycle.

² The bulk of the prose *Dinnshenchas* has been edited and translated by Wh. Stokes (*Folk-Lore*, 1892, 1893) *Revue Celtique*, vols xv, xvi, a few of the metrical versions, found in the *Book of Leinster*, in the *Todd Lectures*, 1900.

³ The only existing edition with translation of Old Welsh poetry is Skene's *Four Ancient Books of Wales*, 2 vols 1868.

To sum up Celtic mythology, as found in literature, is early as regards record, still more early as regards redaction in extant form, and the subject matter may be, in part, of immemorial antiquity, but no portion (save possibly a few scanty fragments) has come down to us in a form which can be original, and what has survived has suffered modifications of which it is practically impossible to determine the extent and significance

We must now turn to what is, alike from the literary and the anthropological point of view, the most characteristic and valuable portion of early Celtic literature—the heroic sagas. In Ireland these form a series of cycles fitted into a chronological scheme which we find fully elaborated in writers of the tenth to eleventh centuries, and which must, in part, date back to the eighth or seventh century. These cycles cover a period of some thousand years from the tales which tell of the foundation of Emain Macha and the Destruction of Dind Rig (events assigned to the fourth and third centuries B C) to those of which Guaire of Connaught (early seventh century) and Finnachta the high king (late seventh century) are the heroes. They thus come down into the definite historical period which may be said to begin in Ireland with the kingship of Niall in the late fourth century A D. And it is noteworthy that the later the period to which the cycle is assigned the less admixture, on the whole, is there of mythical elements.

It is probable that with the exception of bare annalistic and genealogical jottings, heroic saga was the first portion of Irish literature to be committed to

A good deal of the Triadic material is also given either by Skene, *op cit*, or by Lady Charlotte Guest in the Notes to her three volume edition of the *Mabinogion*. No really critical survey of the Welsh Triads has appeared as yet

writing. It appealed to the chieftain and warrior class, and it recorded and consecrated tribal claims to which the utmost importance was attached. Certain it is that the earliest of the great cycles—the Ultonian, of which Conchobor the king and his nephew Cuchulinn the champion are the protagonists—pictures faithfully the material life and social organisation of an Ireland older than is revealed to us by any historic record. The *floruit* of Cuchulinn was early synchronised with that of Christ, and I see no reason for doubting that the oldest portions of the Cuchulinn cycle go back in substance to the first centuries of our era. An early (eighth century) tradition places the recovery of the longest text of the cycle, the *Táin bó Cuailgne* or Raid for the Kine of Cooley, in the seventh century, a tradition which we may take as implying that it then assumed the definite form under which it has come down to us in the redaction preserved by eleventh-century MSS., which redaction must itself have been preceded by less perfect versions. It is therefore probable that a mass of heroic saga, preserving faithfully a tradition already centuries old, was put into written shape in the course of the sixth and seventh centuries, that is to say, within the two centuries following the establishment of Christianity throughout Ireland and the consequent substitution of scribal teaching in place of the older oral system of the Druidic class.

This mass of archaic saga is practically unaffected by classic or by Christian culture. I say practically, because the extant texts contain a few passages the late and interpolated character of which is plain. It yields a picture of European 'barbarism' in the pre-Roman stage which is at once more primitive and, in certain respects, more detailed than that recoverable from the early literature of Teutondom—the Anglo-Saxon *Beowulf*, or the oldest Scandinavian historic sagas. On the other hand, even in its earliest forms

it betrays the standing defects of Celtic literature lack of realistic veracity, tendency to conventionalise, to romanticise. Thus, in spite of the wealth and minuteness of detail, in spite of the skill with which leading personages of the cycle are presented and characterised, it produces upon the mind an effect of romance rather than an effect of epic. The actual marvels involved are no greater than those of Homeric literature or of *Beowulf*, but, thanks to the way in which they are conceived and presented, they seem to be further removed from the world of possible reality.

The social state depicted, as well as the feelings and emotions of the chief personages of the Ultonian cycle, belong to a more primitive, a ruder stage of culture than that of the Homeric world with which, however, it possesses many and close points of contact. We are dealing with barbarians, but with free and vigorous barbarians possessed of a chivalric ideal which is high and honourable, possessing also elements of sensibility and feeling capable of indefinite development. The defect of this literature is that almost the only feeling which is powerfully insisted upon, which is really effective, is that of manly honour. Other motives—love, ambition, jealousy, hate—come before us, as a rule, in a perfunctory, an unrealised form. The vital, heart-felt psychology of the Homeric epics or of the Scandinavian version of the Nibelung story is lacking. Here again the effect achieved is as a rule that of romance, not of tragedy, not even of drama.

Four stages in the development of extant literature belonging to the Ultonian cycle (the extent of which may be estimated at some 1000–1200 8vo pages) may, roughly speaking, be distinguished. (1) an original nucleus comprising the mightiest achievement of the Ulster champion, Cuchulinn, his single-handed defence of the Northern Marches against the allied forces of the rest of Ireland under the leadership of Medhbh

(*pr* Mayve) and Ailill of Connaught—this is fairly well represented by the oldest draft of the *Táin bó Cuailgne*, dating back, as already said, to the seventh century, though even this comprises later additions and interpolations,¹ (2) a series of tales in which the mythical and romantic elements of the Cuchulinn story are developed with marked complaisance, such as the *Wooring of Emer* (which embodies the hero's warlike training by the wizard Amazon Scathach) and the *Sick Bed of Cuchulinn* (which tells how he was wooed by Funa, wife of Manannán of the Tuatha de Danann), also tales of a cyclic character preparatory of the events recorded in the *Táin* or dealing with the later life of the hero or of other chief personages of the story² In this second stage the mythico-romantic note is accentuated, it may be assigned to the eighth to ninth centuries when, as we have seen, the specifically mythical romances were likewise being redacted (3) The older versions are expanded and rewritten with increased emphasis of the romantic element The longer version of the *Táin bó Cuailgne*, found in the *Book of Leinster*, and edited by Professor Windisch, may be taken as an example³ In some of the tales which have only reached us from this stage, e.g. in *Brícreu's Feast*, which tells how Cuchulinn won the championship of Ireland, there is a decided parodistic vein, and this is carried still further in *The Exile of the*

¹ The older version of the *Táin* has been translated by Miss Winifred Faraday, Grimm Library vol x 1904

² The two former tales are translated in Leahy, *Heroic Romances of Ireland*, as are also several of the introductory stories preparatory to the *Táin*

