

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OU_214365

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Call No.

Accession No.,

Author

Title

**This book should be returned on or before the date
last marked below.**

THE
HISTORY OF ROME
MOMMSEN

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED
LONDON • BOMBAY • CALCUTTA
MELBOURNE

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
NEW YORK • BOSTON CHICAGO
DALLAS • SAN FRANCISCO

THE MACMILLAN CO OF CANADA, LTD.
TORONTO

THE
HISTORY OF ROME

BY
THEODOR MOMMSEN

TRANSLATED
WITH THE SANCTION OF THE AUTHOR

BY
WILLIAM PURDIE DICKSON, D.D., LL.D.
PROFESSOR OF DIVINITY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW

A NEW EDITION REVISED THROUGHOUT AND
EMBODYING RECENT ADDITIONS

VOL. V

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED
ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON

1913

Crown 8vo Edition (4 Vols.)

II., *First Edition, February 19, 1862, price 18s. {subsequently 21s.}*
Reprinted 1864, 1867, 1871, 1875, 1877, 1881, 1888

Vol. III., First Edition, August 19, 1863, 10s. 6d.

Reprinted 1865, 1867, 1870, 1875, 1880, 1886

Vol. IV., Crown 8vo; originally in two parts, 16s. ; latterly in one volume on thinner paper, with index added and price reduced to 15s.; published in two parts, November 21, 1866

Reprinted 1867, 1875, 1877, 1880, 1886

*The Library {Demy 8vo} Edition (4 Vols.); published November 4, 1868,
at 72s.; latterly the price was 75s.*

New Edition (5 Vols.), Crown 8vo, 37s. 6d. Vol. I., published October 1894 (7s. 6d.), reprinted 1901, 1908 ; Vol. II., November 1894 (7s. 6d.), reprinted 1901, 1908; Vol. III., December 1894 (7s. 6d.), reprinted 1901, 1908, 1913; Vol. IV., January 1895 (7s. 6d.), reprinted 1901, 1908 ; Vol. V., February 1895 (7s. 6d.), reprinted 1901, 1908, 1913

CONTENTS

BOOK FIFTH

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MILITARY MONARCHY *Continued*

CHAPTER VII	
THE SUBJUGATION OF THE WEST	PAGE
CHAPTER VIII	
THE JOINT RULE OF POMPEIUS AND CAESAR	3
CHAPTER IX	
DEATH OF CRASSUS—RUPTURE BETWEEN THE JOINT RULERS	107
CHAPTER X	
BRUNDISIUM, ILERDA, PHARSALUS, AND THAPSUS .	150
CHAPTER XI	
THE OLD REPUBLIC AND THE NEW MONARCHY	193
CHAPTER XII	
RELIGION, CULTURE, LITERATURE, AND ART	443
INDEX	519
COLLATION OF PAGING OF OTHER EDITIONS FOR VERIFYING REFERENCES	589

BOOK FIFTH

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MILITARY MONARCHY

Continued

CHAPTER VII

THE SUBJUGATION OF THE WEST

WHEN the course of history turns from the miserable monotony of the political selfishness, which fought its battles in the senate-house and in the streets of the capital, to matters of greater importance than the question whether the first monarch of Rome should be called Gnaeus, Gaius, or Marcus, we may well be allowed—on the threshold of an event, the effects of which still at the present day influence the destinies of the world—to look round us for a moment, and to indicate the point of view under which the conquest of what is now France by the Romans, and their first contact with the inhabitants of Germany and of Great Britain, are to be apprehended in their bearing on the general history of the world.

**The
Roman
izing of
the west**

By virtue of the law, that a people which has grown into a state absorbs its neighbours who are in political nonage, and a civilized people absorbs its neighbours who are in intellectual nonage—by virtue of this law, which is as universally valid and as much a law of nature as the law of gravity—the Italian nation (the only one in antiquity which was able to combine a superior political development and a superior civilization, though it presented the latter only in an imperfect and external manner) was entitled to reduce to subjection the Greek states of the east which were ripe for destruction. and to dispossess the peoples of

lower grades of culture in the west—Libyans, Iberians, Celts, Germans—by means of its settlers; just as England with equal right has in Asia reduced to subjection a civilization of rival standing but politically impotent, and in America and Australia has marked and ennobled, and still continues to mark and ennoble, extensive barbarian countries with the impress of its nationality. The Roman aristocracy had accomplished the preliminary condition required for this task—the union of Italy; the task itself it never solved, but always regarded the extra-Italian conquests either as simply a necessary evil, or as a fiscal possession virtually beyond the pale of the state. It is the imperishable glory of the Roman democracy or monarchy—for the two coincide—to have correctly apprehended and vigorously realized this its highest destination. What the irresistible force of circumstances had paved the way for, through the senate establishing against its will the foundations of the future Roman dominion in the west as in the east; what thereafter the Roman emigration to the provinces—which came as a public calamity, no doubt, but also in the western regions at any rate as a pioneer of a higher culture—pursued as matter of instinct; the creator of the Roman democracy, Gaius Gracchus, grasped and began to carry out with statesmanlike clearness and decision. The two fundamental ideas of the new policy—to reunite the territories under the power of Rome, so far as they were Hellenic, and to colonize them, so far as they were not Hellenic—had already in the Gracchan age been practically recognized by the annexation of the kingdom of Attalus and by the Transalpine conquests of Flaccus: but the prevailing reaction once more arrested their application. The Roman state remained a chaotic mass of countries without thorough occupation and without proper limits. Spain and the Graeco-Asiatic possessions were separated from the mother country by wide territories, of which

barely the borders along the coast were subject to the Romans ; on the north coast of Africa the domains of Carthage and Cyrene alone were occupied like oases; large tracts even of the subject territory, especially in Spain, were but nominally subject to the Romans. Absolutely nothing was done on the part of the government towards concentrating and rounding off their dominion, and the decay of the fleet seemed at length to dissolve the last bond of connection between the distant possessions. The democracy no doubt attempted, so soon as it again raised its head, to shape its external policy in the spirit of Gracchus—Marius in particular cherished such ideas—but as it did not for any length of time attain the helm, its projects were left unfulfilled. It was not till the democracy practically took in hand the government on the overthrow of the Sullan constitution in 684, that a revolution in this respect occurred. First of all their sovereignty on the Mediterranean was restored—the most vital question for a state like that of Rome. Towards the east, moreover, the boundary of the Euphrates was secured by the annexation of the provinces of Pontus and Syria. But there still remained beyond the Alps the task of at once rounding off the Roman territory towards the north and west, and of gaining a fresh virgin soil there for Hellenic civilization and for the yet unbroken vigour of the Italic race.

This task Gaius Caesar undertook. It is more than an error, it is an outrage upon the sacred spirit dominant in history, to regard Gaul solely as the parade ground on which Caesar exercised himself and his legions for the impending civil war. Though the subjugation of the west was for Caesar so far a means to an end that he laid the foundations of his later height of power in the Transalpine wars, it is the especial privilege of a statesman of genius that his means themselves are ends in their turn. Caesar needed no doubt for his party aims a military power, but

Historical
signifi-
cance
of the
conquests
of Caesar.

he did not conquer Gaul as a partisan. There was a direct political necessity for Rome to meet the perpetually threatened invasion of the Germans thus early beyond the Alps, and to construct a rampart there which should secure the peace of the Roman world. But even this important object was not the highest and ultimate reason for which Gaul was conquered by Caesar. When the old home had become too narrow for the Roman burgesses and they were in danger of decay, the senate's policy of Italian conquest saved them from ruin. Now the Italian home had become in its turn too narrow; once more the state languished under the same social evils repeating themselves in similar fashion only on a greater scale. It was a brilliant idea, a grand hope, which led Caesar over the Alps—the idea and the confident expectation that he should gain there for his fellow-burgesses a new boundless home, and regenerate the state a second time by placing it on a broader basis.

**Caesar [61.
in Spain.**

The campaign which Caesar undertook in 693 in Further Spain, may be in some sense included among the enterprises which aimed at the subjugation of the west. Long as Spain had obeyed the Romans, its western shore had remained substantially independent of them even after the expedition of Decimus Brutus against the Callaeci (iii. 232), and they had not even set foot on the northern coast; while the predatory raids, to which the subject provinces found themselves continually exposed from those quarters, did no small injury to the civilization and Romanizing of Spain. Against these the expedition of Caesar along the west coast was directed. He crossed the chain of the Herminian mountains (Sierra de Estrella) bounding the Tagus on the north; after having conquered their inhabitants and transplanted them in part to the plain, he reduced the country on both sides of the Douro and arrived at the north-west point of the peninsula, where with the aid of a flotilla brought up from Gades he occupied Brigantium (Corunna). By this means **the**

peoples adjoining the Atlantic Ocean, Lusitanians and Cal-laecians, were forced to acknowledge the Roman supremacy, while the conqueror was at the same time careful to render the position of the subjects generally more tolerable by reducing the tribute to be paid to Rome and regulating the financial affairs of the communities.

But, although in this military and administrative *debut* of the great general and statesman the same talents and the same leading ideas are discernible which he afterwards evinced on a greater stage, his agency in the Iberian peninsula was much too transient to have any deep effect; the more especially as, owing to its physical and national peculiarities, nothing but action steadily continued for a considerable time could exert any durable influence there.

A more important part in the Romanic development of the west was reserved by destiny for the country which stretches between the Pyrenees and the Rhine, the Mediterranean and the Atlantic Ocean, and which since the Augustan age has been especially designated by the name of the land of the Celts—Gallia—although strictly speaking the land of the Celts was partly narrower, partly much more extensive, and the country so called never formed a national unity, and did not form a political unity before Augustus. For this very reason it is not easy to present a clear picture of the very heterogeneous state of things which Caesar encountered on his arrival there in 696.

58.

In the region on the Mediterranean, which, embracing approximately Languedoc on the west of the Rhone, on the east Dauphine and Provence, had been for sixty years a Roman province, the Roman arms had seldom been at rest since the Cimbrian invasion which had swept over it. In 664 Gaius Caelius had fought with the Salyes about Aquae Sextiae, and in 674 Gaius Flaccus (iv. 93), on his march to Spain, with other Celtic nations. When in the Sertorian war the governor Lucius Manlius, compelled to hasten to

The
Roman
province.

Wars [90.
and revolts
there. [80.

- the aid of his colleagues beyond the Pyrenees, returned defeated from Ilerda (Lerida) and on his way home was vanquished a second time by the western neighbours of the
78. Roman province, the Aquitani (about 676 ; iv. 283/), this seems to have provoked a general rising of the provincials between the Pyrenees and the Rhone, perhaps even of those between the Rhone and Alps. Pompeius had to make his way with the sword through the insurgent Gaul to Spain (iv. 293), and by way of penalty for their rebellion gave the territories of the Volcae-Arecomici and the Helvii (dep. Gard and Ardeche) over to the Massiliots; the governor
74. Manius Fonteius (678-680) carried out these arrangements and restored tranquillity in the province by subduing the Vocontii (dep. Drome), protecting Massilia from the insurgents, and liberating the Roman capital Narbo which they invested. Despair, however, and the financial embarrassment which the participation in the sufferings of the Spanish war (iv. 298) and generally the official and non-official exactions of the Romans brought upon the Gallic provinces, did not allow them to be tranquil; and in particular the canton of the Allobroges, the most remote from Narbo, was in a perpetual ferment, which was attested by the "pacification" that Gaius Piso undertook there in
66. 6SS as well as by the behaviour of the Allobrogian embassy
63. in Rome on occasion of the anarchist plot in 691 (iv. 480).
61. and which soon afterwards (693) broke into open revolt. Catagnatus the leader of the Allobroges in this war of despair, who had at first fought not unsuccessfully, was conquered at Solonium after a glorious resistance by the governor Gaius Pomptinus.

Bounds.

Notwithstanding all these conflicts the bounds of the Roman territory were not materially advanced; Lugudunum Convenarum, where Pompeius had settled the remnant of the Sertorian army (iv. 304), Tolosa, Vienna and Genava were still the most remote Roman townships towards the

west and north. But at the same time the importance of these Gallic possessions for the mother country was continually on the increase. The glorious climate, akin to that of Italy, the favourable nature of the soil, the large and rich region lying behind so advantageous for commerce with its mercantile routes reaching as far as Britain, the easy intercourse by land and sea with the mother country, rapidly gave to southern Gaul an economic importance for Italy, which much older possessions, such as those in Spain, had not acquired in the course of centuries; and as the Romans who had suffered political shipwreck at this period sought an asylum especially in Massilia, and there found once more Italian culture and Italian luxury, voluntary emigrants from Italy also were attracted more and more to the Rhone and the Garonne. "The province of Gaul," it was said in a sketch drawn ten years before Caesar's arrival, "is full of merchants; it swarms with Roman burgesses. No native of Gaul transacts a piece of business without the intervention of a Roman; every penny, that passes from one hand to another in Gaul, goes through the account books of the Roman burgesses." From the same description it appears that in addition to the colonists of Narbo there were Romans cultivating land and rearing cattle, resident in great numbers in Gaul; as to which, however, it must not be overlooked that most of the provincial land possessed by Romans, just like the greater part of the English possessions in the earliest times in America, was in the hands of the high nobility living in Italy, and those farmers and graziers consisted for the most part of their stewards—slaves or freedmen.

**Relations
to Rome.**

It is easy to understand how under such circumstances civilization and Romanizing rapidly spread among the natives. These Celts were not fond of agriculture; but their new masters compelled them to exchange the sword for the plough, and it is very credible that the embittered

**Incipient
Roman-
izing.**

resistance of the Allobroges was provoked in part by some such injunctions. In earlier times Hellenism had also to a certain degree dominated those regions; the elements of a higher culture, the stimulus to the cultivation of the vine and the olive (Hi. 315), to the use of writing¹ and to the coining of money, came to them from Massilia. The Hellenic culture was in this case far from being set aside by the Romans; Massilia gained through them more influence than it lost; and even in the Roman period Greek physicians and rhetoricians were publicly employed in the Gallic cantons. But, as may readily be conceived, Hellenism in southern Gaul acquired through the agency of the Romans the same character as in Italy; the distinctively Hellenic civilization gave place to the Latino-Greek mixed culture, which soon made proselytes here in great numbers. The "Gauls in the breeches," as the inhabitants of southern Gaul were called by way of contrast to the "Gauls in the toga" of northern Italy, were not indeed like the latter already completely Romanized, but they were even now very perceptibly distinguished from the "longhaired Gauls" of the northern regions still unsubdued. The semiculture becoming naturalized among them furnished, doubtless, materials enough for ridicule of their barbarous Latin, and people did not fail to suggest to any one suspected of Celtic descent his "relationship with the breeches"; but this bad Latin was yet sufficient to enable even the remote Allobroges to transact business with the Roman authorities, and even to give testimony in the Roman courts without an interpreter.

While the Celtic and Ligurian population of these regions was thus in the course of losing its nationality, and was

¹ There was found, for instance, at Vaison in the Vocontian canton an inscription written in the Celtic language with the ordinary Greek alphabet. It runs thus: *σεγαμαρος ουιλλαντες τοουτιους γεμανσας ειωρον βηλησ-μιασιν νεμητον*. The last word means "holy."

languishing and pining withal under a political and economic oppression, the intolerable nature of which is sufficiently attested by their hopeless insurrections, the decline of the native population here went hand in hand with the naturalizing of the same higher culture which we find at this period in Italy. Aquae Sextiae and still more Narbo were considerable townships, which might probably be named by the side of Beneventum and Capua; and Massilia, the best organized, most free, most capable of self-defence, and most powerful of all the Greek cities dependent on Rome, under its rigorous aristocratic government to which the Roman conservatives probably pointed as the model of a good urban constitution, in possession of an important territory which had been considerably enlarged by the Romans and of an extensive trade, stood by the side of those Latin towns as Rhegium and Neapolis stood in Italy by the side of Beneventum and Capua.

Matters wore a different aspect, when one crossed the **Free Gaul** Roman frontier. The great Celtic nation, which in the southern districts already began to be crushed by the Italian immigration, still moved to the north of the Cevennes in its time-hallowed freedom. It is not the first time that we meet it: the Italians had already fought with the offsets and advanced posts of this vast stock on the Tiber and on the Po, in the mountains of Castile and Carinthia, and even in the heart of Asia Minor; but it was here that the main stock was first assailed at its very core by their attacks. The Celtic race had on its settlement in central Europe diffused itself chiefly over the rich river-valleys and the pleasant hill-country of the present France, including the western districts of Germany and Switzerland, and from thence had occupied at least the southern part of England, perhaps even at this time all Great Britain and Ireland;¹ it formed here

¹ An immigration of Belgic Celts to Britain continuing for a considerable time seems indicated by the names of English tribes on both banks of the

more than anywhere else a broad, geographically compact, mass of peoples. In spite of the differences in language and manners which naturally were to be found within this wide territory, a close mutual intercourse, an innate sense of fellowship, seems to have knit together the tribes from the Rhone and Garonne to the Rhine and the Thames ; whereas, although these doubtless were in a certain measure locally connected with the Celts in Spain and in the modern Austria, the mighty mountain barriers of the Pyrenees and the Alps on the one hand, and the encroachments of the Romans and the Germans which also operated here on the other, interrupted the intercourse and the intrinsic connection of the cognate peoples far otherwise than the narrow arm of the sea interrupted the relations of the continental and the British Celts. Unhappily we are not permitted to trace stage by stage the history of the internal development of this remarkable people in these its chief seats; we must be content with presenting at least some outline of its historical culture and political condition, as it here meets us in the time of Caesar.

Population.

Gaul was, according to the reports of the ancients, comparatively well peopled. Certain statements lead us to infer that in the Belgic districts there were some 42 persons to the square mile—a proportion such as nearly holds at present for Wales¹ and for Livonia—in the Helvetic canton about 52 ;² it is probable that in the districts which were more

Thames borrowed from Belgic cantons; such as the Atrebares, the Belgae, and even the Britanni themselves, which word appears to have been transferred from the Brittones settled on the Somme below Amiens first to an English canton and then to the whole island. The English gold coinage was also derived from the Belgic and originally identical with it.

¹ Should probably be Valais.

² The first levy of the Belgic cantons exclusive of the Remi, that is, of the country between the Seine and the Scheldt and eastward as far as the vicinity of Rheims and Andernach, from 42,000 to 46,000 square miles, is reckoned at about 300,000 men ; in accordance with which, if we regard the proportion of the first levy to the whole men capable of bearing arms specified for the Bellovaci as holding good generally, the number of the Belgae capable of bearing arms would amount to 500,000 and the whole population accordingly to at least 2,000,000. The Helvetii with the

cultivated than the Belgic and less mountainous than the Helvetian, as among the Bituriges, Arverni, Haedui, the number rose still higher. Agriculture was no doubt practised in Gaul—for even the contemporaries of Caesar were surprised in the region of the Rhine by the custom of manuring with marl,¹ and the primitive Celtic custom of preparing beer (*cervesid*) from barley is likewise an evidence of the early and wide diffusion of the culture of grain—but it was not held in estimation. Even in the more civilized south it was reckoned not becoming for the free Celts to handle the plough. In far higher estimation among the Celts stood pastoral husbandry, for which the Roman landholders of this epoch very gladly availed themselves both of the Celtic breed of cattle, and of the brave Celtic slaves skilled in riding and familiar with the rearing of animals.²

Agriculture
and the
rearing of
cattle.

adjoining peoples numbered before their migration 336,000; if we assume that they were at that time already dislodged from the right bank of the Rhine, their territory may be estimated at nearly 6400 square miles. Whether the serfs are included in this, we can the less determine, as we do not know the form which slavery assumed amongst the Celts; what Caesar relates (i. 4) as to the slaves, clients, and debtors of Orgetorix tells rather in favour of, than against, their being included.

That, moreover, every such attempt to make up by combinations for the statistical basis, in which ancient history is especially deficient, must be received with due caution, will be at once apprehended by the intelligent reader, while he will not absolutely reject it on that account.

¹ "In the interior of Transalpine Gaul on the Rhine," says Scrofa in Varro, *De R. R.* i. 7, 8, "when I commanded there, I traversed some districts, where neither the vine nor the olive nor the fruit-tree appears, where they manure the fields with white Pit-chalk, where they have neither rock- nor sea-salt, but make use of the saline ashes of certain burnt wood instead of salt" This description refers probably to the period before Caesar and to the eastern districts of the old province, such as the country of the Allobroges; subsequently Pliny (*H. N.* xvii. 6, 42 seq.) describes at length the Gallo-Britannic manuring with marl.

² "The Gallic oxen especially are of good repute in Italy, for field labour forsooth; whereas the Ligurian are good for nothing" (Varro, *De R. R.* ii. 5, 9). Here, no doubt, Cisalpine Gaul is referred to, but the cattle-husbandry there doubtless goes back to the Celtic epoch. Plautus already mentions the "Gallic ponies" (*Gallici canterii*, *Aul.* iii. 5, 21). "It is not every race that is suited for the business of herdsmen; neither the Bastulians nor the Turdulians" (both in Andalusia) "are fit for; the Celts are the best, especially as respects beasts for riding and burden (iumenta)" (Varro, *De R. R.* ii. 10, 4).

Particularly in the northern Celtic districts pastoral husbandry was thoroughly predominant. Brittany was in Caesar's time a country poor in corn. In the north-east dense forests, attaching themselves to the heart of the Ardennes, stretched almost without interruption from the German Ocean to the Rhine; and on the plains of Flanders and Lorraine, now so fertile, the Menapian and Treverian herdsman then fed his half-wild swine in the impenetrable oak-forest. Just as in the valley of the Po the Romans made the production of wool and the culture of corn supersede the Celtic feeding of pigs on acorns, so the rearing of sheep and the agriculture in the plains of the Scheldt and the Maas are traceable to their influence. In Britain even the threshing of corn was not yet usual; and in its more northern districts agriculture was not practised, and the rearing of cattle was the only known mode of turning the soil to account. The culture of the olive and vine, which yielded rich produce to the Massiliots, was not yet prosecuted beyond the Cevennes in the time of Caesar.

- Urban life.** The Gauls were from the first disposed to settle in groups; there were open villages everywhere, and the
58. Helvetic canton alone numbered in 696 four hundred of these, besides a multitude of single homesteads. But there were not wanting also walled towns, whose walls of alternate layers surprised the Romans both by their suitableness and by the elegant interweaving of timber and stones in their construction; while, it is true, even in the towns of the Allobroges the buildings were erected solely of wood. Of such towns the Helvetii had twelve and the Suessiones an equal number; whereas at all events in the more northern districts, such as among the Nervii, while there were doubtless also towns, the population during war sought protection in the morasses and forests rather than behind their walls, and beyond the Thames the primitive defence of the wooden

barricade altogether took the place of towns and was in war the only place of refuge for men and herds.

In close association with the comparatively considerable development of urban life stands the activity of inter-course by land and by water. Everywhere there were roads and bridges. The river-navigation, which streams like the Rhone, Garonne, Loire, and Seine, of themselves invited, was considerable and lucrative. But far more remarkable was the maritime navigation of the Celts. Not only were the Celts, to all appearance, the nation that first regularly navigated the Atlantic ocean, but we find that the art of building and of managing vessels had attained among them a remarkable development. The navigation of the peoples of the Mediterranean had, as may readily be conceived from the nature of the waters traversed by them, for a comparatively long period adhered to the oar; the war-vessels of the Phoenicians, Hellenes, and Romans were at all times oared galleys, in which the sail was applied only as an occasional aid to the oar; the trading vessels alone were in the epoch of developed ancient civilization "sailers" properly so called.¹ On the other hand the Gauls doubtless employed in the Channel in Caesar's time, as for long afterwards, a species of portable leathern skiffs, which seem to have been in the main common oared boats, but on the west coast of Gaul the Santones, the Pictones, and above all the Veneti sailed in large though clumsily built ships, which were not impelled by oars but were provided with leathern sails and iron anchor-chains; and they employed these not only for their traffic with Britain,

¹ We are led to this conclusion by the designation of the trading or "round" as contrasted with the "long" or war vessel, and the similar contrast of the "oared ships" (*ἐπικωροὶ νῆες*) and the "merchantmen" (*ὀλκάδες*, Dionys. iii. 44); and moreover by the smallness of the crew in the trading vessels, which in the very largest amounted to not more than 200 men (*Rhein. Mus.* N. F. xi. 625), while in the ordinary galley of three decks there were employed 170 rowers (ii. 174). *Comp. Movers. Phoen.* ii. 3, 167 seq.

but also in naval combat. Here therefore we not only meet for the first time with navigation in the open ocean, but we find that here the sailing vessel first fully took the place of the oared boat—an improvement, it is true, which the declining activity of the old world did not know how to turn to account, and the immeasurable results of which our own epoch of renewed culture is employed in gradually reaping.

With this regular maritime intercourse between the British and Gallic coasts, the very close political connection between the inhabitants on both sides of the Channel is as easily explained as the flourishing of transmarine commerce and of fisheries. It was the Celts of Brittany in particular, that brought the tin of the mines of Cornwall from England and carried it by the river and land routes of Gaul to Narbo and Massilia. The statement, that in Caesar's time certain tribes at the mouth of the Rhine subsisted on fish and birds' eggs, may probably refer to the circumstance that marine fishing and the collection of the eggs of sea-birds were prosecuted there on an extensive scale. When we put together and endeavour to fill up the isolated and scanty statements which have reached us regarding the Celtic commerce and intercourse, we come to see why the tolls of the river and maritime ports play a great part in the budgets of certain cantons, such as those of the Haedui and the Veneti, and why the chief god of the nation was regarded by them as the protector of the roads and of commerce, and at the same time as the inventor of manufactures. Accordingly the Celtic industry cannot have been wholly undeveloped; indeed the singular dexterity of the Celts, and their peculiar skill in imitating any model and executing any instructions, are noticed by Caesar. In most branches, however, their handicraft does not appear to have risen above the ordinary level; the manufacture of linen and woollen stuffs, that subsequently flourished in central and

**Manufac-
tures.**

northern Gaul, was demonstrably called into existence only by the Romans. The elaboration of metals forms an exception, and so far as we know the only one. The copper implements not unfrequently of excellent workmanship and even now malleable, which are brought to light in the tombs of Gaul, and the carefully adjusted Arvernian gold coins, are still at the present day striking witnesses of the skill of the Celtic workers in copper and gold; and with this the reports of the ancients well accord, that the Romans learned the art of tinning from the Bituriges and that of silvering from the Alesini—inventions, the first of which was naturally suggested by the traffic in tin, and both of which were probably made in the period of Celtic freedom.

Hand in hand with dexterity in the elaboration of the Mining metals went the art of procuring them, which had attained, more especially in the iron mines on the Loire, such a degree of professional skill that the miners played an important part in the sieges. The opinion prevalent among the Romans of this period, that Gaul was one of the richest gold countries in the world, is no doubt refuted by the well-known nature of the soil and by the character of the articles found in the Celtic tombs, in which gold appears but sparingly and with far less frequency than in the similar repositories of the true native regions of gold; this conception no doubt had its origin merely from the descriptions which Greek travellers and Roman soldiers, doubtless not without strong exaggeration, gave to their countrymen of the magnificence of the Arvernian kings (iii. 416), and of the treasures of the Tolosan temples (iii. 436). But their stones were not pure fictions. It may well be believed that in and near the rivers which flow from the Alps and the Pyrenees gold-washing and searches for gold, which are unprofitable at the present value of labour, were worked with profit and on a considerable scale in ruder

times and with a system of slavery; besides, the commercial relations of Gaul may, as is not unfrequently the case with half-civilized peoples, have favoured the accumulation of a dead stock of the precious metals.

Art and
science.

The low state of the arts of design is remarkable, and is the more striking by the side of this mechanical skill in handling the metals. The fondness for parti-coloured and brilliant ornaments shows the want of a proper taste, which is sadly confirmed by the Gallic coins with their representations sometimes exceedingly simple, sometimes odd, but always childish in design, and almost without exception rude beyond parallel in their execution. It is perhaps unexampled that a coinage practised for centuries with a certain technical skill should have essentially limited itself to always imitating two or three Greek dies, and always with increasing deformity. On the other hand the art of poetry was highly valued by the Celts, and intimately blended with the religious and even with the political institutions of the nation; we find religious poetry, as well as that of the court and of the mendicant, flourishing (iii. 416). Natural science and philosophy also found, although subject to the forms and fetters of the theology of the country, a certain amount of attention among the Celts; and Hellenic humanism met with a ready reception wherever and in whatever shape it approached them. The knowledge of writing was general at least among the priests. For the most part in free Gaul the Greek writing was made use of in Caesar's time, as was done among others by the Helvetii; but in its most southern districts even then, in consequence of intercourse with the Romanized Celts, the Latin attained predominance—we meet with it, for instance, on the Arvernian coins of this period.

Political
organiza-
tion.

The political development of the Celtic nation also presents very remarkable phenomena. The constitution of the state was based in this case, as everywhere, on the clan-

canton, with its prince, its council of the elders, and its community of freemen capable of bearing arms ; but the peculiarity in this case was that it never got beyond this cantonal constitution. Among the Greeks and Romans the canton was very early superseded by the ring-wall as the basis of political unity; where two cantons found themselves together within the same walls, they amalgamated into one commonwealth; where a body of burgesses assigned to a portion of their fellow-burgesses a new ring-wall, there regularly arose in this way a new state connected with the mother community only by ties of piety and, at most, of clientship. Among the Celts on the other hand the "burgess-body" continued at all times to be the clan; prince and council presided over the canton and not over any town, and the general diet of the canton formed the authority of last resort in the state. The town had, as in the east, merely mercantile and strategic, not political importance; for which reason the Gallic townships, even when walled and very considerable such as Vienna and Genava, were in the view of the Greeks and Romans nothing but villages. In the time of Caesar the original clan-constitution still subsisted substantially unaltered among the insular Celts and in the northern cantons of the mainland; the general assembly held the supreme authority; the prince was in essential questions bound by its decrees; the common council was numerous—it numbered in certain clans six hundred members—but does not appear to have had more importance than the senate under the Roman kings. In the more stirring southern portion of the land, again, one or two generations before Caesar—the children of the last kings were still living in his time—there had occurred, at least among the larger clans, the Arverni, Haedui, Sequani, Helvetii, a revolution which set aside the royal dominion and gave the power into the hands of the nobility.

**Cantonal
constitution.**

Develop-
ment of
knight-
hood.

It is simply the reverse side of the total want of urban commonwealths among the Celts just noticed, that the opposite pole of political development, knight-hood, so thoroughly preponderates in the Celtic clan-constitution. The Celtic aristocracy was to all appearance a high nobility, for the most part perhaps the members of the royal or formerly royal families; as indeed it is remarkable that the heads of the opposite parties in the same clan very frequently belong to the same house. These great families combined in their hands financial, warlike, and political ascendancy. They monopolized the leases of the profitable rights of the state. They compelled the free commons, who were oppressed by the burden of taxation, to borrow from them, and to surrender their freedom first *de facto* as debtors, then *de jure* as bondmen. They developed the system of retainers, that is, the privilege of the nobility to surround themselves with a number of hired mounted servants—the *ambacti* as they were called¹—and thereby

¹ This remarkable word must have been in use as early as the sixth century of Rome among the Celts in the valley of the Po; for Ennius is already acquainted with it, and it can only have reached the Italians at so early a period from that quarter. It is not merely Celtic, however, but also German, the root of our "Amt," as indeed the retainer-system itself is common to the Celts and the Germans. It would be of great historical importance to ascertain whether the word—and so also the thing—came to the Celts from the Germans, or to the Germans from the Celts. If, as is usually supposed, the word is originally German and primarily signified the servant standing in battle "against the back" (*and=* against, *bak=* back) of his master, this is not wholly irreconcilable with the singularly early occurrence of this word among the Celts. According to all analogy the right to keep *ambacti*, that is, δοῦλοι μισθωτοί, cannot have belonged to the Celtic nobility from the outset, but must only have developed itself gradually in antagonism to the older monarchy and to the equality of the free commons. If thus the system of *ambacti* among the Celts was not an ancient and national, but a comparatively recent institution, it is—looking to the relation which had subsisted for centuries between the Celts and Germans, and which is to be explained farther on—not merely possible but even probable that the Celts, in Italy as in Gaul, employed Germans chiefly as those hired servants-at-arms. The "Swiss guard" would therefore in that case be some thousands of years older than people suppose. Should the term by which the Romans, perhaps after the example of the Celts, designate the Germans as a nation—the

to form a state within the state; and, resting on the support of these troops of their own, they defied the legal authorities and the common levy and practically broke up the commonwealth. If in a clan, which numbered about 80,000 men capable of arms, a single noble could appear at the diet with 10,000 retainers, not reckoning the bondmen and the debtors, it is clear that such an one was more an independent dynast than a burgess of his clan. Moreover, the leading families of the different clans were closely connected and through intermarriages and special treaties formed virtually a compact league, in presence of which the single clan was powerless. Therefore the communities were no longer able to maintain the public peace, and the law of the strong arm reigned throughout. The dependent found protection only from his master, whom duty and interest compelled to redress the injury inflicted on his client; the state had no longer the power to protect those who were free, and consequently these gave themselves over in numbers to some powerful man as clients.

Breaking up of the old cantonal constitution.

The common assembly lost its political importance; and even the power of the prince, which should have checked the encroachments of the nobility, succumbed to it among the Celts as well as in Latium. In place of the king came the "judgment-worker" or *Vergobretus*,¹ who was like the Roman consul nominated only for a year. So far as the canton still held together at all, it was led by the common council, in which naturally the heads of the aristocracy usurped the government. Of course under such

Abolition of the monarchy.

name *Germani*—be really of Celtic origin, this obviously accords very well with that hypothesis.—No doubt these assumptions must necessarily give way, should the word *ambactus* be explained in a satisfactory way from a Celtic root; as in fact Zeuss (*Gramm.* p. 796), though doubtfully, traces it to *ambi*=around and *aig*=*agere*, viz. one moving round or moved round, and so attendants, servants. The circumstance that the word occurs also as a Celtic proper name (Zeuss, p. 77), and is perhaps preserved in the Cambrian *amaeth* = peasant, labourer (Zeuss, p. 156), cannot decide the point either way.

¹ From the Celtic words *guer*=worker and *breth*=judgment

circumstances there was agitation in the several clans much in the same way as there had been agitation in Latium for centuries after the expulsion of the kings : while the nobility of the different communities combined to form a separate alliance hostile to the power of the community, the multitude ceased not to desire the restoration of the monarchy; and not unfrequently a prominent nobleman attempted, as Spurius Cassius had done in Rome, with the support of the mass of those belonging to the canton to break down the power of his peers, and to reinstate the crown in its rights for his own special benefit.

Efforts
towards
national
unity.

While the individual cantons were thus irremediably declining, the sense of unity was at the same time powerfully stirring in the nation and seeking in various ways to take shape and hold. That combination of the whole Celtic nobility in contradistinction to the individual canton-unions, while disturbing the existing order of things, awakened and fostered the conception of the collective unity of the nation. The attacks directed against the nation from without, and the continued diminution of its territory in war with its neighbours, operated in the same direction. Like the Hellenes in their wars with the Persians, and the Italians in their wars with the Celts, the Transalpine Gauls seem to have become conscious of the existence and the power of their national unity in the wars against Rome. Amidst the dissensions of rival clans and all their feudal quarrelling there might still be heard the voices of those who were ready to purchase the independence of the nation at the cost of the independence of the several cantons, and even at that of the seignorial rights of the knights. The thorough popularity of the opposition to a foreign yoke was shown by the wars of Caesar, with reference to whom the Celtic patriot party occupied a position entirely similar to that of the German patriots towards Napoleon; its extent and organization are attested, among other things, by the

telegraphic rapidity with which news was communicated from one point to another.

The universality and the strength of the Celtic national feeling would be inexplicable but for the circumstance that, amidst the greatest political disruption, the Celtic nation had for long been centralized in respect of religion and even of theology. The Celtic priesthood or, to use the native name, the corporation of the Druids, certainly embraced the British islands and all Gaul, and perhaps also other Celtic countries, in a common religious-national bond. It possessed a special head elected by the priests themselves; special schools, in which its very comprehensive tradition was transmitted; special privileges, particularly exemption from taxation and military service, which every clan respected; annual councils, which were held near Chartres at the "centre of the Celtic earth"; and above all, a believing people, who in painful piety and blind obedience to their priests seem to have been nowise inferior to the Irish of modern times. It may readily be conceived that such a priesthood attempted to usurp, as it partially did usurp, the secular government; where the annual monarchy subsisted, it conducted the elections in the event of an interregnum; it successfully laid claim to the right of excluding individuals and whole communities from religious, and consequently also from civil, society; it was careful to draw to itself the most important civil causes, especially processes as to boundaries and inheritance; on the ground, apparently, of its right to exclude from the community, and perhaps also of the national custom that criminals should be by preference taken for the usual human sacrifices, it developed an extensive priestly criminal jurisdiction, which was co-ordinate with that of the kings and vergobrets; it even claimed the right of deciding on war and peace. The Gauls were not far removed from an ecclesiastical state with its pope and councils, its immunities, interdicts, and

**Religious
union of
the nation.**

spiritual courts; only this ecclesiastical state did not, like that of recent times, stand aloof from the nations, but was on the contrary pre-eminently national.

Want of
political
central-
ization.

But while the sense of mutual relationship was thus vividly awakened among the Celtic tribes, the nation was still precluded from attaining a basis of political centralization such as Italy found in the Roman burgesses, and the Hellenes and Germans in the Macedonian and Frank kings. The Celtic priesthood and likewise the nobility—although both in a certain sense represented and combined the nation—were yet, on the one hand, incapable of uniting it in consequence of their particular class-interests, and, on the other hand, sufficiently powerful to allow no king and no canton to accomplish the work of union. Attempts at this work were not wanting; they followed, as the cantonal constitution suggested, the system of hegemony. A powerful canton induced a weaker to become subordinate, on such a footing that the leading canton acted for the other as well as for itself in its external relations and stipulated for it in state-treaties, while the dependent canton bound itself to render military service and sometimes also to pay a tribute. In this way a series of separate leagues arose; but there was no leading canton for all Gaul—no tie, however loose, combining the nation as a whole.

The
canton-
leagues.

- : It has been already mentioned (iii. 416) that the Romans at the commencement of their Transalpine conquests found in the north a Britanno-Belgic league under the leadership of the Suessiones, and in central and southern Gaul the confederation of the Arverni, with which latter the Haedui, although having a weaker body of clients, carried on a rivalry. In Caesar's time we find the Belgae in north-eastern Gaul between the Seine and the Rhine still forming such an association, which, however, apparently no longer extends to Britain; by their side there appears, in the modern Normandy and Brittany, the league of the Aremoricans or the maritime

cantons : in central or proper Gaul two parties as formerly contended for the hegemony, the one headed by the Haedui, the other by the Sequani after the Arvernians weakened by the wars with Rome had retired. These different confederacies subsisted independently side by side; the leading states of central Gaul appear never to have extended their clientship to the north-east nor, seriously, perhaps even to the north-west of Gaul.

The
maritime
cantons.

The
leagues of
central
Gaul.

The impulse of the nation towards freedom found doubtless a certain gratification in these cantonal unions; but they were in every respect unsatisfactory. The union was of the loosest kind, constantly fluctuating between alliance and hegemony; the representation of the whole body in peace by the federal diets, in war by the general,¹ was in the highest degree feeble. The Belgian confederacy alone seems to have been bound together somewhat more firmly; the national enthusiasm, from which the successful repulse of the Cimbri proceeded (iii. 430 /), may have proved beneficial to it. The rivalries for the hegemony made a breach in every league, which time did not close but widened, because the victory of one competitor still left his opponent in possession of political existence, and it always remained open to him, even though he had submitted to clientship, subsequently to renew the struggle. The rivalry among the more powerful cantons not only set these at variance, but spread into every dependent clan, into every village, often indeed into every house, for each individual chose his side according to his personal relations. As Hellas exhausted its strength not so much in the struggle of Athens against Sparta as in the internal strife of the Athenian and Lacedaemonian factions in every dependent community, and even in Athens itself, so the rivalry of the Arverni and Haedui

Character
of these
leagues.

¹ The position which such a federal general occupied with reference to his troops, is shown by the accusation of high treason raised against Vercingetorix (Caesar, *B. G.* vii. 20).

with its repetitions on a smaller and smaller scale destroyed the Celtic people.

**The Celtic
military
system.
Cavalry.**

The military capability of the nation felt the reflex influence of these political and social relations. The cavalry was throughout the predominant arm; alongside of which among the Belgae, and still more in the British islands, the old national war-chariots appear in remarkable perfection. These equally numerous and efficient bands of combatants on horseback and in chariots were formed from the nobility and its vassals; for the nobles had a genuine knightly delight in dogs and horses, and were at much expense to procure noble horses of foreign breed. It is characteristic of the spirit and the mode of fighting of these nobles that, when the levy was called out, whoever could keep his seat on horseback, even the gray-haired old man, took the field, and that, when on the point of beginning a combat with an enemy of whom they made little account, they swore man by man that they would keep aloof from house and homestead, unless their band should charge at least twice through the enemy's line. Among the hired warriors the free-lance spirit prevailed with all its demoralized and stolid indifference towards their own life and that of others. This is apparent from the stories—however anecdotic their colouring—of the Celtic custom of tilting by way of sport and now and then fighting for life or death at a banquet, and of the usage (which prevailed among the Celts, and outdid even the Roman gladiatorial games) of selling themselves to be killed for a set sum of money or a number of casks of wine, and voluntarily accepting the fatal blow stretched on their shield before the eyes of the whole multitude.

Infantry.

By the side of these mounted warriors the infantry fell into the background. In the main it essentially resembled the bands of Celts, with whom the Romans had fought in Italy and Spain. The large shield was, as then, the principal weapon of defence ; among the offensive arms, on the

other hand, the long thrusting lance now played the chief part in room of the sword. Where several cantons waged war in league, they naturally encamped and fought clan against clan; there is no trace of their giving to the levy of each canton military organization and forming smaller and more regular tactical subdivisions. A long train of waggons still dragged the baggage of the Celtic army; instead of an entrenched camp, such as the Romans pitched every night, the poor substitute of a barricade of waggons still sufficed. In the case of certain cantons, such as the Nervii, the efficiency of their infantry is noticed as exceptional; it is remarkable that these had no cavalry, and perhaps were not even a Celtic but an immigrant German tribe. But in general the Celtic infantry of this period appears as an unwarlike and unwieldy levy *en masse*; most of all in the more southern provinces, where along with barbarism valour had also disappeared. The Celt, says Caesar, ventures not to face the German in battle. The Roman general passed a censure still more severe than this judgment on the Celtic infantry, seeing that, after having become acquainted with them in his first campaign, he never again employed them in connection with Roman infantry.

If we survey the whole condition of the Celts as Caesar found it in the Transalpine regions, there is an unmistakable advance in civilization, as compared with the stage of culture at which the Celts came before us a century and a half previously in the valley of the Po. Then the militia, excellent of its kind, thoroughly preponderated in their armies (i. 423); now the cavalry occupies the first place. Then the Celts dwelt in open villages; now well-constructed walls surrounded their townships. The objects too found in the tombs of Lombardy are, especially as respects articles of copper and glass, far inferior to those of northern Gaul. Perhaps the most trustworthy measure of the increase of culture is the sense of a common relationship in the nation ;

Stage of development of the Celtic civilization,

so little of it comes to light in the Celtic battles fought on the soil of what is now Lombardy, while it strikingly appears in the struggles against Caesar. To all appearance the Celtic nation, when Caesar encountered it, had already reached the maximum of the culture allotted to it, and was even now on the decline. The civilization of the Transalpine Celts in Caesar's time presents, even for us who are but very imperfectly informed regarding it, several aspects that are estimable, and yet more that are interesting; in some respects it is more akin to the modern than to the Hellenic-Roman culture, with its sailing vessels, its knight-hood, its ecclesiastical constitution, above all with its attempts, however imperfect, to build the state not on the city, but on the tribe and in a higher degree on the nation. But just because we here meet the Celtic nation at the culminating point of its development, its lesser degree of moral endowment or, which is the same thing, its lesser capacity of culture, comes more distinctly into view. It was unable to produce from its own resources either a national art or a national state; it attained at the utmost a national theology and a peculiar type of nobility. The original simple valour was no more; the military courage based on higher morality and judicious organization, which comes in the train of increased civilization, had only made its appearance in a very stunted form among the knights. Barbarism in the strict sense was doubtless outlived; the times had gone by, when in Gaul the fat haunch was assigned to the bravest of the guests, but each of his fellow-guests who thought himself offended thereby was at liberty to challenge the receiver on that score to combat, and when the most faithful retainers of a deceased chief were burnt along with him. But human sacrifices still continued, and the maxim of law, that torture was inadmissible in the case of the free man but allowable in that of the free woman as well as of slaves, throws a far from

pleasing light on the position which the female sex held among the Celts even in their period of culture. The Celts had lost the advantages which specially belong to the primitive epoch of nations, but had not acquired those which civilization brings with it when it intimately and thoroughly pervades a people.

Such was the internal condition of the Celtic nation. It remains that we set forth their external relations with their neighbours, and describe the part which they sustained at this moment in the mighty rival race and rival struggle of the nations, in which it is everywhere still more difficult to maintain than to acquire. Along the Pyrenees the relations of the peoples had for long been peaceably settled, and the times had long gone by when the Celts there pressed hard on, and to some extent supplanted, the Iberian, that is, the Basque, original population. The valleys of the Pyrenees as well as the mountains of Beam and Gascony, and also the coast-steppes to the south of the Garonne, were at the time of Caesar in the undisputed possession of the Aquitani, a great number of small tribes of Iberian descent, coming little into contact with each other and still less with the outer world; in this quarter only the mouth of the Garonne with the important port of Burdigala (Bordeaux) was in the hands of a Celtic tribe, the Bituriges-Vivisci.

External
relations.

Celts and
Iberians.

Of far greater importance was the contact of the Celtic nation with the Roman people, and with the Germans. We need not here repeat—what has been related already—how the Romans in their slow advance had gradually pressed back the Celts, had at last occupied the belt of coast between the Alps and the Pyrenees, and had thereby totally cut them off from Italy, Spain and the Mediterranean Sea—a catastrophe, for which the way had already been prepared centuries before by the laying out of the Hellenic stronghold at the mouth of the Rhone. But we must

Celts and
Romans.

Advance of
Roman
trade and
commerce
into free
Gaul.

- here recall the fact that it was not merely the superiority of the Roman arms which pressed hard on the Celts, but quite as much that of Roman culture, which likewise reaped the ultimate benefit of the respectable beginnings of Hellenic civilization in Gaul. Here too, as so often happens, trade and commerce paved the way for conquest. The Celt after northern fashion was fond of fiery drinks; the fact that like the Scythian he drank the generous wine unmingled and to intoxication, excited the surprise and the disgust of the temperate southern; but the trader has no objection to deal with such customers. Soon the trade with Gaul became a mine of gold for the Italian merchant; it was nothing unusual there for a jar of wine to be exchanged for a slave. Other articles of luxury, such as Italian horses, found advantageous sale in Gaul. There were instances even already of Roman burgesses acquiring landed property beyond the Roman frontier, and turning it to profit after the Italian fashion; there is mention, for example, of Roman estates in the canton of the Segusiavi
81. (near Lyons) as early as about 673. Beyond doubt it was a consequence of this that, as already mentioned (p. 18) in free Gaul itself, *e.g.* among the Arverni, the Roman language was not unknown even before the conquest; although this knowledge was presumably still restricted to few, and even the men of rank in the allied canton of the Haedui had to be conversed with through interpreters. Just as the traffickers in fire-water and the squatters led the way in the occupation of North America, so these Roman wine-traders and landlords paved the way for, and beckoned onward, the future conqueror of Gaul. How vividly this was felt even on the opposite side, is shown by the prohibition which one of the most energetic tribes of Gaul, the canton of the Nervii, like some German peoples, issued against trafficking with the Romans.

Still more violent even than the pressure of the Romans

from the Mediterranean was that of the Germans downward from the Baltic and the North Sea—a fresh stock from the great cradle of peoples in the east, which made room for itself by the side of its elder brethren with youthful vigour, although also with youthful rudeness. Though the tribes of this stock dwelling nearest to the Rhine—the Usipetes, Tencteri, Sugambri, Ubii—had begun to be in some degree civilized, and had at least ceased voluntarily to change their abodes, all accounts yet agree that farther inland agriculture was of little importance, and the several tribes had hardly yet attained fixed abodes. It is significant in this respect that their western neighbours at this time hardly knew how to name any one of the peoples of the interior of Germany by its cantonal name; these were only known to them under the general appellations of the Suebi, that is, the roving people or nomads, and the Marcomani, that is, the land-guard¹—names which were hardly cantonal names in Caesar's time, although they appeared as such to the Romans and subsequently became in various cases names of cantons.

Celts and
Germans.

The most violent onset of this great nation fell upon the Celts. The struggles, in which the Germans probably engaged with the Celts for the possession of the regions to the east of the Rhine, are wholly withdrawn from our view. We are only able to perceive, that about the end of the seventh century of Rome all the land as far as the, Rhine

The right
bank of
the Rhine
lost to the
Celts.

¹ Caesar's Suebi thus were probably the Chatti; but that designation certainly belonged in Caesar's time, and even much later, also to every other German stock which could be described as a regularly wandering one. Accordingly if, as is not to be doubted, the "king of the Suebi" in Mela (iii. 1) and Pliny (*H. N.* ii. 67, 170) was Ariovistus, it by no means therefore follows that Ariovistus was a Chatten. The Marcomani cannot be demonstrated as a distinct people before Marbod; it is very possible that the word up to that point indicates nothing but what it etymologically signifies—the land, or frontier, guard. When Caesar (i. 51) mentions Marcomani among the peoples fighting in the army of Ariovistus, he may in this instance have misunderstood a merely appellative designation, just as he has decidedly done in the case of the Suebi.

was already lost to the Celts ; that the Boii, who were probably once settled in Bavaria and Bohemia (iii. 423), were homeless wanderers; and that even the Black Forest formerly possessed by the Helvetii (iii. 423), if not yet taken possession of by the German tribes dwelling in the vicinity, was at least waste debateable border-land, and was presumably even then, what it was afterwards called, the Helvetian desert. The barbarous strategy of the Germans—which secured them from hostile attacks by laying waste the neighbourhood for miles—seems to have been applied here on the greatest scale.

German
tribes on
the left
bank of
the Rhine.

But the Germans had not remained stationary at the Rhine. The march of the Cimbrian and Teutonic host, composed, as respects its flower, of German tribes, which had swept with such force fifty years before over Pannonia, Gaul, Italy, and Spain, seemed to have been nothing but a grand *reconnaissance*. Already different German tribes had formed permanent settlements to the west of the Rhine, especially of its lower course ; having intruded as conquerors, these settlers continued to demand hostages and to levy annual tribute from the Gallic inhabitants in their neighbourhood, as if from subjects. Among these German tribes were the Aduatuci, who from a fragment of the Cimbrian horde (iii. 445) had grown into a considerable canton, and a number of other tribes afterwards comprehended under the name of the Tungri on the Maas in the region of *Libge* ; even the Treveri (about Treves) and the Nervii (in Hainault), two of the largest and most powerful peoples of this region, are directly designated by respectable authorities as Germans. The complete credibility of these accounts must certainly remain doubtful, since, as Tacitus remarks in reference to the two peoples last mentioned, it was subsequently, at least in these regions, reckoned an honour to be descended of German blood and not to belong to the little-esteemed Celtic nation; yet the population in the

region of the Scheldt, Maas, and Moselle seems certainly to have become, in one way or another, largely mingled with German elements, or at any rate to have come under German influences. The German settlements themselves were perhaps small; they were not unimportant, for amidst the chaotic obscurity, through which we see the stream of peoples on the right bank of the Rhine ebbing and flowing about this period, we can well perceive that larger German hordes were preparing to cross the Rhine in the track of these advanced posts. Threatened on two sides by foreign domination and torn by internal dissension, it was scarcely to be expected that the unhappy Celtic nation would now rally and save itself by its own vigour. Dismemberment, and decay in virtue of dismemberment, had hitherto been its history; how should a nation, which could name no day like those of Marathon and Salamis, of Aricia and the Raudine plain—a nation which, even in its time of vigour, had made no attempt to destroy Massilia by a united effort—now when evening had come, defend itself against so formidable foes?

The less the Celts, left to themselves, were a match for the Germans, the more reason had the Romans carefully to watch over the complications in which the two nations might be involved. Although the movements thence arising had not up to the present time directly affected them, they and their most important interests were yet concerned in the issue of those movements. As may readily be conceived, the internal demeanour of the Celtic nation had become speedily and permanently influenced by its outward relations. As in Greece the Lacedaemonian party combined with Persia against the Athenians, so the Romans from their first appearance beyond the Alps had found a support against the Arverni, who were then the ruling power among the southern Celts, in their rivals for the hegemony, the Haedui; and with the aid of these new "brothers of the

The
Roman
policy with
reference
to the
German
invasion.

Roman nation " they had not merely reduced to subjection the Allobroges and a great portion of the indirect territory of the Arverni, but had also, in the Gaul that remained free, occasioned by their influence the transference of the hegemony from the Arverni to these Haedui. But while the Greeks were threatened with danger to their nationality only from one side, the Celts found themselves hard pressed simultaneously by two national foes; and it was natural that they should seek from the one protection against the other, and that, if the one Celtic party attached itself to the Romans, their opponents should on the contrary form alliance with the Germans. This course was most natural for the Belgae, who were brought by neighbourhood and manifold intermixture into closer relation to the Germans who had crossed the Rhine, and moreover, with their less-developed culture, probably felt themselves at least as much akin to the Suebian of alien race as to their cultivated Allobrogian or Helvetic countryman. But the southern Celts also, among whom now, as already mentioned, the considerable canton of the Sequani (about Besangon) stood at the head of the party hostile to the Romans, had every reason at this very time to call in the Germans against the Romans who immediately threatened them; the remiss government of the senate and the signs of the revolution preparing in Rome, which had not remained unknown to the Celts, made this very moment seem suitable for ridding themselves of the Roman influence and primarily for humbling the Roman clients, the Haedui. A rupture had taken place between the two cantons respecting the tolls on the Saone, which separated the territory of the Haedui

71. from that of the Sequani, and about the year 683 the German prince Ariovistus with some 15,000 armed men had crossed the Rhine as *condottiere* of the Sequani.

The war was prolonged for some years with varying success; on the whole the results were unfavourable to the

Haedui. Their leader Eporedorix at length called out their whole clients, and marched forth with an enormous superiority of force against the Germans. These obstinately refused battle, and kept themselves under cover of morasses and forests. It was not till the clans, weary of waiting, began to break up and disperse, that the Germans appeared in the open field, and then Ariovistus compelled a battle at Admagetobriga, in which the flower of the cavalry of the Haedui were left on the field. The Haedui, forced by this defeat to conclude peace on the terms which the victor proposed, were obliged to renounce the hegemony, and to consent with their whole adherents to become clients of the Sequani; they had to bind themselves to pay tribute to the Sequani or rather to Ariovistus, and to furnish the children of their principal nobles as hostages; and lastly they had to swear that they would never demand back these hostages nor invoke the intervention of the Romans.

Ariovistus
on the
middle
Rhine.

This peace was concluded apparently about 693.¹ Honour and advantage enjoined the Romans to come forward in opposition to it; the noble Haeduan Divitiacus, the head of the Roman party in his clan, and for that reason now banished by his countrymen, went in person to Rome to solicit their intervention. A still more serious warning was the insurrection of the Allobroges in 693 (p. 8)—the neighbours of the Sequani—which was beyond doubt connected with these events. In reality orders weremssued to the Gallic governors to assist the Haedui; they talked of sending consuls and consular armies over the Alps; but the senate, to whose decision these affairs primarily fell, at length here also crowned great words with little deeds. The insurrection of the Allobroges was suppressed by arms,

¹ The arrival of Ariovistus in Gaul has been placed, according to Caesar, i. 36, in 683, and the battle of Admagetobriga (for such was the name of the place now usually, in accordance with a false inscription, called Magetobriga), according to Caesar i. 35 and Cicero *Ad. Aft.* i. 19, in, 693.

- but nothing was done for the Haedui; on the contrary,
 59. Ariovistus was even enrolled in 695 in the list of kings friendly with the Romans.¹

Founda-
 tion of a
 German
 empire in
 Gaul.

- The German warrior-prince naturally took this as a renunciation by the Romans of the Celtic land which they had not occupied; he accordingly took up his abode there, and began to establish a German principality on Gallic soil. It was his intention that the numerous bands which he had brought with him, and the still more numerous bands that afterwards followed at his call from home—it
 58. was reckoned that up to 696 some 120,000 Germans had crossed the Rhine—this whole mighty immigration of the German nation, which poured through the once opened sluices like a stream over the beautiful west, should become settled there and form a basis on which he might build his dominion over Gaul. The extent of the German settlements which he called into existence on the left bank of the Rhine cannot be determined; beyond doubt it was great, and his projects were far greater still. The Celts were treated by him as a wholly subjugated nation, and no distinction was made between the several cantons. Even the Sequani, as whose hired commander-in-chief he had crossed the Rhine, were obliged, as if they were vanquished enemies, to cede to him for his people a third of their territory—presumably upper Alsace afterwards inhabited by the Triboci—where Ariovistus permanently settled with his followers; nay, as if this were not enough, a second third was afterwards demanded of them for the Harudes who arrived subsequently. Ariovistus seemed as if he wished to take up in Gaul the part of Philip of Macedonia, and to play the master over the Celts who were

¹ That we may not deem this course of things incredible, or even impute to it deeper motives than ignorance and laziness in statesmen, we shall do well to realize the frivolous tone in which a distinguished senator like Cicero expresses himself in his correspondence respecting these important Transalpine affairs.

friendly to the Germans no less than over those who adhered to the Romans.

The appearance of the energetic German prince in so dangerous proximity, which could not but in itself excite the most serious apprehension in the Romans, appeared still more threatening, inasmuch as it stood by no means alone. The Usipetes and Tencteri settled on the right bank of the Rhine, weary of the incessant devastation of their territory by the overbearing Suebian tribes, had, the year before Caesar arrived in Gaul (695), set out from their previous abodes to seek others at the mouth of the Rhine. They had already taken away from the Menapii there the portion of their territory situated on the right bank, and it might be foreseen that they would make the attempt to establish themselves also on the left. Suebian bands, moreover, assembled between Cologne and Mayence, and threatened to appear as uninvited guests in the opposite Celtic canton of the Treveri. Lastly, the territory of the most easterly clan of the Celts, the warlike and numerous Helvetii, was visited with growing frequency by the Germans, so that the Helvetii, who perhaps even apart from this were suffering from over-population through the reflux of their settlers from the territory which they had lost to the north of the Rhine, and besides were liable to be completely isolated from their kinsmen by the settlement of Ariovistus in the territory of the Sequani, conceived the desperate resolution of voluntarily evacuating the territory hitherto in their possession to the Germans, and acquiring larger and more fertile abodes to the west of the Jura, along with, if possible, the hegemony in the interior of Gaul—a plan which some of their districts had already formed and attempted to execute during the Cimbrian invasion (iii. 435). The Rauraci whose territory (Basle and southern Alsace) was similarly threatened, the remains, moreover, of the Boii who had already at an earlier period

The
Germans
on the
Lower
Rhine.

The
Germans
on the
Upper
Rhine.

Spread
of the
Helvetian
invasion
to the
interior of
Gaul.

been compelled by the Germans to forsake their homes and were now unsettled wanderers, and other smaller tribes, made common cause with the Helvetii. As early as 693 their flying parties came over the Jura and even as far as the Roman province; their departure itself could not be much longer delayed; inevitably German settlers would then advance into the important region between the lakes of Constance and Geneva forsaken by its defenders. From the sources of the Rhine to the Atlantic Ocean the German tribes were in motion; the whole line of the Rhine was threatened by them; it was a moment like that when the Alamanni and the Franks threw themselves on the falling empire of the Caesars; and even now there seemed on the eve of being carried into effect against the Celts that very movement which was successful five hundred years afterwards against the Romans.

Caesar
proceeds
to [58.
Gaul.

- Under these circumstances the new governor Gaius Caesar arrived in the spring of 696 in Narbonese Gaul, which had been added by decree of the senate to his original province embracing Cisalpine Gaul along with Istria and Dalmatia. His office, which was committed to
54. 55. him first for five years (to the end of 700), then in 699
49. for five more (to the end of 705), gave him the right to nominate ten lieutenants of *propraetorian* rank, and (at least according to his own interpretation) to fill up his legionf, or even to form new ones at his discretion out of the burgess-population—who were especially numerous in Cisalpine Gaul—of the territory under his sway. The army, which he received in the two provinces, consisted, as regards infantry of the line, of four legions trained and inured to war, the seventh, eighth, ninth, and tenth, or at the utmost 24,000 men, to which fell to be added, as usual, the contingents of the subjects. The cavalry and light-armed troops, moreover, were represented by horsemen from Spain, and by Numidian, Cretan, and Balearic

Caesar's
army.

archers and slingers. The staff of Caesar—the elite of the democracy of the capital—contained, along with not a few useless young men of rank, some able officers, such as Publius Crassus the younger son of the old political ally of Caesar, and Titus Labienus, who followed the chief of the democracy as a faithful adjutant from the Forum to the battle-field. Caesar had not received definite instructions; to one who was discerning and courageous these were implied in the circumstances with which he had to deal. Here too the negligence of the senate had to be retrieved, and first of all the stream of migration of the German peoples had to be checked.

Just at this time the Helvetic invasion, which was closely interwoven with the German and had been in preparation for years, began. That they might not make a grant of their abandoned huts to the Germans and might render their own return impossible, the Helvetii had burnt their towns and villages; and their long trains of waggons, laden with women, children, and the best part of their moveables, arrived from all sides at the Leman lake near Genava (Geneva), where they and their comrades had fixed their rendezvous for the 28th of March¹ of this year. According to their own reckoning the whole body consisted of 368,000 persons, of whom about a fourth part were able to bear arms. As the mountain chain of the Jura, stretching from the Rhine to the Rhone, almost completely closed in the Helvetic country towards the west, and its narrow defiles were as ill adapted for the passage of such a caravan as they, were well adapted for defence, the leaders had resolved to go round in a southerly direction, and to open up for themselves a way to the west at the point, where the Rhone has broken through the mountain-chain between

Repulse
of the
Helvetii

¹ According to the uncorrected calendar. According to the current rectification, which however here by no means rests on sufficiently trustworthy data, this day corresponds to the x6th of April of the Julian calendar.

the south-western and highest part of the Jura and the Savoy mountains, near the modern Fort de l'Ecluse. But on the right bank here the rocks and precipices come so close to the river that there remained only a narrow path which could easily be blocked up, and the Sequani, to whom this bank belonged, could with ease intercept the route of the Helvetii. They preferred therefore to pass over, above the point where the Rhone breaks through, to the left Allobrogian bank, with the view of regaining the right bank further down the stream where the Rhone enters the plain, and then marching on towards the level west of Gaul; there the fertile canton of the Santones (Saintonge, the valley of the Charente) on the Atlantic Ocean was selected by the wanderers for their new abode. This march led, where it touched the left bank of the Rhone, through Roman territory; and Caesar, otherwise not disposed to acquiesce in the establishment of the Helvetii in western Gaul, was firmly resolved not to permit their passage. But of his four legions three were stationed far off at Aquileia; although he called out in haste the militia of the Transalpine province, it seemed scarcely possible with so small a force to hinder the innumerable Celtic host from crossing the Rhone, between its exit from the Lemman lake at Geneva and the point of its breaking through the mountains, over a distance of more than fourteen miles. Caesar, however, by negotiations with the Helvetii, who would gladly have effected by peaceable means the crossing of the river and the march through the Allobrogian territory, gained a respite of fifteen days, which was employed in breaking down the bridge over the Rhone at Genava, and barring the southern bank of the Rhone against the enemy by an entrenchment nearly nineteen miles long: it was the first application of the system—afterwards carried out on so immense a scale by the Romans—of guarding the frontier of the empire in a

military point of view by a chain of forts placed in connection with each other by ramparts and ditches. The attempts of the Helvetii to gain the other bank at different places in boats or by means of fords were successfully frustrated by the Romans in these lines, and the Helvetii were compelled to desist from the passage of the Rhone.

On the other hand, the party in Gaul hostile to the Romans, which hoped to obtain a powerful reinforcement in the Helvetii, more especially the Haeduan Dumnorix brother of Divitiacus, and at the head of the national party in his canton as the latter was at the head of the Romans, procured for them a passage through the passes of the Jura and the territory of the Sequani. The Romans had no legal title to forbid this; but other and higher interests were at stake for them in the Helvetic expedition than the question of the formal integrity of the Roman territory—interests which could only be guarded, if Caesar, instead of confining himself, as all the governors of the senate and even Marius (iii. 444) had done, to the modest task of watching the frontier, should cross what had hitherto been the frontier at the head of a considerable army. Caesar was general not of the senate, but of the state; he showed no hesitation. He had immediately proceeded from Genava in person to Italy, and with characteristic speed brought up the three legions cantoned there as well as two newly-formed legions of recruits.

**The
Helvetii
move
towards
Gaul.**

These troops he united with the corps stationed at Genava, and crossed the Rhone with his whole force. His unexpected appearance in the territory of the Haedui naturally at once restored the Roman party there to power, which was not unimportant as regarded supplies. He found the Helvetii employed in crossing the Saone, and moving from the territory of the Sequani into that of the Haedui; those of them that were still on the left bank of the Saone, especially the corps of the Tigorini, were

**The
Helvetian
war.**

caught and destroyed by the Romans rapidly advancing. The bulk of the expedition, however, had already crossed to the right bank of the river; Caesar followed them and in twenty-four hours effected the passage, which the unwieldy host of the Helvetii had not been able to accomplish in twenty days. The Helvetii, prevented by this passage of the river on the part of the Roman army from continuing their march westward, turned in a northerly direction, doubtless under the supposition that Caesar would not venture to follow them far into the interior of Gaul, and with the intention, if he should desist from following them, of turning again toward their proper destination. For fifteen days the Roman army marched behind that of the enemy at a distance of about four miles, clinging to its rear, and hoping for an advantageous opportunity of assailing the Helvetic host under conditions favourable to victory, and destroying it. But this moment came not: unwieldy as was the march of the Helvetic caravan, the leaders knew how to guard against a surprise, and appeared to be copiously provided with supplies as well as most accurately informed by their spies of every event in the Roman camp. On the other hand the Romans began to suffer from want of necessities, especially when the Helvetii removed from the Saone and the means of river-transport ceased. The non-arrival of the supplies promised by the Haedui, from which this embarrassment primarily arose, excited the more suspicion, as both armies were still moving about in their territory. Moreover the considerable Roman cavalry, numbering almost 4000 horse, proved utterly untrustworthy—which doubtless admitted of explanation, for they consisted almost wholly of Celtic horsemen, especially of the mounted retainers of the Haedui, under the command of Dumnorix the well-known enemy of the Romans, and Caesar himself had taken them over still more as hostages than as soldiers. There was good reason to believe that a defeat which they

suffered at the hands of the far weaker Helvetic cavalry was occasioned by themselves, and that the enemy was informed by them of all occurrences in the Roman camp. The position of Caesar grew critical; it was becoming disagreeably evident, how much the Celtic patriot party could effect even with the Haedui in spite of their official alliance with Rome, and of the distinctive interests of this canton inclining it towards the Romans; what was to be the issue, if they ventured deeper and deeper into a country full of excitement, and if they removed daily farther from their means of communication? The armies were just marching past Bibracte (Autun), the capital of the Haedui, at a moderate distance; Caesar resolved to seize this important place by force before he continued his march into the interior; and it is very possible, that he intended to desist altogether from farther pursuit and to establish himself in Bibracte. But when he ceased from the pursuit and turned against Bibracte, the Helvetii thought that the Romans were making preparations for flight, and now attacked in their turn.

Caesar desired nothing better. The two armies posted themselves on two parallel chains of hills; the Celts began the engagement, broke up the Roman cavalry which had advanced into the plain, and rushed on against the Roman legions posted on the slope of the hill, but were there obliged to give way before Caesar's veterans. When the Romans thereupon, following up their advantage, descended in their turn to the plain, the Celts again advanced against them, and a reserved Celtic corps took them at the same time in flank. The reserve of the Roman attacking column was pushed forward against the latter; it forced it away from the main body towards the baggage and the barricade of waggons, where it was destroyed. The bulk of the Helvetic host was at length brought to give way, and compelled to beat a retreat in an easterly direction—the

Battle at
Bibracte

opposite of that towards which their expedition led them. This day had frustrated the scheme of the Helvetii to establish for themselves new settlements on the Atlantic Ocean, and handed them over to the pleasure of the victor; but it had been a hot day also for the conquerors. Caesar, who had reason for not altogether trusting his staff of officers, had at the very outset sent away all the officers' horses, so as to make the necessity of holding their ground thoroughly clear to his troops; in fact the battle, had the Romans lost it, would have probably brought about the annihilation of the Roman army. The Roman troops were too much exhausted to pursue the conquered with vigour; but in consequence of the proclamation of Caesar that he would treat all who should support the Helvetii as like the Helvetii themselves enemies of the Romans, all support was refused to the beaten army whithersoever it went—in the first instance, in the canton of the Lingones (about Langres)—and, deprived of all supplies and of their baggage and burdened by the mass of camp-followers incapable of fighting, they were under the necessity of submitting to the Roman general.

The
Helvetii
sent back
to their
original
abodes.

The lot of the vanquished was a comparatively mild one. The Haedui were directed to concede settlements in their territory to the homeless Boii; and this settlement of the conquered foe in the midst of the most powerful Celtic cantons rendered almost the services of a Roman colony. The survivors of the Helvetii and Rauraci, something more than a third of the men that had marched forth, were naturally sent back to their former territory. It was incorporated with the Roman province, but the inhabitants were admitted to alliance with Rome under favourable conditions, in order to defend, under Roman supremacy, the frontier along the upper Rhine against the Germans. Only the south-western point of the Helvetic canton was directly taken into the possession of the Romans, and there

subsequently, on the charming shore of the Lemane lake, the old Celtic town Noviodunum (now Nyon) was converted into a Roman frontier-fortress, the "Julian equestrian colony."¹

Thus the threatening invasion of the Germans on the upper Rhine was obviated, and, at the same time, the party hostile to the Romans among the Celts was humbled. On the middle Rhine also, where the Germans had already crossed years ago, and where the power of Ariovistus which vied with that of Rome in Gaul was daily spreading, there was need of similar action, and the occasion for a rupture was easily found. In comparison with the yoke threatened or already imposed on them by Ariovistus, the Roman supremacy probably now appeared to the greater part of the Celts in this quarter the lesser evil; the minority, who retained their hatred of the Romans, had at least to keep silence. A diet of the Celtic tribes of central Gaul, held under Roman influence, requested the Roman general in name of the Celtic nation for aid against the Germans. Caesar consented. At his suggestion the Haedui stopped the payment of the tribute stipulated to be paid to Ariovistus, and demanded back the hostages furnished; and when Ariovistus on account of this breach of treaty attacked the clients of Rome, Caesar took occasion thereby to enter into direct negotiation with him and specially to demand, in addition to the return of the hostages and a promise to keep peace with the Haedui, that Ariovistus should bind himself to allure no more Germans over the Rhine. The German general replied to the Roman, in the full consciousness of equality of rights, that northern Gaul had become subject to him by right of war as fairly as

Caesar and
Ariovistus.

Negotia-
tions.

¹ *Julia Rquestris*, where the last surname is to be taken as in other colonies of Caesar the surnames of *sextanorum*, *decimanorum*, etc. It was Celtic or German horsemen of Caesar, who, of course with the bestowal of the Roman or, at any rate, Latin franchise, received land-allotments there.

southern Gaul to the Romans; and that, as he did not hinder the Romans from taking tribute from the Allobroges, so they should not prevent him from taxing his subjects. In later secret overtures it appeared that the prince was well aware of the circumstances of the Romans; he mentioned the invitations which had been addressed to him from Rome to put Caesar out of the way, and offered, if Caesar would leave to him northern Gaul, to assist him in turn to obtain the sovereignty of Italy—as the party-quarrels of the Celtic nation had opened up an entrance for him into Gaul, he seemed to expect from the party-quarrels of the Italian nation the consolidation of his rule there. For centuries no such language of power completely on a footing of equality and bluntly and carelessly expressing its independence had been held in presence of the Romans, as was now heard from the king of the German host; he summarily refused to come, when the Roman general suggested that he should appear personally before him according to the usual practice with client-princes.

Ariovistus
attacked,

It was the more necessary not to delay; Caesar immediately set out against Ariovistus. A panic seized his troops, especially his officers, when they were to measure their strength with the flower of the German troops that for fourteen years had not come under shelter of a roof: it seemed as if the deep decay of Roman moral and military discipline would assert itself and provoke desertion and mutiny even in Caesar's camp. But the general, while declaring that in case of need he would march with the tenth legion alone against the enemy, knew not merely how to influence these by such an appeal to honour, but also how to bind the other regiments to their eagles by warlike emulation, and to inspire the troops with something of his own energy. Without leaving them time for reflection, he led them onward in rapid marches, and fortunately anticipated Ariovistus in the occupation of Vesontio (Besancon), the

capital of the Sequani. A personal conference between the two generals, which took place at the request of Ariovistus, seemed as if solely meant to cover an attempt against the person of Caesar; arms alone could decide between the two oppressors of Gaul. The war came temporarily to a stand. In lower Alsace somewhere in the region of Muhlhausen, five miles from the Rhine,¹ the two armies lay at a little distance from each other, till Ariovistus with his very superior force succeeded in marching past the Roman camp, placing himself in its rear, and cutting off the Romans from their base and their supplies. Caesar attempted to free himself from his painful situation by a battle; but Ariovistus did not accept it. Nothing remained for the Roman general but, in spite of his inferior strength, to imitate the movement of the Germans, and to recover his communications by making two legions march past the enemy and take up a position beyond the camp of the Germans, while four legions remained behind in the former camp. Ariovistus, when he saw the Romans divided, attempted an assault on their lesser camp; but the Romans

¹ Goler (*Caesarsgall. Krieg*, p. 45, etc.) thinks that he has found the field of battle at Cernay not far from Muhlhausen, which, on the whole, agrees with Napoleon's (*Precis*, p. 35) placing of the battle-field in the district of Belfort. This hypothesis, although not certain, suits the circumstances of the case; for the fact that Caesar required seven days' march for the short space from Besancon to that point, is explained by his own remark (i. 41) that he had taken a circuit of fifty miles to avoid the mountain paths; and the whole description of the pursuit continued as far as the Rhine, and evidently not lasting for several days but ending on the very day of the battle, decides—the authority of tradition being equally balanced—in favour of the view that the battle was fought five, not fifty, miles from the Rhine. The proposal of Rustow (*Einleitung zu Caesars Comm.* p. 117) to transfer the field of battle to the upper Saar rests on a misunderstanding. The corn expected from the Sequani, Leuci, Lingones was not to come to the Roman army in the course of their march against Ariovistus, but to be delivered at Besancon before their departure, and taken by the troops along with them; as is clearly apparent from the fact that Caesar, while pointing his troops to those supplies, comforts them at the same time with the hope of corn to be brought in on the route. From Besancon Caesar commanded the region of Langres and Epinal, and, as may be well conceived, preferred to levy his requisitions there rather than in the exhausted districts from which he came.

and
beaten.

repulsed it. Under the impression made by this success, the whole Roman army was brought forward to the attack; and the Germans also placed themselves in battle array, in a long line, each tribe for itself, the cars of the army with the baggage and women being placed behind them to render flight more difficult. The right wing of the Romans, led by Caesar himself, threw itself rapidly on the enemy, and drove them before it; the right wing of the Germans was in like manner successful. The balance still stood equal; but the tactics of the reserve, which had decided so many other conflicts with barbarians, decided the conflict with the Germans also in favour of the Romans; their third line, which Publius Crassus seasonably sent to render help, restored the battle on the left wing and thereby decided the victory. The pursuit was continued to the Rhine; only a few, including the king, succeeded in escaping to the other bank (696).

58.

German
settlements
on the left
bank of the
Rhine.

Thus brilliantly the Roman rule announced its advent to the mighty stream, which the Italian soldiers here saw for the first time; by a single fortunate battle the line of the Rhine was won. The fate of the German settlements on the left bank of the Rhine lay in the hands of Caesar; the victor could destroy them, but he did not do so. The neighbouring Celtic cantons—the Sequani, Leuci, Mediomatrici—were neither capable of self-defence nor trustworthy; the transplanted Germans promised to become not merely brave guardians of the frontier but also better subjects of Rome, for their nationality severed them from the Celts, and their own interest in the preservation of their newly-won settlements severed them from their countrymen across the Rhine, so that in their isolated position they could not avoid adhering to the central power. Caesar here, as everywhere, preferred conquered foes to doubtful friends; he left the Germans settled by Ariovistus along the left bank of the Rhine—the Triboci

about Strassburg, the Nemetes about Spire, the Vangiones about Worms—in possession of their new abodes, and entrusted them with the guarding of the Rhine-frontier against their countrymen.¹

The Suebi, who threatened the territory of the Treveri on the middle Rhine, on receiving news of the defeat of Ariovistus, again retreated into the interior of Germany; on which occasion they sustained considerable loss by the way at the hands of the adjoining tribes.

The consequences of this one campaign were immense ; they were felt for many centuries after. The Rhine had become the boundary of the Roman empire against the Germans. In Gaul, which was no longer able to govern itself, the Romans had hitherto ruled on the south coast, while lately the Germans had attempted to establish themselves farther up. The recent events had decided that Gaul was to succumb not merely in part but wholly to the Roman supremacy, and that the natural boundary presented by the mighty river was also to become the political boundary. The senate in its better times had not rested, till the dominion of Rome had reached the natural bounds of Italy—the Alps and the Mediterranean—and its adjacent islands. The enlarged empire also needed a similar military rounding off; but the present government left the matter to accident, and sought at most to see, not that the frontiers were capable of defence, but that they should not need to be defended directly by itself. People

The Rhine
boundary.

¹ This seems the simplest hypothesis regarding the origin of these Germanic settlements. That Ariovistus settled those peoples on the middle Rhine is probable, because they fight in his army (*Caes.* i. 51) and do not appear earlier; that Caesar left them in possession of their settlements is probable, because he in presence of Ariovistus declared himself ready to tolerate the Germans already settled in Gaul (*Caes.* i. 35. 43), and because we find them afterwards in these abodes. Caesar does not mention the directions given after the battle concerning these Germanic settlements, because he keeps silence on principle regarding all the organic arrangements made by him in Gaul.

felt that now another spirit and another arm began to guide the destinies of Rome.

Subjuga-
tion of
Gaul.

The foundations of the future edifice were laid; but in order to finish the building and completely to secure the recognition of the Roman rule by the Gauls, and that of the Rhine-frontier by the Germans, very much still remained to be done. All central Gaul indeed from the Roman frontier as far up as Chartres and Treves submitted without objection to the new ruler; and on the upper and middle Rhine also no attack was for the present to be apprehended from the Germans. But the northern provinces—as well the Aremorican cantons in Brittany and Normandy as the more powerful confederation of the Belgae—were not affected by the blows directed against central Gaul, and found no occasion to submit to the conqueror of Ariovistus. Moreover, as was already remarked, very close relations subsisted between the Belgae and the Germans over the Rhine, and at the mouth of the Rhine also Germanic tribes made themselves ready to cross the stream. In consequence of this Caesar set out with his army, now increased to eight legions, in the spring of 697 against the Belgic cantons. Mindful of the brave and successful resistance which fifty years before they had with united strength presented to the Cimbri on the borders of their land (iii. 444), and stimulated by the patriots who had fled to them in numbers from central Gaul, the confederacy of the Belgae sent their whole first levy—300,000 armed men under the leadership of Galba the king of the Suessiones—to their southern frontier to receive Caesar there. A single canton alone, that of the powerful Remi (about Rheims) discerned in this invasion of the foreigners an opportunity to shake off the rule which their neighbours the Suessiones exercised over them, and prepared to take up in the north the part which the Haedui had played in central Gaul. The Roman and the

Belgic
expedition.

57.

Belgic armies arrived in their territory almost at the same time.

Caesar did not venture to give battle to the brave enemy six times as strong; to the north of the Aisne, not far from the modern Pontavert between Rheims and Laon, he pitched his camp on a plateau rendered almost unsailable on all sides partly by the river and by morasses, partly by fosses and redoubts, and contented himself with thwarting by defensive measures the attempts of the Belgae to cross the Aisne and thereby to cut him off from his communications. When he counted on the likelihood that the coalition would speedily collapse under its own weight, he had reckoned rightly. King Galba was an honest man, held in universal respect; but he was not equal to the management of an army of 300,000 men on hostile soil. No progress was made, and provisions began to fail; discontent and dissension began to insinuate themselves into the camp of the confederates. The Bellovaci in particular, equal to the Suessiones in power, and already dissatisfied that the supreme command of the confederate army had not fallen to them, could no longer be detained after news had arrived that the Haedui as allies of the Romans were making preparations to enter the Bellovacic territory. They determined to break up and go home; though for honour's sake all the cantons at the same time bound themselves to hasten with their ignited strength to the help of the one first attacked, the miserable dispersion of the confederacy was but miserably palliated by such impracticable stipulations. It was a catastrophe which vividly reminds us of that which occurred almost on the same spot in 1792; and, just as with the campaign in Champagne, the defeat was all the more severe that it took place without a battle. The bad leadership of the retreating army allowed the Roman general to pursue it as if it were beaten, and to destroy a portion of the contingents

Conflicts
on the
Aisne.

**Submission
of the
western
cantons.**

that had remained to the last. But the consequences of the victory were not confined to this. As Caesar advanced into the western cantons of the Belgae, one after another gave themselves up as lost almost without resistance; the powerful Suessiones (about Soissons), as well as their rivals, the Bellovaci (about Beauvais) and the Ambiani (about Amiens). The towns opened their gates when they saw the strange besieging machines, the towers rolling up to their walls; those who would not submit to the foreign masters sought a refuge beyond the sea in Britain.

**The
conflict
with the
Nervii.**

But in the eastern cantons the national feeling was more energetically roused. The Viromandui (about Arras), the Atrebatas (about St. Quentin), the German Aduatuci (about Namur), but above all the Nervii (in Hainault) with their not inconsiderable body of clients, little inferior in number to the Suessiones and Bellovaci, far superior to them in valour and vigorous patriotic spirit, concluded a second and closer league, and assembled their forces on the upper Sambre. Celtic spies informed them most accurately of the movements of the Roman army; their own local knowledge, and the high tree-barricades which were formed everywhere in these districts to obstruct the bands of mounted robbers who often visited them, allowed the allies to conceal their own operations for the most part from the view of the Romans. When these arrived on the Sambre not far from Bavay, and the legions were occupied in pitching their camp on the crest of the left bank, while the cavalry and light infantry were exploring the opposite heights, the latter were all at once assailed by the whole mass of the enemy's forces and driven down the hill into the river. In a moment the enemy had crossed this also, and stormed the heights of the left bank with a determination that braved death. Scarcely was there time left for the entrenching legionaries to exchange the mattock for the sword; the soldiers, many without helmets, had to fight

just as they stood, without line of battle, without plan, without proper command; for, owing to the suddenness of the attack and the intersection of the ground by tall hedges, the several divisions had wholly lost their communications. Instead of a battle there arose a number of unconnected conflicts. Labienus with the left wing overthrew the Atrebates and pursued them even across the river. The Roman central division forced the Viromandui down the declivity. But the right wing, where the general himself was present, was outflanked by the far more numerous Nervii the more easily, as the central division carried away by its own success had evacuated the ground alongside of it, and even the half-ready camp was occupied by the Nervii; the two legions, each separately rolled together into a dense mass and assailed in front and on both flanks, deprived of most of their officers and their best soldiers, appeared on the point of being broken and cut to pieces. The Roman camp-followers and the allied troops were already fleeing in all directions; of the Celtic cavalry whole divisions, like the contingent of the Treveri, galloped off at full speed, that from the battle-field itself they might announce at home the welcome news of the defeat which had been sustained. Everything was at stake. The general himself seized his shield and fought among the foremost; his example, his call even now inspiring enthusiasm, induced the wavering ranks to rally. They had already in some measure extricated themselves and had at least restored the connection between the two legions of this wing, when help came up—partly down from the crest of the bank, where in the interval the Roman rearguard with the baggage had arrived, partly from the other bank of the river, where Labienus had meanwhile penetrated to the enemy's camp and taken possession of it, and now, perceiving at length the danger that menaced the *m* right wing, despatched the victorious

tenth legion to the aid of his general. The Nervii, separated from their confederates and simultaneously assailed on all sides, now showed, when fortune turned, the same heroic courage as when they believed themselves victors; still over the pile of corpses of their fallen comrades they fought to the last man. According to their own statement, of their six hundred senators only three survived this day.

Subjection
of the
Belgae.

After this annihilating defeat the Nervii, Atrebrates, and Viromandui could not but recognize the Roman supremacy. The Aduatuci, who arrived too late to take part in the fight on the Sambre, attempted still to hold their ground in the strongest of their towns (on the mount Falhize near the Maas not far from Huy), but they too soon submitted. A nocturnal attack on the Roman camp in front of the town, which they ventured after the surrender, miscarried; and the perfidy was avenged by the Romans with fearful severity. The clients of the Aduatuci, consisting of the Eburones between the Maas and Rhine and other small adjoining tribes, were declared independent by the Romans, while the Aduatuci taken prisoners were sold under the hammer *en masse* for the benefit of the Roman treasury. It seemed as if the fate which had befallen the Cimbri still pursued even this last Cimbrian fragment. Caesar contented himself with imposing on the other subdued tribes a general disarmament and furnishing of hostages. The Remi became naturally the leading canton in Belgic, like the Haedui in central Gaul; even in the latter several clans at enmity with the Haedui preferred to rank among the clients of the Remi. Only the remote maritime cantons of the Morini (Artois) and the Menapii (Flanders and Brabant), and the country between the Scheldt and the Rhine inhabited in great part by Germans, remained still for the present exempt from Roman invasion and in possession of their hereditary freedom.

The turn of the Aremoric cantons came. In the autumn of 697 Publius Crassus was sent thither with a Roman corps ; he induced the Veneti—who as masters of the ports of the modern Morbihan and of a respectable fleet occupied the first place among all the Celtic cantons in navigation and commerce—and generally the coast-districts between the Loire and Seine, to submit to the Romans and give them hostages. But they soon repented. When in the following winter (697-698) Roman officers came to these legions to levy requisitions of grain there, they were detained by the Veneti as counter-hostages. The example thus set was quickly followed not only by the Aremoric cantons, but also by the maritime cantons of the Belgæ that still remained free; where, as in some cantons of Normandy, the common council refused to join the insurrection, the multitude put them to death and attached itself with redoubled zeal to the national cause. The whole coast from the mouth of the Loire to that of the Rhine rose against Rome; the most resolute patriots from all the Celtic cantons hastened thither to co-operate in the great work of liberation; they already calculated on the rising of the whole Belgic confederacy, on aid from Britain, on the arrival of Germans from beyond the Rhine.

Expeditions [57. against the maritime cantons.

57-56.

Venetian war.

Caesar sent Labienus with all the cavalry to the Rhine, with a view to hold in check the agitation in the Belgic province, and in case of need to prevent the Germans from crossing the river; another of his lieutenants, Quintus Titurius Sabinus, went with three legions to Normandy, where the main body of the insurgents assembled. But the powerful and intelligent Veneti were the true centre of the insurrection; the chief attack by land and sea was directed against them. Caesar's lieutenant, Decimus Brutus, brought up the fleet formed partly of the ships of the subject Celtic cantons, partly of a number of Roman galleys hastily built on the Loire and manned with rowers

province; Caesar himself advanced with the flower of his infantry into the territory of the Veneti. But these were prepared beforehand, and had with equal skill and resolution availed themselves of the favourable circumstances which the nature of the ground in Brittany and the possession of a considerable naval power presented. The country was much intersected and poorly furnished with grain, the towns were situated for the most part on cliffs and tongues of land, and were accessible from the mainland only by shallows which it was difficult to cross; the provision of supplies and the conducting of sieges were equally difficult for the army attacking by land, while the Celts by means of their vessels could furnish the towns easily with everything needful, and in the event of the worst could accomplish their evacuation. The legions expended their time and strength in the sieges of the Venetian townships, only to see the substantial fruits of victory ultimately carried off in the vessels of the enemy.

**Naval
battle
between
the
Romans
and the
Veneti.**

Accordingly when the Roman fleet, long detained by storms at the mouth of the Loire, arrived at length on the coast of Brittany, it was left to decide the struggle by a naval battle. The Celts, conscious of their superiority on this element, brought forth their fleet against that of the Romans commanded by Brutus. Not only did it number 220 sail, far more than the Romans had been able to bring up, but their high-decked strong sailing-vessels with flat bottoms were also far better adapted for the high-running waves of the Atlantic Ocean than the low, lightly-built oared galleys of the Romans with their sharp keels. Neither the missiles nor the boarding-bridges of the Romans could reach the high deck of the enemy's vessels, and the iron beaks recoiled powerless from the strong oaken planks. But the Roman mariners cut the ropes, by which the yards were fastened to the masts, by means of sickles fastened to long poles ; the yards and sails fell down, and, as they did

not know how to repair the damage speedily, the ship was thus rendered a wreck just as it is at the present day by the falling of the masts, and the Roman boats easily succeeded by a joint attack in mastering the maimed vessel of the enemy. When the Gauls perceived this manoeuvre, they attempted to move from the coast on which they had taken up the combat with the Romans, and to gain the high seas, whither the Roman galleys could not follow them; but unhappily for them there suddenly set in a dead calm, and the immense fleet, towards the equipment of which the maritime cantons had applied all their energies, was almost wholly destroyed by the Romans. Thus was this naval battle—so far as historical knowledge reaches, the earliest fought on the Atlantic Ocean—just like the engagement at Mylae two hundred years before (ii. 175), notwithstanding the most unfavourable circumstances, decided in favour of the Romans by a lucky invention suggested by necessity. The consequence of the victory achieved by Brutus was the surrender of the Veneti and of all Brittany. More with a view to impress the Celtic nation, after so manifold evidences of clemency towards the vanquished, by an example of fearful severity now against those whose resistance had been obstinate, than with the view of punishing the breach of treaty and the arrest of the Roman officers, Caesar caused the whole common council to be executed and the people of the Venetian canton to the last man to be sold into slavery. By this dreadful fate, as well as by their intelligence and their patriotism, the Veneti have more than any other Celtic clan acquired a title to the sympathy of posterity.

**Submission
of the
maritime
cantons.**

Sabinus meanwhile opposed to the levy of the coast-states assembled on the Channel the same tactics by which Caesar had in the previous year conquered the Belgic general levy on the Aisne; he stood on the defensive till impatience and want invaded the ranks of the enemy, and

then managed by deceiving them as to the temper and strength of his troops, and above all by means of their own impatience, to allure them to an imprudent assault upon the Roman camp, in which they were defeated; whereupon the militia dispersed and the country as far as the Seine submitted.

Expeditions
against the
Morini and
Menapii.

- The Morini and Menapii alone persevered in withholding their recognition of the Roman supremacy. To compel them to this, Caesar appeared on their borders; but, rendered wiser by the experiences of their countrymen, they avoided accepting battle on the borders of their land, and retired into the forests which then stretched almost without interruption from the Ardennes towards the German Ocean. The Romans attempted to make a road through the forest with the axe, ranging the felled trees on each side as a barricade against the enemy's attacks; but even Caesar, daring as he was, found it advisable after some days of most laborious marching, especially as it was verging towards winter, to order a retreat, although but a small portion of the Morini had submitted and the powerful Menapii had not been reached at all. In the following
55. year (699), while Caesar himself was employed in Britain, the greater part of the army was sent afresh against these tribes; but this expedition also remained in the main unsuccessful. Nevertheless the result of the last campaigns was the almost complete reduction of Gaul under the dominion of the Romans. While central Gaul had submitted to it without resistance, during the campaign of 697
67. the Belgic, and during that of the following year the maritime, cantons had been compelled by force of arms to acknowledge the Roman rule. The lofty hopes, with which the Celtic patriots had begun the last campaign, had nowhere been fulfilled. Neither Germans nor Britons had come to their aid; and in Belgica the presence of Labienus had sufficed to prevent the renewal of the conflicts of the previous year.

While Caesar was thus forming the Roman domain in the west by force of arms into a compact whole, he did not neglect to open up for the newly-conquered country—which was destined in fact to fill up the wide gap in that domain between Italy and Spain—communications both with the Italian home and with the Spanish provinces. The communication between Gaul and Italy had certainly been materially facilitated by the military road laid out by Pompeius in 677 over Mont Genevre (iv. 293); but since the whole of Gaul had been subdued by the Romans, there was need of a route crossing the ridge of the Alps from the valley of the Po, not in a westerly but in a northerly direction, and furnishing a shorter communication between Italy and central Gaul. The way which leads over the Great St. Bernard into the Valais and along the lake of Geneva had long served the merchant for this purpose; to get this road into his power, Caesar as early as the autumn of 697 caused Octodurum (Martigny) to be occupied by Servius Galba, and the inhabitants of the Valais to be reduced to subjection—a result which was, of course, merely postponed, not prevented, by the brave resistance of these mountain-peoples.

Establishment of communications with Italy by the Valais,

77.

To gain communication with Spain, moreover, Publius Crassus was sent in the following year (698) to Aquitania with instructions to compel the Iberian tribes dwelling there to acknowledge the Roman rule. The task was not without difficulty; the Iberians held together more compactly than the Celts and knew better than these how to learn from their enemies. The tribes beyond the Pyrenees, especially the valiant Cantabri, sent a contingent to their threatened countrymen; with this there came experienced officers trained under the leadership of Sertorius in the Roman fashion, who introduced as far as possible the principles of the Roman art of war, and especially of encampment, among the Aquitanian levy already respectable

and with Spain.
56.

from its numbers and its valour. But the excellent officer who led the Romans knew how to surmount all difficulties, and after some hardly-contested but successful battles he induced the peoples from the Garonne to the vicinity of the Pyrenees to submit to the new masters.

Fresh violations of the Rhine-boundary by the Germans.

One of the objects which Caesar had proposed to himself—the subjugation of Gaul—had been in substance, with exceptions scarcely worth mentioning, attained so far as it could be attained at all by the sword. But the other half of the work undertaken by Caesar was still far from being satisfactorily accomplished, and the Germans had by no means as yet been everywhere compelled to recognize the

56-55. Rhine as their limit. Even now, in the winter of 698-699, a fresh crossing of the boundary had taken place on the lower course of the river, whither the Romans had not yet penetrated. The German tribes of the Usipetes and Tencteri whose attempts to cross the Rhine in the territory of the Menapii have been already mentioned (p. 37), had at length, eluding the vigilance of their opponents by a feigned retreat, crossed in the vessels belonging to the Menapii—an enormous host, which is said, including women and children, to have amounted to 430,000 persons. They still lay, apparently, in the region of Nimeguen and Cleves; but it was said that, following the invitations of the Celtic patriot party, they intended to advance into the interior of Gaul; and the rumour was confirmed by the fact that bands of their horsemen already roamed as far as the borders of the Treveri. But when Caesar with his legions arrived opposite to them, the sorely-harassed emigrants seemed not desirous of fresh conflicts, but very ready to accept land from the Romans and to till it in peace under their supremacy. While negotiations as to this were going on, a suspicion arose in the mind of the Roman general that the Germans only sought to gain time till the bands of horsemen sent out by them had returned. Whether this

The Usipetes and Tencteri.

suspicion was well founded or not, we cannot tell; but confirmed in it by an attack, which in spite of the *de facto* suspension of arms a troop of the enemy made on his vanguard, and exasperated by the severe loss thereby sustained, Caesar believed himself entitled to disregard every consideration of international law. When on the second morning the princes and elders of the Germans appeared in the Roman camp to apologize for the attack made without their knowledge, they were arrested, and the multitude anticipating no assault and deprived of their leaders were suddenly fallen upon by the Roman army. It was rather a man-hunt than a battle; those that did not fall under the swords of the Romans were drowned in the Rhine; almost none but the divisions detached at the time of the attack escaped the massacre and succeeded in recrossing the Rhine, where the Sugambri gave them an asylum in their territory, apparently on the Lippe. The behaviour of Caesar towards these German immigrants met with severe and just censure in the senate; but, however little it can be excused, the German encroachments were emphatically checked by the terror which it occasioned.

Caesar however found it advisable to take yet a further step and to lead the legions over the Rhine. He was not without connections beyond the river. The Germans at the stage of culture which they had then reached, lacked as yet any national coherence; in political distraction they—though from other causes—fell nothing short of the Celts. The Ubii (on the Sieg and Lahn), the most civilized among the German tribes, had recently been made subject and tributary by a powerful Suebian canton of the interior, and had as early as 697 through their envoys entreated Caesar to free them like the Gauls from the Suebian rule. It was not Caesar's design seriously to respond to this suggestion, which would have involved him in endless enterprises; but it seemed advisable, with the view of pre-

Caesar on
the right
bank of
the Rhine.

venting the appearance of the Germanic arms on the south of the Rhine, at least to show the Roman arms beyond it. The protection which the fugitive Usipetes and Tencteri had found among the Sugambri afforded a suitable occasion. In the region, apparently between Coblentz and Andemach, Caesar erected a bridge of piles over the Rhine and led his legions across from the Treverian to the Ubian territory. Some smaller cantons gave in their submission; but the Sugambri, against whom the expedition was primarily directed, withdrew, on the approach of the Roman army, with those under their protection into the interior. In like manner the powerful Suebian canton which oppressed the Ubii—presumably the same which subsequently appears under the name of the Chatti—caused the districts immediately adjoining the Ubian territory to be evacuated and the non-combatant portion of the people to be placed in safety, while all the men capable of arms were directed to assemble at the centre of the canton. The Roman general had neither occasion nor desire to accept this challenge; his object—partly to reconnoitre, partly to produce an impressive effect if possible upon the Germans, or at least on the Celts and his countrymen at home, by an expedition over the Rhine—was substantially attained; after remaining eighteen days on the right bank of the Rhine he again arrived in Gaul and broke down the Rhine bridge behind him (699).

Expeditions to Britain.

There remained the insular Celts. From the close connection between them and the Celts of the continent, especially the maritime cantons, it may readily be conceived that they had at least sympathized with the national resistance, and that if they did not grant armed assistance to the patriots, they gave at any rate an honourable asylum in their sea-protected isle to every one who was no longer safe in his native land. This certainly involved a danger, if not for the present, at any rate for the future; it seemed judi-

cious—if not to undertake the conquest of the island itself—at any rate to conduct there also defensive operations by offensive means, and to show the islanders by a landing on the coast that the arm of the Romans reached even across the Channel. The first Roman officer who entered Brittany, Publius Crassus, had already (697) crossed thence to the 57. "tin-islands" at the south-west point of England (Scilly islands); in the summer of 699 Caesar himself with only 55. two legions crossed the Channel at its narrowest part.¹ He found the coast covered with masses of the enemy's troops and sailed onward with his vessels; but the British war-chariots moved on quite as fast by land as the Roman galleys by sea, and it was only with the utmost difficulty that the Roman soldiers succeeded in gaining the shore in the face of the enemy, partly by wading, partly in boats, under the protection of the ships of war, which swept the beach with missiles thrown from machines and by the hand. In the first alarm the nearest villages submitted; but the islanders soon perceived how weak the enemy was, and

¹ The nature of the case as well as Caesar's express statement proves that the passages of Caesar to Britain were made from ports of the coast between Calais and Boulogne to the coast of Kent. A more exact determination of the localities has often been attempted, but without success. All that is recorded is, that on the first voyage the infantry embarked at one port, the cavalry at another distant from the former eight miles in an easterly direction (IV. 22, 23, 28), and that the second voyage was made from that one of those two ports which Caesar had found most convenient, the (otherwise not further mentioned) Portus Itius, distant from the British coast 30 (so according to the MSS. of Caesar v. 2) or 40 miles (= 320 stadia, according to Strabo iv. 5, 2, who doubtless drew his account from Caesar). From Caesar's words (iv. 21) that he had chosen "the shortest crossing," we may doubtless reasonably infer that he crossed not the Channel but the Straits of Calais, but by no means that he crossed the latter by the mathematically shortest line. It requires the implicit faith of local topographers to proceed to the determination of the locality with such data in hand—data of which the best in itself becomes almost useless from the variation of the authorities as to the number; but among the many possibilities most may perhaps be said in favour of the view that the Itian port (which Strabo *l.c.* is probably right in identifying with that from which the infantry crossed in the first voyage) is to be sought near Ambleteuse to the west of Cape Gris Nez, and the cavalry-harbour near Ecale (Wissant) to the east of the same promontory, and that the landing took place to the east of Dover near Walmer Castle.

how he did not venture to move far from the shore. The natives disappeared into the interior and returned only to threaten the camp; and the fleet, which had been left in the open roads, suffered very considerable damage from the first tempest that burst upon it. The Romans had to reckon themselves fortunate in repelling the attacks of the barbarians till they had bestowed the necessary repairs on the ships, and in regaining with these the Gallic coast before the bad season of the year came on.

- Caesar himself was so dissatisfied with the results of this expedition undertaken inconsiderately and with inadequate means, that he immediately (in the winter of 699-700) ordered a transport fleet of 800 sail to be fitted out, and in the spring of 700 sailed a second time for the Kentish coast, on this occasion with five legions and 2000 cavalry. The forces of the Britons, assembled this time also on the shore, retired before the mighty armada without risking a battle; Caesar immediately set out on his march into the interior, and after some successful conflicts crossed the river Stour; but he was obliged to halt very much against his will, because the fleet in the open roads had been again half destroyed by the storms of the Channel. Before they got the ships drawn up upon the beach and the extensive arrangements made for their repair, precious time was lost, which the Celts wisely turned to account.

Cassivellaunus.

The brave and cautious prince Cassivellaunus, who ruled in what is now Middlesex and the surrounding district—formerly the terror of the Celts to the south of the Thames, but now the protector and champion of the whole nation—had headed the defence of the land. He soon saw that nothing at all could be done with the Celtic infantry against the Roman, and that the mass of the general levy—which it was difficult to feed and difficult to control—was only a hindrance to the defence; he therefore dismissed it and retained only the war-chariots, of which

he collected 4000, and in which the warriors, accustomed to leap down from their chariots and fight on foot, could be employed in a twofold manner like the burgess-cavalry of the earliest Rome. When Caesar was once more able to continue his march, he met with no interruption to it; but the British war-chariots moved always in front and alongside of the Roman army, induced the evacuation of the country (which from the absence of towns proved no great difficulty), prevented the sending out of detachments, and threatened the communications. The Thames was crossed — apparently between Kingston and Brentford above London—by the Romans; they moved forward, but made no real progress; the general achieved no victory, the soldiers made no booty, and the only actual result, the submission of the Trinobantes in the modern Essex, was less the effect of a dread of the Romans than of the deep hostility between this canton and Cassivellaunus. The danger increased with every onward step, and the attack, which the princes of Kent by the orders of Cassivellaunus made on the Roman naval camp, although it was repulsed, was an urgent warning to turn back. The taking by storm of a great British tree-barricade, in which a multitude of cattle fell into the hands of the Romans, furnished a passable conclusion to the aimless advance and a tolerable pretext for returning. Cassivellaunus was sagacious enough not to drive the dangerous enemy to extremities, and promised, as Caesar desired him, to abstain from disturbing the Trinobantes, to pay tribute and to furnish hostages; nothing was said of delivering up arms or leaving behind a Roman garrison, and even those promises were, it may be presumed, so far as they concerned the future, neither given nor received in earnest. After receiving the hostages Caesar returned to the naval camp and thence to Gaul. If he, as it would certainly seem, had hoped on this occasion to conquer Britain, the scheme was totally thwarted partly by

the wise defensive system of Cassivellaunus, partly and chiefly by the unserviceableness of the Italian oared fleet in the waters of the North Sea; for it is certain that the stipulated tribute was never paid. But the immediate object—of rousing the islanders out of their haughty security and inducing them in their own interest no longer to allow their island to be a rendezvous for continental emigrants—seems certainly to have been attained; at least no complaints are afterwards heard as to the bestowal of such protection.

