

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OU_218281

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

954.03
OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY v. 1

Call No. ~~954.024~~ / 1464H Accession No. 6321

Author Mill. James.

Title History of British India

This book should be returned on or before the date
last marked below.

THE HISTORY
OF BRITISH INDIA,
BY
MILL & WILSON.

IN TEN VOLUMES.

VOL. I.

THE HISTORY OF
BRITISH INDIA.

BY JAMES MILL, ESQ.

FIFTH EDITION WITH NOTES AND CONTINUATION;

BY HORACE HAYMAN WILSON, M.A. F.R.S.

MEMBER OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETIES OF PARIS, BOSTON AND CALCUTTA,
AND OF THE ORIENTAL SOCIETY OF GERMANY; OF THE IMPERIAL INSTITUTE OF FRANCE, AND THE
IMPERIAL ACADEMIES OF VIENNA AND ST. PETERSBURGH; OF THE ROYAL ACADEMIES
OF BERLIN AND MUNICH, ETC., ETC.; AND HODEN PROFESSOR OF
HINDUSTANI IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

VOLUME 1.

LONDON:
JAMES MADDEN, 8, LEADENHALL STREET;
PIPER, STEPHENSON AND SPENCE,
PATERNOSTER ROW.

M.DCCC.LVIII.

LONDON:
PRINTED BY WERTHEIMER AND CO.,
CIRCUS PLACE, FINSBURY CIRCUS.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE.
Preface.....	vii
Glossary.....	xxxvii

BOOK I.

Commencement of the British Intercourse with India, and the Circumstances of its progress, till the Establishment of the Company on a durable Basis, by the Act of the Sixth of Queen Anne.....	1

CHAPTER I.

From the Commencement of the Efforts to begin a Trade with India, till the Change of the Company from a regulated to a Joint-stock Company.....	2

CHAPTER II.

From the Change of the Company into a Joint-stock Company, in 1612, till the Formation of the Third Joint-stock in 1631-32	21

CHAPTER III.

From the Formation of the Third Joint-stock, in 1632, till the Coalition of the Company with the Merchant Adventurers in 1657....	45

CHAPTER IV.

From the Coalition between the Company and the Merchant Adventurers, till the Project for a new and a rival East India Company	62

CHAPTER V.

From the Project of forming a new and rival Company, till the Union of the two Companies by the Award of Godolphin, in the year 1711.....	80

BOOK II.—OF THE HINDUS.

CHAPTER I.

Chronology and Ancient History of the Hindus	107

CHAPTER II.

Classification and Distribution of the People	123

CHAPTER III.

The Form of Government	141

CHAPTER IV.

The Laws	154

CHAPTER V.

The Taxes	201

CHAPTER VI.

cligion.	228

CHAPTER VII.

Manners	303

PREFACE OF THE EDITOR.

IN the Preface to the History of British India, Mr. Mill has claimed for himself the merits of patient and laborious investigation, and of original and independent judgment. The claim is substantiated by his work. His history is remarkable for extensive and diligent research, and for opinions which are peculiar either to the author, or to the school of which he was a distinguished disciple.

Whilst, however, the historian of British India has derived the facts which he relates from numerous and diversified sources of information, and has investigated those sources with undeniable industry and unquestionable talent, it is not to be imagined that his labours have in every instance been rewarded with success, or that he has left nothing unexplored. He has himself taken pains to guard against such an expectation. He acknowledges that his opportunities of consulting published authorities were sometimes transient and precarious, that in some things, the unpublished documents of which he had need were not accessible to him; and that in the latter portion of his work, which may be regarded as almost contemporary history, he was in want of much personal information which he believed to exist, and which might have rendered his narrative richer, and perhaps more accurate in matters of detail. To supply in some degree the omissions, and to correct the inaccuracies which have arisen from these causes, as far as additional materials supply the means, is one of the objects of the present publication. Many of the documents, and much of the personal information which Mr. Mill desiderated, have been given to the public since he wrote, and various valuable works, comprehending periods and transactions of which he treats, have furnished

facilities for clearly understanding, and definitively appreciating much that was dark and doubtful at the date of his inquiries. Of these publications, it is sufficient here to specify the works of Sir John Malcolm, the biographies of Clive and Munro, and the Indian portion of the despatches of Marquis Wellesley and the Duke of Wellington. •

Besides the defects occasioned by incomplete materials, the History of British India presents inaccuracies both of fact and opinion, which have risen from the author's imperfect knowledge of the country, and unacquaintance with any of the languages spoken in it. He has taken great pains to prove that these deficiencies are of no consideration, and that his never having been in India, and his possessing but a slight and elementary acquaintance with any of the languages of the East, are to be regarded rather as qualifications than disqualifications for the task which he had undertaken. His arguments are ingenious: they will carry conviction but to few. It is true that residence in a country, command of its dialects, conversancy with its literature, are but humble elements in the formation of the historical character; but they are elements, and cannot be discarded without injury to the consistency and completeness of the whole. It is also true, that there are many circumstances in the position of the servants of the East India Company, which are unpropitious to the development and cultivation of the talent and knowledge requisite to constitute a historian of India; but, although these circumstances may counterbalance, in the individuals themselves, the benefits derivable from personal observation, they do not therefore invalidate the reality of those benefits, or render local knowledge altogether valueless. It may be without reservation conceded, that no one person of the many who have been engaged in official duty in India, or who have earned distinction as oriental scholars, has yet brought to the attempt to write a history of India the same degree of fitness as Mr. Mill; yet it cannot but be felt, that had Mr. Mill himself passed but a short time in the country, or been but moderately versed in any de-

partment of its literature, his history would have been exempt from many of those blemishes by which its perfectness is now impaired, and its utility diminished.

Personal knowledge of a country, and especially of India, possesses one great recommendation, of which Mr. Mill does not seem to have been aware. It secures one important historical requisite, of the want of which his pages present many striking examples. It enables the historian to judge of the real value of that evidence to which he must have recourse for matters that are beyond the sphere of his own observation. Mr. Mill justly argues, that it is only by combining the observations of a number of individuals, that a comprehensive knowledge of any one subject can be acquired, and that in so extensive and complicated a subject as India, a very small portion can fall under the cognizance of any single observer. Yet it should be considered, that although the subject be diversified in its details, it is in substance the same. Amidst all the varieties of the picture, there are many features in common, and he to whom those features are familiar, will be able to judge of the fidelity with which they are delineated by another and will thence be able to infer the power and disposition of the artist, to portray with truth and skill the lineaments which are less intimately known to himself. He will be in a situation to estimate with accuracy the opportunities which the author of an account of any part of India may have enjoyed, of gathering authentic information ; he will be in the way of learning something of the narrator's pursuits, habits, occupation, and prepossessions, and will by daily experience be prepared for the many circumstances by which observation is biassed, and opinions are instilled. He will know what to credit, what to mistrust, what to disbelieve. He will be qualified to select the pure metal from the dross, to separate the false from the true. An incompetency to perform this most essential part of the duties of a careful and critical historian is constantly apparent in the citations which Mr. Mill was made, either in his text or his notes, from writers on

India. He commonly attaches the greatest weight to the authorities which are least entitled to confidence, or adduces from those of a higher order, the passages which are least characterized by care and consideration. Numerous instances of Mr. Mill's mistaken estimate and partial application of authority are pointed out in the present publication. To have specified all, would have swelled the annotations to a disproportionate and inconvenient bulk. A local knowledge of India on the part of its historian, would have obviated the necessity of most of these animadversions.

Acquaintance with the languages and literature of India would have preserved Mr. Mill from some other mistaken conclusions. He states it as his conviction, that even when he wrote, a sufficient stock of information had been collected in the languages of Europe to enable an inquirer to ascertain every important point in the history of India. As far as this assertion may be considered applicable to the European part of Indian history, it is inconsistent with the deficiencies which he has himself indicated. It is still more incorrect when applied to the history of the Hindus and the Mohammedans of Hindustan. Many very important accessions have been acquired in both these respects since the publication of the history of British India, but many more remain to be supplied, before it can be asserted with truth, that every important point in the history of India has been ascertained. In the Journals of the several Asiatic Societies, and the publications of various Hindu scholars, information almost entirely new and of exceeding interest, has been obtained within the last few years, relating to the religion, philosophy, and ancient history of the Hindus, whilst their later fortunes have been richly illustrated by the history of the Marathas, and the Annals of Rajasthan: until, however, some of the Puranas, and the chief portion of the Vedas, shall have been translated, it is not safe to speculate upon the scope and character of the primitive institutions of the Hindus, and for more recent periods, it is still essential to

extend investigation into those chronicles of the native states which are known to have existence. The whole of the Mohammedan history of India, when Mr. Mill wrote, was restricted to a single compilation, loosely if not incorrectly translated, and to a few fragmentary notices snatched from oblivion by the industrious curiosity of European orientalists. We have now a more trustworthy translation of Ferishta, and in the autobiography of Baber, and in other publications, much more copious and serviceable contributions to our knowledge of the transactions of the* Mohammedans in India: but every epoch of their rule-abounds with original authorities, many of which are of great merit, and the principal of these must be translated or consulted before we can venture to affirm that we have, in the languages of Europe, materials sufficient for the determination of every important point in the Mohammedan history of India.

From these remarks it will be apparent, that with regard to the facts of his history, the sources of his information were more scanty and less pure than the historian suspected. Exceptions even more comprehensive may be taken to his opinions. In many instances, the intensity of his prejudices has dimmed the clearness of his perception, and blunted the acuteness of his intelligence. However unconscious of deserving the imputation, he is, liable to the censure which he has pronounced upon one clas3 of candidates for popular approbation. He is a zealot for a party; he panegyryzes its leader; he places its principles in the fairest light; he labours to bring odium upon the principles and practices of his opponents; he advocates, in a word, the theoretical views of Mr. Bentham, and tries all measures and all institutions by a scale constructed according to the notions of that writer upon law: and government. As long as the opinions thus prompted, are put forth as abstract propositions, or affect conclusions, irrelevant to the main subject of the composition, it has not been thought necessary to controvert them, but when, they are employed as standards by which to try the con-

duct of the East India Company and of their servants, either in their commercial or political connexion with India, it has been occasionally attempted to demonstrate their unsoundness, their inapplicability, or their injustice

Of the proofs which may be discovered in Mr. Mill's history of the operation of preconceived opinions, in confining a vigorous and active understanding to a partial and one-sided view of a great question, no instance is more remarkable than the unrelenting pertinacity with which he labours to establish the barbarism of the Hindus. Indignant at the exalted, and it may be granted, sometimes exaggerated descriptions of their advance in civilization, of their learning, their sciences, their talents, their virtues, which emanated from the amiable enthusiasm of Sir "William Jones, Mr. Mill has entered the lists against him with equal enthusiasm, but a less commendable purpose, and has sought to reduce them as far below their proper level, as their encomiasts may have formerly elevated them above it. With very imperfect knowledge, with materials exceedingly defective, with an implicit faith in all testimony hostile to Hindu pretensions, he has elaborated a portrait of the Hindus which has no resemblance whatever to the original, and which almost outrages humanity. As he represents them, the Hindus are not only on a par with the least civilized nations of the Old and New World, but they are plunged almost without exception in the lowest depths of immorality and crime. Considered merely in a literary capacity, the description of the Hindus in the History of British India, is open to censure for its obvious unfairness and injustice; but in the effects which it is likely to exercise upon the connexion between the people of England and the people of India, it is chargeable with more than literary demerit: its tendency is evil; it is calculated to destroy all sympathy between the rulers and the ruled; to preoccupy the minds of those who issue annually from Great Britain, to monopolize the posts of honour and power in Hindustan, with an unfounded aversion towards those over whom they exercise

that power, and from whom they enforce that honour; and to substitute for those generous and benevolent feelings, which the situation of the younger servants of the Company in India naturally suggests, sentiments of disdain, suspicion, and dislike, uncongenial to their age and character, and wholly incompatible with the full and faithful discharge of their obligations to Government and to the people. There is reason to fear that these consequences are not imaginary, and that a harsh and illiberal spirit has of late years prevailed in the conduct and councils of the rising service in India, which owes its origin to impressions imbibed in early life from the History of Mr. Mill. It is understood, that had he lived to revise the work, he would probably have modified some of the most exceptionable passages in this part of it, and it has been an especial object of the present edition, to show that the unfavourable views which Mr. Mill exhibits of the civilization and character of the Hindus, are always extreme, and are not unfrequently erroneous and unjust.

It may be thought inconsistent with the unfavourable opinions thus avowed of the History of British India in such important particulars, to have engaged in preparing a new edition of it for the public; but, notwithstanding the imputations which have been urged to its disadvantage the editor regards the history of Mr. Mill as the most valuable work upon the subject which has yet been published. It is a composition of great industry, of extensive information, of much accuracy on many points, of unre-laxing vigour on all; and even where the reader may not feel disposed to adopt the views it advocates, he will rarely fail to reap advantage from the contemplation of them, as they are advanced to illustrate the relations between India and Great Britain. The vast importance of that connexion is never lost sight of; and in describing the steps by which it was formed, or speculating on the means by which it may be perpetuated, a lofty tone of moral and political principle is maintained; which, even when we may think that the principles are unfairly applied, is entitled to our

respect, which, in a great number of instances, commands unhesitating acquiescence and which is well worthy of Imitation by all to whom the interests of our Indian empire are matters, either of theoretical reasoning, or of practical administration. In dwelling upon the defects of the work, it has been intended only to explain the motives of those endeavours which have been made to remedy them. and it is hoped, that in the annotations which have been inserted, such correctives will have been provided, as may obviate the evil consequences of what the editor apprehends to be mistaken or mischievous, without impairing the utility, or detracting from the credit of that which he believes to be correct and instructive.

PREFACE OF THE AUTHOR.

IN the course of reading and investigation, necessary for acquiring that measure of knowledge which I was anxious to possess, respecting my country, its people, its government, its interests, its policy, and its laws, I was met, and in some degree surprised, by extraordinary difficulties, when I arrived at that part of *my* inquiries which related to India. On other subjects, of any magnitude and importance, I generally found, that there was some one book, or small number of books, containing the material part of the requisite information; and in which direction was obtained, by reference to other books, if, in any part, the reader found it necessary to extend his researches. In regard to India, the case was exceeding different. The knowledge, requisite for attaining an adequate conception of that great scene of British action, was collected no where. It was scattered in a great variety of repositories, sometimes in considerable portions, often in very minute ones; sometimes by itself, often mixed up with subjects of a very different nature: and, even where information relating to India stood disjoined from other subjects, a small portion of what was useful lay commonly embedded in a large mass of what was trifling and insignificant; and of a body of statements, given indiscriminately as matters of fact, ascertained by the senses, the far greater part was in general only matter of opinion, borrowed, in succession, by one set of Indian gentlemen from another.¹

In bestowing the time, labour, and thought, necessary to explore this assemblage of heterogeneous things, and to

¹ The difficulty arising from this source of false information was felt by the very first accurate historian.

Οἱ γὰρ ἀνθρώποι: τὰς ἀκρὰς τῶν προγεγενημένων, καὶ τὴν πενίαν σφίσι
ἢ ἐκείναις ἀβασανίστως παρ' ἀλλήλων λαμβάνουσι. Thucyd. lib. i. c. x'. Other ex-
cellent observations to the same purpose are found in the two following
chapters.

separate, for my own use, what was true and what was useful, from what was insignificant and what was false, I was led to grieve, that none of those who had preceded me, in collecting for himself a knowledge of Indian affairs, had been induced to leave his collection for the benefit of others ; and perform the labour of extracting and ordering the dispersed and confused materials of a knowledge of India, once for all. The second reflection was, that, if those who preceded me had neglected this important service, and in so doing were not altogether free from blame, neither should I be exempt from the same condemnation, if I omitted what depended upon me, to facilitate and abridge to others the labour of acquiring a knowledge of India; an advantage I should have valued so highly, had it been afforded by any former inquirer.

In this manner, the idea of writing a History of India was first engendered in my mind. I should have shrunk *from* the task, had I foreseen the labour in which it has involved me.

The books, in which more or less of information respecting India might be expected to be found, were sufficiently numerous to compose a library, some were books of travels, some were books of History. Some contained philological, some antiquarian, researches. A considerable number consisted of translations from the writings of the natives in the native tongues; others were books on the religion of the people of India ; books on their laws ; books on their sciences, manners, and arts.

The transactions in India were not the only transactions of the British nation, to which the affairs of India had given birth. Those affairs had been the subject of much discussion by the press, and of many legislative, executive, and even judicial proceedings, in England. Those discussions and proceedings would form of course an essential part of the History of British India; and the materials of it remained to be extracted, with much labour, from the voluminous records of British literature, and British legislation.

The British legislature had not satisfied itself with deliberating, and deciding ; it had also inquired ; and, inquiring, it had called for evidence. This call, by the fortunate-publicity of parliamentary proceedings, brought forth the records of the councils in India, and their correspondence, with one another, with their servants, and with the constituted authorities in England; a portion of materials, inestimable in its value ; but so appalling by its magnitude, that many years appeared to be inadequate to render the mind familiar with it.

Such is a short and very imperfect description of the state of the materials.¹ The operations necessary to draw from them a useful history, formed the second subject of consideration. To omit other particulars, which will easily present themselves, and are common to this with all undertakings of similar nature, a peculiar demand, it is evident, was presented for the exercise of discrimination, that is, of criticism, in a chaotic mass, of such extent, where things relating to the subject were to be separated from things foreign to it ; where circumstances of importance were to be separated from circumstances that were insignificant; where real facts, and just inferences, were to be separated from such as were the contrary; and above all things where facts really testified by the senses, were to be discriminated from matters, given as testified by the senses, but which, in truth, were nothing but matters of opinion, confounded with matters of fact, and mistaken for them, in the minds of the reporters themselves.²

¹ " I l'y avoit plus de clioses la-dessus qu'on ne croyoit communement, mais elles étoient noyées dans une foule de recueils immenses, en langues Latine, Espagnole, Angloise, et Hollandoise, où personne ne s'avisoit de les aller chercher; dans une quantité de routiers tres-sees, tres ennuyeux, relatifs à cent autres objets, et dont il seroit presque impossible de rendre la lecture intéressante. Les difficultés ne touchent guere ceux qui ne les essayent pas." Hist. des Navigations aux Torres Australes, par M. le President de Brosse.

² " L'on ne sent trop," says Mr. Gibbon, " combien nous sommes portés à mêler nos idées avec celles que nous rapportons." *Memoire sur la Monarchie des Médès*, Gibbon's *Miscel. Works*, iii. 61. Ed. 8vo. This infirmity of the human mind, a fact of great importance, both in speculation and in action, the reader, who is not already acquainted with it, will find very elegantly illustrated in one of the chapters of the second volume of the work of Mr. Bugald Stewart, on the *Philosophy of the Human Mind*. Vol. II. note B., to which more particular reference is made in Book ii. chap. 4. of the present work. Many examples of it will present themselves in the course of this

A history of India, therefore, to be good for any thing, must, it was evident, be, what, for want of a better appellation, has been called, "A Critical History."¹ To criticise means, to judge. A critical history' is, then, a *judging* history. But, if a judging history, what does it judge ?

It is evident that there are two, and only two, classes of objects, which constitute the subject of historical judgments. The first is, the matter of statement, the things given by the historian, as things really done, really said, or really thought. The second is, the matter of evidence) the matter by which the reality of the saying, the doing, or thinking, is ascertained.

In regard to evidence, the business of criticism visibly, is, to bring to light the value of each article, to discriminate what is true from what is false, to combine partial statements, in order to form a complete account, to compare varying, and balance contradictory statements, in order to form a correct one

In regard to the matter of statement, the business of criticism is, to discriminate between real causes and false causes; real effects and false effects; real tendencies and falsely-supposed ones ; between good ends and evil ends;

history, for as it is a habit peculiarly congenial to the mental state of the natives, so a combination of circumstances has given it unusual efficacy in the minds of those of our countrymen by whom India has been surveyed.

¹ The idea of a critical history is not very old. The first man who seems to have had a distinct conception of it, says, " Je traiterai mon sujet en critique, suivant la règle de St. Paul, *Examinez toutes choses, et ne retenez que ce qui est bon.* L'histoire n'est bien souvent qu'un mélange confus de faux et de vrai, entassé par des écrivains mal instruits, crédules, ou passionnés. C'est au lecteur attentif et judicieux d'en faire le discernement, à l'aide d'une critique, qui ne soit ni trop timide, ni téméraire. Sans le secours de cet art, on erre dans l'histoire, comme un pilote sur la mer, lorsqu'il n'a ni boussole, ni carte marine." Beausobre, *Hist. de Manich. Disc. Prélim.* p. 7.

The same writer has also said, what is not foreign to the present purpose, " Une histoire critique ne pouvant être trop bien justifiée, j'ai eu soin de mettre en original, au bas des pages, les passages qui servent de preuve aux faits que j'avance. C'est un ennuyeux travail, mais je l'ai cru nécessaire. Si l'on trouve les citations trop amples et trop abondantes, c'est un superflu qui n'a servi qu'à moi, et le lecteur peut bien m'en pardonner la dépense." *Ibid.* *Préf.* p. 24.

A great historian of our own has said : " It is the right, it is the duty of a critical historian to collect, to weigh, to select the opinions of his predecessors; and the more diligence he has exerted in the search, the more rationally he may hope to add some improvement to the stock of knowledge, the use of which has been common to all." *Gibbon's Miscel. Works*, iv. 582.

jaeans that are conducive, and means not conducive to like ends to which they are applied.

In exhibiting the result of these several judgments, the satisfaction, or the instruction of the reader, is very imperfectly provided for, if the reasons are not adduced. I have no apology therefore to make, for those inductions, or those ratiocinations, sometimes of considerable length which were necessary to exhibit the grounds upon which my decisions were founded. Those critical disquisitions may be well, or they may be ill performed; they may lead to correct, or they may lead to erroneous conclusions ; but they are, indisputably, in place; and my work, whatever had been its virtues in other respects, would have remained most imperfect without them.¹ /

There will be but one opinion, I suppose, with regard to the importance of the service, which I have aspired to the honour of rendering to my country ; for the public are inclined to exaggerate, rather than extenuate, the magnitude of the interests which are involved in the management of their Indian affairs. And it may be affirmed, as a principle not susceptible of dispute, that good management of any portion "of the affairs of any community is almost always proportional to the degree of knowledge respecting it diffused in that community. Hitherto the knowledge of India enjoyed by the British community has been singularly defective. Not only among the uneducated, and those who are regardless of knowledge, but among those who are solicitous to obtain a competent

) Even those strictures, which sometimes occur, on institutions purely British, will be all found, I am persuaded, to be not only- strictly connected with measures which relate to India, and which have actually grown out of those institutions; but indispensably necessary to convey complete and correct ideas of the Indian policy which the institutions in question contributed mainly to shape. The whole course of our Indian policy having, for example, been directed by the laws of parliamentary influence, how could the one be explained without adducing, as in the last chapter of the fourth volume, and in tame other places, the leading principles of the other ? The result of all the Judicial inquiries, which have been attempted in England, on Indian affairs, depending in a great degree on the state of the law in England, how could these events be sufficiently explained, without adducing, as in the chapter on the trial of Mr. Hastings, those particulars in the state of the law of England on which the results in question appeared mora remarkably to depend ? The Importance of this remark will be felt, and, I hope, remembered, when the time lot judging of the use and pertinence of those elucidations arrives.

share of information with respect to every other branch of the national interests, nothing is so rare as to meet with a man who can with propriety be said to know anything of India and its affairs. A man who has any considerable acquaintance with them, without having been forced to acquire it by the offices he has filled, is scarcely to be found.

The same must continue to be the case till the knowledge of India is rendered more accessible. Few men can afford the time sufficient for perusing even a moderate portion of the documents from which a knowledge of India, approaching to completeness, must have hitherto been derived. Of those, whose time is not wholly engrossed, either by business or by pleasure, the proportion is very moderate whom the prospect of a task so heavy, and so tedious, as that of exploring the numerous repositories of Indian knowledge, would not deter. And, with respect to the most important of all the sources of information, the parliamentary documents, they were not before the public, and were by the very nature of the case within the reach of a number comparatively small.

But though no dispute will arise about the importance of the work, I have no reason to expect the same unanimity about the fitness of the workman.

One objection will doubtless be taken, on which I think it necessary to offer some observations, notwithstanding the unfavourable sentiments which are commonly excited by almost any language in which a man can urge pretensions which he may be suspected of urging as his own; pretensions which, though they must exist in some degree in the case of every man who writes a book, and ought to be encouraged, therefore, rather, than extinguished, had better, in general, be understood, than expressed.

This writer, it will be said, has never been in India; and, if he has any, has a very slight and elementary acquaintance with any of the languages of the East.

. I confess the facts, and will now proceed to mention the considerations which led me, notwithstanding, to conclude

that I might still produce a work of considerable utility, on the subject of India.

In the first place, it appeared to me, that a sufficient stock of information was now collected in the languages of Europe, to enable the inquirer to ascertain every important point in the history of India. If I was right in that opinion, it is evident, that a residence in India, or a knowledge of the languages of India, was, to express myself moderately, not indispensable.

In the next place, I observed, that no exceptions were taken to a President of the Board of Control, or to a Governor-General, the men intrusted with all the powers of government in India, because they had never been in India, and knew none of its languages.

Again, I certainly knew, that some of the most successful attempts in history had been made, without ocular knowledge of the country, or acquaintance with its languages. Robertson, for example, never beheld America, though he composed its history. He never was in either Germany or Spain, yet he wrote the history of Charles the Fifth. Of Germany he knew not so much as the language; and it was necessary for him to learn that of Spain, only because the documents which it yielded were not translated into any of the languages with which he was acquainted. Tacitus, though he never was in Germany, and was certainly not acquainted with the language of our uncultivated ancestors, wrote the exquisite account of the manners of the Germans.

But, as some knowledge may be acquired by seeing India, which cannot be acquired without it; and as it can be pronounced of hardly any portion of knowledge that it is altogether useless, I will not go so far as to deny, that a man would possess advantages, who, to all the qualifications for writing a history of India which it is possible to acquire in Europe, should add those qualifications which can be acquired only by seeing the country and conversing with its people. Yet I have no doubt of being able to make out, to the satisfaction of all reflecting minds, that

the man who should bring to the composition of a history of India, the qualifications alone which can *he* acquired in Europe, would come, in an almost infinite degree, better fitted for the task, than the man who should bring to it the qualifications alone which can be acquired in India; **and** that the business of acquiring the one set of qualifications is almost wholly incompatible with that of acquiring the other.

For, let us inquire what it is that a man can learn, by going to India, and understanding its languages. He can treasure up the facts which are presented to his senses ; he can learn the facts which are recorded in such native books as have not been translated ; and he can ascertain facts by conversation with the natives, which have never yet been committed to writing. This he can do ; and I am not aware that he can do any thing further.

But, as no fact is more certain, so none is of more importance, in the science of human nature, than this ; that the powers of observation, in every individual, are exceedingly limited ; and that it is only by combining the observations of a number of individuals, that a competent knowledge of any extensive subject can ever be acquired. Of so extensive and complicated a scene as India, how small a portion would the whole period of his life enable any man to observe !

If, then, we may assume it as an acknowledged fact, that an account of India, complete in all its parts, at any one moment, still more through a series of ages, could never be derived from the personal observation of any one individual, but must be collected from the testimony of a great number of individuals, of any one of whom the powers of perception could extend but a little way, it follows, as a necessary consequence, that a man best qualified for dealing with evidence, is the man best qualified for writing the history of India. It will not, I presume, admit of much dispute, that the habits which are subservient to the successful exploration of evidence are more likely to* **be acquired in Europe than in India.**

The man who employs himself in treasuring up, by means of perception and the languages, the greatest portion of knowledge in regard to India, is he who employs the greatest portion of his life in the business of observing and in making himself familiar with the languages. But tjjie mental habits which are acquired in mere observing, and in the acquisition of languages, are almost AS different as any mental habits can be, from the powers of combination, discrimination, classification, judgment, comparison, weighing, inferring, inducting, philosophizing in short: which are the powers of most importance for extracting the precious ore from a great mine of rude historical materials.

Whatever is worth seeing or hearing in India, can be expressed in writing. As soon as every thing of importance is expressed in writing, a man who is duly qualified may obtain more knowledge of India in one year in his closet in England, than he could obtain during the course of the longest life, by the use of his eyes and ears in India.

As soon as the testimony is received of a sufficient number of witnesses, to leave no room for mistake from the partial or the erroneous statements which they may have separately made, it is hardly doubtful, that a man, other circumstances being equal, is really better qualified for forming a correct judgment on the whole, if his information is totally derived from testimony, than if some portion of it is derived from the senses. It is well known, how fatal an effect on our judgments is exerted by those impulses, called partial impressions; in other words, how much our conceptions of a great whole are apt to be distorted, and made to disagree with their object, by an undue impression, received from some particular part. Nobody needs to be informed, how much more vivid, in general, is the conception of an object which has been presented to our senses, than that of an object which we have only heard another man describe. Nobody, therefore, will deny, that of a great scene, or combination of

scenes, when some small part has been seen, and the knowledge of the rest has been derived from testimony, there is great danger, lest the impression received from the senses should exert an immoderate influence, hang a bias on the mind, and render the conception of the whole erroneous.

If a man were to lay down the plan of preparing himself for writing the history of India, by a course of observation. in the country, he must do one of two things. Either he must resolve to observe minutely a part; or, he must resolve to take a cursory review of the whole. Life is insufficient for more. If his decision is to observe minutely ; a very small portion comparatively is all that he will be able to observe. What aid can he derive from this, in writing a history, has partly been already unfolded, and may for the rest be confided to the reflections, of the intelligent reader.

What I expect to be insisted upon with greatest emphasis is, that, if an observer were to take an expansive view of India, noting, in his progress, those circumstances alone which are of greatest importance, he would come with peculiar advantage to the composition of a history; with lights capable of yielding the greatest assistance in judging even of the evidence of others. To estimate this pretension correctly, we must not forget a well-known and, important law of human nature. From this we shall see, that a cursory view, of the nature of that which is here described, is a process in the highest degree effectual, not for removing error, and perfecting knowledge, but for strengthening all the prejudices, and confirming all the, prepossessions or false notions, with which the observer sets out. This result is proved by a very constant experience ; and may further be seen to spring, with an almost irresistible necessity, from the constitution of the human mind. In a cursory survey, it is understood, that the, mind, unable to attend to the whole of an infinite number, of objects, attaches itself to a few; and overlooks the multitude that remain., But what, then, are the objects

to which the mind, in such a situation, is in preference attracted? Those which fall in with the current of its own thoughts; those which accord with its former impressions; those which confirm its previous ideas. These are the objects to which, in a hasty selection, all ordinary minds are directed, overlooking the rest. For what is the principle in the mind by which the choice is decided? Doubtless that of association. And is not association governed by the predominant ideas? To this remains to be added, the powerful influence of the affections; first, the well-known pleasure which a man finds, in meeting, at every step, with proofs that he is in the right, inspiring an eagerness to look out for that source of satisfaction; and, secondly, the well-known aversion which a man usually has, to meet with proofs that he is in the wrong, yielding a temptation, commonly obeyed, to overlook such disagreeable objects.

He who, without having been a percipient witness in India, undertakes, in Europe, to digest the materials of Indian history, is placed, with regard to the numerous individuals who may have been in India, and of whom one has seen and reported one thing, another has seen and reported another thing, in a situation very analogous to that of the judge, in regard to the witnesses who give their evidence before him. In the investigation of any of those complicated scenes of action, on which a judicial decision is sometimes required, one thing has commonly been observed by one witness, another thing has been observed by another witness; the same thing has been observed in one point of view by one, in another point of view by another witness; some things are affirmed by one, and denied by another. In this scene, the judge, putting together the fragments of information which he has severally received from the several witnesses, marking: where they agree and where they differ, exploring the tokens of fidelity in one, of infidelity in another; of correct conception in one, of incorrect conception in another; comparing the whole collection of statements with the general proba-

bilities of the case, and trying it by the established laws of human nature, endeavours to arrive at a complete and correct conception of the complicated transaction, on which he is called to decide. Is it not understood, that in such a case as this, where the sum of the testimony is abundant, the judge, who has seen no part of the transaction has yet, by his investigation, obtained a more perfect conception of it, than is almost ever possessed by any of the individuals from whom he has derived his information?¹

But, if a life, in any great degree devoted to the collection of facts by the senses and to the acquiring of tongues, is thus incompatible with the acquisition of that knowledge, and those powers of mind, which are most conducive to a masterly treatment of evidence ; it is still less compatible with certain other endowments, which the discharge of the highest duties of the historian imperiously demands. Great and difficult as is the task of extracting perfectly the light of evidence from a chaos of rude materials, it is yet not the most difficult of his operations, nor that which requires the highest and rarest qualifications of the mind. It is the business of the historian not merely to display

¹ The Indians themselves have a striking apologue to illustrate the superiority of the comprehensive student over the partial observer.

"One day in conversation," says Mr. Ward, "with the Sungskritu, head pundit of the College of Fort William, on the subject of God, this man, who is truly learned in his own Shastrus, gave the author, from one of their books, the following parable:—In a certain country, there existed a village of blind men, who had heard of an amazing animal called the elephant, of the shape of which, however, they could procure no idea. One day an elephant passed through the place; the villagers crowded to the spot where the animal was standing; and one of them seized his trunk, another his ear, another his tail, another one of his legs. After thus endeavouring to gratify their curiosity, they returned into the village, and sitting down together, began to communicate their ideas on the shape of the elephant, to the villagers; the man who had seized his trunk said, he thought this animal must be like the body of the plantain tree; he who had touched his ear was of opinion, that he was like the winnowing fan; the man who had laid hold of his tail, said he thought he must resemble a snake; and he who had caught his leg declared, he must be like a pillar. An old blind man, of some judgment, was present, who, though greatly perplexed in attempting to reconcile these jarring notions, at length said—You have all been to examine the animal, and what you report, therefore, cannot be false: I suppose, then, that the part resembling the plantain tree must be his trunk; what you thought similar to a fan must be his ear; the part like a snake must be the tail; and that like a pillar must be his leg. In this way, the old man, uniting all their conjectures ; made out something of the form of the elephant." *A View of the History, Literature, and Religion of the Hindoos.* By the Rev. W. Ward. Introduction p. lxxxvii. London Ed. 1817,

the obvious outside of things ; the qualities which strike the most ignorant observer, in the acts, the institutions, and ordinances, which form the subject of his statements. His duty is, to convey just ideas of all those objects ; of all the transactions, legislative, administrative, judicial, mercantile, military, which he is called upon to describe. But in just ideas of great measures what is implied ? A clear discernment, undoubtedly, of their causes ; a clear discernment of their consequences ; a clear discernment of their natural tendencies ; and of the circumstances likely to operate either in combination with these natural tendencies, or in opposition to them. To qualify a man for this great duty hardly any kind or degree of knowledge is not demanded ; hardly any amount of knowledge, which it is within the competence of one man to acquire, will be regarded as enough. It is plain, for example, that he needs the most profound knowledge of the laws of human nature, which is the end, as well as instrument, of every thing. It is plain, that he requires the most perfect comprehension of the principles of human society ; or the course, into which the laws of human nature impel the human being, in his gregarious state, or when formed into a Complex body along with others of his kind. The historian requires a clear comprehension of the practical play of the machinery of government ; for, in like manner as the general laws of motion are counteracted and modified by friction, the power of which may yet be accurately ascertained and provided for, so it is necessary for the historian correctly to appreciate the counteraction which the more general laws of human nature may receive from individual or specific varieties, and that allowance for it with which his anticipations and conclusions ought to be formed. In short, the whole field of human nature, the whole field of legislation^ the whole field of judicature, the whole field of administration, down to war, commerce, and diplomacy, ought to be familiar to his mind.¹

i " Aux yeux d'un philosophe, les faits composent la partie la moins intéressante de l'histoire. C'est la connoissance de l'homme ; la morale, et la politique qu'il y trouve, qui la relient dans son esprit." Gibbon, *Mem. Sur la Monarchie des Modes*, Misc. Works, iii. 126. Ed. 8vo.

What then ? it will be said, and most reasonably said; do you hold yourself up, as the person in whom all these high qualifications are adequately combined? No. And I am well assured, that by not one of those by whom I shall be criticised, not even by those by whom I shall be treated with the greatest severity, will the distance between the qualifications which I possess, and the qualifications which are desirable in the writer of a history, be estimated at more than it is estimated by myself. But the whole of my life, which I may, without scruple, pronounce to have been a laborious one, has been devoted to the acquisition of those qualifications; and I am not unwilling to confess, that I deemed it probable I should be found to possess them in a greater degree, than those, no part of whose life, or a very small part, had been applied to the acquisition of them. I was also of opinion, that if nobody appeared, with higher qualifications, to undertake the work, it was better it should be done imperfectly, better it should be done even as I might be capable of doing it, than not done at all.

Among the many virtues which have been displayed by the Company's servants, may justly be enumerated the candour with which they themselves confess the necessity binder which they are laid, of remaining to a great degree ignorant of India. That they go out to their appointments at a time of life when a considerable stock of general knowledge cannot possibly have been acquired, is a fact which nobody will dispute. And they are the foremost to declare, that their situation in India is such, as to preclude them from the acquisition of local knowledge. Notwithstanding the high degree of talent, therefore, and even of literary talent, which many of them have displayed, more than some very limited portion of the history of India none of them has ventured to undertake.¹

¹ The following words are not inapplicable, originally applied to a much more limited subject. De quibus partibus singulis, quidam separatim scribere maluerunt, velut onus totius corporis veriti, et sic quoque complures de unaquaque earum libros ediderunt: quas ego omnes ausus contexere prope infinitum mini laborem prospicio, et ipsa cogitatione suscepti muneris fatigor. Sed durandum est quia ccepimus, et si viribus deficiemus, animo tamen perse, verandum. Quint. Inst. Or. Jib. 4. Proem,

" When we consider," said Lord Teignmouth, in his celebrated Minute on the Bevenues of Bengal, " the nature and magnitude of our acquisitions, the characters of the people placed under our dominion, their difference of language, and dissimilarity of manners; that we entered upon the administration of the government ignorant of its former constitution, and with little practical experience in Asiatic finance, it will not be deemed surprising that we should have fallen into errors; or if any should at this time require correction.—If we further consider the form of the British Government in India, we shall find it ill calculated for the speedy introduction of improvement. The members composing it are in a state of constant fluctuation, and the period of their residence often expires, before experience can be acquired, or reduced to practice. Official forms necessarily occupy a large portion of time ; and the constant pressure of business leaves little leisure for study and reflection, without which no knowledge of the principles and detail of the revenues of this country can be attained. True information is also procured with difficulty, because it is too often derived from mere practice, instead of being deduced from fixed principles." ¹

Lord William Bentinck, after being Governor of Fort St. George, and President of the Council at Madras, expresses himself in very pointed terms. " The result of my own observation, during my residence in India, is, that the Europeans generally know little or nothing of the customs and manners of the Hindoos. We are all acquainted with some prominent marks and facts which all who run may read: but their manner of thinking; their domestic habits and ceremonies, in which circumstances a knowledge of the people consists, is, I fear, in great part wanting to us. We understand very imperfectly their language. They, perhaps, know more of ours; but their knowledge is by no means sufficiently extensive to give a description of

¹ No. 1. Appendix to the Fifth Report of the Select Committee of the House of Commons, on the Affairs of the East India Company, in 1810. This passage the Committee have thought of sufficient importance to be Incorporated in their Report.

subjects not easily represented by the insulated words in daily use. We do not, we cannot associate with the natives. We cannot see them in their houses, and with their families. We are necessarily very much confined to our houses by the heat. All our wants and business, which would create a greater intercourse with the natives,, is done for us; and we are in fact strangers in the land."¹

Another servant of the Company, Sir Henry Strachey, distinguished both by his local experience, and by general knowledge, remarking upon the state of judicature, under the English government in India, says, "Another impediment, though of a very different nature from those I have mentioned, and much more difficult to remove, is to me too palpable to be overlooked : — I mean, that arising from Europeans in our situation being necessarily ill qualified, in many points, to perform the duties required of us, as judges and magistrates. This proceeds chiefly from our very imperfect connexion with the natives ; and our scanty knowledge, after all our study, of their manners^ customs, and languages." " We cannot study the genius of the people in its own sphere of action. We know little of their domestic life, their knowledge, conversation, amusements, their trades, and casts, or any of those national and individual characteristics, which are essential to a complete knowledge of them." " The difficulty we experience in discerning truth and falsehood among the natives may be ascribed, I think, chiefly, to our want of connexion and intercourse with them; to the peculiarity of their manners and habits, their excessive ignorance of our characters ; and our almost equal ignorance of theirs."²

¹ Observations of Lord William Bentinck, printed in the Advertisement* prefixed to the "Description of the Character, & c, of the People of India, by the Abbe J. A. Dubois, Missionary in the Mysore. If any one should object to the testimony of this Ruler, as that of a man who had not been bred in India, It is to be remembered that the testimony la adduced, as expressing **his** own opinion, by the translator of that work, whose knowledge of India is not liable to dispute; and given to the world as the opinion of the Court of Directors, to whom the manuscript belonged, and under whose authority and direction, it was both translated and published.

* Fifth Report, ut supra, p. 534,562. " It is a fact," says another enlightened observer," which, however singular and unfortunate, is yet founded in

One or two things I may venture to **affirm that I have** done.

I have performed the business of research, with a labour, and patience, which it would not be easy to surpass. And I believe there is no point, of great importance, involved in the History of India, which the evidence I have adduced is not sufficient to determine. I am, at the same time, aware, that in regard to some things there are documents which were not within my reach; and, concerning the latter part of the history, in particular, that there are individuals in England, possessed of information, which, in several places, would have rendered the narrative richer, and perhaps more accurate, in matters of detail. If I shall be found to have performed, with any tolerable success, what I had the means of performing, the liberality which dis-

truth, that those persons from whom correct information on these subjects might justly be expected, are generally the least able, from the peculiar circumstances of their situation, to supply it; I mean the Company's servants. —During the early period of their residence in the East, every hour must be employed, in the acquisition of the languages, in the study of the laws of the country, and the manners of the natives: whilst the latter years of their service are still more unremittingly engrossed, in the discharge of the irksome and arduous duties of their profession." *Considerations on the Present Political State of India.* By Alexander Fraser Tytler, late Assistant-Judge in the Twenty-four Pergunnahs, Bengal Establishment, Preface, p. xii. See other passages to the same purpose, Introduction, p. iv. v. xi.; also i. 77, 357, 415. And Mr. Tytler quotes with peculiar approbation the passages already given from the *Minute of Lord Teignmouth*.

* I must beg you always to bear in mind, that when an English gentleman undertakes to give an account of Indian manners and habits of private life, he labours under many disadvantages. The obstacles which prevent our ever viewing the natives of India in their domestic circles are great and insuperable; first as the restrictions of caste on their side, rank and situation in ours, &c. We do not intermarry with them, as the Portuguese did: nor do we ever mix with them, in the common duties of social life, on terms of equality. What knowledge we have of their domestic arrangements has been gained chiefly by inquiry, &c." Letters written in a Mahratta camp, &c. by T. D. Brougluon,

See to the same purpose, Sir John Malcolm, *Sketch of the Political History of India*, &c, p. 449.

After adverting to certain erroneous notions on Indian subjects. Lieutenant Moor, the well-informed author of the "Narrative of the Operations of Captain Little's Detachment," observes: "Other opinions, equally correct and entertaining, are indulged by the good people of England; which it is vain to Oppose, for the party 'was told so by a gentleman who had been in India, perhaps a voyage or two; but these, however respectable in their profession, are surely not the persons to receive information from, on the subject of the political characters of the East; no more (nor indeed much less) than some gentlemen who may have *resided* a few years in India; for we can easily admit the possibility of a person spending many years of his life in the cities of Calcutta, Madras, or Bombay, without knowing much more of the politics, prejudices, &c. of interior states or countries, than if he had never stirred out of Loudon, Dublin, or Edinburgh." p. 196.

tinguishes the gentlemen of India gives me reason to hope, that many of those who are possessed of useful information, but whom it was impossible for me to find out, will not be unwilling to contribute their aid to the improvement of the History of British India.

Having thus placed before me the materials of Indian history in a state, I believed, of greater fulness and completeness, than any preceding inquirer, I followed the course of my own thoughts in the judgments which I formed; not because I vainly imagined my thoughts more valuable than those of all other men, but because the sincere and determined pursuit of truth imposed this rigid law. It would not allow me to give for true the opinion of any man, till I had satisfied myself that it was true; still less to give the opinion of any man for true, when I had satisfied myself that it was not true.

Mr. Locke has declared; that he who follows his own thoughts in writing, can hope for approvers in the small number alone, of those who make use of their own thoughts in reading; that, by the rest, "a man is not permitted,, without censure, to follow his own thoughts in the search of truth, when they lead him ever so little out of the common road."

If this is the severe condition, under which a man follows his own thoughts, in writing even on abstract and general truths, how much harder must be the lot of him who follows them, in writing of the actions and characters of powerful men, and bodies of men? Conscious, however, **that I had** been faithful in forming my opinions, I believed **that I lay** under an indispensable obligation to be faithful in expressing them; "to give them without violation of modesty, but yet with the courage of a man unwilling to betray the rights of reason;" and with **that manly plainness, which the sincerity of the historical character appeared to require.**

I could not overlook the probable consequences. "La perfection d'une Histoire," says a great judge, "est d'être désagréable à toutes les sectes, et à toutes les nations;

car c'est une preuve que l'auteur ne flatte ni les uns, ni les autres, et qu'il a dit à chacun ses vérités."¹

He who desires to obtain a considerable portion of immediate applause, has two well-known, and well-trodden paths before him.

The first is, to be a zealot for some particular and powerful party; to panegyricize its leaders; attack its opponents; place its principles and practices in the fairest possible light; and labour to bring odium upon the principles and practices of its opponents. This secures the loud and vehement applause of those who are gratified; and the vehement applause of a great party carries, by contagion, along with it, all, or the greater part of those who are not very strongly engaged by their interests or passions on the opposite side.

The next of the easy ways to the acquisition of fame, consists of two principal parts. The first is, "to wanton in common topics, where a train of sentiment generally received enables a writer to shine without labour, and to conquer without a contest."² The second is to deal for ever in compromise; to give up the half of every opinion and principle; go no further in favour of any side of any question, than may be reconcileable in some degree with the good opinion of those who oppose it; and having written as much on one side, as to extract applause from one set of persons, to turn immediately and write as much on the other, as will extract applause from the opposite sort. This is done, without glaring marks of inconsistency by avoiding all close encounter with the subject, and keeping to vague and general phrases. And in this manner, by a proper command of plausible language, it is easy to obtain reputation with all parties; reputation, not only of great talents, but of great moderation, great wisdom, and great virtue *

¹ Bayle, *Eclaircissement sur le Dictionnaire*.

² *Rambler*, No. ii.

³ Some considerable reputations have been acquired, by praising every thing to one's own country. And there are many persons who sincerely insist upon it, that a writer ought always to contrive to put his country in the right: and that it is a proof of his not being a friend to it, if he ever puts

If my book were possessed of a much greater share of the titles to applause, than even the partialities of the writer allow him to ascribe to it; I have travelled so very wide of those beaten paths to success, that my only chance for it depends, I cannot fail to perceive, upon the degree in which real liberality, that is, strength of mind, is diffused in the* community. I have done enough, doubtless, to secure to myself the malignity of the intemperate, and the narrow-minded, of all parties. I have encouraged myself however, with the belief, that civilization, and the improvement of the human mind, had in this country attained a sufficient elevation to make a book be received as useful, though it neither exaggerated, nor extenuated the good, or the evil, of any man, or combination of men: to afford a multitude, in every party, far enough removed from the taint of vulgar antipathies, to yield to an author, who spoke with sincerity, and who though he has not spoken with a view to gratify any party, or any individual, most assuredly has never spoken with a view to hurt any, a compensation for the hostilities of the lower and more ungenerous portion of every party.

Though I am aware of many defects in the work which I have ventured to offer to the public; and cannot forget how probable it is, that more impartial and more discerning eyes will discover many which are invisible to mine, I shall yet appeal from the sentence of him, who shall judge of me solely by what I have not done. An equitable and truly useful decision would be grounded upon an accurate estimation of what I have done, and what I have not done, taken together.

It will also deserve to be considered, how much was in the power of any individual to compass. In so vast a subject, it was clearly impossible for one man* to accomplish every thing. Some things it was necessary to leave that

In the wrong. This is a motive which I utterly disclaim. This is the way, not to be a friend to one's country, hut an enemy. It is to bring upon it the disgrace of falsehood and misrepresentation, in the first instance; and, next, to afford it all the inducement, in the writer's power, to persevere in mischievous or in disgraceful courses.

others might be taken; some things it was necessary to handle but slightly, that others might be treated with greater attention. The geography, for example, alone, would have occupied a life-time. To nicety in the details of geography, I was, therefore, unable to aspire. I followed without much criticism, the authors whom I was consulting, and was only careful to give, with correctness, that outline and those particulars, which were necessary for understanding completely the transactions recorded in my work. To compensate as far as possible, for that which in this department, I myself was unable to perform, I was anxious to afford the reader the advantage of Mr. Arrow-smith's map, by far the finest display which has yet been made of the geography of India; and in any discrepancy, if any should appear, between the text and that reduction of his noble map, which is prefixed to the second volume, I desire the reader to be guided rather by the geographer than by the historian.

In the orthography of Indian names, I should not have aimed at a learned accuracy, even if my knowledge of the languages had qualified me for the task. I have not been very solicitous even about uniformity in the same name; for as almost every author differs from another in the spelling of Eastern names, it appeared to me to be not altogether useless, that, in a book intended to serve as an introduction to the knowledge of India, a specimen of this irregularity should appear.

There is another apparent imperfection, which I should have more gladly removed. In revising my work for the press, some few instances have occurred, in which I have not been able to verify the references to my authorities. This rose from one of the difficulties of my situation, Unable to command at once the large and expensive number of books, which it was necessary for me to consult, I was often dependent upon accident for the period of my supply; and, if not provided with the best channels of information, obliged to pursue my inquiries, at the moment, in such as I possessed. It was often, in these cases, useful,

for the sake of memory, and of following out the thread of research, to quote, in the first instance, at second hand. "When I afterwards obtained the better authority, it was a matter of anxious care to adjust the reference; but I have met with some instances in which I am afraid the adjustment has not been performed I mention this, to obviate cavils at the appearance of inaccuracy, where the reality does not exist; inaccuracy in form, rather than in substance; for I have no apprehension that those who shall trace me with the requisite perseverance will accuse me of wanting either the diligence, or the fidelity of an historian; and I ought not to have undertaken the task, if I had not possessed the prospect of obtaining, sooner or later, the means of carrying it to completion.

GLOSSARY.

ADAWLUT. Justice, equity; a court of justice. The terms Dewanny Adawlut, and Poudjarry Adawlut, denote the civil and criminal courts of justice. See Dewanny and Foudjarry.

AMEER, MEER, EMIR, a nobleman.

AMEER UL OMRAH. Noble of nobles, lord of lords.

ANNA. A piece of money, the sixteenth part of a rupee.

AUMEEN. Trustee, commissioner. A temporary collector or supervisor, appointed to the charge of a country on the removal of a Zemindar, or for any other particular purpose of local investigation or arrangement.

AUMIL. Agent, officer, native collector of revenue. Superintendent of a district or division of a country, either on the part of the government; Zemindar, or renter.

AUMILDAR. Agent, the holder of an office. An intendant and collector of the revenue, uniting civil, military, and financial powers, under the Mohammedan government.

Aurang. The place where goods are manufactured.

BALA-GHAUT. Above the Ghauts, in contradistinction to Payeen Ghaut, below the Ghauts. The terms are generally applied to the high table-land in the centre of India, towards its southern extremity.

BANYAN. A Hindu merchant, or shop-keeper. The term Banyan is used in Bengal to denote the native who manages the money-concerns of the European, and sometimes serves him as an interpreter. At Madras, the same description of persons is called Dubash, which signifies one who can speak two languages.

BATTA. Deficiency, discount, allowance. Allowance to troops in the field.

BAZAR. Daily market, or market place.

BEGA. A land measure equal, in Bengal, to about the third part of an acre.

BEQUM. A lady, princess, woman of high rank.

BICE, VAISTA. A man of the third Hindu cast, who by birth is a trader, or husbandman*

BRAHMEN, BRAHMIN, BRAHMAN, BRAMIN-
A divine, a priest; the first Hindu cast.

BRINJARRIE, BINJARY, BENJARY, BANJARY. A grain merchant.

BUNGALOW. The name used, in Bengal, for a species of country-house, erected by Europeans.

CALY Yug, CALYOOOUM. The present or fourth age of the world, according to the chronology of the Hindus.

CASTE, CAST. A tribe, or class of people.

CARAVAN-SERAI. The serai of the caravan. See Serai and Choultry.

CAWZI, CAZI, KAZY. A Mohammedan judge, or justice, who also officiates as a public notary, in attesting deeds, by affixing his seal. The same as the officer we name Cadi, in Turkey.

CAUZY-UL-CAZAUT. Judge of judges; the chief judge, or justice.

CHANDALA. One of the names for the most degraded Hindu caste.

CHOKY, CHOKES. A chair, seat; guard, watch. The station of a guard or watchman. A place where an officer is stationed to receive tolls and customs.

CHOULTRY. A covered public building, for the accommodation of passengers.

CHOUT. A fourth; a fourth part of sum* litigated. Mahratta chout; a fourth of the revenues, exacted as tribute by the Mahrattas.

CHOBNDAR. Staff-bearer. An attendant on a man of rank. He waits with a long staff, plated with silver, announces the approach of visitors, and runs before his master, proclaiming aloud his titles.

CHUNAM. Lime.

CIRCAR. Head of affairs; the state or government; a grand division of a province; a head man; a name used by European* in Bengal, to denote the Hindu writer and accountant, employed by themselves, or in the public offices. See Sircar.

COLLURIES, COLEREES. Salworks the places where salt is made:

COOLIES, COOLY. Porter, labourer.

Cow. A term used by Europeans, to denote a road-measure of about two miles, but differing in different parts of India.

xxxviii

CRORE. Ten millions.

CSHATRIYA, KSHATRIYA, CHETTERIE, KHETERY. A man of the second or military caste.

CUTCERRY. Court of Justice; also the public office where the items are paid, and other business respecting the revenue transacted.

COTWAL, KATWAL. The chief officer of police in a large town or city, and superintendent of the markets.

D A B. Keeper, holder. This word is often joined with another, to denote the bolder of a particular office or employment, as Chob-dar, staff-holder; Zemin-dar, land-holder. This compound word, with t, ee, y, added to it, denotes the office, as Zemindar-cc.

DAROQAH. A superintendent, or overseer; as of the police, the mint, &c.

DAUM, DAM. A copper coin, the fortieth part of a rupee.

DECCAN. Literally, the south. A term employed by Mohammedan writers to denote the country between the rivers Nerbuddah and Crishna.

DECOITS. Gang-robbers. *Decoity*, gang-robbery.

DEWAN, DUAN. Place of assembly. Native minister of the revenue department; and chief justice, in civil causes, within his jurisdiction; receiver-general of a province. The term is also used, to designate the principal revenue servant under an European collector, and even of a Zemindar. By this title, the East India Company are receivers-general of the revenues of Bengal, under a grant from the Great Mogul.

DEWANNY, DUANNEE. The office, or jurisdiction of a Dewan.

DEWANNY COURT OF ADAWLUT. A court for trying revenue, and other civil causes.

DOAB, DOOWAB. Any tract of country included between two rivers.

DROOO. A fortified hill or rock.

DUB ASH. See Banyan.

DURBAR. The court, the hall of audience; a levee.

FAQUEER, FAKIR. A poor man, mendicant, a religious beggar.

FIRMAUN, PHIRMAUND. Order, mandate. An imperial decree, a royal grant, or charter.

FOUJDAR, FOJEDAR, PHOUSDAR, FOGEDAR. Under the Mogul government, a magistrate of the police over a large district, who took cognizance of all criminal matters within his jurisdiction, and sometimes was employed as receiver-general of the revenues.

FOUJDARRY, FOJKDAREE. Office of a Foujdar.

FOUJDARRY COURT. A court for administering the criminal law. j

GHAUT. A pass through a mountain: applied also to a range of hills, and the ford of a river.

GHEE. Clarified butter, in which state they preserve that article for culinary purposes.

GHIRDAWAR, GIRDWAR. An overseer Of police, under whom the *goyendas*, or hill-formers, act.

GOMASHTAH. A commissioner, factor* agent.

GOOROO, GURU. Spiritual guide.

GOYENDA. An inferior officer of police; a spy, informer.

GUNGE. A granary, a depot, chiefly Of grain for sale. Wholesale market*, held on particular days. Comttermal uepots>

GURRY. A name given to a wall flanked with towers.

HARAM. Seraglio, the place where the ladies reside.

HIRCARRA, HARCARRAH. A guide, a spy, a messenger.

HOWDA. The seat of great men fixed on an elephant, not much unlike the body of a sedan in shape.

JAGHIRE, JAGHEKR, JAGIR. Literally, the place of taking. An assignment, to an individual, of the government share of the produce of a portion of land. There were two species of jaghires; one, personal, for the use of the grantee; another, in trust, for some public service, most commonly, the maintenance of troops.

JAMMA, JUMMA. Total amount, collection, assembly. The total of a territorial assignment.

JAMMABUNDY, JUMMABUNDT. A written schedule of the whole of an assessment.

JEEL. A shallow lake, or morass.

JINJAL. A large musket, fixed on a swivel, used in Indian forts, and fired with great precision.

Juo. See Tug.

JUNGLE, JANGLE. A wood, or thicket; a country overrun with shrubs, or long grass.

KHALSA. Pure, unmyced. An office Of government, in which the business of the revenue department is transacted? the exchequer. Khalsa lands, are lands the revenue of which is paid into the exchequer.

KHAN, CAWN. A title, similar to that of Lord.

KHILAUT, KELAUT. A robe of honour, with which princes confer dignity.

KILLADAN, KELLADAN. Warder of a castle; commander of a fort.

KIPT. Stated payment, instalment of rent.

KUSHOON, CUSHOON. A body of military, corresponding nearest to our term brigade; varying from one to six or eight thousand.

LAC. One hundred thousand.

LASCAR. Properly a camp-follower, but applied to native sailors and artillerymen.

MAAL, MAHL, MEHAL, MHAL. Places, districts, departments. Places, or sources of revenue, particularly of a territorial nature; lands.

MAHA. Great.

MOOREERY. As applied to lands, it means lands to let on a fixed lease.

MORTGELL. Separated, particularized; the subordinate divisions of a district, in contradistinction to Saddur, or Suddur, which implies the chief seat of government.

MORTGELL DEWANNY ADAWLUT. Provincial court of civil justice.

MOLUNGEE. Manufacturer of salt.

MOORTY, MURTEE. The Mohammedan law-officer who declares the sentence.

MOOWOON. The rainy season. The periodical winds and rains.

MOLOLAVY, MOULAVES. A learned and religious man, an interpreter of the Mohammedan law.

MOONSHEE. Letter-writer, secretary. Europeans give this title to the native who instructs them in the Persian language.

MOSQUE. A Mohammedan temple.

MUSNUD. The place of sitting; a seat; a throne, or chair of state.

MUTERDEEY, MUTERDEE. Intent upon. Writer, accountant, secretary.

NABON, NAWAB. Very great deputy vice-governor. The governor of a province under the Mogul government.

NAIB. A deputy.

NAIB NAZIM. Deputy of the Nazim, or Governor.

NAIG, NAIK. A petty military officer.

NAIK. Chief. The Nairs are a peculiar description of Hindus, on the Malabar coast.

NAZIM. Composer, arranger, adjuster. The first officer of a province, and minister of the department of criminal justice.

NIHAM. Order, arrangement; an arranger.

NIHAM UL MULK. The administrator of the empire.

NIHAMUT. Arrangement, government; the office of the Nazim, or Nizam.

NIHAMUT ADAWLUT. The court of criminal justice.

NULLA. Streamlet, water-course.

NUZZER. A vow, an offering; a present made to a superior.

OMRAH. A lord, a grandee, under the Mogul government.

PAGODA. A temple; also the name of a gold coin, in the south of India, valued at eight shillings.

PALANKEN. A litter in which gentlemen in India recline, and are carried on the shoulders of four men.

PARIAN. A term used by Europeans in India to denote the outcasts of the Hindn tribes.

PATAN. A name applied to the Afghaan tribes.

PESHWA, PAISHWA. Guide, leader. The prime minister of the Mahratta government.

PEON. A footman, a foot soldier; an inferior officer or servant employed in the business of the revenue, police, or judiciary.

PERGUNNAH. A small district, consisting of several villages.

PESHOWAH. A present, particularly to government, in consideration of an appointment, or as an acknowledgment for any tenure. Tribute, fine, quit-rent, advance on the stipulated revenues.

PETTAN. The suburbs of a fortified town.

POLLIGAR, POLYGAR. Head of a village district. Military chieftain in the Peninsula, similar to hill-Zemindar in the northern circars.

POLLAM. A district held by a Polligar.

POTAIL. The head man of a village. The term corresponds with that of *Mocuddim* and *Mundul* in Bengal.

POTTAN. A lease granted to the cultivators on the part of government, either written on paper, or engraved with a style on the leaf of the fan palmira tree.

PUNDIT. A learned Brahmen.

PURANA, POORAN. Literally ancient: the name given to such Hindu books as treat of creation in general, with the history of their gods and ancient heroes.

PYKE, PAIK. A foot messenger. A person employed as a night-watch in a village, and as a runner or messenger on the business of the revenue.

RAJA. King, prince, chieftain, nobleman; a title in ancient times given to chiefs of the second or military Hindu tribe only.

RAJAPOOT. Literally, son of a king. The name of a warlike race of Hindus.

RANA. A species of raja

RANKY, RANEE. Queen, princess, wife of a rajah.

ROY ROYAN. A Hindu title given to the principal officer of the Khalsa, or chief treasurer of the exchequer.

RUPEE. The name of a silver coin; rated in the Company's accounts, the current rupee at 2s.; the Bombay rupee at 2s. 3d.

BYOT. Peasant, subject; tenant of house or land.

SAYER. What moves; variable imposts' distinct from land rent or revenue; consisting of customs, tolls, licences, 'duties' on goods, also taxes on houses, shops, bazaars, &c.

SEPOY. A native soldier.

SERAI. The same as Choultry.

SHASTER. The instrument of government or instruction; any book of instruction, particularly containing divine ordinances.

SHROFF, SUROF. A banker, or money-changer.

SIRCAR. A government; a man of business.

SIRDAR. Chief, captain, head man.

SOUCAR. A merchant, or banker; a money-lender.

SUBAH. A province such as Bengal. A grand division of a country, which is again divided into circars, chucklas, pergunnahs, and villages.

SUBAHDAR. The holder of the subah, the governor or viceroy.

BUBAHDARY. The office and jurisdiction of a subahdar.

SUDDKR. The breast; the fore-court of a house; the chief seat of government, contradistinguished from Mofussil, or interior of the country; the presidency.

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT. The chief civil court of justice under the Company's government, held at the presidency.

SUDDER NIAZMUT ADAWLUT. The chief criminal court of justice, under the Company's government.

SUDRA, SHUDRA, SOODER. A Hindu of the fourth, or lowest tribe.

SUNNU. A prop, or support; a patent charter, or written authority for holding either land or office.

TALOOKDAR. A holder of a talook, which is a small portion of land; a petty land-agent.

TANK. Pond, reservoir.

TANNAHDAR. A petty police office.

TEEP. A note of hand; a promissory note given by a native banker, or money-lender, to Zemindars and others, to enable them to furnish government with security for the payment of their rents.

TEHSILDAR. Who has charge of the collections. A native collector of a district acting under a European or Zemindar.

TOPASSES. Native black Christians, the remains of the ancient Portuguese.

TOPIS. A rove of trees.

TUNCAW, TUNKHA. An assignment on the revenue, for personal support, or other purposes.

TUMBRIL. A carriage for the gun ammunition.

VAOKEEL, VAQUBEL. One endowed with authority to act for another. Jiktnbaasador, agent sent on a special commission, or residing at a court. Native law pleader, under the judicial system of the Company.

VIZIR, VIZIER. Under the Mogul government, the prime minister of the sovereign.

VEDAS, VEDS, BEEDS. Science, knowledge. The sacred scriptures of the Hindus.

TOGIES, JOOIES. Hindu devotees.

Yuo, JUG, YOOG. An age; a great period of the Hindus; also a religious ceremony. *

ZEMINDAR. From two words signifying, earth, land, and holder or keeper. Land-keeper. An officer who, under the Mohammedan government, was charged with the superintendence of the lands of a district, financially considered; the protection of the cultivators, and the realization of the government's share of its produce, either in money or kind.

ZEMINDARRY. The office or Jurisdiction of a Zemindar.

ZENANA. The place where the ladies reside.

ZILLAH. Side, part, district, division. A local division of a country having reference to personal jurisdiction.

N.B. The explanations of the above terms are taken, for the most part, from the Glossary Attached to the Fifth Report of the Committee of the House of Commons on Indian affairs, appointed in 1810.

HISTORY

OF

BRITISH INDIA.

BOOK L—FROM 1527 TO 1707.

Commencement of the British Intercourse with India; and the Circumstances of its Progress, till the Establishment of the Company on a durable Basis by the Act of the Sixth of Queen Anne.*

TWO centuries have elapsed, since a few British merchants humbly solicited permission of the Indian princes to traffic in their dominions. BOOK I.
CHAP. I.

The British power at present embraces nearly the whole of that vast region, which extends from Cape Comorin to the mountains of Tibet, and from the mouths of the Brahmapootra to the Indus.

In the present undertaking, it is proposed to collect, from its numerous and scattered sources, the information necessary to convey correct and adequate ideas of this empire, and of the transactions through which it has been acquired; and, for that purpose:—

I. To describe the circumstances in which the intercourse of the British nation with India commenced, and the particulars of its early progress, till the era when it could first be regarded as placed on a firm and durable basis:

II. To exhibit as accurate a view as possible of the character, the history, the manners, religion, arts, literature and laws of the extraordinary people with whom this intercourse had thus begun; as well as of the physical

BOOK I. circumstances, the climate, the soil, and productions, of
 CHAP. I. the country in which they were placed :

III. To deduce to the present times (1805) a history of that part of the British transactions, which have had an immediate relation to India; recording the train of events; unfolding the constitution of that Body, half political, half commercial, through which the business has been ostensibly performed; describing the nature, the progress, and effects of its commercial operations; exhibiting the legislative proceedings, the discussions and speculations, to which the connexion of Great Britain with India has given birth; analyzing the schemes of government which she has adopted for her Indian dominions; and attempting to discover the character and tendency of that species of relation to one another in which the mother country and her eastern dependencies are placed.

The subject forms an entire, and highly interesting, portion of the British History; and it is hardly possible that the matter should have been brought together, for the first time, without being instructive, how unskilfully soever the task may have been performed. If the success corresponded with the wishes of the author, he would throw light upon a state of society, curious, and commonly misunderstood ; upon the history of society, which in the compass of his work presents itself in almost all its stages and all its shapes; upon the principles of legislation, in which he has so many important experiments to describe; and upon interests of his country, of which, to a great degree, his countrymen have remained in ignorance, while prejudice usurped the prerogatives of understanding.

CHAPTER I.

From the Commencement of the Efforts to begin a Trade with India, till the Change of the Company from a Regulated to a Joint-stock Company.

THE Portuguese had formed important establishments in India, before the British offered themselves as competitors for the riches of the East.

From the time when Vasco de Gama distinguished his.

PORTUGUESE INTERCOURSE WITH INDIA.

BOOK I.
CHAP. I.

nation by discovering the passage round the Cape of Good Hope, a whole century had elapsed, during which, without a rival, the Portuguese had enjoyed, and abused, the advantages of superior knowledge and art, amid a feeble and half-civilized people. They had explored the Indian Ocean, as far as Japan; had discovered its islands, rich with some of the favourite productions of nature; had achieved the most brilliant conquests; and, by their commerce, poured into Europe, in unexampled profusion, those commodities of the East, on which the nations at that time set an extraordinary value.

The circumstances of this splendid fortune had violently attracted the attention of Europe. The commerce of India, even when confined to those narrow limits which a carriage by land had prescribed, was supposed to have elevated feeble states into great ones; and to have constituted an enviable part in the fortune even of the most opulent and powerful: to have contributed largely to support the Grecian monarchies both in Syria and Egypt; to have retarded the downfall of Constantinople; and to have raised the small and obscure republic of Venice to the rank and influence of the most potent kingdoms. The discovery therefore of a new channel for this opulent traffic, and the happy experience of the Portuguese, inflamed the cupidity of all the maritime nations of Europe, and set before them the most tempting prospects.

An active spirit of commerce had already begun to display itself in England. The nation had happily obtained its full share of the improvement which had dawned in Europe; and the tranquil and economical reign of Elizabeth had been favourable both to the accumulation of capital, and to those projects of private emolument on which the spirit of commerce depends. A brisk trade, and of considerable extent, had been carried on during the greater part of the sixteenth century with the Netherlands, at that time the most improved and commercial part of Europe. The merchants of Bristol had opened a traffic with the Canary Islands; those of Plymouth with the coasts of Guinea and Brazil: the English now fished on the **banks of** Newfoundland; and explored the sea of Spitsbergen, for the sovereign of the waters: they engrossed by **an** exclusive privilege the commerce **of** Russia: they **took an**

BOOK I
CHAP. I

active part in the trade of the Mediterranean: the company of merchant-adventurers pushed so vigorously the traffic with Germany and the central parts of Europe, as highly to excite the jealousy of the Hans Towns: and the Protestant inhabitants of the Netherlands and France, flying from the persecutions of their own oppressive and bigoted governments, augmented the commercial resources of England by the capital and skill of a large importation of the most ingenious and industrious people in Europe.¹

In these circumstances, the lustre of the Portuguese transactions in the East peculiarly attracted the admiration of the English. Already a most adventurous spirit of navigation was roused in the nation. The English were the first who had imitated the example of the Spaniards in visiting the New World. In 1497, Cabot, with a small squadron, explored the coast of America, from Labrador to Virginia, and discovered the islands of Newfoundland and St. John.² An English merchant, named Robert Thorne, who had been stationed for many years at Seville in Spain, and had acquired particular knowledge of the intercourse which the Portuguese had opened with the East, presented a project to Henry VIII. about the year 1527, the accomplishment of which he imagined would place his countrymen in a situation no less enviable than that of the Portuguese. As that nation had obtained a passage to India by a course to the south-east, and pretended a right, which they defended by force, to its exclusive occupation, he supposed that his countrymen might reach the same part of the globe by sailing to the north-west, and thus obtain a passage at once expeditious and undisputed.³ What effect this representation produced on the mind of Henry is not accurately known. But two voyages in the course of his reign were undertaken for the discovery of a north* west passage, one about this period,⁴ and another ten years later.⁵

¹ Anderson's History of Commerce in the reign of Elisabeth, passim. See also Hakluyt's Voyages, ii-3, 90. Ibid. iii. 690. Guicciardini's Description of the Netherlands. Sir William Temple. Camden, 408.

² Hakluyt, iii. 4. Rymer's Foedera, xii. 595. Anderson's History of Commerce, published in Macpherson's Annals, ii. 11. Robertson's History of America, iv. 138.

³ Hakluyt, iii. 129. Harris's Collection of Voyages, i. 874.

⁴ Hakluyt, ut supra.

Ibid. 131.

Nothing can more clearly prove to us the ardour with which the English coveted a share in the riches supposed to be drawn from the East, than the persevering efforts which they made to discover a channel from which the Portuguese should have no pretence to exclude them. Two attempts in the reign of Henry, to obtain a passage by the north-west, having failed, their exploring fancy anticipated a happier issue from a voyage to the north-east. A small squadron, under the direction of Sir Hugh Willoughby, was fitted in the reign of Edward VI.; and, sailing along the coast of Norway, doubled the North Cape,¹ where it was encountered by a storm. The ship of Sir Hugh was driven to an obscure spot in Russian Lapland, where he and his crew perished miserably by the climate. The other principal vessel found shelter in the harbour of Archangel, and was the first foreign ship by which it was entered. So well did Chancellour, its captain, improve the incident, that he opened a commercial intercourse with the natives, visited the monarch in his capital, stipulated important privileges for his countrymen, and laid the foundation of a trade which was immediately prosecuted to no inconsiderable extent. This voyage but little damped the hopes of obtaining a north-east passage to the riches of India. Some vigorous attempts were made by the company in whose hands the commerce with Russia was placed;² the last of them in 1580, when two ships were sent out to explore the passage through the Straits of Waygatz. After struggling with many perils and difficulties from the ice and the cold, one of the vessels returned unsuccessful; of the other no intelligence was ever received.

Before this hope was abandoned, the project of obtaining a passage by the north-west was ardently resumed. No fewer than six voyages were made in the course of a few years. Two barks of twenty-five tons each, and a pin-nace of ten, sailed under Martin Frobisher in the year 1567, and entered Hudson's Bay, which they at first imagined was the inlet about to conduct them to the golden shore. The same navigator was encouraged to make a second attempt in the same direction in 1576. As he brought home some minerals, which were supposed to be

BOOK I.
CHAP. I.

1567.

¹ Hakkiyt, i. 226, etc.

² Anderson's *History of Commerce*, in Macpherson, ii. 166.

BOOK I. impregnated with gold, the attention of government was
 CHAP. I. excited ; and, after two years, Frobisher was sent out with
 1567. fifteen of the Queen's ships, miners for the supposed ore,
 and 120 persons as the rudiments of a colony. Having
 spent his provisions, and lost one of his ships, but not
 having found the expected passage, nor left his settlers, he
 returned with 300 tons of the supposed treasure, which
 proved to be only a glittering sand.¹ The nation perse-
 vered in its hopes and its enterprises. A few years after-
 wards, Captain John Davis sailed as far as 66° 40' north,
 and discovered the straits distinguished by his name. In
 second voyage, undertaken in 1586, he explored in vain
 the inlet which he had thus discovered, and after a few
 years was enabled to proceed in a third expedition, which
 had no better success than the preceding two.²

After the defeat of so many efforts to discover a new
 passage to India, the English resolved to be no longer de-
 terred by the pretensions of the Portuguese. A voyage to
 China by the Cape of Good Hope was undertaken in 1582.
 Four ships proceeded to the Coast of Brazil, fought with
 some Spanish men-of-war, and were obliged to return for
 want of provisions.³ Another expedition, consisting of
 three ships, was fitted out in 1596, the commander of
 which was furnished with Queen Elizabeth's letters to the
 Emperor of China. This voyage proved eminently unfor-
 tunate. The ships were driven upon the coast of Spanish
 America, where only four men were preserved alive from
 the effects of storms, famine, and disease.⁴

Amid these unsuccessful endeavours two voyages were
 accomplished, which animated the hopes of the nation, and
 pointed out the way to more fortunate enterprises. Francis
 Drake, the son of a clergyman in Kent, who at a tender
 age had been put an apprentice to the master of a slender
 bark trading to the coast of Holland and France, had early
 evinced that passionate ardour in his profession which is
 the usual forerunner of signal success.⁵ He gained the

¹ Hakluyt. Anderson, *ut supra*, ii. 145, 158, 159.

² Hakluyt. Anderson, *ut supra*, ii. 175, 180, 185.—M. It is scarcely neces-
 sary to add to *these* the attempts which have been made within the last few
 years to determine the practicability of the north-west passage, by the voyages
 of Captains Boss and Parry.—W.

³ Anderson, *ut supra*, ii. 171.

⁴ Purchas, b. iii. sect. 2. Anderson, ii. 210.

⁵ Hakluyt, iii. 440. Harris's Collection of Voyages, i. 14. Camden's Annals,
 301, Ac.

affections of his master, who left him his bark at his death: at the age of eighteen he was purser of a ship which sailed to the Bay of Biscay: at twenty he made a voyage to the «oast of Guinea; in 1565 he ventured his all in a voyage to the West Indies, which had no success; and in 1567 he served under his kinsman Sir John Hawkins, in his unprosperous expedition to the Bay of Mexico. In these different services, his nautical skill, his courage, and sagacity, had been conspicuously displayed. In 1570 his reputation enabled him to proceed to the West Indies with two vessels under his command. So vehemently was he bent on executing some great design, that he renewed his visit the next year, for the sole purpose of obtaining information. He had no sooner returned than he planned an expedition against the Spaniards, executed it with two ships and seventy-three men, sacked the town of Nombre de Dios, and returned with great treasure. It is said that, in this voyage, he saw from the top of a high tree, that is, fancied he saw, across the American isthmus, the Southern Ocean, and became inflamed with the desire of reaching it in a ship of England.

For this expedition he prepared on a great scale; obtaining the commission of the Queen, and the command of five vessels, one of 100 tons, another of eighty, one of fifty, another of thirty, and a pinnace of fifteen; the whole manned with 164 select sailors. The historians of his voyage are anxious to display the taste and magnificence, as well as judgment, of his preparations; expert musicians, rich furniture, utensils of the most curious workmanship, vessels of silver for his table, and many of the same precious metal for his cook-room.

The expedition sailed from Plymouth on the 13th of December, 1577. Having passed the Straits of Magellan, and ravaged the western coast of Spanish America, Drake feared the encounter of a Spanish fleet, should he attempt to return in the same direction, and formed the bold design of crossing the Pacific Ocean, and regaining England by the Cape of Good Hope,

With one ship, the only part of the fleet which remained, he steered along the coast of America to the latitude of 38° north, and then entered upon that immense navigation, in which Magellan, the only circumnavigator who preceded

BOOK I.,
CHAP. I.

1577.

BOOK I. him, had sustained so many disasters. No memorable occurrence attended the voyage. Of the islands which have been discovered in the Pacific Ocean none were observed till he approached the Asiatic coast. Fixing his attention on the Moluccas, of which the fame had been circulated in Europe by the rich spices thence imported by the Portuguese, he passed, with little observation, the more eastern part of the numerous islands which stud the Indian seas, and held his course for Tidore. From intelligence, received on the passage, he waved his intention of landing on that island, and steered for Ternate, the sovereign of which he understood to be at enmity with the Portuguese.

CHAP. I.

1577.

His intercourse with that island forms a remarkable epoch in the history of the British nation in India, as it was the beginning of those commercial transactions which have led to the greatest results. The King, having received assurances that his new visitants came with no other intention than that of trading with his country, gave them a very favourable reception. This monarch possessed considerable power, since the English navigators were informed that he ruled over seventy islands, besides Ternate, the most valuable of all the Moluccas; and in the visits which they paid to his court they were eye-witnesses of no contemptible magnificence. They exchanged presents with him, and received him on board: they traded with his subjects, laid in a cargo of valuable spices, and acquainted themselves with the nature and facilities of a commerce which was the object of admiration and envy in Europe.

Not satisfied with the information or the commodities which they received on one island, they visited several, being always amazed at their prodigious fertility, and in general delighted with the manners of the inhabitants. Among other places they landed in the great island of Java, famous afterwards as the seat of the Dutch government in India. They held some friendly intercourse with the natives, and departed with a tolerable knowledge both of the character of the people, and the productions of the country.

They now spread their sails for that navigation between Europe and India, to which the Portuguese claimed an exclusive right, and by which they monopolized the **traffic**

with India. Those discoverers had craftily disseminated in Europe terrific accounts of dangers and horrors attending the navigation round the Cape of Good Hope. As the voyage of the English proved remarkably prosperous, they were surprised and delighted with the safety and ease which seemed to them to distinguish this envied passage, and* conceived a still more lofty opinion of the advantages enjoyed by the nation that engrossed it. After leaving Java, the first land which they touched was the Cape of Good Hope. They landed once more at Sierra Leone, on the African coast, and received supplies which sufficed for the remainder of the voyage.

They arrived at Plymouth on Monday, the 26th of September, 1580, after a voyage of two years, ten months, and a few days; exhibiting to the wondering eyes of the spectators the first ship in England, and the second in the world, which had circumnavigated the globe. The news quickly spread over the whole kingdom, which resounded with applause of the man who had performed so daring and singular an enterprise. Whoever wished to be distinguished as the patron of merit, hastened to confer some mark of his admiration on Captain Drake. The songs, epigrams, poems, and other pieces, which were composed in celebration of his exploits, amounted to several collections.¹ The Queen, after some delay, necessary to save appearances with the Spanish court, which loudly complained of the depredations of Drake, though as reprisals perhaps they were not undeserved, paid a visit in person to the wonderful ship at Deptford; accepted of an entertainment on board, and conferred the honour of knighthood on its captain; observing, at the same time, that his actions did him more honour than his title.²

We may form some conception of the ardour which at that time prevailed in England for maritime exploits, by

BOOK I.
CHAP. I.

1580.

¹ Harris is not satisfied with the merit of those productions, which reached not, in his opinion, the worth of the occasion; and seems to be rather indignant that no modern poet has rivalled the glory of Homer, "by displaying in verse the labours of Sir Francis Drake.

² Her Majesty appears to have been exquisitely gracious. The crowd which thronged after her was so great, that the bridge, which had been constructed between the vessel and the shore, broke down with the weight, and precipitated 200 persons into the water. As they were all extricated from their perilous situation without injury, the Queen remarked that so extraordinary an escape could be owing only to the Fortune of Sir Francis Drake. Harris,

BOOK I. the number of men of rank and fortune, who chose to
 CHAP. I. forego the indulgences of wealth, and to embark their
 1586. persons and properties in laborious, painful, and dangerous
 expeditions. Among them we find such names as those of
 the Earls of Cumberland and. Essex, of Sir Richard Green-
 ville, Sir Walter Raleigh, Sir Humphry Gilbert, Sir Robert
 Dudley, who prepared squadrons at their own expense, and
 sailed to various parts of the world. No undertaking of
 this description was attended with more important circum-
 stances than that of Thomas Cavendish.

This gentleman, descended from a family of distinction,
 and inheriting a large estate in the county of Suffolk, had
 been early fired with a passion for maritime adventure.
 In a vessel of his own, he had accompanied Sir Richard
 Greenville in his unsuccessful voyage to Virginia; and now
 sold or mortgaged his estate, to equip a squadron with which
 he might rival the glory of Drake. It consisted of three
 ships, the largest of 140 tons, one of sixty, and a bark of
 about forty, the whole supplied with two years' provisions
 and manned with 126 officers and sailors, of whom several
 had served in the celebrated expedition of Drake.

They sailed from Plymouth on the 21st of July, 1586.
 Their voyage through the Straits of Magellan, and the de-
 predations which they proceeded to commit along the
 western coast of the American continent, not only in the
 spirit of avarice, but even of wanton devastation, form no
 part of our present subject, and may without regret be left
 to other recorders. They had reached the coast of Cali-
 fornia, and nearly 24° of northern latitude; when, having
 taken a very rich Spanish ship, and completed their schemes
 of plunder, they commenced their voyage across the Pacific
 Ocean. They left the coast of America on the 19th of
 November, and came in sight of Guam, one of the Ladrone
 Islands, on the 3rd of January. From this island they were
 visited by sixty or seventy canoes full of the inhabitants,
 who brought provisions to exchange for commodities, and
 so crowded about the ship, that the English, when they
 had finished their traffic, discharged some of their fire-arms
 to drive them away.¹ With the Philipines, to which they

¹ I am sorry to observe that no great respect for human life seems to have
 been observed in this proceeding; since, directly implying that the (fans had
 been charged with shot, and levelled at the men, the historian of the voyage

next proceeded, they opened a more protracted intercourse, having cast anchor at one of the islands, where they lay for nine days, and carried on an active trade with the inhabitants.

BOOK I.
CHAP. I.

1588.

The cluster of islands, to which the Europeans have given the name of the Philippines, was discovered by Magellan. Philip II., shortly after his accession to the Spanish throne, planted there a colony of Spaniards, by an expedition from New Spain; and a curious commerce had from that time been carried on across the Great Pacific, between this settlement and the dominions of Spain in the new world. To Manilla, the capital of the Philippine colony, the Chinese who resorted thither in great numbers, brought all the precious commodities of India; and two ships were sent annually from New Spain, which carried to the Philippines the silver of the American mines, and returned with the fine productions of the East. The impatience, however, of the natives under the Spanish yoke, was easily perceived. When they discovered that the new visitors were not Spaniards, but the enemies of that people they eagerly testified their friendship; and the princes of the island, where Cavendish landed, engaged to assist him with the whole of their forces, if he would return and make war upon the common adversary.

This adventurous discoverer extensively explored the intricate navigation of the Indian Archipelago, and observed the circumstances of the new and extraordinary scene with a quick and intelligent eye. He visited the Ladrões; shaped a course among the Philippines, which brought the greater part of those islands within his view; passed through the Moluccas; sailed along that important chain of islands, which bounds the Indian Archipelago from the Strait of Malacca- to the extremity of Timor; and passing the Strait of Bally, between the two Javas,¹ cast anchor on the south-west side of the great island of that

jocosely remarks, " that 'tis ten to one if any of the savages were killed, for they are so very nimble that they drop immediately into the water, and dive beyond the reach of all danger, upon the least warning in the world." Harris's *Collection of Voyages*, i. 27.

That is, between Java and the island of Bali. De Barros observes that the distinction of two Javas is unknown to the Javanese; and the accounts of Java Major and Java Minor, given by Europeans, are inconsistent with each other. The Java Minor of Marco Pólo seems to have been the east coast of Sumatra, but Little Java is now applied exclusively to Bali. Raffles, *History of Java*, i, p. 3.—W.

BOOK! name, where he traded with the natives for provisions, and
 CHAP. I. formed a sort of treaty, stipulating a favourable reception
 when his visit should be renewed.

.1588. He sailed for the Cape of Good Hope on the 16th of March, careful to treasure up information respecting a voyage, which was now the channel of so important a commerce. He made astronomical observations; he studied the weather, the winds, and the tides; he noted the bearing and position of lands; and omitted nothing which might facilitate a repetition of the voyage to himself or his countrymen. He passed the Cape with prosperous navigation about the middle of May, and, having touched at St. Helena to recruit his stores, he landed at Plymouth on the 9th of September, 1588. In the letter which, on the very day of his arrival, he wrote to Lord Hunsdon, then Chamberlain to Queen Elizabeth, he says, "I navigated to the islands of Philippines, hard upon the coast of China, of which country I have brought such intelligence as hath not been heard of in these parts: a country, the stateliness and riches of which I fear to make report of, lest I should not be credited. I sailed along the islands of Moluccas, where, among some of the heathen people, I was well entreated, and where our countrymen may have trade as freely as the Portugals, if they themselves will."

The tide of maritime adventure which these splendid voyages were so well calculated to swell, flowed naturally towards India, by reason of the fancied opulence, and the prevailing passion for the commodities, of the East. The impatience of our countrymen had already engaged them in a circuitous traffic with that part of the globe. They sailed to the eastern shores of the Mediterranean Sea, where they found cargoes of Indian goods conveyed over land: and a mercantile company, denominated the Levant Company, was instituted, according to the policy of the age, to secure to the nation the advantages of so important a commerce.¹ The Company which, after the discovery of the port of Archangel, had been formed to carry on the trade with Russia, had opened a communication with Persia, and thence imported the commodities of India: Mr. Anthony Jenkinson, an active and enterprising agent

¹ Monson's Naval Tracts. Hakluyt. Anderson's History of Commerce, published in Macpherson's Annals, ii. 169, 198. Rymer's Fcedera.

of the Russia Company, sailed down the Volga, in 1558, to the Caspian Sea, which he crossed into Persia, and at Boghar,¹ a city of some importance, found merchants not only from various parts of the Persian empire, but from Russia, and China, and India. This voyage he performed seven times; and opened a considerable trade for raw and wrought silk, carpets, spices, precious stones, and other Asiatic productions. In 1563, there was business enough to require the presence of three agents at Casbin, the seat of the Persian court; and the traffic flourished for several years.

BOOK I.
CHAP. I.

1593.

Accidental circumstances contributed to enliven the admiration excited by the Indian trade. During that expedition to the coast of Spain, on which Sir Francis Drake was sent, by Queen Elizabeth, to harass the Spanish shipping, and prevent, as far as possible, the preparations for the Invincible Armada, he took one of the Portuguese ships from India, known at that time by the name of Carracks. The value of her cargo inflamed the imaginations of the merchants; and the papers which she carried afforded information respecting the traffic in which she was engaged.² A still more important capture of the same sort was made in 1593. An expedition fitted out for the West Indies by Sir Walter Raleigh, and commanded by Sir John Burroughs, encountered near the Azores, the greatest of all the Portuguese Carracks, a vessel of 1,600 tons, carrying 700 men, and thirty-six brass cannon; and, after an obstinate contest, carried her into Dartmouth. This was the largest vessel which had ever been seen in England, laden with* spices, calicoes, silks, gold, pearls, drugs, porcelain, ebony, &c.; and stimulated the impatience of the English to be engaged in so opulent a commerce.⁸

Some members of the Turkey or Levant Company

¹ This is not, as might be inferred, from the way in which it is mentioned, a city of Persia, but Bokhara, the capital of the kingdom so named; independent of Persia at the time of Jenkinson's visit. The trade of Bokhara, according to him, was inconsiderable: merchants from Russia, Persia, and Balkh resorted thither; but they brought few commodities, and took still fewer. The trade of Persia was then more valuable; but Bokhara has become, in modern times, the chief mart of Central Asia. Murray's Asia, i. 321. Burners Journey to Bokhara.—W.

² This is not a conclusion merely drawn from the circumstances of the case, which however would sufficiently warrant it; but stated on the testimony of Cambden, who related what he heard and saw. Cambden's Annals. Anderson's History of Commerce.

⁸ Anderson's History of Commerce, in Macpherson's Annals, ii. 201.

BOOK I. finished about the same time an expedition to India.¹ They
 CHAP. I. had carried some cloth, tin, and other goods from Aleppo
 1598. to Bagdad, which they next conveyed down the Tigris to
 Ormus in the Persian Gulf, and thence transported to Go%
 the great mart between the Portuguese and Indians on the
 coast of Malabar. From this place they commenced an
 extensive survey of the adjoining countries ; repaired to
 Agra, at that time the capital and residence of the Mogul
 Emperor; visited Lahor; traversed Bengal; travelled to
 Pegu and Malacca; and, returning by sea to Ormus, retraced
 their steps to Aleppo, whence they sailed for England
 bearing with them important and extensive information
 respecting the countries they had explored. Intelli-
 gence now poured itself into the nation by a variety of
 channels. An Englishman of the name of Stevens, had
 sailed with the Portuguese from Lisbon to Goa, by the
 Cape of Good Hope, and wrote an account of his voyage,
 which was read with avidity, and contributed to swell the
 general current of enterprise which now ran so vehemently
 toward India.²

The first application which was made to Government, was by a memorial, in the name of "divers merchants," addressed to the Lords of Council, in 1589, for the royal permission to send three ships, and as many pinnaces, on a voyage to India. They enumerated the different places at which the Portuguese had already effected settlements, on the coasts of Malabar and Coromandel, in Malacca, and in the Banda and Molucca islands, places from which it seemed to be tacitly understood that other nations were bound to abstain. But they added,, that the islands and shores of the Indian Ocean presented many other places, open to the enterprise of English merchants, an inter* course with which might yield the greatest advantage.*

⁵ They returned to London in 1591. Anderson, ut supra, i. i. 198.—M. The travellers were Messrs. Fitch, Newberry, Leedes, and Storey: they travelled to India, by way of Syria and Persia, in 1683. Storey became a monk at Goa, Leedes entered into the service of the emperor Akbar, and Newberry died on his way home by the Punjab. Fitch visited various parts of the East, and returned to England in 1591: he published an account of his travels. They took with them, letters from Elizabeth to the Great Mogul, and the Emperor of China. Hakluyt, i. i. 375.—W.

² Harris's Voyages, i. 875.

³ This Memorial is preserved in the State Paper Office, and a short account of it has been given us by Mr. Bruce. Annals of the East India Company,,

What reception this application received, is unknown. But the unfortunate expedition of Captain Raymond ; remarkable as being the first of which India was the immediate destination, though its object was not trade, so much as plunder, by cruising against the Portuguese ; was fitted out in 1591. Disease had made such ravages among the crews, before they reached the Cape of Good Hope, that one of the vessels was sent home with the sick ; and the rest, two in number, had not long doubled the Cape, when the principal ship was lost in a storm. Captain James Lancaster, in the remaining vessel, after a disastrous voyage, sailed to the West Indies, where he lost the ship, and with great difficulty found means to return in a French privateer.¹

BOOK I,
CHAP. I.

1599.

While the English fluctuated between desire and execution in this important enterprise, the Dutch, in 1595, boldly sent four ships to trade with India by the Cape of Good Hope.² This exploit added fuel, at once, to the jealousy, and to the ambition of the English. In 1599, an association was formed, and a fund subscribed, which amounted to 30,133*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.*, and consisted of 101 shares; the subscriptions of individuals varying from 100*l.* to 3,000*l.* It was agreed to petition the Queen for a warrant to fit out three ships, and export bullion, and also for a charter of privileges. A committee of fifteen, the origin and foundation of a Court of Directors, were chosen to manage. The approbation of the government was readily signified; but as a treaty was then pending with Spain, policy appeared to counsel delay. The subscribers, known by the name of the adventurers, were impatient, and presented a memorial, distinguishing the places with which the Spaniards and Portuguese had established an intercourse, from others to which, without any ground of complaint on the part of those nations, the English might with unspeakable advantage resort. The council replied, that "it was more beneficiall for the generall state of merchandise to entertayne a peace, then that the same should be hindered, by the standing wth y^e Spanishe comissions, for the mayntayning of this trade, to forgoe the opportunity

¹ Anderson's History of Commerce, in Macpherson's *Annals*, li. 193. Harris's *Voyages*, i. 875.

² Anderson, ut supra, it. 209. Harris's *Voyages*, i. 920.

BOOK I. of the concluding of the peace."¹ The memorial was re-
 CHAP. I. ferred to Sir Foulke Grevillc, who made a favourable
 1600. report: and in the course of the same year, the Queen
 sent John Mildcnhall² overland, by Constantinople, on an
 embassy to the (treat Mogul.

It was attended with little success. The Portuguese and Venetian agents exerted themselves to raise suspicions against the designs of the English, and effectually obstructed the endeavours of the ambassador.

Towards the end of the year 1600, the efforts of the adventurers were renewed ; and the consent of government was obtained to proceed in preparations for an Indian voyage, while the patent of incorporation was still under consideration. Meanwhile, an application was made from government, with what views does not appear, for the employment of Sir Edward Michelbounie in the expedition. The answer of the committee, though petitioners for a favour not yet conceded, affords a curious specimen of their independence, and of the mode of thinking of the times. They stated it us their resolution "not to employ any *gentleman* in any place of charge," and requested "that they may be allowed to sort their business with men of their own qualitye, lest the suspicion of employ^t of *gentlemen* being taken hold uppon by the generalitie, do dryve a great number of the adventurers to withdraw their contributions."³ The adventure was prosecuted with ardour. On the 8th of October, the five following ships were already provided ; the Malice Scourge of 200 men, and (500 tons burthen; the Hector, of 100 men, and 300 tons ; the Ascension, of 80 men, and 260 tons ; the Susan, of 80 men, and 240 tons; and a pinnace of 40 men, and 100 tons. To provision these ships for twenty months, the cost was computed at 6,600/. 4s. 10d. ; and the cargo, consisting of iron and tin, wrought and unwrought, of lead, cloths, and some smaller articles, chiefly intended as

¹ Minutes, etc. (Indian Register Office). Bruce's Annals, i. 112.

² John Mildcnhall, a merchant, was sent with a letter from the Queen to the Emperor Akbar, whilst the establishment of the Company was under discussion. He left Aleppo in 1600, but did not reach Agra till 1603. After a residence of three years, he obtained a firman from Jehangir. He returned to England some time about 1607: from thence he went back to Agra, where he turned Roman Catholic, and died in June, 1614. Onne, Fragments, 341.-W.

³ Minutes of a General Court of Adventurers, preserved in the Indian Register Office. Bruce's Annals, i. 128.

presents, was estimated, exclusive of bullion, at 4,545/. It was determined that thirty-six factors or super-cargoes should be appointed for the voyage, divided into separate classes, rising one above another in trust and emoluments. Captain James Lancaster, [ivho.se](#) difficult return from a predatory expedition has already been mentioned, was chosen to command the fleet; and on the 31st of December, the charter of privileges was obtained.¹

BOOK 1.
CHAP.
1000.

This charter, thV origin of a power so anomalous and important as that which was afterwards accumulated in the hands of the East India Company, contained nothing which remarkably distinguished it from the other charters of incorporation, so commonly in that age bestowed upon trading associations. It constituted the adventurers a body politic and corporate, by the name of "the Governor and Company of Merchants of London, trading to the East Indies;" and vested them with the usual privileges and powers. The plan which they had already adopted for the management of their affairs, by a committee of twenty-four, and a chairman, both to be chosen annually, was confirmed and rendered obligatory. With a reservation in favour of the rights granted to other associations, and with prohibition extending to all such places as might be already occupied by the subjects of states in amity with her Majesty, and whose objection to rivals should be declared, the privilege of trading to the East Indies, that is, to all places beyond the Cape of Good Hope and the Straits of Magellan, was bestowed upon the Company, with power to export in each voyage 30,000/. in gold and silver; also English goods for the first four voyages exempt from duties, and to re-export Indian goods in English ships under the same privilege to the end of the charter. According to the principle of the times, the charter was exclusive: prohibiting the rest of the community from trading within the limits assigned to the Company, but granting to them the power, whenever they pleased, of bestowing licenses for that purpose. It was granted for a period of fifteen years; but under condition that, if not found to be advantageous to the country, it might be annulled at any time under a notice of two years: if advan-

¹ Bruce's Annals i. 129—136, Anderson's History of Commerce, in Mucpherson's Annals, ii.216. Harris's Collodion of Voyages, i.875.

BOOK I. taneous, it might, if desired by the Company, be renewed
CHAP. I. for fifteen years.

1600. The ardour of individuals, where any thing is to be risked, is more easily excited than upheld. Though the list of subscribers, while the scheme of Indian adventure was yet in contemplation, had been readily filled up, the calls of the committees for the payment of the instalments were very imperfectly obeyed. Even when the charter was obtained, it was either understood to confer no power of compelling payment, or the directors were afraid to make use* of it. Instead of exacting the stipulated sums, and trading upon the terms of a joint-stock company, the subscribers who had paid were invited to take upon themselves the expense of the voyage, and, as they sustained the whole of the risk, to reap the whole of the profit.

The sums which were thus advanced amounted to 68,373*l.* which greatly exceeded the capital originally subscribed. Of this, 39,771*l.* was expended in the purchase and equipment of ships—the four, excluding the pinnace, which were taken up by the committee of original adventurers: 28,742*l.* was expended in bullion: and 6,860*l.* in goods; consisting partly of British commodities, cloth, lead, tin, cutlery, glass, &c.; partly of foreign, as quicksilver, Muscovy hides, &c. The choice of Captain Lancaster to command the fleet was renewed; and it sailed from Torbay on the 2d of May, 1601, carrying letters of recommendation from the Queen to the sovereigns of the different ports to which it might resort.¹

¹ Bruce's Annals, i. 146. "But forasmuch," says Sir William Monson (Naval Tracts, iii.; Churchill's Collection of Voyages, 475), "as every innovation commonly finds opposition, from some out of partiality, and from others as enemies to novelty; so this voyage, though at first it carried a great name and hope of profit, by the word India, and example of Holland, yet was it writ against." He then exhibits the objections, seven in number, and subjoins an answer. The objections were shortly as follows; the answers may be conceived:—

1. The trade to India would exhaust the treasure of the nation by the exportation of bullion.

2. It would consume its mariners by an unhealthy navigation.

3. It would consume its ships by the rapid decay produced in the southern seas.

4. It would hinder the vent of our cloth, now exported in exchange for the spices of the foreign merchants.

5. It was a trade of which the returns would be very slow.

6. Malice to the Turkey Company was the cause of it, and jealousy and hatred from the Dutch would be the unhappy effect.

7. It would diminish the Queen's customs by the privilege of exporting bullion dutyfree.

These objections, with the answers, may also be seen in Anderson's History of Commerce, *ad* aw.

A first and experimental attempt was naturally unproductive of any remarkable result: but the first voyage of the East India Company was not discouraging. The first place in India to which they repaired was Acheen, a principal city in the island of Sumatra, at which they were favourably received. They formed a treaty of commerce with the chief or sovereign of the place; obtained permission to erect a factory; and, having taken on board a quantity of pepper, set sail for the Moluccas. In the Straits of Malacca they captured a Portuguese vessel of 900 tons burthen, carrying calicoes and spices, which sufficed to lade the fleet. They diverted their course, therefore, to Bantam in the island of Java; where the Captain, delivering his letters and presents, and meeting with a favourable reception, left some agents, the first rudiments of the Company's factories; and returned to England, where he arrived, in September, 1603, with a handsome profit to his owners on the capital of the voyage.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. I.
1603-13.

In the course of ten years from 1603 to 1613, eight other voyages were fitted out, on similar terms. The first, in 1603, under the command of Captain Middleton, consisted of the ships which had but just returned from the preceding voyage; and the capital subscribed was 60,450?.; of which 48,148? was laid out in the preparation and provision of the ships; 11,160? in bullion, and 1,142? in goods. The second, in 1606, consisted of three ships commanded by Captain Keeling, with a capital of 53,500?.; of which 28,620? was for the equipment of the fleet, 17,600? was in bullion, and 7,280? in goods. The third, in 1607, consisted of two ships, 33,000? capital; 14,600? of which was for the ships, 15,000? in bullion, and 3,400? in goods. The fourth voyage, in 1608, had but one ship; 13,700? subscription; expense of equipment, 6,000?; bullion, 6,000?; goods, 1,700?. The fifth, in 1609, had three ships, larger than in any former voyage; capital subscribed, 82,000?; cost of shipping, 32,000?; the investment, 28,500? bullion, and 21,500? goods. The sixth voyage, in 1610, had four ships; and subscription, 71,581?; divided into 42,300? for shipping, 19,200? bullion, 10,081? goods. The seventh, in 1611, of four vessels, had 76,375? subscription, expended

¹ Harris, i. 875. Anderson, ut supra, ii. 217, 218. Bruce's Annals, 1.151, 152.

BOOK I. 48,700*. on the fleet, had 17,675*. in bullion, and 10,000*.
 CHAr. I. in goods. The eighth, in 1612, had one ship, and subscrip-
 tion, 7,200/.; divided into 5,300*. for the vessel, 1,250*.
 1603-13. bullion, and 650*. in goods. All these voyages, with one
 exception, that in 1607, of which both the vessels were lost,
 were prosperous: the clear profits, hardly ever below 100
 per cent., being in general more than 200 on the capital of
 the voyage.¹

The years in which these voyages were performed were not without other incidents of considerable importance. In 1604, the Company were alarmed by a license, in violation of their charter, granted to Sir Edward Michelborne and others, to trade to "Cathaia, China, Japan, Corea, and Cambaya,&c." The injury was compensated in 1609, when the facility and indiscretion of King James encouraged the Company to aim at a removal of those restrictions which the more cautious policy of Elizabeth had imposed. They obtained a renewal of their charter, confirming all their preceding privileges, and constituting them a body corporate, not for fifteen years, or any other limited time, but for ever; still, however, providing that, on experience of injury to the nation, their exclusive privileges should, after three years' notice, cease and expire.

The earliest of the Company's voyages were exclusively directed to the islands in the Indian Ocean, as Sumatra, Java, and Amboyna, the returns being raw silk, fine calicoes, indigo, cloves, and mace. In 1608, the factors at Bantam and in the Moluccas reported that the cloths and calicoes imported from the continent of India were in great request in the islands; and recommended the opening of a trade at Surat and Cambaya, to supply them with those commodities, which might be exchanged, with extraordinary profit, for the spices and other productions of the islands. To profit by these advantages, the fleet which sailed under the orders of Sir Henry Middleton, in 1609, was directed to steer for the western coast of the Asiatic continent, where they made several attempts to establish a commercial intercourse. At Aden and Mocha they were opposed by the Turks; who surprised one of the ships, and made the Captain and seventy men prisoners. On *me*

¹ Bruce's JAnnal?, i. 152—IQ3.

coast of India their endeavours were frustrated by the influence of the Portuguese. A fleet which sailed in 1611 had better success. Attacked at Swally, a place at no great distance from Surat, by a large Portuguese armament, it made a successful defence;¹ and, notwithstanding the intrigues and efforts of the Portuguese, obtained a favourable reception at Surat. The English now succeeded in forming a commercial arrangement. They obtained permission to establish factories at Surat, Ahmedabad, Cambaya, and Goga, which were pointed out, by the agents of the Company, as the best situations; and agreeing to pay a duty of 3½ per cent., received assurance, that this should be the only exaction to which their merchandise should be subject; that protection should be afforded to their factories; and that their property, even in the case of the death of their agents, should be secured till the arrival of another fleet. A firmaun or decree of the Emperor, conferring these privileges, was received on the 11th of January, 1613; and authorised the first establishment of the English on the continent of India, at that time the seat of one of the most extensive and splendid monarchies on the surface of the globe.²

BOOK. I.
CHAP. II.

1613.

CHAPTER II.

From the Change of the Company into a Joint-Stock Company, in 1612, till the-Formation of the third Joint-Stock in 1631-2.

HITHERTO the voyages of the East India traders had been conducted on the terms rather of a regulated than a joint-stock company; each adventure being the property

¹ The action, or rather series of actions, with the Portuguese, was fought between the 22nd of October, and the 27th of November, 1612. The English force consisted of two vessels, the Dragon and Osiander; the former a large, the latter a small vessel; the Dragon was commanded by Captain Best. The Portuguese squadron consisted of four galleons, of which the largest carried thirty-eight guns; and a number of small vessels, without cannon, but intended to assist in boarding. In the several encounters which took place, the Portuguese were defeated, with considerable loss of men, and injury to the vessels; and, ultimately, left Captain Best to remain unmolested at Swally, and renew the intercourse with the factory at Surat. The event of the flight raised the reputation of the English in the opinion of the natives, and contributed to accelerate the delivery of the confirmation of a treaty, previously adjusted between Captain Best and the governor of Ahmedabad. The confirmation was presented in form, in December, in 1612; but a more solemn confirmation of it, in the shape of an imperial firmaun, does not seem to have been received till January, 1613. Orme's Fragments, 332.—W.

² Brace's Annals, i. 164.

BOOK I. of a certain number of individuals, who contributed to it as
 CHAP. II. they pleased, and managed it for their own account, sub-
 ject only to the general regulations of the Company.

161316. "Whether this was more adapted or not, to the nature of
 commerce, and the interests of the nation, it was less
 favourable to the power and consequence of a Governor
 and Directors, than trading on a joint-stock, which threw
 into their hands the entire management and power of the
 whole concern. Accordingly, *they* exerted themselves to
 decry the former method, and, in 1612, were enabled to
 come to a resolution, that in future, the trade should be
 carried on by a joint-stock only.¹

It still appears to have been out of their power to estab-
 lish a general fund, fixed in amount, and divided into reg-
 ular shares; the capital was still raised by a sort of
 arbitrary subscription, some individuals, whose names
 stood as members of the Company, advancing nothing,
 others largely. They now, however, subscribed, not each
 for a particular adventure, with an association of his own
 choosing, but all into the hands of the Governor and Di-
 rectors, who were to employ the aggregate as one fund or
 capital for the benefit of those by whom it was advanced.
 On these terms 429,000?. was raised, which the Directors
 thought proper to divide for the purpose of four separate
 adventures or voyages, to be undertaken in as many suc-
 cessive years. The voyages were regulated, and composed
 as follows:

Year.	Vessels.	Investment.	
		Bullion.	Goods.
1613	8	18,810l.	12,446?.
1614	8	13,952	23,000
1615	6	26,660	26,065
1616	7	52,087	16,506

The purchase, repair, and equipment of the vessels
 amounted to 272,544?., being the remainder of the stock.

The profit of these voyages was far from setting the
 management of a court of Directors, as compared with
 that of individuals taking charge of their own affairs, in a
 favourable light. The average of the profits on the eight
 voyages which preceded, leaving out of the account the
 small adventure of what is called the Company's fourth

¹ Brace, f. 165.

•voyage, wholly unfortunate, was 171 per cent. The average of the profit on the four voyages in question, was only 87i per cent.¹

BOOK T.
CHAP. II.

1613-16.

As the power of the Portuguese in the East carried the usual consequences of power along with it, among other things, an overbearing and insolent spirit, they had already •embroiled themselves with the Mogul government: an •event favourable to the English, who were thus joined with that government in a common cause. At the same time the splendid achievements of the English, against an enemy whom the governments of India were ill able to resist, raised high their reputation for prowess in war. A Portuguese fleet burned the towns of Baroach and Goga: And a powerful armament arrived at Swally with the Portuguese Viceroy, in January, 1614; which attacked the English; but was defeated, with a loss of 350 men. To improve these favourable circumstances, an agent of the Company repaired to the Mogul court, where he was well received, and obtained a royal firmaun for a general and perpetual trade; and in the same year took place the celebrated royal embassy of Sir Thomas Koe. The character of an ambassador, and the respect attached to it by the discernment of more enlightened nations, were but little understood at the court of the Mogul. On that occasion the choice of the English Ambassador was happy: Sir Thomas was a man of discernment, and temper, and made the most of the circumstances in which he was placed; though he soon discovered that it was bad policy by which he had been sent. He obtained redress of some of the grievances of which the English merchants complained; and concluded, though with difficulty, a sort of treaty, in which liberty was promised them of trading and establishing factories in any part of the Mogul dominions; Surat, Bengal, and Sindy being particularly named.²

Besides his other services, Sir Thomas bestowed advice upon the Company. "At my first arrival," says he, "I understood a fort was very necessary; but experience teaches me we are refused it to our own advantage. If the Emperor would offer me*ten, I would not accept of one."

¹ Bruce, i. 166.

² Bruce, 1.171, etc. Sir Thomas Roe's Journal and Letters. Churchill, i. 770-809.

BOOK I. He then states his reasons: first, he adduces evidence that
 CHAP. II. it would be of no service to their trade : " secondly, the
 1618-16. charge," he says, " is greater than the trade can bear ; for
 to maintain a garrison will eat out your profit; a war and
 traffic are incompatible. By my consent you shall never
 engage yourselves but at sea, where you are like to gain as
 often as to lose. The Portugueses, notwithstanding their
 many rich residences, are beggared by keeping of soldiers ;#
 and yet their garrisons are .but mean. They never made
 advantage of the Indies since they defended them: observe
 this well. It has also been the error of the Dutch, who
 seek plantations here by the sword. They turn a wonderful
 stock ; they prole in all places ; they possess some of the
 best: yet their dead pays consume all the gain. Let this
 be received as a rule, that if you will profit, seek it at sea,
 and in quiet trade ; for, without controversies, it is an error
 to affect garrisons and land wars in India.

" It is not a number of ports, residences, and factories
 that will profit you. They will increase charge, but not
 recompense it. The conveniency of one, with respect
 to your sails, and to the commodity of investments, and
 the well employing of your servants, is all you need." If
 Sir Thomas had lived to the present day, he might have
 urged the trade with China as proof, by experiment, of the
 proposition he advanced.

" The settling your traffic here will not need so much
 help at court as you suppose. A little countenance and
 the discretion of your factors will, with easy charge, return
 you most profit; but you must alter your stock. Let not
 your servants deceive you ; cloth, lead, teeth, quicksilver,
 are dead commodities, and will never drive this trade;
 you must succour it by change.

" An ambassador lives not in fit honour here. A meaner
 agent would, among these proud Moors, better effect your
 business. My quality, often, for ceremonies, either begets
 you enemies, or suffers unworthily. Half my charge shall
 corrupt all this court to be your slaves. The best way to
 do your business in it is to find some Mogul, that you may
 entertain for 1000 rupees a year, as your solicitor at court.
 He must be authorised by the king, and then he will serve
 you better than ten ambassadors. Under him you must
 allow 500 rupees for another* at your port, to follow the

Governor and customers, and to advertise his chief at court. These two will effect all; for your other smaller residencies are not subject to much inconveniency." BOOK I.
CHAP. II.

The permission to the Company's servants to trade privately on their own account, which afterwards produced so many inconveniences, was, it seems, even at this early period, a source of abuse. "Concerning this, it is my opinion," says Sir Thomas, "that you absolutely prohibit it, and execute forfeitures, for your business will be the better done. All your loss is not in the goods brought home; I see here the inconveniences you think not of: I know this is harsh to all men, and seems hard. Men profess they come not for bare wages. But you will take away this plea, if you give great wages to their content; and then you know what you part from: but then you must make good choice of your servants, and use fewer."

1613-16.

Sir Thomas tells the Company that he was very industrious to injure the Dutch. "The Dutch," he says, "are arrived at Surat from the Red Sea, with some money and southern commodities. I have done my best to disgrace them; but could not turn them out without further danger. Your comfort is, here are goods enough for both."¹ If so, why seek to turn them out?

One of the objects at which the adventurers from England most eagerly aspired, was a share in the traffic of the Spice Islands. The spices, from their novelty, were at that time a favourite object of consumption to those, the supply of whose wants is so naturally but thoughtlessly regarded by the dealer as peculiarly profitable, the rich and the great: and the commerce, brilliant as compared with that of other nations, which the enterprise and diligence of the Dutch now carried on with the East, almost entirely consisted of those commodities. The English, by their connexion with Sumatra and Java, had their full share in the article of pepper; but were excluded from cinnamon, cloves, nutmegs, and all the finer spices. Agents were now

¹ Churchill, i. 106—108. He gives another account of his endeavours to injure the Dutch, in the following words:—"The 10th, 11th, and 12th, I spent in giving the prince advice that a Dutch ship lay before Surat, and would not declare upon what design it came, till a fleet arrived; which was expected with the first fit season. This I improved to fill their heads with jealousies of the designs of the Dutch, and the dangers that might arise from them; which was well taken: and, being demanded, I gave my advice to prevent coming to a rupture with them, and yet exclude them the trade of India."—*Ibid.* 774.