³ Professor Windisch's monumental edition (with German translation) appeared in 1905 An English summary by Dr S H O'Grady in Miss Hull's *Cuchullin Saga*, an English translation of the Ferdiad episode in Leahy's *Heroic Romances*

Sons of Doel Dermait, which is obvious parody¹ The Cuchulinn cycle has in this stage entered upon the phase of decadence, although much of the work to be assigned to it, e.g. the later version of *The Fate of the Sons of Usnach*, which tells of Deirdre's love for Naois, of Conchobor's jealousy and of the ensuing war, or the Ferdiad episode of the longer *Táin* version, is full of beauty² These developments probably took place in the tenth to the early eleventh centuries (4) A period of full decadence (twelfth and subsequent centuries), what new invention there is is conventional and lifeless, what fresh retelling is on lines which become more and more purely romantic In fact, heroic saga has yielded wholly to romance The post-mediaeval version of the *Sons of Usnach* and the *Battle of Muirthemne*, telling of Cuchulinn's death, are examples Comparison of the latter with the older *Death of Cuchulinn*, which in its present form belongs probably to the eighth to ninth century, is instructive, the one, despite the fact that an over-conventionalised technique is already at work, is noble and moving in effect—the vision of the dying hero is one of the memorable things of all literature, in the other there is charm and fancy, but the charm is that of fairydom, of a bloodless unreal world In this stage the tales of the champion who guarded the marches of Ulster what time—

pride's lord and man's
Showed all his kingdoms at a glance
To Him before Whose countenance
The years recede, the years advance,

¹ *Bricriu's Feast*, edited and translated by the Rev G Henderson (Irish Texts Society), vol II, *Exile of the Sons of Doel Dermait*, edited *Irische Texte*, vol II part I

² *Sons of Usnach* early version translated in Leahy's *Heroic Romances*, mediaeval version edited and translated by Wh Stokes, *Irische Texte*, vol II part 2

have survived into living folk memory, and in recent peasant recitation have acquired a fresh and wild-wood flavour ¹

The form of the Cuchulinn tales is, for the most part, that of the *cante-fable*, alternate prose and verse, the latter quasi-dramatic as a rule, but sometimes purely narratory. In certain extant versions the scribe has confined himself to giving the verse in full, a bare incident-skeleton being all that is furnished of the prose ²

The second great Irish heroic cycle, the Ossianic, of which Finn MacCumhail, his son Oisín, his nephews Cailte and Diarmait, his grandson Oscar, are, together with the Northern High King Cormac and his son Cairbre, the protagonists, is assigned to the third century of our era ³. Be the reason what it may, it is certain that it did not begin to form a component portion of Irish written literature until the eighth (or possibly the seventh) century, and the great bulk of what has come down to us is of far later date. It came gradually to supplant the Ultonian cycle, and from the thirteenth century onwards occupies a predominant position. It lives on to the present day almost entire on the lips of the Gaelic-speaking peasantry of Ireland and Scotland.

From the outset the Ossianic cycle, the entry of which into written literature coincides with the development of mythical romance in Ireland, is mythico-romantic in character. Its connection with possible

¹ *Sons of Usnach* post-mediaeval version edited and translated by Douglas Hyde (*Zeitschrift für Celt Philologie*, vol. iii.) *Battle of Muirthemne*, translated by Dr S. H. O'Grady in Miss Hull's *Cuchullin Saga*. *Death of Cuchulinn*, from *Book of Leinster*, edited and translated by Wh. Stokes, *Revue Celtique*, vol. iii.

² This statement is necessary because depreciatory criticism has been passed on Irish story-telling generally on the strength of such texts.

³ Cf. my *Ossian and the Ossianic Literature*, 6d. net, for a study of the entire cycle, and its historic background.

third-century history is of the slightest, it cannot be taken as a picture of third-century social or material conditions. Like the Arthurian romance it lies—

Out of space, out of time

Although it details the topography of Ireland with the minute accuracy of an ordnance surveyor, the true habitat of its heroes is not Eirinn, but fairyland. Unless this is fully realised it is impossible to understand it or to do justice to its qualities.

The bulk of the Ossianic texts have been profoundly influenced by the Norse invasions of the ninth century. Finn and his warriors figure as defenders of Ireland against oversea foes. This fact alone suffices to show the comparatively late date (from the tenth century onwards) at which they were for the most part redacted, and how utterly at variance they are with the real history of the third century when the personages of the cycle are said to have flourished.

The Ossianic cycle differs formally from that of Cuchulinn, inasmuch as the majority of its texts are in verse, although, it is true, the longest and most remarkable of them is in prose. The subject matter is provided partly by a feud between the kin of Finn, the Clanna Baoisgne, and that of the rival Clanna Morna, headed by Goll, partly by the relations, at times friendly, at times hostile, between Finn and the High King Cormac, whose daughter he weds, partly by conflicts between the Fenian champions and oversea invaders, partly by contests between the same and mythical monsters, partly by the relations of the Fianna with the Tuatha de Danann, who lure them into their magic realm, avail themselves of their surpassing prowess, are attracted by their love-compelling valour. Throughout the thousand years during which this literature has flourished these are standing elements, together with an abounding delight in the joys of the chase and of woodland life.

After the twelfth century a new element becomes prominent the shock of paganism as represented by the last survivors of the Fenian band and Christianity as represented by Patrick This is the extension of a conception found at a very early stage in the development of this cycle, it comes before us as a narrative of long past events told by survivors who have out-lived the pride and glory of their youth to which they look back with regret This reminiscent character, this ever-present sense that we are dealing with 'old unhappy (or happy) far-off things,' intensifies the general effect of unreality, of remoteness from life Terrific as may be the blows with which the heroes belabour each other, it is but phantom fighting, gaily as the horns may wind in pursuit of stag or boar, they are the horns of elf-land

One single example may perchance survive of the earliest form of the saga, the story known as the *Boyish Exploits of Finn* This is in prose, is straightforward and simple in style, and is free from Norse admixture The sole MS which has preserved it is late (fourteenth century), but it professes to be copied from the famous lost tenth-century compilation, the *Psalter of Cashel*, and the profession may be a true one But even here, in spite of its comparatively realistic and unromanticised character, the saga of Finn betrays its true character The *Boyish Exploits* is the fullest Celtic example of the widespread mythico-heroic theme represented elsewhere by the stories of Cyrus, of Perseus, of Romulus, of Siegfried¹ Nearly all the other scanty remains to which a pre-twelfth century date can be ascribed with certainty emphasise the mythico-romantic note After the twelfth century, from whence onwards the texts accumulated in increasing number, the theme of Pagan-Christian