The
conspiracy
of the
patriots.

The work of repelling the Germanic invasion and of subduing the continental Celts was completed. But it is often easier to subdue a free nation than to keep a subdued one in subjection. The rivalry for the hegemony, by which more even than by the attacks of Rome the Celtic nation had been ruined, was in some measure set aside by the conquest, inasmuch as the conqueror took the hegemony to himself. Separate interests were silent; under the common oppression at any rate they felt themselves again as one people; and the infinite value of that which they had with indifference gambled away when they possessed it—freedom and nationality—was now, when it was too late, fully appreciated by their infinite longing. But was it, then, too late? With indignant shame they confessed to themselves that a nation, which numbered at least a million of men capable of arms, a nation of ancient and well-founded warlike renown, had allowed the yoke to be imposed upon it by, at the most, 50,000 Romans. The submission of the confederacy of central Gaul without having struck even a blow; the submission of the Belgic confederacy without having done more than merely shown a wish to strike; the heroic fall on the other hand of the Nervii and the Veneti, the sagacious and successful resistance of the Morini, and of the Britons under Cassivellaunus—all that in each case had been done or neglected, had failed or had succeeded—

spurred the minds of the patriots to new attempts, if possible, more united and more successful. Especially among the Celtic nobility there prevailed an excitement, which seemed every moment as if it must break out into a general insurrection. Even before the second expedition to Britain in the spring of 700 Caesar had found it necessary to go in person to the Treveri, who, since they had compromised themselves in the Nervian conflict in 697, had no longer appeared at the general diets and had formed more than suspicious connections with the Germans beyond the Rhine. At that time Caesar had contented himself with carrying the men of most note among the patriot party, particularly Indutiomarus, along with him to Britain in the ranks of the Treverian cavalry-contingent; he did his utmost to overlook the conspiracy, that he might not by strict measures ripen it into insurrection. But when the Haeduan Dumnorix, who likewise was present in the army destined for Britain, nominally as a cavalry officer, but really as a hostage, peremptorily refused to embark and rode home instead, Caesar could not do otherwise than have him pursued as a deserter; he was accordingly overtaken by the division sent after him and, when he stood on his defence, was cut down (700). That the most esteemed knight of the most powerful and still the least dependent of the Celtic cantons should have been put to death by the Romans, was a thunder-clap for the whole Celtic nobility; every one who was conscious of similar sentiments—and they formed the great majority—saw in that catastrophe the picture of what was in store for himself.

If patriotism and despair had induced the heads of the Celtic nobility to conspire, fear and self-defence now drove the conspirators to strike. In the winter of 700—701, with the exception of a legion stationed in Brittany and a second in the very unsettled canton of the Carnutes (near Chartres), the whole Roman army numbering six legions was en-

Insurrection.

54-53.

camped in the Belgic territory. The scantiness of the supplies of grain had induced Caesar to station his troops farther apart than he was otherwise wont to do—in six different camps constructed in the cantons of the Bellovaci, Ambiani, Morini, Nervii, Remi, and Eburones. The fixed camp placed farthest towards the east in the territory of the Eburones, probably not far from the later Aduatuca (the modern Tongern), the strongest of all, consisting of a legion tinder one of the most respected of Caesar's leaders of division, Quintus Titurius Sabinus, besides different detachments led by the brave Lucius Aurunculeius Cotta¹ and amounting together to the strength of half a legion, found itself all of a sudden surrounded by the general levy of the Eburones under the kings Ambiorix and Catuvolcus. The attack came so unexpectedly, that the very men absent from the camp could not be recalled and were cut of by the enemy; otherwise the immediate danger was not great, as there was no lack of provisions, and the assault, which the Eburones attempted, recoiled powerless from the Roman intrenchments. But king Ambiorix informed the Roman commander that all the Roman camps in Gaul were similarly assailed on the same day, and that the Romans would undoubtedly be lost if the several corps did not quickly set out and effect a junction; that Sabinus had the more reason to make haste, as the

•
¹ That Cotta, although not lieutenant-general of Sabinus, but like him legate, was yet the younger and less esteemed general and was probably directed in the event of a difference to yield, may be inferred both from the earlier services of Sabinus and from the fact that, where the two are named together (iv. 22, 38; v. 24, 26, 52; vi. 32; otherwise in vi. 37) Sabinus regularly takes precedence, as also from the narrative of the catastrophe itself. Besides we cannot possibly suppose that Caesar should have placed over a camp two officers with equal authority, and have made no arrangement at all for the case of a difference of opinion. The five cohorts are not counted as part of a legion (comp. vi. 32, 33) any more than the twelve cohorts at the Rhine bridge (vi. 29, comp. 32, 33), and appear to have consisted of detachments of other portions of the army, which had been assigned to reinforce this camp situated nearest to the Germans.

Germans too from beyond the Rhine were already advancing against him; that he himself out of friendship for the Romans would promise them a free retreat as far as the nearest Roman camp, only two days' march distant. Some things in these statements seemed no fiction; that the little canton of the Eburones specially favoured by the Romans (p. 54) should have undertaken the attack of its own accord was in reality incredible, and, owing to the difficulty of effecting a communication with the other far-distant camps, the danger of being attacked by the whole mass of the insurgents and destroyed in detail was by no means to be esteemed slight; nevertheless it could not admit of the smallest doubt that both honour and prudence required them to reject the capitulation offered by the enemy and to maintain the post entrusted to them. Yet, although in the council of war numerous voices and especially the weighty voice of Lucius Aurunculeius Cotta supported this view, the commandant determined to accept the proposal of Ambiorix. The Roman troops accordingly marched off next morning; but when they had arrived at a narrow valley about two miles from the camp they found themselves surrounded by the Eburones and every outlet blocked. They attempted to open a way for themselves by force of arms; but the Eburones would not enter into any close combat, and contented themselves with discharging their missiles from their unassailable positions into the dense mass of the Romans. Bewildered, as if seeking deliverance from treachery at the hands of the traitor, Sabinus requested a conference with Ambiorix; it was granted, and he and the officers accompanying him were first disarmed and then slain. After the fall of the commander the Eburones threw themselves from all sides at once on the exhausted and despairing Romans, and broke their ranks; most of them, including Cotta who had already been wounded, met their death in this attack; a

small portion, who had succeeded in regaining the abandoned camp, flung themselves on their own swords during the following night. The whole corps was annihilated.

Cicero
attacked.

This success, such as the insurgents themselves had hardly ventured to hope for, increased the ferment among the Celtic patriots so greatly that the Romans were no longer sure of a single district with the exception of the Haedui and Remi, and the insurrection broke out at the most diverse points. First of all the Eburones followed up their victory. Reinforced by the levy of the Aduatuci, who gladly embraced the opportunity of requiting the injury done to them by Caesar, and of the powerful and still unsubdued Menapii, they appeared in the territory of the Nervii, who immediately joined them, and the whole host thus swelled to 60,000 moved forward to confront the Roman camp formed in the Nervian canton. Quintus Cicero, who commanded there, had with his weak corps a difficult position, especially as the besiegers, learning from the foe, constructed ramparts and trenches, *testudines* and moveable towers after the Roman fashion, and showered fire-balls and burning spears over the straw-covered huts of the camp. The only hope of the besieged rested on Caesar, who lay not so very far off with three legions in his winter encampment in the region of Amiens. But—a significant proof of the feeling that prevailed in Gaul—for a considerable time not the slightest hint reached the general either of the disaster of Sabinus or of the perilous situation of Cicero.

Caesar
proceeds to
his relief.

At length a Celtic horseman from Cicero's camp succeeded in stealing through the enemy to Caesar. On receiving the startling news Caesar immediately set out, although only with two weak legions, together numbering about 7000, and 400 horsemen; nevertheless the announcement that Caesar was advancing sufficed to induce the insurgents to raise the siege. It was time; not one-

tenth of the men in Cicero's camp remained unwounded. Caesar, against whom the insurgent army had turned, deceived the enemy, in the way which he had already on several occasions successfully applied, as to his strength; under the most unfavourable circumstances they ventured an assault upon the Roman camp and in doing so suffered a defeat. It is singular, but characteristic of the Celtic nation, that in consequence of this one lost battle, or perhaps rather in consequence of Caesar's appearance in person on the scene of conflict, the insurrection, which had commenced so victoriously and extended so widely, suddenly and pitifully broke off the war. The Nervii, Menapii, Aduatuci, Eburones, returned to their homes. The forces of the maritime cantons, who had made preparations for assailing the legion in Brittany, did the same. The Treveri, through whose leader Indutiomarus the Eburones, the clients of the powerful neighbouring canton, had been chiefly induced to that so successful attack, had taken arms on the news of the disaster of Aduatuca and advanced into the territory of the Remi with the view of attacking the legion cantoned there under the command of Labienus; they too desisted for the present from continuing the struggle. Caesar not unwillingly postponed farther measures against the revolted districts till the spring, in order not to expose his troops which had suffered much to the whole severity of the "Gallic winter, and with the view of only reappearing in the field when the fifteen cohorts destroyed should have been replaced in an imposing manner by the levy of thirty new cohorts which he had ordered. The insurrection meanwhile pursued its course, although there was for the moment a suspension of arms. Its chief seats in central Gaul were, partly the districts of the Carnutes and the neighbouring Senones (about Sens), the latter of whom drove the king appointed by Caesar out of their country;

The insur-
rection
checked,

partly the region of the Treveri, who invited the whole Celtic emigrants and the Germans beyond the Rhine to take part in the impending national war, and called out their whole force, with a view to advance in the spring a second time into the territory of the Remi, to capture the corps of Labienus, and to seek a communication with the insurgents on the Seine and Loire. The deputies of these three cantons remained absent from the diet convoked by Caesar in central Gaul, and thereby declared war just as openly as a part of the Belgic cantons had done by the attacks on the camps of Sabinus and Cicero.

and suppressed.

The winter was drawing to a close when Caesar set out with his army, which meanwhile had been considerably reinforced, against the insurgents. The attempts of the Treveri to concentrate the revolt had not succeeded; the agitated districts were kept in check by the marching in of Roman troops, and those in open rebellion were attacked in detail. First the Nervii were routed by Caesar in person. The Senones and Carnutes met the same fate. The Menapii, the only canton which had never submitted to the Romans, were compelled by a grand attack simultaneously directed against them from three sides to renounce their long-preserved freedom. Labienus meanwhile was preparing the same fate for the Treveri. Their first attack had been paralyzed, partly by the refusal of the adjoining German tribes to furnish them with mercenaries, partly by the fact that Indutiomarus, the soul of the whole movement, had fallen in a skirmish with the cavalry of Labienus. But they did not on this account abandon their projects. With their whole levy they appeared in front of Labienus and waited for the German bands that were to follow, for their recruiting agents found a better reception than they had met with from the dwellers on the Rhine, among the warlike tribes of the interior of Germany, especially, as it would appear, among the Chatti. But

when Labienus seemed as if he wished to avoid these and to march off in all haste, the Treveri attacked the Romans even before the Germans arrived and in a most unfavourable spot, and were completely defeated. Nothing remained for the Germans who came up too late but to return, nothing for the Treverian canton but to submit; its government reverted to the head of the Roman party Cingetorix, the son-in-law of Indutiomarus. After these expeditions of Caesar against the Menapii and of Labienus against the Treveri the whole Roman army was again united in the territory of the latter. With the view of rendering the Germans disinclined to come back, Caesar once more crossed the Rhine, in order if possible to strike an emphatic blow against the troublesome neighbours; but, as the Chatti, faithful to their tried tactics, assembled not on their western boundary, but far in the interior, apparently at the Harz mountains, for the defence of the land, he immediately turned back and contented himself with leaving behind a garrison at the passage of the Rhine.

Accounts had thus been settled with all the tribes that took part in the rising; the Eburoncs alone were passed over but not forgotten. Since Caesar had met with the disaster of Aduatuca, he had worn mourning and had sworn that he would only lay it aside when he should have avenged his soldiers, who had not fallen in honourable war, but had been treacherously murdered. Helpless and passive the Eburones sat in their huts and looked on, as the neighbouring cantons one after another submitted to the Romans, till the Roman cavalry from the Treverian teiritory advanced through the Ardennes into their land. So little were they prepared for the attack, that the cavalry had almost seized the king Ambiorix in his house; with great difficulty, while his attendants sacrificed themselves on his behalf, he escaped into the neighbouring thicket. Ten Roman legions soon followed the cavalry. At the

Retaliatory
expedition
against the
Eburones

- same time a summons was issued to the surrounding tribes to hunt the outlawed Eburones and pillage their land in concert with the Roman soldiers; not a few complied with the call, including even an audacious band of Sugambrian horsemen from the other side of the Rhine, who for that matter treated the Romans no better than the Eburones, and had almost by a daring *coup de main* surprised the Roman camp at Aduatuca. The fate of the Eburones was dreadful. However they might hide themselves in forests and morasses, there were more hunters than game. Many put themselves to death like the gray-haired prince Catuvolcus; only a few saved life and liberty, but among these few was the man whom the Romans sought above all to seize, the prince Ambiorix; with but four horsemen he escaped over the Rhine. This execution against the canton which had transgressed above all the rest was followed in the other districts by processes of high treason against individuals. The season for clemency was past. At the bidding of the Roman proconsul the eminent Carnutic knight Acco was beheaded by Roman
53. lictors (701) and the rule of the *fascēs* was thus formally inaugurated. Opposition was silent; tranquillity everywhere prevailed. Caesar went as he was wont towards
53. the end of the year (701) over the Alps, that through the winter he might observe more closely the daily-increasing complications in the capital.

**Second in-
surrection.**

The sagacious calculator had on this occasion miscalculated. The fire was smothered, but not extinguished. The stroke, under which the head of Acco fell, was felt by the whole Celtic nobility. At this very moment the position of affairs presented better prospects than ever. The insurrection of the last winter had evidently failed only through Caesar himself appearing on the scene of action; now he was at a distance, detained on the Po by the imminence of civil war, and the Gallic army, which

was collected on the upper Seine, was far separated from its dreaded leader. If a general insurrection now broke out in central Gaul, the Roman army might be surrounded, and the almost undefended old Roman province be overrun, before Caesar reappeared beyond the Alps, even if the Italian complications did not altogether prevent him from further concerning himself about Gaul.

Conspirators from all the cantons of central Gaul assembled; the Carnutes, as most directly affected by the execution of Acco, offered to take the lead. On a set day in the winter of 701—702 the Carnutic knights **The Carnutes.** 53-52. Gutruatus and Conconnetodumnus gave at Cenabum (Orleans) the signal for the rising, and put to death in a body the Romans who happened to be there. The most vehement agitation seized the length and breadth of the great Celtic land; the patriots everywhere bestirred themselves. But nothing stirred the nation so deeply as the insurrection of the Arverni. The government of this community, which had formerly under its kings been the first in southern Gaul, and had still after the fall of its principality occasioned by the unfortunate wars against Rome (iii. 418) continued to be one of the wealthiest, most civilized, and most powerful in all Gaul, had hitherto inviolably adhered to Rome. **The Arverni.** Even now the patriot party in the governing common council was in the minority; an attempt to induce it to join the insurrection was in vain. The attacks of the patriots were therefore directed against the common council and the existing constitution itself; and the more so, that the change of constitution which among the Arverni had substituted the common council for the prince (p. 19) had taken place after the victories of the Romans and probably under their influence.

The leader of the Arvernian patriots Vercingetorix, one of those nobles whom we meet with among the Celts, of almost regal repute in and beyond his canton, and a

stately, brave, sagacious man to boot, left the capital and summoned the country people, who were as hostile to the ruling oligarchy as to the Romans, at once to re-establish the Arvernian monarchy and to go to war with Rome. The multitude quickly joined him; the restoration of the throne of Luerius and Betuitus was at the same time the declaration of a national war against Rome. The centre of unity, from the want of which all previous attempts of the nation to shake off the foreign yoke had failed, was now found in the new self-nominated king of the Arverni. Vercingetorix became for the Celts of the continent what Cassivellaunus was for the insular Celts; the feeling strongly pervaded the masses that he, if any one, was the man to save the nation.

**Spread of
the insur-
rection.**

The west from the mouth of the Garonne to that of the Seine was rapidly infected by the insurrection, and Vercingetorix was recognized by all the cantons there as commander-in-chief; where the common council made any difficulty, the multitude compelled it to join the movement; only a few cantons, such as that of the Bituriges, required compulsion to join it, and these perhaps only for appearance' sake. The insurrection found a less favourable soil in the regions to the east of the upper Loire. Everything here depended on the Haedui; and these wavered. The patriotic party was very strong in this canton; but the old antagonism to the leading of the Arverni counterbalanced their influence—to the most serious detriment of the insurrection, as the accession of the eastern cantons, particularly of the Sequani and Helvetii, was conditional on the accession of the Haedui, and generally in this part of Gaul the decision rested with them. While the insurgents were thus labouring partly to induce the cantons that still hesitated, especially the Haedui, to join them, partly to get possession of Narbo—one of their leaders, the daring Lucterius, had already

appeared on the Tarn within the limits of the old province—the Roman commander-in-chief suddenly presented himself in the depth of winter, unexpected alike by friend and foe, on this side of the Alps. He quickly made the necessary preparations to cover the old province, and not only so, but sent also a corps over the snow-covered Cevennes into the Arvernian territory; but he could not remain here, where the accession of the Haedui to the Gallic alliance might any moment cut him off from his army encamped about Sens and Langres. With all secrecy he went to Vienna, and thence, attended by only a few horsemen, through the territory of the Haedui to his troops. The hopes, which had induced the conspirators to declare themselves, vanished; peace continued in Italy, and Caesar stood once more at the head of his army.

**Appear-
ance of
Caesar.**

But what were they to do? It was folly under such circumstances to let the matter come to the decision of arms; for these had already decidedly irrevocably. They might as well attempt to shake the Alps by throwing stones at them as to shake the legions by means of the Celtic bands, whether these might be congregated in huge masses or sacrificed in detail canton after canton. Vercingetorix despaired of defeating the Romans. He adopted a system of warfare similar to that by which Cassivellaunus had saved the insular Celts. The Roman infantry was not to be vanquished; but Caesar's cavalry consisted almost exclusively of the contingent of the Celtic nobility, and was practically dissolved by the general revolt. It was possible for the insurrection, which was in fact essentially composed of the Celtic nobility, to develop such a superiority in this arm, that it could lay waste the land far and wide, burn down towns and villages, destroy the magazines, and endanger the supplies and the communications of the enemy, without his being able seriously to hinder it. Vercingetorix accordingly directed all his efforts to the increase of his

**The
Gallic plan
of war.**

cavalry, and of the infantry-archers who were according to the mode of fighting of that time regularly associated with it. He did not send the immense and self-obstructing masses of the militia of the line to their homes, but he did not allow them to face the enemy, and attempted to impart to them gradually some capacity of intrenching, marching, and manoeuvring, and some perception that the soldier is not destined merely for hand-to-hand combat. Learning from the enemy, he adopted in particular the Roman system of encampment, on which depended the whole secret of the tactical superiority of the Romans; for in consequence of it every Roman corps combined all the advantages of the garrison of a fortress with all the advantages of an offensive army.¹ It is true that a system completely adapted to Britain which had few towns and to its rude, resolute, and on the whole united inhabitants was not absolutely transferable to the rich regions on the Loire and their indolent inhabitants on the eve of utter political dissolution. Vercingetorix at least accomplished this much, that they did not attempt as hitherto to hold every town with the result of holding none; they agreed to destroy the townships not capable of defence before attack reached them, but to defend with all their might the strong fortresses. At the same time the Arvernian king did what he could to bind to the cause of their country the cowardly and backward by stern severity, the hesitating by entreaties and representations, the covetous by gold, the decided opponents by force, and to compel or allure the rabble high or low to some manifestation of patriotism.

Beginning
of the
struggle.

Even before the winter was at an end, he threw himself

¹ This, it is true, was only possible, so long as offensive weapons chiefly aimed at cutting- and stabbing. In the modern mode of warfare, as Napoleon has excellently explained, this system has become inapplicable, because with our offensive weapons operating from a distance the deployed position is more advantageous than the concentrated. In Caesar's time the reverse was the case.

on the Boii settled by Caesar in the territory of the Haedui, with the view of annihilating these, almost the sole trustworthy allies of Rome, before Caesar came up. The news of this attack induced Caesar, leaving behind the baggage and two legions in the winter quarters of Agedincum (Sens), to march immediately and earlier than he would doubtless otherwise have done, against the insurgents. He remedied the sorely-felt want of cavalry and light infantry in some measure by gradually bringing up German mercenaries, who instead of using their own small and weak ponies were furnished with Italian and Spanish horses partly bought, partly procured by requisition of the officers. Caesar, after having by the way caused Cenabum, the capital of the Carnutes, which had given the signal for the revolt, to be pillaged and laid in ashes, moved over the Loire into the country of the Bituriges. He thereby induced Vercingetorix to abandon the siege of the town of the Boii, and to resort likewise to the Bituriges. Here the new mode of warfare was first to be tried. By order of Vercingetorix more than twenty townships of the Bituriges perished in the flames on one day; the general decreed a similar self-devastation as to the neighbour cantons, so far as they could be reached by the Roman foraging parties.

According to his intention, Avaricum (Bourges), the rich and strong capital of the Bituriges, was to meet the same fate; but the majority of the war-council yielded to the suppliant entreaties of the Biturigian authorities, and resolved rather to defend that city with all their energy. Thus the war was concentrated in the first instance around Avaricum. Vercingetorix placed his infantry amidst the morasses adjoining the town in a position so (inapproachable, that even without being covered by the cavalry they needed not to fear the attack of the legions. The Celtic cavalry covered all the roads and obstructed the communication. The town was strongly garrisoned, and the connec-

Caesar
before
Avaricum.

tion between it and the army before the walls was kept open. Caesar's position was very awkward. The attempt to induce the Celtic infantry to fight was unsuccessful; it stirred not from its unassailable lines. Bravely as his soldiers in front of the town trenched and fought, the besieged vied with them in ingenuity and courage, and they had almost succeeded in setting fire to the siege apparatus of their opponents. The task withal of supplying an army of nearly 60,000 men with provisions in a country devastated far and wide and scoured by far superior bodies of cavalry became daily more difficult. The slender stores of the Boii were soon used up; the supply promised by the Haedui failed to appear; the corn was already consumed, and the soldier was placed exclusively on flesh-rations. But the moment was approaching when the town, with whatever contempt of death the garrison fought, could be held no longer. Still it was not impossible to withdraw the troops secretly by night and destroy the town, before the enemy occupied it. Vercingetorix made arrangements for this purpose, but the cry of distress raised at the moment of evacuation by the women and children left behind attracted the attention of the Romans; the departure miscarried.

Avaricum
conquered.

- On the following gloomy and rainy day the Romans scaled the walls, and, exasperated by the obstinate defence, spared neither age nor sex in the conquered town. The ample stores, which the Celts had accumulated in it, were welcome to the starved soldiers of Caesar. With the capture
52. of Avaricum (spring of 702), a first success had been achieved over the insurrection, and according to former experience Caesar might well expect that it would now dissolve, and that it would only be requisite to deal with the cantons individually. After he had therefore shown himself with his whole army in the canton of the Haedui and had by this imposing demonstration compelled the patriot party in a ferment there to keep quiet at least for the moment, he

divided his army and sent Labienus back to Agedincum, that in combination with the troops left there he might at the head of four legions suppress in the first instance the movement in the territory of the Carnutes and Senones, who on this occasion once more took the lead; while he himself with the six remaining legions turned to the south and prepared to carry the war into the Arvernian mountains, the proper territory of Vercingetorix.

Caesar
divides his
army.

Labienus moved from Agedincum up the left bank of the Seine with a view to possess himself of Lutetia (Paris), the town of the Parisii situated on an island in the Seine, and from this well-secured position in the heart of the insurgent country to reduce it again to subjection. But behind Melodunum (Melun), he found his route barred by the whole army of the insurgents, which had here taken up a position between unassailable morasses under the leadership of the aged Camulogenus. Labienus retreated a certain distance, crossed the Seine at Melodunum, and moved up its right bank unhindered towards Lutetia; Camulogenus caused this town to be burnt and the bridges leading to the left bank to be broken down, and took up a position over against Labienus, in which the latter could neither bring him to battle nor effect a passage under the eyes of the hostile army.

Labienus
before
Lutetia.

The Roman main army in its turn advanced along the Allier down into the canton of the Arverni. Vercingetorix attempted to prevent it from crossing to the left bank of the Allier, but Caesar overreached him and after some days stood before the Arvernian capital Gergovia.¹ Ver-

¹ This place has been sought on a rising ground which is still named Gergoie, a league to the south of the Arvernian capital Nemetum, the modern Clermont; and both the remains of rude fortress-walls brought to light in excavations there, and the tradition of the name which is traced in documents up to the tenth century, leave no room for doubt as to the correctness of this determination of the locality. Moreover it accords, as with the other statements of Caesar, so especially with the fact that he pretty clearly indicates Gergovia as the chief place of the Arverni (vii. 4).

Fruitless
blockade.

cingetorix, however, doubtless even while he was confronting Caesar on the Allier, had caused sufficient stores to be collected in Gergovia and a fixed camp provided with strong stone ramparts to be constructed for his troops in front of the walls of the town, which was situated on the summit of a pretty steep hill; and, as he had a sufficient start, he arrived before Caesar at Gergovia and awaited the attack in the fortified camp under the wall of the fortress. Caesar with his comparatively weak army could neither regularly besiege the place nor even sufficiently blockade it; he pitched his camp below the rising ground occupied by Vercingetorix, and was compelled to preserve an attitude as inactive as his opponent. It was almost a victory for the insurgents, that Caesar's career of advance from triumph to triumph had been suddenly checked on the Seine as on the Allier. In fact the consequences of this check for Caesar were almost equivalent to those of a defeat.

The
Haedui
waver.

The Haedui, who had hitherto continued vacillating, now made preparations in earnest to join the patriotic party; the body of men, whom Caesar had ordered to Gergovia, had on the march been induced by its officers to declare for the insurgents; at the same time they had begun in the canton itself to plunder and kill the Romans settled there. Caesar, who had gone with two-thirds of the blockading army to meet that corps of the Haedui which was being brought up to Gergovia, had by his sudden appearance recalled it to nominal obedience; but it was more than ever a hollow and fragile relation, the continuance of which had been almost too dearly purchased by the great peril of the two legions left behind in front of Gergovia. For Vercingetorix, rapidly and resolutely availing himself of Caesar's departure, had during his

We shall have accordingly to assume, that the Arvernians after their defeat were compelled to transfer their settlement from Gergovia to the neighbouring less strong Nemetum.

absence made an attack on them, which had wellnigh ended in their being overpowered, and the Roman camp being taken by storm. Caesar's unrivalled celerity alone averted a second catastrophe like that of Aduatuca. Though the Haedui made once more fair promises, it might be foreseen that, if the blockade should still be prolonged without result, they would openly range themselves on the side of the insurgents and would thereby compel Caesar to raise it; for their accession would interrupt the communication between him and Labienus, and expose the latter especially in his isolation to the greatest peril. Caesar was resolved not to let matters come to this pass, but, however painful and even dangerous it was to retire from Gergovia without having accomplished his object, nevertheless, if it must be done, rather to set out immediately and by marching into the canton of the Haedui to prevent at any cost their formal desertion.

Before entering however on this retreat, which was far from agreeable to his quick and confident temperament, he made yet a last attempt to *free* himself from his painful perplexity by a brilliant success. While the bulk of the garrison of Gergovia was occupied in intrenching the side on which the assault was expected, the Roman general watched his opportunity to surprise another access less conveniently situated but at the moment left bare. In reality the Roman storming columns scaled the camp-wall, and occupied the nearest quarters of the camp; but the whole garrison was already alarmed, and owing to the small distances Caesar found it not advisable to risk the second assault on the city-wall. He gave the signal for retreat; but the foremost legions, carried away by the impetuosity of victory, heard not or did not wish to hear, and pushed forward without halting, up to the city-wall, some even into the city. But masses more and more dense threw themselves in front of the intruders; the fore-

Caesar
defeated
before
Gergovia

most fell, the columns stopped; in vain centurions and legionaries fought with the most devoted and heroic courage; the assailants were chased with very considerable loss out of the town and down the hill, where the troops stationed by Caesar in the plain received them and prevented greater mischief. The expected capture of Gergovia had been converted into a defeat, and the considerable loss in killed and wounded—there were counted 700 soldiers that had fallen, including 46 centurions—was the least part of the misfortune suffered.

**Renewed
insurrec-
tion.**

The imposing position of Caesar in Gaul depended essentially on the halo of victory that surrounded him; and this began to grow pale. The conflicts around Avaricum, Caesar's vain attempts to compel the enemy to fight, the resolute defence of the city and its almost accidental capture by storm bore a stamp different from that of the earlier Celtic wars, and had strengthened rather than impaired the confidence of the Celts in themselves and their leader. Moreover, the new system of warfare—the making head against the enemy in intrenched camps under the protection of fortresses—had completely approved itself at Lutetia as well as at Gergovia. Lastly, this defeat, the first which Caesar in person had suffered from the Celts, crowned their success, and it accordingly gave as it were the signal for a second outbreak of the insurrection. The Haedui now broke formally with Caesar and entered into union with Vercingetorix. Their contingent, which was still with Caesar's army, not only deserted from it, but also took occasion to carry off the depots of the army of Caesar at Noviodunum on the Loire, whereby the chests and magazines, a number of remount-horses, and all the hostages furnished to Caesar, fell into the hands of the insurgents. It was of at least equal importance, that on this news the Belgae, who had hitherto kept aloof from the whole movement, began to bestir themselves. The

**Rising
of the
Haedui.**

**Rising
of the
Belgae.**

powerful canton of the Bellovaci rose with the view of attacking in the rear the corps of Labienus, while it confronted at Lutetia the levy of the surrounding cantons of central Gaul. Everywhere else too men were taking to arms; the strength of patriotic enthusiasm carried along with it even the most decided and most favoured partisans of Rome, such as Commius king of the Atrebates, who on account of his faithful services had received from the Romans important privileges for his community and the hegemony over the Morini. The threads of the insurrection ramified even into the old Roman province: they cherished the hope, perhaps not without ground, of inducing the Allobroges themselves to take arms against the Romans. With the single exception of the Remi and of the districts—dependent immediately on the Remi—of the Suessiones, Leuci, and Lingones, whose peculiar isolation was not affected even amidst this general enthusiasm, the whole Celtic nation from the Pyrenees to the Rhine was now in reality, for the first and for the last time, in arms for its freedom and nationality; whereas, singularly enough, the whole German communities, who in the former struggles had held the foremost rank, kept aloof. In fact, the Treveri, and as it would seem the Menapii also, were prevented by their feuds with the Germans from taking an active part in the national war.

It was a grave and decisive moment, when after the retreat from Gergovia and the loss of Noviodunum a council of war was held in Caesar's headquarters regarding the measures now to be adopted. Various voices expressed themselves in favour of a retreat over the Cevennes into the old Roman province, which now lay open on all sides to the insurrection and certainly was in urgent need of the legions that had been sent from Rome primarily for its protection. But Caesar rejected this timid strategy suggested not by the position of affairs, but by government-

Caesar's
plan of
war.

Caesar
unites
with
Labienus.

instructions and fear of responsibility. He contented himself with calling the general levy of the Romans settled in the province to arms, and having the frontiers guarded by that levy to the best of its ability. On the other hand he himself set out in the opposite direction and advanced by forced marches to Agedincum, to which he ordered Labienus to retreat in all haste. The Celts naturally endeavoured to prevent the junction of the two Roman armies. Labienus might by crossing the Marne and marching down the right bank of the Seine have reached Agedincum, where he had left his reserve and his baggage; but he preferred not to allow the Celts again to behold the retreat of Roman troops. He therefore instead of crossing the Marne crossed the Seine under the eyes of the deluded enemy, and on its left bank fought a battle with the hostile forces, in which he conquered, and among many others the Celtic general himself, the old Camulogenus, was left on the field. Nor were the insurgents more successful in detaining Caesar on the Loire; Caesar gave them *no* time to assemble larger masses there, and without difficulty dispersed the militia of the Haedui, which alone he found at that point

Position
of the
insurgents
at Alesia.

Thus the junction of the two divisions of the army was happily accomplished. The insurgents meanwhile had consulted as to the farther conduct of the war at Bibracte (Autun) the capital of the Haedui; the soul of these consultations was again Vercingetorix, to whom the nation was enthusiastically attached after the victory of Gergovia. Particular interests were not, it is true, even now silent; the Haedui still in this death-struggle of the nation asserted their claims to the hegemony, and made a proposal in the national assembly to substitute a leader of their own for Vercingetorix. But the national representatives had not merely declined this and confirmed Vercingetorix in the supreme command, but had also adopted his plan of war

without alteration. It was substantially the same as that on which he had operated at Avaricum and at Gergovia. As the base of the new position there was selected the strong city of the Mandubii, Alesia (Alise Sainte Reine near Semur in the department Cote d'Or)¹ and another entrenched camp was constructed under its walls. Immense stores were here accumulated, and the army was ordered thither from Gergovia, having its cavalry raised by resolution of the national assembly to 15,000 horse. Caesar with the whole strength of his army after it was reunited at Agedincum took the direction of Besangon, with the view of now approaching the alarmed province and protecting it from an invasion, for in fact bands of insurgents had already shown themselves in the territory of the Helvii on the south slope of the Cevennes. Alesia lay almost on his way; the cavalry of the Celts, the only arm with which Vercingetorix chose to operate, attacked him on the route, but to the surprise of all was worsted by the new German squadrons of Caesar and the Roman infantry drawn up in support of them.

Vercingetorix hastened the more to shut himself up in Alesia; and if Caesar was not disposed altogether to renounce the offensive, no course was left to him but for the third time in this campaign to proceed by way of attack with a far weaker force against an army encamped under a well-garrisoned and well-provisioned fortress and supplied with immense masses of cavalry. But, while the Celts had hitherto been opposed by only a part of the Roman legions, the whole forces of Caesar were united in the lines round Alesia, and Vercingetorix did not succeed, as he had succeeded at Avaricum and Gergovia, in placing his infantry under the protection of the walls of the fortress and keeping

Caesar
in front of
Alesia.

Siege of
Alesia.

¹ The question so much discussed of late, whether Alesia is not rather to be identified with Alaise (25 kilometres to the south of Besancon, dep. Doubs), has been rightly answered in the negative by all judicious inquirers.

his external communications open for his own benefit by his cavalry, while he interrupted those of the enemy. The Celtic cavalry, already discouraged by that defeat inflicted on them by their lightly esteemed opponents, was beaten by Caesar's German horse in every encounter. The line of circumvallation of the besiegers extending about nine miles invested the whole town, including the camp attached to it. Vercingetorix had been prepared for a struggle under the walls, but not for being besieged in Alesia \ in that point of view the accumulated stores, considerable as they were, were yet far from sufficient for his army—which was said to amount to 80,000 infantry and 15,000 cavalry—and for the numerous inhabitants of the town. Vercingetorix could not but perceive that his plan of warfare had on this occasion turned to his own destruction, and that he was lost unless the whole nation hastened up to the rescue of its blockaded general. The existing provisions were still, when the Roman circumvallation was closed, sufficient for a month and perhaps something more; at the last moment, when there was still free passage at least for horsemen, Vercingetorix dismissed his whole cavalry, and sent at the same time to the heads of the nation instructions to call out all their forces and lead them to the relief of Alesia. He himself, resolved to bear in person the responsibility for the plan of war which he had projected and which had miscarried, remained in the fortress, to share in good or evil the fate of his followers. But Caesar made up his mind at once to besiege and to be besieged. He prepared his line of circumvallation for defence also on its outer side, and furnished himself with provisions for a longer period. The days passed; they had no longer a boll of grain in the fortress, and they were obliged to drive out the unhappy inhabitants of the town to perish miserably between the entrenchments of the Celts and of the Romans, pitilessly rejected by both.

At the last hour there appeared behind Caesar's lines the interminable array of the Celto-Belgic relieving army, said to amount to 250,000 infantry and 8000 cavalry. From the Channel to the Cevennes the insurgent cantons had strained every nerve to rescue the flower of their patriots and the general of their choice—the Bellovaci alone had answered that they were doubtless disposed to fight against the Romans, but not beyond their own bounds. The first assault, which the besieged of Alesia and the relieving troops without made on the Roman double line, was repulsed; but, when after a day's rest it was repeated, the Celts succeeded—at a spot where the line of circumvallation ran over the slope of a hill and could be assailed from the height above—in filling up the trenches and hurling the defenders down from the rampart. Then Labienus, sent thither by Caesar, collected the nearest cohorts and threw himself with four legions on the foe. Under the eyes of the general, who himself appeared at the most dangerous moment, the assailants were driven back in a desperate hand-to-hand conflict, and the squadrons of cavalry that came with Caesar taking the fugitives in rear completed the defeat.

**Attempt
at relief.**

**Conflicts
before
Alesia.**

It was more than a great victory; the fate of Alesia, and indeed of the Celtic nation, was thereby irrevocably decided. The Celtic army, utterly disheartened, dispersed at once from the battle-field and went home. Vercingetorix might perhaps have even now taken to flight, or at least have saved himself by the last means open to a free man; he did not do so, but declared in a council of war that, since he had not succeeded in breaking off the alien yoke, he was ready to give himself up as a victim and to avert as far as possible destruction from the nation by bringing it on his own head. This was done. The Celtic officers delivered their general—the solemn choice of the whole nation—over to the enemy of their country for such

**Alesia
capitulates**

punishment as might be thought fit. Mounted on his steed and in full armour the king of the Arverni appeared before the Roman proconsul and rode round his tribunal; then he surrendered his horse and arms, and sat down in silence on the steps at Caesar's feet (702).

**Vercingetorix
executed.**

Five years afterwards he was led in triumph through the streets of the Italian capital, and, while his conqueror was offering solemn thanks to the gods on the summit of the Capitol, Vercingetorix was beheaded at its foot as guilty of high treason against the Roman nation. As after a day of gloom the sun may perhaps break through the clouds at its setting, so destiny may bestow on nations in their decline yet a last great man. Thus Hannibal stands at the close of the Phœnician history, and Vercingetorix at the close of the Celtic. They were not able to save the nations to which they belonged from a foreign yoke, but they spared them the last remaining disgrace—an inglorious fall. Vercingetorix, just like the Carthaginian, was obliged to contend not merely against the public foe, but also and above all against that anti-national opposition of wounded egotists and startled cowards, which regularly accompanies a degenerate civilization; for him too a place in history is secured, not by his battles and sieges, but by the fact that he was able to furnish in his own person a centre and rallying-point to a nation distracted and ruined by the rivalry of individual interests. And yet there can hardly be a more marked contrast than between the sober townsman of the Phœnician mercantile city, whose plans were directed towards one great object with unchanging energy throughout fifty years, and the bold prince of the Celtic land, whose mighty deeds and high-minded self-sacrifice fall within the compass of one brief summer. The whole ancient world presents no more genuine knight, whether as regards his essential character or his outward appearance. But man ought not to be a mere knight, and least

of all the statesman. It was the knight, not the hero, who disdained to escape from Alesia, when for the nation more depended on him than on a hundred thousand ordinary brave men. It was the knight, not the hero, who gave himself up as a sacrifice, when the only thing gained by that sacrifice was that the nation publicly dishonoured itself and with equal cowardice and absurdity employed its last breath in proclaiming that its great historical death-struggle was a crime against its oppressor. How very different was the conduct of Hannibal in similar positions ! It is impossible to part from the noble king of the Arverni without a feeling of historical and human sympathy; but it is a significant trait of the Celtic nation, that its greatest man was after all merely a knight.

The fall of Alesia and the capitulation of the army enclosed in it were fearful blows for the Celtic insurrection; but blows quite as heavy had befallen the nation and yet the conflict had been renewed. The loss of Vercingetorix, however, was irreparable. With him unity had come to the nation; with him it seemed also to have departed. We do not find that the insurgents made any attempt to continue their joint defence and to appoint another generalissimo; the league of patriots fell to pieces of itself, and every clan was left to fight or come to terms with the Romans as it pleased. Naturally the desire after rest everywhere prevailed. Caesar too had an interest in bringing the war quickly to an end. Of the ten years of his governorship seven had elapsed, and the last was called in question by his political opponents in the capital; he could only reckon with some degree of certainty on two more summers, and, while his interest as well as his honour required that he should hand over the newly-acquired regions to his successor in a condition of tolerable peace and tranquillity, there was in truth but scanty time to bring about such a state of things. To exercise mercy was in

The last
conflicts

this case still more a necessity for the victor than for the vanquished; and he might thank his stars that the internal dissensions and the easy temperament of the Celts met him in this respect half way. Where—as in the two most eminent cantons of central Gaul, those of the Haedui and Arverni—there existed a strong party well disposed to Rome, the cantons obtained immediately after the fall of Alesia a complete restoration of their former relations with Rome, and even their captives, 20,000 in number, were released without ransom, while those of the other clans passed into the hard bondage of the victorious legionaries. The greater portion of the Gallic districts submitted like the Haedui and Arverni to their fate, and allowed their inevitable punishment to be inflicted without farther resistance. But not a few clung in foolish frivolity or sullen despair to the lost cause, till the Roman troops of execution appeared within their borders. Such expeditions were in 52-51. the winter of 702-703 undertaken against the Bituriges and the Carnutes.

with the
Bituriges
and
Carnutes,

with the
Bellovaci,

More serious resistance was offered by the Bellovaci, who in the previous year had kept aloof from the relief of Alesia; they seem to have wished to show that their absence on that decisive day at least did not proceed from want of courage or of love for freedom. The Atrebates, Ambiani, Caletes, and other Belgic cantons took part in this struggle; the brave king of the Atrebates Commius, whose accession to the insurrection the Romans had least of all forgiven, and against whom recently Labienus had even directed an atrocious attempt at assassination, brought to the Bellovaci 500 German horse, whose value the campaign of the previous year had shown. The resolute and talented Bellovacian Correus, to whom the chief conduct of the war had fallen, waged warfare as Vercingetorix had waged it, and with no small success. Although Caesar had gradually brought up the greater part of his army, he could neither

bring the infantry of the Bellovaci to a battle, nor even prevent it from taking up other positions which afforded better protection against his augmented forces; while the Roman horse, especially the Celtic contingents, suffered most severe losses in various combats at the hands of the enemy's cavalry, especially of the German cavalry of Commius. But after Correus had met his death in a skirmish with the Roman foragers, the resistance here too was broken; the victor proposed tolerable conditions, to which the Bellovaci along with their confederates submitted. The Treveri were reduced to obedience by Labienus, and incidentally the territory of the outlawed Eburones was once more traversed and laid waste. Thus the last resistance of the Belgic confederacy was broken.

The maritime cantons still made an attempt to defend themselves against the Roman domination in concert with their neighbours on the Loire. Insurgent bands from the Andian, Carnutic, and other surrounding cantons assembled on the lower Loire and besieged in Lemonum (Poitiers) the prince of the Pictones who was friendly to the Romans. But here too a considerable Roman force soon appeared against them; the insurgents abandoned the siege, and retreated with the view of placing the Loire between themselves and the enemy, but were overtaken on the march and defeated; whereupon the Carnutes and the other revolted cantons, including even the maritime ones, sent in their submission.

The resistance was at an end; save that an isolated leader of free bands still here and there upheld the national banner. The bold Drappes and the brave comrade in arms of Vercingetorix Lucterius, after the breaking up of the army united on the Loire, gathered together the most resolute men, and with these threw themselves into the strong mountain-town of Uxellodunum on the Lot,¹ which

¹ This is usually sought at Capdenac not far from Figeac ; Gflier has

amidst severe and fatal conflicts they succeeded in sufficiently provisioning. In spite of the loss of their leaders, of whom Drappes had been taken prisoner, and Lucterius had been cut off from the town, the garrison resisted to the uttermost; it was not till Caesar appeared in person, and under his orders the spring from which the besieged derived their water was diverted by means of subterranean drains, that the fortress, the last stronghold of the Celtic nation, fell. To distinguish the last champions of the cause of freedom, Caesar ordered that the whole garrison should have their hands cut off and should then be dismissed, each one to his home. Caesar, who felt it all-important to put an end at least to open resistance throughout Gaul, allowed king Commius, who still held out in the region of Arras and maintained desultory warfare with the Roman troops there down to the winter of 703-704, to make his peace, and even acquiesced when the irritated and justly distrustful man haughtily refused to appear in person in the Roman camp. It is very probable that Caesar in a similar way allowed himself to be satisfied with a merely nominal submission, perhaps even with a *de facto* armistice, in the less accessible districts of the north-west and north-east of Gaul.¹

Gaul
subdued.

- Thus was Gaul—or, in other words, the land west of the Rhine and north of the Pyrenees—rendered subject after only eight years of conflict (696-703) to the Romans.
- 58-51. Hardly a year after the full pacification of the land, at the beginning of 705, the Roman troops had to be withdrawn
49. over the Alps in consequence of the civil war, which had now at length broken out in Italy, and there remained nothing but at the most some weak divisions of recruits in

recently declared himself in favour of Luzech to the west of Cahors, a site which had been previously suggested.

¹ This indeed, as may readily be conceived, is not recorded by Caesar himself; but an intelligible hint on this subject is given by Sallust (*Hist.* i. 9 Kritiz), although he too wrote as a partisan of Caesar. Further proofs are furnished by the coins.

Gaul. Nevertheless the Celts did not again rise against the foreign yoke; and, while in all the old provinces of the empire there was fighting against Caesar, the newly-acquired country alone remained continuously obedient to its conqueror. Even the Germans did not during those decisive years repeat their attempts to conquer new settlements on the left bank of the Rhine. As little did there occur in Gaul any national insurrection or German invasion during the crises that followed, although these offered the most favourable opportunities. If disturbances broke out anywhere, such as the rising of the Bellovaci against the Romans in 708, these movements were so isolated and so unconnected with the complications in Italy, that they were suppressed without material difficulty by the Roman governors. Certainly this state of peace was most probably, just as was the peace of Spain for centuries, purchased by provisionally allowing the regions that were most remote and most strongly pervaded by national feeling—Brittany, the districts on the Scheldt, the region of the Pyrenees—to withdraw themselves *de facto* in a more or less definite manner from the Roman allegiance. Nevertheless the building of Caesar—however scanty the time which he found for it amidst other and at the moment still more urgent labours, however unfinished and but provisionally rounded off he may have left it—in substance stood the test of this fiery trial, as respected both the repelling of the Germans and the subjugation of the Celts.

As to administration in chief, the territories newly acquired by the governor of Narbonese Gaul remained for the time being united with the province of Narbo; it was not till Caesar gave up this office (710) that two new governorships—Gaul proper and Belgica—were formed out of the territory which he conquered. That the individual cantons lost their political independence, was implied in the very nature of conquest. They became throughout tributary

**Organiza
tion.**

44.

**Roman
taxation.**

to the Roman community. Their system of tribute however was, of course, not that by means of which the nobles and financial aristocracy turned Asia to profitable account; but, as was the case in Spain, a tribute fixed once for all was imposed on each individual community, and the levying of it was left to itself. In this way forty million sesterces (£400,000) flowed annually from Gaul into the chests of the Roman government; which, no doubt, undertook in return the cost of defending the frontier of the Rhine. Moreover, the masses of gold accumulated in the temples of the gods and the treasuries of the grandees found their way, as a matter of course, to Rome; when Caesar offered his Gallic gold throughout the Roman empire and brought such masses of it at once into the money market that gold as compared with silver fell about 25 per cent., we may guess what sums Gaul lost through the war.

**Indulgence
towards
existing
arrange-
ments.**

The former cantonal constitutions with their hereditary kings, or their presiding feudal-oligarchies, continued in the main to subsist after the conquest, and even the system of clientship, which made certain cantons dependent on others more powerful, was not abolished, although no doubt with the loss of political independence its edge was taken off. The sole object of Caesar was, while making use of the existing dynastic, feudalist, and hegemonic divisions, to arrange matters in the interest of Rome, and to bring everywhere into power the men favourably disposed to the foreign rule. Caesar spared no pains to form a Roman party in Gaul; extensive rewards in money and specially in confiscated estates were bestowed on his adherents, and places in the common council and the first orifices of state in their cantons were procured for them by Caesar's influence. Those cantons in which a sufficiently strong and trustworthy Roman party existed, such as those of the Remi, the Lingones, the Haedui, were favoured by the bestowal of a freer communal constitution—the right of alliance, as il

was called—and by preferences in the regulation of the matter of hegemony. The national worship and its priests seem to have been spared by Caesar from the outset as far as possible; no trace is found in his case of measures such as were adopted in later times by the Roman rulers against the Druidical system, and with this is probably connected the fact that his Gallic wars, so far as we see, do not at all bear the character of religious warfare after the fashion which formed so prominent a feature of the Britannic wars subsequently.

While Caesar thus showed to the conquered nation every allowable consideration and spared their national, political, and religious institutions as far as was at all compatible with their subjection to Rome, he did so, not as renouncing the fundamental idea of his conquest, the Romanization of Gaul, but with a view to realize it in the most indulgent way. He did not content himself with letting the same circumstances, which had already in great part Romanized the south province, produce their effect likewise in the north; but, like a genuine statesman, he sought to stimulate the natural course of development and, moreover, to shorten as far as possible the always painful period of transition. To say nothing of the admission of a number of Celts of rank into Roman citizenship and even of several perhaps into the Roman senate, it was probably Caesar who introduced, although with certain restrictions, the Latin instead of the native tongue as the official language within the several cantons in Gaul, and who introduced the Roman instead of the national monetary system on the footing of reserving the coinage of gold and of *de?iarii* to the Roman authorities, while the smaller money was to be coined by the several cantons, but only for circulation within the cantonal bounds, and this too in accordance with the Roman standard. We may smile at the Latin jargon, which the dwellers by the Loire and the Seine henceforth

**Introduc-
tion of the
Roman-
izing of the
country.**

employed in accordance with orders;¹ but these barbarisms were pregnant with a greater future than the correct Latin of the capital. Perhaps too, if the cantonal constitution in Gaul afterwards appears more closely approximated to the Italian urban constitution, and the chief places of the canton as well as the common councils attain a more marked prominence in it than was probably the case in the original Celtic organization, the change may be referred to Caesar. No one probably felt more than the political heir of Gaius Gracchus and of Marius, how desirable in a military as well as in a political point of view it would have been to establish a series of Transalpine colonies as bases of support for the new rule and starting-points of the new civilization. If nevertheless he confined himself to the settlement of his Celtic or German horsemen in Noviodunum (p. 45) and to that of the Boii in the canton of the Haedui (p. 44)—which latter settlement already rendered quite the services of a Roman colony in the war with Vercingetorix (p. 79)—the reason was merely that his farther plans did not permit him to put the plough instead of the sword into the hands of his legions. What he did in later years for the old Roman province in this respect, will be explained in its own place; it is probable that the want of time alone prevented him from extending the same system to the regions which he had recently subdued.

The catastrophe of the Celtic nation.

All was over with the Celtic nation. Its political dissolution had been completed by Caesar; its national dissolution was begun and in course of regular progress. This was no accidental destruction, such as destiny some times prepares even for peoples capable of development, but a self-incurred and in some measure historically necessary

¹ Thus we read on a *semis* which a Vergobretus of the Lexovii (Lisieux, dep. Calvados) caused to be struck, the following inscription: *Cisiambos Catos vercobreto; simissos (sic) publicos Lixovia*. The often scarcely legible writing and the incredibly wretched stamping of these coins are in excellent harmony with their stammering Latin.

catastrophe. The very course of the last war proves this, whether we view it as a whole or in detail. When the establishment of the foreign rule was in contemplation, only single districts—mostly, moreover, German or half-German—offered energetic resistance. When the foreign rule was actually established, the attempts to shake it off were either undertaken altogether without judgment, or they were to an undue extent the work of certain prominent nobles, and were therefore immediately and entirely brought to an end with the death or capture of an Indutiomarus, Camulogenus, Vercingetorix, or Correus. The sieges and guerilla warfare, in which elsewhere the whole moral depth of national struggles displays itself, were throughout this Celtic struggle of a peculiarly pitiable character. Every page of Celtic history confirms the severe saying of one of the few Romans who had the judgment not to despise the so-called barbarians—that the Celts boldly challenge danger while future, but lose their courage before its presence. In the mighty vortex of the world's history, which inexorably crushes all peoples that are not as hard and as flexible as steel, such a nation could not permanently maintain itself; with reason the Celts of the continent suffered the same fate at the hands of the Romans, as their kinsmen in Ireland suffer down to our own day at the hands of the Saxons—the fate of becoming merged as a leaven of future development in a politically superior nationality. On the eve of parting from this remarkable nation we may be allowed to call attention to the fact, that in the accounts of the ancients as to the Celts on the Loire and Seine we find almost every one of the characteristic traits which we are accustomed to recognize as marking the Irish. Every feature reappears : the laziness in the culture of the fields; the delight in tippling and brawling; the ostentation—we may recall that sword of Caesar hung up in the sacred grove of the Arverni after the victory of Gergovia, which its alleged former owner

**Traits
common to
the Celts
and Irish**

viewed with a smile at the consecrated spot and ordered the sacred property to be carefully spared; the language full of comparisons and hyperboles, of allusions and quaint turns; the droll humour—an excellent example of which was the rule, that if any one interrupted a person speaking in public, a substantial and very visible hole should be cut, as a measure of police, in the coat of the disturber of the peace; the hearty delight in singing and reciting the deeds of past ages, and the most decided gifts of rhetoric and poetry; the curiosity—no trader was allowed to pass, before he had told in the open street what he knew, or did not know, in the shape of news—and the extravagant credulity which acted on such accounts, for which reason in the better regulated cantons travellers were prohibited on pain of severe punishment from communicating unauthenticated reports to others than the public magistrates; the childlike piety, which sees in the priest a father and asks for his counsel in all things; the unsurpassed fervour of national feeling, and the closeness with which those who are fellow-countrymen cling together almost like one family in opposition to strangers; the inclination to rise in revolt under the first chance-leader that presents himself and to form bands, but at the same time the utter incapacity to preserve a self-reliant courage equally remote from presumption and from pusillanimity, to perceive the right time for waiting and for striking a blow, to attain or even barely to tolerate any organization, any sort of fixed military or political discipline. It is, and remains, at all times and all places the same indolent and poetical, irresolute and fervid, inquisitive, credulous, amiable, clever, but—in a political point of view—thoroughly useless nation; and therefore its fate has been always and everywhere the same.

The
beginnings
of Romanic
develop-
ment.

But the fact that this great people was ruined by the Transalpine wars of Caesar, was not the most important result of that grand enterprise; far more momentous than

the negative was the positive result. It hardly admits of a doubt that, if the rule of the senate had prolonged its semblance of life for some generations longer, the migration of peoples, as it is called, would have occurred four hundred years sooner than it did, and would have occurred at a time when the Italian civilization had not become naturalized either in Gaul, or on the Danube, or in Africa and Spain. Inasmuch as the great general and statesman of Rome with sure glance perceived in the German tribes the rival antagonists of the Romano-Greek world; inasmuch as with firm hand he established the new system of aggressive defence down even to its details, and taught men to protect the frontiers of the empire by rivers or artificial ramparts, to colonize the nearest barbarian tribes along the frontier with the view of warding off the more remote, and to recruit the Roman army by enlistment from the enemy's country; he gained for the Hellenico-Italian culture the interval necessary to civilize the west just as it had already civilized the east. Ordinary men see the fruits of their action; the seed sown by men of genius germinates slowly. Centuries elapsed before men understood that Alexander had not merely erected an ephemeral kingdom in the east, but had carried Hellenism to Asia; centuries again elapsed before men understood that Caesar had not merely conquered a new province for the Romans, but had laid the foundation for the Romanizing of the regions of the west. It was only a late posterity that perceived the meaning of those expeditions to England and Germany, so inconsiderate in a military point of view, and so barren of immediate result. An immense circle of peoples, whose existence and condition hitherto were known barely through the reports—mingling some truth with much fiction—of the mariner and the trader, was disclosed by this means to the Greek and Roman world. "Daily," it is said in a Roman writing of May 698, "the 56.

letters and messages from Gaul are announcing names of peoples, cantons, and regions hitherto unknown to us." This enlargement of the historical horizon by the expeditions of Caesar beyond the Alps was as significant an event in the world's history as the exploring of America by European bands. To the narrow circle of the Mediterranean states were added the peoples of central and northern Europe, the dwellers on the Baltic and North seas; to the old world was added a new one, which thenceforth was influenced by the old and influenced it in turn. What the Gothic Theodoric afterwards succeeded in, came very near to being already carried out by Ariovistus. Had it so happened, our civilization would have hardly stood in any more intimate relation to the Romano-Greek than to the Indian and Assyrian culture. That there is a bridge connecting the past glory of Hellas and Rome with the prouder fabric of modern history; that Western Europe is Romanic, and Germanic Europe classic; that the names of Themistocles and Scipio have to us a very different sound from those of Asoka and Salmanassar; that Homer and Sophocles are not merely like the Vedas and Kalidasa attractive to the literary botanist, but bloom for us in our own garden—all this is the work of Caesar; and, while the creation of his great predecessor in the east has been almost wholly reduced to ruin by the tempests of the Middle Ages, the structure of Caesar has outlasted those thousands of years which have changed religion and polity for the human race and even shifted for it the centre of civilization itself, and it stands erect for what we may designate as eternity.

The
countries
on the
Danube.

To complete the sketch of the relations of Rome to the peoples of the north at this period, it remains that we cast a glance at the countries which stretch to the north of the Italian and Greek peninsulas, from the sources of the Rhine to the Black Sea. It is true that the torch of

history does not illumine the mighty stir and turmoil of peoples which probably prevailed at that time there, and the solitary gleams of light that fall on this region are, like a faint glimmer amidst deep darkness, more fitted to bewilder than to enlighten. But it is the duty of the historian to indicate also the gaps in the record of the history of nations; he may not deem it beneath him to mention, by the side of Caesar's magnificent system of defence, the paltry arrangements by which the generals of the senate professed to protect on this side the frontier of the empire.

North-eastern Italy was still as before (iii. 424) left exposed to the attacks of the Alpine tribes. The strong Roman army encamped at Aquileia in 695, and the triumph of the governor of Cisalpine Gaul, Lucius Afranius, lead us to infer, that about this time an expedition to the Alps took place, and it may have been in consequence of this that we find the Romans soon afterwards in closer connection with a king of the Noricans. But that even subsequently Italy was not at all secure on this side, is shown by the sudden assault of the Alpine barbarians on the flourishing town of Tergeste in 702, when the Trans-alpine insurrection had compelled Caesar to divest upper Italy wholly of troops.

**Alpine
peoples.**

59.

52.

The turbulent peoples also, who had possession of the district along the Illyrian coast, gave their Roman masters constant employment. The Dalmatians, even at an earlier period the most considerable people of this region, enlarged their power so much by admitting their neighbours into their union, that the number of their townships rose from twenty to eighty. When they refused to give up once more the town of Promona (not far from the river Kerka), which they had wrested from the Liburnians, Caesar after the battle of Pharsalia gave orders to march against them; but the Romans were in the first instance

Illyria.

worsted, and in consequence of this Dalmatia became for some time a rendezvous of the party hostile to Caesar, and the inhabitants in concert with the Pompeians and with the pirates offered an energetic resistance to the generals of Caesar both by land and by water.

Lastly Macedonia along with Epirus and Hellas lay in greater desolation and decay than almost any other part of the Roman empire. Dyrrhachium, Thessalonica, and Byzantium had still some trade and commerce; Athens attracted travellers and students by its name and its philosophical school; but on the whole there lay over the formerly populous little towns of Hellas, and her seaports once swarming with men, the calm of the grave. But if the Greeks stirred not, the inhabitants of the hardly accessible Macedonian mountains on the other hand continued after the old fashion their predatory raids and feuds; for instance about 697—698 Agraeans and Dolopians overran the Aetolian towns, and in 700 the Pirustae dwelling in the valleys of the Drin overran southern Illyria. The neighbouring peoples did likewise. The Dardani on the northern frontier as well as the Thracians in the east had no doubt been humbled by the Romans in the eight years' conflicts from 676 to 683; the most powerful of the Thracian princes, Cotys, the ruler of the old Odrysian kingdom, was thenceforth numbered among the client kings of Rome. Nevertheless the pacified land had still as before to suffer invasions from the north and east. The governor Gaius Antonius was severely handled both by the Dardani and by the tribes settled in the modern Dobrudscha, who, with the help of the dreaded Bastarnae brought up from the left bank of the Danube, inflicted on him an important defeat (692-693) at Istropolis (Istere, not far from Kustendji). Gaius Octavius fought with better fortune against the Bessi and Thracians (694). Marcus Piso again (697-698) as general-in-chief wretchedly mis-

managed matters; which was no wonder, seeing that for money he gave friends and foes whatever they wished. The Thracian Denteletae (on the Strymon) under his governorship plundered Macedonia far and wide, and even stationed their posts on the great Roman military road leading from Dyrrhachium to Thessalonica; the people in Thessalonica made up their minds to stand a siege from them, while the strong Roman army in the province seemed to be present only as an onlooker when the inhabitants of the mountains and neighbouring peoples levied contributions from the peaceful subjects of Rome.

Such attacks could not indeed endanger the power of Rome, and a fresh disgrace had long ago ceased to occasion concern. But just about this period a people began to acquire political consolidation beyond the Danube in the wide Dacian steppes—a people which seemed destined to play a different part in history from that of the Bessi and the Denteletae. Among the Getae or Dacians in primeval times there had been associated with the king of the people a holy man called Zalmoxis, who, after having explored the ways and wonders of the gods in distant travel in foreign lands, and having thoroughly studied in particular the wisdom of the Egyptian priests and of the Greek Pythagoreans, had returned to his native country to end his life as a pious hermit in a cavern of the "holy mountain." He remained accessible only to the king and his servants, and gave forth to the king and through him to the people his oracles with reference to every important undertaking. He was regarded by his countrymen at first as priest of the supreme god and ultimately as himself a god, just as it is said of Moses and Aaron that the Lord had made Aaron the prophet and Moses the god of the prophet. This had become a permanent institution; there was regularly associated with the king of the Getae such a god, from whose mouth everything which the king ordered proceeded

The new
Dacian
kingdom

or appeared to proceed. This peculiar constitution, in which the theocratic idea had become subservient to the apparently absolute power of the king, probably gave to the kings of the Getae some such position with respect to their subjects as the caliphs had with respect to the Arabs; and one result of it was the marvellous religious-political reform of the nation, which was carried out about this time by the king of the Getae, Burebistas, and the god Dekaneos. The people, which had morally and politically fallen into utter decay through unexampled drunkenness, was as it were metamorphosed by the new gospel of temperance and valour; with his hands under the influence, so to speak, of puritanic discipline and enthusiasm king Burebistas founded within a few years a mighty kingdom, which extended along both banks of the Danube and reached southward far into Thrace, Illyria, and Noricum. No direct contact with the Romans had yet taken place, and no one could tell what might come out of this singular state, which reminds us of the early times of Islam; but this much it needed no prophetic gift to foretell, that proconsuls like Antonius and Piso were not called to contend with gods.

CHAPTER VIII

THE JOINT RULE OF POMPEIUS AND CAESAR

AMONG the democratic chiefs, who from the time of the consulate of Caesar were recognized officially, so to speak, as the joint rulers of the commonwealth, as the governing "triumvirs," Pompeius according to public opinion occupied decidedly the first place. It was he who was called by the Optimates the "private dictator"; it was before him that Cicero prostrated himself in vain ; against him were directed the sharpest sarcasms in the wall-placards of Bibulus, and the most envenomed arrows of the talk in the saloons of the opposition. This was only to be expected. According to the facts before the public Pompeius was indisputably the first general of his time; Caesar was a dexterous party-leader and party-orator, of undeniable talents, but as notoriously of unwarlike and indeed of effeminate temperament. Such opinions had been long current; it could not be expected of the rabble of quality that it should trouble itself about the real state of things and abandon once established platitudes because of obscure feats of heroism on the Tagus. Caesar evidently played in the league the mere part of the adjutant who executed for his chief the work which Flavius, Afranius, and other less capable instruments had attempted and not performed. Even his governorship seemed not to alter this state of things. Afranius had but recently occupied a very similar

**Pompeius
and Caesar
in juxtaposition.**

position, without thereby acquiring any special importance; several provinces at once had been of late years repeatedly placed under one governor, and often far more than four legions had been united in one hand; as matters were again quiet beyond the Alps and prince Ariovistus was recognized by the Romans as a friend and neighbour, there was no prospect of conducting a war of any moment there. It was natural to compare the position which Pompeius had obtained by the Gabinio-Manilian law with that which Caesar had obtained by the Vatinian ; but the comparison did not turn out to Caesar's advantage. Pompeius ruled over nearly the whole Roman empire; Caesar over two provinces. Pompeius had the soldiers and the treasures of the state almost absolutely at his disposal; Caesar had only the sums assigned to him and an army of 24,000 men. It was left to Pompeius himself to fix the point of time for his retirement; Caesar's command was secured to him for a long period no doubt, but yet only for a limited term. Pompeius, in fine, had been entrusted with the most important undertakings by sea and land; Caesar was sent to the north, to watch over the capital from upper Italy and to take care that Pompeius should rule it undisturbed.

**Pompeius
and the
capital**

But when Pompeius was appointed by the coalition to be ruler of the capital, he undertook a task far exceeding his powers. Pompeius understood nothing further of ruling than may be summed up in the word of command.

Anarchy.

The waves of agitation in the capital were swelled at once by past and by future revolutions; the problem of ruling this city—which in every respect might be compared to the Paris of the nineteenth century—without an armed force was infinitely difficult, and for that stiff and stately pattern-soldier altogether insoluble. Very soon matters reached such a pitch that friends and foes, both equally inconvenient to him, could, so far as he was concerned, do

what they pleased; after Caesar's departure from Rome the coalition ruled doubtless still the destinies of the world, but not the streets of the capital. The senate too, to whom there still belonged a sort of nominal government, allowed things in the capital to follow their natural course; partly because the section of this body controlled by the coalition lacked the instructions of the regents, partly because the angry opposition kept aloof out of indifference or pessimism, but chiefly because the whole aristocratic corporation began to feel at any rate, if not to comprehend, its utter impotence. For the moment therefore there was nowhere at Rome any power of resistance in any sort of government, nowhere a real authority. Men were living in an interregnum between the ruin of the aristocratic, and the rise of the military, rule; and, if the Roman commonwealth has presented all the different political functions and organizations more purely and normally than any other in ancient or modern times, it has also exhibited political disorganization—anarchy—with an unenviable clearness. It is a strange coincidence that in the same years, in which Caesar was creating beyond the Alps a work to last for ever, there was enacted in Rome one of the most extravagant political farces that was ever produced upon the stage of the world's history. The new regent of the commonwealth did not rule, but shut himself up in his house and sulked in silence. The former half-deposed government likewise did not rule, but sighed, sometimes in private amidst the confidential circles of the villas, sometimes in chorus in the senate-house. The portion of the burgesses which had still at heart freedom and order was disgusted with the reign of confusion, but utterly without leaders and counsel it maintained a passive attitude—not merely avoiding all political activity, but keeping aloof, as far as possible, from the political Sodom itself.

On the other hand the rabble of every sort never had

The
anarchists.

better days, never found a merrier arena. The number of little great men was legion. Demagogism became quite a trade, which accordingly did not lack its professional insignia—the threadbare mantle, the shaggy beard, the long streaming hair, the deep bass voice; and not seldom it was a trade with golden soil. For the standing declamations the tried gargles of the theatrical staff were an article in much request;* Greeks and Jews, freedmen and slaves, were the most regular attenders and the loudest criers in the public assemblies; frequently, even when it came to % vote, only a minority of those voting consisted of burgesses constitutionally entitled to do so. "Next time," it is said in a letter of this period, "we may expect our lackeys to outvote the emancipation-tax." The real powers of the day were the compact and armed bands, the battalions of anarchy raised by adventurers of rank out of gladiatorial slaves and blackguards. Their possessors had from the outset been mostly numbered among the popular party; but since the departure of Caesar, who alone understood how to impress the democracy, and alone knew how to manage it, all discipline had departed from them and every partisan practised politics at his own hand. Even now, no doubt, these men fought with most pleasure under the banner of freedom; but, strictly speaking, they were neither of democratic nor of anti-democratic views; they inscribed on the—in itself indispensable—banner, as it happened, now the name of the people, anon that of the senate or that of a party-chief; Clodius for instance fought or professed to fight in succession for the ruling democracy, for the senate, and for Crassus. The leaders of these bands kept to their colours only so far as they inexorably persecuted their personal enemies—as in the case of Clodius against Cicero and Milo against

¹ This is the meaning of *cantorum convitio contiones celebrare* (Cic pro Sest. 55, 118).

Clodius—while their partisan position served them merely as a handle in these personal feuds. We might as well seek to set a charivari to music as to write the history of this political witches' revel; nor is it of any moment to enumerate all the deeds of murder, besiegings of houses, acts of incendiarism and other scenes of violence within a great capital, and to reckon up how often the gamut was traversed from hissing and shouting to spitting on and trampling down opponents, and' thence to throwing stones and drawing swords.

The principal performer in this theatre of political Clodius. rascality was that Publius Clodius, of whose services, as already mentioned (iv. 517), the regents availed themselves against Cato and Cicero. Left to himself, this influential, talented, energetic and—in his trade—really exemplary partisan pursued during his tribunate of the people (696) 58. an ultra-democratic policy, gave the citizens corn gratis, restricted the right of the censors to stigmatize immoral burgesses, prohibited the magistrates from obstructing the course of the comitial machinery by religious formalities, set aside the limits which had shortly before (690), for the purpose of checking the system of bands, been imposed on the right of association of the lower classes, and re-established the "street-clubs" (*collegia compitalicia*) at that time abolished, which were nothing else than a formal organization—subdivided according to the streets, and with an almost military arrangement—of the whole free or slave proletariat of the capital. If in addition the further law, which Clodius had likewise already projected and purposed to introduce when praetor in 702, should give to freedmen and to slaves living in *de facto* possession of freedom the same political rights with the freeborn, the author of all these brave improvements of the constitution might declare his work complete, and as a second Numa of freedom and equality might invite the sweet rabble of the capital to see

him celebrate high mass in honour of the arrival of the democratic millennium in the temple of Liberty which he had erected on the site of one of his burnings at the Palatine. Of course these exertions in behalf of freedom did not exclude a traffic in decrees of the burgesses; like Caesar himself, Caesar's ape kept governorships and other posts great and small on sale for the benefit of his fellow-citizens, and sold the sovereign rights of the state for the benefit of subject kings and cities.

**Quarrel of
Pompeius
with
Clodius.**

At all these things Pompeius looked on without stirring. If he did not perceive how seriously he thus compromised himself, his opponent perceived it. Clodius had the hardihood to engage in a dispute with the regent of Rome on a question of little moment, as to the sending back of a captive Armenian prince; and the variance soon became a formal feud, in which the utter helplessness of Pompeius was displayed. The head of the state knew not how to meet the partisan otherwise than with his own weapons, only wielded with far less dexterity. If he had been tricked by Clodius respecting the Armenian prince, he offended him in turn by releasing Cicero, who was pre-eminently obnoxious to Clodius, from the exile into which Clodius had sent him; and he attained his object so thoroughly, that he converted his opponent into an implacable foe. If Clodius made the streets insecure with his bands, the victorious general likewise set slaves and pugilists to work; in the frays which ensued the general naturally was worsted by the demagogue and defeated in the street, and Gaius Cato was kept almost constantly under siege in his garden by Clodius and his comrades. It is not the least remarkable feature in this remarkable spectacle, that the regent and the rogue amidst their quarrel vied in courting the favour of the fallen government; Pompeius, partly to please the senate, permitted Cicero's recall, Clodius on the other hand declared the

Julian laws null and void, and called on Marcus Bibulus publicly to testify to their having been unconstitutionally passed.

Naturally no positive result could issue from this imbroglia of dark passions; its most distinctive character was just its utterly ludicrous want of object. Even a man of Caesar's genius had to learn by experience that democratic agitation was completely worn out, and that even the way to the throne no longer lay through demagogism. It was nothing more than a historical makeshift, if now, in the interregnum between republic and monarchy, some whimsical fellow dressed himself out with the prophet's mantle and staff which Caesar had himself laid aside, and the great ideals of Gaius Gracchus came once more upon the stage distorted into a parody; the so-called party from which this democratic agitation proceeded was so little such in reality, that afterwards it had not even a part falling to it in the decisive struggle. It cannot even be asserted that by means of this anarchical state of things the desire after a strong government based on military power had been vividly kindled in the minds of those who were indifferent to politics. Even apart from the fact that such neutral burgesses were chiefly to be sought outside of Rome, and thus were not directly affected by the rioting in the capital, those minds which could be at all influenced by such motives had been already by their former experiences, and especially by the Catilinarian conspiracy, thoroughly converted to the principle of authority; but those that were really alarmed were affected far more emphatically by a dread of the gigantic crisis inseparable from an overthrow of the constitution, than by dread of the mere continuance of the—at bottom withal very superficial—anarchy in the capital. The only result of it which historically deserves notice was the painful position in which Pompeius was placed by the attacks of the

Clodians, and which had a material share in determining his farther steps.

Little as Pompeius liked and understood taking the initiative, he was yet on this occasion compelled by the change of his position towards both Clodius and Caesar to depart from his previous inaction. The irksome and disgraceful situation to which Clodius had reduced him, could not but at length arouse even his sluggish nature to hatred and anger. But far more important was the change which took place in his relation to Caesar. While, of the two confederate regents, Pompeius had utterly failed in the functions which he had undertaken, Caesar had the skill to turn his official position to an account which left all calculations and all fears far behind. Without much inquiry as to permission, Caesar had doubled his army by levies in his southern province inhabited in great measure by Roman burgesses; had with this army crossed the Alps instead of keeping watch over Rome from Northern Italy; had crushed in the bud a new Cimbrian invasion, and
58, 57. within two years (696, 697) had carried the Roman arms to the Rhine and the Channel. In presence of such facts even the aristocratic tactics of ignoring and disparaging were baffled. He who had often been scoffed at as effeminate was now the idol of the army, the celebrated victory-crowned hero, whose fresh laurels outshone the faded laurels of Pompeius, and to whom even the senate
57. as early as 697 accorded the demonstrations of honour usual after successful campaigns in richer measure than had ever fallen to the share of Pompeius. Pompeius stood towards his former adjutant precisely as after the Gabinio-Manilian laws the latter had stood towards him. Caesar was now the hero of the day and the master of the most powerful Roman army; Pompeius was an ex-general who had once been famous. It is true that no collision had yet occurred between father-in-law and son-

in-law, and the relation was externally undisturbed; but every political alliance is inwardly broken up, when the relative proportions of the power of the parties are materially altered. While the quarrel with Clodius was merely annoying, the change in the position of Caesar involved a very serious danger for Pompeius; just as Caesar and his confederates had formerly sought a military support against him, he found himself now compelled to seek a military support against Caesar, and, laying aside his haughty privacy, to come forward as a candidate for some extraordinary magistracy, which would enable him to hold his place by the side of the governor of the two Gauls with equal and, if possible, with superior power. His tactics, like his position, were exactly those of Caesar during the Mithradatic war. To balance the military power of a superior but still remote adversary by the obtaining of a similar command, Pompeius required in the first instance the official machinery of government. A year and a half ago this had been absolutely at his disposal. The regents then ruled the state both by the comitia, which absolutely obeyed them as the masters of the street, and by the senate, which was energetically overawed by Caesar; as representative of the coalition in Rome and as its acknowledged head, Pompeius would have doubtless obtained from the senate and from the burgesses any decree which he wished, even if it were against Caesar's interest. But by the awkward quarrel with Clodius, Pompeius had lost the command of the streets, and could not expect to carry a proposal in his favour in the popular assembly. Things were not quite so unfavourable for him in the senate; but even there it was doubtful whether Pompeius after that long and fatal inaction still held the reins of the majority firmly enough in hand to procure such a decree as he needed.

The position of the senate also, or rather of the nobility

The
republican
opposition
among the
public.

60.

generally, had meanwhile undergone a change. From the very fact of its complete abasement it drew fresh energy. In the coalition of 694 various things had come to light, which were by no means as yet ripe for it. The banishment of Cato and Cicero—which public opinion, however much the regents kept themselves in the background and even professed to lament it, referred with unerring tact to its real authors—and the marriage-relationship formed between Caesar and Pompeius suggested to men's minds with disagreeable clearness monarchical decrees of banishment and family alliances. The larger public too, which stood more aloof from political events, observed the foundations of the future monarchy coming more and more distinctly into view. From the moment when the public perceived that Caesar's object was not a modification of the republican constitution, but that the question at stake was the existence or non-existence of the republic, many of the best men, who had hitherto reckoned themselves of the popular party and honoured in Caesar its head, must infallibly have passed over to the opposite side. It was no longer in the saloons and the country houses of the governing nobility alone that men talked of the "three dynasts," of the "three-headed monster." The dense crowds of people listened to the consular orations of Caesar without a sound of acclamation or approval; not a hand stirred to applaud when the democratic consul entered the theatre. But they hissed when one of the tools of the regents showed himself in public, and even staid men applauded when an actor uttered an anti-monarchic sentence or an allusion against Pompeius. Nay, when Cicero was to be banished, a great number of burgesses—it is said twenty thousand—mostly of the middle classes, put on mourning after the example of the senate. "Nothing is now more popular," it is said in a letter of this period, "than hatred of the popular party."

The regents dropped hints, that through such opposition the equites might easily lose their new special places in the theatre, and the commons their bread-corn; people were therefore somewhat more guarded perhaps in the expression of their displeasure, but the feeling remained the same. The lever of material interests was applied with better success. Caesar's gold flowed in streams. Men of seeming riches whose finances were in disorder, influential ladies who were in pecuniary embarrassment, insolvent young nobles, merchants and bankers in difficulties, either went in person to Gaul with the view of drawing from the fountain-head, or applied to Caesar's agents in the capital; and rarely was any man outwardly respectable—Caesar avoided dealings with vagabonds who were utterly lost—rejected in either quarter. To this fell to be added the enormous buildings which Caesar caused to be executed on his account in the capital—and by which a countless number of men of all ranks from the consular down to the common porter found opportunity of profiting—as well as the immense sums expended for public amusements. Pompeius did the same on a more limited scale; to him the capital was indebted for the first theatre of stone, and he celebrated its dedication with a magnificence never seen before. Of course such distributions reconciled a number of men who were inclined towards opposition, more especially in the capital, to the new order of things up to a certain extent; but the marrow of the opposition was not to be reached by this system of corruption. Every day more and more clearly showed how deeply the existing constitution had struck root among the people, and how little, in particular, the circles more aloof from direct party-agitation, especially the country towns, were inclined towards monarchy or even simply ready to let it take its course.

Attempts
of the
regents to
check it.

If Rome had had a representative constitution, the

**Increasing
importance
of the
senate.**

discontent of the burgesses would have found its natural expression in the elections, and have increased by so expressing itself; under the existing circumstances nothing was left for those true to the constitution but to place themselves under the senate, which, degraded as it was, still appeared the representative and champion of the legitimate republic. Thus it happened that the senate, now when it had been overthrown, suddenly found at its disposal an army far more considerable and far more earnestly faithful, than when in its power and splendour it overthrew the Gracchi and under the protection of Sulla's sword restored the state. The aristocracy felt this; it began to bestir itself afresh. Just at this time Marcus Cicero, after having bound himself to join the obsequious party in the senate and not only to offer no opposition, but to work with all his might for the regents, had obtained from them permission to return. Although Pompeius in this matter only made an incidental concession to the oligarchy, and intended first of all to play a trick on Clodius, and secondly to acquire in the fluent consular a tool rendered pliant by sufficient blows, the opportunity afforded by the return of Cicero was embraced for republican demonstrations, just as his banishment had been a demonstration against the senate. With all possible solemnity, protected moreover against the Clodians by the band of Titus Annius Milo, the two consuls, following out a resolution of the senate, submitted a proposal to the burgesses to permit the return of the consular Cicero, and the senate called on all burgesses true to the constitution not to be absent from the vote. An unusual number of worthy men, especially from the country towns, actually assembled in Rome on 57. the day of voting (4 Aug. 697). The journey of the consular from Brundisium to the capital gave occasion to a series of similar, but not less brilliant manifestations of public feeling. The new alliance between the senate and

the burgesses faithful to the constitution was on this occasion as it were publicly proclaimed, and a sort of review of the latter was held, the singularly favourable result of which contributed not a little to revive the sunken courage of the aristocracy.

The helplessness of Pompeius in presence of these daring demonstrations, as well as the undignified and almost ridiculous position into which he had fallen with reference to Clodius, deprived him and the coalition of their credit; and the section of the senate which adhered to the regents, demoralized by the singular inaptitude of Pompeius and helplessly left to itself, could not prevent the republican-aristocratic party from regaining completely the ascendancy in the corporation. The game of this party really at that time (697) was still by no means desperate for a courageous and dexterous player. It had now—what it had not possessed for a century past—a firm support in the people ; if it trusted the people and itself, it might attain its object in the shortest and most honourable way. Why not attack the regents openly and avowedly? Why should not a resolute and eminent man at the head of the senate cancel the extraordinary powers as unconstitutional, and summon all the republicans of Italy to arms against the tyrants and their following? It was possible perhaps in this way once more to restore the rule of the senate. Certainly the republicans would thus play a bold game; but perhaps in this case, as often, the most courageous resolution might have been at the same time the most prudent. Only, it is true, the indolent aristocracy of this period was scarcely capable of so simple and bold a resolution. There was however another way perhaps more sure, at any rate better adapted to the character and nature of these constitutionalists ; they might labour to set the two regents at variance and through this variance to attain ultimately to the helm themselves. The relations between the two men

**Helpless-
ness of
Pompeius**

57.

ruling the state had become altered and relaxed, now that Caesar had acquired a standing of preponderant power by the side of Pompeius and had compelled the latter to canvass for a new position of command; it was probable that, if he obtained it, there would arise in one way or other a rupture and struggle between them. If Pompeius remained unsupported in this, his defeat was scarcely doubtful, and the constitutional party would in that event find themselves after the close of the conflict under the rule of one master instead of two. But if the nobility employed against Caesar the same means by which the latter had won his previous victories, and entered into alliance with the weaker competitor, victory would probably, with a general like Pompeius, and with an army such as that of the constitutionalists, fall to the coalition; and to settle matters with Pompeius after the victory could not—judging from the proofs of political incapacity which he had already given—appear a specially difficult task.

**Attempts
of
Pompeius
to obtain a
command
through
the senate.**

Things had taken such a turn as naturally to suggest an understanding between Pompeius and the republican party. Whether such an approximation was to take place, and what shape the mutual relations of the two regents and of the aristocracy, which had become utterly enigmatical, were next to assume, fell necessarily to be decided, when in the

67. autumn of 697 Pompeius came to the senate with the proposal to entrust him with extraordinary official power. He based his proposal once more on that by which he had eleven years before laid the foundations of his power, the price of bread in the capital, which had just then—as previously to the Gabinian law—reached an oppressive height. Whether it had been forced up by special machinations, such as Clodius imputed sometimes to Pompeius, sometimes to Cicero, and these in their turn charged on Clodius, cannot be determined; the continuance of piracy, the emptiness of the public chest, and the

**Adminis-
tration
of the
supplies of
corn.**

negligent and disorderly supervision of the supplies of corn by the government were already quite sufficient of themselves, even without political forestalling, to produce scarcities of bread in a great city dependent almost solely on transmarine supplies. The plan of Pompeius was to get the senate to commit to him the superintendence of the matters relating to corn throughout the whole Roman empire, and, with a view to this ultimate object, to entrust him on the one hand with the unlimited disposal of the Roman state-treasure, and on the other hand with an army and fleet, as well as a command which not only stretched over the whole Roman empire, but was superior in each province to that of the governor—in short he designed to institute an improved edition of the Gabinian law, to which the conduct of the Egyptian war just then pending (iii. 451) would therefore quite as naturally have been annexed as the conduct of the Mithradatic war to the *razzia* against the pirates. However much the opposition to the new dynasts had gained ground in recent years, the majority of the senate was still, when this matter came to be discussed in Sept. 697, under the constraint of the terror excited by 57 Caesar. It obsequiously accepted the project in principle, and that on the proposition of Marcus Cicero, who was expected to give, and gave, in this case the first proof of the pliability learned by him in exile. But in the settlement of the details very material portions were abated from the original plan, which the tribune of the people Gaius Messius submitted. Pompeius obtained neither free control over the treasury, nor legions and ships of his own, nor even an authority superior to that of the governors; but they contented themselves with granting to him, for the purpose of his organizing due supplies for the capital, considerable sums, fifteen adjutants, and in all affairs relating to the supply of grain full proconsular power throughout the Roman dominions for the next five years, and with having

this decree confirmed by the burgesses. There were many different reasons which led to this alteration, almost equivalent to a rejection, of the original plan: a regard to Caesar, with reference to whom the most timid could not but have the greatest scruples in investing his colleague not merely with equal but with superior authority in Gaul itself; the concealed opposition of Pompeius' hereditary enemy and reluctant ally Crassus, to whom Pompeius himself attributed or professed to attribute primarily the failure of his plan; the antipathy of the republican opposition in the senate to any decree which really or nominally enlarged the authority of the regents; lastly and mainly, the incapacity of Pompeius himself, who even after having been compelled to act could not prevail on himself to acknowledge his own action, but chose always to bring forward his real design as it were in incognito by means of his friends, while he himself in his well-known modesty declared his willingness to be content with even less. No wonder that they took him at his word, and gave him the less.

Egyptian
expedition.

Pompeius was nevertheless glad to have found at any rate a serious employment, and above all a fitting pretext for leaving the capital. He succeeded, moreover, in providing it with ampler and cheaper supplies, although not without the provinces severely feeling the reflex effect. But he had missed his real object; the proconsular title, which he had a right to bear in all the provinces, remained an empty name, so long as he had not troops of his own at his disposal. Accordingly he soon afterwards got a second proposition made to the senate, that it should confer on him the charge of conducting back the expelled king of Egypt, if necessary by force of arms, to his home. But the more that his urgent need of the senate became evident, the senators received his wishes with a less pliant and less respectful spirit. It was immediately discovered in the

Sibylline oracles that it was impious to send a Roman army to Egypt; whereupon the pious senate almost unanimously resolved to abstain from armed intervention. Pompeius was already so humbled, that he would have accepted the mission even without an army; but in his incorrigible dissimulation he left this also to be declared merely by his friends, and spoke and voted for the despatch of another senator. Of course the senate rejected a proposal which wantonly risked a life so precious to his country; and the ultimate issue of the endless discussions was the resolution not to interfere in Egypt at all (Jan. 698). 56.

These repeated repulses which Pompeius met with in the senate and, what was worse, had to acquiesce in without retaliation, were naturally regarded—come from what side they would—by the public at large as so many victories of the republicans and defeats of the regents generally; the tide of republican opposition was accordingly always on the increase. Already the elections for 698 had gone but partially according to the minds of 56. the dynasts; Caesars candidates for the praetorship, Publius Vatinius and Gaius Alfius, had failed, while two decided adherents of the fallen government, Gnaeus Lentulus Marcellinus and Gnaeus Domitius Calvinus, had been elected, the former as consul, the latter as praetor. But for 699 there even appeared as candidate for the consulship Lucius Domitius Ahenobarbus, whose election it was difficult to prevent owing to his influence in the capital and his colossal wealth, and who, it was sufficiently well known, would not be content with a concealed opposition. The comitia thus rebelled; and the senate chimed in. It solemnly deliberated over an opinion, which Etruscan soothsayers of acknowledged wisdom had furnished respecting certain signs and wonders at its special request. The celestial revelation announced that through the dissension of the upper classes the whole power over

Attempt
at an
aristocratic
restoration.

Attack on
Caesar's
laws.

the army and treasure threatened to pass to one ruler, and the state to incur loss of freedom—it seemed that the gods pointed primarily at the proposal of Gaius Messius. The republicans soon descended from heaven to earth. The law as to the domain of Capua and the other laws issued by Caesar as consul had been constantly described by them as null and void, and an opinion had been expressed in the senate

57. as early as Dec. 697 that it was necessary to cancel them
56. on account of their informalities. On the 6th April 698 the consular Cicero proposed in a full senate to put the consideration of the Campanian land distribution in the order of the day for the 15th May. It was the formal declaration of war; and it was the more significant, that it came from the mouth of one of those men who only show their colours when they think that they can do so with safety. Evidently the aristocracy held that the moment had come for beginning the struggle not with Pompeius against Caesar, but against the *tyrannis* generally. What would further follow might easily be seen. Domitius made no secret that he intended as consul to propose to the burgesses the immediate recall of Caesar from Gaul. An aristocratic restoration was at work; and with the attack on the colony of Capua the nobility threw down the gauntlet to the regents.

Caesar, although receiving from day to day detailed accounts of the events in the capital and, whenever military considerations allowed, watching their progress from as near a point of his southern province as possible, had not hitherto, visibly at least, interfered in them. But now war had been declared against him as well as his colleague, in fact against him especially; he was compelled to act, and he acted quickly. He happened to be in the very neighbourhood; the aristocracy had not even found it advisable to delay the rupture, till he should have again crossed the Alps. In the beginning of April 698 Crassus left the

capital, to concert the necessary measures with his more powerful colleague; he found Caesar in Ravenna. Thence both proceeded to Luca, and there they were joined by Pompeius, who had departed from Rome soon after Crassus (in April), ostensibly for the purpose of procuring supplies of grain from Sardinia and Africa. The most noted adherents of the regents, such as Metellus Nepos the proconsul of Hither Spain, Appius Claudius the propraetor of Sardinia, and many others, followed them; a hundred and twenty lictors, and upwards of two hundred senators were counted at this conference, where already the new monarchical senate was represented in contradistinction to the republican. In every respect the decisive voice lay with Caesar. He used it to re-establish and consolidate the existing joint rule on a new basis of more equal distribution of power. The governorships of most importance in a military point of view, next to that of the two Gauls, were assigned to his two colleagues—that of the two Spains to Pompeius, that of Syria to Crassus; and these offices were to be secured to them by decree of the people for five years (700-704), and to be suitably provided for in a military and financial point of view. On the other hand Caesar stipulated for the prolongation of his command, which expired with the year 700, to the close of 705, as well as for the prerogative of increasing his legions to ten and of charging the pay for the troops arbitrarily levied by him on the state-chest. Pompeius and Crassus were moreover promised a second consulship for the next year (699) before they departed for their governorships, while Caesar kept it open to himself to administer the supreme magistracy a second time after the termination of his governorship in 706, when the ten years' interval legally requisite between two consulships should have in his case elapsed. The military support, which Pompeius and Crassus required for regulating the affairs of the capital all the more that the

legions of Caesar originally destined for this purpose could not now be withdrawn from Transalpine Gaul, was to be found in new legions, which they were to raise for the Spanish and Syrian armies and were not to despatch from Italy to their several destinations until it should seem to themselves convenient to do so. The main questions were thus settled; subordinate matters, such as the settlement of the tactics to be followed against the opposition in the capital, the regulation of the candidatures for the ensuing years, and the like, did not long detain them. The great master of mediation composed the personal differences which stood in the way of an agreement with his wonted ease, and compelled the most refractory elements to act in concert. An understanding befitting colleagues was re-established, externally at least, between Pompeius and Crassus. Even Publius Clodius was induced to keep himself and his pack quiet, and to give no farther annoyance to Pompeius—not the least marvellous feat of the mighty magician.

**Designs of
Caesar in
this ar-
rangement.**

That this whole settlement of the pending questions proceeded, not from a compromise among independent and rival regents meeting on equal terms, but solely from the good will of Caesar, is evident from the circumstances. Pompeius appeared at Luca in the painful position of a powerless refugee, who comes to ask aid from his opponent. Whether Caesar chose to dismiss him and to declare the coalition dissolved, or to receive him and to let the league continue just as it stood—Pompeius was in either view politically annihilated. If he did not in this case break with Caesar, he became the powerless client of his confederate. If on the other hand he did break with Caesar and, which was not very probable, effected even now a coalition with the aristocracy, this alliance between opponents, concluded under pressure of necessity and at the last moment, was so little formidable that it was hardly for

the sake of averting it that Caesar agreed to those concessions. A serious rivalry on the part of Crassus with Caesar was utterly impossible. It is difficult to say what motives induced Caesar to surrender without necessity his superior position, and now voluntarily to concede—what he had refused to his rival even on the conclusion of the league of 694, and what the latter had since, with the evident 60. design of being armed against Caesar, vainly striven in different ways to attain without, nay against, Caesar's will—the second consulate and military power. Certainly it was not Pompeius alone that was placed at the head of an army, but also his old enemy and Caesar's ally throughout many years, Crassus; and undoubtedly Crassus obtained his respectable military position merely as a counterpoise to the new power of Pompeius. Nevertheless Caesar was a great loser, when his rival exchanged his former powerlessness for an important command. It is possible that Caesar did not yet feel himself sufficiently master of his soldiers to lead them with confidence to a warfare against the formal authorities of the land, and was therefore anxious not to be forced to civil war now by being recalled from Gaul; but whether civil war should come or not, depended at the moment far more on the aristocracy of the capital than on Pompeius, and this would have been at most a reason for Caesar not breaking openly with Pompeius, so that the opposition might not be emboldened by this breach, but not a reason for conceding to him what he did concede. Purely personal motives may have contributed to the result; it may be that Caesar recollected how he had once stood in a position of similar powerlessness in presence of Pompeius, and had been saved from destruction only by his—pusillanimous, it is true, rather than magnanimous—retirement; it is probable that Caesar hesitated to break the heart of his beloved daughter who was sincerely attached to her husband—in his soul there

was room for much besides the statesman. But the decisive reason was doubtless the consideration of Gaul. Caesar—differing from his biographers—regarded the subjugation of Gaul not as an incidental enterprise useful to him for the gaining of the crown, but as one on which depended the external security and the internal reorganization, in a word the future, of his country. That he might be enabled to complete this conquest undisturbed and might not be obliged to take in hand just at once the extrication of Italian affairs, he unhesitatingly gave up his superiority over his rivals and granted to Pompeius sufficient power to settle matters with the senate and its adherents. This was a grave political blunder, if Caesar had no other object than to become as quickly as possible king of Rome; but the ambition of that rare man was not confined to the vulgar aim of a crown. He had the boldness to prosecute side by side, and to complete, two labours equally vast—the arranging of the internal affairs of Italy, and the acquisition and securing of a new and fresh soil for Italian civilization. These tasks of course interfered with each other; his Gallic conquests hindered much more than helped him on his way to the throne. It was fraught to him with bitter fruit that, instead of settling the Italian revolution in 698, he postponed it to 706. But as a statesman as well as a general Caesar was a peculiarly daring player, who, confiding in himself and despising his opponents, gave them always great and sometimes extravagant odds.

56. 48.

The
aristocracy
submits.

It was now therefore the turn of the aristocracy to make good their high gage, and to wage war as boldly as they had boldly declared it. But there is no more pitiable spectacle than when cowardly men have the misfortune to take a bold resolution. They had simply exercised no foresight at all. It seemed to have occurred to nobody that Caesar would possibly stand on his defence, or that

even now Pompeius and Crassus would combine with him afresh and more closely than ever. This seems incredible; but it becomes intelligible, when we glance at the persons who then led the constitutional opposition in the senate. Cato was still absent;¹ the most influential man in the senate at this time was Marcus Bibulus, the hero of passive resistance, the most obstinate and most stupid of all consulars. They had taken up arms only to lay them down, so soon as the adversary merely put his hand to the sheath; the bare news of the conferences in Luca sufficed to suppress all thought of a serious opposition and to bring the mass of the timid—that is, the immense majority of the senate—back to their duty as subjects, which in an unhappy hour they had abandoned. There was no further talk of the appointed discussion to try the validity of the Julian laws; the legions raised by Caesar on his own behalf were charged by decree of the senate on the public chest; the attempts on occasion of regulating the next consular provinces to take away both Gauls or one of them by decree from Caesar were rejected by the majority (end of May 698). Thus the corporation did public penance. In secret the individual lords, one after another, thoroughly frightened at their own temerity, came to make their peace and vow unconditional obedience—none more quickly than Marcus Cicero, who repented too late of his perfidy, and in respect of the most recent period of his life clothed himself with titles of honour which were altogether more appropriate than flattering.² Of course the regents agreed to be pacified;

¹ Cato was not yet in Rome when Cicero spoke on nth March 698 in favour of Sestius (*Pro Sest.* 28, 60) and when the discussion took place in the senate in consequence of the resolutions of Luca respecting Caesar's legions (*Plut. Caes.* 21); it is not till the discussions at the beginning of 699 that we find him once more busy, and, as he travelled in winter (*Plut. Cato Min.* 38), he thus returned to Rome in the end of 698. He cannot therefore, as has been mistakenly inferred from Asconius (p. 35, 53), have defended Milo in Feb. 698.

² *Me asinum germanum fuisse* (*Ad Att.* iv. 5, 3).

they refused nobody pardon, for there was nobody who was worth the trouble of making him an exception. That we may see how suddenly the tone in aristocratic circles changed after the resolutions of Luca became known, it is worth while to compare the pamphlets given forth by Cicero shortly before with the palinode which he caused to be issued to evince publicly his repentance and his good intentions.¹

Settlement
of the new
monarch-
ical rule.

The regents could thus arrange Italian affairs at their pleasure and more thoroughly than before. Italy and the capital obtained practically a garrison although not assembled in arms, and one of the regents as commandant. Of the troops levied for Syria and Spain by Crassus and Pompeius, those destined for the east no doubt took their departure; but Pompeius caused the two Spanish provinces to be administered by his lieutenants with the garrison hitherto stationed there, while he dismissed the officers and soldiers of the legions which were newly raised—nominally for despatch to Spain—on furlough, and remained himself with them in Italy.

Doubtless the tacit resistance of public opinion increased, the more clearly and generally men perceived that the regents were working to put an end to the old constitution and with as much gentleness as possible to accommodate the existing condition of the government and administration to the forms of the monarchy; but they submitted, because they were obliged to submit. First of all, all the more important affairs, and particularly all that related to military matters and external relations, were disposed of without

55. 56. ¹ This palinode is the still extant oration on the Provinces to be assigned to the consuls of 699. It was delivered in the end of May 698. The pieces contrasting with it are the orations for Sestius and against Vatinius and that upon the opinion of the Etruscan soothsayers, dating from the months of March and April, in which the aristocratic regime is glorified to the best of his ability and Caesar in particular is treated in a very cavalier tone. It was but reasonable that Cicero should, as he himself confesses (*Ad Att.* iv. 5, 1), be ashamed to transmit even to intimate friends that attestation of his resumed allegiance.

consulting the senate upon them, sometimes by decree of the people, sometimes by the mere good pleasure of the rulers. The arrangements agreed on at Luca respecting the military command of Gaul were submitted directly to the burgesses by Crassus and Pompeius, those relating to Spain and Syria by the tribune of the people Gaius Trebonius, and in other instances the more important governorships were frequently filled up by decree of the people. That the regents did not need the consent of the authorities to increase their troops at pleasure, Caesar had already sufficiently shown: as little did they hesitate mutually to borrow troops; Caesar for instance received such collegiate support from Pompeius for the Gallic, and Crassus from Caesar for the Parthian, war. The Transpadanes, who possessed according to the existing constitution only Latin rights, were treated by Caesar during his administration practically as full burgesses of Rome.¹ While formerly

¹ This is not stated by our authorities. But the view that Caesar levied no soldiers at all from the Latin communities, that is to say from by far the greater part of his province, is in itself utterly incredible, and is directly refuted by the fact that the opposition-party slightly designates the force levied by Caesar as "for the most part natives of the Transpadane colonies" (*Caes. B. C. iii. 87*); for here the Latin colonies of Strabo (*Ascon. in Pison. p. 3*; Sueton. *Caes. 8*) are evidently meant. Yet there is no trace of Latin cohorts in Caesar's Gallic army; on the contrary according to his express statements all the recruits levied by him in Cisalpine Gaul were added to the legions or distributed into legions. It is possible that Caesar combined with the levy the bestowal of the franchise; but more probably he adhered in this matter to the standpoint of his party, which did not so much seek to procure for the Transpadanes the Roman franchise as rather regarded it as already legally belonging to them (*iv. 457*). Only thus could the report spread, that Caesar had introduced of his own authority the Roman municipal constitution among the Transpadane communities (*Cic. Ad Att. v. 3, 2*; *Ad Fam. viii. 1, 2*). This hypothesis too explains why Hirtius designates the Transpadane towns as "colonies of Roman burgesses" (*B. G. viii. 24*), and why Caesar treated the colony of Comum founded by him as a burgess-colony (Sueton. *Caes. 28*; Strabo, *v. 1, p. 213*; Plutarch, *Caes. 29*), while the moderate party of the aristocracy conceded to it only the same rights as to the other Transpadane communities, viz. Latin rights, and the ultras even declared the civic rights conferred on the settlers as altogether null, and consequently did not concede to the Comenses the privileges attached to the holding of a Latin municipal magistracy (*Cic. Ad Att. v. 11, 2*; Appian, *B. C. ii. 26*). Comp. *Hermes*, xvi. 30.

the organization of newly-acquired territories had been managed by a senatorial commission, Caesar organized his extensive Gallic conquests altogether according to his own judgment, and founded, for instance, without having received any farther full powers burgess-colonies, particularly Novum-Comum (Como) with five thousand colonists. Piso conducted the Thracian, Gabinius the Egyptian, Crassus the Parthian war, without consulting the senate, and without even reporting, as was usual, to that body; in like manner triumphs and other marks of honour were accorded and carried out, without the senate being asked about them. Obviously this did not arise from a mere neglect of forms, which would be the less intelligible, seeing that in the great majority of cases no opposition from the senate was to be expected. On the contrary, it was a well-calculated design to dislodge the senate from the domain of military arrangements and of higher politics, and to restrict its share of administration to financial questions and internal affairs; and even opponents plainly discerned this and protested, so far as they could, against this conduct of the regents by means of senatorial decrees and criminal actions. While the regents thus in the main set aside the senate, they still made some use of the less dangerous popular assemblies—care was taken that in these the lords of the street should put no farther difficulty in the way of the lords of the state; in many cases however they dispensed even with this empty shadow, and employed without disguise autocratic forms.