- BOOK I. sent from Bantam to Amboyna, Banda, and other islands, who fired the jealousy and cupidity of the Dutch. De-
 CHAP. II. feated in their endeavours at all the places where the Dutch had already established themselves, the English
 1617. projected, as a last resource, a factory at Macassar, of which the produce was only rice, but which might serve as a magazine for spices collected from the neighbouring islands.¹

In the year 1617, or the year of the last of the four voyages in which the general subscription had been employed, the Company's agents reported; That Surat was the place at which the cloths of India could best be obtained, though nothing could there be disposed of in return, except China goods, spices, and money : That large quantities of Indian wove goods might be sold, and gold, camphor, and benjamin obtained, at the two factories of Acheen and Tekoo, on the island of Sumatra: That Bantam afforded a still larger demand for the wove goods of India, and supplied pepper for the European market: That Jacatra, Jambee, and Polania, agreed with the two former places in the articles both of demand and supply, though both on a smaller scale : That Siam might afford a large vent for similar commodities, and would yield gold, silver, and deer-skins for the Japan market: That English cloth, lead, deer-skins, silks, and other goods, might be disposed of at Japan for silver, copper, and iron, though hitherto want of skill had rendered the adventures to that kingdom unprofitable: That, on the island of Borneo, diamonds, bezoar stones, and gold, might be obtained at Succadania, notwithstanding the mischief occasioned by the ignorance of the first factors; but from Banjarmassin, where the same articles weije found, it would be expedient, on account of the treacherous character of the natives, to withdraw the factory: That the best rice in India could be bought, and the wove goods of India sold, at Macassar: And that at Banda the same goods could be sold, and nutmegs and mace procured, even to a large amount, if the obstruction of European rivals were removed.²

Surat and Bantam were the seats of the Company's principal establishments.

In the year 1617-18, a subscription was opened for a new

¹ Bruce, l. 174, 178.

² Ibid., i. 188.

fund, and was carried to the large amount of 1,600,000l. This was denominated the Company's Second Joint-stock. They were now, we are told, possessed of thirty-six ships, from 100 to 1,000 tons burthen; and the proprietors of stock amounted to 954.¹ But as the accounts of the Company have never been remarkable for clearness, or their historians for precision,² we are not informed whether these ships belonged to the owners of the first joint-stock, or to the owners of the second; or if to both, in what proportion; whether the 954 proprietors of stock were the subscribers to both funds, or to the last only; whether any part of the first joint-stock had been paid back to the owners, as the proceeds came in; or whether both funds were now in the hands of the Directors at once, employed for the respective benefit of the respective lists of subscribers: two trading capitals in the same hands, employed separately, for the separate account of different associations. That such was the case, to a certain extent, may be concluded from this, that of the last of the voyages, upon the first of the funds, the returns were not yet made. We shall see that, afterwards, the Directors had in their hands, at one and the same time, the funds of several bodies of subscribers, which they were bound to employ separately, for the separate benefit of each; that they, as well as their agents abroad, experienced great inconvenience in preserving the accounts and concerns separate and distinct; and that the interests and pretensions of the several bodies were prone to interfere.

The new subscription was divided into portions for three separate voyages.

The passion, naturally, of the Company's agents, at the different stations abroad, was to grasp at everything, with

¹ Sir Jeremy Sambrooke's Report on East Indian Trade (MS. in East India Register Office) quoted by Bruce, i. 193.

² This remark is somewhat severe, and cannot in all cases be merited. In the present instance there seems to be no difficulty in understanding what is intended. It is clear, from the whole tenor of the statements regarding the Company's commercial proceedings at this period, that each voyage was a separate transaction, and the cost of the equipment was charged to the capital embarked in that particular adventure alone. There was no transfer of stock from one set of adventurers to another, at least until the adventure was closed. All that is here meant, therefore, seems to be, that at this period there were thirty-six ships and 954 persons engaged in the trade with India, including the ships and individuals then actually concerned in adventures not brought to a conclusion; it does not imply that the individuals and ships specified were restricted to the new joint-stock association.—W.

BOOK I. little regard to the narrowness of the funds upon which
 OHAP. 11. their operations depended. In one point of view this was
 1618. advantageous: while the ground was yet imperfectly explored, it yielded a wider field for selection. The factors at Surat were captivated with the project of a trade to Persia: it promised a vent for English woollens to a large amount, and would furnish silk and other goods, which, both in Europe and in India, might sell to the greatest advantage. Sir Thomas Roe dissuaded the speculation; on the ground, that the Portuguese were already in possession of the commerce, and that it would cost the Company more to protect themselves "in it, than they could hope to gain by it. The views of the factors, because the most flattering, were the most persuasive; agents were sent to the court of Persia; grants of privileges were obtained; and a trade was opened, which experience proved to be of little importance.

The rivalry between the East India Company and the other nations of Europe includes, for a considerable time, the principal incidents of the Company's history. The Portuguese, on the pretence of discovery, had long maintained an exclusive claim to the passage by the Cape of Good Hope: they had, partly by conquest, partly by agreement, made themselves masters of Goa, Bombay, and other places, on the Malabar coast; of Aden, at the entrance of the Red Sea; of Ormus, in the Persian Gulf; of part of the Malay coast, in the Straits of Malacca; of the Molucca islands; and of the coasts of Ceylon, the most valuable of all the eastern islands: they were possessed of factories in Bengal and in Siam; and they had erected the city of Macao on the coast of China.

The Dutch, while subject to the crown of Spain, had been accustomed to repair to Lisbon for the productions of the East; which, even at that early period, they were, employed in distributing to the rest of Europe. When they broke the chains of their ancient masters, one of the means which Philip employed to distress them was, to deprive them of the commerce[^] of his dominions. Prevented from obtaining Indian commodities by traffic with the subjects of Philip, they became ruinous competitors for the trade with India itself.

At the time when the Dutch commenced their voyages

to the East, the crown of Spain was engaged in enterprises of so much importance in other quarters, and so much engrossed with the contemplation of its splendid empire in the New World, that the acquisitions, in the East Indies, of the Portuguese, now become its subjects, were treated with comparative neglect. The Dutch, accordingly, who entered upon the trade to India with considerable resources and the utmost ardour, were enabled to supplant the Portuguese in the spice trade, and, after a struggle, to expel them from the Molucca islands. That celebrated people, now freed from the oppression of a bad government, were advancing in the career of prosperity with great and rapid strides. The augmentation of capital was rapid, in Holland, beyond what has often been witnessed in any other part of the globe. A proportional share of this capital naturally found its way into the channel of the India trade, and gave both extent and vigour to the enterprises of the nation in the East; while the English, whose country, oppressed by misgovernment, or scourged with civil war, afforded little capital to extend its trade, or means to afford it protection, found themselves unequal competitors with a people so favourably situated as the Dutch.

BOOK I.
CHAP. II.

1C18.

During that age, the principles of public wealth were very imperfectly understood, and hardly any trade was regarded as profitable but that which was exclusive. The different nations which traded to India, all traded by way of monopoly; and the several exclusive companies treated every proposal for a participation in their traffic, as a proposal for their ruin. In the same spirit, every nation which obtained admittance into any newly-explored channel of commerce endeavoured to exclude from it all participants, and considered its own profits as depending on the absence of all competition.

The Dutch, who were governed by the same prejudices as their contemporaries, and actuated, at least in that age, with rather more perhaps than the usual intensity of the appetite for gain, beheld, with great impatience, the attempts of the English to share with them in the spice trade. While contending for their independence against the power of Spain, and looking to England for support, they were constrained to practise moderation and forbearance; and during this time the English were enabled to

BOOK I. form a connexion with Sumatra, to establish themselves at
 CHAP. II. Bantam, and obtain a share in the traffic of pepper, which
 1618. being a commodity so generally produced in the East, could
 not easily become the subject of monopoly. But before
 the English made efforts on any considerable scale to in-
 terfere with the trade of the further India, where the finer
 spices were produced, the power and confidence of the
 Dutch had greatly increased.

That people were more effectual opponents than the Portuguese, between whom and the English the interference was not so direct. The chief settlements of the Portuguese on the continent of India were on the Malabar coast, at a great distance from Surat, which was the principal seat of the English : it was in the Persian trade alone that much incomx>atibility of interest existed : and feeble, in India, as the English at that time were, it is remarkable that they were an overmatch at sea for the Portuguese; and hardly ever encountered them without a brilliant victory, or at least decided advantages. The case was different in regard to the Dutch: the pretensions of the English to the, spice trade interfered with the very vitals of the Dutch commerce in the East; and the fleets which the prosperous enterprise of the new republic enabled it to maintain were so far superior to those which the restricted means of the English Company allowed them to send, that contention became altogether hopeless and vain.

It was not till the year 1617-18, that the hostility of the two nations displayed itself in operations of force; the Dutch, in those places where they had formed establishments, having in general been able, by intrigue and artifice, to defeat the attempts of their rivals. The English took possession of two small islands, called Polaroon and Rosengin, which were not formally occupied by the Dutch, but intimately connected with some of their possessions. The Dutch raised pretensions to them, and attacked the English. The English had, however, so well fortified themselves, that the Dutch found it impracticable at the first attempt to expel them ; but they found the meajis, partly by force, and partly by artifice, to get possession of two English ships, on their voyage to these islands ; carried them to a Dutch settlement, and refused to deliver

them up, till every pretension to the Spice Islands was renounced.¹

BOOK L
CHAP. II.

1618

The proceedings of the Dutch, though regarded by the English as in the highest degree unjust and rapacious, were founded on pretensions, not inferior to those on which the English Company endeavoured to convert claims into rights ; and on pretensions which it is clear, at any rate, that the Dutch themselves regarded as valid and equitable ; since they presented them to the English monarch, as the ground of complaint against his subjects, and of a demand for his interference to prevent the recurrence of similar injuries. In a memorial to James, in 1618, the Dutch Company set forth, that, at their own cost and hazard, they had expelled the Portuguese from the Spice Islands, and had established a treaty with the natives, on the express condition of affording the natives protection against the Portuguese, and enjoying the exclusive advantage of their trade ; that the agents of the English Company, however, had interfered with those well-established rights, and had not only endeavoured to trade with the natives, but to incite them against the Dutch.

To these complaints the English Company replied, by an enumeration of injuries, from the resistance, the intrigues, and violence of the Dutch, in places where no factories of theirs had ever existed. But they also enumerated among their grievances, the hostilities experienced at Tydore and Amboyna, places to which the pretensions of the Dutch applied in all their force.² And if the ideas are admitted, which then prevailed, and on which the English as confidently grounded themselves as any other nation ; ideas importing that, in newly-discovered countries, priority of occupancy constituted sovereignty, and that the will of the natives was to be counted for nothing ; the English could not make out a right to the trade of the Moluccas ; for though Polaroon and Rosengin might not, by actual occupancy, have accrued to the Dutch, they form part of a narrow and closely-connected cluster of islands, of which the Dutch had seized the principal, and with the security of which the presence of the English in

¹ Bruce, i. 160.

² Memorial of the Dutch East India Company to King James, and Reply of the London East India Company thereto, in the year 1616 (East India Papers in the State Paper Office), quoted, Bruce, i. 202.

BOOK I. any of the rest could as little be reconciled, as the security
 CHAP. II. of Great Britain could be reconciled with the dominion of
 1617. Ireland by the French. With respect to Java, and the
 settlements at Bantam and Jacatra, the English had an
 equitable plea, of which they appear not to have availed
 themselves ; they might have insisted on the consent of
 the Dutch, who had not resisted their early settlement on
 that island, now sanctioned by time.

After a tedious interchange of hostilities, in which intrigue and force were combined (the practice of buying up the pepper, at prices higher than the English could afford, forming one of the principal subjects of English complaint), it was agreed between the two governments in Europe, at that time allies, to institute a mutual inquiry, and form an arrangement respecting the claims of their subjects in the East. Commissioners were appointed ; and, after repeated conferences, a treaty was concluded at London, on the 17th July, 1619. It was stipulated, that there should be a mutual amnesty, and a mutual restitution of ships and property; that the pepper trade at Java should be equally divided ; that the English should have a free trade at Pullicate, on the Coromandel coast, on paying half the expenses of the garrison; and that of the trade of the Moluccas and Bandas they should enjoy one-third, the Dutch two, paying" the charges of the garrisons in the same proportion. Beside these conditions, which regarded their opposite pretensions, the treaty included arrangements for mutual profit and defence. Each Company was to furnish ten ships of war, which were not to be sent in the European voyages, but employed in India for mutual protection ; and the two nations were to unite their efforts to reduce the duties and exactions of the native governments at the different ports. To superintend the execution of this treaty a council was appointed, to be composed of four members of each Company, called the *Council of Defence*. And the treaty was to be in force during twenty years.¹

This solemn engagement is a proof, if there was not another, of the imperfection which still adhered to the art of legislation. The principal stipulations were so vague, and the execution of them dependent on so many unas-

¹ Ryraer's *Fcedera*, xvii. 170. Bruce, i. 212.

certained circumstances, that the grounds of dispute and contention were rather multiplied than reduced. For these evils, as far as they were foreseen, the Council of Defence seems to have been devised as the remedy. But experience taught here what experience has uniformly taught, that in all vague arrangements the advantages are reaped by the strongest party. The voice of four Englishmen in the Council of Defence was but a feeble protection against the superior capital and fleets of the Dutch. The English, to secure their pretensions, should have maintained a naval and military force superior to that of their opponents. In that case, they would have been the oppressors ; the Dutch would have been expelled from the spice trade ; the spice trade would have rested with the English, who would have overlooked the continent of India, because their capital would not have sufficed to embrace it ; the continent would have been left to the enterprise of other nations ; and that brilliant empire, established by the English, would never, it is possible, have received a commencement.

In consequence of this treaty, by which the English were bound to send a fleet of ten ships to India, a larger fund was this year raised than had been provided for any preceding voyage: 62,490£. in the precious metals, and 28,508J. in goods, were exported with the fleet. The return was brought back in a single ship, and sold at 108,887l

In the interval between the time of concluding the treaty and the establishment of the *Council of Defence* at Jacatra, the Dutch had committed various acts of oppression on the English; and, when the council began its operations, the Dutch, after executing some of the least important conditions of the treaty, endeavoured to evade the rest. They consented to restore the ships taken from the English, but not the goods or stores taken by individuals ; on the pretext, that the Company could not be responsible for any acts but their own; though, if the letters may be credited of the English factors at Jacatra, they exploded the same pretension when it was urged against themselves: They refused to admit the English to their share of the pepper trade, till indemnified for certain fortifications, and for the expenses incurred by them at the siege of Bantam: They insisted that at Jacatra, and all other places where

BOOK I.
CHAP. II.
1619.

¹ Bruce, i. 213.

BOOK I. they had erected fortifications, they possessed the rights
 CHAP. II. of sovereignty; and that the English could claim no permission to reside there except under the Dutch laws:

1620. They set forth the large expense they had incurred in fortifications on the Spice Islands ; the maintenance of which they estimated at 60,000*l.* per annum ; and of all this they required the English to advance their due proportion, before they could be admitted to the stipulated share of the trade. The English objected, that some of the fortifications were at places where no produce was obtained, and that none of them were useful but for defence against the Spaniards and Portuguese, with whom they were not at war. On the whole it may be remarked, that if there were fortifications at places where none were required, the English had a right to decline paying for the blunders of the Dutch ; but as they claimed a share of the trade upon the foundation of the Dutch conquests, and would not have been admitted to it, without a war, had not those conquests taken place, it was a less valid plea, to say that they were not at war with the Spaniards and Portuguese. In framing the treaty, no distinction was made between past and future expenses. The English intended to bind themselves only for a share of the future: the Dutch availed themselves of the ambiguity to demand a share of the past; and in all these pretensions they acted with so high a hand, that the English commissioners of the Council of Defence reported the impracticability of continuing the English trade, unless measures were taken in Europe to check the overbearing and oppressive proceedings of the Dutch.¹

In the circle of which Surat was the centre, as the English were more than a match for their antagonists, they had a better prospect of success. In 1620, two of the Company's ships, which sailed from Surat to Persia, found the port of Jasques blockaded by a Portuguese fleet, consisting of five large and sixteen smaller vessels. Unable to cope with so disproportionate a force, they sailed back to Surat ; where they were joined by two other ships. Returning with this reinforcement, they attacked the Portuguese, and, after an indecisive action, entered the port. The Portuguese retired to Ormus, but, after refitting, came back for

¹ Brace, *l.* 223.

revenge. An obstinate conflict ensued, in which, the English were victorious over a vast superiority of force. Such an event was calculated to produce a great impression on the minds of the Persians.

The English and Persians agreed to attack with joint forces the Portuguese on the island of Ormus, which that nation in the days of its prosperity had seized and fortified. The English furnished the naval, the Persians the military force : and the city and castle were taken on the 22nd of April, 1622. For this service the English received part of the plunder of Ormus, and a grant of half the customs at the port of Gombroon ; which became their principal station in the Persian Gulf. The agents of the Company at Bantam, who were already vested with the superb title of President and Council, and with a sort of control over the other factories, condemned this enterprise ; as depriving them of the ships and effects, so much required to balance the power, and restrain the injustice of the Dutch.¹

The domestic proceedings of the Company at this period were humble. In 1621-22, they were able to fit out only four ships, supplied with 12,900*l.* in gold and silver, and 62532. in goods: the following year, they sent five ships, 61,600*l.* in money, and 6,430*l.* in goods; in 1623-24, they equipped seven vessels, and furnished them with 68,720*l.* in money, and 17,340*l.* in goods. This last was a prosperous year to the domestic exchequer. Five ships arrived from India with cargoes, not of pepper only, but of all the finer spices, of which, notwithstanding the increasing complaints against the Dutch, the Company's agents had not been prevented from procuring an assortment. The sale of this part alone of the cargoes amounted to 486,593*l.* that of the Persian raw silk to 97,000*l.*; while 80,000*l.* in pursuance of the treaty of 1619, was received as compensation money from the Dutch.⁸

Other feelings were the result of demands, by the King, and by the Duke of Buckingham, Lord High Admiral, of shares, to the one as droits of the crown, to the other as droits of the admiralty, of the prize-money, gained by the various captures of the Company; particularly that of Ormus. The Company, who deemed it prudent to make little oppo-

¹ Brace, i, 237, 238.

² Accounts in the Indian Register Office. Brace, 1.225, 234, 24,

BOOK!. sition to the claims of the King, objected, as having acted
CHAP.II. not under letters of marque from the Admiral, but under
their own charter, to those of the Duke of Buckingham.

1623. The question was referred to the Judge of the Admiralty court; witnesses were examined, to ascertain the amount of the prize-money, which was estimated at 100,000*l.* and 240,000 reals of eight.¹ The Company urged the expense of their equipments, the losses they had sustained, the detriment to their mercantile concerns, by withdrawing their ships from commerce to war. All possible modes of solicitation to the King and the Admiral were employed ; but the desire for their money was stronger than their interest. Buckingham, who knew they must lose their voyage, if the season for sailing was passed, made their ships be detained ; and the Company, to escape this calamity, were glad of an accommodation. The Duke agreed to accept of 10,000*l.*, which he received. A like sum was demanded for the King, but there is no direct evidence that it ever was paid.

The animosities between the English and Dutch were now approaching to a crisis in the islands. The English complained of oppression, and were so weak as to find themselves at the mercy of their rivals. They represented that, in the execution of the joint articles of the treaty, they were charged with every item of expense, though their voice was entirely disregarded in the disposal of the money, in the employment of the naval and military force, and even in the management of the trade ; that, instead of being admitted to their stipulated share of the spice commerce, they were almost entirely extruded from it ; and that, under the pretext of a conspiracy, the Dutch had executed great numbers of the natives at Banda, and reduced Polaroon to a desert.² At last arrived that event, which made a deep and lasting impression on the minds of Englishmen. In February, 1623, Captain Towerson and nine Englishmen, nine Japanese, and one Portuguese sailor, were seized at Amboyna, under the accusation of a conspiracy to surprise the garrison, and to expel the Dutch; and, being tried, were pronounced guilty, and executed. The

¹ East India Papers in the State Paper Office Bruce, i. 241.

² The Dutch, in their vindication, stated that the English intrigued with the Portuguese, and underhand assisted the natives in receiving the Portuguese into the islands. See Anderson's History of Commerce* in Macpherson's Annals, ii. 805.

accusation was treated by the English as a mere pretext, to cover a plan for their extermination. But the facts of an event, which roused extreme indignation in England, have never been exactly ascertained. The nation, whose passions were kindled, was more disposed to paint to itself a scene of atrocity, and to believe whatever could inflame its resentment, than to enter upon a rigid investigation of the case. If it be improbable, however, on the one hand, that the English, whose numbers were small, and by whom ultimately so little advantage could be gained, were really guilty of any such design as the Dutch*imputed to them; it is on the other hand equally improbable that the Dutch, without believing them to be guilty, would have proceeded against them by the evidence of a judicial trial. Had simple extermination been their object, a more quiet and safe expedient presented itself; they had it in their power at any time to make the English disappear, and to lay the blame upon the natives. The probability is, that, from certain circumstances, which roused their suspicion and jealousy, the Dutch really believed in the conspiracy, and were hurried on, by their resentments and interests, to bring the helpless objects of their fury to a trial; that the judges before whom the trial was conducted, were in too heated a state of mind to see the innocence, or believe in any thing but the guilt, of the accused ; and that in this manner the sufferers perished. Enough, assuredly, of what is hateful may be found in this transaction, without supposing the spirit of demons in beings of the same nature with ourselves, men reared in a similar state of society, under a similar system of education, and a similar religion. To bring men rashly to a trial whom a violent opposition of interests has led us to detest, rashly to believe them criminal, to decide against them with minds too much blinded by passion to discern the truth, and to put them to death without remorse, are acts pf which our own nation, or any other, was then, and would still be, too ready to be guilty. Happy would it be, how trite soever the reflection, if nations, from the scenes which excite their indignation against others, would learn temper and forbearance in cases where they become the actors themselves!

One of the circumstances, the thought of which most

BOOK I.

CHAP.II.

1628.

BOOK I. strongly incited the passions of the English, was the ap-
 CHAP. II. plication of the torture. This, however, under the Civil
 1628. Law, WAS an established and regular part of a judicial in-
 quiry. In all the kingdoms of continental Europe, and in
 Holland itself, the torture was a common method of ex-
 torting evidence from supposed criminals, and would have
 been applied by the Dutch judges to their own countrymen.
 As both the Japanese, who were accused of being acces-
 saries to the imputed crime, and the Englishmen them-
 selves, made confession of guilt under the torture, this,
 however absurd and inhuman the law, constituted legaj-
 -evidence in the code of the Dutch, as well as in the codes
 of all the other continental nations of Europe. By this,
 added to other articles of evidence which would have been
 insufficient without it, proof was held to be completed;
 and death, in all capital cases, authorized and required.
 This was an ancient and established law; and as there are
 scarcely any courses of oppression to which Englishmen
 cannot submit, and which they will not justify and ap-
 plaud, provided only it has ancient and established law for
 its support, they ought, of all nations, to have been the
 most ready to find an excuse and apology for the Dutch.¹

¹ The English had not been so long strangers to the torture themselves, that it needed to excite in their breasts any emotions of astonishment. "The rack itself," says Hume in his *History of Elizabeth*, v. 487, "though not admitted in the ordinary execution of justice, was frequently used upon any suspicion, by authority of a warrant from a secretary or the Privy Council. Even the Council in the Marches of Wales were empowered, by their very commission, to make use of torture whenever they thought proper. There cannot be a stronger proof how lightly the rack was employed, than the following story, told by Lord Bacon. We shall give it in his own words: 'The Queen was mightily incensed against Haywards on account of a book he dedicated to Lord Essex, thinking it a malicious prelude to put into the people's head boldness and faction: [to our apprehension, says Hume, Haywards's book seems rather to have a contrary tendency; but Queen Elizabeth was very difficult to please on that head.] She said, she had an opinion that there was treason in it, and asked me if I could not find any places in it that might be drawn within the case of treason? Another time when the Queen could not be persuaded that it was his writing whose name was to it, but that it had some more mischievous author, she said, with great indignation, that she would have him racked to produce his author.' Thus," continues Hume, "had it not been for Bacon's humanity, or rather his wit, this author, a man of letters, would have been put to the rack for a most innocent performance."—The truth is, that the Company themselves, at this very time, were in the regular habit of perpetrating tortures upon their own countrymen, and even their own servants—of torturing to death by whips or flogging. Captain Kimbleton (*New Account of the East Indies*, l. 382) informs us, that before they were restrained by the powers of martial law, having no power to punish capitally any but persons, they made it a rule to whip to death, or starve to death, those of whom they wished to get rid. He produces (ib. 376) an instance of a deserter at Fort St. George, "whipt," as he expresses it, "out of this world into the next." The power, too, of executing as for piracy, the same author complains, was

From the first "moment of acting upon the treaty, the Butch had laid it down, as a principle, that at all the places where they had erected fortifications, the English should be subject to the Butch laws; and though the English had remonstrated, they had yet complied.

BOOK I
CHAP. II.

1623.

It was in vain, that the English President and Council at Java, on hearing of the massacre, as they called it, remonstrated in terms of the utmost indignation, and even intimated their design of withdrawing from the island. In their representations to the Court of Directors at home, they declared, what might have been seen from the beginning, that it was impossible to trade on a combination of interests with the Dutch; and that, negotiation being fruitless, nothing but a force in the islands, equal to that of their rivals, could ensure to their countrymen a share of the trade.

When the news of the execution at Amboyna arrived in England, the people, whose minds had been already inflamed against the Dutch, by continual reports of injustice to their countrymen, were kindled into the most violent combustion. The Court of Directors exerted themselves to feed

made use of to murder many private traders. "That power (he says, *ib.* 362), of executing pirates is so strangely stretched, that if any private trader is injured by the tricks of a Governor, and can find no redress—if the injured person is so bold as to talk of *lex talionis*, he is infallibly declared a pirate." He gives an account of an attempt of an agent of the Company, and a creature of the Governor of Fort St. George, to swear away his life by perjury at Siam. (*Ib.* ii. 183.)—These parallels are presented, not for the sake of clearing the one party at the expense of the other; but, by showing things as they were, to give the world at least possession of the real state of the case.—M.

It is not impossible that there was amongst the English on Amboyna some wild scheme for the seizure of the island. The Japanese were soldiers of the garrison, and their position rendered their co-operation of an importance more than equivalent to the smallness of their numbers. At the same time, the conspirators were punished with a severity wholly unjustifiable. It is no extenuation of the cruelty of the Dutch, to argue that the English in India, in those days, were guilty of similar atrocities; the fact is not proved, and the probability may be questioned: no instance of such savage barbarity can be quoted against any of the English factories or governments, and particular acts of severity towards deserters and pirates are not to be confounded with the deliberate cruelties of a public body. Even with regard to individual instances, however, the evidence is defective; Hamilton wrote from recollection, according to his own admission, and his accusations are, for the most part, general and vague. He is elsewhere noticed by our author, also, that he was an interloper, and that his testimony, when unfavourable to the Company, must be viewed with caution. His assertions cannot be admitted, as conclusive or unexceptionable. The conduct of the Council of Amboyna admits of no doubt, and no plea of precedent or necessity can be justly heard in its palliation. The Dutch writers themselves acknowledge, that it would have been much better to have sent the accused to Europe for trial, even by the English courts. *Vies des Gouverneurs Hollandois, in the Histoire Générale des Voyages xvii. 33.—W.*

BOOK I. the popular fury. They had a hideous picture prepared, in which their countrymen were represented expiring upon
 CHAP. II. the rack, with the most shocking expressions of horror and agony in their countenance and attitudes, and the most frightful instruments of torture applied to their bodies. The press teemed with publications, which enlarged upon the horrid scene at Amboyna; and to such a degree of rage were the populace excited, that the Dutch merchants in London became alarmed, and applied to the Privy Council for protection. They complained of the inflammatory publications, more particularly of the picture: which, being exposed to the people, had contributed to work them up to the most desperate resolutions. The Directors, when called before the Privy Council to answer these complaints, denied that they had any concern with the publications, but acknowledged that the picture was produced by their order, and was intended to be preserved in their house as a perpetual memorial of the cruelty and treachery of the Dutch. The Directors were aware that the popular tide had reached the table of the council room, and that they had nothing to apprehend from confessing how far they had been instrumental in raising the waters.¹

Application was made to the King, to obtain signal reparation from the Dutch government for so great a national insult and calamity. The whole nation was too violently agitated to leave any suspicion that the application could be neglected. A commission of inquiry was formed of the King's principal servants, who reported in terms confirming the general belief and indignation, and recommended an order, which was immediately issued, for intercepting and detaining the Dutch East India fleets, till satisfaction was obtained. With great gravity the Dutch government returned for answer; that they would send orders to their Governor General in the Indies, to permit the English to retire from the Dutch settlements without paying any duties; that all disputes might be referred to the council of Defence; that the English might build forts for the protection of their trade, provided they were at the distance of thirty miles from any fort of the Dutch; that the "administration, however, of politic government, and particular jurisdiction, both civil and criminal, at all such,

¹ East India Papers in the State Paper Office. Bruce, 1256.

places as owe acknowledgment to the Dutch," should remain wholly in their hands; and that to the Dutch belonged the exclusive right to the Moluccas, Bandas, and Amboyna.¹

This was an undisguised assumption of all the rights for which their subjects were contending in India. It is remarkable enough that the English East India Company, who were highly dissatisfied with the other parts of this answer, declared their acceptance of the first article, which permitted their servants to retire from the Dutch settlements. And here, for the present, the matter rested.

In 1624, the Company applied by petition, to the King, for authority to punish his servants abroad, by martial as well as municipal law. It appears not that any difficulty was experienced in obtaining their request; or that any parliamentary proceeding for transferring unlimited power over the lives and fortunes of the citizens, was deemed even a necessary ceremony. This ought to be regarded as an era in the history of the Company.²

In the year 1624-5, the Company's voyage to India consisted of five ships, but of the amount of the capital with which they were supplied, no account, it should seem, remains. In 1625-26, it consisted of six ships; and in 1626-27, of seven; further information wanting as before.³¹ In the last of these years, we gain the knowledge, collaterally, of one of those most important facts, in the Company's history, which it has been their sedulous care to preserve concealed, except when some interest, as now, was to be served by the disclosure. Sir Kobert Shirley, who had been ambassador at the court of Persia, made application to the King and Council to order the East India Company to pay him 2000*l.*, as a compensation for his exertions and services in procuring them a trade with Persia. The Company, beside denying the pretended services, urged their inability to pay; stating that they had been obliged to contract so large a debt as 200,000*l.*; and that their stock had fallen to 20 per cent, discount, shares of 100*l.* selling for no more than 80*l.*

The Company's Persian trade was not prosperous, under the caprice and extortions of the Persian magistrates. At Java their agents, tired out with the mortifications and

¹ Brace, i. 258. ² Ibid, f. 252. ³ Ibid. 252, 265, 271.

⁴ East India Papers in the State Paper Office, Brace, i. 272.

BOOK I disasters to which they were exposed from the Dutch, re-
 CHAP. II. tired to the island of Lagundy, in the Straits of Sunda;

1627. having abandoned both Bantam and Jacatra, at which the Dutch, under the name of Batavia, had now established their principal seat of government. The island of Lagundy was found to be so unhealthy, that in less than a year, the imprudent English were anxious to return. Their distress was so great, that out of 250 individuals 120 were sick; and they had not a crew sufficient to navigate a ship to any of the English factories. In these circumstances the Dutch lent them assistance, and brought them back to Batavia.¹ On the coast of Coromandel some feeble efforts were continued. The Company had established factories at Masulipatam and Pullicat; but the rivalry of the Dutch pursued and obliged them to relinquish Pullicat. In 1624-5, they projected an establishment in the kingdom of Tanjore, but were opposed by a new rival, the Danes. At Armegaum, however, situated a little to the south of Nellore, they purchased in the succeeding year, a piece of ground from the chief of the district; erected and fortified a factory; and, suffering oppression from the native government at Masulipatam, they withdrew the factory in 1628, and transferred it to Armegaum.²

Shortly after the first application to James on account of the injury at Amboyna, that monarch died. In 1627-8, the application was renewed to Charles, and three large Dutch Indiamen from Surat, which put into Portsmouth, were detained. The Company, watching the decline of the royal authority, and the growing power of the House of Commons, were not satisfied with addressing the King, but in the year following presented, for the first time a memorial to the Commons. They represented that by their failure in the spice trade, and the difficulties they experienced in opening a trade for wove goods on the coast of Coromandel, they were nearly driven from all their factories; and assigned a* causes, partly the opposition of the, native powers, but chiefly the hostility of the Dutch. The narrowness of their own funds, and their unskilful management by the negligent Directors a joint-stock, far more powerful causes, they overlooked or suppressed. They set forth, however, the merits of the Company, as towards the nation, in t e r m s repeated to the present day; they employed many seamen:

¹ Bruce, i.262, 264, 265. ² ibid 264,

they exported much goods; as if the capital they employed would have remained idle; as if it would not have maintained seamen, and exported goods, had the East India Company, or East India traffic, never existed.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. II.

1628.

The detention of the ships, and the zeal with which the injury seemed now to be taken up in England, produced explanation and remonstrance on the part of the Dutch. *They* had appointed judges to take cognizance of the proceedings at Amboyna, even before the parties had returned from Europe. Delay had arisen from the situation of the judges, on whom other services devolved, and from the time required to translate documents written in a foreign tongue. The detention of the ships, the property of private individuals altogether unconcerned with the transaction, might bring unmerited ruin on them, but could not accelerate the proceedings of the judges. On the other hand, by creating national indignation, it would only tend to unfit them for a sober and impartial inquiry. And, were the dispute allowed, unfortunately, to issue in war, however the English in Europe might detain the fleets of the Dutch, the English Company must suffer in India far greater evils than those of which they were now seeking the redress. At last on a proposal that the States should send to England commissioners of inquiry, and a promise that justice should be speedily rendered, the ships were released. It was afterwards recommended by the ministry, that the East India Company should send over witnesses to Holland to afford evidence before the Dutch tribunal; but to this the Company objected, and satisfaction was still deferred.²

In 1627-28, the Company provided only two ships and a pinnace for the outward voyage. They deemed it necessary to assign reasons for this diminution, dreading the inferences which might be drawn. They had many ships in India which, from the obstructions of the Dutch, and the state of their funds, had been unable to return: though the number of ships was small, the stock would be large, 60,000*l.* or 70,000*l.* in money and goods; and they hoped to bring home all their ships richly laden the following year. In 1628-29, five ships went out; two for the trade with India and three for that with Persia; and the

¹ Bruce, i. 276,277,288. Anderson, in Macpherson's Annals, II. 881.

² Bruce, i.276,277.

BOOK I. is preserved of the stock with which they were supplied, a
 CHAP. II. petition to the King remains for leave to export 60,000l. in
 1629. gold and silver in the ships destined to Persia. In the
 succeeding year four ships were sent to Persia, and none to
 India. Of the stock which they carried with them no ac-
 count is preserved.¹

As the sums in gold and silver which the Company had for several years found it necessary to export, exceeded the limits to which they were confined by the terms of their charter, they had proceeded annually upon a petition to the King, and a special permission. It was now, however, deemed advisable to apply for a general license, so large as would comprehend the greatest amount which on any occasion it would be necessary to send. The sum for which they solicited this permission was 80,000£. in silver, and 40,000/. in gold; and they recommended as the best mode of authenticating the privilege, that it should be incorporated in a fresh renewal of their charter; which was accordingly obtained.²

Notwithstanding the terms on which the English stood with the Dutch, they were allowed to re-establish their factory at Bantam after the failure of the attempt at Lagundy: a war in which the Dutch were involved with some of the native princes of the island, lessened, perhaps, their disposition, or their power, to oppose their European rivals. As Bantam was now a station of inferior importance to Surat, the government of Bantam was reduced to an agency dependent upon the Presidency of Surat, which became the chief seat of the Company's government in India. Among the complaints against the Dutch, One of the heaviest was that they sold European goods cheaper, and bought India goods dearer, at Surat, than the English; who were thus expelled from the market. This was to complain of competition, the soul of trade. If the Dutch sold so cheap and bought so dear as to be losers, all that was necessary was a little patience on the part of the English. The fact was, that the Dutch, trading on a larger capital, and with more economy, were perfectly able to outbid the English both in purchase and sale.

The English at Surat had to sustain, at this time not only the commercial rivalry of the Dutch, but also a powerful

effort of the Portuguese to regain their influence in that part of the East. The Viceroy at Goa, had in April, 1630, received a reinforcement from Europe, of nine ships and 2000 soldiers, and projected the recovery of Ormus. Some negotiation to obtain the exclusive trade of Surat was tried in vain with the Mogul Governor; and in September, an English fleet of five ships endeavouring to enter the port of Swally, a sharp, though not a decisive action, was fought. The English had the advantage; and after sustaining several subsequent skirmishes, and one great effort to destroy their fleet by fire, succeeded in landing their cargoes.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. III.

1632.

CHAPTER III.

From the Formation of the third Joint-Stock, in 1632, till the Coalition of the Company with the Merchant Adventurers, in 1657.

IN 1631-32, a subscription was opened for a third joint-stock. Tins amounted to 420,700l.² Still we are left in darkness with regard to some important circumstances. We know not in what degree the capital which had been placed in the hands of the Directors by former subscriptions had been repaid; not even if any part of it had been repaid, though the Directors were now without funds to carry on the trade.

With the new subscription, seven ships were fitted out in the same season; but of the money or goods embarked, no account remains. In 1633-34, the fleet consisted of five ships; and in 1634-35, of no more than three, the money or goods in both cases unknown.³

During this period, however, some progress was made in extending the connexions of the Company with the eastern coast of Hindustan. It was thought advisable to replace the factory at Masulipatam not long after it had been removed; and certain privileges, which afforded protection from former grievances, were obtained from the King of Goloonda, the sovereign of the place. Permission was given by the Mogul Emperor to trade to Piplee in

¹ Brace, 1.296,304,300, 802.

² Papers in the Indian Register Office. Sir Jeremy Sambrooke's Report on the East India Trade. Bruce, i. 306.

³ Bruce, 1.806, 320,323.

BOOK I. Orissa; and a factor was sent to Masalipatam. For the
CHAP. III. more commodious government of these station*, Bantam

1635,

was again raised to the rank of a Presidency, and the eastern coast was placed under its jurisdiction. Despairing of success in the contest with the Dutch for the trade of the islands, the Company had, for some time, despatched their principal fleets to Suratj and the trade with this part of India and with Persia now chiefly occupied their attention. From servants at a vast distance, and the servants of a great and negligent master, the best service could not easily be procured. For this discovery the Directors were indebted, not to any sagacity of their own, but to a misunderstanding among the agents themselves; who, betraying one another, acknowledged, that they had neglected the affairs of their employers to attend to their own; and, while they pursued with avidity a private trade for their private benefit, had abandoned that of the Company to every kind of disorder.¹

As pepper was a product of the Malabar coast, a share was sought in the trade of that commodity, through a channel, which the Dutch would not be able to obstruct. A treaty was concluded, between the English and Portuguese, in 1634-35, and confirmed with additional articles the following year, in which it was ordained that the English should have free access to the ports of the Portuguese, and that the Portuguese should receive from the English factories the treatment of friends.²

The Company, like other unskilful, and for that reason Unprosperous, traders, had always competitors of one description or another, to whom they ascribed their own want of success. For several years they had spoken with loud condemnation of the clandestine trade carried on by their own servants; whose profits, they said, exceeded their own. Their alarms, with regard to their exclusive privilege, had for some time been sounded; and would have been sounded much louder, but for the ascendancy gained by the sentiments of liberty, the contentions between Charles and his parliament being already high; and the hope that their monopoly would escape the general wreck,

¹ Brace, i. 306, 320, 324, 327. ² Ibid. 325, 334.

³ Some inaccuracy of expression occurs in the text. There was not any Parliament from 1628 to 1640, but there was much public discontent at the time in question, especially on the subject of Ship-money.—W.

with which institutions at variance with the spirit of liberty were threatened, only if its pretensions were prudently kept in the shade. The controversy, whether monopolies, and among others that of the Company, were injurious to the wealth and prosperity of the nation, had already employed the press: but, though the Company had entered boldly enough into the lists of argument, they deemed it their wisest course, at the present conjuncture, not to excite the public attention by any invidious opposition to the infringements which private adventure was now pretty frequently committing on their exclusive trade.

An event at last occurred, which appeared to involve unusual danger. A number of persons, with Sir William Courten at their head, whom the new arrangements with the Portuguese excited to hopes of extraordinary profit, had the art, or the good fortune, to engage in their schemes Endymion Porter, Esq., a gentleman of the bedchamber to the King, who prevailed upon the sovereign himself to accept of a share in the adventure, and to grant his license for a new association to trade with India. The preamble to the license declared that it was founded upon the misconduct of the East India Company, who had accomplished nothing for the good of the nation, in proportion to the great privileges they had obtained, or even to the funds of which they had disposed. This was probably the general opinion of the nation; nothing less seeming necessary to embolden the King to such a violation of the charter. Allowing the contrariety to the interests of the nation, the consequences were not so ruinous, but that the stipulated notice of three years might have been given, and a legal end been put to the monopoly. The Company petitioned the King, but without success. They sent, however, instructions to their agents and factors in India, to oppose the interlopers, at least indirectly. An incident occurred, of which they endeavoured to avail themselves to the utmost. One of their ships from Surat reported that a vessel of Courten's had seized and plundered two junks belonging to Surat and Diu, and put the crews to the torture. The latter part at least of the story was, in all probability, forged; but the Directors believed, or affected to believe, the whole, in consequence of the outrage, the

BOOK I.
CHAP. III.

1635

BOOK I, English President and Council at Surat had been imprisoned, and the property of the factory confiscated to answer for the loss. A memorial was presented to the

CHAP. III. 1637-88. King, setting forth, in the strongest terms, the injuries which the Company sustained by the license to Courten's Association, and the ruin which threatened them unless it were withdrawn. The Privy Council, to whom the memorial was referred, treated the facts alleged, as little better than fabrication, and suspended the investigation till Courten's ships should return.¹

The arrival of Courten's ships at Surat seems to have thrown the factory into the greatest confusion. It is stated as the cause of a complete suspension of trade on the part of the Company, for the season, at that principal seat of their commercial operations.² The inability early and constantly displayed by the Company, to sustain even the slightest competition, is a symptom of inherent infirmities.

In 1637-38, several of Courten's ships returned, and brought home large investments, which sold with an ample profit to the adventurers. The fears and jealousies of the Company were exceedingly raised. They presented to the crown a petition for protection; placing their chief reliance, it should seem, on the lamentable picture of their own distresses. Their remonstrance was, however, disregarded; a new license was extended to Courten's Association, continuing their privileges for five years; and, to form a line between them and the Company, it was ordained, that neither should they trade at those places where the Company had factories, nor the Company trade at any places at which Courten's Association might have erected establishments.³

The Directors, as if they abandoned all other efforts for sustaining their affairs, betook themselves to complaint and petition.⁴ They renewed their addresses to the throne. They dwelt upon the calamities which had been brought upon them by competition; first, that of the Dutch, next, that of Courten's Association. They endeavoured to stimulate the jealousy of the King, by reminding him that the redress which he had demanded from the States General

¹ Brace, i. 329, 387.

² Ibid. 342.

³ Ibid. 845, 349.

⁴ Ibid. 349, 350, 353.

had not been received: and they desired to be at least distinctly informed what line of conduct in regard to their rivals they were required to pursue. The affairs of the King were now at a low ebb; and this may account in part for the tone which the Company assumed with him. A committee of the Privy Council was formed, to inquire into their complaints; and had instructions to inquire, among other particulars, into the means of obtaining reparation from the Dutch, and of accomplishing a union between the Company and Courten's Association. One thing is remarkable, because it shows the unfavourable opinion, held by that Privy Council, of the mode of trading to India by a joint-stock Company. The Committee were expressly instructed "to form regulations for this trade, which might satisfy the noblemen and gentlemen who were adventurers in it; and to vary the principle on which the India trade had been conducted, or that of a general joint-stock, in such a manner as to enable each adventurer to employ his stock to his own advantage, to have the trade under similar regulations with those observed by the Turkey and other English Companies."¹

The committee of the Privy Council seem to have given themselves but little concern about the trust with which they were invested. "No report from them ever appeared. The Company continued indefatigably pressing the King, by petitions and remonstrances. At last they affirmed the necessity of abandoning the trade altogether, if the protection for which they prayed was not afforded. And now their importunity prevailed. On the condition that they should raise a new joint-stock, to carry on the trade on a sufficient scale, it was agreed that Courten's license should be withdrawn."²

On this occasion, we are made acquainted incidentally with an important fact; that the Proprietors of the third joint-stock had made frequent but unavailing calls upon the Directors to close that concern, and bring home what belonged to it in India.³ For the first time, we learn that payment was demanded of the capital of those separate funds, called the joint-stocks of the Company. Upon this occasion a difficult question might have presented itself.

¹ Brace, i. 353, 354.² Ibid. 355, 361, 362.³ Ibid. 363.

BOOK I. It might have been disputed to whom the immoveable
CHAP. III. property of the Company, in houses and in lands, both in

1642.

India and in England, acquired by parts indiscriminately, of all the joint-stocks, belonged. Amid the confusion which pervaded all parts of the Company's affairs, this question had not begun to be agitated : but to encourage subscription to the new joint-stock, it was laid down as a condition, "That to prevent inconvenience and confusion, the old Company or adventurers in the third joint-stock should have sufficient time allowed for bringing home their property, and should send no more stock to India, after the month of May."¹ It would thus appear, that the Proprietors of the third joint-stock, and by the same rule the Proprietors of all preceding stocks, were, without any scruple, to be deprived of their share in what is technically called the *dead stock* of the Company, though it had been wholly purchased with their money. There was another condition, to which inferences of some importance may be attached ; the subscribers to the new stock were themselves, in a general court, to elect the Directors to whom the management of the fund should be committed, and to renew that election annually.² As this was a new Court of Directors, entirely belonging to the fourth joint-stock it seems to follow that the Directors in whose hands the third joint-stock had been placed, must still have remained in office, for the winding up of that concern. And, in that case, there existed, to all intents and purposes, two East India Companies, two separate bodies of Proprietary, and two separate Courts of Directors, under one charter.

So low, however, was the credit of East India adventure, under joint-stock management, now reduced, that the project of a new subscription almost totally failed. Only the small sum of 22,500£ was raised. Upon this a memorial was presented to the King, but in the name of whom; whether of the new subscribers, or the old; whether of the Court of Directors belonging to the old joint-stock, or of a Court of Directors chosen for the new, does not appear. It set forth a number of unhappy circumstances, to which was ascribed the distrust which now attended

¹ Preamble to a subscription for a new joint-stock, for trade to the East Indies, 28th January, 1640 (East India Papers in the State Paper Office), Bruce, i. 364.

» Ibid.

THE KING TAKES THE COMPANY'S PEPPER.

joint-stock adventures to India;¹ and it intimated, but in very general terms, the necessity of encouragement, to save that branch of commerce from total destruction.

BOOK I.
CHAP. III.

1642.

In the meantime a heavy calamity fell upon the Proprietors of the third joint-stock. The King having resolved to draw the sword for terminating the disputes between him and his people; and finding himself destitute of money; fixed his eyes, as the most convenient mass of property within his reach, on the magazines of the East India Company. A price being named, which was probably a high one, he bought upon credit the whole of their pepper, and sold it again at a lower price for ready money.² Bonds, four in number, one of which was promised to be paid every six months, were given by the farmers of the customs and Lord Cottington for the amount; of which only a small portion seems ever to have been paid. On a pressing application, about the beginning of the year 1642, it was stated, that 13,000£ had been allowed them out of the duties they owed; the remainder the farmers declared it to be out of their power to advance. A prayer was presented that the customs, now due by them, amounting to 12,000£, might be applied in liquidation of the debt; but for this they were afterwards pressed by the parliament. The King exerted himself to protect the parties who stood responsible for him; and what the Company were obliged to pay to the parliament, or what they succeeded in getting from the King or his sureties, nowhere appears.³

About the period of this abortive attempt to form a new joint-stock, a settlement was first effected at Madras; the only station as yet chosen, which was destined to make a figure in the future history of the Company. The desire of a place of strength on the coast of Coromandel, as a security both to the property of the Company and the persons of their agents, had suggested, some years ago, the

¹ The principal of these was the ascendancy of the Dutch in India; an ascendancy, of the reality and consequences of which no doubt can be reasonably entertained, when the state of England, both at home and abroad, is remembered. The disputes, also, which divided the king and parliament, and the general agitation of men's minds, must be considered as propitious to the investment of capital in any commercial speculation. It is not just, therefore, to insinuate that the failure of the subscription was wholly ascribable to the mismanagement of the Directors of prior joint-stock enterprises.—W.

* See Brace, i. 871. The quantity was, 607,522 bags, bought at 2s. 1d. per pound; total, 63,2837.1U. *Id.*; sold at 1s. 8d. per pound; total, 50,626/. 17s. *Id.*

³ Bruce, i. 379,380.—M. In all probability, nothing was recovered.—W.

BOOK I fortification of Armegaum. On experience, Armegaum was
 CHAP. III. not found a convenient station for providing the piece
 1678. goods,¹ for which chiefly the trade to the coast of Coromandel was pursued. In 1640-41, the permission of the local native chief to erect a fort at Madraspatam was, therefore, eagerly embraced.² The works were begun, and the place named Fort St. George; but the measure was not approved by the Directors.³

Meanwhile the trade was languishing, for want of funds. The agents abroad endeavoured to supply, by loans, the failure of receipts from home.⁴

An effort was made in 1642-43 to aid the weakness of the fourth joint-stock by a new subscription. The sum produced was 105,000l.; but whether including or not including the previous subscription does not appear. This was deemed no more than what was requisite for a single voyage : of which the Company thought the real circumstances might be concealed under a new name. They called it, the *First General Voyage*.⁵ Of the amount, however, of the ships, or the distribution of the funds, there is no information on record. For several years, from this date, no account whatever is preserved of the annual equipments of the Company. It would appear, from instructions to the agents abroad, that, each year, funds had been supplied; but from what source is altogether unknown. The instructions sufficiently indicate that they were small; and for this the unsettled state of the country, and the distrust of Indian adventure, will sufficiently account.

In 1644, the Dutch followed the example of the English in forming a convention with the Portuguese at Goa. Though it is not pretended that in this any partiality was shown to the Dutch, or any privilege granted to them which was withheld from the English, the Company found

¹ *Piece Goods* is the term which, latterly at least, has been chiefly employed by the Company and their agents, to denote the muslins and woven goods of India and China in general.

² The date of the grant from Sri Ranga Raya, Raja of Chandragheri, by whom the ground was given, is the 1st of March, 1639. The chief of the factory of Armegaum, who removed thence to Madras, was Mr. Day, who was invited by the Naik, or local governor, to change the seat of the settlement. In compliment to the latter, the new station was named after his father, Chennappa-petam; by which, or its abbreviation, Chenna-petam, the town is known to the natives. *Hamilton's Gazetteer*.—W.

³ Bruce, i. 377, 398.

⁴ *Ibid.* 398.

⁵ *Ibid.* 398, 399.

themselves as usual, unable to sustain competition, and complained of this convention as an additional source of misfortune.¹

BOOKI.
CHAP.III.

In 1647-48, when the power of the parliament was supreme, and the King a prisoner in the Isle of Wight, a new subscription was undertaken, and a pretty obvious policy was pursued. Endeavours were used to get as many as possible of the members of parliament to subscribe. If the members of the ruling body had a personal interest in the gains of the Company, its privileges would not fail to be both protected and enlarged. An advertisement, which fixed the time beyond which ordinary subscribers would not be received, added, that, in deference to members of parliament, a further period would be allowed to them, to consider the subject, and make their subscriptions.²

1648.

It appears not that any success attended this effort; and in 1649-50, the project of completing the fourth joint-stock was renewed, partly as a foundation for an application to the Council of State, partly in hopes that the favours expected from the Council would induce the public to subscribe.³

In the memorial, presented on this occasion to the ruling powers, Courten's Association was the principal subject of complaint. The consent of the King, in 1639, to withdraw the license granted to those rivals, had not been carried into effect; nor had the condition on which it had been accorded, that of raising a respectable joint-stock, been fulfilled. The destruction, however, to which the Association of Courten saw themselves at that time condemned, deprived them of the spirit of enterprise: with the spirit of enterprise, the spirit of vigilance naturally disappeared: their proceedings from the time of this condemnation had been feeble and unprosperous: but their existence was a grievance in the eyes of the Company; and an application which they had recently made for permission to form a settlement on the island of Assada, near Madagascar, kindled anew the Company's jealousies and fears. What the Council proposed to both parties was, an agreement that the Assada merchants, so Courten's Association were now denominated, regarded joint-stock management with so much aversion, that, low as the condition was to which they had fallen,

BOOK I. they preferred a separate trade on their own funds to in-
 CHAP. XII. corporation with the Company.¹ To prove, however, their

1650. desire of accommodation, they proposed certain terms, on which they would submit to forego the separate management of their own affairs.

Objections were offered on the part of the Company; but, after some discussion, a union was effected, nearly on the terms which the Assada merchants proposed.² Application was then made for an act to confirm and regulate the trade. The parliament passed a resolution, directing it to be carried on by a joint-stock; but suspending for the present all further decision on the Company's affairs.³ A stock was formed, which, from the union recently accomplished, was denominated *the united joint-stock*; but in what manner raised, or how great the sum, is not disclosed. All we know for certain is, that two ships were fitted out in this season, and that they carried bullion with them to the amount of 60,000*l.*⁴

The extreme inconvenience and embarrassment which arose from the management, by the same agents, in the same trade, of a number of separate capitals, belonging to separate associations, began now to make themselves seriously and formidably felt. From each of the presidencies complaints arrived of the, difficulties, or rather the impossibilities, which they were required to surmount; and it was urgently recommended to obtain, if it were practicable, an act of parliament to combine the whole of these separate stocks.⁵ Under this confusion, we have hardly any information respecting the internal transactions of the Company at home. "We know not so much as how the Courts of Directors were formed; whether there was a body of Directors for each separate fund, or only one body for the whole; and if only one Court of Directors, whether they were chosen by the voices of the contributors to all the separate stocks, or the contributors to one only; whether, when a Court of Proprietors was held, the owners of all the separate funds met in one body, or the owners of each separate fund met by themselves, for the regulation of their own particular concern."⁶

¹ Bruce, i. 435, 436.

²

^{Ibid.} 437, 433.

³ ^{Ibid.} 439, 440.

⁴ ^{Ibid.} 440.

^{Ibid.} 441.

⁶ If we hear of committees of the several stocks; the bodies of Directors were denominated committees. And if there were committees of the several

LICENSE TO TRADE IN GENEFIAL.

In 1651-52,¹ the English obtained in Bengal the first of those peculiar privileges, which were the forerunners of their subsequent power. Among the persons belonging to the factories, whom there was occasion to send to the Imperial Court, it happened that some were surgeons; one of whom is particularly named, a gentleman of the name of Boughton.² Obtaining great influence, by the cures which they effected, they employed their interest in promoting the views of the Company. Favourable circumstances were so well improved, that, on the payment of 3000 rupees, a government license for an unlimited trade, without payment of customs, in the richest province of India, was happily obtained.³

BOOK I.
CHAP. III.

1652.

On the Coromandel coast, the wars, which then raged among the natives, rendered commerce difficult and uncertain; and the Directors were urged by the agent at Madras to add to the fortifications. This they refused, on the ground of expense. As it was inconvenient, however,

stocks, how -were they constituted? Were they committees of Proprietors, or committees of Directors? And were there any managers or Directors besides?

An attempt was made to establish a factory at Patna, in 1620. In 1624, a firmaun was obtained from Shahjehan Keber, permitting the English to trade with Bengallcut restricting them to the port of Piplee in Midnapore, but the regular connexion of the Company with Bengal did not commence till 1642; when a factory was established by Mr. Day, at Balasore. Bruce, i. 394. Stewart's History of Bengal. Hamilton's Hindustan.—W.

2 This is not quite correctly described. The surgeons of the Company's ships had been occasionally employed by Mohammedans of rank at Surat and other places, and had acquired credit. Whilst Shah Jehan was in the Dekhau, one of his daughters was dreadfully burnt: and, at the recommendation of the vazir, Asad Khan, an express was sent to Surat for an English surgeon. The factory despatched Mr. Gabriel Boughton, who was fortunate enough to cure the princess, and thereby acquired that favour with the emperor which he used to procure the privilege of free trade for the English. He was afterwards in the service of Prince Shuja, whilst in the government of Bengal, and was thus enabled to secure attention to the firmaun of the emperor. Bruce, i. 406. Stewart, History of Bengal, 261. There is a material difference of dates in the two authorities; Bruce places Mr. Boughton's mission in 1645, Stewart in 1636: the latter, however, evidently confounds the privileges procured by Boughton with the permission previously granted to the English to visit the port of Piplee. According to Bruce, the firmaun for free trade with Bengal was not granted till 1651-52; but even this is not correctly denominated a firmaun. In 1676 the Company's agent writes, "there doth not appear that there ever was any firmaun or royal command; but only a nishan or letter from Prince Shujah, and parwanas or warrants from the governors of the province;" and he expresses his fear that the trade will be ruined for want of such authority, and the plea for exaction afforded by the charge that the English, had traded custom-free for many years, without any right to be exempted. It was therefore determined to make an effort to obtain an imperial firmaun; and it was at last procured from Aurangzeb in 1680, after a disbursement of bribes to his officers of 50,000 rupees. By made custom-free in all places except Surat.—

³ Bruce, i. 460, 463.

BOOK I. to keep the business of this coast dependent on the distant
CHAP. III. settlement of Bantam, Fort Si George WAS erected into a
 presidency in 1653-54.¹

When the disputes began, which ended in hostilities between Cromwell and the Dutch, the Company deemed it a fit opportunity to bring forward those claims of theirs which, amid the distractions of the government, had lain dormant for several years. The war which succeeded, favourable to the British arms in Europe, was extremely dangerous, and not a little injurious, to the feeble Company in India. On the appearance of a Dutch fleet of eight large ships off Swally, in 1653-54, the English trade at Surat was suspended. In the Gulf of Persia, three of the Company's ships were taken, and one destroyed. The whole of the coasting trade of the English, consisting of the interchange of goods from one of their stations to another, became, under the naval superiority of the Dutch, so hazardous, as to be nearly suspended ; and at Bantam, traffic seems to have been rendered wholly impracticable.²

As Cromwell soon reduced the Dutch to the necessity of desiring peace, and of submitting to it on terms nearly such as he thought proper to dictate, a clause was inserted in the treaty concluded at Westminster in 1654, in which they engaged to conform to whatever justice might prescribe regarding the massacre at Amboyna. It was agreed to name commissioners, four on each side, who should meet at London, and make an adjustment of the claims of the two nations. One remarkable, and not an ill-contrived, condition was, that if the appointed commissioners should, within a specified time, be unable to agree, the differences in question should be submitted to the judgment and arbitration of the Protestant Swiss Cantons.³

The Commissioners met on the 30th of August, 1654. The English Company, who have never found themselves at a loss to make out heavy claims for compensation, whether it was their own government, or a foreign, with which they had to deal, stated their damages, ascertained by a series of accounts, from the year 1611 to the year 1652, at the vast amount of 2,695,999l. The Dutch, **however**, seem to *have* been a match for them. They too had their claims for compensation, on account of joint expenses

¹ Bruce, i. 454, 402, 484. ² Ibid. 458, 482, 484, 485.

not paid, or injuries and losses sustained, amounting to 2,919,861l. 3s. 6d. It is impossible to pronounce with accuracy on the justice, comparative or absolute, of these several demands. There is no doubt that both were excessively exaggerated. But if we consider, that, under the domineering ascendancy which the Protector had acquired, it was natural for the English to overbear, and expedient for the Dutch to submit; while we observe, that the award pronounced by the Commissioners, allotted to the English no more than 85,000l, to be paid by two instalments, we shall not find any reason, distinct from national partiality, to persuade us, that the balance of extravagance was greatly on the side of the Dutch. All the satisfaction obtained for the massacre of Amboyna, even by the award of the Same Commissioners, was 3,615l, to be paid to the heirs or executors of those who had suffered.¹ Polaroon was given up to the English, but not worth receiving.

Various occurrences strongly mark the sense which appears to have been generally entertained, of the unprofitable nature of joint-stock. That particular body of proprietors, including the Assada merchants, to whom the united joint-stock belonged, presented to the Council of State, in 1654, two separate petitions; in which they prayed, that the East India Company should no longer proceed exclusively on the principle of a joint-stock trade, but that the owners of the separate funds should have authority to employ their own capital, servants, and shipping, in the way which they themselves should deem most to their own advantage.² The power and consequence of the Directors were threatened; and they hastened to present those pleas, which are used as their best weapons of defence to the present day. Experience had proved the necessity of a joint-stock; since the trade had been carried on by a joint-stock during forty years. Such competitions as those with the Portuguese and the Dutch could only be supported by the strength of a joint-stock. The equipments for the India trade required a capital so large

¹ Bruce, i. 481.

² The reasons on which they supported their request, as stated in their petition, exhibit so just a view of the infirmities of joint-stock management, as compared with that of individuals, pursuing their own interests, that they are highly worthy of inspection as a specimen of the talents and knowledge of the men by whom joint stock was now opposed. See Bruce, i. 482.

BOOK I. as a joint-stock alone could afford. The failure of Courten's
 CRAP. III. experiment proved that voyages on any other principle
 1654. could not succeed. The factories requisite for the Indian
 trade, could be established only by a joint-stock, the East
 India Company having factories in the dominions of no
 less than fourteen different sovereigns. The native princes
 required engagements to make good the losses which they
 or their subjects might sustain at the hands of Englishmen ;
 and to this a joint-stock company alone was competent.

On these grounds, they not only prayed that the trade by
 joint-stock should be exclusively continued; but that, as
 it had been impracticable for some time to obtain sufficient
 subscriptions, additional encouragement should be given by
 new privileges; and, in particular, that assistance should be
 granted sufficient to enable them to recover and retain the
 Spice Islands.¹

In their reply, the body of petitioners, who were now dis-
 tinguished by the name of Merchant Adventurers, chiefly
 dwelt upon the signal want of success which had attended
 the trade to India, during forty years of joint-stock manage-
 ment. They asserted, that private direction and separate
 voyages would have been far more profitable; as the prosper-
 ity of those open Companies, the Turkey, Muscovy, and
 Eastland Companies, sufficiently proved. They claimed a
 right by agreement, to a share in the factories and privi-
 leges of the Company in India; and stated that they were
 fitting out fourteen ships for the trade.² They might have
 still further represented, that every one of the arguments
 advanced by the Directors, without even a single exception,
 was a mere assumption of the thing to be proved. That
 the trade had, during forty years, or four hundred years,
 been carried on by a joint-stock, proved not that, by a
 different mode, it would not have yielded much greater
 advantage; if the trade had been in the highest degree
 unprosperous, it rather proved that the management had
 been proportionally defective. The Directors asserted, that
 in meeting competition, private adventure would altogether
 fail; though with their joint-stock they had so ill sustained
 competition, that Courten's Association had threatened to
 drive them out of every market in which they had appeared,
 and they themselves had repeatedly and solemnly declared

¹ Bruce, i. 492, 493.

² Ibid, 494.

to government, that unless the license to Courten were withdrawn, the ruin of the East India Company was sure. With regard to *mercantile* competition, at any rate, the skill and vigilance of individuals, transacting for their own interest, was sure to be a more powerful instrument than the imbecility and negligence of joint-stock management; and as to *warlike* competition, a few ships of war, with a few companies of marines, employed by the government, would have yielded far more security than all the efforts which a feeble joint-stock could make. The failure of Courten's Association was sufficiently accounted for by the operation of particular causes, altogether distinct from the general circumstances of the trade; the situation, in fact, in which the jealousy and influence of the Company had placed them. Factories were by no means so necessary as the Company ignorantly supposed, and interestedly strove to make others believe; as they shortly after found to their cost, when they were glad to reduce the greater number of those which they had established. Where factories were really useful, it would be for the interest of all the traders to support them. And all would join in an object of common utility in India, as they joined in every other quarter of the globe. As to the native princes, there was no such difficulty as the Company pretended: nor would individual merchants have been less successful than the directors of a joint-stock, in finding the means of prosecuting the trade.

These contending pretensions were referred to a committee of the Council of State; and they, without coming to a decision, remitted the subject to the Protector and Council, as too difficult and important for the judgment of any inferior tribunal.¹

Nothing could exceed the confusion which, from the clashing interests of the owners of the separate stocks, now raged in the Company's affairs. There were no less than three parties who set up claims to the Island of Polaroon, and to the compensation money which had been obtained from the Dutch; the respective proprietors of the third, fourth, and united joint-stocks. The proprietors of the third joint-stock claimed the whole, as the fourth joint-stock and united stock were not in existence at the time when the debt obtained from the Dutch was incurred;

BOOK I.
CHAP. III.

1655.

¹ Bruce, i. 503.

BOOK I. and they prayed that the money might be lodged in safe
CHAP. III. and responsible hands, till government should determine
the question. The owners of the two other stocks demanded that the money should be divided into three equal shares, for the three several stocks, and that they should all have equal rights to the Island of Pokroon.

Five arbitrators, to whom the dispute was referred, were chosen by the Council of State. In the meantime, Cromwell proposed to borrow the \$5,000l. which had been paid by the Dutch, and which could not be employed till adjudged to whom it belonged.

The Directors, however, had expected the fingering of the money, and they advanced reasons why it should be immediately placed in their hands. The pecuniary distresses of the Company were great. The different stocks were 50,000l. in debt; and many of the Proprietors were in difficult circumstances. From gratitude to the Protector, however, they would make exertions to spare him 50,000l, to be repaid in eighteen months by instalments, provided the remaining 36,000l were immediately assigned them, to pay their most pressing debts, and make a dividend to the Proprietors.¹ It thus appears, that these Directors wanted to forestall the decision of the question, and to distribute the money at their own pleasure, before it was known to whom it belonged. At the same time, it is matter of curious uncertainty who these Directors were, whom they represented, by what set or sets of Proprietors they were chosen, or to whom they were responsible.

While this dispute was yet undecided, the Merchant Adventurers, or Proprietors of the united stock, obtained a commission from the Protector to fit out four ships for the Indian trade, under the management of a committee.² We are made acquainted upon this occasion with a very interesting fact. The news of this event being carried to Holland, it was interpreted, and understood, by the Dutch, as being an abolition of the exclusive charter, and the adoption of the new measure of a free and open trade. The interests of the Dutch Company made them see, in this supposed revolution, consequences very different from those which the interests of the English Directors made them behold or pretend that they beheld in it. Instead

¹ Bruce, . 503, 504.

² I id. 508,

of rejoicing at the loss of a joint-stock in England, as they ought to have done, if by joint-stock alone the trade of their rivals could be successfully carried on; they were filled with dismay at the prospect of freedom, as likely to produce a trade with which competition on their part would be vain.¹

BOOK I.
CRAP. III.

1657.

Meanwhile the Company, as well as the Merchant Adventurers, were employed in the equipment of a fleet. The petition of the Company to the Protector for leave to export bullion, specified the sum of 15,000£, and the fleet consisted of three ships. They continued to press the government for a decision in favour of their exclusive privileges; and in a petition which they presented in October, 1656, affirmed, that the great number of ships sent by individuals under licenses, had raised the price of India goods from 40 to 50 per cent., and reduced that of English commodities in the same proportion. The Council resolved at last to come to a decision. After some inquiry, they gave it as their advice to the Protector to continue the exclusive trade and the joint-stock; and a committee of the Council was, in consequence, appointed to consider the terms of a charter.²

While the want of funds almost annihilated the operations of the Company's agents in every part of India; and while they complained that the competition of the ships of the Merchant Adventurers rendered it, as usual, impracticable for them to trade with a profit in the markets of India, the Dutch pursued their advantages against the Portuguese. They had acquired possession of the island of Ceylon, and in the year 1656-57, blockaded the port of Goa, after which they meditated an attack upon the small island of Diu, which commanded the entrance into the harbour of Swally. From the success of these enterprises they expected a complete command of the navigation on that side of India, and the power of imposing on the English trade duties under which it would be **unable to stand.***

Thurice's State tapers, iii 80. Anderson says, "The merchants at Amsterdam, having heard that the Lord Protector would dissolve the *East India Company* at London, and declare the navigation and commerce to the Indies to be free and open, were greatly alarmed; considering such a measure as ruinous to their own *East India Company*." Anderson's History of Commerce, in Macpherson's Annals, ii. 459. See Bruce, i. 518.

¹ Bruce, i. 514-516.

² Ibid, 522-529.

BOOK I.

CHAP. IV.

CHAPTER IV.

1658.

From the Coalition between the Company and the Merchant Adventurers, till the Project for a new and a rival Mast India Company.

AFTER the decision of the Council of State in favour of the joint-stock scheme of trading to India, the Company and the Merchant Adventurers effected a coalition. On the strength of this union a new subscription, in 1657-58, was opened, and filled up to the amount of 786,000l.¹ Whether the expected charter had been actually received is not ascertained.²

The first operation of the new body of subscribers was the very necessary one of forming an adjustment with the owners of the preceding funds. A negotiation was opened for obtaining the transfer of the factories, establishments, and privileges in India. After the lofty terms in which the Directors had always spoken of these privileges and possessions, when placing them in the list of reasons for opposing an open trade, we are apt to be surprised at the smallness of the sum which, after all, and "though situated in the dominions of fourteen different sovereigns," they were found to be worth. They were made over in full right for 20,000l., to be paid in two instalments. The ships, merchandise in store, and other trading commodities of the preceding adventurers, were taken by the new subscribers at a price and it was agreed that the sharers in the former trade, who on that account had property in the Indies, should not traffic on a separate fund, but, after a specified term, should carry the amount of such property to the account of the new stock.³ There was, in this manner, only one stock now in the hands of the Directors, and

¹ Brace, i. 529.

² Bruce, upon whose authority this transaction is described, states the matter rather differently; he says: "That the charter was granted in this season will appear, from the reference made to it in the petition of the East-India Company, though no copy of it can be discovered among the records of the state or of the Company."—loc. cit. In a letter from Fort St. George to the factory of Surat, dated 12th July, 1658, it is stated that the Blackmoore, which had arrived from England on the 12th of June, had "posted away with ill haste, after His Highness the Lord Protector had signed the Company's Charter."—W.

³ Bruce, i. 629, 530.

they had one distinct interest to pursue; a prodigious improvement on the preceding confusion and embarrassment, when several stocks were managed, and as many contending interests pursued at once.

Some new regulations were adopted for the conduct of affairs. The whole of the factories and presidencies were rendered subordinate to the President and Council at Surat. The presidencies, however, at Fort St. George and at Bantam were continued; the factories and agencies on the Coromandel coast and in Bengal being made dependent on the former, and those "in the southern islands on the latter."¹

As heavy complaints had been made of trade carried on, for their own account, by the agents and servants of the Company, who not only acted as the rivals, but neglected and betrayed the interests, of their masters, it was prohibited, and, in compensation, additional salaries allowed.²

After these preliminary proceedings, the first fleet was despatched. It consisted of five ships; one for Madras carrying 15,500*l.* in bullion; one for Beugal; and three for Surat, Persia, and Bantam.³ The following year, that is the season 1658-59, one ship was consigned to Surat, one to Fort St. George, and two to Bantam. The latter were directed to touch at Fort St. George to obtain coast clothes for the islands, and to return to Bengal and Fort St. George to take in Bengal and Coromandel goods for Europe. Instructions were given to make great efforts for recovering a share of the spice trade.⁴ Bantam, however, was at this time blockaded by the Dutch, and no accounts were this year received of the traffic in the southern islands.⁵

The operations of the new joint-stock were not more prosperous than those of the old. Transactions at the several factories were feeble and unsuccessful. For two years, 1659-60, and 1660-61, there is no account of the Company's equipments; and their advances to India were no doubt small.⁶ "The embarrassed state of the Company's

¹ Bruce, i. 512.

²

Ibid.

³ *ibid.*, 533.

⁴ Bruce, 539, 540. The state of interest, both in India and England, appears incidentally in the accounts received by the Company from the agents at Surat, in the year 1658-59. These agents, after stating the narrowness of the funds placed at their disposal, recommend to the Directors rather to borrow money in England, which could easily be done at 4 per cent., than leave them to take up money in India at 8 or 0 per cent. Ibid., 543.

Bruce, 544.

⁶ Ibid., 549—551.

BOOK I. funds at this particular period," says Mr. Bruce) "may
 CHAP. IV. be inferred from the resolutions they had taken to relin-
 1661. quish many of their out-stations, and to limit their trade
 in the Peninsula of India to the presidencies of Surat and
 Fort St. George, and their subordinate factories."¹

Meanwhile Cromwell had died, and Charles II. ascended the throne. Amid the arrangements which took place between England and the continental* powers, the Company were careful to press on the attention of government a list of grievances, which they represented themselves as still enduring at the hands of the Dutch; and an order was obtained, empowering them to take possession of the island of Polaroon. They afterwards complained that it was delivered to them in such a state of prepared desolation, as to be of no value.² The truth is, it was of little value at best.

On every change in the government of the country, it had been an important object with the Company to obtain a confirmation of their exclusive privileges. The usual policy was not neglected, on the accession of Charles II.; and a petition was presented to him for a renewal of the •East India charter. As there appears not to have been, at that time, any body of opponents to make interest or importunity for a contrary measure, it was far easier to grant without inquiry, than to inquire and refuse; and Charles and his ministers had a predilection for easy rules of government. A charter, bearing date the 3rd of April, 1661, was accordingly granted, confirming the ancient privileges of the Company, and vesting in them authority to make peace and war with any prince or people, not being Christians; and to seize unlicensed persons within their limits, and send them to England.³ The two last were important privileges; and, with the right of administering justice consigned almost all the powers of government to the discretion of the Directors and their servants.

It appears not that, on this occasion, the expedient of a new subscription for obtaining a capital was attempted. A new adjustment with regard to the privileges and dead stock in India would have been required. The joint-stock was not as yet a definite and invariable sum, placed beyond the power of resumption, at the disposal of the

i Bruce, i. 555. ² Ibid, 553,554.

³ Ibid, 557.

Company, the shares only transferable by purchase and sale in the market. The capital was variable and fluctuating; formed by the sums which, on the occasion of each voyage, the individuals, who were free of the Company, chose to pay into the hands of the Directors, receiving credit for the amount in the Company's books, and proportional dividends on the profits of the voyage. Of this stock 500*l.* entitled a proprietor to a vote in the general courts; and the shares were transferable, even to such as were not free of the Company, upon paying 5*l.* for admission.¹

BOOK 1.
CHAP. IV.
1662-67.

Of the amount either of the shipping or stock of the first voyage upon the renewed charter we have no account; but the instructions sent to India prescribed a reduction of the circle of trade. In the following year, 1662-63, two ships sailed for Surat, with a cargo in goods and bullion, amounting to 65,000*£.*, of which it would appear that 28,300*l.* was consigned to Fort St. George. Next season there is no account of equipments. In 1664-65, two ships were sent out with the very limited value of 16,000*l.* The following season, the same number only of ships was equipped; and the value in money and goods consigned to Surat was 20,600*l.*; whether any thing in addition was afforded to Fort St. George does not appear; there was no consignment to Bantam. In 1666-67, the equipment seems to have consisted but of one vessel, consigned to Surat with a value of 16,000 *l.*²

With these inadequate means, the operations of the Company in India were by necessity languid and humble. At Surat the out-factories and agencies were suppressed. Instructions were given to sell the English goods at low rates, for the purpose of ruining the interlopers. The Dutch, however, revenged the private traders; and, by the competition of their powerful capital, rendered the Company's business difficult and unprofitable.⁸ On the Coromandel coast the wars among the native chiefs, and the overbearing influence of the Dutch, cramped and threatened to extinguish the trade of the English. And at Bantam; where the Dutch power was most sensibly felt, the feeble

¹ Anderson's History of Commerce, in Macpherson's *Annals*, 11. 495,606,

² Bruce. ii. 108, 119, 152,186.
Ibid. 110,138, 157,1 M, 174.

BOOK I. resources of their rivals hardly sufficed to keep their
CHAP. IV. business alive.¹

1062-67. During these years of weakness and obscurity, several events occurred, which, by their consequences, proved to be of considerable importance. The island of Bombay was ceded to the King of England as part of the dowry of the Infanta Catharine; and a fleet of five men of war commanded by the Earl of Marlborough, with 500 troops commanded by Sir Abraham Shipman, were sent to receive the possession. The armament arrived at Bombay on the 18th of September, 1662; but the governor evaded the cession. The English understood the treaty to include Salsette and the other dependencies of Bombay. As it was not precise in its terms, the Portuguese denied that it referred to anything more than the island of Bombay. Even Bombay they refused to give up, till further instructions, on the pretext that the letters or patent of the King did not accord with the usages of Portugal. The commander of the armament applied in this emergency to the Company's President, to make arrangements for receiving the troops and ships at Surat, as the men were dying by long confinement on board. But that magistrate represented the danger of incurring the suspicion of the Mogul government, which would produce the seizure of the Company's investment, and the expulsion of their servants from the country. In these circumstances the Earl of Marlborough took his resolution of returning with the King's ships to England; but Sir Abraham Shipman, it was agreed, should land the troops on the island of Angedivah, twelve leagues distant from Goa. On the arrival of the Earl of Marlborough in England, in 1663, the King remonstrated with the government of Portugal, but obtained unsatisfactory explanations; and all intention of parting with the dependencies of Bombay was denied. The situation, in the meantime, of the troops at Angedivah proved extremely unhealthy; their numbers were greatly reduced by disease; and the commander made offer to the President and Council at Surat, to cede the King's rights to the Company. This offer, on consultation, the President and Council declined; as well because, without the authority of the King, the grant was not valid, as because,

¹ Bruce, ii. 130, 159.

in their feeble condition, they were unable to take possession of the place. After Sir Abraham Shipman and the greater part of the troops had died by famine and disease, Mr. Cooke, on whom the command devolved, accepted of Bombay on the terms which the Portuguese were pleased to prescribe: renounced all claim to the contiguous islands; and allowed the Portuguese exemption from the payment of customs. This convention the King refused to ratify, as contrary to the terms of his treaty with Portugal; but sent out Sir Gervase Lucas to assume the government of the place. As a few years' experience showed that the government of Bombay cost more than it produced, it was once more offered to the Company: and now accepted. The grant bears date in 1668. Bombay was "to be held, of the King in free and common soccage, as of the manor of East Greenwich, on the payment of the annual rent of 10l. in gold, on the 30th of September, in each year;" and with the place itself was conveyed authority to exercise all political powers, necessary for its defence and government.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. IV.
1668-68.

Subterfuges of a similar kind were invented by the Dutch to evade the cession of the island of Polaroon. The Governor pretended that he could not deliver up the island without instructions from the Governor of Banda; and the Governor of Banda pretended that he could not give such instructions without receiving authority from the Governor-General of Batavia. After much delay and negotiation, the cession was made in 1665; but not, if we believe the English accounts, till the Dutch had so far exterminated the inhabitants and the spice-trees, that the acquisition was of little importance. On the recommencement, however, of hostilities between England and Holland, the Dutch made haste to expel the English, and to re-occupy the island. And by the treaty of Breda, both Polaroon and Damm, on which the English had attempted an establishment, were finally ceded to the Dutch.²

In the beginning of 1664, Sivajee, the founder of the Mahratta power, in the course of his predatory warfare against the territories of the Mogul Sovereign, attacked the city of Surat. The inhabitants fled, and the Governor

i Bruce, ii. 104, 106, 126, 134, 141, 155, 168, 199. Macpherson's Annate. 11.503.

BOOK I. shut himself up in the castle. The Company's servants,
 CHAP. IV. however, taking shelter in the factory, stood upon their
 1663-68. defence, and having called in the ships' crews to their aid, made so brave a resistance that Sivajee retired after pillaging the town. The gallantry and success of this enterprise so pleased the Mogul government, as to obtain its thanks to the President, and new privileges of trade to the Company.¹ The place was again approached by the same destructive enemy in 1670, when the principal part of the Company's goods was transported to Swally, and lodged on board the ships. The English again defended themselves successfully, though some lives were lost, as well as some property in their detached warehouses.²

i Scant justice is done to the Company's servants in this brief notice of a conduct highly remarkable for cool and resolute courage. Sivajee's approach to within fifteen miles of Surat was announced on the morning of the 5th of January, upon which the Governor retired into the castle, and the inhabitants fled from every part of the city except that adjacent to the factory. In the evening the Mahrattas entered, and part blockaded the castle, whilst the rest plundered and set fire to the houses. During that night and the following day repeated demands and menaces were sent to the factory, but they were all met with terms of defiance. "We replied to Sivajee," says the despatch to the Court dated the 26th January, 1664, "we were here on purpose to maintain the house to the death of the last man, and therefore not to delay his coming upon us." It does not appear that any organized attack was made upon the factory, but the Mahrattas assembled in considerable numbers before it, and broke into an adjoining house. To prevent their establishing themselves in a situation from which they might offer serious annoyance, a sally was made from the factory which had the effect of dislodging the assailants, and putting them to flight, with some loss and three men wounded on the part of the English; this success was followed up with spirit—the plundered house was occupied—several sorties were made, and pushed even to the gates of the castle, and the neighbourhood for near a quarter of a mile round was cleared of the enemy. No further attempts were made to molest the factory or its vicinity during the three days that Sivajee continued in possession of the town, and the inhabitants of the quarter in which the factory was situated "were very thankful in their acknowledgments, blessing and praising the English nation," to whose valour they ascribed their exemption from the calamities which had desolated the rest of the city. The governor presented Sir G. Oxenden with a dress of honour, and recommended the interests of the Company to Aurungzeb.

The emperor in the first instance remitted the customs at Surat for one year in favour of all merchants, and subsequently granted a perpetual remission of a portion of the duties to the English in particular. The despatch from Surat states the proportion to be one half, but the translation of the *Hush-ul-hookum*, in the Records, says a half per cent.; and in the *firmaun* granted on the 26th June, 1667, the amount is stated at one per cent out of three, the ordinary impost. A more important provision of the *firmaun* is exemption from all transit charges on any pretext whatever.—W.

² Bruce, ii. 144, 145, 284.—M. According to Orme, the English and Dutch factories were free on this, and on the former irruption, from either molestation or demand.—Fragments, 14, 25. A very extraordinary statement, as lie had access to the public records, which tell a very different story. On this occasion, as on the former, the English factory was defended with spirit, "the enemy," says the letter from Surat, "found such hot service from our house, that they left us." Subsequently a parley was held with "the Captain of the Brigade," who agreed to refrain from further molestation, and "the house was quiet for two days." On the third day they again appeared before the factory,

At this period occurred one of the first instances of refractory and disobedient conduct on the part of the Company's servants. This is a calamity to which they have been much less frequently exposed, than, from the distance and employment of those servants, it would have been reasonable to expect. The efforts of the Directors to suppress the trade, which their agents carried on for their own account had not been very successful. Sir Edward Winter, the chief servant at Fort St. George, was suspected of this delinquency, and in consequence recalled. When Mr. Foxcroft, however, who was sent to supersede him, arrived at Fort St. George, in June, 1665, Sir Edward, instead of resigning, placed his intended successor in confinement, under a pretext which it was easy to make, that he had uttered disloyal expressions against the King's government. Notwithstanding remonstrances and commands, he maintained himself in the government of the place till two ships arrived, in August, 1668, with peremptory orders from the Company, strengthened by a command from the King, to resign; when his courage failed him, and he complied. He retired to Masulipatam, a station of the Dutch, till the resentment excited against him in England should cool; and his name appears no more in the annals of the Company.¹

In Bengal the English factory at Hoogly² had been involved in an unhappy dispute with the Mogul government,

"threatening that they would take or burn it to the ground.; but Mr. Master stood in so resolute a posture that the Captain, not willing to hazard his men, with much ado kept them back, and sent a man into the house to advise Mr. Master what was fit to be done." In consequence of this communication a complimentary present was sent to Sivajee by two of the Company's servants; he received them kindly, "telling them that the English and lie were very good friends, and putting his hand into their hands told them that he could do the English no wrong, and that this giving his hand was better than any 'Coul' to oblige him thereto." Sivajee was in fact desirous to conciliate the English, in order to induce them to return to Rajapore, where they had formerly had a factory, which they had abandoned in consequence of his exactions. The loss of their trade had injured the town of Rajapore, and diminished the Mahratta's revenue from it. Sivajee immediately afterwards left Surat. The French had saved their factory, by paying a contribution. The Dutch factory was without the town, and was not attacked, and these circumstances, with the interview between Sivajee and the English, inspired the Mogul Government with considerable distrust of the Europeans at Surat.—W.

¹ Bruce, i. l. 179, 245.

² The English were first permitted to establish a factory at Hoogly, 1640, in the early part of Shah Shuja's government of Bengal. Hamilton. Stewart, 258. Bruce says, the agents and factors at Fort St. George, sent Captain Brockhaven, to attempt to establish a factory at Hoogly, about 1650; i. 454;—W.

BOOK I. on account of a junk which they imprudently seized on
 CHAP. IV. the river Ganges. For several years, this incident had been
 used as a pretext for molesting them.¹ In 1662-63, the
 1663-68. chief at Madras sent an agent to endeavour to reconcile
 them with Meer Jumlah, the Nabob of Bengal; and to
 establish agencies at Balasore and Cossimbuzar.² The
 Company's funds, however, were too confined to push to
 any extent the trade of the rich province of Bengal.

The scale was very small on which, at this time, the
 Company's appointments were formed. In 1662, Sir
 George Oxenden was elected to be "President and chief
 Director of all their affairs at Surat, and all other their facto-
 ries in the north parts of India, from Zeilon to the Red Sea,"
 at a salary of 300*l.* and with a gratuity of 200*l.* per annum as
 compensation for private trade. Private trade in the hands
 of their servants, and still more in those of others, the Com-
 pany were now most earnestly labouring to suppress. Direc-
 tions were given to seize all unlicensed traders and send
 them to England; and no exertion of the great powers intru-
 sted to the company was to be spared, to annihilate the race
 of merchants who trenched upon the monopoly, and to
 whom, under the disrespectful name of interlopers, they
 ascribed a great part of their imbecility and depression³

Their determination to crush all those of their country-
 men who dared to add themselves to the list of their com-
 petitors, failed not to give rise to instances of great hard-
 ship and calamity. One was rendered famous by the
 altercation which in 1666 it produced between the two
 houses of parliament. Thomas Skinner, a merchant, fitted
 out a vessel in 1657. The agents of the Company seized
 his ship and merchandise in India, his house, and the is-
 land of Barella, which he had bought of the King of Jam-
 bee.⁴ They even denied him a passage home; and he was
 obliged to travel over land to Europe. The sufferer failed

i It could not have been used for many years, as It occurred only in 1660-61; and Shuja, who invariably encouraged the English, governed Bengal to 1609. He then took up arms to assert his claim to the throne. After he was defeated by Mir Jumla, that general detained some English boats laden with saltpetre, at Rajmahal, and it was in reprisal that the English seized one of his boats at Hoogly: the difference was speedily adjusted. Stewart, 286.—W.
² Brace, i. 560; ii. 110, 131. ibid. ii. 107—109.

⁴ Jambi is a district on the east coast of Sumatra, with a navigable river; the island of Barella is not noticed by Marsden, History of Sumatra. It is the Pulo Brawl of the Records, a barren and unoccupied island, about eight leagues in compass. The Company's agents write, that no good was to be done with the island, unless people were sent to plant it.—W.

not to seek redress, by presenting his complaint to the government, and after some importunity it was referred first to a committee of the Council, and next to the House of Peers. When the Company were ordered to answer, they refused to acknowledge the jurisdiction of the Peers, on the ground that they were only a court of appeal, and not competent to decide in the first resort. The objection was overruled. The Company appealed to the House of Commons; the Lords were highly inflamed; and, proceeding to a decision, awarded to the petitioner, 5,000*l*. The Commons were now enraged in their turn; and being unable to gratify their resentments upon the House of Peers, which was the cause of them, they were pleased to do so upon the unfortunate gentleman who had already paid so dearly for the crime (whatever its amount) of infringing the Company's monopoly. He was sent a prisoner to the Tower. The Lords, whom these proceedings filled with indignation, voted the petition of the Company to the Lower House to be false and scandalous. Upon this the Commons resolved that whoever should execute the sentence of the other house in favour of Skinner, was a betrayer of the rights and liberties of the Commons of England, and an infringer of the privileges of their house. To such a height did these contentions proceed, that the King adjourned the parliament seven times; and when the controversy after an intermission revived, he sent for both houses to Whitehall, and by his personal persuasion induced them to erase from their journals all their votes, resolutions, and other acts relating to the subject. A contest, of which both parties were tired, being thus ended, the sacrifice and ruin of an individual appeared, as usual, of little importance: Skinner had no redress.¹

Another class of competitors excited the fears and

1 Macpherson's Annals, ii. 493.—M. If Skinner's claims were just, and were yet disregarded, the blame rests not with those who disputed his claims, but those who gave judgment in his favour, with the House of Lords; and whatever hardship he personally sustained, was the act, not of the East India Company, but of the House of Commons. The Company, in any case, are free from culpability. As to their conduct in seizing his ship and property, it may be doubted if the case is accurately stated by Macpherson, the only authority here followed. Thomas Skinner was preceded at Jambí by his brother, Frederick Skiuner, as agent of the merchant adventurers. On the union of the two Companies, he was directed to transfer his agency to persons sent out by them. After some little delay, he assented, and quitted Jambí, making over his assets to the united stock, together with his debts, which amounted to 24,000 Hollars.. The property then, whatever it might have been, was not

BOOK I. jealousies of the Company. Colbert, the French minister
 CHAP. IV. of finance, among his projects for rendering his country
 1668-68. commercial and opulent, conceived, in 1664, the design of
 an East India Company. The report which reached the
 Court of Directors in London represented the French as
 fitting out eight armed vessels for India, commanded by
 Hubert Hugo, whom in their instructions to the settlements
 abroad, the Directors described as a Dutch pirate. The
 hostilities of the Company were timid. They directed their
 agents in India to afford these rivals no aid or protection,
 but to behave towards them with circumspection and delicacy.
 The subservience of the English government to that
 of France was already so apparent, as to make them afraid
 of disputes in which they were likely to have their own
 rulers against them.¹

The war which took place with Holland in 1664, and
 which was followed, in 1665, by a temporary quarrel with
 France, set loose the powers of both nations against the
 Company in India. The French Company, however, was
 too much in its infancy to be formidable; and the Dutch,
 whose mercantile competition pressed as heavily during
 peace as during war, added to the difficulties of the English,
 chiefly by rendering their navigation more hazardous
 and expensive.

A fact, an enlightened attention to which would probably
 have been productive of important consequences, was at this
 time forced upon the notice of the Company. One grand
 source of the expenses which devoured the profits of their
 trade was their factories,, with all that mass of dead stock
 -which they required, houses, lands, fortifications, and
 equipments. The Dutch, who prosecuted their interests
 with vigilance and* economy, carried on their trade in a
 great many places without factories. Upon re-

Skinner's, but that of the united Company; but it seems to have been in the
 possession of Thomas Skinner, and to have been recovered from him by the aid
 of the Sultan of Jambi, whether legally or not, may be questioned: but Skinner
 was certainly not dispossessed of his property " for the crime of infringing the
 Company's monopoly." That he was harshly treated by the Commons, is undeniable;
 but he was not the only person for whose captivity sympathy should have been
 excited. The Lords were as little lenient as the Commons; and fit
 *reprisal for Skinner's incarceration, ordered Sir Samuel Barnadiston, And
 three other members of the Court of Directors, into confinement. *Parliamentary
 History*, v. iv. 422.—W.

¹ Raynol. *Hist. Philos. et Polit des Etabliss.*, etc., dans les Deux Iudes,
 ii. 183. Ed. 8vo. Geneve, 1781. Bruce, ii. 137, 150, 167. Macpherson's *Annals*.
 11. 516.

ceiving instructions to make preparations and inquiry for opening a trade with Japan, Mr. Quarles Brown, the Company's agent at Bantam, who had been at Japan, reported to the Court, that it would be necessary, if a trade with Japan was to be undertaken, to follow the plan of the Dutch; who procured the commodities in demand at Japan, in the countries of Siam, Cambodia, and Tonquin, not by erecting expensive factories, but by forming contracts with the native merchants. These merchants, at fixed seasons, brought to the ports the commodities for which they had contracted, and though it was often necessary to advance to them the capital with which the purchases were effected, they had regularly fulfilled their engagements.¹ Even the Company itself, and that in places where their factories cost them the most, had made experiments, and with great advantage, on the expediency of employing the native merchants in providing, their investments. At Surat, in 1665-66, "the investments of the season were obtained by the employment of a native merchant, who had provided an assortment of pepper at his own risk, and though the Dutch had obstructed direct purchases of pepper, the agents continued the expedient of employing the native merchants, and embarked a moderate assortment."² Factories to carry on the traffic of Asia, at any rate on the scale, or anything approaching to the scale, of the East India Company, were the natural off-spring of a joint-stock; the Managers or Directors of which had a much greater interest in the patronage they created, which was wholly their own; than in the profits of the company, of which they had only an insignificant share. Had the trade to India been conducted from the beginning, on those principles of individual adventure and free competition, to which the nation owes its commercial grandeur, it is altogether improbable that many factories would have been established. The agency of the native merchants would have performed much; and where it was not sufficient, the Indian trade would have naturally divided itself into two branches.* One set of adventurers would have established themselves in India,

BOOK I.
CHAP. IV.
1668-68.

¹ Letters from the Agent and Council of Bantam (in the East India Register Office), Bruce, li. 163.

² Bruce, ii. 178, from a letter from the President and Council of Sura*.

BOOK I. by whom investments would have been provided for the
 CHAP. IV. European ships, and to whom the cargoes of the European
 goods would have been consigned. Another class of ad-
 1663-68. venturers, who remained at home, would have performed
 the business of export and import from England, as it is
 performed to any other region of the globe.¹

The time, however, was now approaching when the weakness which had so long characterised the operations of the English in India was gradually to disappear. Notwithstanding the imperfections of the government, at no period, perhaps, either prior or posterior, did the people of this country advance so rapidly in wealth and prosperity, as during the time, including the years of civil war, from the accession of James I. to the expulsion of James II.² We are not informed of the particular measures which were

i It is very unlikely that any such results would have taken place, or that a trade with India would have been formed, or if formed, would have been perpetuated by any other means than those actually adopted. The Portuguese and Dutch had territorial possessions and fortified factories; and without similar support, it would have been impossible for the English to have participated in the profits of the commerce of the East. Even with these resources, the Dutch succeeded in expelling the English from the Archipelago; and it is very little probable, that they would have suffered a single English adventurer to carry on a trade with any part of India from whence they could so easily exclude him. Principles of individual adventure and free competition, would have availed but little against the power and jealousy of our rivals; and it was necessary to meet them on equal terms, or to abandon the attempt. But it was not only against European violence, that it was necessary to be armed; the political state of India rendered the same precautions indispensable. What would have become of "individual adventure" at Surat, when it was pillaged by the Mahrattas? And what would have been the fate of the English commerce with Madras and Bengal, on the repeated occasions on which it was menaced with extinction, by the rapacity and vindictiveness of the native princes? Had, therefore, the anti-monopoly doctrines been more popular in those days than they were, it is very certain that the attempt to carry them into effect, would have deprived England of all share in the trade with India, and cut off for ever one main source of her commercial prosperity. It is equally certain, that without the existence of such factories as were "the natural offspring of a joint-stock;" without the ample resources of a numerous and wealthy association; and without the continuous and vigorous efforts of a corporate body, animated by the enjoyment of valuable privileges, and the hope of perpetuating their possession by services rendered to the state, we should never have acquired political power in India, or reared a mighty empire upon the foundations of trade.—W.

2 Sir William Petty, who wrote his celebrated work, entitled "Political Arithmetic," in 1676, says: "1. The streets of London showed that city to be double what it was forty years before; great increase was also manifested at Newcastle, Yarmouth, Norwich, Exeter, Portsmouth, and Cowes; and in Ireland, at Dublin, Kingsale, Coleraine, and Londonderry. 9. With respect to shipping, the navy was triple or quadruple what it was at that time; the shipping of Newcastle was 80,000 tons, and could not then have exceeded a quarter of that amount. 3. The number and splendour of coaches, equipages, and furniture, had much increased since that period. 4. The postage of letters had increased from one to twenty. 5. The king's revenue had tripled itself." See too, Macpherson's Annals, ii. 580.

pursued by the Directors for obtaining an extension of funds; but the increase of capital in the nation was probably the principal cause which enabled them, in the year succeeding the acquisition of Bombay, to provide a grander fleet and cargo than they had ever yet sent forth. In the course of the year 1667-68, six ships sailed to Surat, with goods and bullion to the value of 130,000*l.*; five ships to Fort St. George, with a value of 75,000*l.*; and five to Bantam, with a stock of 40,000*l.* In the next season we are informed that the consignments to Surat consisted of 1,200 tons of shipping, with a stock of the value of 75,000*l.*; to Fort St. George, of five ships, and a stock of 103,000*l.*; and to Bantam, of three ships and 35,000*l.* In the year 1669-70, 1,500 tons of shipping were sent to Surat, six ships to Fort St. George, and four to Bantam, and the whole amount of the stock was 281,000*l.* The vessels sent out in 1670-71 amounted to sixteen, and their cargoes and bullion to 303,500*l.* In the following year four ships were sent to Surat, and nearly 2,000 tons of shipping to Fort St. George; the cargo and bullion to the former, being 85,000*l.*, to the latter, 160,000*l.*: shipping to the amount of 2,800 tons was consigned to Bantam, but of the value of the bullion and goods no account seems to be preserved. In 1672-73, stock and bullion to the amount of 157,700*l.* were sent to Surat and Fort St. George. On account of the war, and the more exposed situation of Bantam, the consignment to that settlement was postponed. In the following, year, it appears that cargoes and bullion were consigned, of the value of 100,000*l.* to Surat; 87,000*l.* to Fort St. George; and 41,000*l.* to Bantam.¹

Other events of these years were of considerable importance. In 1667-68, appears the first order of the Company for the importation of tea.² Attempts were now recommended for resuming trade with Sumatra.⁸ In 1671-72, considerable embarrassment was produced at Surat by the arrival of a French fleet of twelve ships, and a stock computed at 130,000*l.* The inconsiderate purchases and sales of the French reduced the price of

BOOK I.
CHAP. IV.

1668-74.

¹ Brace, ii. 201, 806, 209—224, 227, 230—256, 258, 259—278, 281, 282, 283—293, 296, 297—312, 313-327, 328, 331.

² Ibid, 210. The words of this order are curious, "to send home by these ship* 100 lb, weight of the best tey that you can gett."

⁸ Ibid, 211.

BOOK I. European goods, and raised that of Indian; but thetie
 CHAP. IV. adventurers exhibited so little of the spirit and knowledge
 of commerce, as convinced the Company's agents that
 they would not prove formidable rivals.¹

1674.

As England and France were now united in alliance against the Dutch, the Company might have exulted in the prospect of humbling their oppressors, but the danger of a new set of competitors seems effectually to have repressed these triumphant emotions. In 1673, the island of St. Helena, which had several times changed its masters, being recaptured from the Dutch, was granted anew and confirmed to the Company by a royal charter.²

The funds which, in such unusual quantity, the Directors had been able to supply for the support of the trade in India, did not suffice to remove, it would appear that they hardly served to lighten, the pecuniary difficulties under which it laboured. To an order to provide a large investment, the President and Council at Surat, in 1673-74, replied, that the funds at their disposal were only 88,228?. and their debts 100,000?. besides interest on the same at 9 per cent.; and in November, 1674, they represented that the debt arose to no less a sum than 135,000l.; and that all returns must in a great measure be suspended till, by the application of the funds received from Europe, the Company's credit should be revived.³

Of the sort of views held out at this period to excite the favour of the nation towards the East India Company, a specimen has come down to us of considerable value. Sir Josiah Child, an eminent member of the body of Directors, in his celebrated Discourses on Trade, written in 1665, and published in 1667, represents the trade to India as the most beneficial branch of English commerce; and in proof of this opinion he asserts, that it employs from twenty-five to thirty sail of the most warlike mercantile ships of the kingdom, manned with mariners from 60 to 100 each; that it supplies the kingdom with saltpetre, which would otherwise cost the nation an immense sum to the Dutch; with pepper, indigo, calicoes, and drugs, to the value of 150,000?. or 180,000?. yearly, for which it would otherwise pay to the same people an exorbitant price • with materials for export to Turkey, France, Spain, Italy,

¹ Bruce, U. 302.

² Ibid. 232,334.

³ Ibid. 337, 342,866.

and Guinea, to the amount of 200,000*l.* or 300,000*l.* yearly," BOOK I.
countries with which, if the nation were deprived of these CHAP. IV.
commodities, a profitable trade could not be carried on.

These statements were probably made with an intention to deceive. The imports, exclusive of saltpetre, are asserted to exceed 400,000*l.* a year; though the stock which was annually sent to effect the purchases, and to defray the whole expense of factories and fortifications abroad, hardly amounted in any number of years preceding 1665, to 100,000*l.*, often to much less; while the Company were habitually contracting debts, and labouring under the severest pecuniary difficulties.¹ Thus early, in the history of this Company, is it found necessary to place reliance on their accounts and statements, only when something very different from the authority of their advocates is found to constitute the basis of our belief.

1674.

It will be highly instructive to confront one exaggerated statement with another. About the same time with the discourses of Sir Josiah Child, appeared the celebrated work of De Witt on the state of Holland. Proceeding on the statement of Sir Walter Raleigh, who in the investigation of the Dutch fishery, made for the information of James I. in 1603, affirmed, that "the Hollanders fished on the coasts of Great Britain with no fewer than 3,000 ships, and 50,000 men; that they employed and sent to sea, to transport and sell the fish so taken, and to make returns thereof, 9,000 ships more, and 150,000 men; and that twenty busses do, one way or other, maintain 8,000 people ;" he adds, that from the time of Sir Walter Raleigh to the time at which he wrote, the traffic of Holland in all its branches could not have increased less than one third, Allowing this account to be exaggerated in the same proportion as that of the East India Director, which the nature of the circumstances, so much better known, renders rather improbable; it is yet evident, to what a remarkable degree the fisheries of the British coasts, to which the Dutch confined themselves, constituted a more important

i The pecuniary difficulties were chiefly encountered in India, and might have explained the apparent disagreement between the value of the imports and the stock sent out to effect their purchase, the fact being that the stock sent out was inadequate to the purchase, and the investments were paid for by money taken up in India, the great profit made on their sale more than covering the interest of the debt.—W.

BOOK I. "commerce than the highly vaunted, but comparatively insignificant business of the East India Company.¹ The
 CHAP. IV. English fishery, at the single station of Newfoundland, exceeded in value the trade to the East Indies. In the
 1675-82. year 1676, no fewer than 102 ships, carrying twenty guns each, and eighteen boats, with five men to each boat, 9,180 men in all, were employed in that traffic ; and the total value of the fish and oil was computed at 386,400£.²

The equipments, in 1674-75, were, five ships to Surat with 189,000l. in goods and bullion; five to Fort St. George with 202,000/.; and 2,500 tons of shipping to Bantam with 65,000/.: In 1675-76, to Surat, five ships and 96,500£; to Fort St. George, five ships and 235,000/.; to Bantam, 2,450 tons of shipping and 58,000/.: In 1676-77, three ships to Surat, and three to Fort St. George, with 97,000/. to the one, and 176,600/. to the other; and eight ships to Bantam, with no account of the stock. The whole adventure to India, in 1677-78, seems to have been seven ships and 352,000/.; of which a part, to the value of 10,000/. or 12,000/., was to be forwarded from Fort St. George to Bantam: In 1678-79, eight ships and 393,950/.: In 1679-80, ten ships and 461,700/.: In 1680-81, eleven ships and 596,000/.: And, in 1681-82, seventeen ships, and 740,000/.⁸

The events affecting the East India Company were still common and unimportant. In 1674-75, a mutiny, occasioned by retrenchment, but not of any serious magnitude, was suppressed at Bombay. In trying and executing *the* ringleaders, the Company exercised the formidable powers of martial law. The trade of Bengal had grown to such importance, that, instead of a branch of the agency at Fort St. George, an agency was now constituted in Bengal itself. Directions were forwarded to make attempts for opening a trade with China; and tea, to the value of 100 dollars, was in 1676-77, ordered on the Company's account. Be-

¹ An anonymous author, whom Anderson in his *History of Commerce* quotes as an authority, says, in 1679, that the Dutch herrinc and cod fishery employed 8,000 vessels, and 200,060 sailors and fishers, whereby they annually gained five millions sterling; besides their Iceland, Greenland, and Newfoundland fisheries, and the multitude of trades and people employed by them at home. Macpherson's *Annals*, ii. 596. See in the same work, ii. 547, and 552, a summary of the statements of Child and De Witt. For ampler satisfaction the works themselves must be consulted.

² Anderson's *History of Commerce*. Macpherson's *Annals*, tt. 579.

³ Brnee, ii. 866, 900, 861—875, 879—898, 898, 895-406, 409, 410—435, 498, 489—446, 451, 453-469, 465, 468.

side the ordinary causes of depression which affected the Company at Bantam, a particular misfortune occurred in 1667. The principal persons belonging to the factory having gone up the river in their prows, a number of Javanese assassins, who had concealed themselves in the water, suddenly sprung upon them, and put them to death.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. IV.

1682.

In 1677-78, "the Court," says Mr. Bruce, "recommended temporising expedients to their servants, with the Mogul, with Sivajee, and with the petty Rajahs; but at the same time they gave to President Augier and his council discretionary powers, to employ armed vessels, to enforce the observation of treaties and grants:—in this way, the Court shifted from themselves the responsibility of commencing hostilities, that they might be able, in any questions which might arise between the Bang and the Company, to refer such hostilities to the errors of their servants."² This cool provision of a subterfuge, at the expense of their servants, is a policy ascribed to the Company, in this instance, by one of the most unabashed of their eulogists. We shall see, as we advance, in what degree the precedent has been followed.

The difficulties which now occurred in directing the operations of the various individuals employed in the business of the East India Company began to be serious. The Directors, from ignorance of the circumstances in which their servants were placed, often transmitted to them instructions which it would have been highly imprudent to execute. The functionaries abroad often took upon themselves, and had good reasons for their caution, to disregard the orders which they received. A door being thus opened for discretionary conduct, the instructions of the Directors were naturally as often disobeyed for the convenience of the actors abroad, as for the benefit of the

¹ Bruce, ii. 867, 466, 396, 404.

² Ibid, 406.—M.

There is a clause in these instructions emitted, which it is but justice to the Directors to re-insert. They enjoined their servants "to endeavour by their conduct to impress the natives with an opinion of the probity of the English in all commercial dealings." With regard to the object of the Court in giving discretionary powers to the President and Council of Surat, to enforce the observation of treaties and grants, it is not very candid to limit it to leaving an opening by which they might escape responsibility. Their own distance from the scene of action rendered some such discretionary authority in their servants indispensable, as is admitted a few lines further on.—W.

BOOK I. Company at home. The disregard of their authority, and
 CHAP. V. the violation of their commands, had been a frequent
 subject of uneasiness and indignation to the Directors.
 1693. Nor was this all. From discordant pretensions to rank
 and advancement in the service, animosities arose among
 the agents abroad. Efforts were made by Directors for
 the cure of these troublesome, and even dangerous, dis-
 eases. Seniority was adopted as the principle of promo-
 tion; but nomination to the important office of a Member
 of Council at the Agencies, as well as Presidencies, was
 reserved to the Court of Directors.¹

CHAPTER V.

*From the Project of forming a new and rival Company,
 till the Union of the two Companies by the Award of
 Godolphin, in the year 1711.*

THE Company were now again threatened by that com-
 petition with their fellow-citizens, which they have
 always regarded as their greatest misfortune. From the
 renewal of their charter, shortly after the accession of
 Charles II., their monopoly had not been disturbed, except
 by a few feeble interlopers, whom they had not found it
 difficult to crush. In the year 1682-83, the design was
 disclosed of opening a subscription for a new joint-stock,
 and establishing a rival East India Company. The scheme
 was so much in unison with the sentiments of the nation,
 and assumed an aspect of so much importance, that it was
 taken into consideration by the King and Council. It had
 so much effect upon the views of the Company, though
 for the present the Council withheld their sanction, that,
 in Mr. Brace's opinion,² it introduced into their policy of
 1682-83 a refinement, calculated and intended to impose
 upon the King and the public. It induced them to speak of
 the amount of their equipments, not, as usual, in terms
 of exact detail, but in those of vague and hyperbolical
 estimate. What we know of their adventure of that year
 is only the information they forwarded to their Indian

¹ Brace, ii. 365, 374, 459, 453.

² Ibid., 275.—M. Bruce's words are "a new practice, probably a refinement
 in policy," ii. 477.—W.

stations, that the stock to be sent out would exceed one million sterling. In the course of the next season they equipped four ships to Surat. Of that year we only further know that 100,000*l.* in bullion was intended for Bengal. In 1684-85, information was forwarded to Surat, in general terms, that the tonnage and stock would be considerable. Fire ships sailed for Fort St. George and Bengal, with 140,000*£* in bullion. Of other circumstances nothing is adduced: and for several succeeding years no statement of the tonnage and stock of the annual voyages appears.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.
1688-85.

Under the skill which the Court of Directors have all along displayed in suppressing such information as they wished not to appear, it is often impossible to collect more than gleanings of intelligence respecting the Company's debts. At the present period, however, they appear to have been heavy and distressing. In 1676, it was asserted by their opponents in England that their debts amounted to 600,000*£*.² and we have already seen that, in 1674, the debt of Surat alone amounted to 135,000*l.*³ In 1682-83, the Directors authorised the Agency in Bengal to borrow 200,000*l.*, and in 1683-84, it is stated that the debt upon the dead stock at Bombay alone amounted to 300,000*l.*⁴ It seems highly probable that at this time their debts exceeded their capital.

In a war between the King of Bantam and his son, in which the English sided with the one,⁵ and the Dutch with the other, the son prevailed; and expelled the English from the place. The agents and servants of the factory took shelter at Batavia, and the Dutch Governor made offer of his assistance to bring the property of the Company from Bantam. As the English, however, accused the Dutch of being the real authors of the calamity, they defined the proposal, as precluding those claims of redress which the Company might prosecute in Europe. Various efforts were made to regain possession of Bantam, but the Dutch from this time remained sole masters of Java.⁶

Upon the loss of Bantam, the Presidency for the govern-

¹ Bruce, ii. 476, 481—496, 506—528, 531.

² Anderson's History of Commerce. Macpherson's Annals, ii. 579.

³ Supra, p. 96.

⁴ Bruce, ii. 482, 499.

⁵ There is no proof that the English took any part in the dispute, nor is it likely. They were not sufficiently strong to provoke the enmity of the Dutch.—W.

⁶ Bruce, ii. 492.

BOOK I ment of the Eastern Coast, which had hitherto, with a
CHAP. V. fond desire for the traffic of the islands, been stationed at
that place, was removed to Fort St. George.¹

1683-85. The nation becoming gradually more impatient under the monopoly, the numbers multiplied of those who ventured to break through the restraint which it imposed on the commercial ardour of the times.² The Company, not satisfied with the power which they had already obtained of common and martial law, and of seizing, with their property, and sending to England, as many of their countrymen as their interests or caprice might direct, still called for a wider range of authority: and, under the favour of government which they now enjoyed, obtained the powers of Admiralty jurisdiction, for the purpose of seizing and condemning, safe from the review of the courts of municipal law in England, the ships of the interlopers.⁸ The servants of the Company were now invested with unlimited power over the British people in India.

Insurrection again appeared at Bombay, and assumed a very formidable aspect. The causes were such as have commonly, in the Company's affairs, been attended with similar effects. Efforts had been made to retrench expenses ; unpleasant to the Company's servants. The earliest experiment of the Company in territorial sovereignty agreed with the enlarged experience of succeeding times : the expense of the government exceeded the revenue which the population and territory could be made to yield. The Directors, new to the business of government, were disappointed ; and having first laboured to correct the deficit by screwing up the revenue, they next attempted the same arduous task by lessening the expense. By the two operations together, all classes of their subjects were alienated: first, the people, by the weight of taxation; next, the instruments of government, by the diminution of their

¹ Brace, ii. 502.

⁸ It would appear, from the way in which these interlopers are spoken of, that they were unconnected merchants seeking only to carry on trade with India on the principles of individual adventure and free competition. It seems, however, that they attempted more than this, representing themselves as a new Company chartered by the King, whose purpose it was to deprive the old of their privileges. They endeavoured also to establish themselves permanently at various places in the Dekhan, and offered to the King 15,000 Pagodas for permission to erect a Fort at Armagaon. It was not without cause, therefore, that the Company regarded them with fear, and endeavoured to suppress their commerce.—W.

* Bruce, ii. 496.

profits. Accordingly Captain Keigwin, commander of the garrison at Bombay, was joined by the troops and the great body of the people, in renouncing the authority of the Company, and declaring by proclamation, dated December 27, 1683, that the island belonged to the King. Keigwin was by general consent appointed Governor; and immediately addressed letters to the King and the Duke of York, stating such reasons as were most likely to avert from his conduct the condemnation to which it was exposed.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.
1683-85.

The President and Council at Surat, conscious of their inability to reduce the island by force, had recourse to negotiation. A general pardon, and redress of grievances, were promised. First three commissioners were sent; afterwards the President repaired to Bombay in person. But neither entreaties nor threats were of any avail.²

As soon as intelligence arrived in England, the King's command was procured, directing Captain Keigwin to deliver up the island; and, instructions were forwarded to proceed against the insurgents by force. When Sir Thomas Grantham, the commander of the Company's fleet, presented himself at Bombay, invested with the King's commission, Keigwin offered, if assured of a free pardon to himself and adherents, to surrender the place. On these terms, the island was restored to obedience.³ For the more effectual coercion of any turbulent propensities, the expedient was adopted of removing the seat of government from Surat to Bombay. Nor could the humble pretensions of a President and Council any longer satisfy the rising ambition of the Company. The Dutch had established a regency at Batavia and Columbo. It was not consistent with the grandeur of the English Company to remain contented with inferior distinction. In 1687, Bombay was elevated to the dignity of a Begency, with unlimited power over the rest of the Company's settle-

¹ Bruce, ii. 512. Governor Child is accused by Hamilton of wanton and intolerable oppressions; and that author states some facts which indicate excessive tyranny. New account of the East Indies, i. 187—199.

² Bruce, ii. 515.

³ The first was surrendered on the 20th November, 1684, upon stipulations which secured entire immunity to the mutineers, with leave to return to Europe to remain at their pleasure. In the interval, a civilian, Dr. St. John, had been sent out with a Commission from the King, and one from the Company, to preside in all judicial proceedings at Bombay.—W.