¹ Cf my *Aryan Expulsion-and-Return Formula in the Folk and Hero Tales of the Celts* (*Folk-Lore Record*, vol. iv)

relations is treated in two ways. In the prose romance entitled *Agallamh na Senorach* (Colloquy of the Elders), preserved in fifteenth century MSS and probably redacted in the thirteenth century, these relations are courteous and friendly in the extreme—the Christian saint is as fair of Caolte's Pagan lore as the Fenian warrior is of Patrick's Christian teaching. In a number of quasi-dramatic poems found in MSS of the fifteenth and following centuries the relations are hostile, Oisín is defiant and overbearing, Patrick bigoted and harsh. This second presentment it is which survives in living peasant lore.¹

There is nothing like the *Agallamh* in any other literature, it is utterly *sui generis*, the most bewildering and to some minds the most charming product of Irish fancy. All the characteristics which I have hitherto noted—remoteness, unreality, phantasmal elusiveness—must be intensified to the *n*th power to give some idea of its nature, withal, it takes the shape of a romantic survey of Ireland, the fairy hills, the champions' graves, the chieftains' palaces, every object, natural or artificial, that has given rise to story or legend, being set down with the precision of a road-book. We have the hills and plains of Erin, but enveloped in, not a Druidic mist, rather in a delicate, shimmering fairy haze.

A marked contrast is afforded by the metrical pieces, which were assuming their present shape contemporaneously with the *Agallamh* and which continued to be produced throughout the fourteenth to sixteenth centuries. These fall into two sections—an older one

¹ The *Agallamh na Senorach*, edited and translated by Dr S. H. O'Grady, *Silva Gadelica*. The theme of the contention of Patrick and Oisín is well represented in the *Book of the Dean of Lismore*, 1862, and in the six vols. of the Ossianic Society's publications.

168 THE STUDY OF CELTIC LITERATURE

apparently dealing mainly with what is probably the most historic element in the complex Ossianic *corpus*, the feuds of the rival Fenian bands, the Clanna Baoisgne and the Clanna Morna, and distinguished by a greater seriousness and reality of presentment than found elsewhere in the cycle, a younger one in which the woodland hunting element and the contention between Patrick and Oisín are prominent. The difference in tone, in atmosphere, in literary form between these two sections is almost as marked as that between either and the *Agallamh*. The first section would have perished almost utterly but for the collection known as the *Duanaire Finn* (Finn's Song Book) put together from older MSS at the beginning of the seventeenth century. A certain number of pieces in the *Duanaire Finn* are known from earlier MSS (e.g. one is in the twelfth-century *Book of Leinster*), notably from the early sixteenth-century *Book of the Dean of Lismore*, compiled in the Western Highlands of Scotland, but the bulk are unknown elsewhere. For the second section the *Book of the Dean of Lismore* is our earliest warrant, it is almost unrepresented in the *Duanaire Finn*, but from the middle of the seventeenth century onwards MSS abound both in Ireland and in Scotland.¹

From the fourteenth century onwards down to the eighteenth the cycle develops in prose as well as in verse, as a rule the themes and incidents differ according to the medium of expression, and there is also a marked difference in the mode of conception and presentment. The prose tales are generally either in an advanced stage of conventionalised and romanticised decadence, as e.g. the *Battle of Ventry* or the *Pursuit of Diarmuid and Grainne*, or else they are frankly humorous, nay

¹ *Duanaire Finn*, edited and translated by J. MacNeill (Irish Texts Society), vol. vii 10s 6d net

parodistic, e.g. the *Flight of the Gilla Decair*. It is unfortunate that the fine tale of the passion of Grainne, wife of Finn, for her husband's nephew Diarmaid, a tale which must have existed as early as the ninth to eighth century, and which contains the most dramatic and poignantly human situation of the whole cycle, should only be known by a late seventeenth to sixteenth century version. This contains some charming episodes and has at times a certain languid grace, but, as a whole, it is Celtic story-telling at its feeblest¹. Apart from the two great heroic cycles, early Irish native literature in prose falls into three main sections: professional literature, legal, grammatical, genealogical, which may be disregarded here as, in spite of its having preserved a certain amount of legendary material, its literary interest is slight; heroic-romantic and semi-historic matter, Christian literature of a legendary or expository character.

As already stated, the cycles of Irish heroic-historic narrative follow a chronological scheme and, as a rule, their character conforms to their alleged date; the later the cycle the less admixture, as a rule, of mythical or even purely romantic material, the greater approximation to a quasi-realistic narration. These cycles follow each other closely from the first to the seventh century; from the eighth century onwards, although the Irish annals still contain a good many stories in which history appears in a decidedly embellished guise, still we have nothing corresponding to the earlier heroic-romantic cycles, and this although several of the eighth to eleventh century kings invite romantic

¹ *Flight of the Gilla Decair*, edited and translated by Dr S H O'Grady, *Silva Gadelica*, translated by Dr Joyce, *Old Celtic Romances*, *Pursuit of Diarmaid and Grainne*, edited and translated by Dr S H O'Grady, Ossianic Society Publications, vol. III, *Battle of Ventry*, edited and translated by Professor K Meyer, *Anecdota Oxoniensia*, Celtic Series

treatment by the force of their personality and the dramatic nature of their career. In the tenth-century Gormflaith, for instance, we have an Irish Helen whose fate might well have tempted a romance writer. Again, the texts which deal with the tenth-century southern chiefs, Cellachan of Cashel and Brian of the Dalglais, the victor of Clontarf, who wrested the High-kingship of Ireland from the northern chieftains, though interspersed with legendary matter, are chronicles, not romances.¹ I conclude from these facts that what may be termed the canon of official story-telling closed with the seventh century—the cycles of Guaire of Connaught (first third of the century) and of the Remission of the Boromean Tribute by Finnachta at the instigation of Moling and against the advice of Adamnan (last third of the century) may be taken as closing it—and that, roughly speaking, the antiquity of the substance of each cycle does, as a rule, correspond to the antiquity of the subject matter. In other words, each cycle probably assumed shape within a century or so after the events recorded in it, and remained unaltered as far as the main outlines and texture of the stories were concerned. Up to the third century A.D., the amount of historic truth is, I believe, slight. The archaic tale of the death of Conaire (assigned to the first century B.C.), known as *Brúden dá Derga* (Da Derga's Hostel), is almost as much mythic romance as the *Wooring of Etain*, with which it is cyclically connected.² The cycles which deal with the strife of the second-century chieftains, Conn of the north, and

¹ Cf. for a list of the historic-romantic cycles, *Voyage of Bran*, vol. 1, the historic literature relating to the Munster chiefs Brian, in *The Wars of the Gaedhul with the Gaill*, edited and translated by J. H. Todd (Rolls Series, 12s. net), Cellachan, *The Wars of Cellachan of Cashel*, edited and translated by A. Bugge, 1906, 5s. net.