**The senate
under the
monarchy.
Cicero
and the
majority.**

The humbled senate had to submit to its position whether it would or not. The leader of the compliant majority continued to be Marcus Cicero. He was useful on account of his lawyer's talent of finding reasons, or at any rate words, for everything; and there was a genuine Caesarian irony in employing the man, by means of whom mainly the aristocracy had conducted their demonstrations against the regents, as the mouthpiece of servility. Accord-

ingly they pardoned him for his brief desire to kick against the pricks, not however without having previously assured themselves of his submissiveness in every way. His brother had been obliged to take the position of an officer in the Gallic army to answer in some measure as a hostage for him; Pompeius had compelled Cicero himself to accept a lieutenant-generalship under him, which furnished a handle for politely banishing him at any moment. Clodius had doubtless been instructed to leave him meanwhile at peace, but Caesar as little threw off Clodius on account of Cicero as he threw off Cicero on account of Clodius; and the great saviour of his country and the no less great hero of liberty entered into an antechamber-rivalry in the headquarters of Samarobriva, for the befitting illustration of which there lacked, unfortunately, a Roman Aristophanes. But not only was the same rod kept in suspense over Cicero's head, which had once already descended on him so severely; golden fetters were also laid upon him. Amidst the serious embarrassment of his finances the loans of Caesar free of interest, and the joint overseership of those buildings which occasioned the circulation of enormous sums in the capital, were in a high degree welcome to him; and many an immortal oration for the senate was nipped in the bud by the thought of Caesar's agent, who might present a bill to him after the close of the sitting. Consequently he vowed "in future to ask no more after right and honour, but to strive for the favour of the regents," and "to be as flexible as an ear-lap." They used him accordingly as—what he was good for—an advocate; in which capacity it was on various occasions his lot to be obliged to defend his very bitterest foes at a higher bidding, and that especially in the senate, where he almost regularly served as the organ of the dynasts and submitted the proposals "to which others probably consented, but not he himself"; indeed, as recognized leader of the majority of

the compliant, he obtained even a certain political importance. They dealt with the other members of the governing corporation accessible to fear, flattery, or gold in the same way as they had dealt with Cicero, and succeeded in keeping it on the whole in subjection.

**Cato
and the
minority.**

- Certainly there remained a section of their opponents, who at least kept to their colours and were neither to be terrified nor to be won. The regents had become convinced that exceptional measures, such as those against Cato and Cicero, did their cause more harm than good, and that it was a lesser evil to tolerate an inconvenient republican opposition than to convert their opponents into martyrs for the republic. Therefore they allowed
56. Cato to return (end of 698) and thenceforward in the senate and in the Forum, often at the peril of his life, to offer a continued opposition to the regents, which was doubtless worthy of honour, but unhappily was at the same time ridiculous. They allowed him on occasion of the proposals of Trebonius to push matters once more to a hand-to-hand conflict in the Forum, and to submit to the senate a proposal that the proconsul Caesar should be given over to the Usipetes and Tencteri on account of his perfidious conduct toward those barbarians (p. 60). They were patient when Marcus Favonius, Cato's Sancho, after the senate had adopted the resolution to charge the legions of Caesar on the state-chest, sprang to the door of the senate-house and proclaimed to the streets the danger of the country ; when the same person in his scurrilous fashion called the white bandage, which Pompeius wore round his weak leg, a displaced diadem; when the consular Lentulus Marcellinus, on being applauded, called out to the assembly to make diligent use of this privilege of expressing their opinion now while they were still allowed to do so ; when the tribune of the people Gaius Ateius Capito consigned Crassus on his departure

for Syria, with all the formalities of the theology of the day, publicly to the evil spirits. These were, on the whole, vain demonstrations of an irritated minority; yet the little party from which they issued was so far of importance, that it on the one hand fostered and gave the watchword to the republican opposition fermenting in secret, and on the other hand now and then dragged the majority of the senate, which withal cherished at bottom quite the same sentiments with reference to the regents, into an isolated decree directed against them. For even the majority felt the need of giving vent, at least sometimes and in subordinate matters to their suppressed indignation, and especially—after the manner of those who are servile with reluctance—of exhibiting their resentment towards the great foes in rage against the small. Wherever it was possible, a gentle blow was administered to the instruments of the regents; thus Gabinius was refused the thanksgiving-festival that he asked (698); thus Piso was 56. recalled from his province; thus mourning was put on by the senate, when the tribune of the people Gaius Cato hindered the elections for 699 as long as the consul Mar- 55. cellinus belonging to the constitutional party was in office. Even Cicero, however humbly he always bowed before the regents, issued an equally envenomed and insipid pamphlet against Caesar's father-in-law. But both these feeble signs of opposition by the majority of the senate and the ineffectual resistance of the minority show only the more clearly, that the government had now passed from the senate to the regents as it formerly passed from the burgesses to the senate; and that the senate was already not much more than a monarchical council of state employed also to absorb the anti-monarchical elements. "No man," the adherents of the fallen government complained, "is of the slightest account except the three; the regents are all-powerful, and they take care that no one

shall remain in doubt about it; the whole senate is virtually transformed and obeys the dictators; our generation will not live to see a change of things." They were living in fact no longer under the republic, but under monarchy.

Continued
opposition
at the
elections,

But if the guidance of the state was at the absolute disposal of the regents, there remained still a political domain separated in some measure from the government proper, which it was more easy to defend and more difficult to conquer; the field of the ordinary elections of magistrates, and that of the jury-courts. That the latter do not fall directly under politics, but everywhere, and above all in Rome, come partly under the control of the spirit dominating state-affairs, is of itself clear. The elections of magistrates certainly belonged by right to the government proper of the state; but, as at this period the state was administered substantially by extraordinary magistrates or by men wholly without title, and even the supreme ordinary magistrates, if they belonged to the anti-monarchical party, were not able in any tangible way to influence the state-machinery, the ordinary magistrates sank more and more into mere puppets—as, in fact, even those of them who were most disposed to opposition described themselves frankly and with entire justice as powerless ciphers—and their elections therefore sank into mere demonstrations. Thus, after the opposition had already been wholly dislodged from the proper field of battle, hostilities might nevertheless be continued in the field of elections and of processes. The regents spared no pains to remain victors also in this field. As to the elections, they had already at Luca settled between themselves the lists of candidates for the next years, and they left no means untried to carry the candidates agreed upon there. They expended their gold primarily for the purpose of influencing the elections. A great number of soldiers were dismissed annually on fur-

lough from the armies of Caesar and Pompeius to take part in the voting at Rome. Caesar was wont himself to guide, and watch over, the election movements from as near a point as possible of Upper Italy. Yet the object was but very imperfectly attained. For 699 no doubt Pompeius and Crassus were elected consuls, agreeably to the convention of Luca, and Lucius Domitius, the only candidate of the opposition who persevered, was set aside ; but this had been effected only by open violence, on which occasion Cato was wounded and other extremely scandalous incidents occurred. In the next consular elections for 700, in spite of all the exertions 51 of the regents, Domitius was actually elected, and Cato likewise now prevailed in the candidature for the praetorship, in which to the scandal of the whole burgesses Caesar's client Vatinius had during the previous year beaten him off the field. At the elections for 701 the opposition succeeded in so indisputably convicting the candidates of the regents, along with others, of the most shameful electioneering intrigues that the regents, on whom the scandal recoiled, could not do otherwise than abandon them. These repeated and severe defeats of the dynasts on the battle-field of the elections may be traceable in part to the unmanageableness of the rusty machinery, to the incalculable accidents of the polling, to the opposition at heart of the middle classes, to the various private considerations that interfere in such cases and often strangely clash with those of party; but the main cause lies elsewhere. The elections were at this time essentially in the power of the different clubs into which the aristocracy had grouped themselves ; the system of bribery was organized by them on the most extensive scale and with the utmost method. The same aristocracy therefore, which was represented in the senate, ruled also the elections ; but while in the senate it yielded with a

grudge, it worked and voted here—in secret and secure from all reckoning—absolutely against the regents. That the influence of the nobility in this field was by no means broken by the strict penal law against the electioneering
55. intrigues of the clubs, which Crassus when consul in 699 caused to be confirmed by the burgesses, is self-evident, and is shown by the elections of the succeeding years.

**and in the
courts.**

The jury-courts occasioned equally great difficulty to the regents. As they were then composed, while the senatorial nobility was here also influential, the decisive voice lay chiefly with the middle class. The fixing of a high-rated census for jurymen by a law proposed by Pompeius in 699 is a remarkable proof that the opposition to the regents had its chief seat in the middle class properly so called, and that the great capitalists showed themselves here, as everywhere, more compliant than the latter. Nevertheless the republican party was not yet deprived of all hold in the courts, and it was never weary of directing political impeachments, not indeed against the regents themselves, but against their prominent instruments. This warfare of prosecutions was waged the more keenly, that according to usage the duty of accusation belonged to the senatorial youth, and, as may readily be conceived, there was more of republican passion, fresh talent, and bold delight in attack to be found among these youths than among the older members of their order. Certainly the courts were not free; if the regents were in earnest, the courts ventured as little as the senate to refuse obedience. None of their antagonists were prosecuted by the opposition with such hatred—so furious that it almost passed into a proverb—as Vatinius, by far the most audacious and unscrupulous of the closer adherents of Caesar; but his master gave the command, and he was acquitted in all the processes raised against him. But impeachments by men who knew how to wield the sword

of dialectics and the lash of sarcasm as did Gaius Licinius Calvus and Gaius Asinius Pollio, did not miss their mark even when they failed; nor were isolated successes wanting. They were mostly, no doubt, obtained over subordinate individuals, but even one of the most high-placed and most hated adherents of the dynasts, the consular Gabinius, was overthrown in this way. Certainly in his case the implacable hatred of the aristocracy, which as little forgave him for the law regarding the conducting of the war with the pirates as for his disparaging treatment of the senate during his Syrian governorship, was combined with the rage of the great capitalists, against whom he had when governor of Syria ventured to defend the interests of the provincials, and even with the resentment of Crassus, with whom he had stood on ceremony in handing over to him the province. His only protection against all these foes was Pompeius, and the latter had every reason to defend his ablest, boldest, and most faithful adjutant at any price; but here, as everywhere, he knew not how to use his power and to defend his clients, as Caesar defended his; in the end of 700 the jurymen found Gabinius guilty of extortions 54. and sent him into banishment.

On the whole, therefore, in the sphere of the popular elections and of the jury-courts it was the regents that fared worst. The factors which ruled in these were less tangible, and therefore more difficult to be terrified or corrupted than the direct organs of government and administration. The holders of power encountered here, especially in the popular elections, the tough energy of a close oligarchy—grouped in coteries—which is by no means finally disposed of when its rule is overthrown, and which is the more difficult to vanquish the more covert its action. They encountered here too, especially in the jury-courts, the repugnance of the middle classes towards the new monarchical rule, which with all the perplexities springing out

of it they were as little able to remove. They suffered in both quarters a series of defeats. The election-victories of the opposition had, it is true, merely the value of demonstrations, since the regents possessed and employed the means of practically annulling any magistrate whom they disliked; but the criminal trials in which the opposition carried condemnations deprived them, in a way keenly felt, of useful auxiliaries. As things stood, the regents could neither set aside nor adequately control the popular elections and the jury-courts, and the opposition, however much it felt itself straitened even here, maintained to a certain extent the field of battle.

**Literature
of the
opposition.**

It proved, however, yet a more difficult task to encounter the opposition in a field, to which it turned with the greater zeal the more it was dislodged from direct political action. This was literature. Even the judicial opposition was at the same time a literary one, and indeed pre-eminently so, for the orations were regularly published and served as political pamphlets. The arrows of poetry hit their mark still more rapidly and sharply. The lively youth of the high aristocracy, and still more energetically perhaps the cultivated middle class in the Italian country towns, waged the war of pamphlets and epigrams with zeal and success. There fought side by side on this field the

82-48. genteel senator's son Gaius Licinius Calvus (672-706) who was as much feared in the character of an orator and pamphleteer as of a versatile poet, and the municipals of

102-63. Cremona and Verona Marcus Furius Bibaculus (652-691)

87-54. and Quintus Valerius Catullus (667-c. 700) whose elegant and pungent epigrams flew swiftly like arrows through Italy and were sure to hit their mark. An oppositional tone prevails throughout the literature of these years. It is full of indignant sarcasm against the "great Caesar," "the unique general," against the affectionate father-in-law and son-in-law, who ruin the whole globe in order to give their

dissolute favourites opportunity to parade the spoils of the long-haired Celts through the streets of Rome, to furnish royal banquets with the booty of the farthest isles of the west, and as rivals showering gold to supplant honest youths at home in the favour of their mistresses. There is in the poems of Catullus¹ and the other fragments of the literature of this period something of that fervour of personal and political hatred, of that republican agony overflowing in riotous humour or in stern despair, which are more prominently and powerfully apparent in Aristophanes and Demosthenes.

The most sagacious of the three rulers at least saw well that it was as impossible to despise this opposition as to suppress it by word of command. So far as he could, Caesar tried rather personally to gain over the more notable authors. Cicero himself had to thank his literary reputation in good part for the respectful treatment which he especially experienced from Caesar; but the governor of Gaul did not disdain to conclude a special peace even with Catullus himself through the intervention of his father who had become personally known to him in Verona; and the young poet, who had just heaped upon the powerful general the bitterest and most personal sarcasms, was treated by him with the most flattering distinction. In fact Caesar was gifted enough to follow his literary opponents on their own domain and to publish—as an indirect way of repelling manifold attacks—a detailed report on the Gallic wars,

¹ The collection handed down to us is full of references to the events of 699 and 700 and was doubtless published in the latter year; the most recent event, which it mentions, is the prosecution of Vatinius (Aug. 700). The statement of Hieronymus that Catullus died in 697-698 requires therefore to be altered only by a few years. From the circumstance that Vatinius "swears falsely by his consulship," it has been erroneously inferred that the collection did not appear till after the consulate of Vatinius (707); it only follows from it that Vatinius, when the collection appeared, might already reckon on becoming consul in a definite year, for which he had every reason as early as 700; for his name certainly stood on the list of candidates agreed on at Luca (Cicero, *Ad. Att.* iv. 8 b. 2).

55. 54.
54.
57-56.

47.

54.

which set forth before the public, with happily assumed naivete, the necessity and constitutional propriety of his military operations. But it is freedom alone that is absolutely and exclusively poetical and creative; it and it alone is able even in its most wretched caricature, even with its latest breath, to inspire fresh enthusiasm. All the sound elements of literature were and remained anti-monarchical; and, if Caesar himself could venture on this domain without proving a failure, the reason was merely that even now he still cherished at heart the magnificent dream of a free commonwealth, although he was unable to transfer it either to his adversaries or to his adherents. Practical politics was not more absolutely controlled by the regents than literature by the republicans.¹

65. 54. ¹ The well-known poem of Catullus (numbered as xxix.) was written in 699 or 700 after Caesar's Britannic expedition and before the death of Julia:

*Quis hoc potest videre, quis potest pati,
Nisi impudicus et vorax et aleo,
Mamurram habere quod comata Gallia
Habebat ante et ultima Britannia f etc.*

Mamurra of Formiae, Caesar's favourite and for a time during the Gallic wars an officer in his army, had, presumably a short time before the composition of this poem, returned to the capital and was in all likelihood then occupied with the building of his much-talked-of marble palace furnished with lavish magnificence on the Caelian hill. The Iberian booty mentioned in the poem must have reference to Caesar's governorship of Further Spain, and Mamurra must even then, as certainly afterwards in Gaul, have been found at Caesar's headquarters; the Pontic booty presumably has reference to the war of Pompeius against Mithradates, especially as according to the hint of the poet it was not merely Caesar that enriched Mamurra.

More innocent than this virulent invective, which was bitterly felt by Caesar (Suet. *Caes.* 73), is another nearly contemporary poem of the same author (xi.) to which we may here refer, because with its pathetic introduction to an anything but pathetic commission it very cleverly quizzes the general staff of the new regents—the Gabiniuses, Antoniuses, and such like, suddenly advanced from the lowest haunts to headquarters. Let it be remembered that it was written at a time when Caesar was fighting on the Rhine and on the Thames, and when the expeditions of Crassus to Parthia and of Gabinius to Egypt were in preparation. The poet, as if he too expected one of the vacant posts from one of the regents, gives to two of his clients their last instructions before departure :

Furi et Aureli, comites Catulli, etc.

It became necessary to take serious steps against this opposition, which was powerless indeed, but was always becoming more troublesome and audacious. The condemnation of Gabinius, apparently, turned the scale (end of 700). The regents agreed to introduce a dictatorship, though only a temporary one, and by means of this to carry new coercive measures especially respecting the elections and the jury-courts. Pompeius, as the regent on whom primarily devolved the government of Rome and Italy, was charged with the execution of this resolve; which accordingly bore the impress of the awkwardness in resolution and action that characterized him, and of his singular incapacity of speaking out frankly, even where he would and could command. Already at the close of 700 the demand for a dictatorship was brought forward in the senate in the form of hints, and that not by Pompeius himself. There served as its ostensible ground the continuance of the system of clubs and bands in the capital, which by acts of bribery and violence certainly exercised the most pernicious pressure on the elections as well as on the jury-courts and kept it in a perpetual state of disturbance; we must allow that this rendered it easy for the regents to justify their exceptional measures. But, as may well be conceived, even the servile majority shrank from granting what the future dictator himself seemed to shrink from openly asking. When the unparalleled agitation regarding the elections for the consulship of 701 led to the most scandalous scenes, so that the elections were postponed a full year beyond the fixed time and only took place after a seven months' interregnum in July 701, Pompeius found in this state of things the desired occasion for indicating now distinctly to the senate that the dictatorship was the only means of cutting, if not of loosing the knot; but the decisive word of command was not even yet spoken. Perhaps it would have still remained for long unuttered, had not

New ex-
ceptional
measures
resolved
on.
54.

54.

53.

53.

- the most audacious partisan of the republican opposition Titus Annius Milo stepped into the field at the consular
52. elections for 702 as a candidate in opposition to the candidates of the regents, Quintus Metellus Scipio and Publius Plautius Hypsaesus, both men closely connected with Pompeius personally and thoroughly devoted to him.

Milo.

Milo, endowed with physical courage, with a certain talent for intrigue and for contracting debt, and above all with an ample amount of native assurance which had been carefully cultivated, had made himself a name among the political adventurers of the time, and was the greatest bully in his trade next to Clodius, and naturally therefore through rivalry at the most deadly feud with the latter. As this Achilles of the streets had been acquired by the regents and with their permission was again playing the ultra-democrat, the Hector of the streets became as a matter of course an aristocrat! and the republican opposition, which now would have concluded an alliance with Catilina in person, had he presented himself to them, readily acknowledged Milo as their legitimate champion in all riots. In fact the few successes, which they carried off in this field of battle, were the work of Milo and of his well-trained band of gladiators. So Cato and his friends in return supported the candidature of Milo for the consulship; even Cicero could not avoid recommending one who had been his enemy's enemy and his own protector during many years; and as Milo himself spared neither money nor violence to carry his election, it seemed secured. For the regents it would have been not only a new and keenly-felt defeat, but also a real danger; for it was to be foreseen that the bold partisan would not allow himself as consul to be reduced to insignificance so easily as Domitius and other men of the respectable opposition. It happened that Achilles and Hector accidentally encountered each other not far from the

capital on the Appian Way, and a fray arose between their respective bands, in which Clodius himself received a sword-cut on the shoulder and was compelled to take refuge in a neighbouring house. This had occurred without orders from Milo; but, as the matter had gone so far and as the storm had now to be encountered at any rate, the whole crime seemed to Milo more desirable and even less dangerous than the half; he ordered his men to drag Clodius forth from his lurking place and to put him to death (13 Jan. 702).

52.

Anarchy in Rome.

The street leaders of the regents' party—the tribunes of the people Titus Munatius Plancus, Quintus Pompeius Rufus, and Gaius Sallustius Crispus—saw in this occurrence a fitting opportunity to thwart in the interest of their masters the candidature of Milo and carry the dictatorship of Pompeius. The dregs of the populace, especially the freedmen and slaves, had lost in Clodius their patron and future deliverer (p. 111); the requisite excitement was thus easily aroused. After the bloody corpse had been exposed for show at the orators' platform in the Forum and the speeches appropriate to the occasion had been made, the riot broke forth. The seat of the perfidious aristocracy was destined as a funeral pile for the great liberator; the mob carried the body to the senate-house, and set the building on fire. Thereafter the multitude proceeded to the front of Milo's house and kept it under siege, till his band drove off the assailants by discharges of arrows. They passed on to the house of Pompeius and of his consular candidates, of whom the former was saluted as dictator and the latter as consuls, and thence to the house of the interrex Marcus Lepidus, on whom devolved the conduct of the consular elections. When the latter, as in duty bound, refused to make arrangements for the elections immediately, as the clamorous multitude demanded, he was kept during five days under siege in his dwelling house.

**Dictator-
ship of
Pompeius.**

- But the instigators of these scandalous scenes had over-acted their part. Certainly their lord and master was resolved to employ this favourable episode *in* order not merely to set aside Milo, but also to seize the dictatorship; he wished, however, to receive it not from a mob of bludgeon-men, but from the senate. Pompeius brought up troops to put down the anarchy which prevailed in the capital, and which had in reality become intolerable to everybody; at the same time he now enjoined what he had hitherto requested, and the senate complied. It was merely an empty subterfuge, that on the proposal of Cato and Bibulus the proconsul Pompeius, retaining his former offices, was nominated as "consul without colleague" instead of dictator (on the 25 th of the intercalary month¹ 52. 702)—a subterfuge, which admitted an appellation labouring under a double incongruity² for the mere purpose of avoiding one which expressed the simple fact, and which vividly reminds us of the sagacious resolution of the waning patriciate to concede to the plebeians not the consulship, but only the consular power (i. 372).

Thus in legal possession of full power, Pompeius set to work and proceeded with energy against the republican party which was powerful in the clubs and the jury-courts. The existing enactments as to elections were repeated and enforced by a special law; and by another against electioneering intrigues, which obtained retrospective force for all offences of this sort committed since 684, the penalties hitherto imposed were augmented. Still more important was the enactment, that the governorships, which were by far the more important and especially by far the more lucrative half of official life, should be conferred on the consuls and praetors not immediately on their retire-

¹ In this year the January with 29 and the February with 23 days were followed by the intercalary month with 28, and then by March.

² *Consul* signifies "colleague" (i. 318), and a consul who is at the same time proconsul is at once an actual consul and a consul's substitute.

ment from the consulate or praetorship, but only after the expiry of other five years; an arrangement which of course could only come into effect after four years, and therefore made the filling up of the governorships for the next few years substantially dependent on decrees of senate which were to be issued for the regulation of this interval, and thus practically on the person or section ruling the senate at the moment. The jury-commissions were left in existence, but limits were put to the right of counter-plea, and—what was perhaps still more important—the liberty of speech in the courts was done away; for both the number of the advocates and the time of speaking apportioned to each were restricted by fixing a maximum, and the bad habit which had prevailed of adducing, in addition to the witnesses as to facts, witnesses to character or *laudatores*, as they were called, in favour of the accused was prohibited. The obsequious senate further decreed on the suggestion of Pompeius that the country had been placed in peril by the quarrel on the Appian Way; accordingly a special commission was appointed by an exceptional law for all crimes connected with it, the members of which were directly nominated by Pompeius. An attempt was also made to give once more a serious importance to the office of the censors, and by that agency to purge the deeply disordered burgess-body of the worst rabble.

All these measures were adopted under the pressure of the sword. In consequence of the declaration of the senate that the country was in danger, Pompeius called the men capable of service throughout Italy to arms and made them swear allegiance for all contingencies; an adequate and trustworthy corps was temporarily stationed at the Capitol; at every stirring of opposition Pompeius threatened armed intervention, and during the proceedings at the trial respecting the murder of Clodius stationed, contrary to all precedent, a guard over the place of trial itself.

Humilia-
tion of
the re-
publicans.

- The scheme for the revival of the censorship failed, because among the servile majority of the senate no one possessed sufficient moral courage and authority even to become a candidate for such an office. On the other hand
52. Milo was condemned by the jurymen (8 April 702) and Cato's candidature for the consulship of 703 was frustrated. The opposition of speeches and pamphlets received through the new judicial ordinance a blow from which it never recovered ; the dreaded forensic eloquence was thereby driven from the field of politics, and thenceforth felt the restraints of monarchy. Opposition of course had not disappeared either from the minds of the great majority of the nation or even wholly from public life—to effect that end the popular elections, the jury-courts, and literature must have been not merely restricted, but annihilated. Indeed, in these very transactions themselves, Pompeius by his unskilfulness and perversity helped the republicans to gain even under his dictatorship several triumphs which he severely felt. The special measures, which the rulers took to strengthen their power, were of course officially characterized as enactments made in the interest of public tranquillity and order, and every burgess, who did not desire anarchy, was described as substantially concurring in them. But Pompeius pushed this transparent fiction so far, that instead of putting safe instruments into the special commission for the investigation of the last tumult, he chose the most respectable men of all parties, including even Cato, and applied his influence over the court essentially to maintain order, and to render it impossible for his adherents as well as for his opponents to indulge in the scenes of disturbance customary in the courts of this period. This, neutrality of the regent was discernible in the judgments of the special court. The jurymen did not venture to acquit Milo himself; but most of the subordinate persons accused belonging to the party of the republican opposition were

acquitted, while condemnation inexorably befell those who in the last riot had taken part for Clodius, or in other words for the regents, including not a few of Caesar's and of Pompeius' own most intimate friends—even Hypsæus his candidate for the consulship, and the tribunes of the people Plancus and Rufus, who had directed the *emeute* in his interest. That Pompeius did not prevent their condemnation for the sake of appearing impartial, was one specimen of his folly; and a second was, that he withal in matters quite indifferent violated his own laws to favour his friends—appearing for example as a witness to character in the trial of Plancus, and in fact protecting from condemnation several accused persons specially connected with him, such as Metellus Scipio. As usual, he wished here also to accomplish opposite things; in attempting to satisfy the duties at once of the impartial regent and of the party-chief, he fulfilled neither the one nor the other, and was regarded by public opinion with justice as a despotic regent, and by his adherents with equal justice as a leader who either could not or would not protect his followers.

But, although the republicans were still stirring and were even refreshed by an isolated success here and there, chiefly through the blunders of Pompeius, the object which the regents had proposed to themselves in that dictatorship was on the whole attained, the reins were drawn tighter, the republican party was humbled, and the new monarchy was strengthened. The public began to reconcile themselves to the latter. When Pompeius not long after recovered from a serious illness, his restoration was celebrated throughout Italy with the accompanying demonstrations of joy which are usual on such occasions in monarchies. The regents showed themselves satisfied; as early as the 1st of August 702 Pompeius resigned his dictatorship, and shared 52. the consulship with his client Metellus Scipio.

CHAPTER IX

DEATH OF CRASSUS—RUPTURE BETWEEN THE JOINT
RULERS

**Crassus
goes to
Syria.**

MARCUS CRASSUS had for years been reckoned among the heads of the "three-headed monster," without any proper title to be so included. He served as a makeweight to trim the balance between the real regents Pompeius and Caesar, or, to speak more accurately, his weight fell into the scale of Caesar against Pompeius. This part is not a too reputable one; but Crassus was never hindered by any keen sense of honour from pursuing his own advantage. He was a merchant and was open to be dealt with. What was offered to him was not much ; but, when more was not to be got, he accepted it, and sought to forget the ambition that fretted him, and his chagrin at occupying a position so near to power and yet so powerless, amidst his always accumulating piles of gold. But the conference at Luca changed the state of matters also for him; with the view of still retaining the preponderance as compared with Pompeius after concessions so extensive, Caesar gave to his old confederate Crassus an opportunity of attaining in Syria through the Parthian war the same position to which Caesar had attained by the Celtic war in Gaul. It was difficult to say whether these new prospects proved more attractive to the ardent thirst for gold which had now become at the age of sixty a second nature and grew only the more intense

with every newly-won million, or to the ambition which had been long repressed with difficulty in the old man's breast and now glowed in it with restless fire. He arrived in Syria as early as the beginning of 700; he had not even waited for the expiry of his consulship to depart. Full of impatient ardour he seemed desirous to redeem every minute with the view of making up for what he had lost, of gathering in the treasures of the east in addition to those of the west, of achieving the power and glory of a general as rapidly as Caesar, and with as little trouble as Pompeius.

He found the Parthian war already commenced. The faithless conduct of Pompeius towards the Parthians has been already mentioned (iv. 434); he had not respected the stipulated frontier of the Euphrates and had wrested several provinces from the Parthian empire for the benefit of Armenia, which was now a client state of Rome. King Phraates had submitted to this treatment; but after he had been murdered by his two sons Mithradates and Orodes, the new king Mithradates immediately declared war on the king of Armenia, Artavasdes, son of the recently deceased Tigranes (about 698).¹ This was at the same time a declaration of war against Rome; as soon therefore as the revolt of the Jews was suppressed, Gabinius, the able and spirited governor of Syria, led the legions over the Euphrates. Meanwhile, however, a revolution had occurred in the Parthian empire; the grandees of the kingdom, with the young, bold, and talented grand vizier at their head, had overthrown king Mithradates and placed his brother Orodes on the throne. Mithradates therefore made common cause with the Romans and resorted to the camp of Gabinius. Everything promised the best results to the enterprise of the Roman governor, when he un-

**Expedition
against the
Parthians
resolved
on.**

¹ Tigranes was still living in February 698 (Cic. *pro Sest.* 27, 59); on the other hand Artavasdes was already reigning before 700 (Justin, xlii. 2, 4; Plut. *Cross.* 49).

expectedly received orders to conduct the king of Egypt back by force of arms to Alexandria (iv. 451). He was obliged to obey; but, in the expectation of soon coming back, he induced the dethroned Parthian prince who solicited aid from him to commence the war in the meanwhile at his own hand. Mithradates did so; and Seleucia and Babylon declared for him; but the vizier captured Seleucia by assault, having been in person the first to mount the battlements, and in Babylon Mithradates himself was forced by famine to surrender, whereupon he was by his brother's orders put to death. His death was a palpable loss to the Romans; but it by no means put an end to the ferment in the Parthian empire, and the Armenian war continued. Gabinius, after ending the Egyptian campaign, was just on the eve of turning to account the still favourable opportunity and resuming the interrupted Parthian war, when Crassus arrived in Syria and along with the command took up also the plans of his predecessor. Full of high-flown hopes he estimated the difficulties of the march as slight, and the power of resistance in the armies of the enemy as yet slighter; he not only spoke confidently of the subjugation of the Parthians, but was already in imagination the conqueror of the kingdoms of Bactria and India.

**Plan of the
campaign.**

The new Alexander, however, was in no haste. Before he carried into effect these great plans, he found leisure for very tedious and very lucrative collateral transactions. The temples of Derceto at Hierapolis Bambyce and of Jehovah at Jerusalem and other rich shrines of the Syrian province, were by order of Crassus despoiled of their treasures; and contingents or, still better, sums of money instead were levied from all the subjects. The military operations of the first summer were limited to an extensive reconnaissance in Mesopotamia; the Euphrates was crossed, the Parthian satrap was defeated at Ichnae (on

the Belik to the north of Rakkah), and the neighbouring towns, including the considerable one of Nicephorium (Rakkah), were occupied, after which the Romans having left garrisons behind in them returned to Syria. They had hitherto been in doubt whether it was more advisable to march to Parthia by the circuitous route of Armenia or by the direct route through the Mesopotamian desert. The first route, leading through mountainous regions under the control of trustworthy allies, commended itself by its greater safety; king Artavasdes came in person to the Roman headquarters to advocate this plan of the campaign. But that reconnaissance decided in favour of the march through Mesopotamia. The numerous and flourishing Greek and half-Greek towns in the regions along the Euphrates and Tigris, above all the great city of Seleucia, were altogether averse to the Parthian rule; all the Greek townships with which the Romans came into contact had now, like the citizens of Carrhae at an earlier time (iv. 429), practically shown how ready they were to shake off the intolerable foreign yoke and to receive the Romans as deliverers, almost as countrymen. The Arab prince Abgarus, who commanded the desert of Edessa and Carrhae and thereby the usual route from the Euphrates to the Tigris, had arrived in the camp of the Romans to assure them in person of his devotedness. The Parthians had appeared to be wholly unprepared.

Accordingly (701) the Euphrates was crossed (near 53. Biradjik). To reach the Tigris from this point they had The Euphrates crossed. the choice of two routes; either the army might move downward along the Euphrates to the latitude of Seleucia where the Euphrates and Tigris are only a few miles distant from each other; or they might immediately after crossing take the shortest line to the Tigris right across the great Mesopotamian desert. The former route led **directly to the Parthian capital Ctesiphon, which lay**

opposite Seleucia on the other bank of the Tigris; several weighty voices were raised in favour of this route in the Roman council of war; in particular the quaestor Gaius Cassius pointed to the difficulties of the march in the desert, and to the suspicious reports arriving from the Roman garrisons on the left bank of the Euphrates as to the Parthian warlike preparations. But in opposition to this the Arab prince Abgarus announced that the Parthians were employed in evacuating their western provinces. They had already packed up their treasures and put themselves in motion to flee to the Hyrcanians and Scythians; only through a forced march by the shortest route was it at all possible still to reach them; but by such a march the Romans would probably succeed in overtaking and cutting up at least the rear-guard of the great army under Sillaces and the vizier, and obtaining enormous spoil. These reports of the friendly Bedouins decided the direction of the march; the Roman army, consisting of seven legions, 4000 cavalry, and 4000 slingers and archers, turned off from the Euphrates and away into the inhospitable plains of northern Mesopotamia.

**The march
in the
desert.**

Far and wide not an enemy showed himself; only hunger and thirst, and the endless sandy desert, seemed to keep watch at the gates of the east. At length, after many days of toilsome marching, not far from the first river which the Roman army had to cross, the Balissus (Belik), the first horsemen of the enemy were descried. Abgarus with his Arabs was sent out to reconnoitre; the Parthian squadrons retired up to and' over the river and vanished in the distance, pursued by Abgarus and his followers. With impatience the Romans waited for his return and for more exact information. The general hoped here at length to come upon the constantly retreating foe; his young and brave son Publius, who had fought with the greatest distinction in Gaul under Caesar

(p. 39, 55), and had been sent by the latter at the head of a Celtic squadron of horse to take part in the Parthian war, was inflamed with a vehement desire for the fight. When no tidings came, they resolved to advance at a venture; the signal for starting was given, the Balissus was crossed, the army after a brief insufficient rest at noon was led on without delay at a rapid pace. Then suddenly the kettledrums of the Parthians sounded all around; on every side their silken gold-embroidered banners were seen waving, and their iron helmets and coats of mail glittering in the blaze of the hot noonday sun; and by the side of the vizier stood prince Abgarus with his Bedouins.

The Romans saw too late the net into which they had allowed themselves to be ensnared. With sure glance the vizier had thoroughly seen both the danger and the means of meeting it. Nothing could be accomplished against the Roman infantry of the line with Oriental infantry; so he had rid himself of it, and by sending a mass, which was useless in the main field of battle, under the personal leadership of king Orodes to Armenia, he had prevented king Artavasdes from allowing the promised 10,000 heavy cavalry to join the army of Crassus, who now painfully felt the want of them. On the other hand the vizier met the Roman tactics, unsurpassed of their kind, with a system entirely different. His army consisted exclusively of cavalry; the line was formed of the heavy horsemen armed with long thrusting-lances, and protected, man and horse, by a coat of mail of metallic plates or a leathern doublet and by similar greaves; the mass of the troops consisted of mounted archers. As compared with these, the Romans were thoroughly inferior in the corresponding arms both as to number and excellence. Their infantry of the line, excellent as they were in close combat, whether at a short distance with the heavy javelin or in hand-to-hand combat with the sword, could not compel an army consist-

**Roman
and
Parthian
systems of
warfare.**

ing merely of cavalry to come to an engagement with them; and they found, even when they did come to a hand-to-hand conflict, an equal if not superior adversary in the iron-clad hosts of lancers. As compared with an army like this Parthian one, the Roman army was at a disadvantage strategically, because the cavalry commanded the communications; and at a disadvantage tactically, because every weapon of close combat must succumb to that which is wielded from a distance, unless the struggle becomes an individual one, man against man. The concentrated position, on which the whole Roman method of war was based, increased the danger in presence of such an attack; the closer the ranks of the Roman column, the more irresistible certainly was its onset, but the less also could the missiles fail to hit their mark. Under ordinary circumstances, where towns have to be defended and difficulties of the ground have to be considered, such tactics operating merely with cavalry against infantry could never be completely carried out; but in the Mesopotamian desert, where the army, almost like a ship on the high seas, neither encountered an obstacle nor met with a basis for strategic dispositions during many days' march, this mode of warfare was irresistible for the very reason that circumstances allowed it to be developed there in all its purity and therefore in all its power. There everything combined to put the foreign infantry at a disadvantage against the native cavalry. Where the heavy-laden Roman foot-soldier dragged himself toilsomely through the sand or the steppe, and perished from hunger or still more from thirst amid the pathless route marked only by water-springs that were far apart and difficult to find, the Parthian horseman, accustomed from childhood to sit on his fleet steed or camel, nay almost to spend his life in the saddle, easily traversed the desert whose hardships he had long learned how to lighten or in case of need to endure. There no rain fell

to mitigate the intolerable heat, and to slacken the bow-strings and leathern thongs of the enemy's archers and slingers; there amidst the deep sand at many places ordinary ditches and ramparts could hardly be formed for the camp. Imagination can scarcely conceive a situation in which all the military advantages were more on the one side, and all the disadvantages more thoroughly on the other.

To the question, under what circumstances this new style of tactics, the first national system that on its own proper ground showed itself superior to the Roman, arose among the Parthians, we unfortunately can only reply by conjectures. The lancers and mounted archers were of great antiquity in the east, and already formed the flower of the armies of Cyrus and Darius; but hitherto these arms had been employed only as secondary, and essentially to cover the thoroughly useless Oriental infantry. The Parthian armies also by no means differed in this respect from the other Oriental ones; armies are mentioned, five-sixths of which consisted of infantry. In the campaign of Crassus, on the other hand, the cavalry for the first time came forward independently, and this arm obtained quite a new application and quite a different value. The irresistible superiority of the Roman infantry in close combat seems to have led the adversaries of Rome in very different parts of the world independently of each other—at the same time and with similar success—to meet it with cavalry and distant weapons. What was completely successful with Cassivellaunus in Britain (p. 64 *f.*) and partially successful with Vercingetorix in Gaul (p. 75 *f.*)—what was to a certain degree attempted even by Mithradates Eupator (iv. 344)—the vizier of Orodes carried out only on a larger scale and more completely. And in doing so he had special advantages: for he found in the heavy cavalry the means of forming a line; the bow which was national

in the east and was handled with masterly skill in the Persian provinces gave him an effective weapon for distant combat; and lastly the peculiarities of the country and the people enabled him freely to realize his brilliant idea. Here, where the Roman weapons of close combat and the Roman system of concentration yielded for the first time before the weapons of more distant warfare and the system of deploying, was initiated that military revolution which only reached its completion with the introduction of firearms.

**Battle near
Carrhae.**

Under such circumstances the first battle between the Romans and Parthians was fought amidst the sandy desert thirty miles to the south of Carrhae (Harran) where there was a Roman garrison, and at a somewhat less distance to the north of Ichnae. The Roman archers were sent forward, but retired immediately before the enormous numerical superiority and the far greater elasticity and range of the Parthian bows. The legions, which, in spite of the advice of the more sagacious officers that they should be deployed as much as possible against the enemy, had been drawn up in a dense square of twelve cohorts on each side, were soon outflanked and overwhelmed with the formidable arrows, which under such circumstances hit their man even without special aim, and against which the soldiers had no means of retaliation. The hope that the enemy might expend his missiles vanished with a glance at the endless range of camels laden with arrows. The Parthians were still extending their line. That the outflanking might not end in surrounding, Publius Crassus advanced to the attack with a select corps of cavalry, archers, and infantry of the line. The enemy in fact abandoned the attempt to close the circle, and retreated, hotly pursued by the impetuous leader of the Romans. But, when the corps of Publius had totally lost sight of the main army, the heavy cavalry made a stand against it, and

the Parthian host hastening up from all sides closed in like a net round it. Publius, who saw his troops falling thickly and vainly around him under the arrows of the mounted archers, threw himself in desperation with his Celtic cavalry unprotected by any coats of mail on the iron-clad lancers of the enemy; but the death-despising valour of his Celts, who seized the lances with their hands or sprang from their horses to stab the enemy, performed its marvels in vain. The remains of the corps, including their leader wounded in the sword-arm, were driven to a slight eminence, where they only served for an easier mark to the enemy's archers. Mesopotamian Greeks, who were accurately acquainted with the country, adjured Crassus to ride off with them and make an attempt to escape; but he refused to separate his fate from that of the brave men whom his too-daring courage had led to death, and he caused himself to be stabbed by the hand of his shield-bearer. Following his example, most of the still surviving officers put themselves to death. Of the whole division, about 6000 strong, not more than 500 were taken prisoners; no one was able to escape. Meanwhile the attack on the main army had slackened, and the Romans were but too glad to rest. When at length the absence of any tidings from the corps sent out startled them out of the deceitful calm, and they drew near to the scene of the battle for the purpose of learning its fate, the head of the son was displayed on a pole before his father's eyes; and the terrible onslaught began once more against the main army with the same fury and the same hopeless uniformity. They could neither break the ranks of the lancers nor reach the archers; night alone put an end to the slaughter. Had the Parthians bivouacked on the battle-field, hardly a man of the Roman army would have escaped. But not trained to fight otherwise than on horseback, and therefore afraid of a surprise, they were wont never to encamp close

to the enemy; jeeringly they shouted to the Romans that they would give the general a night to bewail his son, and galloped off to return next morning and despatch the game that lay bleeding on the ground.

**Retreat to
Carrhae.**

Of course the Romans did not wait for the morning. The lieutenant-generals Cassius and Octavius—Crassus himself had completely lost his judgment—ordered the men still capable of marching to set out immediately and with the utmost silence (while the whole—said to amount to 4000—of the wounded and stragglers were left), with the view of seeking protection within the walls of Carrhae. The fact that the Parthians, when they returned on the following day, applied themselves first of all to seek out and massacre the scattered Romans left behind, and the further fact that the garrison and inhabitants of Carrhae, early informed of the disaster by fugitives, had marched forth in all haste to meet the beaten army, saved the remnants of it from what seemed inevitable destruction.

**Departure
from
Carrhae.**

The squadrons of Parthian horsemen could not think of undertaking a siege of Carrhae. But the Romans soon voluntarily departed, whether compelled by want of provisions, or in consequence of the desponding precipitation of their commander-in-chief, whom the soldiers had vainly attempted to remove from the command and to replace by Cassius. They moved in the direction of the Armenian mountains; marching by night and resting by day Octavius with a band of 5000 men reached the fortress of Sinnaca, which was only a day's march distant from the heights that would give shelter, and liberated even at the peril of his own life the commander-in-chief, whom the guide had led astray and given up to the enemy. Then the vizier rode in front of the Roman camp to offer, in the name of his king, peace and friendship to the Romans, and to propose a personal conference between the two generals. The Roman army, demoralized as it

was, adjured and indeed compelled its leader to accept the offer. The vizier received the consular and his staff with the usual honours, and offered anew to conclude a compact of friendship; only, with just bitterness recalling the fate of the agreements concluded with Lucullus and Pompeius respecting the Euphrates boundary (iv. 434), he demanded that it should be immediately reduced to writing. A richly adorned horse was produced; it was a present from the king to the Roman commander-in-chief; the servants of the vizier crowded round Crassus, zealous to mount him on the steed. It seemed to the Roman officers as if there was a design to seize the person of the commander-in-chief; Octavius, unarmed as he was, pulled the sword of one of the Parthians from its sheath and stabbed the groom. In the tumult which thereupon arose, the Roman officers were all put to death; the gray-haired commander-in-chief also, like his grand-uncle (iii. 279), was unwilling to serve as a living trophy to the enemy, and sought and found death. The multitude left behind in the camp without a leader were partly taken prisoners, partly dispersed. What the day of Carrhae had begun, the day of Sinnaca completed (June 9, 701); the two took their place side by side with 53. the days of the Allia, of Cannae, and of Arausio. The army of the Euphrates was no more. Only the squadron of Gaius Cassius, which had been broken off from the main army on the retreat from Carrhae, and some other scattered bands and isolated fugitives succeeded in escaping from the Parthians and Bedouins and separately finding their way back to Syria. Of above 40,000 Roman legionaries, who had crossed the Euphrates, not a fourth part returned; the half had perished; nearly 10,000 Roman prisoners were settled by the victors in the extreme east of their kingdom—in the oasis of Merv—as bondsmen compelled after the Parthian fashion to render military service. For the first time since the eagles had headed

the legions, they had become in the same year trophies of victory in the hands of foreign nations, almost contemporaneously of a German tribe in the west (p. 69) and of the Parthians in the east. As to the impression which the defeat of the Romans produced in the east, unfortunately no adequate information has reached us ; but it must have been deep and lasting. King Orodes was just celebrating the marriage of his son Pacorus with the sister of his new ally, Artavasdes the king of Armenia, when the announcement of the victory of his vizier arrived, and along with it, according to Oriental usage, the cut-off head of Crassus. The tables were already removed; one of the wandering companies of actors from Asia Minor, numbers of which at that time existed and carried Hellenic poetry and the Hellenic drama far into the east, was just performing before the assembled court the *Bacchae* of Euripides. The actor playing the part of Agave, who in her Dionysiac frenzy has torn in pieces her son and returns from Cithaeron carrying his head on the thyrsus, exchanged this for the bloody head of Crassus, and to the infinite delight of his audience of half-Hellenized barbarians began afresh the well-known song:

φέρομεν ἐξ ὕβρεος
 ἔλκεα νεότομον ἐπὶ μέλαθρα
 μακαρίαν θήραν.

It was, since the times of the Achaemenids, the first serious victory which the Orientals had achieved over the west; and there was a deep significance in the fact that, by way of celebrating this victory, the fairest product of the western world—Greek tragedy—parodied itself through its degenerate representatives in that hideous burlesque. The civic spirit of Rome and the genius of Hellas began simultaneously to accommodate themselves to the chains of sul-tanism.

The disaster, terrible in itself, seemed also as though it

was to be dreadful in its consequences, and to shake the foundations of the Roman power in the east. It was among the least of its results, that the Parthians now had absolute sway beyond the Euphrates; that Armenia, after having fallen away from the Roman alliance even before the disaster of Crassus, was reduced by it into entire dependence on Parthia; that the faithful citizens of Carrhae were bitterly punished for their adherence to the Occidentals by the new master appointed over them by the Parthians, one of the treacherous guides of the Romans, named Andromachus. The Parthians now prepared in all earnest to cross the Euphrates in their turn, and, in union with the Armenians and Arabs, to dislodge the Romans from Syria. The Jews and various other Occidentals awaited emancipation from the Roman rule there, no less impatiently than the Hellenes beyond the Euphrates awaited relief from the Parthian; in Rome civil war was at the door; an attack at this particular place and time was a grave peril. But fortunately for Rome the leaders on each side had changed. Sultan Orodes was too much indebted to the heroic prince, who had first placed the crown on his head and then cleared the land from the enemy, not to get rid of him as soon as possible by the executioner. His place as commander-in-chief of the invading army destined for Syria was filled by a prince, the king's son Pacorus, with whom on account of his youth and inexperience the prince Osaces had to be associated as military adviser. On the other side the *interim* command in Syria in room of Crassus was taken up by the prudent and resolute quaestor Gaius Cassius.

Consequences of the defeat.

The Parthians were, just like Crassus formerly, in no haste to attack, but during the years 701 and 702 sent only weak flying bands, who were easily repulsed, across the Euphrates; so that Cassius obtained time to reorganize the army in some measure, and with the help of the faithful

Repulse of the Parthians.
53, 62.

adherent of the Romans, Herodes Antipater, to reduce to obedience the Jews, whom resentment at the spoliation of the temple perpetrated by Crassus had already driven to arms. The Roman government would thus have had full time to send fresh troops for the defence of the threatened frontier; but this was left undone amidst the convulsions of

61. the incipient revolution, and, when at length in 703 the great Parthian invading army appeared on the Euphrates, Cassius had still nothing to oppose to it but the two weak legions formed from the remains of the army of Crassus. Of course with these he could neither prevent the crossing nor defend the province. Syria was overrun by the Parthians, and all Western Asia trembled. But the Parthians did not understand the besieging of towns. They not only retreated from Antioch, into which Cassius had thrown himself with his troops, without having accomplished their object, but they were on their retreat along the Orontes allured into an ambush by Cassius' cavalry and there severely handled by the Roman infantry ; prince Osaces was himself among the slain. Friend and foe thus perceived that the Parthian army under an ordinary general and on ordinary ground was not capable of much more than any other Oriental army. However, the attack was not abandoned.
- 51-60. Still during the winter of 703—704 Pacorus lay encamped in Cyrrhestica on this side of the Euphrates; and the new governor of Syria, Marcus Bibulus, as wretched a general as he was an incapable statesman, knew no better course of action than to shut himself up in his fortresses. It was generally expected that the war would break out in 704 with renewed fury. But instead of turning his arms against the Romans, Pacorus turned against his own father, and accordingly even entered into an understanding with the Roman governor. Thus the stain was not wiped from the shield of Roman honour, nor was the reputation of Rome restored in the east; but the Parthian invasion of Western

Asia was over, and the Euphrates boundary was, for the time being at least, retained.

In Rome meanwhile the periodical volcano of revolution was whirling upward its clouds of stupefying smoke. The Romans began to have no longer a soldier or a denarius to be employed against the public foe—no longer a thought for the destinies of the nations. It is one of the most dreadful signs of the times, that the huge national disaster of Carrhae and Sinnaca gave the politicians of that time far less to think and speak of than that wretched tumult on the Appian road, in which, a couple of months after Crassus, Clodius the partisan-leader perished; but it is easily conceivable and almost excusable. The breach between the two regents, long felt as inevitable and often announced as near, was now assuming such a shape that it could not be arrested. Like the boat of the ancient Greek mariners' tale, the vessel of the Roman community now found itself as it were between two rocks swimming towards each other; expecting every moment the crash of collision, those whom it was bearing, tortured by nameless anguish, into the eddying surge that rose higher and higher were benumbed; and, while every slightest movement there attracted a thousand eyes, no one ventured to give a glance to the right or the left.

After Caesar had, at the conference of Luca in April 698, agreed to considerable concessions as regarded Pompeius, and the regents had thus placed themselves substantially on a level, their relation was not without the outward conditions of durability, so far as a division of the monarchical power—in itself indivisible—could be lasting at all. It was a different question whether the regents, at least for the present, were determined to keep together and mutually to acknowledge without reserve their title to rank as equals. That this was the case with Caesar, in so far as he had acquired the interval necessary for the

**Impression
produced
in Rome by
the defeat
of Carrhae.**

**The good
under- [56.
standing
between
the regents
relaxed.**

conquest of Gaul at the price of equalization with Pompeius, has been already set forth. But Pompeius was hardly ever, even provisionally, in earnest with the collegiate scheme. His was one of those petty and mean natures, towards which it is dangerous to practise magnanimity; to his paltry spirit it appeared certainly a dictate of prudence to supplant at the first opportunity his reluctantly acknowledged rival, and his mean soul thirsted after a possibility of retaliating on Caesar for the humiliation which he had suffered through Caesars indulgence. But while it is probable that Pompeius in accordance with his dull and sluggish nature never properly consented to let Caesar hold a position of equality by his side, yet the design of breaking up the alliance doubtless came only by degrees to be distinctly entertained by him. At any rate the public, which usually saw better through the views and intentions of Pompeius than he did himself, could not be mistaken in thinking that at least with the death of the beautiful Julia—who died in the bloom of womanhood in the
54 autumn of 700 and was soon followed by her only child to the tomb—the personal relation between her father and her husband was broken up. Caesar attempted to re-establish the ties of affinity which fate had severed; he asked for himself the hand of the only daughter of Pompeius, and offered Octavia, his sister's grand-daughter, who was now his nearest relative, in marriage to his fellow-regent; but Pompeius left his daughter to her existing husband Faustus Sulla the son of the regent, and he himself married the daughter of Quintus Metellus Scipio. The personal breach had unmistakeably begun, and it was Pompeius who drew back his hand. It was expected that a political breach would at once follow; but in this people were mistaken; in public affairs a collegiate understanding continued for a time to subsist. The reason was, that Caesar did not wish publicly to dissolve the relation before

the subjugation of Gaul was accomplished, and Pompeius did not wish to dissolve it before the governing authorities and Italy should be wholly reduced under his power by his investiture with the dictatorship. It is singular, but yet readily admits of explanation, that the regents under these circumstances supported each other; Pompeius after the disaster of Aduatua in the winter of 700 handed over 54. one of his Italian legions that were dismissed on furlough by way of loan to Caesar; on the other hand Caesar granted his consent and his moral support to Pompeius in the repressive measures which the latter took against the stubborn republican opposition.

It was only after Pompeius had in this way procured for himself at the beginning of 702 the undivided consulship and an influence in the capital thoroughly outweighing that of Caesar, and after all the men capable of arms in Italy had tendered their military oath to himself personally and in his name, that he formed the resolution to break as soon as possible formally with Caesar; and the design became distinctly enough apparent. That the judicial prosecution which took place after the tumult on the Appian Way lighted with unsparing severity precisely on the old democratic partisans of Caesar (p. 149), might perhaps pass as a mere awkwardness. That the new law against electioneering intrigues, which had retrospective effect as far as 684, included also the dubious proceedings at Caesar's candidature for the consulship (p. 146), might likewise be nothing more, although not a few Caesarians thought that they perceived in it a definite design. But people could no longer shut their eyes, however willing they might be to do so, when Pompeius did not select for his colleague in the consulship his former father-in-law Caesar, as was fitting in the circumstances of the case and was in many quarters demanded, but associated with himself a puppet wholly dependent on him in his new

Dictatorship of [52 Pompeius.

Covert attacks by Pompeius on Caesar.

70.

- father-in-law Scipio (p. 149); and still less, when Pompeius at the same time got the governorship of the two Spains
45. continued to him for five years more, that is to 709, and a considerable fixed sum appropriated from the state-chest for the payment of his troops, not only without stipulating for a like prolongation of command and a like grant of money to Caesar, but even while labouring ulteriorly to effect the recall of Caesar before the term formerly agreed on through the new regulations which were issued at the same time regarding the holding of the governorships. These encroachments were unmistakeably calculated to undermine Caesar's position and eventually to overthrow him. The moment could not be more favourable. Caesar had conceded so much to Pompeius at Luca, only because Crassus and his Syrian army would necessarily, in the event of any rupture with Pompeius, be thrown into Caesar's scale; for upon Crassus—who since the times of Sulla had been at the deepest enmity with Pompeius and almost as long politically and personally allied with Caesar, and who from his peculiar character at all events, if he could not himself be king of Rome, would have been content with being the new king's banker—Caesar could always reckon, and could have no apprehension at all of seeing Crassus confronting him as an ally
63. of his enemies. The catastrophe of June 701, by which army and general in Syria perished, was therefore a terribly severe blow also for Caesar. A few months later the national insurrection blazed up more violently than ever in Gaul, just when it had seemed completely subdued, and for the first time Caesar here encountered an equal opponent in the Arvernian king Vercingetorix. Once more fate had been working for Pompeius; Crassus was dead, all Gaul was in revolt, Pompeius was practically dictator of Rome and master of the senate. What might have happened, if he had now, instead of remotely in-

triguing against Caesar, summarily compelled the burgesses or the senate to recall Caesar at once from Gaul! But Pompeius never understood how to take advantage of fortune. He heralded the breach clearly enough; already in 702 his acts left no doubt about it, and in the spring 52. of 703 he openly expressed his purpose of breaking with 51. Caesar; but he did not break with him, and allowed the months to slip away unemployed.

But however Pompeius might delay, the crisis was incessantly urged on by the mere force of circumstances.

The old party names and the pretenders.

The impending war was not a struggle possibly between republic and monarchy—for that had been virtually decided years before—but a struggle between Pompeius and Caesar for the possession of the crown of Rome. But neither of the pretenders found his account in uttering the plain truth; he would have thereby driven all that very respectable portion of the burgesses, which desired the continuance of the republic and believed in its possibility, directly into the camp of his opponent. The old battle-cries raised by Gracchus and Drusus, Cinna and Sulla, used up and meaningless as they were, remained still good enough for watchwords in the struggle of the two generals contending for the sole rule; and, though for the moment both Pompeius and Caesar ranked themselves officially with the so-called popular party, it could not be for a moment doubtful that Caesar would inscribe on his banner the people and democratic progress, Pompeius the aristocracy and the legitimate constitution.

Caesar had no choice. He was from the outset and very earnestly a democrat; the monarchy as he understood it differed more outwardly than in reality from the Gracchan government of the people; and he was too magnanimous and too profound a statesman to conceal his colours and to fight under any other escutcheon than his own. The immediate advantage no doubt, which this

battle-cry brought to him, was trifling; it was confined mainly to the circumstance that he was thereby relieved from the inconvenience of directly naming the kingly office, and so alarming the mass of the lukewarm and his own adherents by that detested word. The democratic banner hardly yielded farther positive gain, since the ideals of Gracchus had been rendered infamous and ridiculous by Clodius; for where was there now—laying aside perhaps the Transpadanes—any class of any sort of importance, which would have been induced by the battle-cries of the democracy to take part in the struggle?

**The
aristocracy
and
Pompeius.**

This state of things would have decided the part of Pompeius in the impending struggle, even if apart from this it had not been self-evident that he could only enter into it as the general of the legitimate republic. Nature had destined him, if ever any one, to be a member of an aristocracy; and nothing but very accidental and very selfish motives had carried him over as a deserter from the aristocratic to the democratic camp. That he should now revert to his Sullan traditions, was not merely befitting in the case, but in every respect of essential advantage. Effete as was the democratic cry, the conservative cry could not but have the more potent effect, if it proceeded from the right man. Perhaps the majority, at any rate the flower of the burgesses, belonged to the constitutional party; and as respected its numerical and moral strength might well be called to interfere powerfully, perhaps decisively, in the impending struggle of the pretenders. It wanted nothing but a leader. Marcus Cato, its present head, did the duty, as he understood it, of its leader amidst daily peril to his life and perhaps without hope of success; his fidelity to duty deserves respect, but to be the last at a forlorn post is commendable in the soldier, not in the general. He had not the skill either to organize or to bring into action at the proper time the powerful reserve, which had sprung up

as it were spontaneously in Italy for the party of the overthrown government; and he had for good reasons never made any pretension to the military leadership, on which everything ultimately depended. If instead of this man, who knew not how to act either as party-chief or as general, a man of the political and military mark of Pompeius should raise the banner of the existing constitution, the municipals of Italy would necessarily flock towards it in crowds, that under it they might help to fight, if not indeed for the kingship of Pompeius, at any rate against the kingship of Caesar.

To this was added another consideration at least as important. It was characteristic of Pompeius, even when he had formed a resolve, not to be able to find his way to its execution. While he knew perhaps how to conduct war but certainly not how to declare it, the Catonian party, although assuredly unable to conduct it, was very able and above all very ready to supply grounds for the war against the monarchy on the point of being founded. According to the intention of Pompeius, while he kept himself aloof and in his peculiar way now talked as though he would immediately depart for his Spanish provinces, now made preparations as though he would set out to take over the command on the Euphrates, the legitimate governing board, namely the senate, were to break with Caesar, to declare war against him, and to entrust the conduct of it to Pompeius, who then, yielding to the general desire, was to come forward as the protector of the constitution against demagogico-monarchical plots, as an upright man and champion of the existing order of things against the profligates and anarchists, as the duly-installed general of the senate against the Emperor of the street, and so once more to save his country. Thus Pompeius gained by the alliance with the conservatives both a second army in addition to his personal adherents, and a suitable war-manifesto—advantages which

certainly were purchased at the high price of coalescing with those who were in principle opposed to him. Of the countless evils involved in this coalition, there was developed in the meantime only one—but that already a very grave one—that Pompeius surrendered the power of commencing hostilities against Caesar when and how he pleased, and in this decisive point made himself dependent on all the accidents and caprices of an aristocratic corporation.

**The re-
publicans.**

Thus the republican opposition, after having been for years obliged to rest content with the part of a mere spectator and having hardly ventured to whisper, was now brought back once more to the political stage by the impending rupture between the regents. It consisted primarily of the circle which rallied round Cato—those republicans who were resolved to venture on the struggle for the republic and against the monarchy under all circumstances, and the sooner the better. The pitiful issue of the attempt made in 698 (p. 128/) had taught them that they by themselves alone were not in a position either to conduct war or even to call it forth; it was known to every one that even in the senate, while the whole corporation with a few isolated exceptions was averse to monarchy, the majority would still only restore the oligarchic government if it might be restored without danger—in which case, doubtless, it had a good while to wait. In presence of the regents on the one hand, and on the other hand of this indolent majority, which desired peace above all things and at any price, and was averse to any decided action and most of all to a decided rupture with one or other of the regents, the only possible course for the Catonian party to obtain a restoration of the old rule lay in a coalition with the less dangerous of the rulers. If Pompeius acknowledged the oligarchic constitution and offered to fight for it against Caesar, the republican opposition might and must recognize him as its general, and in alliance with him compel the

timid majority to a declaration of war. That Pompeius was not quite in earnest with his fidelity to the constitution, could indeed escape nobody; but, undecided as he was in everything, he had by no means arrived like Caesar at a clear and firm conviction that it must be the first business of the new monarch to sweep off thoroughly and conclusively the oligarchic lumber. At any rate the war would train a really republican army and really republican generals; and, after the victory over Caesar, they might proceed with more favourable prospects to set aside not merely one of the monarchs, but the monarchy itself, which was in the course of formation. Desperate as was the cause of the oligarchy, the offer of Pompeius to become its ally was the most favourable arrangement possible for it.

The conclusion of the alliance between Pompeius and the Catonian party was effected with comparative rapidity. Already during the dictatorship of Pompeius a remarkable approximation had taken place between them. The whole behaviour of Pompeius in the Milonian crisis, his abrupt repulse of the mob that offered him the dictatorship, his distinct declaration that he would accept this office only from the senate, his unrelenting severity against disturbers of the peace of every sort and especially against the ultra-democrats, the surprising complaisance with which he treated Cato and those who shared his views, appeared as much calculated to gain the men of order as they were offensive to the democrat Caesar. On the other hand Cato and his followers, instead of combating with their wonted sternness the proposal to confer the dictatorship on Pompeius, had made it with immaterial alterations of form their own; Pompeius had received the undivided consulship primarily from the hands of Bibulus and Cato. While the Catonian party and Pompeius had thus at least a tacit understanding as early as the beginning of 702, the alliance might be held as formally concluded, when at the consular elections for

**Their
league with
Pompeius.**

703 there was elected not Cato himself indeed, but—along with an insignificant man belonging to the majority of the senate—one of the most decided adherents of Cato, Marcus Claudius Marcellus. Marcellus was no furious zealot and still less a genius, but a steadfast and strict aristocrat, just the right man to declare war if war was to be begun with Caesar. As the case stood, this election, so surprising after the repressive measures adopted immediately before against the republican opposition, can hardly have occurred otherwise than with the consent, or at least under the tacit permission, of the regent of Rome for the time being. Slowly and clumsily, as was his wont, but steadily Pompeius moved onward to the rupture.

**Passive
resistance
of Caesar.**

It was not the intention of Caesar on the other hand to fall out at this moment with Pompeius. He could not indeed desire seriously and permanently to share the ruling power with any colleague, least of all with one of so secondary a sort as was Pompeius; and beyond doubt he had long resolved after terminating the conquest of Gaul to take the sole power for himself, and in case of need to extort it by force of arms. But a man like Caesar, in whom the officer was thoroughly subordinate to the statesman, could not fail to perceive that the regulation of the political organism by force of arms does in its consequences deeply and often permanently disorganize it; and therefore he could not but seek to solve the difficulty, if at all possible, by peaceful means or at least without open civil war. But even if civil war was not to be avoided, he could not desire to be driven to it at a time, when in Gaul the rising of Vercingetorix imperilled afresh all that had been obtained and occupied him without interruption from the winter of 701-702 to the winter of 702-703, and when Pompeius and the constitutional party opposed to him on principle were dominant in Italy. Accordingly he sought to preserve the relation with Pompeius and thereby the peace unbroken,

53-52.
52-51.

and to attain, if at all possible, by peaceful means to the consulship for 706 already assured to him at Luca. If he should then after a conclusive settlement of Celtic affairs be placed in a regular manner at the head of the state, he, who was still more decidedly superior to Pompeius as a statesman than as a general, might well reckon on outmanoeuvring the latter in the senate-house and in the Forum without special difficulty. Perhaps it was possible to find out for his awkward, vacillating, and arrogant rival some sort of honourable and influential position, in which the latter might be content to sink into a nullity; the repeated attempts of Caesar to keep himself related by marriage to Pompeius, may have been designed to pave the way for such a solution and to bring about a final settlement of the old quarrel through the succession of offspring inheriting the blood of both competitors. The republican opposition would then remain without a leader and therefore probably quiet, and peace would be preserved. If this should not be successful, and if there should be, as was certainly possible, a necessity for ultimately resorting to the decision of arms, Caesar would then as consul in Rome dispose of the compliant majority of the senate; and he could impede or perhaps frustrate the coalition of the Pompeians and the republicans, and conduct the war far more suitably and more advantageously, than if he now as proconsul of Gaul gave orders to march against the senate and its general. Certainly the success of this plan depended on Pompeius being good-natured enough to let Caesar still obtain the consulship for 706 assured to him at Luca; but, even if it failed, it would be always of advantage for Caesar to have given practical and repeated evidence of the most yielding disposition. On the one hand time would thus be gained for attaining his object meanwhile in Gaul; on the other hand his opponents would be left with the odium of initiating the rupture and consequently the

48.

48

civil war—which was of the utmost moment for Caesar with reference to the majority of the senate and the party of material interests, and more especially with reference to his own soldiers.

- On these views he acted. He armed certainly; the number of his legions was raised through new levies in the winter of 702—703 to eleven, including that borrowed from Pompeius. But at the same time he expressly and openly approved of Pompeius' conduct during the dictatorship and the restoration of order in the capital which he had effected, rejected the warnings of officious friends as calumnies, reckoned every day by which he succeeded in postponing the catastrophe a gain, overlooked whatever could be overlooked and bore whatever could be borne—immoveably adhering only to the one decisive demand that, when his governorship of Gaul came to an end with 705, the second consulship, admissible by republican state-law and promised to him according to agreement by his colleague, should be granted to him for the year 706.

Preparation for attacks on Caesar.

- This very demand became the battle-field of the diplomatic war which now began. If Caesar were compelled either to resign his office of governor before the last day of December 705, or to postpone the assumption of the magistracy in the capital beyond the 1st January 706, so that he should remain for a time between the governorship and the consulate without office, and consequently liable to criminal impeachment—which according to Roman law was only allowable against one who was not in office—the public had good reason to prophesy for him in this case the fate of Milo, because Cato had for long been ready to impeach him and Pompeius was a more than doubtful protector.

Attempt to keep Caesar out of the consulship.

Now, to attain that object, Caesar's opponents had a very simple means. According to the existing ordinance as to elections, every candidate for the consulship was

obliged to announce himself personally to the presiding magistrate, and to cause his name to be inscribed on the official list of candidates before the election, that is half a year before entering on office. It had probably been regarded in the conferences at Luca as a matter of course that Caesar would be released from this obligation, which was purely formal and was very often dispensed with; but the decree to that effect had not yet been issued, and, as Pompeius was now in possession of the decreative machinery, Caesar depended in this respect on the good will of his rival. Pompeius incomprehensibly abandoned of his own accord this completely secure position; with his consent and during his dictatorship (702) the personal appearance of Caesar was dispensed with by a tribunician law. When however soon afterwards the new election-ordinance (p. 146) was issued, the obligation of candidates personally to enrol themselves was repeated in general terms, and no sort of exception was added in favour of those released from it by earlier resolutions of the people; according to strict form the privilege granted in favour of Caesar was cancelled by the later general law. Caesar complained, and the clause was subsequently appended but not confirmed by special decree of the people, so that this enactment inserted by mere interpolation in the already promulgated law could only be looked on *de jure* as a nullity. Where Pompeius, therefore, might have simply kept by the law, he had preferred first to make a spontaneous concession, then to recall it, and lastly to cloak this recall in a manner most disloyal.

While in this way the shortening of Caesar's governorship was only aimed at indirectly, the regulations issued at the same time as to the governorships sought the same object directly. The ten years for which the governorship had been secured to Caesar, in the last instance through the law proposed by Pompeius himself in concert with

Attempt to shorten Caesar's governorship.

- Crassus, ran according to the usual mode of reckoning
59. 49. from i March 695 to the last day of February 705. As, however, according to the earlier practice, the proconsul or propaetor had the right of entering on his provincial magistracy immediately after the termination of his consulship or praetorship, the successor of Caesar was to be
50. nominated, not from the urban magistrates of 704, but
49. from those of 705, and could not therefore enter before
48. 1st Jan. 706. So far Caesar had still during the last
49. ten months of the year 705 a right to the command, not on the ground of the Pompeio-Licinian law, but on the ground of the old rule that a command with a set term still continued after the expiry of the term up to the arrival of the successor. But now, since the new regulation
62. of 702 called to the governorships not the consuls and praetors going out, but those who had gone out *five* years ago or more, and thus prescribed an interval between the civil magistracy and the command instead of the previous immediate sequence, there was no longer any difficulty in straightway filling up from another quarter every legally vacant governorship, and so, in the case in question, bringing about for the Gallic provinces the
49. change of command on the 1st March 705, instead of the
48. 1st Jan. 706. The pitiful dissimulation and procrastinating artifice of Pompeius are after a remarkable manner mixed up, in these arrangements, with the wily formalism and the constitutional erudition of the republican party. Years before these weapons of state-law could be employed, they had them duly prepared, and put themselves in a condition on the one hand to compel Caesar to the resignation of his command from the day when the term secured to him by Pompeius' own law expired, that is from the 1st
49. March 705, by sending successors to him, and on the other hand to be able to treat as null and void the votes
48. tendered **for** him at the elections for 706. Caesar, not

in a position to hinder these moves in the game, kept silence and left things to their own course.

Gradually therefore the slow course of constitutional procedure developed itself. According to custom the senate had to deliberate on the governorships of the year 705, so far as they went to former consuls, at the beginning 49. of 703, so far as they went to former praetors, at the 51. beginning of 704; that earlier deliberation gave the first 50. occasion to discuss the nomination of new governors for the two Gauls in the senate, and thereby the first occasion for open collision between the constitutional party pushed forward by Pompeius and the senatorial supporters of Caesar. The consul Marcus Marcellus introduced a proposal to give the two provinces hitherto administered by the proconsul Gaius Caesar from the 1st March 705 49. to the two consulars who were to be provided with governorships for that year. The long-repressed indignation burst forth in a torrent through the sluice once opened; everything that the Catonians were meditating against Caesar was brought forward in these discussions. For them it was a settled point, that the right granted by exceptional law to the proconsul Caesar of announcing his candidature for the consulship in absence had been again cancelled by a subsequent decree of the people, and that the reservation inserted in the latter was invalid. The senate should in their opinion cause this magistrate, now that the subjugation of Gaul was ended, to discharge immediately the soldiers who had served out their time. The cases in which Caesar had bestowed burgess-rights and established colonies in Upper Italy were described by them as unconstitutional and null; in further illustration of which Marcellus ordained that a respected senator of the Caesarian colony of Comum, who, even if that place had not burgess but only Latin rights, was entitled to lay claim to Roman citizenship (p. 132), should receive the

**Debates as
to Caesar's
recall**

punishment of scourging, which was admissible only in the case of non-burgesses.

The supporters of Caesar at this time—among whom Gaius Vibius Pansa, who was the son of a man proscribed by Sulla but yet had entered on a political career, formerly an officer in Caesar's army and in this year tribune of the people, was the most notable—affirmed in the senate that both the state of things in Gaul and equity demanded not only that Caesar should not be recalled before the time, but that he should be allowed to retain the command along with the consulship; and they pointed beyond doubt to the facts, that a few years previously Pompeius had just in the same way combined the Spanish governorships with the consulate, that even at the present time, besides the important office of superintending the supply of food to the capital, he held the supreme command in Italy in addition to the Spanish, and that in fact the whole men capable of arms had been sworn in by him and had not yet been released from their oath.

The process began to take shape, but its course was not on that account more rapid. The majority of the senate, seeing the breach approaching, allowed no sitting capable of issuing a decree to take place for months; and other months in their turn were lost over the solemn procrastination of Pompeius. At length the latter broke the silence and ranged himself, in a reserved and vacillating fashion as usual but yet plainly enough, on the side of the constitutional party against his former ally. He summarily and abruptly rejected the demand of the Caesarians that their master should be allowed to conjoin the consulship and the proconsulship; this demand, he added with blunt coarseness, seemed to him no better than if a son should offer to flog his father. He approved in principle the proposal of Marcellus, in so far as he too declared that he would not allow Caesar directly to attach the consulship to the pro-

consulship. He hinted, however, although without making any binding declaration on the point, that they would perhaps grant to Caesar admission to the elections for 706 48. without requiring his personal announcement, as well as the continuance of his governorship at the utmost to the 13th Nov. 705. But in the meantime the incorrigible pro- 49. crastinator consented to the postponement of the nomination of successors to the last day of Feb. 704, which was asked 60. by the representatives of Caesar, probably on the ground of a clause of the Pompeio-Licinian law forbidding any discussion in the senate as to the nomination of successors before the beginning of Caesar's last year of office.

In this sense accordingly the decrees of the senate were issued (29 Sept. 703). The filling up of the Gallic 51. governorships was placed in the order of the day for the 1st March 704; but even now it was attempted to break up 50. the army of Caesar—just as had formerly been done by decree of the people with the army of Lucullus (iv. 349, 387)—by inducing his veterans to apply to the senate for their discharge. Caesar's supporters effected, indeed, as far as they constitutionally could, the cancelling of these decrees by their tribunician veto; but Pompeius very distinctly declared that the magistrates were bound unconditionally to obey the senate, and that intercessions and similar antiquated formalities would produce no change. The oligarchical party, whose organ Pompeius now made himself, betrayed not obscurely the design, in the event of a victory, of revising the constitution in their sense and removing everything which had even the semblance of popular freedom; as indeed, doubtless for this reason, it omitted to avail itself of the comitia at all in its attacks directed against Caesar. The coalition between Pompeius and the constitutional party was thus formally declared; sentence too was already evidently passed on Caesar, and

the term of its promulgation was simply postponed. The elections for the following year proved thoroughly adverse to him.

Counter-
arrange-
ments of
Caesar.

- During these party manoeuvres of his antagonists preparatory to war, Caesar had succeeded in getting rid of the Gallic insurrection and restoring the state of peace in the
61. whole subject territory. As early as the summer of 703, under the convenient pretext of defending the frontier (p. 103) but evidently in token of the fact that the legions in Gaul were now beginning to be no longer needed there, he moved one of them to North Italy. He could not avoid perceiving now at any rate, if not earlier, that he would not be spared the necessity of drawing the sword against his fellow-citizens; nevertheless, as it was highly desirable to leave the legions still for a time in the barely pacified Gaul, he sought even yet to procrastinate, and, well acquainted with the extreme love of peace in the majority of the senate, did not abandon the hope of still restraining them from the declaration of war in spite of the pressure exercised over them by Pompeius. He did not even hesitate to make great sacrifices, if only he might avoid for the present open variance with the supreme governing board. When the
50. senate (in the spring of 704) at the suggestion of Pompeius requested both him and Caesar to furnish each a legion for the impending Parthian war (p. 167) and when agreeably to this resolution Pompeius demanded back from Caesar the legion lent to him some years before, so as to send it to Syria, Caesar complied with the double demand, because neither the opportuneness of this decree of the senate nor the justice of the demand of Pompeius could in themselves be disputed, and the keeping within the bounds of the law and of formal loyalty was of more consequence to Caesar than a few thousand soldiers. The two legions came without delay and placed themselves at the disposal of the government, but instead of sending them to the Euphrates, the

latter kept them at Capua in readiness for Pompeius; and the public had once more the opportunity of comparing the manifest endeavours of Caesar to avoid a rupture with the perfidious preparation for war by his opponents.

For the discussions with the senate Caesar had succeeded **Curio.** in purchasing not only one of the two consuls of the year, Lucius Aemilius Paullus, but above all the tribune of the people Gaius Curio, probably the most eminent among the many profligate men of parts in this epoch;¹ unsurpassed in refined elegance, in fluent and clever oratory, in dexterity of intrigue, and in that energy which in the case of vigorous but vicious characters bestirs itself only the more powerfully amid the pauses of idleness; but also unsurpassed in his dissolute life, in his talent for borrowing—his debts were estimated at 60,000,000 sesterces (£600,000)—and in his moral and political want of principle. He had previously offered himself to be bought by Caesar and had been rejected; the talent, which he thenceforward displayed in his attacks on Caesar, induced the latter subsequently to buy him up—the price was high, but the commodity was worth the money.

Curio had in the first months of his tribunate of the people played the independent republican, and had as such thundered both against Caesar and against Pompeius. He availed himself with rare skill of the apparently impartial position which this gave him, when in March 704 the **Debates as to the recall of Caesar and Pompeius.** 50. proposal as to the filling up of the Gallic governorships for the next year came up afresh for discussion in the senate; he completely approved the decree, but asked that it should be at the same time extended to Pompeius and his extraordinary commands. His arguments—that a constitutional state of things could only be brought about by the removal of all exceptional positions, that Pompeius as merely entrusted by the senate with the proconsulship could still less

¹ *Homo ingeniosissime nequam* (Vellei. li. 48).

than Caesar refuse obedience to it, that the one-sided removal of one of the two generals would only increase the danger to the constitution—carried complete conviction to superficial politicians and to the public at large; and the declaration of Curio, that he intended to prevent any one-sided proceedings against Caesar by the veto constitutionally belonging to him, met with much approval in and out of the senate. Caesar declared his consent at once to Curio's proposal and offered to resign his governorship and command at any moment on the summons of the senate, provided Pompeius would do the same; he might safely do so, for Pompeius without his Italo-Spanish command was no longer formidable. Pompeius again for that very reason could not avoid refusing; his reply—that Caesar must first resign, and that he meant speedily to follow the example thus set—was the less satisfactory, that he did not even specify a definite term for his retirement. Again the decision was delayed for months; Pompeius and the Catonians, perceiving the dubious humour of the majority of the senate, did not venture to bring Curio's proposal to a vote. Caesar employed the summer in establishing the state of peace in the regions which he had conquered, in holding a great review of his troops on the Scheldt, and in making a triumphal march through the province of North Italy, which was entirely devoted to him; autumn found him in Ravenna, the southern frontier-town of his province.

Caesar and Pompeius both recalled.

The vote which could no longer be delayed on Curio's proposal at length took place, and exhibited the defeat of the party of Pompeius and Cato in all its extent. By 370 votes against 20 the senate resolved that the proconsuls of Spain and Gaul should both be called upon to resign their offices; and with boundless joy the good burgesses of Rome heard the glad news of the saving achievement of Curio. Pompeius was thus recalled by the senate no less

than Caesar, and while Caesar was ready to comply with the command, Pompeius positively refused obedience. The presiding consul Gaius Marcellus, cousin of Marcus Marcellus and like the latter belonging to the Catonian party, addressed a severe lecture to the servile majority; and it was, no doubt, vexatious to be thus beaten in their own camp and beaten by means of a phalanx of poltroons. But where was victory to come from under a leader, who, instead of shortly and distinctly dictating his orders to the senators, resorted in his old days a second time to the instructions of a professor of rhetoric, that with eloquence polished up afresh he might encounter the youthful vigour and brilliant talents of Curio?

The coalition, defeated in the senate, was in the most painful position. The Catonian section had undertaken to push matters to a rupture and to carry the senate along with them, and now saw their vessel stranded after a most vexatious manner on the sandbanks of the indolent majority. Their leaders had to listen in their conferences to the bitterest reproaches from Pompeius; he pointed out emphatically and with entire justice the dangers of the seeming peace; and, though it depended on himself alone to cut the knot by rapid action, his allies knew very well that they could never expect this from him, and that it was for them, as they had promised, to bring matters to a crisis. After the champions of the constitution and of senatorial government had already declared the constitutional rights of the burgesses and of the tribunes of the people to be meaningless formalities (p. 181), they now found themselves driven by necessity to treat the constitutional decisions of the senate itself in a similar manner and, as the legitimate government would not let itself be saved with its own consent, to save it against its will. This was neither new nor accidental; Sulla (iv. 97) and Lucullus (iv. 335) had been obliged to carry every energetic resolu-

**Declara-
tion of war**

tion conceived by them in the true interest of the government with a high hand irrespective of it, just as Cato and his friends now proposed to do; the machinery of the constitution was in fact utterly effete, and the senate was now—as the comitia had been for centuries—nothing but a worn-out wheel slipping constantly out of its track.

50. It was rumoured (Oct. 704) that Caesar had moved four legions from Transalpine into Cisalpine Gaul and stationed them at Placentia. This transference of troops was of itself within the prerogative of the governor; Curio moreover palpably showed in the senate the utter groundlessness of the rumour; and they by a majority rejected the proposal of the consul Gaius Marcellus to give Pompeius on the strength of it orders to march against Caesar. Yet the said consul, in concert with the two consuls elected for
49. 705 who likewise belonged to the Catonian party, proceeded to Pompeius, and these three men by virtue of their own plenitude of power requested the general to put himself at the head of the two legions stationed at Capua, and to call the Italian militia to arms at his discretion. A more informal authorization for the commencement of a civil war can hardly be conceived; but people had no longer time to attend to such secondary matters; Pompeius accepted it. The military preparations, the levies began; in order personally to forward them, Pompeius left the capital in
50. December 704.

The
ultimatum
of Caesar.

Caesar had completely attained the object of devolving the initiative of civil war on his opponents. He had, while himself keeping on legal ground, compelled Pompeius to declare war, and to declare it not as representative of the legitimate authority, but as general of an openly revolutionary minority of the senate which overawed the majority. This result was not to be reckoned of slight importance, although the instinct of the masses could not and did not deceive itself for a moment as to the fact that the war con-

cerned other things than questions of formal law. Now, when war was declared, it was Caesar's interest to strike a blow as soon as possible. The preparations of his opponents were just beginning, and even the capital was not occupied. In ten or twelve days an army three times as strong as the troops of Caesar that were in Upper Italy could be collected at Rome; but still it was not impossible to surprise the city undefended, or even perhaps by a rapid winter campaign to seize all Italy, and to shut off the best resources of his opponents before they could make them available. The sagacious and energetic Curio, who after resigning his tribunate (10 Dec. 704) had immediately 50. gone to Caesar at Ravenna, vividly represented the state of things to his master; and it hardly needed such a representation to convince Caesar that longer delay now could only be injurious. But, as he with the view of not giving his antagonists occasion to complain had hitherto brought no troops to Ravenna itself, he could for the present do nothing but despatch orders to his whole force to set out with all haste; and he had to wait till at least the one legion stationed in Upper Italy reached Ravenna. Meanwhile he sent an ultimatum to Rome, which, if useful for nothing else, by its extreme submissiveness still farther compromised his opponents in public opinion, and perhaps even, as he seemed himself to hesitate, induced them to prosecute more remissly their preparations against him. In this ultimatum Caesar dropped all the counter-demands which he formerly made on Pompeius, and offered on his own part both to resign the governorship of Transalpine Gaul, and to dismiss eight of the ten legions belonging to him, at the term fixed by the senate; he declared himself content, if the senate would leave him either the governorship of Cisalpine Gaul and Illyria with one, or that of Cisalpine Gaul alone with two, legions, not, forsooth, up to his investiture with **the** consulship, but till after the close of

48. the consular elections for 706. He thus consented to those proposals of accommodation, with which at the beginning of the discussions the senatorial party and even Pompeius himself had declared that they would be satisfied, and showed himself ready to remain in a private position from his election to the consulate down to his entering on office. Whether Caesar was in earnest with these astonishing concessions and had confidence that he should be able to carry through his game against Pompeius even after granting so much, or whether he reckoned that those on the other side had already gone too far to find in these proposals of compromise more than a proof that Caesar regarded his cause itself as lost, can no longer be with certainty determined. The probability is, that Caesar committed the fault of playing a too bold game, far rather than the worse fault of promising something which he was not minded to perform; and that, if strangely enough his proposals had been accepted, he would have made good his word.

Last
debate in
the senate.

- Curio undertook once more to represent his master in the lion's den. In three days he made the journey from Ravenna to Rome. When the new consuls Lucius Lentulus and Gaius Marcellus the younger¹ assembled the senate
49. for the first time on 1 Jan. 705, he delivered in a full meeting the letter addressed by the general to the senate. The tribunes of the people, Marcus Antonius well known in the chronicle of scandal of the city as the intimate friend of Curio and his accomplice in all his follies, but at the same time known from the Egyptian and Gallic campaigns as a brilliant cavalry officer, and Quintus Cassius, Pompeius' former quaestor,—the two, who were now in Curio's stead managing the cause of Caesar in Rome—insisted on the

50. ¹ To be distinguished from the consul having the same name of 704:
49. the latter was a cousin, the consul of 705 a brother, of the Marcus Mar-
51. cellus who was consul in 703.

immediate reading of the despatch. The grave and clear words in which Caesar set forth the imminence of civil war, the general wish for peace, the arrogance of Pompeius, and his own yielding disposition, with all the irresistible force of truth; the proposals for a compromise, of a moderation which doubtless surprised his own partisans; the distinct declaration that this was the last time that he should offer his hand for peace—made the deepest impression. In spite of the dread inspired by the numerous soldiers of Pompeius who flocked into the capital, the sentiment of the majority was not doubtful; the consuls could not venture to let it find expression. Respecting the proposal renewed by Caesar that both generals might be enjoined to resign their commands simultaneously, respecting all the projects of accommodation suggested by his letter, and respecting the proposal made by Marcus Coelius Rufus and Marcus Calpurnius that Pompeius should be urged immediately to depart for Spain, the consuls refused—as they in the capacity of presiding officers were entitled to do—to let a vote take place. Even the proposal of one of their most decided partisans who was simply not so blind to the military position of affairs as his party, Marcus Marcellus—to defer the determination till the Italian levy *en masse* could be under arms and could protect the senate—was not allowed to be brought to a vote. Pompeius caused it to be declared through his usual organ, Quintus Scipio, that he was resolved to take up the cause of the senate now or never, and that he would let it drop if they longer delayed. The consul Lentulus said in plain terms that even the decree of the senate was no longer of consequence, and that, if it should persevere in its servility, he would act of himself and with his powerful friends take the farther steps necessary. Thus overawed, the majority decreed what was commanded—that Caesar should at a definite and not distant day give up

Transalpine Gaul to Lucius Domitius Ahenobarbus, and Cisalpine Gaul to Marcus Servilius Nonianus, and should dismiss his army, failing which he should be esteemed a traitor. When the tribunes of Caesar's party made use of their right of veto against this resolution, not only were they, as they at least asserted, threatened in the senate-house itself by the swords of Pompeian soldiers, and forced, in order to save their lives, to flee in slaves' clothing from the capital; but the now sufficiently overawed senate treated their formally quite constitutional interference as an attempt at revolution, declared the country in danger, and in the usual forms called the whole burgesses to take up arms, and all magistrates faithful to the constitution to

49. place themselves at the head of the armed (7 Jan. 705).

Caesar
marches
into Italy.

Now it was enough. When Caesar was informed by the tribunes who had fled to his camp entreating protection as to the reception which his proposals had met with in the capital, he called together the soldiers of the thirteenth legion, which had meanwhile arrived from its cantonments near Tergeste (Trieste) at Ravenna, and unfolded before them the state of things. It was not merely the man of genius versed in the knowledge and skilled in the control of men's hearts, whose brilliant eloquence shone forth and glowed in this agitating crisis of his own and the world's destiny; nor merely the generous commander-in-chief and the victorious general, addressing soldiers, who had been called by himself to arms and for eight years had followed his banners with daily-increasing enthusiasm. There spoke, above all, the energetic and consistent statesman, who had now for nine-and-twenty years defended the cause of freedom in good and evil times; who had braved for it the daggers of assassins and the executioners of the aristocracy, the swords of the Germans and the waves of the unknown ocean, without ever yielding or wavering; who had torn to pieces the Sullan constitution,

had overthrown the rule of the senate, and had furnished the defenceless and unarmed democracy with protection and with arms by means of the struggle beyond the Alps. And he spoke, not to the Clodian public whose republican enthusiasm had been long burnt down to ashes and dross, but to the young men from the towns and villages of Northern Italy, who still felt freshly and purely the mighty influence of the thought of civic freedom ; who were still capable of fighting and of dying for ideals ; who had themselves received for their country in a revolutionary way from Caesar the burgess-rights which the government refused to them ; whom Caesar's fall would leave once more at the mercy of the *fascies*, and who already possessed practical proofs (p. 179f.) of the inexorable use which the oligarchy proposed to make of these against the Transpadanes. Such were the listeners before whom such an orator set forth the facts—the thanks for the conquest of Gaul which the nobility were preparing for the general and his army ; the contemptuous setting aside of the comitia ; the overawing of the senate ; the sacred duty of protecting with armed hand the tribunate of the people wrested five hundred years ago by their fathers arms in hand from the nobility, and of keeping the ancient oath which these had taken for themselves as for their children's children that they would man by man stand firm even to death for the tribunes of the people (i. 350). And then, when he—the leader and general of the popular party—summoned the soldiers of the people, now that conciliatory means had been exhausted and concession had reached its utmost limits, to follow him in the last, the inevitable, the decisive struggle against the equally hated and despised, equally perfidious and incapable, and in fact ludicrously incorrigible aristocracy—there was not an officer or a soldier who could hold back. The order was given for departure ; at the head of his vanguard Caesar crossed

the narrow brook which separated his province from Italy, and which the constitution forbade the proconsul of Gaul to pass. When after nine years' absence he trod once more the soil of his native land, he trod at the same time **the** path of revolution. " The die was cast."

CHAPTER X

BRUNDISIUM, ILERDA, PHARSALUS, AND THAPSUS

ARMS were thus to decide which of the two men who had hitherto jointly ruled Rome was now to be its first sole ruler. Let us see what were the comparative resources at the disposal of Caesar and Pompeius for the waging of the impending war.

Caesar's power rested primarily on the wholly unlimited authority which he enjoyed within his party. If the ideas of democracy and of monarchy met together in it, this was not the result of a coalition which had been accidentally entered into and might be accidentally dissolved ; on the contrary it was involved in the very essence of a democracy without a representative constitution, that democracy and monarchy should find in Caesar at once their highest and ultimate expression. In political as in military matters throughout the first and the final decision lay with Caesar. However high the honour in which he held any serviceable instrument, it remained an instrument still; Caesar stood in his own party without confederates, surrounded only by military-political adjutants, who as a rule had risen from the army and as soldiers were trained never to ask the reason and purpose of any thing, but unconditionally to obey. On this account especially, at the decisive moment when the civil war began, of all the officers and soldiers of Caesar one alone refused him obedience ; and the cir-

The resources on either side.

Caesar's absolute power within his party.

cumstance that that one was precisely the foremost of them all, serves simply to confirm this view of the relation of Caesar to his adherents.

Labienus.

Titus Labienus had shared with Caesar all the troubles of the dark times of Catilina (iv. 457) as well as all the lustre of the Gallic career of victory, had regularly held independent command, and frequently led half the army; as he was the oldest, ablest, and most faithful of Caesar's adjutants, he was beyond question also highest in position and highest in honour. As late as in 704 Caesar had entrusted to him the supreme command in Cisalpine Gaul, in order partly to put this confidential post into safe hands, partly to forward the views of Labienus in his canvass for the consulship. But from this very position Labienus entered into communication with the opposite party, resorted at the beginning of hostilities in 705 to the headquarters of Pompeius instead of those of Caesar, and fought through the whole civil strife with unparalleled bitterness against his old friend and master in war. We are not sufficiently informed either as to the character of Labienus or as to the special circumstances of his changing sides; but in the main his case certainly presents nothing but a further proof of the fact, that a military chief can reckon far more surely on his captains than on his marshals. To all appearance Labienus was one of those persons who combine with military efficiency utter incapacity as statesmen, and who in consequence, if they unhappily choose or are compelled to take part in politics, are exposed to those strange paroxysms of giddiness, of which the history of Napoleon's marshals supplies so many tragi-comic examples. He may probably have held himself entitled to rank alongside of Caesar as the second chief of the democracy; and the rejection of this claim of his may have sent him over to the camp of his opponents. His case rendered for the first time apparent

the whole gravity of the evil, that Caesar's treatment of his officers as adjutants without independence admitted of the rise of no men fitted to undertake a separate command in his camp, while at the same time he stood urgently in need of such men amidst the diffusion—which might easily be foreseen—of the impending struggle through all the provinces of the wide empire. But this disadvantage was far outweighed by that unity in the supreme leadership, which was the primary condition of all success, and a condition only to be preserved at such a cost.

This unity of leadership acquired its full power through the efficiency of its instruments. Here the army comes, first of all, into view. It still numbered nine legions of infantry or at the most 50,000 men, all of whom however had faced the enemy and two-thirds had served in all the campaigns against the Celts. The cavalry consisted of German and Noric mercenaries, whose usefulness and trustworthiness had been proved in the war against Vercingetorix. The eight years' warfare, full of varied vicissitudes, against the Celtic nation—which was brave, although in a military point of view decidedly inferior to the Italian—had given Caesar the opportunity of organizing his army as he alone knew how to organize it. The whole efficiency of the soldier presupposes physical vigour; in Caesar's levies more regard was had to the strength and activity of the recruits than to their means or their morals. But the serviceableness of an army, like that of any other machine, depends above all on the ease and quickness of its movements; the soldiers of Caesar attained a perfection rarely reached and probably never surpassed in their readiness for immediate departure at any time, and in the rapidity of their marching. Courage, of course, was valued above everything; Caesar practised with unrivalled mastery the art of stimulating martial emulation and the *esprit de corps*, so that the pre-

Caesar's
army.

eminence accorded to particular soldiers and divisions appeared even to those who were postponed as the necessary hierarchy of valour. He weaned his men from fear by not unfrequently—where it could be done without serious danger—keeping his soldiers in ignorance of an approaching conflict, and allowing them to encounter the enemy unexpectedly. But obedience was on a parity with valour. The soldier was required to do what he was bidden, without asking the reason or the object; many an aimless fatigue was imposed on him solely as a training in the difficult art of blind obedience. The discipline was strict but not harassing; it was exercised with unrelenting vigour when the soldier was in presence of the enemy; at other times, especially after victory, the reins were relaxed, and if an otherwise efficient soldier was then pleased to indulge in perfumery or to deck himself with elegant arms and the like, or even if he allowed himself to be guilty of outrages or irregularities of a very questionable kind, provided only his military duties were not immediately affected, the foolery and the crime were allowed to pass, and the general lent a deaf ear to the complaints of the provincials on such points. Mutiny on the other hand was never pardoned, either in the instigators, or even in the guilty corps itself.

But the true soldier ought to be not merely capable, brave, and obedient, he ought to be all this willingly and spontaneously; and it is the privilege of gifted natures alone to induce the animated machine which they govern to a joyful service by means of example and of hope, and especially by the consciousness of being turned to befitting use. As the officer, who would demand valour from his troops, must himself have looked danger in the face with them, Caesar had even when general found opportunity of drawing his sword and had then used it like the best; in activity, moreover, and fatigue he was constantly far more exacting from himself than from his soldiers. Caesar took

care that victory, which primarily no doubt brings gain to the general, should be associated also with personal hopes in the minds of the soldiers. We have already mentioned that he knew how to render his soldiers enthusiastic for the cause of the democracy, so far as the times which had become prosaic still admitted of enthusiasm, and that the political equalization of the Transpadane country—the native land of most of his soldiers—with Italy proper was set forth as one of the objects of the struggle (iv. 457). Of course material recompenses were at the same time not wanting—as well special rewards for distinguished feats of arms as general rewards for every efficient soldier; the officers had their portions, the soldiers received presents, and the most lavish gifts were placed in prospect for the triumph.

Above all things Caesar as a true commander understood how to awaken in every single component element, large or small, of the mighty machine the consciousness of its befitting application. The ordinary man is destined for service, and he has no objection to be an instrument, if he feels that a master guides him. Everywhere and at all times the eagle eye of the general rested on the whole army, rewarding and punishing with impartial justice, and directing the action of each towards the course conducive to the good of all: so that there was no experimenting or trifling with the sweat and blood of the humblest, but for that very reason, where it was necessary, unconditional devotion even to death was required. Without allowing each individual to see into the whole springs of action, Caesar yet allowed each to catch such glimpses of the political and military connection of things as to secure that he should be recognized—and it may be idealized—by the soldiers as a statesman and a general. He treated his soldiers throughout, not as his equals, but as men who are entitled to demand and were able to

endure the truth, and who had to put faith in the promises and the assurances of their general, without thinking of deception or listening to rumours; as comrades through long years in warfare and victory, among whom there was hardly any one that was not known to him by name and that in the course of so many campaigns had not formed more or less of a personal relation to the general; as good companions, with whom he talked and dealt confidentially and with the cheerful elasticity peculiar to him; as clients, to requite whose services, and to avenge whose wrongs and death, constituted in his view a sacred duty. Perhaps there never was an army which was so perfectly what an army ought to be—a machine able for its ends and willing for its ends, in the hand of a master, who transfers to it his own elasticity. Caesar's soldiers were, and felt themselves, a match for a tenfold superior force; in connection with which it should not be overlooked, that under the Roman tactics—calculated altogether for hand-to-hand conflict and especially for combat with the sword—the practised Roman soldier was superior to the novice in a far higher degree than is now the case under the circumstances of modern times.¹ But still more than by the superiority of valour the adversaries of Caesar felt themselves humbled by the unchangeable and touching fidelity with which his soldiers clung to their general. It is perhaps without a parallel in history, that when the general summoned his soldiers to follow him into the civil war,

¹ A centurion of Caesar's tenth legion, taken prisoner, declared to the commander-in-chief of the enemy that he was ready with ten of his men to make head against the best cohort of the enemy (500 men; *Bell. Afric.* 45). "In the ancient mode of fighting," to quote the opinion of Napoleon I., "a battle consisted simply of duels; what was only correct in the mouth of that centurion, would be mere boasting in the mouth of the modern soldier." Vivid proofs of the soldierly spirit that pervaded Caesar's army are furnished by the Reports—appended to his Memoirs—respecting the African and the second Spanish wars, of which the former appears to have had as its author an officer of the second rank, while the latter is in every respect a subaltern camp-journal

with the single exception already mentioned of Labienus, no Roman officer and no Roman soldier deserted him. The hopes of his opponents as to an extensive desertion were thwarted as ignominiously as the former attempts to break up his army like that of Lucullus (p. 181). Labienus himself appeared in the camp of Pompeius with a band doubtless of Celtic and German horsemen but without a single legionary. Indeed the soldiers, as if they would show that the war was quite as much their matter as that of their general, settled among themselves that they would give credit for the pay, which Caesar had promised to double for them at the outbreak of the civil war, to their commander up to its termination, and would meanwhile support their poorer comrades from the general means; besides, every subaltern officer equipped and paid a trooper out of his own purse.

While Caesar thus had the one thing which was need-
ful—unlimited political and military authority and a trust-
worthy army ready for the fight—his power extended,
comparatively speaking, over only a very limited space.
It was based essentially on the province of Upper Italy.
This region was not merely the most populous of all the
districts of Italy, but also devoted to the cause of the
democracy as its own. The feeling which prevailed there
is shown by the conduct of a division of recruits from
Opitergium (Oderzo in the delegation of Treviso), which
not long after the outbreak of the war in the Illyrian
waters, surrounded on a wretched raft by the war-vessels of
the enemy, allowed themselves to be shot at during the
whole day down to sunset without surrendering, and, such
of them as had escaped the missiles, put themselves to
death with their own hands during the following night.
It is easy to conceive what might be expected of such a
population. As they had already granted to Caesar the
means of more than doubling his original army, so after

**Field of
Caesar's
power.**

**Upper
Italy.**

the outbreak of the civil war recruits presented themselves in great numbers for the ample levies that were immediately instituted.

Italy.

In Italy proper, on the other hand, the influence of Caesar was not even remotely to be compared to that of his opponents. Although he had the skill by dexterous manoeuvres to put the Catonian party in the wrong, and had sufficiently commended the rectitude of his cause to all who wished for a pretext with a good conscience either to remain neutral, like the majority of the senate, or to embrace his side, like his soldiers and the Transpadanes, the mass of the burgesses naturally did not allow themselves to be misled by these things and, when the commandant of Gaul put his legions in motion against Rome, they beheld—despite all formal explanations as to law—in Cato and Pompeius the defenders of the legitimate republic, in Caesar the democratic usurper. People in general moreover expected from the nephew of Marius, the son-in-law of Cinna, the ally of Catilina, a repetition of the Marian and Cinna horrors, a realization of the saturnalia of anarchy projected by Catilina; and though Caesar certainly gained allies through this expectation—so that the political refugees immediately put themselves in a body at his disposal, the ruined men saw in him their deliverer, and the lowest ranks of the rabble in the capital and country towns were thrown into a ferment on the news of his advance,—these belonged to the class of friends who are more dangerous than foes.

Provinces.

In the provinces and the dependent states Caesar had even less influence than in Italy. Transalpine Gaul indeed as far as the Rhine and the Channel obeyed him, and the colonists of Narbo as well as the Roman burgesses elsewhere settled in Gaul were devoted to him; but in the Narbonese province itself the constitutional party had numerous adherents, and now even the newly-conquered

regions were far more a burden than a benefit to Caesar in the impending civil war; in fact, for good reasons he made no use of the Celtic infantry at all in that war, and but sparing use of the cavalry. In the other provinces and the neighbouring half or wholly independent states Caesar had indeed attempted to procure for himself support, had lavished rich presents on the princes, caused great buildings to be executed in various towns, and granted to them in case of need financial and military assistance; but on the whole, of course, not much had been gained by this means, and the relations with the German and Celtic princes in the regions of the Rhine and the Danube,—particularly the connection with the Noric king Voccio, so important for the recruiting of cavalry,—were probably the only relations of this sort which were of any moment for him.

While Caesar thus entered the struggle only as com-
mandant of Gaul, without other essential resources than
efficient adjutants, a faithful army, and a devoted province,
Pompeius began it as *de facto* supreme head of the Roman
commonwealth, and in full possession of all the resources
that stood at the disposal of the legitimate government of
the great Roman empire. But while his position was in a
political and military point of view far more considerable,
it was also on the other hand far less definite and firm.
The unity of leadership, which resulted of itself and by
necessity from the position of Caesar, was inconsistent
with the nature of a coalition; and although Pompeius,
too much of a soldier to deceive himself as to its being
indispensable, attempted to force it on the coalition and
got himself nominated by the senate as sole and absolute
generalissimo by land and sea, yet the senate itself could
not be set aside nor hindered from a preponderating
influence on the political, and an occasional and therefore
doubly injurious interference with the military, superin-

The
coalition.

tendence. The recollection of the twenty years' war waged on both sides with envenomed weapons between Pompeius and the constitutional party ; the feeling which vividly prevailed on both sides, and which they with difficulty concealed, that the first consequence of the victory when achieved would be a rupture between the victors; the contempt which they entertained for each other and with only too good grounds in either case; the inconvenient number of respectable and influential men in the ranks of the aristocracy and the intellectual and moral inferiority of almost all who took part in the matter—altogether produced among the opponents of Caesar a reluctant and refractory co-operation, which formed the saddest contrast to the harmonious and compact action on the other side.