BOOK I. ments.¹ Madras was formed into a corporation, governed
CHAP. V. by a mayor and aldermen.²

1685-87. The English had met with less favour, and more oppression, from the native powers in Bengal, than in any other part of India.³ In 1685-86, the resolution was adopted of seeking redress and protection by force of arms. The greatest military equipment the Company had ever provided was sent to India. Ten armed vessels, from twelve to seventy guns, under the command of Captain Nicholson, and six companies of infantry, without captains, whose places were to be supplied by the Members of Council in Bengal, were despatched, with instructions to seize and fortify ChittagoDg as a place of future security, and to retaliate in such a manner upon the Nabob and Mogul as to obtain reparation for the injuries and losses which had been already sustained. In addition to this force, the Directors, in the following year, made application to the King for an entire company of regular infantry with their officers; and power was granted to the Governor in India to select from the privates such men as should appear qualified to be commissioned officers in the Company's service. By some of those innumerable casualties, inseparable from distant expeditions, the whole of the force arrived not at one time in the Ganges; and an insignificant quarrel between some of the English soldiers and the natives, was imprudently allowed to bring on hostilities, before the English were in a

¹ The seat of Government had been transferred from Surat to Bombay in the preceding year. Bruce, ii. 553. The policy of placing the British Indian authorities under one head, is too obvious to be ascribed merely to the rising ambition of the Company.—W.

a Bruce, ii. 525, 540, 584, 591. It was debated in the Privy Council, whether the charter of incorporation should be under the King's or the Company's seal. The King asked the Chairman his opinion, who replied, "that no person to India should be employed by immediate commission from his Majesty, because, if they were, they would be prejudicial to our service by their arrogance, and prejudicial to themselves, because the wind of extraordinary honour in their heads would probably make them so haughty and overbearing, that we should be forced to remove them." Letter from the Court to the President of Fort St. George (ibid. 591). Hamilton, ut supra (189—192). Orme's Historical Fragments, 185, 188, 192, 198.

³ Mr. Orme is not unwilling to ascribe part of the hardships they experienced to the interlopers, who, seeking protection against the oppressions of the Company, were more sedulous and skilful in their endeavours to please the native governors. Historical Fragments, 185.—M. This was, no doubt, true to some extent, but the difficulties were, in a still greater degree, attributable to the administration of Shaistah Khan, as Subahdar of Bengal, whose insatiable desire of accumulating wealth, led him to a system of extortion, which descended through all his subordinates, and of which the English trade was the especial object.—W.

condition to maintain them with success. They were obliged to retire from Hoogly,¹ after they had cannonaded it with the fleet, and took shelter at Chutanuttee, afterwards Calcutta, till an agreement with the Nabob, or additional forces, should enable them to resume their stations. The disappointment of their ambitious schemes was bitterly felt by the Court of Directors. They blamed their servants in Bengal in the severest terms, not only for timidity, but breach of trust, as having turned the resources of the Company, which ought to have been effectually employed in obtaining profitable and honourable terms from the Nabob and Mogul, to their own schemes of private avarice and emolument.² A hollow truce was agreed to by the Nabob, which he only employed for preparing the means of an effectual attack. The English under the direction of Charnock, the Company's agent, made a gallant defence. They not only repulsed the Nabob's forces in repeated assaults, but stormed the fort of Tanna, seized the island of Injellee, in which they fortified themselves, and burnt the town of Balasore, with forty sail of the Mogul fleet; the factories, however, at Patna and Cossimbuzar were taken and plundered. In September, 1687, an accommodation was effected, and the English were allowed to return to Hoogly with their ancient privileges. But

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.
1685-87.

¹ These circumstances are so summarily narrated as to be inexactly told. Three English soldiers had quarrelled with the Peons of the Nawab, and had been wounded; a company of soldiers was called out in their defence, and finally the whole of the troops. The native forces collected to oppose them were routed, the town was cannonaded by the ships, and the Foujdar was compelled to solicit a cessation of arms, which was granted on condition of his furnishing means of conveying the Company's goods on board their vessels. Before the action took place orders had come from Shaist Khan to compromise the differences with the English, but their claims had now become so considerable, amounting to above 66 lacs of rupees, or nearly 700,000/., that it was not likely they expected the Nawab's acquiescence. They remained at Hoogly till the 20th of December, and then, "considering that Hoogly was an open town, retired to Chutanuttee, or Calcutta, from its being a safer situation during any negotiation with the Nabob or Mogul." Negotiations were accordingly opened and terms agreed upon, when, in February, the Nawab threw off the mask, and a large body of horse appeared before Hoogly. Bruce, II. 581.—W.

As here represented, it does not appear why the Court was dissatisfied with the conduct of affairs in Bengal, an indistinctness arising from the extreme compression of the original account in Bruce; the chief object of the armament was the occupation of Chittagong; the Court considered that the truce granted to the Foujdar of Hoogly, and the negotiation entered into with the Nawab, had given to the latter time to strengthen his troops at Chittagong, and place it out of danger, their servants proposing, by their claims for compensation, to make good personal losses, rather than vindicate the rights of the Company. Bruce, ii. 594.—W.

BOOK I. this was a termination of the contest ill-relished by the
 CHAP.V. Court of Directors. Repeating their accusations of Char-
 1687. nock and their other functionaries, they sent Sir John
 Child, the governor of Bombay, to Madras and Bengal, for
 the purpose of reforming abuses, and of re-establishing, if
 possible, the factories at Cossimbuzar and other places,
 from which they had been driven by the war. A large
 ship, the *Defence*, accompanied by a frigate, arrived from
 England under the command of a captain of the name of
 Heath, with instructions for war. The Company's servants
 had made considerable progress by negotiation in regaining
 their ancient ground; when Heath¹ precipitately com-
 menced hostilities, plundered the town of Balasore, and
 proceeded to Chittagong, which he found himself unable
 to subdue. Having taken the Company's servants and
 effects on board, agreeably to his orders, he sailed to Madras;
 and Bengal was abandoned.²

These proceedings, with the rash and presumptuous be-
 haviour of Sir John Child on the western side of India,
 exasperated Aurengzebe, the most powerful of all the
 Mogul sovereigns, and exposed the Company's establish-
 ments to ruin in every part of India. The factory at
 Surat was seized; the island of Bombay was attacked by
 the fleet of the Siddees; the greater part of it was taken,
 and the governor besieged in the town and castle. Aureng-
 zebe issued orders to expel the English from his domi-
 nions. The factory at Masulipatam was seized; as was
 also that at Vizagapatam, where the Company's agent and
 several of their servants were slain. The English stooped
 to the most abject submissions. With much difficulty
 they obtained an order for the restoration of the factory

¹ The *Defence* arrived in India in October, 1688, and took the Company's servants and property on board at Calcutta in the following month. The attack on Balasore was made on the 29th of November, and in opposition to the advice of the agent and Council on board the fleet: after its failure, the ships proceeded to Chittagong, where it was determined to address the Nawab before commencing hostilities. Without waiting for a reply, or commencing military operations, Captain Heath sailed from Chittagong, and after a fruitless attempt to effect a settlement in Aracan, conveyed the Company's property and servants to Madras, where they arrived in March, 1689. Bruce, ii, 648.—W.

² These events occurred under the government of the celebrated imperial deputy, Shaistah Khan; "to the character of whom (says Mr. Stewart, *History of Bengal*, 300,) it is exceedingly difficult to do justice. By the Mohammedan historians he is described as the pattern of excellence; but by the English he is vilified as the oppressor of the human race. Facts are strongly on the side Of the Mohammedans." W

at Surat, and the removal of the enemy from Bombay. Negooiation was continued, with earnest endeavours, to effect a reconciliation. The trade of the strangers was felt in the Mogul treasuries; and rendered the Emperor, as well as his deputies, not averse to an accomodation. But the interruption and delay sustained by the Company made them pay dearly for their premature ambition, and for the unseasonable insolence, or the imprudence of their servants.¹

BOOK T.
CHAP. V.
168998.

During these contests, the French found an interval in which they improved their footing in India. They had formed an establishment at Pondicherry, where they were at this time employed in erecting fortifications.²

The equipments for 1689-90 were on a reduced scale; consisting of three ships only, two for Bombay, and one for Fort St. George. They were equally small the succeeding year. We are not informed to what the number of ships or value of cargo amounted in 1691-2. In the following year, however, the number of ships was eleven; and was increased in 1693-4, to thirteen. In the following year there was a diminution, but to what extent does not appear. In each of the years 1695-6 and 1696-7, the number of ships was eight. And in 1697-8 it was only four.³

It was now laid down as a determinate object of policy, that independence was to be established in India; and dominion acquired. In the instructions forwarded in 1689, the Directors expounded themselves in the following words: "The increase of our revenue is the subject of our care, as much as our trade:—'tis that must maintain our force, when twenty accidents may interrupt our trade; 'tis that must make us a nation in India;—without that we are but as a great number of interlopers, united by his Majesty's royal charter, fit only to trade where nobody of

¹ Bruce, ii. 558, 569, 578, 594, 608, 620, 630, 639, 641, 646, 650. The lively and intelligent Captain Hamilton represents the conduct of Sir John Child at Surat as exceptionable in the highest degree. But the Captain was an interloper, and though his book is strongly stamped with the marks of veracity, his testimony is to be received with same caution on the one side as that of the Company on the other. New account of India, i. 199—228.—M.

Bruce and Stewart give translations of the original orders from the Company's Records. The factory at Surat was fined 150,000 rupees, and Mr. Child was ordered to be turned out and expelled. Bruce, ii. 639. Hist. Bengal App. vi. and vii. Sir John (Mr.) Child, an able and enterprizing servant of the Company, and the chief of all their establishments in India, had previously died.—W.

a Bruce, ii. 656.

* Ibid, ill. 75, 87, 122, 139, 181. 203, 231.

BOOK I. power thinks it their interest to prevent us;—and upon
 CHAP. V. this account it is that the wise Dutch, in all their general
 1689-98. advices which we have seen, write ten paragraphs concern-
 ing their government, their civil and military policy,
 warfare, and the increase of their revenue, for one para-
 graph they write concerning trade."¹ It thus appears at
 how early a period, when trade and soverergnty were
 blended, the trade, as was abundantly natural, became an
 object of contempt, and by necessary consequence, a sub-
 ject of neglect. A trade, the subject of neglect, is of course
 a trade without profit.²

This policy was so far gratified, about the same period,
 that Tegnapatam, a town and harbour on the Coromandel
 coast, a little to the south of Pondicherry, was obtained
 by purchase, and secured by grant from the country
 powers. It was strengthened by a wall and bulwarks, and
 named Fort St. David.³

A fact of much intrinsic importance occurs at this part
 of the history. Among the Christians of the East, the
 Armenians, during the power of the successors of Con-
 stantino, had formed a particular sect. When the coun-
 tries which they inhabited were overrun by the Mahomedan
 arms, they were transplanted by force, in great numbers,
 into Persia, and dispersed in the surrounding countries.
 Under oppression, the Armenians adhered to their faith ;
 and addicting themselves to commerce, became, like the
 Jews in Europe, the merchants and brokers in the different
 countries to which they resorted.⁴ A proportion of them
 made their way into India, and by their usual industry and
 acuteness, acquired that share in the business of the coun-
 try which was the customary reward of the qualities they
 displayed. The pecuniary pressure under which the Com-
 pany at this time laboured, and under which, without
 ruinous consequences, the increase of patronage could not

¹ Bruce, iii. 78.

² The anxiety of the Directors to maintain a trade "without profit," would be somewhat inexplicable, if it was true, but the injuries to which that trade had been exposed from European competition and native exactions, had sufficiently proved that it could not be carried on without the means of maintaining an independent position in India.—W.

³ Bruce, iii. 120.

⁴ See, in Gibbon, viii. 357 to 860, a train of allusions, as usual, to the history of the Armenians; and in his notes a list of its authors.—The principal facts regarding them, as a religious people, are collected with his usual industry and fidelity by Mosbeim, Ecclesiast Hist. iii. 493, 494, 495, and 412, 413.

be pursued, constrained the Directors to look out for economical modes of conducting their trade. They accordingly gave instructions, that, instead of multiplying European agents in India, natives, and especially Armenians, should be employed: "because," to use the words of Mr. Bruce, copying or abridging the letters of the Court, "that people could vend English woollens, by carrying small quantities into the interior provinces, and could collect fine muslins, and other new and valuable articles, suited to the European demands, *better* than any agents of the Company could effect, under any phirmaund or grant which might be eventually purchased."¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.
1689-98.

The prosperity which the nation had enjoyed, since the death of Charles I., having rendered capital more abundant, the eagerness of the mercantile population to enter into the channel of Indian enterprise and gain had proportionably increased; and the principles of liberty being now better understood, and actuating more strongly the breasts of Englishmen, not only had private adventure, in more numerous instances, surmounted the barriers of the company's monopoly, but the public in general at last disputed the power of a royal charter, unsupported by Parliamentary sanction, to limit the rights of one part of the people in favour of another, and to debar all but the East India Company from the commerce of India. Applications were made to Parliament for a new system of management in this branch of national affairs; and certain instances of severity, which were made to carry the appearance of atrocity, in the exercise of the powers of martial law assumed by the Company, in St. Helena and other places, served to augment the unfavourable opinion which was now rising against them.²

The views of the House of Commons were hostile to the Company. A committee, appointed to investigate the subject, delivered it as their opinion on the 16th January, 1690, that a new Company should be established, and established by Act of Parliament; but that the present Company should carry on the trade exclusively, till the new Company were established.³ The House itself in

¹Bruce, iii. 88.

²Ibid. 81; Macpherson's Annals, ii. 618; and Adam Smith, Wealth of Nations, iii. 132, who with his usual sagacity brings to view the causes of the principal evils in the history of the Company.

³Bruce, iii. 82.

BOOK I. 1691, addressed the King to dissolve the Company, and
 CHAP. V. incorporate a new one; when the King referred the question to a committee of the Privy Council.¹

1689-98. In the mean time the Company proceeded, in a spirit of virulence, to extinguish the hated competition of the general traders. "The Court," says Mr. Bruce, transcribing the instructions of 1691, "continued to act towards their opponents, interlopers, in the same manner as they had done in the latter years of the two preceding reigns; and granted commissions to all their captains, proceeding this season to India, to seize the interlopers of every description, and to bring them to trial before the Admiralty Court at Bombay;—explaining, that, as they attributed all the differences between the Company and the Indian powers to the interlopers, if they continued their depredations on the subjects of the Mogul or King of Persia, they were to be tried for their lives as pirates, and sentence of death passed; but execution stayed till the King's pleasure should be known."²

The cruelty which marks these proceedings is obvious; and would hardly be credible if it were less strongly attested. The Company seized their opponents, and carried them before their own Admiralty Courts, that is, before themselves, to judge and pass sentence in their own cause, and inflict almost any measure of injury which it suited minds, inflamed with all the passions of disappointed avarice and ambition, to perpetrate. They accused their competitors of piracy, or of any other crime they chose; tried them as they pleased, and sentenced them even to death: accounting it an act of mercy that they did not consign them to the executioner before the royal pleasure was known;—as if that pleasure could be as quickly known in India, as it could in England;—as if the unfortunate victim might not remain for months and years in the dungeons of the Company, in a climate," where a sentence of imprisonment, for any length of time, to a European constitution, is a sentence of almost certain death; and where he could hardly fail to suffer the pains of many executions, beside the ruin of his affairs, in a land of strangers and enemies, even if his wretched life were protracted till his doom, pronounced at the opposite side of

¹ Macpherson's *Annals*, ii. 648.

² Brace, iii. 102.

the globe, could be known. Mr. Bruce, with his usual alacrity of advocacy, says, "This proceeding of the Court rested upon the opinion of the twelve Judges, which was, that the Company had a right to the trade to the East Indies, according to their charter."¹ Because the Judges said they had a right to the trade to the East Indies, they assumed a right to be judges and executioners of their fellow-subjects, in their own cause. This was a bold conclusion. It was impossible that, under any colour of justice, the powers of judicature intrusted to the Company, by kingly without parliamentary authority, even if allowed, could be extended beyond their own servants, who voluntarily submitted to their jurisdiction. Over the rest of their fellow-subjects, it was surely sufficient power, if they were permitted to send them to England, to answer for their conduct, if challenged, before a tribunal which had not an overbearing interest in destroying them.

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.
1689-98.

The King of 1693, like the King of any other period, preferred power in his own hands to power in the hands of the parliament, and would have been pleased to retain without participation the right of making or annulling exclusive privileges of trade. Notwithstanding the resolution of the committee of the House of Commons, that parliament should determine whatever regulations might be deemed expedient for the Indian trade, a new charter

¹ Bruce, iii. 103. Sir Josiah Child, as Chairman of the Court of Directors, wrote to the Governor of Bombay, to spare no severity to crush their countrymen who invaded the ground of the Company's pretensions in India. The Governor replied, by professing his readiness to omit nothing which lay within the sphere of his power, to satisfy the wishes of the Company; but the laws of England, unhappily, would not let him proceed so far as might otherwise be desirable. Sir Josiah wrote back with anger: "That he expected his orders were to be his rules, and not the laws of England, which were a heap of nonsense, compiled by a few ignorant country gentlemen, who hardly knew how to make laws for the good of their own private families, much less for the regulating of companies, and foreign commerce." (Hamilton's *New Account of India*, i. 232). "I am the more particular," adds Captain Hamilton, "on this account, because I saw and copied both those letters in anno 1696, while Mr. Vaux [the Governor to whom the letters were addressed] and I were prisoners at Surat, on account of Captain Evory's robbing the Mogul's great ship, called the Gunsway." Bruce, iii. 233.—M.

Mr. Mill here forgets the caution he had recommended, a few pages before, in regard to Captain Hamilton's testimony. No doubt the servants of the Company in India, were little inclined to exercise forbearance towards the interlopers; but the picture here delineated is exaggerated. Captain Hamilton, an interloper, trafficked in India for ten years, and could not have encountered very serious opposition: his imprisonment was the act of the Mogul government.—W.

BOOK I. was granted by letters patent from the crown, as the
 CHAP. V. proper mode of terminating the present controversies.
 1693. The principal conditions were, that the capital of the Company, which was 766,000*l.*, should be augmented by 744,000*l.*, so as to raise it to 1,500,000*2.* ; that their exclusive privileges should be confirmed for twenty-one years ; that they should export 100,000*2.* of British produce annually ; that the title to a vote in the Court of Proprietors should be 1000*2.*; and that no more than ten votes should be allowed to any individual.¹

The pretensions, however, of the House of Commons, brought this important question to a different issue. Towards the close of the very same season, that assembly came to a vote, "that it was the right of all Englishmen to trade to the East Indies, or any part of the world, unless prohibited by act of parliament :"² and William knew his situation too well to dispute their authority.

The Company laboured under the most pressing embarrassments. Though their pecuniary difficulties, through¹ the whole course of their history, have been allowed as little as possible to meet the public eye, what we happen to be told of the situation at this time of the Presidency at Surat affords a lively idea of the financial distresses in which they were involved. Instead of eight lacks of rupees, which it was expected would be sent from Bombay to Surat, to purchase goods for the homeward voyage, only three lacks and a half were received. The debt at Surat already amounted to twenty lacks ; yet it was absolutely necessary to borrow money to purchase a cargo for even three ships. A loan of one lack and 80,000 rupees was necessary to complete this small investment. To raise this sum, it was necessary to allow to individuals the privileges of the contract which subsisted with the Armenian merchants.³ And after all these exertions the money could only be obtained by taking it up on loans from the Company's servants.⁴

¹ Brace, *ill.* 133—135. Macpherson's *Annals*, *ii.* 649. ² *Ibid.* 149.

³ We know not the terms of that contract, nor how a participation in its privileges could be granted to individuals without a breach of faith toward the Armenian merchants.—M.

Why should a breach of contract be imputed to the Company's servants, when it is not known what the terms of the contract were; what reason, indeed, is there to suppose that there was any contract at all ?—W.

⁴ Bruce, *iii.* 167.

The Company meanwhile did not neglect the usual corrupt methods of obtaining favours at home. It appeared that they had distributed large sums of money to men in power, before obtaining their charter. The House of Commons were, at the present period, disposed to inquire into such transactions. They ordered the books of the Company to be examined ; where it appeared that it had been the practice, and even habit of the Company, to give bribes to great men ; that, previous to the revolution, their annual expense, under that head, had scarcely ever exceeded 1,200*l.* ; that since the revolution it had gradually increased ; and that in the year 1693, it had amounted to nearly 90,000*l.* The Duke of Leeds, who was charged with having received a bribe of 5000*l.*, was impeached by the Commons. But the principal witness against him was sent out of the way, and it was not till nine days after it was demanded by the Lords that a proclamation was issued to stop his flight. Great men were concerned in smothering the inquiry ; parliament was prorogued ; and the scene was here permitted to close.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.
1698-98.

As the science and art of government were still so imperfect as to be very unequal to the suppression of crimes ; and robberies and murders were prevalent even in the best regulated countries in Europe ; so depredation was committed on the ocean under still less restraint, and pirates abounded wherever the amount of property at sea afforded an adequate temptation. The fame of Indian riches attracted to the Eastern seas adventurers of all nations ; some of whom were professed pirates ; others, men preferring honest trade, though, when they found themselves debarred from this source of profit, by the pretensions and power of monopoly, they had no such aversion to piracy as to reject the only other source in which they were allowed to partake. The moderation which, during some few years, the Company had found it prudent to observe in their operations for restraining the resort of private traders to India, had permitted an increase of the predatory adventurers. As vessels belonging to Mogul subjects fell occasionally into the hands of plunderers of the English nation, the Mogul government, too

i Macpherson's Annals, ii. 652,662; 10,000*l.* is said to have been traced to the king.

BOOK I. ignorant and headlong to be guided by any but the rudest
CHAP. V. appearances, held the Company responsible for the mis-

1698. deeds of their countrymen ; and sometimes proceeded to such extremities as to confiscate their goods, and confine their servants. The Company, who would have been justified in requiring aid at the hands of government for the remedy of so real a grievance,¹ made use of the occasion as a favourable one for accumulating odium upon the independent traders. They endeavoured to confound them with the pirates. ' They imputed the piracies, in general, to the interlopers, as they called them. In their complaints to government they represented the interlopers, and the depredations of which they said they were the authors, as the cause of all the calamities to which, under the Mogul government, the Company had been exposed. The charge, in truth, of piracy, became a general calumny, with which all the different parties in India endeavoured to blacken their competitors; and the Company itself when the new association of merchants trading to India began to rival them, were as strongly accused of acting the pirates in India, as the individual traders had been by themselves.'²

Such was the situation of the Company in England, and in India, when the influence of the rival association threatened them with destruction. In the year 1698, both parties were urging their pretensions with the greatest possible zeal, when the necessities of the government pointed out to both the project of bribing it by the accommodation of money. The Company offered to lend to government 700,000*l.* at 4 per cent, interest, provided their charter should be confirmed, and the monopoly of India secured to them by act of parliament. Their rivals, knowing on how effectual an expedient they had fallen, resolved to augment the temptation. They offered to advance 2,000,000*l.* at 8 per cent., provided they should be

¹ Would they have obtained any such remedy? It is very improbable, in the temper of the times. The grievance, it is admitted, was real; the Company had been armed by the nation with powers to protect themselves, and it could scarcely be expected that they should prefer the delay and uncertainty of an unnecessary appeal.—W.

² Bruce, iii. 146, 186. "Sir Nicholas Waite [Consul of the Association] addressed a letter," says Mr. Bruce, "to the Mogul, accusing the London Company of being sharers and abettors of the piracies, from which his subjects, and the trade of his dominions, had suffered; or, in the Consul's coarse language, *of being thieves and confederates with the pirate.*"—*Ibid.* 837.

invested with the monopoly, free from obligation of trading on a joint-stock, except as they themselves should afterwards desire.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.

A bill was introduced into parliament for carrying the project of the new association into execution. And the arguments of the two parties were brought forward in full strength and detail.²

1698.

On the part of the existing Company, it was represented: That they possessed charters; that the infringement of charters was contrary to good faith, contrary to justice, and in fact no less imprudent than it was immoral, by destroying that security of engagements on which the industry of individuals and the prosperity of nations essentially depend. That the East India Company, moreover, had property, of which to deprive them would be to violate the very foundation on which the structure of society rests; that they were the Lords-Proprietors, by royal grant, of Bombay and St. Helena; that they had in India, at their own expense, and by their own exertions, acquired immoveable property, in lands, in houses, in taxes and duties, the annual produce of which might be estimated at 44,000*l*. That, at great expense, they had erected fortifications in various parts of India, by which they had preserved to their country the Indian trade; and had built factories and purchased privileges of great importance to the nation; enterprises to which they could have been induced by nothing but the hope and prospect of national support. That the resources and abilities of the Company were proved, by the estimate of their quick and dead stock; and that a capital of two millions would be raised immediately by subscription. That the project, on the contrary, of the new association made no provision for a determinate stock; and the trade, which experience proved to require an advance of 600,000*l*.. annually, might thus be lost to the nation, for want of sufficient capital to carry it on. That justice to individuals, as well as to the public, required the continuance of the charter, as the property and even subsistence of many families, widows, and orphans, was involved in the fate of the Company: In short, that humanity, law, and policy, would all be

1 Anderson's History. Macpherson's Annals, ii. 694. Brace, lii.252, 253.
3 Brace, iii. 253. Macpherson, ii. 694.

BOOK I. equally violated by infringing the chartered rights of this
 CHAP. V. admirable institution.¹

1698. The new association replied; That it was no infringement of good faith or justice, to annul, by a legislative act, a charter which was hostile to the interest of the nation; because that would be to say, if a government has once committed an error, that it is not lawful to correct itself; it would be to say that, if a nation has once been rendered miserable, by erroneous institutions of government, it must never try to rescue itself from its misery—That the practical rule of the British government, as many precedents abundantly testified, had been, to set at nought the pretended inviolability of charters, as often as they were proved to be unprofitable or injurious. That not only had charters been destroyed by act of parliament, but even the judges at law (so little in reality was the respect which had been paid to charters) had often set them aside, by their sole authority, on the vague and general ground that the King had been deceived in his grant. That, if any chartered body was entitled to complain of being dissolved, in obedience to the dictates of utility, it was certainly not the East India Company, whose charter had been originally granted, and subsequently renewed, on the invariable condition of being terminated after three years' notice, if not productive of national advantage. To display the property which the Company had acquired in India, and to pretend that it gave them a right to perpetuity of charter, was nothing less than to insult the supreme authority of the state; by telling it, that, be the limitations what they might, under which the legislature should grant a charter, it was at all times in the power of the chartered body to annul those limitations, and mock the legislative wisdom of the nation, simply by acquiring property. That, if the Company had erected forts and factories, the question still remained, whether they carried on the trade more profitably by their charter than the nation could carry it on if the charter were destroyed. That the nation and its constituted authorities were "the sole judge in this controversy; of which the question whether the nation or the Company were most

¹ Bruce, iii. 853. Anderson's History of Commerce; Macpherson, ii. 694, 695.

BOOK T.
CHAP. V.

1098.

likely to fail in point of capital, no doubt formed a part. That if inconvenience, and in some instances distress, should be felt by individuals, this deserved consideration, and, in the balance of goods and evils, ought to be counted to its full amount; but to bring forward the inconvenience of individuals, as constituting in itself a conclusive argument against a political arrangement, is as much as to say that no abuse should be ever remedied; because HO abuse is •without its profit to somebody, and no considerable number of persons can be deprived of customary profits without inconvenience to most, hardship to many, and distress to some.¹

The new associators, though thus strong against the particular pleas of their opponents, were debarred the use of those important arguments which bore upon the principle of exclusion; and which, even in that age, were urged with great force against the Company. They who were themselves endeavouring to obtain a monopoly could not proclaim the evils which it was the nature of monopoly to produce. The pretended rights of the Company to a perpetuity of their exclusive privileges, for to that extent did their arguments reach, were disregarded by everybody, and an act was passed, empowering the King to convert the new association into a corporate body, and to bestow upon them the monopoly of the Indian trade. The charters, the property, the privileges, the forts and factories of the Company in India, and their claims of merit with the nation, if not treated with contempt, were at least held inadequate to debar the legislative wisdom of the community from establishing for the Indian trade whatever rules and regulations the interest of the public appeared to require.²

The following were the principal provisions of the act: That the sum of two millions should be raised by subscription for the service of government: that this subscription should be open to natives or foreigners, bodies politic or corporate; that the money so advanced should bear an interest of 8 per cent, per annum: that it should be lawful for his Majesty, by his letters patent, to make the sub-

¹ Bruce, iii. 253, 254. Anderson's History of Commerce; Macpherson, ii. 695.

² Bruce, iii. 295. Macpherson, ii. 696.

BOOK I. scribes a body politic and corporate, by the name of the
CHAP.v."General Society": that the subscribers severally might

1698.

trade to the East Indies, each to the amount of his subscription: that if any or all of the subscribers should be willing and desirous, they might be incorporated into a joint-stock Company: that the subscribers to this fund should have the sole and exclusive right of trading to the East Indies: that on three years' notice, after the 29th of September, 1711, and the repayment of the capital of 2,000,000*l*, this act should cease and determine: that the old or London Company, to whom three years' notice were due, should have leave to trade to India till 1701: that their estates should be chargeable with their debts: and that if any further dividends were made before the payments of their debts, the members who received them should be responsible for the debts with their private estates to the amount of the sums thus unduly received.

This measure, of prohibiting dividends while debt is unpaid, or of rendering the Proprietors responsible with their fortunes to the amount of the dividends received, befitted the legislative justice of a nation.

A clause, on the same principle, was enacted with regard to the New Company, that they should not allow their debts at any time to exceed the amount of their capital stock; or, if they did, that every proprietor should be responsible for the debts with his private fortune, to the whole amount of whatever he should have received in the way of dividend or share after the debts exceeded the capital.¹

This good policy was little regarded in the sequel.

In conformity with this act a charter passed the great seal, bearing date the 3rd of September, constituting the subscribers to the stock of 2,000,000*l*. a body corporate under the name of the "General Society." This charter empowered the subscribers to trade, on the terms of a regulated Company, each subscriber for his own account. The greater part, however, of the subscribers desired to trade upon a joint-stock: and another charter, dated the 5th of the same month, formed this portion of the subscribers, exclusive of the small remainder, into a joint-

¹ Statute 9 & 10 Will, III., c. 44.

stock Company, by the name of "the English Company trading to the East Indies."¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.

"In all this very material affair," says Anderson, "there certainly was a strange jumble of inconsistencies, contradictions and difficulties, not easily to be accounted for in the conduct of men of judgment."² The London Company, who had a right by their charter to the exclusive trade to India till three years after notice, had reason to complain of this injustice, that the English Company were empowered to trade to India immediately, while they had the poor compensation of trading for three years along with them. There was palpable absurdity in abolishing one exclusive company, only to erect another; when the former had acted no otherwise than the latter would act. Even the departure from joint-stock management, if trade on the principle of individual inspection and personal interest had been looked to as the source of improvement, might have been accomplished, without the erection of two exclusive companies, by only abolishing the joint-stock regulation of the old one. But the chief mark of the ignorance of parliament, at that time, in the art and science of government, was their abstracting from a trading body, under the name of loan to government, the whole of their trading capital: and expecting them to traffic largely and profitably when destitute of funds. The vast advance to government, which they feebly repaired by credit, beggared the English Company, and ensured their ruin from the beginning.

1698.

The old, or London Company, lost not their hopes. They were allowed to trade for three years on their own charter; and availing themselves of the clause in the act, which permitted corporations to hold stock of the New Company, they resolved to subscribe into this fund as largely as possible; and under the privilege of private adventure, allowed by the charter of the English Company, to trade, separately, and in their own name, after the three years of their charter should be expired. The sum which they were enabled to appropriate to this purpose was 315,000*l*.

¹ Macpherson's *Annals*, ii. 699. Bruce, iii. 257, 258. Preamble to the Stat. Anne, c. 17.

² Anderson's *History of Commerce*; Macpherson, ii. 700.

³ Bruce, iii. 256, 257. Macpherson, ii. 700. Smith's *Wealth of Nations*, iii. 133.

BOOK I. In the instructions to their servants abroad they represented the late measures of parliament as rather the result of the power of a particular party than the fruit of legislative wisdom: "The Interlopers," so they called the New Company, "had prevailed by their offer of having the trade free, and not on a joint-stock;" but they were resolved by large equipments (if their servants would only second their endeavours) to frustrate the speculations of those opponents: "Two East India Companies in England," these are their own words, "could no more subsist without destroying one the other, than two kings, at the same time regnant in the same kingdom: that now a civil battle was to be fought between the Old and the New Company; and that two Or three years must end this war, as the Old or the New must give way; that, being veterans, if their servants abroad would do their duty, they did not doubt of the victory; that if the world laughed at the pains the two Companies took to ruin each other, they could not help it, as they were on good ground and had a charter/"¹

When the time arrived for paying the instalments of the subscriptions to the stock of the New Company, many of the subscribers not finding it easy to fulfil their engagements, were under the necessity of selling their shares. Shares fell to a discount, and the despondency,² hence arising, operated to produce still greater depression.

The first voyage which the New Company fitted out, consisted of three ships with a stock of 178,000l.³ To this state of imbecility did the absorption of their capital reduce their operations. . The sum to which they were thus limited for commencing their trade but little exceeded the interest which they were annually to receive from government.

With such means the New Company proved a very unequal competitor with the Old. The equipments of the Old Company, for the same season, 1698-99, amounted to thirteen sail of shipping, 5,000 tons burthen, and stock estimated at 525,000l. Under the difficulties with which they had to contend at home, they resolved by the most submissive and respectful behaviour, as well as by offer of services, to cultivate the favour of the Mogul. Their endeavours were not unsuccessful. They obtained a grant of

i Bruce, iii. 267. ² Ibid. 259, 960. ³ Ibid. 285.

the towns of Chuttanuttee, Govindpore, and Calcutta, and began but cautiously, so as not to alarm the native government, to construct a fort. It was denominated Fort William; and the station was constituted a Presidency.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.

1700.

, To secure advantages to which they looked from their subscription of 315,000*l.* into the stock of the English Company, they had sufficient influence to obtain an act of parliament,² by which they were continued a corporation, entitled, after the period of their own charter, to trade, on their own account, under the charter of the New Company, to the amount of the stock they had subscribed.³

The rivalry of the two Companies produced, in India, all those acts of mutual opposition and hostility which naturally flowed from the circumstances in which they were placed. They laboured to supplant one another in the good opinion of the native inhabitants and the native governments. They defamed one another. They obstructed the operations of one another. And at last their animosities and contentions broke out into undissembled violence and oppression. Sir William Norris, whom the New Company, with the King's permission, had sent as their ambassador to the Mogul court, arrived at Surat in the month of December, 1700. After several acts, insulting and injurious to the London Company, whom he accused of obstructing him in all his measures and designs, he seized three of the Council, and delivered them to the Mogul Governor, who detained them till they found security for their appearance. The President and the Council, were afterwards, by an order of the Mogul government, put in confinement; and Sir Nicholas Waite, the English Company's Consul at Surat, declared, in his correspondence with the Directors of that Company, that he had solicited this act of severity, because the London Company's ser-

¹ The chief agent of the Company, Job Charnock, had taken possession of Chutanatty, in the contests with the Nawab, in 1687; and, upon the restoration of tranquillity, returned to it in 1690. The Foujdar of Hoogly sought to induce the English to return there; but they obtained leave to build a factory at Calcutta, which they preferred, as more secure and accessible to shipping. Subsequently, permission was procured from Azeem-us-shan, the grandson of Aurungzeto, and Governor of Bengal, to purchase the rents of the three villages named in the text, from the Zemindars, who were then in charge of the collections, amounting to 1195 rupees, 6 annas, annually. The ground was, no doubt, very thinly occupied, and in great part overrun with jungle; giving to the Company, therefore, lands sufficient for the erection of their factory and fort. Stewart, App. xi. p. 644.—W.

² Bruce, *ill.* 864, 268, 300.

Ibid. 293, 326, 350.

BOOK I. vants had used treasonable expressions towards the King i
 CHAP. V. and had made use of their interest with the Governor of
 1700. Surat to oppose the privileges which the Ambassador of
 the English Company was soliciting at the court of the
 Mogul.¹

As the injury which these destructive contentions produced to the nation soon affected the public mind, and was deplored in proportion to the imaginary benefits of the trade; an union of the two Companies was generally desired, and strongly recommended. Upon the first depression, in the market, of the stock of the New Company, an inclination on the part of that Company had been manifested towards a coalition. But what disposed the one party to such a measure, suggested the hope of greater advantage, and more complete revenge, to the other, by holding back from it. The King himself when he received, in March, 1700, the Directors of the London Company, on the subject of the act which continued them a corporate body, recommended to their serious consideration an union of the two Companies, as the measure which would most promote, what they both held out as a great national object, the Indian trade. So far the Company paid respect to the royal authority, us to call a General Court of Proprietors for taking the subject into consideration; but after this step they appeared disposed to let the subject rest. Towards the close, however, of the year, the King,, by a special message, required to know what proceedings they had adopted in consequence of his advice. Upon this the Directors summoned a General Court, and the following evasive resolution was voted. "That this Company, as they have always been, so are they still ready to embrace every opportunity by which they may manifest their duty to his Majesty, and zeal for the public good, and that they are desirous to contribute their utmost endeavours for the preservation of the East India trade to this kingdom, and are willing to agree with the New Company upon reasonable terms." The English Company were more explicit; they readily specified the conditions on which they were willing to form a coalition; upon which the London Company proposed that seven individuals on each side should

² Bruce, iii. 260-370, 874—379, 410.

be appointed, to whom the negotiation should be intrusted and by whom the terms should be discussed.¹

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.

1702.

As the expiration approached of the three years which were granted to the London Company to continue trade on their whole stock, they became more inclined to an accommodation. In their first proposal they aimed at the extinction of the rival Company. As a committee of the House of Commons had been formed, "to receive proposals for paying off the national debts, and advancing the credit of the nation," they made a proposition to pay off the 1,000,000*l.* which government had borrowed at usurious interest from the English Company, and to hold the debt at five per cent. The proposal, though entertained by the committee, was not relished by the House; and this project was defeated.² The distress, however, in which the Company was now involved, their stock having within the last ten years fluctuated from 300 to 37 per cent.,³ rendered some speedy remedy indispensable. The committee of seven, which had been proposed in the Answer to the King, was now resorted to in earnest, and was empowered by a General Court, on the 17th of April, 1701, to make and receive proposals for the union of the two companies.

. It was the beginning of January, in the succeeding year, before the following general terms were adjusted and approved : That the Court of twenty-four Managers or Directors should be composed of twelve individuals chosen by each Company; that of the annual exports, the amount of which should be fixed by the Court of Managers, a half should be furnished by each Company; that the Court of Managers should have the entire direction of all matters relating to trade and settlements subsequently to this union; but that the factors of each Company should manage separately the stocks which each had sent out previously to the date of that transaction; that seven years should be allowed to wind up the separate concerns of each Company; and that, after that period, one great joint-stock should be formed by the final union of the funds of both. This agreement was confirmed by the

¹ Bruce, *ill.* 290, 293, 355.

² Ibid. 124.

³ Anderson's *History of Commerce*; Macpherson, *ii.* 705.

BOOK I. General Courts of both Companies on the 27th April*
CHAP. V. 1702.1

1702. An indenture tripartite, including the Queen and the two East India Companies, was the instrument adopted for giving legal efficacy to the transaction. For equalizing the shares of the two Companies, the following scheme was devised. The London Company, it was agreed, should purchase at par as much of the capital of the English Company, lent to government, as added to the 315,000*l.* which they had already subscribed, should render equal the portion of each. The dead stock of the London Company was estimated at 330,000*l.*; that of the English Company at 70,000*£*; whereupon the latter paid 130,000*l.* for equalizing the shares of this part of the common estate. On the 22nd July, 1702, the indenture passed under the great seal; and the two parties took the common name of *The United Company of Merchants trading to the East Indies*.²

On the foundation on which the affairs of the two Companies were in this manner placed, they continued with considerable jarrings and contention, especially between the functionaries in India, till the season 1707-8, when an event occurred which necessitated the accommodation of differences, and accelerated the completion of the union. A loan of 1,200,000*l.*, without interest, was exacted of the two Companies for the use of government. The recollection of what had happened, when the body of private adventurers were formed into the English East India Company, made them dread the offers of a new body of adventurers, should any difficulty be found on their part. It was necessary, therefore, that the two Companies should

¹ Bruce, iii. 424—426. Of the subtleties which at this time entered into the policy of the Company, the following is a specimen. Sir Basil Firebrace, or Firebrass, a notorious jobber who had been an interloper, and afterwards joined with the London Company, was now an intriguer for both Companies. At a general Court of the London Company, on the 23rd April, 1701, this man stated that he had a scheme to propose, which he doubted not would accomplish the union desired; but required to know what recompence should be allowed him, if he effected this important end. By an act of the Court, the committee of seven were authorized to negotiate, with Sir Basil, the recompence which he ought to receive: and after repeated conferences with the gentleman, they proposed to the Court of Committees, that if he effected the union, 150,000*l.* of the stock of the Company should be transferred to him on his paying 80*l.* per cent. In other words, he was to receive 20 per cent, on 150,000*l.* or a reward of 30,000*l.* for the success of his intrigues. Ibid. See also Macpherson, ii. 663.

s Bruce, iii. 486-491.

lay aside all separate views, and cordially join their endeavours to avert the common danger.

BOOK I.
CHAP. V.

1708.

It was at last agreed, that all differences subsisting between them should be submitted to the arbitration of the Earl of Godolphin, then Lord High Treasurer of England ; and that the union should be rendered complete and final upon the award which he should pronounce. On this foundation, the act, 6th Anne, ch. 17, was passed; enacting that a sum of 1,200,000*l.* without interest should be advanced by the United Company to government, which, being added to the former advance of 2,000,000*2.* at 8 per cent. interest, constituted a loan of 3,200,000*2.* yielding interest at the rate of 5 per cent, upon the whole ; that to raise this sum of 1,200,000*l.* the Company should be empowered to borrow to the extent of 1,500,000*l.* on their common seal, or to call in moneys to that extent from the Proprietors ; that this sum of 1,200,000*l.* should be added to their capital stock ; that instead of terminating on three years' notice after the 29th of September, 1711, their privileges should be continued till three years' notice after the 25th of March, 1726, and till repayment of their capital: that the stock of the separate adventures of the General Society, amounting to 7,200*l.*, which had never been incorporated into the joint-stock of the English Company, might be paid off, on three years' notice after the 29th of September, 1711, and merged in the joint-stock of the United Company; and that the award of the Earl of Godolphin, settling the terms of the Union, should be binding and conclusive on both parties.¹

The award of Godolphin was dated and published on the 29th of September, 1708. It referred solely to the winding up of the concerns of the two Companies; and the blending of their separate properties into one stock, on terms equitable to both. As the assets or effects of the London Company in India fell short of the debts of that concern, they were required to pay by instalments to the United Company the sum of 96,615*l.* 4*s.* 9*d.*: and as the effects of the English Company in India exceeded their debts, they were directed to receive from the United Company the sum of 66,005*l.* 4*s.* 2*d.*; a debt due by Sir Edward Littleton in Bengal, of 80,437 rupees and 8 anas, remaining to be dis-

BOOK I. charged by the English Company on their own account.
CHAP. V. On these terms, the whole of the property and debts of

1708.

the United Company. With regard to the debts of both Companies in Britain, it was in general ordained that they should all be discharged before the 1st of March 1709; and as those of the London Company amounted to the sum of 399,7967. 9s. *Id.* they were empowered to call upon their Proprietors,¹ by three several instalments, for the means of liquidation.

As the intercourse of the English nation with the people of India was now destined to become, by a rapid progress, both very intimate, and very extensive, a full account of the character and circumstances of that people is required for the understanding of the subsequent proceedings and events.

The population of those great countries consisted chiefly of two Races: one, who may here be called the Hindu; another the Mahomedan Race.² The first were the aboriginal inhabitants of the country. The latter were subsequent invaders; and insignificant, in point of number, compared with the first.

The next two Books will be devoted to the purpose of laying before the reader all that appears to be useful in what is known concerning both these classes of the Indian people. To those who delight in tracing the phenomena of human nature; and to those who desire to know completely the foundation upon which the actions of the British people in India have been laid, this will not appear the least interesting department of the work.

¹ Bruce, iii. G67 to C79. Macpherson, iii. 1, 2.

² This, as far as probabilities authorize an inference, is an error; the aborigines of India are apparently represented by the various barbarous tribes still inhabiting the mountains and forests, and following rude religious practices, that are no parts of the primitive Hindu system.—W,

BOOK II.

OF THE HINDUS.

CHAPTER I.

Chronology and Ancient History of the Hindus.

RUDE nations seem to derive a peculiar gratification from pretensions to a remote antiquity.¹ As a boastful and turgid vanity distinguishes remarkably the oriental nations, they have in most instances carried their claims extravagantly high. We are informed, in a fragment of Chaldaic history, that there were written accounts, preserved at Babylon, with the greatest care, comprehending a term of fifteen myriads of years.² The pretended duration of the Chinese monarchy, is still more extraordinary. A single king of Egypt was believed to have reigned three myriads of years.³

BOOK II.
CHAP. I.

¹ Mr. Gibbon remarks (Hist. Decl. and Fall of the Roman Empire, i. p. 350), that the wild Irishman, as well as the wild Tartar, can point out the individual son of Japhet from whose loins his ancestors were lineally descended.—According to Dr. Keating (History of Ireland, 13), the giant Partholann, who was the son of Seara, the son of Esra, the son of Sru, the son of Framant, the son of Fathacian, the son of Magog, the son of Japhet, the son of Noah, landed on the coast of Munster, the 14th day of May, in the year of the world 1978. The legends of England are not less instructive. A fourth or sixth son of Japhet, named Samoths, having first colonized Gaul, passed over into this Island, which was thence named Simothea, about 200 years after the flood; but the Samothians being some ages afterwards subdued by Albion, a giant son of Neptune, he called the island after his own name, and ruled it forty-four years. See the story, with some judicious reflections, in Milton's History of England (Prose Works of Milton, iv. 3. Ed. 1806). "The Athenians boasted that they were as ancient as the sun. The Arcadians pretended that they were older than the moon. The Lacedemonians called themselves the sons of the earth, &c, such, in general, was the madness of the ancients on this subject! They loved to lose themselves in an abyss of ages which seemed to approach eternity." Gogtiet, Origin of Laws, v. i. b. 1, ch. 1, art. 5. See the authorities there quoted.

² Ensebii Chronicon, p. 5. Syncelli Chronograph, p. 28. Bryant's Ancient Mythology, iv. 127, 8vo. edit.

³ Syncelli Chronicon, p. 51. Herodotus informs us (lib. ii. o. 2), that the Egyptians considered themselves as the most ancient of mankind, till an experiment made by Psammetichus convinced them that the Phrygians alone preceded them. But the inhabitants of the further Peninsula of India make the boldest incursions into the regions of past times. The Burraans, we are

BOOK II.
CHAP. I.

The present age of the world, according to the system of the Hindus, is distinguished into four grand periods, denominated yugs. The first is the Satya yug, comprehending 1,728,000 years; the second the Treta yug, comprehending 1,296,000 years; the third the Dwapar yug; including 864,000 years; and the fourth the Cali yug, which will extend to 432,000 years. Of these periods, the first three are expired; and, in the year 1817 of the Christian era, 4,911 years of the last. From the commencement, therefore, of the Satya yug, to the year 1817, is comprehended a space of 3,892,911 years, the antiquity to which this people lay claim.¹

The contempt with which judicious historians now treat the historical fables of early society, must be indulged with caution when we explore the ancient condition of Hindustan; because the legendary tales of the Hindus have hitherto, among European inquirers, been regarded with particular respect; and because, without a knowledge of them, much of what has been written in Europe concern*

Informed by Dr. Buchanan (As. Res. vi. 181), believe that the lives of the first inhabitants of their country lasted one *assenchii*, a period of time of which they thus communicate an idea: "If for three years it should rain incessantly over the whole surface of this earth, which is 1,203,400 *juzana* in diameter, the number of drops of rain falling in such a space and time, although far exceeding human conception, would only equal the number of years contained in one *assenchii*."

¹ Sir William Jones's Discourse on the Chronology of the Hindus (As. Res. II. I. 11, 8vo. Ed.) also that on the Gods of Greece, Italy, and India (Ibid. i. 221.)—See, too, Mr. Bentley's Remarks on the principal Eras and Dates of the ancient Hindus (Ibid. v. 315); and the Discourse of Captain F. Wilford on the Chronology of the Hindus, in the same volume, p. 24.—Consult, also, Mr. Marsden's Discourse on the Chronology of the Hindus (Phil. Trans. lxxx. 568.) These authors, having all drawn from the same sources, display an appearance of uniformity and certainty in this part of the Hindu system. It is amusing to contemplate the wavering results of their predecessors. Mr. Halhed, in the preface to his Translation of the Code of Gentoo Laws, thus states the number of years, and thus spells the names of the epochs; 1. The Sutte Jogue, 3,200,000 years; 2. The Tirtah Jogue, 2,400,000 years; 3. The Dwapaar Jogue, 1,600,000 years; 4. The College Jogue, 400,000. Colonel Dow marks the Sutte Jogue at 14,000,000; the Tirtah Jogue at 1,080,000; the Dwapaar Jogue 72,000; and the College Jogue, 36,000 years. (History of Hindostan, i. 2). M. Bernier, whose knowledge of India was so extensive and accurate, gives, on the information of the Brahmens of Benares, the Satya yug at 2,500,000 years, the Treta at 1,200,000, the Dwapaar at 864,000, and assigns no period to the Cali yug. (voyages, ii. 160.)—Messrs. Rogers and le Gentil, who received their accounts from the Brahmens of the Coast of Coromandel, coincide with Sir William Jones, except that they specify no duration for the Cali yug. (Porte Ouverte, p. 179; Mem. d'Academ. des Sciences pour 1772, torn. ii. part 1, p. 17)—The account of Anquetil Duperron agrees in every particular with that of Sir W. Jones; Recherches Historiques et Geographiques sur l'Inde, Lettre sur les Antiquite's de l'Inde. The four ages of the Mexicans bear a remarkable resemblance to those of the Hindus, and of so many other nations. "All the nations of Anahuac (says Clavigero, History of Mexico, B. vi. sect. 94), distinguished four ages of time by as many suns. The first, named Atona-

ing the people of India, cannot be understood.¹ It is necessary, therefore, to relate, that at the commencement of the Satya yug, or 3,892,911 years ago, lived Satyavrata, otherwise denominated Vaivaswata, and also the seventh Menu. He had escaped with his family from an universal

BOOK II
CHAP. I.

tiuh, that is, the sun (or the age) of water, commenced with the creation of the world, and continued until the time at which all mankind perished in a general deluge along with the first sun. The second, Tlaitonatiuh, the age of earth, lasted from the deluge until the ruin of the giants, &c. The third, Ehecatonatiuh, the age of air, lasted from the destruction of the giants till the great whirlwinds, &c. The fourth, Tletonatinh, commenced at the last-mentioned catastrophe, and is to last till the earth be destroyed by fire."—M.

. There is no other concurrence of the Hindu and Mexican systems than the number of four, which was common to all antiquity. The Hindu system is wholly mythological, and admits of a ready explanation; it originates in the descending arithmetical progression of 4, 3, 2, 1, according to the notions of diminishing virtue in the several ages, applied to a cycle of 12,000 divine years, each of which is equal to 360 years of mortals; and 12,000 multiplied by 360 is equal to 4,320,000, the whole period of the four yugs, Vishnu Purana, p. 24.—This chronology also, it must be remembered, is not the expression of national irinity—it is the Hindu theory of the age of the world. The Hindus make no pretensions to a higher antiquity than that of other races of mankind. The four ages, and countless successions of them, are the phases of universal creation, not only of national existence.—W.

¹ The reader will by and by be prepared to determine for himself how far the tales of the Brahmens deserve exemption from the sentence which four great historians have, in the following passages, pronounced on the fanciful traditions of early nations. "The curiosity," says Mr. Hume, "entertained by all civilized nations, of inquiring into the exploits and adventures of their ancestors, commonly excites a regret that the history of remote ages should always be so much involved in obscurity, uncertainty, and contradiction, * * * The fables which are commonly employed to supply the place of time history ought entirely to be disregarded; or, if any exception be admitted to this general rule, it can only be in favour of the ancient Grecian fictions, which are so celebrated and so agreeable, that they will ever be the objects of the attention of mankind." (Hume's History of England, i. ch. 1.)—"Nations," says Robertson, "as well as men, arrive at maturity by degrees, and the events which happened during their infancy or early youth cannot be recollected, and deserve not to be remembered. * * » Every thing beyond that short period, to which well-attested annals reach, is obscure; an immense space is left for invention to occupy; each nation, with a vanity inseparable from human nature, hath filled that void with events calculated to display its own antiquity and lustre. And history, which ought to record truth, and teach wisdom, often sets out with retailing fictions and absurdities." {Robertson's History of Scotland, i. b. 1.}—Mr. Gibbon, speaking of a people (the Arabians) who in traditions and antiquity bear some resemblance to the Hindus, says, "I am ignorant, and I am careless, of the blind mythology of the Barbarians." (History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire, ix, 244, 8vo. edit.) Of a people still more remarkably resembling the Hindus he says, "We may observe, that after an ancient period of fables, and a long interval of darkness, the modern histories of Persia begin to assume an air of truth with the dynasty of the Sassanides," (Ibid. i. 341.)—*Quæ ante conditam condendam urbem, poeticis magis decora fabulis quam incorruptis remm gestarum monumentis traduntur eanec afflrmare nec refellere in animo est.* Livii Prefat.—M.

This disdain of the early records of nations may sometimes be suspected to veil a distaste for dry, laborious, and antiquarian research. That it is much to depreciate than inquire we need not go beyond these pages for

BOOK II deluge, which had destroyed the rest of the human species.¹
 CHAP. I. Of his descendants, were two royal branches: the one denominated the children of the sun; the other, the children of the moon. The first reigned at Ayodhya or Onde; the second at Pratisht'hana or Vitora. These families, or dynasties, subsisted till the thousandth year of the present or Cali yug, at which time they both became extinct; and a list of the names of the successive princes is presented in the Sanscrit books.²

Satyavrata, the primitive sire, prolonged his existence and his reign through the whole period of the Satya yug or 1,728,000 years.³ From this patriarchal monarch are enumerated, in the solar line of his descendants, fifty-five princes, who inherited the sovereignty till the time of Rama. Now it is agreed among all the Brahmens that Rama filled the throne of Ayodhya at the end of the Treta yug. The reigns, therefore, of these fifty-five princes, extending from the beginning to the end of that epoch, filled 1,296,000 years, which, at a medium, is more than 23,000 years to each reign. During the next, or Dwapar yug, of 864,000 years, twenty-nine princes are enumerated, who must, at an average, have reigned each 29,793 years. From the beginning of the present, or Cali yug, to the time when the race of solar princes became extinct, are reckoned 1000 years, and thirty princes. There is a wonderful change, therefore, in the last age, in which only thirty-three years, at a medium, are assigned to a reign.⁴

¹ The coincidence in the tradition respecting Satyavrata, and the history of Noah, are very remarkable, and will be farther noticed hereafter.

² Sir William Jones, *As. Res.* ii. 119, 120, 127.

³ Sir Wm. Jones, *Ibid.* 126. He was the son of Surya (or *Sol*), the son of Casyapa (or *Urania*), the son of Marichi (or *Light*), the son of Brahma, "which is clearly," says Sir Wm. Jones, "an allegorical pedigree." The Hindu pedigrees and fables, however, being very variable, he is, in the opening of the fourth book of the *Gita*, called, not the son of the San, but the Sun himself. Sir Wm. Jones, *Ibid.* 117. In a celestial pedigree the Hindus agree with other rude nations. There is a curious passage in Plato respecting the genealogy of the Persian kings. They were descended, he says, from Achæmenes, sprung from Perseus the son of Zeus (Jupiter). *Piat. Alcib.* i. ~ M.

There is no variability in the account of vatvaswata's genealogy, nor is he confounded with the Sun in the *Gita*. Mr. Mill has evidently supposed Vaivaswat, the Sun, to be the same name as Vaivaswata its patronymic derivative, in the passage to which he refers. Willi regard to the duration of the life of Vaivaswata, it must be remembered that the Manus are not men, although finite beings; they exist throughout a kalpa, a much longer period than that of a Satya yug.—W.

⁴ Compare the list of princes in the several yugs, exhibited in the Discourse of Sir Wm. Jones, *As. Res.* iii. 128 to 136, with the assigned duration of the yugs. The lineage of the lunar branch, who reigned in Prana, or Vitora, during exactly the same period, is in all respects similar, excepting"

Beside the two lines of solar and lunar kings, a different race, who reigned in Magadha, or Bahar, commence with the fourth age. Of these, twenty in regular descent from their ancestor Jarasandha extended to the conclusion of the first thousand years of the present yug, and were contemporary with the last thirty princes of the solar and lunar race.¹ At the memorable epoch of the extinction of those branches, the house of Jarasandha also failed; for the reigning prince was slain by his prime minister, who placed his son Pradyota on the throne. Fifteen of the descendants of this usurper enjoyed the sovereignty, and reigned from the date of his accession 498 years, to the time of Nanda, the last prince of the house of Pradyota. He, after a reign of 100 years, was murdered by a Brahmen, who raised to the throne a man of the Maurya race, named Chandragupta. This prince is reckoned, by our Oriental antiquarians, the same with Sandracottas or Sandracuptos, the cotemporary of Alexander the Great. Only nine princes of his line succeeded him, and held the sceptre for 137 years. On the death of the last, his commander in chief ascended the throne, and, together with nine descendants, to whom he transmitted the sovereignty, reigned 112 years. After that period the reigning prince was killed, and succeeded by his minister Vasudeva. Of his family only four princes are enumerated; but they are said to have reigned 345 years.² The throne was next usurped by a race of Sudras, the first of whom slew his master, and seized the government. Twenty-one of this race, of whom Chandrabija was the last, reigned during a space of 456 years.³ The conclusion of the reign of this

that the number of princes, in the first two ages, is in this line fewer by fifteen than in the line of solar princes. From this it has been supposed, that a chasm must exist in the genealogy of those princes; but surely without sufficient reason; since, if we can admit that eighty-five princes in the solar line could outlive the whole third and fourth ages, amounting to 2,160,000 years, we may, without much scruple, allow that seventy princes in the lunar could extend through the same period.—M.

¹ The reigns of those princes, therefore, must have been fifty years at an Average.—M.

Some authorities make the number twenty-one, some thirty-nine, the latter making the average less than twenty-six years.—w.

² This is a blunder made by the compiler of the Bhagarata: other authorities concur in stating the period to be only forty-fire years.—Vishnu Purana 171.—W.

³ As. Res. ii. 137—142.—M.

The dynasty comprises, according to the Vishnu Parana, thirty kings; and twenty-nine are named in the Matsya Parana, and several of the names are identifiable in old inscriptions, or in Chinese writings.—Vishnu Purana

BOOK II prince corresponds therefore with the year 2648 of the Cali
CHAP. I. yug, and with the year 446 before the birth of Christ.¹
 And with him, according to Sir William Jones, closes the
 authentic system of Hindu chronology.²

It is a most suspicious circumstance in the pretended records of a nation, when we find positive statements for a regular and immense series of years in the remote abyss of time, but are entirely deserted by them when we descend to the ages more nearly approaching our own. Where annals are real, they become circumstantial in proportion as they are recent; where fable stands in the place of fact* the times over which the memory has any influence are rejected, and the imagination riots in those in which it is unrestrained. While we receive accounts, the most precise and confident, regarding the times of remote antiquity not a name of a prince in after ages is presented in Hindu records. A great prince named Vicramaditya, is said to

¹ According to the Brahmens, 4911 years of the Cali yug were elapsed in the beginning of April, A.D. 1817, from which deducting 2648, the year of the Cali yug in which the reign of Chandmija terminated, you have 2663, the number of years which have intervened since that period, and which carry it back to 446 years before Christ.

² As. Res. ii. 142, 3.—We have been likewise presented with a genealogical table of the great Hindu dynasties by Captain Wilford (As. Res. v. 241), which lie says is faithfully extracted from the Vishnu Purana, the Bhngavat, and other Puranas, and which, on the authority of numerous MSS. which he had collated, and of some learned Pundits of Benares, whom he had consulted, he exhibits, as the only genuine chronological record of Indian history which had yet come to his knowledge. But this differs in numerous particulars from that of the learned Pundit Radhacant, exhibited by Sir William Jones, and which Sir William says "that Radhacant had diligently collected from several Puranas." Thus it appears that there is not even a steady and invariable tradition or fiction on this subject: At the same time that the table of Captain Wilford removes none of the great difficulties which appear in that of Sir W. Jones. The most remarkable difference is exhibited in the line of the solar princes, whose genealogy Captain Wilford has taken from the Ramayan, as being, he thinks, consistent with the ancestry of Arjuna and Crishna, while that given by Sir William Jones and Radhacant, he says, is not.—The reader may also compare the *Rajaturungu*, a history of the Hindus compiled by Mrit-yoonjuyu, the head Sanscrit Pundit in the College of Fort William; translated and published in the first volume of "An Account of the Writings, Religion, and Manners of the Hindus," by Mr. Ward, printed at Serampore, in four "volumes 4 to. 1811.—M.

Sir William Jones's list was evidently extracted from the Bhagavat only. Wilford's lists are more varied and authentic. The work of Mrityoonjaya is not of any authority. The subject is most clearly set forth in the text of the Vishnu Purana, and the variations are specified in the notes. There is no doubt that the genealogies of the Puranas have been compiled from older authorities, and that their differences are chiefly attributable to the degree of care with which the common authorities have been consulted and represented. The latter series are sufficiently consistent, and are corroborated in many cases by collateral evidence, and the earlier dynasties, when the chronology is corrected, are in all probability much more authentic than has been sometimes supposed.—W.

have extended widely his conquests and dominion, and to **BOOK H.**
 nave reigned at Magadha 396 years after Chandrabija. **CHAP. I.**
 From that time even fiction is silent.¹ We hear no more
 of the Hindus and their transactions, till the era of Maho-
 median conquest; when the Persians alone become our
 instructors.²

After the contempt with which the extravagant claims to antiquity of the Chaldeans and Egyptians had always been treated in Europe, the love of the marvellous is curiously illustrated by the respect which has been paid to the chronology of the Hindus.³ We received indeed the accounts of the Hindu chronology, not from the incredulous historians of Greece and Rome, but from men who had seen the people; whose imagination had been powerfully affected by the spectacle of a new system of manners, arts, institutions, and ideas; who naturally expected to augment the opinion of their own consequence, by the greatness of the wonders which they had been favoured to behold; and whose astonishment, admiration, and enthusiasm, for a time, successfully propagated themselves. The Hindu statements, if they have not perhaps in any instance gained a literal belief, have almost universally been regarded as very different from the fictions of an unimproved and credulous people, and entitled to a very serious and profound investigation. Yet they are not only carried to the wildest pitch of extravagance, but are ut-

¹ Sir Win. Jones, *As. Ees*, ii. 142.

² Since the text was published, much historical information has been obtained from various sources, rendering this statement inaccurate. Buddhist annals and ancient inscriptions have confirmed the identity of Chandragupta and Sandrocottus, and, with the correction of the chronology thus obtained, it is proved that the Puranik accounts bring down the traditional history of the Hindus in Gangetic Hindustan, to the eighth and ninth centuries. In the South of India original accounts of different dynasties are preserved from an early to a very recent period, and the chronicles of Rajputana, assuming the appearance of authenticity in the first ages of Christianity, offer a connected narrative to times long subsequent to the establishment of the Mohammedans in India. These various records are illustrated and confirmed by coins and inscriptions discovered and deciphered only within the last few years, see *As. Researches*, vol. xv. et seq. *Trans. Royal As. Soc. Tod's Rajasthan*. Mackenzie Collections. Turnour's *Mahawanso*. *Calcutta Quarterly Oriental Magazine* and *Review*, *Madras Journal of Literature*, *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, and especially the *Journal of the As. Society of Bengal*.—W

Mr. Halhed *seems*, in his pref. to *Code of Gent. Laws*, to be very nearly reconciled to the Hindu Chronology: at any rate he thinks the believers in the Jewish accounts of patriarchal longevity have no reason to complain, p. xxxvii. He has since, however, made a confession at second hand, of an alteration in his belief as to the antiquity of the Hindus. See Maurice's *History of Hindostan*, 1. 88.

BOOK II. terly inconsistent both with themselves and with *other*
 CHAP. I. established opinions of the Brahmens.¹

Of this a single specimen will suffice. The character which the Brahmens assign to the several yugs is a remarkable part of their system. The Satya yug is distinguished by the epithet of golden; the Treta yug by that of silver; the Dwapar yug by that of copper; and the Cali yug is denominated earthen.² In these several ages the virtue, the life, and the stature of man, exhibited a remarkable diversity. In the Satya yug, the whole race were virtuous and pure; the life of man was 100,000 years, and his stature 21 cubits. In the Treta yug, one third of mankind were corrupt; and human life was reduced to 10,000 years. One half of the human race were depraved in the Dwapar yug, and 1000 years bounded the period of life. In the Cali yug, all men are corrupt, and human life is restricted to 100 years.³ But though in the Satya yug men lived only 100,000 years, Satyavrata, according to the chronological, fiction, reigned 1,728,000 years; in the Treta yug, human life extended only to 10,000 years, yet fifty-five princes reigned, each at a medium, more than 23,000 years; in the Dwapar yug, though the life of man was reduced to 1000 years, the duration of the reigns was even extended, for twenty-nine princes held each the sceptre in this period for 29,793 years.⁴

¹ The character is not correct. The extravagance has never been denied, except by a few of the first and least competent inquirers. There is no inconsistency, nor are the statements, representing as they do, the belief and traditions of all the most interesting nations of antiquity, unworthy of investigation.—W.

² See Sir Wm. Jones, Discourse on the Gods of Greece, Italy, and India, As. Res. i. 236. The similarity between the Hindu description of the four yugs, and that of the four ages of the world by the Greeks, cannot escape attention. We shall have occasion to notice many other *xxy* striking marks of affinity between their several systems.—M.

³ It is to be observed, however, that the terms golden, and the rest, are, not Hindu epithets of the four ages.—W.

⁴ I have followed Mr. Halhed in the number of years (see Preface to Code of Gentoo Laws), through a derivative authority, because his statement is the highest, and by consequence the least unfavourable to the consistency of the Hindu chronology. In the Institutes of Menu (ch. i. 83), human life for the Satya yug is stated at 400 years, for the Treta yug at 300, the Dwapar 200, and the Cali yug at 100 years.—M.

The duration of the life of a Mann is not bounded by the limits of an age, as previously remarked; the reigns of particular kings, in a great degree mythological personages, are also exempt from ordinary rules. The inconsistency arises from our attempts to adjust the system to a scale by which it was never designed to be measured.—W.

⁵ There is a very remarkable coincidence between the number of years specified in this Hindu division of time, and a period marked in a very curious fragment of the Chaldean History. The Cali yug, it appears from the text

The wildness and inconsistency of the Hindu statements evidently place them beyond the sober limits of truth and history; yet it has been imagined, if their literal acceptance must of necessity be renounced, that they at least contain a poetical or figurative delineation of real events, which ought to be studied for the truths which it may disclose. The labour and ingenuity which have been bestowed upon this inquiry, unfortunately have not been attended with an adequate reward. No suppositions, however gratuitous, have sufficed to establish a consistent theory. Every explanation has failed. The Hindu legends still present a maze of unnatural fictions, in which a series of real events can by no artifice be traced.¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. I.

The internal evidence which these legends display, afforded, indeed, from the beginning, the strongest reason to anticipate this result. The offspring of a wild and unguided imagination, they mark the state of a rude and credulous people, whom the marvellous delights; who cannot estimate the use of a record of past events; and whose imagination the real occurrences of life are too familiar to

amounts to 432,000 years, and the aggregate of the four yugs, which the Hindus call a Maha yug, or great yug, amounts to a period expressed by the same figures, increased by the addition of a cypher, or 4,320,000. Now Berosus informs us, that the first king of Chaldea was Aldrus, who reigned ten sari, that a sarus is 3,600 years; that the first ten kings, whose reigns seem to have been accounted a great era, reigned 120 sari, which compose exactly 432,000 years, the Hindu period. See Eusebii Chronic, p. 5, where this fragment of Berosus is preserved; Syncelli Chronograph, p. 28. See also Bryant's Analysis of Ancient Mythology, iii. 95 to 126, for a most learned and ingenious commentary on this interesting fragment.

¹ A learned author pronounces them inferior even to the legends of the Greeks, as evidence of primeval events. "Oriental learning is now employed in unravelling the mythology of India, and recommending it as containing the seed of primeval history; but hitherto we have seen nothing that should induce us to relinquish the authorities we have been used to respect, or make us prefer the fables of the Hindus or Guebres, to the fables of the Greeks." Vincent, Periplus of the Erythrean Sea, part i. 9. It may be added, that if the Greeks, the most accomplished people of antiquity, have left us so imperfect an account of the primitive state of their own country, little is to be expected from nations confessedly and remarkably inferior to them.—M.

These opinions are, to say the least of them, premature. Judgment is uttered confidently upon research whilst in, its veriest infancy:—we are not even yet in a position to pronounce definitively on the subject, for the principal authorities are still unexamined. The Vishnu Purana will contribute some authentic materials, but one or two other Puranas, the Ramayana, the Mahabharata, and the Vedas, ought to be translated, and must be carefully studied, before it will be safe to decide upon the value of the elucidation which Hindu literature may afford to the History of India or of the East. In the mean time the study has not been barren; the political divisions of ancient India are beginning to take a definite and distinct outline, and new connexions between nations hitherto little suspected, have been fully admitted, upon the indisputable testimony of affinity of speech.—W.

BOOK II. engage.¹ To the monstrous period of years "which the
 CHAP. I. legends of the Hindus involve, they ascribe events the most extravagant and unnatural; events not even connected in chronological series; a number of independent and incredible fictions. This people, indeed, are perfectly destitute of historical records.² Their ancient literature affords not a single production to which the historical character belongs. The works in which the miraculous transactions of former times are described are poems. Most of them are books of a religious character, in which the exploits of the gods, and their commands to mortals, are repeated or revealed. In all, the actions of men and those of deities are mixed together, in a set of legends, more absurd and extravagant, more transcending the bounds of nature and of reason, less grateful to the ima-

¹ That propensity which BO universally distinguishes rude nations, and forms so remarkable a characteristic of uncivilized society—of riling the ages that are past with fabulous events and personages, and of swelling every thing beyond the limits of nature, may be easily accounted for. Every passion and sentiment of a rude people is apt to display itself in wild and extravagant effects. National vanity follows the example of the other passions, and indulges itself, unrestrained by knowledge, in such fictions as the genius of each people inspires. *Datur hcec venia antiquitati, ut miscendo humana divinis, primordia urbium augustiora faciat.* (Liv. Pref.) Of an accurate record of antecedent events, yielding lessons for the future by the experience of the past, uncultivated minds are not sufficiently capable of reflection to know the value. The real occurrences of life, familiar and insipid, appear too mean and insignificant to deserve to be remembered. They excite no surprise, and gratify no vanity. Every thing, however, which is extraordinary and marvellous, inspires the deepest curiosity and interest. While men are yet too ignorant to have ascertained with any accuracy the boundaries of nature, every thing of this sort meets with a ready belief; it conveys uncommon pleasure; the faculty of inventing is thus encouraged; and fables are plentifully multiplied. It may be regarded as in some degree remarkable, that, distinguished as all rude nations are for this propensity, the people of the East have far surpassed the other races of men in the extravagance of their legends. The Babylonians, the Arabians, the Syrians, the Egyptians, have long been subject to the contempt of Europeans, for their proneness to invent and believe miraculous stories. Lucian deems it a sarcasm, the bitterness of which would be universally felt, when he says of an author, infamous for the incredible stories which he had inserted in his history, that he had attained this perfection in lying, though he had never associated with a Syrian". (Quom. Cons. Hist.) The scanty fragments which have reached us of the histories of those other nations, have left us but little acquainted with the particular fables of which they compose their early history. But our more intimate acquaintance with the people of southern Asia has afforded us an ample assortment of their legendary stories.

² "There is no known history of Hindoostan (that rests on the foundation of Hindu materials or records) extant, before the period of the Malimmedan conquests." Kennel's Memoir, Introduction, x1. The Hindus have no ancient civil history, nor had the Egyptians any work purely historical. Wilford on Egypt and the Kile, As. Res. hi. 296.—M.

This has already been shown to be not strictly true, and genealogies and chronicles are found in various parts of India, recorded with some perseverance, if not much skill.—W.

gination and taste of a cultivated and rational people, than those which the fabulous history of any other nation presents to us. The Brahmens are the most audacious, and perhaps the most unskilful fabricators, with whom the annals of fable have yet made us acquainted.¹

The people of Hindustan and the ancient nations of Europe came in contact at a single point. The expedition of Alexander the Great began, and in some sort ended, their connexion. Even of this event, so recent and remarkable, the Hindus have no record; they have not a tradition that can with any certainty be traced to it. Some particulars in their mythological stories have by certain European inquirers been *supposed* to refer to transactions of Alexander, but almost any part as well as another of these unnatural legends may, with equal propriety, receive the same distinction.² The information which we

¹ If the authority of a Sanscrit scholar be wanted to confirm this harsh decision, we may adduce that of Captain Wilford, "who, in his Discourse on Egypt and the Nile, As. Res. iii. 29, thus expresses himself: "The mythology of the Hindus is often inconsistent and contradictory, and the same tale is related many different ways. Their physiology, astronomy, and history, are involved in allegories and enigmas, which cannot but seem extravagant and ridiculous; nor could any thing render them supportable, but a belief that most of them have a recondite meaning; though many of them had, perhaps, no firmer basis than the heated imagination of deluded fanatics, or of hypocrites interested in the worship of some particular deity. Should a key to their eighteen Puranas exist, it is more than probable that the wards of it would be too intricate, or too stiff with the rust of time, for any useful purpose."

"The Hindu system of geography, chronology, and history, are all equally monstrous and absurd." Wilford on the Chronol. of the Hindus, As. Res. V. 241.

Another Oriental scholar of some eminence, Mr. Scott Waring, says, in his Tour to Sheeraz, p. iv. "that the Hindu mythology and history appear to be buried in impenetrable darkness."—M.

Mr. Waring is no authority, and Wilford, in a great degree, was bewildered in a labyrinth of his own creating.—W.

Dr. Robertson (Disquis. concerning Anc. India, note viii. p. 301) says, "That some traditional knowledge of Alexander's invasion of India is still preserved in the northern provinces of the peninsula, is manifest from several circumstances." But these circumstances, when he states them, are merely such as this: that a race of Rajahs claim to be descended from Porus, or rather from a prince of a name distantly resembling Porus, which European inquirers *conjecture* may be the same. The other circumstance is, that a tribe or two, on the borders of ancient Bactria, *are said* to represent themselves as the descendants of some Greeks left there by Alexander. The modern Hindus, who make it a point to be ignorant of nothing, pretend, when told of the expedition of Alexander, to be well acquainted with it, and say, "That he fought a great battle with the Emperor of Hindoostan, near Delhi; and, though victorious, retired to Persia, across the northern mountains: so that the remarkable circumstance of his sailing down the Indus, in which he employed many months, is sunk altogether." Major Rennel, Memoir, p. xl.—M.

The modern Hindus are much less inclined to make it a point to be ignorant of nothing, than modern Europeans. If any modern Hindu ever pretended to have heard of Alexander, he probably did so with reason, having gained some vague notions from Mohammedan writers. He certainly did not acquire it

BOOK II have received of the Greek invasion from the Greeks
 CHAP. I. themselves, is extremely scanty and defective. The best of their writings on the subject have been lost but we have no reason to suppose that their knowledge of the Hindus was valuable. That of the modern Europeans continued very imperfect, after they had enjoyed a much longer and closer intercourse with them than the Greeks, In fact, it was not till they had studied the Indian languages, that they acquired the means of full and accurate information. But the Greeks, who despised every foreign language, made no exception in favour of the sacred dialect of the Hindus, and we may rest satisfied that the writings of Megasthenes and others contained few particulars by which our knowledge of the Brahmenical history could be improved.¹

From the scattered hints contained in the writings of the Greeks, the conclusion has been drawn, that the Hindus, at the time of Alexander's invasion, were in a state of manners, society, and knowledge, exactly the same with that in which they were discovered by the nations of modern Europe; nor is there any reason for differing widely from this opinion. It is certain that the few features of which we have any description from the Greeks, bear no inaccurate resemblance to those which are found to distinguish this people at the present day. From this resemblance, from the state of improvement in which the Indians remain, and from the stationary condition in which their institutions first, and then their manners and character, have a tendency to fix them, it is no unreasonable supposition, that they have presented a very uniform appearance during the long interval from the visit of the Greeks to that of the English. Their annals, however*

from his own, and there is no occasion to marvel at the omission. Important as we may consider Alexander's invasion, it was a matter of very trifling interest to the Hindus. It was confined to the extreme western frontier; it lasted for a short time; it left no permanent impression. In all probability, it was not heard of, at the time of its occurrence, beyond the Sutlej; and if it had been, it would have been regarded as the temporary predatory incursion of a barbarian. Repeated notices of such incursions are found in the Puranas, and in the Chronicle of Kashmir; but are not deemed worthy of a detailed description.—W,

¹ It affords a confirmation of this, that the Greeks have left us no accounts* in any degree satisfactory, of the manners and institutions of the ancient Persians, with whom they had so extended an intercourse; or of the manners and institutions of the Egyptians, whom they admired, and to whom their philosophers resorted for wisdom.

from that era till the period of the Mahomedan conquests, are a blank.

BOOK II.
CHAP. I.

With regard to the ancient history of India, we are still not without resources. The meritorious researches of the modern Europeans, who have explored the institutions, the laws, the manners, the arts, occupations and maxims of this ancient people, have enabled philosophy to draw the picture of society, which they have presented, through a long revolution of years. We cannot describe the lives of their kings, or the circumstances and results of a train of battles. But we can show how they lived together as members of the community, and of families; how they were arranged in society; what arts they practised, what tenets they believed, what manners they displayed; under what species of government they existed; and what character, as human beings, they possessed. This is by far the most useful and important part of history; and if it be true, as an acute and eloquent historian has remarked "that the sudden, violent, and unprepared revolutions incident to barbarians, are so much guided by caprice, and terminate so often in cruelty, that they disgust us by the uniformity of their appearance, and it is rather fortunate for letters that they are buried in silence and oblivion,"^x we have perhaps but little to regret in the total absence of Hindu records.²

Whatever theory we adopt with regard to the origin of mankind, and the first peopling of the world, it is natural to suppose, that countries were at first inhabited by a very small number of people. When a very small number of men inhabit a boundless country, and have intercourse only among themselves, they are by necessary consequence barbarians. If one family, or a small number of families,

¹ Hume's History of England, i. 2.

² "Tout homme de bon entendement, sans voir une histoire, peut presque imaginer de quelle humeur fut un peuple, lorsqu'il lit ces anciens statuts et ordonnances; et d'un meme jugement peut tirer en conjecture quelles furent ses loix voyant sa maniere de vivre." Etienne Pasquier, *Recherches de la France*, liv. iv., chap. 1. The sage President de Goguet, on a subject remarkably similar, thus expresses himself: "The dates and duration of the reigns of the ancient kings of Egypt, are subject to a thousand difficulties, which I shall not attempt to resolve. In effect, it is of little importance to know the number of their dynasties, and the names of their sovereigns. It is far more essential to understand the laws, arts, sciences, and customs of a nation, which all antiquity has regarded as a model of wisdom and virtue. These are the objects I propose to examine, with all the care and exactness I am capable of." *Origin of Laws*, Part I., Book I., chap. i. art. 4.

BOOK II. are under the necessity of providing for themselves all the
 CHAP. I. commodities which they consume, they can have but few accommodations, and these imperfect and rude. In those circumstances the exigencies of life are too incessant, and too pressing, to allow time or inclination for the prosecution of knowledge. The very ideas of law and government, which suppose a large society, have no existence: men are unavoidably ignorant and unrefined; and if much pressed with difficulties, they become savage and brutal.¹

¹ There is a remarkable passage in Plato, at the beginning of the third book, *De Legibus*, in which he describes the effects which would be produced on a small number of men left alone in the world, or some uncultivated part of it. He is describing the situation of a small number of persons left alive by a flood, which had destroyed the rest of mankind.—*Οἱ τότε περιφυγοντες την φερον σχεδον ορειαι τινας αν ειν νομεις, εν κορυφαις που σμικρα ζωματα του των ανθρωπων γενοιν διασωμενα.*—*Και δη τους τοιουτους γε αναγκη που των αλλων απειρους ειναι τεχνων, και των εν τοις αστεσι προς αλληλους μηχανων.*—*Ουκουν οργατα τε παντα απολυσθαι, και ει τι τεχνης ην εχουμενον σπουδαιας ευρημενον, η πολιτικης, η και σοφιας τινος ετερας, παντα ερρειν ταυτα εν τω τοτε χρονω φησικεν* (Plat. p. 804). The Hindus appear to have had similar opinions, though without the reasons.

"We read in the *Mahad-himalaya-chanda*, that after a deluge, from which very few of the human race were preserved, men became ignorant and brutal, without arts or sciences, and even without a regular language." Wilford, on *Egypt and the Nile*, A. c. Res. iii. 324.

There is nothing more remarkable in the traditions of nations, than their agreement respecting the origin of the present inhabitants of the globe. The account of the deluge in the religious books of the Jews, may very well be taken as the archetype of the whole. On this subject, I willingly content myself with a reference to a book of singular merit, "*The Analysis of Ancient Mythology*," by Jacob Bryant; in which, after making ample allowance for some forced etymologies, and much superstition, the reader will find an extent of learning, a depth of research, and an ingenuity of inference, unrivalled among the inquirers into the early history of the human race. Sir William Jones, who regretted that Mr. Bryant's knowledge of Oriental literature had not enabled him to bring evidence more largely from its stores, and that he had not pursued a plan more strictly analytical, has prosecuted the same inquiry in a series of Discoveries, addressed to the Asiatic Society, on the Hindus, the Arabs, the Tartars, the Persians, the Chinese, &c., and on the Origin and Families of Nations; and by a different plan, and the aid of his Oriental literature, has arrived at the same conclusions.

All inquirers have been struck with the coincidence between the story of Noah, and that of the Hindu primeval sire Satyawrata. We may suspect that there has been a little Brahmenical forcing to make it so exact as in the following passage:—Mr. Wilford says, "It is related in the *Padma-Puran*, that Satyawrata, whose miraculous preservation from a general deluge is told at length in the *Matsya*, had three sons, the eldest of whom was named Jyapeti, or Lord of the Earth. The others were C'harna and Sharma, which last are, in the vulgar dialects, usually pronounced C'harn and Sham, as we frequently hear Kishn for Krishna. The royal patriarch (for such is his character in the *Purans*), was particularly fond of Jyapeti, to whom he gave all the regions to the north of Himalaya, in the snowy mountains, which extend from sea to sea, and of which Caucasus is a part. To Sharma he allotted the countries to the south of those mountains: but he cursed C'harna; because when the old Monarch was accidentally inebriated with a strong liquor made of fermented rice, C'harna laughed: and it was in consequence of his father's impression that he became a slave to the slaves of his brothers." *As. Res.* iii. 312, 313. The following statement by the same inquirer is confirmed by a variety of authorities:—"The first descendants of Swayambhava (another name for Sat-

If we suppose that India began to be inhabited at a very early stage in the peopling of the world, its first inhabitants must have been few, ignorant, and rude. Uncivilized and ignorant men, transported, in small numbers, into an uninhabited country of boundless extent, must wander for many ages before any great improvement can take place. Till they multiplied so far as to be assembled in numbers large enough to permit the benefits of social intercourse, and of some division of labour, their circumstances seem not susceptible of amelioration. We find, accordingly, that all those ancient nations, whose history can be most depended upon, trace themselves up to a period of rudeness. The families who first wandered into Greece, Italy, and the eastern regions of Europe, were confessedly ignorant and barbarous. The influence of dispersion was no doubt most baneful, where the natural disadvantages were the greatest. In a country overgrown with forest, which denies pasture to cattle, and precludes husbandry, by surpassing the power of single families to clear the land for their support, the wretched inhabitants are reduced to all the hardships of the hunter's life and become savages. The difficulties with which those families had to struggle who first came into Europe, seem to have thrown them into a situation but few degrees removed from the lowest stage of society. The advantages of India in soil and climate are so great, that those by whom it was originally peopled might sustain no further depression than what seems inherent to a state of disper-

BOOK IX.
CHAP. I.

yavrats) are represented in the Puranas as living in the mountains to the north of India, toward the sources of the Ganges, and downwards as far as Serinagara and Hari-dwar. But the rulers of mankind lived on the summit of Merit, towards the north: where they appear to have established the seat of justice, as the Puranas make frequent mention of the oppressed repairing thither for redress." Wilford on Chron. of Hind., As. lies. v. 260. "The Mexicans," says Clavigero, Hist. of Mexico, b. vi. sect. 1, "had a clear tradition, though somewhat corrupted by fable, of the creation of the world, of the universal deluge, of the confusion of tongues, and of the dispersion of the people; and had actually all these events represented in their pictures (their substitute for writing). They said that when mankind were overwhelmed with the deluge, none were preserved but a man and woman, named Coxcox and Xochiguehzel, who saved themselves in a little bark, and landing upon a mountain, called Colhuacan, had there a great many children, who were all born dumb; but that & dove at last, from a lofty tree, imparted to them languages; all, however, differing so much, that they could not understand one another."—M.

It is scarcely fair to cite Wilford, for what he has himself taken pains to particularise as unworthy of credit; the whole story of the patriarch and his sons being the pure invention of his Pandit, as he has explained. As. Researches, V. viii p. 254.-W.

BOOK II feion. They wandered probably for ages in the immense
 CHAP. I. plains and valleys of that productive region, living on
 fruits, and the produce of their flocks and herds, and not
 associated beyond the limits of a particular family. Until
 the country became considerably peopled, it is not even
 likely that they would be formed into small tribes. As
 soon as a young man became, in his turn, the head of a
 family, and the master of cattle, he would find a more
 plentiful subsistence beyond the range of his father's
 flocks. It could only happen, after all the most valuable
 ground was occupied, that disputes would arise, and that
 the policy of defence would render it an object for the dif-
 ferent branches of a family to remain united together, and
 to acknowledge a common head.¹

When this arrangement takes place, we have arrived at
 a new stage in the progress of civil society. The condition
 of mankind, when divided into tribes, exhibits considerable
 variety, from that patriarchal association which is exem-
 plified in the history of Abraham, to such combinations
 as are found among the Tartars, or that distribution into
 clans, which, at no distant period, distinguished the people
 of Europe. The rapidity with which nations advance
 through these several states of society chiefly depends on
 the circumstances which promote population. Where a
 small number of people range over extensive districts, a
 very numerous association is neither natural nor conve-
 nient. Some visible boundary, as a mountain or a river,
 marks out the limits of a common interest; and jealousy
 or enmity is the sentiment with which every tribe is re-
 garded by every other. When any people has multiplied
 so far as to compose a body, too large and unwieldy to be
 managed by the simple expedients which connected the
 tribe, the first rude form of a monarchy or political system
 is devised. Though we have no materials from the Hindus,
 which yield us the smallest assistance in discovering the
 time which elapsed in their progress to this point of ma-
 turity, we may so far accede to their claims of antiquity,
 as to allow that they passed through this first stage in the
 way to civilisation very quickly; and, perhaps, they ac-
 quired the first rude form of a national polity at fully as

¹ There is a short, but not irrational, sketch of the progress of society in
 some of the Puranas. See Vishnu Parana, 44.—W.

early a period as any portion of the race.¹ It was probably at no great distance from the time of this important change that those institutions were devised, which have been distinguished by a durability so extraordinary; and which present a spectacle so instructive to those who would understand the human mind, and the laws which, amid all the different forms of civil society, invariably preside over its progress.

BOOK II.
CHAP. II.

CHAPTER II.

Classification and Distribution of the People,

THE transition from the state of tribes to the more regulated and artificial system of a monarchy and laws is not sudden; it is the result of a gradual preparation and improvement. That loose independence, which suits a small number of men, bound together by an obvious utility, scattered over an extensive district, and subject to few interferences of inclination or interest, is found productive of many inconveniences, as they advance in numbers, as their intercourse becomes more close and complicated, and as their interests and passions more frequently clash. When quarrels arise, no authority exists to which the parties are under the necessity of referring their disputes. The punishment of delinquents is provided for by no preconcerted regulation. When subsistence, by the multiplication of consumers, can no longer be obtained without considerable labour, the desire to encroach upon one another adds extremely to the occasions of discord: and the evils and miseries which prevail, excite at last a desire for a better regulation of their common affairs. But slow is the progress made by the human under-

¹ The cautious inquirer will not probably be inclined to carry this era very far back. "The newness of the world," says the judicious Goguet (vol. iii. dissert. 3) "is proved by the imperfection of many of the arts in the ancient world, and of all the sciences which depend upon length of time and experience." By the newness of the world, he means the newness of human society. In examining the remains of organized bodies which have been ex-tricated from the bowels of the earth, vegetables are found at the greatest depth; immediately above them small shell-fish, and some of the most imperfect specimens of the animal creation; nearer the surface quadrupeds, and the more perfectly organized animals; lastly man, of whom no remains have ever been found at any considerable depth. The inference is, that compared with the other organized beings on this globe, man is a recent creation. See Par-vinson's Organic Remains.

BOOK 124 standing, in its rude and ignorant state. No little time is
 CHAP. 11. spent; first, in maturing the conviction that a great re-
 formation is necessary; and next, in conceiving the plan
 which the exigency requires. Many partial remedies are
 thought of and applied; many failures experienced; evils
 meanwhile increase, and press more severely; at last men
 become weary and disgusted with the condition of things,
 and prepared for any plausible change which may be sug-
 gested to them. In every society there are superior
 spirits, capable of seizing the best ideas of their times,
 and, if they are not opposed by circumstances, of accele-
 rating the progress of the community to which they
 belong. The records of ancient nations give us reason to
 believe that some individual of this description, exalted
 to authority by his wisdom and virtue, has generally ac-
 complished the important task of first establishing among
 a rude people a system of government and laws.

It may be regarded as a characteristic of this primary
 institution of government, that it is founded upon divine
 authority. The superstition of a rude people is peculiarly
 suited to such a pretension. While ignorant and solitary,
 men are perpetually haunted with the apprehension of
 invisible powers; and, as in this state only they can be
 imposed upon by the assumption of a divine character
 and commission, so it is evidently the most effectual means
 which a great man, full of the spirit of improvement, can
 employ, to induce a people, jealous and impatient of all
 restraint, to forego their boundless liberty, and submit to
 the curb of authority.¹

No where among mankind have the laws and ordinances
 been more exclusively referred to the Divinity, than by

¹ There is scarcely an exception to this rule. Minos often retired into a cave, where he boasted of having familiar conversations with Jupiter: Mneues, the great legislator of Egypt, proclaimed Hermes as the author of his laws: it was by the direction of Apollo that Lycurgus undertook the reformation of Sparta; Zuleucus, the legislator of the Locrians, gave out that he was inspired by Minerva: Zathruspes, among the Arimaspians, pretended that his laws were revealed to him by one of their divinities: Zamolxis boasted to the Getes of his intimate communications with the goddess Vesta: the pretensions of Numa among the Romans are well known. (See Goguet, *Origin of Laws*, part II. book I. ch. i. art. 9.) The Druids, among the ancient Britons and Gauls, were at once the legislators, and the confidants of the Divinity. Odin, who was himself a Divinity, and his descendants, who partook of his nature, were the legislators of the Scandinavians. "The legislators of the Scythians," says Mallet (*Introd. to Hist. of Denmark*, i. 43), "represented God himself as the author of the laws which they gave to their fellow-citizens."

those who instituted the theocracy of Hindustan, The plan of society and government, the rights of persons and things, even the customs, arrangements, and manners, of private and domestic life; everything, in short, is established by divine prescription. The first legislator of the Hindus, whose name it is impossible to trace, appears to have represented himself as the republisher of the will of God. He informed his countrymen that, at the beginning of the world, the Creator revealed his duties to man, in four sacred books, entitled Vedas ; that during the first age, of immense duration, mankind obeyed them, and were happy; that during the second and third they only partially obeyed, and their happiness was proportionally diminished ; that since the commencement of the fourth age disobedience and misery had totally prevailed, till the Vedas were forgotten and lost ; that now, however, he was commissioned to reveal them anew to his countrymen, and to claim their obedience.²

The leading institutions of the Hindus bear evidence that they were devised at a very remote period, when society yet retained its rudest and simplest form.³ So long as men roam in the pastoral state, no division of classes or of labour is known. Every individual is a shepherd, and every family provides for itself the commodities with which it is supplied. As soon as the cultivation of land, which yields a more secure and plentiful subsistence, occupies a great share of the common attention, the inconvenience of this universal mixture of employments is speedily felt. The labours of the field are neglected, while the cultivator is engaged at the loom, or repelling the incursions of an enemy. His clothing and lodging are inadequately provided for, while the attention of himself and his family are engrossed by the plough. Men quit

¹ The whole of this is imaginary; there is no such legislation, there are no such assertions in Hindu tradition.—W.

² As TO see them in Manu comprehending an artificial system of monarchy and law, they must have been, according to Mr. Mills's own showing (p. 177), the result of a gradual preparation and improvement: he is at variance with himself in the attempt here commenced, and pertinaciously pursued, to prove that the institutions of the Hindus belong to the rudest and simplest form of society.—W.

³ This is a necessary supposition, the generation to whom the Vedas were first presented must have known that they had no previous acquaintance with them, and could not believe that they had remained familiar to mortals from the period of their first revelation.

BOOK II. not easily, however, the practices to which they have been
CHAP. II. accustomed; and a great change in their manners and affairs does not readily suggest itself as a remedy for the evils which they endure. When the Hindus were lingering in this uneasy situation, it would appear that there arose among them one of those superior men, who are capable of accelerating the improvement of society. Perceiving the advantage which would accrue to his countrymen from a division of employments, he conceived the design of overcoming at once the obstacles by which this regulation was retarded; and, clothing himself with a Divine character, established as a positive law, under the sanction of Heaven, the classification of the people, and the distribution of occupations. Nor was it enough to introduce this vast improvement; it was right to secure that the original members of the different classes should be supplied with successors, and that the community should not revert to its former confusion. The human race are not destined to make many steps in improvement at once. Ignorant that professions, when once separated, were in no danger of being confounded, he established a law, which the circumstances of the time very naturally suggested, but which erected a barrier against further progress; that the children of those who were assigned to each of the classes, into which he distributed the people, should invariably follow the occupation of their father through all generations.

The classification instituted by the author of the Hindu laws is the first and simplest form of the division of labour and employments. The priest is a character found among the rudest tribes; by whom he is always regarded as of the highest importance. As soon as men begin to have property, and to cultivate the ground, the necessity of defenders is powerfully felt; a class, therefore, of soldiers, as well as a class of husbandmen, becomes an obvious arrangement. There are other services, auxiliary to these, and necessary to the well-being of man, for which it still remains necessary to provide. In a state of great simplicity, however, these other services are few, and easily performed. We find accordingly that the Hindu legislator assigned but one class of the community to this department. The Hindus were thus divided into four orders or

castes. The first were the Brahmens or priests; the second, the Cshatriyas or soldiers; the third, the husbandmen or Vaisyas; and the fourth, the Sudras, the servants and labourers.¹ On this division of the people, and the privileges or disadvantages annexed to the several castes, the whole frame of Hindu society so much depends, that it is an object of primary importance, and merits a full elucidation.

BOOK II.
CHAP. II.

¹ There is an instructive passage in Plato (*De Repub.* lib. ii.), in which he ascribes the origin of political association and laws to the division of labour; *Γίνεται πόλις, ως εἶναι, επειδὴν τυγχάνει ἡμῶν ἕκαστος οὐκ αὐτάρκης, ἀλλὰ πολλὰν εὐεχῆς.* From this cause, he says, men are obliged to associate, one man affording one accommodation, another another, and all exchanging the accommodations which each can provide, for the different accommodations provided by the rest. It is curious that, in limiting the simplest form of a political association, he makes it to consist of four or five classes of men. *Ἀλλὰ μὲν πρώτη γὰρ καὶ μεγίστη τῶν χρῆμων, ἡ τῆς τροφῆς παρασκευή, δεύτερα δὲ οἰκονομία, τρίτη εὐθηνία καὶ τῶν τοιούτων.* " * * * * * Εἰ δ' αὖν ἡ γὰρ ἀναγκασιότατη πόλις ἐκ τεσσάρων ἢ πέντε ἀνδρῶν."—That sagacious contemplator of the progress of society, Millar, describing the ancient state of the Anglo-Saxons, remarks that the people of England were then divided into four great classes; the artificers and tradesmen, husbandmen, those who exercised the honourable profession of arms, and the clergy. He adds, "From the natural course of things it should seem that, in every country where religion has had so much influence as to introduce a great body of ecclesiastics, the people, upon the first advances made in agriculture and in manufactures, are usually distributed into the same number of classes or orders. This distribution is accordingly to be found not only in all European nations, formed upon the ruins of the Roman empire; but in other ages, and in very distant parts of the globe. The ancient inhabitants of Egypt are said to have been divided into the clergy, the military people, the husbandmen, and the artificers. The establishment of the four great castes, in the country of Indostan, is precisely of the same nature." Millar's *Historical View of the English Government*, book i. ch. xi. In Egypt the people were divided by law in the same hereditary manner as in Hindostan. It is highly worthy of observation that, notwithstanding all the revolutions and changes to which Egypt has been subject, some remains of the division into castes are yet visible. "La distinction par familles se retrouve encore dans les villes; l'exercice des arts et métiers est héréditaire, le fils imite les procédés de son père, et ne les perfectionne pas." Le Général Reynier, *De l'Egypte*, p. 58. It is worthy of observation that the Colchians and Iberians were also divided into four castes, whose rank and office were hereditary and unchangeable. Herodot. lib. ii. cap. civ. cv. Strabo, lib. ii. 765. See also Bryant's *Ancient Mythology*, v. 102, 107. In some situations this step in civilization, natural and simple as it may appear, is not easily made. How long have the wandering Arabs remained without it? What an improvement would the bare institution of the Hindu classes be upon their condition? and what merit would the legislator have, who should introduce it? The same observation is applicable to the Tartars.

There is a passage in Herodotus which leads us to conclude, that the distinction of castes existed among the Medes at the commencement of the monarchy. He says, lib. i. cap. ci., *Βορὴ Μήδων ῥοαδὴ γυναι, Βουσαι, Παρηγαστοι, Σπουγγοί, Απὸστροι, Βουδοί, Μαγοί.* He says nothing to fix the meaning of the word *γυναι*. But we know that the *Μαγοί* were the priests, and hence there is matter of proof to make us suppose, that the other names, in like manner, express separate castes, or hereditary classes and professions.

The Persian Monarch Jemshedd is said to have divided the Persians into four classes. Malcolm's *Hist. of Persia*, i. 205.

See like manner among the Peruvians, "Les citoyens," to use the language of Cérin (*Lettres sur l'Amérique*, let. xlii.), "sont distribués en classes ou tribus: * * * il n'étoit pas permis, ni par mariage, ni par changement d'habita-

BOOK II. I. The priesthood is generally found to usurp the
 CHAP. II. greatest authority, in the lowest state of society. Knowledge, and refined conceptions of the Divine nature, are altogether incompatible with the supposition, that the Deity makes favourites of a particular class of mankind, or is more pleased with those who perform a ceremonial service to himself, than with those who discharge with fidelity the various and difficult duties of life. It is only in rude and ignorant times that men are so overwhelmed with the power of superstition as to pay unbounded veneration and obedience to those who artfully clothe themselves with the terrors of religion.¹ The Brahmens among the Hindus have acquired and maintained an authority, more exalted, more commanding, and extensive, than the priests have been able to engross among any other portion of mankind. As great a distance as there is between the Brahmen and the Divinity, so great a distance is there between the Brahmen and the rest of his species. According to the sacred books of the Hindus, the Brahmen proceeded from the mouth of the Creator, which is the seat of wisdom; the Cshatriya proceeded from his arm; the Vaisya from his thigh, and the Sudra from his foot; therefore is the Brahmen infinitely superior in worth and dignity to all other human beings.² The Brahmen is declared to be the Lord of all the classes.³ He alone, to a great degree, engrosses the regard and favour of the Deity; and it is through him, and at his

tion, de confondre une classe avec l'autre." In Lett. xiv. it is added, "L'éducation consistoit à apprendre aux enfans roturiers le métier que chaque père de famille exerçoit," &c. Clavigero, too, respecting the Mexicans, tells us (Hist. of Mexico, book vii. sect. v.) "The sons in general learned the trades of their fathers, and embraced their professions," &c.

In Plato's *Timæus* (p. 1044, Ed. Ficin. Francof. 1602), is a curious passage, which asserts that the same division of professions, which still existed among the Egyptians, existed, at a period long antecedent, among the Athenians: Πρωτον μὲν το τῶν ἱερῶν γένος, ἀπο τῶν ἄλλων χωρὶς ἀφορισμένον· μετὰ δὲ τούτου, τὸ τῶν δημιουργῶν, ὅτι καθ' αὐτὸ ἑκάστων ἄλλῃ δὲ οὐκ ἐπιμεινόμενον δημιουργεῖ· τὸ τε τῶν νομῶν καὶ τῶν θηρυτῶν· τὸ τε τῶν γεωργῶν· καὶ διηγεῖτο μαχόμενον γένος, ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν γένων κεχωρισμένον, οἷς οὐδὲν ἄλλο πλὴν τὰ περι τὸν πόλεμον ὑπὸ τοῦ νόμου προσταχθῆ μέλει.

¹ It was in the dark ages that the Romish priesthood usurped so many privileges. Our ancestors were barbarous when the Druids exercised over them an unlimited authority. The soothsayers and priests among the Greeks and Romans lost their influence as knowledge increased. Among the rude inhabitants of Mexico and Peru, the authority of the priest equalled or superseded that of the king, and was united in the same person.

² Laws of Menu, ch. i.

³ *Ibid.* x.

intercession, that blessings are bestowed upon the rest of mankind. The sacred books are exclusively his; the highest of the other classes are barely tolerated to read the word of God; he alone is worthy to expound it. The first among the duties of the civil magistrate, supreme or subordinate, is to honour the Brahmens.¹ The slightest disrespect to one of this sacred order is the most atrocious of crimes. "For contumelious language to a Brahmen," says the law of Menu,² "a Sudra must have an iron style, ten fingers long, thrust red hot into his mouth; and for offering to give instruction to priests, hot oil must be poured into his mouth and ears." The following precept refers even to the most exalted classes: "For striking a Brahmen even with a blade of grass, or overpowering him in argument, the offender must soothe him by falling prostrate."³ Mysterious and awful powers are ascribed to this wonderful being. "A priest, who well knows the law, needs not complain to the king of any grievous injury; since, even by his own power, he may chastise those who injure him: his own power is mightier than the royal power; by his own might therefore may a Brahmen coerce his foes. He may use without hesitation the powerful charms revealed to Atharvan and Angiras; for speech is the weapon of a Brahmen: with that he may destroy his oppressors."⁴ "Let not the king, although in the greatest distress, provoke Brahmens to anger; for they, once enraged, could immediately destroy him with his troops, elephants, horses, and cars. Who without perishing could provoke those holy men, by whom the all-devouring flame was created, the sea with waters not drinkable, and the moon with its wane and increase? What prince could gain wealth by oppressing those, who, if angry, could frame other worlds and regents of worlds, could give being to other gods and mortals? What man, desirous of life, would injure those, by the aid of whom worlds and gods perpetually subsist; those who are rich in the knowledge of the Veda? A Brahmen, whether learned or ignorant, is a powerful Divinity; even as fire

¹ LawsofIenn.ch.vii.² Ibid yiii. 271,2. "From his high birth alone, a Brahmen is an object of veneration even to deities; his declarations to mankind are decisive evidence & and the Veda itself confers on him that character." Ibid xi. 85.³ Ibid. x. 206.⁴ Ibid. &1, 82,33.

BOOK II. is a powerful Divinity, whether consecrated or popular.

CHAP. II. Thus, though Brahmens employ themselves in all sorts of mean occupations, they must invariably be honoured; for they are something transcendently divine."¹ Not only is this extraordinary respect and pre-eminence awarded to the Brahmens; they are allowed the most striking advantages over all other members of the social body, in almost everything which regards the social state. In the scale of punishments for crimes, the penalty of the Brahmen, in almost all cases, is infinitely milder than that of the inferior castes. Although punishment is remarkably cruel and sanguinary for the other classes of the Hindus, neither the life nor even the property of a Brahmen can; be brought into danger by the most atrocious offences, "Neither shall the king," says one of the ordinances of Menu,² "slay a Brahmen, though convicted of all possible crimes. Let him banish the offender from his realm, but with all his property secure, and his body unhurt." In regulating the interest of money, the rate which may be taken from the Brahmens is less than what may be exacted from the other classes.³ This privileged order enjoys the advantage of being entirely exempt from taxes: "A king, even though dying with want, must not receive any tax from a Brahmen learned in the Vedas."⁴ Their influence over the government is only bounded by their desires, since they have impressed the belief that all laws which a Hindu is bound to respect are contained in the sacred books; that it is lawful for them alone to interpret those books; that it is incumbent on the king to employ them as his chief counsellors and ministers, and to be governed by their advice. "Whatever order," says the code of Hindu laws,⁵ "the Brahmens shall issue conformably to the Shaster, the magistrate shall take his meisters accordingly."⁶ These prerogatives and privileges, important and extraordinary as they may seem, afford, however,

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. ix. 313—319.

² Ibid. viii. 380.

³ Ibid. viii.

⁴

Ibid vii. 133.

⁵ Halhed, Preface to the Code of Gentoo Laws.

⁶ The Druids among the ancient Britons, as there was a striking similarity in many of the doctrines which they taught, also possessed many similar privileges and distinctions to those of the Brahmens. Their persons were inviolable; they were exempt from taxes and military services they exercised the legislative, the judicial, and, with the exception of commanding armies in the field, almost the whole of the executive powers of government. Caesar, De Bell. Gal. lib. vi. 13,14. Henry's Hist. of Great Britain, i. 802,317.

but an imperfect idea of the influence of the Brahmens in the intercourse of Hindu Society. As the greater part of life among the Hindus is engrossed by the performance of an infinite and burdensome ritual, which extends to almost every hour of "the day, and every function of nature and society, the Brahmens, who are the sole judges and directors in these complicated and endless duties, are rendered the uncontrollable masters of human life. Thus elevated in power and privileges, the ceremonial of society is no less remarkably in their favour. They are so much superior to the king, that the meanest Brahmen would account himself polluted by eating with him, and death itself would appear to him less dreadful than the degradation of permitting his daughter to unite herself in marriage with his sovereign. With these advantages, it would be extraordinary had the Brahmens neglected themselves in so important a circumstance as the command of property. It is an essential part of the religion of the Hindus, to confer gifts upon the Brahmens. This is a precept more frequently repeated than any other in the sacred books. Gifts to the Brahmens form always an important and essential part of expiation and sacrifice.¹

¹ See the laws of Menu, *passim*. "The organs of sense and action, reputation, a heavenly mansion, life, a great name, children, cattle, are all destroyed by a sacrifice offered with trifling presents: let no man, therefore, sacrifice without liberal gifts." Ibid. xi. 40. "Let every man, according to his ability, give wealth to the Brahmens detached from the world and learned in Scripture; such a giver shall attain heaven after this life." Ibid. xi. 6. "Having reckoned up the persons whom the Brahmen is obliged to support, having ascertained his Divine knowledge and moral conduct, let the king allow him a suitable maintenance from his own household; and, having appointed him a maintenance, let the king protect him on all sides, for he gains from the Brahmen whom he protects a sixth part of his virtue." Ibid. xi. 22, 23. "Of that king in whose dominions a learned Brahmen is afflicted with hunger, the whole kingdom will in a short time be afflicted with famine." Ibid. vii. 114.

The Brahmens are occasionally exhorted to observe some decorum and measure in their pursuit of gifts. Laws of Menu, iv. 186. "Should the king be near his end through some incurable disease, he must bestow on the priests all his riches accumulated from legal fines; and, having duly committed his kingdom to his son, let him seek death in battle; or, if there be no war, by abstaining from food."

"The influence of priestcraft over superstition is no where so visible as in India. All the commerces of life have a strict analogy with the ceremonies of religion; and the Brachman has inculcated such a variety of strange persuasions, that the Gentoo finds himself every hour under the necessity of consulting his spiritual guide. The building of a pagoda, and maintaining within it a set of priests, believed the best action which human virtue is capable of. Every offence is capable of being expiated by largesses to the Brachmans, prescribed by themselves according to their own measures of avarice and sensuality." Onne, On the Government and People of Indostan, 432.

"Since the Brahmen sprung from the most excellent part, since he was the

BOOK II. When treasure is found, which, from the general practice
 CHAP. II. of concealment, and the state of society, must have been a

frequent event, the Brahmen may retain whatever his good fortune places in his hands; another man must surrender it to the king, who is bound to deliver one-half to the Brahmens.¹ Another source of revenue at first view appears but ill assorted with the dignity and high rank of the Brahmens; by their influence it was converted into a fund, not only respectable but venerable, not merely useful but opulent. The noviciates to the sacerdotal office are commanded to find their subsistence by begging, and even to carry part of their earnings to their spiritual master. Begging is no inconsiderable source of priestly power.²

The duties of the Brahmens may be summed up in a few words. They are, to read the Yedas; to teach them

first born, and since he possesses the Veda, he is by right the chief of this whole creation.

Him, the Being who exists of himself, produced in the beginning from his own mouth, that having performed holy rites, he might present clarified butter to the Gods, and cakes of rice to the progenitors of mankind, for the preservation of this world:

"What created being then can surpass him, with whose mouth the Gods of the firmament continually feast on clarified butter, and the manes of ancestors, on hallowed cakes?"

"Of created things, the most excellent are those which are animated; of the animated, those which subsist by intelligence; of the intelligent, mankind: and of men, the sacerdotal class;

"Of priests, those eminent in learning: of the learned, those who know their duty; of those who know it, such as perform it virtuously; and of the virtuous, those who seek beatitude from a perfect acquaintance with scriptural doctrine.

"The very birth of Brahmens is a constant incarnation of Dherma, God of Justice; for the Brahmen is born to promote justice, and to procure ultimate happiness.

"When a Brahmen springs to light, he is born above the world, the chief of all creatures, assigned to guard the treasury of duties, religious and civil.

"Whatever exists in the universe is all in effect, though not in form, the wealth of the Brahmen: since the Brahmen is entitled to it all by his primogeniture and eminence of birth." Laws of Menu, i. 93—100.

Laws of Menu, ch. viii. The law is laid down somewhat differently in Halhed's Code: when a man finds any thing belonging to another, the magistrate is to be informed, and if the finder is a Brahmen, he keeps the whole; from others a part goes to the magistrate; and from a Sooder all but two twelfths. Halhed's Gentoo Laws, ch. 21, sect. 2.

s Laws of Menu, ch. ii. The mendicency of the priests seems to have been a general instrument of priestly imposture. It was so among the Romans; and no unproductive one. See Apuleius, *Metam.* i. viii. p. 262. Cicero, in his *Book of Laws*, proposes to restrain the begging trade of the priests.—*Stipent sustulimus, nisi earn quam adipaucos dies propriam Idæ Martis excepimus; Implet enim superstitione animos, exhaust domes.* Cic. de Legib. 1. i. l. 9, 16. The Popish mendicants are a notorious instance. See Middleton's Letter from Rome, in *Works of Dr. Conyers Middleton*, iii. 116.

to the young Brahmens; and to perform sacrifices a other religious acts.¹ BOOK H.

' I I . Among the castes of the Hindus, the next in dignity and rank to- the priestly tribe, is that of the Cshatriyas, or the military class. In the rude and early state of society, as man has provided few securities against the evils with which he is assailed, and his wisdom has enabled him to draw few general rules respecting the order of their recurrence, he lives in a perpetual expectation of unhappy events, as well from nature, as from his fellow-men; and fear is the passion which chiefly usurps the government of his mind. The priest soothes his imagination, in regard to the first and most awful source of his apprehensions, by undertaking to procure for him the favour of the mysterious powers of nature. The soldier, from whom he expects protection against the ravages

¹ See the Laws of Menu, *passim*. "To Brahmens were assigned the duties of reading the Veda, of teaching it, of sacrificing, of assisting others to sacrifice, of giving alms, and of receiving gifts." Menu, i. 88.—M.

Notwithstanding the view given in the text of the position of the Brahman in Hindu society, is founded upon authentic texts, yet, upon the whole, it is calculated to produce wrong impressions. The Brahmans are not priests in the ordinary acceptation of the term, nor have they, as Brahmans only, such influence in society as is here ascribed to them. The Brahmans, in the early stages of Hindu society, were an order of men who followed a course of religious study and practice during the first half of their lives, and spent the other in a condition of self-denial and mendicity. They conducted for themselves, and others of the two next castes, sacrifices, and occasionally great public ceremonials; but they never, like the priests of other pagan nations, or those of the Jews, conducted public worship, worship for individuals indiscriminately, worship in temples, or offerings to idols. A Brahman who makes offerings to idols is held as degraded, and unfit to be invited to religious feasts. Menu, ii. 152, 180.—Again, though acceptance of gifts is one mode of subsistence, Brahmans are prohibited from taking gifts indiscriminately, habitually, or excessively, and from receiving any reward for teaching, or any fixed wages or reward for sacrifices. *Ibid.* iii. 156; iv. 33, 186, 214, etc.—If possessed of wealth, a Brahman is enjoined to give liberally, and whatever property he may possess, he is commanded to abandon it in the prime of manhood, for a life of religious solitude and meditation. *Ibid.* vi. 2, et seq.—The whole tenor of the rules for the conduct of a Brahman is to exclude him from everything like worldly enjoyment, from riches, and from temporal power. Neither did the Brahmans, like the priests of the Egyptians, keep to themselves a monopoly of spiritual knowledge. The Brahman alone, it is true, is to *teach*, the *vedas*; but the two next orders, the Kshatriya and Vatsya, are equally to study them, and were, therefore, equally well acquainted with the law and the religion. Even the Sudra was, under some circumstances, permitted to read and teach; for it is said, "a believer in scripture may receive pure knowledge even from a Sudra." Menu, ii. 238.—In modern times the Brahmans, Collectively, have lost all claim to the character of a priesthood. They form a nation, following all kinds of secular avocations, and where they are met with in a religious capacity, it is not as Brahmans merely, but as being the ministers of temples, or the family Gurus, or priests of the lower classes of the people, offices by no means restricted, though not unfrequently extended, to the Brahmanical caste, and agreeably to the primitive system virtually destructive of Brahmanhood.—W.