² Edited and translated by Wh. Stokes, 1902.

Eoghan or Mogh Nuadat of the south, a strife which led to the division of Ireland into Conn's Half and Mogh's Half, with the fate of Art (*Battle of Mag Mucrimma*) at the close of the second century, and with the career of Art's son Cormac in the third century, are full of what may be called heroic-romantic common-places. The saga of Cormac mingles with that of Finn and shares to the full its semi-mythical character. Cormac himself is figured as the most magnificent and wisest of early Irish kings, as such, an interesting body of gnomic wisdom is ascribed to him which its latest editor, Professor Meyer, dates back to the eighth century, and which is remarkably free from any trace of Christian influence. Cormac is also the hero of purely mythical adventures in which he is brought into close contact with the Tuatha de Danann.¹

Another cycle tells how the Boromean Tribute was imposed upon Leinster by the first-century Tuathal and levied at intervals (which always gave rise to revolt on the part of the Leinstermen) until its remission at the close of the seventh century. The starting-point of the cycle is pure romance, and until it reaches the fifth century it is largely made up of romantic common-places.² In fact, throughout the whole of this body of literature we detect the gradual emergence and increasing prominence of a historic element, but even to the last it remains heroic romance rather than chronicle.

¹ *Battle of Mag Mucrimma*, edited and translated by Wh Stokes, *Revue Celtique*, vol. xiii. Also in *Silva Gadelica*. Cormac Stories in *Silva Gadelica*. Also *Cormac's Adventures in the Land of Promise*, edited and translated by Wh Stokes, *Irische Texte*, vol. iii. *The Instructions of Cormac*, edited and translated by Professor K Meyer, *Todd Lectures*.

² *Boromean Tribute*, edited and translated by Wh Stokes, *Revue Celtique*, vol. xxiii. Also (inferior version) by Dr S H O'Grady, *Silva Gadelica*.

These texts passed through the same phases of development as those of the great heroic cycles, some we possess in a literary form probably not far removed from the original one—the *Boromean Tribute* and the tales about Diarmaid and Guaire have seemingly changed little, on the other hand, most of the Cormac tales, which were very popular, have come down to us in an altered form. The two most extensive texts, the *Battle of Magh Leana* (from the second-century Conn cycle) and the *Battle of Magh Rath* (fought 617 A D), have only been preserved in twelfth-century retellings, which have probably kept fairly close to the outlines of the original, but have overlaid them with a mass of wearisome and monotonous detail¹. Here, as elsewhere in Irish literature, the rule holds the nearer to the original form the fresher and more vivid the effect.

Christian literature in Ireland, whether in prose or verse, raises questions of extreme interest and some perplexity. The Irish accepted Christianity readily, held it fervently, preached it enthusiastically, have given it intense and ardent devotion. What they have failed to do is to express the feelings and emotions excited in them by the Christian faith with high seriousness or with poignant vividness. Celtic religious legend has extreme charm, a tender sympathy, a delicate beauty that set it apart from that of any other people, but it is largely the same species of charm as delights us in the best profane romance, and it is perhaps never more subtly alluring than when it mingles in one reconciling mood reminiscences of the older fairy world with the visions and aspirations of Christianity. In part the nature of Celtic hagiology is determined by the shape in which Christianity came to the Celts and by the shape it assumed among them by virtue

¹ *Battle of Magh Leana*, edited and translated by E. O'Curry (Celtic Society, 1855) *Battle of Magh Rath*, edited and translated by J. O'Donovan (Irish Arch. Society, vol. III)

of their social organisation. Celtic Christianity is not the product of a hierarchalised church based upon earlier imperial organisation and inheriting its system of administration, it is the outcome of the Eastern monachic movement of the fourth century, which spread to the British Isles and found ready acceptance because it harmonised with the tribal system dominant among both Gaels and Brythons. In the Celtic churches the source of effective religious power is not the bishop, head of a district, but the abbot, head of a community of clerics drawn from the tribe and in the closest sympathy with tribal sentiment. Each tribe tends to produce its own holy man, its saint, his activities are no more fixed and limited than are the tribal territories. Almost unfettered religious individualism, instead of the ordered discipline of a hierarchically organised church—such is the picture of Celtic Christianity in the fifth and sixth centuries. And if monachism appealed to the Celts on the institutional side, still more did it on the spiritual side, it emphasised the ascetic ideal, it exalted the solitary anchorite, the saint, the man who by penance and self-inflicted suffering acquires quasi-superhuman power. Here was a conception to which the Druid could find kinship in his own belief. Everything points to the conclusion that the Druidic class, instead of offering the same strenuous opposition as the pagan priesthood elsewhere, were among the first to accept Christianity, and that the first generation of clerics was largely drawn from Druidic ranks¹

Little wonder, then, if some of the earliest Celtic

¹ Cf for Celtic Christianity, Professor H Zimmer's *Celtic Church*, 1906, 3s 6d net, and Mr J Willis Bund's *Celtic Church in Wales*, 1902, 12s 6d net. The best collection of Irish hagiology is Wh Stokes' *Irish Saints' Lives from the Book of Lismore*, see also *Silva Gadelica* for several not contained in the Lismore MSS

saints' lives are fragments of tribal history as well as religious biographies, if the saint is found espousing the tribal quarrel with a zeal and at times a lack of scruple which strike us as singularly unchristian, if, in the main, he is a rover, a missionary, or a self-centred devotee carrying self-inflicted mortification to extreme limits, if, above all, Celtic hagiology knows little of court and city, but much of forest glade and wave-swept shore, if its communion with Nature, animate or inanimate, is intimate and deep-seated. Nor need we be surprised if so much of Celtic Christian literature which, in the course of the seventh to tenth centuries when the mythico-romantic and heroic-romantic tales were being shaped, was assuming its extant written form at the hands of men who participated to a large extent in the training of the *file* (the profane literary class which developed after the formal break-up of the Druidic system) and shared in no small measure their traditions and ideals, should betray the same romantic note. The tenth-century *Navigation of St Brendan*, to take an extreme instance, although its 'properties' have been wholly Christianised, is as pure a romance as the *Voyage of Maeldune*, which is still largely pagan. The *genre* which tempted most the Christian Celtic writer is that of the vision, in so far as this was of the joys of the blessed it could draw upon the extensive stock of descriptions and similes found in the pagan journeys to the Happy Otherworld, indeed, incidents of the latter submitted readily to a process of Christian allegorisation.