**Field of
power
of the
coalition.**

While all the disadvantages incident to the coalition of powers naturally hostile were thus felt in an unusual measure by Caesar's antagonists, this coalition was certainly still a very considerable power. It had exclusive command of the sea; all ports, all ships of war, all the materials for equipping a fleet were at its disposal. The two Spains—as it were the home of the power of Pompeius just as the two Gauls were the home of that of Caesar—were faithful adherents to their master and in the hands of able and trustworthy administrators. In the other provinces also, of course with the exception of the two Gauls, the posts of the governors and commanders had during recent years been filled up with safe men under the influence of Pompeius and the minority of the senate. The client-states throughout and with great decision took part against Caesar and in favour of Pompeius. The most important princes and cities had been brought into the closest personal relations with Pompeius in virtue of the different sections of his manifold activity. In the war against the Marians, for instance, he had been the companion **in arms**

of the kings of Numidia and Mauretania and had re-established the kingdom of the former (iv. 94); in the Mithradatic war, in addition to a number of other minor principalities spiritual and temporal, he had re-established the kingdoms of Bosporus, Armenia, and Cappadocia, and created that of Deiotarus in Galatia (iv. 431, 437); it was primarily at his instigation that the Egyptian war was undertaken, and it was by his adjutant that the rule of the Lagids had been confirmed afresh (iv. 451). Even the city of Massilia in Caesar's own province, while indebted to the latter doubtless for various favours, was indebted to Pompeius at the time of the Sertorian war for a very considerable extension of territory (p. 8); and, besides, the ruling oligarchy there stood in natural alliance—strengthened by various mutual relations—with the oligarchy in Rome. But these personal and relative considerations as well as the glory of the victor in three continents, which in these more remote parts of the empire far outshone that of the conqueror of Gaul, did perhaps less harm to Caesar in those quarters than the views and designs—which had not remained there unknown—of the heir of Gaius Gracchus as to the necessity of uniting the dependent states and the usefulness of provincial colonizations. No one of the dependent dynasts found himself more imminently threatened by this peril than Juba king of Numidia. Not only had he years before, in the lifetime of his father Hiempsal, fallen into a vehement personal quarrel with Caesar, but recently the same Curio, who now occupied almost the first place among Caesar's adjutants, had proposed to the Roman burgesses the annexation of the Numidian kingdom. Lastly, if matters should go so far as to lead the independent neighbouring states to interfere in the Roman civil war, the only state really powerful, that of the Parthians, was practically already allied with the aristocratic party by the connection entered into

**Juba of
Numidia.**

between Pacorus and Bibulus (p. 164), while Caesar was far too much a Roman to league himself for party-interests with the conquerors of his friend Crassus.

Italy
against
Caesar.

As to Italy the great majority of the burgesses were, as has been already mentioned, averse to Caesar—more especially, of course, the whole aristocracy with their very considerable following, but also in a not much less degree the great capitalists, who could not hope in the event of a thorough reform of the commonwealth to preserve their partisan jury-courts and their monopoly of extortion. Of equally anti-democratic sentiments were the small capitalists, the landholders and generally all classes that had anything to lose; but in these ranks of life the cares of the next rent-term and of sowing and reaping outweighed, as a rule, every other consideration.

The
Pompeian
army.

The army at the disposal of Pompeius consisted chiefly of the Spanish troops, seven legions inured to war and in every respect trustworthy; to which fell to be added the divisions of troops—weak indeed, and very much scattered—which were to be found in Syria, Asia, Macedonia, Africa, Sicily, and elsewhere. In Italy there were under arms at the outset only the two legions recently given off by Caesar, whose effective strength did not amount to more than 7000 men, and whose trustworthiness was more than doubtful, because—levied in Cisalpine Gaul and old comrades in arms of Caesar—they were in a high degree displeased at the unbecoming intrigue by which they had been made to change camps (p. 182), and recalled with longing their general who had magnanimously paid to them beforehand at their departure the presents which were promised to every soldier for the triumph. But, apart from the circumstance that the Spanish troops might arrive in Italy with the spring either by the land route through Gaul or by sea, the men of the three legions still remaining from **the levies** of 699 (p. 131), as well as the Italian **levy** sworn

to allegiance in 702 (p. 147), could be recalled from their 52.
furlough. Including these, the number of troops standing at the disposal of Pompeius on the whole, without reckoning the seven legions in Spain and those scattered in other provinces, amounted in Italy alone to ten legions¹ or about 60,000 men, so that it was no exaggeration at all, when Pompeius asserted that he had only to stamp with his foot to cover the ground with armed men. It is true that it required some interval—though but short—to render these soldiers available; but the arrangements for this purpose as well as for the carrying out of the new levies ordered by the senate in consequence of the outbreak of the civil war were already everywhere in progress. Immediately after the decisive decree of the senate (7 Jan. 705), in the 49.
very depth of winter the most eminent men of the aristocracy set out to the different districts, to hasten the calling up of recruits and the preparation of arms. The want of cavalry was much felt, as for this arm they had been accustomed to rely wholly on the provinces and especially on the Celtic contingents; to make at least a beginning, three hundred gladiators belonging to Caesar were taken from the fencing-schools of Capua and mounted—a step which however met with so general disapproval, that Pompeius again broke up this troop and levied in room of it 300 horsemen from the mounted slave-herdmen of Apulia.

The state-treasury was at a low ebb as usual; they busied themselves in supplementing the inadequate amount of cash out of the local treasuries and even from the temple-treasures of the *municipia*.

Under these circumstances the war opened at the beginning of January 705. Of troops capable of marching Caesar had not more than a legion—5000 infantry and **Caesar takes [49. the offensive.**

¹ This number was specified by Pompeius himself (Caesar, *B.C.* i. 6), and it agrees with the statement that he lost in Italy about 60 cohorts or 30,000 men, and took 25,000 over to Greece (Caesar, *B.C.* iii. 10).

300 cavalry—at Ravenna, which was by the highway some 240 miles distant from Rome; Pompeius had two weak legions—7000 infantry and a small squadron of cavalry—under the orders of Appius Claudius at Luceria, from which, likewise by the highway, the distance was just about as great to the capital. The other troops of Caesar, leaving out of account the raw divisions of recruits still in course of formation, were stationed, one half on the Saone and Loire, the other half in Belgica, while Pompeius' Italian reserves were already arriving from all sides at their rendezvous; long before even the first of the Transalpine divisions of Caesar could arrive in Italy, a far superior army could not but be ready to receive it there. It seemed folly, with a band of the strength of that of Catilina and for the moment without any effective reserve, to assume the aggressive against a superior and hourly-increasing army under an able general; but it was a folly in the spirit of Hannibal. If the beginning of the struggle were postponed till spring, the Spanish troops of Pompeius would assume the offensive in Transalpine, and his Italian troops in Cisalpine, Gaul, and Pompeius, a match for Caesar in tactics and superior to him in experience, was a formidable antagonist in such a campaign running its regular course. Now perhaps, accustomed as he was to operate slowly and surely with superior masses, he might be disconcerted by a wholly improvised attack; and that which could not greatly discompose Caesar's thirteenth legion after the severe trial of the Gallic surprise and the January campaign in the land of the Bellovaci (p. 93),—the suddenness of the war and the toil of a winter campaign—could not but disorganize the Pompeian corps consisting of old soldiers of Caesar or of ill-trained recruits, and still only in the course of formation.

Caesar's
advance.

Accordingly Caesar advanced into Italy.¹ Two highways

¹ The decree of the senate was passed on the 7th January; on the 18th

led at that time from the Romagna to the south; the Aemilio-Cassian which led from Bononia over the Apennines to Arretium and Rome, and the Popillio-Flaminian, which led from Ravenna along the coast of the Adriatic to Fanum and was there divided, one branch running westward through the Furlo pass to Rome, another southward to Ancona and thence onward to Apulia. On the former Marcus Antonius advanced as far as Arretium, on the second Caesar himself pushed forward. Resistance was nowhere encountered; the recruiting officers of quality had no military skill, their bands of recruits were no soldiers, the inhabitants of the country towns were only anxious not to be involved in a siege. When Curio with 1500 men approached Iguvium, where a couple of thousand Umbrian recruits had assembled under the praetor Quintus Minucius Thermus, general and soldiers took to flight at the bare tidings of his approach; and similar results on a small scale everywhere ensued.

Caesar had to choose whether he would march against Rome, from which his cavalry at Arretium were already only about 130 miles distant, or against the legions encamped at Luceria. He chose the latter plan. The consternation of the opposite party was boundless. Pompeius received the news of Caesar's advance at Rome; he seemed at first disposed to defend the capital, but, when the tidings arrived of Caesar's entrance into the Picenian territory and of his first successes there, he abandoned Rome and ordered its evacuation. A panic, augmented by the false report that Caesar's cavalry had appeared before the gates, came over the world of quality. The senators, who had been informed that every one who should remain behind in the capital would be treated as an accomplice of the rebel Caesar,

Rome
evacuated.

it had been already for several days known in Rome that Caesar had crossed the boundary (Cic. *ad Att.* vii. 10; ix 10, 4); the messenger needed at the very least three days from Rome to Ravenna. According to this the setting out of Caesar falls about the 12th January, which according to the current reduction corresponds to the Julian 24 Nov. 704.

flocked in crowds out at the gates. The consuls themselves had so totally lost their senses, that they did not even secure the treasure; when Pompeius called upon them to fetch it for which there was sufficient time, they returned the reply that they would deem it safer, if he should first occupy Picenum. All was perplexity; consequently a great council of war was held in Teanum Sidicinum (23 Jan.), at which Pompeius, Labienus, and both consuls were present. First of all proposals of accommodation from Caesar were again submitted; even now he declared himself ready at once to dismiss his army, to hand over his provinces to the successors nominated, and to become a candidate in the regular way for the consulship, provided that Pompeius were to depart for Spain, and Italy were to be disarmed. The answer was, that if Caesar would immediately return to his province, they would bind themselves to procure the disarming of Italy and the departure of Pompeius by a decree of the senate to be passed in due form in the capital; perhaps this reply was intended not as a bare artifice to deceive, but as an acceptance of the proposal of compromise; it was, however, in reality the opposite. The personal conference which Caesar desired with Pompeius the latter declined, and could not but decline, that he might not by the semblance of a new coalition with Caesar provoke still more the distrust already felt by the constitutional party. Concerning the management of the war it was agreed in Teanum, that Pompeius should take the command of the troops stationed at Luceria, on which notwithstanding their untrustworthiness all hope depended; that he should advance with these into his own and Labienus' native country, Picenum; that he should personally call the general levy there to arms, as he had done some thirty-five years ago (iv. 78), and should attempt at the head of the faithful Picentine cohorts and the veterans formerly under Caesar to set a limit to the advance of the enemy.

**Conflicts in
Picenum.**

Everything depended on whether Picenum would hold out until Pompeius should come up to its defence. Already Caesar with his reunited army had penetrated into it along the coast road by way of Ancona. Here too the preparations were in full course; in the very northernmost Picenian town Auximum a considerable band of recruits was collected under Publius Attius Varus; but at the entreaty of the municipality Varus evacuated the town even before Caesar appeared, and a handful of Caesar's soldiers which overtook the troop not far from Auximum totally dispersed it after a brief conflict—the first in this war. In like manner soon afterwards Gaius Lucilius Hirrus with 3000 men evacuated Camerinum, and Publius Lentulus Spinther with 5000 Asculum. The men, thoroughly devoted to Pompeius, willingly for the most part abandoned their houses and farms, and followed their leaders over the frontier; but the district itself was already lost, when the officer sent by Pompeius for the temporary conduct of the defence, Lucius Vibullius Rufus—no genteel senator, but a soldier experienced in war—arrived there; he had to content himself with taking the six or seven thousand recruits who were saved away from the incapable recruiting officers, and conducting them for the time to the nearest rendezvous.

**Corfinium
besieged**

This was Corfinium, the place of meeting for the levies in the Albensian, Marsian and Paelignian territories; the body of recruits here assembled, of nearly 15,000 men, was the contingent of the most warlike and trustworthy regions of Italy, and the flower of the army in course of formation for the constitutional party. When Vibullius arrived here, Caesar was still several days' march behind; there was nothing to prevent him from immediately starting agreeably to Pompaius' instructions and conducting the saved Picenian recruits along with those assembled at Corfinium to join the main army in Apulia. But the commandant in Corfinium was the designated successor to Caesar in the governorship

of Transalpine Gaul, Lucius Domitius, one of the most narrow-minded and stubborn of the Roman aristocracy ; and he not only refused to comply with the orders of Pompeius, but also prevented Vibullius from departing at least with the men from Picenum for Apulia. So firmly was he persuaded that Pompeius only delayed from obstinacy and must necessarily come up to his relief, that he scarcely made any serious preparations for a siege and did not even gather into Corfinium the bands of recruits placed in the surrounding towns. Pompeius however did not appear, and for good reasons; for, while he might perhaps apply his two untrustworthy legions as a reserved support for the Picenian general levy, he could not with them alone offer battle to Caesar. Instead of him after a few days Caesar came (14 Feb.). His troops had been joined in Picenum by the twelfth, and before Corfinium by the eighth, legion from beyond the Alps, and, besides these, three new legions had been formed partly from the Pompeian men that were taken prisoners or presented themselves voluntarily, partly from the recruits that were at once levied everywhere; so that Caesar before Corfinium was already at the head of an army of 40,000 men, half of whom had seen service. So long as Domitius hoped for the arrival of Pompeius, he caused the town to be defended; when the letters of Pompeius had at length undeceived him, he resolved, not forsooth to persevere at the forlorn post—by which he would have rendered the greatest service to his party—nor even to capitulate, but, while the common soldiers were informed that relief was close at hand, to make his own escape along with his officers of quality during the next night. Yet he had not the judgment to carry into effect even this pretty scheme. The confusion of his behaviour betrayed him. A part of the men began to mutiny; the Marsian recruits, who held such an infamy on the part of their genera) to be impossible, wished to fight against the mutineers; but they too were

obliged reluctantly to believe the truth of the accusation, whereupon the whole garrison arrested their staff and handed it, themselves, and the town over to Caesar (20 Feb.). The corps in Alba, 3000 strong, and 1500 recruits assembled in Tarracina thereupon laid down their arms, as soon as Caesar's patrols of horsemen appeared; a third division in Sulmo of 3500 men had been previously compelled to surrender.

Pompeius had given up Italy as lost, so soon as Caesar had occupied Picenum; only he wished to delay his embarkation as long as possible, with the view of saving so much of his force as could still be saved. Accordingly he had slowly put himself in motion for the nearest seaport Brundisium. Thither came the two legions of Luceria and such recruits as Pompeius had been able hastily to collect in the deserted Apulia, as well as the troops raised by the consuls and other commissioners in Campania and conducted in all haste to Brundisium; thither too resorted a number of political fugitives, including the most respected of the senators accompanied by their families. The embarkation began; but the vessels at hand did not suffice to transport all at once the whole multitude, which still amounted to 25,000 persons. No course remained but to divide the army. The larger half went first (4 March); with the smaller division of some 10,000 men Pompeius awaited at Brundisium the return of the fleet; for, however desirable the possession of Brundisium might be for an eventual attempt to reoccupy Italy, they did not presume to hold the place permanently against Caesar. Meanwhile Caesar arrived before Brundisium; the siege began. Caesar attempted first of all to close the mouth of the harbour by moles and floating bridges, with a view to exclude the returning fleet; but Pompeius caused the trading vessels lying in the harbour to be armed, and managed to prevent the complete closing of the harbour

**Pompeius
goes to
Brundi-**

**Embarka
tion for
Greece.**

until the fleet appeared and the troops—whom Pompeius with great dexterity, in spite of the vigilance of the besiegers and the hostile feeling of the inhabitants, withdrew from the town to the last man unharmed—were carried off beyond Caesar's reach to Greece (17 March). The further pursuit, like the siege itself, failed for want of a fleet.

In a campaign of two months, without a single serious engagement, Caesar had so broken up an army of ten legions, that less than the half of it had with great difficulty escaped in a confused flight across the sea, and the whole Italian peninsula, including the capital with the state-treasure and all the stores accumulated there, had fallen into the power of the victor. Not without reason did the beaten party bewail the terrible rapidity, sagacity, and energy of the "monster."

Military
and
financial
results of
the seizure
of Italy.

49.

But it may be questioned whether Caesar gained or lost more by the conquest of Italy. In a military respect, no doubt, very considerable resources were now not merely withdrawn from his opponents, but rendered available for himself; even in the spring of 705 his army embraced, in consequence of the levies *en masse* instituted everywhere, a considerable number of legions of recruits in addition to the nine old ones. But on the other hand it now became necessary not merely to leave behind a considerable garrison in Italy, but also to take measures against the closing of the transmarine traffic contemplated by his opponents who commanded the sea, and against the famine with which the capital was consequently threatened; whereby Caesar's already sufficiently complicated military task was complicated further still. Financially it was certainly of importance, that Caesar had the good fortune to obtain possession of the stock of money in the capital; but the principal sources of income and particularly the revenues from the east were withal in the hands of the

enemy, and, in consequence of the greatly increased demands for the army and the new obligation to provide for the starving population of the capital, the considerable sums which were found quickly melted away. Caesar soon found himself compelled to appeal to private credit, and, as it seemed that he could not possibly gain any long respite by this means, extensive confiscations were generally anticipated as the only remaining expedient.

More serious difficulties still were created by the political relations amidst which Caesar found himself placed on the conquest of Italy. The apprehension of an anarchical revolution was universal among the propertied classes. Friends and foes saw in Caesar a second Catilina; Pompeius believed or affected to believe that Caesar had been driven to civil war merely by the impossibility of paying his debts. This was certainly absurd; but in fact Caesar's antecedents were anything but reassuring, and still less reassuring was the aspect of the retinue that now surrounded him. Individuals of the most broken reputation, notorious personages like Quintus Hortensius, Gaius Curio, Marcus Antonius,—the latter the stepson of the Catilinarian Lentulus who was executed by the orders of Cicero—were the most prominent actors in it; the highest posts of trust were bestowed on men who had long ceased even to reckon up their debts; people saw men who held office under Caesar not merely keeping dancing-girls—which was done by others also—but appearing publicly in company with them. Was there any wonder, that even grave and politically impartial men expected amnesty for all exiled criminals, cancelling of creditors' claims, comprehensive mandates of confiscation, proscription, and murder, nay, even a plundering of Rome by the Gallic soldiery?

But in this respect the "monster" deceived the expectations of his foes as well as of his friends. As soon even as Caesar occupied the first Italian town, Ariminum, he

Its political results.

Fear of anarchy

dispelled by Caesar.

prohibited all common soldiers from appearing armed within the walls; the country towns were protected from all injury throughout and without distinction, whether they had given him a friendly or hostile reception. When the mutinous garrison surrendered Corfinium late in the evening, he in the face of every military consideration postponed the occupation of the town till the following morning, solely that he might not abandon the burgesses to the nocturnal invasion of his exasperated soldiers. Of the prisoners the common soldiers, as presumably indifferent to politics, were incorporated with his own army, while the officers were not merely spared, but also freely released without distinction of person and without the exaction of any promises whatever; and all which they claimed as private property was frankly given up to them, without even investigating with any strictness the warrant for their claims. Lucius Domitius himself was thus treated, and even Labienus had the money and baggage which he had left behind sent after him to the enemy's camp. In the most painful financial embarrassment the immense estates of his opponents whether present or absent were not assailed; indeed Caesar preferred to borrow from friends, rather than that he should stir up the possessors of property against him even by exacting the formally admissible, but practically antiquated, land tax (iv. 156). The victor regarded only the half, and that not the more difficult half, of his task as solved with the victory; he saw the security for its duration, according to his own expression, only in the unconditional pardon of the vanquished, and had accordingly during the whole march from Ravenna to Brundisium incessantly renewed his efforts to bring about a personal conference with Pompeius and a tolerable accommodation.

**Threats
of the
emigrants.**

But, if the aristocracy had previously refused to listen to any reconciliation, the unexpected emigration of a kind

so disgraceful had raised their wrath to madness, and the wild vengeance breathed by the beaten contrasted strangely with the placability of the victor. The communications regularly coming from the camp of the emigrants to their friends left behind in Italy were full of projects for confiscations and proscriptions, of plans for purifying the senate and the state, compared with which the restoration of Sulla was child's play, and which even the moderate men of their own party heard with horror. The frantic passion of impotence, the wise moderation of power, produced their effect. The whole mass, in whose eyes material interests were superior to political, threw itself into the arms of Caesar. The country towns idolized "the uprightness, the moderation, the prudence" of the victor; and even opponents conceded that these demonstrations of respect were meant in earnest. The great capitalists, farmers of the taxes, and jurymen, showed no special desire, after the severe shipwreck which had befallen the constitutional party in Italy, to entrust themselves farther to the same pilots; capital came once more to the light, and "the rich lords resorted again to their daily task of writing their rent-rolls." Even the great majority of the senate, at least numerically speaking—for certainly but few of the nobler and more influential members of the senate were included in it—had notwithstanding the orders of Pompeius and of the consuls remained behind in Italy, and a portion of them even in the capital itself; and they acquiesced in Caesar's rule. The moderation of Caesar, well calculated even in its very semblance of excess, attained its object: the trembling anxiety of the propertied classes as to the impending anarchy was in some measure allayed. This was doubtless an incalculable gain for the future; the prevention of anarchy, and of the scarcely less dangerous alarm of anarchy, was the indispensable preliminary condition to the future reorganization of the commonwealth.

**The mass
of quiet
people
gained for
Caesar.**

Indigna-
tion of the
anarchist
party
against
Caesar.

The
republican
party in
Italy.

But at the moment this moderation was more dangerous for Caesar than the renewal of the Cinnan and Catilinarian fury would have been; it did not convert enemies into friends, and it converted friends into enemies. Caesar's Catilinarian adherents were indignant that murder and pillage remained in abeyance; these audacious and desperate personages, some of whom were men of talent, might be expected to prove cross and untractable. The republicans of all shades, on the other hand, were neither converted nor propitiated by the leniency of the conqueror. According to the creed of the Catonian party, duty towards what they called their fatherland absolved them from every other consideration; even one who owed freedom and life to Caesar remained entitled and in duty bound to take up arms or at least to engage in plots against him. The less decided sections of the constitutional party were no doubt found willing to accept peace and protection from the new monarch; nevertheless they ceased not to curse the monarchy and the monarch at heart. The more clearly the change of the constitution became manifest, the more distinctly the great majority of the burgesses—both in the capital with its keener susceptibility of political excitement, and among the more energetic population of the country and country towns—awoke to a consciousness of their republican sentiments; so far the friends of the constitution in Rome reported with truth to their brethren of kindred views in exile, that at home all classes and all persons were friendly to Pompeius. The discontented temper of all these circles was further increased by the moral pressure, which the more decided and more notable men who shared such views exercised from their very position as emigrants over the multitude of the humbler and more lukewarm. The conscience of the honourable man smote him in regard to his remaining in Italy; the half-aristocrat fancied that he was ranked among the plebeians, if he

did not go into exile with the Domitii and the Metelli, and even if he took his seat in the Caesarian senate of nobodies. The victor's special clemency gave to this silent opposition increased political importance; seeing that Caesar abstained from terrorism, it seemed as if his secret opponents could display their disinclination to his rule without much danger.

Very soon he experienced remarkable treatment in this respect at the hands of the senate. Caesar had begun the struggle to liberate the overawed senate from its oppressors. This was done; consequently he wished to obtain from the senate approval of what had been done, and full powers for the continuance of the war. For this purpose, when Caesar appeared before the capital (end of March) the tribunes of the people belonging to his party convoked for him the senate (i April). The meeting was tolerably numerous, but the more notable of the very senators that remained in Italy were absent, including even the former leader of the servile majority Marcus Cicero and Caesar's own father-in-law Lucius Piso; and, what was worse, those who did appear were not inclined to enter into Caesar's proposals. When Caesar spoke of full power to continue the war, one of the only two consulars present, Servius Sulpicius Rufus, a very timid man who desired nothing but a quiet death in his bed, was of opinion that Caesar would deserve well of his country if he should abandon the thought of carrying the war to Greece and Spain. When Caesar thereupon requested the senate at least to be the medium of transmitting his peace proposals to Pompeius, they were not indeed opposed to that course in itself, but the threats of the emigrants against the neutrals had so terrified the latter, that no one was found to undertake the message of peace. Through the disinclination of the aristocracy to help the erection of the monarch's throne, and through

**Passive
resistance
of the
senate to
Caesar.**

the same inertness of the dignified corporation, by means of which Caesar had shortly before frustrated the legal nomination of Pompeius as generalissimo in the civil war, he too was now thwarted when making a like request. Other impediments, moreover, occurred. Caesar desired, with the view of regulating in some sort of way his position, to be named as dictator; but his wish was not complied with, because such a magistrate could only be constitutionally appointed by one of the consuls, and the attempt of Caesar to buy the consul Lentulus—of which owing to the disordered condition of his finances there was a good prospect—nevertheless proved a failure. The tribune of the people Lucius Metellus, moreover, lodged a protest against all the steps of the proconsul, and made signs as though he would protect with his person the public chest, when Caesar's men came to empty it. Caesar could not avoid in this case ordering that the inviolable person should be pushed aside as gently as possible; otherwise, he kept by his purpose of abstaining from all violent steps. He declared to the senate, just as the constitutional party had done shortly before, that he had certainly desired to regulate things in a legal way and with the help of the supreme authority; but, since this help was refused, he could dispense with it.

**Provisional
arrange-
ment of the
affairs of
the capital.**

**The
provinces.**

Without further concerning himself about the senate and the formalities of state law, he handed over the temporary administration of the capital to the praetor Marcus Aemilius Lepidus as city - prefect, and made the requisite arrangements for the administration of the provinces that obeyed him and the continuance of the war. Even amidst the din of the gigantic struggle, and with all the alluring sound of Caesar's lavish promises, it still made a deep impression on the multitude of the capital, when they saw in their free Rome the monarch **for** the first time wielding a monarch's power and breaking

open the doors of the treasury by his soldiers. But the times had gone by, when the impressions and feelings of the multitude determined the course of events; it was with the legions that the decision lay, and a few painful feelings more or less were of no farther moment.

Caesar hastened to resume the war. He owed his successes hitherto to the offensive, and he intended still to maintain it. The position of his antagonist was singular. After the original plan of carrying on the campaign simultaneously in the two Gauls by offensive operations from the bases of Italy and Spain had been frustrated by Caesar's aggressive, Pompeius had intended to go to Spain. There he had a very strong position. The army amounted to seven legions; a large number of Pompeius' veterans served in it, and several years of conflicts in the Lusitanian mountains had hardened soldiers and officers. Among its captains Marcus Varro indeed was simply a celebrated scholar and a faithful partisan; but Lucius Afranius had fought with distinction in the east and in the Alps, and Marcus Petreius, the conqueror of Catilina, was an officer as dauntless as he was able. While in the Further province Caesar had still various adherents from the time of his governorship there (p. 6), the more important province of the Ebro was attached by all the ties of veneration and gratitude to the celebrated general, who twenty years before had held the command in it during the Sertorian war, and after the termination of that war had organized it anew. Pompeius could evidently after the Italian disaster do nothing better than proceed to Spain with the saved remnant of his army, and then at the head of his whole force advance to meet Caesar. But unfortunately he had, in the hope of being able still to save the troops that were in Corfinium, tarried in Apulia so long that he was compelled to choose the nearer Brundisium as his place of embarkation instead of the

**The
Pompeians
in Spain.**

Campanian ports. Why, master as he was of the sea and Sicily, he did not subsequently revert to his original plan, cannot be determined; whether it was that perhaps the aristocracy after their short-sighted and distrustful fashion showed no desire to entrust themselves to the Spanish troops and the Spanish population, it is enough to say that Pompeius remained in the east, and Caesar had the option of directing his first attack either against the army which was being organized in Greece under Pompeius' own command, or against that which was ready for battle under his lieutenants in Spain. He had decided in favour of the latter course, and, as soon as the Italian campaign ended, had taken measures to collect on the lower Rhone nine of his best legions, as also 6000 cavalry—partly men individually picked out by Caesar in the Celtic cantons, partly German mercenaries—and a number of Iberian and Ligurian archers.

**Massilia
against
Caesar.**

But at this point his opponents also had been active. Lucius Domitius, who was nominated by the senate in Caesar's stead as governor of Transalpine Gaul, had proceeded from Corfinium—as soon as Caesar had released him—along with his attendants and with Pompeius' confidant Lucius Vibullius Rufus to Massilia, and actually induced that city to declare for Pompeius and even to refuse a passage to Caesar's troops. Of the Spanish troops the two least trustworthy legions were left behind under the command of Varro in the Further province; while the five best, reinforced by 40,000 Spanish infantry—partly Celtiberian infantry of the line, partly Lusitanian and other light troops—and by 5000 Spanish cavalry, under Afranius and Petreius, had, in accordance with the orders of Pompeius transmitted by Vibullius, set out to close the Pyrenees against the enemy.

Meanwhile Caesar himself arrived in Gaul and, as the commencement of the siege of Massilia still detained him

in person, he immediately despatched the greater part of his troops assembled on the Rhone—six legions and the cavalry—along the great road leading by way of Narbo (Narbonne) to Rhode (Rosas) with the view of anticipating the enemy at the Pyrenees. The movement was successful; when Afranius and Petreius arrived at the passes, they found them already occupied by the Cacsarians and the line of the Pyrenees lost. They then took up a position at Ilerda (Lerida) between the Pyrenees and the Ebro. This town lies twenty miles to the north of the Ebro on the right bank of one of its tributaries, the Sicoris (Segre), which was crossed by only a single solid bridge immediately at Ilerda, To the south of Ilerda the mountains which adjoin the left bank of the Ebro approach pretty close to the town ; to the northward there stretches on both sides of the Sicoris a level country which is commanded by the hill on which the town is built. For an army, which had to submit to a siege, it was an excellent position; but the defence of Spain, after the occupation of the line of the Pyrenees had been neglected, could only be undertaken in earnest behind the Ebro, and, as no secure communication was established between Ilerda and the Ebro, and no bridge existed over the latter stream, the retreat from the temporary to the true defensive position was not sufficiently secured. The Caesarians established themselves above Ilerda, in the delta which the river Sicoris forms with the Cinga (Cinca), which unites with it below Ilerda; but the attack only began in earnest after Caesar had arrived in the camp (23 June). Under the walls of the town the struggle was maintained with equal exasperation and equal valour on both sides, and with frequent alternations of success; but the Caesarians did not attain their object—which was, to establish themselves between the Pompeian camp and the town and thereby to possess themselves of the stone bridge—and they consequently remained dependent for their communication

Caesar
occupies
the
Pyrenees.

with Gaul solely on two bridges which they had hastily constructed over the Sicoris, and that indeed, as the river at Ilerda itself was too considerable to be bridged over, about eighteen or twenty miles farther up.

Caesar
cut off.

When the floods came on with the melting of the snow, these temporary bridges were swept away ; and, as they had no vessels for the passage of the highly swollen rivers and under such circumstances the restoration of the bridges could not for the present be thought of, the Caesarian army was confined to the narrow space between the Cinca and the Sicoris, while the left bank of the Sicoris and with it the road, by which the army communicated with Gaul and Italy, were exposed almost undefended to the Pompeians, who passed the river partly by the town-bridge, partly by swimming after the Lusitanian fashion on skins. It was the season shortly before harvest \ the old produce was almost used up, the new was not yet gathered, and the narrow stripe of land between the two streams was soon exhausted. In the camp actual famine prevailed—the *modius* of wheat cost 50 *denarii* (£1 : 16s.)—and dangerous diseases broke out; whereas on the left bank there were accumulated provisions and varied supplies, as well as troops of all sorts—reinforcements from Gaul of cavalry and archers, officers and soldiers from furlough, foraging parties returning—in all a mass of 6000 men, whom the Pompeians attacked with superior force and drove with great loss to the mountains, while the Caesarians on the right bank were obliged to remain passive spectators of the unequal conflict. The communications of the army were in the hands of the Pompeians; in Italy the accounts from Spain suddenly ceased, and the suspicious rumours, which began to circulate there, were not so very remote from the truth. Had the Pompeians followed up their advantage with some energy, they could not have failed either to reduce under their power or at least to drive back towards Gaul the mass

scarcely capable of resistance which was crowded together on the left bank of the Sicoris, and to occupy this bank so completely that not a man could cross the river without their knowledge. But both points were neglected; those bands were doubtless pushed aside with loss but neither destroyed nor completely beaten back, and the prevention of the crossing of the river was left substantially to the river itself.

Thereupon Caesar formed his plan. He ordered portable boats of a light wooden frame and osier work lined with leather, after the model of those used in the Channel among the Britons and subsequently by the Saxons, to be prepared in the camp and transported in waggons to the point where the bridges had stood. On these frail barks the other bank was reached and, as it was found unoccupied, the bridge was re-established without much difficulty; the road in connection with it was thereupon quickly cleared, and the eagerly-expected supplies were conveyed to the camp. Caesar's happy idea thus rescued the army from the immense peril in which it was placed. Then the cavalry of Caesar which in efficiency far surpassed that of the enemy began at once to scour the country on the left bank of the Sicoris; the most considerable Spanish communities between the Pyrenees and the Ebro—Osca, Tarraco, Dertosa, and others—nay, even several to the south of the Ebro, passed over to Caesar's side.

**Caesar re-
establishes
the com-
muni-
cations.**

The supplies of the Pompeians were now rendered scarce through the foraging parties of Caesar and the defection of the neighbouring communities; they resolved at length to retire behind the line of the Ebro, and set themselves in all haste to form a bridge of boats over the Ebro below the mouth of the Sicoris. Caesar sought to cut off the retreat of his opponents over the Ebro and to detain them in Ilerda; but so long as the enemy remained in possession of the bridge at Ilerda and he had control of neither ford

**Retreat
of the
Pompeians
from
Ilerda.**

nor bridge there, he could not distribute his army over both banks of the river and could not invest Ilerda. His soldiers therefore worked day and night to lower the depth of the river by means of canals drawing off the water, so that the infantry could wade through it. But the preparations of the Pompeians to pass the Ebro were sooner finished than the arrangements of the Caesarians for investing Ilerda; when the former after finishing the bridge of boats began their march towards the Ebro along the left bank of the Sicoris, the canals of the Caesarians seemed to the general not yet far enough advanced to make the ford available for the infantry; he ordered only his cavalry to pass the stream and, by clinging to the rear of the enemy, at least to detain and harass them.

Caesar
follows.

But when Caesar's legions saw in the gray morning the enemy's columns which had been retiring since midnight, they discerned with the sure instinct of experienced veterans the strategic importance of this retreat, which would compel them to follow their antagonists into distant and impracticable regions filled by hostile troops; at their own request the general ventured to lead the infantry also into the river, and although the water reached up to the shoulders of the men, it was crossed without accident. It was high time. If the narrow plain, which separated the town of Ilerda from the mountains enclosing the Ebro were once traversed and the army of the Pompeians entered the mountains, their retreat to the Ebro could no longer be prevented. Already they had, notwithstanding the constant attacks of the enemy's cavalry which greatly delayed their march, approached within five miles of the mountains, when they, having been on the march since midnight and unspeakably exhausted, abandoned their original plan of traversing the whole plain on the same day, and pitched their camp. Here the infantry of Caesar overtook them and encamped opposite to them in the

evening and during the night, as the nocturnal march which the Pompeians had at first contemplated was abandoned from fear of the night-attacks of the cavalry. On the following day also both armies remained immovable, occupied only in reconnoitring the country.

Early in the morning of the third day Caesar's infantry set out, that by a movement through the pathless mountains alongside of the road they might turn the position of the enemy and bar their route to the Ebro. The object of the strange march, which seemed at first to turn back towards the camp before Ilerda, was not at once perceived by the Pompeian officers. When they discerned it, they sacrificed camp and baggage and advanced by a forced march along the highway, to gain the crest of the ridge before the Caesarians. But it was already too late; when they came up, the compact masses of the enemy were already posted on the highway itself. A desperate attempt of the Pompeians to discover other routes to the Ebro over the steep mountains was frustrated by Caesar's cavalry, which surrounded and cut to pieces the Lusitanian troops sent forth for that purpose. Had a battle taken place between the Pompeian army—which had the enemy's cavalry in its rear and their infantry in front, and was utterly demoralized—and the Caesarians, the issue was scarcely doubtful, and the opportunity for fighting several times presented itself; but Caesar made no use of it, and, not without difficulty, restrained the impatient eagerness for the combat in his soldiers sure of victory. The Pompeian army was at any rate strategically lost; Caesar avoided weakening his army and still further envenoming the bitter feud by useless bloodshed. On the very day after he had succeeded in cutting off the Pompeians from the Ebro, the soldiers of the two armies had begun to fraternize and to negotiate respecting surrender; indeed the terms asked by the Pompeians, especially as to the sparing of their officers,

**The route
to the
Ebro
closed.**

had been already conceded by Caesar, when Petreius with his escort consisting of slaves and Spaniards came upon the negotiators and caused the Caesarians, on whom he could lay hands, to be put to death. Caesar nevertheless sent the Pompeians who had come to his camp back unharmed, and persevered in seeking a peaceful solution. Ilerda, where the Pompeians had still a garrison and considerable magazines, became now the point which they sought to reach; but with the hostile army in front and the Sicoris between them and the fortress, they marched without coming nearer to their object. Their cavalry became gradually so afraid that the infantry had to take them into the centre and legions had to be set as the rear-guard; the procuring of water and forage became more and more difficult; they had already to kill the beasts of burden, because they could no longer feed them. At length the wandering army found itself formally inclosed, with the Sicoris in its rear and the enemy's force in front, which drew rampart and trench around it. It attempted to cross the river, but Caesar's German horsemen and light infantry anticipated it in the occupation of the opposite bank.

Capitulation of the Pompeians. [49.]

No bravery and no fidelity could longer avert the inevitable capitulation (2 Aug. 705). Caesar granted to officers and soldiers their life and liberty, and the possession of the property which they still retained as well as the restoration of what had been already taken from them, the full value of which he undertook personally to make good to his soldiers; and not only so, but while he had compulsorily enrolled in his army the recruits captured in Italy, he honoured these old legionaries of Pompeius by the promise that no one should be compelled against his will to enter Caesar's army. He required only that each should give up his arms and repair to his home. Accordingly the soldiers who were natives of Spain, about a third of the

army, were disbanded at once, while the Italian soldiers were discharged on the borders of Transalpine and Cisalpine Gaul.

Hither Spain on the breaking up of this army fell of itself into the power of the victor. In Further Spain, where Marcus Varro held the chief command for Pompeius, it seemed to him, when he learned the disaster of Ilerda, most advisable that he should throw himself into the insular town of Gades and should carry thither for safety the considerable sums which he had collected by confiscating the treasures of the temples and the property of prominent Caesarians, the not inconsiderable fleet which he had raised, and the two legions entrusted to him. But on the mere rumour of Caesar's arrival the most notable towns of the province which had been for long attached to Caesar declared for the latter and drove away the Pompeian garrisons or induced them to a similar revolt; such was the case with Corduba, Carmo, and Gades itself. One of the legions also set out of its own accord for Hispalis, and passed over along with this town to Caesar's side. When at length even Italica closed its gates against Vano, the latter resolved to capitulate.

**Further
Spain
submits.**

About the same time Massilia also submitted. With rare energy the Massiliots had not merely sustained a siege, but had also kept the sea against Caesar; it was their native element, and they might hope to obtain vigorous support on it from Pompeius, who in fact had the exclusive command of it. But Caesar's lieutenant, the able Decimus Brutus, the same who had achieved the first naval victory in the Atlantic over the Veneti (p. 55/), managed rapidly to equip a fleet; and in spite of the brave resistance of the enemy's crews—consisting partly of Albioecian mercenaries of the Massiliots, partly of slave-herdsmen of Domitius—he vanquished by means of his brave marines selected from the legions the stronger Massiliot fleet, and sank or captured

**Siege of
Massilia.**

the greater part of their ships. When therefore a small Pompeian squadron under Lucius Nasidius arrived from the east by way of Sicily and Sardinia in the port of Massilia, the Massiliots once more renewed their naval armament and sailed forth along with the ships of Nasidius against Brutus. The engagement which took place off Tauroeis (La Ciotat to the east of Marseilles) might probably have had a different result, if the vessels of Nasidius had fought with the same desperate courage which the Massiliots displayed on that day; but the flight of the Nasidians decided the victory in favour of Brutus, and the remains of the Pompeian fleet fled to Spain. The besieged were completely driven from the sea. On the landward side, where Gaius Trebonius conducted the siege, the most resolute resistance was still continued; but in spite of the frequent sallies of the Albioecian mercenaries and the skilful expenditure of the immense stores of projectiles accumulated in the city, the works of the besiegers were at length advanced up to the walls and one of the towers fell. The Massiliots declared that they would give up the defence, but desired to conclude the capitulation with Caesar himself, and entreated the Roman commander to suspend the siege operations till Caesar's arrival. Trebonius had express orders from Caesar to spare the town as far as possible; he granted the armistice desired. But when the Massiliots made use of it for an artful sally, in which they completely burnt the one-half of the almost unguarded Roman works, the struggle of the siege began anew and with increased exasperation. The vigorous commander of the Romans repaired with surprising rapidity the destroyed towers and the mound; soon the Massiliots were once more completely invested.

**Massilia
capitulates.**

When Caesar on his return from the conquest of Spain arrived before their city, he found it reduced to extremities partly by the enemy's attacks, partly by famine and pesti-

lence, and ready for the second time—on this occasion in right earnest—to surrender on any terms. Domitius alone, remembering the indulgence of the victor which he had shamefully misused, embarked in a boat and stole through the Roman fleet, to seek a third battle-field for his implacable resentment. Caesar's soldiers had sworn to put to the sword the whole male population of the perfidious city, and vehemently demanded from the general the signal for plunder. But Caesar, mindful here also of his great task of establishing Helleno-Italic civilization in the west, was not to be coerced into furnishing a sequel to the destruction of Corinth. Massilia—the most remote from the mother-country of all those cities, once so numerous, free, and powerful, that belonged to the old Ionic mariner-nation, and almost the last in which the Hellenic seafaring life had preserved itself fresh and pure, as in fact it was the last Greek city that fought at sea—Massilia had to surrender its magazines of arms and naval stores to the victor, and lost a portion of its territory and of its privileges; but it retained its freedom and its nationality and continued, though with diminished proportions in a material point of view, to be still as before intellectually the centre of Hellenic culture in that distant Celtic country which at this very time was attaining a new historical significance.

While thus in the western provinces the war after various critical vicissitudes was thoroughly decided at length in favour of Caesar, Spain and Massilia were subdued, and the chief army of the enemy was captured to the last man, the decision of arms had also taken place on the second arena of warfare, on which Caesar had found it necessary immediately after the conquest of Italy to assume the offensive.

Expeditions of Caesar to the corn-provinces.

We have already mentioned that the Pompeians intended to reduce Italy to starvation. They had the

means of doing so in their hands. They had thorough command of the sea and laboured with great zeal everywhere—in Gades, Utica, Messana, above all in the east—to increase their fleet. They held moreover all the provinces, from which the capital drew its means of subsistence: Sardinia and Corsica through Marcus Cotta, Sicily through Marcus Cato, Africa through the self-nominated commander-in-chief Titus Attius Varus and their ally Juba king of Numidia. It was indispensably needful for Caesar to thwart these plans of the enemy and to wrest from them the corn-provinces. Quintus Valerius was sent with a legion to Sardinia and compelled the Pompeian governor to evacuate the island. The more important enterprise of taking Sicily and Africa from the enemy was entrusted to the young Gaius Curio with the assistance of the able Gaius Caninius Rebilus, who possessed experience in war. Sicily was occupied by him without a blow; Cato, without a proper army and not a man of the sword, evacuated the island, after having in his straightforward manner previously warned the Siceliots not to compromise themselves uselessly by an ineffectual resistance.

**Sardinia
occupied.**

**Sicily
occupied.**

**Landing of
Curio in
Africa.**

Curio left behind half of his troops to protect this island so important for the capital, and embarked with the other half—two legions and 500 horsemen—for Africa. Here he might expect to encounter more serious resistance; besides the considerable and in its own fashion efficient army of Juba, the governor Varus had formed two legions from the Romans settled in Africa and also fitted out a small squadron of ten sail. With the aid of his superior fleet, however, Curio effected without difficulty a landing between Hadrumentum, where the one legion of the enemy lay along with their ships of war, and Utica, in front of which town lay the second legion under Varus himself. Curio turned against the latter, and pitched his camp not

far from Utica, just where a century and a half before the elder Scipio had taken up his first winter-camp in Africa (ii. 355). Caesar, compelled to keep together his best troops for the Spanish war, had been obliged to make up the Sicilo-African army for the most part out of the legions taken over from the enemy, more especially the war-prisoners of Corfinium; the officers of the Pompeian army in Africa, some of whom had served in the very legions that were conquered at Corfinium, now left no means untried to bring back their old soldiers who were now fighting against them to their first allegiance. But Caesar had not erred in the choice of his lieutenant. Curio knew as well how to direct the movements of the army and of the fleet, as how to acquire personal influence over the soldiers; the supplies were abundant, the conflicts without exception successful.

When Varus, presuming that the troops of Curio wanted opportunity to pass over to his side, resolved to give battle chiefly for the sake of affording them this opportunity, the result did not justify his expectations. Animated by the fiery appeal of their youthful leader, the cavalry of Curio put to flight the horsemen of the enemy, and in presence of the two armies cut down also the light infantry which had accompanied the horsemen; and emboldened by this success and by Curio's personal example, his legions advanced through the difficult ravine separating the two lines to the attack, for which the Pompeians however did not wait, but disgracefully fled back to their camp and evacuated even this in the ensuing night. The victory was so complete that Curio at once took steps to besiege Utica. When news 'arrived, however, that king Juba was advancing with all his forces to its relief, Curio resolved, just as Scipio had done on the arrival of Syphax, to raise the siege and to return to Scipio's former camp till reinforcements should arrive from Sicily. Soon afterwards

**Curio
conquers
at Utica**

came a second report, that king Juba had been induced by the attacks of neighbouring princes to turn back with his main force and was sending to the aid of the besieged merely a moderate corps under Saburra. Curio, who from his lively temperament had only with great reluctance made up his mind to rest, now set out again at once to fight with Saburra before he could enter into communication with the garrison of Utica.

**Curio
defeated
by Juba
on the
Bagradas.**

His cavalry, which had gone forward in the evening, actually succeeded in surprising the corps of Saburra on the Bagradas during the night and inflicting much damage upon it; and on the news of this victory Curio hastened the march of the infantry, in order by their means to complete the defeat. Soon they perceived on the last slopes of the heights that sank towards the Bagradas the corps of Saburra, which was skirmishing with the Roman horsemen; the legions coming up helped to drive it completely down into the plain. But here the combat changed its aspect. Saburra was not, as they supposed, destitute of support; on the contrary he was not much more than five miles distant from the Numidian main force. Already the flower of the Numidian infantry and 2000 Gallic and Spanish horsemen had arrived on the field of battle to support Saburra, and the king in person with the bulk of the army and sixteen elephants was approaching. After the nocturnal march and the hot conflict there were at the moment not more than 200 of the Roman cavalry together, and these as well as the infantry, extremely exhausted by fatigue and fighting, were all surrounded, in the wide plain into which they had allowed themselves to be allured, by the continually increasing hosts of the enemy. Vainly Curio endeavoured to engage in close combat; the Libyan horsemen retreated, as they were wont, so soon as a Roman division advanced, only to pursue it when it turned. In vain he attempted

to regain the heights; they were occupied and foreclosed by the enemy's horse. All was lost. The infantry was cut down to the last man. Of the cavalry a few succeeded in cutting their way through; Curio too might have probably saved himself, but he could not bear to appear alone before his master without the army entrusted to him, and died sword in hand. Even the force which was collected in the camp before Utica, and that which guarded the fleet—which might so easily have escaped to Sicily—surrendered under the impression made by the fearfully rapid catastrophe on the following day to Varus (Aug. or Sept. 705).

Death of
Curio.

49.

So ended the expedition arranged by Caesar to Sicily and Africa. It attained its object so far, since by the occupation of Sicily in connection with that of Sardinia at least the most urgent wants of the capital were relieved; the miscarriage of the conquest of Africa—from which the victorious party drew no farther substantial gain—and the loss of two untrustworthy legions might be got over. But the early death of Curio was an irreparable loss for Caesar, and indeed for Rome. Not without reason had Caesar entrusted the most important independent command to this young man, although he had no military experience and was notorious for his dissolute life; there was a spark of Caesar's own spirit in the fiery youth. He resembled Caesar, inasmuch as he too had drained the cup of pleasure to the dregs; inasmuch as he did not become a statesman because he was an officer, but on the contrary it was his political action that placed the sword in his hands; inasmuch as his eloquence was not that of rounded periods, but the eloquence of deeply-felt thought; inasmuch as his mode of warfare was based on rapid action with slight means; inasmuch as his character was marked by levity and often by frivolity, by pleasant frankness and thorough life in the moment. If, as his general says of him, youthful

fire and high courage carried him into incautious acts, and if he too proudly accepted death that he might not submit to be pardoned for a pardonable fault, traits of similar imprudence and similar pride are not wanting in Caesar's history also. We may regret that this exuberant nature was not permitted to work off its follies and to preserve itself for the following generation so miserably poor in talents, and so rapidly falling a prey to the dreadful rule of mediocrities.

**Pompeius'
plan of [49.
campaign
for 705.**

How far these events of the war in 705 interfered with Pompeius' general plan for the campaign, and particularly what part in that plan was assigned after the loss of Italy to the important military corps in the west, can only be determined by conjecture. That Pompeius had the intention of coming by way of Africa and Mauretania to the aid of his army fighting in Spain, was simply a romantic, and beyond doubt altogether groundless, rumour circulating in the camp of Ilerda. It is much more likely that he still kept by his earlier plan of attacking Caesar from both sides in Transalpine and Cisalpine Gaul (p. 206) even after the loss of Italy, and meditated a combined attack at once from Spain and Macedonia. It may be presumed that the Spanish army was meant to remain on the defensive at the Pyrenees till the Macedonian army in the course of organization was likewise ready to march; whereupon both would then have started simultaneously and effected a junction according to circumstances either on the Rhone or on the Po, while the fleet, it may be conjectured, would have attempted at the same time to reconquer Italy proper. On this supposition apparently Caesar had first prepared himself to meet an attack on Italy. One of the ablest of his officers, the tribune of the people Marcus Antonius, commanded there with *propraetorian* powers. The south-eastern ports—Sipus, Brundisium, Tarentum—where an attempt at landing was first to be expected, had received a garrison of three legions. Besides this Quintus Hortensius,

the degenerate son of the well-known orator, collected a fleet in the Tyrrhene Sea, and Publius Dolabella a second fleet in the Adriatic, which were to be employed partly to support the defence, partly to transport the intended expedition to Greece. In the event of Pompeius attempting to penetrate by land into Italy, Marcus Licinius Crassus, the eldest son of the old colleague of Caesar, was to conduct the defence of Cisalpine Gaul, Gaius the younger brother of Marcus Antonius that of Illyricum.

But the expected attack was long in coming. It was not till the height of summer that the conflict began in Illyria. There Caesar's lieutenant Gaius Antonius with his two legions lay in the island of Curicta (Veglia in the gulf of Quarnero), and Caesar's admiral Publius Dolabella with forty ships lay in the narrow arm of the sea between this island and the mainland. The admirals of Pompeius in the Adriatic, Marcus Octavius with the Greek, Lucius Scribonius Libo with the Illyrian division of the fleet, attacked the squadron of Dolabella, destroyed all his ships, and cut off Antonius on his island. To rescue him, a corps under Basilus and Sallustius came from Italy and the squadron of Hortensius from the Tyrrhene Sea; but neither the former nor the latter were able to effect anything in presence of the far superior fleet of the enemy. The legions of Antonius had to be abandoned to their fate. Provisions came to an end, the troops became troublesome and mutinous; with the exception of a few divisions, which succeeded in reaching the mainland on rafts, the corps, still fifteen cohorts strong, laid down their arms and were conveyed in the vessels of Libo to Macedonia to be there incorporated with the Pompeian army, while Octavius was left to complete the subjugation of the Illyrian coast now denuded of troops. The Dalmatae, now far the most powerful tribe in these regions (p. 103), the important insular town of Issa (Lissa), and other townships, embraced

Caesar's
fleet and
army in
Illyricum
destroyed

the party of Pompeius; but the adherents of Caesar maintained themselves in Salonae (Spalato) and Lissus (Alessio), and in the former town not merely sustained with courage a siege, but when they were reduced to extremities, made a sally with such effect that Octavius raised the siege and sailed off to Dyrrhachium to pass the winter there.

**Result
of the
campaign
as a whole.**

The success achieved in Illyricum by the Pompeian fleet, although of itself not inconsiderable, had yet but little influence on the issue of the campaign as a whole; and it appears miserably small, when we consider that the performances of the land and naval forces under the supreme command of Pompeius during the whole eventful year 705 were confined to this single feat of arms, and that from the east, where the general, the senate, the second great army, the principal fleet, the immense military and still more extensive financial resources of the antagonists of Caesar were united, no intervention at all took place where it was needed in that all-decisive struggle in the west. The scattered condition of the forces in the eastern half of the empire, the method of the general never to operate except with superior masses, his cumbrous and tedious movements, and the discord of the coalition may perhaps explain in some measure, though not excuse, the inactivity of the land-force; but that the fleet, which commanded the Mediterranean without a rival, should have thus done nothing to influence the course of affairs—nothing for Spain, next to nothing for the faithful Massiliots, nothing to defend Sardinia, Sicily, Africa, or, if not to reoccupy Italy, at least to obstruct its supplies—this makes demands on our ideas of the confusion and perversity prevailing in the Pompeian camp, which we can only with difficulty meet.

The aggregate result of this campaign was corresponding. Caesar's double aggressive movement, against Spain and against Sicily and Africa, was successful in the former case

completely, in the latter at least partially; while Pompeius' plan of starving Italy was thwarted in the main by the taking away of Sicily, and his general plan of campaign was frustrated completely by the destruction of the Spanish army; and in Italy only a very small portion of Caesar's defensive arrangements had come to be applied. Notwithstanding the painfully-felt losses in Africa and Illyria, Caesar came forth from this first year of the war in the most decided and most decisive manner as victor.

If, however, nothing material was done from the east to obstruct Caesar in the subjugation of the west, efforts at least were made towards securing political and military consolidation there during the respite so ignominiously obtained. The great rendezvous of the opponents of Caesar was Macedonia. Thither Pompeius himself and the mass of the emigrants from Brundisium resorted; thither came the other refugees from the west: Marcus Cato from Sicily, Lucius Domitius from Massilia, but more especially a number of the best officers and soldiers of the broken-up army of Spain, with its generals Afranius and Varro at their head. In Italy emigration gradually became among the aristocrats a question not of honour merely but almost of fashion, and it obtained a fresh impulse through the unfavourable accounts which arrived regarding Caesar's position before Ilerda; not a few of the more lukewarm partisans and the political trimmers went over by degrees, and even Marcus Cicero at last persuaded himself that he did not adequately discharge his duty as a citizen by writing a dissertation on concord. The senate of emigrants at Thessalonica, where the official Rome pitched its *interim* abode, numbered nearly 200 members, including many venerable old men and almost all the consulars. But emigrants indeed they were. This Roman Coblenz displayed a pitiful spectacle in the high pretensions and paltry performances of the genteel world of Rome, their

**Organiza-
tions in
Mace-
donia.**

**The
emigrants.**

unseasonable reminiscences and still more unseasonable recriminations, their political perversities and financial embarrassments. It was a matter of comparatively slight moment that, while the old structure was falling to pieces, they were with the most painstaking gravity watching over every old ornamental scroll and every speck of rust in the constitution; after all it was simply ridiculous, when the genteel lords had scruples of conscience as to calling their deliberative assembly beyond the sacred soil of the city the senate, and cautiously gave it the title of the "three hundred" ;¹ or when they instituted tedious investigations in state law as to whether and how a curiate law could be legitimately enacted elsewhere than within the ring-wall of Rome.

The
lukewarm.

Far worse traits were the indifference of the lukewarm and the narrow-minded stubbornness of the ultras. The former could not be brought to act or even to keep silence. If they were asked to exert themselves in some definite way for the common good, with the inconsistency characteristic of weak people they regarded any such suggestion as a malicious attempt to compromise them still further, and either did not do what they were ordered at all or did it with half heart. At the same time of course, with their affectation of knowing better when it was too late and their over-wise impracticabilities, they proved a perpetual clog to those who were acting; their daily work consisted in criticizing, ridiculing, and bemoaning every occurrence great

¹ As according to formal law the "legal deliberative assembly" undoubtedly, just like the "legal court," could only take place in the city itself or within the precincts, the assembly representing the senate in the African army called itself the "three hundred" (*Bell. Afric.* 88, 90; *Appian*, ii. 95), not because it consisted of 300 members, but because this was the ancient normal number of senators (i. 98). It is very likely that this assembly recruited its ranks by *equites* of repute ; but, when Plutarch makes the three hundred to be Italian wholesale dealers (*Cato Min.* 59, 61), he has misunderstood his authority (*Bell. Afr.* 90). Of a similar kind must have been the arrangement as to the quasi-senate already in Thessalonica.

and small, and in unnerving and discouraging the multitude by their own sluggishness and hopelessness.

While these displayed the utter prostration of weakness, **The ultras** the ultras on the other hand exhibited in full display its exaggerated action. With them there was no attempt to conceal that the preliminary to any negotiation for peace was the bringing over of Caesar's head; every one of the attempts towards peace, which Caesar repeatedly made even now, was tossed aside without being examined, or employed only to cover insidious attempts on the lives of the commissioners of their opponent. That the declared partisans of Caesar had jointly and severally forfeited life and property, was a matter of course; but it fared little better with those more or less neutral. Lucius Domitius, the hero of Corfinium, gravely proposed in the council of war that those senators who had fought in the army of Pompeius should come to a vote on all who had either remained neutral or had emigrated but not entered the army, and should according to their own pleasure individually acquit them or punish them by fine or even by the forfeiture of life and property. Another of these ultras formally lodged with Pompeius a charge of corruption and treason against Lucius Afranius for his defective defence of Spain. Among these deep-dyed republicans their political theory assumed almost the character of a confession of religious faith; they accordingly hated their own more lukewarm partisans and Pompeius with his personal adherents, if possible, still more than their open opponents, and that with all the dull obstinacy of hatred which is wont to characterize orthodox theologians; and they were mainly to blame for the numberless and bitter separate quarrels which distracted the emigrant army and emigrant senate. But they did not confine themselves to words. Marcus Bibulus, Titus Labienus, and others of this coterie carried out their theory in practice, and caused such officers or soldiers of Caesar's

army as fell into their hands to be executed *en masse*; which, as may well be conceived, did not tend to make Caesar's troops fight with less energy. If the counter-revolution in favour of the friends of the constitution, for which all the elements were in existence (p. 216), did not break out in Italy during Caesar's absence, the reason, according to the assurance of discerning opponents of Caesar, lay chiefly in the general dread of the unbridled fury of the republican ultras after the restoration should have taken place. The better men in the Pompeian camp were in despair over this frantic behaviour. Pompeius, himself a brave soldier, spared the prisoners as far as he might and could; but he was too pusillanimous and in too awkward a position to prevent or even to punish all atrocities of this sort, as it became him as commander-in-chief to do. Marcus Cato, the only man who at least carried moral consistency into the struggle, attempted with more energy to check such proceedings; he induced the emigrant senate to prohibit by a special decree the pillage of subject towns and the putting to death of a burgess otherwise than in battle. The able Marcus Marcellus had similar views. No one, indeed, knew better than Cato and Marcellus that the extreme party would carry out their saving deeds, if necessary, in defiance of all decrees of the senate. But if even now, when they had still to regard considerations of prudence, the rage of the ultras could not be tamed, people might prepare themselves after the victory for a reign of terror from which Marius and Sulla themselves would have turned away with horror; and we can understand why Cato, according to his own confession, was more afraid of the victory than of the defeat of his own party.

**The pre-
parations
for war.**

The management of the military preparations in the Macedonian camp was in the hands of Pompeius the commander-in-chief. His position, always troublesome

and galling, had become still worse through the unfortunate events of 705. In the eyes of his partisans he was mainly 49. to blame for this result. This judgment was in various respects not just. A considerable part of the misfortunes endured was to be laid to the account of the perversity and insubordination of the lieutenant-generals, especially of the consul Lentulus and Lucius Domitius; from the moment when Pompeius took the head of the army, he had led it with skill and courage, and had saved at least very considerable forces from the shipwreck; that he was not a match for Caesar's altogether superior genius, which was now recognized by all, could not be fairly made matter of reproach to him. But the result alone decided men's judgment. Trusting to the general Pompeius, the constitutional party had broken with Caesar; the pernicious consequences of this breach recoiled upon the general Pompeius; and, though owing to the notorious military incapacity of all the other chiefs no attempt was made to change the supreme command, yet confidence at any rate *in* the commander-in-chief was paralyzed. To these painful consequences of the defeats endured were added the injurious influences of the emigration. Among the refugees who arrived there were certainly a number of efficient soldiers and capable officers, especially those belonging to the former Spanish army; but the number of those who came to serve and fight was just as small as that of the generals of quality who called themselves pro-consuls and imperators with as good title as Pompeius, and of the genteel lords who took part in active military service more or less reluctantly, was alarmingly great. Through these the mode of life *in* the capital was introduced into the camp, not at all to the advantage of the army; the tents of such grandees were graceful bowers, the ground elegantly covered with fresh turf, the walls clothed with ivy; silver plate stood on the table, and the wine-cup

often circulated there even in broad daylight. Those fashionable warriors formed a singular contrast with Caesar's daredevils, who ate coarse bread from which the former recoiled, and who, when that failed, devoured even roots and swore that they would rather chew the bark of trees than desist from the enemy. While, moreover, the action of Pompeius was hampered by the necessity of having regard to the authority of a collegiate board personally disinclined to him, this embarrassment was singularly increased when the senate of emigrants took up its abode almost in his very headquarters and all the venom of the emigrants now found vent in these senatorial sittings. Lastly there was nowhere any man of mark, who could have thrown his own weight into the scale against all these preposterous doings. Pompeius himself was intellectually far too secondary for that purpose, and far too hesitating, awkward, and reserved. Marcus Cato would have had at least the requisite moral authority, and would not have lacked the good will to support Pompeius with it; but Pompeius, instead of calling him to his assistance, out of distrustful jealousy kept him in the background, and preferred for instance to commit the highly important chief command of the fleet to the in every respect incapable Marcus Bibulus rather than to Cato.

**The
legions of
Pompeius.**

While Pompeius thus treated the political aspect of his position with his characteristic perversity, and did his best to make what was already bad in itself still worse, he devoted himself on the other hand with commendable zeal to his duty of giving military organization to the considerable but scattered forces of his party. The flower of his force was composed of the troops brought with him from Italy, out of which with the supplementary aid of the Illyrian prisoners of war and the Romans domiciled in Greece five legions in all were formed. Three others came from the east—the two Syrian legions formed from

the remains of the army of Crassus, and one made up out of **the** two weak legions hitherto stationed in Cilicia. Nothing stood in the way of the withdrawal of these corps of occupation : because on the one hand the Pompeians had an understanding with the Parthians, and might even have had an alliance with them if Pompeius had not indignantly refused to pay them the price which they demanded for it—the cession of the Syrian province added by himself to the empire; and on the other hand Caesar's plan of despatching two legions to Syria, and inducing the Jews once more to take up arms by means of the prince Aristobulus kept a prisoner in Rome, was frustrated partly by other causes, partly by the death of Aristobulus. New legions were moreover raised—one from the veteran soldiers settled in Crete and Macedonia, two from the Romans of Asia Minor. To all these fell to be added 2000 volunteers, who were derived from the remains of the Spanish select corps and other similar sources; and, lastly, the contingents of the subjects. Pompeius like Caesar had disdained to make requisitions of infantry from them; only the Epirot, Aetolian, and Thracian militia were called out to guard the coast, and moreover 3000 archers from Greece and Asia Minor and 1200 slingers were taken up as light troops.

The cavalry on the other hand—with the exception of **His cavalry** a noble guard, more respectable than militarily important, formed from the young aristocracy of Rome, and of the Apulian slave-herdsmen whom Pompeius had mounted (p. 205)—consisted exclusively of the contingents of the subjects and clients of Rome. The flower of it consisted of the Celts, partly from the garrison of Alexandria (iv. 452), partly the contingents of king Deiotarus who in spite of his great age had appeared in person at the head of his troops, and of the other Galatian dynasts. With them were associated the excellent Thracian horsemen, who

were partly brought up by their princes Sadala and Rhascuporis, partly enlisted by Pompeius in the Macedonian province; the Cappadocian cavalry; the mounted archers sent by Antiochus king of Commagene; the contingents of the Armenians from the west side of the Euphrates under Taxiles, and from the other side under Megabates, and the Numidian bands sent by king Juba—the whole body amounted to 7000 horsemen.

Fleet.

Lastly the fleet of Pompeius was very considerable. It was formed partly of the Roman transports brought from Brundisium or subsequently built, partly of the war vessels of the king of Egypt, of the Colchian princes, of the Cilician dynast Tarcondimotus, of the cities of Tyre, Rhodes, Athens, Corcyra, and generally of all the Asiatic and Greek maritime states; and it numbered nearly 500 sail, of which the Roman vessels formed a fifth. Immense magazines of corn and military stores were accumulated in Dyrrhachium. The war-chest was well filled, for the Pompeians found themselves in possession of the principal sources of the public revenue and turned to their own account the moneyed resources of the client-princes, of the senators of distinction, of the farmers of the taxes, and generally of the whole Roman and non-Roman population within their reach. Every appliance that the reputation of the legitimate government and the much-renowned protectorship of Pompeius over kings and peoples could move in Africa, Egypt, Macedonia, Greece, Western Asia and Syria, had been put in motion for the protection of the Roman republic; the report which circulated in Italy that Pompeius was arming the Getae, Colchians, and Armenians against Rome, and the designation of "king of kings" given to Pompeius in the camp, could hardly be called exaggerations. On the whole he had command over an army of 7000 cavalry and eleven legions, of which, it is true, but five at the most could be described as

accustomed to war, and over a fleet of 500 sail. The temper of the soldiers, for whose provisioning and pay Pompeius manifested adequate care, and to whom in the event of victory the most abundant rewards were promised, was throughout good, in several—and these precisely the most efficient—divisions even excellent; but a great part of the army consisted of newly-raised troops, the formation and training of which, however zealously it was prosecuted, necessarily required time. The force altogether was imposing, but at the same time of a somewhat motley character.

According to the design of the commander-in-chief the army and fleet were to be in substance completely united by the winter of 705-706 along the coast and in the waters of Epirus. The admiral Bibulus had already arrived with no ships at his new headquarters, Corcyra. On the other hand the land-army, the headquarters of which had been during the summer at Berrhoea on the Haliacmon, had not yet come up; the mass of it was moving slowly along the great highway from Thessalonica towards the west coast to the future headquarters Dyrrhachium; the two legions, which Metellus Scipio was bringing up from Syria, remained at Pergamus in Asia for winter quarters and were expected in Europe only towards spring. They were taking time in fact for their movements. For the moment the ports of Epirus were guarded, over and above the fleet, merely by their own civic defences and the levies of the adjoining districts.

**Junction
of the
Pompeians
[49-48.
on the
coast of
Epirus.**

It thus remained possible for Caesar, notwithstanding the intervention of the Spanish war, to assume the offensive also in Macedonia; and he at least was not slow to act. He had long ago ordered the collection of vessels of war and transports in Brundisium, and after the capitulation of the Spanish army and the fall of Massilia had directed the greater portion of the select troops employed there

**Caesar
against
Pompeius.**

- to proceed to that destination. The unparalleled exertions no doubt, which were thus required by Caesar from his soldiers, thinned the ranks more than their conflicts had done, and the mutiny of one of the four oldest legions, the ninth, on its march through Placentia was a dangerous indication of the temper prevailing in the army ; but Caesar's presence of mind and personal authority gained the mastery, and from this quarter nothing impeded the embarkation. But the want of ships, through which the
49. pursuit of Pompeius had failed in March 705, threatened also to frustrate this expedition. The war-vessels, which Caesar had given orders to build in the Gallic, Sicilian, and Italian ports, were not yet ready or at any rate not on the spot; his squadron in the Adriatic had been in the previous year destroyed at Curicta (p. 235) 3 he found at Brundisium not more than twelve ships of war and scarcely transports enough to convey over at once the third part of his army—of twelve legions and 10,000 cavalry—destined for Greece. The considerable fleet of the enemy exclusively commanded the Adriatic and especially all the harbours of the mainland and islands on its eastern coast. Under such circumstances the question presents itself, why Caesar did not instead of the maritime route choose the land route through Illyria, which relieved him from all the perils threatened by the fleet and besides was shorter for his troops, who mostly came from Gaul, than the route by Brundisium. It is true that the regions of Illyria were rugged and poor beyond description; but they were traversed by other armies not long afterwards, and this obstacle can hardly have appeared insurmountable to the conqueror of Gaul. Perhaps he apprehended that during the troublesome march through Illyria Pompeius might convey his whole force over the Adriatic, whereby their parts might come at once to be changed—with Caesar in Macedonia, and Pompeius in

Italy; although such a rapid change was scarcely to be expected from his slow-moving antagonist. Perhaps Caesar had decided for the maritime route on the supposition that his fleet would meanwhile be brought into a condition to command respect, and, when after his return from Spain he became aware of the true state of things in the Adriatic, it might be too late to change the plan of campaign. Perhaps—and, in accordance with Caesar's quick temperament always urging him to decision, we may even say in all probability—he found himself irresistibly tempted by the circumstance that the Epirot coast was still at the moment unoccupied but would certainly be covered in a few days by the enemy, to thwart once more by a bold stroke the whole plan of his antagonist.

However this may be, on the 4th Jan. 706^x Caesar set sail with six legions greatly thinned by toil and sickness and 600 horsemen from Brundisium for the coast of Epirus. It was a counterpart to the foolhardy Britannic expedition; but at least the first throw was fortunate. The coast was reached in the middle of the Acroceraunian (Chimara) cliffs, at the little-frequented roadstead of Paleassa (Paljassa). The transports were seen both from the harbour of Oricum (creek of Avlona) where a Pompeian squadron of eighteen sail was lying, and from the headquarters of the hostile fleet at Corcyra; but in the one quarter they deemed themselves too weak, in the other they were not ready to sail, so that the first freight was landed without hindrance. While the vessels at once returned to bring over the second, Caesar on that same evening scaled the Acroceraunian mountains. His first successes were as great as the surprise of his enemies. The Epirot militia nowhere offered resistance; the important seaport towns of Oricum and Apollonia along with a

48.
Caesar
lands in
Epirus.

First
successes.

¹ According to the rectified calendar on the 5th Nov. 705.

number of smaller townships were taken, and Dyrrhachium, selected by the Pompeians as their chief arsenal and filled with stores of all sorts, but only feebly garrisoned, was in the utmost danger.

Caesar cut
off from
Italy.

But the further course of the campaign did not correspond to this brilliant beginning. Bibulus subsequently made up in some measure for the negligence, of which he had allowed himself to be guilty, by redoubling his exertions. He not only captured nearly thirty of the transports returning home, and caused them with every living thing on board to be burnt, but he also established along the whole district of coast occupied by Caesar, from the island Sason (Saseno) as far as the ports of Corcyra, a most careful watch, however troublesome it was rendered by the inclement season of the year and the necessity of bringing everything necessary for the guard-ships, even wood and water, from Corcyra ; in fact his successor Libo—for he himself soon succumbed to the unwonted fatigues—even blockaded for a time the port of Brundisium, till the want of water again dislodged him from the little island in front of it on which he had established himself. It was not possible for Caesar's officers to convey the second portion of the army over to their general. As little did he himself succeed in the capture of Dyrrhachium. Pompeius learned through one of Caesar's peace envoys as to his preparations for the voyage to the Epirot coast, and, thereupon accelerating his march, threw himself just at the right time into that important arsenal. The situation of Caesar was critical. Although he extended his range in Epirus as far as with his slight strength was at all possible, the subsistence of his army remained difficult and precarious, while the enemy, in possession of the magazines of Dyrrhachium and masters of the sea, had abundance of everything. With his army presumably little above 20,000 strong he could not offer battle to that of Pompeius at

least twice as numerous, but had to deem himself fortunate that Pompeius went methodically to work and, instead of immediately forcing a battle, took up his winter quarters between Dyrrhachium and Apollonia on the right bank of the Apsus, facing Caesar on the left, in order that after the arrival of the legions from Pergamus in the spring he might annihilate the enemy with an irresistibly superior force. Thus months passed. If the arrival of the better season, which brought to the enemy a strong additional force and the free use of his fleet, found Caesar still in the same position, he was to all appearance lost, with his weak band wedged in among the rocks of Epirus between the immense fleet and the three times superior land army of the enemy; and already the winter was drawing to a close. His sole hope still depended on the transport fleet; that it should steal or fight its way through the blockade was hardly to be hoped for; but after the first voluntary foolhardiness this second venture was enjoined by necessity. How desperate his situation appeared to Caesar himself, is shown by his resolution—when the fleet still came not—to sail alone in a fisherman's boat across the Adriatic to Brundisium in order to fetch it; which, in reality, was only abandoned because no mariner was found to undertake the daring voyage.

But his appearance in person was not needed to induce the faithful officer who commanded in Italy, Marcus Antonius, to make this last effort for the saving of his master. Once more the transport fleet, with four legions and 800 horsemen on board, sailed from the harbour of Brundisium, and fortunately a strong south wind carried it past Libo's galleys. But the same wind, which thus saved the fleet, rendered it impossible for it to land as it was directed on the coast of Apollonia, and compelled it to sail past the camps of Caesar and Pompeius and to steer to the north of Dyrrhachium towards Lissus, which town

**Antonius
proceeds to
Epirus.**

fortunately still adhered to Caesar (p. 236). When it sailed past the harbour of Dyrrhachium, the Rhodian galleys started in pursuit, and hardly had the ships of Antonius entered the port of Lissus when the enemy's squadron appeared before it. But just at this moment the wind suddenly veered, and drove the pursuing galleys back into the open sea and partly on the rocky coast. Through the most marvellous good fortune the landing of the second freight had also been successful.

**Junction
of Caesar's
army.**

Antonius and Caesar were no doubt still some four days' march from each other, separated by Dyrrhachium and the whole army of the enemy; but Antonius happily effected the perilous march round about Dyrrhachium through the passes of the Graba Balkan, and was received by Caesar, who had gone to meet him, on the right bank of the Apsus. Pompeius, after having vainly attempted to prevent the junction of the two armies of the enemy and to force the corps of Antonius to fight by itself, took up a new position at Asparagium on the river Genusus (Skumbi), which flows parallel to the Apsus between the latter and the town of Dyrrhachium, and here remained once more immovable. Caesar felt himself now strong enough to give battle; but Pompeius declined it. On the other hand Caesar succeeded in deceiving his adversary and throwing himself unawares with his better marching troops, just as at Ilerda, between the enemy's camp and the fortress of Dyrrhachium on which it rested as a basis. The chain of the Graba Balkan, which stretching in a direction from east to west ends on the Adriatic in the narrow tongue of land at Dyrrhachium, sends off—fourteen miles to the east of Dyrrhachium—in a south-westerly direction a lateral branch which likewise turns in the form of a crescent towards the sea, and the main chain and lateral branch of the mountains enclose between themselves a small plain extending round a cliff on the seashore. Here

Pompeius now took up his camp, and, although Caesar's army kept the land route to Dyrrhachium closed against him, he yet with the aid of his fleet remained constantly in communication with the town and was amply and easily provided from it with everything needful; while among the Caesarians, notwithstanding strong detachments to the country lying behind, and notwithstanding all the exertions of the general to bring about an organized system of conveyance and thereby a regular supply, there was more than scarcity, and flesh, barley, nay even roots had very frequently to take the place of the wheat to which they were accustomed.

As his phlegmatic opponent persevered in his inaction, Caesar undertook to occupy the circle of heights which enclosed the plain on the shore held by Pompeius, with the view of being able at least to arrest the movements of the superior cavalry of the enemy and to operate with more freedom against Dyrrhachium, and if possible to compel his opponent either to battle or to embarkation. Nearly the half of Caesars troops was detached to the interior; it seemed almost Quixotic to propose with the rest virtually to besiege an army perhaps twice as strong, concentrated in position, and resting on the sea and the fleet. Yet Caesar's veterans by infinite exertions invested the Pompeian camp with a chain of posts sixteen miles long, and afterwards added, just as before Alesia, to this inner line a second outer one, to protect themselves against attacks from Dyrrhachium and against attempts to turn their position which could so easily be executed with the aid of the fleet. Pompeius attacked more than once portions of these entrenchments with a view to break if possible the enemy's line, but he did not attempt to prevent the investment by a battle; he preferred to construct in his turn a number of entrenchments around his camp, and to connect them with one another by lines. Both sides exerted

Caesar
invests the
camp of
Pompeius.

themselves to push forward their trenches as far as possible, and the earthworks advanced but slowly amidst constant conflicts. At the same time skirmishing went on on the opposite side of Caesar's camp with the garrison of Dyrrhachium ; Caesar hoped to get the fortress into his power by means of an understanding with some of its inmates, but was prevented by the enemy's fleet. There was incessant fighting at very different points—on one of the hottest days at six places simultaneously—and, as a rule, the tried valour of the Caesarians had the advantage in these skirmishes; once, for instance, a single cohort maintained itself in its entrenchments against four legions for several hours, till support came up. No prominent success was attained on either side; yet the effects of the investment came by degrees to be oppressively felt by the Pompeians. The stopping of the rivulets flowing from the heights into the plain compelled them to be content with scanty and bad well-water. Still more severely felt was the want of fodder for the beasts of burden and the horses, which the fleet was unable adequately to remedy ; numbers of them died, and it was of but little avail that the horses were conveyed by the fleet to Dyrrhachium, because there also they did not find sufficient fodder.

Caesar's
lines
broken.

Pompeius could not much longer delay to free himself from his disagreeable position by a blow struck against the enemy. He was informed by Celtic deserters that the enemy had neglected to secure the beach between his two chains of entrenchments 600 feet distant from each other by a cross-wall, and on this he formed his plan. While he caused the inner line of Caesars entrenchments to be attacked by the legions from the camp, and the outer line by the light troops placed in vessels and landed beyond the enemy's entrenchments, a third division landed in the space left between the two lines and attacked in the rear their already sufficiently occupied defenders. The entrenchment

next to the sea was taken, and the garrison fled in wild confusion; with difficulty the commander of the next trench Marcus Antonius succeeded in maintaining it and in setting a limit for the moment to the advance of the Pompeians; but, apart from the considerable loss, the outermost entrenchment along the sea remained in the hands of the Pompeians and the line was broken through. Caesar the more eagerly seized the opportunity, which soon after presented itself, of attacking a Pompeian legion, which had incautiously become isolated, with the bulk of his infantry. But the attacked offered valiant resistance, and, as the ground on which the fight took place had been several times employed for the encampment of larger and lesser divisions and was intersected in various directions by mounds and ditches, Caesar's right wing along with the cavalry entirely missed its way; instead of supporting the left in attacking the Pompeian legion, it got into a narrow trench that led from one of the old camps towards the river. So Pompeius, who came up in all haste with five legions to the aid of his troops, found the two wings of the enemy separated from each other, and one of them in an utterly forlorn position. When the Caesarians saw him advance, a panic seized them; the whole plunged into disorderly flight; and, if the matter ended with the loss of 1000 of the best soldiers and Caesar's army did not sustain a complete defeat, this was due simply to the circumstance that Pompeius also could not freely develop his force on the broken ground, and to the further fact that, fearing a stratagem, he at first held back his troops.

Caesar
once more
defeated.

But, even as it was, these days were fraught with mischief. Not only had Caesar endured the most serious losses and forfeited at a blow his entrenchments, the result of four months of gigantic labour.; he was by the recent engagements thrown back again exactly to the point from which he had set out. From the sea he was more completely driven than ever, since Pompeius' elder son Gnaeus

Conse-
quences of
Caesar's
defeats.

had by a bold attack partly burnt, partly carried off, Caesar's few ships of war lying in the port of Oricum, and had soon afterwards also set fire to the transport fleet that was left behind in Lissus; all possibility of bringing up fresh reinforcements to Caesar by sea from Brundisium was thus lost. The numerous Pompeian cavalry, now released from their confinement, poured themselves over the adjacent country and threatened to render the provisioning of Caesar's army, which had always been difficult, utterly impossible. Caesar's daring enterprise of carrying on offensive operations without ships against an enemy in command of the sea and resting on his fleet had totally failed. On what had hitherto been the theatre of war he found himself in presence of an impregnable defensive position, and unable to strike a serious blow either against Dyrrhachium or against the hostile army; on the other hand it depended now solely on Pompeius whether he should proceed to attack under the most favourable circumstances an antagonist already in grave danger as to his means of subsistence. The war had arrived at a crisis. Hitherto Pompeius had, to all appearance, played the game of war without special plan, and only adjusted his defence according to the exigencies of each attack; and this was not to be censured, for the protraction of the war gave him opportunity of making his recruits capable of fighting, of bringing up his reserves, and of bringing more fully into play the superiority of his fleet in the Adriatic. Caesar was beaten not merely in tactics but also in strategy. This defeat had not, it is true, that effect which Pompeius not without reason expected; the eminent soldierly energy of Caesar's veterans did not allow matters to come to an immediate and total breaking up of the army by hunger and mutiny. But yet it seemed as if it depended solely on his opponent by judiciously following up his victory to reap its full fruits.

It was for Pompeius to assume the aggressive; and he was resolved to do so. Three different ways of rendering his victory fruitful presented themselves to him. The first and simplest was not to desist from assailing the vanquished army, and, if it departed, to pursue it. Secondly, Pompeius might leave Caesar himself and his best troops in Greece, and might cross in person, as he had long been making preparations for doing, with the main army to Italy, where the feeling was decidedly antimonarchical and the forces of Caesar, after the despatch of the best troops and their brave and trustworthy commandant to the Greek army, would not be of very much moment. Lastly, the victor might turn inland, effect a junction with the legions of Metellus Scipio, and attempt to capture the troops of Caesar stationed in the interior. The latter forsooth had, immediately after the arrival of the second freight from Italy, on the one hand despatched strong detachments to Aetolia and Thessaly to procure means of subsistence for his army, and on the other had ordered a corps of two legions under Gnaeus Domitius Calvinus to advance on the Egnatian highway towards Macedonia, with the view of intercepting and if possible defeating in detail the corps of Scipio advancing on the same road from Thessalonica. Calvinus and Scipio had already approached within a few miles of each other, when Scipio suddenly turned southward and, rapidly crossing the Haliacmon (Inje Karasu) and leaving his baggage there under Marcus Favonius, penetrated into Thessaly, in order to attack with superior force Caesar's legion of recruits employed in the reduction of the country under Lucius Cassius Longinus. But Longinus retired over the mountains towards Ambracia to join the detachment under Gnaeus Calvisius Sabinus sent by Caesar to Aetolia, and Scipio could only cause him to be pursued by his Thracian cavalry, for Calvinus threatened his reserve left behind under Favonius on the Haliacmon with the same fate which

War
prospects
of
Pompeius.

Scipio and
Calvinus.

he had himself destined for Longinus. So Calvinus and Scipio met again on the Haliacmon, and encamped there for a considerable time opposite to each other.

Caesar's
retreat
from
Dyrrha-
chium to
Thessaly.

Pompeius might choose among these plans; no choice was left to Caesar. After that unfortunate engagement he entered on his retreat to Apollonia. Pompeius followed. The march from Dyrrhachium to Apollonia along a difficult road crossed by several rivers was no easy task for a defeated army pursued by the enemy; but the dexterous leadership of their general and the indestructible marching energy of the soldiers compelled Pompeius after four days' pursuit to suspend it as useless. He had now to decide between the Italian expedition and the march into the interior. However advisable and attractive the former might seem, and though various voices were raised in its favour, he preferred not to abandon the corps of Scipio, the more especially as he hoped by this march to get the corps of Calvinus into his hands. Calvinus lay at the moment on the Egnatian road at Heraclea Lyncestis, between Pompeius and Scipio, and, after Caesar had retreated to Apollonia, farther distant from the latter than from the great army of Pompeius; without knowledge, moreover, of the events at Dyrrhachium and of his hazardous position, since after the successes achieved at Dyrrhachium the whole country inclined to Pompeius and the messengers of Caesar were everywhere seized. It was not till the enemy's main force had approached within a few hours of him that Calvinus learned from the accounts of the enemy's advanced posts themselves the state of things. A quick departure in a southerly direction towards Thessaly withdrew him at the last moment from imminent destruction; Pompeius had to content himself with having liberated Scipio from his position of peril. Caesar had meanwhile arrived unmolested at Apollonia. Immediately after the disaster of Dyrrhachium he had resolved if possible to transfer the struggle from the

coast away into the interior, with the view of getting beyond the reach of the enemy's fleet—the ultimate cause of the failure of his previous exertions. The march to Apollonia had only been intended to place his wounded in safety and to pay his soldiers there, where his depots were stationed; as soon as this was done, he set out for Thessaly, leaving behind garrisons in Apollonia, Oricum, and Lissus. The corps of Calvinus had also put itself in motion towards Thessaly; and Caesar could effect a junction with the reinforcements coming up from Italy, this time by the land-route through Illyria—two legions under Quintus Cornificius—still more easily in Thessaly than in Epirus. Ascending by difficult paths in the valley of the Aous and crossing the mountain-chain which separates Epirus from Thessaly, he arrived at the Peneius; Calvinus was likewise directed thither, and the junction of the two armies was thus accomplished by the shortest route and that which was least exposed to the enemy. It took place at Aeginium not far from the source of the Peneius. The first Thessalian town before which the now united army appeared, Gomphi, closed its gates against it; it was quickly stormed and given up to pillage, and the other towns of Thessaly terrified by this example submitted, so soon as Caesar's legions merely appeared before the walls. Amidst these marches and conflicts, and with the help of the supplies—albeit not too ample—which the region on the Peneius afforded, the traces and recollections of the calamitous days through which they had passed gradually vanished.

The victories of Dyrrhachium had thus borne not much immediate fruit for the victors. Pompeius with his unwieldy army and his numerous cavalry had not been able to follow his versatile enemy into the mountains; Caesar like Calvinus had escaped from pursuit, and the two stood united and in full security in Thessaly. Perhaps it would have been the best course, *if* Pompeius had now without delay embarked

with his main force for Italy, where success was scarcely doubtful. But in the meantime only a division of the fleet departed for Sicily and Italy. In the camp of the coalition the contest with Caesar was looked on as so completely decided by the battles of Dyrrhachium that it only remained to reap the fruits of victory, in other words, to seek out and capture the defeated army. Their former over-cautious reserve was succeeded by an arrogance still less justified by the circumstances; they gave no heed to the facts, that they had, strictly speaking, failed in the pursuit, that they had to hold themselves in readiness to encounter a completely refreshed and reorganized army in Thessaly, and that there was no small risk in moving away from the sea, renouncing the support of the fleet, and following their antagonist to the battle-field chosen by himself. They were simply resolved at any price to fight with Caesar, and therefore to get at him as soon as possible and by the most convenient way. Cato took up the command in Dyrrhachium, where a garrison was left behind of eighteen cohorts, and in Corcyra, where 300 ships of war were left; Pompeius and Scipio proceeded—the former, apparently, following the Egnatian way as far as Pella and then striking into the great road to the south, the latter from the Haliacmon through the passes of Olympus—to the lower Peneius and met at Larisa.

The
armies at
Pharsalus.

Caesar lay to the south of Larisa in the plain—which extends between the hill-country of Cynoscephalae and the chain of Othrys and is intersected by a tributary of the Peneius, the Enipeus—on the left bank of the latter stream near the town of Pharsalus; Pompeius pitched his camp opposite to him on the right bank of the Enipeus along the slope of the heights of Cynoscephalae.¹ The entire army

¹ The exact determination of the field of battle is difficult. Appian (ii. 75) expressly places it between (New) Pharsalus (now Fersala) and the Enipeus. Of the two streams, which alone are of any importance in the

of Pompeius was assembled; Caesar on the other hand still expected the corps of nearly two legions formerly

question, and are undoubtedly the Apidanus and Enipeus of the ancients—the Sofadhitiko and the Fersaliti—the former has its sources in the mountains of Thaumaci (Dhomoko) and the Dolopian heights, the latter in mount Othrys, and the Fersaliti alone flows past Pharsalus ; now as the Enipeus according to Strabo (ix. p. 432) springs from mount Othrys and flows past Pharsalus, the Fersaliti has been most justly pronounced by Leake (*Northern Greece*, iv. 320) to be the Enipeus, and the hypothesis followed by Goler that the Fersaliti is the Apidanus is untenable. With this all the other statements of the ancients as to the two rivers agree. Only we must doubtless assume with Leake, that the river of Vlokho formed by the union of the Fersaliti and the Sofadhitiko and going to the Peneius was called by the ancients Apidanus as well as the Sofadhitiko; which, however, is the more natural, as while the Sofadhitiko probably has, the Fersaliti has not, constantly water (Leake, iv. 321). Old Pharsalus, from which the battle takes its name, must therefore have been situated between Fersala and the Fersaliti. Accordingly the battle was fought on the left bank of the Fersaliti, and in such a way that the Pompeians, standing with their faces towards Pharsalus, leaned their right wing on the river (Caesar, *B. C.* iii. 83 ; Frontinus, *Straf.* ii. 3, 22). The camp of the Pompeians, however, cannot have stood here, but only on the slope of the heights of Cynoscephalae, on the right bank of the Enipeus, partly because they barred the route of Caesar to Scotussa, partly because their line of retreat evidently went over the mountains that were to be found above the camp towards Larisa ; if they had, according to Leake's hypothesis (iv. 482), encamped to the east of Pharsalus on the left bank of the Enipeus, they could never have got to the northward through this stream, which at this very point has a deeply cut bed (Leake, iv. 469), and Pompeius must have fled to Lamia instead of Larisa. Probably therefore the Pompeians pitched their camp on the right bank of the Fersaliti, and passed the river both in order to fight and in order, after the battle, to regain their camp, whence they then moved up the slopes of Crannon and Scotussa, which culminate above the latter place in the heights of Cynoscephalae. This was not impossible. The Enipeus is a narrow slow-flowing rivulet, which Leake found two feet deep in November, and which in the hot season often lies quite dry (Leake, i. 448, and iv. 472 ; comp. Lucan, vi. 373), and the battle was fought in the height of summer. Further the armies before the battle lay three miles and a half from each other (Appian, *B. C.* ii. 65), so that the Pompeians could make all preparations and also properly secure the communication with their camp by bridges. Had the battle terminated in a complete rout, no doubt the retreat to and over the river could not have been executed, and doubtless for this reason Pompeius only reluctantly agreed to fight here. The left wing of the Pompeians which was the most remote from the base of retreat felt this; but the retreat at least of their centre and their right wing was not accomplished in such haste as to be impracticable under the given conditions. Caesar and his copyists are silent as to the crossing of the river, because this would place in too clear a light the eagerness for battle of the Pompeians apparent otherwise from the whole narrative, and they are also silent as to the conditions of retreat favourable for these.

detached to Aetolia and Thessaly, now stationed under Quintus Funus Calenus in Greece, and the two legions of Cornificius which were sent after him by the land-route from Italy and had already arrived in Illyria. The army of Pompeius, numbering eleven legions or 47,000 men and 7000 horse, was more than double that of Caesar in infantry, and seven times as numerous in cavalry; fatigue and conflicts had so decimated Caesar's troops, that his eight legions did not number more than 22,000 men under arms, consequently not nearly the half of their normal amount. The victorious army of Pompeius provided with a countless cavalry and good magazines had provisions in abundance, while the troops of Caesar had difficulty in keeping themselves alive and only hoped for better supplies from the corn-harvest not far distant. The Pompeian soldiers, who had learned in the last campaign to know war and trust their leader, were in the best of humour. All military reasons on the side of Pompeius favoured the view, that the decisive battle should not be long delayed, seeing that they now confronted Caesar in Thessaly; and the emigrant impatience of the many genteel officers and others accompanying the army doubtless had more weight than even such reasons in the council of war. Since the events of Dyrrhachium these lords regarded the triumph of their party as an ascertained fact; already there was eager strife as to the filling up of Caesar's supreme pontificate, and instructions were sent to Rome to hire houses at the Forum for the next elections. When Pompeius hesitated on his part to cross the rivulet which separated the two armies, and which Caesar with his much weaker army did not venture to pass, this excited great indignation; Pompeius, it was alleged, only delayed the battle in order to rule somewhat longer over so many consulars and praetorians and to perpetuate his part of Agamemnon. Pompeius yielded; and Caesar, who under the impression that matters would not come to a battle, had

just projected a mode of turning the enemy's army and for that purpose was on the point of setting out towards Scotussa, likewise arrayed his legions for battle, when he saw the Pompeians preparing to offer it to him on his bank.

Thus the battle of Pharsalus was fought on the 9th August 706, almost on the same field where a hundred and fifty years before the Romans had laid the foundation of their dominion in the east (ii. 433). Pompeius rested his right wing on the Enipeus; Caesar opposite to him rested his left on the broken ground stretching in front of the Enipeus; the two other wings were stationed out in the plain, covered in each case by the cavalry and the light troops. The intention of Pompeius was to keep his infantry on the defensive, but with his cavalry to scatter the weak band of horsemen which, mixed after the German fashion with light infantry, confronted him, and then to take Caesar's right wing in rear. His infantry courageously sustained the first charge of that of the enemy, and the engagement there came to a stand. Labienus likewise dispersed the enemy's cavalry after a brave but short resistance, and deployed his force to the left with the view of turning the infantry. But Caesar, foreseeing the defeat of his cavalry, had stationed behind it on the threatened flank of his right wing some 2000 of his best legionaries. As the enemy's horsemen, driving those of Caesar before them, galloped along and around the line, they suddenly came upon this select corps advancing intrepidly against them and, rapidly thrown into confusion by the unexpected and unusual infantry attack,¹ they galloped at full speed from

¹ With this is connected the well-known direction of Caesar to his soldiers to strike at the faces of the enemy's horsemen. The infantry—which here in an altogether irregular way acted on the offensive against cavalry, who were not to be reached with the sabres—were not to throw their *pila*, but to use them as hand-spears against the cavalry and, in order to defend themselves better against these, to thrust at their faces (Plutarch, *Pomp.*

the field of battle. The victorious legionaries cut to pieces the enemy's archers now unprotected, then rushed at the left wing of the enemy, and began now on their part to turn it. At the same time Caesars third division hitherto reserved advanced along the whole line to the attack. The unexpected defeat of the best arm of the Pompeian army, as it raised the courage of their opponents, broke that of the army and above all that of the general. When Pompeius, who from the outset did not trust his infantry, saw the horsemen gallop off, he rode back at once from the field of battle to the camp, without even awaiting the issue of the general attack ordered by Caesar. His legions began to waver and soon to retire over the brook into the camp, which was not accomplished without severe loss.

Its issue.

The day was thus lost and many an able soldier had fallen, but the army was still substantially intact, and the situation of Pompeius was far less perilous than that of Caesar after the defeat of Dyrrhachium. But while Caesar in the vicissitudes of his destiny had learned that fortune loves to withdraw herself at certain moments even from her favourites in order to be once more won back through their perseverance, Pompeius knew fortune hitherto only as the constant goddess, and despaired of himself and of her when she withdrew from him; and, while in Caesar's grander nature despair only developed yet mightier energies, the inferior soul of Pompeius under similar pressure sank into the infinite abyss of despondency. As once in the war with Sertorius he had been on the point of abandoning the office

69, 71 ; *Caes.* 45; *Appian*, ii. 76, 78 ; *Flor.* ii. 12 ; *Oros.* vi. 15 ; erroneously *Frontinus*, iv. 7, 32). The anecdotal turn given to this instruction, that the Pompeian horsemen were to be brought to run away by the fear of receiving scars in their faces, and that they actually galloped off •« holding their hands before their eyes" (*Plutarch*), collapses of itself; for it has point only on the supposition that the Pompeian cavalry had consisted principally of the young nobility of Rome, the "graceful dancers"; and this was not the case (p. 224). At the most it may be, that the wit of the camp gave to that simple and judicious military order this very irrational but certainly comic turn.

entrusted to him in presence of his superior opponent and of departing (iv. 298), so now, when he saw the legions retire over the stream, he threw from him the fatal general's scarf, and rode off by the nearest route to the sea, to find means of embarking there. His army discouraged and leaderless—for Scipio, although recognized by Pompeius as colleague in supreme command, was yet general-in-chief only in name—hoped to find protection behind the camp-walls; but Caesar allowed it no rest; the obstinate resistance of the Roman and Thracian guard of the camp was speedily overcome, and the mass was compelled to withdraw in disorder to the heights of Crannon and Scotussa, at the foot of which the camp was pitched. It attempted by moving forward along these hills to regain Larisa; but the troops of Caesar, heeding neither booty nor fatigue and advancing by better paths in the plain, intercepted the route of the fugitives; in fact, when late in the evening the Pompeians suspended their march, their pursuers were able even to draw an entrenched line which precluded the fugitives from access to the only rivulet to be found in the neighbourhood.

So ended the day of Pharsalus. The enemy's army was not only defeated, but annihilated; 15,000 of the enemy lay dead or wounded on the field of battle, while the Caesarians missed only 200 men; the body which remained together, amounting still to nearly 20,000 men, laid down their arms on the morning after the battle; only isolated troops, including, it is true, the officers of most note, sought a refuge in the mountains; of the eleven eagles of the enemy nine were handed over to Caesar. Caesar, who on the very day of the battle had reminded the soldiers that they should not forget the fellow-citizen in the foe, did not treat the captives as did Bibulus and Labienus; nevertheless he too found it necessary now to exercise some severity. The common soldiers were incorporated in the army, fines or confiscations of property were inflicted on the men of

**Flight of
Pompeius.**

better rank; the senators and equites of note who were taken, with few exceptions, suffered death. The time for clemency was past; the longer the civil war lasted, the more remorseless and implacable it became.

48.
The
political
effects of
the battle
of Pharsalus.

The east
submits.

Some time elapsed, before the consequences of the 9th of August 706 could be fully discerned. What admitted of least doubt, was the passing over to the side of Caesar of all those who had attached themselves to the party vanquished at Pharsalus merely as to the more powerful; the defeat was so thoroughly decisive, that the victor was joined by all who were not willing or were not obliged to fight for a lost cause. All the kings, peoples, and cities, which had hitherto been the clients of Pompeius, now recalled their naval and military contingents and declined to receive the refugees of the beaten party; such as Egypt, Cyrene, the communities of Syria, Phoenicia, Cilicia and Asia Minor, Rhodes, Athens, and generally the whole east. In fact Pharnaces king of the Bosphorus pushed his officiousness so far, that on the news of the Pharsalian battle he took possession not only of the town of Phanagoria which several years before had been declared free by Pompeius, and of the dominions of the Colchian princes confirmed by him, but even of the kingdom of Little Armenia which Pompeius had conferred on king Deiotarus. Almost the sole exceptions to this general submission were the little town of Megara which allowed itself to be besieged and stormed by the Caesarians, and Juba king of Numidia, who had for long expected, and after the victory over Curio expected only with all the greater certainty, that his kingdom would be annexed by Caesar, and was thus obliged for better or for worse to abide by the defeated party.

The
aristocracy
after the
battle of
Pharsalus.

In the same way as the client communities submitted to the victor of Pharsalus, the tail of the constitutional party—all who had joined it with half a heart or had even, like

Marcus Cicero and his congeners, merely danced around the aristocracy like the witches around the Brocken—approached to make their peace with the new monarch, a peace accordingly which his contemptuous indulgence readily and courteously granted to the petitioners. But the flower of the defeated party made no compromise. All was over with the aristocracy; but the aristocrats could never become converted to monarchy. The highest revelations of humanity are perishable; the religion once true may become a lie,¹ the polity once fraught with blessing may become a curse; but even the gospel that is past still finds confessors, and if such a faith cannot remove mountains like faith in the living truth, it yet remains true to itself down to its very end, and does not depart from the realm of the living till it has dragged its last priests and its last partisans along with it, and a new generation, freed from those shadows of the past and the perishing, rules over a world that has renewed its youth. So it was in Rome. Into whatever abyss of degeneracy the aristocratic rule had now sunk, it had once been a great political system; the sacred fire, by which Italy had been conquered and Hannibal had been vanquished, continued to glow—although somewhat dimmed and dull—in the Roman nobility so long as that nobility existed, and rendered a cordial understanding between the men of the old *regime* and the new monarch impossible. A large portion of the constitutional party submitted at least outwardly, and recognized the monarchy so far as to accept pardon from Caesar and to retire as much as possible into private life; which, however, ordinarily was not done without the

¹ [I may here state once for all that in this and other passages, where Dr. Mommsen appears incidentally to express views of religion or philosophy with which I can scarcely be supposed to agree, I have not thought it right—as is, I believe, sometimes done in similar cases—to omit or modify any portion of what he has written. The reader must judge for himself as to the truth or value of such assertions as those given in the text. —Tr.]

mental reservation of thereby preserving themselves for a future change of things. This course was chiefly followed by the partisans of lesser note; but the able Marcus Marcellus, the same who had brought about the rupture with Caesar (p. 174), was to be found among these judicious persons and voluntarily banished himself to Lesbos. In the majority, however, of the genuine aristocracy passion was more powerful than cool reflection; along with which, no doubt, self-deceptions as to success being still possible and apprehensions of the inevitable vengeance of the victor variously co-operated.

Cato.

No one probably formed a judgment as to the situation of affairs with so painful a clearness, and so free from fear or hope on his own account, as Marcus Cato. Completely convinced that after the days of Ilerda and Pharsalus the monarchy was inevitable, and morally firm enough to confess to himself this bitter truth and to act in accordance with it, he hesitated for a moment whether the constitutional party ought at all to continue a war, which would necessarily require sacrifices for a lost cause on the part of many who did not know why they offered them. And when he resolved to fight against the monarchy not for victory, but for a speedier and more honourable fall, he yet sought as far as possible to draw no one into this war, who chose to survive the fall of the republic and to be reconciled to monarchy. He conceived that, so long as the republic had been merely threatened, it was a right and a duty to compel the lukewarm and bad citizen to take part in the struggle; but that now it was senseless and cruel to compel the individual to share the ruin of the lost republic. Not only did he himself discharge every one who desired to return to Italy; but when the wildest of the wild partisans, Gnaeus Pompeius the younger, insisted on the execution of these people and of Cicero in particular) it was Cato alone who by his moral authority prevented it.

Pompeius also had no desire for peace. Had he been a man who deserved to hold the position which he occupied, we might suppose him to have perceived that he who aspires to a crown cannot return to the beaten track of ordinary existence, and that there is accordingly no place left on earth for one who has failed. But Pompeius was hardly too noble-minded to ask a favour, which the victor would have been perhaps magnanimous enough not to refuse to him; on the contrary, he was probably too mean to do so. Whether it was that he could not make up his mind to trust himself to Caesar, or that in his usual vague and undecided way, after the first immediate impression of the disaster of Pharsalus had vanished, he began again to cherish hope, Pompeius was resolved to continue the struggle against Caesar and to seek for himself yet another battle-field after that of Pharsalus.