BOOK II. of hostile men, is the second object of his veneration and
 CHAP. II. gratitude; and in the history of society, it will be generally found, that the rank and influence of the military order are high, in proportion as the civilization of the people is low.¹ To all but the Brahmens, the caste of Cshatriyas are an object of unbounded respect. They are as much elevated above the classes below them, as the Brahmens stand exalted above the rest of human kind. Nor is superiority of rank among the Hindus an unavailing ceremony. The most important advantages are attached to it. The distance between the different orders of men is immense and degrading. If a man of a superior class accuses a man of an inferior class, and his accusation proves to be unjust, he escapes not with impunity; but if a man of inferior class accuses a man of a superior class, and fails in proving his accusation, a double punishment is allotted him.² For all assaults, the penalty rises in proportion as the party offending is low, the party complaining high, in the order of the castes. It is, indeed, a general and remarkable part of the jurisprudence of this singular people, that all crimes are more severely punished in the subordinate classes; the penalty ascending, by gradation, from the gentle correction of the venerable Brahmen to the harsh and sanguinary chastisement of the degraded Sudra.¹ Even in such an affair as the interest of money on loan, where the Brahmen pays two per cent., three per cent, is exacted from the Cshatriya, four per cent, from the Vaisya, and five per cent, from the Sudra. The sovereign dignity, which usually follows the power of the sword, was originally appropriated to the military class, though in thin, particular it would appear that irregularity was pretty

i To this observation I know not that any exception can be adduced, which is not resolvable into the influence of a government purely or chiefly military. This, however, is the effect of art, or of forced circumstances, not of nature or of reason. It is Mandeville, I think, who remarks, that fear is the origin of the admiration which has been generally bestowed upon the profession of arms; and in confirmation of this observes, that it is the most timid sex by whom the military character is the most admired. Mr. Hume has remarked, that it is the most timid sex, also, who are the most devoted to superstition and the priests.

² Haihed's Code, ch. xv. sect. 2. *' If a man of an inferior caste,** says the Gentoo Code, **proudly affecting an equality with a person of superior caste, should speak at the same time with him, the magistrate in that case shall punish him to the extent of his abilities."—Ibid.

³ See the Laws of Menu, and Haihed's Gentoo Code, passim. The case of theft is an exception to this, the higher classes being punished the **most** severely.

early introduced. To bear arms is the peculiar duty of the Kshatriya caste, and their maintenance is derived from the provision made by the sovereign for his soldiers.¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. II.

' III. The Vaisyas are the third caste of the Hindus. Their duties are to tend cattle, to carry on merchandise, and to cultivate the ground. They are superior only to the Sudras, Who owe to them, however, the same awful respect and submission, which it is incumbent on them to pay to the military class.

* IV. As much as the Brahmen is an object of intense veneration, so much is the Sudra an object of contempt, and even of abhorrence, to the other classes of his countrymen.² The business of the Sudras is servile labour, and their degradation inhuman. Not only is the most abject and grovelling submission imposed upon them as a religious duty, but they are driven from their just and equal share in all the advantages of the social institution. The crimes which they commit against others are more severely punished than those of any other delinquents, while the crimes which others commit against them are more gently punished than those against any other sufferers.³ Even their persons and labour are not free, "A man of the servile caste, whether bought or unbought, a Brahmen may compel to perform servile duty; because such a man was created by the Self-existent for the purpose of serving Brahmens."⁴ The law scarcely permits them to own pro-

¹ There are several notices in Hindu tradition, of a collision between the Brahmans and Kshatriyas. And it is singular enough, that the cause of dispute never appears to have been secular rank or power. The Brahmans are never described as seeking kingly dignity; but the Kshatriyas contend for admission into the Brahmanical order.—W.

² The law does not justify the term 'abhorrence.' In what follows, Mr. Mill has collected the extreme texts, and has passed over all the favourable or qualifying passages. The condition of a Sudra, in the Hindu system, was infinitely preferable to that of the helot, the slave, or the serf of the Greek, the Roman, and the feudal systems. He was independent, his services were optional: they were not agricultural, but domestic and personal, and claimed adequate compensation. He had the power of accumulating wealth, or injunctions against his so doing would have been superfluous. He had the opportunity of rising to rank, for the Puranas record dynasties of Sudra kings; and even Manu notices their existence, lv.61.—He might, as we have seen above, study and teach religious knowledge, and he might perform religious acts. "As a Sudra, without injuring another man, performs the lawful acts of the twice-born; even thus, without being censured, he gains exaltation in this world, and the next." Menu, x. 128. See also verses 121 to 131; and Vishnu Parana, 292, and note.—No doubt the Sudra was considered, in some degree, the property of the Brahman; but he had rights and privileges, and freedom, much beyond any other of the servile classes of antiquity.—W.

³ See the Laws of Menu, and Halhed's Gentoo Code, passim.

BOOK II. perty; for it is declared that "no collection of wealth must
CHAP. II. be made by a Sudra, even though he has power, since a servile man, who has amassed riches, gives pain even to Brahmens."¹ "A Brahmen may seize, without hesitation, the goods of his Sudra slave; for as that slave can have no property, his master may take his goods."² Any failure in the respect exacted of the Sudra towards the superior classes is avenged by the most dreadful punishments. Adultery with a woman of a higher caste is expiated by burning to death on a bed of iron. The degradation of the wretched Sudra extends not only to every thing in this life, but even to sacred instruction, and his chance of favour with the superior powers. A Brahmen must never read the Veda in the presence of Sudras.³ "Let not a Brahmen," says the law of Menu, "give advice to a Sudra; nor what remains from his table; nor clarified butter, of which part has been offered; nor let him give spiritual counsel to such a man, nor inform him of the legal expiation for his sin: surely he who declares the law to a servile man, and he who instructs him in the mode of expiating sin, sinks with that very man into the hell named Asamvrita."⁴

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. x. 129.

² Ibid. viii. 417. If he be distressed for sustistence, says the gloss of Culluca.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 80, 81. "If," says the Gentoo Code, "a man of the Sooder reads the beids of the Shaster, or the Pooran, to a Brahman, a Chehter, or a Bin, then the magistrate shall heat some bitter oil, and pour it luto the aforesaid Sooder's mouth; and if a Sooder listens to the beids of the Shaster, then the oil, heated as before, shall be poured into his ears, and arzees and wax shall be melted together, and the orifice of his ears shall be stopped up therewith. If a Sooder gets by heart the beids of the Shaster, the magistrate shall put him to death, if a Sooder always performs worship and the jagg, the magistrate shall put him to death. If a Sooder gives much and frequent molestation to a Brahmen, the magistrate shall put him to death," (Halhed's Code of Gentoo Laws, ch. xxi., sect. 7.) It is among the most barbarous tribes, that we in general find the principle of subordination abused to the greatest excess. Perhaps no instance is equal to that which exhibits itself among the Hindus. "Among the Natchez," says Robertson (Hist. America, i. 139), "a powerful tribe now extinct, on the banks of the Mississippi, a difference of rank took place, with which the northern tribes were altogether unacquainted. Some families were reputed noble, and enjoyed hereditary dignity. The body of the people was considered as vile, and formed only for subjection. This distinction was marked by appellations, which intimated the high elevation of the one state, and the ignominious depression of the other: the former were called *Respectable*; the latter, the *Stinkards*."—"To be a servant," says Millar (Distinction of Ranks, ch. v. sect. 1), "in these primitive times, was almost universally the same thing as to be a slave. The master assumed an unlimited jurisdiction over his servants, and the privilege of selling them at pleasure. He gave them no wages beside their maintenance; and he allowed them to have no property, but claimed to his own use whatever, by their labour, or by any other means, they happened to acquire.—Thus the

Although the adherence of each class to the particular employment assigned to it was* secured by the most rigid laws, and the severest penalties, there were extraordinary cases in which a limited departure was permitted. When & Brahmen cannot obtain subsistence by the proper business of his order, he may apply himself to that of the Cshatriya or the Vaisya, but must never become so far degraded as to engage in that of the Sudra. The Cshatriya and Vaisya, in like necessitous circumstances, may have recourse respectively to the business of the class or classes below them even that of the Sudra, but are strictly interdicted from profaning the employment of any class above them. The Sudra having, originally, no inferior class, was probably abandoned to his necessities, though afterwards, in the employments of the mixed classes, a resource was opened also for him.¹ In this arrangement, as usually happens in the laws of the Hindus, the advantages are all on the side of the superior orders. The Brahmen has open to him, if need be, the occupations of all the respectable classes; he can overload them with additional numbers in the season of distress, a season at which it is natural for them to be overloaded without him, while his own occupation is exempt from the encroachment or competition of any other description of men. The Cshatriya, while he has the occupations open to him of* two of the castes, is liable to the interference of one of them only. The Vaisya, on the other hand, can have recourse to none but the lowest of employments, that of the Sudra, while he is liable to be straitened in his own occupation by the interference and competition of both the orders above him. The unfortunate Sudra, who has no resource, may be driven from his employment and his means of subsistence, mediately or immediately, by all the other classes of the community.²

practice of domestic slavery—appears to have been early established among the nations of antiquity; among the Egyptians, the Phoenicians, the Jews, the Babylonians, the Persians, the Greeks, and the Romans.—The same practice obtains at present among all those tribes of barbarians, in different parts of the world, with which we have any correspondence."

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. x. passim. Mr. Colebrooke on the Indian Classes. Asiatic Researches, v. 68.

² The Sudra has a resource "not permitted to the others—emigration; a sufficient proof of his personal liberty. " Let the three first classes invariably dwell in these before-mentioned countries?; but a Sudra, distressed for subsistence, may sojourn where he pleases." Manu, i i. 24.—W.

BOOK II.
CHAP. II.

BOOK 11. This distribution of the whole people into four classes
 CHAP. 11. only, and the appropriation of them to four species of employment; an arrangement which, in the very simple state of society in which it must have been introduced, was a great step in improvement, must have become productive of innumerable inconveniences, as the wants of society" multiplied. The bare necessities of life, with a small number of its rudest accommodations, are all it prepares to meet the desires of man. As those desires speedily extend beyond such narrow limits, a struggle must have early ensued between the first principles of human nature and those of the political establishment. The different castes were strictly commanded to marry with those only of their own class and profession; and the mixture of the classes from the union of the sexes was guarded against by the severest laws.¹ This was an occurrence, however, which laws could not prevent. Irregularities took place; children were born, who belonged to no caste, and for whom there was no occupation. No event could befall society more calamitous than this; Unholy and infamous, on account of that violation of the sacred law to which they owed their unwelcome birth, those wretched outcasts had no resource for subsistence, excepting either the bounty of the established classes, to whom they were objects of execration and abhorrence ; or the plunder of those same classes, a course to which they would betake themselves with all the ingenuity of necessitous, and all the atrocity of much injured, men. When a class of this description became numerous, they must have filled society with the greatest disorders. In the preface of that compilation of the Hindu Laws, which was translated by Mr. Halhed,² it is stated that, after a succession of good kings, who secured obedience to the laws, and under whom the people enjoyed felicity, came a monarch evil and corrupt, under whom the laws were violated, the*

¹ This is not correct. The original system seems to have been very lax in this respect, and each caste might take wives from the caste or castes *iml&w* them, as well as their own. "A Sudra woman only, must be the wife of a Sudra; she and a Vaisya of a Vaisya; they too and a Kshatriya of a Kshatriya; those too and a Brahmani of a Brahman." Manu, iii.13. And although it was a sin for a Brahman to marry a Sudra woman, yet that such things did happen, appears from the following stanzas, 14—17, as well as paasageshi the tenth book.—W.

² Vide Halhed's Code of Gentoo Laws, preface.

mixture of the classes was perpetrated, and a new and impious race were produced. The Brahmens put this wicked king to death, and, by an effort of miraculous power, created a successor endowed with the most excellent qualities. But the kingdom did not prosper, by reason of the Burren Sunker, so were this impure brood denominated; and it required the wisdom of this virtuous king to devise a remedy. He resolved upon a classification of the mixed race, and to assign them occupations. This, accordingly, was the commencement of arts and manufactures. The Burren Sunker became all manner of artisans and handicrafts; one tribe of them weavers of cloth, another artificers in iron, and so on in other cases, till the subdivisions of the class were exhausted, or the exigencies of the community supplied. Thus were remedied two evils at once: The increasing wants of an improving society were provided for; and a class of men, the pest of the community, were converted to its service. This is another important era in the history of Hindu society; and having reached this stage, it does not appear that it has made, or that it is capable of making, much further progress.' Thirty-six branches of the impure class are specified in the sacred books,¹ of whom and of their employments it would be tedious and useless to present the description. The highest is that sprung from the conjunction of a Brahmen with a woman of the Cshatriya class, whose duty is the teaching of military exercises. The lowest of all is the offspring of a Sudra with a woman of the sacred class. This tribe are denominated Chandalas, and are regarded with great abhorrence. Their profession is to carry out corpses, to execute criminals, and perform other offices, reckoned to the last degree unclean and degrading. If, by the laws of Hindustan, the Sudras are placed in a low and vile situation, the impure and mixed classes are placed in one still more odious and degrading. Nothing can equal the contempt and insolence to which it is the lot of the lowest among them to see themselves exposed. They are condemned to live in a sequestered

BOOK II.

CHAP. II.

1 Colebrooke on the Indian Classes, Asiatic Researches, v. 53. On this subject, however, that intelligent author tells us, that Sanscrit authorities in some instances disagree. Classes mentioned by one, are omitted by another; and texts differ on the professions assigned to some tribes. It is a subject, he adds, in which there is some intricacy.

BOOK II. spot by themselves, that they may not pollute the very
 CHAP. II. town in which they reside. If they meet a man of the
 higher castes, they must turn out of the way, lest he
 should be contaminated by their presence.¹

¹ "Avoid," says the Tantra, "the touch of the Chandala, and other abject classes. Whoever associates with them undoubtedly falls from his class: whoever bathes or drinks in wells or pools which they have caused to be made, must be purified by the five productions of kine." Colebrooke on the Indian Classes, *Asiat. Research*, v. 53. From this outline of the classification and distribution of the people, as extracted from the books of the Hindus, some of the most intelligent of our British observers appeal to the present practice of the people, which they affirm is much more conformable to the laws of human welfare, than the institutions described in the ancient books. Of this, the author is aware; so inconsistent with the laws of human welfare are the institutions described in the Hindu ancient books, that they never *could* have been observed with any accuracy; it is, at the same time, very evident, that the institutions described in the ancient books are the model upon which the present frame of Hindu society has been formed; and when we consider the powerful causes which have operated so long to draw, or rather to force, the Hindus from their inconvenient institutions and customs, the only source of wonder is, that the state of society which they now exhibit should hold so great a resemblance to that which is depicted in their books. The President de Goguet is of opinion, that a division of the people into tribes and hereditary professions similar to that of the Hindus existed in the ancient Assyrian empire, and that it prevailed from the highest antiquity over almost all Asia (part I. book I. ch. i. art. 3; Herodot. lib. i. cap. 200; Strab. lib. xvi. p. 1082; Diod. lib. ii. p. 142). Cecrops distributed into four tribes all the inhabitants of Attica. (Pollux, lib. viii. cap. 9, sect. 100; Diodorus Siculus, lib. ii. p. 33.) Theseus afterwards made them three by uniting, as it should seem, the sacerdotal class with that of the nobles, or magistrates. They consisted then of nobles and priests, labourers or husbandmen, and artificers; and there is no doubt that, like the Egyptians and Indians, they were hereditary. (Plutarch. Vit. Thes.) Aristotle expressly informs us (Polit. lib. vii. cap. 10), that in Crete the people were divided by the laws of Minos into classes after the manner of the Egyptians. We have most remarkable proof of a division, the same as that of the Hindus, anciently established among the Persians. In the Zendavesta, translated by Anquet Duperron, is the following passage: "Ormusd said: There are three measures [literally weights, that is, tests, rules] of conduct, four states, and five places of dignity.—The states are: that of the priests; that of the soldier; that of the husbandman, the source of riches; and that of the artisan or labourer." Zendavesta, i. 141. There are sufficient vestiges to prove an ancient establishment of the same sort among the Buddhists of Ceylon, and by consequence to infer it among the other Buddhists over so large a portion of Asia. See a Discourse of Mr. Joinville on the Religion and Manners of the People of Ceylon, *Asiat. Research*, vii. 430, et seq.—M.

There is no distinction of caste amongst the Buddhists, although in some places an attempt may have been made to introduce some such distinction, after the Hindu model. The multiplication of castes in India, is not the enactment of any code, though it may be remotely the effect; it is the work of the people, amongst the most degraded of whom, prevails, not the shame, but the "pride" of caste. The lowest native is no outcaste, he has an acknowledged place in society; he is the member of a class; and he is invariably more retentive of the distinction than those above him. In depicting the horrors of the system, European writers lose sight of the compensations. The veriest Chandala, who is one of a community, is less miserable, less unhappy, than many of the paupers of the civilized communities of Europe, with whom no man owns companionship or kindred; they are the true **outcastes**—not the **Pariah** or **Chandala**.—W.

CHAPTER III.

BOOK IX.
CRAP, H I,*The Form of Government*

AFTER the division of the people into ranks and occupations, the great circumstance by which their condition, character, and operations are determined, is the political establishment; the system of actions by which the social order is preserved. Among the Hindus, according to the Asiatic model, the government was monarchical, and, with the usual exception of religion and its ministers, absolute. No idea of any system of rule, different from the will of a single person, appears to have entered the minds of them, or their legislators. "If the world had no king," says the Hindu law,¹ "it would quake on all sides through fear; the ruler of this universe, therefore, created a king, for the maintenance of this system." Of the high and uncontrollable authority of the monarch a judgment may be formed, from the lofty terms in which the sacred books describe his dignity and attributes. "A king," says the law of Menu,² "is formed of particles from the chief guardian deities, and consequently surpasses all mortals in glory. Like the sun, he burns eyes and hearts; nor can any human creature on earth even gaze on him. He, is fire and air; He, the god of criminal justice; He, the genius of wealth; He, the regent of waters; He, the lord of the firmament. A king, even though a child, must not be treated lightly, from an idea that he is a mere mortal: No; he is a powerful divinity, who appears in human shape. In his anger, death. He who shows hatred of the king, through delusion of mind, will certainly perish; for speedily will the king apply his heart to that man's destruction." The pride of imperial greatness could not devise, hardly could it even desire, more extraordinary distinctions, or the sanction of a more unlimited authority.⁸

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 3. ² Ibid. vii.

³ Had Mr. Mill sufficiently considered several passages which he presently quotes, or to which he refers, he would have been satisfied that these descriptions of kingly power are mere generalities; and that in practice, Hindu despotism did not exist. The Raja was not above the law. "Law," says Sankara, "is the king of kings, far more powerful than they." Preface to the Digest* He was not a lawgiver: the laws to which he was amenable, as well as the meanest of his subjects, emanated from a higher: "God having created the

BOOK II.

CHAP. III.

The plan, according to which the power of the sovereign was exercised in the government of the country, resembled that which has almost universally prevailed in the monarchies of Asia, and was a contrivance extremely simple and rude. In the more skilful governments of Europe officers are appointed for the discharge of particular duties in the different provinces of the empire; some for the decision of causes, some for the control of violence, some for collecting the contingents of the subjects, for the expense of the state ; while the powers of all centre immediately in the head of the government, and all together act as connected and subordinate wheels in one complicated and artful machine. Among the less instructed and less civilised inhabitants of Asia, no other plan has ever occurred to the monarch, for the administration of his dominions, than simply to divide his own authority and power into pieces or fragments, as numerous as the provinces into which it was deemed convenient to distribute the empire. To each of the provinces a viceregent was despatched, who carried with him the undivided authority and jurisdiction of his master. Whatever powers the sovereign exercised over the whole kingdom, the viceregent exercised in the province allotted to him; and the same plan which the sovereign adopted for the government of the whole, was exactly followed by the viceregent in the government of a part.¹ If the province committed to his sway was too extensive for his personal inspection and control, he subdivided it into parts, and assigned a go-

four classes, lest the royal and military class should become insupportable through their power and ferocity, produced the transcendent body of law." Ibid. He was not even permitted to administer it without legal advisers: "let not a prince, who seeks the good of his own soul, hastily and alone pronounce the law." Manu, viii. 281. The authority of the Brahmans was net a nominal restraint. In early times, they undertook to depose kings for tyranny and impiety: see the legends of Vena, Parasurama and Devapi, Vishnu Purana, 99, 401, 458; and the Mudra Rdkshasa, Hindu Theatre, vol. ii. There were also other checks upon regal power in an hereditary nobility: "men of high lineage, whose ancestors were servants of kings." For, at a very early period, offices of state seem to have become hereditary; and the hereditary minister was often more powerful than his master. The great Kshatriyas, represented by the Samants of Prithu Rai, and the present Thakurs of Jaypur and Jodhpur, seldom allowed despotic power to their prince. See Mudra Rakshasa; Tod's Raja'sthan; Duff's Mahrattas.—W.

¹ Kaempfer, in his History of Japan, book i. chap. v., says, "the Whole empire is governed in general by the Emperor, with an absolute and monarchical power, and so is every province in particular by the prince, who, under the Emperor, enjoys the government thereof."—For the similarity of the institution in the Ottoman government, see Volney's Travels to Syria and Egypt, ii. 376.

vernor to each, whom he intrusted with the same absolute powers in his district, as he himself possessed in the administration of the greater department. Even this inferior deputy often divided his authority, in the same manner, among the governors, whom he appointed, of the townships or villages under his control. Every one of those rulers, whether the sphere of his command was narrow or extensive, was absolute within it, and possessed the whole power of the sovereign, to levy taxes, to raise and command troops, and to decide upon the lives and property of the subjects.¹ The gradations of command among the Hindus were thus regulated: the lowest of all was the lord of one town and its district; the next was the lord of ten towns; the third was the lord of twenty towns; the fourth was the lord of 100 towns; and the highest vicegerent was lord of 1000 towns. Every lord was amenable to the one immediately above him, and exercised unlimited authority over those below.* The following law appears to provide for their personal expenses: "Such food, drink, wood, and other articles, as by law should be given each day to the king, by the inhabitants of the township, let the lord of one town receive; let the lord of ten towns enjoy the produce of two plough-lands; the lord of twenty, that of five plough-lands; the lord of 100, that of a village or small town; the lord of 1000, that of a large town."⁸ The

BOOK II.

CHAP. III.

¹ This is not correct; even Manu separates the military from the civil authority. "Let him place a division of troops, commanded by an approved officer, over two, three, five, or a hundred districts, according to their extent;" viij. 214.—W.

² Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 115—117. There is a very remarkable similarity between this mode of subdividing authority among the Hindus, and that adopted by the Incas of Peru. "The Incas" (says Garcilasso de la Vega, part. i. book ii. ch. v.) "had one method and rule in their government, as the best means to prevent all mischiefs and disorders; which was this. That of all the people in every place, whether more or less, a register should be kept, and a division made of ten and ten, over which one of the ten, whom they called the Decurion, was made superior over the other nine; then every five divisions of this nature had a lord over them, to whom was committed the charge and care of fifty; then over two divisions of fifty, another lord, who supervised 100; so five divisions of 100 had a magistrate who commanded 500; the divisions of 100 had a leader over 1000," etc. The highest officer under the Inca was the governor of a province. Each inferior officer accounted for his conduct to the superior next above him. See, further, Acosta, Nat. and Mor. Hist. of the Indies, book vi. ch. xiii.; Carli, Lettres sur l'Amerique, let. xiii. The analogy of the Anglo-Saxon institution of tithings, or ten families? of hundreds, or ten tithings'; and counties, will suggest itself to every imagination.

³ LAWS of Menu, ch. vii. 118, 119. The first of these provisions, that for the lord of one town, is not accurately ascertained; the two or five plough-lands are auflandently distinct; but the produce of a village or large town must have been extremely uncertain and ambiguous.

BOOK II. expense of the government of each vicegerent was defrayed
 CHAP. III. out of the taxes which he levied, and the surplus was transmitted to the superior lord, to whom he was immediately responsible. From him it was again conveyed to the governor above him, till it reached, at last the royal treasury.

If this plan of government was unskilful and rude,¹ so was the contrivance employed for checking the abuses to which it was liable. "The affairs of these townships," says the law, "either jointly or separately transacted, let another minister of the king inspect, who should be well affected, and by no means remiss. In every larger town or city, let him appoint one superintendent of all affairs, elevated in rank, formidable in power, distinguished as a planet among stars. Let that governor, from time to time, survey all the rest in person; and, by the means of his emissaries, let him perfectly know their conduct in their several districts."² Of the practical state of the government abundant proof is afforded, in the passage which immediately follows. "Since the servants of the king," it is said, "whom he has appointed guardians of districts, are generally knaves, who seize what belongs to other men, from such knaves let him defend his people; of such evil-minded servants, as wring wealth from subjects attending them on business, let the King confiscate all the possessions, and banish them from his realm."³

At the head of this government stands the king, on whom the great lords of the empire immediately depend. He is directed by the law to choose a Council, consisting "of seven or eight ministers, men whose ancestors were servants of kings, who are versed in the holy books, who are personally brave; who are skilled in the use of weapons, and whose lineage is noble."⁴ With them he is commanded perpetually to consult on the affairs of his

¹ Competent authorities opine differently; after quoting the passages of Manu, referred to in the notes of our author, Col. Briggs concludes, "these extracts afford us sufficient proof of a well-organised system of local superintendence and administration." On the Land-tax of India, 24.—W.

² Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 120—122. A similar officer formed a similar part of the Peruvian establishment. He was denominated *Cucuy Kioc*, which to say, "Eye of all." Carli, *Lettres sur l'Amerique*, let. xiii.

³ Menu, ut supra, 123, 124.

⁴ Ibid. 54.—M.

The council of Manu does not comprise all the officers of state; and lists given in the Pancha Tantra from the Mahabharata, specify thirty-three persons or classes of persons attached in a public or private capacity to royalty. Tr. R. As. Society, i. 174.—W.

government; but a singular mode of deliberation is prescribed to him; not to assemble his Council, and, laying before them, as in the cabinets of European princes, the subject on which the suggestions of their wisdom are required, to receive the benefit arising from the natural communication of their knowledge and views; a plan, apparently more artful and cunning, more nearly allied to the suspicious temper and narrow views of a rude period, is recommended; to consult them apart, and hear the opinion of each separately; after which, having consulted them in common, when each man is swayed by the opinion he had formerly given in private, and has a motive of interest and vanity to resist the light which might be thrown upon the subject-by others, the king himself is to decide.¹ A Brahmen ought always to be his prime minister. "To one learned Brahmen, distinguished among the rest, let the king impart his momentous counsel."²

BOOK II.
CHAP. III.

To provide for the defence of the country was one great branch of the duties of the sovereign, and to preside over the military force was his great prerogative and distinction. As, in the original division of the people, a fourth part of them were appropriated to the profession of arms, and destined from that alone to obtain their subsistence, the great difficulty of government must have consisted, not in obtaining troops, but in finding for them maintenance and employment. When so great a proportion of the population were set apart for the business of war, with nothing to do, from year to year, and from generation to generation, but to improve its principles, and acquire the utmost dexterity in his exercises, it appears extraordinary that the nation was not of a formidable and warlike character. Yet has India given way to every invader; "and the rudeness," says Mr. Orme,³ "of the military art in Indostan can scarce be imagined but by those who have seen it." The precepts in the ancient and sacred books of the

¹ Laws of Menu. ch. vii. 56. Another precept to the king, respecting the mode of consulting with his ministers, is very expressive of the simplicity of the times; "Ascending up the back of a mountain, or going privately to a terrace, a bower, a forest, or a lonely place, without listeners, let him consult with them unobserved." Ibid. 147.

² Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 68.
³ Orme on the Government and People of Indostan, p. 417. The same accurate and intelligent observer immediately adds: "The infantry consists in a multitude of people assembled together without regard to rank and file," etc

BOOK II. Hindus, which lay the foundation of their military system,
 CHAP. III. are few in number, simple, and rude. For the security of the royal residence, the king is directed to take up his abode¹ "in a capital, having, by way of fortress, a desert rather more than twenty miles round it, or a fortress of earth, a fortress of water or of trees, a fortress of armed, men, or a fortress of mountains." Their great unskilfulness in the science of attack and defence, led them to place great dependence on fortification, as appears by a variety of their precepts. "One bowman," says Menu,² "placed on a wall, is a match in war for 100 enemies, and 100 for 10,000; therefore is a fort recommended." Yet their knowledge of fortification was elementary, and mostly consisted in surrounding the place with a mud wall and a ditch, or availing themselves of the natural advantages which insulated rocks, which water, or impervious thickets, could afford. The duty and advantage of maintaining at all times a powerful army are enforced in the most cogent terms. "By a king," says Menu, "whose forces are always ready for action, the whole world may be kept in awe; let him then, by a force always ready, make all creatures living his own."³ In recommending a perpetual standing army, the preceptive part of the military doctrine of the Hindus seems in a great measure to have been summed up; for the marshalling, the discipline, the conduct of an army, in any of its branches, no instruction is conveyed. General exhortations to firmness and valour are all the additional advice of which the utility appears to have been recognised. The Hindu prince is, by divine authority, informed, that those rulers of the earth, who, "desirous of defeating each other, exert their utmost strength in battle, without ever averting their faces, ascend after death directly to heaven."⁴ "Never to recede from combat," says Menu, "to protect the people, and to honour the priests, is the highest duty of kings, and ensures their felicity."⁵ Of a great part of the duty which devolved upon the king, as head of the armed force, he appears to have been relieved by a deputy.⁶ In times of peace, the military people seem to have been distributed over the country, under the

¹ *Laws of Menu*, ch. vii. 70.

² *Ibid.* vii. 74.

³ *Ibid.* 103.

⁴ *Ibid.* 80.

⁵ *Ibid.* 86.

⁶ "The forces of the realm must be immediately regulated by the commander-in-chief." *Ibid.* 68.

command of the governors of provinces and of districts, for local defence, for the preservation of local tranquillity, and for the convenience of subsistence. When a general war demanded the whole force of the nation, the king commanded the governors of provinces to assemble the soldiers under their command, and repair to his standard.¹ From this circumstance it has been rashly concluded, that feudal conditions of military service, in fact a feudal government, nearly resembling that which existed in Europe, had place in Hindustan.²

After the care of protecting the nation from foreign aggression or from internal tumult, the next duty of the king was the distribution of justice. In the first stage of society, the leader in war is also the judge in peace; and the regal and judicial functions are united in the same person. Various circumstances tend to produce this arrangement. In the first place, there are hardly any laws: and he alone is entitled to judge, who is entitled to legislate, since, he must make a law for every occasion. In the next place, a rude people, unused to obedience, would hardly respect inferior authority. In the third place, the business of judicature is so badly performed as to interrupt but little the business or the pleasures of the king; and a decision is rather an exercise of arbitrary will and power, than the result of an accurate investigation. In the fourth place, the people are much accustomed to terminate their own disputes, by their own cunning, or force, that the number of applications for judicature is comparatively small.³ As society advances, a set of circumstances, opposite to these, are gradually introduced:

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 113-120.

² The laws of Menu, it is true, touch but slightly upon military arrangements, but there is no reason to believe that the Hindus cultivated the science of war less carefully than the arts of peace. Much curious illustration of this subject may be gleaned from the Mahabharata. That they have been unfortunate in their military history, is attributable more to want of union and to mutual dissension, than any deficiency of skill or valour.—W.

³ It is very doubtful, if this view of the progress of legislation was ever applicable to the Hindus. Certainly we have no grounds whatever for such a description. The code of Menu recognises no right or necessity in the king to make laws—the laws are administrable by judicial authorities other than the king; decisions are never the result of arbitrary will, but are enjoined to the founded on diligent investigation; and although applications for Judicature might not have been numerous, yet other reasons might be assigned than the adjustment of disputes by force or cunning. We may conjecture what we please of a stage of society of which we know nothing, but it is conjecture only, and little calculated to extend real knowledge.—W.

BOOK II. laws are made which the judge has nothing to do but apply
 CHAP. III. the people learn the advantage of submitting to inferior authority: a more accurate administration of justice is demanded, and cannot be performed without a great application both of attention and of time: the people learn* that it is for the good of the community, that they should not terminate, and that they should not be allowed to terminate, either by force or fraud, their own disputes: the administration of justice is then too laborious to be either agreeable to the king, or consistent with the other services which he is expected to render: and the exercise of judicature becomes a separate employment, the exclusive function of a particular order of men.

The administration of justice by the king in person, and in the provinces of course by his deputies, as in the subordinate districts by theirs, stands in the sacred books as a leading principle of the jurisprudence of the Hindus; and the revolution of ages has introduced a change in favour rather of the prince who abandons the duty, than of the people, for whom hardly any other instrument of judicature is provided.¹

In the infancy of improvement, the business of the judge is much more to award punishment, than to settle disputes. The Hindu law, accordingly, represents the king, as "created for the guardianship of all, a divinity in human form, to inflict punishment according to the Shaster."² In conformity with these rude ideas, the most extravagant praises are bestowed upon this engine of royalty. "For the use of the king, Brahma formed, in the beginning of time, the genius of punishment with a body

¹ This is not correct. At a period not long subsequent to the Code of Mana, if not contemporary, various regulations were in force for the administration of the laws, and various courts and officers were established for the adjudication of causes, so that the king presided at pleasure only in the court of the capital, or in a court of appeal.—See Colebrooke on Hindu Courts of Justice.—Tr. R. As. Soc. ii. 166. So, also, Mr. Ellis observes: "Mr. Mill makes a considerable mistake if he supposes that in Hindu states it is, or was, the practice to administer justice only in the presence of the king. It is true, that in the Hindu Governments there was always an *Aula Regia*, or court at the seat of government, in which the king was supposed, according to the letter of the law, to preside in person, though he might appoint a deputy, and always had assessors; but it is doubtful how the practice was kept up, and it is certain that there were three other principal courts known to the Hindu laws, and fifteen sorts of inferior courts, all having their several jurisdictions well defined, and many of them bearing a striking resemblance to the courts of the English common law." Trans. Madras Literary Society II.—W,

² Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, preface.

of pure light, his own son, the protector of all created things. Punishment governs *all* mankind; punishment alone preserves them; punishment wakes while their guards are asleep; the wise consider punishment as the perfection of justice. If the king were not, without indolence, to punish the guilty, the stronger would roast the weaker, like fish, on a spit. The whole race of man is kept in order by punishment; for a guiltless man is hard to be found."

BOOK II.
CHAP. III.

For the more perfect discharge of this important duty the king is directed to associate with himself Brahmens, and counsellors capable of giving him advice.² Any Brahmen, or even a person of the two middle classes, may interpret the law to him; but a Sudra in no case whatever.³ On those occasions on which it was impossible for the king to give judgment in person, he was empowered to appoint a Brahmen, who, with three assessors, might try causes in his stead.⁴

So much with regard to the constitution of the tribunals. The solemnities of jurisdiction were thus ordered to proceed: "Let the king, or his judge, having seated himself on the bench, his body properly clothed, and his mind attentively fixed, begin with doing reverence to the deities who govern the world, and then let him enter on the trial of causes."⁵ The form of process was simple, and good; as it always is among a rude people. The parties were heard, generally in person; though lawyers by profession, unless in the case of certain high crimes, might appear in lieu of the principals. The application of the plaintiff might be either oral or written; but the answer was required to be in the same form; oral, if the application was oral; and

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 14—22.

² *ibid.* ch. viii. 1.

³ *ibid.* ch. viii. 20. "To learned and righteous Brahmens the magistrate shall give money, and every token of respect and consideration in the judgment seat, to have them near him; but he shall not retain fewer than ten of such Brahmens. Gentoo Code, ch. iii. sect. 1. The more sacred books of law the men by denomination *holy* were alone permitted to read. Thus the law of Menu (ch. ii. 16). "He whose life is regulated by holy texts, from his conception to his funeral pile, has a decided right to study this code, but no other person whatsoever." The more profane commentaries, however, were less confined, and the man versed in these might suffice for the common business of administering justice.

* Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 9, 10. The Gentoo Code, translated by Mr. Halhed, directs, that when a king in person cannot examine a cause, he substitutes a learned Brahmen; if a Brahmen cannot be found, a Cshatriya, etc., but in no case a Sudra. Gentoo Code, ch. ix. sect. 1.

* Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 9, 10.

BOOK II. in writing, if it was otherwise.¹ The judge examines the
 CHAP. III. witnesses; inspects, if any, the writings; and without any intricate or expensive forms proceeds directly to a decision. Punishment immediately follows conviction.²

One of the highest of our authorities affords a picture of the practical state of judicature in India, which, there is every reason to believe, may with immaterial variations, be applied to Hindu society, from the period at which it first attained its existing form. "No man is refused access to the Durbar, or seat of judgment; which is exposed to a large area, capable of containing the multitude."³ The plaintiff discovers himself by crying aloud, Justice! Justice! until attention is given to his importunate clamours. He is then ordered to be silent, and to advance before his judge; to whom, after having prostrated himself, and made his offering of a piece of money, he tells his story in the plainest manner, with great humility of voice and gesture, and without any of those oratorical embellishments which compose an art in freer nations. The wealth, the consequence, the interest, or the address of the party, become now the only considerations. He visits his judge in private, and gives the jar of oil: his adversary bestows the hog which breaks it. The friends who can influence intercede; and, excepting where the case is so manifestly proved as to brand the failure of redress with glaring infamy (a restraint which human nature is born to reverence), the value of the bribe ascertains the justice of the cause.—This is so avowed a practice, that if a stranger should inquire how much it would cost him to recover a just debt from a creditor who evaded payment, he would everywhere receive the same answer; the government will keep one-fourth and give you the rest. Still the forms of justice subsist; witnesses are heard, but brow-beaten and removed: proofs of writing are produced, but deemed forgeries and rejected, until the way is cleared for the decision, which becomes totally or partially favourable, in proportion to the methods which have been used to render it

¹ Gen too Code, ch. iii. [sect.5.](#)

² Orme on the Government, etc., of Indostan, p. 451.

³ This publicity of judicial proceedings is common to rude nations. la the country and days of Jot), the judge sat at the gate of the city, ch. ix ver. 7. Moses alludes to the same practice, Gen. xxiii. II ; and Hosier tells ma it was the practice in the heroic ages of Greece, II. lib. xviii. ver. 497,

such ; but still with some attention to the consequences of a judgment, which would be of too flagrant iniquity not to produce universal detestation and resentment.—Providence has, at particular seasons, blessed the miseries of these people with the presence of a righteous judge. The vast reverence and reputation which such have acquired are but too melancholy a proof of the infrequency of such a character. The history of their judgments, and decisions is transmitted down to posterity, and is quoted with a visible complacency on every occasion. Stones of this nature supply the place of proverbs in the conversations of all the people of Indostan, and are applied by them with great propriety."¹

Such are the principal branches of the duty of the sovereign, and in these various institutions may be contemplated an image of the Hindu government. It is worthy of a short analysis. The powers of government consist of three great branches, the legislative, the judicial, and the administrative; and we have to inquire in what hands these several powers are deposited, and by what circumstances their exercise is controlled. As the Hindu believes, that a complete and perfect system of instruction, which admits of no addition or change, was conveyed to him from the beginning by the Divine Being, for the regulation of his public as well as his private affairs, he acknowledges no laws but those which are contained in the sacred books. From this it is evident, that the only scope which remains for legislation is confined within the limits of the interpretations which may be given to the holy text. The Brahmens enjoy the undisputed prerogative of interpreting the divine oracles; for though it is allowed to the two classes next in degree to give advice to the king in the administration of justice, they must in no case presume to depart from the sense of the law which it has pleased the Brahmens to impose. The power of legislation, therefore, exclusively belongs to the priesthood.

¹ Orme on the Government and People of Indostan, p. 444—445. Another of our most instructive travellers, Mr. Foster, in the Dedication prefixed to his Journey from Bengal to England, p. vii., calls Hindustan, "A land whose every principle of government is actuated by a rapacious avarice, whose people never approach the gate of authority without an offering."—This is a subject to which he often adverts; he says again (i. 7), "In Asia, the principles of justice, honour, or patriotism, as they confer no substantial benefit, nor tend to elevate the character, are seldom seen to actuate the mind of the subject."

BOOK II. The exclusive right of interpreting the laws necessarily
 CHAP. III. confers upon them, in the same unlimited manner, the judicial powers of government.¹ The king, though ostensibly supreme judge, is commanded always to employ Brahmens as counsellors and assistants in the administration of justice; and whatever construction they put upon the law, to that his sentence must conform, Whenever the king in person, discharges not the office of judge, it is a Brahmen, if possible, who must occupy his place. The king, therefore, is so far from possessing the judicial power, that he is rather the executive officer by whom the decisions of the Brahmens are carried into effect.

They who possess the power of making and interpreting the laws by which another person is bound to act, are, by necessary consequence, the masters of his actions. Possessing the legislative and judicative powers, the Brahmens were, also, masters of the executive power, to any extent whatsoever, to which they wished to enjoy it. With influence over it they were not contented. They secured to themselves a direct and no contemptible share of its immediate functions. On all occasions, the king was bound to employ Brahmens as his counsellors and ministers; and, of course, to be governed by their judgment. "Let the king, having risen early," says the law, "respectfully attend to Brahmens learned in the three Vedas, and by their decision let him abide."² It thus appears that, according to the original laws of the Hindus, the king was little more than an instrument in the hands of the Brahmens. He performed the laborious part of government, and sustained the responsibility, while they chiefly possessed the power.⁸

The uncontrollable sway of superstition, in rude and

¹ This state of things then is very different from that which, a few pages back (p. 147, etc.), was described as applying, apparently, to the Hindu system: in which the king was represented as the sole source and administrator of the law.—W.

² Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 37.

³ Even under a system, where the power of the altar was from the beginning rendered subservient to the power of the sword, the right of interpreting a code of sacred laws is found to confer an important authority. Hear the opinion of a recent and penetrating observer:—"L'expression vague des préceptes du Koran, seule loi écrite dans les pays Musulmans, laissée aux docteurs une grande latitude pour les interprétations, et bien des moyens d'augmenter leur autorité. Quelque cette religion ait peu de dogmes, le fanatisme qu'elle inspire est un instrument que les prêtres savent employer avec succès." De l'Égypte, par le Gen. Reynier, p. 62.

ignorant times confers upon its ministers such extraordinary privileges, that the king and the priest are generally the same person; and it appears somewhat remarkable that the Brahmens, who usurped among their countrymen so much distinction and authority, did not invest themselves with the splendour of royalty. It very often happens that some accidental circumstances, of which little account was taken at the time, and which after a lapse of ages it is impossible to trace, gave occasion to certain peculiarities which we remark in the affairs and characters of nations. It is by no means unnatural to suppose, that to a people, over whom the love of repose exerts the greatest sway, and in whose character aversion to danger forms a principal ingredient, the toils and perils of the sword appeared to surpass the advantages with which it was attended; and that the Brahmens transferred to the hands of others, that which was thus a source of too much labour, as well as danger, to be retained in their own.¹

So many, however, and important were the powers which this class reserved to themselves, that the kingly dignity would appear to have been reduced to that of a dependant and secondary office. But with this inference the fact does not correspond. The monuments of the Hindus, imperfect as they are, convince us, that their monarchs enjoyed no small share both of authority, and of that kind of splendour, which corresponded with their own state of society. They had two engines entrusted to them, the power of which their history serves remarkably to display: they were masters of the army; and they were masters of the public revenue. These two circum-

¹ This is not a very liberal interpretation of the motives of the Brahmans, nor is it, in all probability, the correct one. We are too ignorant of the circumstances under which the system originated, to speculate upon the motives or purposes of those with whom it commenced. Apparently, however, it was contrived by a religious confederation, as the scheme best adapted to introduce order amongst semi-civilized tribes, and with no view to their own advantage or aggrandizement, or enjoyment of indolent ease. The authority of influence, of advice, the Brahmans necessarily retained, and they were the only competent expounders of the laws which they promulgated. They had no other means of protection than the character of sanctity with which they invested themselves, and which was equally necessary to ensure attention to their instructions. They laboured to deserve the opinion of sanctity by imposing burdensome ditties on themselves, of a domestic and religious character, and it was probably in the true spirit of contemplative devotion, as well as from motives of prudence and policy, that they divested themselves of temporal rank. Every thing in the Hindu Institutes indicates their originating not from political but religious principles.—W.

BOOK II. stances, it appears, were sufficient to counterbalance the
 CHAP. IV. legislative, and the indicative, and even a great part of the
 executive power, reinforced by all the authority of an
 overbearing superstition, lodged in the hands of the
 Brahmens. These threw around the sovereign an external
 lustre, with which the eyes of uncultivated men are easily
 dazzled. In dangerous and disorderly times, when every
 thing which the nation values depends upon the sword,
 the military commander exercises unlimited authority by
 universal consent; and so frequently is this the situation
 of a rude and uncivilized people, surrounded on all sides
 by rapacious and turbulent neighbours, that it becomes,
 in a great measure, the habitual order of things. The
 Hindu king, by commanding both the force, and the
 revenue of the state, had in his hands the distribution of
 gifts and favours; the potent instrument, in short, of
 patronage; and the jealousy and rivalry of the different
 sets of competitors, would, of their own accord, give him a
 great influence over the Brahmens themselves. The dis-
 tribution of gifts and favours is an engine of so much
 power, that the man who enjoys it to a certain extent is
 absolute, with whatever checks he may appear to be
 surrounded.¹

CHAPTER IV.

The Laws.

NEXT to {the form of government," in determining the
 political condition of the people, is the body of law,
 or the mode in which the rights of individuals are ex-
 pressed and secured. For elucidating this important
 point, in the history of the Hindus, materials are abun-
 dant. The detail, however, or even the analysis, of the
 Hindu code, would far exceed the bounds, to which, in a

¹ See what is observed by three great authors, Hume, Blackstone, and Paley, on the influence of the crown in England. See also what is observed by Lord Bolingbroke, on the same subject, in his *Dissertation on Parties*.—M.
 As what is here said, however, of the absolute power of Hindu princes is wholly inconsistent with much that has been previously advanced of the unbounded authority of the Brahmens; neither is quite true. Hindu princes and Brahmans are held in check by many considerations, and, in the original system, their several powers were evidently designed to control and balance each other.—W.

Work like the present, this topic must be confined. An accurate conception of the character and spirit of the Hindu laws, and of their place in the scale of excellence or defect, is all I can attempt to convey.

BOOK II—
CHAP. IV.

Amid the imperfections adhering to the state of law among a rude and ignorant people, one is, that they preserve not their maxims of justice, and their rules of judicial procedure, distinct from other subjects.¹ In the law books of the Hindus, the details of jurisprudence and judicature occupy comparatively a very moderate space.² The doctrines and ceremonies of religion; the rules and practice of education; the institutions, duties, and customs of domestic life; the maxims of private morality, and even of domestic economy; the rules of government, of war, and of negotiation; all form essential parts of the Hindu codes of law, and are treated in the same style, and laid down with the same authority, as the rules for the distribution of justice. The tendency of this rude conjunction of dissimilar subjects is, amid other inconveniences, to confound the important distinction between those obligations which it is the duty of the magistrate to enforce, and those which ought to be left to the suggestions of self-interest, and the sanctions of morality; it is to extend coercion, and the authority of the magistrate, over the greater part of human life, and to leave been no liberty even in their private and ordinary transactions;

¹ It is not quite correct to say, that the Hindus do not preserve the ordinances of daily life, distinct from rules of judicial procedure. The original precepts as presently noticed, are classed under various titles, but these again are arranged under three great divisions: *A'chāra*, ceremonial and moral laws; *Vyavahāra*, jurisprudence; and *Prāyāschitta*, religious law, expiation or punishment for crime.—W.

² Examples that important specimen of an original Hindu book of law, the *institutes of Menu*. See, too, the confession of Mr. Colebrooke in the preface to his translation of the *Digest of Hindu Law on Contracts and Successions*; a work compiled a few years ago, under authority of the English government, by some of the most learned and respectable of the Brahmins.—M.

There is no such confession. An extract from a letter of Sir William Jones is cited by Mr. Colebrooke, in which probably the expression alluded to occurs. "The law of contracts," it is there stated, "bears an inconsiderable proportion to the rest of the work." Nothing is said of "jurisprudence and judicature"; and Sir William Jones is speaking not of Hindu law books in general, but of a recent compilation, the *Code*, translated by Mr. Halhed. Mr. Colebrooke gives a very different view of the arrangement of Hindu law. "The body of Hindu law comprises a system of duties, religious and civil. Separating the topic of religious duties and omitting ethical subjects, Hindu lawyers have considered civil duties under the distinct heads of private contracts and forensic practice; the first comprehends law, private and criminal, the last includes the forms of judicial procedure, rules of pleading, law of evidence, written and oral, adverse titles, oaths and ordeals." Pref. to the *Digest*, p. xii.—W.

BOOK II, while it lessens greatly the force of the legal sanction in
CHAP. IV. those cases in which its greatest efficiency is required.

Another topic, which it will be convenient to detach and premise, is, the division and arrangement which the Hindus have given to the matter of law. In marking a stage of civilization, this is a very characteristic circumstance. As the human mind, in a rude state, has not the power to make a good distribution of a complicated subject, so it is little aware of its importance; little aware that this is the ground-work of all accurate thought.¹ In the Institutes of Menu, the most celebrated perhaps of all the original compends of Hindu law, the titles, as they are there denominated, or divisions of law, are eighteen, laid down in the following order: — 1. Debt, on loans for consumption; 2. Deposits and loans for use; 3. Sale without ownership; 4. Concerns among partners; 5. Subtraction of what has been given; 6. Non-payment of wages or hire; 7. Non-performance of agreements; 8. Rescission of sale and purchase; 9. Disputes between master and servant; 10. Contests on boundaries; 11 and 12. Assault and slander; 13. Larceny; 14. Robbery and other violence; 15. Adultery; 16. Altercation between man and wife, and their several duties; 17. The law of inheritance; 18. Gaming with dice and with living creatures.² It is not easy to

¹ More importance is attached to this subject than it merits. Confessedly the laws of Manu were intended for an early stage of society, when it is more important to devise than to classify. Classification is the business of high refinement, and then, according to our author's own showing, is never very successfully performed; as observed by a competent writer on this subject, commenting on Mr. Mill's survey of Hindu law, "the most refined and enlightened countries partake with Hindostan in this symptom of barbarism. In England, till the appearance of Wood's Institutes, or Blackstone's Commentaries, the law lay over a mass of authorities, from which its principles were to be extracted by the practitioner as well as they could be. Yet who would have objected to England in the middle of the 18th century, that she had not arrived at an advanced stage of civilization, because her jurisprudence was dispersed and unmethodized. Asiatic Journal, June, 1823, p. 779. By this test, the attempt to classify would place the Hindus higher in civilisation than the English. That the later writers on Hindu law have not improved upon the method of Manu, is to be explained by the sanctity of the primitive code: it would have been irreverent to have disarranged the scheme there laid down, had it occurred to them as possible or advantageous to alter the classification.—W.

² Laws of Menu, ch. viii. The division and arrangement of the same subjects, in the compilation translated by Mr. Halhed, are very similar, as will appear by the following titles of the chapters:—1. Of lending and borrowing; 2. Division of inheritable property; 3. Of justice; 4. Trust or deposit; 5. Selling a stranger's property; 6. Of shares; 7. Alienation by gift; 8. Of servitude; 9. Of wages; 10. Of rent or hire; 11. Purchase or sale; 12. Boundaries or limits; 13. Shares in the cultivation of land; 14. Of cities, towns, and of the fines for damaging a crop; 15. Scandalous and better expressions; 16. Of assaults; 17. Theft; 18. Violence; 19. Adultery; 20. Of what concerns

conceive a more rude and defective attempt at the classification of laws, than what is here presented. The most essential and obvious distinctions are neglected and confounded. Though no arrangement would appear more natural, and more likely to strike even an uncultivated mind, than the division of laws into civil and penal, we find them mixed and blended together in the code of the Hindus. The first nine of the heads or titles, as above refer to civil law; the eleventh, twelfth, thirteenth, fourteenth, and fifteenth, to criminal law; the sixteenth and seventeenth return to civil, and the eighteenth to criminal; while the tenth relates partly to the one, and partly to the other.

Another ground of division, well calculated, as being exceedingly obvious, to strike an uncultivated mind, is the distinction of persons and things. This was the groundwork of the arrangement bestowed upon the Roman laws. It is that of the arrangement which continues to prevail in the English; rude as it is, at once the effect, and the cause, of confusion.¹ It will be seen, however, that even this imperfect attempt at a rational division was far above the Hindus.

In the order in which the titles follow one another, no principle of arrangement can be traced. The first eight of the heads may be regarded as allotted to the subject *qf* contracts; but a more rude and imperfect division of contracts cannot easily be conceived. Not to dwell upon the circumstance of beginning with loans, one of the most remote and refined contracts, instead of the more obvious and

women; 31. Of sundry articles. In the elaborate Digest on the subject of Contracts and Inheritances, which has been translated by Mr. Colebrooke, the titles of the books, as far as they extend, coincide exactly with the titles in the Institutes of Menu; thus, Book 1. On loans, and their payment; Book 2. On deposits; Book 3. On the non-performance of agreements; Book 4. On the duties of man and wife. The part of the work which relates to inheritance is included in one book, and is the same with the 17th title enumerated in the Institutes of Menu.

¹ The Romans, by the ambiguity of their word *jura*, which signified either rights or laws, were enabled to use, without manifest impropriety, such expressions as *jura* of persons, and *jura* of things: for though it was absurd to talk of the rights of things, things having a right to nothing, yet it was not absurd to talk of the laws of things. In their expressions *jura personarum* and *jura rerum*, there was, therefore, only confusion of ideas, and ambiguity. The English lawyers, from two of their characteristic properties, blind imitation, and the incapacity of clearing confused ideas, have adopted the same division; though in their set of phrases, rights of persons, and rights of things, there is not only confusion and ambiguity, but gross absurdity.

BOOK II. simple, we may observe that the subject of purchase and
CHAP IV. sale is divided into two parts; but, instead of being treated in conjunction with one another, one occupies the third place in the list of titles, the other the eighth; and a number of heterogeneous subjects intervene. "Concerns among partners" is a title which occupies the middle place between that of "Sale without ownership," and "Subtraction of what has been given;" with neither of which it has any relation. "Nonpayment of wages or hire" stands immediately before "Nonperformance of agreements," though the latter is a general title in which the former is included. The latter, indeed, is remarkable; for it is so general that it includes the whole subject of contracts, though it is here placed as one, and the last, save one, among nine different titles or divisions of that subject. Several of the titles are nothing but particular articles belonging to some of the other divisions; and are with great impropriety made to stand as separate and primary heads. The contracts, for example, between master and servant, are part of the great subject Location, or letting and taking to hire, including servioes as well as things; yet are these contracts here treated of under two distinct titles: the one, "Nonpayment of wages or hire," the other, "Disputes between master and servant," and even these are separated from one another by two intervening subjects. "Concerns among partners," is an article little, surely, entitled to stand as a separate head among the primary divisions of law, since the rights of individuals in a joint property fall under the same distinctions and rules which determine their rights in other property.¹ [Where](#) one branch of one great topic, as trans-

¹ A very odd attempt at a further generalisation upon the first nine titles, appears in Mr. Colebrooke's Digest. His first book, "On Loans," corresponds exactly with the first title in the Institutes of Menu. His second book, "On Deposits," is divided into four chapters, which are exactly the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th titles in the lists of Menu. His third book, which is entitled, "On the Nonperformance of Agreements," is divided into four chapters, and these are the same with the four succeeding titles in the classification of Menu.—1. Loans; 2. Deposits; 3. Nonperformance of Agreements. These, according to the logic of the Digest, are the grand classes of contracts, and the titles which belong to them. The last of the titles, it is evident, cannot belong to any particular class: Nonperformance is incident to all classes of contracts. Either, therefore, this is an improper title altogether, or it ought to stand as the title of the whole subject of contracts: and then Nonperformance of Agreements would include loans, deposits, and everything else. Under deposits, the Digest includes the following sub-titles: 1. Deposits and other bailments; 2. Sale without ownership; 3. Concerns among partners; 4. Subtraction of gifts: of which the last two have no more to do with deposits than they have with loans, or any the most remote branch of the subject; and the second is either a part

for of ownership is taken upland concluded, it would appear a very accessory arrangement to pass onto another: when transfer by contract, for example, is finished, to begin with transfer by descent. Such obvious rules appear to have had no influence in the framing of the Hindu systems of law: when the subject of contracts is ended, the principal branches of criminal law are introduced; and, after these and some other topics are finished, then follows the great subject of inheritance.¹

In order to convey, in as narrow a compass as possible^ an idea of the maxims and spirit of Hindu jurisprudence, it will be convenient not to follow the mangled division of the Hindus themselves. Omitting the laws, which regulate the political order, which determine who are to govern,, who are to obey, and define the terms of command and obedience; laws are conveniently distributed under the three usual heads; I. Civil laws, though *Civil* is a very objectionable term; II. Penal laws; and III. The laws of judicature, or those which fix the mode in which the judicial services are rendered. Under each of these heads, such particulars have been carefully selected from the multitude of Hindu laws, as appeared the best calculated to convey an idea of the leading qualities of the Hindu code, and of the stage of civilization at^which it may appear to have been formed.

L Under the first of these heads, Property is the great subject of law. To this we may confine our illustrations.

It is needless to remark, that the sources of acquisition, by occupancy, by labour, by contract, by donation, by descent; which are recognised in almost all states of society, are recognised in Hindustan. It is in the accuracy with which the intended effects of these incidents are defined,

of the first, and ought to have been included under it, as relating to the sale of things deposited, or that also has no connection with the title. Let us next contemplate the sub-titles, included under *Nonperformance of Agreements*. They are, 1. Nonpayment of wages or hire; 2. Nonperformance of Agreements, chiefly in association; 3. Rescission of purchase and sale; 4. Disputes between master and herdsman: as if these included all the agreements of which there could be nonperformance. The first and last of them, moreover, are the same thing, or the last is a portion of the first. It is needless to carry the criticism further.

¹ It is curious, though somewhat humbling, to observe how far great men may let authority mislead them. "The articles," says Dr. Robertson, "of which the Hindu code is composed, are arranged in natural and harmonious order," *Disquisition concerning India*, Appendix, p. 217.

BOOK II and in the efficiency of the means taken to secure the
 CHAP. IV. benefits they convey, that the excellence of one system above another is more particularly observed,

Though property in the first stage of its existence, was probably measured by occupancy, and the one ceased with the other,¹ the privilege was early conferred of alienating for a valuable consideration, or of transferring by purchase and sale. As this is a very simple compact, it appears to admit of little variety in the various stages of human improvement. In an age, however, in which the means of detecting fraudulent acquisitions, and of proving the good faith of contracts and bargains, are imperfectly known, purchases and sales, made in public, are alone considered valid. The laws of our Saxon ancestors prohibited the sale of everything above the value of twenty-pence, except in open market;² and it is with a pleasing kind of surprise we find, that similar circumstances have suggested a similar expedient to the people of Hindustan. "He," says the law of Menu, "who has received a chattel by purchase in open market, before a number of men, justly acquires the absolute property, by having paid the price of it."³ The right, however, conveyed by a bona fide purchase, is not, among the Hindus, carried to that extent, which is found requisite in a commercial and highly civilized society. If the goods were not the property of the person by whom they were sold, the right of the purchaser becomes absolute only if he can produce the vendor. "If," says the law of Menu,* "the vendor be not producible, and the vendee prove the public sale, the latter must be dismissed by the king without punishment; and the former owner, who lost the chattel, may take it back, on paying the vendee half its value." This is quite sufficient to throw so much uncertainty into the great class of transactions by purchase and

¹ Lord Kames, *Historical Law Tracts*, p. 123, 154. Grotius *de Jure Belli ac Pacta*, lib. II. cap. ii. 2. Blackstone's *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, book II, c. i. The annotator on some of the late editions of Blackstone differs from the doctrine in the text. But that writer seems to have mistaken an important circumstance, carefully attended to by the great lawyers quoted above* that when the commodities of the earth began to be appropriated they were not without owners, but the common property of the race at large.

² L. L. Ethel. 10, 12. L. L. Edg. Hicces. Dissert. p. 30.

³ Ch. viii. 201. When Abraham bought a field of Ephron to bury Sarah, the bargain was transacted in the presence of all the people. Genesis, eh. xxiii* Seq. too, Homer's *Iliad*, lib. xviii. ver. 499, &c.

Ch. viii. 202.

sale, as would prove, in a civilized state of society, a ruinous obstruction of business. A manufacturer purchases a quantity of the raw material, and works it up; he would lose, in a mischievous proportion, if the owner of that material could demand the identical substance, on tendering the half of its price. In many cases, the identical substance is exported; in many it is consumed; and cannot possibly be restored.¹ Among children, and among rude people, little accustomed to take their decisions upon full and mature consideration, nothing is more common than to repent of their bargains, and wish to revoke them. Among the Hindus this has been found an affair of sufficient importance to constitute an entire head in the classification of their laws. A variety of cases are enumerated, in which, if dissatisfied with his bargain, a man may insist upon having it annulled: and in general any sale and purchase of things, not perishable, may be rescinded within ten days, at the will of either of the parties:² another law, altogether incompatible with an age in which the divisions and refinements of industry have multiplied the number of exchanges. The regulation, which fixes the price of things, instead of leaving it to the natural and beneficent laws of competition, conveys not a high idea of the knowledge of the Hindus. "Let the king," says the ordinance of Menu, "establish rules for the sale and purchase of all marketable things. Once in every five nights, or at the close of every half month, let him make a regulation for market prices."³ It is a circumstance full of meaning, that, under this head of bargain and sale, is arranged the obligation of the marriage contract.⁴

¹ A curious enumeration of the cases in which the property of one man is so incorporated with that of another as to be inseparable, is given in the Roman law, under the head of *Accessio*: *Inclusio*, *adferruminatio*, *intextura*, *inadificatio*, *scriptura*, *pictura*, *stweifficatio*, *commixtio*, *et confusio*.

The English law (a few special cases excepted) gives an absolute right of property to the bonafide purchaser, by whatever means the commodity may have come into the hands of the vendor. If the English law, however, takes care of the purchaser, it must be owned that it is deplorably defective in the case which it takes of the party by whom the commodity is lost.

² Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 222, 223. See also Ualhed's Code of Gentoo Laws ch. xi. and Mr. Colebrooke's Digest of Hindu Law, book III. ch. iii.

³ Ibid, 401, 402. It is worthy of remark, that this was a regulation, too, among the ancient Britons. *Leges Wallicie*, lib. iii. 247. Henry's Hist. Britiv., 202.

⁴ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 224, 227.—M.

This seems to be a misapprehension of the purport of the law. It is not a question of contract, but of rescission of sale, analogous to which is the invalidity of a marriage with a girl not a virgin, and who may therefore be sent

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

There are many occasions, on which it is useful to the owner of property, to place it in the keeping of another person, without transfer of the ownership. It may be placed, for safe-custody merely; for the sake of an operation, as with the dyer, for the benefit of his art; with the carrier, either by sea or land, for the sake of transportation; or it may be placed, as in the case of a valuable animal, for the sake of maintenance. These, and a variety of other transactions of a similar sort, are included in English law under the title of bailments.¹ In a well-regulated society, where the house of one man is nearly as secure from violence as that of another, mere deposits, unless in the case of warehousing, the object of which is convenience or economy, rather than security, form a class of transactions of little comparative magnitude. In a rude society, in which there is little or no security, and in which the means of concealing valuables is one of the great studies of life, deposits become an object of the greatest importance. In the Hindu code, other cases of bailment occupy a narrow space: the article of deposits swells, alone, to a great size,² and forms an object of considerable intricacy and detail.² The modes of proof

back to her parents. As to the inference intimated that a marriage contract was a case of buying and selling, this is an error which a better recollection of Manu would have prevented. "Even a man of the servile class ought not to receive a gratuity when he gives his daughter in marriage." "Nor have we heard of the tacit sale of a daughter for a price, under the name of a nuptial gratuity," Manu ix. 98, 100. Rammohun Roy says, it is equally prohibited by the Vedas. Translation from the Vedas, p. 181.—W.

¹ On the law of bailments, the writer in the Asiatic Journal above referred to, himself a distinguished lawyer, expresses opinions in every way opposed to those of the text. "It is universally admitted that the English law of bailments is founded upon the soundest and most enlightened principles as they have been laid down and elucidated in the decisions of Westminster Hall, from Lord Holt down to Lord Mansfield. What if it shall appear certain beyond all controversy, that those principles which are comparatively of recent growth in our own law, existed for ages in the despised system of Hindu jurisprudence!" Then quoting instances in proof, he concludes, "all the requisite shades of care and diligence, and the corresponding shades of negligence and default, are carefully observed in the Hindu law of bailment, and neither in the jurisprudence nor in the legal treatises of the most civilized states of Europe are they to be found more logically expressed, or more accurately defined. In the spirit of Pylæus's observation on the Roman legion, one cannot refrain from exclaiming, I see nothing barbarous in the jurisprudence of the Hindus."—p. 14.—W.

² See Laws of Menu, ch. viii.; Halhed's Gentoo Code, iv.; Colebrooke's Digest, book II. ch. i.; Heineccii Pandect. pars. III. lib. xvi. tit. 2, on the subject of deposits, and the importance of this class of transactions in the early days of Rome, with the causes of that importance.

The reader may see one of the few attempts which have been made to let in the light of common sense upon the law of England, in the Essay on Bailments, by Sir William Jones.

constitute the chief peculiarities in the provisions, and will be considered, when we speak of the third branch of jurisprudence. One rule, however, expressive of great simplicity, not to say rudeness, belongs exclusively to this article: "On failure of witnesses, to prove a deposit, let the judge actually deposit gold or precious things with the defendant, by the artful contrivance of spies. Should he restore that deposit, he is to be held innocent: if he deny it, he is to be apprehended and compelled to pay the value of both."¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

Hiring; that is, transferring to another, for a valuable consideration, and to a definite extent, the use of any thing valuable; is a right which holds a sort of middle place between sale and bailment: and may extend to personal services as well as to commodities.² As this contract falls very naturally under the laws of purchase and sale,³ it occupies a narrow space in the volumes of Hindu law, and as far as commodities are concerned, offers nothing particular for observation.⁴ In the hire of personal services, three principal classes are distinguished; first, the students of the Veda, who discharge every menial office to their masters, and receive instruction in return: secondly, handicrafts, who receive either stipulated wages, or, if no agreement has been made, one tenth of the profits on their labour; thirdly, agricultural servants, who are always paid in kind; for tending cows, one tenth of the milk; for the culture of corn, one tenth of the crop.⁵

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 193.

² The language of English law in the case of this contract is defective, and a source of confusion. In the case of other contracts, it has one name for the act of one of the parties, another name for that of the other. Thus, in the case of exchange, one of the parties is said to sell, the other to buy; in that of a loan, one of the parties is said to lend, the other to borrow. In the present case, it often uses but one name for the acts of both parties; he who gives, and he who receives, the use, being both said to hire. The Civilians are saved from this inconvenience by the use of the Latin language; in which the act of the one party is termed *locatio*, that of the other *conductio*. To let and to hire, if uniformly employed, would answer the same purpose in English.

³ Instaur. Juris., lib. iii. tit. 25. *Locatio et conductio proxima est emptioni et venditioni, utdemonstrat juris regula constituit.*

⁴ The simplicity of some of the enactments provokes a smile: "If a person hath hired anything for a stipulated time he shall pay the rent accordingly." Gentoo Code, x. Again, "If a person, having agreed for the rent of the water of a pool, or of the water of a well, or of the water of a river, or of a house, does not pay it, the magistrate shall cause such rent and hire to be paid." *Ibid.*

⁵ If a hired servant performs not his work according to agreement, he shall be fined, and forfeit his wages. What he has been prevented by sickness from performing, he is allowed to execute after he is well; but if he leaves unfinished, either by himself or a substitute, any part of the stipulated service,

BOOK II. The peculiar species of transfer which is known by the
 CHAP. IV. name of loan is an object of great importance in the jurisprudence of all nations. Among the Hindus it stands as the first article in the classification of legal subjects, and in the digest of Mr. Colebrooke occupies entirely one of the four books into which the compilers of that work have divided the laws of contract. From the peculiarities in the ideas and in the circumstances of the Hindus, it forms among them a subject of more than usual complexity. In an improved state of society, where the inefficiency of laws, the diffusion of wealth, and the accommodation of business, have created a mutual confidence, loans are generally contracted on the security of law* without the actual custody or deposit of the property on which they may be secured. It is only in that extremely confined and degraded species of lending, abandoned to pawnbrokers, that pledges form a regular and component part. In the more early and imperfect states of the social union, circumstances are very different. Law is both feeble and inaccurate, poverty reigns, violence prevails; and the man who is able to discharge his debts to-day may be stripped of all possessions to-morrow. In these circumstances, the security of law upon the person or property of the debtor is seldom sufficient; and the deposit of some equivalent property, as a pledge, is the obvious, and, in point of fact, the common resource.¹ The doctrine of pledges forms one of the most considerable branches of this part of the Hindu code. The laws relative to them are laid down with great minuteness and solemnity; a variety of cases are distinguished; and the receipt of pledges appears to have formed a component part of a comparatively numerous and important class of transactions.² The responsibility of a second person, who however small, he is deprived of the hire for the whole. One branch of this, subject, the obligations between masters, and the servants who tend their cattle, is of so much importance, denoting a state of society approaching the* pastoral, as to constitute a whole title of Hindu law. The principal object is to define those injuries accruing to the cattle, and those trespasses committed by them, for which the keeper is responsible. Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 214 to 918, and 229 to 244. Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, viii. ix. Colebrooke's *Digest*, book III. ch. ii. and iv.

¹ Lending on pledges can scarcely be regarded as proof of a state of barbarism, or the multitude of pawnbrokers in London would witness our being very low in the scale of civilisation.—W.

² Laws of Menu, ch. vii. Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, ch. 1. sect. 2. Colebrooke's *Digest*, part I. book I. ch. iii.

comes surety for the borrower, is another foundation on which Hindu loans are contracted, and the different species of it are not inaccurately distinguished.¹ Interest, or a consideration for property lent, appears to have been "known at a very early stage of civilization."² As it is only interest on debts of money which is familiar to the members of a highly-civilized society, European visitors appear to have been forcibly struck with the Hindu law, which imposes an interest to be paid in kind on loans in goods, as grain, fruit, wool or hair, beasts of burden and the like.³ Mr. Halhed says, "The different rate of interest to be paid for different articles is perhaps an institute peculiar to Hindustan; but it reflects a strong light upon the simplicity of ancient manners, before money was universally current as the medium of barter for all commodities, and is at the same time a weighty proof of the great antiquity of these laws, which seem calculated for the crude conceptions of an almost illiterate people upon their first civilization."⁴ When Mr. Halhed, however, informs us that this law "reflects a strong light upon the simplicity of ancient manners," it is necessary to add that whatever light it reflects upon *ancient*, it reflects the same upon *present* manners, as this is not a law, anciently in force, but long ago repealed; it is a law now in operation, and as suitable as ever to the purely Hindu state of society. Mr. Halhed too is mistaken when he supposes that this is an institution peculiar to the Hindus. It was familiarly known to the Jews in the time of Moses, and was probably a common practice in the nations around Judea, as well as in Egypt, from which the Jews had recently departed.⁵

To vary the rates of interest upon the different castes is a peculiarity more naturally arising from the unfair and odious distinctions among men, created by the Hindus. The rule established in the institutes of Menu is, to take, when there is a pledge, one and a quarter per cent, per

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. Colebrooke's Digest, part I. book I. ch. iv. Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, ch. I. sect. 3.

² It was perfectly familiar to the Jews at the time of their departure from Egypt; Deuteron. ch. xxiii. 20.

³ Laws of Menu, viii. 131.

⁴ Halhed, Preface to the Code of Gentoo Laws, p. 53.

⁵ "Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother, usury of money, usury of wheat, usury of anything that is lent upon usury. Unto a stranger thou mayest lend upon usury." Deuteron. xxiii. 19, 20.

BOOK II. month ; when there is no pledge, two per cent, per month,;
 CHAP. IV. that is, from a Brahmen: but from a man of the military

caste, three per cent.;¹ four per cent. from one of the mercantile caste ; and from a man of the servile caste no less than five per cent, per month.² This exorbitant rate of interest affords a satisfactory criterion to judge of the opinions, which are not unfrequently advanced, of the great riches which, at some imaginary period, formerly distinguished Hindustan. The excessive accumulation, however, of interest was forbidden. Upon a loan in money, interest, beyond the amount of the principal, was not a debt;³ upon loans in goods, for some reason which it is not easy to divine, it was permitted to take five times the amount of the principal. Compound interest too was prohibited. These were rules which would give effectual motives to the Hindu creditor to exact the regular payment of his interest, with rigid severity.⁴ In the laws relating to loans, however, the most remarkable particular is the mode of enforcing payment. The creditor is commanded, first, to speak to the friends and relations of the debtor ; next, to go in person and importune him, staying some time in his house, but without eating or drinking. If these methods fail, he may then carry the debtor home with him, and having seated him, as the law expresses it, before men of character and reputation, may there detain him. Should he still hold out, the creditor is next directed, to endeavour by feigned pretences to get possession of some of his goods; or, if any pledge was deposited with him, to carry it before the magistrate, who will cause it to be sold to make payment. If neither of these expedients can be used, he shall seize and confine the debtor's wife, children, cattle, buffaloes, horses, &c; also his pots, clothes, mats, and furniture, and, seating himself at his door, there

¹ It would have been candid to have observed, that under ordinary circumstances, the Brahman and Kshatriya are prohibited from receiving any interest on money lent, although they are enjoined to pay it on money borrowed if demanded. *Manu*, x. 117.—W.

² The tribes of Burren Sunker, that is, all the mixed classes, pay at the rate of one in sixteen (or rather more than six per cent.) per month. *Halhed's Gentoo Code*, ch. i. sect. 1.

³ It is curious that this, too, was a law of Egypt, at least in regard to loans upon security. *Diod. Sic. lib. i. cap. 79.* *Goguet's Origin of Laws*, part III. book I. ch. iv.

⁴ For the details respecting the law of interest, consult *Laws of Menu*, ch. vii. 140 to 154. *Halhed's Gentoo Code*, ch. i. sect. 1. *Colletta's Digest*, part I. book I. ch. II.

receive his money. Should even this proceeding fail, he is commanded to seize and bind the debtor's person, and procure by forcible means a discharge of the debt.¹ What is meant by forcible means is sufficiently explained in the following extraordinary definition. "When, having tried the debtor, the creditor carries him to his own house, and by beating or other means compels him to pay, this is called violent compulsion. By beating," adds the law, "or by coercion, a creditor may enforce payment from his debtor."² When the debtor is of a caste not superior to the creditor, the latter may seize and compel him to labour for the discharge of the debt. If a man owes debts to several creditors, he is commanded to discharge first one debt and then another, in the order in which they were contracted; a regulation by which one or two of his creditors may receive in full their demands, while the rest, whether few or numerous, are entirely defrauded. The equitable arrangement of an equal dividend, which we find established among nations of very limited progress in the knowledge of law, obvious and useful as it is, had not suggested itself to the rude legislators of Hindustan. When a creditor procures payment of a debt by application to the magistrate, he pays him for his interposition a twentieth part of the sum recovered.³ By a very extraordinary regulation a punishment seems to be inflicted on the defendant in all actions for debt wherein he is cast. "A debt being admitted by the defendant, he must pay five in the hundred as a fine to the king; but if it be denied and proved, twice as much."⁴ The sacred character of the Brahmen, whose life it is the most dreadful of crimes either directly or indirectly to shorten, suggested to him a process for the recovery of debts, the most singular and extravagant that ever was found among men. He proceeds to the door of the person whom he means to coerce, or wherever else he can most conve-

¹ This mode of personal seizure had place at an early age among the Egyptians; but they made sufficient advancement to abolish it. A law of king Bocchoris permitted the creditor to seize only the goods of his debtor for payment Diod. Sic. lib. i. p. 90.

² Colebrooke's Digest, part I. book I. ch. vi. sect. 240, 241.

³ For the laws respecting recovery of debt, see Laws of Menu, ch. viii. Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. i. sect. 6. Colebrooke's Digest, part I. book I. ch. lvi.

⁴ Laws of Menu, viii. 139.

BOOK II. niently intercept him, with poison or a poignard in his
 CHAP. IV. hand. If the person should attempt to pass, or make his escape, the Brahmen is prepared instantly to destroy himself. The prisoner is therefore bound in the strongest chains; for the blood of the self murdered Brahmen would be charged upon his head, and no punishment could expiate his crime. The Brahmen setting himself down (the action is called sitting in dherna), fasts; and the victim of his arrest, for whom it would be impious to eat, while a member of the sacred class is fasting at his door, must follow his example. It is now, however, not a mere contest between the resolution or strength of the parties; for if the obstinacy of the prisoner should exhaust the Brahmen and occasion his death, he is answerable for that most atrocious of crimes—the murder of a priest; he becomes execrable to his countrymen; the horrors of remorse never fail to pursue him; he is shut out from the benefits of society, and life itself is a calamity. As the Brahmen who avails himself of this expedient is bound for his honour to persevere, he seldom fails to succeed, because the danger of pushing the experiment too far is, to his antagonist, tremendous. Nor is it in his own concerns alone that the Brahmen may turn to account the sacredness of his person: he may hire himself to enforce in the same manner the claims of any other man; and not claims of debt merely; he may employ this barbarous expedient in any suit. What is still more extraordinary, even after legal process, even when the magistrate has pronounced a decision against him, and in favour of the person upon whom his claim is made, he may still sit in dherna, and by this dreadful mode of appeal make good his demand.¹

¹ See an account of the practice of sitting in dherna, by Sir John Shore (Lord Teignmouth), *Asiatic Researches*, iv. 330 to 332. He tells us that, since the institution of the court of justice of Benares in 1783, the practice has been less frequent, but that even the interference of that court and of the resident had occasionally been unable to check it. He tells us, too, that some of the pundits, when consulted, declared the validity of the deed or concession extorted by dherna; but restricted that validity to such claims as are just; others denied its validity, except where the party confirmed the engagement after the coercion is withdrawn. But it is evident that these restrictions are inconsistent with the facts which Lord Teignmouth records, and are mere attempts of the pundits, according to their usual practice, to interpret their laws into as great a coincidence as possible with the ideas of the great persons by whom the questions are put to them. A regulation was made by the Bengal government in 1795 for preventing this practice. See papers, ordered to be printed by the

We have now reviewed the great peculiarities of the Hindu law, in regard to those transfers of property which partake of the nature of exchange, and in which some sort of an equivalent is given and received; it remains for us to consider those, in which the property passes from one owner to another, without any return.

The most extensive class of this species of transactions are those occasioned by the death of the owner. Men had considerably strengthened the chain by which they were connected with property, before they ceased to consider death as the cause of a perfect separation, and as leaving their possessions free to the earliest occupier. A right of succession in the children suggests itself, however, at a very early period in the progress of civilization. It is recommended by so many motives, it so happily accords with some of the strongest impulses of human nature, and is so easily engrafted upon the previous order of things, that it could not fail to be an early institution. The children, being naturally the nearest to their parent at the moment of his death, were generally able to avail themselves of the right of occupancy, and to exclude other successors by prior possession. It was the usual arrangement in early stages of society, that the different members of a family should live together; and possess the property in common.¹ The father was rather the head of a number of partners, than the sole proprietor. When he died, it was not so much a transfer of property, as a continued possession; and the copartnership was only deprived of one of its members. The laws of inheritance among ilke Hindus are almost entirely founded upon this patriarchal arrangement.² When the father dies, if the sons shall

House of Commons, 3rd June, 1813, p. 431. See also Broughton's *Mahratta Camp*, p. 42.—M.

There is no authority in any code or treatise of law, for these practices: the pundits might, with great propriety, differ in their views of the validity of the concession thus extorted.—W.

¹ "Among barbarians, in all parts of the world, persons who belong to the same family are understood to enjoy a community of goods. In those early ages, when men are, in a great measure, strangers to commerce or the alienation of commodities, the right of *property* is hardly distinguished from the right of *using* or *possessing*; and those persons who have acquired the joint possession of any subject are apt to be regarded as the joint proprietors of it." Millar on the English Government, i. 190.

² The whole, too, of that Title of law, "Concerns among partners," refers not so much to a joint-stock property, contributed by certain individuals for carrying on any particular business, as to the property of a number of persons, most commonly brothers or other near relations, who agree to live together.

BOOK II. choose to live together, the eldest, says the law, shall take
 CHAP. IV. the station of the head of the family, and the property is-
 held jointly in his name.¹ "For brothers a common abode
 is ordained so long as both their parents live. On failure
 of both their parents, partition among brothers is or-
 dained."² Even during the life-time of a father, a separa-
 tion of the family might take place, when a division of the
 property, according to the strict notion of a joint interest,
 was made, in the proportion of two shares to the father,
 and one share equally to each of the sons.³ When the
 division, however, of the common estate is delayed till the
 death of the father, the elder brother, as the new head of
 the family, is distinguished in the partition. He first
 receives one-twentieth of the inheritance, after which it
 is divided equally among all the brothers.⁴ With a few
 immaterial exceptions, the principle of equal division
 guided succession among the Hindus. "Let the sons,
 after the death of the parents, equally share the assets.
 If all sons be equal in good qualities, they must -share
 alike; but he who is distinguished by science and good
 conduct shall take a greater share than the rest."⁴ The
 last of these clauses affords an example of that vagueness
 and ambiguity, the source of endless dispute, which dis-
 tinguishes the laws of all ignorant people, and which
 forms a most remarkable feature in those of Hindustan.
 What is the criterion to ascertain that superiority in
 science and virtue, which determines the share of brothers

and to have all their effects in common. The multitude of the laws proves the frequency of the transactions. The old law of inheritance among the Romans was altogether founded upon the same ideas. *Fundamentum successioneis veteris erat conservatio familiarum. Familia enim universalitas quædam videbatur, cujus princeps est paterfamilias. — Quam ergo proximi in familia essent liberi vel sui heredes, tanquam vivo patre, quodammodo domini et ἀποκατανομοί, legibus XII. tabularum cantum fuerat; et intestato mortuo sui sui heredes nec fecit agnatus proximus familiam habere.* Heinec, in *Inst. lib. II. tit. 1. sect. 690.*

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. ix. 105.

² Colebrooke's Digest, part II. Book V. ch. iii. sect. 114.

³ Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. ii. sect. 11. Colebrooke's Digest, part II. book V. ch. ii. Mr. Halhed has remarked that the demand of the prodigal son in the Gospel for his portion, affords proof of a similar state of things among the Jews. The attentive reader will perceive many other strokes of resemblance. All the more cultivated nations of Asia appear to have reached a stage of society nearly the same.

⁴ Colebrooke's Digest, book V. ch. i. sect. ii. subsect. 34. Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. ii. sect. 12.

⁵ Colebrooke's Digest, part II. book V. ch. 3, subsect. 115, 116, ch. i. sect. ii. subsect. 34.

in the division of the paternal estate? Or who is to be the judge? Equally unskilful, and pregnant with evil, is the Tague and indeterminate law which declares "that all those brothers who are addicted to any vice shall lose their title to the inheritance."¹ As the interpretation of the phrase "addicted to any vice," may receive any latitude, according to the inclinations and views of the expounder, a gate is here thrown open to unlimited injustice."² Inconsistency, and even direct contradiction, is a characteristic of the Hindu laws, which it does not appear to have been thought even requisite to avoid; as it is expressly enacted, that when two laws command opposite things, both are to be held valid.³ This attribute is fully exemplified in the laws of inheritance. It is declared that, "on the failure of natural heirs, the lawful heirs are such Brahmens as have read the throe Vedas, as are pure in body and mind, as have subdued their passions; and they must constantly offer the cake; thus the rites of obsequies cannot fail."⁴ Yet it is added, in the very next clause or sentence, "The property of a Brahmen shall never be taken as an escheat by the king; this is a fixed law; but the wealth of the other classes, on failure of all heirs the king may take."⁵ Not unfrequently in rude nations, as if one misfortune ought to be aggra-

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

¹ In a simple state of society it might not have been difficult to appreciate and verify such grounds of exclusion. As relations became more complex, the impossibility of enforcing these exceptions was evident, and they ceased to be regarded. The comparative merit of co-heirs forms no rule for unequal partition, and is therefore 'no source of endless dispute,' or 'unlimited injustice.'—W.

² Laws of Menu, ch. ix. 214.—M.

It should be borne in mind, however, that this applies only to 'sacred texts,* proceeding from the impossibility of supposing either to be wrong. It does not apply to conflicting laws in general; on the contrary, any law incongruous with the code of Manu is declared invalid.—W.

³ When there are two sacred texts, *apparently inconsistent*, both are held to be law, for both are pronounced by the wise to be valid and reconcile able. Thus in the Veda are these texts; Let the sacrifice be when the sun has arisen, and before it has risen, and when neither sun nor stars can be seen; 'The sacrifice, therefore, may be performed at any or all of those times. Ibid, it 14, 15.

⁴ Laws of Menu, ch. ix. 188.

⁵ Ibid. 189.—M.

There is no incompatibility or contradiction. The second clause is merely a qualification of the first, which applies to the property of a Brahman alone. "Misra and the rest hold that the first text of Manu relates to the property of Brahmanas, but the wealth of Kshatriyas and the rest shall be taken by the king alone." Digest, ill. 537. There are other texts to the same effect. It is not the part of candid criticism to contrast detached passages without reference to those by which their purport is to be explained.—W.

BOOK II. vated by another, those who labour under certain mala-
 CHAP IV. dies, or bodily defects, are excluded from inheritance. This principle is fully adopted by the Hindus, and carried to an unusual and monstrous extent. All those persons who are lame, all those persons who are blind, all those who are deaf,¹ all those who are dumb, impotent, or affected with an incurable disease, as leprosy, marasmus, gonorrhoea, dysentery, are denied a share in the partition of their father's effects, and are only entitled to a maintenance from the family.² When a man has sons by wives of different castes, they inherit in the proportion of the mother's rank, and the son by a concubine is entitled only to one-half of the share of him who is born of a wife.³ The laws which define proximity of kin, and fix the order of collateral succession, are numerous, minute, and in nothing remarkable.⁴ It is particularly to be noted that daughters are debarred from a share in the inheritance of their fathers.⁵ The woman, indeed, among the Hindus, is so restricted in the means of acquiring property, that

¹ It should be 'born' deaf. The exclusion may be regarded as harsh, but it is not arbitrary or without cause, being founded on the notion that such persons are incompetent to conduct the affairs of the household, to procreate issue, and to perform religious rites, which are essential to the preservation of the family. The persons so excluded are to be maintained by the heir, 'without stint, according to the best of his power.' Manu.—W.

² Colebrooke's Digest, part II. book V. ch. v. sect. 320, 321, 325, 321, 331. In Hallied's Gentoo Code they are thus enumerated; one born an eunuch, blind, deaf, dumb, without hand, or foot, or nose, or tongue, or privy member, or fundament, and one who has no principle of religion, as well as the victims of various diseases. Gentoo Code, ch. ii. sect. 5. The law is thus stated in the Institutes of Menu; eunuchs and outcasts, persons born "blind or deaf, madmen, idiots, the dumb, and such as have lost the use of a limb, are excluded from a Bhare of the heritage. But it is just, that the heir who knows his duty should give all of them food and raiment. Laws of Menu, viii. 201, 202.

³ Laws of Menu, viii. 149, etc. Hallied's Gentoo Code, ch. ii. sect. 2. Colebrooke's Digest, part II. book V. ch. vii.

⁴ The appearance of accuracy given by minuteness of detail has sometimes been quoted as a proof of refined knowledge; but it is a proof of the very reverse. Henry tells us (Hist of Britain, i. 320) that the laws of the Druids provided with great care for the equitable division of the effects of the family according to the circumstances of every case. The ancient laws of Wales descend to very long and particular details on this subject, and make provision for every possible case with the most minute exactness. Leges WaŮtese, lib. iii. de mulieribus, cap. i. p. 70. The refinement and niceties of the Mahomedan law of succession are perhaps still more remarkable. See Mahomedan law of succession, Works of Sir William Jones, iii. 467, and the Al Sirajiyah, with Sir William's Commentaries, Ibid. & 05. In fact, the want of skill to ascend to a general expression, or rule, which would accurately include the different ramifications of the subject, is that which gives occasion to this minuteness of detail.

* Those who are unmarried at the death of the father are directed to receive portions out of their brothers' allotments. Laws of Menu, i. i. 118.

THE LAWS OF THE HINDUS.

she is almost excluded from its rights.¹ The exceptions consist in certain presents; what was given in the bridal **procession**; what was given in token of love; what was **received** from a brother, a mother, or a father; and this property is inherited by her daughters in equal portions **with her sons**. If she die without issue, her property falls **to her husband** or to her parents, and is subject to nearly **the same** rules of collateral succession as are established in regard to the property of males.²

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

The idea of a joint-interest in the property of the family, while it early established the right of succession in the **children**, served to exclude the right of devising by will. As the property belonged to the parent in common only **with his offspring**, it could not be regarded as just, that he should have the power of giving it away from them **after** his death. It is only in stages of society considerably advanced, that the rights of property are so far enlarged as to include the power of nominating, at the discretion of the owner, the person who is to enjoy it after his death. It was first introduced among the Athenians by a law of Solon, and among the Romans, probably, by the twelve tables.³ The Hindus have, through all ages, remained in a state of society too near the simplicity and rudeness of the most ancient times, to have stretched their ideas of property so far.* The power

i " Three persons, a wife, a son, and a slave, are declared by law to have in general no wealth exclusively their own : the wealth which they may earn is regularly acquired for the man to whom they belong." Laws of Menu, ch. viiii. 416.—M.

This is by no means the case. In the absence of direct male heirs, widows succeed to a life interest in real, and absolute interest in personal property. Next, daughters inherit absolutely. Where there are sons, mothers and daughters are entitled to shares, and wives hold peculiar property from a variety of sources, besides those specified by the text, over which a husband has no power during their lives, and which descends to their own heirs, with a preference, in some cases, to females. It is far from correct, therefore, to say that women, amongst the Hindus, are excluded from the rights of property.

—W.

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. ix 192—197. Colebrooke's Digest, part II., book V. ch. ix.

² Kames's Historical Law Tracts, i. 162.

³ The right of devising property by will, is clearly no proof of advance in civilisation by the instances given. The Athenians, in the days of Solon; the Romans, in those of the twelve tables; and the Arabs, at the birth of Mohammed, were certainly less refined than the Hindus, at the time that the Code of Menu was compiled. The case is imperfectly weighed. It would have been very inconsistent to have given a man power to do that on his death, which he might not do whilst living. In ancestral property, the occupant had joint right only with his sons, analogously in some respects to our entailed estates, which, with all our high civilisation, we have not acknowledged to be disposable of by bequest; and, therefore, he could not have the right to bequeath at

BOOK II. of disposing of a man's possessions by testament, is altogether unknown to their laws.¹

CHAP. IV. The same notion of a joint-title, in all the members of a family, to the property of the whole, had originally an effect, even upon the power of donation. Individuate were not at liberty to alienate by gift any part of the common stock. This, however, is a right which is recommended by motives more powerful and frequent than that of disposal after death, and was therefore much sooner introduced. The first instances were probably sanctioned by religious pretexts. By the laws of the Visigoths it was permitted to make donations to the church; and by those of the Burgundians a free man was allowed, after dividing his means with his sons, to make an ecclesiastical donation out of his own portion.² Among the Hindus the conferring of gifts upon the Brahmens, which is taught as one of the most important of religious duties, must have early familiarized the mind to gratuitous alienations yet, notwithstanding this important circumstance, a man's power of transferring his property by gift appears subject still to extraordinary restrictions. Except in certain minor cases, the consent of his heirs is required. It is only over that part of his property which is more than sufficient to feed and clothe all his dependants, that he has an unlimited power of disposal.³

his pleasure. It is also to be recollected, that the laws of the Hindus are to be looked at, not with the eye of a jurist only, but with reference to their religious origin. One of the great objects of the descent of property, is to provide for the perpetual performance of obsequial rites to the whole body of deceased ancestors. These cannot be properly discharged by aliens to the family; and, therefore, they cannot have a valid claim to succeed. A man cannot will that a stranger shall perform his family rites, in preference to his kinsmen; and cannot, therefore, make away with property essential to their celebration. The state of the law is not a question of greater or less social refinement; it arises out of, and is inseparable from, the religious origin of the code; and would remain the same, whatever degree of social civilization might be attained, so long as the religion was unchanged.—W.

¹ Impressed, when I began to study the history and character of the Hindus, with the loud encomiums I had been accustomed to hear on their attainments, and particularly their laws—which were represented as indicating a high state of civilization—this fact, which is broadly stated by Mr. Halhed (*Preamble to the Gentoo Code*, p. III.), very forcibly struck me. Rude as the Arabs were at the time of Mohammed, their ideas of property included the right of deriving by will. See *Mezan*, ch. v.

² *Historical Law Tracts*, l. 166. How like is the regulation of the Burgundians to the rule among the Hindus for division of property to the sons during the father's life-time!

³ Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, ch. vii.—M.

The law is not quite correctly stated; a man may give away even inherited personal property; he must not alienate ancestral landed property built in

II. The second class of laws, those which relate to offences and their punishment, form a subject less complicated, and of less subtle and difficult disquisition, than those which relate to the distribution of rights; it is, however, a portion of law, which, from the violent interference of human passions, is not less slow in gaining improvement.

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

An offence is an act by which a right is violated. The object of punishment is to prevent such acts. It is employed, under the empire of reason, only as a last resource. If offences could be prevented without punishment, punishment ought never to exist. It follows, as a necessary consequence, that as little of it as possible ought to exist.

It is equally manifest, that it would be vain to establish rights, if the necessary means were not to be used for securing them. It is therefore good to make use of punishment, as far as necessary for the securing of rights; with this precaution only, that the suffering or evil, produced by the punishment, is less, upon the whole, than that which would arise from the violation of the right.

By these maxims, as criterion, we shall endeavour to ascertain the attributes of the criminal code of the Hindus,

The misery and disorder which overspread human life, wherever self-defence rests wholly upon the individual, are the cause to which government owes its origin. To escape from those evils, men transfer to the magistrate powers sufficient for the defence of all; and agree to expect from him alone that protection, which they obtained so imperfectly from their own exertion. In the rude and violent times when this revolution takes place, it is not from a just and cool discernment of the limits of defence, prevention, and reparation, that penalties are

common; but it has not been noticed in the text, that although some succeed in common, and may continue to hold by a joint-tenure, yet they may separate, and then each man may dispose as he likes of his own portion. This power of living as a divided family, is a sufficient reply to the barbarity of the laws which compelled undivided occupancy, and limited a man's power over his own. The ancient system probably preserved undivided possession, but it did not command it. Thus Manu says, "either let these (the brothers) thus live together, or, if they desire separate religious rites, let them live apart, since religious duties are multiplied in separate houses, their separation is therefore legal." The commentator adds, "and even laudable," ix. 111.—W.

BOOK II. exacted. It is from the impulse of a keen resentment,
 CHAP. IV. that the sufferer pursues, and from a strong sympathy
 with that resentment, that the magistrate commonly
 judges and condemns. It is not so much security that is
 coveted, as revenge. A great injury committed can only
 be expiated by a great injury received. Two principles
 therefore universally characterize the penal code of a
 barbarous people: severity; and retaliation. The early
 laws of the Greeks and the Romans were cruel; the laws
 of the twelve tables, says Mr. Gibbon, like the statutes of
 Draco, were written in characters of blood.¹ By the laws
 of Moses, blasphemy, idolatry, profaning- the sabbath,
 homicide, adultery, incest, rapes, crime* against nature,
 witchcraft, smiting or cursing father or mother, were
 punished with death, and with burning and stoning, the
 most cruel kinds of death.² Of the sanguinary character
 imprinted on the laws of the Egyptians, the following
 instance may be adduced. They thrust little pieces of
 reeds, about a finger's length, into all parts of the bodies
 of parricides; and then, surrounding them with thorns, set
 them on fire.³ The barbarous punishments which prevail
 among the Chinese are too familiarly known to require
 illustration. Perhaps of all the rude nations of whom we
 have any account, our own Saxon and German ancestors
 were the most distinguished for the mildness of their
 punishments; a singularity, however, to be accounted for,
 by the use of a very barbarous expedient, a compensation
 in money for almost every species of crime. Yet in
 various instances, particularly that of theft, their laws
 were not only severe, but inhuman.⁴

Notwithstanding the mildness which has generally been
 attributed to the Hindu character, hardly any nation is
 distinguished for more sanguinary laws. "The cruel mu-

¹ Gibbon's History of the Decline and Fall of the Soman Empire, ch. xliv.

² See the Books of Moses, passim.

³ Diod. Sic. lib. i. p. 88.

⁴ Wilkins, Leg. Sax. p. 2 to 20. Mr. Turner, History of the Anglo-Saxons, says, book XI. ch. viii. "The most popular of the legal punishments were the pecuniary mulcts. But as the imperfection and inutility of these could not be always disguised—as they were sometimes impunity to the rich, who could afford them, and to the poor who had nothing to pay them with, other punishments were enacted. Among these we find imprisonment, outlawry, banishments, slavery, and transportation. In other cases, we have whipping, branding, the pillory, amputation of limb, mutilation of the nose, and ears, and lips, the eyes plucked out, hair torn off, stoning, and banging. Nations not civilized have barbarous punishments."

tilations," says Sir William Jones,¹ "practised by the native powers, are shocking to humanity."

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

Beteliation is another peculiarity which remarkably distinguishes the laws of that barbarous period, when the punishment of crimes is chiefly measured by the resentment of the sufferer.² Whatever the injury which the innocent man has sustained, a similar injury, by way of punishment, is imposed upon the guilty. Whatever the member or part of his body, with which the offender coin-

¹ Charge to the Grand Jury of Calcutta, Dec. 4, 1788, Sir Wm. Jones's Works, II. 26. Of this feature of their laws, a few examples will impress a lively conception. "The most pernicious of all deceivers," says the law of Menu, "is a goldsmith who commits frauds; the king shall order him to be cut piecemeal with razors." Laws of Menu, ch. ix. 292. "Should a wife, proud of her family and the great qualities of her kinsmen, actually violate the duty which she owes to her lord, let the king condemn her to be devoured by dogs in a place much frequented; and let him place the adulterer on an iron bed well heated, under which the executioners shall throw logs continually, till the sinful wretch be there burned to death." Ibid. viii. 371, 372. "If a woman murders her spiritual guide, or her husband, or her son, the magistrate, having cut off her ears, her nose, her hands, and her lips, shall expose her to be killed by cows." Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. xxi. sect. 10. "Of robbers, who break a wall or partition, and commit theft in the night, let the prince order the hands to be lopped off, and themselves to be fixed on a sharp stake. Two fingers of a cutpurse, the thumb and the index, let him cause to be amputated on his first conviction; on the second, one hand and one foot; on the third, he shall suffer death." Laws of Menu, ix. 276, 277. "A thief, who, by plundering in his own country, spoils the province, the magistrate shall crucify, and confiscate his goods; if he robs in another kingdom he shall not confiscate his possessions, but shall crucify him. If a man steals any man of a superior caste, the magistrate shall bind the grass beena round his body, and burn him with fire; if he steals a woman of a superior caste, the magistrate shall cause him to be stretched out upon a hot plate of iron, and, having bound the grass beena round his body, shall burn him in the fire. If a man steals an elephant or a horse, excellent in all respects, the magistrate shall cut off his hand, and foot, and buttock, and deprive him of life. If a man steals an elephant or a horse of small account, or a camel or a cow, the magistrate shall cut off from him one hand and one foot. If a man steals a goat or a sheep, the magistrate shall cut off one of his hands. If a man steals any small animal, exclusive of the cat and the weasel, the magistrate shall cut off half his foot." Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. xvii. sect. 3. "If a man sets fire to the village or plantation of another, or sets fire to a house or to a granary, or to any uninhabited spot where there is much fruit or flowers, the magistrate, having bound that person's body in the grass beena, shall burn him with fire." Ibid. xviii. "For boring the nostrils of cows belonging to priests, the offender shall instantly lose half of one foot." Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 325. The same system of mutilation prevailed in Persia. Xenophon, describing the Persian punishments, says, Ἡλλάκις δ' ἡν ἰδεῖν παρα τὰς τιμωμένας ὄντων, καὶ τοῦτον, καὶ χειρῶν, καὶ ὀφθαλμῶν στερουμένους ἀνθρώπων. Xenoph. Cyropæd. lib. i. p. 92. The common mode of hanging is thus described by an eyewitness: "A hook is fixed to one end of the rope, and this hook the executioner forces with all his strength into the flesh below the criminal's chin; he is then hoisted up, and the other end of the rope is made fast to the gallows." Bartolomeo's Travels, book II. ch. v. "If a magistrate has committed a crime, and any person, upon discovery of that crime, shall beat and ill-use the magistrate, the magistrate shall thrust an iron spit through him and roast him at the fire." Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. xvi. sect. 1.

² "The inhuman and unequal principle of retaliation," says Mr. Gibbon, Hist. of Decl. and Fall of the Rom. Emp. ch. xlv.

BOOK II. mitted the crime, upon that part is the chastisement
 CHAP. IV. inflicted. The Hebrew law of an eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth, is a familiar example of what occurred among other nations. The forfeit of limb for limb, and member for member, was, among the Romans, exacted by the law of the twelve tables, unless where the offender could expiate his crime by a fine of 300 pounds of copper. The earliest legislators of Greece were so rude as to leave the punishment of crimes, undefined, to the discretion of the judge; but Zaleucus, legislator of the Locrians, who first prescribed rules on this subject, enforced so literally the maxim of an eye for an eye, that it was deemed an important reform on his laws, when it was decreed that he who struck out the eye of a person with one eye should lose both his own.¹ The Egyptians extended the principle of punishing the criminals in that part of the body which was chiefly instrumental in the guilt, to an extraordinary number of instances. He who discovered the secrets of the state had his tongue cut out; he who violated a free woman was made an eunuch; of those who counterfeited coin and seals either public or private, of those who made use of false weights and measures, and of public notaries who forged or mutilated deeds, the two hands were cut off; and calumniators were subjected to the same punishment which would have been due to those whom they falsely accused.² To how extraordinary a degree the spirit of retaliation moulds the penal legislation of the Hindus, a few specimens will evince. The law concerning assault and battery, in the Institutes of Menu, thus commences: "With whatever member a low-born man shall assault or hurt a superior, even that member of his must be slit or cut, more or less in proportion to the injury: this is an ordinance of Menu."³ "If a man strikes a Bramin with Ms hand, the magistrate shall cut off that man's hand; if he strikes him with his foot, the magistrate shall cut off the foot; in the same manner, with whatever limb he strikes a Bramin, that limb shall be cut off; but if a Sooder strikes

¹ Strabo, lib. vi. p. 398. Potter's Antiq. book I. ch. xxvi. Blackstone's Commentaries, book IV. ch. i.

² Diocl. Sic. lib. i. p. 86, 89.

³ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 279. In a style characteristically Hindu, the following, among other cases, are specified: when a man spits on another, when he urinés on him, and when he breaks wind on him. The penalties I choose not to describe. See the same chapter, 220—234.

either of the three casts, Bramin, Chehteree, or Bice, with his hand or foot, the magistrate shall cut off Ruch hand or foot"¹ "If a man has put out both the eyes of any person, the magistrate shall deprive that man of both his eyes, and condemn him to perpetual imprisonment, and fine him."² The punishment of murder is founded entirely upon the same principle. "If a man," says the Gentoo code, "deprives another of life, the magistrate shall deprive that person of life."³ "A once-born man, who insults the twice born with gross invectives, ought to have his tongue slit. If he mention their names and classes with contumely, as if he say, 'Oh thou refuse of Brahmins,' an iron style, ten fingers long, shall be thrust red-hot into his mouth. Should he through pride give instruction to priests concerning their duty, let the king order some hot oil to be dropped into his mouth and into his ear."⁴ "If a blow, attended with much pain, be given either to human creatures or cattle, the king shall inflict on the striker a punishment as heavy as the presumed suffering"⁵ "With whatever limb a thief commits the offence, by any means in this world, as if he break a wall with his hand or his foot, even that limb shall the king amputate, for the prevention of a similar crime."⁶ "A mechanic or servile man having an adulterous connexion with a woman of a twice-born class, if she was unguarded, shall lose the part offending, and his whole substance."⁷ "The breaker of a dam to secure a pool, let the king punish by a long immersion under water."⁸ The portion of suffering, sufficient to constitute a motive for abstaining from the crime, is all the punishment which reason authorizes; but we see nations far advanced in civilization so tardy in recognising this principle, that the excess of suffering, produced by the law of retaliation, would not, it is probable, suggest to nations, at a very early stage of civilization, the utility of repealing it. Yet no maxim more naturally recommends itself to the human mind, even before it is strong, than that all who commit the same crime should meet with equal punishment; and it requires a very slight degree of reflection to see, that when the hand or the foot is cut off

¹ Baithed's Code of Gentoo Laws, ch. xvi. sect. 1.² *Ibid.*³ *Ibid.*⁴ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 270—272.⁵ *Ibid.* ch. viii. 208.⁶ *Ibid.* ch. viii. 334.⁷ *Ibid.* 374.⁸ *Ibid.* lx. 279.

BOOK II from one man, the punishment may be a very moderate
 CHAP. IV. one; when the same limb is cut off from another man, to whose subsistence it is essential, the penalty may far exceed a sentence of death.

In another class of punishments, where the principle of equality may be still more easily applied, the grossness of the violation excites considerable surprise. As among our Saxon ancestors, so among the Hindus, fines bear a very large proportion to other punishments. When reparation to the party injured should be made by the author of the wrong, the pecuniary ability of the party on whom the obligation falls can no more be regarded, than where he owes a debt. But in so far as it is the object of the law to create a motive against the occurrence of a like offence; or even to take vengeance, to inflict pain purely because pain has been occasioned; in so far it is one of the plainest dictates of reason, that where the offence is equal, the suffering or hardship imposed should be equal. Though a pecuniary mulct imposes all degrees of hardship, according to the pecuniary abilities of the man who pays, the Hindu law makes no distinction between the rich and the poor.¹ It makes, indeed, a serious distinction between the man of one class, and another: and they of the lowest are, with a very few exceptions, always the most severely fined. But if the class is the same, the same forfeit is exacted for the same offence; though one man should be too opulent to feel from it any sensible inconvenience; another should suffer all the pains and horrors of want.

From the classification of the people, and the privileges of the castes, we are prepared to expect, among the Hindus, inequalities created by distinctions of rank. They relate either to the crimes committed *against* persons of the different ranks, or the crimes committed *by* them. Inequalities of the first sort, it is found difficult to avoid even in high stages of civilization. At present, in the best governed countries of Europe, an injury done to a nobleman is treated as a crime of a deeper dye, than a similar injury

¹ There is one passage of Menu. ch. viii. 126, an incidental exhortation to the judge not to be regardless of the ability of the sufferer in the infliction of corporal or other punishment; and it is impossible but some regard must have been paid to it in practice: but denned sums are in almost all cases affixed to specific crimes, without the smallest reference to the ability of the payer.

to a person of the lowest rank.¹ If the laws should make no distinction in principle, the power of the nobleman to bring the offender to trial, and to command the partiality of the judge, would long make a very essential difference in practice. When the Hindu law, therefore, makes a gradation in the criminality of the same action, according as it is committed against the Brahman, the Cshatriya, the Vaisya, and the Sudra, it is only the excess in the difference of punishment, which is calculated to excite our surprise. With regard to offences committed by individuals of the different ranks, it is rare, even among the Tudest people, to find the principle of unequal punishments, expressly avowed; and comparative impunity granted by law to the crimes of the great. Perjury, fraud, defamation, forgery, incest, murder, are not among us reckoned crimes more venial in the lord than in his servant. Among the Hindus, whatever be the crime committed, if it is by a Brahman, the punishment is in general comparatively slight; if by a man of the military class, it is more severe; if by a man of the mercantile and agricultural class, it is still increased; if by a Sudra it is violent and cruel. For defamation of a Brahmen, a man of the same class must be fined 12 panas; a man of the military class, 100; a merchant, 150 or 200; but a mechanic or servile man is whipped.² The general principle on which the penalties for this crime seem to be regulated is, that whatever fine is exacted from a man of the same class by whom you have been accused, one only half as large should be imposed upon the man of a superior class, but one double in magnitude, should the cast of the slanderer be inferior to your own. For all the more serious accusations against any of the superior orders the punishment of the Sudra is far more dreadful.³ That the scale of punishment for crimes of assault is graduated by the same rule, the

¹ The orthodox judge, Blackstone, as Mr. Gibbon very significantly denominates him (see Hist. Decl. and Fall, &c. ch. xlv. n. 145) is quite an advocate for the superior criminality of an injury to a man of a superior rank. "If a nobleman strikes a peasant," says he, all mankind will see, that, if a court of justice awards a return of the blow, it is more than a just compensation. The execution of a needy, decrepit assassin, is a poor satisfaction for the murder of a nobleman, in the bloom of his youth, and full enjoyment of his friends, his honours, and his fortune." *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, book IV. ch. i.

² *Laws of Manu*, ch. viii. 366, 367.

³ *Code of Gentoo Laws*, ch. xv. sect. 2. Vide *supra*, p. 256.

BOOK II. following instance, out of many, will evince. "If a man of
 CHAP. IV. superior cast and of superior abilities to another should strike him with a weapon, the magistrate shall fine him 500 puns of cowries. If a man of an equal cast and of equal abilities with another should strike him with a weapon, the magistrate shall fine him 1000 puns of cowries. If a man of an inferior cast and inferior abilities to another should strike him with a weapon, the magistrate shall fine him 300 puns of cowries."¹ For perjury, it is only in favour of the Brahmen, that any distinction seems to be admitted. "Let a just prince," says the ordinance of menu, "banish men of the three lower classes, if they give false evidence, having first levied the fine; but a Brahmen let him only banish."² The punishment of adultery, which on the Brahmens is light, descends with intolerable weight on the lowest classes. In regard to the inferior cases of theft, for which a fine only is the punishment, we meet with a curious exception, the degree of punishment ascending with the class. "The fine of a Sudra for theft, shall be eight fold; that of a Vaisya, sixteen fold; that of a Cshatriya, two and thirty fold; that of a Brahmen, four and sixty fold, or a hundred fold complete, or even twice four and sixty fold."³ No corporal punishment, much less death, can be inflicted on the Brahmen for any crime. Menu, son of the Self-existent, has named ten places of punishment, which are appropriated to the three lower classes; the part of generation, the belly, the tongue, the two hands; and fifthly, the two feet, the eye, the nose, both ears, the property; and in the capital case, the whole body; but a Brahmen must depart from the realm unhurt in any one of them."⁴

Punishment should be proportioned, not to the greatness of the crime, that is, the quantity of suffering it produces, but solely to the difficulty of creating an adequate motive to abstain from it: if a fine of one shilling

¹ Code of Goutoo Laws, ch. xvi. sect. 1.

² Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 122.

³ Ibid. viii. 337, 338.

⁴ Ibid. ch. viii. 124, 125.—M.

The banishment of a Brahman, however, is a very severe punishment, as it involves loss of caste, and consequent degradation; but in practice, and even under Hindu rule, the immunity of a Brahman, guilty of crime, does not seem to have been attended to. In the oldest of the extant dramas, the *Mirchchika*, *hakati*, a Brahman, convicted on presumptive proof, of murder, is condemned to death.—W.

created a sufficient motive to abstain from the crime of murder, the fine of a shilling would be all the punishment "which ought to exist. It must be owned, however, that the principle of punishing crimes, according to their magnitude, very naturally suggests itself; and bears a strong appearance of according with the principles of reason. Even to this early and imperfect principle, the Hindus have never ascended. While perjury, one of the most mischievous of crimes, and one against which an adequate motive is very difficult to create, is punished only with fine, and in most aggravated cases with banishment; the crime of obtaining goods on false pretences is punished with mutilation, and even with death. "If a person steals a man of an inferior cast, the magistrate shall fine him 1000 puns of cowries. If he steals an elephant or a horse excellent in all respects, the magistrate shall cut off his hand, and foot, and buttock, and deprive him of life."¹ The following places of the body are enumerated: the ear, the nose, the hand, the foot, the lip, the eye, the tongue, and some others; upon any one of which a stroke, such as to separate or cut them off from the body, is punished equally;² yet surely there is no comparison between the injury of depriving a man of his ear, for example, and of his tongue, or his hand. An amour with a woman of the Brahmenical caste is more dreadfully punished than parricide. Various cases of theft and robbery are accounted worthy of more shocking penalties than murder. Even Sir William Jones is constrained to say that the punishments of the Hindus "are partial and fanciful, for some crimes dreadfully cruel, for others reprehensibly slight."³

The principal acts erected into punishable offences by the Hindu law are, false witness, defamation, assault, theft, outrage, adultery. The species and degrees of perjury are thus distinguished: "If a witness speak falsely through covetousness, he shall be fined 1000 panas; if through distraction of mind, 250; if through terror, 1000; if through friendship, the same; if through lust, 2500; if through wrath, 1500; if through ignorance, 200 com-

: 1 Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, ch. xvii. sect. 3.

: 2 *Ib.* ch. xvi. sect. 1.

: 3 *Preface to the Translation of the Institutes of Menu*, Sir Wm. Jones's Works, iii. 62.

BOOK IIplete ; if through inattention, 100 only."¹ The laws against

CHAP. IV. reproachful expressions are numerous, and the penalties remarkably severe ; a pretty satisfactory proof that the Hindus have always been abusive; as we find they continue to the present day.² By the term Assault, are indicated the smaller instances of personal offence and injury; on which the laws of the Hindus descend to the most minute distinctions and details. In this they present a remarkable agreement with the laws of our Gothic ancestors. Lord Kaimes, observing upon the ancient European mode of satisfying for injuries by money, remarks that "the laws of the Burgundians, of the Salians, of the Almanni, of the Bavarians, of the Ripuarii, of the Saxons, of the Angli and Thuringi, of the Frisians, of the Lango-bards, and of the Anglo-Saxons, are full of these compositions, extending from the most trifling injury to the most atrocious crimes. In perusing the tables of these compositions which enter into a minute detail of the most trivial offences, a question naturally occurs, Why all this scrupulous nicety of adjusting sums to delinquencies ? Such a thing is not heard of in later times. But the following answer will give satisfaction:—That resentment, allowed scope among barbarians, was apt to take flame by the slightest spark; therefore, to provide for its gratification, it became necessary to enact compositions for every trifling wrong, such as at present would be the subject of mirth rather than of serious punishment: for example, where the clothes of a woman, bathing in a river, are taken away to expose her nakedness, and where dirty water is thrown upon a woman in the way of contumely."* The following' orders of crime, in the Hindu code, present a similar, and a very remarkable picture: 1. Throwing upon the body of another, dust, or sand, or clay, or cow* dung, or anything else of the same kind, or striking with the hand or foot; 2. Throwing upon the body tears, or phlegm, or the paring of one's nails, or the gum of the eyes, or the wax of the ears, or the refuse of victuals, or spittle; 3. Throwing upon another from the navel

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 120,121. Where the language of the text specifies the fine by naming it technically in the order of amercements, I have stated the sum, that the reader might see at a glance the proportions.