Delightful and full of charm as often are the details of this body of literature (the lack of *architectonicé* is even more noticeable than in the profane literature), its inferiority to the splendid series of works due to the impact of Christianity upon the Anglo-Saxon mind is incontestable. The latter have a breadth and depth of conception, a seriousness and nobility of expression,

a passion and solemnity that make them fit precursors of the literature which was to produce *Pearl* and *Piers Plowman*, *Paradise Lost* and the *Pilgrim's Progress*, and which, even when it is most Celtic (as in the *Faery Queen*), in its insistence on technique and its delight in romantic detail for its own sake, is yet inspired by serious ardour ¹

In Christian as in profane Irish literature the process of conventionalising romanticism may be observed at work in the course of centuries, and what on other than literary ground approves itself as the earliest, e.g. such saints' lives as those of Bridget and Celloch, is also the most sincere and direct. But decadence has its masterpieces as well as youth and maturity. One such may be mentioned, as it is an extreme instance of the tendencies I have noted. The thirteenth or fourteenth century *Voyage of Tadhg* (*pr* Teague), son of *Cian*, is a last outcome of the pagan Elysium journeys, but here Elysium is the Christian Paradise, in which the heroes and fairy damsels of the mythology find themselves wholly at home. The effect produced is exquisite, the writer, a devout Christian, as I believe, indicates in the subtlest and happiest way that he is playing with his subject, that he is conjuring up a vision which he knows to be unreal. But where else in contemporary European literature could we find such a fusion of pre-Christian and Christian elements in a new world of romantic fancy? ²

¹ I have chosen examples from English literature in which the subject matter is akin to that of well-defined *genres* of Irish literature. As regards Spenser, it is quite unlikely that, despite his recorded admiration for the works of Irish bards, Irish artistry exercised any effect upon him, nevertheless there is a curious likeness at times between his mode of imagining and representing his conception and that of later Irish work.

² *Voyage of Tadhg, son of Cian*, edited and translated by Dr S. H. O'Grady, *Silva Gadelica*

Hitherto I have dealt with writing in prose, the amount of extant pre-twelfth century Irish verse is almost as great. But the interest of the bulk of this verse is not literary, nor does it indeed lay claim to any other artistic quality save compliance with complex and stringent metrical rules. In pre-Christian times the oral teaching of the Druidic schools was, like that of Vedic India, couched in metrical form. The practice survived the introduction of Christianity and the substitution of written for oral learning. Hence the existence of long poems dealing with the history, the topography, the genealogical and legal antiquities of particular tribes or of Ireland generally, due to known writers of the tenth, eleventh, and twelfth centuries. All this matter, as possessing a known date, is of the utmost importance for the history of Irish culture, but it may be disregarded here. Luckily we possess a fairly considerable amount of early verse, consciously artistic in aim, and often possessed of rare and exquisite artistic qualities. Of this section of verse the most part has been preserved in connection with the romances, whether mythico- or heroic-romantic or in connection with the chronicles and saints' lives. As already stated, the normal literary form of Irish narrative is *cante-fable*, alternate prose and verse. The classic example of this outside Celtic literature is the French mediæval romance of *Aucassin and Nicolette*. But whilst in this the verse is, equally with the prose which it thus partly reduplicates, narratory, the verse in the Irish romance is, for the most part, quasi-dramatic or lyric. The matter of the narration is thus frequently presented in a twofold aspect, objectively in the prose (though this is often in dialogue semi-dramatic form), subjectively in the verse. There is also a certain amount of purely descriptive verse which approximates to lyric. Outside this, the most extensive division of early Irish literary verse, there is a certain

amount of court encomiastic poetry, elegy, exhortation, recital of tribal glories, and the like, and fragments, scanty but precious, of what is apparently purely subjective artistry, outbursts of lyrical feeling or of delight in description for its own sake

If extant Irish verse alone survived to the exclusion of the prose matter, the adverse criticism I have been compelled to record would lose much of its force. True, we should still note the absence of *architectonicé*, the capacity to conceive and execute on a large and ordered scale. But the standing defects of the prose, the over-elaborated technique corresponding to no real elaboration of the subject matter, the devitalising conventions are not nearly so apparent. Far oftener is the expression direct and poignant, far oftener are we conscious of a real and vivid emotion underlying the artist's utterance. True, upon Celtic pre-twelfth century verse, as a whole, the verdict must be passed that it lacks the weight and force of the only contemporary bodies of European poetry—the seventh to eleventh century Anglo-Saxon, the ninth to twelfth century Old Norse—true, it never attains to the same level of passionate exaltation as either of these, especially the Old Norse. On the other hand, it has excellences of its own to which neither Anglo-Saxon nor Old Norse can pretend, and to parallel which we must come to modern as distinguished from mediæval poetry. The chief of these is a keen and vivid delight in natural beauty, a sense of kinship, of communion with Nature, a capacity for delighting in and sympathising with her varied moods.¹

Next, and perhaps still more remarkable, is the psychological subtlety and delicacy displayed in some

¹ Cf. Professor K. Meyer's *King and Hermit*, 1901, 2s 6d net, and *Four Old Irish Songs of Summer and Winter*, 1903, 1s, 6d net.

of their poems the expression of hopeless but proudly self-sacrificing passion in the address of Funa, the fairy queen, when she yields Cuchulinn to his mortal wife Emer, of reminiscent and despairing love in the lament of Deirdre over Naois, of poignant regret for the pride and lust of youth in the *Song of the Old Woman of Beare* (to parallel which we must wait for Villon's *Belle Heaulmère*), of the passion of comradeship as in Cuchulinn's lament over his boyhood's friend and manhood's foe, Ferdiad. In all these instances we are conscious of sincere and poignant emotion, at times also of a sense of modernity, the poem transcends its age and country, its appeal is to the universally humane.¹

Early Irish verse was saved by its form. Prose matter could be and most often was rewritten entirely—the substance might persist, the form suffered change—verse had, for the most part, to be taken as it was or left. Hence, so much that is still *de premier jet*, that is the outcome of the primal artistic impulse, not a secondary or tertiary working over in compliance with altered standards of taste.