Thus, however much Caesar had striven by prudence and moderation to appease the fury of his opponents and to lessen their number, the struggle nevertheless went on without alteration. But the leading men had almost all taken part in the fight at Pharsalus; and, although they all escaped with the exception of Lucius Domitius Ahenobarbus, who was killed in the flight, they were yet scattered in all directions, so that they were unable to concert a common plan for the continuance of the campaign. Most of them found their way, partly through the desolate mountains of Macedonia and Illyria, partly by the aid of the fleet, to Corcyra, where Marcus Cato commanded the reserve left behind. Here a sort of council of war took place under the presidency of Cato, at which Metellus Scipio, Titus Labienus, Lucius Afranius, Gnaeus Pompeius the younger and others were present; but the absence of the commander-in-chief and the painful uncertainty as to his fate, as well as the internal dissensions of the party, prevented the

Pompeius.

**Military
effects of
the battle.**

**The
leaders
scattered.**

adoption of any common resolution, and ultimately each took the course which seemed to him the most suitable for himself or for the common cause. It was in fact in a high degree difficult to say among the many straws to which they might possibly cling which was the one that would keep longest above water.

Macedonia
and
Greece.

Macedonia and Greece were lost by the battle of Pharsalus. It is true that Cato, who had immediately on the news of the defeat evacuated Dyrrhachium, still held Corcyra, and Rutilius Lupus the Peloponnesus, during a time for the constitutional party. For a moment it seemed also as if the Pompeians would make a stand at Patrae in the Peloponnesus; but the accounts of the advance of Calenus sufficed to frighten them from that quarter. As little was there any attempt to maintain

Italy.

Corcyra. On the Italian and Sicilian coasts the Pompeian squadrons despatched thither after the victories of Dyrrhachium (p. 258) had achieved not unimportant successes against the ports of Brundisium, Messana and Vibo, and at Messana especially had burnt the whole fleet in course of being fitted out for Caesar; but the ships that were thus active, mostly from Asia Minor and Syria, were recalled by their communities in consequence of the Pharsalian battle, so that the expedition came to an end of itself. In Asia Minor and Syria there were at the moment no troops of either party, with the exception of the Bosporan army of Phamaces which had taken possession, ostensibly on Caesar's account, of different regions belonging to his opponents. In Egypt there was still indeed a considerable Roman army, formed of the troops left behind there by Gabinius (iv. 452) and thereafter recruited from Italian vagrants and Syrian or Cilician banditti; but it was self-evident and was soon officially confirmed by the recall of the Egyptian vessels, that the court of Alexandria by no means had the intention of

holding firmly by the defeated party or of even placing its force of troops at their disposal. Somewhat more favourable prospects presented themselves to the vanquished in the west. In Spain Pompeian sympathies were so strong among the population, that the Caesarians had on that account to give up the attack which they contemplated from this quarter against Africa, and an insurrection seemed inevitable, so soon as a leader of note should appear in the peninsula. In Africa moreover the coalition, or rather Juba king of Numidia, who was the true regent there, had been arming unmolested since the autumn of 705. While the whole east was consequently lost to the coalition by the battle of Pharsalus, it might on the other hand continue the war after an honourable manner probably in Spain, and certainly in Africa; for to claim the aid of the king of Numidia, who had for a long time been subject to the Roman community, against revolutionary fellow-burgesses was for Romans a painful humiliation doubtless, but by no means an act of treason. Those again who in this conflict of despair had no further regard for right or honour, might declare themselves beyond the pale of the law, and commence hostilities as robbers; or might enter into alliance with independent neighbouring states, and introduce the public foe into the intestine strife; or, lastly, might profess monarchy with the lips and prosecute the restoration of the legitimate republic with the dagger of the assassin.

That the vanquished should withdraw and renounce the new monarchy, was at least the natural and so far the truest expression of their desperate position. The mountains and above all the sea had been in those times ever since the memory of man the asylum not only of all crime, but also of intolerable misery and of oppressed right; it was natural for Pompeians and republicans to wage a defiant war against the monarchy of Caesar, which had ejected them,

in the mountains and on the seas, and especially natural for them to take up piracy on a greater scale, with more compact organization, and with more definite aims. Even after the recall of the squadrons that had come from the east they still possessed a very considerable fleet of their own, while Caesar was as yet virtually without vessels of war ; and their connection with the Dalmatae who had risen in their own interest against Caesar (p. 235), and their control over the most important seas and seaports, presented the most advantageous prospects for a naval war, especially on a small scale. As formerly Sulla's hunting out of the democrats had ended in the Sertorian insurrection, which was a conflict first waged by pirates and then by robbers and ultimately became a very serious war, so possibly, if there was in the Catonian aristocracy or among the adherents of Pompeius as much spirit and fire as in the Marian democracy, and if there was found among them a true sea-king, a commonwealth independent of the monarchy of Caesar and perhaps a match for it might arise on the still unconquered sea.

**Parthian
alliance.**

Far more serious disapproval in every respect is due to the idea of dragging an independent neighbouring state into the Roman civil war and of bringing about by its means a counter-revolution ; law and conscience condemn the deserter more severely than the robber, and a victorious band of robbers finds its way back to a free and well-ordered commonwealth more easily than the emigrants who are conducted back by the public foe. Besides it was scarcely probable that the beaten party would be able to effect a restoration in this way. The only state, from which they could attempt to seek support, was that of the Parthians; and as to this it was at least doubtful whether it would make their cause its own, and very improbable that it would fight out that cause against Caesar.

The time for republican conspiracies had not yet come.

While the remnant of the defeated party thus allowed themselves to be helplessly driven about by fate, and even, those who had determined to continue the struggle knew not how or where to do so, Caesar, quickly as ever resolving and quickly acting, laid everything aside to pursue Pompeius—the only one of his opponents whom he respected as an officer, and the one whose personal capture would have probably paralyzed a half, and that perhaps the more dangerous half, of his opponents. With a few men he crossed the Hellespont—his single bark encountered in it a fleet of the enemy destined for the Black Sea, and took the whole crews, struck as with stupefaction by the news of the battle of Pharsalus, prisoners—and as soon as the most necessary preparations were made, hastened in pursuit of Pompeius to the east. The latter had gone from the Pharsalian battlefield to Lesbos, whence he brought away his wife and his second son Sextus, and had sailed onward round Asia Minor to Cilicia and thence to Cyprus. He might have joined his partisans at Corcyra or Africa; but repugnance toward his aristocratic allies and the thought of the reception which awaited him there after the day of Pharsalus and above all after his disgraceful flight, appear to have induced him to take his own course and rather to resort to the protection of the Parthian king than to that of Cato. While he was employed in collecting money and slaves from the Roman revenue-farmers and merchants in Cyprus, and in arming a band of 2000 slaves, he received news that Antioch had declared for Caesar and that the route to the Parthians was no longer open. So he altered his plan and sailed to Egypt, where a number of his old soldiers served in the army and the situation and rich resources of the

Caesar
pursues
Pompeius
to Egypt.

country allowed him time and opportunity to reorganize the war.

51. In Egypt, after the death of Ptolemaeus Auletes (May 703) his children, Cleopatra about sixteen years of age and Ptolemaeus Dionysus about ten, had ascended the throne according to their father's will jointly, and as consorts; but soon the brother or rather his guardian Pothinus had driven the sister from the kingdom and compelled her to seek a refuge in Syria, whence she made preparations to get back to her paternal kingdom. Ptolemaeus and Pothinus lay with the whole Egyptian army at Pelusium for the sake of protecting the eastern frontier against her, just when Pompeius cast anchor at the Casian promontory and sent a request to the king to allow him to land. The Egyptian court, long informed of the disaster at Pharsalus, was on the point of refusing to receive Pompeius; but the king's tutor Theodotus pointed out that in that case Pompeius would probably employ his connections in the Egyptian army to instigate rebellion; and that it would be safer, and also preferable with regard to Caesar, if they embraced the opportunity of making away with Pompeius. Political reasonings of this sort did not readily fail of their effect among the statesmen of the Hellenic world.

**Death of
Pompeius.**

- Achillas the general of the royal troops and some of the former soldiers of Pompeius went off in a boat to his vessel; and invited him to come to the king and, as the water was shallow, to enter their barge. As he was stepping ashore, the military tribune Lucius Septimius stabbed him from behind, under the eyes of his wife and son, who were compelled to be spectators of the murder from the deck of their vessel, without being able to rescue or revenge
48. (28 Sept. 706). On the same day, on which thirteen years before he had entered the capital in triumph over Mithradates (iv. 444), the man, who for a generation had **been** called the Great and for years had ruled Rome,

died on the desert sands of the inhospitable Casian shore by the hand of one of his old soldiers. A good officer, but otherwise of mediocre gifts of intellect and of heart, fate had with superhuman constancy for thirty years allowed him to solve all brilliant and toilless tasks; had permitted him to pluck all laurels planted and fostered by others; had brought him face to face with all the conditions requisite for obtaining the supreme power—only in order to exhibit in his person an example of spurious greatness, to which history knows no parallel. Of all pitiful parts there is none more pitiful than that of passing for more than one really is; and it is the fate of monarchy that this misfortune inevitably clings to it, for barely once in a thousand years does there arise among the people a man who is a king not merely in name, but in reality. If this disproportion between semblance and reality has never perhaps been so abruptly marked as in Pompeius, the fact may well excite grave reflection that it was precisely he who in a certain sense opened the series of Roman monarchs.

When Caesar following the track of Pompeius arrived in the roadstead of Alexandria, all was already over. With deep agitation he turned away when the murderer brought to his ship the head of the man, who had been his son-in-law and for long years his colleague in rule, and to get whom alive into his power he had come to Egypt. The dagger of the rash assassin precluded an answer to the question, how Caesar would have dealt with the captive Pompeius; but, while the humane sympathy, which still found a place in the great soul of Caesar side by side with ambition, enjoined that he should spare his former friend, his interest also required that he should annihilate Pompeius otherwise than by the executioner. Pompeius had been for twenty years the acknowledged ruler of Rome; a dominion so deeply rooted does not perish

Arrival of
Caesar.

with the rulers death. The death of Pompeius did not break up the Pompeians, but gave to them instead of an aged, incapable, and worn-out chief in his sons Gnaeus and Sextus two leaders, both of whom were young and active and the second was a man of decided capacity. To the newly - founded hereditary monarchy hereditary pretendership attached itself at once like a parasite, and it was very doubtful whether by this change of persons Caesar did not lose more than he gained.

Caesar
regulates
Egypt.

Meanwhile in Egypt Caesar had now nothing further to do, and the Romans and the Egyptians expected that he would immediately set sail and apply himself to the subjugation of Africa, and to the huge task of organization which awaited him after the victory. But Caesar faithful to his custom—wherever he found himself in the wide empire—of finally regulating matters at once and in person, and firmly convinced that no resistance was to be expected either from the Roman garrison or from the court, being, moreover, in urgent pecuniary embarrassment, landed in Alexandria with the two amalgamated legions accompanying him to the number of 3200 men and 800 Celtic and German cavalry, took up his quarters in the royal palace, and proceeded to collect the necessary sums of money and to regulate the Egyptian succession, without allowing himself to be disturbed by the saucy remark of Pothinus that Caesar should not for such petty matters neglect his own so important affairs. In his dealing with the Egyptians he was just and even indulgent. Although the aid which they had given to Pompeius justified the imposing of a war contribution, the exhausted land was spared from this; and, while the arrears of the sum stipulated for in 695 (iv. 451) and since then only about half paid were remitted, there was required merely a final payment of 10,000,000 *denarii* (£400,000). The belligerent brother and sister were enjoined immediately to

59.

suspend hostilities, and were invited to have their dispute investigated and decided before the arbiter. They submitted; the royal boy was already in the palace and Cleopatra also presented herself there. Caesar adjudged the kingdom of Egypt, agreeably to the testament of Auletes, to the intermarried brother and sister Cleopatra and Ptolemaeus Dionysus, and further gave unasked the kingdom of Cyprus—cancelling the earlier act of annexation (iv. 450)—as the appanage of the second-born of Egypt to the younger children of Auletes, Arsinoe and Ptolemaeus the younger.

But a storm was secretly preparing. Alexandria was a cosmopolitan city as well as Rome, hardly inferior to the Italian capital in the number of its inhabitants, far superior to it in stirring commercial spirit, in skill of handicraft, in taste for science and art: in the citizens there was a lively sense of their own national importance, and, if there was no political sentiment, there was at any rate a turbulent spirit, which induced them to indulge in their street riots as regularly and as heartily as the Parisians of the present day: one may conceive their feelings, when they saw the Roman general ruling in the palace of the Lagids and their kings accepting the award of his tribunal. Pothinus and the boy-king, both as may be conceived very dissatisfied at once with the peremptory requisition of old debts and with the intervention in the throne-dispute which could only issue, as it did, in favour of Cleopatra, sent—in order to pacify the Roman demands—the treasures of the temples and the gold plate of the king with intentional ostentation to be melted at the mint; with increasing indignation the Egyptians—who were pious even to superstition, and who rejoiced in the world-renowned magnificence of their court as if it were a possession of their own—beheld the bare walls of their temples and the wooden cups on the table of their king. The Roman

Insurrec-
tion in
Alexandria

army of occupation also, which had been essentially denationalized by its long abode in Egypt and the many intermarriages between the soldiers and Egyptian women, and which moreover numbered a multitude of the old soldiers of Pompeius and runaway Italian criminals and slaves in its ranks, was indignant at Caesar, by whose orders it had been obliged to suspend its action on the Syrian frontier, and at his handful of haughty legionaries. The tumult even at the landing, when the multitude saw the Roman axes carried into the old palace, and the numerous cases in which his soldiers were assassinated in the city, had taught Caesar the immense danger in which he was placed with his small force in presence of that exasperated multitude. But it was difficult to return on account of the north-west winds prevailing at this season of the year, and the attempt at embarkation might easily become a signal for the outbreak of the insurrection; besides, it was not the nature of Caesar to take his departure without having accomplished his work. He accordingly ordered up at once reinforcements from Asia, and meanwhile, till these arrived, made a show of the utmost self-possession. Never was there greater gaiety in his camp than during this rest at Alexandria; and while the beautiful and clever Cleopatra was not sparing of her charms in general and least of all towards her judge, Caesar also appeared among all his victories to value most those won over beautiful women. It was a merry prelude to graver scenes. Under the leadership of Achilles and, as was afterwards proved, by the secret orders of the king and his guardian, the Roman army of occupation stationed in Egypt appeared unexpectedly in Alexandria; and as soon as the citizens saw that it had come to attack Caesar, they made common cause with the soldiers.

**Caesar in
Alexandria.**

With a presence of mind, which in some measure justifies his earlier foolhardiness, Caesar hastily collected

his scattered men; seized the persons of the king and his ministers; entrenched himself in the royal residence and the adjoining theatre; and gave orders, as there was no time to place in safety the war - fleet stationed in the principal harbour immediately in front of the theatre, that it should be set on fire and that Pharos, the island with the light-tower commanding the harbour, should be occupied by means of boats. Thus at least a restricted position for defence was secured, and the way was kept open to procure supplies and reinforcements. At the same time orders were issued to the commandant of Asia Minor as well as to the nearest subject countries, the Syrians and Nabataeans, the Cretans and the Rhodians, to send troops and ships in all haste to Egypt. The insurrection at the head of which the princess Arsinoe and her confidant the eunuch Ganymedes had placed themselves, meanwhile had free course in all Egypt and in the greater part of the capital. In the streets of the latter there was daily fighting, but without success either on the part of Caesar in gaining freer scope and breaking through to the fresh water lake of Marea which lay behind the town, where he could have provided himself with water and forage, or on the part of the Alexandrians in acquiring superiority over the besieged and depriving them of all drinking water; for, when the Nile canals in Caesar's part of the town had been spoiled by the introduction of salt water, drinkable water was unexpectedly found in wells dug on the beach.

As Caesar was not to be overcome from the landward side, the exertions of the besiegers were directed to destroy his fleet and cut him off from the sea by which supplies reached him. The island with the lighthouse and the mole by which this was connected with the mainland divided the harbour into a western and an eastern half, which were in communication with each other through two arched openings in the mole. Caesar commanded the island and

the east harbour, while the mole and the west harbour were in possession of the citizens; and, as the Alexandrian fleet was burnt, his vessels sailed in and out without hindrance. The Alexandrians, after having vainly attempted to introduce fire-ships from the western into the eastern harbour, equipped with the remnant of their arsenal a small squadron and with this blocked up the way of Caesar's vessels, when these were towing in a fleet of transports with a legion that had arrived from Asia Minor; but the excellent Rhodian mariners of Caesar mastered the enemy. Not long afterwards, however, the citizens captured the lighthouse-island,¹ and from that point totally closed the narrow and rocky mouth of the east harbour for larger ships; so that Caesar's fleet was compelled to take its station in the open roads before the east harbour, and his communication with the sea hung only on a weak thread. Caesar's fleet, attacked in that roadstead repeatedly by the superior naval force of the enemy, could neither shun the unequal strife, since the loss of the lighthouse-island closed the inner harbour against it, nor yet withdraw, for the loss of the roadstead would have debarred Caesar wholly from the sea. Though the brave legionaries, supported by the dexterity of the Rhodian sailors, had always hitherto decided these conflicts in favour of the Romans, the Alexandrians renewed and augmented their naval armaments with unwearied perseverance; the besieged had to fight as often as it pleased the besiegers, and if the former should be on a single occasion vanquished, Caesar would be totally hemmed in and probably lost.

It was absolutely necessary to make an attempt to recover the lighthouse-island. The double attack, which

¹ The loss of the lighthouse-island must have fallen out, where there is now a chasm (*B. A.* 12), for the island was in fact at first in Caesar's power (*B. C.* iii. 12; *B. A.* 8). The mole must have been constantly in the power of the enemy, for Caesar held intercourse with the island only by ships.

was made by boats from the side of the harbour and by the war-vessels from the seaboard, in reality brought not only the island but also the lower part of the mole into Caesar's power; it was only at the second arch-opening of the mole that Caesar ordered the attack to be stopped, and the mole to be there closed towards the city by a transverse wall. But while a violent conflict arose here around the entrenchers, the Roman troops left the lower part of the mole adjoining the island bare of defenders; a division of Egyptians landed there unexpectedly, attacked in the rear the Roman soldiers and sailors crowded together on the mole at the transverse wall, and drove the whole mass in wild confusion into the sea. A part were taken on board by the Roman ships; the most were drowned. Some 400 soldiers and a still greater number of men belonging to the fleet were sacrificed on this day; the general himself, who had shared the fate of his men, had been obliged to seek refuge in his ship, and when this sank from having been overloaded with men, he had to save himself by swimming to another. But, severe as was the loss suffered, it was amply compensated by the recovery of the lighthouse-island, which along with the mole as far as the first arch-opening remained in the hands of Caesar.

At length the longed-for relief arrived. Mithradates of Pergamus, an able warrior of the school of Mithradates Eupator, whose natural son he claimed to be, brought up by land from Syria a motley army—the Itryaeans of the prince of the Libanus (iv. 423), the Bedouins of Jamblichus, son of Sampsiceramus (iv. 423), the Jews under the minister Antipater, and the contingents generally of the petty chiefs and communities of Cilicia and Syria. From Pelusium, which Mithradates had the fortune to occupy on the day of his arrival, he took the great road towards Memphis with the view of avoiding the intersected ground of the Delta and crossing the Nile before its division; during

**Relieving
army from
Asia
Minor.**

which movement his troops received manifold support from the Jewish peasants who were settled in peculiar numbers in this part of Egypt. The Egyptians, with the young king Ptolemaeus now at their head, whom Caesar had released to his people in the vain hope of allaying the insurrection by his means, despatched an army to the Nile, to detain Mithradates on its farther bank. This army fell in with the enemy even beyond Memphis at the so-called Jews'-camp, between Onion and Heliopolis; nevertheless Mithradates, trained in the Roman fashion of manoeuvring and encamping, amidst successful conflicts gained the opposite bank at Memphis. Caesar, on the other hand, as soon as he obtained news of the arrival of the relieving army, conveyed a part of his troops in ships to the end of the lake of Marea to the west of Alexandria, and marched round this lake and down the Nile to meet Mithradates advancing up the river.

**Battle at
the Nile.**

The junction took place without the enemy attempting to hinder it. Caesar then marched into the Delta, whither the king had retreated, overthrew, notwithstanding the deeply cut canal in their front, the Egyptian vanguard at the first onset, and immediately stormed the Egyptian camp itself. It lay at the foot of a rising ground between the Nile—from which only a narrow path separated it—and marshes difficult of access. Caesar caused the camp to be assailed simultaneously from the front and from the flank on the path along the Nile; and during this assault ordered a third detachment to ascend unseen the heights behind the camp. The victory was complete; the camp was taken, and those of the Egyptians who did not fall beneath the sword of the enemy were drowned in the attempt to escape to the fleet on the Nile. With one of the boats, which sank overladen with men, the young king also disappeared in the waters of his native stream.

Immediately after the battle Caesar advanced at the

head of his cavalry from the land-side straight into the portion of the capital occupied by the Egyptians. In mourning attire, with the images of their gods in their hands, the enemy received him and sued for peace; and his troops, when they saw him return as victor from the side opposite to that by which he had set forth, welcomed him with boundless joy. The fate of the town, which had ventured to thwart the plans of the master of the world and had brought him within a hair's-breadth of destruction, lay in Caesar's hands; but he was too much of a ruler to be sensitive, and dealt with the Alexandrians as with the Massiliots. Caesar—pointing to their city severely devastated and deprived of its granaries, of its world-renowned library, and of other important public buildings on occasion of the burning of the fleet—exhorted the inhabitants in future earnestly to cultivate the arts of peace alone, and to heal the wounds which they had inflicted on themselves; for the rest, he contented himself with granting to the Jews settled in Alexandria the same rights which the Greek population of the city enjoyed, and with placing in Alexandria, instead of the previous Roman army of occupation which nominally at least obeyed the kings of Egypt, a formal Roman garrison—two of the legions besieged there, and a third which afterwards arrived from Syria—under a commander nominated by himself. For this position of trust a man was purposely selected, whose birth made it impossible for him to abuse it—Rufio, an able soldier, but the son of a freedman. Cleopatra and her younger brother Ptolemaeus obtained the sovereignty of Egypt under the supremacy of Rome; the princess Arsinoe was carried off to Italy, that she might not serve once more as a pretext for insurrections to the Egyptians, who were after the Oriental fashion quite as much devoted to their dynasty as they were indifferent towards the individual dynasts; Cyprus became again a part of the Roman province of Cilicia.

**Pacifica-
tion of
Alexandria.**

**Course of
things
during
Caesar's
absence in
Alexandria.**

- This Alexandrian insurrection, insignificant as it was in itself and slight as was its intrinsic connection with the events of importance in the world's history which took place at the same time in the Roman state, had nevertheless so far a momentous influence on them that it compelled the man, who was all in all and without whom nothing could be despatched and nothing could be solved, to leave
48. his proper tasks in abeyance from October 706 up to
 47. March 707 in order to fight along with Jews and Bedouins against a city rabble. The consequences of personal rule began to make themselves felt. They had the monarchy; but the wildest confusion prevailed everywhere, and the monarch was absent. The Caesarians were for the moment, just like the Pompeians, without superintendence; the ability of the individual officers and, above all, accident decided matters everywhere.

**Insubor-
dination of
Pharnaces.**

In Asia Minor there was, at the time of Caesar's departure for Egypt, no enemy. But Caesar's lieutenant there, the able Gnaeus Domitius Calvinus, had received orders to take away again from king Pharnaces what he had without instructions wrested from the allies of Pompeius; and, as Pharnaces, an obstinate and arrogant despot like his father, perseveringly refused to evacuate Lesser Armenia, no course remained but to march against him. Calvinus had been obliged to despatch to Egypt two out of the three legions left behind with him and formed out of the Pharsalian prisoners of war; he filled up the gap by one legion hastily gathered from the Romans domiciled in Pontus and two legions of Deiotarus exercised after the Roman manner, and advanced into Lesser Armenia. But the Bosporan army, tried in numerous conflicts with the dwellers on the Black Sea, showed itself more efficient than his own.

**Calvinus
defeated at
Nicopolis.**

In an engagement at Nicopolis the Pontic levy of Calvinus was cut to pieces and the Galatian legions ran off; only

the one old legion of the Romans fought its way through with moderate loss. Instead of conquering Lesser Armenia, Calvinus could not even prevent Pharnaces from repossessing himself of his Pontic "hereditary states," and pouring forth the whole vials of his horrible sultanic caprices on their inhabitants, especially the unhappy Amisenes (winter of 706-707). When Caesar in person arrived in Asia Minor and intimated to him that the service which Pharnaces had rendered to him personally by having granted no help to Pompeius could not be taken into account against the injury inflicted on the empire, and that before any negotiation he must evacuate the province of Pontus and send back the property which he had pillaged, he declared himself doubtless ready to submit; nevertheless, well knowing how good reason Caesar had for hastening to the west, he made no serious preparations for the evacuation. He did not know that Caesar finished whatever he took in hand. Without negotiating further, Caesar took with him the one legion which he brought from Alexandria and the troops of Calvinus and Deiotarus, and advanced against the camp of Pharnaces at Ziela. When the Bosphorans saw him approach, they boldly crossed the deep mountain-ravine which covered their front, and charged the Romans up the hill. Caesar's soldiers were still occupied in pitching their camp, and the ranks wavered for a moment; but the veterans accustomed to war rapidly rallied and set the example for a general attack and for a complete victory (2 Aug. 707). In five days the campaign was ended—an invaluable piece of good fortune at this time, when every hour was precious. 48-47.

Victory of
Caesar at
Ziela.

Caesar entrusted the pursuit of the king, who had gone home by way of Sinope, to Pharnaces' illegitimate brother, the brave Mithradates of Pergamus, who as a reward for the services rendered by him in Egypt received the crown of the Bosphoran kingdom in room of Pharnaces. In other

respects the affairs of Syria and Asia Minor were peacefully settled; Caesar's own allies were richly rewarded, those of Pompeius were in general dismissed with fines or reprimands. Deiotarus alone, the most powerful of the clients of Pompeius, was again confined to his narrow hereditary domain, the canton of the Tolistobogii. In his stead Ariobarzanes king of Cappadocia was invested with Lesser Armenia, and the tetrarchy of the Troceni usurped by Deiotarus was conferred on the new king of the Bosphorus, who was descended by the maternal side from one of the Galatian princely houses as by the paternal from that of Pontus.

War by
land and
sea in
Illyria.

In Illyria also, while Caesar was in Egypt, incidents of a very grave nature had occurred. The Dalmatian coast had been for centuries a sore blemish on the Roman rule, and its inhabitants had been at open feud with Caesar since the conflicts around Dyrrhachium; while the interior also, since the time of the Thessalian war, swarmed with dispersed Pompeians. Quintus Cornificius had however, with the legions that followed him from Italy, kept both the natives and the refugees in check and had at the same time sufficiently met the difficult task of provisioning the troops in these rugged districts. Even when the able Marcus Octavius, the victor of Curicta (p. 235), appeared with a part of the Pompeian fleet in these waters to wage war there against Caesar by sea and land, Cornificius not only knew how to maintain himself, resting for support on the ships and the harbour of the Iadestini (Zara), but in his turn also sustained several successful engagements at sea with the fleet of his antagonist. But when the new governor of Illyria, the Aulus Gabinius recalled by Caesar from exile (p. 139), arrived by the landward route in Illyria

48-47

in the winter of 706-707 with fifteen cohorts and 3000 horse, the system of warfare changed. Instead of confining himself like his predecessor to war on a small scale, the

bold active man undertook at once, in spite of the inclement season, an expedition with his whole force to the mountains. But the unfavourable weather, the difficulty of providing supplies, and the brave resistance of the Dalmatians, swept away the army Gabinius had to commence his retreat, was attacked in the course of it and disgracefully defeated by the Dalmatians, and with the feeble remains of his fine army had difficulty in reaching Salonae, where he soon afterwards died. Most of the Illyrian coast towns thereupon surrendered to the fleet of Octavius; those that adhered to Caesar, such as Salonae and Epidaurus (Ragusa vecchia), were so hard pressed by the fleet at sea and by the barbarians on land, that the surrender and capitulation of the remains of the army enclosed in Salonae seemed not far distant. Then the commandant of the depot at Brundisium, the energetic Publius Vatinius, in the absence of ships of war caused common boats to be provided with beaks and manned with the soldiers dismissed from the hospitals, and with this extemporized war-fleet gave battle to the far superior fleet of Octavius at the island of Tauris (Torcola between Lesina and Curzola)—a battle in which, as in so many cases, the bravery of the leader and of the marines compensated for the deficiencies of the vessels, and the Caesarians achieved a brilliant victory. Marcus Octavius left these waters and proceeded to Africa (spring of 707); the Dalmatians no doubt continued their resistance for years with great obstinacy, but it was nothing beyond a local mountain-warfare. When Caesar returned from Egypt, his resolute adjutant had already got rid of the danger that was imminent in Illyria.

**Defeat of
Gabinius.**

**Naval
victory at
Tauris.**

47.

All the more serious was the position of things in Africa, where the constitutional party had from the outset of the civil war ruled absolutely and had continually augmented their power. Down to the battle of Pharsalus

**Reorgan-
ization
of the
coalition in
Africa.**

king Juba had, properly speaking, borne rule there; he had vanquished Curio, and his flying horsemen and his numberless archers were the main strength of the army; the Pompeian governor Varus played by his side so subordinate a part that he even had to deliver those soldiers of Curio, who had surrendered to him, over to the king, and had to look on while they were executed or carried away into the interior of Numidia. After the battle of Pharsalus a change took place. With the exception of Pompeius himself, no man of note among the defeated party thought of flight to the Parthians. As little did they attempt to hold the sea with their united resources; the warfare waged by Marcus Octavius in the Illyrian waters was isolated, and was without permanent success. The great majority of the republicans as of the Pompeians betook themselves to Africa, where alone an honourable and constitutional warfare might still be waged against the usurper. There the fragments of the army scattered at Pharsalus, the troops that had garrisoned Dyrrhachium, Corcyra, and the Peloponnesus, the remains of the Illyrian fleet, gradually congregated; there the second commander-in-chief Metellus Scipio, the two sons of Pompeius, Gnaeus and Sextus, the political leader of the republicans Marcus Cato, the able officers Labienus, Afranius, Petreius, Octavius and others met. If the resources of the emigrants had diminished, their fanaticism had, if possible, even increased. Not only did they continue to murder their prisoners and even the officers of Caesar under flag of truce, but king Juba, in whom the exasperation of the partisan mingled with the fury of the half-barbarous African, laid down the maxim that in every community suspected of sympathizing with the enemy the burgesses ought to be extirpated and the town burnt down, and even practically carried out this theory against some townships, such as the unfortunate Vaga near Hadrumetum. In fact

it was solely owing to the energetic intervention of Cato that the capital of the province itself, the flourishing Utica—which, just like Carthage formerly, had been long regarded with a jealous eye by the Numidian kings—did not experience the same treatment from Juba, and that measures of precaution merely were taken against its citizens, who certainly were not unjustly accused of leaning towards Caesar.

As neither Caesar himself nor any of his lieutenants undertook the smallest movement against Africa, the coalition had full time to acquire political and military reorganization there. First of all, it was necessary to fill up anew the place of commander-in-chief vacant by the death of Pompeius. King Juba was not disinclined still to maintain the position which he had held in Africa up to the battle of Pharsalus; indeed he bore himself no longer as a client of the Romans but as an equal ally or even as a protector, and took it upon him, for example, to coin Roman silver money with his name and device; nay, he even raised a claim to be the sole wearer of purple in the camp, and suggested to the Roman commanders that they should lay aside their purple mantle of office. Further, Metellus Scipio demanded the supreme command for himself, because Pompeius had recognized him in the Thessalian campaign as on a footing of equality, more from the consideration that he was his son-in-law than on military grounds. The like demand was raised by Varus as the governor—self-nominated, it is true—of Africa, seeing that the war was to be waged in his province. Lastly the army desired for its leader the *propraetor* Marcus Cato. Obviously it was right. Cato was the only man who possessed the requisite devotedness, energy, and authority for the difficult office; if he was no military man, it was infinitely better to appoint as commander-in-chief a non-military man who understood how to listen to

reason and make his subordinates act, than an officer of untried capacity like Varus, or even one of tried incapacity like Metellus Scipio. But the decision fell at length on this same Scipio, and it was Cato himself who mainly determined that decision. He did so, not because he felt himself unequal to such a task, or because his vanity found its account rather in declining than in accepting; still less because he loved or respected Scipio, with whom he on the contrary was personally at variance, and who with his notorious inefficiency had attained a certain importance merely in virtue of his position as father-in-law to Pompeius; but simply and solely because his obstinate legal formalism chose rather to let the republic go to ruin in due course of law than to save it in an irregular way. When after the battle of Pharsalus he met with Marcus Cicero at Corcyra, he had offered to hand over the command in Corcyra to the latter—who was still from the time of his Cilician administration invested with the rank of general—as the officer of higher standing according to the letter of the law, and by this readiness had driven the unfortunate advocate, who now cursed a thousand times his laurels from the Amanus, almost to despair; but he had at the same time astonished all men of any tolerable discernment. The same principles were applied now, when something more was at stake; Cato weighed the question to whom the place of commander-in-chief belonged, as if the matter had reference to a field at Tusculum, and adjudged it to Scipio. By this sentence his own candidature and that of Varus were set aside. But he it was also, and he alone, who confronted with energy the claims of king Juba, and made him feel that the Roman nobility came to him not suppliant, as to the great-prince of the Parthians, with a view to ask aid at the hands of a protector, but as entitled to command and require aid from a subject. In the present state of the

Roman forces in Africa, Juba could not avoid lowering his claims to some extent; although he still carried the point with the weak Scipio, that the pay of his troops should be charged on the Roman treasury and the cession of the province of Africa should be assured to him in the event of victory.

By the side of the new general-in-chief the senate of the "three hundred" again emerged. It established its seat in Utica, and replenished its thinned ranks by the admission of the most esteemed and the wealthiest men of the equestrian order.

The warlike preparations were pushed forward, chiefly through the zeal of Cato, with the greatest energy, and every man capable of arms, even the freedman and Libyan, was enrolled in the legions; by which course so many hands were withdrawn from agriculture that a great part of the fields remained uncultivated, but an imposing result was certainly attained. The heavy infantry numbered fourteen legions, of which two were already raised by Varus, eight others were formed partly from the refugees, partly from the conscripts in the province, and four were legions of king Juba armed in the Roman manner. The heavy cavalry, consisting of the Celts and Germans who arrived with Labienus and sundry others incorporated in their ranks, was, apart from Juba's squadron of cavalry equipped in the Roman style, 1600 strong. The light troops consisted of innumerable masses of Numidians riding without bridle or rein and armed merely with javelins, of a number of mounted bowmen, and a large host of archers on foot. To these fell to be added Juba's 120 elephants, and the fleet of 55 sail commanded by Publius Varus and Marcus Octavius. The urgent want of money was in some measure remedied by a self-taxation on the part of the senate, which was the more productive as the richest African capitalists had been induced to enter

it. Corn and other supplies were accumulated in immense quantities in the fortresses capable of defence; at the same time the stores were as far as possible removed from the open townships. The absence of Caesar, the troublesome temper of his legions, the ferment in Spain and Italy gradually raised men's spirits, and the recollection of the Pharsalian defeat began to give way to fresh hopes of victory.

The time lost by Caesar in Egypt nowhere revenged itself more severely than here. Had he proceeded to Africa immediately after the death of Pompeius, he would have found there a weak, disorganized, and frightened army and utter anarchy among the leaders; whereas there was now in Africa, owing more especially to Cato's energy, an army equal in number to that defeated at Pharsalus, under leaders of note, and under a regulated superintendence.

Move-
ments in
Spain.

A peculiar evil star seemed altogether to preside over this African expedition of Caesar. He had, even before his embarkation for Egypt, arranged in Spain and Italy various measures preliminary and preparatory to the African war; but out of all there had sprung nothing but mischief. From Spain, according to Caesar's arrangement, the governor of the southern province Quintus Cassius Longinus was to cross with four legions to Africa, to be joined there by Bogud king of West Mauretania,¹ and to

¹ Much obscurity rests on the shape assumed by the states in north-western Africa during this period. After the Jugurthine war Bocchus king of Mauretania ruled probably from the western sea to the port of Saldæ, in what is now Morocco and Algiers (l.ii. 410); the princes of Tingis (Tangiers)—probably from the outset different from the Mauretanian sovereigns—who occur even earlier (Plut. *Sert.* 9), and to whom it may be conjectured that Sallust's Leptasta (*Hist.* ii. 31 Kritz) and Cicero's Mastanesosus (*In Vat.* 5, 12) belong, may have been independent within certain limits or may have held from him as feudatories; just as Syphax already ruled over many chieftains of tribes (Appian, *Pun.* 10), and about this time in the neighbouring Numidia Cirta was possessed, probably however under Juba's supremacy, by the prince Massinissa (Appian, *B. C.* iv. 54). About 672 we find in Bocchus' stead a king called Bocut or Bogud (iv. 92; Oosius, v. 21, 14), the son of Bocchus.

advance with him towards Numidia and Africa. But that army destined for Africa included in it a number of native Spaniards and two whole legions formerly Pompeian; Pompeian sympathies prevailed in the army as in the province, and the unskilful and tyrannical behaviour of the Caesarian governor was not fitted to allay them. A formal revolt took place; troops and towns took part for or against the governor; already those who had risen against the lieutenant of Caesar were on the point of openly displaying the banner of Pompeius; already had Pompeius' elder son Gnaeus embarked from Africa for Spain to take advantage of this favourable turn, when the disavowal of the governor by the most respectable Caesarians themselves and the interference of the commander of the northern province suppressed just in right time the insurrection. Gnaeus Pompeius, who had lost time on the way with a vain attempt to establish himself in Mauretania, came too late; Gaius Trebonius, whom Caesar after his return from the east sent to Spain to relieve Cassius (autumn of 707), met 47. everywhere with absolute obedience. But of course amidst these blunders nothing was done from Spain to disturb the organization of the republicans in Africa; indeed in consequence of the complications with Longinus, Bogud king of West Mauretania, who was on Caesar's side and might at least have put some obstacles in the way of king Juba, had been called away with his troops to Spain.

Still more critical were the occurrences among the troops whom Caesar had caused to be collected in southern Italy, in order to his embarkation with them for Africa. They were for the most part the old legions, which had founded Caesar's throne in Gaul, Spain, and Thessaly. The spirit

Military
revolt in
Campania.

From 705 the kingdom appears divided between king Bogud who possesses the western, and king Bocchus who possesses the eastern half, and to this the later partition of Mauretania into Bogud's kingdom or the state of Tingis and Bocchus' kingdom or the state of 101 (Caesarea) refers (Plin. *H. N.* v. 2, 19; comp. *Bell. Afric.* 23).

49.

of these troops had not been improved by victories, and had been utterly disorganized by long repose in Lower Italy. The almost superhuman demands which the general made on them, and the effects of which were only too clearly apparent in their fearfully thinned ranks, left behind even in these men of iron a leaven of secret rancour which required only time and quiet to set their minds in a ferment. The only man who had influence over them, had been absent and almost unheard-of for a year; while the officers placed over them were far more afraid of the soldiers than the soldiers of them, and overlooked in the conquerors of the world every outrage against those that gave them quarters, and every breach of discipline. When the orders to embark for Sicily arrived, and the soldier was to exchange the luxurious ease of Campania for a third campaign certainly not inferior to those of Spain and Thessaly in point of hardship, the reins, which had been too long relaxed and were too suddenly tightened, snapped asunder. The legions refused to obey till the promised presents were paid to them, scornfully repulsed the officers sent by Caesar, and even threw stones at them. An attempt to extinguish the incipient revolt by increasing the sums promised not only had no success, but the soldiers set out in masses to extort the fulfilment of the promises from the general in the capital. Several officers, who attempted to restrain the mutinous bands on the way, were slain. It was a formidable danger. Caesar ordered the few soldiers who were in the city to occupy the gates, with the view of warding off the justly apprehended pillage at least at the first onset, and suddenly appeared among the furious bands demanding to know what they wanted. They exclaimed : " discharge." In a moment the request was granted. Respecting the presents, Caesar added, which he had promised to his soldiers at his triumph, as well as respecting the lands which he had not promised

to them but had destined for them, they might apply to him on the day when he and the other soldiers should triumph in the triumph itself they could not of course participate, as having been previously discharged. The masses were not prepared for things taking this turn ; convinced that Caesar could not do without them for the African campaign, they had demanded their discharge only in order that, if it were refused, they might annex their own conditions to their service. Half unsettled in their belief as to their own indispensableness; too awkward to return to their object, and to bring the negotiation which had missed its course back to the right channel; ashamed, as men, by the fidelity with which the Emperor kept his word even to soldiers who had forgotten their allegiance, and by his generosity which even now granted far more than he had ever promised; deeply affected, as soldiers, when the general presented to them the prospect of their being necessarily mere civilian spectators of the triumph of their comrades, and when he called them no longer " comrades " but " burgesses,"—by this very form of address, which from his mouth sounded so strangely, destroying as it were with one blow the whole pride of their past soldierly career; and, besides all this, under the spell of the man whose presence had an irresistible power—the soldiers stood for a while mute and lingering, till from all sides a cry arose that the general would once more receive them into favour and again permit them to be called Caesar's soldiers. Caesar, after having allowed himself to be sufficiently entreated, granted the permission; but the ringleaders in this mutiny had a third cut off from their triumphal presents. History knows no greater psychological masterpiece, and none that was more completely successful.

This mutiny operated injuriously on the African campaign, at least in so far as it considerably delayed the commencement of it. When Caesar arrived at the port

**Caesar
proceeds to
Africa.**

- of Lilybaeum destined for the embarkation, the ten legions intended for Africa were far from being fully assembled there, and it was the experienced troops that were farthest behind. Hardly however had six legions, of which five were newly formed, arrived there and the necessary war-vessels and transports come forward, when Caesar put to sea with
47. them (25 Dec. 707 of the uncorrected, about 8 Oct. of the Julian, calendar). The enemy's fleet, which on account of the prevailing equinoctial gales was drawn up on the beach at the island Aegimurus in front of the bay of Carthage, did not oppose the passage; but the same storms scattered the fleet of Caesar in all directions, and, when he availed himself of the opportunity of landing not far from Hadrumentum (Susa), he could not disembark more than some 3000 men, mostly recruits, and 150 horsemen. His attempt to capture Hadrumentum strongly occupied by the enemy miscarried; but Caesar possessed himself of the two seaports not far distant from each other, Ruspina (Monastir near Susa) and Little Leptis. Here he entrenched himself; but his position was so insecure, that he kept his cavalry in the ships and the ships ready for sea and provided with a supply of water, in order to re-embark at any moment if he should be attacked by a superior force. This however was not necessary, for just at the right time the ships that had been driven out of their course
46. arrived (3 Jan. 708). On the very following day Caesar, whose army in consequence of the arrangements made by the Pompeians suffered from want of corn, undertook with three legions an expedition into the interior of the country, but was attacked on the march not far from Ruspina by the corps which Labienus had brought up to dislodge Caesar from the coast. As Labienus had exclusively cavalry and archers, and Caesar almost nothing but infantry of the line, the legions were quickly surrounded and exposed to the missiles of the enemy, without being able to

Conflict at
Ruspina.

retaliate or to attack with success. No doubt the deploying of the entire line relieved once more the flanks, and spirited charges saved the honour of their arms; but a retreat was unavoidable, and had Ruspina not been so near, the Moorish javelin would perhaps have accomplished the same result here as the Parthian bow at Carrhae.

Caesar, whom this day had fully convinced of the difficulty of the impending war, would not again expose his soldiers untried and discouraged by the new mode of fighting to any such attack, but awaited the arrival of his veteran legions. The interval was employed in providing some sort of compensation against the crushing superiority of the enemy in the weapons of distant warfare. The incorporation of the suitable men from the fleet as light horsemen or archers in the land-army could not be of much avail. The diversions which Caesar suggested were somewhat more effectual. He succeeded in bringing into arms against Juba the Gaetulian pastoral tribes wandering on the southern slope of the great Atlas towards the Sahara; for the blows of the Marian and Sullan period had reached even to them, and their indignation against Pompeius, who had at that time made them subordinate to the Numidian kings (iv. 94), rendered them from the outset favourably inclined to the heir of the mighty Marius of whose Jugurthine campaign they had still a lively recollection. The Mauretanian kings, Bogud in Tingis and Bocchus in Iol, were Juba's natural rivals and to a certain extent long since in alliance with Caesar. Further, there still roamed in the border-region between the kingdoms of Juba and Bocchus the last of the Catilinarians, that Publius Sittius of Nuceria (iv. 469), who eighteen years before had become converted from a bankrupt Italian merchant into a Mauretanian leader of free bands, and since that time had procured for himself a name and a body of retainers amidst the Libyan quarrels. Bocchus and Sittius united

Caesar's
position at
Ruspina.

fell on the Numidian land, and occupied the important town of Cirta; and their attack, as well as that of the Gaetulians, compelled king Juba to send a portion of his troops to his southern and western frontiers.

Caesar's situation, however, continued sufficiently unpleasant. His army was crowded together within a space of six square miles; though the fleet conveyed corn, the want of forage was as much felt by Caesar's cavalry as by those of Pompeius before Dyrrhachium. The light troops of the enemy remained notwithstanding all the exertions of Caesar so immeasurably superior to his, that it seemed almost impossible to carry offensive operations into the interior even with veterans. If Scipio retired and abandoned the coast towns, he might perhaps achieve a victory like those which the vizier of Orodes had won over Crassus and Juba over Curio, and he could at least endlessly protract the war. The simplest consideration suggested this plan of campaign; even Cato, although far from a strategist, counselled its adoption, and offered at the same time to cross with a corps to Italy and to call the republicans there to arms—which, amidst the utter confusion in that quarter, might very well meet with success. Eut Cato could only advise, not command; Scipio the commander-in-chief decided that the war should be carried on in the region of the coast. This was a blunder, not merely inasmuch as they thereby dropped a plan of war promising a sure result, but also inasmuch as the region to which they transferred the war was in dangerous agitation, and a good part of the army which they opposed to Caesar was likewise in a troublesome temper. The fearfully strict levy, the carrying off of the supplies, the devastating of the smaller townships, the feeling in general that they were being sacrificed for a cause which from the outset was foreign to them and was already lost, had exasperated the native population against the Roman republicans fighting

out their last struggle of despair on African soil; and the terrorist proceedings of the latter against all communities that were but suspected of indifference (p. 286), had raised this exasperation to the most fearful hatred. The African towns declared, wherever they could venture to do so, for Caesar; among the Gaetulians and the Libyans, who served in numbers among the light troops and even in the legions, desertion was spreading. But Scipio with all the obstinacy characteristic of folly persevered in his plan, marched with all his force from Utica to appear before the towns of Ruspina and Little Leptis occupied by Caesar, furnished Hadrumetum to the north and Thapsus to the south (on the promontory Ras Dimas) with strong garrisons, and in concert with Juba, who likewise appeared before Ruspina with all his troops not required by the defence of the frontier, offered battle repeatedly to the enemy. But Caesar was resolved to wait for his veteran legions. As these one after another arrived and appeared on the scene of strife, Scipio and Juba lost the desire to risk a pitched battle, and Caesar had no means of compelling them to fight owing to their extraordinary superiority in light cavalry. Nearly two months passed away in marches and skirmishes in the neighbourhood of Ruspina and Thapsus, which chiefly had relation to the finding out of the concealed store-pits (silos) common in the country, and to the extension of posts. Caesar, compelled by the enemy's horsemen to keep as much as possible to the heights or even to cover his flanks by entrenched lines, yet accustomed his soldiers gradually during this laborious and apparently endless warfare to the foreign mode of fighting. Friend and foe hardly recognized the rapid general in the cautious master of fence who trained his men carefully and not unfrequently in person; and they became almost puzzled by the masterly skill which displayed itself as conspicuously in delay as in promptitude of action.

**Battle at
Thapsus.**

At last Caesar, after being joined by his last reinforcements, made a lateral movement towards Thapsus. Scipio had, as we have said, strongly garrisoned this town, and thereby committed the blunder of presenting to his opponent an object of attack easy to be seized; to this first error he soon added the second still less excusable blunder of now for the rescue of Thapsus giving the battle, which Caesar had wished and Scipio had hitherto rightly refused, on ground which placed the decision in the hands of the infantry of the line. Immediately along the shore, opposite to Caesar's camp, the legions of Scipio and Juba appeared, the fore ranks ready for fighting, the hinder ranks occupied in forming an entrenched camp; at the same time the garrison of Thapsus prepared for a sally. Caesar's camp-guard sufficed to repulse the latter. His legions, accustomed to war, already forming a correct estimate of the enemy from the want of precision in their mode of array and their ill-closed ranks, compelled—while yet the entrenching was going forward on that side, and before even the general gave the signal—a trumpeter to sound for the attack, and advanced along the whole line headed by Caesar himself, who, when he saw his men advance without waiting for his orders, galloped forward to lead them against the enemy. The right wing, in advance of the other divisions, frightened the line of elephants opposed to it—this was the last great battle in which these animals were employed—by throwing bullets and arrows, so that they wheeled round on their own ranks. The covering force was cut down, the left wing of the enemy was broken, and the whole line was overthrown. The defeat was the more destructive, as the new camp of the beaten army was not yet ready, and the old one was at a considerable distance; both were successively captured almost without resistance. The mass of the defeated army threw away their arms and sued for quarter; but Caesar's

soldiers were no longer the same who had readily refrained from battle before Ilerda and honourably spared the defenceless at Pharsalus. The habit of civil war and the rancour left behind by the mutiny asserted their power in a terrible manner on the battle-field of Thapsus. If the hydra with which they fought always put forth new energies, if the army was hurried from Italy to Spain, from Spain to Macedonia, from Macedonia to Africa, and if the repose ever more eagerly longed for never came, the soldier sought, and not wholly without cause, the reason of this state of things in the unseasonable clemency of Caesar. He had sworn to retrieve the general's neglect, and remained deaf to the entreaties of his disarmed fellow-citizens as well as to the commands of Caesar and the superior officers. The fifty thousand corpses that covered the battle-field of Thapsus, among whom were several Caesarian officers known as secret opponents of the new monarchy, and therefore cut down on this occasion by their own men, showed how the soldier procures for himself repose. The victorious army on the other hand numbered no more than fifty dead (6 April 708).

46.

There was as little a continuance of the struggle in Africa after the battle of Thapsus, as there had been a year and a half before in the east after the defeat of Pharsalus. Cato as commandant of Utica convoked the senate, set forth how the means of defence stood, and submitted it to the decision of those assembled whether they would yield or defend themselves to the last man—only adjuring them to resolve and to act not each one for himself, but all in unison. The more courageous view found several supporters; it was proposed to manumit on behalf of the state the slaves capable of arms, which however Cato rejected as an illegal encroachment on private property, and suggested in its stead a patriotic appeal to the slave-owners. But soon this fit of resolution

Cato in
Utica.

His death.

in an assembly consisting in great part of African merchants passed off, and they agreed to capitulate. Thereupon when Faustus Sulla, son of the regent, and Lucius Afranius arrived in Utica with a strong division of cavalry from the field of battle, Cato still made an attempt to hold the town through them; but he indignantly rejected their demand to let them first of all put to death the untrustworthy citizens of Utica *en masse*, and chose to let the last stronghold of the republicans fall into the hands of the monarch without resistance rather than to profane the last moments of the republic by such a massacre. After he had—partly by his authority, partly by liberal largesses—checked so far as he could the fury of the soldiery against the unfortunate Uticans; after he had with touching solicitude furnished to those who preferred not to trust themselves to Caesar's mercy the means for flight, and to those who wished to remain the opportunity of capitulating under the most tolerable conditions, so far as his ability reached; and after having thoroughly satisfied himself that he could render to no one any farther aid, he held himself released from his command, retired to his bedchamber, and plunged his sword into his breast.

The
leaders of
the re-
publicans
**put to
death.**

Of the other fugitive leaders only a few escaped. The cavalry that fled from Thapsus encountered the bands of Sittius, and were cut down or captured by them; their leaders Afianius and Faustus were delivered up to Caesar, and, when the latter did not order their immediate execution, they were slain in a tumult by his veterans. The commander-in-chief Metellus Scipio with the fleet of the defeated party fell into the power of the cruisers of Sittius and, when they were about to lay hands on him, stabbed himself. King Juba, not unprepared for such an issue, had in that case resolved to die in a way which seemed to him befitting a king, and had caused an enormous funeral pile to be prepared in the market-place of his city Zama,

which was intended to consume along with his body all his treasures and the dead bodies of the whole citizens of Zama. But the inhabitants of the town showed no desire to let themselves be employed by way of decoration for the funeral rites of the African Sardanapalus; and they closed the gates against the king when fleeing from the battle-field he appeared, accompanied by Maicus Petreius, before their city. The king—one of those natures that become savage amidst a life of dazzling and insolent enjoyment, and prepare for themselves even out of death an intoxicating feast—resorted with his companion to one of his country houses, caused a copious banquet to be served up, and at the close of the feast challenged Petreius to fight him to death in single combat. It was the conqueror of Catihna that received his death at the hand of the king; the latter thereupon caused himself to be stabbed by one of his slaves. The few men of eminence that escaped, such as Labienus and Sextus Pompeius, followed the elder brother of the latter to Spain and sought, like Sertorius formerly, a last refuge of robbers and pirates in the waters and the mountains of that still half-independent land.

Without resistance Caesar regulated the affairs of Africa. Regulation As Curio had already proposed, the kingdom of Massinissa of Africa was broken up. The most eastern portion or region of Sitifis was united with the kingdom of Bocchus king of East Mauretania (iii. 410), and the faithful king Bogud of Tingis was rewarded with considerable gifts. Cirta (Constantine) and the surrounding district, hitherto possessed under the supremacy of Juba by the prince Massinissa and his son Arabion, were conferred on the *condottiere* Publius Sittius that he might settle his half-Roman bands there;¹ but at the same time this district,

¹ The inscriptions of the region referred to preserve numerous traces of this colonization. The name of the Sittn is there unusually frequent; the

as well as by far the largest and most fertile portion of the late Numidian kingdom, were united as "New Africa" with the older province of Africa, and the defence of the country along the coast against the roving tribes of the desert, which the republic had entrusted to a client-king, was imposed by the new ruler on the empire itself.

The
victory of
monarchy.

The struggle, which Pompeius and the republicans had undertaken against the monarchy of Caesar, thus terminated, after having lasted for four years, in the complete victory of the new monarch. No doubt the monarchy was not established for the first time on the battle-fields of Pharsalus and Thapsus; it might already be dated from the moment when Pompeius and Caesar in league had established their joint rule and overthrown the previous aristocratic constitution. Yet it was only those baptisms of blood of the ninth

48. 46. August 706 and the sixth April 708 that set aside the conjoint rule so opposed to the nature of absolute dominion, and conferred fixed status and formal recognition on the new monarchy. Risings of pretenders and republican conspiracies might ensue and provoke new commotions, perhaps even new revolutions and restorations; but the continuity of the free republic that had been uninterrupted for five hundred years was broken through, and monarchy was established throughout the range of the wide Roman empire by the legitimacy of accomplished fact.

The end
of the
republic.

The constitutional struggle was at an end; and that it was so, was proclaimed by Marcus Cato when he fell on his sword at Utica. For many years he had been the foremost man in the struggle of the legitimate republic against its oppressors; he had continued it, long after he had ceased to cherish any hope of victory. But now the struggle itself had become impossible; the republic which

African township Milev bears as Roman the name *colonia Samensis* (C. 1. L. viii. p. 1094) evidently from the Nucerian river-god Sara us (Sueton, *Rhet.* 4).

Marcus Brutus had founded was dead and never to be revived; what were the republicans now to do on the earth? The treasure was carried off, the sentinels were thereby relieved; who could blame them if they departed? There was more nobility, and above all more judgment, in the death of Cato than there had been in his life. Cato was anything but a great man; but with all that short-sightedness, that perversity, that dry prolixity, and those spurious phrases which have stamped him, for his own and for all time, as the ideal of unreflecting republicanism and the favourite of all who make it their hobby, he was yet the only man who honourably and courageously championed in the last struggle the great system doomed to destruction. Just because the shrewdest lie feels itself inwardly annihilated before the simple truth, and because all the dignity and glory of human nature ultimately depend not on shrewdness but on honesty, Cato has played a greater part in history than many men far superior to him in intellect. It only heightens the deep and tragic significance of his death that he was himself a fool; in truth it is just because Don Quixote is a fool that he is a tragic figure. It is an affecting fact, that on that world-stage, on which so many great and wise men had moved and acted, the fool was destined to give the epilogue. He too died not in vain. It was a fearfully striking protest of the republic against the monarchy, that the last republican went as the first monarch came—a protest which tore asunder like gossamer all that so-called constitutional character with which Caesar invested his monarchy, and exposed in all its hypocritical falsehood the shibboleth of the reconciliation of all parties, under the aegis of which despotism grew up. The unrelenting warfare which the ghost of the legitimate republic waged for centuries, from Cassius and Brutus down to Thræsea and Tacitus, nay, even far later, against the Caesarian monarchy—a warfare of plots and of literature

—was the legacy which the dying Cato bequeathed to his enemies. This republican opposition derived from Cato its whole attitude—stately, transcendental in its rhetoric, pretentiously rigid, hopeless, and faithful to death ; and accordingly it began even immediately after his death to revere as a saint the man who in his lifetime was not unfrequently its laughing-stock and its scandal. But the greatest of these marks of respect was the involuntary homage which Caesar rendered to him, when he made an exception to the contemptuous clemency with which he was wont to treat his opponents, Pompeians as well as republicans, in the case of Cato alone, and pursued him even beyond the grave with that energetic hatred which practical statesmen are wont to feel towards antagonists opposing them from a region of ideas which they regard as equally dangerous and impracticable.

CHAPTER XI

THE OLD REPUBLIC AND THE NEW MONARCHY

THE new monarch of Rome, the first ruler over the whole domain of Romano-Hellenic civilization, Gaius Julius Caesar, was in his fifty-sixth year (born 12 July 652?) 102. when the battle at Thapsus, the last link in a long chain of momentous victories, placed the decision as to the future of the world in his hands. Few men have had their elasticity so thoroughly put to the proof as Caesar—the sole creative genius produced by Rome, and the last produced by the ancient world, which accordingly moved on in the path that he marked out for it until its sun went down. Sprung from one of the oldest noble families of Latium—which traced back its lineage to the heroes of the Iliad and the kings of Rome, and in fact to the Venus-Aphrodite common to both nations—he spent the years of his boyhood and early manhood as the genteel youth of that epoch were wont to spend them. He had tasted the sweetness as well as the bitterness of the cup of fashionable life, had recited and declaimed, had practised literature and made verses in his idle hours, had prosecuted love-intrigues of every sort, and got himself initiated into all the mysteries of shaving, curls, and ruffles pertaining to the toilette-wisdom of the day, as well as into the still more mysterious art of always borrowing and never paying. But the flexible steel of that nature was proof against even

Character
of Caesar.

these dissipated and flighty courses; Caesar retained both his bodily vigour and his elasticity of mind and of heart unimpaired. In fencing and in riding he was a match for any of his soldiers, and his swimming saved his life at Alexandria; the incredible rapidity of his journeys, which usually for the sake of gaining time were performed by night—a thorough contrast to the procession-like slowness with which Pompeius moved from one place to another—was the astonishment of his contemporaries and not the least among the causes of his success. The mind was like the body. His remarkable power of intuition revealed itself in the precision and practicability of all his arrangements, even where he gave orders without having seen with his own eyes. His memory was matchless, and it was easy for him to carry on several occupations simultaneously with equal self-possession. Although a gentleman, a man of genius, and a monarch, he had still a heart. So long as he lived, he cherished the purest veneration for his worthy mother Aurelia (his father having died early); to his wives and above all to his daughter Julia he devoted an honourable affection, which was not without reflex influence even on political affairs. With the ablest and most excellent men of his time, of high and of humbler rank, he maintained noble relations of mutual fidelity, with each after his kind. As he himself never abandoned any of his partisans after the pusillanimous and unfeeling manner of Pompeius, but adhered to his friends—and that not merely from calculation—through good and bad times without wavering, several of these, such as Aulus Hirtius and Gaius Matius, gave, even after his death, noble testimonies of their attachment to him.

If in a nature so harmoniously organized any one aspect of it may be singled out as characteristic, it is this—that he stood aloof from all ideology and everything fanciful. As a matter of course, Caesar was a man of passion, for

without passion there is no genius; but his passion was never stronger than he could control. He had had his season of youth, and song, love, and wine had taken lively possession of his spirit; but with him they did not penetrate to the inmost core of his nature. Literature occupied him long and earnestly; but, while Alexander could not sleep for thinking of the Homeric Achilles, Caesar in his sleepless hours mused on the inflections of the Latin nouns and verbs. He made verses, as everybody then did, but they were weak; on the other hand he was interested in subjects of astronomy and natural science. While wine was and continued to be with Alexander the destroyer of care, the temperate Roman, after the revels of his youth were over, avoided it entirely. Around him, as around all those whom the full lustre of woman's love has dazzled in youth, fainter gleams of it continued imperishably to linger; even in later years he had love-adventures and successes with women, and he retained a certain foppishness in his outward appearance, or, to speak more correctly, the pleasing consciousness of his own manly beauty. He carefully covered the baldness, which he keenly felt, with the laurel chaplet that he wore in public in his later years, and he would doubtless have surrendered some of his victories, if he could thereby have brought back his youthful locks. But, however much even when monarch he enjoyed the society of women, he only amused himself with them, and allowed them no manner of influence over him; even his much-censured relation to queen Cleopatra was only contrived to mask a weak point in his political position (p. 276).

Caesar was thoroughly a realist and a man of sense; and whatever he undertook and achieved was pervaded and guided by the cool sobriety which constitutes the most marked peculiarity of his genius. To this he owed the power of living energetically in the present, undisturbed either by recollection or by expectation; to this he owed

the capacity of acting at any moment with collected vigour, and of applying his whole genius even to the smallest and most incidental enterprise; to this he owed the many-sided power with which he grasped and mastered whatever understanding can comprehend and will can compel; to this he owed the self-possessed ease with which he arranged his periods as well as projected his campaigns; to this he owed the "marvellous serenity" which remained steadily with him through good and evil days; to this he owed the complete independence, which admitted of no control by favourite or by mistress, or even by friend. It resulted, moreover, from this clearness of judgment that Caesar never formed to himself illusions regarding the power of fate and the ability of man; in his case the friendly veil was lifted up, which conceals from man the inadequacy of his working. Prudently as he laid his plans and considered all possibilities, the feeling was never absent from his breast that in all things fortune, that is to say accident, must bestow success; and with this may be connected the circumstance that he so often played a desperate game with destiny, and in particular again and again hazarded his person with daring indifference. As indeed occasionally men of predominant sagacity betake themselves to a pure game of hazard, so there was in Caesar's rationalism a point at which it came in some measure into contact with mysticism.

Caesar as
a states-
man.

Gifts such as these could not fail to produce a statesman. From early youth, accordingly, Caesar was a statesman in the deepest sense of the term, and his aim was the highest which man is allowed to propose to himself—the political, military, intellectual, and moral regeneration of his own deeply decayed nation, and of the still more deeply decayed Hellenic nation intimately akin to his own. The hard school of thirty years' experience changed his views as to the means by which this aim was to be reached; his

aim itself remained the same in the times of his hopeless humiliation and of his unlimited plenitude of power, in the times when as demagogue and conspirator he stole towards it by paths of darkness, and in those when, as joint possessor of the supreme power and then as monarch, he worked at his task in the full light of day before the eyes of the world. All the measures of a permanent kind that proceeded from him at the most various times assume their appropriate places in the great building-plan. We cannot therefore properly speak of isolated achievements of Caesar; he did nothing isolated. With justice men commend Caesar the orator for his masculine eloquence, which, scorning all the arts of the advocate, like a clear flame at once enlightened and warmed. With justice men admire in Caesar the author the inimitable simplicity of the composition, the unique purity and beauty of the language. With justice the greatest masters of war of all times have praised Caesar the general, who, in a singular degree disregarding routine and tradition, knew always how to find out the mode of warfare by which in the given case the enemy was conquered, and which was thus in the given case the right one; who with the certainty of divination found the proper means for every end; who after defeat stood ready for battle like William of Orange, and ended the campaign invariably with victory; who managed that element of warfare, the treatment of which serves to distinguish military genius from the mere ordinary ability of an officer—the rapid movement of masses—with unsurpassed perfection, and found the guarantee of victory not in the massiveness of his forces but in the celerity of their movements, not in long preparation but in rapid and daring action even with inadequate means. But all these were with Caesar mere secondary matters; he was no doubt a great orator, author, and general, but he became each of these merely because he **was** a consummate statesman.

The soldier more especially played in him altogether an accessory part, and it is one of the principal peculiarities by which he is distinguished from Alexander, Hannibal, and Napoleon, that he began his political activity not as an officer, but as a demagogue. According to his original plan he had purposed to reach his object, like Pericles and Gaius Gracchus, without force of arms, and throughout eighteen years he had as leader of the popular party moved exclusively amid political plans and intrigues—until, reluctantly convinced of the necessity for a military support, he, when already forty years of age, put himself at the head of an army. It was natural that he should even afterwards remain still more statesman than general—just like Cromwell, who also transformed himself from a leader of opposition into a military chief and democratic king, and who in general, little as the prince of Puritans seems to resemble the dissolute Roman, is yet in his development as well as in the objects which he aimed at and the results which he achieved of all statesmen perhaps the most akin to Caesar. Even in his mode of warfare this improvised generalship may still be recognized; the enterprises of Napoleon against Egypt and against England do not more clearly exhibit the artillery-lieutenant who had risen by service to command than the similar enterprises of Caesar exhibit the demagogue metamorphosed into a general. A regularly trained officer would hardly have been prepared, through political considerations of a not altogether stringent nature, to set aside the best-founded military scruples in the way in which Caesar did on several occasions, most strikingly in the case of his landing in Epirus. Several of his acts are therefore censurable from a military point of view; but what the general loses, the statesman gains. The task of the statesman is universal in its nature like Caesar's genius; if he undertook things the most varied and most remote one from another, they had all without

exception a bearing on the one great object to which with infinite fidelity and consistency he devoted himself; and of the manifold aspects and directions of his great activity he never preferred one to another. Although a master of the art of war, he yet from statesmanly considerations did his utmost to avert civil strife and, when it nevertheless began, to earn laurels stained as little as possible by blood. Although the founder of a military monarchy, he yet, with an energy unexampled in history, allowed no hierarchy of marshals or government of praetorians to come into existence. If he had a preference for any one form of services rendered to the state, it was for the sciences and arts of peace rather than for those of war.

The most remarkable peculiarity of his action as a statesman was its perfect harmony. In reality all the conditions for this most difficult of all human functions were united in Caesar. A thorough realist, he never allowed the images of the past or venerable tradition to disturb him; for him nothing was of value in politics but the living present and the law of reason, just as in his character of grammarian he set aside historical and antiquarian research and recognized nothing but on the one hand the living *usus loquendi* and on the other hand the rule of symmetry. A born ruler, he governed the minds of men as the wind drives the clouds, and compelled the most heterogeneous natures to place themselves at his service—the plain citizen and the rough subaltern, the genteel matrons of Rome and the fair princesses of Egypt and Mauretania, the brilliant cavalry-officer and the calculating banker. His talent for organization was marvellous; no statesman has ever compelled alliances, no general has ever collected an army out of unyielding and refractory elements with such decision, and kept them together with such firmness, as Caesar displayed in constraining and upholding his coalitions and his legions; never did regent judge his instruments and assign

each to the place appropriate for him with so acute an eye.

He was monarch; but he never played the king. Even when absolute lord of Rome, he retained the deportment of the party-leader; perfectly pliant and smooth, easy and charming in conversation, complaisant towards every one, it seemed as if he wished to be nothing but the first among his peers. Caesar entirely avoided the blunder into which so many men otherwise on an equality with him have fallen, of carrying into politics the military tone of command; however much occasion his disagreeable relations with the senate gave for it, he never resorted to outrages such as was that of the eighteenth Brumaire. Caesar was monarch; but he was never seized with the giddiness of the tyrant. He is perhaps the only one among the mighty ones of the earth, who in great matters and little never acted according to inclination or caprice, but always without exception according to his duty as ruler, and who, when he looked back on his life, found doubtless erroneous calculations to deplore, but no false step of passion to regret. There is nothing in the history of Caesar's life, which even on a small scale^x can be compared with those poetico-sensual ebullitions—such as the murder of Kleitos or the burning of Persepolis—which the history of his great predecessor in the east records. He is, in fine, perhaps the only one of those mighty ones, who has preserved to the end of his career the statesman's tact of discriminating between the possible and the impossible, and has not broken down in the task which for greatly gifted natures is the most difficult of all—the task of recognizing, when on the pinnacle of success, its natural

¹ The affair with Laberius, told in the well-known prologue, has been quoted as an instance of Caesar's tyrannical caprices, but those who have done so have thoroughly misunderstood the irony of the situation as well as of the poet; to say nothing of the *naïve* of lamenting as a martyr the poet who readily pockets his honorarium.

limits. What was possible he performed, and never left the possible good undone for the sake of the impossible better, never disdained at least to mitigate by palliatives evils that were incurable. But where he recognized that fate had spoken, he always obeyed. Alexander on the Hypanis, Napoleon at Moscow, turned back because they were compelled to do so, and were indignant at destiny for bestowing even on its favourites merely limited successes; Caesar turned back voluntarily on the Thames and on the Rhine; and thought of carrying into effect even at the Danube and the Euphrates not unbounded plans of world-conquest, but merely well-considered frontier-regulations.

Such was this unique man, whom it seems so easy and yet is so infinitely difficult to describe. His whole nature is transparent clearness; and tradition preserves more copious and more vivid information about him than about any of his peers in the ancient world. Of such a personage our conceptions may well vary in point of shallowness or depth, but they cannot be, strictly speaking, different; to every not utterly perverted inquirer the grand figure has exhibited the same essential features, and yet no one has succeeded in reproducing it to the life. The secret lies in its perfection. In his character as a man as well as in his place in history, Caesar occupies a position where the great contrasts of existence meet and balance each other. Of mighty creative power and yet at the same time of the most penetrating judgment; no longer a youth and not yet an old man; of the highest energy of will and the highest capacity of execution; filled with republican ideals and at the same time born to be a king; a Roman in the deepest essence of his nature, and yet called to reconcile and combine in himself as well as in the outer world the Roman and the Hellenic types of culture—Caesar was the entire and perfect man. Accordingly we miss in him more than in any other historical personage what are called

characteristic features, which are in reality nothing else than deviations from the natural course of human development. What in Caesar passes for such at the first superficial glance is, when more closely observed, seen to be the peculiarity not of the individual, but of the epoch of culture or of the nation; his youthful adventures, for instance, were common to him with all his more gifted contemporaries of like position, his unpoetical but strongly logical temperament was the temperament of Romans in general. It formed part also of Caesar's full humanity that he was in the highest degree influenced by the conditions of time and place; for there is no abstract humanity—the living man cannot but occupy a place in a given nationality and in a definite line of culture. Caesar was a perfect man just because he more than any other placed himself amidst the currents of his time, and because he more than any other possessed the essential peculiarity of the Roman nation—practical aptitude as a citizen—in perfection: for his Hellenism in fact was only the Hellenism which had been long intimately blended with the Italian nationality. But in this very circumstance lies the difficulty, we may perhaps say the impossibility, of depicting Caesar to the life. As the artist can paint everything save only consummate beauty, so the historian, when once in a thousand years he encounters the perfect, can only be silent regarding it. For normality admits doubtless of being expressed, but it gives us only the negative notion of the absence of defect; the secret of nature, whereby in her most finished manifestations normality and individuality are combined, is beyond expression. Nothing is left for us but to deem those fortunate who beheld this perfection, and to gain some faint conception of it from the reflected lustre which rests imperishably on the works that were the creation of this great nature. These also, it is true, bear the stamp of the time. The Roman hero himself stood by the side of his

youthful Greek predecessor not merely as an equal, but as a superior; but the world had meanwhile become old and its youthful lustre had faded. The action of Caesar was no longer, like that of Alexander, a joyous marching onward towards a goal indefinitely remote; he built on, and out of, ruins, and was content to establish himself as tolerably and as securely as possible within the ample but yet definite bounds once assigned to him. With reason therefore the delicate poetic tact of the nations has not troubled itself about the unpoetical Roman, and on the other hand has invested the son of Philip with all the golden lustre of poetry, with all the rainbow hues of legend. But with equal reason the political life of the nations has during thousands of years again and again reverted to the lines which Caesar drew; and the fact, that the peoples to whom the world belongs still at the present day designate the highest of their monarchs by his name, conveys a warning deeply significant and, unhappily, fraught with shame.

If the old, in every respect vicious, state of things was to be successfully got rid of and the commonwealth was to be renovated, it was necessary first of all that the country should be practically tranquillized and that the ground should be cleared from the rubbish with which since the recent catastrophe it was everywhere strewn. In this work Caesar set out from the principle of the reconciliation of the hitherto subsisting parties or, to put it more correctly—for, where the antagonistic principles are irreconcilable, we cannot speak of real reconciliation—from the principle that the arena, on which the nobility and the populace had hitherto contended with each other, was to be abandoned by both parties, and that both were to meet together on the ground of the new monarchical constitution. First of all therefore all the older quarrels of the republican past were regarded as done away for

Setting
aside of
the old
parties.

- ever and irrevocably. While Caesar gave orders that the statues of Sulla which had been thrown down by the mob of the capital on the news of the battle of Pharsalus should be re-erected, and thus recognized the fact that it became history alone to sit in judgment on that great man, he at the same time cancelled the last remaining effects of Sulla's exceptional laws, recalled from exile those who had been banished in the times of the Cinnan and Sertorian troubles, and restored to the children of those outlawed by Sulla their forfeited privilege of eligibility to office. In like manner all those were restored, who in the preliminary stage of the recent catastrophe had lost their seat in the senate or their civil existence through sentence of the censors or political process, especially through the impeachments raised on the basis of the exceptional laws of 702. Those alone who had put to death the proscribed for money remained, as was reasonable, still under attainder; and Milo, the most daring *condottiere* of the senatorial party, was excluded from the general pardon.

Discontent
of the
democrats.

Far more difficult than the settlement of these questions which already belonged substantially to the past was the treatment of the parties confronting each other at the moment — on the one hand Caesar's own democratic adherents, on the other hand the overthrown aristocracy. That the former should be, if possible, still less satisfied than the latter with Caesar's conduct after the victory and with his summons to abandon the old standing-ground of party, was to be expected. Caesar himself desired doubtless on the whole the same issue which Gaius Gracchus had contemplated; but the designs of the Caesarians were no longer those of the Gracchans. The Roman popular party had been driven onward in gradual progression from reform to revolution, from revolution to anarchy, from anarchy to a war against property; they celebrated among themselves the memory of the reign of terror and now adorned the

tomb of Catilina, as formerly that of the Gracchi, with flowers and garlands; they had placed themselves under Caesar's banner, because they expected him to do for them what Catilina had not been able to accomplish. But as it speedily became plain that Caesar was very far from intending to be the testamentary executor of Catilina, and that the utmost which debtors might expect from him was some alleviations of payment and modifications of procedure, indignation found loud vent in the inquiry, For whom then had the popular party conquered, if not for the people? and the rabble of this description, high and low, out of pure chagrin at the miscarriage of their politico-economic Saturnalia began first to coquet with the Pompeians, and then even during Caesar's absence of nearly two years from Italy (Jan. 706—autumn 707) to instigate there a second civil war within the first. 48-47.

The praetor Marcus Caelius Rufus, a good aristocrat and bad payer of debts, of some talent and much culture, as a vehement and fluent orator hitherto in the senate and in the Forum one of the most zealous champions for Caesar, proposed to the people—without being instructed from any higher quarter to do so—a law which granted to debtors a respite of six years free of interest, and then, when he was opposed in this step, proposed a second law which even cancelled all claims arising out of loans and current house rents; whereupon the Caesarian senate deposed him from his office. It was just on the eve of the battle of Pharsalus, and the balance in the great contest seemed to incline to the side of the Pompeians; Rufus entered into communication with the old senatorian band-leader Milo, and the two contrived a counter-revolution, which inscribed on its banner partly the republican constitution, partly the cancelling of creditors' claims and the manumission of slaves. Milo left his place of exile Massilia, and called the Pompeians and the slave-herdsmen Caelius and Milo

to arms in the region of Thurii; Rufus made arrangements to seize the town of Capua by armed slaves. But the latter plan was detected before its execution and frustrated by the Capuan militia; Quintus Pedius, who advanced with a legion into the territory of Thurii, scattered the band making havoc there; and the fall of the two leaders put

48. an end to the scandal (706).

47. Nevertheless there was found in the following year (707) Dolabella. a second fool, the tribune of the people, Publius Dolabella, who, equally insolvent but far from being equally gifted with his predecessor, introduced afresh his law as to creditors' claims and house rents, and with his colleague Lucius Trebellius began on that point once more—it was the last time—the demagogic war; there were serious frays between the armed bands on both sides and various street - riots, till the commandant of Italy Marcus Antonius ordered the military to interfere, and soon afterwards Caesar's return from the east completely put an end to the preposterous proceedings. Caesar attributed to these brainless attempts to revive the projects of Catilina so little importance, that he tolerated Dolabella in Italy and indeed after some time even received him again into favour. Against a rabble of this sort, which had nothing to do with any political question at all, but solely with a war against property—as against gangs of banditti—the mere existence of a strong government is sufficient; and Caesar was too great and too considerate to busy himself with the apprehensions which the Italian alarmists felt regarding these communists of that day, and thereby unduly to procure a false popularity for his monarchy.

Measures
against
Pompeians
and re-
publicans.

While Caesar thus might leave, and actually left, the late democratic party to the process of decomposition which had already in its case advanced almost to the utmost limit, he had on the other hand, with reference to the former aristo-

cratic party possessing a far greater vitality, not to bring about its dissolution—which time alone could accomplish—but to pave the way for and initiate it by a proper combination of repression and conciliation. Among minor measures, Caesar, even from a natural sense of propriety, avoided exasperating the fallen party by empty sarcasm ; he did not triumph over his conquered fellow-burgesses;¹ he mentioned Pompeius often and always with respect, and caused his statue overthrown by the people to be re-erected at the senate-house, when the latter was restored, in its earlier distinguished place. To political prosecutions after the victory Caesar assigned the narrowest possible limits. No investigation was instituted into the various communications which the constitutional party had held even with nominal Caesarians ; Caesar threw the piles of papers found in the enemy's headquarters at Pharsalus and Thapsus into the fire unread, and spared himself and the country from political processes against individuals suspected of high treason. Further, all the common soldiers who had followed their Roman or provincial officers into the contest against Caesar came off with impunity. The sole exception made was in the case of those Roman burgesses, who had taken service in the army of the Numidian king Juba; their property was confiscated by way of penalty for their treason. Even to the officers of the conquered party Caesar had granted unlimited pardon up to the close of the Spanish campaign of 705 ; but he became convinced that in this he had 49 gone too far, and that the removal at least of the leaders among them was inevitable. The rule by which he was thenceforth guided was, that every one who after the capitulation of Ilerda had served as an officer in the

¹ The triumph after the battle of Munda subsequently to be mentioned probably had reference only to the Lusitanians who served in great numbers in the conquered army.

- enemy's army or had sat in the opposition-senate, if he survived the close of the struggle, forfeited his property and his political rights, and was banished from Italy for life; if he did not survive the close of the struggle, his property at least fell to the state; but any one of these, who had formerly accepted pardon from Caesar and was once more found in the ranks of the enemy, thereby forfeited his life. These rules were however materially modified in the execution. The sentence of death was actually executed only against a very few of the numerous backsliders. In the confiscation of the property of the fallen not only were the debts attaching to the several portions of the estate as well as the claims of the widows for their dowries paid off, as was reasonable, but a portion of the paternal estate was left also to the children of the deceased. Lastly not a few of those, who in consequence of those rules were liable to banishment and confiscation of property, were at once pardoned entirely or got off with "fines, like the African capitalists who were impressed as members of the senate of Utica. And even the others almost without exception got their freedom and property restored to them, if they could only prevail on themselves to petition Caesar to that effect; on several who declined to do so, such as the consular Marcus Marcellus, pardon
44. was even conferred unasked, and ultimately in 710 a general amnesty was issued for all who were still unre-called.

Amnesty.

The republican opposition submitted to be pardoned; but it was not reconciled. Discontent with the new order of things and exasperation against the unwonted ruler were general. For open political resistance there was indeed no farther opportunity—it was hardly worth taking into account, that some oppositional tribunes on occasion of the question of title acquired for themselves the republican crown of martyrdom by a demonstrative intervention against

those who had called Caesar king—but republicanism found expression all the more decidedly as an opposition of sentiment, and in secret agitation and plotting. Not a hand stirred when the Emperor appeared in public. There was abundance of wall-placards and sarcastic verses full of bitter and telling popular satire against the new monarchy. When a comedian ventured on a republican allusion, he was saluted with the loudest applause. The praise of Cato formed the fashionable theme of oppositional pamphleteers, and their writings found a public all the more grateful because even literature was no longer free. Caesar indeed combated the republicans even now on their own field ; he himself and his abler confidants replied to the Cato-literature with Anticatones, and the republican and Caesarian scribes fought round the dead hero of Utica like the Trojans and Hellenes round the dead body of Patroclus ; but as a matter of course in this conflict—where the public thoroughly republican in its feelings was judge—the Caesarians had the worst of it. No course remained but to overawe the authors; on which account men well known and dangerous in a literary point of view, such as Publius Nigidius Figulus and Aulus Caecina, had more difficulty in obtaining permission to return to Italy than other exiles, while the oppositional writers tolerated in Italy were subjected to a practical censorship, the restraints of which were all the more annoying that the measure of punishment to be dreaded was utterly arbitrary.¹ The underground machinations of the overthrown parties against the new monarchy will be more fitly set forth in another connection. Here it is sufficient to say that risings of pretenders as well as of republicans were incessantly brewing

¹ Any one who desires to compare the old and new hardships of authors will find opportunity of doing so in the letter of Caecina (Cicero, *Ad. Fam.* vi. 7).

throughout the Roman empire; that the flames of civil war kindled now by the Pompeians, now by the republicans, again burst forth brightly at various places; and that in the capital there was perpetual conspiracy against the life of the monarch. But Caesar could not be induced by these plots even to surround himself permanently with a body-guard, and usually contented himself with making known the detected conspiracies by public placards.

Bearing of
Caesar
towards the
parties.

However much Caesar was wont to treat all things relating to his personal safety with daring indifference, he could not possibly conceal from himself the very serious danger with which this mass of malcontents threatened not merely himself but also his creations. If nevertheless, disregarding all the warning and urgency of his friends, he without deluding himself as to the implacability of the very opponents to whom he showed mercy, persevered with marvellous composure and energy in the course of pardoning by far the greater number of them, he did so neither from the chivalrous magnanimity of a proud, nor from the sentimental clemency of an effeminate, nature, but from the correct statesmanly consideration that vanquished parties are disposed of more rapidly and with less public injury by their absorption within the state than by any attempt to extirpate them by proscription or to eject them from the commonwealth by banishment. Caesar could not for his high objects dispense with the constitutional party itself, which in fact embraced not the aristocracy merely but all the elements of a free and national spirit among the Italian burgesses; for his schemes, which contemplated the renovation of the antiquated state, he needed the whole mass of talent, culture, hereditary and self-acquired distinction, which this party embraced; and in this sense he may well have named the pardoning of his opponents the finest reward of victory. Accordingly the most prominent chiefs of the defeated parties were indeed removed, but full pardon

was not withheld from the men of the second and third rank and especially of the younger generation; they were not, however, allowed to sulk in passive opposition, but were by more or less gentle pressure induced to take an active part in the new administration, and to accept honours and offices from it. As with Henry the Fourth and William of Orange, so with Caesar his greatest difficulties began only after the victory. Every revolutionary conqueror learns by experience that, if after vanquishing his opponents he would not remain like Cinna and Sulla a mere party-chief, but would like Caesar, Henry the Fourth, and William of Orange substitute the welfare of the commonwealth for the necessarily one-sided programme of his own party, for the moment all parties, his own as well as the vanquished, unite against the new chief; and the more so, the more great and pure his idea of his new vocation. The friends of the constitution and the Pompeians, though doing homage with the lips to Caesar, bore yet in heart a grudge either at monarchy or at least at the dynasty; the degenerate democracy was in open rebellion against Caesar from the moment of its perceiving that Caesar's objects were by no means its own; even the personal adherents of Caesar murmured, when they found that their chief was establishing instead of a state of *condottieri* a monarchy equal and just towards all, and that the portions of gain accruing to them were to be diminished by the accession of the vanquished. This settlement of the commonwealth was acceptable to no party, and had to be imposed on his associates no less than on his opponents. Caesar's own position was now in a certain sense more imperilled than before the victory; but what he lost, the state gained. By annihilating the parties and not simply sparing the partisans but allowing every man of talent or even merely of good descent to attain to office irrespective of his political past, he gained for his great building all the working power extant in the state; and not

only so, but the voluntary or compulsory participation of men of all parties in the same work led the nation also over imperceptibly to the newly prepared ground. The fact that this reconciliation of the parties was for the moment only external and that they were for the present much less agreed in adherence to the new state of things than in hatred against Caesar, did not mislead him; he knew well that antagonisms lose their keenness when brought into such outward union, and that only in this way can the statesman anticipate the work of time, which alone is able finally to heal such a strife by laying the old generation in the grave. Still less did he inquire who hated him or meditated his assassination. Like every genuine statesman he served not the people for reward—not even for the reward of their love—but sacrificed the favour of his contemporaries for the blessing of posterity, and above all for the permission to save and renew his nation.

Caesar's
work.

In attempting to give a detailed account of the mode in which the transition was effected from the old to the new state of things, we must first of all recollect that Caesar came not to begin, but to complete. The plan of a new polity suited to the times, long ago projected by Gaius Gracchus, had been maintained by his adherents and successors with more or less of spirit and success, but without wavering. Caesar, from the outset and as it were by hereditary right the head of the popular party, had for thirty years borne aloft its banner without ever changing or even so much as concealing his colours; he remained democrat even when monarch. As he accepted without limitation, apart of course from the preposterous projects of Catilina and Clodius, the heritage of his party; as he displayed the bitterest, even personal, hatred to the aristocracy and the genuine aristocrats; and as he retained unchanged the essential ideas of Roman democracy, viz. alleviation of the burdens of debtors, transmarine colonization, gradual

equalization of the differences of rights among the classes belonging to the state, emancipation of the executive power from the senate: his monarchy was so little at variance with democracy, that democracy on the contrary only attained its completion and fulfilment by means of that monarchy. For this monarchy was not the Oriental despotism of divine right, but a monarchy such as Gaius Gracchus wished to found, such as Pericles and Cromwell founded—the representation of the nation by the man in whom it puts supreme and unlimited confidence. The ideas, which lay at the foundation of Caesar's work, were so far not strictly new; but to him belongs their realization, which after all is everywhere the main matter; and to him pertains the grandeur of execution, which would probably have surprised the brilliant projector himself if he could have seen it, and which has impressed, and will always impress, every one to whom it has been presented in the living reality or in the mirror of history—to whatever historical epoch or whatever shade of politics he may belong—according to the measure of his ability to comprehend human and historical greatness, with deep and ever-deepening emotion and admiration.

At this point however it is proper expressly once for all to claim what the historian everywhere tacitly presumes, and to protest against the custom—common to simplicity and perfidy—of using historical praise and historical censure, dissociated from the given circumstances, as phrases of general application, and in the present case of construing the judgment as to Caesar into a judgment as to what is called Caesarism. It is true that the history of past centuries ought to be the instructress of the present; but not in the vulgar sense, as *if* one could simply by turning over the leaves discover the conjunctures of the present in the records of the past, and collect from these the symptoms **for** a political diagnosis and the specifics **for** a prescription ;

it is instructive only so far as the observation of older forms of culture reveals the organic conditions of civilization generally—the fundamental forces everywhere alike, and the manner of their combination everywhere different—and leads and encourages men, not to unreflecting imitation, but to independent reproduction. In this sense the history of Caesar and of Roman Imperialism, with all the unsurpassed greatness of the master-worker, with all the historical necessity of the work, is in truth a sharper censure of modern autocracy than could be written by the hand of man. According to the same law of nature in virtue of which the smallest organism infinitely surpasses the most artistic machine, every constitution however defective which gives play to the free self-determination of a majority of citizens infinitely surpasses the most brilliant and humane absolutism; for the former is capable of development and therefore living, the latter is what it is and therefore dead. This law of nature has verified itself in the Roman absolute military monarchy and verified itself all the more completely, that, under the impulse of its creator's genius and in the absence of all material complications from without, that monarchy developed itself more purely and freely than any similar state. From Caesar's time, as the sequel will show and Gibbon has shown long ago, the Roman system had only an external coherence and received only a mechanical extension, while internally it became even with him utterly withered and dead. If in the early stages of the autocracy and above all in Caesar's own soul (iv. 504) the hopeful dream of a combination of free popular development and absolute rule was still cherished, the government of the highly-gifted emperors of the Julian house soon taught men in a terrible form how far it was possible to hold fire and water in the same vessel. Caesar's work was necessary and salutary, not because it was or could be fraught with blessing in itself, but because—with the national organization of

antiquity, which was based on slavery and was utterly a stranger to republican-constitutional representation, and in presence of the legitimate urban constitution which in the course of five hundred years had ripened into oligarchic absolutism—absolute military monarchy was the copestone logically necessary and the least of evils. When once the slave-holding aristocracy in Virginia and the Carolinas shall have carried matters as far as their congeners in the Sullan Rome, Caesarism will there too be legitimized at the bar of the spirit of history ;¹ where it appears under other conditions of development, it is at once a caricature and a usurpation. But history will not submit to curtail the true Caesar of his due honour, because her verdict may in the presence of bad Caesars lead simplicity astray and may give to roguery occasion for lying and fraud. She too is a Bible, and if she cannot any more than the Bible hinder the fool from misunderstanding and the devil from quoting her, she too will be able to bear with, and to requite, them both.

The position of the new supreme head of the state appears formally, at least in the first instance, as a dictatorship. Caesar took it up at first after his return from Spain in 705, but laid it down again after a few days, and waged the decisive campaign of 706 simply as consul—this was the office his tenure of which was the primary occasion for the outbreak of the civil war (p. 176). But in the autumn of this year after the battle of Pharsalus he reverted to the dictatorship and had it repeatedly entrusted to him, at first for an undefined period, but from the 1st January 709 as an annual office, and then in January or February 710² for the duration of his life, so that he in the end

¹ When this was written—in the year 1857—no one could foresee how soon the mightiest straggle and most glorious victory as yet recorded in human annals would save the United States from this fearful trial, and secure the future existence of an absolute self-governing freedom not to be permanently kept in check by any local Caesarism.

² On the 26th January 710 Caesar is still called *dictator IIII*. (triumphal

expressly dropped the earlier reservation as to his laying down the office and gave formal expression to its tenure for life in the new title of *dictator perpetuus*. This dictatorship, both in its first ephemeral and in its second enduring tenure, was not that of the old constitution, but—what was coincident with this merely in the name—the supreme exceptional office as arranged by Sulla (iv. 100); an office, the functions of which were fixed, not by the constitutional ordinances regarding the supreme single magistracy, but by special decree of the people, to such an effect that the holder received, in the commission to project laws and to regulate the commonwealth, an official prerogative *de jure* unlimited which superseded the republican partition of powers. Those were merely applications of this general prerogative to the particular case, when the holder of power was further entrusted by separate acts with the right of deciding on war and peace without consulting the senate and the people, with the independent disposal of armies and finances, and with the nomination of the provincial governors. Caesar could accordingly *de jure* assign to himself even such prerogatives as lay outside of the proper functions of the magistracy and even outside of the province of state-powers at all;* and it appears almost as a concession on his part, that he abstained from nominating the magistrates instead of the Comitia and limited himself to claiming a binding right of proposal for a proportion of the praetors and of the lower magistrates; and that he moreover had himself empowered by special decree of the people for the creation of patricians, which was not at all allowable according to use and wont

table); on the 18th February of this year he was already *dictator perpetuus* (Cicero, *Philip*, ii. 34, 87). Comp. *Staatsrecht*, ii.³ 716.

¹ The formulation of that dictatorship appears to have expressly brought into prominence among other things the 'improvement of morals'; but Caesar did not hold on his own part an office of this sort (*Staatsrecht*, ii.³ 705).

For other magistracies in the proper sense there remained alongside of this dictatorship no room; Caesar did not take up the censorship as such,¹ but he doubtless exercised censorial rights—particularly the important right of nominating senators—after a comprehensive fashion.

Other magistracies and attributions.

He held the consulship frequently alongside of the dictatorship, once even without colleague; but he by no means attached it permanently to his person, and he gave no effect to the calls addressed to him to undertake it for five or even for ten years in succession.

Caesar had no need to have the superintendence of worship now committed to him, since he was already *pontifex maximus* (iv. 460). As a matter of course the membership of the college of augurs was conferred on him, and generally an abundance of old and new honorary rights, such as the title of a "father of the fatherland," the designation of the month of his birth by the name which it still bears of Julius, and other manifestations of the incipient courtly tone which ultimately ran into utter deification. Two only of the arrangements deserve to be singled out: namely that Caesar was placed on the same footing with the tribunes of the people as regards their special personal inviolability, and that the appellation of Imperator was permanently attached to his person and borne by him as a title alongside of his other official designations.

Men of judgment will not require any proof, either that Caesar intended to engraft on the commonwealth his supreme power, and this not merely for a few years or even as a personal office for an indefinite period somewhat like Sulla's regency, but as an essential and permanent organ; or that he selected for the new institution an appropriate and simple designation; for, if it is a political blunder to create

¹ Caesar bears the designation of *imperator* always without any number indicative of iteration, and always in the first place after his name (*Staatsrecht*, il³ 767, note 1).

names without substantial meaning, it is scarcely a less error to set up the substance of plenary power without a name. Only it is not easy to determine what definitive formal shape Caesar had in view; partly because in this period of transition the ephemeral and the permanent buildings are not clearly discriminated from each other, partly because the devotion of his clients which already anticipated the nod of their master loaded him with a multitude—offensive doubtless to himself—of decrees of confidence and laws conferring honours. Least of all could the new monarchy attach itself to the consulship, just on account of the collegiate character that could not well be separated from this office; Caesar also evidently laboured to degrade this hitherto supreme magistracy into an empty title, and subsequently, when he undertook it, he did not hold it through the whole year, but before the year expired gave it away to personages of secondary rank. The dictatorship came practically into prominence most frequently and most definitely, but probably only because Caesar wished to use it in the significance which it had of old in the constitutional machinery—as an extraordinary presidency for surmounting extraordinary crises. On the other hand it was far from recommending itself as an expression for the new monarchy, for the magistracy was inherently clothed with an exceptional and unpopular character, and it could hardly be expected of the representative of the democracy that he should choose for its permanent organization that form, which the most gifted champion of the opposing party had created for his own ends.

Caesar
Imperator.

The new name of Imperator, on the other hand, appears in every respect by far more appropriate for the formal expression of the monarchy; just because it is in this application¹ new, and no definite outward occasion for its

¹ During the republican period the name Imperator, which denotes the victorious general, was laid aside with the end of the campaign; as a permanent title it first appears in the case of Caesar.

introduction is apparent. The new wine might not be put into old bottles; here is a new name for the new thing, and that name most pregnantly sums up what the democratic party had already expressed in the Gabinian law, only with less precision, as the function of its chief—the concentration and perpetuation of official power (*imperium*) in the hands of a popular chief independent of the senate. We find on Caesar's coins, especially those of the last period, alongside of the dictatorship the title of Imperator prevailing, and in Caesar's law as to political crimes the monarch seems to have been designated by this name. Accordingly the following times, though not immediately, connected the monarchy with the name of Imperator. To lend to this new office at once a democratic and religious sanction, Caesar probably intended to associate with it once for all on the one hand the tribunician power, on the other the supreme pontificate.

That the new organization was not meant to be restricted merely to the lifetime of its founder, is beyond doubt; but he did not succeed in settling the especially difficult question of the succession, and it must remain an undecided point whether he had it in view to institute some sort of form for the election of a successor, such as had subsisted in the case of the original kingly office, or whether he wished to introduce for the supreme office not merely the tenure for life but also the hereditary character, as his adopted son subsequently maintained.¹ It is not improbable that he had the intention of combining in some measure the two systems, and of arranging the succession, similarly to the

¹ That in Caesar's lifetime the *imperium* as well as the supreme pontificate was rendered by a formal legislative act hereditary for his agnate descendants—of his own body or through the medium of adoption—was asserted by Caesar the Younger as his legal title to rule. As our traditional accounts stand, the existence of such a law or resolution of the senate must be decidedly called in question; but doubtless it remains possible that Caesar intended the issue of such a decree. (Com p. *Staatsrecht* ii, 484, 1106.)

course followed by Cromwell and by Napoleon, in such a way that the ruler should be succeeded in rule by his son, but, if he had no son, or the son should not seem fitted for the succession, the ruler should of his free choice nominate his successor in the form of adoption.

In point of state law the new office of Emperor was based on the position which the consuls or proconsuls occupied outside of the *pomerium*, so that primarily the military command, but, along with this, the supreme judicial and consequently also the administrative power, were included in it¹ But the authority of the Emperor was qualitatively superior to the consular-proconsular, in so far as the former was not limited as respected time or space, but was held for life and operative also in the capital;² as the

¹ The widely-spread opinion, which sees in the imperial office of Emperor nothing but the dignity of general of the empire tenable for life, is not warranted either by the signification of the word or by the view taken by the old authorities. *Imperium* is the power of command, *imperator* is the possessor of that power; in these words as in the corresponding Greek terms *κράτος, αυτοκράτωρ* so little is there implied a specific military reference, that it is on the contrary the very characteristic of the Roman official power, where it appears purely and completely, to embrace in it war and process—that is, the military and the civil power of command—as one inseparable whole. Dio says quite correctly (liii. 17; comp. xliii. 44; lii. 41) that the name Emperor was assumed by the emperors "to indicate their full power instead of the title of king and dictator (*πρὸς δῆλωσιν τῆς αὐτοτελοῦς σφῶν ἐξουσίας, ἀντὶ τῆς τοῦ βασιλέως τοῦ τε δικτάτωρος ἐπικλήσεως*); for these other older titles disappeared in name, but in reality the title of Emperor gives the same prerogatives (*τὸ δὲ δὴ ἔργον αὐτῶν τῇ τοῦ αυτοκράτορος προσηγορίᾳ βεβαιοῦνται*), for instance the right of levying soldiers, imposing taxes, declaring war and concluding peace, exercising the supreme authority over burgess and non-burgess in and out of the city and punishing any one at any place capitally or otherwise, and in general of assuming the prerogatives connected in the earliest times with the supreme *imperium*." It could not well be said in plainer terms, that *imperator* is nothing at all but a synonym for *rex*, just as *imperare* coincides with *regere*.

² When Augustus in constituting the principate resumed the Caesarian *imperium*, this was done with the restriction that it should be limited as to space and in a certain sense also as to time; the proconsular power of the emperors, which was nothing but just this *imperium*, was not to come into application as regards Rome and Italy (*Staatsrecht*, ii.⁸ 854). On this element rests the essential distinction between the Caesarian *imperium* and the Augustan principate, just as on the other hand the real equality

Imperator could not, while the consul could, be checked by colleagues of equal power; and as all the restrictions placed in course of time on the original supreme official power—especially the obligation to give place to the *provocatio* and to respect the advice of the senate—did not apply to the Imperator.

In a word, this new office of Imperator was nothing else than the primitive regal office re-established ; for it was those very restrictions—as respected the temporal and local limitation of power, the collegiate arrangement, and the co-operation of the senate or the community that was necessary for certain cases—which distinguished the consul from the king (i. 318 f.). There is hardly a trait of the new monarchy which was not found in the old: the union of the supreme military, judicial, and administrative authority in the hands of the prince; a religious presidency over the commonwealth; the right of issuing ordinances with binding power; the reduction of the senate to a council of state; the revival of the patriciate and of the praefecture of the city. But still more striking than these analogies is the internal similarity of the monarchy of Servius Tullius and the monarchy of Caesar; if those old kings of Rome with all their plenitude of power had yet been rulers of a free community and themselves the protectors of the commons against the nobility, Caesar too had not come to destroy liberty but to fulfil it, and primarily to break the intolerable yoke of the aristocracy. Nor need it surprise us that Caesar, anything but a political antiquary, went back five hundred years to find the model for his new state ; for, seeing that the highest office of the Roman commonwealth had remained at all times a kingship restricted by a number of special laws, the idea of the regal office itself had by no means become obsolete.

Re-establishment of the regal office.

of the two institutions rests on the imperfection with which even in principle and still more in practice that limit was realized.

At very various periods and from very different sides—in the decemviral power, in the Sullan regency, and in Caesar's own dictatorship—there had been during the republic a practical recurrence to it; indeed by a certain logical necessity, whenever an exceptional power seemed requisite there emerged, in contradistinction to the usual limited *imperium*, the unlimited *imperium* which was simply nothing else than the regal power.

Lastly, outward considerations also recommended this recurrence to the former kingly position. Mankind have infinite difficulty in reaching new creations, and therefore cherish the once developed forms as sacred heirlooms. Accordingly Caesar very judiciously connected himself with Servius Tullius, in the same way as subsequently Charlemagne connected himself with Caesar, and Napoleon attempted at least to connect himself with Charlemagne. He did so, not in a circuitous way and secretly, but, as well as his successors, in the most open manner possible; it was indeed the very object of this connection to find a clear, national and popular form of expression for the new state. From ancient times there stood on the Capitol the statues of those seven kings, whom the conventional history of Rome was wont to bring on the stage; Caesar ordered his own to be erected beside them as the eighth. He appeared publicly in the costume of the old kings of Alba. In his new law as to political crimes the principal variation from that of Sulla was, that there was placed alongside of the collective community, and on a level with it, the Emperor as the living and personal expression of the people. In the formula used for political oaths there was added to the Jovis and the Penates of the Roman people the Genius of the Emperor. The outward badge of monarchy was, according to the view universally diffused in antiquity, 44. the image of the monarch on the coins; from the year 710 the head of Caesar appears on those of the Roman state.