² See the Chapter on *Manner*,

³ Historical Law Tracts, i. 49,50.

downwards to his foot, spue, or urine, or ordure, or semen; 4. Throwing upon another, from the navel upwards to beneath the neck, any of the substances mentioned in the last article; 5. Throwing upon another any of the same substances from the neck upwards; 6. Assaulting with a stone, or with a piece of iron or wood; 7. Hauling by the foot, or by the hair, or by the hand, or by the* clothes; 8. Seizing and binding another in a cloth, and setting one's foot upon him; 9. Raising up an offensive weapon to assault; 10. Striking with a weapon. In all these cases a further distinction is made, as the offence is committed by a superior, an inferior, or an equal, and committed against a man or a woman. The gradations too of wounds are curiously specified: 1. When no blood is shed; 2. When a little blood is shed; 3. When much blood is shed; 4. When a very great quantity; 5. When a bone is broken as well as blood is shed; 6. When a member or organ is struck off or separated.¹ Under the title "Theft," the Hindus include the various species of frauds. In all nations which have made but the first step in civilization; when the means of protecting property are very imperfectly known, and covetousness is a furious passion; the depredations of thieves are always punished with extreme severity. In the Gothic nations of Europe, when the murder even of the King inferred but a pecuniary composition, theft was punished by mutilation and death.² In the same manner, among the Hindus, while murder is punished by the mere loss of life, some of the most atrocious instances of the cruelty of the Hindu laws were drawn as above from the punishments awarded to theft.³ The minor cases of theft are punished by fines, and by various degrees of mutilation; but the higher species by impaling, by burning alive, and by crucifixion. By Outrage; which is sometimes denominated violence, sometimes robbery; are designated, all attacks, accompanied with violence, upon either property or person, including even murder. While the inferior species are punished by fine and by mutilation, the higher are punished by death; and some of the more heinous kinds of spoliation are avenged with all the san-

¹ See the Article Assault in the Code of Gentoo Laws, ch. xvi. sect. 1. L a m of Menu, ch. viii. 279 to 301.

² See Kaimes's Historical Law Tracts, i, 63, and the authorities there quoted.

³ Supra, p. 26*.

BOOK II. guinary fury which, among the Hindus, has dictated the
 CHAP. IV. higher penalties of theft¹ Adultery is a very complicated subject. In the Hindu language it includes every unlawful species of sexual indulgence, from the least, to the most injurious, or offensive. If the laws are any proof of the manners of a people, this article affords indication of one of the most depraved states of the sexual appetite. Almost all the abuses, and all the crimes which it is possible to conceive, are there depicted with curious exactness; and penalties are devised and assigned for every minute diversity and refinement, as for acts the most frequent and familiar. There are even titles of sections in the code which cannot be transcribed with decency, and which depict crimes unknown to European laws.² In accordance

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 344 to 348. Code of Gentoo Laws, ch. xviii.—M. There is nothing sanguinary or furious in the verses of Manu cited; they merely command the King not to endure or dismiss unpunished, the perpetrators of atrocious violence.—W.

² Mr. Halhed makes so curious an apology for this article in his preface to the Code of Gentoo Laws, p. ixiii., that I am tempted to transcribe it: "The nineteenth and twentieth chapters," says he, "present us a lively picture of Asiatic manners, and in them a strong proof of their originality. To men of liberal and candid sentiments, neither the grossness of the portrait, nor the harshness of the colouring, will seem improper or indecent, while they are convinced of the truth of the resemblance; and if this compilation does not exhibit mankind as they might have been, or as they ought to have been, this answer is plain: 'Because it paints them as they were.' Vices, as well as fashions, have their spring and their fall, not with individuals only, but in whole nations, when one reigning foible for a while swallows up the rest, and then retires, in its turn, to make room for the epidemic influence of a newer passion. Wherefore, if any opinions not reconcilable to our modes of thinking, or any crimes not practised, and so not prohibited among us, should occur in these chapters, they must be imputed to the different effects produced on the human mind by a difference of climates, customs, and manners, which will constantly give a particular turn and bias to the national vices. Hence it would be a weak and frivolous argument for censuring the fifth section of this nineteenth chapter, to object that it was levelled at an offence absurd in itself, not likely to be frequent, or supposing it frequent, still to be deemed of trivial consequence; and to make this objection merely in consideration that the offence may not be usual among us, and has certainly never been forbidden by our legislature, such cavils would betray a great ignorance of the general system of human nature, as well as of the common principles of legislation; for penal laws (except for the most ordinary crimes) are not enacted until particular instances of offence have pointed out their absolute necessity; for which reason parricide was not specified among the original institutes of the celebrated law-giver of Sparta. Hence we may with safety conclude, that the several prohibitions and penalties of this fifth section were subsequent to, and in consequence of, the commission of every species of enormity therein described."—Mr. Halhed here maintains with very cogent reasons, though rather an unskilful style, that the Hindu morals are certainly as gross as the Hindu laws; that the latter grossness is, in fact, the result of the former.—M. The Code translated by Mr. Halhed, must not be confounded with that of Manu; the provisions of the former, which are the subjects of his apology, are not formally set forth in Manu. The offences denounced, whether the subject of legislation or not, were not unfamiliar to Greece and Rome, in their most polished periods, if their satirists and historians may be credited. The Gothic nations in their rudest state were apparently remarkably free from such grossness, and their purer propensities were confirmed by the diffusion amongst them of the light of Christianity.—W.

with the general spirit of Eastern nations, among whom an extraordinary value is set on the chastity of the women, its more aggravated violations are punished by the most shocking death which human cruelty has probably devised, that of burning on a heated plate of iron. The ramifications of criminality are also pursued to the most minute and trivial acts, and such as, oven in the most jealous nations of Europe, would be held perfectly innocent: "He who talks with the wife of another man at a place" of pilgrimage, in a forest or a grove, or at the confluence of rivers, incurs the guilt of an adulterous inclination: to send her flowers or perfumes, to sport and jest with her, to touch her apparel and ornaments, to sit with her on the same couch, are all held adulterous acts on his part."¹ Of all crimes, indeed, adultery appears, in the eyes of Hindu lawgivers, to be the greatest: and worthy of the most severe and terrible chastisement. The offences committed with the women of the higher classes by men of the lower are the acts which are looked upon as of greatest atrocity, and which rise in criminality, as the classes recede from one another, till they arrive at last at the adultery of a man of the servile with a woman of the priestly caste; a point beyond which, it is supposed, that human guilt and depravity cannot proceed.²

III. Conformity to the laws of the two preceding orders; denominated, for want of better terms, the Civil and the Penal; is the End. The laws of Judicature are to be regarded in the light of Means to that End. The subject, in its full extent, includes an account of, 1, the instruments made use of for producing the fulfilment of the laws of the two former kinds, and 2, the modes of using them.

The instruments made use of among the Hindus, have been already described, in giving an account of the functions of the king; who, with his Brahmen assessors, is the principal instrument. The mode of using the instruments of judicature, or the steps according to which judicature is performed, were there also briefly described. Of the matters which remain, the laws or rules respecting evidence form the only part which it is still useful to describe.

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 356, 357.

² Ibid. 352—386. Code of Gentoo Laws, ch. xix.

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

Prior to the general use of writing, the chief species of evidence, applicable to judicial cases, is the speech of witnesses. It is this species which makes the principal figure in the laws of Hindustan to the present age. It is even more than doubtful whether written evidence is at all referred to by the author of the ordinances of Menu, though from himself we learn that writing had been applied to laws.¹ "On the denial," says the law, "of a debt which the defendant has in court been required to pay, the plaintiff must call a witness who was present at the place of the loan, or produce other evidence;"² the gloss of Culluca adds, "a note and the like:"³ but for the use of evidence by writing not a single rule is afterwards adduced, though numerous rules are prescribed for the use of that which is delivered orally; not even a word of allusion to this novel species of evidence appears; and where the various circumstances are enumerated on which the attention of the judge ought to be fixed, while the evidence of speaking witnesses occupies a conspicuous place, the evidence of writings is entirely omitted.⁴ In the compilations, however, of recent times, as in that made by order of Mr. Hastings, and translated by Halhed, the use of written evidence appears; but even there it is treated with a negligence and slightness due to a matter of subordinate importance.⁵

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 3.² *Ibid.* 52.³ *Ibid.*⁴ "Let him fully consider the nature of truth, the state of the case, and his own person; and next, the witnesses, the place, the mode and the time." *Ibid.* 45. From these circumstances it is probable that the emendation of the commentator has been added from the more enlarged knowledge of later times.⁵ The mistake which pervades the whole of our author's view of Hindu law, has here influenced him to draw an inference wholly inaccurate. However comprehensive the Code of Manu, it is an error to suppose that it is the only ancient body of laws, and that it comprises all possible details. Those of judicial proceedings are rather indicated than explained, and the omission of any one specification does not warrant the conclusion that there was nothing to be specified. This is especially the case in the present instance, and whatever Manu may have left unsaid, great importance is attached by early writers to documentary proof. Evidence is said to consist of documents, possession, and witnesses. Again: Human evidence is threefold; documents, possession, and witnesses. Such is the opinion of eminent sages. Documents are of two sorts—official and private. Possession implies manifest occupancy. Witnesses will be treated of hereafter.—Macnaghten. *Considerations on Hindu Law*, 436. The censure of Hindu law on account of the absence of written testimony here advanced, is the more extraordinary, as in a few pages further on it is admitted that there are laws respecting written evidence, although there is still something to cavi at, and they are said to be few, and applied to a limited number of cases; assertions equally incorrect with that of the present text.—W.

Among the rules for evidence at the lips of witnesses, some are reasonable and good; others are not only the reverse, but indicate a state of ignorance and barbarism. The evidence of three witnesses is required for the decision of any question: "When a man has been brought into court by a suitor for property, the cause shall be decided by the Brahmen who represents the king, having heard three witnesses at least."¹ Yet it is declared in another place that "one man, untainted with covetousness, may (*in some cases*, says the gloss of Culluca) be the sole witness."² This apparent contradiction may perhaps be explained by a passage in the Code of Gentoo Laws, where the decision of a cause by the testimony of a single witness is made to depend upon the consent of the litigants.³ Even from this rule the following cases are excepted: "Supposing," says the law, "a person to lend another money secretly, or secretly to intrust his money to the care of another, in such affairs one single person is a sufficient witness."⁴ The different degrees of trustworthiness in different witnesses leads to mischievous rules. "Married housekeepers, men with male issue, inhabitants of the same district, either of the military, the commercial, or the servile class, are competent, when called by the party, to give their evidence."⁵ The most fanciful distinction surely that ever was made by an uncultivated mind, is that between the father of male and the father of female offspring, as a source of evidence. The persons held incompetent to bear witness are a very numerous class. "Those must not be admitted who have a pecuniary interest; nor familiar friends; nor menial servants; nor enemies; nor men formerly perjured; nor persons grievously diseased; nor those who have committed heinous offences. The king cannot be made a witness, nor cooks and the like mean artificers; nor public dancers and singers; nor a priest of deep learning in Scripture; nor a student of the Yedas; nor an anchorite secluded from all worldly connexions; nor one wholly dependent; nor one

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 60. The same law is stated still more generally and absolutely, in the Gentoo Code, ch. iii. sect. 8.

² Ibid. ch. viii. 77.

³ Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. iii. sect. 8. "If the plaintiff or defendant, at their own option, appoint a single person only, not fraudulently inclined, &c., he may be a witness."

⁴ Ibid. Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 62.

BOOK II. of bad fame; nor one who follows a cruel occupation; nor
 CHAP. IV. one who acts openly against the law ; nor a decrepid old man; nor a child; nor a wretch of the lowest mixed class ; nor one who has lost the organs of sense ; nor one extremely grieved ; nor one intoxicated; nor a madman ; nor one tormented with hunger or thirst; nor one oppressed by fatigue; nor one excited by lust; nor one inflamed by wrath ; nor one who has been convicted of theft."¹ Among the persons excluded from the rank of witnesses are the female sex entirely; unless in the case of evidence for others of the same sex. Servants, too, mechanics, and those of the lowest class, are allowed to give evidence for individuals of the same description.² Brahmens and the king are exempted from the obligation of giving evidence, by way of privilege, though the Brahmens are admitted when they please."³

This enumeration of persons, whose testimony was altogether unfit to be believed, affords a proof of the great difficulty of obtaining true testimony in the age in which it was made ; and holds up a dreadful picture of the state of morality to which it could be supposed to be adapted. It indicates, also, by the strange diversity of the cases which it includes, a singular want of discrimination, in the minds by which it was framed. And further; rules for the exclusion of testimony, from any person, not deprived of the ordinary exercise of the human faculties, could, however the vicious effects of custom may preserve them, be introduced, only in an age of great ignorance and barbarity, when the human mind judges in the grows, is incapable of nice discriminations, cannot assign the different value which ought to be attached to the testimony of different men, and estimates the weight of a body of evidence by the number, not the trustworthiness, of the people who deliver it.⁴

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 64—67.

² Ibid. 68.

³ Ibid. 69—71.

⁴ The imperfections of the Hindu law have been in this, as in all other cases, pertinaciously selected: notwithstanding these blemishes, however, its general character has received commendation from high authority. "With some trifling exceptions, the Hindu doctrine of evidence is, for the most part, distinguished nearly as much as our own, by the excellent sense that determines the competency, and designates the choice of witnesses, with the manner of examining, and the credit to be given to them, as well as by the solemn earnestness, with which the obligation of truth is urged and inculcated; inasmuch that less cannot be said of this part of their law, than that it will be read by every English lawyer with a mixture of admiration and delight, as it may be studied by him to advantage."—*Elements of Hindu Law*, by Sir Thomas Strange, late Chief Justice of Madras, p. 309.—W.

The introduction of rules for the exclusion of evidence marks the age of false refinement, which is that of semi-barbarism, intermediate between the age of true wisdom and that of primeval ignorance. When the first judges, or arbiters, the heads of families, had to clear up any dispute, they called before them every individual of the little community or family, who appeared to know anything of the matter, and questioned them all; allowing to the statements, extracted from each, the influence, much or little, or none at all, to which they seemed entitled; and this is the course which true wisdom would recommend. In an age, however, of false refinement, which aims at excessive accuracy, but, failing in comprehensiveness, applies its rules to part only of a subject when they should include the whole, the makers of laws, perceiving that certain classes of witnesses were apt to give false testimony, and considering that false testimony misleads, resolved immediately that the testimony of such witnesses ought never to be received. Now, if the testimony of the best sort of witness had been a thing which the judges always had at command, in sufficient quantity, this might have been a rational procedure. But as this was very far from being the case; as it very often happens that the testimony of the best sort of witnesses cannot be had, or that they contradict one another; that not only some light, but full and satisfactory light, may often ^{be} obtained from the worst sort of witnesses; to determine that certain classes of persons, and among them the persons whose knowledge of the facts is naturally the most complete, shall not be used as witnesses, is merely to determine that judicature shall be performed, so far, without evidence; that the judge shall decide without knowledge; and the question of right and wrong, instead of being determined upon all the evidence that can be had, shall be determined upon a part of it only, sometimes a most insignificant part, sometimes hardly any at all.¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

¹ "If," says Mr. Hume, "the manner of punishing crimes among the Anglo-Saxons appear singular, the proofs were not less so: and were also the natural result of the situation of those people. Whatever we may imagine concerning the usual truth and sincerity of men who live in a rude and barbarous state, there is much more falsehood, and even perjury, among them, than among civilised nations. Virtue, which is nothing but a more enlarged and more cultivated reason, never flourishes to any degree, nor is founded on steady principles of honour, except where a good education becomes general; and

BOOK II. One of the strongest characteristics of a *rude* age, or of
 CHAP. IV. a corrupt government, is, to make laws which cannot, or ought not, to be executed; and then to give dispensations for them. "In all cases of violence, of theft and adultery, of defamation and assault," says the Hindu law, "the judge must not examine too strictly the competence of witnesses."¹

A presumption, of the very weakest kind, is admitted as a full proof, in the following passages: "If a man brings a suit against another, saying, I have lent you several articles,, and the person answers, I never received one of the articles you mention; in that case, if the plaintiff proves any one of all the articles claimed, to be in the defendant's possession, the magistrate shall cause the whole so claimed to be restored."² In cases of infinitely greater importance the same deceitful rule is applied. "If a man hath accused another of the murder of a man, or of a robbery, or of adultery, and should say, You have in several places been guilty of these crimes, and the defendant denies the accusation: in such a case, if the accuser can prove upon the other the commission of any one of these crimes, it shall be a proof of the whole complaint."

Of all the perverse proceedings of a superstitious mind, which the history of rude nations presents to us, few will be found more at variance with reason, than the establishment of the following law: "The witness, who has given evidence, -and to whom, within seven days after, a misfortune happens from disease, fire, or the death of a kinsman, shall be condemned to pay the debt and a fine."³

Though there is no ground on which the infirmities of the human mind are more glaring, and more tenacious of

where men are taught the pernicious consequences of vice, treachery, and immorality. Even superstition, though more prevalent among ignorant nations, is but a poor supply for the defects in knowledge and education: Our European ancestors, who employed every moment the expedient of swearing on extraordinary crosses and reliques, were less honourable in all engagements than their posterity, who, from experience, have omitted those ineffectual securities. This general proneness to perjury was much increased by the usual want of discernment in judges, who could not discuss an intricate evidence, and were obliged to number, not weigh, the testimony of witnesses." *History of England, Appendix I.*

This subject will, one day, when the papers of Mr. Bentham are produced, be presented to the world, in all the light which full knowledge, a minute analysis, and philosophy, can bestow upon it.

¹ Menu, ch. viii. 72.

² Code of Gentoo Laws, ch. iii. sect. 6, p. 107.

³ Laws of Menu, ch. viii.

existence than that of law, it is probable that the annals of legislative absurdity can present nothing which will match a law for the direct encouragement of perjury. "Whenever," says the ordinance of Menu, "the death of a man, who had been a grievous offender, either of the servile, the commercial, the military, or the sacerdotal class, would be occasioned by true evidence, from the known rigour of the king, even though the fault arose from inadvertence or error, falsehood may be spoken: it is even preferable to truth."¹ What a state of justice it is, in which the king may condemn a man to death, for inadvertence or error, and no better remedy is found than the perjury of witnesses ! "Whenever a true evidence would deprive a man of his life, in that case, if a false testimony would be the preservation of his life, it is allowable to give such false testimony. If a marriage for any person may be obtained

BOOK II.

CHAP. IV.

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. sect. 104.—M. This solitary passage is always seized upon by the calumniators of the Hindus as a proof of their systematic disregard of veracity—overlooking and setting aside the more numerous and earnest passages in which strict adherence to truth is enjoined, and which prove that fully as much respect was paid to it by the Hindus as by any other people whatever.' Manu, viii. 80—101, is a series of verses enforcing the obligations of truth and the heinousness of false evidence, which may well be put in the scale against the single stanza to the contrary, under particular circumstances. That no other body of laws admits of any relaxation in this respect, is not exactly true. "Even the pious perjury," says Sir T. Strange, "which the Hindu law has been supposed to sanction, is resolvable after all into no greater liberty than what our juries (not, indeed, with perfect approbation) have long been allowed to take where the life of a prisoner on trial before them is sometimes at stake." The provisions of the permission are also to be considered ; a man's life is about to be sacrificed—not for intentional crime, but for an act arising out of inadvertence or error—and not from the justice, but from the rigour of the judge. In such a case a witness is permitted to give false evidence, and the motive is good; and although the act is incompatible with the sterner doctrines of our law, it is well known that something very analogous to it is not unfrequent—where in the opinion of witnesses, juries, and possibly even of judges, the punishment is unduly severe. Our author, not satisfied with the fair opportunity which the encouragement of perjury affords Mm, infers from the text that a judge might legally condemn a man for inadvertence or error, and therefore exclaims, What a state of justice! The words do not warrant such an interpretation; the sentence is evidently intended to be represented as unjust and rigorous ; and cruel and unjust judges have existed in other countries than in India. With regard to the occasions next specified from the Gentoo Code, it is in the first place to be observed, that the Code is no authority for the ancient manners and laws of the Hindus—it is a modern work, and of* degenerate period. In the next place the cases are not without parallel, except as regards the specification of a Brahman. Our own criminals are almost compelled to plead 'not guilty,' even when they would disburden their consciences by telling the truth—and a Hindu may, therefore, be allowed to save his own life by telling a falsehood. These also, it may be observed, are not cases of perjury, or false testimony, a man's life being imperilled and his goods in danger of being spoiled, are events not likely to befall him in the character of a witness, nor are transactions with women part of legal procedure. They are not equivalent to perjury, therefore, and however reprehensible, are instance* of a disregard of truth by no means peculiar to the Hindus.—W.

CHAP. IV,

by false witness, such falsehood may be told, If a man by the impulse of lust tells lies to a woman, or his own life would otherwise be lost, or all the goods of his house spoiled; or if it is for the benefit of a Brahmen, in such affairs falsehood is allowable."¹

The laws respecting written evidence are few, and applied to a very limited number of cases. One distinction is- recognised. "A writing," says the law, "is of two sorts;- first, that which a man writes with his own hand; second, that which he procures to be written by another: of these two sorts, that which is written by a man's own hand, even without witnesses, is approved; and that written by another, if void of witnesses, is not approved."² The remaining rules apply, almost entirely, to the modes of supplying, by means of the oral, what is at any time defective in the quantity or quality of the matter drawn from the scriptural source.³

Notwithstanding the diversities of appearance which, in different ages [and countries, human nature puts on, the attentive observer may trace in it an astonishing uniformity with respect to the leading particulars which characterize the different stages of society; and often a surprising coincidence in particular thoughts and observances. The trials by ordeal, in the dark ages of modern Europe; when the decision of the most important questions was abandoned to chance or to fraud; when carrying in the hand a piece of red-hot iron, or plunging the arm in boiling water, was deemed a test of innocence; and a painful or fraudulent experiment, supplanting a righteous awards might consign to punishment the most innocent or save from it the most criminal of men; have been deemed a shocking singularity in the institutions of our barbarous ancestors. This species of evidence holds a high rank in the institutes of the Hindus. There are nuae different modes of the trial by ordeal: 1, by the balance; 2, by fire; 3, by water; 4, by poison; 5, by water in. which an idol has been washed; 6, by rice; 7, by boiling

¹ Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, ch. III. sect. 9.

² *Ibid.* 6.

³ We know that grants of land by their princes were made in writing; and *sunnuds*, *pottahs*, and other writings, of legal import, are numerous in modern times. That so little of them is indicated in the more ancient books of law, implies a ruder period of society; though, doubtless, we cannot be sure of this, being as destitute of legal writings as the few, which we possess, of their ancient monuments would give reason to suppose.—W.

oil; 8, by red-hot iron; 9, by images. The first of these, by the balance, is thus performed. The party accused is placed in the scale, and carefully weighed; after which, he is taken down, the pundits write the substance of the accusation on a piece of paper, and bind it on his forehead. At the end of six minutes he is weighed again, when, if lighter than before, he is pronounced innocent; if heavier, guilty. In the second ordeal, an excavation in the ground, nine hands long, two spans broad, and one span deep, is filled with a fire of pippal wood, into which the party must walk barefooted; proving his guilt, if he is burned; his innocence, if he escapes unhurt. The third species is rather more complicated: the person accused is made to stand in water up to his navel, with a Brahman by his side; a soldier then shoots three arrows from a bow of cane, and a man is despatched to bring back that which was shot the farthest; as soon as he has taken it up, another man is directed to run from the brink of the water, and at the same instant the party under trial must plunge into it, grasping the foot or the staff of the Brahman who stands by him: if he remains under the water till the two men with the arrows return, he is innocent; if he comes up, he is guilty. The fourth kind, by poison, is performed two ways: either the party swallows a certain quantity of a poisonous root, and is deemed innocent if no injury ensues; or a particular species of hooded snake is thrown into a deep earthen pot, and along with it a ring, a seal, or a coin. If the man, putting down his naked hand, cannot take this out unbitten by the serpent, he is accounted guilty. The accused, in the fifth species, is made to drink three draughts of the water in which the images of the sun and other deities have been washed; and if within fourteen days he has any indisposition, his crime is considered as proved. When several persons are suspected of theft, they chew, each, a quantity of dried rice, and throw it upon some leaves or bark of a tree; if any from whose mouth it comes dry, or stained with blood, are deemed guilty: this is the sixth species of ordeal. In the seventh, man thrusts his hand into hot oil; and in the eighth he carries an iron ball, or the head of a lance, red-hot in his hand; receiving his sentence of innocence or guilt according as he does or does not

BOOK II. off with safety. The ninth species is literally a casting of
 CHAP. IV. lots ; two images of the gods, one of silver, and one of iron, are thrown into a large earthen jar ; or two pictures of a deity, one on white, and the other on black cloth, are rolled up in cow-dung, and thrown into a jar : if the man, on putting in his hand, draws out the silver image, or the white picture, he is deemed innocent; if the contrary, guilty. The religious ceremonies with which these trials are performed it would be tedious and unprofitable to relate.¹

The qualities desirable in a BODY OF LAW may all be summed up under two comprehensive titles; I. *Completeness* ; II. *Exactness*.

Completeness has a reference to the matter: *Exactness* to the form.

I. A body of laws may be said to be *Complete*, when it includes everything which it ought to include ; that is, when all those rights, the existence of which is calculated to improve the state of society, are created ; and all those acts, the hurtfulness of which to the society is so great as to outweigh the cost, in all its senses, necessary for preventing them, are constituted offences.

II. A body of laws may be said to be *Exact*; 1, when it constitutes nothing a right, and nothing an offence, except those things precisely which are necessary to render it *Complete*; 2, when it contains no extraneous matter whatsoever ; 3, when the aggregate of the powers and privileges which ought to be constituted rights, the aggregate of the acts which ought to be constituted offences, are divided and subdivided into those very parcels or classes, which beyond all others best adapt themselves to the means of securing the one, and preventing the other; 4, when it

¹ For a full account both of the law and the practice respecting the trial by ordeal, see a discourse "On the trial by Ordeal among the Hindus, by Alf Ibrahim Khan, chief magistrate at Benares," in the *Asiat. Researches*, i. 329. See too the *Institutes of Menu*, ch. viii. 114, 115, 190 ; Mr. Halhed's *Code of Gentoo Laws*, ch. iii. sect. 6, ch. ii. sect. 15, ch. xvii. sect. 4, ch. xviii., and the Translator's preface, p. 55, 56. Dr. Buchanan informs us of a shocking species of ordeal in use, in some places, in regard to those, "who, having had sexual intercourse with a person of another caste, allege that it was by mistake. If the criminal be a woman, melted lead is poured into her private parts ; if it be a man, a red-hot iron is thrust up. Should they be innocent, it is supposed that they will not be injured." *Journey through the Mysore, Canara, and Malabar, under the orders of Marquis Wellesley*, i. 307. According to Kämpfer, the Japanese too use a species of ordeal for the discovery of guilt. *History of Japan*, ch. v. 226.

defines those classes, that is, rights and offences, with the greatest possible clearness and certainty; 5, when it represses crimes with the smallest expense of punishment; **and**, 6, when it prescribes the best possible form of a judicatory, and lays down the best possible rules for the judicial functions. BOOK II.
CHAP. IV.

To show in what degree the Hindu law approaches,¹ or recedes from, the standard of *Completeness*, would require a more extensive survey of the field of law, than consists with the plan of the present work.

That it departs widely from *Exactness*, in every one of the particulars wherein exactness consists, enough has already been seen to make abundantly apparent. 1. It creates a great many rights which ought to have no

¹ Of the following recapitulation of the defects of Hindu law, it may be observed that it subjects that law to a standard wholly arbitrary, the creation of the writer's own notions of perfection; tried by which all known systems of law are, as he frequently intimates, equally imperfect. It is also founded upon a very incomplete view of that law; the only authorities referred to, being *Manu* and the *Gentoo Code*. The latter, as already remarked, is a mere modern compilation—not a very careful or copious one—put together in haste—derived from sources of a very mixed character, and tainted in spirit by the corruption of modern manners, the consequence of a long period of Mahomedan domination. The translation of it by Halhed, is made through the medium of a Persian version, which Sir W. Jones characterizes as a “loose, injudicious epitome.” The *Code of Manu* is of a different description. It is high authority; but it is not all-sufficient. “For practical purposes,” says Mr. Ellis, “its use is very little, the original being a text-book of the oldest date, without any commentary to adapt it to the circumstances of later times. A mere text-book is considered by Indian jurists as of very little use or authority for the actual administration of justice. It may almost be said that the only conclusive authorities are held to be the *Siddhantas*, or conclusions of the authors of the digests and commentaries.”—*Transactions of the Literary Society of Madras*, p. 7.

There can be no doubt that the work ascribed to *Manu* is a very early attempt at codification, and it is the height of injustice to expect that, under such circumstances, it should be perfect. Had it been really perfect, our author's prejudiced ingenuity would, no doubt, still have detected flaws; but its imperfections may be granted, without impairing the claim of the major part of its enactments to respect and admiration. We have seen the opinion of one learned judge on one branch of their laws. We may also oppose to Mr. Mill, the authority of another; Sir Francis Macnaughten, Chief Justice in Bengal, who was by no means disposed to give unqualified approbation to this code. “I have given,” he says, “some of the leading texts which relate to the law of contracts, and, in my mind, the system, generally speaking, appears to be rational and moral. No less moral, and possibly more rational, because it is in a great degree abstracted from the Hindoo religion, and dependent upon ethics alone—upon principles which are universally admitted—which are immutable in themselves, and which cannot but be eternal in their duration. The merit of having being founders of their own jurisprudence, cannot be denied to this people, and those who are at all conversant with the decisions of our own courts, will acknowledge the analogy which exists between some of the doctrines and some of the texts which I have cited from the Hindoo law. When this is not to be found, a comparison may in several instances be made, without disadvantage to the Hindus.”—*Considerations on Hindoo Law*, p. 404.

BOOK II. existence; and acts, which ought not to be erected into
 CHAP. IV. offences, it does so erect in great numbers, 2. It abounds-
 in extraneous matter. 3. The division and arrangement
 of the matters of law are highly imperfect. 4. The defi-
 nitions are so far from excluding darkness and doubt, that
 they leave almost everything indefinite and uncertain.
 5. Punishments are not repressed, but abound; while
 there is the most enormous excess in the quantity of
 punishment. 6. The form of the judicatory is bad, as are
 a certain proportion of the rules for the mode of perform-
 ing the judicial services.

In respect to definitions, the Hindu law is in a state
 which requires a few words of elucidation. Prior to the
 art of writing, laws can have little accuracy of definition;
 because, when words are not written, they are seldom
 exactly remembered; and a definition whose words are
 constantly varying is not, for the purposes of law, a defi-
 nition at all. Notwithstanding the necessity of writing
 to produce fixed and accurate definitions in law, the na-
 tions of modern Europe have allowed a great proportion
 of their laws to continue in the unwritten; that is, the
 traditionary state; the state in which they lay before the
 art of writing was known. Of these nations, none have
 kept in that barbarous condition so great a proportion of
 their law as the English. From the opinion of the Hindus,
 that the Divine Being dictated all their laws, they acknow-
 ledge nothing as law but what is found in some one or
 other of their sacred books. In one sense, therefore, all
 their laws are written* But as the passages which can be
 collected from these books leave many parts of the field
 of law untouched, in these parts the defect must be sup-
 plied either by custom, or the momentary will of the
 judge. Again, as the passages which are collected from
 these books, even where they touch upon parts of the
 field of law, do so in expressions to the highest degree
 vague and indeterminate, they commonly admit of any
 one of several meanings, and very frequently are contra-
 dicted and opposed by one another. When the words in
 which laws are couched are to a certain degree imperfect,
 it makes but little difference whether they are written or
 not. Adhering to the same words is without advantage,
 when these words secure no sameness in the things which

they are made to signify. Further, in modern Europe, the uncertainty adhering to all unwritten laws, that is, laws the words of which have no certainty, is to some degree, though still a very imperfect one, circumscribed and limited, by the writing down of decisions. When, on any particular part of the field, a number of judges have all, with public approbation, decided in one way; and when these decisions are recorded and made known, the judge who comes after them has strong motives, both of fear and of hope, not to depart from their example. The degree of certainty, arising from the regard for uniformity which may thus be produced, is, from its very nature, infinitely inferior to that which is the necessary result of good definitions rendered unalterable by writing. But such as it is, the Hindus are entirely deprived of it. Among them the strength of the human mind has never been sufficient to recommend effectually the preservation, by writing, of the memory of judicial decisions. It has never been sufficient to create such a public regard for uniformity, as to constitute a material motive to a judge. And as kings, and their great deputies, exercised the principal functions of judicature, they were too powerful to be restrained by a regard to what others had done before them. What judicature would pronounce was, therefore, almost always uncertain; almost always arbitrary.¹

¹ This passage has been subjected to the especial animadversions of Mr. Ellis, who makes some severe remarks upon the positiveness with which these comprehensive but ill-founded assertions are made. "The main source of Mr. Mill's error," he continues, "seems to be sufficiently disclosed by himself, in the first sentence of his chapter on the Hindu laws. It is the common one of having judged of the whole from a small part. The materials on which he founds his opinions, seem to have been merely Sir William Jones's Institutes of Menu, Mr. Halhed's Code of Gentoo Laws, and Mr. Colebrooke's translation of Jagannatha Panchanana's Digest. That they were utterly inefficient for his purpose, the section to which this note is appended sufficiently shows. When he supposes that there are no definitions on Hindu law, he has never seen, even in a translation, any one book of the second great class of Hindu law-books, namely:—the Vyākhyāṣas or commentaries, and only the translations of two very imperfect works out of the great multitude of digests; and he relies mainly upon the Institutes of Menu, which being a mere text-book, is never used as an authority in Hindu courts, but when accompanied by an explanatory commentary, or incorporated into a digest. It is true that the Hindus have not preserved 'Reports,' after the English fashion, of the decisions of their courts of justice. But when the 'definitions' of the English common law are sought for, no less regard is paid to those which are found in Lyttleton's Treatise, or perhaps in Lord Coke's Commentary, than to those which appear in the 'reports of cases;' and the commentaries of the Hindus are considered more decidedly by them to be integral parts of the body of their law, than any commentary is in England."—Trans. Literary Society of Madras, p. 12.—W.

BOOK II. In a JUDICATORY, the qualities desirable are: 1. intelligence; 2. good design; and that is the best judicatory in which the best securities are taken for them. In the judicatories of the Hindus, composed of the king and his Brahmens, or the Brahmens alone, there is no security for either the one or the other; and accordingly neither the one nor the other almost ever appears.

The qualities desirable in the forms of judicial procedure, are: 1. efficiency; 2. freedom from delay; 3. freedom from trouble and expense. In these several respects the system of the Hindus displayed a degree of excellence not only far beyond itself in the other branches of law, but far beyond what is exemplified in more enlightened countries. 1. The efficiency of the Hindu system of judicial procedure is chiefly impaired by those rules of evidence the badness of which has already been pointed out: 2. For preventing delay, it enjoys every requisite, in its method of immediate, direct, and simple investigation: 3. In the same method is included all that is requisite for obtaining the judicial services with the smallest portion of trouble and expense.¹

¹ One of the most recent witnesses of the phenomena of Hindu society, who possessed extraordinary means of accurate knowledge, speaks in general upon the administration of justice among the Hindus in the following terms:—

“Without any of the judicial forms invented by the spirit of chicanery in Europe; with no advocates, solicitors, or other blood-suckers, now become necessary adjuncts of a court of justice in Europe; the Hindus determine the greater part of their suits of law by the arbitration of friends, or of the heads of the caste, or, in cases of the very highest importance, by reference to the chiefs of the whole castes of the district assembled to discuss the matter in controversy.—In ordinary questions they generally apply to the chief of the place, who takes upon himself the office of justice of the peace, and accommodates the matter between the parties. When he thinks it more fit, he sends them before their kindred, or arbitrators, whom he appoints. He generally follows the last course when the complainants are Brahmans, because persons out of their caste are not supposed capable of properly deciding differences between them. When these methods have been ineffectual to reconcile the parties, or when they refuse to submit to the decision of the arbitrators, they must appeal to the magistrates of the district, who decide the controversy without any appeal.

“The authority of the Hindu princes, as well as that of the vile emissaries whom they keep in the several provinces of their country for the purpose of harassing and oppressing them in their name, being altogether despotic, and knowing no other rule but their own arbitrary will, there is nothing in India that resembles a court of justice. Neither is there a shadow of public right, nor any code of laws by which those who administer justice may be guided. The civil power and the judicial are generally united, and exercised in each district by the collector or receiver of the imposts. This sort of public magistrates are generally known under the name of *Havildar* or *Thesildar*. They are generally Brahmans. This tribunal, chiefly intended for the collection of the taxes, takes cognisance of all affairs civil and criminal within its bounds, and determines upon all causes.” Description of the Character, Manners, and Customs of the People of India, by the Abbé J. A. Dubois, Missionary in the Mysore, p. 496.

CHAPTER V.

BOOK II.

CHAP. V.

The Taxes.

THE form of the government is one, the nature of the laws for the administration of justice is the other, of the two circumstances by which the condition of the people in all countries is chiefly determined. Of these two primary causes no result to a greater degree ensures the happiness or misery of the people, than the mode of providing for the pecuniary wants of the government, and the extent to which the agents of government, of whatever kind, are enabled to divide among themselves and their creatures, the annual produce of the land and labour of the community.

The matters of detail, which by their number and uncertainty have so exceedingly perplexed the servants of the Company, in the financial operations of the Indian government, cannot here be described. The general outline, and the more important effects, of that system of taxation which is described in the ancient books, are all that fall within the design of an account of the ancient state of the people. 1. "Of grain," says the ordinance of Menu, "an eighth part, a sixth, or a twelfth may be taken by the king;" to be determined, adds the gloss of the commentator Culluca, "by the difference of the soil, and the labour necessary to cultivate it."¹ 2. "He may also take a sixth part of the clear annual increase of trees, flesh-meat, honey, clarified butter, perfumes, medical substances, liquids, flowers, roots and fruit, of gathered leaves, pot-herbs, grass, utensils made with leather or cane, earthen pots, and all things made of stone."² 3. "Of cattle, of gems, of gold and silver, added each year to the capital stock, a fiftieth part may be taken by the king."³ 4. "Having ascertained the rules of purchase and sale," says the law, "the length of the way, the expenses of food and of condiments, the charges of securing the goods carried, and the neat profits of trade, let the king, oblige traders to pay **taxes** on their saleable commodities; after full consideration, let a king so levy those taxes continually in his

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 130. ² Ibid. 131,132. ³ Ibid. 130.

BOOK II. dominions, that both he and the merchant may receive a
 CHAP. V. just compensation for their several acts."¹ 5. "Let the king order a mere trifle to be paid, in the name of the annual tax, by the meaner inhabitants of his realm who subsist by petty traffic: 6. By low handicraftsmen, artificers, and servile men, who support themselves by labour, the king may cause work to be done for a day in each month."² It is added; 7. "A military king, who takes even a fourth part of the crops of his realm at a time of urgent necessity, as of war or invasion, and protects his people to the utmost of his power, commits no sin. 8. The tax on the mercantile class, which in times of prosperity must be only a twelfth part of their crops, and a fiftieth of their personal profits, may be an eighth of their crops in a time of distress, or a sixth, which is the medium, or even a fourth in great public adversity; but a twentieth of their gains on money and other moveables is the highest tax: serving men, artisans, and mechanics, must assist by their labour, but at no time pay taxes."³

In these several articles is found an enumeration of all the objects of taxation; and a general expression of the modes and degrees of impost. We perceive taxes on the produce of land, taxes on the produce of labour, a tax on accumulation, a tax on sales, and poll taxes. In article 1, is exhibited a tax on the produce of land; In article 2, a tax both on the produce of land, and on the produce of labour; In article 3, is a tax on accumulation, at least in certain commodities; In article 4, is a tax on purchases and Bales; In article 5, is one sort of poll tax; In article 6, is another.⁴

There are two primary qualities desirable in a system of taxation; and in them every thing is included.

The First is, to take from the people the smallest quantity possible of their annual produce.

The Second is, to take from them that which is **taken** with the smallest possible hurt or -uneasiness.⁵

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. vii. 127, 118.

² Ibid. 187, 138.

³ Ibid. ch. x. 118, 120.

⁴ So complete and comprehensive a system of taxation might have been received in evidence, it may be supposed, of some considerable advance in one department in civilized society.—W.

⁵ The standard here devised for taxation, like that previously invented for law, is one by which no system in practice would be found free from fault, and by which it is not equitable therefore to try that of the Hindus.—W.

I. Of taking from the people more than enough of the matter of wealth, the causes are two; 1st, When the government consumes beyond the smallest amount sufficient to obtain the services which it yields: 2nd, When the collection of the taxes themselves costs more than the lowest sum at which, without sacrificing greater advantages, it is capable of being performed.

II. Of the hurt and uneasiness beyond the loss of what is taken away, which a system of taxation is liable to produce, the causes seem to be; .1. Uncertainty; 2. Inequality; 3. Impediment to production; 4. Injury to the good qualities, bodily or mental, of the people.

Of the first head and its subdivisions, no illustration is necessary; and a few words will suffice for the second.

1. Uncertainty may arise from two sources; 1. Uncertainty in the meaning of the words by which the tax is defined; 2. Uncertainty in the circumstances upon which the amount of the tax is made to depend; as if it were made to depend upon the weather, or the state of a man's health. Uncertainty in the meaning of the words opens a door to oppression and fraud, on the part of the collector. He will exact the largest sum consistent with the words, if he is not bribed; the lowest, if he is. Uncertainty, from whatever source, is a cause of uneasiness. The mind is continually haunted with the idea of the worst, and with all the fears which attend it; fears often very great and tormenting. As often as a source of chicanery is opened about the amount which the contributor should pay, a source of extortion is opened, and a source of oppression necessary to effect the extortion.

& Of the unequal partition of taxes, the necessary consequence is, a greater quantity of suffering than the same amount of taxes would produce, if more equally imposed; because the pain of the man who pays too much is out of all proportion greater than the pleasure of the man who pays too little. To *make* the burden of taxes equal, it should be made to press with equal severity upon every individual. This is not effected by a mere numerical proportion. The man who is taxed to the amount of one-¹⁰th, and still more the man who is taxed to the amount of one-fifth or one-half, of an income of 100l. per annum, is taxed far more severely, than the man who is taxed to

BOOK II. an equal proportion of an income of 1000*l.* and to a prodigious degree more severely than the man who is taxed to an equal proportion of 10,000*l.* per annum.

CHAP. V.

3. On the mischievousness of all taxes which impede production it is needless to enlarge. It is only necessary to make them known, or rather acknowledged. 1. Of this sort are all taxes which take away any part of that property which has been already employed as capital; because there is always more or less of difficulty in replacing it from the fund destined for immediate consumption. 2. Of this sort also are all taxes which create any encouragement whatsoever, or any discouragement whatsoever, to any particular employment of capital in respect to other employments; for, as capital is always carried by a strong impulse to that employment which is the most productive, every thing which turns it out of the course which it would, take of its own accord, turns so much of it out of a more, into a less productive channel.

4. That all taxes ought to be shunned which tend to lessen the amount of useful qualities in the people, will not be contradicted. Taxes upon medicines have a tendency to diminish health and strength. Taxes upon innocent amusements, as the sports of the field, have a tendency to drive the people to others that are hurtful. Taxes upon articles of consumption not hurtful, which have a tendency to supplant other that are, as tea and sugar to supplant intoxicating liquors, prompt to the consumption of the hurtful. Taxes upon law proceedings are a premium upon the practice of every species of iniquity. Lotteries are a direct encouragement to a habit of mind, with which no useful tendency can easily co-exist. And all taxes, of which the quantity due is not clear and certain, train the people, by continual practice, to a state of hardened perfection in mendacity, fraud, and perjury.

1. In the above list of the sacred ordinances concerning taxes, the first relates entirely to the tax on the produce of the soil. It offends against the rule of *certainity* to a high degree. The amount varies as one to one-half; **and** the variation is made to depend upon circumstances the uncertainty of which opens a boundless field to all the wretched arts of chicanery and fraud on the part of the people, and all the evils of oppression on the **part** of **the**

collectors. As the determination of the circumstances on which the amount of the assessment depends belongs of course, in such a state of society as that of the Hindus, to the agents of the treasury, a free career is afforded to all the baneful operations of favour and disfavour, of bribery and corruption. Whenever an option is granted between a less exaction and a greater, the violent propensity of all imperfect governments to excess in expense is sure in time to establish the greater. It would appear accordingly that a sixth part of the produce became the uniform tax in Hindustan; and that the indulgence in favour of the barren soils was extinguished. This is the state in which it was found by the Mohammedan conquerors.¹ And in Sacontala,² the king is described, at a much earlier period, as "that man whose revenue arises from a sixth part of his people's income." The source of variation and uncertainty from these causes was prodigiously enlarged by the power reserved to the king, of taking even a fourth of the crops, in times of distress. As he was himself the judge of these times of necessity, we may believe that they were of pretty frequent occurrence.³

2. In the second of these fiscal ordinances, a variety of products are enumerated, which, in a rude age, are either the spontaneous produce of the soil, as flowers, roots, grass; or obtained from the spontaneous produce, by some very simple process; as perfumes and medical substances, by expression; flesh-meat and honey, by killing the animals which produce them; and these as costing little in point of labour, are all taxed at the highest rate imposed upon grain. By one of these capricious arrangements which abound in the institutions of a rude people, utensils made of leather, cane, earth, and stone, in the production of which labour is the principal agent, are placed under the same exaction as the spontaneous productions of the

¹ Ayeen Akbery, p. 347.

² An ancient Sanscrit poem of the dramatic form, translated by Sir William Jones: See the beginning of the fifth act.

³ This is a wholly gratuitous assumption, and unwarranted by the text referred to, which indicates sufficiently the kind of distress intended—invasion or war. Circumstances not of the king's contrivance, and obvious to his people. Nor was there much uncertainty in the amount of the assessment in times of peace. The division of the country into townships and village communities, which appears to have existed from the time of Manu, rendered the business of valuation easy, and protected individuals from extortion.—W.

BOOK II. soil. The consequence must have been to render these
CHAP. V. commodities proportionally dear.

In the execution of this ordinance, there must have been excessive uncertainty, and excessive expense. What is meant by "annual increase?" The "annual increase of trees" is an absurd expression: trees grow not by the year. What shall be said of such expressions, as "the annual increase" of "clarified butter," "of flesh-meat," "of flowers"? These are not commodities, which continue accumulating, till the amount of the annual produce is seen entire at the end of the year:¹ but commodities daily brought into existence and daily consumed. To collect the tax upon such commodities, a daily visit in every family would hardly suffice. In the execution of this ordinance, the temptation to the incessant practice of all the arts of fraud, on the part of the people, and the powers of oppression bestowed upon the collectors, were well calculated to fill society with immorality and suffering.*

3. In the third of the above ordinances are enumerated the principal classes of moveables known to the Hindus. It seems to be the addition made in any year to the previous stock, and not the previous stock itself, of which one-fiftieth is taken in the way of tax. In a society, full of knowledge and industry, this would have been a tax upon capital, and therefore mischievous: in Hindustan, where gold, silver, and gems, were most commonly hoarded, and not devoted to production, it would not have been easy to find a less objectionable tax. Unless in a state of society rapidly progressive, or a state in which there is excessive fluctuation of fortunes, that is, excessive misery, it would be a very unproductive tax.

4. In the words of the fourth ordinance is described a tax on all purchases and sales.² The circumstances on

¹ This verbal criticism is wasted. The phrase "increase of trees," in Sir William Jones's—not Manu's. The original says a sixth part of trees (that is, of their produce), of clarified butter, &c. When taxes were paid in kind, some fixed proportion of the articles of daily consumption was necessarily specified; it is clearly impossible that the rate should have been very rigorously levied, and all that is intended is to limit the demands of the purchasers.—W.

² The main object of the fourth law is nothing more than to establish a duty or charge of customs, and is no more objectionable than similar imposts in all countries; a further object is to enjoin due consideration of charges and expenses, and to make the customs as light as is consistent with the fair claims of the government. Nothing is said of transit duties, and the false inference from the expression ascertaining 'the length of the way,' is, that there were no transit charges, the customs being levied only at the end of the journey.—W.

which the amount is made to depend are so uncertain, as to constitute a great seminary of fraud on the one hand, and a great office of oppression on the other. The tax is also hurtful to production, by impeding circulation; that is, the passage of property from a situation in which it is less, to one in which it is more useful. The mode in which, at least in modern times, it was chiefly raised, that of transit duties, multiplied to excess, obstructed all that encouragement to industry which is afforded by the interchange of commodities, not only between different countries, but one province and another of the same country. As often as property which has been, and is to be, employed as capital, is bought and sold, it is a tax upon capital.

5. A poll tax, when paid in money, or any other common measure of value, is chiefly objectionable on account of its inequality; as the same sum is a very different burden to different persons.

6. A poll tax paid in labour is somewhat less objectionable in point of equality, though the same portion of his time may be a much greater burden upon one man than it is upon another. It is chiefly objectionable on account of the loss of time, and of property, which it occasions to those who have it to pay. In a well-ordered society, accordingly, where every man's time and labour are disposed of to the best advantage, it has no place.

Some of these ordinances are modified, or the words rendered a little more precise, in the Gentoo Code translated by Mr. Halhed. The following are examples. If a man purchase goods in his own country, and sell them again there, one-tenth of his profit goes to the magistrate. If the purchase took place in a foreign kingdom, and the sale in his own, one-twentieth only is the share of the magistrate.¹ If a man, having purchased flowers, or roots, as ginger, radishes and the like, or honey, or grass, or firewood, from another kingdom, sells them in his own, the magistrate is entitled to one-sixth of his profits*² What was the reason of severe exaction in such cases does not appear. Rude times give not reasons. In the days of

¹ The political economists of Hindustan, and those of the mercantile theory in modern Europe, proceeded on different views.

* Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. xxi. sect. 4. On sales of very small amounts, or on those of young hatters (the cow was a sacred animal), no tax was levied.

BOOK II. Menu these taxes appear to have been much more moderate; a fiftieth of mercantile profits being the ordinary, and a twentieth the extraordinary tax.

CHAP.V.

In this system of taxation, other sources are of small importance; the revenue of the sovereign arises almost wholly from the artificial produce of the land. To understand in what manner the people of Hindustan were affected by taxation, the circumstances of this impost are all that require to be very minutely explored.

The tenure of land in Hindustan has been the source of* violent controversies among the servants of the Company; and between them and other Europeans. They first sprung up amid the disputes between Mr. Hastings and Mr. Francis, respecting the best mode of taxing Bengal. And they have been carried on with great warmth, and sometimes with great acrimony, ever since. Of these controversies the account will be due, at the periods when they occur. At present it will suffice to bring to light the circumstances which appear to ascertain the ancient state of the country, in respect to the distribution of property in the laud.¹

In a state of society resembling our own, in which property is secure, and involves very extensive rights or privileges, the affections which it excites are so strong,⁹ and give such a force to the associations by which the idea of it is compacted and formed, that in minds of little range, whose habits are blind and obstinate, the particulars combined together under the idea of property appear to be connected by nature, and not, without extreme injustice, to be made to exist apart.

At different times, however, very different rights and advantages are included under the idea of property.⁸ At

¹ See the first volume of the continuation.

² It would be difficult to find any country in which the affections excited by property, are stronger than they are in India. If this be a proof of civilization, then are the Hindus an eminently civilized race.—W.

³ The notions of the Hindus, in regard to property, have been strangely overlooked in what follows, or Mr. Mill would not have found analogies between the laws of the civilized Hindus and the practices of the barbarous nations of Africa. Had he referred to Mr. Colebrooke's translation of the Hindu law of inheritance, he would have found a much more subtle disquisition on the origin and nature of property, than that into which he has entered, and much more simply stated, shewing that property originates not in written law, but in popular recognition; a conclusion precisely the same as that which he more elaborately describes as " combinations of benefits determined and chosen by the society." With regard also to the sources of property,

very early periods of society it included very few: originally, nothing more perhaps than use during occupancy, the commodity being liable to be taken by another, the moment it was relinquished by the hand which held it: but one privilege is added to another as society advances: and it is not till a considerable progress has been made in civilization, that the right of property involves all the powers which are ultimately bestowed upon it.

BOOK II.
CHAP; V.

It is hardly necessary to add, that the different combinations of benefits which are included under the idea of property, at different periods of society, are all equally arbitrary; that they are not the offspring of nature, but the creatures of will; determined, and chosen by the society, as that arrangement with regard to useful objects, which is, or is pretended to be, the best for all.

It is worthy of remark, that property in moveables was established; and that it conveyed most of the powers which are at any time assigned to it; while, as yet, property in land had no existence. So long as men continue to derive their subsistence from hunting; so long, indeed, as they continue to derive it from their flocks and herds, the land is enjoyed in common.¹ Even when they begin to derive it partly from the ground, though the man who has cultivated a field is regarded as possessing in it a property till he has reaped his crop, he has no better title to it than another for the succeeding year.²

It would be difficult to find a more comprehensive list than that comprised in the text of Gautama; "property is by inheritance, purchase, partition, seizure or finding, and in addition by acceptance for a Brahman, conquest for a Kshatriya, gain for a Vaishya, and a Sudra, inclusive in the latter instance of wages." Cotebrooke's *Dayabhaga*, 244. Manu has a similar description of the sources of property, showing sufficiently a complex system of society, in which such means were recognised: "there are seven virtuous means of acquiring property, inheritance, acquirement, purchase, conquest, lending at interest, husbandry or commerce, and acceptance of gifts from the good." x. 118. The Sanscrit term for property is of itself decisive of the comprehensive notions attached to it. *Swatwa* is the abstract of *Swu*, *anum*, or own-ship, meaning what is absolutely and unqualifiedly one's own.—W.

¹ There are no traces in the traditions of the Hindus of their ever having been a pastoral people, or a nation of hunters. The law that "the land is his by whom it is first cleared," indicates on the contrary an immigrant people, entering on the possession of an uncultivated country, and at once setting to work to clear and till it. It is a law expressively applicable to the original back woodmen of America.—W.

* "Sævorum gens est longe maxima et bellicosissima Germanorum omnium. Hæcentum pagos habere dicuntur. * * * Privati et separati agri agros suos nihil est; neque longius anno remanere uno in loco, incolendi causa illæst: neque multum frumento, sed maximam partem lacte atque pecora vivunt,

BOOK II. In prosecuting the advantages which are found to spring
 CHAP. V. from the newly-invented method of deriving the means of subsistence from the ground, experience in time discovery that much obstruction is created by restricting the right of ownership to a single year; and that food would be provided in greater abundance, if, by a greater permanence, men were encouraged to a more careful cultivation, To make, however, that belong to one man, which formerly belonged to all, is a change, to which men do not easily reconcile their minds.¹ In a thing of so much importance as the land, the change is a great revolution. To overcome the popular resistance, that expedient which appears to have been the most generally successful, is, to vest the sovereign, as the representative of the society, with that property in the land which belongs to the society; and the sovereign parcels it out to individuals, with all those powers of ownership, which are regarded as most favourable to the extraction from the land of those benefits which it is calculated to yield. When a sovereign takes possession of a country by conquest, he naturally appropriates to himself all the benefits which the ideas of his soldiers permit.

In many of the rude parts of Africa, the property of the land is understood to reside in the sovereign; it is in the shape of a donation from him, that individuals are allowed to cultivate; and when the son, as is generally the case, succeeds to the father, it is only by a prolongation of the royal bounty, which, in some places at least, is

multumque sunt in venationibus." Csesar. *De Bell. Gal. lib. iv. cap. 1* Among some tribes of negroes on the coast of Africa, each individual must obtain the consent of the chief before he has liberty to cultivate a field, and is only protected in its possession till he has reaped the crop for which he has toiled. *Histoire Generale des Voyages, torn. v. ch. vii. sect. 6.* "Neque quisquam agri modum certura, aut fines proprios habet; sed magistrates ac principes, in annos singulos, gentibus cognationibusque hominum qui una colorant quantum et quo loco visum est agri attribuunt; atque anno post, alio transire cogunt." Caesar. *De Bello Gallico, lib. vi. cap. 20.*

.....Rigidi Getae,
 Immetata quibus jugera liberae
 Fruges et Cererem ferunt,
 Nee cultura placet longior annua;
 Defunctumque laboribus

AEquali recreat sorte vicarius.—Hor. lib. iil Od. 24.

¹ Yet this is evidently the familiar principle of the Hindu law, the land is his who clears it, not for a year, or for any given time, but for ever; there is no limitation.—W.

not obtained without a formal solicitation.¹ It is known, that in Egypt the king was the sole proprietor of the land; and one-fifth of the produce appears to have been yielded to him as a revenue or rent.² Throughout the Ottoman dominions, the Sultan claims to himself the sole property in land.³ The same has undoubtedly been the situation of Persia, both in ancient and modern times.⁴ "It is established," says the late intelligent Governor of Java, "from every source of inquiry, that the sovereign in Java is the lord of the soil."⁵ And when the fact is established in regard to Java, it is established with regard to all that part of the eastern islands, which in point of manners and civilization resembled Java. It is not dis-

BOOK II.
CHAP. v.

¹ *Histoire Générale des Voyages*, tom. iv. ch. xlii. p. 203. *Modern Universal History*, vol. xvii. p. 322. I am induced to transcribe the following passage from Mr. Park; "Concerning property in the soil; it appeared to me that the lands and native woods were considered as belonging to the king, or (where the government was not monarchical) to the state. When any individual of free condition had the means of cultivating more land than he actually possessed, he applied to the chief man of the district, who allowed him an extension of territory, on condition of forfeiture, if the lands were not brought into cultivation by a given period. The condition being fulfilled, the soil became vested in the possessor; and, for aught that appeared to me, descended to his heirs." *Travels in Africa*, p. 260, 261.

"All the land is said to belong to the king; but if a man chooses to clear a spot and erect a town, he may: the land is free for any of the people. If a stranger, indeed, that is, an European, should wish to settle among them, he must make a present of goods to the king." Correspondence of John Kizell, on the state of the people on the river Sherbro, Appendix to the Sixth Report of the African Institution, p. 133.

² Herodot. lib. ii. cap. cix, says, that Sesostria, as he was told by the priests, divided all the land of Egypt among the people, and thence raised his revenues, imposing an annual tribute on each portion; καὶ ἀπὸ τούτων τὰς πόλεις ἐκοινοῦσθαι, ἐπιτάξας ἀποφορὴν εὐτελεῖν κατ' ἐνιαυτόν. See too, Strabo, lib. xvii. p. 1125. Diod. Sic. lib. i. sect. 2. cap. xxiv.

³ Volney's *Travels in Syria and Egypt*, vol. ii. p. 402, et passim. De l'Égypte, par le Général Reynier, p. 66, 61.

⁴ For information on this point, see Herodot. lib. iii.; lib. iv. cap. xlii.; Sir William Ouseley's Translation of Ebn Haukal, an Arabian geographer, who lived in the tenth century, p. 137; Institutes of Timur; Ayeen Akbery; Chardin's *Travels*.

⁵ Gov. Raffles' *Minute on Java*, p. 6; also, p. 79, 108. The distribution of the land among the Peruvians was as follows: One-third part of it was dedicated to, and cultivated for, the gods; that is, the priests. Another third part the Inca reserved for himself, for the maintenance of his court and of his armies. The remaining third he distributed to the people, assigning an established portion to each family. "But no particular man," (says Acosta, *Nat. and Mor. Hist. of the Indies*, book VI. ch. xv.), "possessed any thing proper to himself of this third portion, neither did the Indians ever possess any, if it were not by special grace from the Inca." Garcilasso de la Vega tells us (part I. book V. ch. i.), that it was only when there was more land than sufficed for the people, that the Inca and the Sun received their full thirds; when that was not the case, these portions were diminished to augment to the proper proportion that of the people. See too Carli, *Lettres sur l'Amérique*, let. xv. For great services land was given in full property; Acosta, book VI. ch. xviii.; and this is another remarkable coincidence with what existed in Hindustan.

BOOK II. puted that in China the whole property of the soil is
CHAP. V. vested in the Emperor.¹ By the laws of the Welsh, in
the ninth century, all the land of the kingdom was de-
clared to belong to the king;² and we may safely, says
Mr. Turner, believe, that the same law prevailed while
the Britons occupied the whole island.³

To those who contemplate the prevalence of this institu-
tion, among nations contiguous to the Hindus, and resembling
them in the state of civilisation, it cannot appear surprising,
that among them, too, the sovereign was the lord of the
soil. The fact is, indeed, very forcibly implied, in many
of the ancient laws and institutions. "Of old hoards,"
says one of the ordinances of Menu, "and precious mine-
rals in the earth, the king is entitled to half by reason of
his general protection, and because he is the superior lord

¹ Abbé Grosier, Desc. de la Chine; but Mr. Barrow's testimony is the most direct and satisfactory. "The emperor," says he, "is considered as the sole proprietary of the soil, but the tenant is never turned out of possession as long as he continues to pay his rent, which is calculated at about one-tenth of what his farm is capable of yielding; and though the holder of lands can only be considered as a tenant at will, yet it is his own fault if he should be dispossessed." Barrow's China, p. 307.

² Leges Wallice, Hoel. cap. 337.

³ Turner's History of the Anglo-Saxons, vol. ii. chap. iii.—M.

The greater part of the text and of the notes here is wholly irrelevant. The illustrations drawn from Mohammedan practice, supposing them to be correct, have nothing to do with the laws and rights of the Hindus. They are not, however, even accurate; and Mr. Mill's guides have misled him. According to the Mohammedan law a conquered country is, at the moment of its subjugation, at the disposal of the conqueror; he may then either partition it amongst his followers, or allow the inhabitants to retain it on payment of a fixed portion of the produce. In either case he relinquishes the right of the soil acquired by conquest, and no other is admitted. Such was the state of things in Hindustan. Galloway on the Constitution of India, p. 31.

With regard to the right of the Hindu Raja, it is by no means analogous to those of the rulers of Egypt, or of Turkey, or of Africa, supposing them to be accurately stated in the text; and the texts which have been conceived to warrant such an inference are wrongly interpreted or understood. He is not lord of the "soil," he is lord of the earth, of the whole earth or kingdom, not of any parcel or allotment of it; he may punish a cultivator for neglect, in order to protect his acknowledged share of the crop; and when he gives away lands and villages, he gives away his share of the revenue. No donee would ever think of following up such a donation by actual occupancy, he would be re-moted if he did. The truth is, that the rights of the king are a theory, an abstraction; poetically and politically speaking, he is the lord, the master, the protector of the earth (Prithvi pati, Bhūmīswara, Bhūmīpati), just as he is the lord, the master, the protector of men (Narapati, Nārāṇswara, Nripa). Such is the purport of the common title of a king; but he is no more the actual proprietor of the soil than he is of his subjects; they need not his permission to buy it or to sell it, or to give it away, and would be very much surprised and aggrieved if the king or his officers were to buy or sell or give away the ground which they cultivated. In a subsequent page, the author is forced to admit, that all which is valuable in the soil, after the deduction of what is due to the sovereign, belongs of incontestable right to the Indian landowner. p. 224.—W.

of the **soil**.¹ The king, as proprietor, and as fully entitled to an equitable return for the land which he has let, is empowered to punish the cultivator for bad cultivation. "If land be injured, by the fault of the farmer himself, as if he fails to sow it in due time, he shall be fined ten times as much as the king's share of the crop, that might otherwise have been raised; but only five times as much, if it was the fault of his servants without his knowledge."² Among other ancient memorials of Hindu institutions and manners, are certain inscriptions engraved on durable materials. Some of them are records of grants of land, commonly to favourite Brahmens; and they afford strong indication of the proprietary rights of the sovereign. The sovereign gives away villages and lands, not empty, but Already occupied by cultivators, and paying rent.³ It Appears from an ordinance of Yagyawalcya, one of the most sacred of the law sages, that the kings alienated their lands within their dominions, in the same manner, and by the same title, as they alienated any portion of their revenues.⁴ On this point, it is of material importance to

BOOK II.
CHAP. V.

¹ Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 39. I have here substituted the word *supreme* for the word *paramours*, used by Sir William Jones, which has no meaning but as it relates to the feudal institutions of Europe, and is calculated to convey an erroneous idea.

² Laws of Menu, ch. viii. 243.

³ See a royal grant of land, engraved on a copper plate, bearing date twenty-three years before Christ; and discovered among the ruins at Mongulur, translated by Mr. Wilkins, *Asiat. Researches*, i. 123. "Be it known," says the inscription (p. 126), "that I have given the above-mentioned town of Meseka, whose limits include the fields where the cattle graze, above and below the surface, with all the lands belonging to it, together with all the Mango and Modhoo trees; all its waters, and all their banks and verdure; all its rents, all its tolls and fines for crimes, and rewards for catching thieves. In it there shall be no molestation, no passage for troops," &c. It is here remarkable that the sovereign, as well as the proprietary, rights are given away; so indissolubly were these united in the minds and institutions of the Hindus. In the same manner in another grant of land found at Tanna, and bearing date An. Christi, 1618, the land is given away "with its herbage, wood, and water, and with power of punishing for the ten crimes." *Asiat. Researches*, i. 364.

⁴ Let a king, having given land, or assigned revenue, cause his gift to be written for the information of good princes, who will succeed him, either on prepared cloth, or on a plate of copper, sealed above with his signet; having described his ancestors and himself, the dimensions or quantity of the gift, with its metes and bounds, if it be land, and set his own hand to it, and specified the time, let him render his donation firm." See the original, and the translation of Sir Wm. Jones, *Asiat. Res.* iii. 50.

The Digest of Hindu Law, translated by Colebrooke (l. 460), declares, "By conquest, the earth became the property of the holy Parame Rama, by gift, the property of the sage Casyapa; and, committed by him to Kahstriyas, for the sake of protection, became their protective property successively held by powerful conquerors, and not by subjects, cultivating the soil." It further appears, from the same passage, that by agreement with the sovereign, and

BOOK II. remark, that, up to the time when the interests of the
 CHAP. V. Company's servants led them to raise a controversy about the rights of the Zemindars, every European visitor, without one exception that I have found, agrees in the opinion, that the sovereign was the owner of the soil.¹

not otherwise, a tenure of more than one year may be required; but without such agreement, the cultivator might be turned away at the end of every year if a larger rent was offered by any other. It was highly necessary to quote this passage, though it is affirmed by Col. Wilks, to be a law manufactured by the complaisant Brahmens who made the Digest, on purpose to suit the opinions of the ruling power, at that time in love with the Zemindarry system. Col. Wilks affirms, that there is nothing whatsoever which the Brahmens cannot make to be law, on a similar occasion. And it is at least certain, that part of what they give as law has been proved to be at variance with all that appears, either of their present or ancient institutions.

"That there were no hereditary estates in India; for that all the land belonged to the king, which he disposed of at pleasure." Persian authority, quoted by Stewart, Hist. of Bengal, p. 132.

It is proper to adduce the more remarkable instances. The ancient Greeks who visited India expressly inform us, that the kings were the sole proprietors of the soil, and that a fourth part of the produce was actually paid them in kind as the rent or tribute. Strabo, lib. xv. p. 1030. Diod. Sic. lib. 11. p. 53.

"Diodorus, Strabo, the voyagers and travellers of later times, without any exception that has fallen within the scope of my limited reading, the authors of the Lettres Edifiantes, and the European travellers who visited the court of Aurungzebe in the latter part of the seventeenth century, Bernier, Thevenot, Chardin, Tavernier, and, I believe, Manouchi, are unanimous in denying the existence of private landed property in India." Wilks, Hist. Sketches, p. 114.

"In revenue, the Emperor doubtless exceeds either Turk or Persian, or any eastern prince; the sums I dare not name, but the reason. All the land is his, no man has a foot." Sir T. Koe to the Archbishop of Canterbury, Churchill, 1. 803.

"*Toutes les terres du royaume,*" says Bernier, "*estant en propre au roi,*" &c. Suite de Mém. sur l'Emp. du Grand Mogul, t. ii. p. 19. See, also, p. 150, 174, 179: at p. 180, he makes the following remark; "*Ces trois États, Turquie, Perse, et l'Hindoustan, comme ils ont tous osté ce Mien et ce Tien, à l'égard des fonds de terre et de la propriété des possessions, qui est le fondement de tout ce qu'il y a de beau et de bon dans le monde, ne peuvent qu'ils ne se ressembant de bien près.*" Montesquieu seems to have been fully aware of this important fact.—"*Les lois des Indes, qui donnent les terres aux princes, et ôtent aux particuliers l'esprit de propriété, augmentent les mauvais effets du climat, c'est à dire, la paresse naturelle.*" Esp. des Loix, liv. xiv. ch. 6.

"All the lands in India are considered as the property of the king, except some hereditary districts possessed by Hindoo princes." Dow's Hindoostan, preface, p. xlii.

"All the lands in the kingdom," says Mr. Orme (Fragments, p. 408) "belong to the king; therefore all the lands in the provinces are subject to the Nabob. With him, or his representatives, farmers agree for the cultivation of each an extent, on reserving to themselves such a proportion of the produce. This proportion is settled according to the difficulty or ease of raising the grain, and seldom exceeds a third." One-third to the cultivator, and two-thirds to the proprietor, would be accounted a rack-rent in England. Mr. Orme says again, (Ibid. p. 414), "The king, by being proprietor of the lands, sells to his subjects their subsistence, instead of receiving supplies from them." Mr. Holwell says (Interesting Historical Events, l. 210), "The rents of the lands are the property of the emperor." And again, "The tenures of the ryots are irrevocable, as long as they pay the rent; and by the laws of Hindoostan, they must be twelve months in arrear before they can be ejected." Ibid.

Wherever the Hindus have remained under the influence of their ancient customs and laws, the facts correspond with the inference which would be drawn from these laws. Under the direction of the Governor-General of Bengal, a journey was undertaken, in the year 1766, by Mr. Motte, to the diamond-mines in the province of Orissa. In a narrative of his journey, he gives an account of the distribution of the land at Sumbhulpoor, which till that time had remained under the native government. Each village being rated to the government at a certain quantity of rice, which was paid in kind, the land is thus divided among the inhabitants. To every man, as soon as he arrives at the proper age, is granted such a quantity of arable land as is estimated to produce $242\frac{1}{8}$ measures of rice, of which he must pay $60\frac{5}{8}$ measures, or about one-fourth to the rajah or king. Mr. Motte adds; "The reserved rent of three or four villages, being one-fourth the produce of the land, is applied to the use of the rajah's household. The reserved rent of the rest is given to his relations or principal servants, who, by these means have all the inhabitants dependent on them."¹ Br. Buchanan gives a particular account of the manner in which the crop, in those parts of India which are most purely Hindu, is divided between the inhabitants and the government. In Bengal it is not allowed to be cut down

¹ A Narrative of a Journey to the Diamond Mines of Sumbhulpoor, in the province of Orissa, by Thomas Motte, Esq., *Asiat. Annual Register*, J., Miscellaneous Tracts, p. 75. Mr. Motte further informs us, that every man at Sumbhulpoor is enrolled as a soldier, and is allowed half a measure of rice in the day for his subsistence, while his wife cultivates the farm. He seems to say that this subsistence is given to him by the wife from the produce of the farm.

Sumbhulpoore is a very unfortunate exemplification of the "ancient" system of the Hindus. The town was founded only two centuries before, by an adventurer from Upper India. Mr. Motte terms the government strictly feudal; and this explains the reason of every man's being a soldier, and the principle of the division of the lands, each man holding in fief a grant of land from his liege lord, on condition of military service. It may be doubted if Mr. Motte has given us a complete view of the system, or it would have been found that the military landlords were a distinct class from the people of the country. The latter being not Hindus at all, but Goonds and Bheels; and the former alone being Hindus of the military tribe, or Rajputs, adventurers from which tribe are known to have settled in various uncultivated parts of Chattergher, precisely on the plan of the German invaders of Britain and Gaul; the leader reserving to himself a portion of the conquered land, and distributing the rest amongst his retainers. Such a system is a very different thing from that delineated by Mann. Under any circumstances, however, it would have been most unreasonable to have had recourse to Sumbhulpoore, for an illustration of the ancient laws of the Hindus, as it is the capital of a district, the greater part of which is inaccessible mountain, and impervious thicket, and in which most of the inhabitants live in a state little more civilised than that of the savages of Australia.—W.

BOOK II. till the rent or tax is first paid; but in those countries to
 CHAP. V. which his journey principally relates, it is the custom, after the grain has been thrashed out in the field, to collect it into heaps and then to divide it. A heap generally consists of about 110 Winchester bushels, of which he presents the following distribution as a specimen of the partition which is usually made. For the gods, that is, for the priests at their temples, are deducted five seers, containing about one-third of a Winchester gallon each; for charity, or for the mendicant Brahmens, an equal quantity; for the astrologer and the Brahmen of the village, one seer each: for the barber, the potmaker, the washerman, and the Vasaradava, who is both carpenter and blacksmith, two seers each; for the measurer, four seers; for the Aduca, a kind of beadle, seven seers; for the village chief, eight seers, out of which he has to furnish the village sacrifices; and for the accomptant ten seers. All these perquisites are the same, whatever be the size of the heap beyond a measure of about twenty-five Winchester bushels. When these allowances are withdrawn, the heap is measured; and for every candaca which it contains, a measure equal to 5,1-20th Winchester bushels, there is again deducted half a seer to the village watchmen, two and a half seers to the accomptant, as much to the chief of the village; and the bottom of the heap, about an inch thick, mixed with the cow-dung which in order to purify it had been spread on the ground, is given to the Nirgunty, or conductor of water. These several deductions, on a heap of twenty candaoas, or 110 Winchester bushels, amount to about 5¼ per cent, on the gross produce. Of the remainder, 10 per cent, is paid to the collectors of the revenue, as their wages or hire; and the heap is last of all divided into halves between the king and the cultivators.

From these facts only one conclusion can be drawn, that the property of the soil resided in the sovereign; for if it did not reside in him, it will be impossible to show to whom it belonged. The cultivators were left a bare compensation, often not so much as a bare compensation, for the

¹ Buchanan's *Journey through the Mysore, etc.*, i. 2, 3, 120, 104, 265. "This simple mode of rating lands for half their yearly produce is derived from the remotest antiquity in different parts of Hindustan, and still invariably prevails in such countries as were left unsubdued by the Mahomedans, like Tanjore, where the ancient Indian forms of administration are, for the most part, preserved entire." *British India Analyzed*, i. 198.

labour and cost of cultivation; they got the benefit of their labour: all the benefit of the land went to the king.¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. V.

Upon the state of facts, in those places where the present practices of the Hindus have not been forced into conformity with their ancient institutions, the fullest light has been thrown, by those servants of the Company who made the inquiries requisite for the introduction of a regular system of finance into the extensive regions in the south of India added to the British dominions during the administrations of the Marquisses Cornwallis and Wellesley. Place, Munro, Thackeray, Hodgson, were happily men of talents; sufficiently enlightened to see things which were before them with their naked eyes; and not through the mist of English anticipations. From the reports of these meritorious gentlemen, presented to their superiors, the Committee of the House of Commons, which inquired into East India affairs in 1810, have drawn the following as a general picture: "A village, geographically considered, is a tract of country, comprising some hundreds, or thousands, of acres of arable and waste land. Politically viewed, it resembles a corporation or township. Its proper establishment of officers and servants consists of the following descriptions: The *Potail*, or head inhabitant, who has the general superintendence of the affairs of the village, settles the disputes of the inhabitants, attends to the police, and performs the duty of collecting the revenues within his village: The *Cumum*, who keeps the accounts of cultivation, and registers every thing connected with it: The *Tallier* and *Totie*; the duty of the former appearing to consist in a wider and more enlarged sphere of action, in gaining information of crimes and offences, and in escorting and protecting persons travelling from one village to another; the province of the latter appearing to be more immediately confined to the

¹ The missionary Dubois, with his singular opportunities of correct information, says peremptorily: "Creditors can have no hold on the real estate of their debtors, because the Hindus have no property in the soil. The lands which they cultivate are the domain of the prince, who is the sole proprietor. He can resume them at his pleasure, and give them to another to cultivate. Even the huts in which they live, built of mud and covered with thatch, are not their own. All belongs to the prince; and if a man, for any reason whatever, quits his habitation in the village, he can by no means dispose of it to another, although it were constructed by his own hands. The only property they possess is their few cows and buffaloes; and upon these no creditor is allowed to lay his hands; because, if deprived of his cattle, he would be unable to cultivate the land, whence an injury would accrue to the prince." Description, etc., of the People of India, by the Abbé Dubois, p. 496.

BOOK II. village, consisting, among other duties, in guarding the crops, and assisting in measuring them: The *Boundary-man*, who preserves the limits of the village, or gives evidence respecting them in cases of dispute: The *Superintendent of watercourses and tanks*, who distributes the water for the purposes of agriculture: The *Brahmen*, who performs the village worship: The *Schoolmaster*, who is seen teaching the children in the villages to read and write in the sand: The *Calendar Brahmen*, or astrologer, who proclaims the lucky, or unpropitious periods for sowing and thrashing: The *Smith and Carpenter*, who manufacture the implements of agriculture, and build the dwelling of the ryot: The *Potman* or potter: The *Washerman*: The *Barber*: The *Cow-keeper*, who looks after the cattle: The *Doctor*: The *Dancing Girl*, who attends at rejoicings: The *Musician*, and the *Poet*.

"Under this simple form of municipal government, the inhabitants of the country have lived, from time immemorial. The boundaries of the villages have been seldom altered: and though the villages themselves have been sometimes injured, and even desolated by war, famine, and disease, the same name, the same limits, the same interests « and even the same families, have continued for ages. The inhabitants give themselves no trouble about the breaking up and division of kingdoms; while the village remains entire, they care not to what power it is transferred, or to* what sovereign it devolves; its internal economy remains unchanged; the Potail is still the head inhabitant, and still acts as the petty judge and magistrate, and collector or renter of the village."¹

These villages appear to have been not only a sort of

¹ Fifth Report, Commit. 1810, p. 85. See, in "Considerations on the State of India," by A. Fraser Tytler, t. 113, a description of a village in Bengal, which shows that the Indian continent was pervaded by this institution.

An association of a similar kind existed among the Mexicans. Robertson's *America*, iii. 283.

Some curious strokes of resemblance appear in the following particulars of the Celtic manners, in the highlands and islands of Scotland. "The peculiarities which strike the native of a commercial country, proceeded in a great measure from the want of money. To the servants and dependants, that were not domestics, were appropriated certain portions of land for their support. Macdonald has a piece of ground yet, called the *herd's*, or *senachie's* field. When a beef was killed for the house, particular parts were claimed as fees by the several officers, or workmen. The head belonged to the smith, and the udder of a cow to the piper; the weaver had likewise his particular part; and so many places followed these prescriptive claims, that the laird's was at last but little." Johnson's *Hebrides*.

small republic, but to have enjoyed to a great degree the community of goods. Mr. Place, the collector in the jaghire district at Madras, informs us, that "Every village considers itself a distinct society; and its general concerns the sole object of the inhabitants at large: a practice," he adds, "which surely redounds as much to the public good as theirs; each having, in some way or other, the assistance of the rest; the labours of all yield the rent; they enjoy the profit, proportionate to their original interest, and the loss falls light. It consists exactly with the principles upon which the advantages are derived from the division of labour; one man goes to market, while the rest attend to the cultivation and the harvest; each has his particular occupation assigned to him, and insensibly labours for all. Another practice very frequently prevails, of each proprietor changing his lands every year. It is found in some of the richest villages; and intended, I imagine, to obviate that inequality to which a fixed distribution would be liable."¹

The state of taxation is described by the same committee, in the following terms: "By the custom of the Hindu govern* meat, the cultivators were entitled to one half of the paddy produce (that is, grain in the husk) depending on the periodical rains. Of the crops from the dry grain lands, watered by artificial means, the share of the cultivator was about two thirds. ' Before the harvest commenced, the quantity of the crop was ascertained, in the presence of the inhabitants and village servants, by the survey of persons unconnected with the village, who, from habit, were particularly skilful and expert in judging of the amount of the produce, and who, in the adjustment of this business, were materially aided by a reference to the produce of former years, as recorded by the accountants of the villages. The quantity which belonged to the government being thus ascertained, it was received in kind, or in money." Of garden produce, of which the culture was more difficult, a smaller portion was taken; because, if field culture was taxed as much as it could bear, it seems to have been supposed that garden culture, at an equal rate of taxation, could not have been carried on.

"Such," continue the committee, "were the rights of the ryots, according to the ancient usage of the country. In consequence, however, of the changes introduced by the

¹ Fifth Report, at *supra*, p. 728.

BOOK II Mohamedan conquest, and the many abuses which later times had established, the share really enjoyed by the ryots was often reduced to a sixth, and but seldom exceeded a fifth. The assessments had no bounds but those which limited the supposed ability of the husbandman. The effects of this unjust system were considerably augmented by the custom which had become common with the Zemindars, of sub-renting their lands to farmers, whom they armed with unrestricted powers of collection, and who were thus enabled to disregard, whenever it suited their purpose, the engagements they entered into with the ryots; besides practising every species of oppression, which an unfeeling-motive of self-interest could suggest. If they agreed with the cultivators at the commencement of the year, for a rent in money, and the season proved an abundant one, they then insisted on receiving their dues in kind. When they did take their rents in specie, they hardly ever failed to collect a part of them before the harvest-time had arrived and the crops were cut; which reduced the ryots to the necessity of borrowing from money-lenders, at a heavy interest of 3, 4, and 5 per cent, per month, the sums requisite to make good the anticipated payments that were demanded of them. If, from calamity or other cause, the ryots were the least remiss in the discharge of their rents, the officers of the renters were instantly quartered upon them; and these officers they were obliged to maintain, until they might be recalled on the demand being satisfied. It was also a frequent practice with the renters to remove the inhabitants from fertile lands, in order to bestow them on their friends and favourites; and to oblige the ryots to assist them, where they happened to be farmers, in the tilling of their lands; and to furnish them gratuitously with labourers, bullocks, carts, and straw.¹

The two terms, Ryot and Zemindar, introduced into this passage, are of frequent recurrence in the history of India and require to be explained. By ryots are always denoted the husbandmen; the immediate cultivators of the ground. The Persian term Zemindar, introduced by the Mahomedan conquerors, was in Bengal, and certain other parts of India the name of a certain sort of middleman, between the cultivator who raised the crop, and the king, who received the

¹ Fifth Report, at-supra, p. 81, 82.

greater part of the net produce. Into the controversy respecting the nature of the interest which the Zemindar possessed in the land with respect to which he performed his function of middleman, I shall not at present enter. Another occasion will present itself for the examination of that subject. It is here sufficient to say, that in districts sometimes of greater, sometimes of less extent, a person, under the title of Zemindar, received the share of the produce, which was exacted from the ryot; either by himself, or the persons to whom he farmed the receipts; and paid it over to the sovereign, reserving a prescribed portion to himself. The Zemindar was thus, whatever else he might be, the collector of the revenue for the district to which he belonged. As the receipt of revenue, in a rude state of government, is a business most dear to the governors, the Zemindar, in order the better to secure this favourite end, was vested with a great share of the powers of government. He was allowed the use of a military force; the police of the district was placed in his hands; and he was vested with the civil branch of judicature. When his district was large, he was a sort of petty prince. In various parts of India, however, the collection of the revenue had never become fixed and hereditary in the hands of an individual, and the business was transacted between the immediate cultivators, and a man who possessed none but the characteristics of an immediate officer of government.

The committee say, that a rate of taxation much more severe than that which existed under the Hindu governments was introduced by the Mohamedan rulers, and amid the abuses of modern times. For this opinion they have no authority whatsoever. It is, therefore, a mere prejudice. The rate which they mention goes far beyond the scale of the ancient ordinances: And what reason is there to believe that the ancient Hindu governments did not, as the Mohamedan, levy assessments to the utmost limits, of the supposed ability of the ryots? In those parts of India which Europeans have found still remaining under Hindu governments, the state of the people is worse, if there is any difference, than where they have been subject to the Mohamedan sway.¹

¹ For this opinion, the writer "has no authority whatever." The contrary opinion, formed by individuals of high talent, and ample opportunities of

BOOK II. The rate established in the ancient ordinances has been
 CHAP. V. regarded as evidence of mild taxation, that is, of good government. It only proves that agriculture was in its earliest, and most unproductive state; and though it paid little, could not afford to pay any more.¹ We may assume it as a principle, in which there is no room for mistake, that a government constituted and circumstanced as that of the Hindus, had only one limit to its exactions, the non-existence of any thing further to take.² Another thing is certain, that under any state of cultivation, but the very worst, if the whole except a sixth of the produce of a soil, so rich as that of Hindustan, had been left with the cultivator, he must have had the means of acquiring wealth, and of attaining rank and consequence; but these it is well ascertained that the ryots in India never enjoyed.³

Notwithstanding these proofs that the ownership in the land was reserved to the king, this conclusion has been disputed, in favour, 1st, of the Zemindars, and 2ndly, of the Ryots. The question with regard to the Zemindars may be reserved till that period of the history, when it was agitated for the sake of practical proceedings on the part of

observation, is authority. In the south of India, Hindu governments have all along been extant, as well as Mohammedan; and in the contrast between the two, the officers, whose statements are so completely disregarded, speak not from report, but from personal knowledge. To say of their deliberate affirmation, therefore, it is mere prejudice, without being able to produce any proof to that effect, is an irrational rejection of unexceptionable testimony, of which Mr. Mill would not have been guilty, had not his own prejudices been too strong for his judgment.—W.

¹ By the same rule, the Turkish government would be ranked as excellent. It takes little; but the reason is, there is nothing more which it can take. The ancient assessment on the cultivator in Persia was one-tenth; but in the days of the Indian Emperor Akbar, he was, by one means or other, made to pay more than a half. Ayeen Akbery, Ed. in 4to. p. 248.

² Why this principle should be taken for granted, does not appear; the contrary inference is the more probable one. The manners of the Rajas were simple: they kept up no expensive state. They were subject to fixed laws, controlled by Brahmanical influence, military independence, and popular opinion. There is no reason to believe that they ever levied to the utmost.—W.

³ The population in India, through so many ages, must have been kept down by excess of exaction. Even in the richest parts of India, one-half of the soil has never been under cultivation.—M.

This is a bold assertion. What proof is there that in the richest parts of India, one-half of the soil has ~~never~~ been cultivated? It is not true of the present day, that half the richest parts of Bengal are not in cultivation; and there is reason to believe, that in former times, much of the country was exceedingly populous. Greek writers talk of a thousand cities in the Punjab alone; and remains of towns and vestiges of habitations are found in many parts of India, now covered with jungle. There is no reason to believe that the population in India was always depressed, or that it was kept down by excess of exaction.—W.

the government. The question with regard to the Ryots belongs peculiarly to this part of the work.

BOOK II.

CHAP. V.

The circumstances, which appear to have misled the intelligent Europeans who have misinterpreted this part of the Hindu institutions, are two; first, the tenure of the ryot or husbandman; and secondly, the humane and honourable anxiety, lest the interests and the happiness of the most numerous class of the population should be sacrificed, if the sovereign were acknowledged as owner of the soil.

But, if this acknowledgment were ever so complete, it is inconsistent neither with the tenure which is claimed in favour of the ryots, nor with the means of their prosperity and happiness. And if it were, the acknowledgment of its previous existence would be no bar to a preferable arrangement; since the sovereign can have a right to nothing which is injurious to his people.

In a situation in which the revenue of the sovereign was increased in proportion to the number of cultivators, and in which a great proportion of the land continued void of cultivators, there would be a competition, not of cultivators for the land, but of the land for cultivators. If a ryot cultivated a piece of ground, and punctually paid his assessment, the sovereign would be far from any wish to remove him, because it would be difficult to supply his place. If the ryot sold the ground to another ryot, or left it to a successor, that is, put another in his place who would fulfil the wishes of the sovereign, he, whose source of fear was the want of a cultivator, had still cause for satisfaction; and seldom, if ever, interfered.

By custom, the possession of the ryot became, in this manner, a permanent possession; whence he was not removed except when he failed to pay his assessment or rent; a possession which he could sell during his life; or leave by inheritance when he died. As far as rights can be established by prescription, these rights were established in India in favour of the ryots. And no violation of property is more flagrant than that by which the tenure of the ryot is annulled.

But, according even to European ideas, a right to cultivate the land under these, and still greater advantages, is not understood to transfer the ownership of the land.

BOOK II. The great estates in Ireland, for example, let under leases
 CHAP. V. perpetually renewable, are vendible and heritable by the leaseholders, without affecting the ownership of their lords; subject, moreover, to a very important restriction, from which the sovereigns in India were free;¹ the lords of such estates cannot raise their rents at pleasure; the sovereigns in India enjoyed this privilege, and abused it to excess. The sovereigns in India had not only the ownership, but all the benefit of the land; the ryots had merely the privilege of employing their labour always upon the same soil, and of transferring that privilege to some other person; the sovereign claimed a right to as much of the produce as he pleased, and seldom left to the ryots more than a very scanty reward for their labour.

That ownership in the land justified this extent of exaction, or implies a valid title to any power at variance with the interests of the ryots, is an erroneous inference. Without violating its obligations to the people, a government cannot spend any sum, beyond what is strictly necessary for the performance of the services, which it is destined to render: and it is justified in taking even this sum exclusively from the cultivators of the land, only if that is the mode in which all the qualities desirable in a financial system are the most completely realized.

Those who contend for the privileges of the ryots would no doubt observe, that in this mode of interpretation, we reduce the ownership of the sovereign to an empty name; and that to the admission of it, thus understood, they see nothing to object. The controversy is then at a close. The ownership of the sovereign in the soil, wherever it exists, is, by the principles which constitute the very foundation of government, reduced to the limits above described. And it is no less certain, that all which is valuable in the soil, after the deduction of what is due to the sovereign belongs of incontestable right to the Indian husbandman.²

¹ It is remarkable, that the king's tenants in ancient demesne were, in England, perpetual, on the same condition as the ryots in India. *A gleba amoveri non poterint, quamdiu solvere possunt debitas pensiones. Bracton, lib. 1. cap. 11.*

² The following quotations will show how completely these deductions accord with the facts which the late perfect investigation has elicited. Mr. Thackeray, in his general report, remarks, "All this peninsula, except, perhaps, only Canara, Malabar, and a few other provinces, has exhibited, from time immemorial, but one system of land-revenue. The land has been considered the property of the Circar [government], and of the ryots." The

The Hindu mode of raising the revenue of the state, BOOK II.
wholly, or almost wholly, by taking as much as necessary CHAP. V.

interest in the soil has been divided between these two; but the ryots have possessed little more interest than that of being hereditary tenants. If any persons have a claim to participate with government in the property of the soil, it is the ryots." (Fifth Report, *ut supra*, p. 922.) These ideas, and even the very words, have been adopted in the Report of the Board of Revenue. *Ibid.* p. 896. "Lands," says Mr. Place, "cannot be alienated without a written instrument; because both the sovereign and the subject have a mutual property in them. Each, however, may alienate his own, and the other is not affected. The sovereign may part with his interest in them: but the usufructuary right remains with the subject. And all that the latter can sell, mortgage, or give away, is the enjoyment of the profit, after paying what is due to the sovereign." (*Ibid.* p. 718.) Mr. Harris, in his report on Tanjore, informs us, "A mecrassadar (ryot) disposes of his station in any manner he pleases. He disposes of it, too, and quits, without being bound to give, to any one, notice of his transfer and departure. Like him, his successor superintends its cultivation, and pays its revenue. Government know nothing of his relinquishment; and if they knew of it, they would not care about it here, as in Europe. The proprietorship of the land belongs to government or the landlord; and he who is intrusted with the duty of making it productive, lives upon it and cultivates it, so long as he pays its revenue, and no longer. But this occupation of it, while the superior is satisfied, has been converted by the mecrassadar into a right. They have made the right a property; and they retain, sell, lend, give, or mortgage, according to their inclination, the whole or any part of it." (*Ibid.* 829.) Even Mr. Hodgson, who is an advocate for raising the revenue through the instrumentality of Zemindars, affirms the rights of the cultivators to be incontestable. "I make," says he, "the following inductions: 1st. that the cultivators have a right, everywhere, to pay a fixed tax for the land they occupy; 2ndly. that they have the right, universally, to occupy this land, so long as they pay the standard rent; 3rdly. that they have the right to sell or transfer, by deed, gift, or otherwise, the land they occupy, subject always to the condition of paying the standard rent; 4thly. that they exercise the right, stated in the third position, wherever the standard rent has not been increased, so as to absorb all the profit on cultivation, or arable land is sufficiently scarce to be of value in the acquisition." (*Ibid.* 979.)

If the writer means, by saying that the cultivator had a *right* to pay no more than a fixed rent, that it would have been right or good to pay only in that manner, I maintain the same doctrine; but if he means that the cultivator ever enjoyed this right, the proposition is far from true. In every other respect I assent to the propositions of Mr. Hodgson. I also agree with him when he says: "Provided the property in private estates, that is, the standard rent, and no more, be paid by these owners of private estates, I hold it to be a matter of very secondary importance to them, whether the rent is demanded of them by the ancient rajahs or polygars, the officers of Bijnuggur or Bednore government, the rajah coorg, the talahdars of the Company, or the (to be created) zemindars of the Company." (*Ibid.* 980.) The collector of Tanjore also thinks it not worth inquiring what ownership the sovereign has, provided the usufruct of the ryot is well defined and secured. (*Ib.* 831.) See Hodgson again to the same effect. (*Ib.* p. 926.) We are informed by Mr. Park, that in Africa, when a permission to cultivate a spot of ground has been granted by the sovereign, it is not resumed, while the revenue or rent is paid. (*Travels*, p. 261.) In China, Mr. Barrow assures us, that the cultivator, though in reality a tenant at will, is never dispossessed, but when he fails to discharge the stated engagements. "So accustomed," he adds, "are the Chinese to consider the estate as their own, while they continue to pay the rent, that a Portuguese in Macao had nearly lost his life for endeavouring to raise the rent upon his Chinese tenants." (*Travels in China*, p. 237.) Dr. Buchanan says, "The ryots or farmers have no property in the ground; but it is not usual to turn any man away, so long as he pays the customary rent. Even in the reign of Tippec, such an act would have been looked upon as an astonishing grievance." (*Journey through Mysore, &c.*, i. 124.) "The genius and ten-

BOOK II.
CHAP. V.

of the rent of the land, while it is the obvious expedient which first presents itself to the rudest minds, has no inconsiderable recommendation from science itself. Previous to allotment, the productive powers of the soil are the joint property of the community; and hence are a fund peculiarly adapted to the joint or common purposes and demands. If the whole of what is strictly rent were taken away, the application of labour and capital to the land would resemble the application of labour and capital to wood or iron; and the same principles, in both cases, would determine their reward.

But as the expense required for the services of government exceeds not a very small portion of the rent of the land, unless where the quantity was very minute, the greatest possible benefit is derived from the productive powers of the soil, when it is the property of individuals. The benefits of the soil have, accordingly, over the greater part of the globe, been employed, first, to supply in whole, or for the greater part, the necessities of government, next to enrich the individual occupant. The most remarkably exception to this rule is in modern Europe. After the conquests of the Gothic nations, the land was thrown in great portions into the hands of the leading men: and they had power to make the taxes fall where they chose; they took care accordingly that they should fall any where rather than upon the land; that is, upon any body rather than themselves. Further, as their influence over the sovereign made him glad to share with them what he derived from the taxes, they not only threw the burden off their own shoulders, but taxed, as they have continued to do, and sometimes in a progressive ratio, to the present hour, the rest of the community for their benefit.

deacy of all Hindu institutions is, to render offices, as well as property, hereditary." (Wilks's Hist. Sketches, p. 221.) "The king is the general heir of all his subjects; but when there are children to inherit, they are seldom deprived of their father's estate." (Dow's Hindostan, pref. p. xiii.) *Η βασις τας κοινωγας αλλ' ουδεν ετρου τας κτησεις αυτης αυτης εστι τας βασιως;* (Dio Chrysostom. Orat. 31. in Rhodiac.) Anquetil Duperron was the first of the Europeans who maintained that the ownership of the land was vested in the ryots. He has written a discourse upon the subject, in his work entitled, *Recherches Historiques et Géographiques sur l'Inde*. He proves what is now acknowledged, that a man might dispose of his farm, and was seldom turned out of it, while he continued to pay his taxes or rent. There is a learned and able chapter, in support of the same opinion in "Historical Sketches of the South of India, by Col. Wilks."

The objections to the Hindu system of providing for the expenses of government, arise rather from the mode, than the essence. BOOK It
CHAP. V.

• By aiming at the receipt of a prescribed portion of the crop of each year; and with a very imperfect distinction Of the lands of different powers, the Hindus incurred most of the evils which a bad method of raising a tax is liable to produce. They rendered the amount of the tax always uncertain, and its pressure very unequal; they rendered necessary a perfect host of tax-gatherers; and opened a boundless inlet to partiality and oppression on the one hand; to fraud and mendacity on the other. A tax consisting of any portion of the gross produce of the soil, raises the price of that produce; because the tax raised from the poorest of the cultivated land must be returned, along with the expense of cultivation, in the exchangeable value of its produce. In this manner a tax is levied upon the consumers of corn, which surpasses the sum paid to the government, and enriches the owners of the best land at the expense of the community.¹

An expensive mode of raising the taxes is a natural effect of a rude state of society. We are informed by Sully, that the receipt into the French exchequer, in the year 1598, was only thirteen millions of French money; while the sum, dragged out of the pockets of the people, was 150 millions. "The thing appeared incredible," says this statesman: "but by the due degree of labour, I made the truth of it certain."² The proportion was doubtless greater in Hindustan.³

Receiving the taxes in kind was a practice which ensured a prodigious expense, and a waste by which nobody gained. Scarcely any other mode seems to have been known to the Hindus in the time of their ancient institutions; and to a great degree it continued down to the latest period of their history.⁴ How rude and inconvenient soever this practice

¹ See a Dissertation on the Principles of Taxation, the most profound, by far, which has yet been given to the world, by David Ricardo, Esq., in his work "On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation."

² Mém. du Sully, liv. xx.

³ It was doubtless much less, the amount was adjusted between the members of the village communities and the superintendent of the district; and the host of collectors never existed, except in the author's imagination.—W.

⁴ Among the Mexicans, says Dr. Robertson, "Taxes were laid upon land, upon the acquisitions of industry, and upon commodities of every kind ex-

HISTORY OF BRITISH INDIA.

BOOK II must be regarded; we find several nations who **make** a considerable figure in the history of the world, who have not in this respect advanced beyond the Hindus. It may not surprise any one, that taxes were raised in kind in the ancient empire of Mexico.¹ The greater part, though not the whole, were raised in the same manner, in Persia, even in the time of Darius Hystaspes;² and the mixture at least, whatever the proportion, continues to the present day.³ The whole revenue of China, with the exception of some trifling articles, is paid in kind.⁴

GHAP. V.

CHAPTER VI.

Religion,

IT is difficult to determine whether the constitution of the government and the provisions of law, or Religion, have, among the Hindus, the greatest influence upon the

posed to sale in the public markets. These duties were considerable, but not arbitrary or unequal. They were imposed according to established rules, and each knew what share of the common burden he had to bear." History of America, iii. 225, 229. The political descriptions of this admired historian are, commonly, by far too general, and thence vague. We cannot suppose that the Mexicans were more skilled in the policy of taxation than the Hindus.

¹ "As the use of money was unknown," says Robertson, (Ibid. p. 296,) "all the taxes were paid in kind, and thus not only the natural productions of all the different provinces in the empire, but every species of manufacture, and every work of ingenuity and art, were collected in the public storehouses." It is worthy of remark, that the same mode of taxing handicrafts and labourers was adopted in Mexico as in Hindustan; "People of inferior condition (Ibid), neither possessing land nor engaged in commerce, were bound to the performances of various services. By their stated labour the crown lands were cultivated, public works were carried on, and the various houses which belonged to the emperor were built and kept in repair.

² It is remarkable that, in Persia, the use even of coined money was unknown till the time of Darius Hystaspes. The portion of tribute that was paid in gold and silver, was received by weight. Herodot. lib. iv. cap. clxvi. Major Rennel, not aware that this was only a portion, and a small portion; of the Persian taxes, is exceedingly puzzled to account for the diminutive amount of the Persian revenues, and at last concludes that "the value of money was incredibly greater at that time than at present." Rennel's Geography of Herodotus, p. 316.

³ Ebn Haukal, translated by Sir William Ouseley, p. 136. Chardin's Travels in Persia.

⁴ Abbe" Grosier, p. 76; Barrow's China, p. 499. Mr. Barrow informs us that a vast number of the vessels on the canals and rivers are employed in conveying the taxes to the capital. Ibid. p. 508. In those countries on the Euxine Sea, which early attained so high a state of civilization, as to have a large export trade in grain, even the custom-house duties, or the taxes on export and import, were levied in kind. We are informed by Demosthenes, Orat. adv. Leptinem, that Leucon, king of Bosphorus, from which Athens derived her principal supplies, levied a duty of one thirtieth in kind upon all the corn shipped in his ports.

RELIGION OF THE HINDUS.

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

lives of individuals, and the operations of society. Beside the causes which usually give superstition a powerful sway in ignorant and credulous ages, the order of priests obtained a greater authority in India than in any other region of the globe; and this again they employed with astonishing success in multiplying and corroborating the ideas on which their power and consequence depended. Every thing in Hindustan was transacted by the Deity. The laws were promulgated, the people were classified, the government was established, by the Divine Being. The astonishing exploits of the Divinity were endless in that sacred land. For every stage of life from the cradle to the grave; for every hour of the day: for every function of nature; for every social transaction, God prescribed a number of religious observances. And meditation upon his incomprehensible attributes, as it was by far the most difficult of all human operations, so was it that glorious occupation which alone prepared the intense votary for the participation of the Divine nature.

Of so extensive and complicated a subject as the religion of the Hindus, a very general view can alone be taken here. All that is interesting to the politician and the philosopher, may, however, it is presumed, be confined within a moderate space. The task is rendered difficult by the unparalleled vagueness which marks the language of the Brahmens respecting the nature of the gods, the vast multiplicity of their fictions, and the endless discrepancy of their ideas. Hence it is, that no coherent system of belief seems capable of being extracted from their wild eulogies and legends; and if he who attempts to study their religion is disposed, like themselves, to build his faith on his imagination, he meets with little obstruction from the stubborn precision of Hindu expressions and tenets.

Nothing is more curious than to trace the ideas concerning Divine power which the natural faculties of our race suggest to them at the various stages of their career. In the very rude and imperfect state in which society originated, the human mind can hardly so far enlarge its views as to draw conclusions respecting the universe. These operations and events of nature, which more immediately concern mankind, and on which their happiness

BOOK II. and misery depend, no doubt engage their eager curiosity;
CHAP. VI. The causes of light and darkness, of drought and rain, of the thunder, of the hurricane, of the earthquake, suggest many an anxious inquiry; but to put all the objects of nature, and all the changes which they undergo, into one group of ideas, and to ask whence did the whole proceed, seems to be an operation too complicated, and too far removed from the ordinary track of his ideas, to be one of the first that takes place in the mind of a barbarian,

With regard to that other class of questions, which more easily occur to him, his nature very readily suggests, an answer. Prior to experience and instruction, there is a propensity in the imagination to endow with life whatever we behold in motion; or, in general, whatever appears to be the cause of any event. A child beats the inanimate object by which it has been hurt, and caresses that by which it has been gratified. The sun, which is the cause of day, the savage regards as a beneficent deity. A spirit resides in the storm; the woods and the waters are peopled with divinities; there is a god of plenty, and a god of want; a god of war, and a god of peace; a god of health, and a god of sickness. That this may be considered as a correct outline of the first religion which is suggested to the human mind, the laws of human nature, and the ideas which are found to prevail among rude tribes, appear sufficiently to evince.

But men are not long in making another step in their religious progress. Having made for themselves a theory with respect to the cause of the *events* which affect them, the origin too of the *things* which they perceive attracts their curiosity; and from asking the cause, first of one great object, and then of another, they come at last to put the general question, What is the cause and origin of the whole? There are very few, therefore, even among the most barbarous nations, who have not made an attempt to account for the origin of the universe, and in whose religious ideas some species of cosmogony is not involved; But, in answering the question respecting the origin of the universe, it is impossible that men should not be guided by their previous ideas. It follows, that among the divinities whom they already adored, He, whom they regarded as the most powerful, should be selected as the Maker of

the world. Were they placed in circumstances of tolerable tranquillity, this potent God would probably be the sun: were they a people almost constantly plunged in the horrors of war, the god of arms would naturally be **their** chief divinity. Hence we see that in many nations of **Asia**, who at an early period seem to have been placed in favourable circumstances, the sun was supreme among the gods, and the great principle of the universe; among the turbulent and warlike tribes who inhabited the north of Europe, Odin, the god of war, was the supreme deity, and author of all things.

The Hindus had made considerable progress beyond the first and lowest stage of human society.¹ It seems common, however, to retain for a long time the ideas which are then implanted; and rather than eradicate the old, to make of them a heterogeneous compound with the new. The Greeks and the Romans did not reject their Jupiter, - and Mars, their gods of the mountains, trees, and rivers, when they rose to more comprehensive views of the universe; they only endeavoured to accommodate to these primary conceptions their new apprehensions and conclusions. In like manner, the Hindus have still their Indra, or the god of firmament, Varuna, or the god of the waters, Rembha, the goddess of love, in the whole, a long and splendid catalogue of thirty-three crore.²

We have translations from the Hindu books of several passages containing accounts of the creation.³ They differ from one another very widely in the minor forms and cir-

- ¹ This is an admission, rather incompatible with the views usually advocated by the author.—W.

² A crore is 100 lacs, and a lac is 100,000; so that thirty-three crore of deities is just 330 millions.—M.

This expression is not to be understood in its literal sense. It is intended only to denote an infinite number; including all the inferior spirits of heaven and earth. The objects of adoration, that are individualised, are few. Dr. Tennant is not good authority on this subject. Rembha, is not goddess of love, but an inferior being—a nymph of Indra's court.—W.

³ Three of these from the Vedas themselves by Mr. Colebrooke (*As. Res.* vii. 404, 431, 493); another account, translated from the Puranas by Mr. Halhed, is published in Maurice's History (l. 407); Mr. Wilford has given us another, derived from the same source (*As. Res.* iii. 356). An account of the creation is prefixed to the Gentoo code translated by Halhed; we have another in the French translation, entitled *Bagavadam*, of the *Bhagavat*. The author of the *Ayzen Akbery* informs us that no fewer than eighteen opinions respecting the creation were entertained in Hindustan, and presents us with as a specimen, of which the last, taken from the *Surya Siddhanta*, he says, is the most common. *Ayzen Akbery*, iii. 6. The most important of all is that which Pluche referred to in the text, from the Institutes of Menu, ch. i. 5, &c.

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

cumstances; but strongly resemble in the general character, and in the principal ideas. That contained in the sacred volume which bears the name of Menu may be taken as a standard,¹ being more full and circumstantial than any of those which are given us from the Vedas; derived from a work of equal authority with the Vedas themselves, and exhibiting, as drawn up at a later period, the improvement, if any, which the ideas of the people had acquired. It is all vagueness and darkness, incoherence, inconsistency, and confusion. It is one of the most extravagant of all specimens of discourse without ideas, The fearless propensity of a rude mind to guess where it does not know, never exhibited itself in more fantastic and senseless forms.²

Beside accounts of what creation was, we have accounts of the mode in which the Hindu divinity performed the creation. If a man possessing refined and exalted notions of the Divine Nature were to describe the great work of creation, he would have the clearest conviction of his own incompetence; and, as Moses, he would attempt no more than by a few strokes to convey an idea of the magnitude of the task, and of the power and wisdom of him who performed it. If far removed from this degree of knowledge and reflection, he will enter without hesitation upon a minute and detailed description both of the plan, and of its execution. If, however, the society in which he lives has attained any considerable improvement, the process which he conceives will indicate some portion of human wisdom; will, at least, be such as an instructed member of that society, had he infinite power imparted to him, would devise for himself. On the other hand, if a description of the creation presents no idea but what, is fantastic, wild, and irrational; if it includes not even a portion of that design and contrivance which appear in the ordinary works of man; if it carries the common analogies of production, in animal and vegetable life, to the production of the universe, we cannot be mistaken in ascribing it to a people, whose ideas of the Divine Being were grovelling.'

¹ It is not the best standard that could have been selected, being a rather injudicious mixture of the popular and philosophical accounts.—W.

² See note A. at the end of the volume.

³ The system is not to be judged of by the only specimens within our author's reach, although, even from them, it is unjust to infer that the Hindoos

"The self-existing power," says Menu, "having willed to produce various beings, first with a thought created the wates." This is not a despicable conception, but what succeeds? "He placed in these waters a productive seed." This is one of those analogies to the growth of a plant or an animal which are generally the foundation of the cosmogony of a rude people. What next? The seed becomes an egg; which is a very extraordinary product;¹ a wonderful course, too, for the self-existing power to follow in the formation of the universe. The other steps are not less amazing. In this *egg* the divine being deposited himself, and there he lay, in a state of inactivity, a whole year of the Creator, that is, according to the Hindus, 1,555,200,000,000 solar years of mortals.² At the end of this astonishing period he caused by his thought the *egg* to divide itself, and was himself born in the form of Brahma, the great forefather of all spirits;³ thus, "from THAT-WHICH-IS, the first cause, was produced the divine male, famed in all worlds, under the appellation of Brahma."⁴ This is celebrated in Hindu books as the great transformation of the Divine Being, from neuter to masculine, for the purpose of creating worlds; and under this masculine form of Brahma it was that he effected the rest of creation. The Hindus believe that he was engaged in it for no less than 17,064,000 years.⁵ Of the two divisions of the *egg* from which he had just been freed, he framed the heaven above, the earth

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

had no high and noble ideas of that creative power which they describe as being alone before all things, and as calling of its own will, existence out of chaos.—W.

¹ Not at all:—the Hindus were better physiologists than the historian.—W.

² The length of a year of the Creator may be thus computed. A *calpa*, or grand period, containing the reigns of fourteen Manus, constitutes, Sir William Jones informs us (*Asiat. Research.* i. 237), one day of Brahma. This period comprises (see an accurate calculation, according to the books of the Hindus, in Mr. Bentley's *Remarks on Ancient Eras and Dates*, *Asiat. Res.* v. 376) 4,320,000,000 years; and such is the length of one day of the Creator. A divine year again contains 360 days; and the multiplication of these numbers produces the amount which appears in the text. Mr. Wilford (see *Asiat. Research.* iii. 392) makes this computation in a manner, and with a result, somewhat different. "One year of mortals," he says, "is a day and a night of the gods, and 360 of our years is one of theirs: 12,000 of their years, or 4,320,000 of ours, constitute one of their ages, and 3,000 such ages are Brahma's day and night, which must be multiplied by 360 to make one of his years."

³ In other words, he was hatched.

⁴ Vide the quotation from the Institutes of Menu, in No's A. at the end of the volume.

⁵ *Asiat. Research.* ii. 297 and 320.

BOOK II. beneath, and in the midst the subtle ether, the eight regions,
 CHAP. VI. and the permanent receptacle of waters. The creation of mind is next described; but this will be more conveniently considered when we come to appreciate the notions of the Hindus in relation to thought. The creation however of man, or at least of the Hindus, is worthy of our particular regard. "That the human race might be multiplied, he caused the Brahmen to proceed from his mouth, the Cshatriya from his arm, the Vaisya from his thigh, and the Sudra from his foot." The analogy of ordinary descent is again the foundation of this fantastic imagination; and the Hindu could picture to himself the production of a human being, even by the Deity, only in the way of a species of birth. This analogy leads to a still more extravagant conceit for the creation of other races of men, and living creatures. As if "The Mighty Power" could not produce them by his male virtue alone, "He divided his own substance, and became half male, half female. By this female the male half produced Viraj, a demigod and saint; Viraj by the virtue of austere devotion, produced Menu, another demigod and saint." Menu again, "desirous," he says, "of giving birth to a race of men," produced ten lords of created beings; and these lords produced, at his command; "seven other Menus, and deities, and the mansions of deities, and great sages, and also benevolent genii, and fierce giants, blood-thirsty savages, heavenly quiristers, nymphs and demons, huge serpents and snakes of smaller size, birds of mighty wing, and separate companions of Pitris, or progenitors of mankind; lightnings and thunders bolts, clouds and coloured bows of Indra, falling meteors; earth-rending vapours, comets, and luminaries of various* degrees; horse-faced sylvans, apes, fish, and a variety of birds, tame cattle, deer, men, and ravenous beasts with two rows of teeth; small and large reptiles, moths, lice, fleas; and common flies, with every biting gnat, and immoveable substances of distinct sorts. Thus was this whole assemblage of moveable and stationary bodies framed by those high-minded beings."¹

But in the Hindu books we find applied to the Divinity; a great -variety of expressions, so elevated, that they cannot be surpassed even by those of the men who entertain the

See Note B. at the end of the volume.

most sublime ideas of the Divine Nature. In the passage immediately quoted from Menu, he is described as the sole self-existing power, the soul of all beings, he whom the mind alone can perceive, who exists from eternity, and whom no being can comprehend. In a passage from the Brahmanda Parana, translated by Mr. Wilford, he is denominated "The great God, the great Omnipotent, Omniscient one, the greatest in the World, the great Lord who goes through all worlds, incapable of decay."¹ In a prayer, translated by Mr. Colebrooke, from one of the Vedas, he is called, "the pure Brahme, whom none can apprehend as an object of perception, above, around, or in the midst; the God who pervades all regions, the first-born; he, prior to whom nothing was born; who became all beings, himself the Lord of creatures; he, who made the fluid sky and solid earth, who fixed the solar orb and celestial abode, whom heaven and earth mentally contemplate; the mysterious Being, in whom the universe perpetually exists, resting on that sole support; in whom this world is absorbed, from whom it issues."² Without multiplying instances, it may shortly be stated that human language does not supply more lofty epithets Of praise than are occasionally addressed to their deities by the Hindus.

To form a true estimate of the religion of this people, it is necessary by reflection to ascertain, what those expressions in the mouth of a Brahmen really mean. We shall incur the risk of completely deceiving ourselves, if, with the experience how naturally vague and general expressions, especially in such abstract and mental subjects, convey the most different ideas, to people in different stages of society, we take the lofty expressions of devotion in Hindu books, as full and satisfactory evidence of lofty conceptions of the Divine Nature. It is well ascertained that nations, who have the lowest and meanest ideas of the Divine Being, may yet apply to him the most sounding epithets by which perfection can be expressed.*

¹ *Asiat. Research.* viii. 352.

² *Ibid.* 433.