The exquisite nature poetry of early Ireland persists well into the post-mediæval period (thirteenth to seventeenth centuries), but, curiously enough, almost solely in connection with the Ossianic romances, and whilst this view of abounding delight in Nature runs full and clear in Scotch Gaelic eighteenth-century poetry (as the English reader knows from Blackie's renderings of Duncan Ban), it has, for the most part, dried up or

¹ *The Song of the Old Woman of Beare*, edited and translated by Professor K. Meyer in his edition of *The Vision of Mac Conglinne* (7s 6d net). For the Deirdre laments see Stokes' edition of the *Sons of Usnach* and Leahy's *Heroic Romances* for the later and earlier versions respectively, also Leahy's *Heroic Romances* for Cuchulinn's lament over Ferdiad, and the contention of Funa and Emer over Cuchulinn in the tale entitled *The Sick Bed of Cuchulinn*.

become purely conventional among the Irish bards of the period. The microbe of convention has done its worst, it has corrupted or sterilised the most exquisite quality of Gaelic artistry.¹

There remains to be considered one section of Irish literature—the treatment of foreign matter other than that derived from Christianity. Even more than in the latter instance there is here displayed a gift for complete assimilation, for reproducing the alien matter in the terms of native romance. Hence the stimulating, the fertilising effect of the new material is minimised, *Iliad*, *Æneid*, Arthurian tale are simply transformed into so much fresh, native, romantic fiction, the Gaelic mind is enriched by no new sources of emotion and reflection, Gaelic artistry acquires no new mode of expression.²

EARLY WELSH LITERATURE

In Ireland we are able to sketch, however imperfectly, a scheme of evolution, to trace at work a principle which determines the course of that evolution and accounts for the phenomena which it displays. As much cannot be said of Wales, her literature, scanty as it is in comparison, contains more of the unexplained, perhaps of the inexplicable.

Allusion has already been made to the body of Old Welsh poetry, an undetermined portion of which is older than the twelfth century and may in part go

¹ An exception should perhaps be made for Brian Merriman, author of the *Midnight Court*, edited and translated in German by Dr A. L. Stern (*Zeit für Celt. Phil.*, vol. v 1905), but even with him there is an immense amount of purely conventional description.

² An excellent example is the *Irish Æneid*, edited and translated by the Rev. G. Calder (Irish Texts Society, vol. vi 1905 6d net).

back to the eighth or seventh, as well as to the mythic-romantic cycle, the *Four Branches of the Mabinogi*, put together in its extant form towards the close of the eleventh century. We have also had to refer to the body of Welsh law compiled in the tenth century. What we further possess of early matter comprises some half a dozen prose romances, chiefly belonging to the Arthurian cycle, a small body of chronicle compiled in the twelfth century, a relatively large amount of translation from Latin and French, likewise dating from the twelfth or thirteenth century, and a considerable output of bardic verse from the same period. After the extinction of Welsh independence (end of the thirteenth century) the status of the bards suffers a change reflected in their productions, less formally encomiastic, Welsh poetry takes a wider range as well as a more subjective, individualised tone. The greatest of Welsh poets, Dafydd ap Gwilym, belongs to the fourteenth century. But, as a whole, the literary tradition of verse survives and is normally developed down to the close of the mediæval period, which in Wales may be said to continue to the Methodist movement of the eighteenth century, the effect of which was as marked as that of Puritanism in England. In prose, on the other hand, Wales, which, as will be shown, produced the most finished masterpieces of European literature outside Iceland in the eleventh to twelfth centuries, becomes suddenly silent after the thirteenth century and remains so, as far as artistic production is concerned, for hundreds of years.

Old Welsh verse has this in common with that of Ireland, the absence of epic, indeed of narration in any shape, offering thus the sharpest contrast to the contemporary Anglo-Saxon poetry, which is almost entirely epic in character and tone. The longest Welsh poem, *The Gododin*, the subject of which is probably the battle of Cattraeth A.D. 596, may be described as a sequence of elegiac outbursts in which the art of *not*

furnishing definite information is carried to extreme limits, the finest as literature, those ascribed to the sixth to seventh century Llywarch Hen, are elegiac in tone and quasi-dramatic in form—reminiscent of old-time glories, insistent upon past woe, regretful of the pride and lust of youth, they present striking parallels with the kindred utterances assigned in Ireland to Oisín, son of Finn. The encomiastic verse associated with the sixth-century North Cumbrian chieftain Urien and his struggles against the Angles of Bernicia looks genuine, but like most encomiastic poetry its substantive interest is small. The mythico-heroic verse associated with Arthur is tantalisingly scanty, and the positive information it yields is meagre in the extreme, but it nevertheless suffices to show (what indeed is plain from the witness of the eighth-century Nennius) that a mythico-romantic Arthur saga did exist in eighth to eleventh century Wales. These portions of the Old Welsh *corpus* do not seem to have suffered much at the hands of later scribes, it is otherwise with the quasi-mythical or Christian legendary verse ascribed to Taliesin and Merlin, this was undoubtedly interpolated, rewritten, deliberately imitated throughout the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, until it becomes a matter of the utmost difficulty to discriminate new and old in the existing mosaic. This is also the case with legendary heroic matter like the *Verses of the Graves*, a collection commemorating the resting-places of heroes famous in Welsh tradition. Obviously, there is no limit to the extent that may be given to such a collection, and there is no certain method of distinguishing the original nucleus from later additions. It is noteworthy that we have lost all clue to many of the allusions in this poem ¹

¹ Early Welsh literature as translated fills a small number of volumes. The Laws in the Rolls Series, the historical prose in the Rolls Series edition of the *Bruts*, the romantic prose in Lady Charlotte Guest's *Mabinogion*, the early poetry

A fact which has been too little insisted upon alike by defenders and impugnors of the authenticity of this body of verse is the marked difference of subject matter and tone between it and the productions of known bards of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. The surviving amount of these is considerable, they are, save for a few subjective poems, purely encomiastic, their horizon is that of their time and land, they do not wear the same aspect or suggest the same problem as the poetry traditionally assigned to the pre-twelfth century bards. It seems to me incredible that, if much of this latter were really, as Mr Nash and others have maintained, composed in the twelfth century, the work of known bards of the period should present no examples of similar style and conception. The very fact that the old verse continued to be interpolated and imitated throughout the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, whilst as a whole it differs so completely from the dated productions of the time, affords the strongest argument in favour of the traditional regard paid to it and of its antique character.