There could accordingly be no complaint at least on the score that Caesar left the public in the dark as to his view of his position ; as distinctly and as formally as possible he came forward not merely as monarch, but as very king of Rome. It is possible even, although not exactly probable, and at any rate of subordinate importance, that he had it in view to designate his official power not with the new name of Imperator, but directly with the old one of King.¹ Even in his lifetime many of his enemies as of his friends were of opinion that he intended to have himself expressly nominated king of Rome; several indeed of his most vehement adherents suggested to him in different ways and at different times that he should assume the crown; most strikingly of all, Marcus Antonius, when he as consul offered the diadem to Caesar before all the people (15 Feb. 710). But Caesar rejected these proposals without 44. exception at once. If he at the same time took steps against those who made use of these incidents to stir republican opposition, it by no means follows from this that he was not in earnest with his rejection. The

¹ On this question there may be difference of opinion, whereas the hypothesis that it was Caesar's intention to rule the Romans as Imperator, the non-Romans as Rex, must be simply dismissed. It is based solely on the story that in the sitting of the senate in which Caesar was assassinated a Sibylline utterance was brought forward by one of the priests in charge of the oracles, Lucius Cotta, to the effect that the Parthians could only be vanquished by a "king," and in consequence of this the resolution was adopted to commit to Caesar regal power over the Roman provinces. This story was certainly in circulation immediately after Caesar's death. But not only does it nowhere find any sort of even indirect confirmation, but it is even expressly pronounced false by the contemporary Cicero (*De Div.* ii. 54, 119) and reported by the later historians, especially by Suetonius (79) and Dio (xliv. 15) merely as a rumour which they are far from wishing to guarantee ; and it is under such circumstances no better accredited by the fact of Plutarch (*Caes.* 60, 64 ; *Brut.* 10) and Appian (*B. C.* ii. no) repeating it after their wont, the former by way of anecdote, the latter by way of causal explanation. But the story is not merely unattested ; it is also intrinsically impossible. Even leaving out of account that Caesar had too much intellect and too much political tact to decide important questions of state after the oligarchic fashion by a stroke of the oracle-machinery, he could never think of thus formally and legally splitting up the state which he wished to reduce to a level

assumption that these invitations took place at his bidding, with the view of preparing the multitude for the unwonted spectacle of the Roman diadem, utterly misapprehends the mighty power of the sentimental opposition with which Caesar had to reckon, and which could not be rendered more compliant, but on the contrary necessarily gained a broader basis, through such a public recognition of its warrant on the part of Caesar himself. It may have been the uncalled-for zeal of vehement adherents alone that occasioned these incidents; it may be also, that Caesar merely permitted or even suggested the scene with Antonius, in order to put an end in as marked a manner as possible to the inconvenient gossip by a declinature which took place before the eyes of the burgesses and was inserted by his command even in the calendar of the state and could not, in fact, be well revoked. The probability is that Caesar, who appreciated alike the value of a convenient formal designation and the antipathies of the multitude which fasten more on the names than on the essence of things, was resolved to avoid the name of king as tainted with an ancient curse and as more familiar to the Romans of his time when applied to the despots of the east than to their own Numa and Servius, and to appropriate the substance of the regal office under the title of Imperator.

The new
court.

But, whatever may have been the definitive title present to his thoughts, the sovereign ruler was there, and accordingly the court established itself at once with all its due accompaniments of pomp, insipidity, and emptiness. Caesar appeared in public not in the robe of the consuls which was bordered with purple stripes, but in the robe wholly of purple which was reckoned in antiquity as the proper regal attire, and received, seated on his golden chair and without rising from it, the solemn procession of the senate. The festivals in his honour commemorative of birthday, of victories, and of vows, filled the calendar. When Caesar

came to the capital, his principal servants marched forth in troops to great distances so as to meet and escort him. To be near to him began to be of such importance, that the rents rose in the quarter of the city where he dwelt. Personal interviews with him were rendered so difficult by the multitude of individuals soliciting audience, that Caesar found himself compelled in many cases to communicate even with his intimate friends in writing, and that persons even of the highest rank had to wait for hours in the antechamber. People felt, more clearly than was agreeable to Caesar himself, that they no longer approached a fellow-citizen. There arose a monarchical aristocracy, which was in a remarkable manner at once new and old, and which had sprung out of the idea of casting into the shade the aristocracy of the oligarchy by that of royalty, the nobility by the patriciate. The patrician body still subsisted, although without essential privileges as an order, in the character of a close aristocratic guild (i. 370); but as it could receive no new *gentes* (i. 333) it had dwindled away more and more in the course of centuries, and in the time of Caesar there were not more than fifteen or sixteen patrician *gentes* still in existence. Caesar, himself sprung from one of them, got the right of creating new patrician *gentes* conferred on the Imperator by decree of the people, and so established, in contrast to the republican nobility, the new aristocracy of the patriciate, which most happily combined all the requisites of a monarchical aristocracy—the charm of antiquity, entire dependence on the government, and total insignificance. On all sides the new sovereignty revealed itself.

The new
patrician
nobility.

Under a monarch thus practically unlimited there could hardly be scope for a constitution at all—still less for a continuance of the hitherto existing commonwealth based on the legal co-operation of the burgesses, the senate, and the several magistrates. Caesar fully and definitely

reverted to the tradition of the regal period; the burgess-assembly remained—what it had already been in that period—by the side of and with the king the supreme and ultimate expression of the will of the sovereign people; the senate was brought back to its original destination of giving advice to the ruler when he requested it; and lastly the ruler concentrated in his person anew the whole magisterial authority, so that there existed no other independent state-official by his side any more than by the side of the kings of the earliest times.

For legislation the democratic monarch adhered to the primitive maxim of Roman state-law, that the community of the people in concert with the king convoking them had alone the power of organically regulating the commonwealth; and he had his constitutive enactments regularly sanctioned by decree of the people. The free energy and the authority half-moral, half-political, which the yea or nay of those old warrior-assemblies had carried with it, could not indeed be again instilled into the so-called comitia of this period; the co-operation of the burgesses in legislation, which in the old constitution had been extremely limited but real and living, was in the new practically an unsubstantial shadow. There was therefore no need of special restrictive measures against the comitia; many years' experience had shown that every government—the oligarchy as well as the monarch—easily kept on good terms with this formal sovereign. These Caesarian comitia were an important element in the Caesarian system and indirectly of practical significance, only in so far as they served to retain in principle the sovereignty of the people and to constitute an energetic protest against sultanism.

Edicts.

But at the same time—as is not only obvious of itself, but is also distinctly attested—the other maxim also of the oldest state-law was revived by Caesar himself, and **not** merely for the first time by his successors; viz. that what

the supreme, or rather sole, magistrate commands is unconditionally valid so long as he remains in office, and that, while legislation no doubt belongs only to the king and the burgesses in concert, the royal edict is equivalent to law at least till the demission of its author.

While the democratic king thus conceded to the community of the people at least a formal share in the sovereignty, it was by no means his intention to divide his authority with what had hitherto been the governing body, the college of senators. The senate of Caesar was to be—in a quite different way from the later senate of Augustus—nothing but a supreme council of state, which he made use of for advising with him beforehand as to laws, and for the issuing of the more important administrative ordinances through it, or at least under its name—for cases in fact occurred where decrees of senate were issued, of which none of the senators recited as present at their preparation had any cognizance. There were no material difficulties of form in reducing the senate to its original deliberative position, which it had overstepped more *de facto* than *de jure*; but in this case it was necessary to protect himself from practical resistance, for the Roman senate was as much the headquarters of the opposition to Caesar as the Attic Areopagus was of the opposition to Pericles. Chiefly for this reason the number of senators, which had hitherto amounted at most to six hundred in its normal condition (iv. 113) and had been greatly reduced by the recent crises, was raised by extraordinary supplement to nine hundred; and at the same time, to keep it at least up to this mark, the number of quaestors to be nominated annually, that is of members annually admitted to the senate, was raised from twenty to forty.¹ The extraordinary filling up of the senate

The senate
as the
state-
council
of the
monarch.

¹ According to the probable calculation formerly assumed (iv. 113), this would yield an average aggregate number of from 1000 to 1200 senators.

was undertaken by the monarch alone. In the case of the ordinary additions he secured to himself a permanent influence through the circumstance, that the electoral colleges were bound by law¹ to give their votes to the first twenty candidates for the quaestorship who were provided with letters of recommendation from the monarch; besides, the crown was at liberty to confer the honorary rights attaching to the quaestorship or to any office superior to it, and consequently a seat in the senate in particular, by way of exception even on individuals not qualified. The selection of the extraordinary members who were added naturally fell in the main on adherents of the new order of things, and introduced, along with *equites* of respectable standing, various dubious and plebeian personages into the proud corporation—former senators who had been erased from the roll by the censor or in consequence of a judicial sentence, foreigners from Spain and Gaul who had to some extent to learn their Latin in the senate, men lately subaltern officers who had not previously received even the equestrian ring, sons of freedmen or of such as followed dishonourable trades, and other elements of a like kind. The exclusive circles of the nobility, to whom this change in the personal composition of the senate naturally gave the bitterest offence, saw in it an intentional depreciation of the very institution itself. Caesar was not capable of such a self-destructive policy; he was as determined not to let himself be governed by his council as he was convinced of the necessity of the institute in itself. They might more correctly have discerned in this proceeding the intention of the monarch to take away from the senate its former character of an exclusive representation of the oligarchic aristocracy, and to make it once more—what it

43. ¹ This certainly had reference merely to the elections for the years 711
42. and 712 (*Staatsrecht*, ii³ 730); but the arrangement was doubtless meant to become permanent.

had been in the regal period—a state-council representing all classes of persons belonging to the state through their most intelligent elements, and not necessarily excluding the man of humble birth or even the foreigner; just as those earliest kings introduced non-burgesses (i. 102, 329), Caesar introduced non-Italians into his senate.

While the rule of the nobility was thus set aside and its existence undermined, and while the senate in its new form was merely a tool of the monarch, autocracy was at the same time most strictly carried out in the administration and government of the state, and the whole executive was concentrated in the hands of the monarch. First of all, the Emperor naturally decided in person every question of any moment. Caesar was able to carry personal government to an extent which we puny men can hardly conceive, and which is not to be explained solely from the unparalleled rapidity and decision of his working, but has moreover its ground in a more general cause. When we see Caesar, Sulla, Gaius Gracchus, and Roman statesmen in general displaying throughout an activity which transcends our notions of human powers of working, the reason lies, not in any change that human nature has undergone since that time, but in the change which has taken place since then in the organization of the household. The Roman house was a machine, in which even the mental powers of the slaves and freedmen yielded their produce to the master; a master, who knew how to govern these, worked as it were with countless minds. It was the *beau idéal* of bureaucratic centralization; which our counting-house system strives indeed zealously to imitate, but remains as far behind its prototype as the modern power of capital is inferior to the ancient system of slavery. Caesar knew how to profit by this advantage; wherever any post demanded special confidence, we see him filling it up on principle—so far as other considerations at all

Personal
govern-
ment by
Caesar

permit—with his slaves, freedmen, or clients of humble birth. His works as a whole show what an organizing genius like his could accomplish with such an instrument; but to the question, how in detail these marvellous feats were achieved, we have no adequate answer. Bureaucracy resembles a manufactory also in this respect, that the work done does not appear as that of the individual who has worked at it, but as that of the manufactory which stamps it. This much only is quite clear, that Caesar in his work had no helper at all who exerted a personal influence over it or was even so much as initiated into the whole plan; he was not only the sole master, but he worked also without skilled associates, merely with common labourers.

in matters
of finance,

With respect to details as a matter of course in strictly political affairs Caesar avoided, so far as was at all possible, any delegation of his functions. Where it was inevitable, as especially when during his frequent absence from Rome he had need of a higher organ there, the person destined for this purpose was, significantly enough, not the legal deputy of the monarch, the prefect of the city, but a confidant without officially-recognized jurisdiction, usually Caesar's banker, the cunning and pliant Phoenician merchant Lucius Cornelius Balbus from Gades. In administration Caesar was above all careful to resume the keys of the state-chest—which the senate had appropriated to itself after the fall of the regal power, and by means of which it had possessed itself of the government—and to entrust them only to those servants who with their persons were absolutely and exclusively devoted to him. In respect of ownership indeed the private means of the monarch remained, of course, strictly separate from the property of the state; but Caesar took in hand the administration of the whole financial and monetary system of the state, and conducted it entirely in the way in which

he and the Roman grandees generally were wont to manage the administration of their own means and substance. For the future the levying of the provincial revenues and in the main also the management of the coinage were entrusted to the slaves and freedmen of the Emperor, and men of the senatorial order were excluded from it—a momentous step, out of which grew in course of time the important class of procurators and the "imperial household."

Of the governorships on the other hand, which, after they had handed their financial business over to the new imperial tax-receivers, were still more than they had formerly been essentially military commands, that of Egypt alone was transferred to the monarch's own retainers. The country of the Nile, in a peculiar manner geographically isolated and politically centralized, was better fitted than any other district to break off permanently under an able leader from the central power, as the attempts which had repeatedly been made by hard-pressed Italian party-chiefs to establish themselves there during the recent crisis sufficiently proved. Probably it was just this consideration that induced Caesar not to declare the land formally a province, but to leave the harmless Lagids there; and certainly for this reason the legions stationed in Egypt were not entrusted to a man belonging to the senate or, in other words, to the former government, but this command was, just like the posts of tax-receivers, treated as a menial office (p. 281). In general however the consideration had weight with Caesar, that the soldiers of Rome should not, like those of Oriental kings, be commanded by lackeys. It remained the rule to entrust the more important governorships to those who had been consuls, the less important to those who had been praetors; and once more, instead of the five years' interval prescribed by the law of 702 (p. 147), the commencement of the governorship probably was in the ancient fashion annexed

in the
governor-
ships,

directly to the close of the official functions in the city. On the other hand the distribution of the provinces among the qualified candidates, which had hitherto been arranged sometimes by decree of the people or senate, sometimes by concert among the magistrates or by lot, passed over to the monarch. And, as the consuls were frequently induced to abdicate before the end of the year and to make room for after-elected consuls (*consules suffecti*); as, moreover, the number of praetors annually nominated was raised from eight to sixteen, and the nomination of half of them was entrusted to the Emperor in the same way as that of the half of the quaestors; and, lastly, as there was reserved to the Emperor the right of nominating, if not titular consuls, at any rate titular praetors and titular quaestors: Caesar secured a sufficient number of candidates acceptable to him for filling up the governorships. Their recall remained of course left to the discretion of the regent as well as their nomination; as a rule it was assumed that the consular governor should not remain more than two years, nor the praetorian more than one year, in the province.

in the
adminis-
tration of
the capital.

- Lastly, so far as concerns the administration of the city which was his capital and residence, the Emperor evidently intended for a time to entrust this also to magistrates similarly nominated by him. He revived the old city-lieutenancy of the regal period (i. 83); on different occasions he committed during his absence the administration of the capital to one or more such lieutenants nominated by him without consulting the people and for an indefinite period, who united in themselves the functions of all the administrative magistrates and possessed even the right of coining money with their own name, although of course not with their own effigy. In 707 and in the first
47. nine months of 709 there were, moreover, neither praetors
45. nor curule aediles nor quaestors; the consuls too were

nominated in the former year only towards its close, and in the latter Caesar was even consul without a colleague. This looks altogether like an attempt to revive completely the old regal authority within the city of Rome, as far as the limits enjoined by the democratic past of the new monarch; in other words, of magistrates additional to the king himself, to allow only the prefect of the city during the king's absence and the tribunes and plebeian aediles appointed for protecting popular freedom to continue in existence, and to abolish the consulship, the censorship, the praetorship, the curule aedileship and the quaestorship.¹ But Caesar subsequently departed from this; he neither accepted the royal title himself, nor did he cancel those venerable names interwoven with the glorious history of the republic. The consuls, praetors, aediles, tribunes, and quaestors retained substantially their previous formal powers; nevertheless their position was totally altered. It was the political idea lying at the foundation of the republic that the Roman empire was identified with the city of Rome, and in consistency with it the municipal magistrates of the capital were treated throughout as magistrates of the empire. In the monarchy of Caesar that view and this consequence of it fell into abeyance; the magistrates of Rome formed thenceforth only the first among the many municipalities of the empire, and the consulship in particular became a purely titular post, which preserved a certain practical importance only in virtue of the reversion of a higher governorship annexed to it. The fate, which the Roman community had been wont to prepare for the vanquished, now by means of Caesar befell itself; its sovereignty over

¹ Hence accordingly the cautious turns of expression on the mention of these magistracies in Caesar's laws; *cum censor aliusvc quis magistratus Romae populi censutu aget* (L. Jul. mun. 1. 144); *praetor isve quel Romae ture deicundo praeit* (Z, Ruhr, often); *quaestor urbanus queive aerario praeit* (L. Jul. mun. L 37 et al).

the Roman empire was converted into a limited communal freedom within the Roman state. That at the same time the number of the praetors and quaestors was doubled, has been already mentioned; the same course was followed with the plebeian aediles, to whom two new "corn-aediles" (*aediles Ceriales*) were added to superintend the supplies of the capital. The appointment to those offices remained with the community, and was subject to no restriction as respected the consuls and perhaps also the tribunes of the people and plebeian aediles; we have already adverted to the fact, that the Emperor reserved a right of proposal binding on the electors as regards the half of the praetors, curule aediles, and quaestors to be annually nominated. In general the ancient and hallowed palladia of popular freedom were not touched; which, of course, did not prevent the individual refractory tribune of the people from being seriously interfered with and, in fact, deposed and erased from the roll of senators.

As the Emperor was thus, for the more general and more important questions, his own minister; as he controlled the finances by his servants, and the army by his adjutants; and as the old republican state-magistracies were again converted into municipal magistracies of the city of Rome; the autocracy was sufficiently established.

The state-hierarchy.

In the spiritual hierarchy on the other hand Caesar, although he issued a detailed law respecting this portion of the state-economy, made no material alteration, except that he connected with the person of the regent the supreme pontificate and perhaps also the membership of the higher priestly colleges generally; and, partly in connection with this, one new stall was created in each of the three supreme colleges, and three new stalls in the fourth college of the banquet-masters. If the Roman state-hierarchy had hitherto served as a support to the ruling oligarchy, it might render precisely the same service to the new monarchy. The

conservative religious policy of the senate was transferred to the new kings of Rome; when the strictly conservative Varro published about this time his "Antiquities of Divine Things," the great fundamental repository of Roman state-theology, he was allowed to dedicate it to the *Pontifex Maximus* Caesar. The faint lustre which the worship of Jovis was still able to impart shone round the newly-established throne; and the old national faith became in its last stages the instrument of a Caesarian papacy, which, however, was from the outset but hollow and feeble.

In judicial matters, first of all, the old regal jurisdiction was re-established. As the king had originally been judge in criminal and civil causes, without being legally bound in the former to respect an appeal to the prerogative of mercy in the people, or in the latter to commit the decision of the question in dispute to jurymen; so Caesar claimed the right of bringing capital causes as well as private processes for sole and final decision to his own bar, and disposing of them in the event of his presence personally, in the event of his absence by the city-lieutenant. In fact we find him, quite after the manner of the ancient kings, now sitting in judgment publicly in the Forum of the capital on Roman burgesses accused of high treason, now holding a judicial inquiry in his house regarding the client princes accused of the like crime; so that the only privilege, which the Roman burgesses had as compared with the other subjects of the king, seems to have consisted in the publicity of the judicial procedure. But this resuscitated supreme jurisdiction of the kings, although Caesar discharged its duties with impartiality and care, could only from the nature of the case find practical application in exceptional cases.

**Regal
juris-
diction**

For the usual procedure in criminal and civil causes the former republican mode of administering justice was substantially retained. Criminal causes were still disposed of as formerly before the different jury-commissions competent

**Retention
of the
previous
adminis-
tration of
justice.**

to deal with the several crimes, civil causes partly before the court of inheritance or, as it was commonly called, of the *centumviri*, partly before the single *iudices*; the superintendence of judicial proceedings was as formerly conducted in the capital chiefly by the praetors, in the provinces by the governors. Political crimes too continued even under the monarchy to be referred to a jury-commission ; the new ordinance, which Caesar issued respecting them, specified the acts legally punishable with precision and in a liberal spirit which excluded all prosecution of opinions, and it fixed as the penalty not death, but banishment. As respects the selection of the jurymen, whom the senatorial party desired to see chosen exclusively from the senate and the strict Gracchans exclusively from the equestrian order, Caesar, faithful to the principle of reconciling the parties, left the matter on the footing of the compromise-law of Cotta (iv. 380), but with the modification—for which the way was probably prepared by the law of Pompeius of 699 (p. 138)—that the *tribuni aerarii* who came from the lower ranks of the people were set aside; so that there was established a rating for jurymen of at least 400,000 sesterces (£4000), and senators and equites now divided the functions of jurymen which had so long been an apple of discord between them.

The relations of the regal and the republican jurisdiction were on the whole co-ordinate, so that any cause might be initiated as well before the king's bar as before the competent republican tribunal, the latter of course in the event of collision giving way; if on the other hand the one or the other tribunal had pronounced sentence, the cause was thereby finally disposed of. To overturn a verdict pronounced by the jurymen duly called to act in a civil or in a criminal cause even the new ruler was not entitled, except where special incidents, such as corruption or violence, already according to the law of the republic

Appeal
to the
monarch.

gave occasion for cancelling the jurymen's sentence. On the other hand the principle that, as concerned any decree emanating merely from magistrates, the person aggrieved by it was entitled to appeal to the superior of the decreeing authority, probably obtained even now the great extension, out of which the subsequent imperial appellate jurisdiction arose; perhaps all the magistrates administering law, at least the governors of all the provinces, were regarded so far as subordinates of the ruler, that appeal to him might be lodged from any of their decrees.

Certainly these innovations, the most important of which—the general extension given to appeal—cannot even be reckoned absolutely an improvement, by no means healed thoroughly the evils from which the Roman administration of justice was suffering. Criminal procedure cannot be sound in any slave-state, inasmuch as the task of proceeding against slaves lies, if not *de jure*, at least *de facto* in the hands of the master. The Roman master, as may readily be conceived, punished throughout the crime of his serf, not as a crime, but only so far as it rendered the slave useless or disagreeable to him; slave criminals were merely drafted off somewhat like oxen addicted to goring, and, as the latter were sold to the butcher, so were the former sold to the fencing-booth. But even the criminal procedure against free men, which had been from the outset and always in great part continued to be a political process, had amidst the disorder of the last generations become transformed from a grave legal proceeding into a faction-fight to be fought out by means of favour, money, and violence. The blame rested jointly on all that took part in it, on the magistrates, the jury, the parties, even the public who were spectators; but the most incurable wounds were inflicted on justice by the doings of the advocates. In proportion as the parasitic plant of Roman

Decay
of the
judicial
system.

forensic eloquence flourished, all positive ideas of right became broken up; and the distinction, so difficult of apprehension by the public, between opinion and evidence was in reality expelled from the Roman criminal practice. "A plain simple defendant," says a Roman advocate of much experience at this period, "may be accused of any crime at pleasure which he has or has not committed, and will be certainly condemned." Numerous pleadings in criminal causes have been preserved to us from this epoch; there is hardly one of them which makes even a serious attempt to fix the crime in question and to put into proper shape the proof or counterproof.¹ That the contemporary civil procedure was likewise in various respects unsound, we need hardly mention; it too suffered from the effects of the party politics mixed up with all things, as for instance in the process of Publius Quinctius (671-673), where the most contradictory decisions were given according as Cinna or Sulla had the ascendancy in Rome; and the advocates, frequently non-jurists, produced here also intentionally and unintentionally abundance of confusion. But it was implied in the nature of the case, that party mixed itself up with such matters only by way of exception, and that here the quibbles of advocates could not so rapidly or so deeply break up the ideas of right; accordingly the civil pleadings which we possess from this epoch, while not according to our stricter ideas effective compositions for their purpose, are yet of a far less libellous and far more juristic character than the contemporary speeches in criminal causes. If Caesar permitted the

¹ *Piura enim multo*, says Cicero in his treatise *De Oratore* (ii. 4a, 178), primarily with reference to criminal trials, *homines indicant odio aut amor aut cupiditate aut iracundia aut dolore aut laetitia aut spe aut timore aut errore aut aliqua permotione mentis, quam veritate aut praescripto aut iuris norma aliqua aut iudicii formula aut legibus*. On this accordingly are founded the further instructions which he gives for advocates entering on their profession.

curb imposed on the eloquence of advocates by Pompeius (p. 138) to remain, or even rendered it more severe, there was at least nothing lost by this; and much was gained, when better selected and better superintended magistrates and jurymen were nominated and the palpable corruption and intimidation of the courts came to an end. But the sacred sense of right and the reverence for the law, which it is difficult to destroy in the minds of the multitude, it is still more difficult to reproduce. Though the legislator did away with various abuses, he could not heal the root of the evil; and it might be doubted whether time, which cures everything curable, would in this case bring relief.

The Roman military system of this period was nearly in the same condition as the Carthaginian at the time of Hannibal. The governing classes furnished only the officers; the subjects, plebeians and provincials, formed the army. The general was, financially and militarily, almost independent of the central government, and, whether in fortune or misfortune, substantially left to himself and to the resources of his province. Civic and even national spirit had vanished from the army, and the *esprit de corps* was alone left as a bond of inward union. The army had ceased to be an instrument of the commonwealth; in a political point of view it had no will of its own, but it was doubtless able to adopt that of the master who wielded it; in a military point of view it sank under the ordinary miserable leaders into a disorganized useless rabble, but under a right general it attained a military perfection which the bourgeois-army could never reach. The class of officers especially had deeply degenerated. The higher ranks, senators and equites, grew more and more unused to arms. While formerly there had been a zealous competition for the posts of staff officers, now every man of equestrian rank,

Decay of
the Roman
military
system.

who chose to serve, was sure of a military tribuneship, and several of these posts had even to be filled with men of humbler rank ; and any* man of quality at all who still served sought at least to finish his term of service in Sicily or some other province where he was sure not to face the enemy. Officers of ordinary bravery and efficiency were stared at as prodigies ; as to Pompeius especially, his contemporaries practised a military idolatry which in every respect compromised them. The staff, as a rule, gave the signal for desertion and for mutiny; in spite of the culpable indulgence of the commanders proposals for the cashiering of officers of rank were daily occurrences. We still possess the picture—drawn not without irony by Caesar's own hand—of the state of matters at his own headquarters when orders were given to march against Ariovistus, of the cursing and weeping, and preparing of testaments, and presenting even of requests for furlough. In the soldiery not a trace of the better classes could any longer be discovered. Legally the general obligation to bear arms still subsisted; but the levy, if resorted to alongside of enlisting, took place in the most irregular manner ; numerous persons liable to serve were wholly passed over, while those once levied were retained thirty years and longer beneath the eagles. The Roman burgess-cavalry now merely vegetated as a sort of mounted noble guard, whose perfumed cavaliers and exquisite high-bred horses only played a part in the festivals of the capital; the so-called burgess-infantry was a troop of mercenaries swept together from the lowest ranks of the burgess-population; the subjects furnished the cavalry and the light troops exclusively, and came to be more and more extensively employed also in the infantry. The posts of centurions in the legions, on which in the mode of warfare of that time the efficiency of the divisions essentially depended, and to which according to the national military constitu-

tion the soldier served his way upward with the pike, were now not merely regularly conferred according to favour, but were not unfrequently sold to the highest bidder. In consequence of the bad financial management of the government and the venality and fraud of the great majority of the magistrates, the payment of the soldiers was extremely defective and irregular.

The necessary consequence of this was, that in the ordinary course of things the Roman armies pillaged the provincials, mutinied against their officers, and ran off in presence of the enemy; instances occurred where considerable armies, such as the Macedonian army of Piso in 697 (p. 104/), were without any proper defeat utterly ruined, simply by this misconduct. Capable leaders on the other hand, such as Pompeius, Caesar, Gabinius, formed doubtless out of the existing materials able and effective, and to some extent exemplary, armies; but these armies belonged far more to their general than to the commonwealth. The still more complete decay of the Roman marine—which, moreover, had remained an object of antipathy to the Romans and had never been fully nationalized—scarcely requires to be mentioned. Here too, on all sides, everything that could be ruined at all had been reduced to ruin under the oligarchic government.

The reorganization of the Roman military system by Caesar was substantially limited to the tightening and strengthening of the reins of discipline, which had been relaxed under the negligent and incapable supervision previously subsisting. The Roman military system seemed to him neither to need, nor to be capable of, radical reform; he accepted the elements of the army, just as Hannibal had accepted them. The enactment of his municipal ordinance that, in order to the holding of a municipal magistracy or sitting in the municipal council

Its reorganization by Caesar.

before the thirtieth year, three years' service on horseback—that is, as officer—or six years' service on foot should be required, proves indeed that he wished to attract the better classes to the army; but it proves with equal clearness that amidst the ever-increasing prevalence of an unwarlike spirit in the nation he himself held it no longer possible to associate the holding of an honorary office with the fulfilment of the time of service unconditionally as hitherto. This very circumstance serves to explain why Caesar made no attempt to re-establish the Roman burgess-cavalry. The levy was better arranged, the time of service was regulated and abridged; otherwise matters remained on the footing that the infantry of the line were raised chiefly from the lower orders of the Roman burgesses, the cavalry and the light infantry from the subjects. That nothing was done for the reorganization of the fleet, is surprising.

Foreign
mercen-
aries.

It was an innovation—hazardous beyond doubt even in the view of its author—to which the untrustworthy character of the cavalry furnished by the subjects compelled him (p. 77), that Caesar for the first time deviated from the old Roman system of never fighting with mercenaries, and incorporated in the cavalry hired foreigners, especially Germans. Another innovation was the appointment of adjutants of the legion (*fiegati legionis*). Hitherto the military tribunes, nominated partly by the burgesses, partly by the governor concerned, had led the legions in such a way that six of them were placed over each legion, and the command alternated among these; a single commandant of the legion was appointed by the general only as a temporary and extraordinary measure. In subsequent times on the other hand those colonels or adjutants of legions appear as a permanent and organic institution, and as nominated no longer by the governor whom they obey, but by the supreme command in Rome; both changes seem referable to Caesar's arrangements connected with the Gabinian law

Adjutants
of the
legion.

(iv. 388). The reason for the introduction of this important intervening step in the military hierarchy must be sought partly in the necessity for a more energetic centralization of the command, partly in the felt want of capable superior officers, partly and chiefly in the design of providing a counterpoise to the governor by associating with him one or more colonels nominated by the Emperor.

The most essential change in the military system consisted in the institution of a permanent military head in the person of the Emperor, who, superseding the previous unmilitary and in every respect incapable governing corporation, united in his hands the whole control of the army, and thus converted it from a direction which for the most part was merely nominal into a real and energetic supreme command. We are not properly informed as to the position which this supreme command occupied towards the special commands hitherto omnipotent in their respective spheres. Probably the analogy of the relation subsisting between the praetor and the consul or the consul and the dictator served generally as a basis, so that, while the governor in his own right retained the supreme military authority in his province, the Emperor was entitled at any moment to take it away from him and assume it for himself or his delegates, and, while the authority of the governor was confined to the province, that of the Emperor, like the regal and the earlier consular authority, extended over the whole empire. Moreover it is extremely probable that now the nomination of the officers, both the military tribunes and the centurions, so far as it had hitherto belonged to the governor,¹ as well as the nomination of the new adjutants of the legion, passed directly into the hands of the Emperor; and in like manner even now the arrangement of the levies, the bestowal of leave of

The
new com-
mander-
ship-in-
chief.

¹ With the nomination of a part of the military tribunes by the burgesses (iii. 13) Caesar—in this also a democrat—did not meddle.

absence, and the more important criminal cases, may have been submitted to the judgment of the commander-in-chief. With this limitation of the powers of the governors and with the regulated control of the Emperor, there was no great room to apprehend in future either that the armies might be utterly disorganized or that they might be converted into retainers personally devoted to their respective officers.

Caesar's
military
plans.

Defence
of the
frontier.

But, however decidedly and urgently the circumstances pointed to military monarchy, and however distinctly Caesar took the supreme command exclusively for himself, he was nevertheless not at all inclined to establish his authority by means of, and on, the army. No doubt he deemed a standing army necessary for his state, but only because from its geographical position it required a comprehensive regulation of the frontiers and permanent frontier garrisons. Partly at earlier periods, partly during the recent civil war, he had worked at the tranquillizing of Spain, and had established strong positions for the defence of the frontier in Africa along the great desert, and in the north-west of the empire along the line of the Rhine. He occupied himself with similar plans for the regions on the Euphrates and on the Danube. Above all he designed an expedition against the Parthians, to avenge the day of Carrhae; he had destined three years for this war, and was resolved to settle accounts with these dangerous enemies once for all and not less cautiously than thoroughly. In like manner he had projected the scheme of attacking Burebistas king of the Getae, who was greatly extending his power on both sides of the Danube (p. 106), and of protecting Italy in the north-east by border-districts similar to those which he had created for it in Gaul. On the other hand there is no evidence at all that Caesar contemplated like Alexander a career of victory extending indefinitely far; it is said indeed that he had intended to march from Parthia to

the Caspian and from this to the Black Sea and then along its northern shores to the Danube, to annex to the empire all Scythia and Germany as far as the Northern Ocean—which according to the notions of that time was not so very distant from the Mediterranean—and to return home through Gaul; but no authority at all deserving of credit vouches for the existence of these fabulous projects. In the case of a state which, like the Roman state of Caesar, already included a mass of barbaric elements difficult to be controlled, and had still for centuries to come more than enough to do with their assimilation, such conquests, even granting their military practicability, would have been nothing but blunders far more brilliant and far worse than the Indian expedition of Alexander. Judging both from Caesar's conduct in Britain and Germany and from the conduct of those who became the heirs of his political ideas, it is in a high degree probable that Caesar with Scipio Aemilianus called on the gods not to increase the empire, but to preserve it, and that his schemes of conquest restricted themselves to a settlement of the frontier—measured, it is true, by his own great scale—which should secure the line of the Euphrates and, instead of the fluctuating and militarily useless boundary of the empire on the north-east, should establish and render defensible the line of the Danube.

But, if it remains a mere probability that Caesar ought not to be designated a world-conqueror in the same sense as Alexander and Napoleon, it is quite certain that his design was not to rest his new monarchy primarily on the support of the army nor generally to place the military authority above the civil, but to incorporate it with, and as far as possible subordinate it to, the civil commonwealth. The invaluable pillars of a military state, those old and far-famed Gallic legions, were honourably dissolved just on account of the incompatibility of their *esprit de corps*

Attempts of Caesar to avert a military despotism.

with a civil commonwealth, and their glorious names were only perpetuated in newly-founded urban communities. The soldiers presented by Caesar with allotments of land on their discharge were not, like those of Sulla, settled together—as it were militarily—in colonies of their own, but, especially when they settled in Italy, were isolated as much as possible and scattered throughout the peninsula ; it was only in the case of the portions of the Campanian land that remained for disposal, that an aggregation of the old soldiers of Caesar could not be avoided. Caesar sought to solve the difficult task of keeping the soldiers of a standing army within the spheres of civil life, partly by retaining the former arrangement which prescribed merely certain years of service, and not a service strictly constant, that is, uninterrupted by any discharge ; partly by the already-mentioned shortening of the term of service, which occasioned a speedier change in the personal composition of the army; partly by the regular settlement of the soldiers who had served out their time as agricultural colonists ; partly and principally by keeping the army aloof from Italy and generally from the proper seats of the civil and political life of the nation, and directing the soldier to the points, where according to the opinion of the great king he was alone in his place—to the frontier stations, that he might ward off the extraneous foe.

Absence
of corps of
guards.

The true criterion also of the military state—the development of, and the privileged position assigned to, the corps of guards—is not to be met with in the case of Caesar. Although as respects the army on active service the institution of a special bodyguard for the general had been already long in existence (iii. 460), in Caesars system this fell completely into the background; his praetorian cohort seems to have essentially consisted merely of orderly officers or non-military attendants, and never to have been in the

proper sense a select corps, consequently never an object of jealousy to the troops of the line. While Caesar even as general practically dropped the bodyguard, he still less as king tolerated a guard round his person. Although constantly beset by lurking assassins and well aware of it, he yet rejected the proposal of the senate to institute a select guard; dismissed, as soon as things grew in some measure quiet, the Spanish escort which he had made use of at first in the capital; and contented himself with the retinue of lictors sanctioned by traditional usage for the Roman supreme magistrates.

However much of the idea of his party and of his youth—to found a Periclean government in Rome not by virtue of the sword, but by virtue of the confidence of the nation—Caesar had been obliged to abandon in the struggle with realities, he retained even now the fundamental idea—of not founding a military monarchy—with an energy to which history scarcely supplies a parallel. Certainly this too was an impracticable ideal—it was the sole illusion, in regard to which the earnest longing of that vigorous mind was more powerful than its clear judgment. A government, such as Caesar had in view, was not merely of necessity in its nature highly personal, and so liable to perish with the death of its author just as the kindred creations of Pericles and Cromwell with the death of their founders; but, amidst the deeply disorganized state of the nation, it was not at all credible that the eighth king of Rome would succeed even for his lifetime in ruling, as his seven predecessors had ruled, his fellow-burgesses merely by virtue of law and justice, and as little probable that he would succeed in incorporating the standing army—after it had during the last civil war learned its power and unlearned its reverence—once more as a subservient element in civil society. To any one who calmly considered to what extent reverence for the law had disappeared from the lowest as from the

Impracticableness of ideal.

highest ranks of society, the former hope must have seemed almost a dream; and, if with the Marian reform of the military system the soldier generally had ceased to be a citizen (iii. 461), the Campanian mutiny and the battle-field of Thapsus showed with painful clearness the nature of the support which the army now lent to the law. Even the great democrat could only with difficulty and imperfectly hold in check the powers which he had unchained; thousands of swords still at his signal flew from the scabbard, but they were no longer equally ready upon that signal to return to the sheath. Fate is mightier than genius. Caesar desired to become the restorer of the civil commonwealth, and became the founder of the military monarchy which he abhorred; he overthrew the *rigime* of aristocrats and bankers in the state, only to put a military *rigime* in their place, and the commonwealth continued as before to be tyrannized and worked for profit by a privileged minority. And yet it is a privilege of the highest natures thus creatively to err. The brilliant attempts of great men to realize the ideal, though they do not reach their aim, form the best treasure of the nations. It was owing to the work of Caesar that the Roman military-state did not become a police-state till after the lapse of several centuries, and that the Roman Emperors, however little they otherwise resembled the great founder of their sovereignty, yet employed the soldier in the main not against the citizen but against the public foe, and esteemed both nation and army too highly to set the latter as constable over the former.

Financial
administra-
tion.

The regulation of financial matters occasioned comparatively little difficulty in consequence of the solid foundations which the immense magnitude of the empire and the exclusion of the system of credit supplied. If the state had hitherto found itself in constant financial embarrassment, the fault was far from chargeable on the inadequacy

of the state revenues; on the contrary these had of late years immensely increased. To the earlier aggregate income, which is estimated at 200,000,000 sesterces (£2,000,000), there were added 85,000,000 sesterces (£8, 5 0,000) by the erection of the provinces of Bithynia-Pontus and Syria; which increase, along with the other newly opened up or augmented sources of income, especially from the constantly increasing produce of the taxes on luxuries, far outweighed the loss of the Campanian rents. Besides, immense sums had been brought from extraordinary sources into the exchequer through Lucullus, Metellus, Pompeius, Cato and others. The cause of the financial embarrassments rather lay partly in the increase of the ordinary and extraordinary expenditure, partly in the disorder of management. Under the former head, the distribution of corn to the multitude of the capital claimed almost exorbitant sums; through the extension given to it by Cato in 691 (iv. 490) the yearly expenditure for that purpose amounted to 30,000,000 sesterces (^300,000) 63. and after the abolition in 696 of the compensation hitherto 58. paid, it swallowed up even a fifth of the state revenues. The military budget also had risen, since the garrisons of Cilicia, Syria, and Gaul had been added to those of Spain, Macedonia, and the other provinces. Among the extraordinary items of expenditure must be named in the first place the great cost of fitting out fleets, on which, for example, five years after the great razzia of 687, 34,000,000 sesterces (£340,000) were expended at once. Add to this the very considerable sums which were consumed in wars and warlike preparations; such as 18,000,000 sesterces (£180,000) paid at once to Piso merely for the outfit of the Macedonian army, 24,000,000 sesterces (£240,000) even annually to Pompeius for the maintenance and pay of the Spanish army, and similar sums to Caesar for the Gallic legions. But considerable

as were these demands made on the Roman exchequer, it would still have been able probably to meet them, had not its administration once so exemplary been affected by the universal laxity and dishonesty of this age; the payments of the treasury were often suspended merely because of the neglect to call up its outstanding claims. The magistrates placed over it, two of the quaestors— young men annually changed—contented themselves at the best with inaction; among the official staff of clerks and others, formerly so justly held in high esteem for its integrity, the worst abuses now prevailed, more especially since such posts had come to be bought and sold.

**Financial
reforms of
Caesar.**

As soon however as the threads of Roman state-finance were concentrated no longer as hitherto in the senate, but in the cabinet of Caesar, new life, stricter order, and more compact connection at once pervaded all the wheels and springs of that great machine. The two institutions, which originated with Gaius Gracchus and ate like a gangrene into the Roman financial system—the leasing of the direct taxes, and the distributions of grain—were partly abolished, partly remodelled. Caesar wished not, like his predecessor, to hold the nobility in check by the banker-aristocracy and the populace of the capital, but to set them aside and to deliver the commonwealth from all parasites whether of high or lower rank; and therefore he went in these two important questions not with Gaius Gracchus, but with the oligarch Sulla. The leasing system was allowed to continue for the indirect taxes, in the case of which it was very old and— under the maxim of Roman financial administration, which was retained inviolable also by Caesar, that the levying of the taxes should at any cost be kept simple and readily manageable—absolutely could not be dispensed with. But the direct taxes were thenceforth universally either treated, like the African and Sardinian deliveries of corn and oil, as contributions in kind to be directly supplied to the state,

**Leasing of
the direct
taxes
abolished.**

or converted, like the revenues of Asia Minor, into fixed money payments, in which case the collection of the several sums payable was entrusted to the tax-districts themselves.

The corn-distributions in the capital had hitherto been looked on as a profitable prerogative of the community which ruled and, because it ruled, had to be fed by its subjects. This infamous principle was set aside by Caesar; but it could not be overlooked that a multitude of wholly destitute burgesses had been protected solely by these largesses of food from starvation. In this aspect Caesar retained them. While according to the Sempronian ordinance renewed by Cato every Roman burgess settled in Rome had legally a claim to bread-corn without payment, this list of recipients, which had at last risen to the number of 320,000, was reduced by the exclusion of all individuals having means or otherwise provided for to 150,000, and this number was fixed once for all as the maximum number of recipients of free corn; at the same time an annual revision of the list was ordered, so that the places vacated by removal or death might be again filled up with the most needful among the applicants. By this conversion of the political privilege into ^a provision for the poor, a principle remarkable in a moral as well as in a historical point of view came for the first time into living operation. Civil society but slowly and gradually works its way to a perception of the interdependence of interests; in earlier antiquity the state doubtless protected its members from the public enemy and the murderer, but it was not bound to protect the totally helpless fellow-citizen from the worse enemy, want, by affording the needful means of subsistence. It was the Attic civilization which first developed, in the Solonian and post-Solonian legislation, the principle that it is the duty of the community to provide for its invalids and indeed for its poor generally; and it was

Reform of
the distri-
bution of
corn.

Caesar that first developed what in the restricted compass of Attic life had remained a municipal matter into an organic institution of state, and transformed an arrangement, which was a burden and a disgrace for the commonwealth, into the first of those institutions—in modern times as countless as they are beneficial—where the infinite depth of human compassion contends with the infinite depth of human misery.

The
budget of
income.

In addition to these fundamental reforms a thorough revision of the income and expenditure took place. The ordinary sources of income were everywhere regulated and fixed. Exemption from taxation was conferred on not a few communities and even on whole districts, whether indirectly by the bestowal of the Roman or Latin franchise, or directly by special privilege; it was obtained *e.g.* by all the Sicilian communities¹ in the former, by the town of Ilion in the latter way. Still greater was the number of those whose proportion of tribute was lowered; the communities in Further Spain, for instance, already after Caesar's governorship had on his suggestion a reduction of tribute granted to them by the senate, and now the most oppressed province of Asia had not only the levying of its direct taxes facilitated, but also a third of them wholly remitted. The newly-added taxes, such as those of the communities subdued in Illyria and above all of the Gallic communities—which latter together paid annually 40,000,000 sesterces (£400,000)—were fixed throughout on a low scale. It is true on the other hand that various towns such as Little Leptis in Africa, Sulci in Sardinia, and several Spanish communities, had their tribute raised by way of penalty for their conduct during the last war. The very

¹ Varro attests the discontinuance of the Sicilian *decumae* in a treatise published after Cicero's death (*De R. R.* 2 *praef.*) where he names—as the corn-provinces whence Rome derives her subsistence—only Africa and Sardinia, no longer Sicily. The *Latinitas*, which Sicily obtained, must thus doubtless have included this immunity (comp. *Staatsrecht*, iii. 684).

lucrative Italian harbour-tolls abolished in the recent times of anarchy (iv. 502) were re-established all the more readily, that this tax fell essentially on luxuries imported from the east. To these new or revived sources of ordinary income were added the sums which accrued by extraordinary means, especially in consequence of the civil war, to the victor—the booty collected in Gaul; the stock of cash in the capital; the treasures taken from the Italian and Spanish temples; the sums raised in the shape of forced loan, compulsory present, or fine, from the dependent communities and dynasts, and the pecuniary penalties imposed in a similar way by judicial sentence, or simply by sending an order to pay, on individual wealthy Romans; and above all things the proceeds from the estate of defeated opponents. How productive these sources of income were, we may learn from the fact, that the fine of the African capitalists who sat in the opposition-senate alone amounted to 100,000,000 sesterces ($\approx 1,000,000$) and the price paid by the purchasers of the property of Pompeius to 70,000,000 sesterces (£700,000). This course was necessary, because the power of the beaten nobility rested in great measure on their colossal wealth and could only be effectually broken by imposing on them the defrayment of the costs of the war. But the odium of the confiscations was in some measure mitigated by the fact that Caesar directed their proceeds solely to the benefit of the state, and, instead of overlooking after the manner of Sulla any act of fraud in his favourites, exacted the purchase-money with rigour even from his most faithful adherents, *e.g.* from Marcus Antonius.

In the expenditure a diminution was in the first place obtained by the considerable restriction of the largesses of grain. The distribution of corn to the poor of the capital which was retained, as well as the kindred supply of oil newly introduced by Caesar for the Roman baths, were at least

The
budget of
expendi-
ture.

in great part charged once for all on the contributions in kind from Sardinia and especially from Africa, and were thereby wholly or for the most part kept separate from the exchequer. On the other hand the regular expenditure for the military system was increased partly by the augmentation of the standing army, partly by the raising of the pay of the legionary from 480 sesterces (£5) to 900 (£9) annually. Both steps were in fact indispensable. There was a total want of any real defence for the frontiers, and an indispensable preliminary to it was a considerable increase of the army. The doubling of the pay was doubtless employed by Caesar to attach his soldiers firmly to him (p. 199), but was not introduced as a permanent innovation on that account. The former pay of $1\frac{1}{3}$ sesterces ($3\frac{1}{4}$ d.) per day had been fixed in very ancient times, when money had an altogether different value from that which it had in the Rome of Caesar's day; it could only have been retained down to a period when the common day-labourer in the capital earned by the labour of his hands daily on an average 3 sesterces ($7\frac{1}{2}$ d.), because in those times the soldier entered the army not for the sake of the pay, but chiefly for the sake of the—in great measure illicit—perquisites of military service. The first condition in order to a serious reform in the military system, and to the getting rid of those irregular gains of the soldier which formed a burden mostly on the provincials, was an increase suitable to the times in the regular pay; and the fixing of it at $2\frac{1}{2}$ sesterces ($6\frac{1}{2}$ d.) may be regarded as an equitable step, while the great burden thereby imposed on the treasury was a necessary, and in its consequences a beneficial, course.

Of the amount of the extraordinary expenses which Caesar had to undertake or voluntarily undertook, it is difficult to form a conception. The wars themselves consumed enormous sums; and sums perhaps not less

were required to fulfil the promises which Caesar had been obliged to make during the civil war. It was a bad example and one unhappily not lost sight of in the sequel, that every common soldier received for his participation in the civil war 20,000 sesterces (£200), every burgess of the multitude in the capital for his non-participation in it 300 sesterces (£3) as an addition to his alimment but Caesar, after having once under the pressure of circumstances pledged his word, was too much of a king to abate from it. Besides, Caesar answered innumerable demands of honourable liberality, and put into circulation immense sums for building more especially, which had been shamefully neglected during the financial distress of the last times of the republic—the cost of his buildings executed partly during the Gallic campaigns, partly afterwards, in the capital was reckoned at 160,000,000 sesterces (£1,600,000). The general result of the financial administration of Caesar is expressed in the fact that, while by sagacious and energetic reforms and by a right combination of economy and liberality he amply and fully met all equitable claims, nevertheless already in March 710 there lay in the public treasury 700,000,000 and in his own 100,000,000 sesterces (together £8,000,000)—a sum which exceeded by tenfold the amount of cash in the treasury in the most flourishing times of the republic (iii. 23).

But the task of breaking up the old parties and furnishing the new commonwealth with an appropriate constitution, an efficient army, and well-ordered finances, difficult as it was, was not the most difficult part of Caesar's work. If the Italian nation was really to be regenerated, it required a reorganization which should transform all parts of the great empire—Rome, Italy, and the provinces. Let us endeavour here also to delineate the old state of things, **as well** as the beginnings of a new and more tolerable time.

**Social
condition
of the
nation.**

The
capital.

The good stock of the Latin nation had long since wholly disappeared from Rome. It is implied in the very nature of the case, that a capital loses its municipal and even its national stamp more quickly than any subordinate community. There the upper classes speedily withdraw from urban public life, in order to find their home rather in the state as a whole than in a single city; there are inevitably concentrated the foreign settlers, the fluctuating population of travellers for pleasure or business, the mass of the indolent, lazy, criminal, financially and morally bankrupt, and for that very reason cosmopolitan, rabble. All this pre-eminently applied to Rome. The opulent Roman frequently regarded his town-house merely as a lodging. When the urban municipal offices were converted into imperial magistracies; when the civic assembly became the assembly of burgesses of the empire; and when smaller self-governing tribal or other associations were not tolerated within the capital: all proper communal life ceased for Rome. From the whole compass of the widespread empire people flocked to Rome, for speculation, for debauchery, for intrigue, for training in crime, or even for the purpose of hiding there from the eye of the law.

The
populace
there.

These evils arose in some measure necessarily from the very nature of a capital; others more accidental and perhaps still more grave were associated with them. There has never perhaps existed a great city so thoroughly destitute of the means of support as Rome; importation on the one hand, and domestic manufacture by slaves on the other, rendered any free industry from the outset impossible there. The injurious consequences of the radical evil pervading the politics of antiquity in general—the slave-system—were more conspicuous in the capital than anywhere else. Nowhere were such masses of slaves accumulated as in the city palaces of the great families or of wealthy upstarts. Nowhere were the nations of the

three continents mingled as in the slave-population of the capital—Syrians, Phrygians and other half-Hellenes with Libyans and Moors, Getae and Iberians with the daily-increasing influx of Celts and Germans. The demoralization inseparable from the absence of freedom, and the terrible inconsistency between formal and moral right, were far more glaringly apparent in the case of the half or wholly cultivated—as it were genteel—city-slave than in that of the rural serf who tilled the field in chains like the fettered ox. Still worse than the masses of slaves were those who had been *de jure* or simply *de facto* released from slavery—a mixture of mendicant rabble and very rich parvenus, no longer slaves and not yet fully burghesses, economically and even legally dependent on their master and yet with the pretensions of free men; and these freedmen made their way above all towards the capital, where gain of various sorts was to be had and the retail traffic as well as the minor handicrafts were almost wholly in their hands. Their influence on the elections is expressly attested; and that they took a leading part in the street riots, is very evident from the ordinary signal by means of which these were virtually proclaimed by the demagogues—the closing of the shops and places of sale.

Moreover, the government not only did nothing to counteract this corruption of the population of the capital, but even encouraged it for the benefit of their selfish policy. The judicious rule of law, which prohibited individuals condemned for a capital offence from dwelling in the capital, was not carried into effect by the negligent police. The police-supervision—so urgently required—of association on the part of the rabble was at first neglected, and afterwards (p. 111) even declared punishable as a restriction inconsistent with the freedom of the people. The popular festivals had been allowed so to increase that the seven ordinary ones alone—the Roman, the Plebeian, those of

Relations
of the
oligarchy
to the
populace.

the Mother of the Gods, of Ceres, of Apollo, of Flora (iii 125) and of Victoria—lasted altogether sixty-two days; and to these were added the gladiatorial games and numerous other extraordinary amusements. The duty of providing grain at low prices—which was unavoidably necessary with such a proletariat living wholly from hand to mouth—was treated with the most unscrupulous frivolity, and the fluctuations in the price of bread-corn were of a fabulous and incalculable description.¹ Lastly, the distributions of grain formed an official invitation to the whole burgess-proletariat who were destitute of food and indisposed for work to take up their abode in the capital

Anarchy of
the capital.

The seed sown was bad, and the harvest corresponded. The system of clubs and bands in the sphere of politics, the worship of Isis and similar pious extravagances in that of religion, had their root in this state of things. People were constantly in prospect of a dearth, and not unfrequently in utter famine. Nowhere was a man less secure of his life than in the capital; murder professionally prosecuted by banditti was the single trade peculiar to it; the alluring of the victim to Rome was the preliminary to his assassination; no one ventured into the country in the vicinity of the capital without an armed retinue. Its outward condition corresponded to this inward disorganization, and seemed a keen satire on the aristocratic government. Nothing was done for the regulation of the stream of the Tiber; excepting that they caused the only bridge, with which they still made shift (iv. 169), to be constructed of stone at least as far as the Tiber-island. As little was anything done toward the levelling of the city of the Seven Hills, except where perhaps the accumulation of rubbish had effected some improvement. The streets ascended and

¹ In Sicily, the country of production, the *modius* was sold within a few years at two and at twenty sesterces; from this we may guess what must have been the fluctuations of price in Rome, which subsisted on transmarine corn and was the seat of speculators.

descended narrow and angular, and were wretchedly kept j the footpaths were small and ill paved. The ordinary houses were built of bricks negligently and to a giddy height, mostly by speculative builders on account of the small proprietors; by which means the former became vastly rich, and the latter were reduced to beggary. Like isolated islands amidst this sea of wretched buildings were seen the splendid palaces of the rich, which curtailed the space for the smaller houses just as their owners curtailed the burgess-rights of smaller men in the state, and beside whose marble pillars and Greek statues the decaying temples, with their images of the gods still in great part carved of wood, made a melancholy figure. A police-supervision of streets, of river-banks, of fires, or of building was almost unheard of; if the government troubled itself at all about the inundations, conflagrations, and falls of houses which were of yearly occurrence, it was only to ask from the state-theologians their report and advice regarding the true import of such signs and wonders. If we try to conceive to ourselves a London with the slave-population of New Orleans, with the police of Constantinople, with the non-industrial character of the modern Rome, and agitated by politics after the fashion of the Paris in 1848, we shall acquire an approximate idea of the republican glory, the departure of which Cicero and his associates in their sulky letters deplore.

Caesar did not deplore, but he sought to help so far as help was possible. Rome remained, of course, what it was.—a cosmopolitan city. Not only would the attempt to give to it once more a specifically Italian character have been impracticable; it would not have suited Caesar's plan. Just as Alexander found for his Graeco-Oriental empire an appropriate capital in the Hellenic, Jewish, Egyptian, and above all cosmopolitan, Alexandria, so the capital of the new Romano-Hellenic universal empire, situated at the

Caesar's
treatment
of matters
in the
capital.

meeting-point of the east and the west, was to be not an Italian community, but the denationalized capital of many nations. For this reason Caesar tolerated the worship of the newly-settled Egyptian gods alongside of Father Jovis, and granted even to the Jews the free exercise of their strangely foreign ritual in the very capital of the empire. However offensive was the motley mixture of the parasitic—especially the Hellenic-Oriental—population in Rome, he nowhere opposed its extension; it is significant, that at his popular festivals for the capital he caused dramas to be performed not merely in Latin and Greek, but also in other languages, presumably in Phœnician, Hebrew, Syrian, Spanish.

Diminution
of the pro-
letariate.

But, if Caesar accepted with the full consciousness of what he was doing the fundamental character of the capital such as he found it, he yet worked energetically at the improvement of the lamentable and disgraceful state of things prevailing there. Unhappily the primary evils were the least capable of being eradicated. Caesar could not abolish slavery with its train of national calamities; it must remain an open question, whether he would in the course of time have attempted at least to limit the slave-population in the capital, as he undertook to do so in another field. As little could Caesar conjure into existence a free industry in the capital; yet the great building-operations remedied in some measure the want of means of support there, and opened up to the proletariat a source of small but honourable gain. On the other hand Caesar laboured energetically to diminish the mass of the free proletariat. The constant influx of persons brought by the corn-largesses to Rome was, if not wholly stopped,¹ at least very materially restricted

¹ It is a fact not without interest that a political writer of later date but much judgment, the author of the letters addressed in the name of Sallust to Caesar, advises the latter to transfer the corn-distribution of the capital to the several *municipia*. There is good sense in the admonition; as indeed similar ideas obviously prevailed in the noble municipal provision for orphans under Trajan.

by the conversion of these largesses into a provision for the poor limited to a fixed number. The ranks of the existing proletariat were thinned on the one hand by the tribunals which were instructed to proceed with unrelenting rigour against the rabble, on the other hand by a comprehensive transmarine colonization; of the 80,000 colonists whom Caesar sent beyond the seas in the few years of his government, a very great portion must have been taken from the lower ranks of the population of the capital; most of the Corinthian settlers indeed were freedmen. When in deviation from the previous order of things, which precluded the freedmen from any urban honorary office, Caesar opened to them in his colonies the doors of the senate-house, this was doubtless done in order to gain those of them who were in better positions to favour the cause of emigration. This emigration, however, must have been more than a mere temporary arrangement; Caesar, convinced like every other man of sense that the only true remedy for the misery of the proletariat consisted in a well-regulated system of colonization, and placed by the condition of the empire in a position to realize it to an almost unlimited extent, must have had the design of permanently continuing the process, and so opening up a constant means of abating an evil which was constantly reproducing itself. Measures were further taken to set bounds to the serious fluctuations in the price of the most important means of subsistence in the markets of the capital. The newly-organized and liberally-administered finances of the state furnished the means for this purpose, and two newly-nominated magistrates, the corn-aediles (p. 346) were charged with the special supervision of the contractors and of the market of the capital.

The club system was checked, more effectually than was possible through prohibitive laws, by the change of the constitution; inasmuch as with the republic and the republican elections and tribunals the corruption and violence of the

The club
system
restricted

electioneering and judicial *collegia*—and generally the political Saturnalia of the *canaille*—came to an end of themselves. Moreover the combinations called into existence by the Clodian law were broken up, and the whole system of association was placed under the superintendence of the governing authorities. With the exception of the ancient guilds and associations, of the religious unions of the Jews, and of other specially excepted categories, for which a simple intimation to the senate seems to have sufficed, the permission to constitute a permanent society with fixed times of assembling and standing deposits was made dependent on a concession to be granted by the senate, and, as a rule, doubtless only after the consent of the monarch had been obtained.

**Street
police.**

To this was added a stricter administration of criminal justice and an energetic police. The laws, especially as regards the crime of violence, were rendered more stringent; and the irrational enactment of the republican law, that the convicted criminal was entitled to withdraw himself from a part of the penalty which he had incurred by self-banishment, was with reason set aside. The detailed regulations, which Caesar issued regarding the police of the capital, are in great part still preserved ; and all who choose may convince themselves that the Emperor did not disdain to insist on the house-proprietors putting the streets into repair and paving the footpath in its whole breadth with hewn stones, and to issue appropriate enactments regarding the carrying of litters and the driving of waggons, which from the nature of the streets were only allowed to move freely through the capital in the evening and by night. The supervision of the local police remained as hitherto chiefly with the four aediles, who were instructed now at least, if not earlier, each to superintend a distinctly marked-off police district within the capital.

Lastly, building in the capital, and the provision con

nected therewith of institutions for the public benefit, received from Caesar—who combined in himself the love for building of a Roman and of an organizer—a sudden stimulus, which not merely put to shame the mismanagement of the recent anarchic times, but also left all that the Roman aristocracy had done in their best days as far behind as the genius of Caesar surpassed the honest endeavours of the Marcii and Aemilii. It was not merely by the extent of the buildings in themselves and the magnitude of the sums expended on them that Caesar excelled his predecessors ; but a genuine statesmanly perception of what was for the public good distinguishes what Caesar did for the public institutions of Rome from all similar services. He did not build, like his successors, temples and other splendid structures, but he relieved the market-place of Rome—in which the burgess-assemblies, the seats of the chief courts, the exchange, and the daily business-traffic as well as the daily idleness, still were crowded together—at least from the assemblies and the courts by constructing for the former a new *comitium*, the *Saepta Julia* in the *Campus Martius*, and for the latter a separate place of judicature, the *Forum Julium* between the Capitol and Palatine. Of a kindred spirit is the Arrangement originating with him, by which there were supplied to the baths of the capital annually three million pounds of oil, mostly from Africa, and they were thereby enabled to furnish to the bathers gratuitously the oil required for the anointing of the body—a measure of cleanliness and sanitary police which, according to the ancient dietetics based substantially on bathing and anointing, was highly judicious.

**Buildings
of the
capital.**

But these noble arrangements were only the first steps towards a complete remodelling of Rome. Projects were already formed for a new senate-house, for a new magnificent bazaar, for a theatre to rival that of Pompeius, for a

public Latin and Greek library after the model of that recently destroyed at Alexandria—the first institution of the sort in Rome—lastly for a temple of Mars, which was to surpass all that had hitherto existed in riches and glory. Still more brilliant was the idea, first, of constructing a canal through the Pomptine marshes and drawing off their waters to Tarracina, and secondly, of altering the lower course of the Tiber and of leading it from the present Ponte Molle, not through between the Campus Vaticanus and the Campus Martius, but rather round the Campus Vaticanus and the Janiculum to Ostia, where the miserable roadstead was to give place to an adequate artificial harbour. By this gigantic plan on the one hand the most dangerous enemy of the capital, the *malaria* of the neighbourhood would be banished; on the other hand the extremely limited facilities for building in the capital would be at once enlarged by substituting the Campus Vaticanus thereby transferred to the left bank of the Tiber for the Campus Martius, and allowing the latter spacious field to be applied for public and private edifices; while the capital would at the same time obtain a safe seaport, the want of which was so painfully felt. It seemed as if the Emperor would remove mountains and rivers, and venture to contend with nature herself.

Much however as the city of Rome gained by the new order of things in commodiousness and magnificence, its political supremacy was, as we have already said, lost to it irrecoverably through that very change. The idea that the Roman state should coincide with the city of Rome had indeed in the course of time become more and more unnatural and preposterous; but the maxim had been so intimately blended with the essence of the Roman republic, that it could not perish before the republic itself. It was only in the new state of Caesar that it was, with the exception perhaps of some legal fictions, completely set aside, and the

community of the capital was placed legally on a level with all other municipalities; indeed Caesar—here as everywhere endeavouring not merely to regulate the thing, but also to call it officially by the right name—issued his Italian municipal ordinance, beyond doubt purposely, at once for the capital and for the other urban communities. We may add that Rome, just because it was incapable of a living communal character as a capital, was even essentially inferior to the other municipalities of the imperial period. The republican Rome was a den of robbers, but it was at the same time the state; the Rome of the monarchy, although it began to embellish itself with all the glories of the three continents and to glitter in gold and marble, was yet nothing in the state but a royal residence in connection with a poor-house, or in other words a necessary evil.

While in the capital the only object aimed at was to get rid of palpable evils by police ordinances on the greatest scale, it was a far more difficult task to remedy the deep disorganization of Italian economics. Its radical misfortunes were those which we previously noticed in detail—the disappearance of the agricultural, and the unnatural increase of the mercantile, population—with which an endless train of other evils was associated. The reader will not fail to remember what was the state of Italian agriculture. In spite of the most earnest attempts to check the annihilation of the small holdings, farm-husbandry was scarcely any longer the predominant species of economy during this epoch in any region of Italy proper, with the exception perhaps of the valleys of the Apennines and Abruzzi. As to the management of estates, no material difference is perceptible between the Catonian system formerly set forth (iii. 64-73) and that described to us by Varro, except that the latter shows the traces for better and for worse of the progress of city-life on a great scale in Rome. "Formerly," says Varro, "the barn on the estate was larger

Italian
agriculture.

than the manor-house; now it is wont to be the reverse." In the domains of Tusculum and Tibur, on the shores of Tarracina and Baiae—where the old Latin and Italian farmers had sown and reaped—there now rose in barren splendour the villas of the Roman nobles, some of which covered the space of a moderate-sized town with their appurtenances of garden-grounds and aqueducts, fresh and salt water ponds for the preservation and breeding of river and marine fishes, nurseries of snails and slugs, game-preserves for keeping hares, rabbits, stags, roes, and wild boars, and aviaries in which even cranes and peacocks were kept. But the luxury of a great city enriches also many an industrious hand, and supports more poor than philanthropy with its expenditure of alms. Those aviaries and fish-ponds of the grandees were of course, as a rule, a very costly indulgence. But this system was carried to such an extent and prosecuted with so much keenness, that *eg* the stock of a pigeon-house was valued at 100,000 sesterces (;£1000); a methodical system of fattening had sprung up, and the manure got from the aviaries became of importance in agriculture; a single bird-dealer was able to furnish at once 5000 fieldfares—for they knew how to rear these also—at three denarii (2s.) each, and a single possessor of a fish-pond 2000 *muraenae*; and the fishes left behind by Lucius Lucullus brought 40,000 sesterces (£400). As may readily be conceived, under such circumstances any one who followed this occupation industriously and intelligently might obtain very large profits with a comparatively small outlay of capital. A small bee-breeder of this period sold from his thyme-garden not larger than an acre in the neighbourhood of Falerii honey to an average annual amount of at least 10,000 sesterces (£100). The rivalry of the growers of fruit was carried so far, that in elegant villas the fruit-chamber lined with marble was not unfrequently fitted up at the same time as

a dining-room, and sometimes fine fruit acquired by purchase was exhibited there as of home growth. At this period the cherry from Asia Minor and other foreign fruit-trees were first planted in the gardens of Italy. The vegetable gardens, the beds of roses and violets in Latium and Campania, yielded rich produce, and the "market for dainties" (*forum cupedinis*) by the side of the Via Sacra, where fruits, honey, and chaplets were wont to be exposed for sale, played an important part in the life of the capital. Generally the management of estates, worked as they were on the planter-system, had reached in an economic point of view a height scarcely to be surpassed. The valley of Rieti, the region round the Fucine lake, the districts on the Liris and Volturnus, and indeed Central Italy in general, were as respects husbandry in the most flourishing condition; even certain branches of industry, which were suitable accompaniments of the management of an estate by means of slaves, were taken up by intelligent landlords, and, where the circumstances were favourable, inns, weaving factories, and especially brickworks were constructed on the estate. The Italian producers of wine and oil in particular not only supplied the Italian markets, but carried on also in both articles a considerable business of transmarine exportation. A homely professional treatise of this period compares Italy to a great fruit-garden; and the pictures which a contemporary poet gives of his beautiful native land, where the well-watered meadow, the luxuriant corn-field, the pleasant vine-covered hill are fringed by the dark line of the olive-trees—where the "ornament" of the land, smiling in varied charms, cherishes the loveliest gardens in its bosom and is itself wreathed round by food-producing trees—these descriptions, evidently faithful pictures of the landscape daily presented to the eye of the poet, transplant us into the most flourishing districts of Tuscany and Terra di Lavoro. The pastoral husbandry,

it is true, which for reasons formerly explained was always spreading farther especially in the south and south-east of Italy, was in every respect a retrograde movement; but it too participated to a certain degree in the general progress of agriculture; much was done for the improvement of the breeds, *e.g.* asses for breeding brought 60,000 sesterces (£600), 100,000 (;£1000), and even 400,000 (£4000). The solid Italian husbandry obtained at this period, when the general development of intelligence and abundance of capital rendered it fruitful, far more brilliant results than ever the old system of small cultivators could have given; and was carried even already beyond the bounds of Italy, for the Italian agriculturist turned to account large tracts in the provinces by rearing cattle and even cultivating corn.

In order to show what dimensions money-dealing assumed by the side of this estate-husbandry unnaturally prospering over the ruin of the small farmers, how the Italian merchants vying with the Jews poured themselves into all the provinces and client-states of the empire, and how all capital ultimately flowed to Rome, it will be sufficient, after what has been already said, to point to the single fact that in the money-market of the capital the regular rate of interest at this time was six per cent, and consequently money there was cheaper by a half than it was on an average elsewhere in antiquity.

Social disproportion.

In consequence of this economic system based both in its agrarian and mercantile aspects on masses of capital and on speculation, there arose a most fearful disproportion in the distribution of wealth. The often-used and often-abused phrase of a commonwealth composed of millionaires and beggars applies perhaps nowhere so completely as to the Rome of the last age of the republic; and nowhere perhaps has the essential maxim of the slave-state—that the rich man who lives by the exertions of his slaves is necessarily respectable, and the poor man who lives by the

labour of his hands is necessarily vulgar—been recognized with so terrible a precision as the undoubted principle underlying all public and private intercourse.¹ A real middle class in our sense of the term there was not, as indeed no such class can exist in any fully-developed slave-state ; what appears as if it were a good middle class and is so in a certain measure, is composed of those rich men of business and landholders who are so uncultivated or so highly cultivated as to content themselves within the sphere of their activity and to keep aloof from public life. Of the men of business—a class, among whom the numerous freedmen and other upstarts, as a rule, were seized with the giddy fancy of playing the man of quality—there were not very many who showed so much judgment. A model

¹ The following exposition in Cicero's treatise *De Officiis* (i. 42) is characteristic: *lam de artificibus et quaestibus, qui liberates habendi, qui sordidi sint, haec fere accepimus. Primum improbantur ii quaestus, qui in odia hominum incurrunt, ut portitorum, ut feneratorum. I I liber ales autem et sordidi quaestus mercenariorum omnium, quorum operae, non artes emuntur. Est autem in illis ipsa merces auctoramentum servitutis. Sordidi etiam putandi, qui mercantur a mercatoribus quod statim vendant, nihil enim proficiant, nisi admodum mentiantur. Nee vero est quidquam turpius vanitate. Opificesque omnes in sordida arte versantur; nee enim quidquam ingenuum habere potest officina. Minimeque artes eae probandae, quae ministratae sunt voluptatum,*

* *Cetarii, lanii, coqui, fartores, plscatores,*"

ut ait Terentius. Adde hue, si placet, unguentarios, saltatores, totumque ludum talarium. Quibus autem artibus autprudentia maior inest, aut non mediocris utilitas quaeritur, ut medicina, ut architectura ut doctrina rerum honestarum, eae sunt iis, quorum ordini conveniunt, honestae. Mercatura autem, si tenuis est, sordida putanda est; sin magna et copiosa, multa undique apportans, multaque sine vanitate impertiens, non est admodum vituperanda; atque etiam, si satiaia quaestu, vel contenta potius; ut saepe ex alio in portum, ex ipso portu in agros se possessionesque contulerit, videtur optimo ture posse laudari. Omnium autem rerum, ex quibus aliquid acquiritur, nihil est agricultura melius, nihil uberius, nihil du Ictus, nihil nomine libera dignius. According to this the respectable man must, in strictness, be a landowner ; the trade of a merchant becomes him only so far as it is a means to this ultimate end ; science as a profession is suitable only for the Greeks and for Romans not belonging to the ruling classes, who by this means may purchase at all events a certain toleration of their personal presence in genteel circles. It is a thoroughly developed aristocracy of planters, with a strong infusion of mercantile speculation and a slight shading of general culture.

of this sort was the Titus Pomponius Atticus frequently mentioned in the accounts of this period. He acquired an immense fortune partly from the great estate-farming which he prosecuted in Italy and Epirus, partly from his money-transactions which ramified throughout Italy, Greece, Macedonia, and Asia Minor; but at the same time he continued to be throughout the simple man of business, did not allow himself to be seduced into soliciting office or even into monetary transactions with the state, and, equally remote from the avaricious niggardliness and from the prodigal and burdensome luxury of his time—his table, for instance, was maintained at a daily cost of 100 sesterces (£1)—contented himself with an easy existence appropriating to itself the charms of a country and a city life, the pleasures of intercourse with the best society of Rome and Greece, and all the enjoyments of literature and art.

- More numerous and more solid were the Italian landholders of the old type. Contemporary literature preserves in the description of Sextus Roscius, who was murdered
81. amidst the proscriptions of 673, the picture of such a rural nobleman (*pater familias rusticanus*) ; his wealth, estimated at 6,000,000 sesterces (£60,000), is mainly invested in his thirteen landed estates; he attends to the management of it in person systematically and with enthusiasm; he comes seldom or never to the capital, and, when he does appear there, by his clownish manners he contrasts not less with the polished senator than the innumerable hosts of his uncouth rural slaves with the elegant train of domestic slaves in the capital. Far more than the circles of the nobility with their cosmopolitan culture and the mercantile class at home everywhere and nowhere, these landlords and the "country towns" to which they essentially gave tone (*municipia rusticana*) preserved as well the discipline and manners as the pure and noble language of their fathers. The order of landlords was regarded as the

flower of the nation; the speculator, who has made his fortune and wishes to appear among the notables of the land, buys an estate and seeks, if not to become himself the squire, at any rate to rear his son with that view. We meet the traces of this class of landlords, wherever a national movement appears in politics, and wherever literature puts forth any fresh growth; from it the patriotic opposition to the new monarchy drew its best strength; to it belonged Varro, Lucretius, Catullus; and nowhere perhaps does the comparative freshness of this landlord-life come more characteristically to light than in the graceful Arpinate introduction to the second book of Cicero's treatise *De Legibus*—a green oasis amidst the fearful desert of that equally empty and voluminous writer.

But the cultivated class of merchants and the vigorous The poor order of landlords were far overgrown by the two classes that gave tone to society—the mass of beggars, and the world of quality proper. We have no statistical figures to indicate precisely the relative proportions of poverty and riches for this epoch; yet we may here perhaps again recall the expression which a Roman statesman employed some fifty years before (iii. 380)—that the number of families of firmly-established riches among the Roman burgesses did not amount to 2000. The burgess-body had since then become different; but clear indications attest that the disproportion between poor and rich had remained at least as great. The increasing impoverishment of the multitude shows itself only too plainly in their crowding to the corn-largesses and to enlistment in the army; the corresponding increase of riches is attested expressly by an author of this generation, when, speaking of the circumstances of the Marian period, he describes an estate of 2,000,000 sesterces (£20,000) as "riches according to the circumstances of that day"; and the statements which we find as to the property of individuals lead to the same conclusion. **The**

very rich Lucius Domitius Ahenobarbus promised to twenty thousand soldiers four *iugera* of land each, out of his own property; the estate of Pompeius amounted to 70,000,000 sesterces (£700,000); that of Aesopus the actor to 20,000,000 (£200,000); Marcus Crassus, the richest of the rich, possessed at the outset of his career, 7,000,000 (£70,000), at its close, after lavishing enormous sums on the people, 170,000,000 sesterces (£ 1,700,000). The effect of such poverty and such riches was on both sides an economic and moral disorganization outwardly different, but at bottom of the same character. If the common man was saved from starvation only by support from the resources of the state, it was the necessary consequence of this mendicant misery—although it also reciprocally appears as a cause of it—that he addicted himself to the beggar's laziness and to the beggar's good cheer. The Roman plebeian was fonder of gazing in the theatre than of working; the taverns and brothels were so frequented, that the demagogues found their special account in gaining the possessors of such establishments over to their interests. The gladiatorial games—which revealed, at the same time that they fostered, the worst demoralization of the ancient world—had become so flourishing that a lucrative business was done in the sale of the programmes for them; and it was at this time that the horrible innovation was adopted by which the decision as to the life or death of the vanquished became dependent, not on the law of duel or on the pleasure of the victor, but on the caprice of the onlooking public, and according to its signal the victor either spared or transfixed his prostrate antagonist. The trade of fighting had so risen or freedom had so fallen in value, that the intrepidity and the emulation, which were lacking on the battle fields of this age, were universal in the armies of the arena, and, where the law of the duel required, every gladiator allowed himself to be stabbed

mutely and without shrinking; that in fact free men not unfrequently sold themselves to the contractors for board and wages as gladiatorial slaves. The plebeians of the fifth century had also suffered want and famine, but they had not sold their freedom; and still less would the jurisconsults of that period have lent themselves to pronounce the equally immoral and illegal contract of such a gladiatorial slave "to let himself be chained, scourged, burnt or killed without opposition, if the laws of the institution should so require" by means of unbecoming juristic subtleties as a contract lawful and actionable.

In the world of quality such things did not occur, but at bottom it was hardly different, and least of all better. In doing nothing the aristocrat boldly competed with the proletarian; if the latter lounged on the pavement, the former lay in bed till far on in the day. Extravagance prevailed here as unbounded as it was devoid of taste. It was lavished on politics and on the theatre, of course to the corruption of both; the consular office was purchased at an incredible price—in the summer of 700 the first voting - division alone was paid 10,000,000 sesterces (£100,000)—and all the pleasure of the man of culture in the drama was spoilt by the insane luxury of decoration. Rents in Rome appear to have been on an average four times as high as in the country-towns; a house there was once sold for 15,000,000 sesterces (£1 50,000). The house of Marcus Lepidus (consul in 676) which was at the time of the death of Sulla the finest in Rome, did not rank a generation afterwards even as the hundredth on the list of Roman palaces. We have already mentioned the extravagance practised in the matter of country-houses; we find that 4,000,000 sesterces (£40,000) were paid for such a house, which was valued chiefly for its fish-pond; and the thoroughly fashionable grandee now needed at least two villas—one in the Sabine or Alban mountains

Extra-
vagance

54.

78.

near the capital, and a second in the vicinity of the Campanian baths—and in addition if possible a garden immediately outside of the gates of Rome. Still more irrational than these villa-palaces were the palatial sepulchres, several of which still existing at the present day attest what a lofty pile of masonry the rich Roman needed in order that he might die as became his rank. Fanciers of horses and dogs too were not wanting; 24,000 sesterces (£240) was no uncommon price for a showy horse. They indulged in furniture of fine wood—a table of African cypress-wood cost 1,000,000 sesterces (£10,000); in dresses of purple stuffs or transparent gauzes accompanied by an elegant adjustment of their folds before the mirror—the orator Hortensius is said to have brought an action of damages against a colleague because he ruffled his dress in a crowd; in precious stones and pearls, which first at this period took the place of the far more beautiful and more artistic ornaments of gold—it was already utter barbarism, when at the triumph of Pompeius over Mithradates the image of the victor appeared wrought wholly of pearls, and when the sofas and the shelves in the dining-hall were silver-mounted and even the kitchen-utensils were made of silver. In a similar spirit the collectors of this period took out the artistic medallions from the old silver cups, to set them anew in vessels of gold. Nor was there any lack of luxury also in travelling. "When the governor travelled," Cicero tells us as to one of the Sicilian governors, "which of course he did not in winter, but only at the beginning of spring—not the spring of the calendar but the beginning of the season of roses—he had himself conveyed, as was the custom with the kings of Bithynia, in a litter with eight bearers, sitting on a cushion of Maltese gauze stuffed with rose-leaves, with one garland on his head and a second twined round his neck, applying to his nose a little smelling-hag of fine

linen, with minute meshes, filled with roses; and thus he had himself carried even to his bed-chamber."

But no sort of luxury flourished so much as the coarsest Table
luxury, of all—the luxury of the table. The whole villa arrangements and the whole villa life had ultimate reference to dining; not only had they different dining-rooms for winter and summer, but dinner was served in the picture-gallery, in the fruit-chamber, in the aviary, or on a platform erected in the deer-park, around which, when the bespoken "Orpheus" appeared in theatrical costume and blew his flourish, the duly-trained roes and wild boars congregated. Such was the care bestowed on decoration; but amidst all this the reality was by no means forgotten. Not only was the cook a graduate in gastronomy, but the master himself often acted as the instructor of his cooks. The roast had been long ago thrown into the shade by marine fishes and oysters; now the Italian river-fishes were utterly banished from good tables, and Italian delicacies and Italian wines were looked on as almost vulgar. Now even at the popular festivals there were distributed, besides the Italian Falerian, three sorts of foreign wine—Sicilian, Lesbian, Chian, while a generation before it had been sufficient even at great banquets to send round Greek wine once; in the cellar of the orator Hortensius there was found a stock of 10,000 jars (at 33 quarts) of foreign wine. It was no wonder that the Italian wine-growers began to complain of the competition of the wines from the Greek islands. No naturalist could ransack land and sea more zealously for new animals and plants, than the epicures of that day ransacked them for new culinary dainties.¹ The circum-

¹ We have still (Macrobius, iii, 13) the bill of fare of the banquet, which Mucius Lentulus Niger gave before 691 on entering on his pontificate, and of which the pontifices—Caesar included—the Vestal Virgins, and some other priests and ladies nearly related to them partook. Before the dinner proper came sea-hedgehogs; fresh oysters as many as the guests wished; large mussels; sphondyli; fieldfares with asparagus;

stance of the guest taking an emetic after a banquet, to avoid the consequences of the varied fare set before him, no longer created surprise. Debauchery of every sort became so systematic and aggravated that it found its professors, who earned a livelihood by serving as instructors of the youth of quality in the theory and practice of vice.

Debt.

It will not be necessary to dwell longer on this confused picture, so monotonous in its variety; and the less so, that the Romans were far from original in this respect, and confined themselves to exhibiting a copy of the Helleno-Asiatic luxury still more exaggerated and stupid than their model. Plutos naturally devours his children as well as Kronos; the competition for all these mostly worthless objects of fashionable longing so forced up prices, than those who swam with the stream found the most colossal estate melt away in a short time, and even those, who only for credit's sake joined in what was most necessary, saw their inherited and firmly-established wealth rapidly undermined. The canvass for the consulship, for instance, was the usual highway to ruin for houses of distinction; and nearly the same description applies to the games, the great buildings, and all those other pleasant, doubtless, but expensive pursuits. The princely wealth of that period is only surpassed by its still more princely liabilities; Caesar owed
62. about 692, after deducting his assets, 25,000,000 sesterces

fattened fowls; oyster and mussel pasties; black and white sea-acorns; sphondyli again; glycimarides; sea-nettles; becaficoes; roe-ribs; boar's-ribs; fowls dressed with flour; becaficoes; purple shell-fish of two sorts. The dinner itself consisted of sow's udder; boar's-head; fish-pasties; boar-pasties; ducks; boiled teals; hares; roasted fowls; starch-pastry; Pontic pastry.

These are the college-banquets regarding which Varro (*De R. R.* iii. 2, 16) says that they forced up the prices of all delicacies. Varro in one of his satires enumerates the following as the most notable foreign delicacies: peacocks from Samos; grouse from Phrygia; cranes from Melos; kids from Ambracia; tunny fishes from Chalcedon; muraenas from the Straits of Gades; bleak-fishes (? *aselli*) from Pessinus; oysters and scallops from Tarentura (? from Rhodes; *scarus-fishes* (?) from Cilicia; nuts from Thasos; dates from Egypt; acorns from Spain.

(£250,000); Marcus Antonius, at the age of twenty-four 6,000,000 sesterces (£60,000), fourteen years afterwards 40,000,000 (£400,000); Curio owed 60,000,000 (£600,000); Milo 70,000,000 (£700,000). That those extravagant habits of the Roman world of quality rested throughout on credit, is shown by the fact that the monthly interest in Rome was once suddenly raised from four to eight per cent, through the borrowing of the different competitors for the consulship. Insolvency, instead of leading in due time to a meeting of creditors or at any rate to a liquidation which might at least place matters once more on a clear footing, was ordinarily prolonged by the debtor as much as possible; instead of selling his property and especially his landed estates, he continued to borrow and to present the semblance of riches, till the crash only became the worse and the winding-up yielded a result like that of Milo, in which the creditors obtained somewhat above four per cent of the sums for which they ranked. Amidst this startlingly rapid transition from riches to bankruptcy and this systematic swindling, nobody of course gained so much as the cool banker, who knew how to give and refuse credit. The relations of debtor and creditor thus returned almost to the same point at which they had stood in the worst times of the social crises of the fifth century; the nominal landowners held virtually by sufferance of their creditors; the debtors were either in servile subjection to their creditors, so that the humbler of them appeared like freedmen in the creditor's train and those of higher rank spoke and voted even in the senate at the nod of their creditor-lord; or they were on the point of declaring war on property itself, and either of intimidating their creditors by threats or getting rid of them by conspiracy and civil war. On these relations was based the power of Crassus; out of them arose the insurrections—whose motto was "a clear sheet"—of Cinna (in. 530, iv. 74)

and still more definitely of Catilina, of Coelius, of Dolabella entirely resembling the battles between those who had and those who had not, which a century before agitated the Hellenic world (ii. 495). That amidst so rotten an economic condition every financial or political crisis should occasion the most dreadful confusion, was to be expected from the nature of the case; we need hardly mention that the usual phenomena—the disappearance of capital, the sudden depreciation of landed estates, innumerable bankruptcies, and an almost universal insolvency—made their appearance now during the civil war, just as they had done during the Social and Mithradatic wars (iv. 17 6).

Under such circumstances, as a matter of course, morality and family life were treated as antiquated things among all ranks of society. To be poor was not merely the sorest disgrace and the worst crime, but the only disgrace and the only crime : for money the statesman sold the state, and the burgess sold his freedom; the post of the officer and the vote of the juryman were to be had for money; for money the lady of quality surrendered her person as well as the common courtesan; falsifying of documents and perjuries had become so common that in a popular poet of this age an oath is called "the plaster for debts." Men had forgotten what honesty was; a person who refused a bribe was regarded not as an upright man, but as a personal foe. The criminal statistics of all times and countries will hardly furnish a parallel to the dreadful picture of crimes—so varied, so horrible, and so unnatural — which the trial of Aulus Cluentius unrolls before us in the bosom of one of the most respected families of an Italian country town.

Friendship. But while at the bottom of the national life the slime was thus constantly accumulating more and more deleteriously and deeply, so much the more smooth and glittering was the surface, overlaid with the varnish of polished

manners and universal friendship. All the world interchanged visits; so that in the houses of quality it was necessary to admit the persons presenting themselves every morning for the levee in a certain order fixed by the master or occasionally by the attendant in waiting, and to give audience only to the more notable one by one, while the rest were more summarily admitted partly in groups, partly *en masse* at the close—a distinction which Gaius Gracchus, in this too paving the way for the new monarchy, is said to have introduced. The interchange of letters of courtesy was carried to as great an extent as the visits of courtesy; "friendly" letters flew over land and sea between persons who had neither personal relations nor business with each other, whereas proper and formal business-letters scarcely occur except where the letter is addressed to a corporation. In like manner invitations to dinner, the customary new year's presents, the domestic festivals, were divested of their proper character and converted almost into public ceremonials; even death itself did not release the Roman from these attentions to his countless "neighbours," but in order to die with due respectability he had to provide each of them at any rate with a keepsake. Just as in certain circles of our mercantile world, the genuine intimacy of family ties and family friendships had so totally vanished from the Rome of that day that the whole intercourse of business and acquaintance could be garnished with forms and flourishes of affection which had lost all meaning, and thus by degrees the reality came to be superseded by that spectral shadow of "friendship," which holds by no means the least place among the various evil spirits brooding over the proscriptions and civil wars of this age.

An equally characteristic feature in the brilliant decay **Women** of this period was the emancipation of women. In an economic point of view the women had long since made themselves independent (iii. 123); in the present epoch

- we even meet with solicitors acting specially for women, who officiously lend their aid to solitary rich ladies in the management of their property and their lawsuits, make an impression on them by their knowledge of business and law, and thereby procure for themselves ampler perquisites and legacies than other loungers on the exchange. But it was not merely from the economic guardianship of father or husband that women felt themselves emancipated. Love-intrigues of all sorts were constantly in progress. The ballet-dancers (*mimae*) were quite a match for those of the present day in the variety of their pursuits and the skill with which they followed them out; their prima-donnas, Cytheris and the like, pollute even the pages of history. But their, as it were, licensed trade was very materially injured by the free art of the ladies of aristocratic circles. Liaisons in the first houses had become so frequent, that only a scandal altogether exceptional could make them the subject of special talk; a judicial interference seemed now almost ridiculous. An unparalleled
31. scandal, such as Publius Clodius produced in 693 at the women's festival in the house of the Pontifex Maximus, although a thousand times worse than the occurrences which fifty years before had led to a series of capital sentences (iv. 207), passed almost without investigation and wholly without punishment. The watering-place season—in April, when political business was suspended and the world of quality congregated in Baiae and Puteoli—derived its chief charm from the relations licit and illicit which, along with music and song and elegant breakfasts on board or on shore, enlivened the gondola voyages. There the ladies held absolute sway; but they were by no means content with this domain which rightfully belonged to them, they also acted as politicians, appeared in party conferences, and took part with their money and their intrigues in the wild coterie-doings of the time. Any one

who beheld these female statesmen performing on the stage of Scipio and Cato and saw at their side the young fop—as with smooth chin, delicate voice, and mincing gait, with headdress and neckerchiefs, frilled robe, and women's sandals he copied the loose courtesan—might well have a horror of the unnatural world, in which the sexes seemed as though they wished to change parts. What ideas as to divorce prevailed in the circles of the aristocracy may be discerned in the conduct of their best and most moral hero Marcus Cato, who did not hesitate to separate from his wife at the request of a friend desirous to marry her, and as little scrupled on the death of this friend to marry the same wife a second time. Celibacy and childlessness became more and more common, especially among the upper classes. While among these marriage had for long been regarded as a burden which people took upon them at the best in the public interest (iii. 118, iv. 186f.), we now encounter even in Cato and those who shared Cato's sentiments the maxim to which Polybius a century before traced the decay of Hellas (iii. 265), that it is the duty of a citizen to keep great wealth together and therefore not to beget too many children. Where were the times, when the designation "children-producer" (*proletarius*) had been a term of honour for the Roman?

In consequence of such a social condition the Latin stock in Italy underwent an alarming diminution, and its fair provinces were overspread partly by parasitic immigrants, partly by sheer desolation. A considerable portion of the population of Italy flocked to foreign lands. Already the aggregate amount of talent and of working power, which the supply of Italian magistrates and Italian garrisons for the whole domain of the Mediterranean demanded, transcended the resources of the peninsula, especially as the elements thus sent abroad were in great part lost for ever to the nation. For the more that the Roman com-

Depopulation of Italy.

munity grew into an empire embracing many nations, the more the governing aristocracy lost the habit of looking on Italy as their exclusive home; while of the men levied or enlisted for service a considerable portion perished in the many wars, especially in the bloody civil war, and another portion became wholly estranged from their native country by the long period of service, which sometimes lasted for a generation. In like manner with the public service, speculation kept a portion of the landholders and almost the whole body of merchants all their lives or at any rate for a long time out of the country, and the demoralising itinerant life of trading in particular estranged the latter altogether from civic existence in the mother country and from the various conditions of family life. As a compensation for these, Italy obtained on the one hand the proletariat of slaves and freedmen, on the other hand the craftsmen and traders flocking thither from Asia Minor, Syria, and Egypt, who flourished chiefly in the capital and still more in the seaport towns of Ostia, Puteoli, and Brundisium (iv. 194). In the largest and most important part of Italy however, there was not even such a substitution of impure elements for pure; but the population was visibly on the decline. Especially was this true of the pastoral districts such as Apulia, the chosen land of cattle-breeding, which is called by contemporaries the most deserted part of Italy, and of the region around Rome, where the Campagna was annually becoming more desolate under the constant reciprocal action of the retrograde agriculture and the increasing malaria. Labici, Gabii, Bovillae, once cheerful little country towns, were so decayed, that it was difficult to find representatives of them for the ceremony of the Latin festival. Tusculum, although still one of the most esteemed communities of Latium, consisted almost solely of some genteel families who lived in the capital but retained their native Tusculan franchise,

and was far inferior in the number of burgesses entitled to vote even to small communities in the interior of Italy. The stock of men capable of arms in this district, on which Rome's ability to defend herself had once mainly depended, had so totally vanished, that people read with astonishment and perhaps with horror the accounts of the annals—sounding fabulous in comparison with things as they stood—respecting the Aequian and Volscian wars. Matters were not so bad everywhere, especially in the other portions of Central Italy and in Campania; nevertheless, as Varro complains, "the once populous cities of Italy," in general "stood desolate."

It is a dreadful picture—this picture of Italy under the rule of the oligarchy. There was nothing to bridge over or soften the fatal contrast between the world of the beggars and the world of the rich. The more clearly and painfully this contrast was felt on both sides—the giddier the height to which riches rose, the deeper the abyss of poverty yawned—the more frequently, amidst that changeful world of speculation and playing at hazard, were individuals tossed from the bottom to the top and again from the top to the bottom. The wider the chasm by which the two worlds were externally divided, the more completely they coincided in the like annihilation of family life—which is yet the germ and core of all nationality—in the like laziness and luxury, the like unsubstantial economy, the like unmanly dependence, the like corruption differing only in its tariff, the like criminal demoralization, the like longing to begin the war with property. Riches and misery in close league drove the Italians out of Italy, and filled the peninsula partly with swarms of slaves, partly with awful silence. It is a terrible picture, but not one peculiar to Italy; wherever the government of capitalists in a slave-state has fully developed itself, it has desolated God's fair world in the same way. As rivers glisten in different colours, but a

Italy under
the
oligarchy.

common sewer everywhere looks like itself, so the Italy of the Ciceronian epoch resembles substantially the Hellas of Polybius and still more decidedly the Carthage of Hannibal's time, where in exactly similar fashion the all-powerful rule of capital ruined the middle class, raised trade and estate-farming to the highest prosperity, and ultimately led to a—hypocritically whitewashed—moral and political corruption of the nation. All the arrant sins that capital has been guilty of against nation and civilization in the modern world, remain as far inferior to the abominations of the ancient capitalist-states as the free man, be he ever so poor, remains superior to the slave ; and not until the dragon-seed of North America ripens, will the world have again similar fruits to reap.

**Reforms of
Caesar.**

These evils, under which the national economy of Italy lay prostrate, were in their deepest essence irremediable, and so much of them as still admitted of remedy depended essentially for its amendment on the people and on time; for the wisest government is as little able as the more skilful physician to give freshness to the corrupt juices of the organism, or to do more in the case of the deeper-rooted evils than to prevent those accidents which obstruct the remedial power of nature in its working. The peaceful energy of the new rule even of itself furnished such a preventive, for by its means some of the worst excrescences were done away, such as the artificial pampering of the proletariat, the impunity of crimes, the purchase of offices, and various others. But the government could do something more than simply abstain from harm. Caesar was not one of those over-wise people who refuse to embank the sea, because forsooth no dike can defy some sudden influx of the tide. It is better, if a nation and its economy follow spontaneously the path prescribed by nature; but, seeing that they had got out of this path, Caesar applied all his

energies to bring back by special intervention the nation to its home and family life, and to reform the national economy by law and decree.

With a view to check the continued absence of the Italians from Italy and to induce the world of quality and the merchants to establish their homes in their native land, not only was the term of service for the soldiers shortened, but men of senatorial rank were altogether prohibited from taking up their abode out of Italy except when on public business, while the other Italians of marriageable age (from the twentieth to the fortieth year) were enjoined not to be absent from Italy for more than three consecutive years. In the same spirit Caesar had already in his first consulship on founding the colony of Capua kept specially in view fathers who had several children (iv. 508); and now as Imperator he proposed extraordinary rewards for the fathers of numerous families, while he at the same time as supreme judge of the nation treated divorce and adultery with a rigour according to Roman ideas unparalleled.

Measures
for the
elevation
of the
family.

Nor did he even think it beneath his dignity to issue a detailed law as to luxury—which, among other points, cut down extravagance in building at least in one of its most irrational forms, that of sepulchral monuments; restricted the use of purple robes and pearls to certain times, ages, and classes, and totally prohibited it in grown-up men; fixed a maximum for the expenditure of the table; and directly forbade a number of luxurious dishes. Such ordinances doubtless were not new; but it was a new thing that the "master of morals" seriously insisted on their observance, superintended the provision-markets by means of paid overseers, and ordered that the tables of men of rank should be examined by his officers and the forbidden dishes on them should be confiscated. It is true that by such theoretical and practical instructions in moderation as the new monarchical police gave to the fashionable world

Laws
respecting
luxury.

hardly more could be accomplished than the compelling luxury to retire somewhat more into concealment; but, if hypocrisy is the homage which vice pays to virtue, under the circumstances of the times even a semblance of propriety established by police measures was a step towards improvement not to be despised.

**The debt
crisis.**

The measures of Caesar for the better regulation of Italian monetary and agricultural relations were of a graver character and promised greater results. The first question here related to temporary enactments respecting the scarcity of money and the debt-crisis generally. The law called forth by the outcry as to locked-up capital—that no one should have on hand more than 60,000 sesterces (;\$600) in gold and silver cash—was probably only issued to allay the indignation of the blind public against the usurers; the form of publication, which proceeded on the fiction that this was merely the renewed enforcing of an earlier law that had fallen into oblivion, shows that Caesar was ashamed of this enactment, and it can hardly have passed into actual application. A far more serious question was the treatment of the pending claims for debt, the complete remission of which was vehemently demanded from Caesar by the party which called itself by his name. We have already mentioned, that he did not yield to this demand (p. 318); but two important concessions were made to
49. the debtors, and that as early as 705. First, the interest in arrear was struck off,¹ and that which was paid was deducted from the capital. Secondly, the creditor was compelled to accept the moveable and immoveable property of the debtor in lieu of payment at the estimated value which his effects had before the civil war and the

¹ This is not stated by our authorities, but it necessarily follows from the permission to deduct the interest paid by cash or assignation (*si quid usurae nomine numeratum aut perscriptum fuisset*; Sueton. *Caes.* 42), as paid contrary to law, from the capital.

general depreciation which it had occasioned. The latter enactment was not unreasonable; if the creditor was to be looked on *de facto* as the owner of the property of his debtor to the amount of the sum due to him, it was doubtless proper that he should bear his share in the general depreciation of the property. On the other hand the cancelling of the payments of interest made or outstanding—which practically amounted to this, that the creditors lost, besides the interest itself, on an average 25 per cent of what they were entitled to claim as capital at the time of the issuing of the law—was in fact nothing else than a partial concession of that cancelling of creditors' claims springing out of loans, for which the democrats had clamoured so vehemently; and, however bad may have been the conduct of the usurers, it is not possible thereby to justify the retrospective abolition of all claims for interest without distinction. In order*at least to understand this agitation we must recollect how the democratic party stood towards the question of interest. The legal prohibition against taking interest, which the old plebeian opposition had extorted in 412 (i. 389), had no doubt been practi- 342. * cally disregarded by the nobility which controlled the civil procedure by means of the pra*etorship, but had still remained since that period formally valid; and the democrats of the seventh century, who regarded themselves throughout as the continuers of that old agitation as to privilege and social position (iv. 474), had maintained the illegality of payment of interest at any time, and even already practically enforced that principle, at least temporarily, in the confusion of the Marian period (iii. 530). It is not credible that Caesar shared the crude views of his party on the interest question; the fact, that in his account of the matter of liquidation he mentions the enactment as to the surrender of the property of the debtor in lieu of payment but is silent as to the cancelling of the interest, is

perhaps a tacit self-reproach. But he was, like every party-leader, dependent on his party and could not directly repudiate the traditional maxims of the democracy in the question of interest; the more especially when he had to decide this question, not as the all-powerful conqueror of Pharsalus, but even before his departure for Epirus. But, while he permitted perhaps rather than originated this violation of legal order and of property, it is certainly his merit that that monstrous demand for the annulling of all claims arising from loans was rejected; and it may perhaps be looked on as a saving of his honour, that the debtors were far more indignant at the—according to their view extremely unsatisfactory—concession given to them than the injured creditors, and made under Caelius and Dolabella those foolish and (as already mentioned) speedily frustrated attempts to extort by riot and civil war what Caesar refused to them.

New ordinance as to bankruptcy.

But Caesar did not confine himself to helping the debtor for the moment; he did what as legislator he could, permanently to keep down the fearful omnipotence of capital. First of all the great legal maxim was proclaimed, that freedom is not a possession commensurable with property, but an eternal right of man, of which the state is entitled judicially to deprive the criminal alone, not the debtor. It was Caesar, who, perhaps stimulated in this case also by the more humane Egyptian and Greek legislation, especially that of Solon,¹ introduced this principle—diametrically opposed to the maxims of the earlier ordinances as to bankruptcy—into the common law, where it has since retained its place undisputed. According to Roman law the debtor unable to pay became the serf of

¹ The Egyptian royal laws (Diodorus, i. 79) and likewise the legislation of Solon (Plutarch, *Sol.* 13, 15) forbade bonds in which the loss of the personal liberty of the debtor was made the penalty of non-payment; and at least the latter imposed on the debtor in the event of bankruptcy no more than the cession of his whole assets.

his creditor (i. 198). The Poetelian law no doubt had allowed a debtor, who had become unable to pay only through temporary embarrassments, not through genuine insolvency, to save his personal freedom by the cession of his property (i. 390); nevertheless for the really insolvent that principle of law, though doubtless modified in secondary points, had been in substance retained unaltered for five hundred years; a direct recourse to the debtor's estate only occurred exceptionally, when the debtor had died or had forfeited his burgess-rights or could not be found. It was Caesar who first gave an insolvent the right—on which our modern bankruptcy regulations are based—of formally ceding his estate to his creditors, whether it might suffice to satisfy them or not, so as to save at all events his personal freedom although with diminished honorary and political rights, and to begin a new financial existence, in which he could only be sued on account of claims proceeding from the earlier period and not protected in the liquidation, if he could pay them without renewed financial ruin.

While thus the great democrat had the imperishable honour of emancipating personal freedom in principle from capital, he attempted moreover to impose a police limit on the excessive power of capital by usury-laws. He did not affect to disown the democratic antipathy to stipulations for interest. For Italian money-dealing there was fixed a maximum amount of the loans at interest to be allowed in the case of the individual capitalist, which appears to have been proportioned to the Italian landed estate belonging to each, and perhaps amounted to half its value. Transgressions of this enactment were, after the fashion of the procedure prescribed in the republican usury-laws, treated as criminal offences and sent before a special jury-commission. If these regulations were successfully carried into effect, every Italian man of business would be compelled

Usury-laws.

to become at the same time an Italian landholder, and the class of capitalists subsisting merely on their interest would disappear wholly from Italy. Indirectly too the no less injurious category of insolvent landowners who practically managed their estates merely for their creditors was by this means materially curtailed, inasmuch as the creditors, if they desired to continue their lending business, were compelled to buy for themselves. From this very fact besides it is plain that Caesar wished by no means simply to renew that naïve prohibition of interest by the old popular party, but on the contrary to allow the taking of interest within certain limits. It is very probable however that he did not confine himself to that injunction—which applied merely to Italy—of a maximum amount of sums to be lent, but also, especially with respect to the provinces, prescribed maximum rates for interest itself. The enactments—that it was illegal to take higher interest than i per cent per month, or to take interest on arrears of interest, or in fine to make a judicial claim for arrears of interest to a greater amount than a sum equal to the capital—were, probably also after the Graeco- Egyptian model,¹ first introduced in the Roman empire by Lucius Lucullus for Asia Minor and retained there by his better successors; soon afterwards they were transferred to other provinces by edicts of the governors, and ultimately at least part of them was provided with the force of law in all provinces by a decree of the Roman senate of 704.

50. The fact that these Lucullan enactments afterwards appear in all their compass as imperial law and have thus become the basis of the Roman and indeed of modern legislation as to interest, may also perhaps be traced back to an ordinance of Caesar.

¹ At least the latter rule occurs in the old Egyptian royal laws (Diodorus, i. 79). On the other hand the Solonian legislation knows no restrictions on interest, but on the contrary expressly allows interest to be fixed of any amount at pleasure.