* In this theory of Mr. Mill's, there is a palpable fallacy, for it involves the impossible supposition, that words are devised not only to express ideas that do not exist, but to express the very contrary of the ideas that the mind conceives. Expressions, according to this view of the subject, are lofty, not because the conceptions are lofty, but because they are base, as if we should say, 'tall,' when we meant 'short,' or 'little,' when we intended 'large.' This is utterly contradicted by every theory of language yet contrived: we must take

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

In tracing the progress of natural religion, through the different stages of intellectual acquirement, a very important fact is discovered; that language, on this subject, has a much greater tendency to improve, than ideas. It is well known how vile and degrading were the notions of the Divine Nature presented in the fictions of the Greek poets; insomuch that Plato deemed them unfit to be read;¹ yet the Brahmens themselves do not surpass the Greek poets in elevated expressions concerning the Deity. Orpheus, early and rude as is the period to which his poetry relates, thus describes the celestial King; "Jupiter, the sovereign; Jupiter, the original parent of all things; and Wisdom, the first procreator; and all-delighting Love: For in the mighty frame of Jupiter are all contained: One power, one godhead: He is the great Regent of all"² Caesar informs

the sign as indicative of the thing signified, or speech would be of no more use in the interchange of thought, than the inarticulate ejaculations of the bird or brute. It is very clear, however, where Mr. Mill errs; he has lost sight of the progress of opinion, and confounded different states of social feeling. It is possible, that the loftiest epithets of Divine power, and benignity, and glory, may have lost some of their force by frequent use, and they may be directed to objects to which they cannot in truth appertain. When the terms were first employed, however, they expressed, no doubt, the ideas they were invented to express; and the Hindu priests, poets, and philosophers, by whom they were originally applied, attempted by them to convey the notions they conceived of the Divinity. Even now, in the mouth of a believing Hindu, they have not lost their purport: the object to which he addresses them, though base and mean in our eyes, is not so in his, and he imagines it to be invested with the attributes he assigns to it. But this is of little importance to the argument. It may be very true that the epithets are misapplied, that they are used as terms of course, that they exercise little influence on moral practice; the same things occur in other places than in India; but, whatever may be their practical value, they afford unequivocal proof, that at one time or other, and amongst some at least of the Brahmanical order, elevated notions of the power, and wisdom, and beneficence, of one only God, were entertained and expressed.—W.

¹ He states that the only practical inference the youth could draw from the accounts delivered by the poets concerning the gods was; to commit all manner of crimes, and out of the fruits of their villany to offer costly sacrifices, and appease the divine powers; *ἀδικήσας καὶ θύων ἀποτὸν ἀδικημάτων*. De Repub. lib. 2. 585, 6.

² Orphic Fragm. vi. 366. Numerous passages might be produced:

Ζεὺς ἄσπερ αὐτῶν, Ζεὺς δὲ γὰρ, Ζεὺς δ' ἐσπερος

Ζεὺς τοι γὰρ πατήρ. Euphorion.

Εἰς θεὸν ἐν νουμένην. Orphic. Frag. iv. 368.

Jane pater, Jane tuens, Dive biocopa, bifornia,

O! cate rerum sator; O! principium Deorum.

Verses from an ancient Choriambic poem, which are quoted by Terentianus Maurus de Metris.

Ζεὺς δ' ἴσπερ ἔσπερος *Ἐσπερος*. *Ἐσπερος* *εἰς τὴν ἑλάνην ἀνατολόμενος*. Procl. in Platon. Tim. p. 30. It is almost needless to quote Homer's

Ζεὺς ἐν πυρρίσσει, θεὸς νουμένην ἴλην αὐτῶν.

³ The Aracanians [the native Indians of China] acknowledge a Supreme Being, the Author of all things, whom they call *Pien-an*, a word derived from *pien* or *pien*, the soul, and signifies the supreme essence; they also call him *Quen-pien*, the Spirit of heaven; *Bute-gen*, the Great Being; *Tai-tse*,

RELIGION OF THE HINDUS.

us that the Druids among the ancient Gauls delivered many doctrines concerning the nature of the universe, and the powers of the immortal gods;¹ and it is remarkable that the Greeks and the Romans were forcibly struck with the similarity between the ideas of the Druids, and those of the Brahmens of India, the Magi of Persia, the Chaldeans of Assyria, and the priests of Egypt.² The creed of the ancient Germans, as we are informed by Tacitus, was, "that God is the Ruler of all: other things are to him subject and obedient."³ In the ancient Scandinavian mythology the Supreme God was described as, "The author of every thing that existeth; the eternal, the ancient, the living and awful Being, the searcher into concealed things; the Being that never changeth."⁴ On the statue of the Egyptian goddess Isis, was this inscription: "I am every thing past, every thing present, and every thing to come."⁴ The Deity was described by Zoroaster as "The First, the Incorruptible, the Eternal, without generation, without dissolution, without a parallel, the charioteer of all which is good, inaccessible to bribes, the best of the good, the wisest of the wise."⁶ The Getes asserted their deity Zamolxis to be the true God, that besides him there was none other, and that to him they went after death, being endowed with spirits immortal.⁷ Even the rude tribes of America, wandering naked in the woods, ****appear,**" says Robertson, "to acknowledge a Divine power to be the maker of the world, and the disposer of all events. They denominate him the Great Spirit."⁸ Thus it appears how commonly the loftiest *expressions* are used concerning the gods, by people whose *conceptions* of them are, confessedly, mean.

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

the Thunderer; Vilyemroe, the Creator of all; Vilpepilvøe, the Omnipotent; Mollgelu, the Eternal; Avnolu, the Infinite, &c." Molina, Civil Hist. of Chili, book I I. ch. v.

A passage of Empedocles, containing the language of a pure theology, may be seen in Harris's Philos. Arrangements, ch. vi. p. 162.

¹ Caesar, de Bel. Gal. lib. vi. cap. 13.

² See Henry's Hist. of Great Britain, i. 149; and the authorities there adduced.

³ "Regnator omnium Deus; caetera subjecta atque parentia." Tacit, de Mor. Germ. cap. xxxv.

⁴ See a translation from the Edda in Mallet's Introduct. Hist. Denmark, i. ch. 5, and ii. p. 7, 8.

⁵ Plutarch, de Iside et Osiride.

⁶ Euseb. Prop. Evang. lib. i. p. 42.

⁷ HerodoUib. iv. cap. 93, 94.

⁸ Robertson's Hist. Amer. ii. 197.

⁹ "Ces pennies (les Bomams) adorent un Dieu supreme et unique, **qu'ils** appellent toujours *Dieu tres-grand, et trea-bom*; cependant ils ont bati un temple a une courtisanne nommee Flora, et les bonnes femmes de Rome ont

BOOK II. This important fact, however remarkable, is founded on
 CHAP. VI. principles of very powerful operation in the nature of man. The timid barbarian, who is agitated by fears respecting the unknown events of nature, feels the most incessant and eager desire to propitiate the Being on whom he believes them to depend. His mind works, with laborious solicitude, to discover the best means of recommending himself. He naturally takes counsel from his own sentiments and feelings: and as nothing to his rude breast is more delightful than adulation, he is led by a species of instinct to expect the favour of his god from praise and flattery. In an uncultivated mind, how strong this sentiment is, a very superficial knowledge of human nature may convince us. Mr. Forster, in his Travels overland from India, was overtaken by a storm in the Caspian Sea; and remarks that during the danger "every man was imploring the Divine interposition in his own manner and language." "But my attention," says he, "was chiefly attracted by a Persian. His ejaculations were loud and fervent; and the whole force of his prayers was levelled at Ali; on whom he bestowed every title that could denote sanctity or military prowess. He called on him, by the name of the Friend of God; the Lord of the Faithful; the Brandisher of the invincible sword; to look down on his servant, and shield him from the impending evil. Thinking also to obtain the more grace with the father, he would occasionally launch out into the praises of his two sons."¹

When the belief is once admitted that the Deity is pleased with panegyric, it is evident to what length the; agitated and ignorant votary will speedily be carried; Whatever may be the phrases with which he begins; in a short time, the ardour of his fears incites him to invent new and stronger; as likely to prove more agreeable and prevalent. Even these, by a short use, become familiar to his mind. When they begin to be stale and feeble, he is again prompted to a new invention, and to more violent exaggerations.

Exhausting quickly the powers of his language, he has

presque toutes ces idées de petits dieux penates hauts de quatre ou cinq pouces; une de ces petites divinités est la déesse de terreur, l'autre celle de la mort; il y a un penat qu'on appelle le dieu Pa." Voltaire, *Essai sur les Mœurs et l'Esprit des Nations*, iv. 373.

¹ Forster's Travels, ii. 356.

other expedients in store. The god, on whom his eulogies have been lavished, is that one, among the invisible powers, on whom his interests seem more immediately to depend. This deity is at first panegyricized on account of those operations alone which belong to his own department. The sun is originally applauded only as the regent of day; *the* bountiful giver of light, and of all its attendant blessings. But when panegyric on this subject is exhausted, the unwearied adorer opens a new fountain of adulation. The operations of some divinity, whose department most nearly resembles that of the favourite deity, afford some circumstance which, it is imagined, might do honour to that patron god. It is accordingly, as a very artful expedient, immediately detracted from the one, and ascribed to the other. No sooner is the novelty of this new attribute decayed, than the prerogative of some other divinity is invaded, and the great object of worship is invested with a new power or function of nature. This, it is evident, is a fertile discovery. The votary has many articles to add to his list of powers and functions, before he exhausts the provinces of the whole of the gods. He proceeds incessantly, however; adding to the works and dominions of the great divinity one province after another, till at last he bestows upon him the power and functions of all the gods. He is now the supreme deity, and all the rest are subordinate. He is the king of the celestial powers; or, what is still more sublime, their author or father; He from whom their very being and powers are derived. They still, however, retain their ancient departments: and he who was god of the winds remains the god of the winds: he who was god of the waters remains god of the waters. But they are no longer, independent deities; they have now a superior, and are regarded in the light of his ministers or agents.

The ingenuity of fear and desire sometimes invents a higher strain of flattery still. The power, which is delegated to so many extraordinary beings, is regarded as a deduction from that which might otherwise be wielded by the supreme. And happy is the man, who first imagines he can inform the Divinity, that no such division and diminution of MB power exist: that those supposed agents or ministers are not in reality beings endowed with the

BOOK II. powers of the Almighty; that they are those powers
 CHAP. VI. themselves; the different modes in which he manifested himself. After this, he is the one God. He is all in all: from him everything begins, in him everything terminates: he unites all possible attributes: like time, he has no beginning, and shall have no end: all power belongs to him, all wisdom, and all virtue. Such is the progress of the language, not of knowledge and cultivated reason, but of the rude and selfish passions of a barbarian; and all these high and sounding epithets are invented by men whose ideas of the divine nature are mean, ridiculous, gross, and disgusting.

Some of the most enlightened of the Europeans who have made inquiries concerning the ideas and institutions, of the Hindus, have been induced, from the lofty epithets occasionally applied to the gods, to believe and to assert that this people had a refined and elevated religion. Nothing is more certain than that such language is far from being proof of such a religion. Yet ingenious men, from whom we have largely derived instruction, appear to have thought that no other proof was requisite; and, as on this evidence they adopted the opinion themselves, thought that others ought to receive it on the same foundation.¹

¹ Among the similar proofs which might be produced, of sublime theological notions, may be quoted the following remarkable passage from Garcilasso de la Vega (Royal Commentaries, book II. chap. II.). "Besides the sun, whom they worshipped for the visible God, to whom they offered sacrifice and kept festivals, the *Jacas*, who were kings, and the *Amasnas*, who were philosophers, proceeded by the mere light of nature, to the knowledge of this true Almighty God our Lord, Maker of Heaven and Earth, as we shall hereafter prove by their own words and testimonies, which some of them gave of the Divine Majesty, which they called by the name of *Pachacamac*, and is a word compounded of *Pacha*, which is the universe, and *Camac*, which is the soul; and is as much as he that animates the world. * * * Being asked who this *Pachacamac* was, they answered that it was he who gave life to the universe; sustained and nourished all things; but because they did not see him they could not know him; and for that reason they erected not temples to him, nor offered sacrifice, howsoever they worshipped in their hearts and esteemed him for the unknown God." And in book VIII. ch. vii. he gives us the following argument of an Inca, Topac Yupanqui, "Many say that the sun lives, and that he is the maker of all things: now it is necessary that the thing which is the cause of the being of another, should be assistant and operate in the production thereof; now we know that many things receive their beings during the absence of the sun, and therefore he is not the maker of all things. And that the sun hath not life is evident, for that it always moves in its circle, and yet is never weary; for if it had life it would require rest, as we do: and were it free, it would visit other parts of the heavens, into which it never inclines out of its own sphere: but, as a thing obliged to a particular station, moves always in the same circle, and is like an arrow which is directed by the hand of the archer." The Mexicans, too, as we are informed by Clavigero, *Hist. of Mexico*, book VI. sect. i. besides the crowd of their ordinary Deities, believed in "a supreme, absolute, and independent Being, to whom they ac-

Since the language employed by any people is a very fallacious test of the ideas which they entertain concerning the Divine Nature, it is necessary to investigate the circumstances, in their religious practice or belief, which enable us in any degree to define their vague expressions. Those circumstances are few; but their evidence determine. They are the operations ascribed to the Divinity, the services reputed agreeable to him, and the laws which he is understood to have ordained. If these correspond with the ideas of infinite power, wisdom, and goodness, we may believe with certainty that the sublime language is the expression of corresponding conceptions; on the other hand, where those operations, services, and laws, are in the highest degree unworthy of a perfect nature, we may be fully assured, that the sublime language is altogether without a meaning, the effect of flattery, and the meanest of passions; and that it is directly suggested, not by the most lofty, but by the most grovelling and base, ideas of the Divine Nature.

Of the host of Hindu Divinities, Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva are the most exalted. Other nations have most frequently carried on the applause of *one* favourite deity, till they bestowed upon him alone all power in heaven and earth. The Hindus have distributed the creation and government of the universe among those three, denominating Brahma the creator, Vishnu the preserver, and Siva the destroyer.

Of the highest scene of operation in which the Divine Being can be contemplated by mortals, the creation of the universe, the conception, formed by the Hindus, is so far from corresponding with high and noble ideas of the creating power, that it is consistent only with the meanest. This itself is a criterion of a religious system from which there is no appeal.

knowledge to owe fear and adoration. They represented him in no external form, because they believed him to be invisible; and named him only by the common appellation of God, in their language *Teol*, a word resembling still more in its meaning than in its pronunciation the *Theos* of the Greeks; but they applied to him certain epithets which were highly expressive of the grandeur and power which they conceived him to possess. They called him *Jeueuocoi*, that is, "He by whom we live;" and *Tlogue Nabuague*. "He who is all in himself." Clavigero adds, "But their knowledge and worship of this Supreme Being was obscured, and in a manner lost, in the crowd of duties invented by their superstition."

BOOK II. Of the peculiar functions of Vishnu and Siva no deter-
 CHAP. VI. minate conception appears to have been formed. They are two beings of mighty power, by whom great actions are performed; but there is no distinct separation of their provinces. Whenever, indeed, we seek to ascertain the definite and precise ideas of the Hindus in religion, the subject eludes our grasp. All is loose, vague, wavering, obscure, and inconsistent.¹ Their expressions point at one time to one meaning, and another time to another meaning;² and their wild fictions, to use the language of Mr. Hume, seem rather the playsome whimsies of monkeys in human shape, than the serious asseverations of a being who dignifies himself with the name of rational.⁸ Vishnu is not unfrequently employed in the acts which properly belong only to a destructive power; and Siva is so far from answering to the title bestowed upon him, that he is a divinity hardly less beneficent than Vishnu himself.

In the conception which the Hindus have formed of the government of the world, the visible agency of the Deity is peculiarly required. "I have passed," says the preserving God, "many births. Although I am not in my nature subject to birth or decay, and am the lord of all created beings, yet having command over my own nature, I am made evident by my own power; and as often as

¹ The confusion is not the fault of the system but of its exponents. In the original scheme, Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva, were nothing more than mythological personifications of the power of the one first cause, to create, to preserve, and to destroy. In the course of time, the Hindus did precisely what the text asserts they did not: "they carried on the applause of one favorite deity, till they bestowed upon him alone all power in heaven and earth." Brahma, probably, Vishnu and Siva, certainly, had their respective followers, who naturally invested the deity of their preference with the attributes of all. The Vaishnavas, made Vishnu creator and destroyer, as well as preserver; and the power of creating and preserving was assigned by the Saivas to Siva. There is no confusion or contradiction of system in this. It is the opposition of opposite sects. A person undertaking to give an account of the Christian religion would make strange work if he were to amalgamate as one undivided faith, the conflicting tenets of Lutherans, Calvinists, and Romanists. With equal ignorance do we confound Vaishnava, Saiva, and Sakta doctrines.—W.

² This is admitted even by those whom the occasional expressions of the Hindus have most strongly convinced of the sublimity of their sentiments. Mr. Colebrooke says, "There is indeed much disagreement and consequent confusion in the gradations of persons interposed by Hindu theology between the Supreme Being and the created world." *Asiat. Research*, viii. 449. Even Sir William Jones is constrained to confess that the Hindu "scheme of theology is most obscurely figurative, and consequently liable to dangerous mis-conception; that it is filled with idle supererogations, abounds with minute and childish formalities, with ceremonies generally absurd, and often ridiculous." *Prof. to Institute of Memu.*

⁸ Hume's *Essays*, II. 470.

there is a decline of virtue, and an insurrection of vice and injustice in the world, I make myself evident; and thus I appear from age to age, for the preservation of the just, the destruction of the wicked, and the establishment of virtue."¹ "Aty Sechen himself," says another sacred book, "all-knowing as he is, could not number the metamorphoses and different forms under which the Vishnu has appeared for the salvation of the universe."² Such are the Hindu ideas of the manner in which the power of the Divine Being is exerted in the government of the universe!

Of these visible appearances or incarnations of the divinity, ten, known in the Hindu mythology under the name of avatars, are peculiarly distinguished. The first, which is denominated the avatar of the fish, is thus described.³ At the close of the last calpa, there was a general destruction, occasioned by the sleep of Brahma: his creatures in different worlds being drowned in a vast ocean. The strong demon Hayagriva came near him and stole the Vedas, which had flowed from his lips. When the preserver of the universe discovered this deed, he took the shape of a minute fish, called sap'hari. A holy king named Satyavrata then reigned. One day as he was making a libation in the river Critamala, the little fish said to him, How canst thou leave me in this river water, when I am too weak to resist the monsters of the stream, who fill me with dread? Satyavrata placed it under his protection in a small vase full of water; but in a single night its bulk was so increased, that it could not be contained in the jar, and thus again addressed the prince; I am not pleased with living in this little vase; make me a large mansion where I may dwell in comfort. The king successively placed it in a cistern, in a pool, and in a lake, for each of which it speedily grew too large, and supplicated for a more spacious place of abode; after which he threw it into the sea, when the fish again addressed him: Here the horned sharks and other monsters of great strength will devour me; thou shouldest not, O valiant man, leave me in this ocean. Thus repeatedly deluded by the fish

¹ Bagvat-Geeta, p. 51, 52.

² Bhagavadam, p. 11.

³ I have merely abridged the account which is given by Sir William Jones in a literal translation from the Bhagavat. *Asiat. Res.* i. 298.

BOOK II. who had addressed him with gentle words, the king said,
 CHAP. VI. Who art thou that beguilest me in that assumed shape ?
 Never before have I seen or heard of so prodigious an inhabitant of the waters, who like thee has filled up, in a single day, a lake a hundred leagues in circumference. Surely thou art the great God whose dwelling was on the waves. Salutation and praise to thee, O first male, the lord of creation, of preservation^ of destruction ! Thou art the highest object, O supreme ruler, of us thy adorers, who piously seek thee. All thy delusive descents in this world give existence to various beings; yet I am anxious to know for what cause that shape has been assumed by thee. The lord of the universe, loving the pious man, and intending to preserve him from the sea of destruction, caused by the depravity of the age, thus told him how he was to act: In seven days from the present time, O thou tamer of enemies, the three worlds will be plunged in an ocean of death; but in the midst of the destroying waves, a large vessel, sent by me for thy use, shall stand before thee. Then shalt thou take all medicinal herbs, all the variety of seeds; and, accompanied by seven saints, encircled by pairs of all brute animals, thou shalt enter the spacious ark, and continue in it secure from the flood on one immense ocean, without light except the radiance of thy companions. When the ship shall be agitated by an impetuous wind, thou shalt fasten it with a large sea-serpent on my horn; for I will be near thee, drawing the vessel with thee and thy attendants. Thus instructed, the pious king waited humbly for the appointed time. The sea, overwhelming its shores, deluged the whole earth; and it was soon perceived to be augmented by showers from immense clouds. He, still meditating on the divine command, and conforming to the divine directions, entered the ship; when the god appeared again distinctly on the vast ocean in the form of a fish, blazing like gold, extending a million of leagues, with one stupendous horn, on which the king, as he had before been commanded,, tied the ship with a cable made of a vast serpent. After, wards the god, rising, together with Brahma, from the destructive deluge, which was abated, slew the demon Hayagriva.

Such are the operations in the government of the universe,

which the religious ideas of the Hindus lead them to ascribe to the Divine Being. The second appearance or avatar of the Preserver is of the same character, and suggested by similar views. Hiranicheren, a malignant and destructive giant, who delighted in afflicting the earth, at last rolled it up in a shapeless mass, and plunged down with it into the abyss. On this occasion there issued from the side of Brahma, a being shaped like a boar, white and exceedingly small, which in the space of one hour grew to the size of an elephant of the largest magnitude, and remained in the air. This being, Brahma discovered to be Vishnu, who had assumed a body and become visible. Suddenly, it uttered a sound like the loudest thunder, and the echo reverberated, and shook all the corners of the universe. Shaking the full-flowing mane which hung down his neck on both sides, and erecting the humid hairs of his body, he proudly displayed his two most exceedingly white tusks: then rolling round his wine-coloured eyes, and erecting his tail, he descended from the region of the air, and plunged head foremost into the water. The whole body of water was convulsed by the motion, and began to rise in waves, while the guardian spirit of the sea, being terrified, began to tremble for his domain, and cry out for quarter and mercy. At length, the power of the omnipotent having divided the water, and arriving at the bottom, he saw the earth lying, a mighty and barren stratum; then he took up the ponderous globe (freed from the water) and raised it high on his tusk, one would say it was a beautiful lotus blossoming on the tip of his tusk. In a moment, with one leap, coming to the surface, by the all-directing power of the Omnipotent Creator, he spread it, like a carpet, on the face of the water, and then vanished from the sight of Brahma.¹

Of the third avatar we have so particular and remarkable a description, that it merits uncommon regard.² The soors, a species of angels, and all the glorious host of heaven, sat on the summit of Mount Meru, a fictitious mountain, highly celebrated in the books of the Hindus, meditating the disco-

¹ For an account of this avatar, see an extract from the *Mahabarat*, *Asiat. Research*, i. 184; *Bartolomeo's Travels*, book ii. ch. vii. The peculiar description of the boar is taken from a translation by Mr. Haibed, of a passage in the *Puranas*, published in *Maurice's Hindustan*, i. 407.

² It is a passage translated from the *Mahabarat*, by Mr. Wilkins, in one of the notes to his translation of the *Bagvat-Geeta*, p. 143, 146, note 76.

BOOK II, very of the Amreeta, that is, being translated, the water of
 CHAP. VI. immortality: when Narayan¹ said unto Brahma, Let the
 ocean, as a pot of milk, be churned by the united labour of
 the soors and assoors; and when the mighty waters have
 been stirred up, the Amreeta shall be found. A great moun-
 tain, named Mandar, was the instrument with which the
 operation was to be performed; but the dew² being unable
 to remove it, they had recourse to Vishnu and Brahma.
 By their direction, the king of the serpents lifted up that
 sovereign of mountains, with all its forests and inhabitants;
 and the soors and assoors having obtained permission of the
 king of the tortoises, it was placed for support on his back,
 in the midst of the ocean. Then the soors and assoors, using
 the serpent Vasooki for the rope, the assoors pulling by
 the head, and the soors by the tail, began to churn the ocean;⁸
 while there issued from the mouth of the serpent, a conti-
 nued stream of fire, and smoke, and wind; and the roaring
 of the ocean, violently agitated with the whirling of the
 mountain, was like the bellowing of a mighty cloud. Mean-
 while, a violent conflagration was raised on the mountain,
 by the concussion of its trees and other substances, and
 quenched by a shower which the lord of the firmament
 poured down: whence an heterogeneous stream, of the con-
 cocted juices of various trees and plants, ran down into the
 briny flood. It was from this milk-like stream, produced
 from those juices, and a mixture of melted gold, that the
 soors obtained their immortality. The waters of the ocean,
 being now assimilated with those juices, were converted
 into milk, and a species of butter was produced, when the
 churning powers became fatigued; but Narayan endued
 them with fresh strength, and they proceeded with great
 ardour to stir that butter of the ocean. First, arose from
 it the moon; next, Sree, the goddess of fortune; then the
 goddess of wine, and the white horse, Oochisrava; after-
 wards the jewel Kowstoobh; the tree of plenty; and the

¹ A name of Vishnu.

² Dew, written otherwise Dewa, or Deva, is a general name for a superior spirit.

⁸ By twisting the serpent about the mountain, like a rope, and pulling it out first towards the one end, and then towards the other; which affords us a description of their real mode of churning. A piece of wood, so formed as best to agitate the milk, was placed upright in the vessel, and a rope being twisted round it which two persons pulled alternately, one at the one end, and the other at the other, it was whirled round, and thus produced the agitation required.

cow that granted every heart's desire. Then the dew
 .Dhanwaotaree, in human shape, came forth, holding in his
 hand a white vessel filled with the immortal juice, amreeta;
 which, when the asoors beheld, they raised their tumultuous
 voices, and each of them clamorously exclaimed, This of
 right is mine! But as they continued to churn the ocean
 more than enough, a deadly poison issued from its bed,
 confounding the three regions of the world with its immortal
 stench, until Siva, at the word of Brahma, swallowed the
 fatal drug to save mankind. In the mean while a violent
 jealousy and hatred, on account of the amreeta, and the
 goddess Sree, sprung up in the bosoms of the asoors. But
 Narayan, assuming the form of a beautiful female, stood
 before them, whose minds becoming fascinated by her
 presence, and deprived of reason, they seized the amreeta
 and gave it unto her. But a dreadful battle arose between
 the soors and asoors, in which Narayan, quitting the female
 figure, assisted the soors. The elements and powers of
 nature were thrown into confusion by the conflict; but
 with the mighty aid of Narayan, and his weapon chacra,
 which of itself, unguided even by a hand, performed mira-
 culous exploits, the soors obtained the victory, and the
 mountain Mandar was carried back to its former station.
 The soors guarded the amreeta with great care; and the god
 pf the firmament, with all his immortal hands, gave the
 water of life unto Narayan, to keep it for their use. This-
 was the third manifestation of the Almighty, in the preser-
 vation and government of the world.

The fourth I shall describe with greater brevity. Hiri-
 nacheren, the gigantic ruler, who rolled up the earth, and
 plunged with it to the bottom of the abyss, left a younger
 brother, Hirinakassup, who succeeded him in his kingdom,
 and refused to do homage to Vishnu, but persecuted his
 own son, who was an ardent votary of that god. I, said he
 am lord of all this visible world. The son replied, that
 Vishnu had no fixed abode, but was present everywhere. Is
 he, said his father, in that pillar? Then let him come forth;
 and rising from his seat, he struck the pillar with his foot;
 upon which Vishnu, bursting from it, with a body like a
 man, but a head like a lion, tore Hirinakassup in pieces,
 and placed his son upon the throne.¹

¹ Asiat. Research. L 154.

BOOK II. In the fifth, the sixth, and the seventh avatars, the Pro*
 CHAP. VI. serving Power appeared in human shapes for the destruc-
 tion of impious and ferocious kings, performing many heroic
 and many miraculous deeds. But, after the examples which
 have already been given, a particular description of these
 extravagant legends would poorly compensate the toil of a
 perusal. The eighth, however, is one of the most celebrated
 of all the incarnations of Vishnu. He was born the son of
 Vasudeva and Devaci, of the royal family of Cansa, and
 obtained the name of Crishna. But as it had been predicted
 to Cansa, that one born of those parents would occasion his
 destruction, whence he had decreed the death of all their
 children, Crishna was secretly withdrawn, and brought up
 in the family of a shepherd or herdsman. Many and won-
 derful were the transactions of his childhood, in which the
 wanton pranks of the mischievous, but amiable boy, are not
 less distinguished than the miraculous exploits of the god.
 When he grew up to youth, the indulgence of licentious
 love was his great occupation and enjoyment. It is a small
 part "of the picture which I can, or which I need, to expose
 to view. The scenes with the young shepherdesses are
 painted by the Hindus in all the glowing colours of oriental
 poetry. A passage from a hymn, or divine song, translated
 by Sir William Jones, is in the following words: "With a
 garland of wild flowers, descending even to the yellow mantle
 that girds his azure limbs, distinguished by smiling cheeks,
 and by ear-rings that sparkle as he plays, Heri¹ exults in
 the assemblage of amorous damsels. One of them presses
 him with her swelling breast, while she warbles with exqui-
 site melody. Another, affected by a glance from his eye,
 stands meditating on the lotos of his face. A third, on
 pretence of whispering a secret in his ear, approaches his
 temples, and kisses them with ardour. One seizes his
 mantle, and draws him towards her, pointing to the bower
 on the banks of Yamuna, where elegant vanjulahs inter-
 weave their branches. He applauds another who dances
 in the sportive circle, whilst her bracelets ring, as she beats
 time with her palms. Now he caresses one, and kisses
 another, smiling on a third with complacency; and now he
 chases her whose beauty has most allured him. Thus the
 wanton Heri frolics, in the season of sweets, among the maids

¹ A name of Vishnu.

of Vraja, who rush to his embraces, as if he were pleasure itself assuming a human form; and one of them, under a pretext of hymning his divine perfections, whispers in his ear: Thy lips, my beloved, are nectar."¹ I shall select but another instance, which is from the translation before us of the Bhagavat.² "Crishna, finding himself on the banks of the Yamuna,³ began to play on his pastoral flute. All the shepherdesses, filled with desire, ran in crowds to hear his enchanting sounds. Crishna, beholding them burning with desire, informed them that it was contrary to the order established in the world, to quit their houses to seek the embraces of a lover. He added that their families might thus, if their husbands were jealous, be thrown into disorder, and disgrace come upon themselves. He advised them accordingly to return. The women replied, that their passion, it was true, were it for an ordinary man, would be criminal; but desiring to unite themselves with the absolute master of all things, they could not believe that such an impulse was any other than meritorious. In regard to their husbands, they could have no rights which tended to the exclusion of God. Crishna, who saw the innocence of their hearts, graciously gave them entire satisfaction; and by a miracle continually renewed, in all that multitude of women, each was convinced that she alone enjoyed the Deity, and that he never quitted her an instant for the embraces of another."³ "Crishna," says Sir William Jones, "continues to this hour the darling god of the Indian women. The sect of Hindus," he adds, "who adore him with enthusiastic and almost exclusive devotion, have broached a doctrine which they maintain with eagerness, and which seems general in these provinces ;⁴ that he was distinct from all the avatars, who had only a portion of his divinity; while Crishna was the person of Vishnu himself in a human form."⁵ "At a more advanced age," continues Sir William, "he put to death his cruel enemy, Cansa: and having taken under his protection the king Yudhist'hira and the other Pandus, who had been grievously oppressed by the Curus, and their

¹ Asiat. Research, i. 187.

² This is spelt Emuney in the French translation.

³ Bagavadam, p. 60. This indeed was but a trifle: for with his 16,000 or 17,000 wives he could perform the same feat. See Halhed's translation of the Bhagavat, in Maurice's Hind. vol. ii.

⁴ He means the provinces where he then resided, Bengal, etc.

⁵ Asiat. Research, i. 260.

BOOK: II. tyrannical chief, be kindled the war described in the great epic poem, entitled the Mahabharat, at the prosperous conclusion of which he returned to his heavenly seat in Vaicant'ha, having left the instructions comprised in the Gita with his disconsolate friend Arjoon."¹ He was afterwards slain, being wounded by an arrow in the foot"²

CHAP. VI.

The ninth incarnation of Vishnu, and the last, yet vouchsafed, of the Divine appearances, was in the person of Buddha. The object of this avatar is described in the following verse of a Hindu poet; "Thou blamest, Oh wonderful, the whole Veda, when thou seest, O kind-hearted, the slaughter of the cattle prescribed for sacrifice, O Cesava,³ assuming the body of Buddha. Be victorious, O Heri,⁴ Lord of the universe!"⁵ But though Buddha is, by the Hindus, regarded as a manifestation, of the Divine Being, the sect of Buddhists are regarded as heretical, and are persecuted by the Brahmens. It is conjectured that, at one time, a great number of them had been compelled to fly from the country, and spread their tenets in various directions,⁶ The religion of Buddha is now found to prevail over the greater part of the East; in Ceylon, in the further peninsula, in Thibet, in China, and even as far as Japan.⁷ "The tenth avatar," says Sir William Jones, "we

¹ Asiat. Research, i. 261. He sometimes, however, met with severe repulses. "Calijun, a prince who resided in the western parts of India, was very near defeating his ambitious projects. Indeed, Crishna was nearly overcome and subdued, after seventeen bloody battles; and according to the express words of the Puranas, he was forced to have recourse to treachery, by which means Caltun was totally defeated in the eighteenth engagement." Wilford, on Chron. of Hindus, Asiat. Research, v. 288.

² Bagavadam, p. 18. "The whole history of Crishna," says Anquetil Duperron, in his Observations on the Bhagavat, in the Recherches Historiques et Géographiques sur l'Inde, "is a mere tissue of Greek and Roman obscenities, covered with a veil of spirituality, which, among the fanatics of all descriptions, conceals the most abominable enormities." Speaking of a temple of "Vishnu at Satymangalam, in the Mysore, Dr. Buchanan says, "The rath, or chariot, belonging to it, is very large, and richly carved. The figures on it, representing the amours of that god, in the form of Crishna, are the most indecent that I have ever seen." Buchanan's Journey through Mysore, etc., ii. 237.

³ A name of Vishnu.

⁴ Another name of Vishnu, vide supra, p. 247.

⁵ Asiat. Research, ii. 121.

⁶ "As to Buddha," says Sir William Jones (Disc, on the Gods of Greece, Italy, and India), "he seems to have been a reformer of the doctrines contained in the Vedas; and, though his good-nature led him to censure these ancient books, because they enjoined the sacrifices of cattle, yet he is admitted as the ninth avatar, even by the Brahmens of Casl."

⁷ A controversy has been started, whether the religion of Buddha was derived from that of Brahma, or that of Brahma from the religion of Buddha. There seems little chance that data will ever be obtained, to prove either the

are told is yet to come, and is expected to appear mounted (like the crowned conqueror in the Apocalypse) on a white horse, with a cimeter blazing like a comet, to mow down all incorrigible and impenitent offenders who shall then be on earth."¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

It will require the addition of but a few passages more of this wild mythology, to convey a satisfactory idea of the actions and qualities which the Hindus ascribe to their supreme deities. "It is related," says Mr. Wilford,² "in the Scanda,³ that when the whole earth was covered with water, and Vishnu lay extended asleep in the bosom of Devi,⁴ a lotos arose from his navel. Brahma sprung from that flower, and looking round without seeing any creature on the boundless expanse, imagined himself to be the first-born, and entitled to rank above all future beings. Resolving, however, by investigation, more fully to satisfy himself, he glided down the stalk of the lotos, and finding Vishnu asleep, asked loudly who he was. I am the first-born, answered Vishnu, waking; and as Brahma contradicted him, they had an obstinate battle, till Mahadeva, or Siva, pressed between them in great wrath, saying, It is I who am truly the first-born; but I will resign my pretensions to either of you who shall be able to reach and behold the summit of my head, or the soles of my feet. Brahma instantly ascended; but having fatigued himself to no purpose in the regions of immensity, yet loth to abandon his claim, he returned to Mahadeva, and declared that he had attained the crown of his head, calling, as his witness, the first-born cow. For this union of pride and falsehood, the angry god ordained, that no sacred rites should be per-

one or the other. Clemens Alexandrinus would lead us to believe, that the religion of Buddha, in his time must have been in high repute: *Εσσι δε των Ινδων*, says he (*Strom.* lib. i. p. 353), *οι τοις Βουττα πεποιθμενοι παραγγελμασι, ου δε υπερβολην ουκ ανωριστος εις Θεον περιηλκασι.* (See also Hieronym. *Cont. Jovian.* lib. i. cap. 36.) This divinity was not confined to the Asiatics. There was a Butus, or Buto of Egypt, a Battus of Cyrene, and a Boeotus of Greece. (See Bryant's *Analysis of Ancient Mythology*, iii. 170.) One of the primitive authors of the sect of Manicheans took the name of Buddas; another that of Manes; both of them names identical with the names of gods and sacred beings among the Hindus. Beauvoisre *Hist. de Manich.* liv. i. ch. 1.—M.

Much additional information has been collected since this was written, and the history of Buddhism is clearly made out. See Burnouf *Histoire de Bouddhisme*, and Harvey's *Eastern Monachism and Manual of Buddhism*, &c.—W.

¹ *Asiat. Research.* i. 236. See also Ward's *View, &c. of the Hindus*, (i. 8. London Ed.) for an account of the ten avatars.

² *Asiat. Research.* iii. 374.

³ One of the Puranas.

⁴ This means literally the goddess.

BOOK II. formed to Brahma. When Vishnu returned, he acknow-
 CHAP. VI. ledged that he had not been able to see the feet of Mahadeva, confessed him to be the first-born among the gods, and entitled to rank above them all."

After a passage such as this, who would expect to find the following? "The patriarch Atterien retired into a forest, and there performed rigorous devotion, having for his nourishment nothing but the wind, and being exposed to all the injuries of the atmosphere. One day he addressed his vows to the Eternal in these words; O thou "who hast created, and who preservest the universe; O thou by whom it is destroyed; give me the knowledge of thyself, and grant me the vision of thee! Then a fire issuing from the crown of the votary's head, made all the gods tremble, and they had recourse to Vishnu, to Siva, and to Brahma. Those three divinities, completely armed and mounted, accompanied by Lacshmi, Gunga, and Seraswati, their wives, presented themselves before the saint. Prostrating himself, Atterien worshipped them, and uttered the following words: O you three Lords, know that I recognise only one God: inform me which of you is the true divinity, that I may address to him alone my vows and adorations! To this application the three Gods replied; Learn, O devotee, that there is no real distinction between us: what to you appears such is only by semblance: the Single Being appears under three forms; by the acts of creation, of preservation, and destruction: but he is One."¹ Yet this "Single" Being, this ONE God, is thus again represented, a few pages after, in the same Purana: "Even Brahma, finding himself alone with his daughter, who was full of charms and knowledge, conceived for her a criminal passion."² Thus are we taught by the Hindus themselves to interpret the lofty phrases which the spirit of exaggeration and flattery so frequently puts into their mouths.

Of the First-born Mahadeva, or the One, Eternal God, under one of his forms, we have the following sacred story. He was playing one day at dice with Parvati,³ when they quarrelled, and parted in wrath to different regions. They severally performed rigid acts of devotion, but the fires which they kindled blazed so vehemently as to threaten a

¹ Bagavadam, p. 96, et seq.

³

lb. 178.

³ One of the names of his idle.

general conflagration. The devas,¹ in great alarm, hastened to Brahma, who led them to Mahadeva, and supplicated him to recall his consort; but the wrathful deity only answered, that she must come by her own free choice. They accordingly despatched Gunga, the river goddess, who prevailed on Parvati to return to him, on condition that his love for her should be restored. The celestial mediators then employed Camadeva,² who wounded Siva with one of his flowery arrows; but the angry divinity reduced him to ashes with a flame from his eye. Parvati soon after presented herself before him in the form of a Cirati, or daughter of a mountaineer, and seeing him enamoured of her, resumed her own shape.³ Of the various passages of a similar nature, presented to us in the history of this God, 1 shall content myself with another, extracted by Mr. Wilford from the Scanda Purana. "There had subsisted," says he,⁴ "for a long time, some animosity between Brahma and Mahadeva in their mortal shapes; and the latter, on account of his bad conduct, which is fully described in the Puranas, had, it appears, given much uneasiness to Swayambhuva, and Satarupa. For he was libidinous, going about stark-naked, with a large club in his hand. Be this as it may, Mahadeva, who was the eldest, saw his claim as such totally disregarded, and Brahma set up in his room. This intrusion the latter wanted to support; but made use of such lies as provoked Mahadeva to such a point, that he cut off one of his heads in his divine form." Such are the ideas which the Hindus entertain of the actions and character of their supreme deities; on whom, notwithstanding, they lavish all the most lofty epithets of divinity which human language can supply.*

¹ A general name of the inferior gods.

² One of the devas.

³ See this story as extracted from the Puranas, Asiatic Researches, iii. 402.

⁴ *Ib.* vi. 474.

⁴ Much of what has been cited in the text is sectorial, intended to exalt Siva or Vishnu at the expense of the other, or of Brahma. A very great part is the invention, also, of comparatively modern times, when the manners of the Hindus had received a taint from Mahomedan licentiousness. Many of the faults, however, are, no doubt, inseparable from all mythological systems in which the passions and acts of men are attributed to divinities. It must be remembered, however, that the gods of the Hindus are, even in the opinion of the vulgar, finite beings, living for a long period, but destined to die. Nor is this notion to be taken as a proof of their unworthy conception of the divine nature; it is rather a proof that they attach the character of inferior divinity to the objects of their worship. Eternity, and all the higher attributes of godhead, are reserved for the one God, the origin of all things*. If the language of panegyric ascribes them to the personifications of his power, it is less in

BOOK II
CHAP. VI.

This theology affords a remarkable instance of that progress in exaggeration and flattery which I have described as the genius of rude religion. As the Hindus, instead of selecting one god, to whom they assigned all power in heaven and in earth, distributed the creation and administration of the universe among three divinities, they divided themselves into sects; and some attached themselves more particularly to one deity, some to another.¹

their own persons than as emanations from him, and identifiable with him. This is always the prevailing idea of hymns and prayers addressed to the divinities who are the subjects of praise.—W.

Mr. Paterson, in his Discourse on the Origin of the Hindu Religion, delineates a terrible picture of this Hindu controversy. The people separated, he tells us, "into sects, each selecting one of the triad, the particular object of their devotion, in preference to and exclusive of the others: the followers of Vishnu and Siva invented new symbols, each, to ascribe to their respective divinity the attribute of creation. This contention for pre-eminence ended in the total suppression of the worship of Brahma, and the temporary submission of Vishnu to the superiority of Siva; but this did not last long; the sects raised crusades against each other; hordes of armed fanatics, under the title of Sanyasis and Vairagis, enlisted themselves as champions of their respective faith; the former devoted their lives in support of the superiority of Siva; and the latter were no less zealous for the rights of Vishnu: alternate victory and defeat marked the progress of a religious war, which for ages continued to harass the earth, and inflame mankind against each other." Asiatic Research, viii. 45, 46. Dr. Buchanan informs us, "That the worshippers of the two gods (Vishnu and Siva,) who are of different sects, are very apt to fall into disputes, occasioning abusive language, and followed by violence; so that the collectors have sometimes been obliged to have recourse to the fear of the bayonet, to prevent the controversy from producing bad effects." Buchanan's Journey through Mysore, &c, i. 13. The missionary Dubois observes, that "we see the two sects striving to exalt the respective deities whom they worship, and to revile those of their opponents. The followers of Vishnu vehemently insist that he is far superior to Siva, and is alone worthy of all honour. The disciples of Siva, on the contrary, no less obstinately affirm that Vishnu is nothing, and has never done any act, but tricks so base as to provoke shame and indignation," &c. Description, &c. of the People of India, p. 58. See, too, the Missionary Ward's View, &c. of the Hindoos, Lond. Ed. Introd. p. 27.

The preface to (*Bhagavadam*) the French translation of the Bhagavat, by M. D'Ohsonville, says, "The Indians are divided into two orthodox sects, which, however, violently oppose one another; the one asserting the supremacy of Vishnu, the other of Siva. The Puranas," it says, "differ in their interpretations of the Vedas, some of them giving the supremacy to Brahm, some to Vishnu, and some to Siva. These books are, properly speaking, pieces of controversial theology. The Brahmens, who composed them, disputing to which of their three gods the supremacy belongs, support the pretensions of each by an enormous mass of mythological legends and mystical opinions, in favour of the God whom the author adopts. All are equally supported by the authority of the Vedas.

MR. COMPTON, mentioning the universal sect of the Hindus, informs us that "Bancara Acharya, the celebrated commentator on the Veda, contended for the attributes of Siva, and founded or confirmed the sect of Saivas, who worship Mahadeva as the Supreme Being, and deny the independent existence of Vishnu and other Deities. Madhava Acharya and Vallabha Acharya have in like manner established the sect of Vaishnavas who adore Vishnu as God." The Brahm (see notices) than the two sects whose mentioned worldly the sun, and acknowledge no other divinity. The Genuinities adore Siva, as uniting in his person all the attributes of the Deity." Note A. on the Religious Ceremonies of the Hindus. Asiatic Research. vii.

Presently the usual consequence appeared. Whichever of the three gods any votary selected for his peculiar patron, he expected to perform to him one of the most agreeable of all possible services, by representing him as superior to the other two. This we find to have been the practice, invariably and enthusiastically. In a passage from the Scanda Purana, one of the sacred books in honour of Siva, we have seen by what legends his votaries endeavour to elevate him above Brahma and Vishnu; while he cuts off the head of the one for contesting with him the supremacy, and has it expressly yielded up to him by the other. It is not, however, sufficient that the favourite god should be only superior to the rest; whatever honour is derived from their actions, that too must be claimed for him; and he is asserted to be himself the author of all their achievements.¹

A still higher strain of flattery succeeds. Not only must he absorb their actions, it is accounted still nobler if he can be asserted to absorb even themselves; if Siva, for example, can be affirmed, not only to be Siva, and to be at once creator, preserver, and destroyer, but can be declared to be Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva themselves. Beyond even this, a step remains. In the same manner as he absorbs the gods, he is finally made to absorb everything. He is asserted to be the universe itself. He is then all in all. We shall find this process pursued with the Hindu divinities, one after another. In another sacred book,² dedicated to Siva, that god is made to declare, "I have always been, and I always am, and I always will be. There is no second of whom I can say that I am he, and that he is I. I am the within of all the withins. I am in all surfaces. Whatever is I am; and whatever is not I am. I am Brahma; and I am also Brahme; and I am the causing cause. Whatever is in the east I am; and whatever is in the west I am; and whatever is in the south I am; and

¹ It is strange that this source of perplexity and contradiction did not suggest an explanation of the difficulties previously intimated. For a more detailed view of the sects of the Hindus, see *Asiat. Researches*, vols. xvi. and xvii.—W.

² The *Oupnekhat*, of which an ancient version into the Persian language has been found. Anquetil Duperron published first some specimens of a translation from this in the *Recherches Historiques et Géographiques sur l'Inde*, and has since published a translation of the whole in Latin. There is a translation of it likewise among the late Mr. Allen's manuscripts in the British Museum.

BOOK II. whatever is in the north I am. Whatever is below I am;
 CHAP. VI. and whatever is above I am. I am man, and not man, and woman. I am the truth; I am the ox; and I am all other animated beings. I am more ancient than all I am the king of kings. And I am in all the great qualities. I am the perfect being. Whatever has been, Rudra¹ is; and whatever is he is; and whatever shall be he is. Rudra is life, and is death; and is the past, present, and future; and is all worlds."² But if the votaries of Siva, with exaggerating devotion, thus infinitely exalt him above all; the same, or, if possible,* still greater honours, do the adorers of Vishnu lavish upon that divinity. "Let it not be thought," says the Bhagavat, "that Vishnu is only one of the three divinities, or triple powers. Know that he is the principle of all. It is he who created the universe by his productive power; it is he who supports all by his preserving power; it is he, in fine, who destroys all by his destructive power. He creates under the form of Brahma, and destroys under that of Siva. The productive power is more excellent than the destructive, and the preserving more excellent than the productive. To the name of Vishnu, therefore, is attached the pre-eminence, since the title of preserver or saviour is peculiarly attributed to him."³ In the Bhagvat-Geeta, Crishna is thus addressed: "O mighty being! who, greater than Brahma, art the prime creator! eternal god of gods! the world's mansion! thou art the incorruptible being distinct from all things transient! Thou art before all gods, and the supreme supporter of the universe! Thou knowest all things! By thee, O infinite form! the universe was spread abroad. Thou art Vayoo the god of winds, Agnee the god of fire, Varoon the god of oceans, Sasanka the moon, Prajapatee the god of nations! Reverence be unto thee before and behind, reverence be unto thee on all sides, O thou who art all in all! Infinite is thy power and thy glory! Thou Includest all things, wherefore thou art all things."⁴ In a

¹ One of the many names of Siva, or Mahadeva.

² Oupnekhat, ix.

³ Bhagavadam, p. 8, 9.

⁴ Bhagvat-Geeta, p. 34: see similar strings of praises, *Ibid.* pp. 64 to 66; pp. 78, 79; p. 70. At p. 80, he is denominated, "The father and the mother of this world;" which affords another curious coincidence with the phraseology of other religions. The orphic verses were ~~poems~~ make Jupiter the "father and mother of all things."

Πατήρ μὲν ἐν οὐρανῷ, μήτηρ, &c.—Hymn. ix. ver. 18.

Sanscrit inscription, taken from a stone at Buddha Gaya, Buddha is thus addressed: "Reverence be unto thee, O god, in the form of the god of mercy; the lord of all things, the guardian of the universe. Thou art Brahma, Vishnu and Mahesa.¹ Thou art lord of the universe¹ Thou art' under the proper form of all things, moveable and immoveable, the possessor of the whole."²

Among the numerous expressions of panegyric and adoration which the Hindus apply to their divinities, none seem to have made a deeper impression upon some of the most intelligent of our English inquirers, than the epithet ONE.³ This has so far prevailed as to impress them with a belief that the Hindus had a refined conception of the unity of the Divine Nature. Yet it seems very clear that the use of such an epithet is but a natural link in that chain of unmeaning panegyric which distinguishes the religion of ignorant men. When one divinity has been made to engross the powers of all the rest, it is the necessary termination of this piece of flattery, to denominate

Valerius Soranus calls Jupiter "the father and mother of the gods."

Jupiter omnipotens, regum Ilex ipse Deumque

Progenitor, Genetrixque Defim; Deus unus et idem.

Apud Augustin. de Civitat. Dei, lib. iv. cap. xi. et lib. vii. cap. IX.

Synesius uses similar language:

Σὺ πατήρ, σὺ δὲ καὶ μήτηρ,

Σὺ δὲ ἀρχὴν σὺ δὲ Θεὸς.—Synesius Hymn. iii.

Even Martial, in a sort of a Hymn, or eulogy upon Mercury, beginning

Hermes Martia seculi voluptas,

Hermes omnibus eruditus armis;

&c. &c, ends thus,

Hermes omnia SOMUS, et ter unus—Mart. Ep. lib. iv. ep. 25.

"De Deo, ejusque cultu, ita Chaldeos tradidisse referunt; 1. *Esse Deum omnium regem, parentemque, cuius providentia universorum ordo utique ornatus factus est*—Bruckeri Hist. Crit. Philosophise, lib. ii. cap. ii. sect. 18.

³ Another name for Siva.

Much of what follows on this subject is verbal quibbling. One, in Sanscrit, as in other languages may no doubt imply "chief," "principal," or metaphorically denote identity of persons; but it should have been proved that the word was so used when applied to the "One" Deity. It does not signify, when so employed, the chief—or the same—but the one distinct from and above all, and from whom all things proceeded. What notions Mr. Mill would have the term express he should have explained; it is evident that he has in his instances confounded very different things; the notion of one of many with one over many, and the simple ideas of unity and supremacy, with more comprehensive ideas of other attributes. Why should the belief of one God not prevail amongst the Africans? What do we understand of oneness more than they? Why should not the Heathen nations have had some perception of this truth, although it failed to influence their practice? "The intelligent pagans acknowledged only one God according to the phrase quoted by Laertius of Thales. God is the oldest of all things, because he is unmade or unproduced, and the only thing that is so."—i. 35. "The Pagans do often characterize the Supreme God by such titles, epithets, and descriptions, as are incommunicably proper to him, thereby plainly distinguishing him from all other inferior gods." Cudworth, ii 11.—W.

OOKII. him THE ONE. Oriental scholars ought moreover to hare
HAP. VI. reflected that *one* is an epithet of very common and vague application in the languages of Asia; and is by no means a foundation whereon to infer among the Hindus any conception analogous to that which we denote by the term "Unity of God." The translation of the Institutes of Menu affords us a very satisfactory example: "Then only is a man perfect when he consists of three persons united, his wife, himself, and his son; and thus have learned Brahmeas announced this—the husband is even ONE with his wife."¹ Yet surely no unity of being was supposed in this triune person, *a man, his wife, and his son*. AD, we are informed by Macrobius, was among the Assyrians a word which signified *one*, and was a name conferred by them upon their chief divinity.² The Babylonians applied it to their principal goddess.³ The god Rimmon, as we learn from the Bible, had the same epithet.⁴ Mr. Bryant says it was a sacred title among all the Eastern nations, and originally conferred upon the sun.⁵ Even the Greek poets, who have never been suspected of refined notions of the unity of God, employ it to profusion. It is applied to Jupiter, to Pluto, to the sun, to Dionysus.⁶ All the gods are affirmed to be one.⁷ "One power," says the Orphic poetry, "one divinity, Jupiter is the great ruler of all."⁸ Plutarch informs us that Apollo was frequently denominated the *monad*, or the ONLY ONE;⁹ and from the emperor Julian we learn, that the people of Edessa had a god whom they called MONIMUS, a word of the same interpretation.¹⁰ Few

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. ix. 45.

² Deo, quem sanctum maximumque venerantur, Adad nomen, dederant. Ejus nominis interpretatio significat unus. Macrobi. Satur. lib. i. cap. 23. This reduplication Mr. Bryant, with good reason, supposes to be a superlative, but is wrong in supposing it an ordinal. i. 29.

³ Adā, ἡδυνή και ἡτο Βαβυλωνίων ἡ Ἥρα. Hesychius, ad verb. The Greeks gave it, for a feminine application, a feminine termination.

⁴ Zechariah, ch. xii. ver. 11. "As the mourning of Adad Rimmon, in the valley of Megiddon."

⁵ Analysis of Ancient Mythology, i. 29.

⁶ Εἰς Ζεὺς, εἰς Αἴθερ, εἰς Ἥλιον εἰς Διόνυσον,

Εἰς θεὸν ἐν παντί.—Orph. Frag. iv. p. 364.

⁷ Πάντων, Περσεφόνη, Διμήτηρ, Μινρτα, Ερώτης,

Ταχιδωρ, Νηρηρ, Τηθύη, και Κουρηνιδωρ,

Ερωτις, ἡ Εὐφροσύνη τε καὶ τὴν, Παν, Ζεὺς τε, και Ἥρα
Διόνυσος, ἡ Εὐφροσύνη Αἰσώπων, εἰς θεὸς εἰσι.—Hermesianax.

⁸ Orphic. Fragm. vi. 266.

⁹ Τὸν ΜΟΝΑΔΑ τὸν σὺνθετὸν οὐρανὸν Αἰσώπων.—Plutarch. Isis et Osiris, 254.

¹⁰ Orat. iv. p. 186. See note 2, in page 236, where Mercury is denominated the Trice-one.

RELIGION OF THE HINDUS.

nations shall we find without a knowledge of the unity of the Divine Nature, if we take such expressions of it as abound in the Hindu writings for satisfactory evidence. By this token, Mr. Park found it among the savages of Africa.¹

In pursuance of the same persuasion, ingenious authors have laid hold of the term Brahme, or Brahm, the neuter of Brahma, the masculine name of the creator.² This they have represented as the peculiar appellation of the one god; Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva, being only names of the particular modes of divine action. But this supposition (for it is nothing more)³ involves the most enormous inconsistency; as if the Hindus possessed refined notions of the unity of God, and could yet conceive his modes of action to be truly set forth in the characters of Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva; as if the same people could at once be so enlightened as to form a sublime conception of the Divine Nature, and yet so stupid as to make a distinction between the character of God and his modes of action. The parts of the Hindu writings, however, which are already before us, completely refute this gratuitous notion, and prove that Brahme is a mere unmeaning epithet of

¹ "The belief of ONE GOD," says he, "and of a future state of reward and punishment, is entire and universal among them." Park's Travels in Africa, p. 273.

² Sir W. Jones says (Discourse on the Gods of Greece, Italy, and India), "It must always be remembered, that the learned Indians, as they are instructed by their own books, in truth acknowledge only one supreme being, whom they call Brahme, or the *Great One*, in the neuter gender; they believe his essence to be infinitely removed from the comprehension of any mind but his own; and they suppose him to manifest his power by the operation of his divine spirit; whom they name Vishnu, the *Perceiver*, in the masculine gender, whence he is often denominated the first male. * * * * When they consider the Divine Power exerted in creating, or in giving existence to that which existed not before, they call the Deity Brahma, in the masculine gender also; and when they view him in the light of *Destroyer*; or rather *changer* of forms, they give him a thousand names, of which Siva, Isa or Iswara, Rudra, Hara, Sambhu, and Mahadeva, or Mahesa, are the most common.*" Mr. Wilford (Asiat Research, iii. 370) says that Brahma, Vishnu, and Mahadeva, "are only the principal forms in which the Brahmens teach the people to adore Brahm, or the Great One."

* It is something more than supposition. "The attributes are affirmed by the Vedas to be the creating, protecting, destroying, and the like, powers of the Supreme Being. Their worship, under various representations by means of consecrated objects, is prescribed by the scripture to the human race, who, owing to the wavering nature of their minds, cannot without assistance fix the thoughts on the incomprehensible and Almighty Being." Defence of Hindoo Theism, by Sankara Sastri. Ramtoghun Boy, whilst he denies the necessity of the worship, equally admits the character of personified attributes attached by the Vedas themselves to Brahme, Vishnu, and Siva. Translations from the Vedas, p. 145.—W.

B00K.II. praise, applied to various gods;¹ and no more indicative of refined notions of the unity, or any perfection of *the Divine Nature, than other parts of their panegyrical devotions. We have already beheld Siva decorated with this title.² Vishnu is denominated the supreme Brahme in the Bhagvat-Geeta.³ Nay, we find this Brahme, the great,

CHAP. VI.

¹ This is a specimen of most perverse reasoning. Brahme is said to be a mere unmeaning epithet of praise, applied to various gods; but if it means; nothing, what honour can it do them, why is it attached to them? It must have some signification, or it would not be employed. It may be absurdly used I but, undoubtedly, when God or man is called Brahme, it is intended to say, that he is something of a more elevated nature than his ordinary nature - that he is, in fact, one with that being, who, according to particular doctrines, it not only the cause of all that exists, but is all that exists. The reasonableness of the Vedanta philosophy, the fitness of sectarian panegyric, are not in question. The eulogy of any individual god by identifying him with Brahme, derives its weight entirely from the notion that besides the inferior divinities, there is a God, one, uncreated and eternal, with whom to be identified, figuratively or philosophically, is highest praise.—W.

² Vide supra, p. 316.

³ Bhagvat-Geeta, p. 84. The term Para Brahme, or Great Brahme, is applied, not once, but many times to Crishna, in the Bhagavat. See Halhed's translation in Maurice's Hindostan, i. 342, 351, 334, 360, 375, 377, 379, 380, 417, 444. "The Sri Vaishnavam Brahmens," says Dr. Buchanan (Journey through Mysore, etc., i. 144), "worship Vishnu and the gods of his family only, and all over the Deccan are almost exclusively the officiating priests in, the temples of these deities. They allege Brahma to be a son of Vishnu, and Siva the son of Brahma. Vishnu they consider as the same with Para Brahma** (thus Dr. Buchanan spells it instead of Brahme), "or the Supreme Being." Yet of this Supreme Being, this Para Brahma, they believe as follows: "One of the Astiras, or demons, named Tripura, possessed a city, the inhabitants of which were very troublesome to the inhabitants of Brahma Loka, the heaven of Brahma, who attempted in vain to take the place; it being destined not to fall, so long as the women who resided in it should preserve their chastity. The angels at length offered up their prayers to Vishnu, who took upon himself the form of a most beautiful young man, and became Budha Avatara. Entering then into the city, he danced naked before the women, and inspired them with loose desires, so that the fortress soon fell a prey to the angels." Ibid. Even Vach, the daughter of Ambhrina, is decorated with all the attributes of divinity. Mr. Colebrooke gives us the following literal version of s. hymn in one of the Vedas, which Vach, he informs us, "speaks in praise of herself as the *supreme and universal soul*" [the title which, it is pretended, exclusively belongs to Brahme] — "I range with the Rudras, with the Vasts, with the Adityas, and with the Viswadevas. I uphold both the sun and thee; ocean [metra and varuna], the Armament, and fire, etc. * * Me, who am the queen, the conferrer of wealth, the possessor of knowledge, and first of such as merit worship, the gods render, universally, present everywhere, and pervader of all beings. He, who eats food through me, as he, who sees, who hears, or who breathes, through me, yet knows me not, is lost; hear then the faith which I pronounce. Even I declare *this Self*, who is worshipped by god* and men. I make strong whom I choose; I make him Brahme, holy and wise. For Rudra I bend the bow, to slay the demon, foe of Brahma: for the people I make war on their foes; and I pervade heaven and earth. I bore the lather on the head of this universal mind; and my origin is in the midst of the ocean | and therefore do I pervade all beings, and touch this heaven with my form. Originating all beings, I pass like the breeze; I am above this heaven, beyond this earth; and what is the GREAT ONE, that am I." Asiat. Research. viii 402, 403. Mr. Colebrooke says that Vach signifies speech, and that she is personified as the active power of Brahma, proceeding from him. Ibid. There is a curious passage, descriptive of the universal soul, translated from the Vedas by Mr. Colebrooke. Several persons "deeply coaremnt with holy

the eternal ONE, the supreme soul, employed in rather a subordinate capacity. "The Great Brahm," says Crishna, "is my womb. In it I place my foetus; and from it is the production of all nature. The great Brahm is the womb of all those various forms which are conceived in every natural womb, and I am the father who soweth the seed."* In one of the morning prayers of the Brahmens, cited from the Vedas by Mr. Colebrooke, water is denominated Brahme,² "The sun," says Yajnyawalcya, "is Brahme; this is a certain truth revealed in the sacred Upanishats, find in various sac'has of the Vedas. So the Bhawishya Purana, speaking of the sun: Because there is none greater than he, nor has been, nor will be, therefore he is celebrated as the supreme soul in all the Vedas."³ Air, too neeives the appellation of Brahme. Thus, says a passage in the Veda; "That which moves in the atmosphere is air, *Brahme*?* Thus again; "Salutation unto thee, O air! Even thou art Brahme, present to our apprehension. Thee I will call 'present Brahme:' thee I will name 'the right one;' thee I will pronounce 'the true one.' May that Brahme, the universal being entitled Air, preserve me."⁵ Food, too, is denominated Brahme; so is breath, and in-

writ, and possessed of great dwellings, meeting together, engaged in this disquisition; What is our soul? and who is Brahme?"⁵ Going together for information to a profound sage, they addressed him thus: "Thou well knowest the tmirersal soul, communicate that knowledge unto us." The sage addressed each of them, "whom he worshipped as the soul." The first answered, "the heaven." But the sage replied, that this was only the head of the soul. The second declared that he worshipped "the sun as the soul." But the sage told him, this was only the eye of the soul. The third said that he worshipped "air as the soul;" and the sage answered, that this was only the breath of the soul. The fourth declared that he worshipped "the ethereal element as the soul." But the sage replied that this was only the trunk of the soul. The fifth answered, that he worshipped "water as the soul." But the sage rejoined that tills was only the abdomen of the soul. The sixth informed him that he worshipped "earth as the soul." But the sage declared that this was only the feet of the soul. The sage next proceeds to deliver his own explanation; and utters a jargon, which has not even a semblance of meaning. "He thus addressed them collectively: You consider this universal soul as it were an individual being; and you partake of distinct enjoyments. But he who worships as the universal soul, that which is known by its manifested portions, and is inferred from consciousness, enjoys nourishment in all worlds, in all beings, in all souls: his head is splendid, like that of this universal soul; his eye is similarly varied; his breath is equally diffused; his trunk is no less abundant; his abdomen is alike full; and his feet are the earth; his breast it the altar: his hair is the sacred grass; his heart the household fire; his mind the consecrated flame; and his mouth the oblation."

¹ Bhagvat-Geeta, p. 107. ² Aslat. Research, v. 349.
³ An extract from a Sanscrit Commentary by Mr. Colebrooke, Asiat. Research, v. 259.

Asiat. Ees. viii. 417,

⁵

Ibid.

456.

BOOK II. tellect, and felicity.¹ Nay, it is affirmed, as part of the
 CHAP. VI. Hindu belief, that man himself may become Brahme; thus-
 in the Bhagvat-Geeta, Orishna declares: "A man being-
 endowed with a purified understanding, having humbled
 his spirit by resolution, and abandoned the objects of the
 organs; who hath freed himself from passion and dislike,
 who worshippeth with discrimination, eateth with moder-
 ation, and is humble of speech, of body, and of mind; who
 preferreth the devotion of meditation, and who constantly
 placeth his confidence in dispassion; who is freed from
 ostentation, tyrannic strength, vain-glory, lust, anger, and
 avarice; and who is-exempt from selfishness, and in all
 things temperate, is formed for being Brahm."²

Such are the proofs on which the opinion has been
 adopted that sublime principles run through the religion
 of the Brahmens.³ I know no supposition which can be

¹ Extract from the Vedas by Mr. Colebrooke, *Asiat. Research*, viii 455, 456.

² Bhagvat-Geeta, p. 131, 132.

³ Sir W. Jones seems to have found proofs of a pure theism almost every where. Speaking of the Arabs, he says, "The religion of the poets, at least seems to have been pure theism; and this we may know with certainty, because we have Arabian verses of unsuspected antiquity, which contain pious and elevated sentiments on the goodness and justice, the power and omnipotence, of Allah, or the *Ood*. If an inscription said to have been found on marble in Yemen be authentic, the ancient inhabitants of that country preserved the religion of Eber, and professed a belief in miracles, and a future-state." (*As. Kes.* ii. 8.) Did Sir W. not know that the wildest religions abound most in miracles, and that no religion is without a belief of a future state? Did it want an inscription in Yemen to prove to us this? Sir W. finds proofs of a pure theism as easily among the Persians as among the Arabs. "The primeval religion of Iran," he says, "if we rely on the authorities adduced by Mohsani Fani, was that which Newton calls the oldest (and it may be justly called the noblest) of all religions: A firm belief that one supreme God made the world by his power, and continually governed it by his providence; a pious fear, love, and adoration of him; a due reverence for parents and aged persons: a fraternal affection for the whole human race, and a compassionate tenderness even for the brute creation." Yet under Hushang, who, it would appear, was the author of this primeval religion, he tells us, that the popular worship of the Iranians was purely Sabian. (*Ibid.* p. 58.) At the same time he assures us, that during his supposed Mahabadian dynasty, when this. Hushangism and Sabianism existed, a Brahmenical system prevailed, "which we can hardly," he says, "doubt was the first corruption of the oldest and purest religion." (*Ibid.* p. 59.) By this account three different religions must have all been the prevalent religions of Persia, at one and the same time. Unless (which is not a theory with slight presumptions in its favour) we conclude that all three were originally one and the same.—Even on the most sober-minded and judicious men, the lofty language of a mean superstition is calculated to impose. The industrious and intelligent Harris, in his account of the travels of William de Bubuquais, states it as his opinion, "after all the pains that he had been able to take, in order to obtain some sort of certainty on this head," that the religion of the Tartars includes these three points: "First,—that there is one God, the fountain of being, the creator of all things, the ruler of all things, and the sole object of Divine worship. Secondly,—that all men in general are his creatures, and therefore ought to consider

employed to reconcile the inconsistencies, and to remove the absurdities, which we have found this opinion to involve, unless it be assumed that the legends of the Hindus are all allegorical: and though, in their literal interpretation, they may be altogether unworthy of a perfect being that yet a recondite and enigmatical meaning may be extorted from them, which will tally with the sublime hypothesis it is wished to entertain. Undoubtedly, if we assume to ourselves the licence of giving to the Hindu mythology a meaning to suit our own views, we may form out of it not only a sublime theology, but a sublime philosophy, or any thing we please. It might, however, have been imagined that the futility, the absurdity, of these arbitrary interpretations had been too well exposed to allow them to mislead such men as some of the advocates for the allegorical sense of the Hindu scriptures.¹ The latter Platonists, and other refiners upon the mythology of Greece and Rome, drew from it a pure system of theology, by the very same process which is adopted and recommended in regard to the fables of the Hindus. "Without a tedious detail," says Mr. Gibbon, "the modern reader could not form a just idea of the strange allusions, the forced etymologies, the solemn trifling, and the impenetrable obscurity of these sages, who professed to reveal the system of the universe. As the traditions of Pagan mythology were variously related, the sacred interpreters were at liberty to select the most convenient circumstances; and as they

BOOK II.
CMAP. VI,

each other as brethren descended from one common parent, and alike entitled to all the blessings he bestows, and that, therefore, it is great impiety to abuse those blessings, or to injure each other. Thirdly,—That in as much as the common reason of mankind hath taught them to establish property, it is necessary that it should be preserved, and that it is therefore the duty of every man to be content with his own." (See Harris's Collection of Voyages, vol. i.) Lea Moskaniens m'orit tous assures unanimement, Qu'ils n'avoient jamais eu d'idoles, ni de divinites subalternes, raais qu'ils sacrifioient uniqueinent a un etre supreme et invisible. (Pallas, Voyage, i. 126.

The advocates of this interpretation are not Europeans alone. The Hindus themselves give it, and not without good authority. "It is indisputably evident that none of the metaphorical representations which arise from the metaphorical style in which the Vedas are written, were designed to be viewed in any other light than a mere allegory."—Rammohun Roy, p. 12. "Corresponding to the natures of different powers or qualities, numerous figures have been invented for the benefit of those who are not possessed of (sufficient understanding)."—Muharnirvana, quoted by Rammohun Roy. "For the benefit of those who are inclined to warship, figures are invented to serve as representations of God, and to them either mule or female forms, and other circumstances, are fictitiously assigned."—Jamadagni, cited by Kammotmu Roy, p. 34. This allegorical representation is then avowed by the Hindus themselves, as the source of their popular belief.—W.

BOOK II. translated an arbitrary cipher, they could extract from any
 CHAP. VI. fable *any* sense which was adapted to their favourite system of religion and philosophy. The lascivious form of a naked Venus was tortured into the discovery of some moral percept, or some physical truth ; and the castration of Atys explained the revolution of the sun between the tropics, or the separation of the human soul from vice and error."¹ But if a condemnation thus severe can be justly pronounced upon those who allegorize the Greek and Roman mythology, what judgment should be formed of those by whom the same mode of interpretation is applied to the fables of the Hindus?² The Egyptian religion is allowed on all hands to have possessed the same fundamental principles with the Hindu, and to have resembled it remarkably in its outward features : yet, of all the systems of superstition which were found within the Roman empire, Mr. Gibbon pronounces this to be "the most contemptible and abject."³ There are satisfactory reasons for supposing that improvement in the language of the Brahmens, and refinement in the interpretations which they put upon their ancient writings, not to speak of what may have been done by their favourite practice of interpolation have been suggested by the more rational and simple doctrines of Mahomet.⁴ The natural effect of acquaintance with a better creed is well described by Mr. Bryant. "It is to be observed," he says, "that when Christianity had introduced a more rational system, as well as a more

¹ Gibbon's Hist. of the Decl. and Fall of the Rom. Emp. iv. 71.

² The Hindu ideas are so extremely loose, vague, and uncertain, that they are materials unspeakably convenient for workmanship of this description. "The Hindu religion," says an Oriental scholar of some eminence, "is so pliant, that there is scarcely an opinion it will not countenance." A Tour to Shiraz, by Edward Scott Waring, Esq. p. 3, note.—M.

Mr. Waring is no authority on Hindu subjects.—W.

³ Gibbon's Hist. of the Decl. and Fall of the Rom. Emp. i. 62.

⁴ Besides the invincible reasons afforded by the circumstances of the case, the artful pretences and evasions of the Brahmens are evidence enough. Mr. Wilford, having stated the general opinion, that the three principal gods of Egypt resolve them into one, namely, the sun, says, "The case was nearly the same in ancient India; but there is no subject on which the modern Brahmens are more reserved; for when they are closely interrogated on the title of Deva, or God, which their most sacred books give to the sun, they avoid a direct answer, have recourse to evasions, and often contradict one another and themselves. They confess, however, unanimously, that the sun is an emblem or image of the three great divinities jointly and individually: that to of Brahme, or the supreme one." *Asiat. Res.* iii. 372.—M.

These general assertions of Wilford are always to be received with great caution. There is no reason why the Brahmans should make a mystery of applying the word Deva to the Son. The Sun is a god, a Deva, and Deva properly means, that which shines.—W.

fanned worship, among mankind ; the Pagans were struck with the sublimity of its doctrines, and tried in their turns to refine. But their misfortune was, that they were obliged to abide by the theology which had been transmitted to them ; and to make the history of the Gentile gods the basis of their procedure. This brought them into immense difficulties and equal absurdities: while they laboured to solve what was inexplicable, and to remedy what was past cure. Hence we meet with many dull and elaborate sophisms even in the great Plutarch: but many more in after-times, among the writers of whom I am speaking. Proclus is continually ringing the changes upon the terms, , and ; and explains what is really a proper name, as if it signified *sense* and *intellect*. In consequence of this, he tries to subtilize and refine all the base jargon about Saturn and Rhea and would persuade us that the most idle and obscene legends related to the divine mind, to the eternal wisdom, and supremacy of the Deity. Thus he borrows many exalted notions from Christianity ; and blends them with the basest alloy, with the dregs of Pagan mythology."¹ Such are the opinions of the greatest men respecting those attempts to allegorize a rude superstition, which some of the most celebrated of our Indian guides so vehemently recommend.²

¹ Bryant's Analysis of Ancient Mythology, iii. 104, 105.

² Mr. Halhed very judiciously condemns the project to allegorize and refine upon the Hindu mythology. "Many conjectural doctrines," says he, "have been circulated by the learned and ingenious of Europe upon the mythology of the Gentoos; and they have unanimously endeavoured to construe the extravagant fables with which it abounds into sublime and mystical symbols of the most refined morality. This mode of reasoning, however common, is not quite candid or equitable, because it sets out with supposing in those people a deficiency of faith with respect to the authenticity of their own scriptures, which, although our better information may convince us to be altogether false and erroneous, yet are by them literally esteemed as the immediate revelations of the Almighty. . . . It may possibly be owing to this vanity of reconciling every other mode of worship to some kind of conformity with our own, that allegorical constructions and forced allusions to a mystic morality have been constantly foisted in upon the plain and literal context of every Pagan mythology. . . . The Institution of a religion has been in every country the first step towards an emersion from savage barbarism. . . . The vulgar and illiterate have always understood the mythology of their country in its literal sense; and there was a time to every nation, when the highest rank in it was equally vulgar and illiterate with the lowest. . . . A Hindu esteems the astonishing miracles attributed to a Krihma, a Kaam, or a Kishen, as facts of the most indubitable authenticity, and the relation of them as most strictly historical." Preface to the Code of Gentoo Laws, p. xiii. xiv. "On the religion of ancient nations, Voltaire says with justice" "On neiroit faire des voimmes sur ce sujet; maistousces volumes se rednisent a denx mots, c'est que le grot du genre humafn a ete et sera tres long-temps insetise et imbecile; et qaepevt-dtre les plus insense's detons ont ete ceux, qui ont voulu trouver

BOOK II. Of the pure and elevated ideas of the Divine Nature,
 CHAP. VI. which are ascribed to the Hindus, or to any other people, an accurate judgment may be formed, by ascertaining the source from which they are derived. It will be allowed that just and rational views of God can be obtained from two sources alone: from revelation; or, where that is wanting, from sound reflection upon the frame and government of the universe. Wherever men are sufficiently improved to take a comprehensive survey of this magnificent system, to observe the order which prevails, the adaptation of means to ends, and the incredible train of effects which flow from the simplest causes ; they may then form exalted notions of the intelligence to which all those wonders are ascribed.¹ If all the unrevealed knowledge which we possess respecting God, the immediate object of none of our senses be derived from his works, they whose ideas of the works are

un sens a ces fables absurdes, et mettre de la raison dans la folie" Voltaire, *Philosophie de l'Histoire, Oeuvres Completes*, a Gotha, 1785, tom .xvi. p. 22. Mr. Wilkins, reprobating some other attempts at refinement on the Hindu text, says, "he has seen a comment, by a zealous Persian, upon the wanton odes of their favourite poet Hafiz, wherein every obscure allusion is sublimated into a divine mystery, and the host and the tavern are as ingeniously metamorphosed into their prophet and his holy temple." Bhagvut-Geeta, note 114.—M.

Every oriental scholar knows that the odes of Hafiz, as of many other Persian poets, are allegorical, and that all the rapturous love of Sufi writers, is mystical philosophy relating to the separation of individualized soul from the source from whence it emanated. It is very true that explanation by allegory may have been carried sometimes to excess, but to the composition of a popular mythology many elements contribute, and none more copiously than allegory. The greater number of the Hindu fables are obvious allegories, and the foundation of the whole system, in its popular shape, is eminently allegorical. The three chief divinities are repeatedly admitted to be nothing more than personifications of the powers of God in action. With the vulgar the personifications become realities—the types become the things typified.—This is the natural progress of all idolatry, even where it has been grafted upon the simple truths of Christianity; and there is no difficulty in understanding how it should have taken this course in Hindustan.—W.

i That the notions of the Hindus are irrational and erroneous, may be admitted, and they are therefore offensive to minds better informed; but the subsequent designations of degrading, gross, and disgusting, are scarcely applicable; nor is any distinction here made between mythological and philosophical views, the absurdity is restricted to the former; the latter fully recognises the order of the world as the necessary consequence of its mode of development, and men are enjoined to study God in his works. "The Vedaa," says Uaimohnu Roy, "bold out precautions against framing a deity after human imagination, and recommend mankind to direct all researches towards the surrounding objects, viewed either collectively or individually, bearing in mind their regular, wise, and wonderful combinations and arrangements. Intro. to the abridgment of the Vedant, viii. The philosophical doctrines, which invariably enjoin disregard of all external, and merely temporal existence, and the exclusive direction of the powers of mind to tittle study of a, man's own soul, may be condemned as unwise and ill-directed, but they can scarcely be termed, with justice, mean and degrading; the end is elevated, though the means be mistaken.—W.

in the highest *degree* absurd, *mean*, and degrading, cannot, whatever may be the language which they employ, have elevated ideas of the author of those works. It is impossible for the stream to ascend higher than the fountain. The only question therefore is, what are the ideas which the Hindus have reached concerning the wisdom and beauty of the universe. To this the answer is clear and incontrovertible. No people, how rude and ignorant soever, who have been so far advanced as to leave us memorials of their thoughts in writing, have ever drawn a more gross and disgusting picture of the universe than what is presented in the writings of the Hindus.¹ In the conception of it no coherence, wisdom, or beauty, ever appears; all is disorder, caprice, passion, contest, portents, prodigies, violence, and deformity.² It is perfectly evident that

¹ Even Mr. Maurice says; "The Hindu notions of the mundane system altogether the most monstrous that ever were adopted by any beings, who boast the light of reason; and, in truth, very little reconcilable with those *suMime* ideas we have been taught to entertain of the profound learning and unowned sagacity of the ancient Brahmens." Maurice, Hist. of Hindost. i. 490. I have met with nothing in Sanscrit literature in any degree to be compared with the following reflection of a Peruvian Inca, "If the heaven be so glorious, which is the throne and seat of the Pachacamac, how much more powerful, glittering, and resplendent must his person and Majesty be, who was the maker and creator of them all. Other sayings of his were these, *If I were to adore any of these terrestrial things, it should certainly be a wise and discreet wan, whose excellencies surpass all earthly creatures.*" Garcilasso do Vega, Royal Commentaries of Peru, book iv. ch. 19. There is a passage which I have read since this was written (which however may well be suspected of flowing at a recent date from a foreign source) translated by Mr. Ward, from a work by Chirunjeevu, in which the inference that a God exists because the universe exists, is very distinctly expressed. Ward's View, &c. ii. 305. Lond. Ed.

² In ray researches concerning the religious ideas of the Hindus, I was much struck with the title of a chapter or lecture in the Bhagyat-Gecta, "Display of the Divine Nature in the form of the universe." I seized it with eagerness: Here, I thought, will undoubtedly be found some reflections on the wisdom and order of the universe; I met with only the following monstrous exhibition: "Behold," says Vishnu, in the form of Crishna, to Arjoon, "behold things wonderful, never seen before. Behold in this my body the whole world animate and inanimate, and all tilings else thou hast a mind to see. But as thou art unable to see with these thy natural eyes, I will give thee a heavenly eye, with Which behold my divine connexion."—After this, Arjoon declares, "I behold, O god, within thy breast, the dews assembled, and every specific tribe of beings. I Bee Brahma, that deity sitting on his lotus-throne; all the Keeshees [saints] and heavenly Ooragas [serpents]. I see thyself, on all sides, of infinite shape, formed with abundant arms, and bellies, and mouths, and eyes; but I can neither discover thy beginning, thy middle, nor again thy end, O universal lord, form of the universe! I see thee with a crown, and armed with club and chakra [the martial weapon of Crishna, a sort of discus or quoit], a mass of glory, darting refulgent beams around. I see thee, difficult to be seen, shining on ail sides, with light immeasurable, like the ardent fire or glorious sun Thou art the supreme being, incorruptible, worthy to be known! Thou art prime supporter of the universal orb! Thou art the never-fafling and eternal guardian of religion I Thou art from all beginning, and I esteem the PooroMn [literally, *man*, hut here meant to express the vital soul]. I see thee without begiimiing, without middle, and without end; of valour infinite; ortrs innu-

BOOK II. the Hindus never contemplated the universe as a con-
 CHAP. VI. nected and perfect system, governed by general laws, and directed to benevolent ends ; and it follows, as a necessary consequence, that than their religion is no other than that primary worship, which is addressed to the designing and invincible beings who preside over the powers of nature, according to their own arbitrary will, and act only for some private and selfish gratification. The elevated language, which this species of worship finally assumes, is only the refinement, which flattery, founded upon a base apprehension of the divine character, ingrafts upon a mean superstition.¹

merable ; the sun and moon thy eyes, thy month a flaming fire, and the whole world shining with thy reflected glory! The space between the heavens arid the earth is possessed by thee alone, and every point around: the three regions of the universe, O mighty spirit! behold the wonders of thy awful countenance with troubled minds. Of the celestial bands, some I see fly to thee for refuge; whilst some, afraid, with joined hands sing forth thy praise. The Maharshees, holy bands, hail thee, and glorify thy name with adoring praises. The Roodras, the Adityas, the Vasoos, and all those beings the world esteemeth good ; Asween and Koomar, the Maroots and Ooshmapas; the Gandhars and the Yakshas, with the holy tribes of Soors, all stand gazing on thee, and all alike amazed. The winds, alike with me, are terrified to behold thy wondrous form gigantic; with many mouths and eyes; with many arms, and legs, and breasts ; with many bellies, and with rows of dreadful teeth! Thus, as I see thee, touching the heavens, and shining with such glory, of such various hues, with widely opened mouths and bright expanded eyes, I am disturbed within me; my resolution faileth me, O Vishnu! and I find no rest I Having beheld thy dreadful teeth, and gazed on thy countenance, emblem of time's last fire, I know not which way I turn t I find no peace! Have mercy, then, O god of gods! thou mansion of the universe! The sons of Dreetarashtra, now, with all those rulers of the land, Bheeshma, Drona the son of Soot, and even the fronts of our army, seem to be precipitating themselves hastily into thy mouth, discovering such frightful rows of teeth! whilst some appear to stick between thyteethwith their bodiessorely mangled. Asthe rapid, streams of full-flowing rivers roll on to meet the ocean's bed; even so these heroes of the human race rush on towards thy flaming mouths. As troops of insects, with increasing speed, seek their own destruction in the flaming fire; even so these people, with swelling fury, seek their own destruction. Thou involvest and swallowest them altogether, even unto the last, with thy flaming mouths; whilst the whole world is filled with thy glory, as thy waving beams, O Vishnu, shine forth on all sides!" Bhagvat-Geeta, p. 90, &c. Such, is "The Display of the Divine Nature in the form of the universe!"

¹ In the grant of land, translated from a plate of copper (Asiat. Res. iii. 45, among the praises of the sovereign, by whom the donation is made, it is said,

The gods had apprehensions in the beginning of time, that the glory of 80 great a monarch would leave them without marks of distinction; thence it was, that Purari assumed a third eye in his forehead; Pedmachsa, four arms ; Atmabhu, four faces; that Call held acimeter in her hand; Rama, a lotos flower; and Vani, a lyre." Sir Willam Jones, in the note, says, " The six names in the text are appellations of the gods Mahadeva, Vishnu, Brahma, and the goddesses Durga, Lacsلمي, Seraswati." So that the three supreme deities, with their wives, were afraid of being eclipsed by an earthly king, and were obliged to assume new distinctions (of a very ingenious and imposing sort!) to prevent so lamentable an occurrence.—M.

No one but the author would have pressed into the support of his theory the extravagance of adulation; he could not have supposed that the writer of the panegyric himself believed in the apprehensions which he ascribes hyperbolically to the gods.—W.

If it be deemed necessary to inquire into the principle of the Hindu superstition; or which of the powers of nature, personified into gods, they exalted in the progress of hyperbolical adoration to the supremacy over the rest, and the lordship of all things; the question is resolved by copious evidence; and on this point inquirers generally coincide. Sir William Jones has written a discourse to prove that the gods of Greece, Italy, and India are the same. But it is sufficiently proved, that the Greek and Roman deities ultimately resolve themselves into the sun, whose powers and provinces had been gradually enlarged, till they included those of all nature. It follows that the sun, too, is the principle of the Hindu religion. "We must not be surprised," says Sir William Jones, "at finding on a close examination, that the characters of all the Pagan deities, male and female, melt into each other, and at last into one or two; for it seems a well-founded opinion, that the whole crowd of gods and goddesses, in ancient Borne and modern Varanes, mean only the powers of nature, and principally those of the sun, expressed in a variety of ways, and by a multitude of fanciful names."¹ He says, too, that "the three Powers Creative, Preservative, and Destructive, which the Hindus express by the trilateral word *Aim*, were grossly ascribed by the first idolaters to the heat, light, and flame of their mistaken divinity the sun."² Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva, were therefore, the heat, light, and flame of the sun; and it follows as a very clear deduction, that Brahme, whose powers were shadowed forth in the characters of those three gods, was the sun himself. This conclusion, too, is established by many express texts of the Hindu scriptures, as well as by the most venerated part of the Hindu ritual. "The syllable Om (*Aum*) intends," says a passage from the Veda translated by Mr. Colebrooke, "every deity: It belongs to Paramesh'ti, him who dwells in the supreme abode: it appertains to Brahme, the vast one; to Deva, god; to Adhyatma, the superintending soul. Other deities belonging to those several regions, are portions of the three gods; for they are variously named and described, on account of their different operations: but in fact there is only one deity, THE

¹ On the Gods of Greece, &c., Asiatic Research. i. 267.

² Asiatic Research, i. 272.—M.

There is no authority for this; the notion is employed as an illustration only.—W,

BOOK II. GREAT SOUL. He is called the SUK ; for he is the soul of all
 CHAP. VI. beings. Other deities are portions of him ."* I have already
 quoted a very remarkable passage from Yajnyawaleya, one
 of the highest of all authorities, in which the sun is directly
 asserted to be Brahme, and to be the supreme soul, as is
 declared in all the Vedas.² Another passage, translated
 from a Veda by Mr. Colebrooke, says: Fire is THAT ORIGINAL
 CAUSE, the SUN is that; such too is that pure Brahme. Even
 he is the god who pervades all regions; he, prior to whom
 nothing was born; and who became all beings, himself the
 lord of creatures."³ A passage in the Veda, translated by
 Sir William Jones, says, "That Sun, than which nothing is
 higher, to which nothing is equal, enlightens the sky, the
 earth, the lower worlds, the higher worlds, other worlds, en-
 lightens the breast, enlightens all besides the breast."⁴ In
 the Bhawishya Purana, Crishna himself says; "The sun is
 the god of perception, the eye of the universe, the cause of
 day: there is none greater than he among the immortal
 powers. From him this universe proceeded, and in him it
 will reach annihilation; he is time measured by instants."
 I shall add but one instance more. There is a passage in
 the Vedas, which is regarded by the Hindus with unspeak-
 able veneration. It has a distinctive appellation. It is
 called the Gayatri, and is used upon the mightiest occa-
 sions of religion. It is denominated the holiest text in the
 Vedas. This extraordinary, this most sacred, this most won-
 derful text, is thus translated by Sir William Jones: "Let us
 adore the supremacy of that Divine Sun, the godhead, who
 illuminates all, who re-creates all, from whom all proceed,
 to whom all must return, whom we invoke to direct our
 understandings aright in our progress towards his holy
 seat."* Another version of it, and somewhat different in
 its phraseology, is given by Mr. Colebrooke, in his account
 of the first of the Vedas: "I subjoin," says he, "a transla-
 tion of the prayer which contains *it* as also of the precediing

¹ Ibid. viii 397.—M.

This does not prove the converse; viz., that the Sun was ever called the Great Seal. Brahme, the Great Soul, was, according to the Vethmtas, identical with the sun and with fire, as with all things, and they mutually are identical with him; but each is individually the object which is seen or worshipped, and not solely Brahme, or to be confounded with God.—W.

² Vide supra, p. 833.

⁴ Asiatic Research.!! 400

Asiat, Research, viii. 431,432

⁵ Sir William Jones's Works, vi. 417.

one, (both of which are addressed to the sun), for the sake of exhibiting the Indian priests' confession of faith with its context:—"This new and excellent praise of thee, O splendid, playful Sun is offered by us to thee. Be gratified by this my speech: approach this craving mind as a fond man seeks a woman. May that sun who contemplates and looks into all worlds be our protector!—Let us MEDITATE ON THE ADORABLE LIGHT OF THE DIVINE RULER; MAY IT GUIDE OUR
 1 INTELLECTS!¹ Desirous of food, we solicit the gift of the splendid Sun, who should be studiously worshipped. Venerable men, guided by the understanding, salute the divine Sun with oblations and praise."² Constrained by these and similar passages, Mr. Colebrooke says: "The ancient Hindu religion, as founded on the Indian Scriptural, recognises but one God, yet not sufficiently discriminating the creature from the Creator."³ This is an important admission, from one of the most illustrious advocates of the sublimity of the Hindu religion. Had he reflected for one moment, he would have seen that between *not sufficiently*, and *not-at-all* in this case, there can be no distinction.⁴

¹ This particular passage it is, which is pointed out by Mr. Colebrooke as the gayatri.

Asiat. Research, viii. 400.

³

Ibid. 397.

* Nations, not behind the Hindus in civilization (the most enthusiastic of their admirers being judges) agree in these ideas. "Les nations sarracenes de l'Orient," says Dupuis (Origine de tous les Cultes, i. 4.) "les Egyptiens et les Phéniciens, deux peuples qui ont le plus influé sur les opinions religieuses du reste de l'univers, ne connoissoient d'autres dieux, chefs de l'administration du monde, que le soleil, la lune, les astres, et le ciel qui les renferme, et ne chantoient que la nature dans leurs hymnes et leurs théogonies." The following is a curious passage: "Eutychius, après avoir pris le Sabiisme en Chaldée, De la, dit-il, il est passé en Egypte, de l'Egypte il fut porté chez les Francs, c'est à dire en Europe, d'où il s'étendit dans tous les ports de la Méditerranée. Et, comme le culte du Soleil et des Etoiles, la vénération des ancêtres, l'effraction des statues, la consécration des arbres, constituent d'abord l'essence du Sabiisme, et que cette espèce de religion, toute bizarre qu'elle est, se trouva assez vite répandue dans toutes les parties du monde alors connu, et l'infecta jusqu'à l'Inde, jusqu'à la Chine: de sorte que ces vastes empires ont toujours été pleins des statues adores, et ont toujours donné la ciance la plus folle aux visions de l'astrologie judiciaire. preuve incontestable de Sabiisme, puisque c'en est le fond, et le premier dogme; la conclusion est simple, que soit par tradition, soit par imitation et identité d'idées, le monde presque entier s'est vu, et se voit encore Sabien." Ibid. 25. Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions, Ac. xii. 25.—M.

Assertions in favour of atheism are here cited, as if they were authentic history. What proof is there of the progress of Satwism here so confidently described? But as concerns the Hindus, Mr. Colebrooke's opinion needs no qualification. The want of discrimination between the creature and the Creator, is the usual progress of idolatry. The type becomes mistaken for the prototype: nor is sufficient allowance made for the mysticism that evidently pervades much of the Vedas, and gives a character other than literal to their phraseology. In truth, we are even yet too imperfectly acquainted with these works, to appreciate their doctrines correctly.—XT.

BOOK II In the natural progress of religion, it very frequently
CHAP. VI. happens, that the spirit of adulation and hyperbole exalts
admired or powerful individuals to the rank of gods. The
name of the sun, or of some other divinity, is bestowed as
a title, or as an epithet of inflated praise, upon a great
prince, or conqueror.¹ Immediately the exploits of the
hero are blended with the functions of the god; and, in
process of time, when the origin of the combination is for-
gotten, they form a compound mass of inextricable and
inconsistent mythology. Mr. Colebrooke is of opinion,
that in the Vedas the elements and the planets alone are
deified; that the worship of heroes was introduced among
the Hindus at a later period; and makes a remarkable
figure in the Puranas.²

Among the false refinements to which the spirit of a
rude religion gives birth, it is worthy of particular remark,
that abstract terms are personified, and made to assume
the character of gods; such as, Health and Sickness; Wai
and Peace; Plenty; Famine, Pestilence. When the most
general abstractions, too, begin to be formed, as of space, of
time, of fate, of nature, they are apt to fill the mind with
a kind of awe and wonder; and appear to stretch beyond
all things. They are either, therefore, apprehended as
new gods, and celebrated as antecedent, and superior, to
all the old; or if any of the old have taken a firm posses-
sion of the mind, they are exalted to the new dignity, and
receive the name of the abstract idea which most forcibly
engages the attention. Thus, among the Greeks and the
Romans, Fate usurped a power over all the gods. The
Parsee books represent Ormusd and Ahriman, the Good
Principle and the Evil Principle, sometimes as indepen-
dent beings; sometimes as owing their existence to some-
thing above them; in a manner extremely resembling the
language of the Sanscrit books respecting Brahma, Vishnu,
and Siva. At times, however, the Persians express them-
selves more precisely. "In the law of Zoroaster," says one
of their sacred books, "it is positively declared that God
[Ormusd] was created by Time along with all other beings:

¹ Adad, the name of the chief Assyrian deity, was held by ten Syrian kings
in succession. Nicol. Damas. ap. Josephlmrn, Antiq. lib. xii. cap. 8. Even
among Christians, kings and great men have received all the general titles of
the deity, *lord, majesty, highness, excellence, grace.*

* Asiatic Research, viii. 398, note.

and the'creator ia Time ; and time has no limits; it has nothing above it; it has no root: it has always been, and always will be. No one who has understanding will ever say, Whence did Time come ? In that grandeur wherein Time was, there was no being who could call it creator, because it had not yet created. Afterwards it created fire and water, and from their combination proceeded Ormusd. Time was the creator, and preserved its authority over the creatures which it had produced.***I said in the beginning that Ormusd and Ahriman came both from Time.¹ The Brahmens, on the other hand, rather appear to have advanced the dignity of the acknowledged divinities so far as to make it embrace the extent of the abstract ideas ; and to have regarded them as the abstract ideas themselves. Thus Mr. Wilkins supposes, that Brahme represents nature ; Brahma, matter ; Vishnu, space ; Siva, time. But this is a refinement which is very sparingly, if at all,, introduced in any writings of the Brahmens, which have yet been laid open to European eyes. Direct contradictions of it, though plentifully diffused, are no proof that it is not at all a Hindu doctrine. Thus Crishna, in the Geeta, says, "I am nevertheless failing Time, the Preserver, whose face is turned on all sides";² a point of view in which it well agrees with the peculiar attributes of Vishnu. But in the very same discourse, Crishna says again, "I am Time, the destroyer of mankind,"³ in which case it agrees only with the character of Siva. But it is still more remarkable that Brahma is said to have "given being to time, and the divisions of time";⁴ and that space is said to have been produced from the ear of the first victim immolated by the Gods.⁵ Nay, there are passages in which the Hindus- acknowledge a destiny or fate which over-rules the Supreme Beings themselves. "The future condition of great beings is destined with certainty, both the nakedness of Mahadevaj and the bed of Vishnu, on a vast serpent. What is not to be, that will not be ; and if an event be predoomed, it cannot happen otherwise."⁶

¹ Aouquettl Daperron, *Zendavesia*, ii. 344.² Bhagvai-Geeta, p. 87.³ Ibid, p. 93.⁴ Iaatttutes of Menu, ch. i. 24.⁵ *M* parage translated from the Veda by Mr. Colebrooke, *Asiat. Research*,,, vij. 234.⁶ Hetopadesa, book I, Sir William Janet's Works, vi. 7. A personification. and.mysterious deification of some very abstract idea, as Time, or, Space, it by,

BOOK II. When the exaggerations of flattery are in this manner,
 CHAP. VI. ingrafted upon the original deification of the elements and powers of nature; and when the worship of heroes and of abstract ideas is incorporated with the whole ; then is produced that heterogeneous and monstrous compound which has formed the religious creed of so great a portion of the human race; but composes a more stupendous mass in Hindustan than any other country; because in Hindustan a greater and more powerful section of the people, than in any other country, have, during a long series of ages, been solely occupied in adding to its volume, and augmenting its influence.¹

So little do men regard incoherence of thought; so little are they accustomed to trace the relations of one set of

no means unnatural to rude nations. It is remarkable that the Scandinavians, had a notion of some mysterious power, superior to their gods ; for, after the great catastrophe, in which Odin, Thor, and the other deities, lose their lives, "comes forth THE POWERFUL, TUB VALIANT, HE WHO GOVERNS ALL THINGS, from his lofty abodes, to render divine justice. In his' palace the just will inhabit, and enjoy delights for evermore." (See extracts from the Edda, the Sacred book of the Scandinavians, in Mallet's Introd. to the Hist. of Denmark, vol. i. ch. vi.) That historian observes, in a style which almost appears to be copied by those to whom we owe the specimens of the Hindu religion* that a capital point among the Scythians was, the pre-eminence of "One only, all-powerful and perfect being, over all the other intelligences with which universal nature was peopled." The Scandinavians, then, were on a level with, all that is even claimed for the Hindus. But these same Scandinavians draw terrible pictures of this perfect ONE; describing him as a being who even delights in the shedding of human blood ; yet they call him, the Father and creator of men, and say, that " he liveth and governeth during the ages ; he directeth every thing which is high, and every thing which is low; whatever, is great, and whatever is small; he hath made the heaven, the air, and man who is to live for ever; and before the heaven or the earth existed, this god lived already with the giants." Ibid. But what this god was, whether matter, or space, or time, the Scandinavian monuments are too imperfect to determine.

i Bernier, one of the most intelligent and faithful of all travellers, who spent a number of years in great favour at the court of Anrengebe, formed an opinion of the religion of the Hindus, with which respect was little connected, for one of his Letters he thus entitles, " Lettre, &c. touchant les superstitions, e*tranges facons de faire, et doctrine des Indous ou Gentils de l'Hindoustan. D'ou Ton verra qu'il n'y a opinions si ridicules et si extravagantes dont l'esprit de l'homme ne soit capable." (Berpier, Suite des Mémoires sur l'Empire, du Grand Mogol, i. 119.) He appears to have seen more completely through the vague language of the Brahmcs respecting the divinity (a language so figurative, and loose, that if a man is heartily inclined, he may give it any interpretation) than more recent and more credulous visitors. After giving a very distinct account of the more common notions entertained of the three, deities, Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva, he says, Touchant ces trois Estres j'ai vu des Missionnaires Europeans qui prétendent que les Gentils ont quelque idée du mystere de la Trinite", et qui disent qu'il est expressement porte dans leurs livres que ce sont trois Personnes un seul Dieu; pour moy j'ai fait asses discourir les Pendets sur cette matiere, mats Us s'czpliquent si pauvrement que je n'aijamai* pu comprendre nettement leur sentiment; fen ai menie vu quelques-uns qui disent que ce sont trois verltabtes creatures tres parfaites qu'ilB appellent Deutas; comme nos anciens idolatres n'ont a mon avis jamais

opinions to another, and to form on any subject a consistent and harmonious combination of ideas, that while many persons of eminence loudly contend for the correctness and sublimity of the speculative, there is an universal agreement respecting the meanness, the absurdity, the folly, of the endless ceremonies, in which the practical part of the Hindu religion consists. For the illustration of this part of the subject, I shall content myself with a reference to the documents in the appendix.¹ Volumes would hardly suffice to depict at large a ritual which is more tedious, minute, and burdensome; and engrosses a greater portion of human life, than any which has been found to fetter and oppress any other portion of the human race.

No circumstance connected with a religious system more decidedly "pronounces on its character, than the

Wen explique ce qu'ils entendoient par ces mots de Genius, et de Numina, qui est, je pense, le meme que Denta chez les Indiens; il est vrai que j'en ai vu d'autres, et des plus scavans, qui disoient que ces trois Etres n'estoient effectivement qu'un m&me considere en trois facons, a scavoir, en tant qu'il est Producteur, Conservateur, et De'structeur des choscs, mais ils ne disoient rien des trois personnes distinctes en un seul Dieu. Ibid. p. 173.—"The history of these gods," says Mr. Orme (Hist. of the Milit. Trans, etc. in Indostan, i. 3), "is a neap of the greatest absurdities. It is Eswara twiBting off the neck of Brahma; it is the Sun who gets his teeth knocked out, and the Moon who has her face beat black and blue at a feast, at which the gods quarrel and fight with the spirit of a mob." In the Zendavesta, as translated by Anquetil Duperron, many passages are as expressive to the full of just ideas of the divine Nature as any in the Vedas. The absurdities, too, with which they are mixed, are certainly not greater, they are many degrees less, than those with which the sublime phrases in the Vedas are mingled. The ancient magi, we are told, had a most sublime theology.—Nunquam adorabant solem: et mox addiderunt, se non adhibere aliquum adorationem soli, aut lune, aut planetis, sed tantum erga solem se convertere inter orandum. Hyde, p. 5. Je vols, ma sœur, says the Guebre in Montesquieu (Lettres Persannes, Let. lxxvii.), que vous avez appria parmi les Musulmans a calomniemotre saintereigion. Nous n'adorons ni les astres ni les Siemens; et nos perea ne les ont jamais adores. Ils leurs ont seulement rendu un culte religieux, mais inf&rieur, comme a des ouvrages et des manifestations de la divinite". Beausobre, with his usual critical sagacity, said, in regard to the pictures drawn by Hyde, Pococke, and Prideaux, of the religious system of the magi, Rien de plus beau, rien de plus orthodoxe que ce systeme. Je crains seulement qu'il ne le soit un pen trop pour ces tems-la, Hist de Manich. lib. ii. ch. ii. Voltaire thus expresses himself! "On ne pent lire denx pages de l'abominable fatras attribue a ce Zoroastre, sans avoir pitie de la nature humaine. Nostradamus et le meMecin des urines sont des gens raisonnables en comparaison de cet. energmene. Et cependant on parle de lui, et on en parlera encore." He bad, however, remarked a little before, that the book contained good precepts of morality, and asked, "Comment se pourrait-il que Zoroastre eut joint tant d'taormes fodalses a ce bean pre'eptc de s'abstenir dans les doutes si on fera bien ou mal?" Dictionnaire Philosophique, Mot Zoroastre.

¹ See note C. at the end of the volume.

BOOK II **ideas -which** it inculcates respecting merit and demerity
CHAP. VI. purity and impurity, innocence and guilt. If those qualities which render a man amiable, respectable, and useful; if wisdom, beneficence, self-command, are celebrated as the chief recommendation to the favour of the Almighty; if the production of happiness is steadily and consistently represented as the most acceptable worship of the Creator; no other proof is requisite, that they who framed, and they who understand this religion, have arrived at high and refined notions of an All-perfect being. But where, with no more attention to morality, than the exigencies and laws of human nature force upon the attention of the rudest tribes, the sacred duties are made to consist in frivolous observances, there, we may be assured, the religious-ideas of the people are barbarous. The train of thought which tends to this conclusion is extremely similar to that which gives birth to other deformities in the religious system of ignorant minds. From the imbecilities which usually accompany exalted station, it is found, even when the society is considerably improved, that assiduous attendance upon the person of the great man or prince, and unwearied contrivances for the expression of devotion and respect, are the path which leads the most surely to his attention and favour.¹ To the rude mind, no other rule suggests itself for paying court to the Divine, than that for paying court to the Human Majesty; and as among a barbarous people, the forms of address, of respect, and compliment, are generally multiplied into a great variety of grotesque and frivolous ceremonies, so it happens with regard to their religious service. An endless succession of observances, in compliment to the god,² is supposed to afford him the most exquisite delight while the common discharge of the beneficent duties of life is regarded as.

¹ That one campaign in the court is better than two in the field, has passed into a proverb under the monarchies of modern Europe.

² The leading feature in the Hindu ceremonial is throughout overlooked or misstated. There are no observances "in compliment to the god," there is no form of worship prescribed in the law-books for any one divinity; the observances are all personal and domestic; they involve much less waste of time than they would appear to do, and are of a less offensive character than the public worship of Greece and Rome. This applies to the primitive system, in the actual state of the Hindureligion, public observances have been in a great degree substituted for domestic; but, even now, if the objects were worthy, the amount of time dedicated to them would not be excessive.—W.

an object of comparative indifference. It is unnecessary to cite instances in support of a representation, of which the whole history of the religion of most nations is a continual proof. BOOK 11

Even those inquirers who have been least aware of the Crossness of the Hindu religion, have seen that wretched ceremonies constituted almost the whole of its practical part. The precepts, which are lavished upon its ceremonies, bury, in their exorbitant mass, the pittance bestowed upon all other duties taken together. On all occasions ceremonies meet the attention as the pre-eminent duties of the Hindu.¹ The holiest man, is always he, by whom the ceremonies of his religion are more strictly performed. Uever among any other people did the ceremonial part of religion prevail over the moral to a greater, probably to an equal extent. Of the many rules of conduct prescribed to the householder, almost the whole concern religious observances.² Beside the general strain of the holy text, many positive declarations ascribe infinite superiority to rites and ceremonies, above morality. "Devotion," says Menu, "is equal to the performance of all duties; it is divine knowledge in a Brahmen; it is defence of the people in a Cshatriya ; devotion is the business of trade and agriculture in a Vaisya ; devotion is dutiful service in a Sudra. By reading each day as much AS possible of the Veda, by performing the five great sacraments, and by forgiving all injuries, even sins of the highest degree shall soon be effaced."⁸ In the following list of conditions, a small fipace is allotted to useful virtue. "By injuring nothing Animated, by subduing all sensual appetites, by devout rites ordained in the Veda, and by rigorous mortifications⁴ men obtains, even in this life, the state of beatitude."

¹ The predominance given to devotional duties in Manu, follows from the character of the work. It is a text-book of religion and law; the particular subject of "morals" is considered by the Hindus as forming a branch of literature of its own; and is therefore consistently enough only occasionally adverted to in writings dedicated to other subjects; the great duties of morality, iowever, are few and simple, and are not unfrequently commanded by Manu, and other legislators.—W.

³ The performance (e.g.) of the five daily sacrament*, of which no one, not even that which is falsely rendered hospitality, has, properly speaking, any reference to the duties of humanity. A few general precepts respecting the acquisition of the means of subsistence, in the modes prescribed to the different orders of the Hindus, are, in fact, of the ceremonial and religious east. Laws of Menu, ch. iii. and iv., where the duties of the householder are described.

a Laws of Menu, ch. xi. 236, etc.

Ibid. ch. vi.75.

BOOKII. "It is through sacrifices," says the Calica Purana, "that
CHAP. VI. princes obtain bliss, heaven, and victory over their enemies."¹*

In conceiving the honours with which the divine powers should be treated, it is supposed that there are certain qualities with which it is holy or unholy to approach them. As there are certain pollutions with which it would be held disrespectful to approach an earthly superior, the same sentiment, as usual, is transferred to the heavens; and the notion of a religious impurity is engendered. This is a circumstance of considerable importance. By the nature of the particulars, to which the belief of religious purity and impurity is attached, a criterion is afforded of the mental qualities which the Divine Being is supposed to possess. The causes of impurity among the Brahmens are exceedingly numerous; that they are proportionally strange, a few instances will evince. "When a child has teethed," says the law of Menu, "and when, after teething, his head has been shorn, and when he has been girt with his thread, and when, being full grown, he dies, all his* kindred are impure: on the birth of a child the law is the same."² Among a variety of other instances it is declared, that he who has touched a Chandala, a woman in her courses, an outcast, a new-born child, a corpse, or one who has touched a corpse, is impure.⁸ A Brahmen who has touched a human bone is impure. The rules of purification, which form a remarkable part of this subject, are not less exorbitant in their number, or extravagant in their forms. On the death of a kinsman, the modes of purification are various, according to various cases: one, which we may select as an example, is prescribed in the following words: "Let them eat vegetable food without factitious (that is, only with native) salt; let them bathe for three days at intervals; let them taste no flesh-meat; and let them sleep apart on the ground."⁴ "Should a Brahmen touch a human bone moist with oil, he is purified by bathing; if it be not oily, by stroking a cow, or by looking at the sun, having sprinkled his mouth with waters."⁵ All those functions of the body, by which its offensive dia-

¹ Aaiat. Res.v.37i.

² Institutes of Menu, ch.v. 58.

⁵ Ibid. 87.

³ Ibid. 85, 87.

* Ibid. 73.

charges are effected, or its vital powers communicated, afford occasion for the ceremonies of purification.¹ "Oily exudations, says the law of Menu, "seminal fluids, blood, dandruff, urine, feces, ear-wax, nail-parings, phlegm, tears, concretions on the eyes, and sweat, are the twelve impurities of the human frame, and for cleansing these, earth and water must be used."² "He who carries in any manner an inanimate burden, and is touched by any thing impure, is cleansed by making an ablution, without laying his burden down."³ "He who has been bitten by a dog, a shakal, or an ass, by any carnivorous animal frequenting a town, by a man, a horse, a camel, or a boar, may be purified "by stopping his breath during one repetition of the gayatri."⁴ After the rules for the purification of living bodies follow precepts for the purification of things inanimate. For each of a great many species, a separate mode is prescribed. Land, for example, is cleansed by sweeping, by smearing with cowdung, by sprinkling with cows' urine, by scraping, or by letting a cow pass a day and a night on it,⁵ "The purification ordained for all sorts of liquids, is by stirring them with cusa grass; for cloths folded, by sprinkling with hallowed water; for wooden utensils, by planing them. The purification by sprinkling is ordained for grain and cloths in large quantities; but to purify them in small parcels, such as a man may easily carry, they must be washed."⁶ These instances, selected merely as a small specimen of a great whole, will suffice to show what moral ideas are conveyed and inculcated in the notions of purity and impurity comprised in the religion of the Hindus.

As the purifications, so likewise the penances, prescribed

¹ The Hindns, among whom the idea of delicacy, in regard either to physical or moral subjects, appears never to have taken rise, describe these occasions of purification in the plainest, or in other words, the grossest terms. There is a long series of precepts about voiding the excrements (Laws of Menu, ch. iv. 45 to 52): And for purification afterwards, "Let each man," says the law, "sprinkle the cavities of his body, and taste water in due form, when he has discharged urine or feces: First, let him thrice taste water; then twice let him wipe his mouth, but a woman or servile man may once respectively make that ablution." Ibid. ch. v. 138, 139. "Having vomited, or been purged, let him bathe and taste clarified butter: for him who has been connected with a woman, bathing is ordained by law." Ibid. 144. In one instance there is a curious contrariety: it is declared (Ibid. 180), "A woman whose thought! have been impure is purified by her monthly discharge!" Yet this same peculiarity of the female constitution is a cause of impurity, from which she is separated by bathing. Ibid. GG.

² Laws of Menu, ch. y. 134, 135.

⁴ Ibid. xi. 200.

³ Ibid. v. 124.

⁵ Ibid. 148.

⁶ Ibid. 115, 116.

BOOK II. by the various systems of religion, afford a remarkable in-
 CHAP. VI. dication of the qualities really ascribed to the object of worship. All penance consists in suffering. In the same degree in which the object of worship is supposed to be delighted with penance, in the same degree he is delighted with human suffering; and so far as he delights in suffering, for its own sake, so far he is a malignant being: whatever epithets, in the spirit of flattery, his votaries may confer upon him. It is natural to a rude and ignorant mind to regard the object of its worship as malignant. Things appear great or little by comparison. Amid the incessant efforts which are made to ascend another step in adulation, after all the epithets of greatness and honour are lavished upon the god, to make his greatness and honour still higher, by contrast, every epithet of meanness and contempt is heaped by the worshipper upon himself and his kind. The same is the case with his happiness; which will appear the greater, the higher it is raised above that of other beings; of course, the deeper the misery of other beings. Hence it is, that the prayers and praises, addressed to the deity by rude nations, abound with the most hyperbolical expressions of human misery as well as human depravity; that, in the religion of rude minds, pleasure in general bears a strong mark of reprobation, and the voluntary creation of pain is the strongest of all recommendations to him on whom the issues of life depend. In the language of the Greeks and Romans, the gods were envious of human happiness;¹ just as the proud and haughty mind of the earthly despot, the archetype and model according to which, in certain stages of knowledge, the idea of the heavenly is regularly formed, likes not that the happiness of other people should approach to that of himself, and reaps a pleasure from their pain, both as enhancing the idea of his own happiness, and lessening the sense of his misery.² "A sin, involuntarily committed," says

¹ Solon asks Croesus why he interrogates him about human happiness—*τί κρονος, εννοειμενος ημε το θειον των ανθρωπων και ταπεινοτης*; Herod. lib. i. cap. xxxii.