Welsh verse, whether of the presumed pre-twelfth century description or of known twelfth or thirteenth century origin, contains very little nature poetry in comparison with that of Ireland, and what little there is differs markedly from the Irish. The most famous example, Taliesin's *Address to the Wind*, well illustrates this difference, in place of the minute, tenderly intimate

in Skene's *Four Ancient Books of Wales*. Very little of the dated twelfth-century and later poetry has been translated, nor, indeed, has the greater part as yet been critically edited. The Welsh have been content to allow their greatest poet, Dafydd ap Gwilym, to remain for a hundred and twenty years in an admittedly faulty and imperfect edition. Recently a German scholar, Dr Stern, has done something to remove this reproach. See his *Dafydd ap Gwilym* (*Zeit für Celt Philologie*)

or sharply bitten descriptive detail of the Irish poem, in which we feel that the artist has his eye fixed upon a particular spot or upon a particular mode of Nature, we have the personification of a natural force, presented in general terms and symbolically, so to say. The effect is fine, and of a character not found in early Irish poetry.

It is remarkable that whilst pre-fourteenth century Welsh verse, whether older or newer, differs in this most important respect from Irish poetry, the great Welsh fourteenth-century singer, Dafydd ap Gwilym, reveals in his nature poetry much of the close and intimate observation, the capacity to sympathise with Nature and render her faithfully in all her changing moods, that distinguishes the earlier Irishmen. In this, as in other respects, Dafydd has a vein of vivid, realistic feeling that sets him apart from and above most other Welsh poets of the Middle Ages.

Apart from the kinship of Llywarch Hen and the Oisín poem, the points of contact between early Welsh and early Irish verse are not marked. In prose, whilst there is also general dissimilarity, there is partial kinship of a striking nature. The standing form of Irish narration, *cante-fable*, is entirely unknown to Wales save in one later tale of which *Talesin* is the hero, and even here the likeness of form is apparent rather than real. But the chief difference between the two Celtic literatures lies in the superiority of Welsh story-telling. Its scanty remains, some three hundred pages in all, fall into four sections: the mythological, the *Four Branches of the Mabinogi*, romantic British history, the romantic presentment of Arthur and his men, the chivalric presentment of the Arthurian knights. The first has personages and, to a slight extent, themes in common with the Irish mythical romances about the Tuatha de Danann, the third has formal, stylistic features in common with the later

stages (tenth to eleventh century) of Irish mythico-heroic romance which can only be explained by influence of the one body of literature or the other, nor can there be any doubt that Wales is the imitator. Whether we compare the *Four Branches* with such Irish tales as the *Wooring of Etain* or the *Battle of Moytura*, or, in the third section, *Kilhwch and Olwen* or *Rhonabwy's Dream* with *Bricriu's Feast* or *Mesce Ulad*, we cannot fail to note the higher artistry of the Welshman. The *Four Branches* cycle notably is, in its way, as finished an example of the art of narrative as Snorre Sturluson's prose retellings of the Eddaic myths, from which it differs altogether in quality but which it equals in merit. Still more notable is the case of the other two stories. These are characterised by traits entirely lacking in the *Four Branches* cycle—lengthy enumerations, fondness for triadic grouping of incident and detail, frequency of brilliant *bravura* descriptive passages introduced, one instinctively feels, out of sheer delight in the splendour of words and the skill of artfully constructed sentences. Now all these traits are characteristic of the best Irish work of the tenth to eleventh centuries, and there can, I think, be absolutely no doubt that the two Welsh tales represent all the sole surviving remains of the effect produced by the Irish models upon Welsh twelfth-century story-telling. But here the imitators have far surpassed their model, they handle the borrowed form in such a way as to produce a maximum of effect whilst avoiding the errors into which the Irish storytellers so frequently fall—over-elaboration of technique, mechanical and wearisome use of convention. *Rhonabwy's Dream* is in this connection instructive in the extreme, the conventional scheme is even more elaborate and rigid than in any Irish tale, the technique even more highly wrought, and yet the effect is neither tedious nor repellent, but, to use a cant phrase, entirely convincing.

Whence did the Welsh story-tellers derive this perfection of form, of style, which might also be illustrated, though to a less extent, from a story in the second section, the *Dream of Maxen Wledig* ? The few stories I have cited all assumed their present shape, I believe, in the hundred years reaching from A D 1075 to 1175. The three tales belonging to the fourth section—the chivalric presentment of the Arthurian knights—may be from twenty to forty years later. Some would answer the question I have asked by reference to the influence of Norman-French culture. Now it cannot be denied that this influence does make itself felt even in what I regard as the oldest, in their extant form, of these tales, the *Four Branches* cycle. The personages have not only ceased to be mythical, have not only forsworn even demi-godhood, but they approximate in a measure, slight but yet unmistakable, to the mediæval chieftain, to the baron. But, admitting the fact of this influence in the detail of material equipment and of what may be called social practice, I cannot accept it in the domain of literary style. Norman-French was most certainly the most advanced material and social culture of the eleventh and twelfth centuries. It easily dominated that of either the Teutons or the Celts in Britain. But literary culture ! Where are the examples of French literary artistry from A D 1050 to 1130 ? Where, in particular, are the models of French prose which, *ex hypothesi*, influenced so favourably that of Wales ? As is well known, French prose only develops towards the close of the twelfth century, and we must advance well into the thirteenth century before we meet with any French prose which possesses in a measure the narrative gifts, the stylistic merits of the Welsh tales. As a simple matter of fact we do possess Welsh thirteenth-century translations of French contemporary texts, these are, for mediæval translations, faithful, reproducing closely the merits, such as they are, and

defects of their originals, but utterly distinct from the native examples of story-telling. Further, the three Welsh prose tales of my fourth section—the chivalric presentment of the Arthurian knights—correspond in subject matter to three French poems of Chrétien de Troies, written between 1165 and 1185. It is claimed by the eminent German scholar Professor Foerster and his disciples that the Welsh prose is a simple adaptation of the French verse. I can but repeat what I maintained eight years ago, that, as examples of narrative art pure and simple, the nameless Welsh story-teller far exceeds Chrétien, the greatest French poet of the twelfth century. ‘In the one case the story, grey and colourless, drags its slow length along, tedious and embarrassed with unskilful repetition, with unimportant digression, in the other the narrative is direct and vivid, bathed in colour, bringing into high relief with an artistic instinct almost uncanny in its rightness those traits and features which produce a picturesque, a romantic effect.’¹

Thus, even if it could be proved that the Welsh prose tales belong in the main to the thirteenth instead of to the twelfth century, and it would be necessary to prove this before the possibility of French stylistic influence upon Welsh prose can be admitted, I still fail to see how the remarkable merits of the one literature can be traced to the other in which these very merits are lacking, great as may be those which it possesses. I cannot profess to explain why Welsh prose should so suddenly and for so limited a period have attained such a high level of excellence. The cessation of prose cannot be put down to the debit of the Anglo-Norman conquest of Edward I. On the contrary, as already stated, the effects of the conquest were, if anything, favourable to the development of verse, poetry freed

¹ Quoted from my edition of the *Mabinogion*, p. 351

from the shackles of professional bardism took a wider range, made a deeper appeal. Why should it have been otherwise with prose?