Hand in hand with these efforts to guard against the ascendency of capital went the endeavours to bring back agriculture to the path which was most advantageous for the commonwealth. For this purpose the improvement of the administration of justice and of police was very essential. While hitherto nobody in Italy had been sure of his life and of his moveable or immoveable property, while Roman *condottieri* for instance, at the intervals when their gangs were not helping to manage the politics of the capital, applied themselves to robbery in the forests of Etruria or rounded off the country estates of their paymasters by fresh acquisitions, this sort of club-law was now at an end; and in particular the agricultural population of all classes must have felt the beneficial effects of the change. The plans of Caesar for great works also, which were not at all limited to the capital, were intended to tell in this respect; the construction, for instance, of a convenient high-road from Rome through the passes of the Apennines to the Adriatic was designed to stimulate the internal traffic of Italy, and the lowering the level of the Fucine lake to benefit the Marsian farmers. But Caesar also sought by more direct measures to influence the state of Italian husbandry. The Italian graziers were required to take at least a third of their herdsmen from freeborn adults, whereby brigandage was checked and at the same time a source of gain was opened to the free proletariat.

Elevation
of agri-
culture.

In the agrarian question Caesar, who already in his first consulship had been in a position to regulate it (iv. 508), more judicious than Tiberius Gracchus, did not seek to restore the farmer-system at any price, even at that of a revolution—concealed under juristic clauses—directed against property; by him on the contrary, as by every other genuine statesman, the security of that which is property or is at any rate regarded by the public as property was esteemed as the first and most inviolable of all political maxims, and

Distribu-
tion of
land.

it was only within the limits assigned by this maxim that he sought to accomplish the elevation of the Italian small holdings, which also appeared to him as a vital question for the nation. Even as it was, there was much still left for him in this respect to do. Every private right, whether it was called property or entitled heritable possession, whether traceable to Gracchus or to Sulla, was unconditionally respected by him. On the other hand Caesar, after he had in his strictly economical fashion—which tolerated no waste and no negligence even on a small scale—instituted a general revision of the Italian titles to possession by the revived commission of Twenty (iv. 509), destined the whole actual domain land of Italy (including a considerable portion of the real estates that were in the hands of spiritual guilds but legally belonged to the state) for distribution in the Gracchan fashion, so far, of course, as it was fitted for agriculture; the Apulian summer and the Samnite winter pastures belonging to the state continued to be domain; and it was at least the design of the Imperator, if these domains should not suffice, to procure the additional land requisite by the purchase of Italian estates from the public funds. In the selection of the new farmers provision was naturally made first of all for the veteran soldiers, and as far as possible the burden, which the levy imposed on the mother country, was converted into a benefit by the fact that Caesar gave the proletarian, who was levied from it as a recruit, back to it as a farmer; it is remarkable also that the desolate Latin communities, such as Vei and Capena, seem to have been preferentially provided with new colonists. The regulation of Caesar that the new owners should not be entitled to alienate the lands received by them till after twenty years, was a happy medium between the full bestowal of the right of alienation, which would have brought the larger portion of the distributed land speedily back into the hands of the great capitalists, and the permanent re-

strictions on freedom of dealing in land which Tiberius Gracchus (in. 320, 327, 373) and Sulla (iv. 199, 370) had enacted, both equally in vain.

Lastly while the government thus energetically applied itself to remove the diseased, and to strengthen the sound, elements of the Italian national life, the newly-regulated municipal system—which had but recently developed itself out of the crisis of the Social war in and alongside of the state-economy (iv. 131)—was intended to communicate to the new absolute monarchy the communal life which was compatible with it, and to impart to the sluggish circulation of the noblest elements of public life once more a quickened action. The leading principles in the two municipal ordinances issued in 705 for Cisalpine Gaul and in 709 for Italy,¹ the latter of which remained the fundamental law for all succeeding times, are apparently, first, the strict purifying of the urban corporations from all immoral elements, while yet no trace of political police occurs; secondly, the utmost restriction of centralization and the utmost freedom of movement in the communities, to which there was even now reserved the election of magistrates and an—although limited—civil and criminal jurisdiction. The general police enactments, such as the restrictions on the right of association (p. 373), came, it is true, into operation also here.

Elevation
of the
municipal
system.

49. 45.

Such were the ordinances, by which Caesar attempted to reform the Italian national economy. It is easy both to show their insufficiency, seeing that they allowed a multitude of evils still to exist, and to prove that they operated in various respects injuriously by imposing restrictions, some of which were very severely felt, on freedom of dealing. It is still easier to show that the evils of the Italian national economy generally were incurable. But in spite of this the practical statesman will admire the work as well as the master-workman. It was already no small achievement

¹ Of both laws considerable fragments still exist.

that, where a man like Sulla, despairing of remedy, had contented himself with a mere formal reorganization, the evil was seized in its proper seat and grappled with there; and we may well conclude that Caesar with his reforms came as near to the measure of what was possible as it was given to a statesman and a Roman to come. He could not and did not expect from them the regeneration of Italy; but he sought on the contrary to attain this in a very different way, for the right apprehension of which it is necessary first of all to review the condition of the provinces as Caesar found them.

Provinces. The provinces, which Caesar found in existence, were fourteen in number: seven European—the Further and the Hither Spain, Transalpine Gaul, Italian Gaul with Illyricum, Macedonia with Greece, Sicily, Sardinia with Corsica; five Asiatic—Asia, Bithynia and Pontus, Cilicia with Cyprus, Syria, Crete; and two African—Cyrene and Africa. To these Caesar added three new ones by the erection of the two new governorships of Lugdunese Gaul and Belgica (p. 95) and by constituting Illyricum a province by itself.¹

Provincial administration of the oligarchy. In the administration of these provinces oligarchic misrule had reached a point which, notwithstanding various noteworthy performances in this line, no second government has ever attained at least in the west, and which according to our ideas it seems no longer possible to surpass. Certainly the responsibility for this rests not on the Romans alone. Almost everywhere before their day the Greek, Phoenician, or Asiatic rule had already driven out of the nations the higher spirit and the sense

¹ As according to Caesar's ordinance annually sixteen *propraetors* and two *proconsuls* divided the governorships among them, and the latter remained two years in office (p. 344), we might conclude that he intended to bring the number of provinces in all up to twenty. Certainty is, however, the less attainable as to this, seeing that Caesar perhaps designedly instituted fewer offices than candidatures.

of right and of liberty belonging to better times. It was doubtless bad, that every accused provincial was bound, when asked, to appear personally in Rome to answer for himself; that the Roman governor interfered at pleasure in the administration of justice and the management of the dependent communities, pronounced capital sentences, and cancelled transactions of the municipal council; and that in case of war he treated the militia as he chose and often infamously, as *e.g.* when Cotta at the siege of the Pontic Heraclea assigned to the militia all the posts of danger, to spare his Italians, and on the siege not going according to his wish, ordered the heads of his engineers to be laid at his feet. It was doubtless bad, that no rule of morality or of criminal law bound either the Roman administrators or their retinue, and that violent outrages, rapes, and murders with or without form of law were of daily occurrence in the provinces. But these things were at least nothing new; almost everywhere men had long been accustomed to be treated like slaves, and it signified little in the long run whether a Carthaginian overseer, a Syrian satrap, or a Roman proconsul acted as the local tyrant. Their material well-being, almost the only thing for which the provincials still cared, was far less disturbed by those occurrences, which although numerous in proportion to the many tyrants yet affected merely isolated individuals, than by the financial exactions pressing heavily on all, which had never previously been prosecuted with such energy.

The Romans now gave in this domain fearful proof of their old mastery of money-matters. We have already endeavoured to describe the Roman system of provincial oppression in its modest and rational foundations as well as in its growth and corruption (iv. 157-166); as a matter of course, the latter went on increasing. The ordinary taxes became far more oppressive from the inequality of

their distribution and from the preposterous system of levying them than from their high amount. As to the burden of quartering troops, Roman statesmen themselves expressed the opinion that a town suffered nearly to the same extent when a Roman army took up winter quarters in it as when an enemy took it by storm. While the taxation in its original character had been an indemnification for the burden of military defence undertaken by Rome, and the community paying tribute had thus a right to remain exempt from ordinary service, garrison-service was now—as is attested *e.g.* in the case of Sardinia—for the most part imposed on the provincials, and even in the ordinary armies, besides other duties, the whole heavy burden of the cavalry-service was devolved on them. The extraordinary contributions demanded—such as, the deliveries of grain for little or no compensation to benefit the proletariat of the capital; the frequent and costly naval armaments and coast-defences in order to check piracy; the task of supplying works of art, wild beasts, or other demands of the insane Roman luxury in the theatre and the chase; the military requisitions in case of war—were just as frequent as they were oppressive and incalculable. A single instance may show how far things were carried. During the three years' administration of Sicily by Gaius Verres the number of farmers in Leontini fell from 84 to 32, in Motuca from 187 to 86, in Herbita from 252 to 120, in Agyrium from 250 to 80 5 so that in four of the most fertile districts of Sicily 59 per cent of the landholders preferred to let their fields be fallow than to cultivate them under such government. And these landholders were, as their small number itself shows and as is expressly stated, by no means small farmers, but respectable planters and in great part Roman burgesses!

In the
client-
states.

In the client-states the forms of taxation were somewhat different, but the burdens themselves were if possible still

worse, since in addition to the exactions of the Romans there came those of the native courts. In Cappadocia and Egypt the farmer as well as the king was bankrupt; the former was unable to satisfy the tax-collector, the latter was unable to satisfy his Roman creditor. Add to these the exactions, properly so called, not merely of the governor himself, but also of his " friends," each of whom fancied that he had as it were a draft on the governor and a title accordingly to come back from the province a made man. The Roman oligarchy in this respect completely resembled a gang of robbers, and followed out the plundering of the provincials in a professional and business-like manner; capable members of the gang set to work not too nicely, for they had in fact to share the spoil with the advocates and the jurymen, and the more they stole, they did so the more securely. The notion of honour in theft too was already developed; the big robber looked down on the little, and the latter on the mere thief, with contempt; any one, who had been once for a wonder condemned, boasted of the high figure of the sums which he was proved to have exacted. Such was the behaviour in the provinces of the successors of those men, who had been accustomed to bring home 'nothing from their administration but the thanks of the subjects and the approbation of their fellow-citizens.

But still worse, if possible, and still less subject to any control was the havoc committed by the Italian men of business among the unhappy provincials. The most lucrative portions of the landed property and the whole commercial and monetary business in the provinces were concentrated in their hands. The estates in the transmarine regions, which belonged to Italian grandees, were exposed to all the misery of management by stewards, and never saw their owners; excepting possibly the hunting-parks, which occur as early as this time in Transalpine

The
Roman
capitalists
in the
provinces.

Gaul with an area amounting to nearly twenty square miles. Usury flourished as it had never flourished before. The small landowners in Illyricum, Asia, and Egypt managed their estates even in Varro's time in great part practically as the debtor-slaves of their Roman or non-Roman creditors, just as the plebeians in former days for their patrician lords. Cases occurred of capital being lent even to urban communities at four per cent per month. It was no unusual thing for an energetic and influential man of business to get either the title of envoy¹ given to him by the senate or that of officer by the governor, and, if possible, to have men put at his service for the better prosecution of his affairs; a case is narrated on credible authority, where one of these honourable martial bankers on account of a claim against the town of Salamis in Cyprus kept its municipal council blockaded in the town-house, until five of the members had died of hunger.

Robberies
and
damage
by war.

To these two modes of oppression, each of which by itself was intolerable and which were always becoming better arranged to work into each other's hands, were added the general calamities, for which the Roman government was also in great part, at least indirectly, responsible. In the various wars a large amount of capital was dragged away from the country and a larger amount destroyed sometimes by the barbarians, sometimes by the Roman armies. Owing to the worthlessness of the Roman land and maritime police, brigands and pirates swarmed everywhere. In Sardinia and the interior of Asia Minor brigandage was endemic; in Africa and Further Spain it became necessary to fortify all buildings constructed outside of the city-enclosures with walls and towers. The fearful evil of piracy has been already described in another connection (iv. 307/). The panaceas of the prohibitive system, with

¹ This is the so-called "free embassy" (*libera legatio*), namely an embassy without any proper public commission entrusted to it.

which the Roman governor was wont to interpose when scarcity of money or dearth occurred, as under such circumstances they could not fail to do—the prohibition of the export of gold or grain from the province—did not mend the matter. The communal affairs were almost everywhere embarrassed, in addition to the general distress, by local disorders and frauds of the public officials.

Where such grievances afflicted communities and individuals not temporarily but for generations with an inevitable, steady and yearly-increasing oppression, the best regulated public or private economy could not but succumb to them, and the most unspeakable misery could not but extend over all the nations from the Tagus to the Euphrates. "All the communities," it is said in a treatise published as early as 684, "are ruined"; the same truth is specially attested as regards Spain and Narbonese Gaul, the very provinces which, comparatively speaking, were still in the most tolerable economic position. In Asia Minor even towns like Samos and Halicarnassus stood almost empty; legal slavery seemed here a haven of rest compared with the torments to which the free provincial succumbed, and even the patient Asiatic had become, according to the descriptions of Roman statesmen themselves, weary of life. Any one who desires to fathom the depths to which man can sink in the criminal infliction, and in the no less criminal endurance, of all conceivable injustice, may gather together from the criminal records of this period the wrongs which Roman grandees could perpetrate and Greeks, Syrians, and Phoenicians could suffer. Even the statesmen of Rome herself publicly and frankly conceded that the Roman name was unutterably odious through all Greece and Asia; and, when the burgesses of the Pontic Heraclea on one occasion put to death the whole of the Roman tax-collectors, the only matter for regret was that such things did not occur oftener.

The condition of the provinces generally.

70.

Caesar
and the
provinces.

The Optimates scoffed at the new master who went in person to inspect his "farms" one after the other; in reality the condition of the several provinces demanded all the earnestness and all the wisdom of one of those rare men, who redeem the name of king from being regarded by the nations as merely a conspicuous example of human insufficiency. The wounds inflicted had to be healed by time; Caesar took care that they might be so healed, and that there should be no fresh inflictions.

The
Caesarian
magis-
trates.

The system of administration was thoroughly remodelled. The Sullan proconsuls and proprætors had been in their provinces essentially sovereign and practically subject to no control; those of Caesar were the well-disciplined servants of a stern master, who from the very unity and life-tenure of his power sustained a more natural and more tolerable relation to the subjects than those numerous, annually changing, petty tyrants. The governorships were no doubt still distributed among the annually-retiring two consuls and sixteen prætors, but, as the Emperor directly nominated eight of the latter and the distribution of the provinces among the competitors depended solely on him (p. 344), they were in reality bestowed by the Emperor. The functions also of the governors were practically restricted. The superintendence of the administration of justice and the administrative control of the communities remained in their hands; but their command was paralyzed by the new supreme command in Rome and its adjutants associated with the governor (p. 354), and the raising of the taxes was probably even now committed in the provinces substantially to imperial officials (p. 343), so that the governor was thenceforward surrounded with an auxiliary staff which was absolutely dependent on the Emperor in virtue either of the laws of the military hierarchy or of the still stricter laws of domestic discipline. While hitherto the proconsul and his quaestor had appeared as if they were members of a gang

of robbers despatched to levy contributions, the magistrates of Caesar were present to protect the weak against the strong; and, instead of the previous worse than useless control of the equestrian or senatorian tribunals, they had to answer for themselves at the bar of a just and unyielding monarch. The law as to exactions, the enactments of which Caesar had already in his first consulate made more stringent, was applied by him against the chief commandants in the provinces with an inexorable severity going even beyond its letter; and the tax-officers, if indeed they ventured to indulge in an injustice, atoned for it to their master, as slaves and freedmen according to the cruel domestic law of that time were wont to atone.

The extraordinary public burdens were reduced to the right proportion and the actual necessity; the ordinary burdens were materially lessened. We have already mentioned the comprehensive regulation of taxation (p. 362); the extension of the exemptions from tribute, the general lowering of the direct taxes, the limitation of the system of *decumae* to Africa and Sardinia, the complete setting aside of middlemen in the collection of the direct taxes, were most beneficial reforms for the provincials. That Caesar after the example of one of his greatest democratic predecessors, Sertorius (iv. 285), wished to free the subjects from the burden of quartering troops and to insist on the soldiers erecting for themselves permanent encampments resembling towns, cannot indeed be proved; but he was, at least after he had exchanged the part of pretender for that of king, not the man to abandon the subject to the soldier; and it was in keeping with his spirit, when the heirs of his policy created such military camps, and then converted them into towns which formed rallying-points for Italian civilization amidst the barbarian frontier districts.

Regulation of burdens

It was a task far more difficult than the checking of official irregularities, to deliver the provincials from the

Influence
on the
capitalist
system.

oppressive ascendancy of Roman capital. Its power could not be directly broken without applying means which were still more dangerous than the evil; the government could for the time being abolish only isolated abuses—as when Caesar for instance prohibited the employment of the tide of state-envoy for financial purposes—and meet manifest acts of violence and palpable usury by a sharp application of the general penal laws and of the laws as to usury, which extended also to the provinces (p. 410); but a more radical cure of the evil was only to be expected from the reviving prosperity of the provincials under a better administration. Temporary enactments, to relieve the insolvency of particular provinces, had been issued on several occasions in

60. recent times. Caesar himself had in 694 when governor of Further Spain assigned to the creditors two thirds of the income of their debtors in order to pay themselves from that source. Lucius Lucullus likewise when governor of Asia Minor had directly cancelled a portion of the arrears of interest which had swelled beyond measure, and had for the remaining portion assigned to the creditors a fourth part of the produce of the lands of their debtors, as well as a suitable proportion of the profits accruing to them from house-rents or slave-labour. We are not expressly informed that Caesar after the civil war instituted similar general liquidations of debt in the provinces; yet from what has just been remarked and from what was done in the case of Italy (p. 409), it can hardly be doubted that Caesar likewise directed his efforts towards this object, or at least that it formed part of his plan.

While thus the Imperator, as far as lay within human power, relieved the provincials from the oppressions of the magistrates and capitalists of Rome, it might at the same time be with certainty expected from the government to which he imparted fresh vigour, that it would scare off the wild border-peoples and disperse the freebooters by land

and sea, as the rising sun chases away the mist. However the old wounds might still smart, with Caesar there appeared for the sorely-tortured subjects the dawn of a more tolerable epoch, the first intelligent and humane government that had appeared for centuries, and a policy of peace which rested not on cowardice but on strength. Well might the subjects above all mourn along with the best Romans by the bier of the great liberator.

But this abolition of existing abuses was not the main matter in Caesar's provincial reform. In the Roman republic, according to the view of the aristocracy and democracy alike, the provinces had been nothing but—what they were frequently called—country-estates of the Roman people, and they were employed and worked out as such. This view had now passed away. The provinces as such were gradually to disappear, in order to prepare for the renovated Helleno-Italic nation a new and more spacious home, of whose several component parts no one existed merely for the sake of another but all for each and each for all; the new existence in the renovated home, the fresher, broader, grander national life, was of itself to overbear the sorrows and wrongs of the nation for which there was no help in the old Italy. These ideas, as is well known, were not new. The emigration from Italy to the provinces that had been regularly going on for centuries had long since, though unconsciously on the part of the emigrants themselves, paved the way for such an extension of Italy. The first who in a systematic way guided the Italians to settle beyond the bounds of Italy was Gaius Gracchus, the creator of the Roman democratic monarchy, the author of the Transalpine conquests, the founder of the colonies of Carthage and Narbo. Then the second statesman of genius produced by the Roman democracy, Quintus Sertorius, began to introduce the barbarous Occidentals to Latin civilization; he gave to the Spanish youth of rank

The
beginnings
of the
Helleno-
Italic
state.

the Roman dress, and urged them to speak Latin and to acquire the higher Italian culture at the training institute founded by him in Osca. When Caesar entered on the government, a large Italian population—though, in great part, lacking stability and concentration—already existed in all the provinces and client-states. To say nothing of the formally Italian towns in Spain and southern Gaul, we need only recall the numerous troops of burgesses raised by Sertorius and Pompeius in Spain, by Caesar in Gaul, by Juba in Numidia, by the constitutional party in Africa, Macedonia, Greece, Asia Minor, and Crete; the Latin lyre—ill-tuned doubtless—on which the town-poets of Corduba as early as the Sertorian war sang the praises of the Roman generals; and the translations of Greek poetry valued on account of their very elegance of language, which the earliest extra-Italian poet of note, the Transalpine Publius Terentius Varro of the Aude, published shortly after Caesar's death.

On the other hand the interpenetration of the Latin and Hellenic character was, we might say, as old as Rome. On occasion of the union of Italy the conquering Latin nation had assimilated to itself all the other conquered nationalities, excepting only the Greek, which was received just as it stood without any attempt at external amalgamation. Wherever the Roman legionary went, the Greek schoolmaster, no less a conqueror in his own way, followed; at an early date we find famous teachers of the Greek language settled on the Guadalquivir, and Greek was as well taught as Latin in the institute of Osca. The higher Roman culture itself was in fact nothing else than the proclamation of the great gospel of Hellenic manners and art in the Italian idiom; against the modest pretension of the civilizing conquerors to proclaim it first of all in their own language to the barbarians of the west the Hellene at least could not loudly protest. Already the Greek every-

where—and, most decidedly, just where the national feeling was purest and strongest, on the frontiers threatened by barbaric denationalization, *e.g.* in Massilia, on the north coast of the Black Sea, and on the Euphrates and Tigris—descried the protector and avenger of Hellenism in Rome; and in fact the foundation of towns by Pompeius in the far east resumed after an interruption of centuries the beneficent work of Alexander.

The idea of an Italo-Hellenic empire with two languages and a single nationality was not new—otherwise it would have been nothing but a blunder; but the development of it from floating projects to a firmly-grasped conception, from scattered initial efforts to the laying of a concentrated foundation, was the work of the third and greatest of the democratic statesmen of Rome.

The first and most essential condition for the political and national levelling of the empire was the preservation and extension of the two nations destined to joint dominion, along with the absorption as rapidly as possible of the barbarian races, or those termed barbarian, existing by their side. In a certain sense we might no doubt name along with Romans and Greeks a third nationality, which vied with them in ubiquity in the world of that day, and was destined to play no insignificant part in the new state of Caesar. We speak of the Jews. This remarkable people, yielding and yet tenacious, was in the ancient as in the modern world everywhere and nowhere at home, and everywhere and nowhere powerful. The successors of David and Solomon were of hardly more significance for the Jews of that age than Jerusalem for those of the present day; the nation found doubtless for its religious and intellectual unity a visible rallying-point in the petty kingdom of Jerusalem, but the nation itself consisted not merely of the subjects of the Hasmonaeans, but of the innumerable bodies of Jews scattered through the whole

The ruling nations.

The Jews.

Parthian and the whole Roman empire. Within the cities of Alexandria especially and of Cyrene the Jews formed special communities administratively and even locally distinct, not unlike the "Jews' quarters " of our towns, but with a freer position and superintended by a " master of the people " as superior judge and administrator. How numerous even in Rome the Jewish population was already before Caesar's time, and how closely at the same time the Jews even then kept together as fellow-countrymen, is shown by the remark of an author of this period, that it was dangerous for a governor to offend the Jews in his province, because he might then certainly reckon on being hissed after his return by the populace of the capital. Even at this time the predominant business of the Jews was trade; the Jewish trader moved everywhere with the conquering Roman merchant then, in the same way as he afterwards accompanied the Genoese and the Venetian, and capital flowed in on all hands to the Jewish, by the side of the Roman, merchants. At this period too we encounter the peculiar antipathy of the Occidentals towards this so thoroughly Oriental race and their foreign opinions and customs. This Judaism, although not the most pleasing feature in the nowhere pleasing picture of the mixture of nations which then prevailed, was nevertheless a historical element developing itself in the natural course of things, which the statesman could neither ignore nor combat, and which Caesar on the contrary, just like his predecessor Alexander, with correct discernment of the circumstances, fostered as far as possible. While Alexander, by laying the foundation of Alexandrian Judaism, did not much less for the nation than its own David by planning the temple of Jerusalem, Caesar also advanced the interests of the Jews in Alexandria and in Rome by special favours and privileges, and protected in particular their peculiar worship against the Roman as well as against the Greek local priests. The

two great men of course did not contemplate placing the Jewish nationality on an equal footing with the Hellenic or Italo-Hellenic. But the Jew who has not like the Occidental received the Pandora's gift of political organization, and stands substantially in a relation of indifference to the state; who moreover is as reluctant to give up the essence of his national idiosyncrasy, as he is ready to clothe it with any nationality at pleasure and to adapt himself up to a certain degree to foreign habits—the Jew was for this very reason as it were made for a state, which was to be built on the ruins of a hundred living polities and to be endowed with a somewhat abstract and, from the outset, toned-down nationality. Even in the ancient world Judaism was an effective leaven of cosmopolitanism and of national decomposition, and to that extent a specially privileged member in the Caesarian state, the polity of which was strictly speaking nothing but a citizenship of the world, and the nationality of which was at bottom nothing but humanity.

But the Latin and Hellenic nationalities continued to be Hellenism. exclusively the positive elements of the new citizenship. The distinctively Italian state of the republic was thus at an end; but the rumour that Caesar was ruining Italy and Rome on purpose to transfer the centre of the empire to the Greek east and to make Ilion or Alexandria its capital, was nothing but a piece of talk—very easy to be accounted for, but also very silly—of the angry nobility. On the contrary in Caesar's organizations the Latin nationality always retained the preponderance; as is indicated in the very fact that he issued all his enactments in Latin, although those destined for the Greek-speaking countries were at the same time issued in Greek. In general he arranged the relations of the two great nations in his monarchy just as his republican predecessors had arranged them in the united Italy; the Hellenic nationality was protected where it existed, the Italian was extended as far as circumstances per-

mitted, and the inheritance of the races to be absorbed was destined for it. This was necessary, because an entire equalizing of the Greek and Latin elements in the state would in all probability have in a very short time occasioned that catastrophe which Byzantinism brought about several centuries later; for the Greek element was superior to the Roman not merely in all intellectual aspects, but also in the measure of its predominance, and it had within Italy itself in the hosts of Hellenes and half-Hellenes who migrated compulsorily or voluntarily to Italy an endless number of apostles apparently insignificant, but whose influence could not be estimated too highly. To mention only the most conspicuous phenomenon in this respect, the rule of Greek lackeys over the Roman monarchs is as old as the monarchy. The first in the equally long and repulsive list of these personages is the confidential servant of Pompeius, Theophanes of Mytilene, who by his power over his weak master contributed probably more than any one else to the outbreak of the war between Pompeius and Caesar. Not wholly without reason he was after his death treated with divine honours by his countrymen; he commenced, forsooth, the *valet de chambre* government of the imperial period, which in a certain measure was just a dominion of the Hellenes over the Romans. The government had accordingly every reason not to encourage by its fostering action the spread of Hellenism at least in the west. If Sicily was not simply relieved of the pressure of the *decumae* but had its communities invested with Latin rights, which was presumably meant to be followed in due time by full equalization with Italy, it can only have been Caesar's design that this glorious island, which was at that time desolate and had as to management passed for the greater part into Italian hands, but which nature has destined to be not so much a neighbouring land to Italy as rather the finest of its provinces, should become altogether merged in

Italy. But otherwise the Greek element, wherever it existed, was preserved and protected. However political crises might suggest to the Emperor the demolition of the strong pillars of Hellenism in the west and in Egypt, Massilia and Alexandria were neither destroyed nor denationalized.

On the other hand the Roman element was promoted Latinizing. by the government through colonization and Latinizing with all vigour and at the most various points of the empire. The principle, which originated no doubt from a bad combination of formal law and brute force, but was inevitably necessary in order to freedom in dealing with the nations destined to destruction—that all the soil in the provinces not ceded by special act of the government to communities or private persons was the property of the state, and the holder of it for the time being had merely an heritable possession on sufferance and revocable at any time—was retained also by Caesar and raised by him from a democratic party-theory to a fundamental principle of monarchical law.

Gaul, of course, fell to be primarily dealt with in the extension of Roman nationality. Cisalpine Gaul. Cisalpine Gaul obtained throughout—what a great part of the inhabitants had long enjoyed—political equalization with the leading country by the admission of the Transpadane communities into the Roman burgess-union, which had for long been assumed by the democracy as accomplished (iv. 264, p. 131), and was now (705) finally accomplished by Caesar. Practically this province had already completely Latinized itself during the forty years which had elapsed since the bestowal of Latin rights. The exclusives might ridicule the broad and gurgling accent of the Celtic Latin, and miss "an undefined something of the grace of the capital" in the Insubrian or Venetian, who as Caesar's legionary had conquered for himself with his sword a place in the Roman Forum and even in the Roman senate-house. Nevertheless Cisalpine Gaul with its dense

chiefly agricultural population was even before Caesar's time in reality an Italian country, and remained for centuries the true asylum of Italian manners and Italian culture; indeed the teachers of Latin literature found nowhere else out of the capital so much encouragement and approbation.

The
province of
Narbo.

While Cisalpine Gaul was thus substantially merged in Italy, the place which it had hitherto occupied was taken by the Transalpine province, which had been converted by the conquests of Caesar from a frontier into an inland province, and which by its vicinity as well as by its climate was fitted beyond all other regions to become in due course of time likewise an Italian land. Thither principally, according to the old aim of the transmarine settlements of the Roman democracy, was the stream of Italian emigration directed. There the ancient colony of Narbo was reinforced by new settlers, and four new burgess-colonies were instituted at Baeterrae (Beziers) not far from Narbo, at Arelate (Aries) and Arausio (Orange) on the Rhone, and at the new seaport Forum Julii (Frejus); while the names assigned to them at the same time preserved the memory of the brave legions which had annexed northern Gaul to the empire.¹ The townships not furnished with colonists appear, at least for the most part, to have been led on towards Romanization in the same way as Transpadane Gaul in former times (iii. 517) by the bestowal of Latin urban rights; in particular Nemausus (Nîmes), as the chief

¹ Narbo was called the colony of the Decimani, Baeterrae of the Septimani, Fonim Julii of the Octavani, Arelate of the Sextani, Arausio of the Secundani. The ninth legion is wanting, because it had disgraced its number by the mutiny of Placentia (p. 246). That the colonists of these colonies belonged to the legions from which they took their names, is not stated and is not credible; the veterans themselves were, at least the great majority of them, settled in Italy (p. 358). Cicero's complaint, that Caesar "had confiscated whole provinces and districts at a blow" (*De Off.* ii. 7, 27; comp. *Philipp.* xiii. 15, 31, 32) relates beyond doubt, as its close connection with the censure of the triumph over the Masbiliots proves, to the confiscations of land made on account of these colonies in the Narbonese province and primarily to the losses of territory imposed on Massilia,

place of the territory taken from the Massiliots in consequence of their revolt against Caesar (p. 229), was converted from a Massiliot village into a Latin urban community, and endowed with a considerable territory and even with the right of coinage.¹ While Cisalpine Gaul thus advanced from the preparatory stage to full equality with Italy, the Narbonese province advanced at the same time into that preparatory stage; just as previously in Cisalpine Gaul, the most considerable communities there had the full franchise, the rest Latin rights.

In the other non-Greek and non-Latin regions of the empire, which were still more remote from the influence of Italy and the process of assimilation, Caesar confined himself to the establishment of several centres for Italian civilization such as Narbo had hitherto been in Gaul, *in* order by their means to pave the way for a future complete equalization. Such initial steps can be pointed out in all the provinces of the empire, with the exception of the poorest and least important of all, Sardinia. How Caesar proceeded in Northern Gaul, we have already set forth (p. 96); the Latin language there obtained throughout official recognition, though not yet employed for all branches of public intercourse, and the colony of Noviodunum (Nyon) arose on the Leman lake as the most northerly town with an Italian constitution.

Northern
Gaul.

In Spain, which was presumably at that time the most densely peopled country of the Roman empire, not merely

Spain.

¹ We are not expressly informed from whom the Latin rights of the non-colonized townships of this region and especially of Nemausus proceeded. But as Caesar himself (*B. C.* i. 35) virtually states that Nemausus up to 705 was a Massiliot village; as according to Livy's account (*Dio*, xli. 25; *Flor.* ii. 13; *Oros.* vi. 15) this very portion of territory was taken from the Massiliots by Caesar; and lastly as even on pre-Augustan coins and then in Strabo the town appears as a community of Latin rights, Caesar alone can have been the author of this bestowal of Latin rights. As to Ruscino (Roussillon near Perpignan) and other communities in Narbonese Gaul which early attained a Latin urban constitution, we can only conjecture that they received it contemporarily with Nemausus.

were Caesarian colonists settled in the important Helleno-Iberian seaport town of Emporiae by the side of the old population; but, as recently-discovered records have shown, a number of colonists probably taken predominantly from the proletariat of the capital were provided for in the town of Urso (Osuna), not far from Seville in the heart of Andalusia, and perhaps also in several other townships of this province. The ancient and wealthy mercantile city of Gades, whose municipal system Caesar even when praetor had remodelled suitably to the times, now obtained from the Emperor the full rights of the Italian *municipia* (705) and became—what Tusculum had been in Italy (i. 448)—the first extra-Italian community not founded by Rome which was admitted into the Roman burgess-union.

45. Some years afterwards (709) similar rights were conferred also on some other Spanish communities, and Latin rights presumably on still more.

Carthage.

In Africa the project, which Gaius Gracchus had not been allowed to bring to an issue, was now carried out, and on the spot where the city of the hereditary foes of Rome had stood, 3000 Italian colonists and a great number of the tenants on lease and sufferance resident in the Carthaginian territory were settled; and the new "Venus-colony," the Roman Carthage, thrived with amazing rapidity under the incomparably favourable circumstances of the locality. Utica, hitherto the capital and first commercial town in the province, had already been in some measure compensated beforehand, apparently by the bestowal of Latin rights, for the revival of its superior rival. In the Numidian territory newly annexed to the empire the important Cirta and the other communities assigned to the Roman *condottiere* Publius Sittius for himself and his troops (p. 300) obtained the legal position of Roman military colonies. The stately provincial towns indeed, which the insane fury of Juba and of the desperate remnant of the

constitutional party had converted into ruins, did not revive so rapidly as they had been reduced to ashes, and many a ruinous site recalled long afterwards this fatal period; but the two new Julian colonies, Carthage and Cirta, became and continued to be the centres of Africano-Roman civilization.

In the desolate land of Greece, Caesar, besides other plans such as the institution of a Roman colony in Buthrotum (opposite Corfu), busied himself above all with the restoration of Corinth. Not only was a considerable burgess-colony conducted thither, but a plan was projected for cutting through the isthmus, so as to avoid the dangerous circumnavigation of the Peloponnesus and to make the whole traffic between Italy and Asia pass through the Corintho-Saronic gulf. Lastly even in the remote The cast. Hellenic east the monarch called into existence Italian settlements; on the Black Sea, for instance, at Heraclea and Sinope, which towns the Italian colonists shared, as in the case of Emporiae, with the old inhabitants; on the Syrian coast, in the important port of Berytus, which like Sinope obtained an Italian constitution; and even in Egypt, where a Roman station was established on the lighthouse-island commanding the harbour of Alexandria.

Through these ordinances the Italian municipal freedom was carried into the provinces in a manner far more comprehensive than had been previously the case. The communities of full burgesses—that is, all the towns of the Cisalpine province and the burgess-colonies and burgess-*municipia* scattered in Transalpine Gaul and elsewhere—were on an equal footing with the Italian, in so far as they administered their own affairs, and even exercised a certainly limited jurisdiction; while on the other hand the more important processes came before the Roman authorities competent to deal with them—as a rule, the governor Extension of the Italian municipal constitution to the provinces.

of the province.¹ The formally autonomous Latin and the other emancipated communities—thus including all those of Sicily and of Narbonese Gaul, so far as they were not burgess-communities, and a considerable number also in the other provinces—had not merely free administration, but probably unlimited jurisdiction; so that the governor was only entitled to interfere there by virtue of his—certainly very arbitrary—administrative control. No doubt even earlier there had been communities of full burgesses within the provinces of governors, such as Aquileia, and Narbo, and whole governors' provinces, such as Cisalpine Gaul, had consisted of communities with Italian constitution; but it was, if not in law, at least in a political point of view a singularly important innovation, that there was now a province which as well as Italy was peopled solely by Roman burgesses,² and that others promised to become such.

¹ That no community of full burgesses had more than limited jurisdiction, is certain. But the fact, which is distinctly apparent from the Caesarian municipal ordinance for Cisalpine Gaul, is a surprising one—that the processes lying beyond municipal competency from this province went not before its governor, but before the Roman praetor; for in other cases the governor is in his province quite as much representative of the praetor who administers justice between burgesses as of the praetor who administers justice between burgess and non-burgess, and is thoroughly competent to determine all processes. Beyond doubt this is a remnant of the arrangement before Sulla, under which in the whole continental territory as far as the Alps the urban magistrates alone were competent, and thus all the processes there, where they exceeded municipal competency, necessarily came before the praetors in Rome. In Narbo again, Gades, Carthage, Corinth, the processes in such a case went certainly to the governor concerned; as indeed even from practical considerations the carrying of a suit to Rome could not well be thought of.

² It is difficult to see why the bestowal of the Roman franchise on a province collectively, and the continuance of a provincial administration for it, should be usually conceived as contrasts excluding each other. Besides, Cisalpine Gaul notoriously obtained the *civitas* by the Roscian decree of the people of the 11th March 705, while it remained a province as long as Caesar lived and was only united with Italy after his death (Dio, xlviii. 12); the governors also can be pointed out down to 71 x. The very fact that the Caesarian municipal ordinance never designates the country as Italy, but as Cisalpine Gaul, ought to have led to the right view.

With this disappeared the first great practical distinction that separated Italy from the provinces ; and the second—that ordinarily no troops were stationed in Italy, while they were stationed in the provinces—was likewise in the course of disappearing; troops were now stationed only where there was a frontier to be defended, and the commandants of the provinces in which this was not the case, such as Narbo and Sicily, were officers only in name. The formal contrast between Italy and the provinces, which had at all times depended on other distinctions (iii. 309), continued certainly even now to subsist, for Italy was the sphere of civil jurisdiction and of consuls and praetors, while the provinces were districts under the jurisdiction of martial law and subject to proconsuls and propraeors; but the procedure according to civil and according to martial law had for long been practically coincident, and the different titles of the magistrates signified little after the one Imperator was over all.

Italy
and the
provinces
reduced to
one level

In all these various municipal foundations and ordinances—which are traceable at least in plan, if not perhaps ail in execution, to Caesar—a definite system is apparent. Italy was converted from the mistress of the subject peoples into the mother of the renovated Italo-Hellenic nation. The Cisalpine province completely equalized with the mother-country was a promise and a guarantee that, in the monarchy of Caesar just as in the healthier times of the republic, every Latinized district might expect to be placed on an equal footing by the side of its elder sisters and of the mother herself. On the threshold of full national and political equalization with Italy stood the adjoining lands, the Greek Sicily and the south of Gaul, which was rapidly becoming Latinized. In a more remote stage of preparation stood the other provinces of the empire, in which, just as hitherto in southern Gaul Narbo had been a Roman colony, the great maritime cities—Emporiae, Gades, Car-

thage, Corinth, Heraclea in Pontus, Sinope, Berytus, Alexandria—now became Italian or Helleno-Italian communities, the centres of an Italian civilization even in the Greek east, the fundamental pillars of the future national and political levelling of the empire. The rule of the urban community of Rome over the shores of the Mediterranean was at an end; in its stead came the new Mediterranean state, and its first act was to atone for the two greatest outrages which that urban community had perpetrated on civilization. While the destruction of the two greatest marts of commerce in the Roman dominions marked the turning-point at which the protectorate of the Roman community degenerated into political tyrannizing over, and financial exaction from, the subject lands, the prompt and brilliant restoration of Carthage and Corinth marked the foundation of the new great commonwealth which was to train up all the regions on the Mediterranean to national and political equality, to union in a genuine state. Well might Caesar bestow on the city of Corinth in addition to its far-famed ancient name the new one of "Honour to Julius" (LAVS JVLII).

Organiza-
tion of
the new
empire.

While thus the new united empire was furnished with a national character, which doubtless necessarily lacked individuality and was rather an inanimate product of art than a fresh growth of nature, it further had need of unity in those institutions which express the general life of nations—in constitution and administration, in religion and jurisprudence, in money, measures, and weights; as to which, of course, local diversities of the most varied character were quite compatible with essential union. In all these departments we can only speak of the initial steps, for the thorough formation of the monarchy of Caesar into an unity was the work of the future, and all that he did was to lay the foundation for the building of centuries. But of the lines, which the great man drew in these departments, several can still

be recognized; and it is more pleasing to follow him here, than in the task of building from the ruins of the nationalities.

As to constitution and administration, we have already noticed elsewhere the most important elements of the new unity—the transition of the sovereignty from the municipal council of Rome to the sole master of the Mediterranean monarchy ; the conversion of that municipal council into a supreme imperial council representing Italy and the provinces; above all, the transference—now commenced—of the Roman, and generally of the Italian, municipal organization to the provincial communities. This latter course—the bestowal of Latin, and thereafter of Roman, rights on the communities ripe for full admission to the united state—gradually of itself brought about uniform communal arrangements. In one respect alone this process could not be waited for. The new empire needed immediately an institution which should place before the government at a glance the principal bases of administration—the proportions of population and property in the different communities—in other words an improved census. First the census of Italy was reformed. According to Caesar's ordinance¹—which probably, indeed, only carried out the arrangements which were, at least as to principle, adopted in consequence of the Social war—in future, when a census took place in the Roman community, there were to be simultaneously registered by the highest authority in each Italian community the name of every municipal burgess and that of his father or manumitter, his district, his age, and his property; and these lists were to be furnished to the Roman censor early enough to enable

Census
of the
empire,

¹ The continued subsistence of the municipal census-authorities speaks for the view, that the local holding of the census had already been established for Italy in consequence of the Social war (*Staatsrecht*, ii.³ 368); but probably the carrying out of this system was Caesar's work.

him to complete in proper time the general list of Roman burgesses and of Roman property. That it was Caesar's intention to introduce similar institutions also in the provinces is attested partly by the measurement and survey of the whole empire ordered by him, partly by the nature of the arrangement itself; for it in fact furnished the general instrument appropriate for procuring, as well in the Italian as in the non-Italian communities of the state, the information requisite for the central administration. Evidently here too it was Caesar's intention to revert to the traditions of the earlier republican times, and to reintroduce the census of the empire, which the earlier republic had effected — essentially in the same way as Caesar effected the Italian — by analogous extension of the institution of the urban censorship with its set terms and other essential rules to all the subject communities of Italy and Sicily (ii. 58, 211). This had been one of the first institutions which the torpid aristocracy allowed to drop, and in this way deprived the supreme administrative authority of any view of the resources in men and taxation at its disposal and consequently of all possibility of an effective control (hi. 34). The indications still extant, and the very connection of things, show irrefragably that Caesar made preparations to renew the general census that had been obsolete for centuries.

Religion
of the
empire.

We need scarcely say that in religion and in jurisprudence no thorough levelling could be thought of; yet with all toleration towards local faiths and municipal statutes the new state needed a common worship corresponding to the Italo-Hellenic nationality and a general code of law superior to the municipal statutes. It needed them ; for *de facto* both were already in existence. In the field of religion men had for centuries been busied in fusing together the Italian and Hellenic worships partly by external adoption, partly by internal adjustment of their respective conceptions

of the gods; and owing to the pliant formless character of the Italian gods, there had been no great difficulty in resolving Jupiter into Zeus, Venus into Aphrodite, and so every essential idea of the Latin faith into its Hellenic counterpart. The Italo-Hellenic religion stood forth in its outlines ready-made; how much in this very department men were conscious of having gone beyond the specifically Roman point of view and advanced towards an Italo-Hellenic quasi-nationality, is shown by the distinction made in the already-mentioned theology of Varro between the "common" gods, that is, those acknowledged by Romans and Greeks, and the special gods of the Roman community.

So far as concerns the field of criminal and police law, where the government more directly interferes and the necessities of the case are substantially met by a judicious legislation, there was no difficulty in attaining, in the way of legislative action, that degree of material uniformity which certainly was in this department needful for the unity of the empire. In the civil law again, where the initiative belongs to commercial intercourse and merely the formal shape to the legislator, the code for the united empire, which the legislator certainly could not have created, had been already long since developed in a natural way by commercial intercourse itself. The Roman urban law was still indeed legally based on the embodiment of the Latin national law contained in the Twelve Tables. Later laws had doubtless introduced various improvements of detail suited to the times, among which the most important was probably the abolition of the old inconvenient mode of commencing a process through standing forms of declaration by the parties (i. 202) and the substitution of an instruction drawn up in writing by the presiding magistrate for the single jurymen (*formula*): but in the main the popular legislation had only piled upon that venerable foundation an endless chaos of special laws

Law of the
empire.

long since in great part antiquated and forgotten, which can only be compared to the English statute-law. The attempts to impart to them scientific shape and system had certainly rendered the tortuous paths of the old civil law accessible, and thrown light upon them (iv. 252) ; but no Roman Blackstone could remedy the fundamental defect, that an urban code composed four hundred years ago with its equally diffuse and confused supplements was now to serve as the law of a great state.

The new
urban law
or the
edict.

Commercial intercourse provided for itself a more thorough remedy. The lively intercourse between Romans and non-Romans had long ago developed in Rome an international private law (*ius gentium*; i. 200), that is to say, a body of maxims especially relating to commercial matters, according to which Roman judges pronounced judgment, when a cause could not be decided either according to their own or any other national code and they were compelled—setting aside the peculiarities of Roman, Hellenic, Phœnician and other law—to revert to the common views of right underlying all dealings. The formation of the newer law attached itself to this basis. In the first place as a standard for the legal dealings of Roman burgesses with each other, it *de facto* substituted for the old urban law, which had become practically useless, a new code based in substance on a compromise between the national law of the Twelve Tables and the international law or so-called law of nations. The former was essentially adhered to, though of course with modifications suited to the times, in the law of marriage, family, and inheritance; whereas in all regulations which concerned dealings with property, and consequently in reference to ownership and contracts, the international law was the standard; in these matters indeed various important arrangements were borrowed even from local provincial law, such as the legislation as to usury (p. 401), and the institution of *hypotheca*.

Through whom, when, and how this comprehensive innovation came into existence, whether at once or gradually, whether through one or several authors, are questions to which we cannot furnish a satisfactory answer. We know only that this reform, as was natural, proceeded in the first instance from the urban court; that it first took formal shape in the instructions annually issued by the *praetor urbanus*, when entering on office, for the guidance of the parties in reference to the most important maxims of law to be observed in the judicial year then beginning (*edictum annum* or *perpetuum praetoris urbani de iuris dictione*); and that, although various preparatory steps towards it may have been taken in earlier times, it certainly only attained its completion in this epoch. The new code was theoretic and abstract, inasmuch as the Roman view of law had therein divested itself of such of its national peculiarities as it had become aware of; but it was at the same time practical and positive, inasmuch as it by no means faded away into the dim twilight of general equity or even into the pure nothingness of the so-called law of nature, but was applied by definite functionaries for definite concrete cases according to fixed rules, and was not merely capable of, but had already essentially received, a legal embodiment in the urban edict. This code moreover corresponded in matter to the wants of the time, in so far as it furnished the more convenient forms required by the increase of intercourse for legal procedure, for acquisition of property, and for conclusion of contracts. Lastly, it had already in the main become subsidiary law throughout the compass of the Roman empire, inasmuch as—while the manifold local statutes were retained for those legal relations which were not directly commercial, as well as for local transactions between members of the same legal district—dealings relating to property between subjects of the empire belonging to different legal districts were regulated throughout

after the model of the urban edict, though not applicable *de jure* to these cases, both in Italy and in the provinces. The law of the urban edict had thus essentially the same position in that age which the Roman law has occupied in our political development; this also is, so far as such opposites can be combined, at once abstract and positive; this also recommended itself by its (compared with the earlier legal code) flexible forms of intercourse, and took its place by the side of the local statutes as universal subsidiary law. But the Roman legal development had an essential advantage over ours in this, that the denationalized legislation appeared not, as with us, prematurely and by artificial birth, but at the right time and agreeably to nature.

Caesar's
project of
codifica-
tion.

Such was the state of the law as Caesar found it. If he projected the plan for a new code, it is not difficult to say what were his intentions. This code could only comprehend the law of Roman burgesses, and could be a general code for the empire merely so far as a code of the ruling nation suitable to the times could not but of itself become general subsidiary law throughout the compass of the empire. In criminal law, if the plan embraced this at all, there was needed only a revision and adjustment of the Sullan ordinances. In civil law, for a state whose nationality was properly humanity, the necessary and only possible formal shape was to invest that urban edict, which had already spontaneously grown out of lawful commerce, with the security and precision of statute-law. The first step
67. towards this had been taken by the Cornelian law of 687, when it enjoined the judge to keep to the maxims set forth at the beginning of his magistracy and not arbitrarily to administer other law (iv. 457)—a regulation, which may well be compared with the law of the Twelve Tables, and which became almost as significant for the fixing of the later urban law as that collection for the fixing of the earlier.

But although after the Cornelian decree of the people the edict was no longer subordinate to the judge, but the judge was by law subject to the edict; and though the new code had practically dispossessed the old urban law in judicial usage as in legal instruction—every urban judge was still free at his entrance on office absolutely and arbitrarily to alter the edict, and the law of the Twelve Tables with its additions still always outweighed formally the urban edict, so that in each individual case of collision the antiquated rule had to be set aside by arbitrary interference of the magistrates, and therefore, strictly speaking, by violation of formal law. The subsidiary application of the urban edict in the court of the *praetor peregrinus* at Rome and in the different provincial judicatures was entirely subject to the arbitrary pleasure of the individual presiding magistrates. It was evidently necessary to set aside definitely the old urban law, so far as it had not been transferred to the newer, and in the case of the latter to set suitable limits to its arbitrary alteration by each individual urban judge, possibly also to regulate its subsidiary application by the side of the local statutes. This was Caesar's design, when he projected the plan for his code; for it could not have been otherwise. The plan was not executed; and thus that troublesome state of transition in Roman jurisprudence was perpetuated till this necessary reform was accomplished six centuries afterwards, and then but imperfectly, by one of the successors of Caesar, the Emperor Justinian.

Lastly, in money, measures, and weights the substantial equalization of the Latin and Hellenic systems had long been in progress. It was very ancient so far as concerned the definitions of weight and the measures of capacity and of length indispensable for trade and commerce (i. 263/), and in the monetary system little more recent than the introduction of the silver coinage (iii. 87). But these older equations were not sufficient, because in the Hellenic

world itself the most varied metrical and monetary systems subsisted side by side; it was necessary, and formed part doubtless of Caesar's plan, now to introduce everywhere in the new united empire, so far as this had not been done already, Roman money, Roman measures, and Roman weights in such a manner that they alone should be reckoned by in official intercourse, and that the non-Roman systems should be restricted to local currency or placed in a—once for all regulated—ratio to the Roman.¹ The action of Caesar, however, can only be pointed out in two of the most important of these departments, the monetary system and the calendar.

Gold coin
as imperial
currency.

The Roman monetary system was based on the two precious metals circulating side by side and in a fixed relation to each other, gold being given and taken according to weight,² silver in the form of coin; but practically in consequence of the extensive transmarine intercourse the gold far preponderated over the silver. Whether the acceptance of Roman silver money was not even at an earlier period obligatory throughout the empire, is uncertain; at any rate uncoined gold essentially supplied the place of imperial money throughout the Roman territory, the more so as the Romans had prohibited the coining of gold in all the provinces and client-states, and the *denarius* had, in addition to Italy, *de jure* or *de facto* naturalized itself in Cisalpine Gaul, in Sicily, in Spain and various other places, especially in the west (iv. 180). But the imperial coinage begins with Caesar. Exactly like Alexander, he

¹ Weights recently brought to light at Pompeii suggest the hypothesis that at the commencement of the imperial period alongside of the Roman pound the Attic mina (presumably in the ratio of 3 : 4) passed current as a second imperial weight (*Hermes*, xvi. 311).

² The gold pieces, which Sulla (iv. 179) and contemporarily Pompeius caused to be struck, both in small quantity, do not invalidate this proposition; for they probably came to be taken solely by weight just like the golden Phillippei which were in circulation even down to Caesar's time. They are certainly remarkable, because they anticipate the Caesarian imperial gold just as Sulla's regency anticipated the new monarchy.

marked the foundation of the new monarchy embracing the civilized world by the fact that the only metal forming an universal medium obtained the first place in the coinage. The greatness of the scale on which the new Caesarian gold piece (20s. 7d. according to the present value of the metal) was immediately coined, is shown by the fact that in a single treasure buried seven years after Caesar's death 80,000 of these pieces were found together. It is true that financial speculations may have exercised a collateral influence in this respect.¹ As to the silver money, the exclusive rule of the Roman *denarius* in all the west, for which the foundation had previously been laid, was finally established by Caesar, when he definitively closed the only Occidental mint that still competed in silver currency with the Roman, that of Massilia. The coining of silver or copper small money was still permitted to a number of Occidental communities; three-quarter *denarii* were struck by some Latin communities of southern Gaul, half *denarii* by several cantons in northern Gaul, copper small coins in various instances even after Caesar's time by communes of the west; but this small money was throughout coined after the Roman standard, and its acceptance moreover was probably obligatory only in focal dealings. Caesar does not seem any more than the earlier government to have contemplated the regulation with a view to unity of the monetary system of the east, where great masses of coarse silver money—much of which too easily admitted of being debased or worn away—and to some extent even, as in Egypt, a copper coinage akin to our paper money

¹ It appears, namely, that in earlier times the claims of the state-creditors payable in silver could not be paid against their will in gold according to its legal ratio to silver; whereas it admits of no doubt, that from Caesar's time the gold piece had to be taken as a valid tender for 100 silver sesterces. This was just at that time the more important, as in consequence of the great quantities of gold put into circulation by Caesar it stood for a time in the currency of trade 25 per cent below the legal ratio.

were in circulation, and the Syrian commercial cities would have felt very severely the want of their previous national coinage corresponding to the Mesopotamian currency. We find here subsequently the arrangement that the *denarius* has everywhere legal currency and is the only medium of official reckoning,¹ while the local coins have legal currency within their limited range but according to a tariff unfavourable for them as compared with the *denarius*? This was probably not introduced all at once, and in part perhaps may have preceded Caesar; but it was at any rate the essential complement of the Caesarian arrangement as to the imperial coinage, whose new gold piece found its immediate model in the almost equally heavy coin of Alexander and was doubtless calculated especially for circulation in the east.

Reform
of the
calendar.

Of a kindred nature was the reform of the calendar. The republican calendar, which strangely enough was still the old decemviral calendar—an imperfect adoption of the *octaetcris* that preceded Meton (ii. 216)—had by a combination of wretched mathematics and wretched administration come to anticipate the true time by 67 whole days, so that *e.g.* the festival of Flora was celebrated on the *n*th July instead of the 28th April. Caesar finally removed this evil, and with the help of the Greek mathematician Sosigenes introduced the Italian farmer's year regulated according to the Egyptian calendar of Eudoxus, as well as a rational system of intercalation, into religious and official use; while at the same time the beginning of the year on

¹ There is probably no inscription of the Imperial period, which specifies sums of money otherwise than in Roman coin.

² Thus the Attic *drachma*, although sensibly heavier than the *denarius*, was yet reckoned equal to it; the *tetradrachmon* of Antioch, weighing on an average 15 grammes of silver, was made equal to 3 Roman *denarii*, which only weigh about 12 grammes; the *cistophorus* of Asia Minor was according to the value of silver above 3, according to the legal tariff = $2\frac{1}{2}$ *denarii*; the Rhodian half *drachma* according to the value of silver = $\frac{3}{4}$, according to the legal tariffs of a *denarius*, and so on.

the 1st March of the old calendar was abolished, and the date of the 1st January—fixed at first as the official term for changing the supreme magistrates and, in consequence of this, long since prevailing in civil life—was assumed also as the calendar-period for commencing the year. Both changes came into effect on the 1st January 709, and along with them the use of the Julian calendar so named after its author, which long after the fall of the monarchy of Caesar remained the regulative standard of the civilized world and in the main is so still. By way of explanation there was added in a detailed edict a star-calendar derived from the Egyptian astronomical observations and transferred—not indeed very skilfully—to Italy, which fixed the rising and setting of the stars named according to days of the calendar.¹ In this domain also the Roman and Greek worlds were thus placed on a par. 46.

Such were the foundations of the Mediterranean monarchy of Caesar. For the second time in Rome the social question had reached a crisis, at which the antagonisms not only appeared to be, but actually were, in the form of their exhibition, insoluble and, in the form of their expression, irreconcilable. On the former occasion Rome had been saved by the fact that Italy was merged[#] in Rome and Rome in Italy, and in the new enlarged and altered home those old antagonisms were not reconciled, but fell into abeyance. Now Rome was once more saved by the fact that the countries of the Mediterranean were merged in it or became prepared for merging; the war between the Italian poor

Caesar and his works.

¹ The identity of this edict drawn up perhaps by Marcus Flavius (Macrob. *Sat.* i. 14, 2) and the alleged treatise of Caesar, *De Stellis*, is shown by the joke of Cicero (Plutarch, *Caes.* 59) that now the Lyre rises according to edict.

We may add that it was known even before Caesar that the solar year of 365 days 6 hours, which was the basis of the Egyptian calendar, and which he made the basis of his, was somewhat too long. The most exact calculation of the tropical year which the ancient world was acquainted with, that of Hipparchus, put it at 365 d. 5 b. 52' 12"; the true length is 365 d. 5 h. 48' 48".

and rich, which in the old Italy could only end with the destruction of the nation, had no longer a battle-field or a meaning in the Italy of three continents. The Latin colonies closed the gap which threatened to swallow up the Roman community in the fifth century; the deeper chasm of the seventh century was filled by the Transalpine and transmarine colonizations of Gaius Gracchus and Caesar. For Rome alone history not merely performed miracles, but also repeated its miracles, and twice cured the internal crisis, which in the state itself was incurable, by regenerating the state. There was doubtless much corruption in this regeneration ; as the union of Italy was accomplished over the ruins of the Samnite and Etruscan nations, so the Mediterranean monarchy built itself on the ruins of countless states and tribes once living and vigorous ; but it was a corruption out of which sprang a fresh growth, part of which remains green at the present day. What was pulled down for the sake of the new building, was merely the secondary nationalities which had long since been marked out for destruction by the levelling hand of civilization. Caesar, wherever he came forward as a destroyer, only carried out the pronounced verdict of historical development ; but he protected the germs of culture, where and as he found them, in his own land as well as among the sister nation of the Hellenes. He saved and renewed the Roman type; and not only did he spare the Greek type, but with the same self-relying genius with which he accomplished the renewed foundation of Rome he undertook also the regeneration of the Hellenes, and resumed the interrupted work of the great Alexander, whose image, we may well believe, never was absent from Caesar's soul. He solved these two great tasks not merely side by side, but the one by means of the other. The two great essentials of humanity—general and individual development, or state and culture—once in embryo united in those old Graeco-Italians

feeding their flocks in primeval simplicity far from the coasts and islands of the Mediterranean, had become dissevered when these were parted into Italians and Hellenes, and had thenceforth remained apart for many centuries. Now the descendant of the Trojan prince and the Latin king's daughter created out of a state without distinctive culture and a cosmopolitan civilization a new whole, in which state and culture again met together at the acme of human existence in the rich fulness of blessed maturity and worthily filled the sphere appropriate to such an union.

The outlines have thus been set forth, which Caesar drew for this work, according to which he laboured himself, and according to which posterity—for many centuries confined to the paths which this great man marked out—endeavoured to prosecute the work, if not with the intellect and energy, yet on the whole in accordance with the intentions, of the illustrious master. Little was finished; much even was merely begun. Whether the plan was complete, those who venture to vie in thought with such a man may decide; we observe no material defect in what lies before us—every single stone of the building enough to make a man immortal, and yet all combining to form one harmonious whole. Caesar ruled as king of Rome for five years and a half, not half as long as Alexander; in the intervals of seven great campaigns, which allowed him to stay not more than fifteen months altogether¹ in the capital of his empire, he regulated the destinies of the world for the present and the future, from the establishment of the boundary-line between civilization and barbarism down to the removal of the pools of rain in the streets of the capital, and yet retained time and composure enough attentively to follow the prize-pieces in the theatre and to confer the

¹ Caesar stayed in Rome in April and Dec. 705, on each occasion for a few days; from Sept. to Dec. 707; some four months in the autumn of the year of fifteen months 708, and from Oct. 709 to March 710.

49.
47.

46. 45. 44.

chaplet on the victor with improvised verses. The rapidity and self-precision with which the plan was executed prove that it had been long meditated thoroughly and all its parts settled in detail; but, even thus, they remain not much less wonderful than the plan itself. The outlines were laid down and thereby the new state was defined for all coming time; the boundless future alone could complete the structure. So far Caesar might say, that his aim was attained; and this was probably the meaning of the words which were sometimes heard to fall from him—that he had "lived enough." But precisely because the building was an endless one, the master as long as he lived restlessly added stone to stone, with always the same dexterity and always the same elasticity busy at his work, without ever overturning or postponing, just as if there were for him merely a to-day and no to-morrow. Thus he worked and created as never did any mortal before or after him; and as a worker and creator he still, after wellnigh two thousand years, lives in the memory of the nations—the first, and withal unique, Imperator Caesar.

CHAPTER XII

RELIGION, CULTURE, LITERATURE, AND ART

IN the development of religion and philosophy no new element appeared during this epoch. The Romano-Hellenic state-religion and the Stoic state-philosophy inseparably combined with it were for every government—oligarchy, democracy or monarchy—not merely a convenient instrument, but quite indispensable for the very reason that it was just as impossible to construct the state wholly without religious elements as to discover any new state-religion fitted to take the place of the old. So the besom of revolution swept doubtless at times very roughly through the cobwebs of the augural bird-lore (p. 111); nevertheless the rotten machine creaking at every joint survived the earthquake which swallowed up the republic itself, and preserved its insipidity and its arrogance without diminution for transference to the new monarchy. As a matter of course, it fell more and more into disfavour with all those who preserved their freedom of judgment. Towards the state-religion indeed public opinion maintained an attitude essentially indifferent; it was on all sides recognized as an institution of political convenience, and no one specially troubled himself about it with the exception of political and antiquarian literati. But towards its philosophical sister there gradually sprang up among the unprejudiced public that hostility, which the empty and yet per-

- fidious hypocrisy of set phrases never fails in the long run to awaken. That a presentiment of its own worthlessness began to dawn on the Stoa itself, is shown by its attempt artificially to infuse into itself some fresh spirit in the way of syncretism. Antiochus of Ascalon (flourishing about 79. 675), who professed to have patched together the Stoic and Platonic-Aristotelian systems into one organic unity, in reality so far succeeded that his misshapen doctrine became the fashionable philosophy of the conservatives of his time and was conscientiously studied by the genteel dilettanti and literati of Rome. Every one who displayed any intellectual vigour, opposed the Stoa or ignored it. It was principally antipathy towards the boastful and tiresome Roman Pharisees, coupled doubtless with the increasing disposition to take refuge from practical life in indolent apathy or empty irony, that occasioned during this epoch the extension of the system of Epicurus to a larger circle and the naturalization of the Cynic philosophy of Diogenes in Rome. However stale and poor in thought the former might be, a philosophy, which did not seek the way to wisdom through an alteration of traditional terms but contented itself with those in existence, and throughout recognized only the perceptions of sense as true, was always better than the terminological jingle and the hollow conceptions of the Stoic wisdom; and the Cynic philosophy was of all the philosophical systems of the times in so far by much the best, as its system was confined to the having no system at all and sneering at all systems and all systematizers. In both fields war was waged against the Stoa with zeal and success; for serious men, the Epicurean Lucretius preached with the full accents of heartfelt conviction and of holy zeal against the Stoical faith in the gods and providence and the Stoical doctrine of the immortality of the soul; for the great public ready to laugh, the Cynic Varro hit the mark still more sharply

with the flying darts of his extensively-read satires. While thus the ablest men of the older generation made war on the Stoa, the younger generation again, such as Catullus, stood in no inward relation to it at all, and passed a far sharper censure on it by completely ignoring it.

But, if in the present instance a faith no longer believed in was maintained out of political convenience, they amply made up for this in other respects. Unbelief and superstition, different hues of the same historical phenomenon, went in the Roman world of that day hand in hand, and there was no lack of individuals who in themselves combined both—who denied the gods with Epicurus, and yet prayed and sacrificed before every shrine. Of course only the gods that came from the east were still in vogue, and, as the men continued to flock from the Greek lands to Italy, so the gods of the east migrated in ever-increasing numbers to the west. The importance of the Phrygian cultus at that time in Rome is shown both by the polemical tone of the older men such as Varro and Lucretius, and by the poetical glorification of it in the fashionable Catullus, which concludes with the characteristic request that the goddess may deign to turn the heads of others only, and not that of the poet himself.

A fresh addition was the Persian worship, which is said to have first reached the Occidental through the medium of the pirates who met on the Mediterranean from the east and from the west; the oldest seat of this cultus in the west is stated to have been Mount Olympus in Lycia. That in the adoption of Oriental worships in the west such higher speculative and moral elements as they contained were generally allowed to drop, is strikingly evinced by the fact that Ahuramazda, the supreme god of the pure doctrine of Zarathustra, remained virtually unknown in the west, and adoration there was especially directed to that god who had occupied the first place in the old Persian national religion

Worship
of Mithra

and had been transferred by Zarathustra to the second—the sun-god Mithra.

Worship
of Isis.

- But the brighter and gentler celestial forms of the Persian religion did not so rapidly gain a footing in Rome as the wearisome mystical host of the grotesque divinities of Egypt—Isis the mother of nature with her whole train, the constantly dying and constantly reviving Osiris, the gloomy Sarapis, the taciturn and grave Harpocrates, the dog-headed Anubis. In the year when Clodius emancipated the clubs and conventicles (696), and doubtless in consequence of this very emancipation of the populace, that host even prepared to make its entry into the old stronghold of the Roman Jupiter in the Capitol, and it was with difficulty that the invasion was prevented and the inevitable temples were banished at least to the suburbs of Rome. No worship was equally popular among the lower orders of the population in the capital: when the senate ordered the temples of Isis constructed within the ring-wall to be pulled down, no labourer ventured to lay the first hand on them, and the consul Lucius Paullus was himself obliged to apply the first stroke of the axe (704); a wager might be laid, that the more loose any woman was, the more piously she worshipped Isis. That the casting of lots, the interpretation of dreams, and similar liberal arts supported their professors, was a matter of course. The casting of horoscopes was already a scientific pursuit; Lucius Tarutius of Firmum, a respectable and in his own way learned man, a friend of Varro and Cicero, with all gravity cast the nativity of kings Romulus and Numa and of the city of Rome itself, and for the edification of the credulous on either side confirmed by means of his Chaldaean and Egyptian wisdom the accounts of the Roman annals.

The new
Pythago-
reanism.

But by far the most remarkable phenomenon in this domain was the first attempt to mingle crude faith with

speculative thought, the first appearance of those tendencies, which we are accustomed to describe as Neo-Platonic, in the Roman world. Their oldest apostle there was Publius Nigidius Figulus, a Roman of rank belonging to the strictest section of the aristocracy, who filled the praetorship in 696 58. and died in 709 as a political exile beyond the bounds of 45. Italy. With astonishing copiousness of learning and still more astonishing strength of faith he created out of the most dissimilar elements a philosophico-religious structure, the singular outline of which he probably developed still more in his oral discourses than in his theological and physical writings. In philosophy, seeking deliverance from the skeletons of the current systems and abstractions, he recurred to the neglected fountain of the pre-Socratic philosophy, to whose ancient sages thought had still presented itself with sensuous vividness. The researches of physical science—which, suitably treated, afford even now so excellent a handle for mystic delusion and pious sleight of hand, and in antiquity with its more defective insight into physical laws lent themselves still more easily to such objects—played in this case, as may readily be conceived, a considerable part. His theology was based essentially on that strange medley, in which Greeks of a kindred spirit had intermingled Orphic and other very old or very new indigenous wisdom with Persian, Chaldaean, and Egyptian secret doctrines, and with which Figulus incorporated the quasi-results of the Tuscan investigation into nothingness and of the indigenous lore touching the flight of birds, so as to produce further harmonious confusion. The whole system obtained its consecration—political, religious, and national—from the name of Pythagoras, the ultra-conservative statesman whose supreme principle was "to promote order and to check disorder," the miracle-worker and necromancer, the primeval sage who was a native of Italy, who was interwoven even with the legendary

Nigidius
Figulus.

history of Rome, and whose statue was to be seen in the Roman Forum. As birth and death are kindred with each other, so—it seemed—Pythagoras was to stand not merely by the cradle of the republic as friend of the wise Numa and colleague of the sagacious mother Egeria, but also by its grave as the last protector of the sacred bird-lore. But the new system was not merely marvellous, it also worked marvels; Nigidius announced to the father of the subsequent emperor Augustus, on the very day when the latter was born, the future greatness of his son; nay the prophets conjured up spirits for the credulous, and, what was of more moment, they pointed out to them the places where their lost money lay. The new-and-old wisdom, such as it was, made a profound impression on its contemporaries; men of the highest rank, of the greatest learning, of the most solid ability, belonging to very different parties—the consul of 705, Appius Claudius, the learned Marcus Varro, the brave officer Publius Vatinius—took part in the citation of spirits, and it even appears that a police interference was necessary against the proceedings of these societies. These last attempts to save the Roman theology, like the kindred efforts of Cato in the field of politics, produce at once a comical and a melancholy impression; we may smile at the creed and its propagators, but still it is a grave matter when even able men begin to addict themselves to absurdity.

Training
of youth.

• The training of youth followed, as may naturally be supposed, the course of bilingual humane culture chalked out in the previous epoch, and the general culture also of the Roman world conformed more and more to the forms established for that purpose by the Greeks. Even the bodily exercises advanced from ball-playing, running, and fencing to the more artistically-developed Greek gymnastic contests; though there were not yet any public institutions for gymnastics, in the principal country-houses the *palaestra*

was already to be found by the side of the bath-rooms. The manner in which the cycle of general culture had changed in the Roman world during the course of a century, is shown by a comparison of the encyclopaedia of Cato (iii. 195) with the similar treatise of Varro "concerning the school-sciences." As constituent elements of non-professional culture, there appear in Cato the art of oratory, the sciences of agriculture, of law, of war, and of medicine; in Varro—according to probable conjecture—grammar, logic or dialectics, rhetoric, geometry, arithmetic, astronomy, music, medicine, and architecture. Consequently in the course of the seventh century the sciences of war, jurisprudence, and agriculture had been converted from general into professional studies. On the other hand in Varro the Hellenic training of youth appears already in all its completeness : by the side of the course of grammar, rhetoric, and philosophy, which had been introduced at an earlier period into Italy, we now find the course which had longer remained distinctively Hellenic, of geometry, arithmetic, astronomy, and music.¹ That astronomy more especially, which ministered, in the nomenclature of the stars, to the thoughtless erudite dilettantism of the age and, in its relations to astrology, to the prevailing religious delusions, was regularly and zealously studied by the youth in Italy, can be proved also otherwise; the astronomical didactic poems of Aratus, among all the works of Alexandrian literature, found earliest admittance into the instruction of Roman youth. To this Hellenic course there was added the study of medicine, which was retained from the older Roman instruction, and lastly that of architecture—indispensable to the genteel Roman of this period, who instead of cultivating the ground built houses and villas.

Sciences of
general
culture at
this period.

¹ These form, as is well known, the so-called seven liberal arts, which, with this distinction between the three branches of discipline earlier naturalized in Italy and the four subsequently received, maintained their position throughout the middle ages.

Greek instruction.

Alexandrinism.

In comparison with the previous epoch the Greek as well as the Latin training improved in extent and in scholastic strictness quite as much as it declined in purity and in refinement. The increasing eagerness after Greek lore gave to instruction of itself an erudite character. To explain Homer or Euripides was after all no art; teachers and scholars found their account better in handling the Alexandrian poems, which, besides, were in their spirit far more congenial to the Roman world of that day than the genuine Greek national poetry, and which, if they were not quite so venerable as the Iliad, possessed at any rate an age sufficiently respectable to pass as classics with schoolmasters. The love-poems of Euphron, the "Causes" of Callimachus and his "Ibis," the comically obscure "Alexandra" of Lycophron contained in rich abundance rare vocables (*glossae*) suitable for being extracted and interpreted, sentences laboriously involved and difficult of analysis, prolix digressions full of mystic combinations of antiquated myths, and generally a store of cumbersome erudition of all sorts. Instruction needed exercises more and more difficult; these productions, in great part model efforts of schoolmasters, were excellently adapted to be lessons for model scholars. Thus the Alexandrian poems took a permanent place in Italian scholastic instruction, especially as trial-themes, and certainly promoted knowledge, although at the expense of taste and of discretion. The same unhealthy appetite for culture moreover impelled the Roman youths to derive their Hellenism as much as possible from the fountain-head. The courses of the Greek masters in Rome sufficed only for a first start; every one who wished to be able to converse heard lectures on Greek philosophy at Athens, and on Greek rhetoric at Rhodes, and made a literary and artistic tour through Asia Minor, where most of the old art-treasures of the Hellenes were still to be found on the spot, and the cultivation of the fine arts had

been continued, although after a mechanical fashion; whereas Alexandria, more distant and more celebrated as the seat of the exact sciences, was far more rarely the point whither young men desirous of culture directed their travels.

The advance in Latin instruction was similar to that of Greek. This in part resulted from the mere reflex influence of the Greek, from which it in fact essentially borrowed its methods and its stimulants. Moreover, the relations of politics, the impulse to mount the orators* platform in the Forum which was imparted by the democratic doings to an ever-widening circle, contributed not a little to the diffusion and enhancement of oratorical exercises; "wherever one casts his eyes," says Cicero, "every place is full of rhetoricians." Besides, the writings of the sixth century, the farther they receded into the past, began to be more decidedly regarded as classical texts of the golden age of Latin literature, and thereby gave a greater preponderance to the instruction which was essentially concentrated upon them. Lastly the immigration and spreading of barbarian elements from many quarters and the incipient Latinizing of extensive Celtic and Spanish districts, naturally gave to Latin grammar and Latin instruction a higher importance than they could have had, so long as Latium only spoke Latin; the teacher of Latin literature had from the outset a different position in Comum and Narbo than he had in Praeneste and Ardea. Taken as a whole, culture was more on the wane than on the advance. The ruin of the Italian country towns, the extensive intrusion of foreign elements, the political, economic, and moral deterioration of the nation, above all, the distracting civil wars inflicted more injury on the language than all the schoolmasters of the world could repair. The closer contact with the Hellenic culture of the present, the more decided influence of the talkative Athenian wisdom and of the rhetoric of Rhodes and Asia Minor, supplied to the

Latin instruction

Roman youth just the very elements that were most pernicious in Hellenism. The propagandist mission which Latium undertook among the Celts, Iberians, and Libyans—proud as the task was—could not but have the like consequences for the Latin language as the Hellenizing of the east had had for the Hellenic. The fact that the Roman public of this period applauded the well arranged and rhythmically balanced periods of the orator, and any offence in language or metre cost the actor dear, doubtless shows that the insight into the mother tongue which was the reflection of scholastic training was becoming the common possession of an ever-widening circle. But at the same time contemporaries capable of judging complain that the Hellenic culture in Italy about 690 was at a far lower level than it had been a generation before; that opportunities of hearing pure and good Latin were but rare, and these chiefly from the mouth of elderly cultivated ladies; that the tradition of genuine culture, the good old Latin mother wit, the Lucilian polish, the cultivated circle of readers of the Scipionic age were gradually disappearing. The circumstance that the term *urbanitas*, and the idea of a polished national culture which it expressed, arose during this period, proves, not that it was prevalent, but that it was on the wane, and that people were keenly alive to the absence of this *urbanitas* in the language and the habits of the Latinized barbarians or barbarized Latins. Where we still meet with the urbane tone of conversation, as in Varro's Satires and Cicero's Letters, it is an echo of the old fashion which was not yet so obsolete in Reate and Arpinum as in Rome.

Germes of
state
training-
schools.

Thus the previous culture of youth remained substantially unchanged, except that—not so much from its own deterioration as from the general decline of the nation—it was productive of less good and more evil than in the preceding epoch. Caesar initiated a revolution also in this

department While the Roman senate had first combated and then at the most had simply tolerated culture, the government of the new Italo-Hellenic empire, whose essence in fact was *humanitas*, could not but adopt measures to stimulate it after the Hellenic fashion. If Caesar conferred the Roman franchise on all teachers of the liberal sciences and all the physicians of the capital, we may discover in this step a paving of the way in some degree for those institutions in which subsequently the higher bilingual culture of the youth of the empire was provided for on the part of the state, and which form the most significant expression of the new state of *humanitas*; and if Caesar had further resolved on the establishment of a public Greek and Latin library in the capital and had already nominated the most learned Roman of the age, Marcus Varro, as principal librarian, this implied unmistakably the design of connecting the cosmopolitan monarchy with cosmopolitan literature.

The development of the language during this period turned on the distinction between the classical Latin of cultivated society and the vulgar language of common life. The former itself was a product of the distinctively Italian culture; even in the Scipionic circle "pure Latin" had become the cue, and the mother tongue was spoken, no longer in entire *naweti*, but in conscious contradistinction to the language of the great multitude. This epoch opens with a remarkable reaction against the classicism which had hitherto exclusively prevailed in the higher language of conversation and accordingly also in literature—a reaction which had inwardly and outwardly a close connection with the reaction of a similar nature in the language of Greece. Just about this time the rhetor and romance-writer Hegesias of Magnesia and the numerous rhetors and literati of Asia Minor who attached themselves to him began to rebel against the orthodox Atticism. They demanded full

The
vulgarism
of Asia
Minor.

recognition for the language of life, without distinction, whether the word or the phrase originated in Attica or in Caria and Phrygia; they themselves spoke and wrote not for the taste of learned cliques, but for that of the great public. There could not be much objection to the principle; only, it is true, the result could not be better than was the public of Asia Minor of that day, which had totally lost the taste for chasteness and purity of production, and longed only after the showy and brilliant. To say nothing of the spurious forms of art that sprang out of this tendency—especially the romance and the history assuming the form of romance—the very style of these Asiatics was, as may readily be conceived, abrupt and without modulation and finish, minced and effeminate, full of tinsel and bombast, thoroughly vulgar and affected; "any one who knows Hegesias," says Cicero, "knows what silliness is."

Roman
vulgarism.

Yet this new style found its way also into the Latin world. When the Hellenic fashionable rhetoric, after having at the close of the previous epoch obtruded into the Latin instruction of youth (iv. 214), took at the beginning of the present period the final step and mounted the Roman orators' platform in the person of Quintus Hortensius (640-704), the most celebrated pleader of the Sullan age, it adhered closely even in the Latin idiom to the bad Greek taste of the time; and the Roman public, no longer having the pure and chaste culture of the Scipionic age, naturally applauded with zeal the innovator who knew how to give to vulgarism the semblance of an artistic performance. This was of great importance. As in Greece the battles of language were always waged at first in the schools of the rhetoricians, so in Rome the forensic oration to a certain extent even more than literature set the standard of style, and accordingly there was combined, as it were of right, with the leadership of the bar the prerogative of giving the tone to the fashion-

Hortensius.
114-60.

able mode of speaking and writing. The Asiatic vulgarism of Hortensius thus dislodged classicism from the Roman platform and partly also from literature. But the fashion soon changed once more in Greece and in Rome. In the former it was the Rhodian school of rhetoricians, which, without reverting to all the chaste severity of the Attic style, attempted to strike out a middle course between it and the modern fashion: if the Rhodian masters were not too particular as to the internal correctness of their thinking and speaking, they at least insisted on purity of language and style, on the careful selection of words and phrases, and the giving thorough effect to the modulation of sentences.

Reaction.

The
Rhodian
school

In Italy it was Marcus Tullius Cicero (648-711) who, after having in his early youth gone along with the Hortensian manner, was brought by hearing the Rhodian masters and by his own more matured taste to better paths, and thenceforth addicted himself to strict purity of language and the thorough periodic arrangement and modulation of his discourse. The models of language, which in this respect he followed, he found especially in those circles of the higher Roman society which had suffered but little or not at all from vulgarism; and, as was already said, there were still such, although they were beginning to disappear. The earlier Latin and the good Greek literature, however considerable was the influence of the latter more especially on the rhythm of his oratory, were in this matter only of secondary moment: this purifying of the language was by no means a reaction of the language of books against that of conversation, but a reaction of the language of the really cultivated against the jargon of spurious and partial culture. Caesar, in the department of language also the greatest master of his time, expressed the fundamental idea of Roman classicism, when he enjoined that in speech and writing every foreign word should be avoided, as rocks are avoided by the mariner; the poetical

Cicero-
nianism,
106-43.

and the obsolete word of the older literature was rejected as well as the rustic phrase or that borrowed from the language of common life, and more especially the Greek words and phrases which, as the letters of this period show, had to a very great extent found their way into conversational language. Nevertheless this scholastic and artificial classicism of the Ciceronian period stood to the Scipionic as repentance to innocence, or the French of the classicists under Napoleon to the model French of Molère and Boileau; while the former classicism had sprung out of the full freshness of life, the latter as it were caught just in right time the last breath of a race perishing beyond recovery. Such as it was, it rapidly diffused itself. With the leadership of the bar the dictatorship of language and taste passed from Hortensius to Cicero, and the varied and copious authorship of the latter gave to this classicism—what it had hitherto lacked—extensive prose texts. Thus Cicero became the creator of the modern classical Latin prose, and Roman classicism attached itself throughout and altogether to Cicero as a stylist; it was to the stylist Cicero, not to the author, still less to the statesman, that the panegyrics—extravagant yet not made up wholly of verbiage—applied, with which the most gifted representatives of classicism, such as Caesar and Catullus, loaded him.

The new
Roman
poetry.

They soon went farther. What Cicero did in prose, was carried out in poetry towards the end of the epoch by the new Roman school of poets, which modelled itself on the Greek fashionable poetry, and in which the man of most considerable talent was Catullus. Here too the higher language of conversation dislodged the archaic reminiscences which hitherto to a large extent prevailed in this domain, and as Latin prose submitted to the Attic rhythm, so Latin poetry submitted gradually to the strict or rather painful metrical laws of the Alexandrines; *e.g.* from the time of Catullus, it is no longer allowable at once

to begin a verse and to close a sentence begun in the verge preceding with a monosyllabic word or a dissyllabic one not specially weighty.

At length science stepped in, fixed the law of language, and developed its rule, which was no longer determined on the basis of experience, but made the claim to determine experience. The endings of declension, which hitherto had in part been variable, were now to be once for all fixed ; e.g. of the genitive and dative forms hitherto current side by side in the so-called fourth declension (*senatuis* and *senates*, *senatui* and *senatu*) Caesar recognized exclusively as valid the contracted forms (*us* and *u*). In orthography various changes were made, to bring the written more fully into correspondence with the spoken language; thus the *u* in the middle of words like *maxumus* was replaced after Caesar's precedent by ***; and of the two letters which had become superfluous, *k* and *q*, the removal of the first was effected, and that of the second was at least proposed. The language was, if not yet stereotyped, in the course of becoming so \ it was not yet indeed unthinkingly dominated by rule, but it had already become conscious of it. That this action in the department of Latin grammar derived generally its spirit and method from the Greek, and not only so, but that the Latin language was also directly rectified in accordance with Greek precedent, is shown, for example, by the treatment of the final *s*, which till towards the close of this epoch had at pleasure passed sometimes as a consonant, sometimes not as one, but was treated by the new-fashioned poets throughout, as in Greek, as a consonantal termination. This regulation of language is the proper domain of Roman classicism; in the most various ways, and for that very reason all the more significantly, the rule is inculcated and the offence against it rebuked by the coryphaei of classicism, by Cicero, by Caesar, even in the poems of Catullus; whereas the older

Grammat.
cal science

generation expresses itself with natural keenness of feeling respecting the revolution which had affected the field of language as remorselessly as the field of politics.¹ But while the new classicism—that is to say, the standard Latin governed by rule and as far as possible placed on a parity with the standard Greek—which arose out of a conscious reaction against the vulgarism intruding into higher society and even into literature, acquired literary fixity and systematic shape, the latter by no means evacuated the field. Not only do we find it naively employed in the works of secondary personages who have drifted into the ranks of authors merely by accident, as in the account of Caesar's second Spanish war, but we shall meet it also with an impress more or less distinct in literature proper, in the mime, in the semi-romance, in the aesthetic writings of Varro; and it is a significant circumstance, that it maintains itself precisely in the most national departments of literature, and that truly conservative men, like Varro, take it into protection. Classicism was based on the death of the Italian language as monarchy on the decline of the Italian nation; it was completely consistent that the men, in whom the republic was still living, should continue to give to the living language its rights, and for the sake of its comparative vitality and nationality should tolerate its aesthetic defects. Thus then the linguistic opinions and tendencies of this epoch are everywhere divergent; by the side of the old-fashioned poetry of Lucretius appears the thoroughly modern poetry of Catullus, by the side of Cicero's well-modulated period stands the sentence of Varro intentionally disdaining all subdivision. In this field likewise is mirrored the distraction of the age.

Literary
effort.

In the literature of this period we are first of all struck by the outward increase, as compared with the former

¹ Thus Varro (*De R. R.* i. 2) says: *ab aeditimo, ut dicere didicimus a patribus nostris ; ut corrigimur ab receniibus urbanis, ab atdituo.*

epoch, of literary effort in Rome. It was long since the literary activity of the Greeks flourished no more in the free atmosphere of civic independence, but only in the scientific institutions of the larger cities and especially of the courts. Left to depend on the favour and protection of the great, and dislodged from the former seats of the Muses¹ by the extinction of the dynasties of Pergamus (621), Cyrene (658), Bithynia (679), and Syria (690) and by the waning splendour of the court of the Lagids—moreover, since the death of Alexander the Great, necessarily cosmopolitan and at least quite as much strangers among the Egyptians and Syrians as among the Latins—the Hellenic literati began more and more to turn their eyes towards Rome. Among the host of Greek attendants with which the Roman of quality at this time surrounded himself, the philosopher, the poet, and the memoir-writer played conspicuous parts by the side of the cook, the boy-favourite, and the jester. We meet already literati of note in such positions; the Epicurean Philodemus, for instance, was installed as domestic philosopher with Lucius Piso consul in 696, and occasionally edified the initiated with his clever epigrams on the coarse-grained Epicureanism of

Greek
literati
in Rome

133. 96.
75. 64.

58.

¹ The dedication of the poetical description of the earth which passes under the name of Scymnus is remarkable in reference to those relations. After the poet has declared his purpose of preparing in the favourite Menandrian measure a sketch of geography intelligible for scholars and easy to be learned by heart, he dedicates—as Apollodorus dedicated his similar historical compendium to Attalus Philadelphus king of Pergamus

ἀθάνατον ἀπονέμοντα δόξαν Ἀττάλῳ
τῆς πραγματείας ἐπιγραφὴν εἰληφότι—

his manual to Nicomedes III. king (663?-679) of Bithynia:

ἐγὼ δ' ἀκούων, διότι τῶν νῦν βασιλέων
μῶνος βασιλικὴν χρηστότητα προσφέρει,
πείραν ἐπεθύμησ' αὐτὸς ἐπ' ἐμαντοῦ λαβεῖν
καὶ παραγενέσθαι καὶ τί βασιλεὺς ἐστ' ἰδεῖν.
διὸ τῇ προθέσει σύμβουλον ἐξελεξάμην
. . . τὸν Ἀπόλλωνα τὸν Διδυμῇ . . .
οὗ δὴ σχεδὸν μάλιστα καὶ πεπεισμένοι
πρὸς σὴν κατὰ λόγον ἦκα (καὶ ἦν γὰρ σχεδὸν
τοῖς φιλομαθοῦσιν ἀναδείδειχας) ἐστίν.

91-75.

- his patron. From all sides the most notable representatives of Greek art and science migrated in daily-increasing numbers to Rome, where literary gains were now more abundant than anywhere else. Among those thus mentioned as settled in Rome we find the physician Asclepiades whom king Mithradates vainly endeavoured to draw away from it into his service; the universalist in learning, Alexander of Miletus, termed Polyhistor; the poet Parthenius from Nicaea in Bithynia; Posidonius of Apamea in Syria equally celebrated as a traveller, teacher, and
61. author, who at a great age migrated in 703 from Rhodes to Rome; and various others. A house like that of Lucius Lucullus was a seat of Hellenic culture and a rendezvous for Hellenic literati almost like the Alexandrian Museum; Roman resources and Hellenic connoisseurship had gathered in these halls of wealth and science an incomparable collection of statues and paintings of earlier and contemporary masters, as well as a library as carefully selected as it was magnificently fitted up, and every person of culture and especially every Greek was welcome there—the master of the house himself was often seen walking up and down the beautiful colonnade in philological or philosophical conversation with one of his learned guests. No doubt these Greeks brought along with their rich treasures of culture their preposterousness and servility to Italy; one of these learned wanderers for instance, the author of
54. the "Art of Flattery," Aristodemus of Nysa (about 700) recommended himself to his masters by demonstrating that Homer was a native of Rome !

**Extent of
the literary
pursuits
of the
Romans.**

In the same measure as the pursuits of the Greek literati prospered in Rome, literary activity and literary interest increased among the Romans themselves. Even Greek composition, which the stricter taste of the Scipionic age had totally set aside, now revived. The Greek language **was now** universally current, and a Greek treatise found a quite

different public from a Latin one \ therefore Romans of rank, such as Lucius Lucullus, Marcus Cicero, Titus Atticus, Quintus Scaevola (tribune of the people in 700), 51, like the kings of Armenia and Mauretania, published occasionally Greek prose and even Greek verses. Such Greek authorship however by native Romans remained a secondary matter and almost an amusement; the literary as well as the political parties of Italy all coincided in adhering to their Italian nationality, only more or less pervaded by Hellenism. Nor could there be any complaint at least as to want of activity in the field of Latin authorship. There was a flood of books and pamphlets of all sorts, and above all of poems, in Rome. Poets swarmed there, as they did only in Tarsus or Alexandria; poetical publications had become the standing juvenile sin of livelier natures, and even then the writer was reckoned fortunate whose youthful poems compassionate oblivion withdrew from criticism. Any one who understood the art, wrote without difficulty at a sitting his five hundred hexameters in which no schoolmaster found anything to censure, but no reader discovered anything to praise. The female world also took a lively part in these literary pursuits ; the ladies did not confine themselves to dancing and music, but by their spirit and wit ruled conversation and talked excellently on Greek and Latin literature; and, when poetry laid siege to a maiden's heart, the beleaguered fortress not seldom surrendered likewise in graceful verses. Rhythms became more and more the fashionable plaything of the big children of both sexes ; poetical epistles, joint poetical exercises and competitions among good friends, were of common occurrence, and towards the end of this epoch institutions were already opened in the capital, at which unfledged Latin poets might learn verse-making for money. In consequence of the large consumption of books the machinery for the manufacture of copies was substan-

tially perfected, and publication was effected with comparative rapidity and cheapness ; bookselling became a respectable and lucrative trade, and the bookseller's shop a usual meeting-place of men of culture. Reading had become a fashion, nay a mania; at table, where coarser pastimes had not already intruded, reading was regularly introduced, and any one who meditated a journey seldom forgot to pack up a travelling library. The superior officer was seen in the camp-tent with the obscene Greek romance, the statesman in the senate with the philosophical treatise, in his hands. Matters accordingly stood in the Roman state as they have stood and will stand in every state where the citizens read " from the threshold to the closet." The Parthian vizier was not far wrong, when he pointed out to the citizens of Seleucia the romances found in the camp of Crassus and asked them whether they still regarded the readers of such books as formidable opponents.

The
classicists
and the
moderns.

The literary tendency of this age was varied and could not be otherwise, for the age itself was divided between the old and the new modes. The same tendencies which came into conflict on the field of politics, the national-Italian tendency of the conservatives, the Hellenic-Italian or, if the term be preferred, cosmopolitan tendency of the new monarchy, fought their battles also on the field of literature. The former attached itself to the older Latin literature, which in the theatre, in the school, and in erudite research assumed more and more the character of classical. With less taste and stronger party tendencies than the Scipionic epoch showed, Ennius, Pacuvius, and especially Plautus were now exalted to the skies. The leaves of the Sibyl rose in price, the fewer they became; the relatively greater nationality and relatively greater productiveness of the poets of the sixth century were never more vividly felt than in this epoch of thoroughly developed

Epigonism, which in literature as decidedly as in politics looked up to the century of the Hannibalic warriors as to the golden age that had now unhappily passed away beyond recall. No doubt there was in this admiration of the old classics no small portion of the same hollowness and hypocrisy which are characteristic of the conservatism of this age in general; and here too there was no want of trimmers. Cicero for instance, although in prose one of the chief representatives of the modern tendency, revered nevertheless the older national poetry nearly with the same antiquarian respect which he paid to the aristocratic constitution and the augural discipline; "patriotism requires," we find him saying, "that we should rather read a notoriously wretched translation of Sophocles than the original." While thus the modern literary tendency cognate to the democratic monarchy numbered secret adherents enough even among the orthodox admirers of Ennius, there were not wanting already bolder judges, who treated the native literature as disrespectfully as the senatorial politics. Not only did they resume the strict criticism of the Scipionic epoch and set store by Terence only in order to condemn Ennius and still more the Ennianists, but the younger and bolder men went much farther and ventured already—though only as yet in heretical revolt against literary orthodoxy—to call Plautus a rude jester and Lucilius a bad verse-smith. This modern tendency attached itself not to the native authorship, but rather to the more recent Greek literature or the so-called Alexandrinism.

We cannot avoid saying at least so much respecting this remarkable winter-garden of Hellenic language and art, as is requisite for the understanding of the Roman literature of this and the later epochs. The Alexandrian literature was based on the decline of the pure Hellenic idiom, which from the time of Alexander the Great was superseded in daily life by an inferior jargon deriving its origin from the contact of

The Greek
Alexan-
drinism,

the Macedonian dialect with various Greek and barbarian tribes; or, to speak more accurately, the Alexandrian literature sprang out of the ruin of the Hellenic nation generally, which had to perish, and did perish, in its national individuality in order to establish the universal monarchy of Alexander and the empire of Hellenism. Had Alexander's universal empire continued to subsist, the former national and popular literature would have been succeeded by a cosmopolitan literature Hellenic merely in name, essentially denationalized and called into life in a certain measure by royal patronage, but at all events ruling the world ; but, as the state of Alexander was unhinged by his death, the germs of the literature corresponding to it rapidly perished. Nevertheless the Greek nation with all that it had possessed—with its nationality, its language, its art—belonged to the past. It was only in a comparatively narrow circle not of men of culture—for such, strictly speaking, no longer existed—but of men of erudition that the Greek literature was still cherished even when dead ; that the rich inheritance which it had left was inventoried with melancholy pleasure or arid refinement of research ; and that, possibly, the living sense of sympathy or the dead erudition was elevated into a semblance of productiveness. This posthumous productiveness constitutes the so-called Alexandrinism. It is essentially similar to that literature of scholars, which, keeping aloof from the living Romanic nationalities and their vulgar idioms, grew up during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries among a cosmopolitan circle of erudite philologues—as an artificial aftergrowth of the departed antiquity ; the contrast between the classical and the vulgar Greek of the period of the Diadochi is doubtless less strongly marked, but is not, properly speaking, different from that between the Latin of Manutius and the Italian of Macchiavelli.

Italy had hitherto been in the main disinclined towards

Alexandrinism. Its season of comparative brilliance was the period shortly before and after the first Punic war; yet Naevius, Ennius, Pacuvius and generally the whole body of the national Roman authors down to Varro and Lucretius in all branches of poetical production, not excepting even the didactic poem, attached themselves, not to their Greek contemporaries or very recent predecessors, but without exception to Homer, Euripides, Menander and the other masters of the living and national Greek literature. Roman literature was never fresh and national; but, as long as there was a Roman people, its authors instinctively sought for living and national models, and copied, if not always to the best purpose or the best authors, at least such as were original. The Greek literature originating after Alexander found its first Roman imitators—for the slight initial attempts from the Marian age (iv. 242) can scarcely be taken into account—among the contemporaries of Cicero and Caesar; and now the Roman Alexandrinism spread with singular rapidity. In part this arose from external causes. The increased contact with the Greeks, especially the frequent journeys of the Romans into the Hellenic provinces and the assemblage of Greek literati in Rome, naturally procured a public everf among the Italians for the Greek literature of the day, for the epic and elegiac poetry, epigrams, and Milesian tales current at that time in Greece. Moreover, as we have already stated (p. 450) the Alexandrian poetry had its established place in the instruction of the Italian youth; and thus reacted on Latin literature all the more, since the latter continued to be essentially dependent at all times on the Hellenic school-training. We find in this respect even a direct connection of the new Roman with the new Greek literature; the already-mentioned Parthenius, one of the better known Alexandrian elegists, opened, apparently about 700, a 64, school for literature and poetry in Rome, and the excerpts

The
Roman
Alexan-
drinism.

are still extant in which he supplied one of his pupils of rank with materials for Latin elegies of an erotic and mythological nature according to the well-known Alexandrian receipt. But it was by no means simply such accidental occasions which called into existence the Roman Alexandrinism; it was on the contrary a product—perhaps not pleasing, but thoroughly inevitable—of the political and national development of Rome. On the one hand, as Hellas resolved itself into Hellenism, so now Latium resolved itself into Romanism; the national development of Italy outgrew itself, and was merged in Caesar's Mediterranean empire, just as the Hellenic development in the eastern empire of Alexander. On the other hand, as the new empire rested on the fact that the mighty streams of Greek and Latin nationality, after having flowed in parallel channels for many centuries, now at length coalesced, the Italian literature had not merely as hitherto to seek its groundwork generally in the Greek, but had also to put itself on a level with the Greek literature of the present, or in other words with Alexandrinism. With the scholastic Latin, with the closed number of classics, with the exclusive circle of classic-reading *urbani*, the national Latin literature was dead and at an end; there arose instead of it a thoroughly degenerate, artificially fostered, imperial literature, which did not rest on any definite nationality, but proclaimed in two languages the universal gospel of humanity, and was dependent in point of spirit throughout and consciously on the old Hellenic, in point of language partly on this, partly on the old Roman popular, literature. This was no improvement. The Mediterranean monarchy of Caesar was doubtless a grand and—what is more—a necessary creation; but it had been called into life by an arbitrary superior will, and therefore there was nothing to be found in it of the fresh popular life, of the overflowing national vigour, which are characteristic of younger, more

limited, and more natural commonwealths, and which the Italian state of the sixth century had still been able to exhibit. The ruin of the Italian nationality, accomplished in the creation of Caesar, nipped the promise of literature. Every one who has any sense of the close affinity between art and nationality will always turn back from Cicero and Horace to Cato and Lucretius; and nothing but the schoolmaster's view of history and of literature—which has acquired, it is true, in this department the sanction of prescription—could have called the epoch of art beginning with the new monarchy pre-eminently the golden age. But while the Romano-Hellenic Alexandrinism of the age of Caesar and Augustus must be deemed inferior to the older, however imperfect, national literature, it is on the other hand as decidedly superior to the Alexandrinism of the age of the Diadochi as Caesar's enduring structure to the ephemeral creation of Alexander. We shall have afterwards to show that the Augustan literature, compared with the kindred literature of the period of the Diadochi, was far less a literature of philologues and far more an imperial literature than the latter, and therefore had a far more permanent and far more general influence in the upper circles of society than the Greek -Alexandrinism ever had.

Nowhere was the prospect more lamentable than in dramatic literature. Tragedy and comedy had already before the present epoch become inwardly extinct in the Roman national literature. New pieces were no longer performed. That the public still in the Sullan age expected to see such, appears from the reproductions—belonging to this epoch—of Plautine comedies with the titles and names of the persons altered, with reference to which the managers well added that it was better to see a good old piece than a bad new one. From this the step was not great to that entire surrender of the stage to the

Dramatic literature. Tragedy and comedy disappear

dead poets, which we find in the Ciceronian age, and to which Alexandrinism made no opposition. Its productiveness in this department was worse than none. Real dramatic composition the Alexandrian literature never knew; nothing but the spurious drama, which was written primarily for reading and not for exhibition, could be introduced by it into Italy, and soon accordingly these dramatic iambics began to be quite as prevalent in Rome as in Alexandria, and the writing of tragedy in particular began to figure among the regular diseases of adolescence. We may form a pretty accurate idea of the quality of these productions from the fact that Quintus Cicero, in order homoeopathically to beguile the weariness of winter quarters in Gaul, composed four tragedies in sixteen days.

The mime. In the "picture of life" or mime alone the last still vigorous product of the national literature, the Atellan farce, became engrafted with the ethological offshoots of Greek comedy, which Alexandrinism cultivated with greater poetical vigour and better success than any other branch of poetry. The mime originated out of the dances in character to the flute, which had long been usual, and which were performed sometimes on other occasions, *e.g.* for the entertainment of the guests during dinner, but more especially in the pit of the theatre during the intervals between the acts. It was not difficult to form out of these dances—in which the aid of speech had doubtless long since been occasionally employed—by means of the introduction of a more organized plot and a regular dialogue little comedies, which were yet essentially distinguished from the earlier comedy and even from the farce by the facts, that the dance and the lasciviousness inseparable from such dancing continued in this case to play a chief part, and that the mime, as belonging properly not to the boards but to the pit, threw aside all ideal scenic effects,

such as masks for the face and theatrical buskins, and—what was specially important—admitted of the female characters being represented by women. This new mime; which first seems to have come on the stage of the capital about 672, soon swallowed up the national harlequinade, 82. with which it indeed in the most essential respects coincided, and was employed as the usual interlude and especially as afterpiece along with the other dramatic performances.¹ The plot was of course still more indifferent, loose, and absurd than in the harlequinade; if it was only sufficiently chequered, the public did not ask why it laughed, and did not remonstrate with the poet, who instead of untying the knot cut it to pieces. The subjects were chiefly of an amorous nature, mostly of the licentious sort; for example, poet and public without exception took part against the husband, and poetical justice consisted in the derision of good morals. The artistic charm depended wholly, as in the *Atellana*, on the portraiture of the manners of common and low life; in which rural pictures are laid aside for those of the life and doings of the capital, and the sweet rabble of Rome—just as in the similar Greek pieces the rabble of Alexandria—is summoned to applaud its own likeness. Many subjects are taken from the life of tradesmen; there appear the—here also inevitable—"Fuller," then the "Ropemaker," the "Dyer," the "Saltman," the "Female Weavers," the "Rascal"; other pieces

¹ Cicero testifies that the mime in his time had taken the place of the *Atellana* (*Ad Fam.* ix. 16); with this accords the fact, that the *mimi* and *mimae* first appear about the Sullan epoch (*Ad Her.* i. 14, 24; ii. 13, 19; *Atta Fr.* 1 Ribbeck; *Plin. H. N.* vii. 48, 158; *Plutarch, Sul.* 2, 36). The designation *mimus*, however, is sometimes inaccurately applied to the comedian generally. Thus the *mimus* who appeared at the festival of Apollo in 542-543 (*Festus* under *salva res est*; comp. *Cicero, De Orat.* ii. 59, 242) was evidently nothing but an actor of the *pallida*, for there was at this period no room in the development of the Roman theatre for real mimes in the later sense. 212-211.