² "The evident we must receive a greater or less satisfaction or uneasiness from reflecting on our own condition and circumstances, in proportion as they appear more or less fortunate or unhappy; in proportion to the degree of riches and power, and merit, and reputation, which we think ourselves possessed of. Now, as we seldom judge of objects from their intrinsic value, but form our notions of them from a comparison with other objects, it follows, that according as we observe a greater or less share of happiness or misery in

the sacred text of Menu," is removed by repeating certain texts of the scripture; but a sin committed intentionally, by harsh penances of different sorts."¹ The following account of the reason for performing penances, has the effect of exposing to religions antipathy all those persons *mho* are affected with a bodily infirmity. "Some evil-minded persons," says the same sacred volume, "for sins committed in this life, and some for bad actions in a preceding state, suffer a morbid change in their bodies: a stealer of gold from a Brahmen has whitlows on his nails; a drinker of spirits, black teeth; the slayer of a Brahmen, a marasmus; the violator of his preceptor's bed, a deformity in the generative organs; a malignant informer, fetid ulcers in his nostrils; a false detractor, stinking breath; a stealer of grain, the defect of some limb; a mixer of bad wares with good, some redundant member; a stealer of dressed grain, dyspepsia; a stealer of holy words, or an unauthorized reader of the scriptures, dumbness; a stealer of clothes, leprosy; a horse-stealer, lameness; the stealer of a lamp, total blindness; the mischievous extinguisher of it, blindness in one eye; a delighter in hurting sentient creatures, perpetual illness; an adulterer, windy swelling in his limbs. Thus, according to the diversity of actions, are born men despised by the good, stupid, dumb, blind, deaf, and deformed. Penance, therefore, must invariably be performed for the sake of expiation, Since they who have not expiated their sins, will again spuing to birth with disgraceful marks."² "Any twice-born man who has drunk spirit of rice through perverse delusion of mind, may drink more spirit in flame, and atone for his offence by severely burning his body; or he may drink boiling hot, until he die, the urine of a cow, or pure water, or milk, or clarified butter, or juice expressed

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

others, we must make an estimate of our own, and feel a consequent pain or pleasure. The misery of another gives us a more lively idea of our happiness, and his happiness of our misery. The former, therefore, produces delight; and the latter uneasiness." Hume's Treatise of Human Nature, it. 174 If this principle have a real existence in human nature; and if the rude mind invariably fashion the divine mind after itself, the belief; so wonderfully common, that the Deity being is delighted with the self-inflicted torment of his worshippers, is sufficiently accounted for.

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. xi. 46,

² Ibid. 48 — 54.

BOOK II. from cow-dung."¹ A curious reason is assigned for the
 CHAP. VI. heinous guilt assigned to the drinking of intoxicating
 liquors by a Brahmen; because, "stupified by drunken-
 ness, he might fall on something very impure, or might
 even, when intoxicated, pronounce a secret phrase of the
 Veda, or might do some other act which ought not to be
 done."² "If a Brahmen kill by design a cat, or an ich-
 neumon, the bird chasha, or a frog, a dog, a lizard, an owl,
 or a crow, he must perform the ordinary penance required'
 for the death of a Sudra;"³ as if the crime of killing a
 man were the same with that of killing a frog. "Should
 one of the twice-born eat the food of those persons with
 whom he ought never to eat, or food left by a woman, or a
 Sudra, or any prohibited flesh, ho must drink barley gruel
 only for seven days and nights."⁴ "Having taken goods
 of little value from the house of another man, he must
 procure absolution by performing the penance santapana,
 or by eating for a whole day the dung and urine of cows
 mixed with curds, milk, clarified butter, and water boiled
 with cusa grass, and then fasting entirely for a day and a
 night."⁵ The penances for venereal sin, and the descrip-
 tion of its various species, are unfit to be transcribed.*
 Something might be said for penances, if they were attached
 solely to moral offences, and proportioned in painfulness
 to the motives to offend; because the efficacy of the
 punishment which is reserved to a subsequent life is com-
 monly annihilated by remoteness. How much of thiB
 useful character belongs to the penances of the Hindus, a
 few passages will disclose. "He, who has officiated at a
 sacrifice for outcasts, or burned the corpse of a stranger,
 or performed rites to destroy the innocent," (a strange
 association of crimes!) "may expiate his guilt by three
 prajapatya penances."⁷ "A total fast for twelve days and
 nights, by a penitent with his organs controlled, and his
 mind attentive, is the penance named paraca, which ex-
 piates all degrees of guilt."⁸ "He who for a whole month

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. xi. 91.92.

² Ibid. 97.

³ Ibid. 139.

⁴ Ibid. 153.

⁵

Ibid. 165,213.

See the Institutes of Menu, ch. xi. 171 to 179, where every species of sexual abomination is deliberately specified.

⁷ Institutes of Menu, ch. xi. 198. "When a twice-born man performs the penance prajapat, he must for three days eat only in the morning; for three days only in the evening; for three days food unasked, but presented to him; and for three more days, nothing." Ibid. 212.

⁸ Ibid. 216.

eats no more than thrice eighty mouthfuls of wild grains, as he happens by any means to meet with them, keeping his organs in subjection, shall attain the same abode with the regent of the moon."¹ "Sixteen suppressions of the breath, while the holiest of texts is repeated (with the three mighty words, and the trilateral syllable, continued each day for a month, absolve even the slayer of a Brahmen from his hidden faults."² "A priest who should retain in his memory the whole Rigveda would be absolved from guilt, even if he had slain the inhabitants of the three worlds, and had eaten food from the foulest hands."³ To such a degree are fantastic ceremonies exalted above moral duties; and so easily may the greatest crimes be compensated, by the merit of ritual, and unmeaning services.⁴

But the excess to which religion depraves the moral sentiments of the Hindus is most remarkably exemplified in the supreme, the ineffable merit which they ascribe to the saint who makes penance his trade.

Repairing to a forest, with no other utensils or effects, than those necessary in making oblations to consecrated fire; and leaving all property, and all worldly duties behind him, he is there directed to live on pure food, on certain herbs, roots, and fruit, which he may collect in the forest, to wear a black antelope's hide, or a vesture of bark, and to suffer the hairs of his head, his beard, and his nails to grow continually. He is commanded to entertain those who may visit his hermitage with such food as himself may use, to perform the five great sacraments, to be constantly engaged in reading the Veda; patient of all extremities, universally benevolent, with a mind intent on the Supreme Being; a perpetual giver, but no receiver of gifts; with tender affection for all animated bodies. "Let him not eat the produce of ploughed land, though abandoned by any man, nor fruits and roots produced in a town, even though hunger oppress him.—Either let him break hard

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. xi. 221. ² ibid. 214. ³ Ibid. 362.

⁴ C'est une superstition tres dange'reuse que le pardon des crimes attache* a certains cerenies.....Vous pensez que Dieu oubliera votre homicide, si vous vous baignez dans un fleuve, si vous irarolez une brebis noire, et si on prononce sur vous des paroles. Un second homicide vous sera done pardonne au meme prlx, et ainsi au troisieme, et cent meurtres ne vous couteront que cent brebis noires et cent ablutions! Faites mieux, miseYables humains, point de meurtres, et point de brebis noires. Voltaire, Diet, philos. au mot Superstition.

BOOK 11 fruits with a stone, or let his teeth serve as a pestle.—Ljet him slide backwards and forwards on the ground; or let him stand a whole day on tiptoe; or let him continue in motion rising and sitting alternately; "but at sunrise, at noon, and at sunset, let him go to the waters, and bathe. In the hot season let him sit exposed to five fires, *four blazing around him with the sun above*) in the rains let him stand uncovered, *without even a mantle*, where the clouds pour the *heaviest* showers; in the cold season, let him wear humid vesture; and enduring harsher and harsher mortifications, let him dry up his bodily frame. Let him live without external fire, without a mansion, wholly silent, feeding on roots and fruit, sleeping on the bare earth, dwelling at the roots of trees. From devout Brahmens let him receive alms to support life, or from other house-keepers of twice-born classes, who dwell in the forest. Or, *if he has any incurable disease*, let him advance in a straight path, towards the invincible *north-eastern* point, feeding on water and air, till his mortal frame totally decay, and his soul become united with the Supreme."¹

In conformity with these principles are formed those professors of mortification and piety, who are known under the modern name of Fakeers, and presented to Europeans a spectacle which so greatly surprised them. Of all the phenomena of human nature, none appears at first view more extraordinary than the self-inflicted torments of the holy saints of Hindustan. Some of them keep their hands closed till they are pierced through by the growth of the nails. Others hold them above their heads, till the power of the arms is extinguished. They make vows to remain in the standing posture for years. Three men were seen by Fryer, whose vow extended to sixteen years. One of them had completed his dreadful penance; of the rest, one had passed five years in torment, the other three.

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. vi. 3 to 8, and 16 to 32. There is a certain stage in the progress from extreme barbarity to some degree of intellectual improvement, in which worship by self-inflicted torments seems natural to suggest itself. Thus, the priests and people of Mexico come next, perhaps, to the Hindus, though certainly at a prodigious distance behind them. In toe-devotion of pain and suffering, "it makes one shudder" (says Chwigero., book sect. 22), "to read the austerities which they exercised on themselves. They mangled their flesh, as if it had been imerabto, and let their Stood run to such profusion, that it appeared to be a superfluous Arid of their." Their fastings, watchings, and other efforts of abstinence, were pushed to the greatest extremities. Ibid.

Their Legs were prodigiously swelled,, and deeply ulcerated; BOOK II
and became at last too weak to support their bodies, when CHAP. VI.,
they leaned on a pillow suspended from a tree. Others,
turning their heads to gaze at the heaven over their
shoulder, remain fixed in that posture, till the head can
no longer be restored to its natural position, and no aliment
except in the liquid state, can pass down their throats.

The ceremony, commanded by Menu, "of sitting, in the
hot season, between five fires/" cannot be conceived with-
out horror. A yogee, or penitent, actually seen by Fryer,
had resolved to undergo this penance for forty days, at a
public festival, where an immense concourse of spectators
were assembled. Early on the morning, after having
seated, himself on a quadrangular stage he fell prostrate,
and continued fervent in his devotions, till the sun began
to have considerable power. He then rose, and stood on
one leg* gazing stedfastly at the sun, while fires, each large
enough, says the traveller, to roast an ox, were kindled at
the four corners of the stage; the penitent counting his
beads, and occasionally, with his pot of incense, throwing
combustible materials into the fire to increase the flames.
He next bowed himself down in the centre of the four
fires, keeping his eyes still fixed upon the sun. After-
wards, placing himself upright on his head, with his feet
elevated in the air, he stood for the extraordinary space of
three hours, in that inverted position; he then seated
himself with his legs across, and thus remained sustaining
the raging heat of the sun and of the fires till the end of
the day. Other penitents bury themselves up to the neck
in the ground, or even wholly below it, leaving only a
little hole through which they may breathe. They tear
themselves with -whips; they repose on beds of iron
spikes;¹ they chain themselves for life to the foot of a
tree: the wild imagination of the race appears in short to
have been racked to devise a sufficient variety of fantastic
modes of tormenting themselves. The extent to which
they carry the penance of fasting is almost incredible.
They fix their eyes on the blazing sun till the power of
vision is extinguished.¹⁸ The following dewsraptm, in the

¹ See a curious description in the *Asiat. Res.* v. 49, of a fakeer, seen at Benares by Mr. Duncan,, who had used this, bed for thirty-five years.

² See Fryer's *Trails*, pp. 108, 103—Sonnerat's *Voyage*, i. 121, 149, 153
Hamiltons Voyage to the East Indies, i. 274.—*Voyage de Tavernier*, iv. 118.

BOOK II. **drama entitled** Sacontala, how much soever **partaking of**
 CHAP. VI the hyperbolical character of oriental poetry, conveys a most remarkable image of the length of time, the patience, and steadiness, with which the devotees of the forests must have remained immoveable in their solitary positions. "You see," says one of the personages of the drama, "in that grove a pious Yogee, motionless as a pollard, holding his thick, bushy hair, and fixing his eyes on the solar orb.—Mark; his body is covered with a white ants' edifice, made of raised clay; the skin of a snake supplies the place of his sacerdotal thread, and part of it girds his loins; a number of knotty plants encircle and wound his neck; and surrounding birds' nests almost conceal his shoulders."¹ The same venerable character is thus further described in the Bhagvat-Geeta; "The Yogee constantly exerciseth the spirit in private. He is recluse, of a subdued mind and spirit; free from hope, and free from perception. He planteth his own seat firmly on a spot that is undefiled,

Mr. Richardson, in his Arabic and Persian Dictionary, under the word Fakeer, says, "Every invention of perverted ingenuity is exhausted in deforming and distorting nature." And Mr. Wilkins (Note 113, subjoined to his translation of the Bhagvat-Geeta) says, "The word zeal, in the vulgar acceptation, signifies, the voluntary infliction of pain, the modes of doing which, as practised to this day by the zealots of India, are as various as they are horrible and astonishing." rentier, who describes most of the penances alluded to in the text, mentions their standing on their hands, with the head down and the feet up: "D'autres qui se tenoient les heures entieres sur leurs mains sans branler, la tete en bas et les pieds en haut, et ainsi de je ne seal combien d'autres sortes de postures tenement contraintes et tellement difficiles, que nous n'avons de biteleurs qui les pussent fruire; et tout cela, ce semble, par derotkm corome j'ai dit, et par motif de religion, on on n'en scauroit settlement decouvriez l'ombre." Lettre des Gentils de l'Hindoustan, p. 163, 154.

Sacontala, Act vii. in Sir William Jones's Works. One of *this* Moham-medan travellers, whose voyages are described by Renandot, says of these recluses, "They for the most part stand motionless as statues, with their laces always turned to the sun. I formerly saw one in the posture here described, and returning to India about sixteen years afterwards, I found him in the very same attitude, and was astonished he had not lost his eyesight by the intense heat of the sun." Renaudot's ancient Account of India and China, p. 32. Bernier describes them thus: "On en voit quantity de tout nuds assis on couche les jours et les nuits sur les cendres, et assez ordinairement dessous quelques uns de ces grands arbres, qui sont sur les bords des Talabs oh reisevoirs, ou bien dans des gaities qui sont autour de leur Deuras ou temples d'Idoles. . . . Il n'y a Mlgere d'enfer si horrible a voir que ces gens-la tout nuds avec leur peau noire, ces grands cheveux, ces fuseaux des bras dans la posture que j'ai dit, et ces langues ongles cntortilleV" Lettres des Gentils de l'Hindoustan, p. 151. Orme accounts in part, at least, and that very satisfactorily, for these astonishing efforts of patience and self-denial. "The many temporal advantages which the Brahmens derive from their spiritual authority, and the impossibility of being admitted into their tribe, have perhaps given rise to that number of Jogues and Facquires, who torture themselves with such various and astonishing penances, only to gain the same veneration which a Brahmen derives from his birth." Orme's Hist. Milit. Trans. Indostan, i. 4.

neither too high, nor too low, and sitteth upon the saored grass which is called coos, covered with a skin and a cloth. There he, whose business is the restraint of his passions, should sit, with his mind fixed on one object alone, in the exercise of his devotion for the purification of his soul, keeping his head, his neck, and his body, steady, without motion, his eyes fixed on the point of his nose, looking at no other place around. The man who keepeth the outward accidents from entering his mind, and his eyes fixed in contemplation between his brows; who maketh the breath to pass through both his nostrils alike in expiration and inspiration, who is of subdued faculties, mind, and understanding; the Yogee, who thus constantly exerciseth his soul, obtaineth happiness incorporeal and supreme."¹ This pure state of meditation, which obtains the name of devotion, is even more exalted than that of penance. "The Yogee," says Crishna, "is more exalted than. Tapaswees, those votaries who afflict themselves in performing penance, respected above the learned in science, and" (which is worthy of peculiar regard,) "superior to those who are attached to moral works."² "Be thou at all times," says this supreme god to Arjoon in another place, "employed in devotion. The fruit of this surpasseth all the rewards of virtue pointed out in the Vedas, in worshippings, in mortifications, and even in the gifts of charity."³

It is abundantly ascertained that the Hindus at one time, and that a time comparatively recent,⁴ were marked with the barbarity of human sacrifices.⁵ It even appears

¹ Bhagvat-Geeta, p. 60, 63.

³ Ibid. p. 76.-M.

²

Ibid. p. 67.

Some confusion appears here between the Ascetic, whose penance is only passive, and he whose penance is active. The Yogi merely suffers the privations incident to intensity of abstraction. The Tapaswi inflicts upon himself bodily inconveniences and sufferings, practices discouraged by Manu and the Gija.—W.

⁴ It is agreed among the Sanscrit scholars that the Puranas are modern, compared with the vedas and other ancient monuments of the Hindus. Mr. Colebrooke is of opinion that the worship of heroes is altogether unknown to the author of the Vedas; though it was evidently part of the popular belief at the time the Puranas were composed. A sacrifice, therefore, enjoined in the Puranas, must have prevailed at a pretty late period.

⁵ See a translation of what is denominated "The Sanguinary chapter" of the Galica Parana, by Mr. Blaquiere, *Asiat. Res.* v. 371, and Wilkins's *Hetopadesa*, note 249, and p. 211. In the Bhawishya Parana, it is declared that the hand of a slaughtered man gives Durga a thousand times more satisfaction than that of a buffalo. This sacrifice, however, is forbidden in the Brahma and the Bhagawat Puranas. *Asiat. Res.* iii. p. 260.—M.

The Galica and Bhawishya Puranas are not included in the lists of the

BOOK II. CHAP. VI. *thai* the remainder of that devotional service is now *ins* existence.. When it is proposed to resist, as exorbitant, the demands of government, the BrahmenS erect what they denominate a koor, which is a circular pile of Wood, with a cow, or an old woman on the top of it. If urged to extremity they set fire to the pile, and consume the victim, a sacrifice by which they are understood to involve their oppressor in the deepest guilt.¹ The British Government has interfered"to prevent the sacrifice of children by throwing them to the sharks in the Ganges.²

' Though the progress of improvement has brought into comparative disuse the mode of seeking divine favour by the sacrifice of a fellow-creature, horrid rites, which have too near an affinity with it, are still the objects of the highest veneration. IV is one of the grandest achieve-¹ melfts of piety, for individuals to sacrifice themselves in honour of the gods. There are solemn festivals, in which the images of certain deities are carried in procession in vast ponderous machines denominated raths, or chariots, drawn by a multitude of devotees and priests; when it is customary for numbers of the congregated people³ to throw themselves under the wheels, and even fathers and mothers with their children in their arms. The chariot passes on, as if no impediment existed, and crushing them to death, is supposed to convey them immediately to heaven.⁴ The

Puranas which are given in authentic works, and are sectarial compilations belonging to the Sakta form of worship—a form not hinted at, it is believed, in the Vedas—and taught in works alone which arc undoubtedly of comparatively-recent origin. These sanguinary rites Reem to have been borrowed from the practices of the wild tribes bordering upon India, amongst whom human sacrifices are described by Hindu writers of the middle ages, and have been recently known to have been attempted.—W.

¹ An instance of this, in which an old woman was the victim, was attempted at Benares, so late as the year 1788. See the account by Lord Teignmoaffi. *Asiat. Res.* v. 383.

² Papers relating to East India affairs, ordered by the House of Commons, to be printed, June 3, 1813, p. 427.

³ It is no little exaggeration to say that, " numbers of the tsongregated people throw themselves under the chariot wheels." Mr. Stirling who was resident in Orissa for four years, mentions, that during that period there were no mora than three such immolations; and of them one was possibly unintentional, whilst the other two were cases of painful and incurable disease. But this? practice is modern. Jagannath himself is modern, and has no place even in: the Vaishnava Pur an as. It is not improbable that the present shrine attained reputation as a place of pilgrimage no longer ago than a century.—W.

* A distinct description of this human sacrifice, performed at the feast of Juggernaut, is to be found in the voyage (i. 181) of Stonnerat, who was an eye-witness. It is also described by that faithful traveller Bernier, *Eettre sur les Gentile de l'Hmdoustan*, p. 128. It attracted, in a peculiar degree, the attention of the Rev. Dr. Buchanan: see his work, entitled *Christian Researchasir-A'ia*. The missionaries have given us several descripttoBs, published in the *Transactions of the Missionary Societies*.

RELIGION OF THE HINDUS.

BOOK II.

CHAP. VI.

practice of sacrificing themselves in the flames is a noted ceremony of the Hindus. It is sometimes executed with circumstances of studied atrocity; the victim striking himself in front with his sabre, so as to lay open his bowels to the spectators, tearing out part of his liver, cutting it off with his sabre, giving it to a relation or bystander, conversing all the time with indifference apparently complete, then with unchanged countenance leaping into the flames, and expiring without a movement.¹ In some parts of India a Brahmen devotes himself to death, by eating till he expires with the surfeit.² On great solemnities, the votaries strike off their own heads, as a sacrifice to the Ganges,³ and many drown themselves in the hallowed streams.⁴ Of the modes adopted by the Hindus of sacrificing themselves to the divine powers, none, however, has more excited the attention of Europeans, than the burning of the wives on the funeral piles of their husbands. To this cruel sacrifice the highest virtues are ascribed. "The wife who commits herself to the flames with her husband's corpse, shall equal Arundhati, and reside in Swarga; accompanying her husband, she shall reside so long in Swarga, as are the thirty-five

¹ Stu-h was the instance witnessed by one of the Arabian travellers of Ilenaudot. See *Ancient Relations*, p. 80.—M.

Mr. Mill is not scrupulous in his choice of authorities, when they serve his purpose, nor slow to believe what is incredible, when it is to the disadvantage of the Hindus. The Arabian travellers are witnesses whose evidence is to be received with caution, on all occasions; and it is not being very sceptical to doubt the fact of a man's cutting off pieces of his liver, and distributing them as souvenirs to his friends. In the instances that follow, he quotes from equally questionable authorities; and when he says, "that many drown themselves in hallowed streams," he improves upon his original, who only mentions that "some" annually drown themselves, at the confluence of the Jumna and Ganges. All these practices are prohibited by the Hindu law, except in the case of incurable disease, and then self-immolation is allowable only at Prayrga. Even penance, which endangers life, is prohibited. *Nirmaya Sindhm*.—W.

² Orme, on the Government and People of Indostan, p. 434.

³ See Richardson's Dictionary, at the word Fakeer.

⁴ The place where the Jumna and the Ganges meet, is a spot of peculiar sanctity. "Some of the victims of superstition," says Dr. Tennant, "annually drown themselves at the junction of the streams; and this being the most acceptable of all offerings, it is performed with much solemnity. The rapidity with which the victim sinks, is regarded as a token of his favourable acceptance by the god of the river. To secure the good inclination of the deity, they carry out the devoted person to the middle of the stream, after having fastened pots of earth to his feet. The surrounding multitude on the banks are devoutly contemplating the ceremony, and applauding the constancy of the victim, who, animated by their admiration, and the strength of his own faith, keeps a steady and resolute countenance till he arrives at the spot; when he springs from the boat, and is instantly swallowed up, amidst universal acclamations." *Indian Recreations*, il 250.

BOOK II. millions of hairs on the human body.¹ As the snake-
 CHAP. VI. catcher forcibly drags the serpent from his earth, so, bearing her husband from hell, with him she shall enjoy the delights of heaven, while fourteen Indras reign. If her husband had killed a Brahma na, broken the ties of gratitude, or murdered his friend, she expiates the crime."⁸ Though a widow has the alternative of leading a life of chastity, of piety, and mortification, denied to the pleasures of dress, never sleeping on a bed, never exceeding one meal a day, nor eating any other than simple food, it is held her duty to burn herself along with her husband ; and "the Hindu legislators," says Mr. Colebrooke, "have shown themselves disposed to encourage" this barbarous sacrifice.³

Such are the acts, by which, according to the Hindu religion, the favour of the Almighty power is chiefly to be gained; such are the ideas respecting purity and merit, which it is calculated to inspire. Yet, if any one concludes that the Hindus were unacquainted with the ordinary precepts of morality, he will be greatly deceived. "By Brahmens," says the law of Menu, "placed in the four orders, a ten-fold system of duties must ever be sedulously practised; Content; returning good for evil; resistance to sensual appetites; abstinence from illicit gain; purification ; coercion of the organs; knowledge of the scripture.

¹ The Brahmens are always audacious enough to form a peremptory opinion. We have seen, before, that they never hesitated to assign a fixed number to the veins and arteries of the human body, though they are totally unacquainted with dissection. They here assign, with perfect confidence, a determinate number to the hairs on the human body.

Sanscrit text, quoted by Mr. Colebrooke, in his discourse on the duties of a faithful Hindu wife, *Asiat. Res.* iv. 208. The custom of burning wives on the funeral piles of their husbands, was common to the Hindus with the Northern nations. See Jamieson's *Scottish Dictionary*, ad verb, *Maple Fire*.—The principal among the wives of a Scandinavian chief accompanied him to the funeral pile. *Mallet. Introd. Hist. Denmark*, vol. i. c. 13.—The Scandinavians did not scruple to expose their children. *Ibid.*—Robertson, who informs us that the wives of the chiefs of the Natchez, an American tribe, were burnt along with them at their death, says that the custom arose from the excessive veneration in which they were held, as brothers of the sun, and representatives of the deity; and that from this impulse, the wives, as well as the domestics, who shared the same fate, welcomed death with exultation. *Hist.* of America*, ii. 130.

³ *Asiat. Res.* iv. 210. See the whole of that discourse, where a number of authorities are collected. The circumstances of the transaction can be so easily conceived, that, horrid as they are, I have not thought proper to describe them. The prayers and ceremonies are exactly of the usual character. See an account by Bernier, of several cases of which he was an eye-witness (*Lettre sur les Gentils de l'Hindoustan*, p. 131); and a variety of cases in the works of the Missionaries Ward and Dubois.

knowledge of the supreme spirit; veracity; and freedom from wrath."¹ In this enumeration of duties, though a large proportion is allowed to acts purely ceremonial and useless: yet some of the noblest virtues are included. "Action,* says the same sacred code, "is either mental, verbal, or corporeal. Devising means to appropriate the wealth of other men, resolving on any forbidden deed, and conceiving notions of atheism or materialism, are the three bad acts of the mind: scurrilous language, falsehood, indiscriminate backbiting, and useless tattle, are the four bad acts of the tongue: Taking effects not given, hurting sentient creatures without the sanction of the law, and criminal intercourse with the wife of another, are three bad acts of the body; and all the ten have their opposites, which are good in an equal degree."² Though there is something extremely whimsical in the consequence ascribed to the following acts of injustice, yet they are with great propriety forbidden: "He who appropriates to his own use, the carriage, the bed, the seat, the well, the garden, or the house of another man, who has not delivered them to him, assumes a fourth part of the guilt of their owner."³ The following observations are in a pure and elevated strain of morality: "Even here below an unjust man attains no felicity; nor he whose wealth proceeds from giving false evidence; nor he, who constantly takes delights in mischief. Though oppressed by penury, in consequence of his righteous dealings, let him never give his mind to unrighteousness; for he may observe the speedy overthrow of iniquitous and sinful men. Iniquity, committed in this world, produces not fruit immediately, but, like the earth, in due season; and advancing little by little, it eradicates the man who committed it. Yes; iniquity, once committed, fails not of producing fruit to him who wrought it-He grows rich for a while through unrighteousness; then he beholds good things; then it is that he vanquishes his foes; but he perishes at length from his whole root upwards. Let a man continually take pleasure in truth, in justice, in laudable practices, and in purity: let him chastise those, whom he may chastise, in a tegal mode; let him keep in subjection his speech, his arm, and his appetite:

BOOK II;
CHAP. VI.

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. vi, 91,92. ² Ibid. ch. xii. 3, 5, 6, 7,
³ Ibid. iv. 202.

BOOK II. **wealth** and pleasures, repugnant to law, let him shun; and
 CHAP. VI even lawful acts, which may cause future pain, or be offensive to mankind."¹

Sir William Jones, whom it is useful to quote, because his authority may have influence with those whose opinions I am constrained to controvert, observes, that "the principles of morality are few, luminous, and ready to present themselves on every occasion."² Descanting on the rudeness and ignorance of the Scythian nations; "of any philosophy," he says, "except natural ethics, which the rudest society requires, and experience teaches, we find no more vestiges in Asiatic Scythia, than in ancient Arabia."³ He was not surprised to find natural ethics, where not a vestige of philosophy was found; because "natural ethics," are what "*the rudest society requires and experience teaches*" If we search differ less from one another in the knowledge of morality, and of its obligations (the rules of morality have been taught in all nations in a manner remarkably similar), than in the degrees of steadiness, with which they assign the preference to moral, above other acts. Among rude nations it has almost always been found, that religion has served to degrade morality, by advancing to the place of greatest honour, those external performances, or those mental exercises, which more immediately regarded the deity; and with which, of course, he was supposed to be more peculiarly delighted. On no occasion, indeed, has religion obliterated the impressions of morality, of which the rules are the fundamental laws of human society: morality has every where met with the highest applause; and no where has it been celebrated in more pompous strains, than in places where the most contemptible, or the most abominable rites, have most effectually been allowed to usurp its honours.⁴ It is not so much, therefore, by the mere words

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. iv. 170 to 177.

² Discourse on the Philosophy of the Asiatics. Asiat. Res. iv. 166.

³ Discourse on the Tartars. Asiat. Res. ii. 33. *

⁴ Few states of society are more low and degraded than that of the Mussulmans in modern Egypt. Hear what is said of their ethics: "On remarque chez les principaux chefs de la religion, nommés en Egypte clieika de la loi, l'astuce commune a tons les prêtres, qui, pour mieux dominer, cherchent a s'eraparer de l'esprit des hommes. Leur conversation est remplie de belles sentences morales, et de grands images poetiques qu'ils pillent dans les Hvres Ambet, e'est tout leur savoir; on ne doit pas chercher en eux d'autres connoissances sur la politique, les sciences, & c.; ils n'en soupconuent pas plus l'existence que;

in which morality is mentioned, that we are to judge of the mental perfections of different nations, as by the place which it clearly holds in the established scale of meritorious acts. In a moment of hyperbolical praise, it may even receive a verbal preference to ceremonies; as in one passage of the Institutes of Menu: "A wise man should constantly discharge all the moral duties, though he perform not constantly the ceremonies of religion; since he falls low, if, while he performs ceremonial acts only, he discharge not his moral duties."¹ Yet in the entire system of rules Concerning duty, the stress which is laid upon moral acts, may, as we see in the case of the Hindus, bear no comparison to the importance which is attached to useless or pernicious ceremonies. Such a maxim as that which has just been quoted, can be regarded as but of little value, when it is surrounded by numerous maxims of the following tendency; "Not a mortal exists more sinful than he, who, without an oblation to the manes or gods, desires to enlarge his own flesh with the flesh of another creature."² "From the three Vedas, the lord of creatures, incomprehensibly exalted, successively milked out the three measures of that ineffable text beginning with the word *tad* and entitled, *savitri*, or *gayatri*; whoever shall repeat, day by day, for three years, without negligence, that sacred text

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI

Futility." (De l'Egypte par le Ge'n. lleynier, p. 03.) Voltaire remarks, with that felicity with which he sometimes touches an important truth; "La religion de ce Siamois nous prouve que jamais legislateur n'enseigne une mauvaise morale. Voyez, lecteur, que celle de Brama, de Zoroastre, de Numa, de Thaut, de Pythagore, de Mahomet, et meme du poisson Oannos, est absolument la meme. J'ai dit souvent qu'on jeterait des pierres a un homme qui viendrait prcher une morale relatuSc." Dictionnaire Philosophique, au mot SAMMOCODOM.

Garcilasso de la Vega gives us a list of the moral sayings of a celebrated Inca of ancient Peru, named Pachacatec, of which the following are a specimen:

"Better is it, that thou shouldst be envied by others for being good, than that thou shouldst envy others because thou art bad.

"Envy is a cancer, which eats and gnaws into the bowels of the envious.

"Drunkenness, anger, and folly, are equally mischievous; differing only in this, that the two first are transient and mutable, but the third permanent and continuing.

"Adulterers, who take away the good reputation and honesty of another family, are disturbers of the common peace and quiet, and are as bad as thieves and robbers, and therefore to be condemned to the gallows without mercy.

"A truly noble and courageous spirit is best tried by that patience which he shows in the times of adversity.

"Impatience is the character of a poor and degenerate spirit, and of one that is ill-taught and educated." Royal Commentaries, book IV. ch. xxxvi.

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. iv. 304.

² Ib. T,

52.

BOOK II. shall hereafter approach the divine essence, move as freely
 CHAP. VI. as air, and assume an ethereal form."¹ "Studying and comprehending the Veda, practising pious austerities, acquiring divine knowledge, command over the organs of sense and action, avoiding all injury to sentient creatures, and showing reverence to a natural and spiritual father, are the chief branches of duty which ensure final happiness."² "Even three suppressions of breath made according to the divine rule, accompanied with the triverbal phrase, and the triliteral syllable, may be considered as the highest devotion of a Brahmen; for as the dross and impurities of metallic ores are consumed by fire, thus are the sinful acts of the human organs consumed by suppressions of the breath."³ If we examine that highest degree of merit to which the imagination of the Hindu can ascend, that of the Sanyassi, or professor of austere devotion, we shall find it to consist in an absolute renunciation of all moral duties, and moral affections. "Exemption from attachments, and affection for children, wife, and home ;"⁴ nay, "the abandonment of all earthly attachments,"⁵ form a necessary part of that perfection after which he aspires.

It is by no means unnatural for the religion of a rude people to unite opposite qualities, to preach the most harsh austerities, and at the same time to encourage the loosest morality. It may be matter of controversy to what degree the indecent objects employed in the Hindu worship imply depravity of manners; but a religion which subjects to the eyes of its votaries the grossest images of sensual pleasure, and renders even the emblems of generation objects of worship; which ascribes to the supreme God an immense train of obscene acts; which has them engraved on the sacred cars, portrayed in the temples, and presented to the people as objects of adoration, which pays-worship to the Yoni, and the Lingam, cannot be regarded as favourable to chastity.⁶ Nor can it be supposed, when.

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. ii. 77, 82. ² Ibid. xii. 8S. ³ Ibid. vi. 70, 71.

⁴ Bhagvat-Geeta, p. 102.

⁵ Institutes of Menu, ch. vi. 81.

• See a fanciful account of the origin of this worship by Mr. Paterson, *Asiat. Res.* viii. 54. His description of the moral effects of this superstition is more to our purpose: "It is probable," says he, "that the idea of obscenity was not originally attached to these symbols; and, it is likely, that the inventors themselves might not have foreseen the disorders which this worship would occasion amongst mankind. Profligacy eagerly embraces what flatters its propensities, and ignorance follows blindly wherever example excites: it is therefore no wonder that a general corruption of manners should ensue, increasing in

to all these circumstances is added the institution of a number of girls, attached to the temples, whose business is dancing and prostitution, that this is a virtue encouraged by the religion of the Hindus.¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

proportion as the distance of time involved the original meaning of the symbol in darkness and oblivion. Obscene mirth became the principal feature of the popular superstition, and was, even in after-times, extended to, and intermingled with, gloomy rites and bloody sacrifices. An heterogeneous mixture which appears totally irreconcilable, unless by tracing the steps which led to it. It will appear that the ingrafting of a new symbol upon the old superstition, occasioned this strange medley. The sect of Vishnu was not wholly free from the propensity of the times to obscene rites; it had been united in interest with that of Siva, in their league against the sect of Brahma, as was expressed by an image, called Har-Heri, half Siva, and half Vishnu. This union seems to have continued till the time when an emblem of an abstract idea, having been erected into an object of worship, introduced a revolution in religion, which had a violent and extended effect upon the manners and opinions of mankind. It was then that a gloomy superstition arose, which spread with baneful rapidity amongst mankind; which degraded the Deity into an implacable tyrant; which filled its votaries with imaginary terrors; which prescribed dreadful rites; and exacted penances, mortifications, and expiatory sacrifices." (Ibid. p. 55.) See also a picture of these religious immoralities, by Bernier, *Lettre sur les Gentils*, pp. 129, 130. But tho' writer, who, above all others, has furnished superabundant evidence of the immoral influence of the Hindu religion, and the deep depravity which it is calculated to produce, is Mr. Ward, in his "View of the History, Literature, and Religion of the Hindoos." From the facts which he records in great detail, the following are the results: "The characters of the gods, and the licentiousness which prevails at their festivals, and abounds in their popular works, with the enervating nature of the climate, have made the Hindoos the most effeminate and corrupt people on earth. I have, in the course of this work, exhibited so many proofs of this fact, that I will not again disgust the reader by going into the subject. Suffice it to say, that fidelity to marriage vows is almost unknown among the Hindoos; the intercourse of the sexes approaches very near to that of the irrational animals.

But to know the Hindoo idolatry as it is, a person must wade through the filth of the thirty-six pooranus, and other popular books—he must read and hear the modern popular poems and songs—he must follow the Brahmen through his midnight orgies, before the image of Kalee, and other goddesses; or he must accompany him to the nightly revels, the jatms, and listen to the filthy dialogues which are rehearsed respecting Krishnu and the daughters of the milkmen; or he must watch him, at midnight, choking with the mud and waters of the Ganges a wealthy relation, while in the delirium of fever; or, at the same hour, while murdering an unfaithful wife, or a supposed domestic enemy; or he must look at the Brahmen hurrying the trembling half-dead widow round the funeral pile, and throwing her like a log of wood by the side of the dead body of her husband, tying her, and then holding her down with bamboo levers, till the fire baa deprived her of the power of rising and running away. This system of heathenism communicates no purifying knowledge of the divino perfections, supplies no one motive to holiness while living, no comfort to tho afflicted, no hope to the dying; but, on the contrary, excites to every vice, and hardens its followers in the most flagrant crimes." (Introductory Remark* pp. 94, 95.)

Notwithstanding this inference and the exaggerated pictures quoted from Mr. Ward, it may be confidently asserted that the Hindu women are most exemplary in their conduct in this respect. Even in large towns, the profligacy bears no comparison with that of London and Paris; and in the country, want of conjugal virtue is almost unknown. The form under which the Lingam is worshipped, that of a column, suggests no impure ideas, and few of the uneducated Hindus attach any other idea to it, than that it is Siva; they are not aware of its typical character. Dancing girls are not known in Hindustan; they are confined to the temples of the south, and are not so bad or so numerous as the figurantes in European theatres. The oars, with the indecencies upon them,

BOOK II.

CHAP. VI.

Another contrast to the tortures and death which the religion of the Hindus exhorts them to inflict upon themselves, is the sacredness which it imprints upon the life of animals. Not only are the Hindus prohibited the use of animal food, except at certain peculiar sacrifices; even the offerings to the gods consist almost entirely of inanimate objects ; and to deprive any sensitive creature of life, is a heinous transgression of religious duty. Many of the inferior creatures, both animate and inanimate, are the objects of religious veneration; such, in particular, are the cow, the lotos, and cusa grass. Nor, in this enumeration, must the dung and urine of the cow be forgotten ; things so holy as to be of peculiar efficacy in the ceremonies of purification. To whatever origin we may ascribe this strange application of the religious principle, it has at least been very widely diffused. It is known that many negro tribes worship animals and reptiles ; and that they carry the solicitude for their preservation to a still more extravagant pitch than even the Hindus ; punishing with death those who hurt them even casually.¹ The sacred character in Egypt of the ox, and of many other animals, is too familiarly known to require any proof. The cow was oracular, and sacred among the Ammonians.² Not only cows, but horses, eagles, lions, bears, were divine animals among the Syrians.³ The Egyptian priests respected as sacred the life of all animals, and animal food seems to have been interdicted not less in Egypt than in Hindustan.⁴ At an early period, the Greeks, and even the Romans, punished with death the killing of an ox.⁵ The

are almost restricted to Bengal and Orissa, and the temples in Hindustan are quite free from the gross representations which disgrace some of those in the south. It is not just, therefore, to accuse the Hindu religion of employing in its worship objects that imply depravity of manners. They are not necessarily or primitively comprised in its ceremonial; they have no warrant, either in the vedas, or the Puranas, they are not to be traced in writings of an early date, they belong to a part of the prevailing system, which has sprung out of, rather than given rise to, depravity of ideas in some, not in all parts of India: and, there is every reason to believe, they are as foreign to genuine Hinduism, as to any other religion.—W.

¹ Edwards' Hist. of the West Indies, ii. 77. 4to. Ed.

² Bryant's Analysis of Ancient Mythology, i. 823.

³ Lucian, De Syria Dea.

⁴ The priests of Egypt, says Herodotus, account it unholy to kill any thing which has life, saving what they use in sacrifice; Herod. Hist. lib. i. cap. 140: and Porphyry informs us that it was not till a late period of their history that animal sacrifices were introduced. De Abstn. lib. ii. et iv.

⁵ Ab hoc antiqui man us ita abstinere voluerant, ut capite sar.xerint, si quis occidisset. Varro. De Re Rustica, lib. ii. cap. 5.

RELIGION OF THE HINDUS.

worship of this species of quadrupeds appears indeed to have been common to all the idolatrous nations from Japan to Scandinavia.¹ That, in India, it was a worship directed to no moral end,² is evident upon the slightest inspection. To renounce the benefits which the inferior animals are fitted by nature to render to man, is not humanity, any more than swinging before an idol, by an iron hook, forced through the muscles of the back, is the virtue of self-command.³ And that this superstition took not its rise from a sensibility to the feelings of animated creatures, is evident from the barbarous character of several of the nations where it prevails; from the proverbial cruelty suffered by the labouring animals of Hindustan ; and from the apathy with which human beings are left to expire by hunger and disease while reptiles are zealously tended and fed.*

BOOK II.
CHAP. VI.

¹ See the satisfactory proofs adduced in the very learned and instructive, though erroneous work, of Dupuis, *Origine de tous les Cultes*. liv. iii. ch.² viii,

The worship of the cow by the Hindus is a popular error. It is held in respect for its own merits, and the bull is revered as the vehicle of Siva, but the latter reason is modern and sectarial. There is no trace of any form of worship for the cow in the primitive system.—W.

³ Neither the one nor the other belong to the Hindu religion originally. The Hindus were not prohibited from eating flesh—even the flesh of the cow.

The Vedas which enshrine our holy law,
Direct the householder shall offer those
Who in the law are skilled the honied meal,
And with it flesh of ox, or calf, or goat—See *Hin. Th.*, i. 310.

The long note that presently follows is a series of mistakes. The practices of hospitals for insects and the like are not Hindu, they are Jain. The cruel treatment of beasts of burden or draught, by bullock or carriage drivers, is no more an illustration of national character, than the necessity of a "society for preventing cruelty to animals" in England, is indicative of general brutality amongst ourselves.—W.

* ** Although the killing an animal of this " (the ox) " kind is by all Hindus considered as a kind of murder, I know no creature whose sufferings equal those of the labouring cattle of Hindustan." (Buchanan, *Journey*, &c. i. 167.) See also Ward on the Hindus, *In trod.* p. xliii. An hospital for the sick poor, says Dr. Tennant, was never known in India, before the establishment of the British; though there were for dogs, cats, &c. (*Indian Recreations*, i. 73.) The authors of the *Universal History* inform us gravely, on the authority of Ovington, that the Hindus have a care for the preservation of fleas, bugs, and other vermin, which suck the blood of man: for in an hospital near Surat, built for their reception, a poor man is hired now and then to rest all night upon the *tot* or bed where the vermin are put; and, lest their stinging should force him to take his flight before morning, he is tied down to the place, and there lies for them to glut themselves with human gore." (*Modern Univ. Hist.* vi. 262.) Anquetil Duperron, who describes a temple near Surat, full of those sacred animals, adds: " La vue de l'hôpital des animaux, entretenu par des êtres raisonnables avec tout l'ordre, le soin, le zèle même que Ton pourroit exiger d'eux, s'il étoit question de leur semblable, et cela même dans un papou, ou, a n'y a d'établissements publics, ni pour les malades, ni pour les vieillards; la vue d'un pareil hôpital auroit de quo! étonner, si Ton ne sçavoit pas que la

**BOOK II. Religion consists of two great doctrines j that concern-
CHAP. VI. ing the nature and service of God ; and that concerning
the nature and destination of the human soul In the**

nature se plait aux disparates en Asie comme en Europe. (Voyages aux Indes Orient.; Disc. Prelim. Zendavesta, i. ccclxii.) "The Gentoos, though they will not kill their meat, make no conscience to work them to death, allowing them hardly food to keep them alive. Neither are they less inhuman towards their sick, a woman being brought to die among the tombs in my sight." Fryer's Travels, ch. v. sects. See to the same purpose, the Abbe' Dubois, p. 132; Ward on the Hindoos, Introd. p. lv. It is worth observing that Milton, the universality of whose knowledge is not the least remarkable-particular of his wonderful mind, was acquainted with the disgusting superstition of letting the vermin devour the man: "Like the vermin," says he, "of an Indian Catharist, which his fond religion forbids him to molest." Te-trachordon, Milton's Prose Works, ii. 122, 8vo. Edit. Tenderness to animals, was a part of the religion of Zoroaster. We are informed in the Sadda, that he obtained from God a view of the regions of infernal torment, where he saw a number of kings, and among the rest one without a foot. He begged to know the reason, and God said to him: "That wicked king never performed but one good action in his life. He saw, as he was going to the chase, a dromedary tied at too great a distance from its provender, endeavouring to eat, but unable to reach it: he pushed the provender towards it with his foot. I have placed that foot in heaven; all the rest of him is here." Voltaire, Essai sur les Mœurs et l'Esprit de Nations, ch. v. The following, Porphyry tell us (De Abstin. lib. iv. p. 431), were laws of Triptolemus: 1. To honour our parents; 2. To offer nothing to the gods but the fruits of the earth; 3. Never to hurt animals. "The inhabitants of Miniana," (a place not far from Sego, in the heart of Africa) "eat their enemies, and strangers, if they die in the country. They eat the flesh of horses. But such is their veneration for the cow, that she is never killed." Park's last Mission to Africa, p. 166.

Mr. Richardson (see his Dissertation on Eastern Manners, p. 16) denies the authenticity of the fragments of the Zendavesta collected by Anquetil Duperron, on account of "the uncommon stupidity," as he is pleased to express it, "of the work itself." Yet it is in a strain remarkably resembling that of the Vedas; the same sublime praises bestowed upon the Divinity; superstitions equally gross; discourses equally childish. We must not, however, on this account question the authenticity of the Vedas and the Puranas, though we must renounce the vulgar belief of the great wisdom of the Brahmens. The truth, the stupidity, as Mr. Richardson calls it, of the Zendavesta, and its remarkable similarity to the sacred books of the Hindus, is the most striking proof of its authenticity. There is the strongest reason to conclude that the ancient Magi, and the ancient Brahmens, were people very much upon a level; and that the fame of Zoroaster for wisdom is no better founded than that of the Indian sages. There is a radical difference, he says, between the language of the Zendavesta, and the modern Persian. (Ibid.) But the same is the case with the Sanscrit, which Sir William Jones thinks, from this circumstance, can never have been vernacular in Hindustan. (See Disc. on the Hindus, Asiat. Recherches, i. 422.) The language, he says, of the Zendavesta has many words, which a modern Persian could not pronounce, but there are many words in the German language which an Englishman or Frenchman cannot pronounce, though the German is the basis of the languages of both. The Zendavesta, he says, contains Arabic words; but it contains Arabic only as the Greek contains Sanscrit. In fact, the identities which can be traced in all languages is one of the most remarkable circumstances in the history of speech. Of the Vedas, a man who had unrivalled opportunities of information informs us, "They contain nothing important or rational. In fact, they have nothing but their antiquity to recommend them. As to any thing farther, they include all the absurdities of Hindu paganism, not only such as it has originally been, but also the pitiful details of fables which are at present current in the country, relating to the fantastical austerities of the Hindu hermits, to the metamorphoses of Vishnu, or the abominations of the lingsm. The fourth of them, called Atharvana-veda, is the most dangerous of all for a people so entirely

complicated superstition of the Hindus, the first presented many questions which it needed a considerable accumulation of evidence to solve. Of the latter a just idea may be speedily conveyed.

It is well known that the metempsychosis, or the transmigration of the soul into various orders of being, reviving in one form, when it ceases to exist in another, is the tenet of the Hindus. This is a theory well calculated to present itself to the mind of the rude inquirer, when first excited to stretch his views beyond the present term of sensation and action. The vegetable life, which expires in the plant in autumn, revives in the seed in spring. The sluggish worm, which undergoes a species of death, and buries itself in a tomb of its own formation, springs again to life, a gay and active creature, as different in appearance, as in appetites and powers. Every thing on earth is changed, nothing annihilated; and the soul of the man who expires to-day, revives in something else, to which life is at that instant imparted.

Some very obvious and very impressive appearances must have suggested the notion of the metempsychosis, since it is one of the most ancient, and one of the most general of all religious opinions. "No doctrine," says Dupuis, "was ever more universally diffused; none claims an origin so ancient. It reigned in the East, and in the West, among rude nations and polished nations: and it ascends to antiquity so high, that Burnet ingeniously declares, one would believe it to be descended from heaven; so much it appears without father, without mother, and without descent."¹ The Brahmens grafted upon it, in their usual way, a number of fantastic refinements, and gave to their ideas on this subject, a more systematic form than is usual with those eccentric theologians. They describe the mind as characterized by three qualities; good-

sunk in superstition, because it teaches the art of magic, or the method of injuring men by the use of witchcraft and incantation." (Description, &c. of the people of India, by the Abbe' Dubois, p. 102. Even the *gayatri*, the most holy of all holy things, is an assemblage, says the Abbe, of unmeaning terms, "unintelligible to the Brahmens themselves. I have never met with any one who could give me a tolerable explication of it." Ibid. p. 79.

Dupuis, *Origine de tous les Cultes*, torn. ii par. 2, p. 181; where the reader will find authorities to prove the antiquity and diffusion of this peculiar doctrine. See, too, the learned Beausobre, *Hist. de Manich.* torn. ii. liv. vii. ch. 5, sect. 4. For its existence among the Mexicans, see Clavigero, book vi. sect. 1.

BOOK II. ness, passion, darkness. According as any soul is distin-
 CHAP. VI. guished by one or another of those qualities in its present
 life, is the species of being into which it migrates in the life
 to come. Souls endued with goodness attain the condition
 of Deities ; those filled with passion receive that of men ;
 those immersed in darkness are condemned to that of
 beasts. Each of these conditions, again, is divided into
 three degrees, a lower, a middle, and a higher. Of the
 souls distinguished by darkness, the lowest are thrust
 into mineral and vegetable substances, into worms, rep-
 tiles, fishes, snakes, tortoises, cattle, shakals ; the middle
 pass into elephants, horses, Sudras, Mlec'hchas (a word of
 very opprobrious import, denoting men of all other races
 not Hindu), lions, tigers, and boars; the highest animate
 the forms of dancers, singers, birds, deceitful men, giants,
 and blood-thirsty savages. Of the souls who receive their
 future condition from the quality of passion, the lowest
 pass into cudgel-players, boxers, wrestlers, actors, those
 who teach the use of weapons, and those who are addicted
 to gaming and drinking ; the middle enter the bodies of
 kings, men of the fighting class, domestic priests of kings,
 and men skilled in the war of controversy; the highest
 become gandharves (a species of supposed aerial spirits,
 whose business is music), genii attending superior gods,
 together with various companies of apsarases, or nymphs.
 Of the souls who are characterized by the quality of good-
 ness, the lowest migrate into hermits, religious mendic-
 ants, other Brahmens, such orders of demigods as are
 wafted in airy cars, genii of the signs and lunar mansions,
 and Daityas, another of their many orders of superior
 spirits; the middle attain the condition of sacrificers, of
 holy sages, deities of the lower heaven, genii of the Vedas,
 regents of stars, divinities of years, Pitris, and Sadhyas,
 two other species of exalted intelligences; the highest
 ascend to the condition of Brahma with four faces, of
 creators of worlds, of the genius of virtue, and the divi-
 nities presiding over the two principles of nature.¹ Besides
 this general description of the future allotment of different
 souls, a variety of particular dooms are specified, of which
 a few may be taken as an example. " Sinners in the first
 degree," says the ordinance of Menu, "having passed

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. xii. 24, 40 to 51.

through terrible regions of torture, for a great number of years, are condemned to the following births at the close of that period. The slayer of a Brahmen must enter the body of a dog, a boar, an ass, a camel, a bull, a goat, a sheep, a stag, a bird, a Chandala, or a Pucassa. He, who steals the gold of a priest, shall pass a thousand times into the bodies of spiders, of snakes, and camelions, of crocodiles, and other aquatic monsters, or of mischievous blood-sucking demons. He who violates the bed of his natural or spiritual father, migrates a hundred times into the forms of grasses, of shrubs with crowded stems, or of creeping and twining plants, carnivorous animals, beasts with sharp teeth, or cruel brutes."¹ After a variety of other cases, a general rule is declared, for those of the four castes who neglect the duties of their order: "Should a Brahmen omit his peculiar duty, he shall be changed into a demon, with a mouth like a firebrand, who devours what has been vomited; a Cshatriya, into a demon who feeds on ordure and carrion; a Vaisya, into an evil being who eats purulent carcases; and a Sudra, who neglects his occupations, into a foul embodied spirit, who feeds on lice."² The reward of the most exalted piety, of the most profound meditation, of that exquisite abstemiousness which dries up the mortal frame, is peculiar: such a perfect soul becomes absorbed in the Divine essence, and is for ever exempt from transmigration.³

We might very easily, from the known laws of human nature, conclude, notwithstanding the language held by the Hindus on the connexion between future happiness and the virtue of the present life, that rewards and punishments, very distant and very obscure, would be wholly impotent against temptations to crime,⁴ though, at the

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. xii. 54 to 58.

² lb.. 71, 72.

³ lb. ch. xii. 125.

⁴ According to Mr. Ward, as presently cited, the Hindus are in this respect not dissimilar from other people, whatever be their religious faith. This is a question we are not called upon to discuss, but as far as it bears upon the Hindus, it may be remarked, once for all, that Mr. Ward, notwithstanding the epithets bestowed upon him in the text, is neither an experienced nor an admirable witness; his experience was limited to Bengal, in which the best specimens of the Hindu character are comparatively rare, and his station and circumstances brought him into contact chiefly with bad specimens even of Bengalis. Although an intelligent man, he was not a man of comprehensive views, and his views were necessarily still more narrowed by his feelings as a missionary; his testimony, therefore, although not without value, must be received with considerable distrust, and admitted only with constant qualification and correction.—W.

BOOK: II. instigation of the priests, they might engage the people in
 CHAP. VI. a ceaseless train of wretched ceremonies. The fact corresponds most exactly with the anticipation. An admirable witness has said, "The doctrine of a state of future rewards and punishments, as some persons may plead, has always been supposed to have a strong influence on public morals : the Hindoos not only have this doctrine in their writings, but are taught to consider every disease and misfortune of life as an undoubted symptom of moral disease, and the terrific appearance of its close-pursuing punishment. Can this fail to produce a dread of vice, and a desire to merit the favour of the Deity ? I will still further," he adds, "assist the objector; and inform him, that the Hindoo writings declare, that till every immoral taint is removed, every sin atoned for, and the mind has obtained perfect abstraction from material objects, it is impossible to be re-united to the great spirit; and that, to obtain this perfection, the sinner must linger in many hells, and transmigrate through almost every form of matter." Our informant then declares ; " Great as these terrors are, there is nothing more palpable than that, with most of the Hindoos, they do not weigh the weight of a feather, compared with the loss of a roopee. The reason is obvious : every Hindoo considers all his actions as the effect of his destiny; he laments, perhaps, his miserable fate, but he resigns himself to it without a struggle, like the malefactor in a condemned cell" This experienced observer adds, which is still more comprehensive, that the doctrine of future rewards and punishments has, in no situation, and among no people, a power to make men virtuous.¹

i "To this," he says, "may be added, what must have forced itself on the observation of every thoughtful observer, that, in the absence of the religious principle, no outward terrors, especially those which are invisible and future, not even bodily sufferings, are sufficient to make men virtuous. Painful experience proves, that even in a Christian country, if the religious principle does not exist, the excellence and the rewards of virtue, and the dishonour and misery attending vice, may be held up to men for ever, without making a single convert." Ward, "View, Ac. of the Hindoos," Introd. p. lxxxiv. Here, however, Mr. Ward ought to have explained what he meant by the 'religious principle,' by which different persons mean very different things. This was the more necessary, that, having taken away all efficacy from the doctrine of future rewards and punishments, he strips religion of all power over the lives and actions of men, except in so far as good effects may be expected from the "religious principle," which, whatever else it may not be, is at any rate, in his estimation, not the expectation of future rewards and punishments.-M.

The whole of this review of the religion, as of the laws of the Hindus, is full

CHAP. VII.

BOOK II.

CHAP. VII.

Manners,

By the manners of a nation are understood the peculiar modes in which the ordinary business of human life is carried on. The business itself is everywhere essentially the same. In all nations men eat and drink ; they meet, converse, transact, and sport together. But the manner in which these and other things are performed is as different as the nations are numerous into which the race is divided.

So much of the entire business of life, among the Hindus, consists in religious services, that the delineation of their religion is a delineation of the principal branch of their manners.

The singular distinctions, attached to the different classes, present another remarkable feature in the manners of this people. The lower orders, in other countries, are often lamentably debased ; in Hindustan they are degraded below the brutes. With the single exception of the Vaisya caste, to whom is appropriated the business of agriculture and of barter, the whole of the productive classes, according to the standards of law and religion, are vile and odious, unworthy to eat, to drink, or to sit with a member of the classes above them.¹

of very serious defects, arising from inveterate prejudices and imperfect knowledge. Every text, every circumstance, that makes against the Hindu character, is most assiduously cited, and every thing in its favour as carefully kept out of sight, whilst a total neglect is displayed of the history of Hindu belief. The doctrines of various periods and of opposing sects, have been forced into one time and one system, and the whole charged with an incongruity, which is the creation of the writer. Had he been more impartially disposed, indeed, it would not have been easy to have given an unobjectionable account of the Hindu religion, as his materials were exceedingly defective. Manu is good authority for the time to which it refers, and Mr. Colebrooke's essays furnish authentic details of particular parts of the ritual, but the different travellers who are given as authorities of equal weight, are utterly unworthy of regard. A word more on the subject of Fate, as understood by the Hindus; as it is something very different from that of other people. It is necessity, as the consequence of past acts—that is, a man's station and fortunes in his present life are the necessary consequences of his conduct in his pre-existence. To them he must submit, but not despair. He has his future condition in his own power, and it depends upon himself in what capacity he shall be bora again. He is not therefore the helpless victim of an irresistible and inscrutable destiny, but the sufferer for his own misdeeds, or the possessor of good which his own merits have secured him.—W.

¹ A very mistaken view is here taken of the condition of the "productive dimes;" and on all the most important occasions of social life, they held quite as independent and respectable a position as they do in Europe. That they

BOOK II. There are four remarkable periods into which, with
CHAP. VII. respect to the three honourable classes, human life is divided. Of these periods, or orders, as they are denominated by the Hindus, the first is that of the student; the second, that of the householder; the third, that of the man who performs penance or other religious acts, residing continually in a forest; the fourth, that of the Sannyasi, or the ascetic absorbed in divine contemplation.¹

The period of the student commences at the era of investiture.² Prior to this age, the situation of children is remarkable: even those of a Brahmen are not held superior in rank to a Sudra.³ The condition of the student much more closely represents that of an European apprentice than that of a pupil in literature. He dwells in the house of his preceptor, and tends him with the most respectful assiduity. He is commanded to exert himself in all acts useful to his teacher;⁴ and of course performs the part of an assistant in all the offices of religion.⁵ "As he who digs deep with a spade comes to a spring of water, so the student, who humbly serves his teacher, attains the knowledge which lies deep in his teacher's mind." Upon the student of the priestly order a peculiar burden, or distinction, is imposed: to acquire daily his food by begging.⁶

The gift of sacred instruction is not bestowed indiscriminately; but the text, which regulates the choice of pupils, is so vague as to leave the selection nearly at the discretion of the master. "Ten persons," it is declared,

may not eat, drink, or intermarry with the castes above them, is no hardship to races who would not avail themselves of the privileges of such intercourse with many of the castes who are their equals. These laws of segregation are, in their case, self-imposed. European writers can little understand the prevailing feeling of the Hindus in these matters. It is pride—not shame of caste, that animates them down even to the meanest; and the sweeper is much more tenacious of his caste than the Brahman. As to "sitting" with them, let a blacksmith acquire wealth, and he will have his levee well attended by Brahmans of the most respectable descent. Instances are not wanting of this, at all the principal towns in India.—W. •

¹ See Laws of Menu, ch. ii. iii. and vi.

² See the account of this aera, in another part of this volume.

³ Institutes of Menu, ch. ii. 173.

⁴ Ibid. 491.

⁵ "Let him carry water-pots, flowers, cow-dung, fresh earth, and cusa grass, as much as may be useful to his preceptor." Ibid. 182.

⁶ "The subsistence of a student by begging is held equal to fasting in religious merit." Ibid. 218. There are numerous precepts respecting the niceties of begging. Ibid. 48 to 60, and 183 to 190.

"may legally be instructed in the Veda; the son of a spiritual teacher; a boy who is assiduous; one who can impart other knowledge; one who is just; one who is pure; one who is friendly; one who is powerful; one who can bestow wealth; one who is honest; and one who is related by blood. Where virtue and wealth are not found, or diligent attention proportioned, in that soil divine instruction must not be sown; it would perish like fine seed in barren land."¹

The instruction which is bestowed may soon be described. "The venerable preceptor, having girt his pupil with, the thread, must first instruct him in purification, in good customs, in the management of the consecrated fire, and in the holy rites of morning, noon, and evening."² The grand object of attention and solicitude is the reading of the Veda.³ Some classes of the Brahmens have united with their religious doctrines certain speculations concerning the intellectual and material worlds; and these speculations have been dignified with the name of philosophy; but the holy rites, and the Veda, form the great, and on most occasions the exclusive object of that higher instruction which is bestowed on the pupil of the Brahmen.

On this important occasion, as on other occasions, the attention of the Hindu is much more engaged by frivolous observances, than by objects of utility. While the directions laid down respecting the instruction of the pupil are exceedingly few and insignificant, the forms, according to which he must pay his duty to the master, are numerous, minute, and emphatically enjoined.*

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch.ii. 109,112.

² Ibid. 69.

³ Ibid. 70.

⁴ When the student is going to read the Veda, he must perform an ablution, as the law ordains, with his face to the north; and at the beginning and end of each lesson, he must clasp both the feet of his preceptor, and read with both his hands closed. "In the presence of his preceptor let him always eat less; and wear a coarser mantle, with worse appendages: let him rise before, and go to rest after his tutor. Let him not answer his teacher's orders, or converse with him, reclining on a bed; nor sitting, nor eating, nor standing, nor with an Averted face: But let him both *answer and converse*, if his preceptor sit, standing up; if he stand, advancing toward him; if he advance, meeting him; if he run, hastening after him; if his face be averted, going round to front him, /rom *left to right*: if he be at a little distance, approaching him; if reclined, bending to him; and if he stand ever so far off, running toward him. When his teacher is nigh, let his couch or his bench be always placed low: when his preceptor's eye can observe him, let him not sit carelessly at his ease. Let him never pronounce the mere name of his tutor, even in his absence; by censuring his preceptor, though justly, he will be born an ass. He must not serve his tutor by the intervention of another, while himself stands aloof; nor

BOOK II. The duration of the period of study is very indefinite.
 CHAP. VII. "The discipline of a student in the three Vedas maybe continued for thirty-six years, in the house of his preceptor; or for half that time, or for a quarter of it, or until he perfectly comprehend them. A student, whose rules have not been violated, may assume the order of a married mgn, after he has read in succession a sac'ha, or branch from each of the three Vedas, or from two or from any one of them."¹ It is even permitted to pass the whole period of life in the state of a pupil; and to this, merit so exalted is ascribed, that the very highest rewards of religion are bestowed upon it. If a student anxiously desire to pass his whole life in the house of a sacerdotal teacher, he must serve him with assiduous care, till he be released from his mortal frame. That Brahmen who has dutifully attended his preceptor till the dissolution of his body, passes directly to the eternal mansion of God."² Should the teacher die, the student must attend upon his widow, his son, or one of his paternal kinsmen, with the same respect as to the deceased preceptor. Should none of these be living, he occupies the seat of the preceptor himself.⁸

must he attend him in a passion, nor when a woman is near; from a carriage or a raised seat he must descend to salute his heavenly director. Let him not sit with his preceptor to the leeward, or to the windward of him; nor let him say anything which the venerable man cannot hear." Institutes of Menu, ch.ii. 70, 71 to 199, and 201 to 203. Even to the sons and wives of the preceptor must numerous tokens of profound respect be shown. Ibid. 207 to 218. For his general conduct, "these following rules," says Menu, "most a Brah-machari, or student in theology, observe, while he dwells with his preceptor; keeping all his members under control, for the sake of increasing his habitual devotion. Day by day, having bathed and being purified, let him offer fresh water to the gods, the sages, and the manes; let him show respect to the images of the deities, and bring wood for the oblation to lire. Let him abstain from honey, from flesh-meat, from perfumes, from chaplets of flowers, from sweet vegetable juices, from women, from all sweet substances turned acid, and from injury to animated beings; from unguents for his limbs, and from black powder for his eyes; from wearing sandals and carrying an umbrella* from sensual desire, from wrath, from covetousness, from dancing, and from vocal and instrumental music; from gaming, from disputes, from detraction, and from falsehood; from embracing, or wantonly looking at women, and from disservice to other men. Let him sleep constantly alone." Next are forbidden several acts of sensual impurity, which are too gross to be described; and the holy text thus again proceeds: "Let him carry water-pots, flowers, cow-dung, and cusa grass, as much as may be useful to his preceptor. Having brought fogs of wood from a distance, let him place them in the open air; and with them let him make an oblation to Are, without remissness, both evening and morning. Let the scholar, when commanded by his preceptor, and even when he has received no command, always exert himself in reading. Let not th3 sun ever rise or set while he lies asleep in the village." Institutes of Menu, ch.ii. 175 to 188, 186, 191, 219.

¹Institutes of Menu, ch.iii. 1.

²Institutes of Menu, it. 243, 244.

³Ibid. 247, 248. The following modes of living are pointed out to the Bralinen : 1. lawful gleaning and gathering; 2. what is given unasked; 3. what is

To the state of the student succeeds that of the married **BOOK II**
 than or the housekeeper. It is at this epoch that the **CHAP. VII.**
 Hindu begins to sustain a part as a member of Society.

Marriage is a religious duty; and a duty of the highest order. Except for some grand plan of devotion, as that of remaining a student, or of becoming a fakeer, no man neglects at an early age to fulfil this sacred obligation. As the sacrament of obsequies to the manes of ancestors can be performed only by a male descendant, and as any failure in these obsequies deeply affects the spirits of the dead, to die without a son is regarded as one of the greatest of all calamities.¹

asked as alms; 4. tillage; 5. traffic and money lending; even by these two last, when distressed, he may live; hut service for hire is named dog-living, which he must always avoid, iv. 4, 5, 6. His hair, nails, and beard being clipped; his passions subdued; his mantle white; his body pure; let him diligently occupy himself in reading the Veda. Let him carry a staff of Venu, a ewer with water in it, a handful of cusagrass, or a copy of the Veda; with a pair of bright golden rings in his ears. He must not gaze on the sun, whether rising or setting, or eclipsed, or reflected in water, or advanced to the middle of the sky. Over a string to which a calf is tied, let him not step; nor let him run while it rains; nor let him look on his own image in water; this is a settled rule. By a mound of earth, by a cow, by an idol, by a Brahmen, by a pot of clarified butter or of honey, by a place where four ways meet, and by large trees well known in the district, let him pass with his right hand toward them. 35, 36, 37, 38, 39.

Let him neither eat with his wife, nor look at her eating, nor sneezing, or yawning, or sitting carelessly at her ease, 43.

Some precepts are ludicrous. "Let him not eat his food, wearing only a single cloth, nor let him bathe quite naked: nor let him eject urine or faeces in the highway, nor on ashes, nor where kine are grazing, nor on tilled ground, nor in water, nor on wood raised for burning, nor, *unless he be in great need*, on a mountain, nor on the ruins of a temple, nor at any time on a nest of white ants, nor in ditches with living creatures in them, nor walking, nor standing, nor on the bank of a river, nor on the summit of a mountain: nor let him ever eject them, looking at *things moved* by the wind, or a Are, or at a priest, or at the sun, or at water, or at cattle: but let him void his excrements, having covered the earth with wood, potherbs, dry leaves and grass, or the like, carefully suppressing his utterance, wrapping up his breast and his head: by day let him void them with his face to the north; by night, with his face to the south; at sunrise and sunset, in the same manner as by day; in the shade of darkness, whether by day or by night, let a Brahmen ~~use~~ nature with his face turned as lie pleases; and in places where he fears injury to life *from wild beasts or from reptiles*." 45 to 51.

"Let not a man, desirous to enjoy long life, stand upon hair, nor upon ashes, bones, or potsherds, nor upon seeds of cotton, nor upon husks of grain," 78.

An infinite number of things relative to food are to be attended to, 207 to 225.

A man is nevertheless forbidden to marry before his elder brother. Ibid. 172. But if among several brothers of the whole blood, one have a son born, Menu pronounces them all fathers of a male child, by means of that son. Ibid. 182. There is a singular importance attached to the having of a son: "By a son a man obtains victory over all people; by a son's son he enjoys immortality *i* and afterwards by a son of that grandson he reaches the solar abode." Ibid. 137. Kinsmen, as among the Jews, were allowed to raise up seed to one another. Not only was a widow, left without children, permitted to conceive

BOOK II. The ceremonies of marriage, entirely religious, have
 CHAP. VII. been already described. Marriages are distinguished into eight kinds: of which one half are honourable, and differ from one another only in some minute circumstances; in the fifth, the bridegroom bestows gifts upon the bride, her father, and paternal kinsman; the last three are rather species of unlawful connexion, than forms of nuptial contract; one being voluntary and by mutual consent; the other forcible when a woman is seized, "while she weeps, and calls for assistance, after her kinsmen and friends have been slain in battle;" the last, "when the damsel is sleeping, or flushed with a strong liquor, or disordered in her intellect."¹ With the grand rule to prevent the intermixture of the castes, the reader is already acquainted. "For the first marriage of the twice-born classes," says the law of Menu, "a woman of the same class is recommended; but for such as are impelled by inclination to marry again,, women in the direct order of the classes are to be preferred: a Sudra woman only must be the wife of a Sudra; she and a Vaisya of a Vaisya; they two and a Cshatriya, of a Cshatriya; those two and a Brahmani, of a Brahmen."² The Hindu law-givers, who commonly mistake minuteness for precision, and are apt to be most particular where it is least required, make rules for the choice of a wife. "In connecting a man's self with a wife. Let him," says Menu, "studiously avoid the ten following, families, be they ever so great, or ever so rich in kine, goats, sheep, gold, and grain. The family which has omitted prescribed acts of religion; that which has produced no male children; that in which the Veda has not been read; that which has thick hair on the body; and those which have been subject to hemorrhoids, to phthisis, to dyspepsia, to epilepsy, to leprosy

by a kinsman of her husband; but oven before his death, if he was supposed to be attacked by an incurable disease. Ibid. ix. 59,162,164. A daughter, too, -when a man had no sons, might be appointed for the same purpose. Ibid. 127. In Egypt, in the same manner, a widow left without children cohabited with the brother of the deceased. Eecherches Philoaooph. sur lea Egyptiens et fas Chinois, i. 70.

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. iii. 27 to 34. The crimes implied in the last two cases must have been frequent, to make them be distinguished formally in books of sacred law as two species of marriage.

² Ibid. 19,13.

and to elephantiasis. Let him not marry a girl with reddish hair, nor with any deformed limb; nor one troubled with habitual sickness; nor one either with no hair, or too much; nor one immoderately talkative; nor one with inflamed eyes; nor one with the name of a constellation, of a tree, or of a river, of a barbarous nation, or of a mountain, of a winged creature, a snake, or a slave; nor with any name raising an image of terror. Let him choose for his wife a girl, whose form has no defect; who has an agreeable name; who walks gracefully like a phenicopteros, or like a young elephant; whose hair and teeth are moderate respectively in quantity and in size; whose body has exquisite softness."¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. TO

The condition of the women is one of the most remarkable circumstances in the manners of nations. Among rude, people, the women are generally degraded; among civilized people they are exalted.² In the barbarian, the passion of sex is a brutal impulse, which infuses no tenderness; and his undisciplined nature leads him to abuse his power over every creature that is weaker than himself. The history of uncultivated nations uniformly represents the women as in a state of abject slavery, from which they slowly emerge, as civilization advances; Among some of the negro tribes on the coast of Africa, the wife is never permitted to receive any thing from the hands of her husband, or even to appear in his presence, except on her knees.³ In the empire of Congo, where the people are sufficiently advanced to be united in a large community; and in most of the nations which inhabit the southern regions of Africa, the women are reckoned unworthy to eat with the men⁴ In such a state of society property is an advantage which it may naturally be supposed that the degraded sex are by no means permitted to enjoy. Not only among the African and other savage tribes, and the Tartars of the present day, but among the ancient inhabitants of Chaldea and Arabia, and all the nations of Europe in their ancient uncivilized state, the

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. iii. 6 to 10.

² This important subject is amply and philosophically illustrated by Professor Millar, in his Inquiry into the Distinction of Ranks, ch. i.

³ Uistotre GIsnerale des Voyages, tout, r. liv. x. ch. lli.

⁴ Ibid. torn. vi. liv. xiii. ch. iii sect. 2, and torn. ir. liv. vii. ch. xiii. sect. 1.

BOOK II. women were excluded from the inheritance of the family.¹

CHAP. VII. Being condemned to severe and perpetual labour, they are themselves regarded as useful property. Hence a father parts not with his daughter but for a valuable consideration; hence the general custom, among barbarous nations, as in Pegu, in Siberia, among the Tartars, among the negroes on the coast of Guinea, among the Arabs, and even among the Chinese, of purchasing the bride by a dower.² It is only in that improved state of property and security, when the necessities of life have ceased to create perpetual solicitude, and when a large share of attention may be given to its pleasures; that the women, from their influence on those pleasures, begin to be an object of regard. As society refines upon its enjoyments, and advances into that state of civilization, in which various corporeal qualities become equal or superior in value to corporeal strength, and in which the qualities of the mind are ranked above the qualities of the body, the condition of the weaker sex is gradually improved, till they associate on equal terms with the men, and occupy the place of voluntary and useful coadjutors.

A state of dependence more strict and humiliating than that which is ordained for the weaker sex among the Hindus cannot easily be conceived. "Day and night," says Menu, "must women be held by their protectors in a state of dependence."³ Who are meant by their protectors is immediately explained: "Their fathers protect them in childhood; their husbands protect them in youth; their sons protect them in age: a woman," it is added, "is never fit for independence. Let husbands consider this as the supreme law, ordained for all classes; and let them, how weak soever, diligently keep their wives under lawful

¹ See Inquiry into the Distinction of Ranks, ch. i. sect. 1. They were admitted to inheritance among the Jews plainly as a novelty, and an institution unknown to their neighbours. Numbers ch. xxvii.—M.

We have seen that this was not the case amongst the Hindus, but that their right to property is folly recognised and carefully secured. See pp. 172-8.—W.

² See the authorities quoted by Millar, Distinction of Banks, ch. i. sect. 1; and Goguet, Origin of Laws, i. 25, 26.—M.

Here also the law of the Hindus is the reverse of that described—if the practice sometimes conforms to it, it is apparently of modern growth, and a violation of the law. Bammohun Roy, Arafht Rights of Females, p. 278.—W.

³ Institutes of Menu, ch. ix. 2.

restrictions."¹ "By a girl, or by a young woman, or by a woman advanced in years, nothing," says the same code, "must be done, even in her own dwelling-place, according to her mere pleasure. In childhood must a female be dependent on her father; in youth, on her husband; her lord being dead, on her sons: a woman must never seek independence."² The deference which is exacted towards her husband is without limits. "Though inobservant of approved usages, or enamoured of another woman, or devoid of good qualities, yet a husband must constantly be revered as a god by a virtuous wife. No sacrifice is allowed to women apart from their husbands, no religious rite, no fasting: as far only as a wife honours her lord, so far she is exalted in heaven."³ "She who neglects her lord though addicted to gaming, fond of spirituous liquors, or diseased, must be deserted for three months, and deprived of her ornaments and household furniture."⁴ To every species of ill-usage, she is bound to submit; "neither by sale nor desertion," says the ordinance of Menu, "can a wife be released from her husband: thus we fully acknowledge the law enacted of old by the lord of creatures."⁵ This is a remarkable law; for it indicates the power of the husband to sell his wife for a slave, and by consequence proves, that her condition, while in his house, was not regarded as very different from slavery. A law is even made to direct the mode in which she is beaten; "A wife, a son, a servant, a pupil, and a younger whole brother, may be corrected, when they commit faults, with a rope, or the small shoot of a cane; but on the back part only of their bodies, and not on a noble part by any means."⁶

Nothing can exceed the habitual contempt which the Hindus entertain for their women. Hardly are they ever mentioned in their laws, or other books, but as wretches of the most base and vicious inclinations, on whose nature no virtuous or useful qualities can be ingrafted. "Their husbands," says the sacred code, "should be diligently careful in guarding them; though they well know the disposition with which the lord of creation formed them; Menu allotted to such women a love of their bed, of the

¹ Institutes of Menu, oh. ix. ² Ibid. v. 147, 148.

³ Ibid. v. 1M, 155. ⁴ Ibid, ix. 78. ⁵ Ibid. 46.

⁶ Ibid. ch. viii. 299, 300. Beating their wives is a common discipline. See Buchanan's Journey, i. 247, 249.

BOOK II. seat, and of ornament, impure appetites, wrath, weak flexi-
 CHAP.VII. bility, desire of mischief, and bad conduct."¹ "Be there no place, be there no time, be there no one to tempt them," says the Hetopadesa, "then, O Narada, doth women's chastity appear. Women at all times have been inconstant, even among the celestials, we are told. In infancy the father should guard her, in youth her husband should guard her, and in old age her children should guard her; for at no time is a woman proper to be trusted with liberty."² The same author declares again; "Unto woman no man is found to be disagreeable, no man agreeable. They may be compared to a heifer on the plain, that still longeth for fresh grass. Infidelity, violence, deceit, envy, extreme avariciousness, a total want of good qualities, with impurity, are the innate faults of womankind."³

They are held, accordingly, in extreme degradation,

J Institutes of Menu, ix. 16, 17.—M.

This is a specimen of unfair citation. It is made to appear as if Manu was speaking of women in general, which is not the case. He speaks of "such" women; that is, of such women as are guilty of drinking, idleness, keeping evil company, and other practices disgraceful to a married woman, lv. 13. Difficult as it is to keep "such" women under restraint, yet their husbands should be diligent in guarding them. These precepts and reflections are not directed to the conduct of the sex in general, but only of the vicious portion of it.—W.

¹ Wilkins' Hetopadesa, p. 64.

² Ibid, p. 78. In Halhed's Code of Gentoo Laws, the character of women is depicted in terms which, were they not strong evidence to an important point, delicacy would forbid to be transcribed: "A woman," says the law, "is never satisfied with sensual pleasures no more than tire is satisfied with burning fuel, or the main ocean with receiving the rivers, or the empire of death with the dying men and animals: in these cases therefore a woman is not to be relied on." (Gentoo Code, ch. xx.) "Women have six qualities: the first, an inordinate desire for jewels and fine furniture, handsome clothes, and nice victuals; the second, immoderate lust; the third, violent anger; the fourth, deep resentment; the fifth, another person's good appears evil in their eyes; the sixth, they commit bad actions." (Ibid.) Six faults are likewise ascribed to women, in the Institutes of Menu, but they are differently stated: "Drinking spirituous liquors, associating with evil persons, absence from her husband, rambling abroad, unseasonable sleep, and dwelling in the house of another, are six faults which bring infamy on a married woman. Such women examine not beauty, nor pay attention to age; whether their lover be handsome or ugly, they think it enough that he is a man, and pursue their pleasures. Through their passion for men, their mutable temper, their want of settled affection, and their perverse nature (let them be guarded in this world ever so well,) they soon become alienated from their husbands." Institutes of Menu, ch. ix. 13, 14, 15.—M.

The literature of most countries, even in modern times, would furnish passages abusive of the weaker sex; but no one would think of quoting occasional sarcasm as the language of universal opinion.—W.

In all this, our author's usual practice prevails, of quoting every passage in favour of his own theory, and excluding every one that makes against it. A reluctant admission is subsequently made, that the Hindus have some general precepts, recommending indulgence and humanity in favour of the weaker sex; but they are passe 1. over very, lightly. If, Instead of the **Ian-**

They are not accounted worthy to partake of religious, rites but in conjunction with their husbands.¹ They are entirely excluded from the sacred books; "Women have no business with the texts of the Veda; thus is the law fully settled: having, therefore, no evidence of law, and no knowledge of expiatory texts, sinful women must be as foul as falsehood itself. To this effect many texts, which may show their true disposition, are chanted in the Vedas."² "A minor," says the law, "one single person, a woman, a man of bad principles, &c, may not be witnesses."³ We have already seen, as in the most barbarous nations, that the women among the Hindus are excluded from sharing in the paternal property.⁴ They are, by system, deprived of education.⁵ That remarkable proof of barbarity, the wife held unworthy to eat with her husband, is prevalent in Hindustan.⁶

BOOK II.
CHAP. VII.

gave of law or satire, we look to the portraits of women painted by the Hindus themselves, in their tales, their plays, and poems, we shall find them invariably described as amiable, high-principled, modest, gentle, accomplished, intelligent; as exercising a very important influence upon men, and as treated by them with tenderness and respect. The English reader will find ample proofs of this in the *Cloud Messenger* and *Hindu Theatre*, and in Mr. Milman's *Nala*; and it may be confidently asserted, that in no nation of antiquity were women held in so much esteem, as amongst the Hindus.—W.

¹ See *Institutes of Menu*, quoted in note 3, p. 311.

² *Institutes of Menu*, ch. ix. 18, 19.

³ Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, ch. iii. sect. 8.

⁴ See ch. iv. p. 214; *Menu*, ch. iv. 43.—M.

The reference is incorrect; so is the law; as the passage in the first volume adverted to might have shown, had the writer remembered it. For, after stating in the text, in the same unqualified manner, that daughters are altogether debarred from a share, it is mentioned in a note, that those who are unmarried, are to receive portions out of their brothers' allotments. It is mere quibbling, therefore, to say they have no shares. But the more important question, as affecting the position of women in society, is not merely the shares of daughters; although this is artfully put forward, as if it was decisive of the rights of the whole sex; but, what rights women have in regard to property: and, as we have already shown, the laws do not very materially differ in this respect from those which are observed in the civilized countries of modern Europe.—W.

⁵ The Hindu women, says Mr. Forster, (*Travels*, i. 59,) are debarred the use of letters. The Hindus hold the invariable language, that acquired Accomplishments are not necessary to the domestic classes of the female sex.

⁶ "The husband and wife never eat together; for the Indians consider it as indecent, and contrary to that respect which is due to the former." Bartolomeo's *Travels*, book i. ch. 7. Sounerat says, "The women are ugly, slovenly, and disgusting. The husband does not permit them to eat with him. They are honourable slaves, for whom some regard is entertained." *Voy. liv. iii.* ch. 7. "So indelicate are the men with respect to the women," says Mr. Motte, speaking of the province of Sumbhulpoor, "that I have been introduced and obliged to show respect to a man of consequence in the morning, whose wife has, in the afternoon, brought a load of wood of her own cutting, as

BOOK II, An almost unlimited power of rejection or divorce appears to be reserved to the husband. In the code of
 CHAP. VII. Gentoo laws, among various other ordinances to the same purpose, it is declared that, "a woman who dissipates or spoils her own property, or who procures abortion, or who has any intention to murder her husband, and is always quarrelling with everybody, and who eats before her husband eats, such woman shall be turned out of the house."¹ On grounds like these, a man can never be without a pretence for dismissing his wife. But on the other hand we have seen that no species of barbarous treatment, not even desertion and sale, ever absolves the woman from her obligations to her lord.²

much as she could stagger under, and sold it me for a penny." Motte's Journey to Orissa, Asiatic Annual Register, i. 76. In another part of the same Journey, p. 67, Mr. Motte says, "I was first struck with the sight of women ploughing, while their female children drove the oxen; but this is the practice through the whole mountainous country, while the men, strolling through the forests with a spear and hatchet, plunder every thing, they can master. This abuse of the fair sex is characteristic of a barbarous people."

The Hindus are quite accustomed to beat their wives. Buchanan, Travels in Mysore, &c. i. 247, 249. Women in Karnata carry out the dung to the fields, in baskets on their heads. Ibid. 135, 42. The Abbe* Dubois describes the following, as the common, the standard condition of conjugal life: "the young wife, beaten by her husband, and harassed by her mother-in-law, who treats her as a slave, finding no remedy for ill-usage but in flying to her father's house—recalled by fair promises of kinder treatment—the word broken—recourse had to the same remedy—but at last the children which she brings into the world, and other circumstances, compelling her to do her best, by remaining in her husband's house, with the show of being contented with her lot The object for which a Hindu marries is not to gain a companion to aid him in enduring the evils of life, but a slave to bear children, and be subservient to his rule." Description, &c. of the People of India, p. 140.—M.

The people amongst whom Mr. Motte travelled, as above noticed, were wild and barbarous tribes; whose usages afford no illustration of those of more civilized parts of India. The Abbe Dubois speaks also of the lower orders of a village community. Instances of brutal treatment of their women by the peasantry and lower classes in Europe, are no rarities. Europeans have never been admitted into the interior of the houses of respectable Hindoos, and are not qualified to speak of the manner in which they behave to their wives. It has happened in a few cases, that elderly women, widows and mothers, have been personally known to us; and it has generally been found, that they received great attention and deference from their sons and relations; at the same time it seems likely that the women have declined in the social scale, and that partly through fear, and partly through imitation, the rule of the Mohammedans has had a prejudicial effect upon the feelings and practices of the Hindus in all that regards the female sex.—W,

¹ Halhed's Gentoo Code, ch. xx.

² See above, p. 449. Even after the death of her husband, if she did not sacrifice herself to his manes, she was held inviolably bound to his memory; and, besides other penances and mortifications of the severest kind, was expressly forbidden to accept a second husband. Institutes of Menu, ch. v. 157, 158, 162, 163. The same mark of bondage and inferiority was imposed on the Athenian women during the barbarous times of Greece. Goguet, Origin of Laws, il. 69. Mr. Richardson, who is one of the most nervous in assertion.

That polygamy was an established custom of the Hindus, we learn from various documents, and among others from the following story, which at the same time conveys no evidence of their domestic gentleness:—"In the city of Devee-kotta, there was a Brahman, whose name was Deva-Sarma. One lucky evening he found a curious dish, which he took with him into a potter's warehouse full of earthenware, and throwing himself upon a bed which happened to be there, it being night, he began to express his thoughts upon the occasion in this manner:—"If I dispose of this dish, I shall get ten kapardakas (cowries) for it; and with that sum I may purchase many pots and pans, the sale of which will increase my capital so much that I shall be able to lay in a large stock of cloth and the like; which having disposed of at a great advance, I shall have accumulated a fortune of a lack of money. "With this I will marry four wives; and of these I will amuse myself with her who may prove the handsomest. This will create jealousy; so when the rival wives shall be quarrelling, then will I, overwhelmed with anger, hurl my stick at them thus!" Saying which, he flung his walking-stick out of his hand with such force, that he not only broke his curious dish, but destroyed many of the pots and pans in the shop."¹

and the most feeble in proof, of all oriental enthusiasts, maintains that the women enjoyed high consideration among the Arabians and Persians, nay, among the very Tartars; so generally was civilization diffused in Asia. In proof, he tells us that the Arabian women "had a right by the laws to the enjoyment of independent property, by inheritance, by gift, by marriage settlement, or by any other mode of acquisition." The evidence he adduces of these rights is three Arabian words; which signify a *marriage portion*, *paraphernalia in the disposal of a wife*, *a marriage settlement*. (See Richardson's *Dissertations on the Languages, Literature, and Manners of Eastern Nations*, pp. 198, 331, 479.) But surely a language may possess three words of the signification which he assigns, and yet the women of the people who use it be in a state of melancholy degradation. In the times of Homer, though a wife was actually purchased from her father, still the father gave with her a dowry. *Iliad*, lib. ix. ver. 147, 148. If the Tartars carry their women with them in their wars, and even consult them, "the north American tribes, says Mr. Millar, "are often accustomed to admit their women into their public councils, and even to allow them the privilege of being first called to give their opinion upon every subject of deliberation. . . . Yet," as he adds immediately after, "there is no country in the world where the female sex are, in general, more neglected and despised." See *Distinctions of Ranks*, ch. i. sect. 2. From insulated expressions, or facts, no general conclusion can safely be drawn.

¹ Wilkins' *Hetopadesa*, p. 248.—M. Mr. Mill here deserts his usual guide; he had better have adhered to Menu, than taken his illustration of the law or the practice from a fable intended to ridicule absurd expectations. Although permitted, polygamy it not encouraged by the ancient law, and from its being sanctioned in particular cases only, as of misconduct, aversion, or barrenness;

HISTORY OF BRITISH INDIA.

The Hindus were, notwithstanding, so far advanced in civilization, except in the mountainous and most barbarous tracts of the country, as to have improved in some degree upon the manners of savage tribes. They have some precepts, recommending indulgence and humanity in favour of the weaker sex. "Married women," says the law of Menu, "must be honoured and adorned by their fathers and brethren, by their husbands, and by the brethren of their husbands, if they seek abundant prosperity. "Where female relations are made miserable, the family of him, who makes them so, very soon wholly perishes."¹ When particulars indeed are explained, the indulgences recommended are not very extensive.* It is added, "Let those women, therefore, be continually supplied with ornaments, apparel, and food, at festivals, and at jubilees, by men desirous of wealth."² "When it is commanded by law, as an extraordinary extension of liberality, to give them ornaments, and even apparel and food, at festivals and jubilees; this is rather a proof of habitual degradation than of general respect and tenderness. The idea, however, of purchasing a wife, as a slave, from her relations, had become odious ; and though it is stated as one of the eight species of nuptial contract, it is classed among the dishonourable species, and forbidden."³ As the necessity of such a law indicates a state of society but one remove from that in which the unhappy bride is purchased and sold ; so the customary, and original purchasing gift, the bull and the cow, still remained; but it had acquired a religious character, and was at last commanded to pass by another name. "Some say," observes the law of Menu, "that the bull and cow given in the nuptial ceremony of the Rishis, are a bribe to the father; but this is untrue: a bribe indeed, whether large or small, is an actual sale of

Menu, ix, 77,81, it is evident that it was not without restriction. Even the consent of the first wife seems to have been necessary. *She (the wife), who though afflicted with illness, is amiable and virtuous, most never be disgraced, though she may be superseded by another wife, with her own consent; lx. 82. By being disgraced, means the loss of consideration in the family. The first wife seems always to have held the principal rank, and to have been mistress of the household.--W.

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. i. 11. 55, 57.

²

lb. 59.

³ "Let no father who knows the law receive a gratuity, however small, for giving his daughter in marriage, since the man who through avarice, takes a gratuity for that purpose, is a seller of his offspring." *Institutes of Menu*, ch. iii. 51.

the daughter."¹ There are texts, however, which directly recognise the transaction as a purchase : " He who takes to wife," it is said, ^a a damsel of full age, shall not give a nuptial present to her father; since the father lost his dominion over her, by detaining her at a time when she might have been a parent."² The obligation of the marriage contract is stated in the Institutes of Menu, under the head of purchase and sale; and it is expressly said, " If, after one damsel has been shown, another be offered to the bridegroom, who had purchased leave to marry her from her next kinsman, he may become the husband of both for the same price: this law Menu ordained"³ The same undoubtedly is the purport of the following sacred text: " The recitation of holy texts, and the sacrifice ordained by the lord of creatures, are used in marriages for the sake of procuring good fortune to brides; but the first gift by the husband is the primary cause of marital dominion." * It is to be observed, besides, that the women have no choice in their one destiny ; but are absolutely at the disposal of their fathers, till three years after the nuptial age. If, until that period, the father have neglected what is reckoned one of his most sacred duties, to place his daughter in a situation to become a parent, he forfeits, through his sin, the dominion over her, and she may choose a husband for herself.⁵

It has been doubted whether immuring the women was

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. iii. 53.

² *ibid.* ch. ix. 93.

³ *Ibid.* ch. viii. 204. Our travellers find direct and avowed purchase still in practice in many parts of India. See Buchanan's Journey through Mysore, &c., i. 247. 249. "To marry, or to buy a wife, are synonymous terms in this country. Almost every parent makes his daughter an article of traffic. This practice of purchasing the young women whom they are to marry, is the inexhaustible source of disputes and litigation, particularly amongst the poorer people. These, after the marriage is solemnized, not finding it convenient to pay the stipulated sum, the father-in-law commences an action," &c. Description, &c. of the Hindus, by the Abbe Dubois, p. 137. "Apud plerasque tamen gentes dotem maritus uxori, non uxor tnarito offerebat. Ista sane consuetudo viguit inter Germanos, teste Tacito (*de Mor. Germ.* cap. 18)—Assyrios, teste Æliano (*Hist. Var.* iv. 1)—Babylonios, teste Herodot., (i. 116)—et Armenios, ceu patet ex *Nou.* xxi. Heineccii *Antiquit. Roman.* lib. ii. tit. viii. sect. 2.

⁴ Institutes of Menu, ch. v. 152. The Commentator Culluca, after the words *first gift*, by his usual plan, of trying to graft the ideas of a recent period, improved a little by external intercourse, upon the original text, has foisted in the words or *troth plighted*, as if that was a gift, or, as if, had that been meant, the legislator would not have rather said *troth plighted*, than *first gift*. See what I have observed on the interpolating practices of Culluca, Note A. at the end of the volume, p. 499.

⁵ *Ibid.* cls ix. 88, 90, 99.

BOOK II. **an** original part of Hindu manners, or adopted in consequence of the intercourse and dominion of the Mohammedans. But they have been found in a state of seclusion and confinement beyond the range of Mohammedan influence.¹ The practice is fully recognised in the ancient writings. We are told in the Bhagavat, that on the day of the yug of Judishter, "the women who, buried in harams, were seldom permitted to see the sun, came out, on that day, to view rajah Judishter."² The monarch who forms the hero in the drama entitled Sacontala had many wives, and they are represented as residing in the *secret* apartments of the palace.⁸ The whole spirit of the Hindu maxims indicates confinement: there are numerous precept with respect to the guarding of women: and the punishment for vitiating those who are not guarded is always less than the punishment in the case of those that are.⁴ Among these proofs of confinement are also appearances of freedom. The law of seclusion is made only for the few. Among the jealous Ottomans themselves, the great body of the community must leave their women at large, because an indigent man can neither dispense with the useful services of his wife, nor afford the cost of retaining her in confinement. In the earlier and ruder states of society, when men are in general poor, few can afford the expense of confinement; but among the Hindus, as in general among the nations of Asia, since their emerging from the rudest barbarism, it seems to have been the practice for every man, who possessed sufficient means, to keep his women guarded, in a state of seclusion.⁵

¹ Mr. Forster declares himself to have been at one time of opinion, "that the Hindoos had secluded their women from the public view, that they might not be exposed to the intemperance of the Mohammedan conquerors; but after perceiving," says he, "the usage adopted among the sequestered mountaineers, and also among the various independent Mahrattah states, I am induced to think that the exclusion of women from society prevailed in India before the period of the Afghan, or Tartar invasions." Forster's Travels, i. 310.

² See a translation of part of the Bhagavat by Mr. Halhed, in Maurice's Hist. of Hindostan, ii. 438.

³ See Sacontala in Sir William Jones's Works, vi. The Rajah of Beejanugur's harem was kept so close, that not even the nearest relations of the women received in it were ever again permitted to see them. Friahta's Deccan, by Scott, i. 83. Nor is this mentioned as any tiling unusual.

⁴ Institutes of Menu, eh. viii. 374 to 385.

⁵ It has, no doubt, been always the custom for the women of Hindus of rank and respectability to live in some degree apart, but not in seclusion, nor guarded with the same jealousy as by the Mohammedans. Menu provides for their being properly decorated at "festivals and jubilees;" and many of the poems and plays describe their appearance openly in public at religious and

On the coast of Malabar, where the manners differ considerably from those of the rest of the Hindus, and where the people have not reached a state of society altogether so perfect as that in some other parts of Hindustan, it would appear that the institution of marriage has never been regularly introduced. The peculiar mode in which the intercourse of the sexes is here carried on has not yet been satisfactorily explained to us; and from the differences which appear in the accounts of different authors, it probably exhibits considerable variety; but in its general character it is pretty evidently a relic of the period in which there is no law for the association of the sexes; when their intercourse is casual; when the father of the offspring is by consequence uncertain; and when the children of necessity belong to the mother. The nearest male relations of the female, her father being in this case unknown, are her brothers; who, never having children whom they can recognise as their own, naturally contract an affection for those of their sister, whom they support, and with whom they live; by consequence regard them as in some measure their own; and vest them with the property which they leave at their death. In the family of a Nair there is no wife; all the brothers and sisters live under the same roof; their mother, the only known parent, during her life, and after her death the eldest sister, manage the domestic affairs; the sisters cohabit with the men of their choice, subject only to the sacred restriction of a class not inferior to their own; the children are by the brothers regarded as their own, and inherit the property of the family.¹ This is the exact description of a people among whom the institution of marriage is unknown, and the order into which things will run of their own accord, wherever the intercourse of the sexes is ca-

other festivals and at public games, and the admission of men other than their immediate kinsmen to their presence on various occasions. Mahdbhdrata, RimSyana, Vishnu Pur&n\$, Malati Madhava, Ratnavali, &c. Even still the wives of respectable Hindus leave the inner—there is no such term as secret-apartments at pleasure, and go to bathe in the Ganges and other sacred streams.—W.

¹ Such is the account which Dr. Buchanan received from a number of the most respectable Nairs themselves, whom he assembled for the purpose of inquiring into their manners, See his Journey through Mysore, &c. ii. 411, 412. It was a practice, the continuance of which was highly convenient for the Brahmins, whose power among the inhabitants of that coast was peculiarly great. Ibid. 425. See also Mr. Thackeray's Report, Fifth Report of the Committee on India Affairs, 1810, p. 805,

BOOK I.
CHAP. VII.

¹ The Nairs, however, are said to have added a kind of refinement to this established custom. They contract, a marriage with a particular woman. But this is entirely nominal. The woman never leaves her mother's house; her intercourse with other men is not restricted; her children belong to her brothers; and the arrangement of society is the same as if no such marriage existed. If it really takes place, and the absurdity of the thing may support a suspicion of some mistake in our informants, it must be the effect of imitation, and of the reproaches, which this people have sustained from other nations. These circumstances move them to contrive a semblance of a marriage, though not in the least degree to alter the established system of manners, to which it adheres as a, useless excrescence. The Nairs are only one of the castes; and there appears to be some diversity in the mode of intercourse between the sexes in the several castes. The fashion among the Nairs is the standard to which they all approach. Our information, however, of these diversities, even if they merited a fuller elucidation, is too imperfect for minute description.²

¹ This is not a satisfactory solution of the peculiarity. If the Nairs could be traced to the mountain regions of the Himalaya, where a similar plurality of husbands exists; it might be imagined to have originated in the circumstances by which apparently it is there continued; the difficulty of procuring food for a family in such cold and unproductive countries, and the self-imposed check, in consequence, upon population.—W.

² The reader will find some observations, but evidently incorrect, taken from an Arabian author, by Mr. Duncan, *Asiat. Research*, v. 12, 13, 14. Dr. Buchanan, too, makes some remarks on the modes of the Brahmens. *Journey*, *supra*, ii. 425; and mentions certain diversities between the manners of the Nairs themselves in the south, and in the north of Malabar, *Ibid*, 513. See, too, Birtolomeo's *Travels*, book ii. ch. ii. and Anquetil Duperron, *Zendavesta*, *Discours Preliminaire*, p. exxvi. Vestiges of the same order of affairs are very widely diffused. Cecrops first instituted marriage among the Greeks; Menes, among the Egyptians. Among the Lycians, and even among the ancient inhabitants of Attica, children took their names from their mother, and not from their father. The domestic community of womeji among the Celtic inhabitants of Britain was a diversity, to which something very similar is said to exist among some of the castes on the coast of Malabar. "There is in the province of Madura," says the Abbe* Dubois p. 3, "a caste called the Totiyars, in which, brothers, uncles, and nephews, and other kindred, when married, enjoy the wives in common." Indications of the same state are preserved by the Roman lawyers. In the island of Formosa, where the women contract a marriage for any stipulated period, the husband, during the time of the contract, passes into the family of the wife; a custom, likewise found among the people called Moxos in Pern. In the Ladrone islands, the wife is mistress of the family, turns off the husband when she chooses, and retains the children and property. In the ancient Median empire we are told that the women had several husbands; and the same is the case in some cantons of the Iroquois in North America. See the authorities quoted by Millar, *Distinction of Ranks*, ch. i. sect. 2, where this part of the subject is illustrated with the usual sagacity of that eminent author. See, too, Ooguet's *Origin of Laws*, book i. ch. i. art. i. We are told by

It is not surprising, that grossness, in ideas and language, respecting the intercourse of the sexes, is a uniform concomitant of the degraded state of the women. Superficial contemplators have, in general, contented themselves with remarking, that it was a diversity of manners; or was the effect of a diversity of climate; and that what in one place was gross bore a different interpretation in another. Inquiry discovers, that grossness in this respect is a regular ingredient in the manners of a rude age; and that society, as it refines, deposits this, among its other impurities. The ancient inhabitants of our own country were as indelicate as those of the hottest regions of Asia.¹ All European witnesses have been struck with the indelicacy of the Hindus. The gross emblems and practices of their religion are already known.² To the indecent passages in the books of law, and the practices which they describe, exceedingly numerous, and exceedingly gross, we can here only allude.³ Both the writings and conversation of the Hindus abound with passages which are shocking to European ears. Even in the popular and moral work, entitled

BOOK II.
CHAP. VII.

Herodotus, that the Massagete had their women in common; and a man, when he desired to be private, hung up his quiver at the door of the wagon or travelling tent. Herodot. i. 210. A people in Africa, whom he calls Nasamones were in like manner, without the rite of marriage, and a staff stuck in the ground before the tent was the signal of retirement. Ibid. iv. 172. The reader will probably not be surprised to hear, that the tradition of the casual intercourse of the sexes was preserved among the Indians of Peru. "In short," (says Garcilasso de la Vega, Koyal Commentaries, book i. ch. vii.) "they were altogether savage," (meaning the inhabitants in their ancient state,) "making use of their women as they accidentally met, understanding no property or single enjoyment of them."—A woman, not married to an individual, but common to all the brothers of a family, is described as the custom of Tibet. See Tamer's Embassy.—M.

It has been shown by Col. Vans Kennedy, that the charge of incorrectness Attached to Mr. Duncan's observations on extracts from an Arabian author, in the beginning of this note, has been very inconsiderately preferred. Tran. Lit. Soc. of Bombay, ill. 129.

¹ Dr. Henry, in his chapter on the manners of the Anglo-Saxons, says, "It would be easy to produce many examples of rudeness and indelicacy, that were established by law, and practised, even in courts of justice (if they were not unbecoming the purity which history ought to preserve), which would hardly be believed in the present age." Henry's Hist. of Great Britain, iv. 844. He then quotes the following *speciraeu* in a note : *Si raulier stuprata lege cum viro agere relit, et si vir factum pernegaverit, mulier, raembro virili sinistra prehensio, et dextra reliquiis sanctorum imposita, juret super illas, quod is, per vim, se isto membro vitia verit. Leges Wallicse, p. 82.*

² Naked fakeers travel in pilgrimage about the country, and swarm around the principal temples. It is customary for women to kiss, and as it were to adore, their secret, or rather public parts.

³ See the whole Section in Halhed's *Gentoo Code*, *De digite in pudendum muHefer* raserendo*, or the various passages *de concubitu virili, vel ctiamtjon-cubitu bestiali*.

BOOK II. Hetopadesa, there are parts which M. Wilkins could not
 CHAP. VII. translate; and he thus expresses himself on this characteristic of society among the Hindus; "The translator has carefully refined a great many indelicate expressions, which a Hindu lady, from grosser habits, might hear without a blush; and even omitted whole passages when that could not be effected but by a total change of the author's meaning."¹ Another Oriental scholar, as well as eye-witness of the manners he describes, affords us a passage which at once portrays this part of the Hindu character, and traces one of those remarkable resemblances, which run through the principal nations of Asia. "The Persian women," says Mr. Scott Waring, "like the Indian, are totally devoid of delicacy; their language is often gross and disgusting, nor do they feel more hesitation in expressing themselves before men, than they would before their female associates. Their terms of abuse or reproach are indelicate to the utmost degree. I will not disgust the reader by noticing any of them; but I may safely aver that it is not possible for language to express, or the imagination to conceive, more indecent or grosser images."²

Much attention has been attracted to the gentleness of

¹ Wilkins' *Hetopadesa*, note 82.—M. If the popularity of the *Hetopadesa* is an indication of a low state of moral feeling amongst the Hindus, it proves the same amongst all the nations of Europe, as it has been translated into all languages. We may observe, too, that several of these stories, which are most indelicate, have been the especial favourites of European writers, and have been reproduced in a variety of forms. See *Analysis of the Panchatantara*. Tr. R. As. Society, vol. i. p. 155.—W.

² *A Tour to Sheeraz*, by Edward Scott Waring, Esq. p. 62. He further says: "The same may be observed of the inhabitants of India, nor will the plea, that the false delicacy of refinement, which disqualifies us from judging of the language of nature, exempt them from censure. If the nakedness of a prostitute be more disgusting than that of an Indian, it must be allowed that their language is infinitely chaster and more refined. There are certain images which must always create disgust and aversion; and although they are familiar in the East, it is by no means evident that they are the images of nature. There may be a refinement on grossness of vice as well as an excess of delicacy, and it does not follow that the one is natural and the other unnatural." *Ibid.* See the *Missionaries Ward and Dubois*, *passim*.—M.

It is quite impossible that Mr. Waring could have known any thing of Persian women, except of the lower orders; and probably he knew little more of Indian women of respectability. The Missionaries are so on the watch for vice, that they often discover it where it does not exist; and their instances again are drawn from the practices of the vulgar. Without denying the charge of much that offends our notions of decency, and of much that is really reprehensible, Allowance should be made for a state of society which consists of men *à l'européenne*. The decorum of European manners is mainly indebted to the influence of females; at the same time few Europeans have found, in their intercourse with respectable natives, any violation of delicacy either in language or behaviour.—W.

manners in this people. They possess a feminine softness both in their persons and in their address. As the inhabitants of Europe were rough and impetuous, in their rude and early state, and grew mild only as they grew civilized, the gentleness of Hindu manners has usually impressed their European visitors with a high conception of their progress in civilization. It is, perhaps, a ground of presumption, but fallacious if taken as a proof. One of the circumstances which distinguish the state of commencing civilization's, that it is compatible with great violence, as well as great gentleness of manners. Nothing is more common than examples of both. Mildness of address is not always separated even from the rudest condition of human life, as the Otaheitan, and some other of the South-Sea islanders, abundantly testify.¹ "The savages of North America are affectionate in their carriage, and in their conversations pay a mutual attention and regard," says Charlevoix, "more tender and more engaging than what we profess in the ceremonial of polished societies."²

The causes which seem to account for these effects are partly physical and partly moral. Where the commodities of life, by a happy union of climate and soil, are abundant,

¹ Dr. Forster, in a note to Father Paolino's (Bartolomeo) Travels, remarks a great similarity, in many respects, between the manners of the Hindus and those of the Otaheitan.

² Ferguson's Essay on Civil Society, part ii. sect. 2, "The Russians" (says Mr. Forster, Travels, ii. 296) "observe to their superiors an extreme submission, and their deportment is blended with a suavity of address and language, which is not warranted by their appearance, or the opinions generally formed of them." "The common people in Russia," says Lord Macartney (Account Of Russia by Lord Macartney, in Barrow's Life of that lord, ii. 80), "are handsome in their persons, easy and unaffected in their behaviour; and though free and manly in their carriage, are obedient and submissive to their superiors, and of a civility and politeness to their equals, which is scarcely to be paralleled." The following passage is from a work entitled "Travels into the Crimea, [and] a History of the Embassy from St Petersburg to Constantinople In 1793, by a Secretary of the Russian Embassy." "In the course of my rambles I have had frequent occasions of experiencing the politeness of the Turks, which proves to me that this nation is extremely well-disposed and inclined to oblige, and that the climate alone is the cause of the idleness and indifference with which they are reproached. The Turk, when offended, or provoked to jealousy, becomes terrible, and nothing but the blood of his adversary can calm the passion which transports him. During my excursions in the environs of Constantinople I was frequently a witness of the obliging and hospitable propensities of this people. The first Turk I applied to when I wanted directions in regard to the road I was to take, always offered himself me a guide, and with the same readiness presented to me a part of his food or refreshment." "The more the Turks are known, the more they are beloved for their cordiality, their frankness, and their excessive kindness to strangers. I am not afraid to assert, that, in many respects, they may serve as models to our countrymen"—pp. 201, 237.

BOOK II. gentleness of manners, as appears by the traditions re-
 CHAP. VII. specting the golden or pastoral age, is by no means un-

natural to men in the earliest period of improvement. The savage, involved in a continual struggle with want,, who sees himself and his children every day exposed to perish with hunger, is, by a sort of necessity, rapacious, harsh, unfeeling, and cruel. The species of polity under which the national character is formed is, perhaps, to a still greater degree, the cause of the diversity which **we** now contemplate. Where the mind is free, and may vent its passions with little fear, the nation, while ignorant and rude, is also fierce and impetuous. Where slavery prevails, and any departure from the most perfect obsequiousness is followed with the most direful consequences, un insinuating and fawning behaviour is the interest, and thence becomes the habit of the people.

With the same causes are connected other leading features in the character of the Hindus. They **are** remarkably prone to flattery; the most prevailing mode of address from the weak to the strong, while men are still ignorant and unreflecting.¹ The Hindus are full of dissimulation and falsehood, the universal concomitants of oppression.² The vices of falsehood, indeed, they carry to a height almost unexampled among the other races of men. Judicial mendacity is more than common; it is almost universal "Perjury," said Sir William Jones, to the Grand Jury at Calcutta, "seems to be committed by the meanest, and encouraged by some of the better sort

¹ It would be easy to produce many testimonies to the propensity of the natives to adulation. Bernier, who speaks of it in the strongest terms, gives us the following amusing instance: "Un Pendet Brahmen que j'avois fait mettre au service de mon Agah, se voulut meler, en entrant, de faire sen pane'gyrique ; et, apres l'avoir compare au plus grands conquerans qui furent jamais, et lui avoir dit cent grossieres et impertinentes flatteries, concluait enfin seieusement par celle-cy: ' Lorsque vous mettez le pied dans l'estrier, Seigneur, et que vous marches a cheval avec votre cavalerie, la terre tremble sous vos pas, les huit elephans qui la supportent sur leurs tetes ne poavant soutenir ce grand effort.' Je ne pus me tenir de lire la dessus, et je tachoïs de dire srieusement a mon Agah, qui ne pouvoit aussi s'en tenir, qu'il seroit done forta-propos, qu'il ne montat a cheval que fort rarement pour empeacher les tremblemens de terre qui causent souvent de si grands malheurs; ' Aussi est-ce pour cela meme, me rdpondit-il sans heiter, que Je m'en fais ordinairement porter en palety.'" Bernier, Suite dea Memoares sur l'Empire du Grand Mogol, i. 12.

² For a strong testimony to the extent to which dissimulation pervades the Hindu character, see Orme, on the Government and People of Hindustan, p. 428. "JL'Indien qui vit sous ce gouvernement en suit les impressions. Oblige' de ramper il devient fourbe 2 Anquetfr Duperron. Vov. sux Indes Orien. Zendav. i. ccclxii."

among the Hindus and Mussulmans, with as little remorse as if it were a proof of ingenuity, or even a merit."¹—"I have many reasons to believe, and none to doubt, that affidavits of every imaginable fact may as easily be procured in the streets and markets of Calcutta, especially from the natives, as any other article of traffic."² Speaking of the forms of an oath among the Hindus, he says, "But such is the corrupt state even of their erroneous religion, that if the most binding form on the consciences of men could be known and established, there would be few consciences to be bound by it."³

I have not enumerated the religion of the Hindus as one among the causes of gentleness which has been remarked in their deportment. This religion has produced a practice which has strongly engaged the curiosity of Europeans; a superstitious care of the life of the inferior animals. A Hindu lives in perpetual terror of killing even an insect; and hardly any crime can equal that of being unintentionally the cause of death to any animal of the more sacred species. This feeble circumstance, however, is counteracted by so many gloomy and malignant princi-

¹ Sir Wra. Jones's Charge to the Grand Jury at Calcutta, June 10, 1787.

a Id. June 10, 1785.

³ Id. 1787.—"La facility que le peuple de l'Orient ont a raentir," is given by P. Paolino, as the cause of the trial by ordeal, so common in Hindustan. *Voyage aux Indes Orient, par le P. Paolino* (the French edition of Bartolomeo), ii. 103. Mr. Orme says, "The Gentoos are infamous for the want of generosity and gratitude in all the commerces of friendship; they are a tricking, deceitful people, in all their dealings." On the Government and People of Hindustan, p. 434.

Dr. Buchanan ridicules the expression of Sir William Jones, when he talks of the *simple Pandits*: a race whose chief characteristic is deceit and cunning. *Asiat. lies*, vi. 185.—M.

Most of these are exceptionable witnesses: the missionaries by their calling, and Orme and Buchanan by strong prejudices. With regard to perjury in the courts of justice, it was in some degree our own work. The form of oath imposed—the taking of an oath at all, was so repulsive to the feelings of respectable Hindus, that they have ever avoided as much as possible giving evidence at all; and their place has been supplied by the lowest and most unprincipled, whose testimony has been for sale. "The dread of an oath prevents men of credit from giving testimony at all, even to the loss of a just cause." *Treatise on swearing Hindus by the waters of the Ganges*, by Kasinath Tarkapanchana. See *Oriental Magazine*, March, 1826.—W.

"What is a Brahman?" I was one day asked, in a jocular way, by one of Chat caste, with whom I was intimately acquainted: "He is an ant's nest of lies and impostures. It is not possible to describe them better in so few words. All Hindus are expert in disguising the truth; but there is nothing in which the caste of Brahmens so much surpasses them all as in the art of lying. It has taken so deep a root among them, that so far from blushing when detected in it, many of them make it their boast." Dubois, p. 177. On their propensity to adulation, see the same author, p. 178. On the fraud and perjury of the Hindus, consult Ward, *ut supra*, *Introd.* lix. and xclil.