A few words respecting the later developments of Welsh literature may not be out of place. We miss the conservative traditional character of Irish literature, nothing corresponds in Wales to the persistence of the Ossianic legends retaining a centuries-old subject matter and modes of expression down to the present day, nothing to the centuries-long tyranny of certain literary conventions. The poets, lacking an immense store of conventional epithets, similes, modes of expression, themes, and incidents, are compelled to be either more realistic or to seek for inspiration outside the native tradition, when prose revives in the seventeenth century, instead of repeating conventional romance *ad nauseam*, as is too often the case with Irish prose from 1601 onwards, it turns to foreign literature for fresh material. Hence, such a seventeenth-century Welsh work as Ellis Wynn's *Bardd Cwsc*, modelled upon the *Visions* of Quevedo, has a modernity of context and form to which it would be vain to seek an Irish parallel. Hence the contrast of a typical eighteenth-century Welsh poet such as Goronwy Owen and a typical Irish poet of the same century such as Michael Comyn, whose mental horizon, whose literary form are still wholly those of mediæval romance. Hence even the difference between such a man as Edward Lhuyd, fully abreast of the archæological and philological science of his day, a morning star of the modern critical method, and such Irish contemporaries as Duaid MacFirbis or Roderic O'Flaherty, worthy inheritors of an immense antiquarian tradition which they sum up but beyond which they make no advance. Irish literature has, in fact, remained mediæval almost to the present day, Welsh for some two centuries* or so has essayed, however timidly, to take its place

among modern living literatures. If, as has been conjectured, the chief singer of Wales, Dafydd ap Gwilym, shows signs of indebtedness to the great lyric school which flourished in Southern France in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, if I am right in asserting that such masterpieces of Welsh story-telling as *Kilhwch* or *Rhonabwy* reveal contact with eleventh-century Irish literature, the tendency is no new one in Wales. The Welsh genius has not been content, bear-like, to live on its own fat, it has sought for nutriment and inspiration outside its own borders and its own traditions. Hence, though younger, scantier than Irish literature, a phenomenon less unique in our modern world, the literature of Wales reaches at times a higher level, possesses greater apparent elements of vitality. Be it noted, moreover, that, so far, the chief direct influence of the Celtic spirit upon European literature at large has been exercised through the medium of that Arthurian legend which has its origins and was largely elaborated in Wales.

The brief survey of early Celtic literature reveals, as far as Ireland is concerned, an almost continuous process of decadence, due to lack of stimulating, fertilising contact with the outside world, to the persistent sway of convention alike in conception and in execution, to the dominance of a romantic note eschewing ever more and more realism of subject matter, unforced freshness of expression. Many Irishmen are dreaming to-day of reviving this literature, of setting it once again in the ranks of the great utterances of humanity. Fifty years ago Arnold would have scouted the possibility of such a resurrection. As I have stated, I do not think he would be so positive to-day. But he would, I am sure, say, and say rightly, that if the Irish and Welsh literatures are really to live in the twentieth century, they must belong to that century, must express its thoughts and emotions, must accept its views in

philosophy, in morals, in art. Whilst welcoming the vivid realism of the younger Irish dramatists seeking in contact with peasant life an Antæus-like renewal of vigour, he would point out that, whether for good or evil, humanity cannot rest on the peasant level any more than it can permanently abide in Faery. To live, to be effective, literature must be adequate to all the manifold, to the most complex manifestations of life, physical, mental, spiritual. No single literature can claim wholly to fulfil this ideal, there is room for every instrument in the orchestra of humanity, every race can play its part therein so long as it is prepared to accept the burdens of the present, whilst treasuring what is best in its past historic consciousness.

POPULAR STUDIES IN MYTHOLOGY, ROMANCE, AND FOLK-LORE.

16mo Stuff wrapper Each 6d net (post free 7d)

*** This Series, issued under the general direction of Mr Alfred Nutt, is intended to impart in a concise and accurate, but thoroughly popular manner, information concerning the subjects of which it treats. Each study gives a broad survey of the theme, unembarrassed by notes or references. Full bibliographical appendices are provided for those who wish to carry the study of the subject further.*

- 1 **CELTIC AND MEDIÆVAL ROMANCE.** By ALFRED NUTT 1899
- 2 **FOLK-LORE** What it is and what is the good of it By E S HARTLAND, F S A, President of the Folk Lore Society 1899
- 3 **OSSIAN AND THE OSSIANIC LITERATURE.** By ALFRED NUTT 1900
- 4 **KING ARTHUR AND HIS KNIGHTS.** By JESSIE L WESTON 1900
- 5 **THE NATIONAL POETRY OF THE FINNS.** By CH BILLSON 1900
- 6 **THE FAIRY MYTHOLOGY OF SHAKESPEARE.** By ALFRED NUTT 1900
- 7 **MYTHOLOGY AND FOLK-TALES** Their Relation and Interpretation By E S HARTLAND 1900
- 8 **CUCHULAINN, THE IRISH ACHILLES.** By ALFRED NUTT 1900
- 9 **THE RIG VEDA.** By F VERNON ARNOLD 1900
- 10 **THE ROMANCE CYCLE OF CHARLEMAGNE AND HIS PEERS** By JESSIE L WESTON 1901
- 11 **THE MABINOGION.** By IVOR B JOHN, B A 1901
- 12 **THE EDDAS I. THE DIVINE MYTHOLOGY OF THE NORTH** By WINIFRED FARADAY, M A 1902
- 13 **THE EDDAS II. THE HEROIC MYTHOLOGY OF THE NORTH** By WINIFRED FARADAY, M A 1902
- 14 **THE LEGENDS OF THE HOLY GRAIL.** By ALFRED NUTT 1902
- 15 **THE LEGEND CYCLE OF DIETRICH OF BERN.** By F E SANDBACH 1905
- 16 **THE POPOL VUH** The Mythic and Heroic Sagas of the Kiche of Central America Summarised and discussed by LEWIS SPENCE 6d

*** The "Popol Vuh" is the most interesting and genuine monument of native American Mythology which has been preserved to us*

DAVID NUTT, 57-59 Long Acre, London, W C.