BOOK II. pies, that their religion, instead of humanizing the character, must have had no inconsiderable effect in fostering that disposition to revenge, that insensibility to the sufferings of others, and often that active cruelty which lurks under the smiling exterior of the Hindu. "Although the killing of an animal of the ox kind," says Buchanan, "is by all Hindus considered as a kind of murder, I know no creature whose sufferings equal those of the labouring cattle of Hindustan."¹ No other race of men are perhaps so little friendly and beneficent to one another as the Hindus. "Dysenteries," says Dr. Tennant, speaking of the salt manufacturers, "are, at one season, peculiarly fatal. The unhappy victims of this disorder are avoided as infectious by their companions, and suffered to pine without receiving either that aid or consolation which compassion usually pays to the wretched."² "The Bengalese," says another traveller, "will seldom assist each other, unless they happen to be friends or relations, and then the service that they render only consists in carrying the sufferer to the water of the Ganges, to let him die there, or be carried away by the stream."³ Le Couteur remarks, that "men accustomed from their infancy to abstain from every kind of cruelty towards brutes, ought naturally to be humane and benevolent towards their own species; and this would infallibly be the case, if the same religion had not hardened the hearts of the superior castes; for they hold those that are born their inferiors as beings below

¹ Buchanan's Journey through Mysore, etc. i. 167.

² Indian Recreations, ii. 329.

³ Stavorinus' Voyage, 1768 to 1771: Wilcock's Translation, London, 1798, p. 153. Dr. Tennant explains more fully, that only species of assistance which according to Stavorinus, a Hindu receives even from his relations. "When a sick person's life is despaired of, he is carried by his relations to the bank of the river; and there, exposed to the storm, or the heat of the sun, he is permitted, or rather forced, to resign his breath. His mouth, nose, and ears, are closely stopped with the mud of the river; large vessels of water are kept pouring upon him; and it is amidst the agonies of disease, and the convulsive struggles of suffocation, that the miserable Hindu bids adieu to his relations, and to his present existence." Indian Recreations, i. 108. Describing the apathy with which, during a famine, the Hindus beheld one another perishing of hunger, Stavorinus says, "In the town of Chinsurah itself, a poor sick Bengalese, who had laid himself down in the street, without any assistance being offered to him by anybody, was attacked in the night by the jackals, and though he had strength enough to cry out for help, no one would leave his own abode to deliver the poor wretch, who was found in the morning half-devoured and dead." Stavorinus, "at supra, p. 153. It is highly worthy of attention, that the same inhumanity, hard-heartedness, and the greatest insensibility to the feelings of others, is described as the character of the Chinese. See Barrow's China, p. 164.

even the most worthless animals: they take away the life of a man with less scruple than we kill a fowl. To strike a cow would be sacrilege; but a Brahmen may put a man to death when he lists."¹

BOOK II.
CHAP. VII.

It commonly happens that in a rude period of society, the virtue of hospitality, generously and cordially displayed, helps to cast into the shade the odious passions which adhere to man in his uncultivated state. The unhappy circumstances, religious and political, of the Hindu, have tended to eradicate even this, the virtue of a rude age, from his breast. After noticing, in various parts of his journey, the striking instances which he witnessed, of the want of hospitality, Dr. Buchanan says in one passage, "I mention these difficulties, which are very frequently met with by travellers in all parts of India where Europeans have not long resided, to show the inhospitable nature of its inhabitants." For one of his sepoys, who was seized with an acute disease, and left in agony by the side of the road, he could not, except by force, in a large village, obtain a cot, though he was assured there was one in every house.²

¹ Le Coutur's Letters from India. London, 1790, p. 320. When the exacting of government press hard, Dr. Tennant says: "the ryots (husbandmen), driven to despair, are forced to take up robbery for a subsistence; and when once accustomed to this wandering and irregular life, it becomes ever after impossible to reclaim them to industry, or to any sense of moral duty. We had yesterday a melancholy example of the daring profligacy of which they are capable: An officer who rode out only a mile beyond the piquets, was attacked by a party of five horsemen; in the midst of a friendly conversation, one stabbed him in the breast with a spear, which brought him to the ground; then the others robbed him of his watch, his horse, and every article of his clothing. In this naked state he arrived at the piquet, covered with blood; and had he not been able to walk thus far, he must have fared worse than the man who, between Jerusalem and Jericho fell among thieves," since here there is no one - 'good Samaritan' to pity the unfortunate." (Indian Recreations, ii. 375.)—M.

The gross exaggerations of his authorities should have made Mr. Mill more careful in his citations. It is not true, nor could it ever have been true, that a "Brahman may put a man to death, when he lists." What Dr. Tennant's evidence is to prove, except that there are robbers and murderers in India, as well as elsewhere, is not very clear.—W.

² Buchanan, ut supra, i. 53; ii. 201, 202; iii. 300. Destitute persons, or persons in a famine, become the property of those who feed them. (Tennant's IndBecr. i. 131.)—M.

As Dr. Buchanan could not converse with the natives, he might have mistaken the purport of the assurances, and the case of his sepoy might hardly find a parallel in every village in Europe. Where would the people have endured the "forcible" abduction of their own beds for the accommodation of even a dying soldier? Dr. Tennant's exposition of the law of the fact, the judgment of the Edinburgh Reviewer, who states, that the facts of his book are all taken from others, and that when he endeavours to give any information from himself, he is sure to be inaccurate and contradictory. Ed. Ber. iv. p. 314.—W.

HISTORY OF BRITISH INDIA

Book II
CHAP. VII The ancient literature of the Hindus affords many proofs that no inconsiderable degree of ferocity has at all times been mingled with the other ingredients of their character. The Yadavas, a sacred race, the kindred of Crisiva, in a drunken fray, took arms and butchered one another, to the utter extinction of the race.¹ One of the most remarkable stories in the celebrated book, called *Hetopadesa*, is that of a man who cut off his wife's nose, because she would not speak to him.² As the performance of that great religious ceremony, called a Jug, is sufficient to extort from the divinity whatever boon the true performer demands, the following law makes provision against the most cool, intense, and persevering malignity of which human nature appears to be susceptible. "If a man performs a jug to procure the death of any innocent person, the magistrate shall fine him 200 puns of cowries."³ If the gentleness, too, of the punishment, about ten shillings,⁴ be a sign, the indignation, which so atrocious a purpose excites, is far from remarkable. That murder by the most odious means, by poison, is looked upon in the same venial light, the following law bears equal testimony: "If a man, to procure the death of any innocent person, by any contrivance, causes him to drink a potion, or otherwise meditates his death, the magistrate shall fine him 200 puns of cowries."⁵ The cool reflection which attends the villany of the Hindu, has often surprised the European. Mr. Holwell informs

¹ See a celebrated passage of the *MaJmbharat*, translated by Mr. Halted, in Maurice's *Indian Hist.* ii. 468.

² Wilkins' *Hetopadesa*, p. 131.—If. Mr. Mill does not state the circumstance quite correctly. To infer the general prevalence of ferocity from the narrative of a single instance is scarcely justifiable; but what is mere to tile purpose, is, that the same furnishes a proof of the ferocious nature of every people in Europe, for this particular story has been more popular than any other in the collection, if we may judge from its frequent repetition. Analysis of *Panchatantra*. Trans. Royal Asiatic Society, vol. i. p. 161L—W.

³ *Gentoo Code*, ch. xxi. sect. 10.

⁴ Grant on the Hindus, p. 54. Printed by order of the House of Commons. 1812.

⁵ *Geirtoe Code*, ch. xxi. sect. 101. A very intelligent servant of the East India Company, speaking of the Hindus in a situation where they had hardly ever been exposed to the influence of strangers, Sumbhulpoor, says, "The men are low in stature, but well-made, lacy, treacherous, and cruel. But to these ill qualities of the tiger, the Almighty has, in his mercy, added the cowardice of that animal; for had they an insensibility of danger, equal to their inclination for mischief, the rest of mankind would unite to hunt them down." (*Motto's Journey to Orisea*, *Asiat. An. Beg.* i. 76) "Pestilences or beasts of prey," says Dr. Buchanan, "are gentle in comparison with Hindu robbers, who, in order to discover concealed property, put to the torture all these who fall into their bands." (*Travel through Mysore*, fee.

MAKERS OF THE HINDUS.

us, **that, when** he sat as a judge at Calcutta, he had often heard the most atrocious murders avowed and defended by the criminals, on the ground of its being now the Calic age, when men are destined to be wicked.¹

BOOK II
CHAP. VII.

Notwithstanding the degree to which the furious passions enter into the character of the Hindu, all witnesses agree in representing him as a timid being. With more apparent capacity of supporting pain than any other race of men; and, on many occasions, a superiority to the fear of death, which cannot be surpassed, this people run from danger with more trepidation and eagerness than has been almost ever witnessed in any other part of the globe,²

It is the mixture of this fearfulness with their antisocial passions, which has given existence to that litigiousness of character which almost all witnesses have ascribed to this ancient race.³ As often as courage fails them in seeking a more daring gratification to their hatred or revenge, their malignity finds a vent in the channel of litigation. "That pusillanimity and sensibility of spirit," says Mr. Orme, "which renders the Gentoos incapable of supporting the contentions of danger, disposes them as much to prosecute litigious contests."⁴ No people are of more inveterate and

¹ Remarquez que les terribles crimes les plus superstitieux ont toujours été ceux des plus horribles crimes. (Voltaire, Diction. Philos. Article Superstition.)

a La lâcheté accompagne ordinairement la mollesse. Aussi l'Indien est-il foible et timide. (Anquetil Duperron Voyage aux Indes Orientales. Zendav. p. cxvii.) This timidity admits of degrees. It is in its greatest perfection in Bengal. In the upper provinces, both the corporeal and the mental frame are more hardy. Those of the race who are habituated to the dangers of war, acquire, of course, more or less of insensibility to them. Still the feature is not only real, but prominent.

³ Surely having recourse to law for the protection of their rights or persons, instead of taking the law into their own hands, is no proof of want of civilization. What would Mr. Mill have said if the case had been reversed, and if the Hindus had been possessed of courage enough to seek a more daring gratification of their hatred or revenge? We should have had the old and new world ransacked, for instances to exemplify the savage manners of the Hindus.—W.

The fact has by no means been established, and is denied by much higher authority than Mr. Orme, who knew nothing of the people of India. Sir Thomas Munro says, 'I have had ample opportunity of observing them in every situation, and I can affirm, that they are not litigious.' The opinion has been hastily formed from a few instances in the Supreme courts, and from the great number of suits in the Provincial courts: the former do not warrant a general conclusion, and the latter, to be duly estimated, require the numbers of the population, and the fewness of the judges to be taken into account. The circumstances of the country are also to be considered; and the result will be, that which has been advocated in a sensible tract upon the subject, that the multitude of suits is referrible to the structure of society and state of property in India, and to the imperfection of our own systems of finance and Judicature,

BOOK II steady resentments in civil disputes. The only instance
CHAP. VII in which they seem to have a contempt for money, is their profusion of it in procuring the redress and revenge of injuries at the bar of justice. Although they can, with great resignation, see themselves plundered to the utmost by their superiors, they become mad with impatience, when they think they are defrauded of part of their property by their equals. Nothing can be more adapted to the feminine spirit of a Gentoo, than the animosities of a law-suit."¹

A modification of the same passions gives rise to another, and seemingly a strong ingredient in the Hindu character, a propensity to the war of contentious tongues. The following picture, if not finely, is at least clearly drawn. "The timidity of the Hindu may, in general, prevent his fighting, boxing, or shedding of blood; but it by no means restrains him from scolding and upbraiding his neighbours. In this respect they are the most litigious and quarrelsome of all men. Have two persons a misunderstanding? Let them meet in the street, and they will upbraid each other for an hour together, with every foul epithet of abuse which their imagination can suggest, or their language supply. A few natives engaged in one of these bickerings display a furious gesticulation: a volubility of words, and coarseness of expression, which leave the eloquence of Billingsgate far behind."²

and not to any inherent difference in the moral character or natural disposition of the people." Inquiry into the alleged proneness to litigation of the natives of India. London, 1830.—W.

¹ Orme, on the Government and People of Indostan, p. 443.—In the committee of the House of Commons, 1781, on the petition of John Touchet, &c., Charles W. Boughton Rouse, Esq. testified that "there cannot be a race of men upon the earth more litigious and clamorous than the inhabitants of Dacca." Mr. Park takes notice of the passion of the negroes in Africa for law-suits, and adds: * If I may judge from their harangues which I frequently attended, I believe that in the forensic qualifications of procrastination and cavil, and the arts of confounding and perplexing a cause, they are not always surpassed by the ablest pleaders in Europe." Park's Travels in Africa, p. 20. Dr. Robertson was sadly mistaken, when he considered the litigious subtlety of the Hindus as a sign of high civilization. See Robertson's Historic. Disq. concerning India, p. 217. Travellers have remarked that no where is this subtlety carried higher than among the wildest of the Irish.

² Tennant's Indian Recreations, i. 123. The following character drawn by a missionary, a man who knew them well, unites most of the particulars which I have hitherto described of the character of this remarkable people. "Lea. Indous sont agiles, adroits, d'un caractere doux, d'un esprit pénétrant; ils aiment les phrases et les locutions pittoresques; ils parlent avec elegance, font de longs discours, se decident, dans leurs affaires, avec une lenteur extreme, examinent attentivement, et concourent avec facility; Us sont modestes,

The physical temperament of the Hindus, though an effect of some of the circumstances which have operated to the formation of their minds, has reflected a strong influence on their character. Their make is slender and delicate. Their shapes are in general line. The female form, in particular, frequently attains in India its most exquisite proportions; and "their skins," says Mr. Orme, speaking of the Hindu women, "are of a polish and softness beyond that of all their rivals on the globe." The muscular strength, however, of the Hindus is small; even less, according to the same accurate observer, than the appearance of their bodies, though expressive of weakness, would lead the spectator to infer. Their stature is in general considerably below the European standard; though such inferiority is more remarkable in the south, and diminishes as you advance toward the north.¹

The extreme simplicity and lightness of the aliments used by the Hindu, and the smallness of his consumption, must, undoubtedly, have been among the causes of the lightness and feebleness observable in his frame. His food consists almost wholly of rice; and his drink is nothing but water: while his demands are satisfied with a pittance which appears extreme to the people of almost every other part of the world. The prohibition, by the

dans leurs discours, inconstans dans leurs paroles, faciles a promettre et difficiles a tenir leurs promesses, importuns dans leurs demandes, et ingrats apres qu'ils les ont obtenu; humble et soumis quand ils craignent, orgueilleux et hautains quand ils sont les plus forts; paisibles et dissimules quand ils ne peuvent se venger, implacables et vindicatifs des que l'occasion s'en presente. J'ai vu beaucoup de families se ruiner par des proces devant les tribunaux, settlement par esprit de vengeance." (Voyage aux Indes Orientales, par le P. Paolino, i. 293.) "Their utmost feuds," says Fryer, "are determined by the dint of the tongue: to scold lustily, and to pull one another's puckerles or turbans off, being proverbially termed a banyan fight. Nevertheless they are implacable till a secret and sure revenge fall upon their adversary, either by maliciously plotting against their life, by clancular dealing; or estate, by unlawful and unjust extortions." (Fryer's Travels, let. iii. ch. iii.)

¹ Orme, on the Effeminacy of the Inhabitants of Indostan, p. 461 to 465. Stavorinus' Voyages, p. 407. There is, however, considerable variety, as in the stature, so in the strength of the Hindus; and the one, as might be expected, follows the other. The following is a striking and important fact: "In Indostan, the common people of all sorts are a diminutive race, in comparison with those of higher castes and better fortunes; and yield still more to them in all the advantages of physiognomy. There is not a handsomer race in the universe than the Banians of Guzerat: the Haramcores, whose business is to remove all kinds of filth, and the buriera and burners of dead bodies, are as remarkably ugly." Orme, ut supra, p. 463. There cannot be more convincing proof, that a state of extreme oppression, even of stunted subsistence, has at all times been the wretched lot of the labouring classes in Hindustan.

BOOK II. Hindu religion, of the flesh of animals for food, has been
 CHAP. VII. sufficiently remarked.¹ It is not such as to have produced by any means a total abstinence, but the quantity consumed is, no doubt, small. The great luxury of the Hindu is butter, prepared in a manner peculiar to himself, and called by him, ghea²

But though the body of the Hindu is feeble, it is agila in an extraordinary degree. Not only in those surprising contortions and feats, which constitute the art of the tumbler, do they excel almost all the nations' in the world; but even in running and marching they equal, if not surpass, people of the most robust constitutions. "Their messengers will go fifty miles a day, for twenty or thirty days without intermission." Their infantry, if totally unincumbered with burdens, which they could by no means support, will march faster, and with less weariness, than European.³

The delicacy of their texture is accompanied with great acuteness and sensibility in all their organs of sense. This not only gives them great advantages in some of the finest of the manual arts, as weaving, for example; the pliant fingers and exquisite touch of the Hindu being so ppcularly adapted to the handling of the finest threads; but it communicates a remarkable susceptibility to the mental organs. The Hindu is a sort of a sensitive plant. His imagination and passions are easily inflamed; and he has a sharpness and quickness of intellect which seems strongly connected with the sensibility of his outward frame.

Another remarkable circumstance in the character of the Hindus; in part, too, no doubt, the effect of corporeal

¹ There was no such prohibition; and abstinence from flesh upon principle is restricted to some tribes of Brahmans. Nor is it true, that the food of the Hindu consists almost wholly of rice. In Hindustan, his food is wheatof and rice is almost unknown. In many places wheat, or other-grains, take the place of rice.—W.

² Orroe, on the Government and People of Indostan, p. 470. Forster's Travels, i. 40. The demand of the American tribes for food was very like that of the Hindus, in point of quantity. Robertson's Hist. of America, ii. 61. The contrivances of the American Indians for food were *far* more ingenious, and productive of more variety, than those of the Hindus. Ibid. p. lii. It would appear from SacontaU, that anciently much scrapie was not used in eating flesh. Madhavya, complaining of the hardships he sustained in the hunting party of the kng,says, "Are we hungry? we must greedily devour lean venison, and that commonly roasted to a stiek,"

³ Orme, on the Effeminacy of the Inhab. of Indostan, ubi supra.

weakness, though an effect in some sort opposite to that excitability which we have immediately remarked, is the inertness of disposition, with which all men have been so forcibly struck in observing the conduct of this peculiar face. The love of repose reigns in India with more powerful sway, than in any other region probably of the globe. "It is more happy to be seated than to walk; it is more happy to sleep than to be awake; but the happiest of all is death."¹ Such is one of the favourite sayings, most frequently in the mouths of this listless tribe, and most descriptive of their habitual propensities. Phlegmatic indolence pervades the nation. Few pains, to the mind of the Hindu, are equal to that of bodily exertion; the pleasure must be intense which he prefers to that of its total cessation.²

This listless apathy and corporeal weakness of the natives of Hindustan, have been ascribed to the climate under which they live. But other nations, subject to the influence of as warm a sun, are neither indolent nor weak; the Malays, for example, the Arabians, the Chinese.³ The savage is listless and indolent under every clime. In general, this disposition must arise from the absence of the motives to work; because the pain of moderate labour is so very gentle, that even feeble pleasures suffice to overcome it; and the pleasures which spring from the fruits of labour are so many and great, that the prospect of them, where allowed to operate, can seldom fail to produce the exertions which they require. There is a state of barbarity and rudeness which implies, perhaps, a weak-

¹ It is not true that this is a favourite saying. I never heard it uttered during a long residence in Bengal, and doubt its genuineness.—W.

² Tennant's *Indian Recreations*, i. 15, 55, 102, 215. Forster's *Travels*, 1.192. "L'indien est naturellement doux, mais d'une douceur de nonchalance et de paresse." Anq. Daperron, *Zendavesta*, Disc. Prelim, p. cxvii.

³ The Birmans, robust and active, present a striking contrast with the feeble indolence of the Hindoos. Vide *Syrraes' Embassy to Ava*. "Having witnessed," says Mr. Forster, "the robust activity of the people of this country (Northern Siam) and Afghanistan, I am induced to think, that the human body may sustain the most laborious services, without the aid of animal food. The Afghan, whose sole aliment is bread, curdled milk, and water, inhabiting a climate which often produces in one day extreme heat and cold, shall undergo as much fatigue, and exert as much strength, as the porter of London, who is continually fed on flesh-meat and ale; nor is he subject to the like acute and obstinate disorders. It is a well-known fact, that the Arabs of the shore of the Red Sea, who live, with little exception, on dates and lemons, carry hardens of such an extraordinary weight, that its specific mention to an European ear would seem romance." Forster's *Travels*, ii. 142, 141.

BOOK II. ness of mind too great to be capable of perceiving, with a
 CHAP. VII. clearness sufficient to operate upon the will, the benefits of labour. This, however, is a state beyond which the Hindus have long since passed; and there is but one cause, to which, among the Hindus, the absence of the motives for labour can be ascribed; their subjection to a wretched government, under which the fruits of labour were neter secure.¹

The languid and slothful habits of the Hindu appear to have prescribed even his amusements and diversions. They are almost all of the sedentary and inactive kind. The game of pucheess, which bears a resemblance to chess and draughts, and is played by two natives, reclining on their sides, with a small chequered carpet placed between them, is the favourite amusement of this indolent race. Wonderful is the patience and interest with which, we are told, they watch and plan the evolutions of this languid game.² The mind in vacuity droops and pines; even where the body is most gratified by repose; and in the rude state of society, when interesting objects seldom occur, the passion for play is a general resource. The Hindus, accordingly, appear to have been at all times deeply infected with the vices of gaming. In that celebrated poem, the Mahabharat, Judishter, though celebrated as a model of kingly wisdom, and his four brothers, all eminent men, are represented as losing their fortunes, and

¹ There is a curious passage, quoted by Volney, (*Travels in Syria*, ch. xi.) from Hippocrates, in his *Treatise de Aere, Locis, et Aquis*. "As to the effeminacy and indolence of the Asiatics," says the ancient, "if they are less warlike and more gentle in their manners than the Europeans, no doubt the nature of their climate, more temperate than ours, contributes greatly to this difference, but we must not forget their governments, which are all despotic, and subject every thing to the arbitrary will of their kings. Men who are not permitted the enjoyment of their natural rights, but whose passions are perpetually under the guidance of their masters, will never be found courageous in battle. To them the risks and advantages of war are by no means equal. But let them combat in their own cause, and reap the reward of their victory, or feel the shame of their defeat, they will no longer be deficient in courage." Volney remarks that the sluggishness and apathy visible among the Hindus, negroes, &c., is approached, if not equalled, by what is witnessed in Russia, Poland, Hungary, &c. *Ibid.* "The lower classes of people in India," says Br. Buchanan, "are like children; and except in the more considerable places, where they meet with uncommon encouragement to industry from Europeans, are generally in such a state of apathy, that without the orders of Government, they can hardly do any thing." Buchanan's *Journey through Mysore*, &c. i. 270. "If we contemplate a savage nation in any part of the globe, a supine indolence, and a carelessness of futurity, will be found to constitute their general charactet." Gibbon, 1856.

² Tennanfs *Indian Recreations*, 1.307.

their very kingdoms, at dice. The laws, as usual, are ambiguous and contradictory. All gaming is pronounced unlawful; yet, according to the Gentoo Code, parties may game before an agent of the magistrate, to whom in that case a half of the winnings belongs.¹

BOOK II
CHAP. VII.

A fondness for those surprising feats of bodily agility and dexterity which form the arts of the tumbler and the juggler, is a feature in the character of the Hindu. It is a passive enjoyment which corresponds with the passiveness of his temper: and it seems in general to be adapted to the taste of all men in a similar state of society. Our Saxon ancestors were much addicted to this species of amusement; and their tumblers and jugglers had arrived at great proficiency.² The passion of the Chinese for those diversions is known to be excessive, and the powers of their performers almost incredible.³ This was one of the favourite entertainments of the ancient Mexicans; and their surprising dexterity and skill seem hardly to have yielded to that of the Hindus and Chinese. Clavigero concludes a minute and interesting account of the astonishing feats of the Mexican performers, by remarking, that "the first Spaniards, who were witnesses of these and other exhibitions of the Mexicans, were so much astonished at their agility, that they suspected some supernatural power assisted them, forgetting to make a due allowance for the progress of the human genius when assisted by application and labour."⁴

A taste for buffoonery is very generally a part of the character of a rude people; as appears by the buffoons, who, under the name of fools, were entertained by our Gothic ancestors in the courts of princes and the palaces

¹ Gentoo Code, chap. i. sect. i. "So relaxed are the principles of the richer natives, that actions have been brought by an opulent Hindu for money advanced solely to support a common gaming-house, in the profits of which he had a considerable share; and the transaction was avowed by him with as much confidence, as if it had been perfectly justifiable by our laws and his own." Charge to the Grand Jury of Calcutta, Dec. 4, 1788. Gaming is remarked as a strong characteristic of the Chinese. See Barrow's *Life of Lord Macartney*, ii. 415. *Travels in China*, p. 157. It is a remarkable passion among the Malays. See Marsden's *Sumatra*.

² Turner's *Hist. of the Anglo-Saxons*, book viii. ch. vii.--M. Jugglers and tumblers find encouragement in civilised, as well as uncivilised nations; and our Saxon ancestors would find, on many occasions, their descendants enjoying the exhibition with quite as much interest as themselves.--W.

³ See Barrow, and other travellers. *Bell's Travels*, ii. 30.

⁴ Clavigero, *Hist. of Mexico*, book vii. sect. 46.

BOOK II of the great.¹ Among the Hindus, this source of amusement was an object of so much importance, as to become the subject of legislative enactment. "The magistrate*" says the Gentoo Code, "shall retain in his service a great number of buffoons, or parasites, jesters, and dancers, and athletics."*

Story-telling, which entirely harmonizes with the Hindu tone of mind, is said to be a favourite diversion.² The recitations of the bards with which the people of Europe were formerly so much delighted, afforded an entertainment of the same description. The stories of the Hindus consist of the wildest fictions; and as almost all their written narratives are in verse, their spoken stories, it is probable, like the effusions of the bards, contained occasionally more or less of the measure and elevation of verse.⁴ Music and dancing form a part of their entertainments; the latter, however, they enjoy as spectators chiefly, not performers.

Notwithstanding the indolence and inactivity of the Hindus, hunting, which is in general so favourite a sport of man in his uncivilized state, is capable of calling forth their most strenuous exertions. The different classes seem not only to forget their habitual languor and timidity, but their still more inveterate prejudices of caste, and join together in pursuing the tenants of the woods and mountains with an ardour, enterprise, and patience, which no other people can surpass.⁵

It is curious that avarice, which seems but little consistent with sloth, or that insecurity with regard to pro-

¹ Buffoons, under the name of fools, were retained in European courts, long subsequent to the days of Gothic princes; and in days when Mr. Hill would probably admit that civilization had made some advance.—W.

² Gentoo Code, p. 118.

³ Stenrants Indian Recreations, i. 867.—M. Story-telling is not a Hindu diversion. If in use amongst them, it has been borrowed from the Mohammedans, amongst whom it takes the place of dramatic performances. What is presently said of the 'wild fictions' which these stories relate, and the probability of their being in verse, is wholly gratuitous. In ancient times, it seems likely that their heroic poems were recited, as was practised in Greece, even in polished times.—W.

⁴ Story-telling is a common amusement among the negroes of Africa. "These stories," says Mr. Park, "bear some resemblance to those in the Arabian Nights' Entertainments; but, in general, are of a more ludicrous cast." Park's Travels in Africa, p. 31.

⁵ Tennants Indian Recreations, i. 86T, and other travellers. Hunting, which delights other men chiefly in their ignorant and uncivilized state seems to delight kings in all states.

perty which so bad a government as theirs implies, forms a more remarkable ingredient in the national character of the Hindus, than in that of any other people. It is a passion congenial to a weak and timid mind, unwarmed by the social affections. They are almost universally penurious;¹ and where placed in situations in which their insatiable desire of gain can meet with its gratification, it is not easy to surpass their keenness and assiduity in the arts of accumulation.² "Slavery," says Mr. Orme, "has sharpened • the natural fineness of all the spirits of Asia. From the difficulty of obtaining, and the greater difficulty of preserving, the Gentoos are indefatigable in business, and masters of the most exquisite dissimulation in all affairs of interest. They are the acutest buyers and sellers in the world, and preserve through all their bargains a degree of calmness, which baffles all the arts that can be opposed against it."³ The avaricious disposition of the Hindus is deeply stamped in their maxims of prudence and morality. Thus, they say: "From poverty a man cometh to shame. Alas! the want of riches is the foundation of every misfortune.—It is better to dwell in a forest haunted by tigers and lions, than to live amongst relations after the loss of wealth."⁴

BOOK II.

CHAP. VII.

i Dr. Buchanan, who bears strong testimony to the prevalence of this disposition among the Hindus, says, the Nairs are a sort of an exception. He ascribes this peculiarity to the peculiar form given among them to the association of the sexes. *Journey through Mysore, &c.* ii. 411.

² The following acute observation of Helvetius goes far to account for it: "Ce que j'observe, c'est qu'il est des pays où le désir d'immenses richesses devient raisonnable. Ce sont ceux où les taxes sont arbitraires, et par conséquent les possessions incertaines, où les renversements de fortune sont fréquents; où, comme en Orient, le prince peut impunément s'emparer des propriétés de ses sujets.—Dans ce pays, si l'on désire les trésors de l'amboulesant, c'est que toujours expose à les perdre, on espère au moins tirer des débris d'une grande fortune de quoi subsister soi et sa famille. Partout où la loi sans forces peut protéger le faible contre le puissant, on peut regarder l'opulence* comme un moyen de se soustraire aux injustices, aux vexations du fort, ou même en fin, compagnon de la faiblesse. On désire donc une grande fortune comme une protectrice et un bouclier contre les oppresseurs." De l'Homme, sect. viii. chap. v.

³ Orme, on the Government and People of Indostan, p. 431.—"L'Indien qui vit sous ce gouvernement en suit les impressions. Oblige de ramper, il devient fourbe. * * * H se permet l'usure et la fraude dans le commerce." Anquet. Duperron, *Zendavesta*, Disc. Prelim, p. cxvii.—"The chief pleasure of the Gentiles or Banyans, is to cheat one another, conceiving therein the highest felicity." *Fryer's Travels*, let. iii. chap. iii.

⁴ Wilkins, *Hetopadesa*, p. 63. The last of these maxims is not less expressive of that want of generosity, which is so strong a feature of the Hindu character. In the ethics, however, of the Hindus, as well as their jurisprudence, sad theology, contradiction is endless, fit the same page with the foregoing,

BOOK II The mode of transacting bargains among the Hindus is
 CHAP. VII. sufficiently peculiar to deserve description. By a retee-
 ment of the cunning and deceitful temper of a rude people,
 the business is performed secretly, by tangible signs. The
 buyer and seller seat themselves opposite to one another¹
 and covering their hands with a cloth, perform all the
 most subtle artifices of chaffering, without uttering a
 word, by means of certain touches and signals of the
 fingers, which they mutually understand.¹

The simplicity of the houses, dress, and furniture, of the
 Hindus corresponds with that of their diet. "The Indian
 houses," says Sonnerat, "display nothing of oriental mag-
 nificence."² Those of the poor, even in towns, are built of
 mud, sometimes of brick, and thatched. "Brahmens and
 religious people plaster the pavement, and sometimes the
 walls, with cow-dung; and although this act proceeds
 from a spirit of religion, yet it is of use in keeping out
 insects."³ The furniture, which is almost nothing in the
 houses of the poor, is in the highest degree scanty and
 simple even in those of the rich. Mats or carpets for the
 floor, on which they are accustomed both to sit and to lie,
 with a few earthen and other vessels for the preparation
 of their victuals and for their religious ceremonies, form
 the inventory in general of their household goods.⁴

From the frequency and care with which the Hindus

is the following maxira : "He who, in opposition to his own happiness, de-
 lighteth in the accumulation of riches, carneth burdens for others, and is the
 vehicle of trouble." *Ibid.*

¹ Tennant's *Indian Recreations*, ii. 232. Lord's *Banyan Religion*, chap. xxii.
 The same, or a similar mode of transacting bargains, is followed in Persia*
 Chardin, *Voyage en Perse*, iii. 122. "The merchants, besides being frequently
 very dexterous in the addition and subtraction of large suras by memory, have
 a singular method of enumeration, by putting their hands into each other's
 sleeve, and there, touching one another with this or that Anger, or with such
 a particular joint of it; will transact affairs of the greatest value, without
 speaking to one another, or letting the standers by into the secret." Shaw's
Travels in Barbary, p. 267.

² Sonnerat, *Voyages*, 11 v. iii. chap. 1.

³ *Ibid.*, j Fryer's *Travels*, let. iv. chap. 6.

⁴ P. Paolino, *Voy. Indes Orient*, liv. i. ch. 7. Fryer, who represents the
 nouses of the Moors, or Hussulmen, at Surat, as not deficient even in a sort of
 magnificence, says, humorously, that "the Banyans" (Hindu merchants, often,
 extremely rich) "for the most part live in humble cells or sheds, crowding
 three or four families together into a hovel, with goats, cows, and calves, all
 chamber-fellows, that they are almost poisoned with vermin and Hastiness; so
 stupid, that, notwithstanding chints, fleas, and musketoes, torment them
 every minute, they dare not presume to scratch when it itches, lest some rela-
 tion should be untensnted from Us miserable abode." Fryer's *Travels*, let in
 chap. i.

perform, religious ablutions, the Europeans, prone from partial appearances to draw flattering conclusions, painted them, at first as in the colours of so many other virtues, so likewise in those of cleanliness. Few nations are surpassed by the Hindus, in the total want of physical purity, in their streets, houses, and persons. Mr. Forster, whose long residence in India, and knowledge of the country, render him an excellent witness, says of the narrow streets of Benares j "In addition to the pernicious effect which must proceed from a confined atmosphere, there is, in the hot season, an intolerable stench arising from the many pieces of stagnated water dispersed in different quarters of the town. The filth also which is indiscriminately thrown into the streets, and there left exposed, (for the Hindus possess but a small portion of general cleanliness) adds to the compound of ill smells so offensive to the European inhabitants of this city."¹ Dr. Buchanan informs us, that "the earthen pots in which the Hindus boil their milk, are in general so nasty, that after this operation no part of the produce of the dairy is tolerable to Europeans, and whatever they use, their own servants must prepare."² "The Hindoo," says Mr. Scott Waring, "who bathes constantly in the Ganges, and whose heart equals in purity the whiteness of his vest, will allow this same white robe to drop nearly off with filth before he thinks of changing it. Histories, composed in the closet, of the manners of extensive nations may possess every beauty; for as *facts* do not restrain the imagination, nor impose rules on poetic license, the fancy of the historian enjoys an uninterrupted range in the regions of fiction."*

¹ Forster's Travels, i. 82. Of Lucknow, too, he remarks, the streets are marrow, uneven, tad almost choked up with every species of tilth. Ibid. p. 82. Speaking of Serinagur, he says, "The streets are choked with the filth of the inhabitants, who are proverbially unclean." Ibid. See to the same purpose, Bejmel's Description of an Indian Town/Memoir, p. 58.

² Buchanan's Journey through Mysore, &c. ii. 14. He remarks, too, til. 841, that the unwholesomeness of the water in many places is '* in part, to be attributed to the common nastiness of the Hindus, who wash their clothes, bodies, and cattle, in the very tanks or wells from which they take their own drink; and, wherever the water is scanty, it becomes from this cause extremely disgusting to a European."

³ Tour to Sheers*, by Ed. Scott Waring, p. 58, note.—"Theirstastiness,* says Br. Buchanan, "is disgusting; very few of the inhabitants above the Ghats being free from the itch; and their linen, being almost always dyed, is seldomr washed." Travels through Mysore, &c. i. 135.—See, too, Capt. Hardwicke, Aaiat Bes. vi. 336 The authors of the Universal History describe with pure and picturesque simplicity one pretty remarkable custom of flu

BOOK II. To a superficial view, it appears surprising that overstrained sentiments in regard to the ceremonial of behaviour are a mark of the uncivilized state of the human mind. The period when men have but just emerged from barbarism, and have made the first feeble steps in improvement, is the period at which formalities in the intercourse of social life are the most remarkably multiplied, at which the importance attached to them is the greatest, and at which the nice observance of them is the most rigidly exacted. In modern Europe, as manners have refined, and knowledge improved, we have thrown off the punctilious ceremonies which constituted the fine breeding of our ancestors; and adopted more and more of simplicity in the forms of intercourse. Among the inhabitants of Hindustan, the formalities of behaviour are multiplied to excess; and the most important bonds of society are hardly objects of greater reverence.¹ Some of their rules breathe that spirit of benevolence, and of respect for the weak, which begins to show itself partially at an early period of society, and still wants much of its proper strength at a late one. The distinctions of giving way on the road are thus marked in the Gentoo code; a man with sight to a man blind; a man with hearing to a man deaf; a man to a woman; a man empty-handed to a man with a burden; an inferior person to a superior; a man in health

Hindus. "The women scruple no more than the men to do their occasions in the public streets or highways: for which purpose at sun-rise and sun-set, they go out in droves to some dead wall, if in the city; and in case any pass by in the interim, they turn their bare backsides on them, but hide their faces. When they have done their business, they wash their parts with the left hand, because they eat with the right. The men, who exonerate apart from the women, squat like them when they make water. Although their food is nothing but vegetables concocted with fair water, yet they leave such a stink behind them, that it is but ill taking the air, either in the streets, or without the towns, near the rivers and ditches." vi. 265. Yet these authors, with the same breath, assure us that the Hindus are a cleanly people, because, and this is their sole reason, they wash before and after meals, and leave no hair on their bodies. Ibid. Bee to the same purpose, Fryer's Travels, let. iv. chap. vi. - M.

Notwithstanding all that is here said, or the observations cited in the text, which are either exaggerated or applicable to the poorest classes, the Hindu are a cleanly people, and may be compared, with decided advantage, with the nations of the south of Europe, both as regards their habitations and then persons. There are many of their practices which might be introduced, even to the north with benefit.—W.

¹ See a curious description of the excess to which the minute frivolities of behaviour are carried both among the Moors and Hindus, by Mr. Orme, on the Government and People of Indostan, pp. 425 and 481. See also, *Laws of Menu*, ch. 120 to 139.

to a sick person; and all persons to a Brahmen.¹ Not a few of their rules bear curious testimony to the unpolished state of society in which they were prescribed. "If a man," says one of their laws, "having accepted another's invitation, doth not eat at his house, then he shall be obliged to make good all the expense that was incurred in consequence of the invitation."² When a Hindu gives an entertainment, he seats himself in the place of greatest distinction; and all the most delicate and costly of the viands are placed before him. The company sit according to their quality, the inferior sort at the greatest distance from the master, each eating of those dishes only which are placed before him, and they continually decreasing in fineness, as they approach the place of the lowest of the guests.³

The attachment which the Hindus, in common with all ignorant nations, bear to astrology, is a part of their manners exerting a strong influence upon the train of their actions. "The Hindus of the present age," says a partial observer, "do not undertake any affair of consequence without consulting their astrologers, who are always Brahmens."⁴ The belief of witchcraft and sorcery continues universally prevalent; and is every day the cause of the greatest enormities. It not unfrequently happens that Brahmens, tried for murder before the English judges, issign as their motive to the crime, that the murdered

¹ Gentoo Code, ch. xxi. sect. 10.

²

Ibid.

³ Tennant's Indian Recreations, l. 254.—M. Dr. Tennant speaks confidently of many things of which he must have been utterly ignorant. In a preceding passage, he compares the eloquence of Hindu vituperation to that of Billingsgate; it is very doubtful if he ever understood a syllable uttered on such an occasion. Here he describes the particulars of a Hindu entertainment, as it be had witnessed one, although it is wholly impossible that he should ever have dined with a Hindu, or been present on any such occasion; yet he is one of Mr. Mill's principal authorities.—W.

⁴ Wilkins' *Hetopadesa*, note, p. 269. The unceremonious Fryer says, the principal science of the Brahmen is magic and theology. *Travels*, let. iv. ch. r1. Of the astonishing degree to which the Indians of all descriptions are devoted to astrology, see a lively description by Bernier, *Suites des Memoires sur l'Empire du Grand Mogol*. i. 12 a 14. "Lea rois, et les seigneurs," says he, "qui n'entreprendroient la moindre chose qu'ils n'eussent consulté les astrologues, leur donnent de grands appointements pour lire ce qui est écrit dans le ciel." Ibid. "The savages," says Mallet (*Introd. to the Hist. of Denmark*, i. eh. i.), "whom the Danes have found on the coast of Greenland, live with great union and tranquillity. They are neither quarrelsome, nor mischievous, nor warlike; being greatly afraid of those that are. Theft, Mows, and murder, are almost unknown to them. They are chaste before marriage, and love their children tenderly. Their simplicity hath not been able to preserve them from having priests, who pass among them for en-Chanters; and are, in truth, very great and dexterous cheats."

BOOK II. individual had enchanted them. No fewer than five unhappy persons in one district were tried and executed for witchcraft, so late as the year 1792.¹ The villagers themselves assume the right of sitting in judgment on this imaginary offence; and their sole instruments of proof are the most wretched of all incantations. Branches of the Saul tree, for example, one for each of the suspected individuals, inscribed with her name, are planted in water. If any of them withers within a certain time, the devoted female, whose name it bears, suffers death as a witch.²

¹ It is not so long since belief in witchcraft and astrology ceased to prevail in Europe, that we need to be very severe upon similar absurdities in Asia.—W.

² See an account of this shocking part of the manners of the Hindus in the *Asiat. An. Eegist.* for 1801, *Miscellaneous Tracts*, p. 91.—M.

For some additional remarks on the tone and spirit of this chapter, see note D. to the Appendix.—W.

NOTES.

NOTE A. p. 233.

" 5. *THIS universe existed only in the first divine idea yet unexpanded, as if involved in darkness, imperceptible, undefinable, undiscoverable by reason, and undiscovered by revelation, as if it were wholly immersed in sleep;*

6. Then the sole self-existing power, himself undiscerned, but making this world discernible, with five elements and other principles of nature, appeared with undiminished glory, *expanding his idea, or dispelling the gloom.*

" 7. He, whom the mind alone can perceive, whose essence eludes the external organs, who has no visible parts, who exists from eternity, even he, the soul of all beings, whom no being can comprehend, shone forth in person.

" 8. He, having willed to produce various beings from his own divine substance, first with a thought created the waters, and placed in them a productive seed:

" 9. The seed became an egg bright as gold, blazing like the luminary with a thousand beams: and in that egg he was born himself, *in the form of BRAHMA, the great forefather of all spirits.*

" 10. The waters are called *nara*, because they were the production of NABA, *or the Spirit of God*; and, since they were his first *ayana, or place of motion*, he thence is named NABA-TANA, *or moving on the waters.*

" 11. From THAT WHICH is, the first cause, not the object of sense, existing *every where in substance*, not existing to our perception, without beginning or end, was produced the divine male, famed in all worlds under the appellation of BRAHMA.

" 12. In that egg the great power sat inactive a whole year of the Creator, at the close of which, by his thought alone, he caused the egg to divide itself.

" 13. And from its two divisions he framed the heaven *above* and the earth *beneath*: in the midst he placed the subtile ether, the eight region*, and the permanent receptacle of waters.

" 14. From the supreme soul he drew forth mind, existing

substantially though unperceived by sense, immaterial; and *before mind, or the reasoning power, he produced consciousness, the internal monitor, the ruler;*

'15. And, *before them both, he produced the great principle of the soul, or first expansion of the divine idea; and all vital forms endued with the three qualities of goodness, passion, and darkness; and the five perceptions of sense, and the five organs of sensation.*

" 16. *Thus, having at once pervaded, with emanations from the Supreme Spirit, the minutest portions of six principles immensely operative, consciousness and the five perceptions, he framed all creatures;*

" 17. And since the minutest particles of visible nature have a dependence on those six emanations from God', the Wise have accordingly given the name of *sarira* or *depending on six, that is, the ten organs on consciousness, and the five elements on as many perceptions, to his image or appearance in visible nature.*

*' 18. Thence proceed the great elements endued with peculiar powers, and mind with operations infinitely subtile, the unperishable cause of all apparent forms.

** 19. This *universe, therefore, is compacted from the minute portions of those seen divine and active principles, the great soul, or first emanation, consciousness and five perceptions; a mutable universe from immutable ideas.*

" 20. Among them each succeeding element acquires the quality of the preceding: and, in as many degrees as each of them is advanced, with so many properties is it said to be endued.

" 21. He too first assigned to all creatures distinct names, distinct acts, and distinct occupations; as they had been revealed in the pre-existing *Veda*.

" 22. He, the supremo ruler, created an assemblage of inferior deities, with divine attributes and pure souls; and a number of genii exquisitely delicate: and he *prescribed* the sacrifice ordained from the beginning.

" 23. From fire, from air, and from the sun he milked out, *as it were*, the three primordial *Vedas*, named *Mich, Yajush and Soman*, for the due performance of the sacrifice.

" 24. He gave being to time and the divisions of time, to the stars also, and to the planets, to rivers, oceans, and mountains, to level plains, and uneven valleys.

" 25. To devotion, speech, complacency, desire, and wrath, and to the creation, which, shall presently be mentioned; for he willed the existence of all those created things.

" 26. For the sake of distinguishing actions, he made a total

difference between right and wrong, and enured these sentient creatures to pleasure and pain, *cold and heat*, and other opposite pairs.

" 27. With very minute transformable portions, called *matras*, of the five elements, all this perceptible world was composed in fit order;

" 28. And in whatever occupation the supreme lord first employed any vital soul, to that occupation the same soul attaches itself spontaneously, when it receives a new body again and again:

" 29. Whatever quality, noxious or innocent, harsh or mild, unjust or just, false or true, he conferred on any being at its creation, the same quality enters it of course *on its future births*;

" 30. As the six seasons of the year attain respectively their peculiar marks in due time, and of their own accord, even so the several acts of each embodied spirit *attend it naturally*,

" 31. That the human race might be multiplied, he caused the *Brahmen*, the *Cshatriya*, the *Vaisya*, and the *Sudra* (so named from the *scripture, protection, wealth, and labour*) to proceed from his mouth, his arm, his thigh, and his foot.

" 32. Having divided his own substance, the mighty Power became half male, half female, *or nature active and passive*} and from that female he produced VIRAJ:

" 33. Know me, O most excellent of BRAHMENS, to be that person, whom the male power VIRAJ, having performed austere devotion, produced by himself; me, the *secondary framer* of all *this visible world*.

" 34. It was I, who, desirous of giving birth to a race of men, performed very difficult religious duties, and first produced ten lords of created beings, eminent in holiness,

" 35. Marichi, Atri, Angiras, Pulastya, Pulaha, Cratu, Pra-chetas, or Dacsha, Yasishtha, Bhrigu, and Narada:

" 36. They, abundant in glory, produced seven other *Menus*, together with deities, and the mansions of deities, and *Ma-harshis*, or great Sages, unlimited in power.

" 37. Benevolent genii, and fierce giants, blood-thirsty savages, heavenly quiristers, nymphs and demons, huge serpents, and snakes of smaller size, birds of mighty wing, and separate companies of *Pitris*, or progenitors of mankind;

" 38. Lightnings and thunderbolts, clouds and coloured bows of *Indra*, falling meteors, earth-rending vapours, comets, and luminaries of various degrees;

" 39. Horse-faced sylvans, apes, fish, and a variety of birds, tome cattle, deer, men, and ravenous beasts with two rows of teeth;

"40. Small and large reptile,, moths, lice, fleas, and common flies, with every biting gnat, and immoveable substances of distinct sorts." (Institut. of Menu, ch. I.)

Such is the account of the creation which is contained in one of the principal standards of Hindu faith; such is one of the chief documents from which we can draw precise ideas respecting the religions principles of the Hindus. The darkness, the vagueness, and the confusion, which reign in it, need not be remarked; for by these the Hindu mythology is throughout distinguished. The first of the propositions, as it now stands, can be adequately designated only by the familiar appellative, nonsense; the ideas are heterogeneous, and incompatible. "This universe," it is said, "existed only in the first divine idea*" When anything is said to exist in idea, the meaning is, that it is conceived by the mind, or, in common language, that it is an idea in the mind. This universe then, according to the above passage, was conceived by the divine mind before it was actually produced, or, in other words, it was an idea in the divine mind. This idea existed in the divine mind, "yet unexpanded." But what are we to understand by an idea in the divine mind "unexpanded?" In regard to human thought an idea may be said to be unexpanded, when something is conceived very generally and obscurely; and it may be said to be expanded, when the thing is "conceived minutely, distinctly, and in all its parts. Are we then to understand by the idea of the universe being unexpanded in the divine mind, that the universe was conceived by it only generally, obscurely, indistinctly, and that it was not till creation was actually performed, that the divine idea was clear, full, and precise? How infinitely removed is this from the sublime conception which we entertain of the Divine Being; to whose thoughts all his works past, present, and to come, and every thing in the universe from eternity to eternity, are present always, essentially, perfectly, in all their parts, properties, and relations! This divine idea is still further described: it existed "as if involved in darkness." When an idea is involved in darkness, it is an idea not perfectly understood; an apprehension only compatible with the most imperfect notions of the divine nature. It existed "imperceptible." If this means by the senses, all ideas are imperceptible; if it means by the mind, it is impossible, for the very essence of an idea consists in its being perceived by the mind. It existed "indefinable, undiscoverable by reason, undiscovered by revelation, as if it were wholly immersed in sleep." What sort of an idea could that be in the divine mind which the divine mind could not define, that mind by which it was formed? If the meaning be, that it could not

be defined by any other mind; neither can the idea, not yet expressed, -which exists in the mind of the most foolish of men. "Not discoverable by reason;" does this mean that the divine reason did not discover the divine idea, or does it mean that human reason could not discover it? An idea in the mind of another being is not discoverable to man by reason, but by enunciation. The last expression is the most extraordinary; "as if immersed in sleep:" "an idea immersed in sleep!" An idea too in the divine mind immersed in sleep! What notion can be formed of this?

But it must be explained that this incoherence and absurdity is not the work of Menu, or of the author, whoever he was, of the treatise which goes by his name. It is a common plan in India, for a commentator who is explaining a book, to insert between the words of the text such expressions as to him appear necessary to render the sense of the author clear and distinct. This has been done by a commentator of the name of Culluca, in regard to the ordinances of Menu; and his gloss or commentary, interwoven with the text, Sir William Jones has translated along with his author. As he has, very judiciously, however, printed the interwoven expressions of the commentator in italics, it is easy for the reader to separate them, and to behold the sense of the original unadulterated. According to this expedient, the words of Menu appear thus: "This existed only in darkness, imperceptible, undefinable, undiscoverable, undiscovered, as if it were wholly immersed in sleep." It seems remarkably the genius of the ancient Sanscrit writings to be elliptical, and the adjective pronouns especially are very frequently used without a substantive. "This," in the passage which we are now examining, is in that situation. The mind of the reader is left to supply the word which the sense of the context demands. This—every thing; this—whole; this—universe; such is the manner in which the mind easily here suggests the requisite Idea; and when this is done, the incoherence and absurdity which the supplement of Culluca engendered, is entirely dispelled. The passage presents clearly and unambiguously, a description, a very vague and unmeaning description, it must be owned, of that chaos of which the Greeks and Romans drew so striking and awful a picture, and of which the belief appears to have been so widely and generally diffused. The notion which Culluca endeavoured to engraft, is remarkable. It is no other than the celebrated Platonic principle of the pre-existence of all Hugs in the divine mind, which Culluca, it is evident, neither understood nor could apply, and with which he made such leaves on the genuine sense of his author. It is probable that

he borrowed the idea from some foreign source, that it pleased him as preferable to the more rude conception of a chaos, and that he resolved, according to the invariable rule of the Brahmins, to give his own order the credit of it, by incorporating it with the doctrines of the sacred authors.

There is a remarkable coincidence, and there is a remarkable discrepancy, between this passage in the Institutes of Menu, and the following at the beginning of the book of Genesis: "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth. And the earth was without form and void, and darkness Was upon the face of the deep." The coincidence appears in the chaotic description here applied to the earth: the discrepancy consists ill this, that the Jewish legislator informs as of the previous creation of the shapeless mass, the Hindu legislator describes it as antecedent to all creation*

This chaos, this universe, then, in its dark, imperceptible, tan-definable state, existed, according to Menu, antecedent to creation. This too was the idea of the Greeks and Romans, who thence believed in the eternity of matter. It is doubtful, from the extreme vagueness of the Hindu language, whether they had carried their thoughts so far as to conceive the question respecting the origin of matter: but as its eternity is implied in several of their doctrines, so it appears to be recognised in some of their expressions. It appears, indeed, that they were unable to make any clear distinction between matter and spirit, but rather considered the latter to be some extraordinary refinement of the former. Thus even the Divine Being, though they called him soul and spirit, they certainly regarded as material. In the passage already quoted, it is said, "that he willed to produce various beings from *his own divine substance*." Now what can be meant by substance, if not material substance? Besides, from material substance alone can material beings be produced. But the first thing which we are told was produced from the divine substance, was water. It is worth remarking, at the same time, that in other places water appears to be spoken of as un-created, and as the material out of which all other things were produced. A passage describing the creation, translated from the Yajur Veda by Mr. Colebrooke, commences thus, "Waters alone there were; this world originally was water. In it the lord of creation moved, having become air." [Asiat Ees. viii 452.]—M.

If the incoherence and absurdity occasioned by the use of such an expression as existing in idea, is referable to the commentator, much of the previous criticism might have been spared, and the text of Menu acquitted, notwithstanding the charge Witt

which it was first assailed, of being " nonsense." It is here admitted to be clear and unambiguous. But neither is the commentator open to cavil. The demerit of the confusion is Sir "William Jones's. Not a syllable is said by CuUuca about "idea," and the translator has misled the critic, both being influenced by European ideas, and unacquainted with the Hindu system. One of the philosophical schools, the Sankhya, which is chiefly followed by Menu, whose cosmogony, however, is by no means carefully described, maintains the eternity of matter or Prakriti, "This' matter' existed, but without form, invisible, undefinable, inert, as if in sleep." There is nothing vague, ambiguous, or incoherent in this description. Culluca belongs to a different school, the Vedanta, that which maintains the unity of things; the identity of the elements of matter with its cause—and he explains the text agreeably to his doctrines. * This' elementary matter existed,* unseparated' from the divine cause. We may think what we please of the philosophy, but the notions are intelligibly expressed by both text and comment.—W.

NOTE B. p. 234.

Another and a very remarkable account of the creation of living creatures is found in the Vedas, and translated by Mr. Coiebrooke. " This variety of forms was, before the production of body, soul, bearing a human shape. Next, looking round, that primeval Being saw nothing but himself ; and he first said, I am I. Therefore his name was I: and thence even now, when called, a man first answers, *it is J*, and then declares any other name which appertains to him. —Since he, being anterior to all this which seeks supremacy, did consume by fire all sinful obstacles to his own supremacy, therefore does the man, who knows this truth, overcome him, who seeks to be before him. He felt dread; and, therefore, man fears, when alone. But he reflected, 'Since nothing exists besides myself, why should I fear?' Thus his terror departed from him; for what should he dread, since fear must be of another?—He felt not delight; and, therefore! man delights not when alone. He wished the existence of another; and instantly he became such as is man and woman in mutual embrace. He caused this his own self to fall in twain ; and thus became a husband and a wife* Therefore was this body, so separated, as it were an imperfect moiety of himself ; for so Tajnyawaleya has pronounced it. This blank, therefore, is completed by woman* He approached her; and thence were human beings produced,—She reflected, doubtinglyj How can be, having produced me from himself, incestuously approach

me? I will now assume a disguise. She became a cow; and the other became a bull and approached her; and the issue wore kine. She was changed into a mare, and he into a stallion; one was turned into a female ass, and the other into a male one: Ifous did he again approach her, and the one-hoofed kind was the offspring. She became a female goat, and he a male one; she was a ewe, and he a ram: thus he approached her, and goats and sheep were the progeny. In this manner, did he create every existing pair whatsoever, even to the ants and minutest insect." See a curious discourse of Mr. Colebrooke on the Vedas, or Sacred Writings of the Hindus, *Asiat. Research*, viii.440, 441.—M.

It is evident that from a very remote period different illustrative, rather than descriptive traditions of the origin and creation of the universe were current amongst the Hindus—even before the Vedas were compiled. Some of them, such as this cited from the Veda, were clearly allegorical—others were mystic, mythological and philosophical, and each should be considered by itself, for its character to be rightly understood. To attempt to force them into one system, is to place them in a condition to which they never pretended; and the confusion and contradiction that ensue is our work, not the error of the Hindus.—W.

NOTE C. p. 275.

DAILY CEREMONIES OF THE BRAHMENS.

As he rises from sleep, a Brahmen must rub his teeth with a proper withe, or a twig of the racemiferous fig-tree, repeating prayers. Should this sacred duty be omitted, so great a sin is incurred, that the benefit is lost of all religious rites performed by him. The next circumstance of importance is, the deposit of the withe after it has done its office. It must be carefully thrown away in a place free from impurities; that is, where none of those religious stains, which are so multiplied among the Hindus, and must infect so many places, have been imprinted. When the business of the teeth and of the twig is accomplished, Ablution next engages the attention of the Brahmen. The duty of the bath, particularly in the months of Magha, Phalguna, and Cartica, is no less efficacious than a rigid penance for the expiation of sin. Standing in a river, or other water, the worshipper, sipping water, which is a requisite preliminary to all rites, and sprinkling it before him, recites inaudibly the gayatri, or holiest text of the Veda, with the names of the seven worlds. He next throws water eight times on his head, or towards the sky, and at

last upon the ground, to destroy the demons who wage war with the gods, reciting prayers, of which the first may be received as a specimen: **0 waters, since ye afford us delight, grant us present happiness, and the rapturous sight of the supreme God." When these ceremonies and prayers are performed, he plunges three times into the water, and each time repeats the expiatory text which recites the creation, and having then washed his tiantle, the morning ablution is finished. If he is a householder, it is his duty to bathe again at noon; and if he belongs to an order of devotion, both at noon and in the evening, with ceremonies, differing somewhat in the words and forms, but the same in spirit and substance.¹

An important part of the worship of the Brahmen then succeeds. Coming out of the water, and putting on his mantle, he sits down to worship the rising sun. This great duty is performed by first tying the lock of hair on the crown of his head, while he holds much cusa grass in his left hand, and three blades of it in his right, or wears a ring of it on the third finger of that hand, reciting at the same time the gayatri. He then sips water three times, repeats the mysterious names of the seven worlds, recites again the gayatri, rubs his hands as if washing them, touches with his wet hand his *feet*, head, breast, eyes, ears, nose and navel, and again three times sips water. If, however, he should sneeze, or spit, he must obey the text which says, "after sneezing, spitting, blowing his nose, sleeping, putting on apparel or dropping tears, a man should not immediately sip water, but first touch his right ear." The sipping, however, being at last performed, he passes his hand filled with water, briskly round his neck, while he prays: "May the waters preserve me I" He then shuts his eyes and meditates in silence. Till we got better information, very wonderful ideas were formed of the sublimity of the Brahmen's meditations. On this, one of the most sacred and solemn of all occasions, while he meditates in silence, with his eyes shut, and every mark of intense thought, we are informed, that he is only "figuring to himself, that Brahma, with five faces and a red complexion, resides in his navel; Vishnu with four arms and a black complexion, in his heart; and Siva, with five faces and a white complexion, in his forehead." Not is this the whole of his meditation. He ponders next on the Miest of texts; and this sublime duty is performed in the fol-Idwine manner. Closing the left nostril with the two longest Angers of the right hand, he draws his breath through the right

¹ Coiebrooke on the Religions Ceremonies of the Hindus, Asiat Retearck. v. 345,346.

nostril, and then closing it with his thumb, and suspending his breath, he repeats to himself the gayatri, the mysterious names of the worlds, and the sacred text of Brahme; after which, raising his fingers from the left nostril, he emits the breath which he had suppressed, and thus ends one part of his meditation. The same process is repeated three times, and the whole is then concluded. This meditation, says Yajnyawalcya, "implies, Om (aum,) earth, sky, heaven, middle region, place of births, mansion of the blessed, abode of truth. We meditate on the adorable light of the resplendent generator which governs our intellects, which is water, lustre, savour, immortal faculty of thought, Brahme, earth, sky, and heaven."¹ He then stands on one foot, resting the other against his ankle or heel, and looking towards the east, while his hands are held open before him in a hollow form, and in that posture he recites prayers to the sun, of which the following is one of the most remarkable: "Thou art self-existent, thou art the most excellent ray; thou givest effulgence, grant it unto me." When all these ceremonies are performed, the oblation or offering is the next part of the service. It consists of tila, flowers, barley, water, and red sanders wood; it is put into a vessel of copper in the shape of a boat, and placed on the head of the votary, who presents it with fresh prayers and holy texts. In the last place comes the invocation of the gayatri. It is first addressed in these words: "Thou art light; thou art seed; thou art immortal life; thou art effulgent; beloved by the gods, defamed by none, thou art the holiest sacrifice." It is then recited measure by measure; next the first two measures are recited as one hemistich; and the third measure as the other; lastly, the three measures are repeated without interruption. It is addressed again in the following words: "Divine text, who dost grant our best wishes, whose name is trisyllable, whose import is the power of the Supreme Being; come, thou mother of the Yedas, who didst spring from Brahme, be constant here," It is then, along with the trilateral monosyllable, and the names of the three lower worlds, pronounced inaudibly a hundred, or a thousand times, or as often as practicable, while the repetitions are counted upon a rosary of wild grains, or of gems set in gold. Additional prayers are recited, and the morning worship of the sun is thus terminated.*

The religious duties which fill up the remaining portion of the day, are chiefly comprised in what are denominated the five sacraments* In a passage of the Institutes of Menu these **are**

¹ Colebrooke on the Religious Ceremonies of the Hindus. A static Research.

² Ifak 147 to 358.

thus described: "Teaching and studying the scripture is the sacrament of the Veda; Offering cakes and water, the sacrament of the manes; An oblation to fire, the sacrament of the deities; Giving rice or other food to living creatures, the sacrament of spirits; Receiving guests with honour, the sacrament of men."¹ I shall endeavour, by a very short illustration, to convey an idea of each.

Preparatory to the study of the Veda must ablution be performed. Of many ceremonies not yet described may be here introduced. "Let a Brahman at all times perform the ablution," says the law of Menu, "with the pure part of his hand, denominated from the Veda, or with the part sacred to the Lord of creatures, or with mat dedicated to the gods; but never with the part named from the Pitris: The pure part under the root of the thumb is called *Brahma*; that at the root of the little finger, *Cayā*; that at the tips of the fingers, *Daiva*; and the part between the thumb and index, *Pitrya*. Let him first sip water thrice; then twice wipe his mouth, and lastly touch with water the six hollow parts of his head, [for his eyes, ears, and nostrils,] his breast and his head. He who knows the law, and seeks purity, will ever perform the ablution with the pure part of his hand, and with water neither hot nor frothy, standing in a lonely place, and turning to the east or the north. A Brahman is purified by water that reaches his bosom; a *Cshatriya*, by water descending to his throat; a *Vaisya*, by water barely taken into his mouth; a *Sudra*, by water touched with the extremity of his lips."² Having concluded this part of the ceremony, and walked in a circle beginning from the south, he proceeds to the pronunciation of the syllable *Aum*. "A Brahman, beginning and ending a lecture on the Veda, must always pronounce to himself the syllable *Aum*; for unless the syllable *Aum* precedes, his learning will slip away from him; and unless it follow, nothing will be long detained. If he have bitten on culms of *cusa* grass, with their points toward the east, and be purified by rubbing that holy grass on both his hands, and be further prepared by three suppressions of breath, each equal in time to five short vowels, he may then fitly pronounce *Aum*. *Brahma* milked out, as it were, from the three vedas, the letter *A*, the letter *U*, and the letter *M*, which form by their coalition the triliteral monosyllable, together with three mysterious words, earth, sky, heaven"¹³ Turning his face towards the east, with his right hand toward the south, and his left hand towards the north, he then sits down, having the *cusa* grass before him, holding two blades of it on the tips of his left fingers, and placing on them his right hand with the palm

¹ Institute of Menu. ² Ibid ch. ii 58 to 62.

turned upwards, and in this sacred position he meditates the gayatri. He then recites the due prayers and texts, and is thus prepared to begin the daily perusal of the Veda."¹

The sacrament of the manes, which occupies the second place in the above text of Menu, is described at great length in that sacred volume. "Let the Brahmen smear with cow-dung, a purified and sequestered piece of ground; and let him with great care select a place with a declivity toward the south. Having duly made an ablution with water, let him place with reverence the invited Brahmens, who have also performed their ablutions one by one, on allotted seats purified with cusa grass, honouring them with fragrant garlands and sweet odours, and bringing for them water, with cusa grass and tila; then let him pour the oblation of clarified butter on the holy fire, and afterwards proceed to satisfy the manes of his ancestors. Having walked in order from east to south, and thrown into the fire all the ingredients of his oblation, let him sprinkle water on the ground with his right hand. From the remainder of the clarified butter having formed three balls of rice, let him offer them, with fixed attention, in the same manner as the water, his face being turned to the south: Then having offered those balls, after due ceremonies, and with an attentive mind, to the manes of his father, his paternal grandfather, and great grandfather, let him wipe the same hand with the roots of cusa, which he had before used, for the sake of his paternal ancestors in the fourth, fifth, and sixth degrees, who are the partakers of the rice and clarified butter thus wiped off. Having made an ablution, returning toward the north, and thrice suppressing his breath slowly, let him salute the gods of the six seasons, and the Pitris. Whatever water remains in his ewer, let him carry back deliberately near the cakes of rice; and with fixed attention let him smell those cakes, in order as they were offered, and give part of them to the Brahmens. Having poured water, with cusa grass and tila, into the hands of the Brahmens, let him give them the upper part of the cakes, saying Swadha to the manes. Next, having himself brought with both hands a vessel full of rice, let him, still meditating on the Pitris, place it before the Brahmens without precipitation. Broths, potherbs, and other eatables accompanying the rice, together with milk and curds, clarified butter and honey, let him add spiced puddings, and milky messes of various sorts, roots of herbs and ripe fruits, savoury meats and sweet-smelling drinks: then being duly purified, and with perfect presence of mind, let him take up all the dishes one by one, and present them in order to the Brahmens, proclaiming their qualities,

² Colebrooke on the Religious Ceremonies of the Hindus. Asiatic Researches, v. 363.

Himself being delighted, let him give delight to the Brahmens, and invite them to eat of the provisions by little and little; attracting them often with the dressed rice and other eatables. Let all the dressed food be very hot. Let not a chandala, a town boar, a cock, a dog, a woman in her courses, or an eunuch see the Brahmens eating."¹ These, with a variety of prayert, And several other observances, are the obsequies to the manes of ancestors.

The oblations to fire, which are a most important part of the duties of the Hindu, are dignified with the title of the sacrament of the gods. I shall here premise the ceremonies attending the consecration of the fire, and the sacramental implements, though to all religious rites these may be regarded as introductory. In order to prepare the ground for the reception of the holy fire, the priest chooses a level spot, four cubits square, free from all ceremonial impurities, covered with a shed, and this he smears With cow dung. Next, having bathed and sipped water, he sits down with his face towards the east, and placing a vessel of water with cusa grass on his left, dropping his right knee, and resting on the span of his left hand, he draws, after an established rule, five consecrated lines, and gathering up the dust from the edges of them, throws it away toward the north-east, saying, "What was herein bad is thrown away." Having, also, sprinkled the lines with water, and the ground being now prepared, he takes a lighted ember out of the vessels wherein he preserves the fire, and, throwing it away, cries, "I dismiss far away carnivorous-fire: May it go to the realm of Yama, bearing sin hence." Then, placing the fire before him, he exclaims, "Earth! sky! heaven!" and adds, "This other harmless fire only remains here j well knowing its office, may it convey my oblation to the gods." He now bestows upon it a name, conformable to the purpose for which he prefers it, and concludes this part of the ceremony by silently burning a log of wood one span long, «meared with clarified butter. The placing of the superintending \$>riest is the next part of the duty. On very solemn occasions this is a real Brahmen; but in general a substitute is made for iim of a bundle of cusa grass. He by whom the sacrifice is per-Ibrmed takes up the vessel of water, and keeping his right side towards the fire, walks round it: then he pours water near it, in wh eastern direction, and spreads on it cusa grass: then he crosses, without sitting down, his right knee over his left; then takes up a single blade of grass between the thumb and ring-finger of his left hand; next throws it away towards the south-west, saying, "What was herein bad is castaway:*" then he touches the water,

¹Institutes of Menu, en. W. 206 to 264—Colebrooke on the Religions Otrt-
monies of the Hindus, Asiat. Res. v. 864.

resting the sole of Ms right foot on Ms left ankle, sprinkles lie grass with water, after which he places on it his Brahmen, made of casa, saving to it, "Sit on this, seat until thy fee he paid thee;" he then returns round the fire the same way by whack he went, and sitting down again with his face towards the east, names the earth inaudibly. If no profane word should hitherto have been spoken, for which atonement is requisite, he must next spread leaves of cusa grass on three sides of the fire; he begins with the eastern side, and lays three rows of leaves in such a manner that the tip of the one shall cover the root of the other; after this he blesses the ten regions of space, and rising a little puts some wood on the fire with a ladle of clarified butter, while he meditates in silence on Brahma, the lord of creatures: next he takes up two leaves of the grass, and with another catting off the length of a span, and saying, "Pure leaves be sacred to Vishnu," he throws them into a vessel of copper, or other metal; he then takes up other two leaves, and holding the tips of them between the thumb and ring-finger of his right hand, the roots between the thumb and ring-finger of his left, he takes up, having the one hand crossed over the other, clarified butter in the curvature of the leaves, and throws some of it three several times into the fire. He then sprinkles the leaves with water, and throws them away; next, having sprinkled the vessel containing the clarified butter, he puts it on the fire and takes it off again three several times, when, having recited the proper prayers with cusa grass in both his hands, the ceremony of hallowing the butter is finished. That of hallowing the wooden ladle is performed by describing three times with the tip of his fore-finger and thumb the figure 7 on the inside of it, and the figure 9 on the outside, by sprinkling water, having first dropped on one knee, from the palms of his hands, on the whole southern side of the fire, from west to east; on the western side from south to north; on the northern side, and then all around the fire, reciting prayers and sacred texts. Having next recited an expiatory prayer with cusa grass in both his hands, and having thrown the grass away, he has then finished the consecration of the sacrificial implements. It is only after all this is accomplished that he is prepared to begin the oblation to fire, of which the following is one of that variety of forms which it receives according to the rite intended to succeed. First, the priest bums silently a log of wood, smeared with clarified butter: next, he makes three oblations, by pouring each time a ladleml of clarified butter on the fire, and pronouncing severally the following prayers; "Earth! be this oblation efficacious."—"Sky! be this oblation efficacious."—"Heaven! be this oblation efficacious." On some occasions the oblation is made a fourth time, and he says, "Earth! Sky! Heaven! be Ibis obla-

tion efficacious." An offering of rice, milk, curds, and butter, is next performed, and the oblations accompanied with the names of the three worlds are repeated.¹ "In his domestic fire, for dressing the food of all the gods," says the law of Menu, "let a Brahmen make an oblation each day to these following divinities; first, to Agni, god of fire, and to the lunar god, severally; then, to both of them at once; next, to the assembled gods; and afterwards to Dhanwantari, god of medicine; to Cuhu, goddess of the day, when the new moon is discernible; to Aanmati, goddess of the day after the opposition; to Prajapati, or the lord of creatures; to Dyava and Prithivi, goddesses of sky and earth; and lastly, to the fire of the good sacrifice. Having thus, with fixed attention, offered clarified butter in all quarters, proceeding from the east in a southern direction, to Indra, Yama, Varuna, and the god Soma, let him offer his gift to animated creatures/³

The fourth sacrament, or that of spirits, in the Institutes of Menu, is thus described: •' Let him, saying, I salute the maruts or winds, throw dressed rice near the door: saying I salute the water gods, let him throw it in water; and let him throw it on his pestle and mortar, saying, I salute the gods of large trees. Let him do the like in the north-east, or near his pillow, to Sri, the goddess of abundance; in the south-west, or at the foot of his bed, to the propitious goddess Bhadracali: in the centre of his mansion, to Brahma, and his household god; to all the gods assembled, let him throw up his oblation in open air; by day, to the spirits who walk in light; and by night, to those who walk in darkness: in the building on his house-top, or behind his back, let him cast his oblation for the welfare of all creatures; and what remains let him give to the Pitrish with his face towards the south."³

Of those diurnal sacraments, which constitute so great a part of the duty of the Hindus, receiving guests with honour, which is denominated the sacrament of men, is the fifth. This is commonly, by English writers, interpreted "hospitality." But we shall form a very erroneous notion of this sacramental service, if we confound it with the merely human and profane duty of receiving strangers beneficently from motives of humanity. This is a duty purely religious, confined to the twice-born and consecrated classes; and principally contrived for the benefit of the Brahmen*; that for them, in all places, and on all occasions, every door may be open, and every table spread. "A Brahmen, coming as a guest, and not received with just honour, takes to

* Cotebrooke on the Belifotw Ceremonies of the Hindus, Asiat. Res. vii 232 to 233.

¹ Institutes of Menu, cb. iii. 84 to 87. ³ Ibid. ca. iii. 88 to 91

himself all the reward of the housekeeper's former virtue, even though he had been so temperate as to live on the gleanings of harvests, and so pious as to make oblations in five distinct fires.¹¹ A guest, in the Hindu sense, is not every man who may claim, or may stand in need of your hospitalities: A guest, according to the commentator, whom Mr. Colebrooke follows as his guide, is "a spiritual preceptor, a priest, an ascetick, a prince, a bridegroom, a friend."² "In the house of a Brahmen," says the law of Menu, "a military man is not denominated a guest; nor a man of the commercial or servile cast;"³ so that a Brahmen, to whom are devoted the hospitalities of all the classes, is bound to return them to Brahmens alone. Among the religious ceremonies with which this sacrament is celebrated, a cow is tied on the northern side of the apartment, and a stool and other furniture placed for the guest, when the householder, rising up to bid him welcome, recites the prayer: "* May she, who supplies oblations for religious worship, who constantly follows her calf, and who was the milch cow when Yama was the votary, abound with milk, and fulfil our wishes year after year." The guest then sits down on the stool or cushion prepared for him, reciting the text of the Yajurveda, which says: "* I step on this for the sake of food or other benefits, on this variously splendid footstool." His host next presents to him a cushion made of twenty leaves of cusa grass, holding it up with both hands, and exclaiming, "The cushion! the cushion! the cushion!" which the guest accepts and places it on the ground under his feet, reciting prayers. This done, a vessel of water is presented to him, the host thrice exclaiming, "Water for ablutions!" Of this the guest declares his acceptance, and looking into the vessel cries, "** Generous water I view thee; return in the form of fertilizing rain from him from whom thou dost proceed." He then takes some of it in the palms of both hands joined together, and throws it on his left foot, saying, "I wash my left foot, and fix prosperity in this realm;" in the same manner on the right foot, with a similar declaration; and lastly, on both feet, saying, "** I wash first on* and then the other; and lastly both feet, that the realm may thrive, and intrepidity be gained." With similar formalities is next presented and received, an arghya; that is, a vessel shaped like a boat, or a conch, filled with water, rice, and durva grass; when the guest, pouring the water on his head, says, "** Thou art the splendour of food; through thee may I become glorious." The host again presenting water, three times exclaims, "Take water to be sipped!" the guest, accepting it, says, "Thou art glorious, grant me glory!" These ceremonies being finished,

¹ Institutes of Menu. ch.iii. 100.

³Ibid. di. iii. 110.

²

Asiat. Ees. vii. 289.

the host fills a vessel with honey, curds, and clarified butter, and, covering it with another vessel, presents it to his guest, exclaiming three times, "Take the Madhuparca!"^f He, receiving, places it on the ground, and looking into it, says, "Thou art glorious, may I become so:" he tastes it three times, saying, "Thou art the sustenance of the glorious; thou art the nourishment of the splendid; thou art the food of the fortunate; grant me prosperity;" and then silently eats until he be satisfied. When this is done he sips water: and touching his mouth and other parts of his body with his hand, he says, "May there be speech in my mouth; breath in my nostrils; sight in my eyeballs; hearing in my ears; strength in my arms; firmness in my thighs: may my limbs and members remain unhurt together with my soul." Presents are then presented to him, suitable to the rank of the parties: and a barber who attends for the purpose, now exclaims, "The cow, the cow." The guest then pronounces the following text: "Release the cow from the fetters of Varuna. May she subdue my foe. May she destroy the enemies both of my host and me. Dismiss the cow that she may eat grass and drink water." At this intercession she is released, and thus the guest addresses her: "I have earnestly entreated this prudent person, saying, Kill not the innocent, harmless cow, who is mother of Rudras, daughter of Vasus, sister of Adityas, and the source of ambrosia."¹ Such is the mode in which the ceremonial duty of entertaining guests is celebrated, and such is an idea of the ceremonies which are included in the five daily sacraments of the Hindus.

As the daily ceremonies, however, in their full detail, are sufficient to engross the whole time of the votary; for those on whom the functions of society devolve, some alleviation of the burden, or rather, in the Hindu notion, some restriction of the privilege, was necessarily devised; and while the sanctity of entire accomplishment is reserved for the holy men who maintain perpetual fires, those who are engaged in the affairs of life are obliged to content themselves with a rite, called Vaiswadeva, in which all the daily sacraments, excepting that of the Veda, are comprised. It consists of oblations to the manes, to the gods, and spirits, and Of donations to guests, all out of the food prepared for the daily meal; and is thus performed. Sitting down in a place free from impurities, and setting a vessel containing fire on his right hand, the worshipper hallows the ground by throwing away a lighted piece of cusa grass, while he recites the appropriate text,³ and then places his fire on the consecrated spot, repeating the prayer

¹ Colbrooke on the Religious Ceremonies of the Hindus. Aaiat. Res. vii. 288to 393.

² "I dtaaisa far away carnivorous fire," &c. quoted above, p. 355.

which is used, when the household and sacrificial fires are kindled by the attrition of wood.¹ He next lays cusa grass on the eastern side of the fire, with its tips pointed towards the north, exclaiming, "I praise divine fire, prinieally consecrated, the efficient performer of a solemn ceremony, the chief agent of a sacrifice, the most liberal giver of gems."³ He spreads it on the southern side, with its points towards the east, reciting the commencement of the Yajurveda. 1. "I gather thee for the sake of rain. 2. I pluck thee" (at this he is supposed to break off the branch of a tree) "for the sake of strength. 3. Ye are" (fee touches calves with the branch he has pulled off) "like unto air, 4. May the liberal generator of worlds make you" (here he touches, or is supposed to touch, milch-cows with the same branch) "happily reach this most excellent sacrifice."³ In like manner he lays grass on the two other sides of the fire, on the western side with the tips to the north, crying, "Fire! approach to taste my offering; thou who art praised for the gift of oblations; sit down on this grass, thou, who art the complete performer of the solemn sacrifice;"⁴ and on the northern side with the tips pointed to the east, saying, "May divine waters be auspicious to us, &c."⁵ When all these ceremonies are completed, he stirs the fire, and sprinkles water upon it, after which, having his hands smeared with clarified butter, he offers food three several times, repeating, "Earth 1 sky I heaven!" Five similar oblations are next performed; one to the regent of fire; one to the god of medicine; one to the assembled deities; one to the lord of created beings; and one to the creator of the universe. Six more oblations are then offered with six prayers, every oblation having its separate prayer. 1. "Fire! thou dost expiate a sin against the gods; may this oblation be efficacious. 2. Thou dost expiate a sin against man. 3. Thou dost expiate a sin against the manes. 4. Thou dost expiate a sin against my own soul. 5. Thou dost expiate repeated sins. 6. Thou dost expiate every sin I have committed, whether wilfully or unintentionally: may this oblation be efficacious." He next worships the fire, making an oblation with the following prayer: "Fire! seven are thy fuels; seven thy tongues; seven thy holy sages; seven thy beloved abodes; seven ways do seven sacrifices worship thee; thy sources are seven; be content with this clarified butter; may this oblation be efficacious." As the sacred lamp was lighted for the

¹ "Fire! this wood is thy origin, which is attainable in all seasons; whence being produced, thou dost shine. Knowing this, seize on it, and afterwards augment our wealth."

² This is the first verse of the Rig Veda, with which it is customary to begin the daily perusal of that Veda.

³ A lecture of the Yajurveda is always begun with this text.

⁴ The text with which a lecture of the Samaveda is begun.

⁵ The prayer which precedes a lecture of the Atharvan.

repulsion of evil spirits, before the oblations to the gods and the manes were presented, it is now extinguished, while recitation is made of the following text: "In solemn acts of religion, what* ever fails through the negligence of those who perform the ceremony, may be perfected solely through meditation on Vishnu." The oblations to spirits are next offered: the performer depositing portions of food in the several places prescribed for it, having previously swept each place with his hand and sprinkled it with water. Near the spot where the vessel of water stands, he makes three offerings, saying, "Salutation to rain! to water I to the earth!" He makes them at both doors of his house to Dhatri, and Vidhatri, or Brahma, the protector and creator. He presents them toward the eight points of the compass, adding salutation to them, and to the regents of them. To Brahm, to the sky, and to the sun, he makes oblations with salutation in the middle of the house. He then offers similar oblations to all the gods; to all beings; to twilight; and to the lord of all beings. After the sacrament of spirits thus performed, the worshipper, shifting the sacramental cord, and looking toward the south, drops upon one knee, and presents an oblation to the manes of ancestors, saying, "Salutation to progenitors: may this ancestral food be acceptable." Having performed a lustration, he should then present food to his guests. "When he has thus," says Mr. Colebrooke, "allotted out of the food prepared for his own repast, one portion to the gods, a second to progenitors, a third to all beings, and a fourth to his guests, he and his family may then, and not before, consume the remaining portion of the food."² This ceremony must be regularly performed in the forenoon, by those to whom the full celebration of the five sacraments is impracticable; and by some persons it is repeated again in the evening.¹ -

After this tedious though greatly abridged account of the daily ceremonies of the Hindus, we come to those which are performed at certain great and chosen epochs. On these, however, I shall content myself with some very general notices.

The Brahmens wait not for the period of birth to commence the ceremonies which pertain to each individual. "With auspicious acts," says the holy text, "prescribed by the Veda, most ceremonies on conception, and so forth, be duly performed, which purify the bodies of the three classes in this life, and qualify them for the next." Oblations to fire are required during the mother's pregnancy, and holy rites are commanded on the birth of the child. ^M Before the section *id* the navel-string, a ceremony is ordained on the birth of a male child: *ha* must be made, while

ⁱ Colebrooke on the Religions Ceremonies of the Hindus. Asiat. Res. vii. 271 to 275.

sacred texts are pronounced, to taste a little honey and clarified batter from a golden spoon."¹ The ceremony of giving a name is ordained to be performed on the tenth or twelfth day after the birth: "or on some fortunate day of the moon, at a lucky hour, and under the influence of a star with good qualities."² The ceremony of the tonsure, which is one of the distinguishing marks of the first three classes, is a rite of great solemnity, commanded to be performed in the first or third year after birth.³ But of all the ritual ordinances of the Hindus, none are reckoned more essential or important than those relating to the investiture: "In the eighth year from the conception of a Brahmen," says the law of Menu, "in the eleventh from that of a Cshatriya, and in the twelfth from that of a Yaisya, let the father invest the child with the mark of his class: Should a Brahmen, or his father for him, be desirous of his advancement in sacred knowledge, a Cshatriya of extending his power, or a Vaisya of engaging in mercantile business, the investiture may be made in the fifth, sixth, or eighth years respectively. The ceremony of investiture, hallowed by the gayatri, must not be delayed, in the case of a priest, beyond the sixteenth year; nor in that of a soldier, beyond the twenty-second; nor in that of a merchant, beyond the twenty-fourth. After that all youths of these three classes, who have not been invested at the proper time, become vratyas or outcasts, degraded from the gayatri, and condemned by the virtuous. With such impure men let no Brahmen, even in distress for subsistence, ever form a connexion in law, either by the study of the Veda, or by affinity."⁴ The investiture, or institution, is usually denominated the second birth; and it is from this ceremony that the three highest classes are denominated the twice-born.⁵ It consists chiefly in bestowing upon the object of the rite, a mantle, a girdle, a sacrificial cord, and a staff, with numerous ceremonies, prayers, and holy texts. "Let students of the Veda," says the law of Menu,⁶ "wear for their mantles, the hide* of black antelopes, of common deer, or of goats, with lower vesta of woven sana, of cshuma, and of wool, in the direct order of their classes. The girdle of a priest must be made of munja, in a triple cord, smooth, and soft; that of a warrior must be a bow-string of murva; that of a merchant, a triple thread of sana. The sacrificial thread of a Brahmen must be made of cotton, so as to be put on over his head in three strings; that of a Csha-

¹ Institutes of Menu, ch. 11. 26, 27, 29.

² Ibid. **

³ Ibid. **

⁴ "The first birth is from a natural mother; the second, from the ligation, of the zone; the third, from the due performance of the sacrifice; such are the births of him who is usually called twice-born." Ibid. 169.

⁵ Ibid. 41 to 46, and 64, 65, 68.

⁶ Ibid. 30.

Ibid. 36 to 46.

triya, of sana thread only; that of a Vaisya, of woollen thread.¹ A priest ought by law to carry a staff of Bilva or Palasa; a soldier, of Bata or C'hadira; a merchant, of Vena or Udumbara. The staff of a priest must be of such a length as to reach his hair; that of a soldier to reach his forehead; and that of a merchant to reach his nose. Let all the staves be straight, without fracture, of a handsome appearance, not likely to terrify men, with their bark perfect, unhurt by fire. His girdle, his leathern mantle, his staff, his sacrificial cord, and his ewer, he must throw into the water, when they are worn out or broken, and receive others hallowed by mystical texts. The ceremony of cesanta, or cutting off the hair, is ordained for a priest in the sixteenth year from conception; for a soldier, in the twenty-second; for a merchant, two years later. Such is the revealed law of institution for the twice-born, an institution in which their second birth dearly consists, and which causes their advancement in holiness."

The ceremonies of marriage, which next call for our attention, are extremely numerous. The bridegroom is first of all received by the father of the bride with all the ceremonies of hospitality which we have already described; and during this time the bride is bathed.³ When these rules are finished, the hand of the bride is placed in that of the bridegroom, both having been previously rubbed with some auspicious drug, and a matron binds them with cusa grass amid the sound of cheerful music. The father of the bride then bidding the attendant priests begin their acclamations, pours water from a vessel containing tila and cusa grass, upon the hands of the united pair, and uttering the words, *' God the existent," and pronouncing the names and designations of the bridegroom, the bride, and himself, says, *' I give unto thee this damsel, adorned with jewels, and protected by the lord of creatures." The bridegroom replies, " Well be it." The bridegroom then having received from the father of the bride a piece of gold, and recited an appropriate text, the parties are affianced, and walk forth, while the bridegroom thus addresses the bride:

i The Persians also had a cincture which was given them as a grand religious emblem, about the period of manhood. See the Sadda in Hyde, p. 441.

a Three vessels of water are poured severally upon her head, and at each time one of the following prayers is in order pronounced: 1. ** Love ! I know* thy nama. Thau art called an intoxicating beverage. Bring the bridegroom happily. For thee was framed the inebriating draught! Fire ! thy best origin is here. Through devotion wert thou created. May this oblation be efficacious."—2. " Damsel, I anoint this thy generative organ with honey, because it is the second month of the Creator: by that thou subduest all males, though unsubdued; by that thou art lively, and dost hold dominion. May this oblation be efficacious."—8. " May the primeval ruling sages, who framed the female organ, as a lire that consumeth flesh, and thereby framed a procreating Juke, grant the prolific power that proceeds from the three-horned bull, and from the sun.*"

" May the regents of space, may air, the sun, and fire, dispel that anxiety which thou feelest in thy mind, and torn thy heart to me. Be gentle in thy aspect, and loyal to thy husband; be fortunate in cattle, amiable in thy mind, and beautiful, in thy person: he mother of valiant sons; be fond of delights; be cheerful; and bring prosperity to oar bipeds and quadrupeds."¹ A libation of water is afterwards made; and the father of the bride, having meditated the gayatri, ties a knot with the skirts of the mantles of the bridegroom and bride, saying, " Ye must be inseparably united in matters of duty, wealth, and love." The bridegroom next attires the bride, with a variety of ceremonies, of which the following are the most remarkable. Going to the principal apartment of the house, he prepares a sacrificial fire, and hallows the implements; when one friend of his bearing a jar of water, walks round the fire, and stops on the south side of it; and another, performing the same ceremony, places himself on the right of the first. The bridegroom then casts four double handfuls of rice, mixed with leaves of Sami, into a fiat basket; and placing near it a stone and inullar, which with formality he had previously touched, he causes the bride to be clothed with a new waistcloth and scarf, while he himself recites a variety of prayers* This being done, the bride goes to the western side of the fire, and recites a prayer, while she steps on a mat made of virana grass, and covered with silk. She then sits down on the edge of the mat, and the bridegroom makes six oblations of clarified butter, reciting a prayer with each.⁸ After this he names the three worlds separately and conjointly, presenting oblations; and makes four or five oblations to fire and to the moon. After these he rises up with the bride, and passing from her left to her right, makes her join her hands in a hollow form. The rice, which was previously put in the basket, being then taken up, and the atone which was laid near being placed before the bride, she treads on it with the point of her right foot, while the bridegroom recites this prayer: " Ascend this stone; be firm like this stone; distress my foe, and be not subservient to my enemies." He then pours on her hands a ladleful of clarified butter; another person gives her the rice; two ladlefuls of butter are poured, over it; when she separates her hands, and lets fall the rice on

¹ The latter part of this address Mr. Colebrooke thinks proper to veil in a Latin dress, and certainly with good reason: for, if it be considered that this is a speech of a bridegroom to his virgin bride, while the marriage ceremony is yet in the act of performance, it is an instance of grossness to which there is probably no parallel: The speech is as follows. *Illa redemptum accipitis tunc cum meam, quod ego paramus intromittam in eam, matremque filiorum vestrorum.*

² Of these the first may be taken as a specimen: may fire come first among the gods; may it rescue her offspring from the fetters of death; may Vamane, king of waters, grant that this woman should never become a calamity befallen her children.

the fire, while a holy text is recited. She treads again on the atone, again makes an oblation of rice, again a prayer is recited, again walking is performed round the fire, again four or five oblations are made with similar ceremonies and prayers, when the bridegroom pours two ladlefuls of butter on the edge of the basket, and then rice out of it into the fire, saying, ** May this oblation to fire be efficacious." After the ceremony of ascending the stone and throwing the rice into the fire, the bride is conducted to the bridegroom, and by him directed to step successively into seven circles, while seven texts are repeated. This is the most emphatical part of the ritual; for no sooner is the seventh step of the bride performed, than the nuptial bond is complete and irrevocable. The bridegroom then in appropriate texts addresses the bride, and the spectators, dismissing them; after which his friend, who stood neat the sacrificial fire, bearing a jar of water, advances to the spot where the seventh step was completed, and, while a prayer is recited, pours water on the head, first of the bridegroom and then of the bride. Upon this, the bridegroom, putting his left hand under the hands of his bride, which are joined in a hollow posture, takes her light hand in his, and recites six holy texts; after which he sits down with her near the fire, and makes oblations, while severally and conjointly he names the three worlds. On the evening of the same day, when the stars begin to appeal, the bride sits down on a bull's hide of a red colour, placed with the neck towards the east, and the hair upwards; and the bridegroom, sitting down beside her, makes oblations, naming the three worlds as usual; then six other oblations, pouring each time the remainder of the clarified butter on her head, and reciting prayers.¹ After rising up, and contemplating the polar star as an emblem of stability, matrons pour upon them water mixed with leaves, which had been placed upon an altar prepared for that purpose, and the bridegroom again makes oblations with the names of the worlds. He then eats food, prepared without factitious salt, reciting prayers during the meal: and when he has finished, the remainder is given to the bride. During the three subsequent days, the married couple must remain in the house of the father of the bride, must abstain from factitious salt, must live chastely and austere, sleeping on the ground. On the fourth day the bridegroom carries her to his house, reciting texts when he ascends the carriage, and when they come to cross-roads. Leading her into his own house lie chants A hymn, when matrons hail, and seat her on a bull's hide

¹ * As these prayers have something in them characteristic, they had better here be presented: 1. "I obviate by this full oblation all ill marks in the limbs of thy hands, in thy eye-lashes, and in the spots of thy body. 2. I obviate by this full oblation all the ill marks in thy hair; and whatever is sinful in thy looking or in thy crying. 3. I obviate by this full oblation all that may be sin-

as before, and the bridegroom recites a prayer. They place next a young child in her lap, putting roots of lotus, or fruits, into his hand; when the bridegroom takes him up, and preparing a sacrificial fire with all the usual ceremonies, makes eight different oblations, with as many prayers. The bride then salutes her father-in-law, and the other relations of her husband. The bridegroom prepares another sacrificial fire, and sits down With the bride on his right hand: when with the usual preliminary and concluding oblations to the three worlds, he makes twenty oblations, with as many prayers, throwing the remainder of each portion of the consecrated butter into a jar of water, which is afterwards poured on the head of the bride.

If the ceremonies prescribed for marriage are thus multiplied, trivial, and tiresome, those allotted to funerals are in point of number still more exorbitant and oppressive. After a specimen, however, of the Hindu ceremonies, there is something exceedingly monotonous in the detail of the rest; and hardly anything is more ungrateful than to be obliged to go through them. The reader is, therefore, spared the task of studying the funeral rites of the Hindus, of which, notwithstanding, he may form a sufficient conception, as, in point of character, they exactly resemble those which have already been described.¹

Of the monthly ceremonies, one may suffice to afford an idea of the whole. "From month to month," says the law of Menu, "on the dark day of the moon, let a twice-born man, having finished the daily sacrament of the Pitris, and his fire being still blazing, perform the solemn sraddha."³ Of the sraddhas, which are numerous but very similar, the following is exhibited as a specimen. The person who is to perform the ceremony having purified the place by smearing it with cow-dung, raises on it an altar of sand of certain dimensions and form, washes his hands and feet, sips water, and puts a ring of cusa grass on the ring-finger of each hand. He then sits down on a cushion of cusa grass, and lights a lamp, reciting a prayer. He next places the utensils and materials in order, sprinkles water on himself and all around, meditates on Vishnu, surnamed the Lotos-eyed, meditates the gayatri, and after some ceremonies proceeds to invite

ful in thy temper, in thy speaking, and in thy laughing. 4. I obviate by this full oblation all the ill marks in thy teeth, and in the dark Intervals between them; in thy hands and in thy feet. 5. I obviate by this full oblation all the ill marks on thy thighs, on thy privy part, on thy haunches, and on the lineaments of thy figure. 6. Whatever natural or accidental evil marks were on all thy limbs, I have obviated all such marks by these full oblations of clarified batter. May this oblation be efficacious."

¹ See a very full delineation of these funeral rites in Mr. Coiebrooke's Second Essay on the Religious Ceremonies of the Hindus, *Asiat. Res.* vii. 239 to 264.

² Institutes of Menu, ch. iii. 122.

and to welcome the assembled gods and the manes. Two little cushions, of three blades of cusa grass, he places on one side of the altar for the Viswadevas, and six in front of it for the Pitris, and strewing on them cusa grass, he asks, " Shall I invoke the assembled gods?" *Do so;* is the answer; upon which he exclaims, "Assembled gods! hear my invocation: come and sit down on this holy grass." After scattering barley and meditating a prayer to the gods, he invites the manes of ancestors with similar invocations; and welcomes the gods and manes with oblations of water, &c. in vessels made of leaves. He puts cusa grass into the vessels, and sprinkles them with water, while he recites the prayer, beginning, " May divine waters be auspicious to us;" he next throws barley into the vessels intended for the gods, and tila into those intended for the manes, with a prayer appropriate to each. The vessels are then taken up in succession, a prayer being repeated for each; the cusa grass placed on the vessels is put into the hand of a Brahmen; that which was under them is held in the hand of the person by whom the sraddha is performed; and he pours through it, on the hand of the Brahmen, the water which the vessels contained, then piles up the empty vessels in three sets, and overturns them, saying, while he reverses the first, " Thou art a mansion for ancestors." Taking up food smeared with clarified butter, he next makes two oblations to fire, with two corresponding prayers. The residue of the oblation, the performer having consecrated it by prayers and other ceremonies, having sweetened it with honey and sugar, and having meditated the gayatri with the names of worlds, is distributed among the Brahmens; and when they have eaten till they have acknowledged that they are satisfied, he gives them water to rinse their mouths. He then offers the cakes, consisting of baUs or lumps of food, mixed with clarified butter, observing the requisite ceremonies- In the next place, he makes six libations of water from the palms of his hands, with the salutation to the seasons; then places with due ceremonies and texts, a thread on each funeral cake, to serve as apparel for the manes. After this he takes up the middle cake and smells it, or his wife, if they are desirous of male offspring, eats it, while they recite a correspondent prayer. He takes up the rest of the cakes, and smelling them one after another, throws them into a vessel; which done, they are given to a mendicant priest, or a cow, or else cast into the water. He then dismisses the manes, reciting a holy text, and having walked round the spot, and recited a prayer, departs.¹ "Formal obsequies," says Mr. Colebrooke, " are performed no less than ninety-six times in every year."²

¹ Colebrooke on the Religious Ceremonies of the Hindus, Asiatic Researches, vii 264 to 270.
² Ibid. 270.

NOTE D. BY H. H. W.,

Very grave faults disfigure the whole of this review of the manners and character of the people of India, and they not only render it valueless as authority, but expose it to the imputation of want of liberality and candour.

That the Hindu character is *not* without blemishes is undeniable, but it is not such a monstrous mass of vice as is here depicted; nor is it so utterly devoid of all redeeming virtues. If the picture were faithful, it would be impossible, as Colonel Vans Kennedy justly observes, for society to be held together. "Bend asunder the ties which unite husband and wife, parent and child, banish faith, honesty, and truth, and be the indulgence of every furious and malignant passion fostered and sanctioned by religion, and then by what bonds and what relations can society be maintained."—Trans. Literary Society of Bombay, ii. 124.

Mr. Mill's unjust representation of the Hindu character has arisen from his unfortunate choice of guides, and, in some respects, uncandid use of them. At the time at which Orme was in India, the opportunities of acquiring any knowledge of the Hindus were exceedingly defective, and his account of them is short and imperfect: it was also posthumous, and was possibly not intended by him for publication. Buchanan could not learn the language, and was prejudiced against the people because they did not understand him. Tennant is evidently a superficial, ignorant, and self-sufficient observer. Another of his authorities, Mr. Tytler, is of more weight, but he was, a young and active magistrate of police, and his opinions were naturally biased by his professional occupations. He had little leisure or opportunity to form a knowledge of the natives, except as they came before him in the course of criminal proceedings. Yet he bears testimony to the possession by the Hindus of virtues as *well* as vices, although he is unfairly quoted only as a witness of the latter. "The natives," he says, "have in their character many faults and many excellencies: at present they have, at least, the following good qualities, patience, mildness, obedience, hospitality, sobriety, temperance."

In like manner the testimony of Dubois is cited whenever it is hostile to the Hindus; but it is not noticed, that as a set-off to meet that which he censures, the Abbe pronounces the **highest** possible panegyric upon them. "The Hindus," he **remarks** "are not in want of improvement in the discharge of social duties amongst themselves. They understand this point as well as and *perhaps better than Europeans.*" To the Abbe, however, as well as to Ward, and other witnesses of the same class, there

are obvious objections. As Missionaries, and therefore it is to be concluded, persons of much moral and religious sensitiveness, they see the errors and vices of a heathen people through a medium by which they are exaggerated beyond their natural dimensions, and assume an enormity which would not be assigned to the very same defects in Christians. All the evidence then upon which Mr. Mill solely and implicitly relies is good for little: it is either partially quoted, or it is influenced by false views, or it is palpably erroneous. It must be wholly set aside, and we must look to an estimate of the Hindu character from other sources. These are not deficient, and, as might be expected, they are utterly at variance with Mr. Mill's incompetent guides.

Men equally eminent in wisdom as in station, remarkable for the extent of their opportunities of observation, and the ability and diligence with which they used them, distinguished for possessing by their knowledge of the language and literature of the country, and by their habits of intimacy with the natives, the best, the only means of judging of the native character, and unequalled for the soundness of their judgment, and the comprehensiveness of their views—these men have left upon record opinions highly favourable to the character of the Hindus. To none of these has Mr. Mill made any allusion whatever, and as there is reason to think that some of them were accessible, having been published in 1813, and being for other purposes actually quoted by him, the omission reflects seriously upon either his industry or his candour. It is to be hoped that they escaped his research, and it is possible that the early pages of the history were written prior to the date of the parliamentary investigation, by which the opinions referred to were called forth. In the evidence given before parliament in 1813, many of the witnesses were interrogated respecting the Hindu character; the answers were very remarkable, both for the opinions which were uttered, and the persons by whom they were expressed. To a chapter like the present they furnish a wholesome correction, and they deserve to be perpetuated along with the history of British India. They were the following:—

Mr. Graeme Mercer, who, during a period of twenty-five years, had filled important political stations in distant parts of India, thus pronounces the result of his experience. " It is difficult to form a general character of the natives of an empire which extends from near the equinoctial line to thirty-one degrees of north latitude: if called upon for a general characteristic of the natives of that empire, I would say, that they are mild in their dispositions, polished in their general manners} in their domestic relations kind and affectionate—submissive to

authority, and peculiarly attached to their religious tenets, and to the observance of the rites and ceremonies prescribed by those tenets. In referring to any distinction in this general characteristic, I should say, that the inhabitants of the northern provinces of Hindustan, were of a more bold and decided character, and less submissive to authority than those of the southern provinces, but equally attached to the observance of their religious rites and ceremonies."

Captain Sydenham, who had also held high political appointments, thus answers the question of the committee, regarding the moral character of the Hindoos. "It is really very difficult to give the character of so large a portion of the human race, who, although they possess many qualities in common, are of course distinguished by strong shades of difference in different parts of India, arising from the climate under which they live; the government to which they are subject; the distinction of castes which prevails more in some parts of India than in others; their habits and occupations, and other circumstances, which in all countries produce a difference in the moral character of men———To define the moral character of so extensive a nation within the compass of any answer which it is in my power to give to the committee, will be of course extremely difficult; but I think the general character of the Hindoo is submissive, docile, sober, inoffensive, as long as his religious prejudices and habits are not violated: capable of great attachment and loyalty, as long as they are well treated by their governors and masters; quick in apprehension, intelligent, active, generally honest, and performing the duties of charity, benevolence, and filial affection with as much sincerity and regularity as any nation with which I am acquainted."

Sir John Malcolm speaks in similar terms as the preceding, of a difficulty which never occurs to the calumniators of the people of India, that of giving a general character of the different races subject to, the British government, who vary as much, if not more, than the nations of Europe do from each other. The people of Bengal he describes as weak in body and timid in mind, and those below Calcutta, to be in character and appearance among the lowest of our Hindu subjects, but "from the moment you enter the district of Bahar, the Hindoo inhabitants are a race of men, generally speaking, not more distinguished by their lofty stature and robust frame, than they are for some of the finest qualities of the mind—they are brave, generous humane, and their truth is as remarkable as their courage." At a subsequent examination he bears witness to the favourable character of the natives generally, for veracity, fidelity, and honour. "I have hardly ever known," he observes, "where a person did

understand the language, or where a calm communication was made to a native of India, through a well-informed and trustworthy medium, that the result did not prove, that what had at first been stated as falsehood, had either proceeded from fear, or from misapprehension. I by no means wish to state, that our Indian subjects are more free from this vice than other nations that occupy a nearly equal condition in society, but I am positive that they are not more addicted to untruth. With respect to the honour of our native subjects, it is, as that feeling is understood in this country, chiefly cherished by the military tribes, among whom I have known innumerable instances of its being carried to a pitch that would be considered in England more fit for the page of a romance than a history: with regard to their fidelity, I think, as far as my knowledge extends, there is, generally speaking, no race of men more to be trusted

I should state, that there are few large communities in the world, whose dispositions are better, or (speaking to the virtues described in the question) more praiseworthy: it may also be stated as a general proof of their possessing those qualities, the attachment which almost all European masters who reside in India feel for their native servants. This feeling amongst those who understand the language, and who are of good temper and character, is almost without an exception."

Sir Thomas Munro, when asked if he thought the civilization of the Hindoos would be promoted by the trade with England being thrown open, replied, "I do not exactly understand what is meant by the 'civilization' of the Hindus. In the higher branches of science, in the knowledge of the theory and practice of good government, and in an education, which by banishing prejudice—and superstition—opens the mind to receive instruction of every kind from every quarter, they are much inferior to Europeans. But if a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to either convenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching reading, writing, and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity amongst each other, and above all, a treatment of the female sex, full of confidence, respect, and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilized people—then the Hindoos are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilization is to become an article of trade between the two countries, I am convinced that this country will gain by the import cargo."

A still more impressive reply was made by Warren Hastings. Being asked if he could give the committee any general description of the national character of the people of India, as contrasted with that of the English, he replied, "In answering to

this question, it will not be easy to divest my mind of certain circumstances connected with it, which do not relerantly pertain to the question itself. Great pains have been taken to inculcate into the public mind, an opinion, that the native Indians are in a state of complete moral turpitude, and live in the constant and unrestrained commission of every vice and crime that can dia* grace human nature. I affirm, by the oath that I have taken, that this description of them is untrue, and wholly unfounded. What I have to add must be taken as my belief, but a belief impressed by a longer and more intimate acquaintance with the people than has fallen to the lot of many of my countrymen* In speaking of the people, it is necessary to distinguish the Hindoos, who form the great portion of the people of India, from the Mohammedans, who are intermixed with them, but generally live in separate communities; the former are gentle, benevolent, more susceptible of gratitude for kindness shown them than prompted to vengeance for wrongs inflicted, and as exempt from the worst propensities of human passion as any people upon the face of the earth; they are faithful and affectionate in service, and submissive to legal authority; they are superstitious, it is true, but they do not think ill of us for not thinking as they do. Gross as the modes of their worship are, the precepts of their religion arc wonderfully fitted to promote the best ends of society, its peace and good order; and even from their theology, arguments may be drawn to illustrate and support the most refined mysteries of our own." He then alludes to their unanimous and voluntary testimony in his own behalf when known to be the object of an iniquitous prosecution in England, and justly observes, " this effort of theirs affords as strong a proof as can be afforded or conceived, that they themselves possess in a very high degree the principles of gratitude, affection, honour, and justice." Minutes of Evidence before Committees of both Houses of Parliament, March and April, 1813. .

To the high authorities here cited, no additional testimony can be required; but the opinions they have placed on record, have been since repeated by other witnesses, ail of a very different stamp from the flippant travellers and prejudiced missionaries upon whom Mr. Mill depends, and who have contemplated Indian maimers and the character of the people under very different aspects, and with very various qualifications. The opinions of Col.Vans Kennedy, a distinguished scholar in both Mohammedan and Hindu literature, and a man of extraordinary reading and research, have been already cited, as given in a paper in the Bombay Transactions, written for the express purpose of exposing Mr. Mill's mistakes. Of a no less active and cultivated mind WAS

the lamented Bishop Heber, and he repeatedly bears favourable testimony to the manners and character of the people of India.

* To say that the Hindoos or Mussulmans are deficient in any essential feature of a civilized people, is an assertion which I can scarcely suppose to be made by any who have lived with them; their manners are at least as pleasing and courteous as those in the corresponding stations of life among ourselves." *Journal* ii. 382.

** I do not by any means assent to the pictures of depravity and general worthlessness which some have drawn of the Hindoos. They are decidedly by nature, a mild, pleasing, and intelligent race; sober, parsimonious; and where an object is held out to them, most industrious and persevering." *Ibid.* ii. 329.

"Of the people, so far as their natural character is concerned, I have been led to form on the whole a very favourable opinion. They have unhappily, many of the vices, arising from slavery, from an unsettled state of society, and immoral and erroneous systems of religion. But they are men of high and gallant courage, courteous, intelligent, and most eager after knowledge and improvement; with a remarkable aptitude for the abstract sciences, gwmetry, astronomy, &c; and for the imitative* arts, painting and sculpture. They are sober, industrious, dutiful to their parents, and affectionate to their children; of tempers almost uniformly gentle and patient, and more easily affected by kindness and attention to their wants and feelings than almost any men I have met with." *Ibid.* ii. 369. And in his charge to his clergy at Calcutta in 1824, he observes, "I have found in India, a race of Ijente and temperate habits, with a natural talent and acuteness toeyond the ordinary level of mankind." A third witness is of a very different description from all who preceded him; a Hindu, one, the great object of whose life was to elevate the' moral and intellectual character of his countrymen, and who was little inclined to veil or palliate their faults. Kammohum Hoy's opinions on the moral condition of the people of India, are thus recorded in the first appendix to the Third Report of the House of Commons, 1831, p. 293, and they are well entitled to consideration for their modest, moderate, and candid spirit.

The question put to him was, "will you state your general views With respect to the moral condition of the people?"—to which he answered: **a great variety of opinions on this subject has been already afloat in Europe, for some centuries past, particularly In recent times; some favourable to the people of India, some against them. Those Europeans who, on their arrival in India, happened to meet with persons whose conduct afforded them satisfaction, felt prepossessed in favour of the whole native population; others again, who happened to meet with ill-treatment and misfortunes, occasioned by the misconduct or opposition,

social or religious, of the persons with whom they chanced to have dealings or communication, represented the whole Indian race in a corresponding light; while some, even without being in the country at all, or seeing or conversing with any natives of India, have formed an opinion of them at second-hand, founded on theory and conjecture. There, is, however, a fourth class of persons, few indeed in number, who, though they seem unprejudiced, yet have differed widely from each other in many of their inferences, from facts equally within the sphere of their observation; as generally happens with respect to matters not capable of rigid demonstration. I therefore feel great reluctance in offering an opinion on a subject on which I may unfortunately differ from a considerable number of those gentlemen: however, being called upon for an opinion, I feel bound to state my impression, although I may perhaps be mistaken.

From a careful survey, and observation of the people and inhabitants, of various parts of the country, and in every condition in life, I am of opinion, that the peasants or villagers, who reside away from large towns, and head-stations, and courts of law, are as innocent, temperate, and moral in their conduct, as the people of any country whatsoever; and the further I proceed towards the north and west, the greater the honesty, and simplicity, and independence of character, I meet with. The virtue of this class, however, rests at present chiefly on their primitive simplicity, and a strong religious feeling which leads them to expect reward or punishment, for their good or bad conduct; not only in the next world, but like the ancient Jews—also in this. 2nd. The inhabitants of the cities, towns, or stations, who have much intercourse with persons employed about the courts of law, by Zemindars, &c., and with foreigners and others, in a different state of civilisation, and generally imbibe from them their habits and opinions; hence, their religious opinions are shaken, without any other principles being implanted to supply their place; consequently a great proportion of these are far inferior in point of character to the former class, and are very often even made tools of, in the nefarious work of perjury and forgery. 3rd. A third class consists of persons who are in the employ of Zemindars, or dependent for subsistence on the courts of law, who much depend for their livelihood, upon their shrewdness; and who not having generally, sufficient means to enter into commerce or business, these are, for the most part, worse than the second class. But I have met, I must confess, a great number of the second class, engaged in a respectable line of trade, who were men of real merit, worth, and character. Even among the third class, I have known many who had every disposition to act uprightly, and some actually honest in their conduct; and if they saw, by

experience, that their merits were appreciated, that they might hope to gain an independence by honest means; and that just and honourable conduct afforded the best prospect of their being ultimately rewarded, by situations of trust and respectability they would learn to feel a high regard for character and rectitude of conduct, and from cherishing such feelings, become more and more worthy of public confidence; while their example would powerfully operate on the second class before noticed, which is generally dependent on them, and under their influence."

If to the opinions thus cited I venture to add my own, it is not with the notion that any weight can or need be added to their incontestable preponderance over the authorities on which reliance has been exclusively placed in the text ; but under the impression, that it may be expected of me to give the result of a long and intimate acquaintance with the natives of Bengal under circumstances of a peculiar nature. I lived, both from necessity And choice, very much amongst them, and had opportunities of becoming acquainted with them in a greater variety of situations, than those in which they usually come under the observation of Europeans. In the Calcutta [mint, for instance, I was in daily personal communication with a numerous body of artificers, mechanics, and labourers, and always found amongst them cheerful and unwearied industry, good humoured compliance with the will of their superiors, and a readiness to make whatever exertions were demanded from them: there were among them no drunkenness, no disorderly conduct, no insubordination. It would not be true to say, that there was no dishonesty, but it was comparatively rare, invariably petty, and much less formidable, than, I believe, it is necessary to guard against in other mints in other countries. There was considerable skill and ready docility. 80 far from there being any servility, there was extreme frankness, and I should say, that where there is confidence without fear, frankness is one of the most universal features in the Indian character. Let the people feel sure of the temper and good-will of their superiors, and there is an end of reserve or timidity, without the slightest departure from respect. In these same workmen, and in all the natives employed in the mint, from the highest to the lowest, I invariably witnessed grateful attachment to those by whom they were treated with merited consideration.

The studies which engaged my leisure brought me into connexion with a very different class of natives, the men of learning, and in them I found the similar merits of industry, intelligence, cheerfulness, frankness, with others, peculiar to their avocation. A very common characteristic of these men, and of the Hindus especially, was a simplicity truly childish, and a total unacquaintance with the business and maimers of life; where this feature

was lost,, it was chiefly by those who had been long familiar with Europeans. Amongst the Pundits, or the learned Hindus, there prevailed great ignorance and great dread of the European character. There is, indeed, very little intercourse between any class of Europeans and Hindu scholars, and it is not wonderful, therefore, that much mutual misapprehension should prevail.

Taking an active part in the education of the natives, both in their own and in English literature, I had many opportunities of witnessing the native character developing itself in boyhood and in youth, and the object was one of profound interest. There can be little doubt, that the native mind outstrips in early years the intellect of the Europeans, and generally speaking, boys are much more quick in apprehension, and earnest in application, than those of our own schools—they are also more amiable, more easily controlled, more readily encouraged, more anxious to deserve the approbation of their masters and examiners. The early age at which they are married and enter into active life, is unfavourable to the full improvement of their moral and intellectual faculties; but during the greater part of the period of tutelage, there is a strikingly interesting manifestation of right feeling and of comprehensive intellect in native youth.

Occasions of public and private intercourse with another class of natives, men of property and respectability, were not unfrequent during a residence of twenty-four years in Calcutta, and they afforded me many opportunities of witnessing polished manners, clearness and comprehensiveness of understanding, liberality of feeling, and independence of principle, that would have stamped them gentlemen in any country in the world. With some of this class I formed friendships which I trust to enjoy through life.

Without pretending to deny, then, that there are many and grave defects in the native character, some inseparable from human nature, and others ascribable to physical constitution, to political position, and to an absurd and corrupt religion, my own experience satisfies me that it also presents many virtues, and that the natives of India are an estimable and amiable people, who deserve and will requite with attachment and improvement the kindness and justice, which they have a right to demand from the strangers who rule over them.

THE END.