With the *mimus* of the classical Greek period—prose dialogues, in which *genre* pictures, particularly of a rural kind, were presented—the Roman *mimus* had no especial relation.

give sketches of character, as the "Forgetful," the "Braggart," the "Man of 100,000 sesterces";¹ or pictures of other lands, the "Etruscan Woman," the "Gauls," the "Cretan," "Alexandria"; or descriptions of popular festivals, as the "Compitalia," the "Saturnalia," "Anna Perenna," the "Hot Baths"; or parodies of mythology, as the "Voyage to the Underworld," the "Arvernian Lake." Apt nicknames and short commonplaces which were easily retained and applied were welcome; but every piece of nonsense was of itself privileged; in this preposterous world Bacchus is applied to for water and the fountain-nymph for wine. Isolated examples even of the political allusions formerly so strictly prohibited in the Roman theatre are found in these mimes.² As regards metrical form, these poets gave themselves, as they tell us, "but moderate trouble with the versification"; the language abounded, even in the pieces prepared for publication, with vulgar expressions and low newly-coined words. The mime was, it is plain, in substance nothing but the former farce; with this exception, that the character-masks and the standing scenery of Atella as well as the rustic impress are dropped, and in their room the life of the capital in its boundless liberty and licence is brought on the stage. Most pieces of this sort were doubtless of a very fugitive nature and made no pretension to a place in literature;

¹ With the possession of this sum, which constituted the qualification for the first voting-class and subjected the inheritance to the Voconian law, the boundary line was crossed which separated the men of slender means (*tenuiores*) from respectable people. Therefore the poor client of Catullus (xxiii. 26) beseeches the gods to help him to this fortune.

² In the "Descensus ad Inferos" of Laberius all sorts of people come forward, who have seen wonders and signs; to one there appeared a husband with two wives, whereupon a neighbour is of opinion that this is still worse than the vision, recently seen by a soothsayer in a dream, of six aediles. Caesar forsooth desired—according to the talk of the time—to introduce polygamy in Rome (Suetonius, *Caes.* 82) and he nominated in reality six aediles instead of four. One sees from this that Laberius understood how to exercise the fool's privilege and Caesar how to permit the fool's freedom.

but the mimes of Laberius, full of pungent delineation of character and in point of language and metre exhibiting the hand of a master, maintained their ground in it; and even the historian must regret that we are no longer permitted to compare the drama of the republican death-struggle in Rome with its great Attic counterpart. **Laberius.**

With the worthlessness of dramatic literature the increase of scenic spectacles and of scenic pomp went hand in hand. Dramatic representations obtained their regular place in the public life not only of the capital but also of the country towns; the former also now at length acquired by means of Pompeius a permanent theatre (699 ; see p. 117), and the Campanian custom of stretching canvas over the theatre for the protection of the actors and spectators during the performance, which in ancient times always took place in the open air, now likewise found admission to Rome (676). As at that time in Greece it was not the—more than pale—Pleiad of the Alexandrian dramatists, but the classic drama, above all the tragedies of Euripides, which amidst the amplest development of scenic resources kept the stage, so in Rome at the time of Cicero the tragedies of Ennius, Pacuvius, and Accius, and the comedies of Plautus were those chiefly produced. While the latter had been in the previous period supplanted by the more tasteful but in point of comic vigour far inferior Terence, Roscius and Varro, or in other words the theatre and philology, co-operated to procure for him a resurrection similar to that which Shakespeare experienced at the hands of Garrick and Johnson; but even Plautus had to suffer from the degenerate susceptibility and the impatient haste of an audience spoilt by the short and slovenly farces, so that the managers found themselves compelled to excuse the length of the Plautine comedies and even perhaps to make omissions and alterations. The more limited the stock of plays, the more the activity of the managing and **Dramatic spectacles.** 55.

executive staff as well as the interest of the public was directed to the scenic representation of the pieces. There was hardly any more lucrative trade in Rome than that of the actor and the dancing-girl of the first rank. The princely estate of the tragic actor Aesopus has been already mentioned (p. 384); his still more celebrated contemporary Roscius (iv. 236) estimated his annual income at 600,000 sesterces (£6000)¹ and Dionysia the dancer estimated hers at 200,000 sesterces (£2000). At the same time immense sums were expended on decorations and costume; now and then trains of six hundred mules in harness crossed the stage, and the Trojan theatrical army was employed to present to the public a tableau of the nations vanquished by Pompeius in Asia. The music which accompanied the delivery of the inserted choruses likewise obtained a greater and more independent importance; as the wind sways the waves, says Varro, so the skilful flute-player sways the minds of the listeners with every modulation of melody. It accustomed itself to the use of quicker time, and thereby compelled the player to more lively action. Musical and dramatic connoisseurship was developed; the *habitué* recognized every tune by the first note, and knew the texts by heart; every fault in the music or recitation was severely censured by the audience. The state of the Roman stage in the time of Cicero vividly reminds us of the modern French theatre. As the Roman mime corresponds to the loose tableaux of the pieces of the day, nothing being too good and nothing too bad for either the one or the other, so we find in both the same traditionally classic tragedy and comedy, which the man of culture is in duty bound to admire or at least to applaud. The multitude is satisfied, when it meets its own reflection

¹ He obtained from the state for every day on which he acted 1000 *denarii* (£40) and besides this the pay for his company. In later years he declined the *honorarium* for himself.

in the farce, and admires the decorative pomp and receives the general impression of an ideal world in the drama; the man of higher culture concerns himself at the theatre not with the piece, but only with its artistic representation. Moreover the Roman histrionic art oscillated in its different spheres, just like the French, between the cottage and the drawing-room. It was nothing unusual for the Roman dancing-girls to throw off at the finale the upper robe and to give a dance in undress for the benefit of the public; but on the other hand in the eyes of the Roman Talma the supreme law of his art was, not the truth of nature, but symmetry.

In recitative poetry metrical annals after the model of those of Ennius seem not to have been wanting; but they were perhaps sufficiently criticised by that graceful vow of his mistress of which Catullus sings—that the worst of the bad heroic poems should be presented as a sacrifice to holy Venus, if she would only bring back her lover from his vile political poetry to her arms. Metrical annals.

Indeed in the whole field of recitative poetry at this epoch the older national-Roman tendency is represented only by a single work of note, which, however, is altogether one of the most important poetical products of Roman literature. It is the didactic poem of Titus Lucretius Cams (655-699) "Concerning the Nature of Things," whose author, belonging to the best circles of Roman society, but taking no part in public life whether from weakness of health or from disinclination, died in the prime of manhood shortly before the outbreak of the civil war. As a poet he attached himself decidedly to Ennius and thereby to the classical Greek literature. Indignantly he turns away from the "hollow Hellenism" of his time, and professes himself with his whole soul and heart to be the scholar of the "chaste Greeks," as indeed even the sacred earnestness of Thucydides has found no unworthy echo in one of the best-known Lucretius. 99-55.

sections of this Roman poem. As Ennius draws his wisdom from Epicharmus and Euhemerus, so Lucretius borrows the form of his representation from Empedocles, "the most glorious treasure of the richly gifted Sicilian isle"; and, as to the matter, gathers "all the golden words together from the rolls of Epicurus," "who outshines other wise men as the sun obscures the stars." Like Ennius, Lucretius disdains the mythological lore with which poetry was overloaded by Alexandrinism, and requires nothing from his reader but a knowledge of the legends generally current.¹ In spite of the modern purism which rejected foreign words from poetry, Lucretius prefers to use, as Ennius had done, a significant Greek word in place of a feeble and obscure Latin one. The old Roman alliteration, the want of due correspondence between the pauses of the verse and those of the sentence, and generally the older modes of expression and composition, are still frequently found in Lucretius' rhythms, and although he handles the verse more melodiously than Ennius, his hexameters move not, as those of the modern poetical school, with a lively grace like the rippling brook, but with a stately slowness like the stream of liquid gold. Philosophically and practically also Lucretius leans throughout on Ennius, the only indigenous poet whom his poem celebrates. The confession of faith of the singer of Rudiae (iii. 175)—

*Ego deum genus esse semper dixi et dicam caelitum,
Sed eos nō curare opinor, quid agat humanum genus—*

describes completely the religious standpoint of Lucretius, and not unjustly for that reason he himself terms his poem as it were the continuation of Ennius :—

¹ Such an individual apparent exception as Panchaea the land of incense (ii. 417) is to be explained from the circumstance that this had passed from the romance of the Travels of Euhemerus already perhaps into the poetry of Ennius, at any rate into the poems of Lucius Manlius (iv. 242; Plin. H. N. x. 2, 4) and thence was well known to the public for which Lucretius wrote.

*Ennius ut noster cecinit, qui primus atnoeno
Detulit ex Helicone perenni fronde coronam,
Per gentis Italas hominum quae dara clueret.*

Once more—and for the last time—the poem of Lucretius is resonant with the whole poetic pride and the whole poetic earnestness of the sixth century, in which, amidst the images of the formidable Carthaginian and the glorious Scipiad, the imagination of the poet is more at home than in his own degenerate age.¹ To him too his own song "gracefully welling up out of rich feeling" sounds, as compared with the common poems, "like the brief song of the swan compared with the cry of the crane";—with him too the heart swells, listening to the melodies of its own invention, with the hope of illustrious honours—just as Ennius forbids the men to whom he "gave from the depth of the heart a foretaste of fiery song," to mourn at his, the immortal singer's, tomb.

It is a remarkable fatality, that this man of extraordinary talents, far superior in originality of poetic endowments to most if not to all his contemporaries, fell upon an age in which he felt himself strange and forlorn, and in consequence of this made the most singular mistake in the selection of a subject. The system of Epicurus, which converts the universe into a great vortex of atoms and undertakes to explain the origin and end of the world as well as all the problems of nature and of life in a purely mechanical way, was doubtless somewhat less silly than the conversion of myths into history which was attempted by Euhemerus and after him by Ennius; but it was not an ingenious or a fresh system, and the task of poetically unfolding this mechanical view of the world was of such a nature that never probably did poet expend life and art on

¹ This naively appears in the descriptions of war, in which the sea-storms that destroy armies, and the hosts of elephants that trample down those who are on their own side—pictures, that is, from the Punic wars—appear as if they belong to the immediate present. Comp. ii. 41 ; v. 1226, 1303, 1339.

a more ungrateful theme. The philosophic reader censures in the Lucretian didactic poem the omission of the finer points of the system, the superficiality especially with which controversies are presented, the defective division, the frequent repetitions, with quite as good reason as the poetical reader frets at the mathematics put into rhythm which makes a great part of the poem absolutely unreadable. In spite of these incredible defects, before which every man of mediocre talent must inevitably have succumbed, this poet might justly boast of having carried off from the poetic wilderness a new chaplet such as the Muses had not yet bestowed on any; and it was by no means merely the occasional similitudes, and the other inserted descriptions of mighty natural phenomena and yet mightier passions, which acquired for the poet this chaplet. The genius which marks the view of life as well as the poetry of Lucretius depends on his unbelief, which came forward and was entitled to come forward with the full victorious power of truth, and therefore with the full vigour of poetry, in opposition to the prevailing hypocrisy or superstition.

*Humana ante oculos foede cum vita iaceret
In terris oppressa gravi sub religione,
Quae caput a raeli regionibus ostendebat
Horribili super aspectu mortalibus instans,
Primum Graius homo mortalis tendere contra
Est oculos ausus primusque obsistere contra.
Ergo vivida vis animi pervicit, et extra
Processit longe flammantia moenia mundi
Atque omne immensum pefagravit tene animoque.*

The poet accordingly was zealous to overthrow the gods, as Brutus had overthrown the kings, and "to release nature from her stern lords." But it was not against the long ago enfeebled throne of Jovis that these flaming words were hurled; just like Ennius, Lucretius fights practically above all things against the wild foreign faiths and superstitions of the multitude, the worship of the Great Mother for instance and the childish lightning-lore of the Etruscans.

Horror and antipathy towards that terrible world in general, in which and for which the poet wrote, suggested his poem. It was composed in that hopeless time when the rule of the oligarchy had been overthrown and that of Caesar had not yet been established, in the sultry years during which the outbreak of the civil war was awaited with long and painful suspense. If we seem to perceive in its unequal and restless utterance that the poet daily expected to see the wild tumult of revolution break forth over himself and his work, we must not with reference to his view of men and things forget amidst what men, and in prospect of what things, that view had its origin. In the Hellas of the epoch before Alexander it was a current saying, and one profoundly felt by all the best men, that the best thing of all was not to be born, and the next best to die. Of all views of the world possible to a tender and poetically organized mind in the kindred Caesarian age this was the noblest and the most ennobling, that it is a benefit for man to be released from a belief in the immortality of the soul and thereby from the evil dread of death and of the gods which malignantly steals over men like terror creeping over children in a dark room; that, as the sleep of the night is more refreshing than the trouble of, the day, so death, eternal repose from all hope and fear, is better than life, as indeed the gods of the poet themselves are nothing, and have nothing, but an eternal blessed rest; that the pains of hell torment man, not after life, but during its course, in the wild and unruly passions of his throbbing heart; that the task of man is to attune his soul to equanimity, to esteem the purple no higher than the warm dress worn at home, rather to remain in the ranks of those that obey than to press into the confused crowd of candidates for the office of ruler, rather to lie on the grass beside the brook than to take part under the golden ceiling of the rich in emptying his countless dishes. This philosophico-practical tendency

is the true ideal essence of the Lucretian poem and is only overlaid, not choked, by all the dreariness of its physical demonstrations. Essentially on this rests its comparative wisdom and truth. The man who with a reverence for his great predecessors and a vehement zeal, to which this century elsewhere knew no parallel, preached such doctrine and embellished it with the charm of art, may be termed at once a good citizen and a great poet. The didactic poem concerning the Nature of Things, however much in it may challenge censure, has remained one of the most brilliant stars in the poorly illuminated expanse of Roman literature; and with reason the greatest of German philologues chose the task of making the Lucretian poem once more readable as his last and most masterly work.

**The
Hellenic
fashionable
poetry.**

Lucretius, although his poetical vigour as well as his art was admired by his cultivated contemporaries, yet remained — of late growth as he was — a master without scholars. In the Hellenic fashionable poetry on the other hand there was no lack at least of scholars, who exerted themselves to emulate the Alexandrian masters. With true tact the more gifted of the Alexandrian poets avoided larger works and the pure forms of poetry—the drama, the epos, the lyric; the most pleasing and successful performances consisted with them, just as with the new Latin poets, in "short-winded" tasks, and especially in such as belonged to the domains bordering on the pure forms of art, more especially to the wide field intervening between narrative and song. Multifarious didactic poems were written. Small half-heroic, half-erotic epics were great favourites, and especially an erudite sort of love-elegy peculiar to this autumnal summer of Greek poetry and characteristic of the philological source whence it sprang, in which the poet more or less arbitrarily interwove the description of his own feelings, predominantly sensuous, with epic shreds from the cycle of Greek legend. Festal lays were diligently and artfully

manufactured; in general, owing to the want of spontaneous poetical invention, the occasional poem preponderated and especially the epigram, of which the Alexandrians produced excellent specimens. The poverty of materials and the want of freshness in language and rhythm, which inevitably cleave to every literature not national, men sought as much as possible to conceal under odd themes, far-fetched phrases, rare words and artificial versification, and generally under the whole apparatus of philologico-antiquarian erudition and technical dexterity.

Such was the gospel which was preached to the Roman boys of this period, and they came in crowds to hear and to practise it; already (about 700) the love-poems of 54. Euphorion and similar Alexandrian poetry formed the ordinary reading and the ordinary pieces for declamation of the cultivated youth.¹ The literary revolution took place; but it yielded in the first instance with rare exceptions only premature or unripe fruits. The number of the "new-fashioned poets" was legion, but poetry was rare and Apollo was compelled, as always when so many throng towards Parnassus, to make very short work. The long poems never were worth anything, the short ones seldom. Even in this literary age the poetry of the day had become a public nuisance; it sometimes happened that one's friend would send home to him by way of mockery as a festal present a pile of trashy verses fresh from the bookseller's shop, whose value was at once betrayed by the elegant binding and the smooth paper. A real public, in the sense in which national literature has a public, was wanting to the Roman Alexandrians as well as to the Hellenic;

¹ "No doubt," says Cicero (*Tusc.* iii. 19, 45) in reference to Ennius, "the glorious poet is despised by our reciters of Euphorion." "I have safely arrived," he writes to Atticus (vii. 2 *init.*), "as a most favourable north wind blew for us across from Epirus. This spondaic line you may, if you choose, sell to one of the new-fashioned poets as your own" (*ita belle nobis flavit ab Epiro lenisstmus Onchesmites. Hunc σπονδαῖον ἄνθρωποι si cut voles τῶν νεωτέρων pro tuo vendito*).

it was thoroughly the poetry of a clique or rather cliques, whose members clung closely together, abused intruders, read and criticised among themselves the new poems, sometimes also quite after the Alexandrian fashion celebrated the successful productions in fresh verses, and variously sought to secure for themselves by clique-praises a spurious and ephemeral renown. A notable teacher of Latin literature, himself poetically active in this new direction, Valerius Cato appears to have exercised a sort of scholastic patronage over the most distinguished men of this circle and to have pronounced final decision on the relative value of the poems. As compared with their Greek models, these Roman poets evince throughout a want of freedom, sometimes a schoolboy dependence; most of their products must have been simply the austere fruits of a school poetry still occupied in learning and by no means yet dismissed as mature. Inasmuch as in language and in measure they adhered to the Greek patterns far more closely than ever the national Latin poetry had done, a greater correctness and consistency in language and metre were certainly attained; but it was at the expense of the flexibility and fulness of the national idiom. As respects the subject-matter, under the influence partly of effeminate models, partly of an immoral age, amatory themes acquired a surprising preponderance little conducive to poetry; but the favourite metrical compendia of the Greeks were also in various cases translated, such as the astronomical treatise of Aratus by Cicero, and, either at the end of this or more probably at the commencement of the following period, the geographical manual of Eratosthenes by Publius Varro of the Aude and the physico-medicinal manual of Nicander by Aemilius Macer. It is neither to be wondered at nor regretted that of this countless host of poets but few names have been preserved to us; and even these are mostly mentioned merely as

curiosities or as once upon a time great; such as the orator Quintus Hortensius with his "five hundred thousand lines" of tiresome obscenity, and the somewhat more frequently mentioned Laevius, whose *Erotopaegnia* attracted a certain interest only by their complicated measures and affected phraseology. Even the small epic *Smyrna* by Gaius Helvius Cinna (†710?), much as it was praised by 44. the clique, bears both in its subject—the incestuous love of a daughter for her father—and in the nine years' toil bestowed on it the worst characteristics of the time.

Those poets alone of this school constitute an original and pleasing exception, who knew how to combine with its neatness and its versatility of form the national elements of worth still existing in the republican life, especially in that of the country-towns. To say nothing here of Laberius and Varro, this description applies especially to the three poets already mentioned above (p. 140) of the republican opposition, Marcus Furius Bibaculus (652-691), Gaius 102-63. Licinius Calvus (672—706) and Quintus Valerius Catullus 82-48. (667-c. 700). Of the two former, whose writings have 87-54. perished, we can indeed only conjecture this; respecting the poems of Catullus we can still form a judgment. He Catullus. too depends in subject and form on the Alexandrians. We find in his collection translations of pieces of Callimachus, and these not altogether the very good, but the very difficult. Among the original pieces, we meet with elaborately-turned fashionable poems, such as the over-artificial Galliambics in praise of the Phrygian Mother; and even the poem, otherwise so beautiful, of the marriage of Thetis has been artistically spoiled by the truly Alexandrian insertion of the complaint of Ariadne in the principal poem. But by the side of these school-pieces we meet with the melodious lament of the genuine elegy, the festal poem in the full pomp of individual and almost dramatic execution, above all, the freshest miniature

painting of cultivated social life, the pleasant and very unreserved amatory adventures of which half the charm consists in prattling and poetizing about the mysteries of love, the delightful life of youth with full cups and empty purses, the pleasures of travel and of poetry, the Roman and still more frequently the Veronese anecdote of the town, and the humorous jest amidst the familiar circle of friends. But not only does Apollo touch the lyre of the poet, he wields also the bow; the winged dart of sarcasm spares neither the tedious verse-maker nor the provincial who corrupts the language, but it hits none more frequently and more sharply than the potentates by whom the liberty of the people is endangered. The short-lined and merry metres, often enlivened by a graceful refrain, are of finished art and yet free from the repulsive smoothness of the manufactory. These poems lead us alternately to the valleys of the Nile and the Po; but the poet is incomparably more at home in the latter. His poems are based on Alexandrian art doubtless, but at the same time on the self-consciousness of a burgess and a burgess in fact of a rural town, on the contrast of Verona with Rome, on the contrast of the homely municipal with the high-born lords of the senate who usually maltreat their humble friends—as that contrast was probably felt more vividly than anywhere else in Catullus' home, the flourishing and comparatively vigorous Cisalpine Gaul. The most beautiful of his poems reflect the sweet pictures of the Lago di Garda, and hardly at this time could any man of the capital have written a poem like the deeply pathetic one on his brother's death, or the excellent genuinely homely festal hymn for the marriage of Manlius and Aurunculeia. Catullus, although dependent on the Alexandrian masters and standing in the midst of the fashionable and clique poetry of that age, was yet not merely a good scholar among many mediocre and bad ones, but

himself as much superior to his masters as the burgess of a free Italian community was superior to the cosmopolitan Hellenic man of letters. Eminent creative vigour indeed and high poetic intentions we may not look for in him; he is a richly gifted and graceful but not a great poet, and his poems are, as he himself calls them, nothing but "pleasantries and trifles." Yet when we find not merely his contemporaries electrified by these fugitive songs, but the art-critics of the Augustan age also characterizing him along with Lucretius as the most important poet of this epoch, his contemporaries as well as their successors were completely right. The Latin nation has produced no second poet in whom the artistic substance and the artistic form appear in so symmetrical perfection as in Catullus; and in this sense the collection of the poems of Catullus is certainly the most perfect which Latin poetry as a whole can show.

Lastly, poetry in a prose form begins in this epoch. The law of genuine *naïve* as well as conscious art, which had hitherto remained unchangeable—that the poetical subject-matter and the metrical setting should go together—gave way before the intermixture and disturbance of all kinds and forms of art, which is one of the most significant features of this period. As to romances indeed nothing farther is to be noticed, than that the most famous historian of this epoch, Sisenna, did not esteem himself too good to translate into Latin the much-read Milesian tales of Aristides—licentious fashionable novels of the most stupid sort.

A more original and more pleasing phenomenon in this debateable border-land between poetry and prose was the aesthetic writings of Varro, who was not merely the most important representative of Latin philologico-historical research, but one of the most fertile and most interesting authors in *belles-lettres*. Descended from a plebeian *gens*

**Poems in
prose.**

Romances

**Varro's
aesthetic
writings.**

which had its home in the Sabine land but had belonged for the last two hundred years to the Roman senate, strictly reared in antique discipline and decorum,¹ and already at the beginning of this epoch a man of maturity, Marcus 116-27. Terentius Varro of Reate (638-727) belonged in politics, as a matter of course, to the constitutional party, and bore an honourable and energetic part in its doings and sufferings. He supported it, partly in literature—as when he combated the first coalition, the "three-headed monster," in pamphlets; partly in more serious warfare, where we found him in the army of Pompeius as commandant of Further Spain (p. 219). When the cause of the republic was lost, Varro was destined by his conqueror to be librarian of the library which was to be formed in the capital. The troubles of the following period drew the old man once more into their vortex, and it was not till seventeen years after Caesar's death, in the eighty-ninth year of his well-occupied life, that death called him away.

Varro's
models.

The aesthetic writings, which have made him a name, were brief essays, some in simple prose and of graver contents, others humorous sketches the prose groundwork of which was inlaid with various poetical effusions. The former were the "philosophico-historical dissertations" (*logistorici*), the latter the Menippean Satires. In neither case did he follow Latin models, and the *Satura* of Varro in particular was by no means based on that of Lucilius. In fact the Roman *Satura* in general was not properly a fixed species of art, but only indicated negatively the fact that the "multifarious poem" was not to be included under any of the recognized forms of art; and accordingly the *Satura*-poetry assumed in the hands of every gifted poet a different

¹ "For me when a boy," he somewhere says, "there sufficed a single rough coat and a single under-garment, shoes without stockings, a horse without a saddle; I had no daily warm bath, and but seldom a river-bath." On account of his personal valour he obtained in the Piratic war, where he commanded a division of the fleet, the naval crown.

and peculiar character. It was rather in the pre-Alexandrian Greek philosophy that Varro found the models for his more severe as well as for his lighter aesthetic works; for the graver dissertations, in the dialogues of Heraclides of Heraclea on the Black Sea (t about 450), 800. for the satires, in the writings of Menippus of Gadara in Syria (flourishing about 475). The choice was significant. 280. Heraclides, stimulated as an author by Plato's philosophic dialogues, had amidst the brilliance of their form totally lost sight of the scientific contents and made the poetico-fabulistic dress the main matter; he was an agreeable and largely-read author, but far from a philosopher. Menippus was quite as little a philosopher, but the most genuine literary representative of that philosophy whose wisdom consisted in denying philosophy and ridiculing philosophers, the cynical wisdom of Diogenes; a comic teacher of serious wisdom, he proved by examples and merry sayings that except an upright life everything is vain in earth and heaven, and nothing more vain than the disputes of so-called sages. These were the true models for Varro, a man full of old Roman indignation at the pitiful times and full of old Roman humour, by no means destitute withal of plastic talent, but as to everything which presented the appearance not of palpable fact, but of idea or even of system, utterly stupid, and perhaps the most unphilosophical among the unphilosophical Romans.¹ But Varro was no slavish pupil. The impulse and in general the form he derived from Heraclides and Menippus; but his was a

¹ There is hardly anything more childish than Varro's scheme of all the philosophies, which in the first place summarily declares all systems that do not propose the happiness of man as their ultimate aim to be non-existent, and then reckons the number of philosophies conceivable under this supposition as two hundred and eighty-eight. The vigorous man was unfortunately too much a scholar to confess that he neither could nor would be a philosopher, and accordingly as such throughout life he performed a blind dance—not altogether becoming—between the Stoa, Pythagoreanism, and Diogenism.

nature too individual and too decidedly Roman not to keep his imitative creations essentially independent and national

Varro's
philosophico-
historical
essays.

For his grave dissertations, in which a moral maxim or other subject of general interest is handled, he disdained in his framework to approximate to the Milesian tales, as Heraclides had done, and so to serve up to the reader even childish little stories like those of Abaris and of the maiden reawakened to life after being seven days dead. But seldom he borrowed the dress from the nobler myths of the Greeks, as in the essay "Orestes or concerning Madness"; history ordinarily afforded him a worthier frame for his subjects, more especially the contemporary history of his country, so that these essays became, as they were called, *laudationes* of esteemed Romans, above all of the Coryphaei of the constitutional party. Thus the dissertation "concerning Peace" was at the same time a memorial of Metellus Pius, the last in the brilliant series of successful generals of the senate; that "concerning the Worship of the Gods" was at the same time destined to preserve the memory of the highly-respected Optimate and Pontifex Gaius Curio; the essay "on Fate" was connected with Marius, that "on the Writing of History" with Sisenna the first historian of this epoch, that "on the Beginnings of the Roman Stage" with the princely giver of scenic spectacles Scaurus, that "on Numbers" with the highly-cultured Roman banker Atticus. The two philosophico-historical essays "Laelius or concerning Friendship," "Cato or concerning Old Age," which Cicero wrote probably after the model of those of Varro, may give us some approximate idea of Varro's half-didactic, half-narrative, treatment of these subjects.

Varro's
Menippean
satires.

The Menippean satire was handled by Varro with equal originality of form and contents; the bold mixture of prose and verse is foreign to the Greek original, and the whole intellectual contents are pervaded by Roman idiosyncrasy

—one might say, by a savour of the Sabine soil. These satires like the philosophico-historical essays handle some moral or other theme adapted to the larger public, as is shown by the several titles—*Columnae Herculis*, *περὶ δόξης*; *Εἶδεν ἡ Λοπὰς τὸ Πῶμα*, *περὶ γεγαμηκότων*; *Est Modus Matulae*, *περὶ μέθης*; *Papiapapae*, *περὶ ἐγκωμίων*. The plastic dress, which in this case might not be wanting, is of course but seldom borrowed from the history of his native country, as in the satire *Serranus*, *περὶ ἀρχαιρισίων*. The Cynic-world of Diogenes on the other hand plays, as might be expected, a great part; we meet with the *Κυνίστωρ*, the *Κυνορρήτωρ*, the *Ἴπποκύων*, the *Ὑδροκύων*, the *Κυνοδιδοσκαλικόν* and others of a like kind. Mythology is also laid under contribution for comic purposes; we find a *Prometheus Liber*, an *Ajax Stramentieus*, a *Hercules Socraticus*, a *Sesqueulixes* who had spent not merely ten but fifteen years in wanderings. The outline of the dramatic or romantic framework is still discoverable from the fragments in some pieces, such as the *Prometheus Liber*, the *Sexagessis*, *Manius*; it appears that Varro frequently, perhaps regularly, narrated the tale as his own experience; e.g. in the *Manius* the *dramatis personae* go to Varro and discourse to him "because he was known to them as a maker of books." As to the poetical value of this dress we are no longer allowed to form any certain judgment; there still occur in our fragments several very charming sketches full of wit and liveliness—thus in the *Prometheus Liber* the hero after the loosing of his chains opens a manufactory of men, in which Goldshoe the rich (*Chrysosandalos*) bespeaks for himself a maiden, of milk and finest wax, such as the Milesian bees gather from various flowers, a maiden without bones and sinews, without skin or hair, pure and polished, slim, smooth, tender, charming. The life-breath of this poetry is polemics—not so much the political warfare of party, such as Lucilius and Catullus practised, but the

general moral antagonism of the stern elderly man to the unbridled and perverse youth, of the scholar living in the midst of his classics to the loose and slovenly, or at any rate in point of tendency reprobate, modern poetry,¹ of the good burgess of the ancient type to the new Rome in which the Forum, to use Varro's language, was a pigsty and Numa, if he turned his eyes towards his city, would see no longer a trace of his wise regulations. In the constitutional struggle Varro did what seemed to him the duty of a citizen; but his heart was not in such party-doings—"why," he complains on one occasion, "do ye call me from my pure life into the filth of your senate-house?" He belonged to the good old time, when the talk savoured of onions and garlic, but the heart was sound. His polemic against the hereditary foes of the genuine Roman spirit, the Greek philosophers, was only a single aspect of this old-fashioned opposition to the spirit of the new times; but it resulted both from the nature of the Cynical philosophy and from the temperament of Varro, that the Menippean lash was very specially plied round the ears of the philosophers and put them accordingly into proportional alarm—it was not without palpitation that the philosophic scribes of the time transmitted to the "severe man" their newly-issued treatises. Philosophizing is truly no art. With the tenth part of the trouble with which a master rears his slave to be a pro-

¹ On one occasion he writes, "*Quintiporis Clodiiforia acpoemata ejus gargaridians dices; O fortuna, O fors for tuna I*" And elsewhere, "*Cum Quintipor Clodius tot comoedias sine ulla fecerit Afusa, ego unum libellum non *edolem' ut ait Ennius?*" This not otherwise known Clodius must have been in all probability a wretched imitator of Terence, as those words sarcastically laid at his door "*O fortuna, O fors fortuna!*" are found occurring in a Terentian comedy.

The following description of himself by a poet in Varro's *Ὅρος Ἀέρας*,

*Pacui discipulus dicor, porro is fuit Enni,
Ennius Musarum, Pompilius clueor*

might aptly parody the introduction of Lucretius (p. 474), to whom Varro as a declared enemy of the Epicurean system cannot have been well disposed, and whom he never quotes.

fessional baker, he trains himself to be a philosopher; no doubt, when the baker and the philosopher both come under the hammer, the artist of pastry goes off a hundred times dearer than the sage. Singular people, these philosophers ! One enjoins that corpses be buried in honey—it is a fortunate circumstance that his desire is not complied with, otherwise where would any honey-wine be left? Another thinks that men grow out of the earth like cresses. A third has invented a world-borer (*Κοσμοτορύνη*) by which the earth will some day be destroyed.

*Postremo, nemo aegrotus quicquam somniat
Tam infandum, quod non aliquis dicat philosophus.*

It is ludicrous to observe how a Long-beard—by which is meant an etymologizing Stoic—cautiously weighs every word in goldsmith's scales; but there is nothing that surpasses the genuine philosophers' quarrel—a Stoic boxing-match far excels any encounter of athletes. In the satire *Marcopo/is*, *περὶ ἀρχῆς*, when Marcus created for himself a Cloud-Cuckoo-Home after his own heart, matters fared, just as in the Attic comedy, well with the peasant, but ill with the philosopher; the *Celer-δι' ἐνὸς-λήμματος-λόγος*, son of Antipater the Stoic, beats in the [sku.ll](#) of his opponent—evidently the philosophic *Dilemma*—with the mattock.

With this morally polemic tendency and this talent for embodying it in caustic and picturesque expression, which, as the dress of dialogue given to the books on Husbandry written in his eightieth year shows, never forsook him down to extreme old age, Varro most happily combined an incomparable knowledge of the national manners and language, which is embodied in the philological writings of his old age after the manner of a commonplace-book, but displays itself in his Satires in all its direct fulness and freshness. Varro was in the best and fullest sense of the term a local antiquarian, who from the personal observation of many

years knew his nation in its former idiosyncrasy and seclusion as well as in its modern state of transition and dispersion, and had supplemented and deepened his direct knowledge of the national manners and national language by the most comprehensive research in historical and literary archives. His partial deficiency in rational judgment and learning—in our sense of the words—was compensated for by his clear intuition and the poetry which lived within him. He sought neither after antiquarian notices nor after rare antiquated or poetical words¹ but he was himself an old and old-fashioned man and almost a rustic, the classics of his nation were his favourite and long-familiar companions ; how could it fail that many details of the manners of his forefathers, which he loved above all and especially knew, should be narrated in his writings, and that his discourse should abound with proverbial Greek and Latin phrases, with good old words preserved in the Sabine conversational language, with reminiscences of Ennius, Lucilius, and above all of Plautus? We should not judge as to the prose style of these aesthetic writings of Varro's earlier period by the standard of his work on Language written in his old age and probably published in an unfinished state, in which certainly the clauses of the sentence are arranged on the thread of the relative like thrushes on a string; but we have already observed that Varro rejected on principle the effort after a chaste style and Attic periods (p. 458), and his aesthetic essays, while destitute of the mean bombast and the spurious tinsel of vulgarism, were yet written after an unclassic and even slovenly fashion, in sentences rather directly joined on to each other than regularly subdivided. The poetical pieces inserted on the other hand show not merely that their author knew how to

¹ He himself once aptly says, that he had no special fondness for antiquated words, but frequently used them, and that he was very fond of poetical words, but did not use them.

mould the most varied measures with as much mastery as any of the fashionable poets, but that he had a right to include himself among those to whom a god has granted the gift of "banishing cares from the heart by song and sacred poesy."¹ The sketches of Varro no more created a school than the didactic poem of Lucretius; to the more general causes which prevented this there falls to be added their thoroughly individual stamp, which was inseparable from the greater age, from the rusticity, and even from the peculiar erudition of their author. But the grace and humour of the Menippean satires above all, which seem to have been in number and importance far superior to Varro's graver works, captivated his contemporaries as well as those in after times who had any relish for originality and national

¹ The following description is taken from the *Marcipor* ("Slave of Marcus") :—

*Repite noctis circiter meridie
Cum pictus aer fervidis late ignibus
Caeli chorean astricen ostenderet,
Nubes aquali, frigido velo leves
Caeli cavernas aureas subduxerant,
Aquatn vomentes inferatn mortalibus.
Ventique frigido se ab axe eruperant,
Phrenetici septentrionum filii,
Secum ferentes tegulas, ramos, syrus.
At nos caduci, naufragi, ut ciconiae
Quarum bipennis fulminis plutflas vapor
Perussit, alte macsti in ter ram cecidimus.*

In the *Ἀνθρῶπις* we find the lines :

*Non Jit thesauris, non auro pectus' solum ;
Non demunt animis curas ac relligiones
Persarum monies, non atria diviti' Crassi.*

But the poet was successful also in a lighter vein. In the *Est Mcdus Matulae* there stood the following elegant commendation of wine :—

*Vino nihil iucundius quisquam bibit.
Hoc aegritudinem ad medendam invenerunt,
Hoc hilaritatis duke seminarium,
Hoc continet coagulum convivia.*

And in the *Κοσμοτορῶνη*, the wanderer returning home thus concludes his address to the sailors :

*Delis kabenas animae leni,
Dum nos ventus flamine sudo
Suavem ad patriam perducit.*

spirit; and even we, who are no longer permitted to read them, may still from the fragments preserved discern in some measure that the writer "knew how to laugh and how to jest in moderation." And as the last breath of the good spirit of the old burgess-times ere it departed, as the latest fresh growth which the national Latin poetry put forth, the Satires of Varro deserved that the poet in his poetical testament should commend these his Menippean children to every one "who had at heart the prosperity of Rome and of Latium"; and they accordingly retain an honourable place in the literature as in the history of the Italian people.¹

¹ The sketches of Varro have so uncommon historical and even poetical significance, and are yet, in consequence of the fragmentary shape in which information regarding them has reached us, known to so few and so irksome to study, that we may be allowed to give in this place a *rdsumd* of some of them with the few restorations indispensable for making them readable.

The satire *Manius* (Early Up!) describes the management of a rural household. "Manius summons his people to rise with the sun, and in person conducts them to the scene of their work. The youths make their own bed, which labour renders soft to them, and supply themselves with water-jar and lamp. Their drink is the clear fresh spring, their fare bread, and onions as relish. Everything prospers in house and field. The house is no work of art; but an architect might learn symmetry from it. Care is taken of the field, that it shall not be left disorderly and waste, or go to ruin through slovenliness and neglect; in return the grateful Ceres wards off damage from the produce, that the high-piled sheaves may gladden the heart of the husbandman. Here hospitality still holds good; every one who has but imbibed mother's milk is welcome. The bread-pantry and wine-vat and the store of sausages on the rafters, lock and key are at the service of the traveller, and piles of food are set before him; contented sits the sated guest, looking neither before nor behind, dozing by the hearth in the kitchen. The warmest double-wool sheep-skin is spread as a couch for him. Here people still as good burgesses obey the righteous law, which neither out of envy injures the innocent, nor out of favour pardons the guilty. Here they speak no evil against their neighbours. Here they trespass not with their feet on the sacred hearth, but honour the gods with devotion and with sacrifices, throw for the house-spirit his little bit of flesh into his appointed little dish, and when the master of the household dies, accompany the bier with the same prayer with which those of his father and of his grandfather were borne forth."

In another satire there appears a "Teacher of the Old" (*Γεροντοδιδάσκαλος*), of whom the degenerate age seems to stand more urgently in need than of the teacher of youth, and he explains how "once everything in Rome was chaste and pious," and now all things are so entirely changed. "Do my eyes deceive me, or do I see slaves in arms

The critical writing of history, after the manner in which the Attic authors wrote the national history in their classic period and in which Polybius wrote the history of the world, was never properly developed in Rome. Even in the field most adapted for it—the representation of contemporary and of recently past events—there was nothing, on the whole, but more or less inadequate attempts; in the epoch especially from Sulla to Caesar the not very important contributions, which the previous epoch had to show in this field—the labours of Antipater and Asellius—were barely even equalled. The only work of note belonging to this field, which arose in the present epoch, was the history of the Social and Civil Wars by Lucius Cornelius Sisenna

Historical composition.

Sisenna

against their masters?—Formerly every one who did not present himself for the levy, was sold on the part of the state into slavery abroad; now the censor who allows cowardice and everything to pass is called [by the aristocracy, iii. 10; iv. 125, 380; p. 148] a great citizen, and earns praise because he does not seek to make himself a name by annoying his fellow-citizens.—Formerly the Roman husbandman had his beard shaven once every week; now the rural slave cannot have it fine enough.—Formerly one saw on the estates a corn-granary, which held ten harvests, spacious cellars for the wine-vats and corresponding wine-presses; now the master keeps flocks of peacocks, and causes his doors to be inlaid with African cypress-wood.—Formerly the housewife turned the spindle with the hand and kept at the same time the pot on the hearth in her eye, that the pottage might not be singed; now," it is said in another satire, "the daughter begs her father for a pound of precious stones, and the wife her husband for a bushel of pearls.—Formerly a newly-married husband was silent and bashful; now the wife surrenders herself to the first coachman that comes.—Formerly the blessing of children was woman's pride; now if her husband desires for himself children, she replies: Knowest thou not what Ennius says?

*Ter sub armis malim vitam cernere
Quant semel modo parere.*—

Formerly the wife was quite content, when the husband once or twice in the year gave her a trip to the country in the uncushioned waggon; now, he could add (comp. Cicero, *Pro Mil.* 21, 55), the wife sulks if her husband goes to his country estate without her, and the travelling lady is attended to the villa by the fashionable host of Greek menials and the choir.—In a treatise of a graver kind, "Catus or the Training of Children," Varro not only instructs the friend who had asked him for advice on that point, regarding the gods who were according to old usage to be sacrificed to for the children's welfare, but, referring to the more judicious mode of rearing children among the Persians and to his own strictly spent youth, he warns against over-feeding and over-sleeping, against sweet bread and fine fare—the whelps, the old man thinks, are

78. (praetor in 676). Those who had read it testify that it far excelled in liveliness and readableness the old dry chronicles, but was written withal in a style thoroughly impure and even degenerating into puerility; as indeed the few remaining fragments exhibit a paltry painting of horrible details,¹ and a number of words newly coined or derived from the language of conversation. When it is added that the author's model and, so to speak, the only Greek historian familiar to him was Clitarchus, the author of a biography of Alexander the Great oscillating between history and fiction in the manner of the semi-romance which bears the name of Curtius, we shall not hesitate to recognize in Sisenna's celebrated historical work, not a

now fed more judiciously than the children—and likewise against the enchantresses' charms and blessings, which in cases of sickness so often take the place of the physician's counsel. He advises to keep the girls at embroidery, that they may afterwards understand how to judge properly of embroidered and textile work, and not to allow them to put off the child's dress too early; he warns against carrying boys to the gladiatorial games, in which the heart is early hardened and cruelty learned.—In the "Man of Sixty Years" Varro appears as a Roman Epimenides who had fallen asleep when a boy of ten and waked up again after half a century. He is astonished to find instead of his smooth-shorn-boy's head an old bald pate with an ugly snout and savage bristles like a hedgehog; but he is still more astonished at the change in Rome. Lucrine oysters, formerly a wedding dish, are now everyday fare; for which, accordingly, the bankrupt glutton silently, prepares the incendiary torch. While formerly the father disposed of his boy, now the disposal is transferred to the latter: he disposes, forsooth, of his father by poison. The comitium had become an exchange, the criminal trial a mine of gold for the jurymen. No law is any longer obeyed save only this one, that nothing is given for nothing. All virtues have vanished; in their stead the awakened man is saluted by impiety, perfidy, lewdness, as new denizens. "Alas for thee, Marcus, with such a sleep and such an awakening!"—The sketch

57. resembles the Catilinarian epoch, shortly after which (about 697) the old man must have written it, and there lay a truth in the bitter turn at the close; where Marcus, properly reproved for his unseasonable accusations and antiquarian reminiscences, is—with a mock application of a primitive Roman custom—dragged as a useless old man to the bridge and thrown into the Tiber. There was certainly no longer room for such men in Rome.

¹ "The innocent," so ran a speech, "thou draggest forth, trembling in every limb, and on the high margin of the river's bank in the dawn of the morning" [thou caustest them to be slaughtered]. Several such phrases, that might be inserted without difficulty in a commonplace novel, occur.

product of genuine historical criticism and art, but the first Roman essay in that hybrid mixture of history and romance so much a favourite with the Greeks, which desires to make the groundwork of facts life-like and interesting by means of fictitious details and thereby makes it insipid and untrue; and it will no longer excite surprise that we meet with the same Sisenna also as translator of Greek fashionable romances (p. 483).

That the prospect should be still more lamentable in the field of the general annals of the city and even of the world, was implied in the nature of the case. The increasing activity of antiquarian research induced the expectation that the current narrative would be rectified from documents and other trustworthy sources ; but this hope was not fulfilled. The more and the deeper men investigated, the more clearly it became apparent what a task it was to write a critical history of Rome. The difficulties even, which opposed themselves to investigation and narration, were immense; but the most dangerous obstacles were not those of a literary kind. The conventional early history of Rome, as it had now been narrated and believed for at least ten generations, was most intimately mixed up with the civil life of the nation; and yet in any thorough and honest inquiry not only had details to be modified here and there, but the whole building had to be overturned as much as the Franconian primitive history of king Pharamund or the British of king Arthur. An inquirer of conservative views, such as was Varro for instance, could have no wish to put his hand to such a work; and if a daring freethinker had undertaken it, an outcry would have been raised by all good citizens against this worst of all revolutionaries, who was preparing to deprive the constitutional party even of their past. Thus philological and antiquarian research deterred from the writing of history rather than conduced towards it. Varro and the more sagacious men in general evidently gave

**Annals of
the city.**

up the task of annals as hopeless; at the most they arranged, as did Titus Pomponius Atticus, the official and gentile lists in unpretending tabular shape—a work by which the synchronistic Graeco-Roman chronology was finally brought into the shape in which it was conventionally fixed for posterity. But the manufacture of city-chronicles of course did not suspend its activity; it continued to supply its contributions both in prose and verse to the great library written by *ennui* for *ennui*, while the makers of the books, in part already freedmen, did not trouble themselves at all about research properly so called. Such of these writings as are mentioned to us—not one of them is preserved—seem to have been not only of a wholly secondary character, but in great part even pervaded by-interested falsification. It is true that the chronicle of

78? Quintus Claudius Quadrigarius (about 676?) was written in an old-fashioned but good style, and studied at least a commendable brevity in the representation of the fabulous

66. period. Gaius Licinius Macer (t as late praetor in 688), father of the poet Calvus (p. 481), and a zealous democrat, laid claim more than any other chronicler to documentary research and criticism, but his *libri lintei* and other matters peculiar to him are in the highest degree suspicious, and an interpolation of the whole annals in the interest of democratic tendencies—an interpolation of a very extensive kind, and which has passed over in part to the later annalists—is probably traceable to him.

Valerius
Antias.

Lastly, Valerius Antias excelled all his predecessors in prolixity as well as in puerile story-telling. The falsification of numbers was here systematically carried out down even to contemporary history, and the primitive history of Rome was elaborated once more from one form of insipidity to another; for instance the narrative of the way in which the wise Numa according to the instructions of the nymph Egeria caught the gods Faunus and Picus with wine, and the beautiful

conversation thereupon held by the same Numa with the god Jupiter, cannot be too urgently recommended to all worshippers of the so-called legendary history of Rome in order that, if possible, they may believe these things—of course, in substance. It would have been a marvel if the Greek novel-writers of this period had allowed such materials, made as if for their use, to escape them. In fact there were not wanting Greek literati, who worked up the Roman history into romances; such a composition, for instance, was the Five Books "Concerning Rome" of the Alexander Polyhistor already mentioned among the Greek literati living in Rome (p. 460), a preposterous mixture of vapid historical tradition and trivial, principally erotic, fiction. He, it may be presumed, took the first steps towards filling up the five hundred years, which were wanting to bring the destruction of Troy and the origin of Rome into the chronological connection required by the fables on either side, with one of those lists of kings without achievements which are unhappily familiar to the Egyptian and Greek chroniclers; for, to all appearance, it was he that launched into the world the kings Aventinus and Tiberinus and the Alban *gens* of the Silvii, whom the following times accordingly did not neglect to furnish in detail with name, period of reigning, and, for the sake of greater definiteness, also a portrait.

Thus from various sides the historical romance of the Greeks finds its way into Roman historiography; and it is more than probable that not the least portion of what we are accustomed nowadays to call tradition of the Roman primitive times proceeds from sources of the stamp of Amadis of Gaul and the chivalrous romances of Fouquid—an edifying consideration, at least for those who have a relish for the humour of history and who know how to appreciate the comical aspect of the piety still cherished in certain circles of the nineteenth century for king Numa.

Universal
history.

Nepos.

100-30.

64.

56.

A novelty in the Roman literature of this period is the appearance of universal history or, to speak more correctly, of Roman and Greek history conjoined, alongside of the native annals. Cornelius Nepos from Ticinum (c. 650—c. 725) first supplied an universal chronicle (published before 700) and a general collection of biographies—arranged according to certain categories—of Romans and Greeks distinguished in politics or literature or of men at any rate who exercised influence on the Roman or Greek history. These works are of a kindred nature with the universal histories which the Greeks had for a considerable time been composing; and these very Greek world-chronicles, such as that of Kastor son-in-law of the Galatian king Deiotarus, concluded in 698, now began to include in their range the Roman history which previously they had neglected. These works certainly attempted, just like Polybius, to substitute the history of the Mediterranean world for the more local one; but that which in Polybius was the result of a grand and clear conception and deep historical feeling was in these chronicles rather the product of the practical exigencies of school and self-instruction. These general chronicles, text-books for scholastic instruction or manuals for reference, and the whole literature therewith connected which subsequently became very copious in the Latin language also, can hardly be reckoned as belonging to artistic historical composition; and Nepos himself in particular was a pure compiler distinguished neither by spirit nor even merely by symmetrical plan.

The historiography of this period is certainly remarkable and in a high degree characteristic, but it is as far from pleasing as the age itself. The interpenetration of Greek and Latin literature is in no field so clearly apparent as in that of history; here the respective literatures become earliest equalized in matter and form, and the conception of Helleno-Italic history as an unity, in which Polybius

was so far in advance of his age, was now learned even by Greek and Roman boys at school. But while the Mediterranean state had found a historian before it had become conscious of its own existence, now, when that consciousness had been attained, there did not arise either among the Greeks or among the Romans any man who was able to give to it adequate expression. "There is no such thing," says Cicero, "as Roman historical composition"; and, so far as we can judge, this is no more than the simple truth. The man of research turns away from writing history, the writer of history turns away from research; historical literature oscillates between the schoolbook and the romance. All the species of pure art—epos, drama, lyric poetry, history—are worthless in this worthless world; but in no species is the intellectual decay of the Ciceronian age reflected with so terrible a clearness as in its historiography.

The minor historical literature of this period displays on the other hand, amidst many insignificant and forgotten productions, one treatise of the first rank—the Memoirs of Caesar, or rather the Military Report of the democratic general to the people from whom he had received his commission. The finished section, and that which alone was published by the author himself, describing the Celtic campaigns down to 702, is evidently designed to justify as well as possible before the public the formally unconstitutional enterprise of Caesar in conquering a great country and constantly increasing his army for that object without instructions from the competent authority; it was written and given forth in 703, when the storm broke out against Caesar in Rome and he was summoned to dismiss his army and answer for his conduct.¹ The author of this

Literature
subsidiary
to history.

Caesar's
Report.

52.

51.

¹ That the treatise on the Gallic war was published all at once, has been long conjectured; the distinct proof that it was so, is furnished by the mention of the equalization of the Boii and the Haedui already in the first book (c. 28) whereas the Boii still occur in the seventh (c. 10) as tributary

vindication writes, as he himself says, entirely as an officer and carefully avoids extending his military report to the hazardous departments of political organization and administration. His incidental and partisan treatise cast in the form of a military report is itself a piece of history like the bulletins of Napoleon, but it is not, and was not intended to be, a historical work in the true sense of the word; the objective form which the narrative assumes is that of the magistrate, not that of the historian. But in this modest character the work is masterly and finished, more than any other in all Roman literature. The narrative is always terse and never scanty, always simple and never careless, always of transparent vividness and never strained or affected. The language is completely pure from archaisms and from vulgarisms—the type of the modern *urbanitas*. In the Books concerning the Civil War we seem to feel that the author had desired to avoid war and could not avoid it, and perhaps also that in Caesar's soul, as in every other, the period of hope was a purer and fresher one than that of fulfilment; but over the treatise on the Gallic war there is diffused a bright serenity, a simple charm, which are no less unique in literature than Caesar is in history

subjects of the Haedui, and evidently only obtained equal rights with their former masters on account of their conduct and that of the Haedui in the war against Vercingetorix. On the other hand any one who attentively follows the history of the time will find in the expression as to the Milonian crisis (vii. 6) a proof that the treatise was published before the outbreak of the civil war; not because Pompeius is there praised, but because

52. Caesar there approves the exceptional laws of 702 (p. 146). This he might and could not but do, so long as he sought to bring about a peaceful accommodation with Pompeius (p. 175), but not after the rupture, when he reversed the condemnations that took place on the basis of those laws injurious for him (p. 316). Accordingly the publication of this
51. treatise has been quite rightly placed in 703.

The tendency of the work we discern most distinctly in the constant, often—most decidedly, doubtless, in the case of the Aquitanian expedition iii. 11—not successful, justification of every single act of war as a defensive measure which the state of things had rendered inevitable. That the adversaries of Caesar censured his attacks on the Celts and Germans **above** all as unprovoked, is well known (Sueton. *CMS.* 24).

Of a kindred nature were the letters interchanged between the statesmen and literati of this period, which were carefully collected and published in the following epoch; such as the correspondence of Caesar himself, of Cicero, Calvus and others. They can still less be numbered among strictly literary performances; but this literature of correspondence was a rich store-house for historical as for all other research, and the most faithful mirror of an epoch in which so much of the worth of past times and so much spirit, cleverness, and talent were evaporated and dissipated in trifling. Correspondence.

A journalist literature in the modern sense was never formed in Rome; literary warfare continued to be confined to the writing of pamphlets and, along with this, to the custom generally diffused at that time of annotating the notices destined for the public in places of resort with the pencil or the pen. On the other hand subordinate persons were employed to note down the events of the day and news of the city for the absent men of quality; and Caesar as early as his first consulship took fitting measures for the immediate publication of an extract from the transactions of the senate. From the private journals of those Roman penny-a-liners and these official current reports there arose a sort of news-sheet for the capital (*ada diurna*), in which the *resume* of the business discussed before the people and in the senate, and births, deaths, and such like were recorded. This became a not unimportant source for history, but remained without proper political as without literary significance. News-sheet.

To subsidiary historical literature belongs of right also the composition of orations. The speech, whether written down or not, is in its nature ephemeral and does not belong to literature; but it may, like the report and the letter, and indeed still more readily than these, come to be included, through the significance of the moment and the Speeches.

**Decline of
political
oratory.**

power of the mind from which it springs, among the permanent treasures of the national literature. Thus in Rome the records of orations of a political tenor delivered before the burgesses or the jurymen had for long played a great part in public life; and not only so, but the speeches of Gaius Gracchus in particular were justly reckoned among the classical Roman writings. But in this epoch a singular change occurred on all hands. The composition of political speeches was on the decline like political speaking itself. The political speech in Rome, as generally in the ancient polities, reached its culminating point in the discussions before the burgesses; here the orator was not fettered, as in the senate, by collegiate considerations and burdensome forms, nor, as in the judicial addresses, by the interests—in themselves foreign to politics—of the accusation and defence; here alone his heart swelled proudly before the whole great and mighty Roman people hanging on his lips. But all this was now gone. Not as though there was any lack of orators or of, the publishing of speeches delivered before the burgesses; on the contrary political authorship only now waxed copious, and it began to become a standing complaint at table that the host incommoded his guests by reading before them his latest orations. Publius Clodius had his speeches to the people issued as pamphlets, just like Gaius Gracchus; but two men may do the same thing without producing the same effect. The more important leaders even of the opposition, especially Caesar himself, did not often address the burgesses, and no longer published the speeches which they delivered; indeed they partly sought for their political fugitive writings another form than the traditional one of *contiones*, in which respect more especially the writings praising and censuring Cato (p. 321) are remarkable. This is easily explained. Gaius Gracchus had addressed the burgesses; now men addressed the populace; and as

the audience, so was the speech. No wonder that the reputable political author shunned a dress which implied that he had directed his words to the crowd assembled in the market-place of the capital.

While the composition of orations thus declined from its former literary and political value in the same way as all branches of literature which were the natural growth of the national life, there began at the same time a singular, non-political, literature of pleadings. Hitherto the Romans had known nothing of the idea that the address of an advocate as such was destined not only for the judges and the parties, but also for the literary edification of contemporaries and posterity; no advocate had written clown and published his pleadings, unless they were possibly at the same time political orations and in so far were fitted to be circulated as party writings, and this had not occurred very frequently. Even Quintus Hortensius (640-704), the most celebrated Roman advocate in the first years of this period, published but few speeches and these apparently only such as were wholly or half political. It was his successor in the leadership of the Roman bar, Marcus Tullius Cicero (648-711) who was from the outset quite as much author as forensic orator; he published his pleadings regularly, even when they were not at all or but remotely connected with politics. This was a token, not of progress, but of an unnatural and degenerate state of things. Even in Athens the appearance of non-political pleadings among the forms of literature was a sign of debility; and it was doubly so in Rome, which did not like Athens by a sort of necessity produce this malformation from the exaggerated pursuit of rhetoric, but borrowed it from abroad arbitrarily and in antagonism to the better traditions of the nation. Yet this new species of literature came rapidly into vogue, partly because it had various points of contact and coincidence with the earlier authorship

Rise of a literature of pleadings.

114-50.

Cicero.

106-43.

of political orations, partly because the unpoetic, dogmatical, rhetorizing temperament of the Romans offered a favourable soil for the new seed, as indeed at the present day the speeches of advocates and even a sort of literature of law-proceedings are of some importance in Italy.

His
character.

Thus oratorical authorship emancipated from politics was naturalized in the Roman literary world by CiCero. We have already had occasion several times to mention this many-sided man. As a statesman without insight, idea, or purpose, he figured successively as democrat, as aristocrat, and as a tool of the monarchs, and was never more than a short-sighted egotist. Where he exhibited the semblance of action, the questions to which his action applied had, as a rule, just reached their solution; thus he came forward in the trial of Verres against the senatorial courts when they were already set aside; thus he was silent at the discussion on the Gabinian, and acted as a champion of the Manilian, law; thus he thundered against Catilina when his departure was already settled, and so forth. He was valiant in opposition to sham attacks, and he knocked down many walls of pasteboard with a loud din; no serious matter was ever, either in good or evil, decided by him, and the execution of the Catilinarians in particular was far more due to his acquiescence than to his instigation. In a literary point of view we have already noticed that he was the creator of the modern Latin prose (p. 456); his importance rests on his mastery of style, and it is only as a stylist that he shows confidence in himself. In the character of an author, on the other hand, he stands quite as low as in that of a statesman. He essayed the most varied tasks, sang the great deeds of Marius and his own petty achievements in endless hexameters, beat Demosthenes off the field with his speeches, and Plato with his philosophic dialogues; and time alone was wanting for him to vanquish also Thucydides. He was in fact so thoroughly a dabbler,

that it was pretty much a matter of indifference to what work he applied his hand. By nature a journalist in the worst sense of that term—abounding, as he himself says, in words, poor beyond all conception in ideas—there was no department in which he could not with the help of a few books have rapidly got up by translation or compilation a readable essay. His correspondence mirrors most faithfully his character. People are in the habit of calling it interesting and clever; and it is so, as long as it reflects the urban or villa life of the world of quality; but where the writer is thrown on his own resources, as in exile, in Cilicia, and after the battle of Pharsalus, it is stale and empty as was ever the soul of a feuilletonist banished from his familiar circles. It is scarcely needful to add that such a statesman and such a *litterateur* could not, as a man, exhibit aught else than a thinly varnished superficiality and heartlessness. Must we still describe the orator? The great author is also a great man; and in the great orator more especially conviction or passion flows forth with a clearer and more impetuous stream from the depths of the breast than in the scantily-gifted many who merely count and are nothing. Cicero had no conviction and no passion; he was nothing but an advocate, and not a good one. He understood how to set forth his narrative of the case with piquancy of anecdote, to excite, if not the feeling, at any rate the sentimentality of his hearers, and to enliven the dry business of legal pleading by cleverness or witticisms mostly of a personal sort; his better orations, though they are far from coming up to the free gracefulness and the sure point of the most excellent compositions of this sort, for instance the Memoirs of Beaumarchais, yet form easy and agreeable reading. But while the very advantages just indicated will appear to the serious judge as advantages of very dubious value, the absolute want of political discernment in the orations on constitutional questions and of

juristic deduction in the, forensic addresses, the egotism forgetful of its duty and constantly losing sight of the cause while thinking of the advocate, the dreadful barrenness of thought in the Ciceronian orations must revolt every reader of feeling and judgment.

**Cicero-
nianism.**

If there is anything wonderful in the case, it is in truth not the orations, but the admiration which they excited. As to Cicero every unbiassed person will soon make up his mind : Ciceronianism is a problem, which in fact cannot be properly solved, but can only be resolved into that greater mystery of human nature—language and the effect of language on the mind. Inasmuch as the noble Latin language, just before it perished as a national idiom, was once more as it were comprehensively grasped by that dexterous stylist and deposited in his copious writings, something of the power which language exercises, and of the piety which it awakens, was transferred to the unworthy vessel. The Romans possessed no great Latin prose-writer ; for Caesar was, like Napoleon, only incidentally an author. Was it to be wondered at that, in the absence of such an one, they should at least honour the genius of the language in the great stylist ? and that, like Cicero himself, Cicero's readers also should accustom themselves to ask not what, but how he had written ? Custom and the school-master then completed what the power of language had begun.

**Opposition
to Cicero-
nianism.**

Cicero's contemporaries however were, as may readily be conceived, far less involved in this strange idolatry than many of their successors. The Ciceronian manner ruled no doubt throughout a generation the Roman advocate-world, just as the far worse manner of Hortensius had done ; but the most considerable men, such as Caesar, kept themselves always aloof from it, and among the younger generation there arose in all men of fresh and living talent the most decided opposition to that hybrid and feeble rhetoric.

They found Cicero's language deficient in precision and chasteness, his jests deficient in liveliness, his arrangement deficient in clearness and articulate division, and above all his whole eloquence wanting in the fire which makes the orator. Instead of the Rhodian eclectics men began to recur to the genuine Attic orators, especially to Lysias and Demosthenes, and sought to naturalize a more vigorous and masculine eloquence in Rome. Representatives of this tendency were, the solemn but stiff Marcus Junius Brutus (669-712); the two political partisans Marcus Caelius Rufus (672-706; p. 317) and Gaius Scribonius Curio (t 705; p- 183, 233)—both as orators full of spirit and life; Calvus well known also as a poet (672 — 706), the literary coryphaeus of this younger group of orators; and the earnest and conscientious Gaius Asinius Pollio (678-757). Undeniably there was more taste and more spirit in this younger oratorical literature than in the Hortensian and Ciceronian put together; but we are not able to judge how far, amidst the storms of the revolution which rapidly swept away the whole of this richly-gifted group with the single exception of Pollio, those better germs attained development. The time allotted to them was but too brief. The new monarchy began by making war on freedom of speech, and soon wholly suppressed the political oration. Thenceforth the subordinate species of the pure advocate-pleading was doubtless still retained in literature; but the higher art and literature of oratory, which thoroughly depend on political excitement, perished with the latter of necessity and for ever.

Lastly there sprang up in the aesthetic literature of this period the artistic treatment of subjects of professional science in the form of the stylistic dialogue, which had been very extensively in use among the Greeks and had been already employed also in isolated cases among the Romans (iv. 251). Cicero especially made various attempts at pre-

**Calvus
and his
associates**

85-42.

82-48.

49.

82-48.

76-4 A.i.

**The
artificial
dialogue
applied to
the pro-
fessional
sciences.**

Cicero's
Dialogues.

- senting rhetorical and philosophical subjects in this form and making the professional manual a suitable book for reading.
55. His chief writings are the *De Oratort* (written in 699), to which the history of Roman eloquence (the dialogue *Brutus*^
46. written in 708) and other minor rhetorical essays were added by way of supplement; and the treatise *De Republica*
54. (written in 700), with which the treatise *De Legibus* (written
- 52? in 702 ?) after the model of Plato is brought into connection. They are no great works of art, but undoubtedly they are the works in which the excellences of the author are most, and his defects least, conspicuous. The rhetorical writings are far from coming up to the didactic chasteness of form and precision of thought of the Rhetoric dedicated to Herennius, but they contain instead a store of practical forensic experience and forensic anecdotes of all sorts easily and tastefully set forth, and in fact solve the problem of combining didactic instruction with amusement. The treatise *De Republica* carries out, in a singular mongrel compound of history and philosophy, the leading idea that the existing constitution of Rome is substantially the ideal state-organization sought for by the philosophers; an idea indeed just as unphilosophical as unhistorical, and besides not even peculiar to the author, but which, as may readily be conceived, became and remained popular. The scientific groundwork of these rhetorical and political writings of Cicero belongs of course entirely to the Greeks, and many of the details also, such as the grand concluding effect in the treatise *De Republica* the Dream of Scipio, are directly borrowed from them ; yet they possess comparative originality, inasmuch as the elaboration shows throughout Roman local colouring, and the proud consciousness of political life, which the Roman was certainly entitled to feel as compared with the Greeks, makes the author even confront his Greek instructors with a certain independence. The form of Cicero's dialogue is doubtless neither the genuine inter-

rogative dialectics of the best Greek artificial dialogue nor the genuine conversational tone of Diderot or Lessing; but the great groups of advocates gathering around Crassus and Antonius and of the older and younger statesmen of the Scipionic circle furnish a lively and effective framework, fitting channels for the introduction of historical references and anecdotes, and convenient resting-points for the scientific discussion. The style is quite as elaborate and polished as in the best-written orations, and so far more pleasing than these, since the author does not often in this field make a vain attempt at pathos.

While these rhetorical and political writings of Cicero with a philosophic colouring are not devoid of merit, the compiler on the other hand completely failed, when in the involuntary leisure of the last years of his life (709-710) 45-44. he applied himself to philosophy proper, and with equal peevishness and precipitation composed in a couple of months a philosophical library. The receipt was very simple. In rude imitation of the popular writings of Aristotle, in which the form of dialogue was employed chiefly for the setting forth and criticising of the different older systems, Cicero stitched together the Epicurean, Stoic, and Syncretist writings handling the same problem, as they came or were given to his hand, into a so-called dialogue. And all that he did on his own part was, to supply an introduction prefixed to the new book from the ample collection of prefaces for future works which he had beside him; to impart a certain popular character, inasmuch as he interwove Roman examples and references, and sometimes digressed to subjects irrelevant but more familiar to the writer and the reader, such as the treatment of the deportment of the orator in the *De Officiis*; and to exhibit that sort of bungling, which a man of letters, who has not attained to philosophic thinking or even to philosophic knowledge and who works rapidly and boldly, shows in the

reproduction of dialectic trains of thought. In this way no doubt a multitude of thick tomes might very quickly come into existence—"They are copies," wrote the author himself to a friend who wondered at his fertility; "they give me little trouble, for I supply only the words and these I have in abundance." Against this nothing further could be said; but any one who seeks classical productions in works so written can only be advised to study in literary matters a becoming silence.

Profes-
sional
sciences.
Latin
philology.
Varro.

Of the sciences only a single one manifested vigorous life, that of Latin philology. The scheme of linguistic and antiquarian research within the domain of the Latin race, planned by Silo, was carried out especially by his disciple Varro on the grandest scale. There appeared comprehensive elaborations of the whole stores of the language, more especially the extensive grammatical commentaries of Figulus and the great work of Varro *De Lingua Latina*; monographs on grammar and the history of the language, such as Varro's writings on the usage of the Latin language, on synonyms, on the age of the letters, on the origin of the Latin tongue; scholia on the older literature, especially on Plautus; works of literary history, biographies of poets, investigations into the earlier drama, into the scenic division of the comedies of Plautus, and into their genuineness. Latin archaeology, which embraced the whole older history and the ritual law apart from practical jurisprudence, was comprehended in Varro's "Antiquities of Things Human and Divine," which was and for all times remained the fundamental treatise on the subject (published between

67. 45. 687 and 709). The first portion, "Of Things Human," described the primeval age of Rome, the divisions of city and country, the sciences of the years, months, and days, lastly, the public transactions at home and in war; in the second half, "Of Things Divine," the state-theology, the nature and significance of the colleges of experts, of the

holy places, of the religious festivals, of sacrificial and votive gifts, and lastly of the gods themselves were summarily unfolded. Moreover, besides a number of monographs—*e.g.* on the descent of the Roman people, on the Roman *gentes* descended from Troy, on the tribes—there was added, as a larger and more independent supplement, the treatise "Of the Life of the Roman People"—a remarkable attempt at a history of Roman manners, which sketched a picture of the state of domestic life, finance, and culture in the regal, the early republican, the Hannibalic, and the most recent period. These labours of Varro were based on an empiric knowledge of the Roman world and its adjacent Hellenic domain more various and greater in its kind than any other Roman either before or after him possessed—a knowledge to which living observation and the study of literature alike contributed. The eulogy of his contemporaries was well deserved, that Varro had enabled his countrymen—strangers in their own world—to know their position in their native land, and had taught the Romans who and where they were. But criticism and system will be sought for in vain. His Greek information seems to have come from somewhat confused sources, and there are traces that even in the Roman field the writer was not free from the influence of the historical romance of his time. The matter is doubtless inserted in a convenient and symmetrical framework, but not classified or treated methodically; and with all his efforts to bring tradition and personal observation into harmony, the scientific labours of Varro are not to be acquitted of a certain implicit faith in tradition or of an unpractical scholasticism.¹ The connection with Greek

i A remarkable example is the general exposition regarding cattle in the treatise on Husbandry (ii. i) with the nine times nine subdivisions of the doctrine of cattle-rearing, with the "incredible but true" fact that the mares at Olisipo (Lisbon) become pregnant by the wind, and generally

philology consists in the imitation of its defects more than of its excellences; for instance, the basing of etymologies on mere similarity of sound both in Varro himself and in the other philologues of this epoch runs into pure guesswork and often into downright absurdity.¹ In its empiric confidence and copiousness as well as in its empiric inadequacy and want of method the Varronian vividly reminds us of the English national philology, and just like the latter, finds its centre in the study of the older drama. We have already observed that the monarchical literature developed the rules of language in contradistinction to this linguistic empiricism (p. 457). It is in a high degree significant that there stands at the head of the modern grammarians no less a man than Caesar himself, who in his treatise on Analogy (given forth between 696 and 704) first undertook to bring free language under the power of law.

58. 50.

The other
profes-
sional
sciences.

Alongside of this extraordinary stir in the field of philology the small amount of activity in the other sciences is surprising. What appeared of importance in philosophy—such as Lucretius' representation of the Epicurean system in the poetical child-dress of the pre-Socratic philosophy, and the better writings of Cicero—produced its effect and found its audience not through its philosophic contents, but in spite of such contents solely through its aesthetic form; the numerous translations of Epicurean writings and the Pythagorean works, such as Varro's great treatise

with its singular mixture of philosophical, historical, and agricultural notices.

¹ Thus Varro derives *facere* from *fades*, because he who makes anything gives to it an appearance, *volpes*, the fox, after *Stilo* from *volare fedibus* as the flying-footed; Gaius Trebatius, a philosophical jurist of this age, derives *sacellum* from *sacra cella*, *Figulus frater* from *fere alter* and so forth. This practice, which appears not merely in isolated instances but as a main element of the philological literature of this age, presents a very great resemblance to the mode in which till recently comparative philology was prosecuted, before insight into the organism of language put a stop to the occupation of the empirics.

on the Elements of Numbers and the still more copious one of Figulus concerning the Gods, had beyond doubt neither scientific nor formal value.

Even the professional sciences were but feebly cultivated. Varro's Books on Husbandry written in the form of dialogue are no doubt more methodical than those of his predecessors Cato and Saserna—on which accordingly he drops many a side glance of censure—but have on the whole proceeded more from the study than, like those earlier works, from living experience. Of the juristic labours of Varro and of Servius Sulpicius Rufus (consul in 703) hardly aught more can be said, than that they contributed to the dialectic and philosophical embellishment of Roman jurisprudence. And there is nothing farther here to be mentioned, except perhaps the three books of Gaius Matius on cooking, pickling, and making preserves—so far as we know, the earliest Roman cookery-book, and, as the work of a man of rank, certainly a phenomenon deserving of notice. That mathematics and physics were stimulated by the increased Hellenistic and utilitarian tendencies of the monarchy, is apparent from their growing importance in the instruction of youth (p. 449) and from various practical applications; under which, besides the reform of the calendar (p. 438), may perhaps be included the appearance of wall-maps at this period, the technical improvements in shipbuilding and in musical instruments, designs and buildings like the aviary specified by Varro, the bridge of piles over the Rhine executed by the engineers of Caesar, and even two semi-circular stages of boards arranged for being pushed together, and employed first separately as two theatres and then jointly as an amphitheatre. The public exhibition of foreign natural curiosities at the popular festivals was not unusual; and the descriptions of remarkable animals, which Caesar has embodied in the reports of his campaigns, show that, had an Aristotle appeared, he would have again found

his patron-prince. - But such literary performances as are mentioned in this department are essentially associated with Neopythagoreanism, such as the comparison of Greek and Barbarian, *i.e.* Egyptian, celestial observations by Figulus, and his writings concerning animals, winds, and generative organs. After Greek physical research generally had swerved from the Aristotelian effort to find amidst individual facts the law, and had more and more passed into an empiric and mostly uncritical observation of the external and surprising in nature, natural science when coming forward as a mystical philosophy of nature, instead of enlightening and stimulating, could only still more stupefy and paralyze ; and in presence of such a method it was better to rest satisfied with the platitude which Cicero delivers as Socratic wisdom, that the investigation of nature either seeks after things which nobody can know, or after such things as nobody needs to know.

**Architect-
ure.**

If, in fine, we cast a glance at art, we discover here the same unpleasing phenomena which pervade the whole mental life of this period. Building on the part of the state was virtually brought to a total stand amidst the scarcity of money that marked the last age of the republic. We have already spoken of the luxury in building of the Roman grandees ; the architects learned in consequence of this to be lavish of marble—the coloured sorts such as the yellow Numidian (*Giallo antico*) and others came into vogue at this time, and the marble-quarries of Luna (*Carrara*) were now employed for the first time—and began to inlay the floors of the rooms with mosaic work, to panel the walls with slabs of marble, or to paint the compartments in imitation of marble—the first steps towards the subsequent fresco-painting. But art was not a gainer by this lavish magnificence.

**Arts of
design.**

In the arts of design connoisseurship and collecting were always on the increase. It was a mere affectation of

Catonian simplicity, when an advocate spoke before the jurymen of the works of art " of a certain Praxiteles " ; every one travelled and inspected, and the trade of the art-ciceroni, or, as they were then called, the *exegetae*, was none of the worst. Ancient works of art were formally hunted after—statues and pictures less, it is true, than, in accordance with the rude character of Roman luxury, artistically wrought furniture and ornaments of all sorts for the room and the table. As early as that age the old Greek tombs of Capua and Corinth were ransacked for the sake of the bronze and earthenware vessels which had been placed in the tomb along with the dead. For a small statuette of bronze 40,000 sesterces (£400) were paid, and 200,000 (£2000) for a pair of costly carpets; a well-wrought bronze cooking machine came to cost more than an estate. In this barbaric hunting after art the rich amateur was, as might be expected, frequently cheated by those who supplied him; but the economic ruin of Asia Minor in particular so exceedingly rich in artistic products brought many really ancient and rare ornaments and works of art into the market, and from Athens, Syracuse, Cyzicus, Pergamus, Chios, Samos, and other ancient seats of art, everything that was for sale and vary much that was not migrated to the palaces and villas of the Roman grandees. We have already mentioned what treasures of art were to be found within the house of Lucullus, who indeed was accused, perhaps not unjustly, of having gratified his interest in the fine arts at the expense of his duties as a general. The amateurs of art crowded thither as they crowd at present to the Villa Borghese, and complained even then of such treasures being confined to the palaces and country-houses of the men of quality, where they could be seen only with difficulty and after special permission from the possessor. The public buildings on the other hand 'were far from filled in like proportion with famous

works of Greek masters, and in many cases there still stood in the temples of the capital nothing but the old images of the gods carved in wood. As to the exercise of art there is virtually nothing to report; there is hardly mentioned by name from this period any Roman sculptor or painter except a certain Arellius, whose pictures rapidly went off not on account of their artistic value, but because the cunning reprobate furnished in his pictures of the goddesses faithful portraits of his mistresses for the time being.

Dancing
and music.

The importance of music and dancing increased in public as in domestic life. We have already set forth how theatrical music and the dancing-piece attained to an independent standing in the development of the stage at this period (p. 472); we may add that now in Rome itself representations were very frequently given by Greek musicians, dancers, and declaimers on the public stage—such as were usual in Asia Minor and generally in the whole Hellenic and Hellenizing world.¹ To these fell to be added the musicians

¹ Such "Greek entertainments" were very frequent not merely in the Greek cities of Italy, especially in Naples (Cic. *pro Arch.* 5, 10; Plut. *Brut.* 21), but even now also in Rome (iv. 192; Cic. *Ad Fam.* vii. 1, 3; *Ad Att.* xvi. 5, 1; Sueton. *Caes.* 39; Plut. *Brut.* 21). When the well-known epitaph of Licinia Eucharis fourteen years of age, which probably belongs to the end of this period, makes this "girl well instructed and taught in all arts by the Muses themselves" shine as a dancer in the private exhibitions of noble houses and appear first in public on the Greek stage (*modo nobilium ludos decoravi choro, et Graeca in scaena prima populo apparui*), this doubtless can only mean that she was the first girl that appeared on the public Greek stage in Rome; as generally indeed it was not till this epoch that women began to come forward publicly in Rome (p. 469).

These "Greek entertainments" in Rome seem not to have been properly scenic, but rather to have belonged to the category of composite exhibitions—primarily musical and declamatory—such as were not of rare occurrence in subsequent times also in Greece (Welcker, *Griech. Trag.*, p. 1277). This view is supported by the prominence of flute-playing in Polybius (xxx. 13) and of dancing in the account of Suetonius regarding the armed dances from Asia Minor performed at Caesar's games and in the epitaph of Eucharis; the description also of the *citharoedus* (*Ad Her.* iv. 47, 60; comp. Vitruv. v. 5, 7) must have been derived from such "Greek entertainments." The combinations of these representations in Rome with Greek athletic combats is significant (Polyb. *l. c.*; Liv. xxxix, 2a). Dramatic recitations were by no means excluded from these mixed

and dancing-girls who exhibited their arts to order at table and elsewhere, and the special choirs of stringed and wind instruments and singers which were no longer rare in noble houses. But that even the world of quality itself played and sang with diligence, is shown by the very adoption of music into the cycle of the generally recognized subjects of instruction (p. 449); as to dancing, it was, to say nothing of women, made matter of reproach even against consulars that they exhibited themselves in dancing performances amidst a small circle.

Towards the end of this period, however, there appears with the commencement of the monarchy the beginning of a better time also in art. We have already mentioned the mighty stimulus which building in the capital received, and building throughout the empire was destined to receive, through Caesar. Even in the cutting of the dies of the coins there appears about 700 a remarkable change; the stamping, hitherto for the most part rude and negligent, is thenceforward managed with more delicacy and care.

**Incipient
influence
of the
monarchy.**

We have reached the end of the Roman republic. We have seen it rule for five hundred years in Italy and in the countries on the Mediterranean; we have seen it brought to ruin in politics and morals, religion and literature, not through outward violence but through inward decay, and thereby making room for the new monarchy of Caesar. There was in the world, as Caesar found it, much of the

Conclusion.

entertainments, since among the players whom Lucius Anicius caused to appear in 587 in Rome, tragedians are expressly mentioned; there was however no exhibition of plays in the strict sense, but either whole dramas, or perhaps still more frequently pieces taken from them, were declaimed or sung to the flute by single artists. This must accordingly have been done also in Rome; but to all appearance for the Roman public the main matter in these Greek games was the music and dancing, and the text probably had little more significance for them than the texts of the Italian opera for the Londoners and Parisians of the present day. Those composite entertainments with their confused medley were far better suited for the Roman public, and especially for exhibitions in private houses, than proper scenic performances in the Greek language; the view that the latter also took place in Rome cannot be refuted, but can as little be proved.

noble heritage of past centuries and an infinite abundance of pomp and glory, but little spirit, still less taste, and least of all true delight in life. It was indeed an old world; and even the richly-gifted patriotism of Caesar could not make it young again. The dawn does not return till after the night has fully set in and run its course. But yet with him there came to the sorely harassed peoples on the Mediterranean a tolerable evening after the sultry noon; and when at length after a long historical night the new day dawned once more for the peoples, and fresh nations in free self-movement commenced their race towards new and higher goals, there were found among them not a few, in which the seed sown by Caesar had sprung up, and which owed, as they still owe. to him their national individuality.

INDEX

[In this Index the names of persons are given under the gentile *nomen*, and are arranged in the alphabetic order of the *praenomina*, and, under this, in the chronological order of holding the consulate or other official position. Thus Cicero will be found under M. *Tullius* Cicero, and Caesar under C. *Julius* Caesar. The letter /, as in 102 f., denotes that the subject is continued in the following page ; the letter n., as in 102 n., refers to the *note* either *by itself*, or *in addition* to matter in the text.]

ABBREVIATIONS, Roman, i. 279

Abdera, ii. 503; iv. 44

Abella burnt, iv. 63

Abgarus, Arab prince, iv. 422. Allied with the Parthians against Crassus, v. 153. 154. 155

Aborigines, ii. 106; iii. 187

Abrupolis, ii. 493, 496

Abruzzi, i. 5, 6, 147, 434; iii. 501, 508

Abydos, ii. 406, 417, 418, 4471 461

Academy, the Newer, iv. 197-200

Acarnania and the Acarnanians, ii. 216, 217 318, 397, 403, 418, 421, 429, 432, 435, 438, 457, 476, 501., 517

Acca Larentia, i. 209

L. Accius, tragic poet, iv. 222, 223f., 252

Acco, Carnutic knight, beheaded, v. 74

Accusers, professional, iv. 104

Acerrae, ii. 304. Victory over the Italians, iii. 510, 515; iv. 66

Achaean, ii. 215, 217, 318, 405, 421, 423, 427, 430, 435, 437, 439. 456, 476-480, 497, 498F., 517f., iii. 234f., 261; iv. 35. War against them, iii. 264-270. Achaean league dissolved, iii. 271. Province of Achaia, iii. 270-272. Taxation of, iv. 158

Achaean on the Caucasus, iv. 416

Achaean colonies in Italy and Sicily, i. 165f. Their distinctive character, 170f.

League of the cities, i. 170-173 ; reconstructed against the Lucanians, i. 454.

Agricultural towns, i. 173. Coins, i. 171.

Alphabet, i. 173f. Decay, i. 172

Achaeus, Syrian satrap, ii. 444

Achaeus, general of the slaves in first Sicilian war, iii. 310

Achaia, province of, iii. 270-272

Achillas, general of Ptolemaeus Dionysus, v. 271, 276

Achilles, ancestor of Pyrrhus, ii. 3

Achradina, ii. 311f.

Achulla, iii. 244. Exempt from taxes, in. 259

C. Acilius, chronicler, iv. 248

M'. Acilius Glabrio [consul, 563], ii. 457.

Attempts to rectify the calendar, iii. 194

M'. Acilius Glabrio [consul, 687], iv. 349f., 388, 395f.

Acrae, Syracusan, ii. 204

Acta diurna, iv. 279 n.

Actus, i, 265

Adcenst velati, i. 117

Adherbaf, iii. 389-392

Adiabene, iv. 315, 343

Adoption, i. 73

Adramytium, ii. 462; iii. 260f.; iv. 46

Adriatic Sea, origin of the name, i. 4x8

Adrogatio, i. 95

Adsidui, i. 115

Adsignatio viritana, i. 240 n.

Aduatua, v. 73

Aduatuci, origin of, iii. 445; v. 32. Conflicts with them, v. 52, 54

Aeacides, father of Pyrrhus, ii. 6

Aeacus, ancestor of Pyrrhus, ii. 3

Aeca, ii. 280

Aeclanum, town of the Hirpini, iii. 502, 523

Aedicula, i. 225

Aediles Ceriales, v. 346, 374

Aediles curules, their institution, i. 383.

Original functions: market-supervision and police, and celebration of the city

- festival, i. 383; ii. 97; Hi. 41. Plebeians eligible, i. 383. Police duties in Rome, ii. 84. Jurisdiction, ii. 66; iv. 128. Included among curule magistracies, iii. 6, 7
- Aediles plebis*, founded on model of the quaestors, i. 354 *n.* Original functions: charge of the archives, i. 349, 354 *n.*; support of the tribunes in their judicial functions, i. 351; decrees of the senate deposited in their charge, i. 369. Jurisdiction, iv. 127
- Aediles* in the Municipia, founded on the model of the curule aedileship in Rome,
- Aegates Insulae, Phoenician, ii. 143. Battle at the, ii. 195
- Aegina, i. 308; ii. 319, 402, 417, 423, 437, 478. Beetle-stone found there, i. 307
- Aegium, iii. 267
- Sex. Aelius Paetus [consul, 556], his legal treatise ("Tripartita"), iii. 195
- L. Aelius Praeconinus Stilo, of Lanuvium, teacher of Roman literature, iv. 216, 252
- Aemilii, clan-village, i. 45. Their descent, ii. 107
- Aemilius Lepidus, a Sullan, iv. 90
- Aemilius Macer, poet, v. 480
- L. Aemilius Papus [consul, 529], ii. 224f.
- L. Aemilius Paullus [consul, 538], ii. 220, 286-290
- L. Aemilius Paullus [consul, 572, 586], ii. 390 *n.* Opposed to Perseus, ii. 505 *f.* His incorruptibility, iii. 31. His demeanour to the provincials, iii. 33. Carries Greek art-treasures to Rome, iii. 208. His austerity, iii. 18, 42. His estate, iii. 89. Augur, iii. 1x2. His Hellenic culture, iii. 209; iv. 212
- L. Aemilius Regillus [praetor, 564], ii. 462
- Mamercus Aemilius, Roman commander in the Social war, iii. 526
- Mamercus Aemilius Lepidus Livianus [consul, 677], iv. 269
- M. Aemilius Lepidus [consul, 567, 579], ii. 416, 418
- M. Aemilius Lepidus Porcina [consul, 617], defeated by the Vaccaeii, iii. 229. Orator, iv. 215
- M. Aemilius Lepidus [consul, 676], his party-position, iv. 280f. I Preparations for civil war, iv. 287-290. Insurrection, iv. 290f. Defeat and death, iv. 291
- M. Aemilius Lepidus, Caesar's city-prefect, v. 218
- M. Aemilius Scaurus [consul, 639; censor, 645], leader of the aristocracy, iii. 376, 393f., 475, 484, 503. His character, iii, 379. Sent as envoy to Jugurtha, iii. 392. Commander in Jugurthine war, iii. 393 *f.* Against the Taurisci, iii. 428. Tried for extortion, iii. 482. Attitude to the proposals of Drusus, iii. 483. His roads, bridges, and drainage, iv. 167, 170
- M. Aemilius Scaurus, adjutant of Pompeius, iv. 429f., 432
- Q. Aemilius Papus [consul, 476], ii. 30
- Aenaria, i. 175, 178; iii. 541. Syracusan, i. 4x6. Withdrawn by Sulla from Neapolis, iv. 107
- Aeneas in Homer, ii. 108. Legend of Aeneas in Italy, ii. 108-xn. Invented by Stesichorus, ii. 108. First occurs in the current form with Timaeus, ii. xxo. In the Roman chroniclers, iv. 249
- Aenus, ii. 417, 465, 486, 512
- Aeolus, i. 117
- Aepulo, ii. 372
- Aequi, settlements of, i. 444 *n.* Their conflicts with Rome, i. 135. Subdued by the Romans, i. 444f. The league dissolved, i. 484
- Aequiculi, i. 47; 444 *n.*
- Aerarii*, settlers paying tribute for protection, i. 12X
- Aerarium, i. 137. After the abolition of the monarchy legally under the control of the quaestors nominated by, and representing, the consuls, i. 322, 338
- Aeropus, ii. 428
- Aeschylus, iii. 167
- Aesculanus, god of copper, ii. 70
- Aesculapius, early worshipped in Rome, i. 230 *f.* Brought thither from Epidaurus, ii. 71. Temple of, in Carthage, iii. 248, 257; at Epidaurus, iv. 40; at Pergamus, iv. 53
- Aesepus, river, iv. 328
- Aesernia, colonized, ii. 39. Tus of, ii. 52 *n.*
- I Remained faithful to the Romans in the Social war, iii. 502-509. Conquered, iii. 510; and held by the Samnites, iii. 524. Conquered by Sulla (?), iv. 91 *n.*; and laid desolate, iv. 108
- Aesis, iv. 85. Boundary of Italy, ii. 220; iv. 122 -*n.*
- Aesopus, actor, v. 384
- Aestimatio*, derived from *aes*, i. 252
- Aes uxoriunij* ii. 66
- Aethalia, occupied by the Hellenes, i. 178, 416. Wrested from them by the Etruscans, i. 181. Iron of, i. 182
- Aetna, ii. 162
- Aetolians, i. 169 *n.*; IL 2x5, 2x7, 397.

- Attitude to Rome in second Punic war, ii. 215-219. Position thereafter, ii. 404. Share in the war with Philip, ii. 409, 410, 430, 421, 426-430, 433, 435. Treatment by the Romans, ii. 437f. Quarrel with Rome, and share in the war with Antiochus, ii. 451, 452, 456, 457, 764, 765. Attitude during the war with Perseus, ii. 495-498, 501 f., 517. Aetolia, a recruiting-ground, ii. 162
- L. Afranius, poet, iv. 230
- L. Afranius, lieutenant of Pompeius in the Sertorian war, iv. 296. Subdues the Arabs, iv. 429. Triumph, as governor of Cisalpine Gaul, v. 103. In Spain, v. 2x9. Slain by Caesar's soldiers, v. 300
- T. Afranius. See Lafrenius
- Afri*, i. 185 n.
- Africa, before the time of the Gracchi, iii. 237-260. Made a province, iii. 258[^]. Relations after the battle of Pharsalus, v. 269. In the hands of the Pompeians, v. 285-290. Its regulation by Caesar, v. 301
- Agatha, iii. 415
- Agathocles, of Syracuse, i. 418, 478, 491; ii. 18, 28, 145, 161. Takes the Mamertines into his pay, ii. 18. His armies of mercenaries, ii. 163
- Agedincum, v. 79, 86, 87
- Agelaus, of Naupactus, ii. 315
- Agepohs, Rhodian envoy, ii. 514
- Ager Gallicus*, i. 434; iii. 99
- Ager publicus*. See Domains
- Agessipolis, ii. 438
- Agis, commander in Tarentum before arrival of Pyrrhus, ii. 16
- A gnat i* and *Gentiles*, distinction between, i. 78
- Agnone, i. 146
- Agonalia*, i. 207
- Agonia*, i. 209
- Agarian Laws. See Domains, *Leges Agrariae*
- Agriculture, its original home, i. 81. More recent than the Indo-Germamic culture, i. 19, 20. Known to the Graeco-Italians, i. 23-27. Basis of the whole Italian economy, i. 61, 236. Priestly supervision, i. 226. Kinds of produce, iii. 64 n., 66 f. (*compare* Spelt; Wheat). Defective management, but unwearied diligence, i. 243. Employment of slaves (*see* Slaves). Farming labourers, iii. 70. Later estate-farming, iii. 65-82. Husbandry of the petty farmers, iii. 74. Insolvency of the landholders and diminution of the farmer-class, i. 245, 343-346. Improvement in the relations of credit, i. 389-393. Recurrence of the old evils, iii. 79, 82, 97-100. Condition of, before and at the time of the Gracchi, iii. 304f., 312f.; iv. 171f. Revival by the Gracchi, iii. 335f.; iv. 172. Condition after the Gracchan revolution, iii. 380f.. Colonizations of Sulla, iv. 172. In the time of Caesar, v. 377f, 382f., 403. Differences in different parts of Italy, iii. 490 f., 501. Differences in the provinces, iii. 304-308; iv. 172. Estimated produce, iii. 81n. Carthaginian estate-farming, ii. 138. Writings on agriculture, iii. 194. *Compare* Soil, division of; Grain
- Agrigentum founded, ii. 28, 145, 156. Occupied by the Carthaginians in second Punic war, ii. 311. Colonized afresh by the Romans, ii. 314. Occupied by Cleon, iii. 310. Conquered by the Carthaginians, i. 166, 183. Besieged and occupied by the Romans in the first Punic war, ii. 171 f.
- Agrius, son of Ulysses and Circe, i. 177
- Agron, ii. 218
- Agylla, Phoenician name of Caere, i. 163
- Aiax, name, whence derived, i. 258
- Aiorix, iii. 276 n.
- Akragas. See Agrigentum
- Alae sociorum*, i. 440 n.
- Alaesa, ii. 171, 211 n., 213
- Alalia, Etruscan, i. 187. Battle at, i. 18 f., 413; ii. 134
- Alba, i. 48. Oldest can-ton-community in Latium, i. 49. President of the Latin league, i. 50, 51. Subdued by Rome, i. 125 f. Semblance of existence after destruction, i. 128. Dictator there, i. 442 n. At the time of its fall, under annual dictators, i. 442 n. Opposes Rome, iii. 242
- Alba, on the Fucine Lake, ii. 507; iii. 261; iv. 291. Colonized, i. 484. Surprised by the Aequi, i. 486. Adheres to Rome in Social war, iii. 502, 509
- Alban Lake, i. 48. Outfall of, i. 49, 30a
- Alban Mount, i. 48, 50
- Albanians, i. 12 n.; iii. 425
- Albanians in the Caucasus, iv. 413-416
- Albinovanus, iv. 87
- Albinus. See Postumius
- Statius Albius Oppianicus, iv. 104
- T. Albucius, Epicurean, iv. 201
- Album*, i. 280
- Alcamenes, Achaean general, iii. 269
- Alchaudonius, Arab prince, iv. 423

- Alcibiades, ii. 87, 92, 144
 Aleria conquered, ii. 177
 Alesia besieged by Caesar, v. 86-91
 Aletrium, i. 485
 Alexamenus, ii. 452
 Alexander the Great, his relations to the west, ii. 43 n. Political value of his enterprises in the east, ii. 45, 396, 399; v. 100f.
 Alexander I., of Egypt, iv. 4
 Alexander II., of Egypt, his will, iv. 316, 319, 515
 Alexander Jannaeus, iv. 316
 Alexander the Molossian, general of Tarentum, conquers the Lucanians, Samnites, Daunians, and Messapians, i. 465f. Breaks with the Tarentines, i. 466. His plan to unite all the Italian Greeks, i. 466. Death, i. 466
 Alexander, the pretended son of Perseus, iii. 363.
 Alexander, son of King Aristobulus, iv. 448
 Alexander, son of Pyrrhus, ii. 31
 Alexander Polyhistor, v. 460
 Alexandria in Egypt, ii. 400, 516; iii. 122. Insurrection against Caesar, v. 275-281
 Alexandria Troas, ii. 260, 446, 453
 Alexandrinism, Greek, v. 463f., 479
 Alexandrinism, Roman, iv. 259; v. 465-467, 479
 Sex. Alfenus, Roman knight, proscribed by Sulla, iv. 104 n.
 C. Alfius [praetor, 698], v. 123
 Allia, battle on the, i. 428
 Allies, Italian, bound to furnish naval or military contingents, ii. 53, 54. In the Hannibalic war, ii. 345 f. Diminution of their rights thereafter, iii. 24f. And increasing oppression, iii. 25. Acquisition of Roman franchise made more difficult, iii. 27. Relations to Rome in time of Gracchi, iii. 361 f. Later, iii. 485-489. Their war with Rome, iii. 490-520; iv. 62. Bestowal of franchise after it, see *Civitas*. Italians abroad, iv. 177, 190; v. 394 f. *Compart* Latin League
 Allobroges, ii. 259f.; iii. 417f., 443. Betray the Catilinarians, iv. 480. Insurrection and subjugation, v. 8, 10. Their towns, v. 14
 Almonds, iii. 65 «.
 Aloe, iii. 65 n.
 Alphabet, whether a Phoenician invention, ii. 133. Aramaean consonantal writing vocalized in the west, i. 273. Phoenician, adopted by the Libyans, ii. 141 n.
 History of the Greek alphabet, i. 274 n. Its older form among the Italian Achaeans, i. 170. More recent in the Iono-Doric colonies, i. 173 n. Etruscan and Latin alphabets both derived from the Greek, i. 258, 272-277. Development of, in Italy, i. 277-283. Latin, regulated with the progress of culture, ii. 114 f. Adjusted by Carvilius, adopting the "g," and rejecting the "z," iii. 191. Ennius introduces the double writing of double consonants, iii. 192. Carried by the Etruscans to the Celts and Alpine peoples, i. 435. Libyan, ii. 141 n. Iberian, ii. 235
 Alps, passes from Gaul to Italy, i. 423 n.; ii. 257-259. Passage by Hannibal, ii. 259-264. Peoples of the, before Caesar's time, iii. 425 f.; attacked by the Romans, v. 103
 Alsium, i. 178. Primitive tombs there, i. 252, 302
 Amanus, v. 288
 Amasia, iv. 332
 Amastris, iv. 26, 333
Ambacti, derivation of the word, v. 20, 21 n.
 Amber-route from Baltic to Mediterranean, i. 162
 Ambiatius, king of the Bituriges, i. 423
 Ambiorix, king of the Eburones, v. 68f., 73
Ambitus, law against, i. 377; iii. 302
 Ambracia, ii. 476, 501. Captured by Pyrrhus, ii. 7
 Ambrani, iv. 469 n.
 Ambrones, iii. 445, 446
 Ameria, city chronicle of, ii. 103
Amici, iii. 91
 Amida, iv. 338
 Aminean wine, iv. 172
 Amisus, iv. 12, 330, 331, 333. Burnt by the inhabitants, iv. 333. Rebuilt and enlarged by Lucullus, iv. 440
 Amiternum, Sabine town, obtains *civitas sine suffragio*, i. 492. See *Sabines*.
 Amnias, tributary of the Halys, iv. 29
 Amphipolis, ii. 493, 508, 509 n., 517; iv. 39
 Amynder, ii. 421, 438, 456, 476
 Anagnia, i. 481, 484f.; ii. 23
 Anaitis, temple of, in Elymais, iv. 343
 Anapus, ii. 311
 Anares, ii. 221, 226
 Anas, iii. 224; iv. 284
 Anaxilas of Rhegium and Zancle, i. 4x5
 Ancestral lays, i. 288, 289
 Ancona, i. 176, 417; ii. 60, 220; iv. 74
 Aneus Marcius. See *Marcus*

- Andetrium, Hi. 427
 Andriscus. See Philippus, pseudo-
 Andronicus. See Livius
 Andros, ii. 417, 426, 460
 Anerostus, ii. 223, 226
An-geronalia, i. 208
 L. Anicius [praetor, 587], ii. 508
 Anio, i. 4a. Settlement of the Claudii on the, i. 45
Annales, i. 102, 104. Character of official Roman, iv. 248f. Compare Historical Composition
 C. Annius, Sulla's lieutenant in Further Spain, iv. 93
 M. Annius [quaestor in Macedonia, 636], iii. 428 n.
 T. Annus Milo, v. 114, 144 f., 148, 316, 317, 389
Annus, i. 268
Anquisitio, ii. 68
 Antemnae, i. 58, 125; iv. 89
 Anticyra, ii. 319, 430
 Antigonus, general of Alexander the Great, ii. 6
 Antigonus Doson, ii. 220, 246
 Antigonus Gonatas, ii. 236
 Antioch in Syria, iv. 316, 341, 427. Becomes a residence of Tigranes, iv. 317
 Antiochus I., Soter, ii. 402
 Antiochus III., the Great, ii. 314. War with Egypt, ii. 410, 444/. Conduct during Roman intervention in Macedonia, ii. 416-418, 427- Breach with Rome, ii. 443-450. War, ii. 450-468. Peace, ii. 465-468. Death, ii. 468
 Antiochus IV., Epiphanes, of Syria, ii. 499; Hi. 275, 282, 285, 286, 287. War with Egypt, and Roman intervention, ii. 499, 515 f. Introduces Roman gladiatorial games into Syria, iii. 127. Levelling policy, iii. 285
 Antiochus Eupator, recognized by the Romans as the successor of Antiochus Epiphanes, iii. 282
 Antiochus the Asiatic, Syrian prince, iv. 335, 341, 437
 Antiochus of Commagene, iv. 41, 427, 437
 Antiochus of Cyzicus, iv. 4
 Antiochus Grypus, iv. 4
 Antiochus of Syracuse, ii. 108
 Antiochus of Ascalon, Stoic, v. 444
 Antiochus, king of the slaves. See Eunus
 Antipater of Idumaea, iv. 432
 Antipatria, ii. 422
 Antipolis, iii. 415
 P. Antistius, murdered by order of Marius, iv. 84
 Antium, i. 459 n., 460 n.; ii. 42, 43 n., 67 n.; I iv. 64. Legend of foundation, ii. 111. Navigation and piracy, i. 181, 416; ii. 41. Mentioned in treaty of Rome with Carthage, i. 452. Temporarily a Latin colony; finally subdued, i. 446, 447. Revolts, i. 461. Colonized as a Roman burgess-community, i. 462. Orators' platform in Rome adorned with beaks of Antiate galleys, i. 462 f. Antiate galleys brought to Rome, ii. 42. Prohibited from maritime traffic, ii. 43 n.
 C. Antonius [consul, 691], iv. 373, 380, 469-471, 479, 484 f.
 C. Antonius, Caesar's lieutenant in Illyria v. 235
 M. Antonius, the orator [praetor, 652; consul, 655], iv. 66, 67, 102 n., 215, Suppresses piracy, iii. 381
 M. Antonius, murderer of Sertorius, iv. 302
 M. Antonius, admiral in Mithradatic war, iv. 324, 351 f., 386
 M. Antonius, Caesar's lieutenant, afterwards triumvir, v. 188, 235, 249 f., 335, 3651 389
 Q. Antonius [Marian governor in Sardinia, 672], iv. 92
 Q. Antullius, lictor of L. Opimius, slain by the Gracchans, iii. 366f.
 Aous, the river, ii. 428
 Apamea, iii. 276 n., 310; iv. 30, 329
 Apennines, i. 5, 6, 41
 Aperantia, ii. 459
 Aphrodite, temple in Rome, ii. 71; iv. 89. Identified with the old Roman Venus, ii. 71
 Apicius, iii. 482
 Apollo=Apello=Aperta, i. 230, 258. God of oracles, i. 230. Increasing worship of, in Rome, ii. 70; iii. 41
 Apollonia, i. 176, ii. 218, 316, 422, 426, 433, 497, 500; iv. 168. Founded, i. 176. Treaty with Rome, ii. 46. Becomes Roman, ii. 217f. United with Macedonia, iii. 262. Mint of, iii. 87; iv. 181
 Apollonis in Lydia, iii. 279
 Appeal (*provocatio*), pardon of the condemned criminal on an appeal to the people allowed by the king, i. 82, 95, 192; ii. 69. In capital sentences, after abolition of the monarchy, no longer dependent on the pleasure of the magistrates, i. 320; iii. 348. Except the dictator, i. 320, 325. Allowed even against the dictator, i. 368; also in fines, i. 320, 342; ii. 63. Transferred to the centuries, i. 327./ After appointment of plebeian tribunes, might be addressed

- to the plebeian assembly, i. 351 *f*. Procedure in cases of, ii. 69. Probably allowed by C. Gracchus even against the general in camp, iii. 347, 491; not for the allies, iii. 347, 491. Right violated in the case of the Catilinarians, iv. 482. The symbolic view of its origin, ii. 105
- Appellate jurisdiction of the Emperor, introduced by Caesar, v. 348*f*.
- Apple-tree, iii. 67
- C. Appuleius Decianus [tribune of the people, 655], iii. 478
- L. Appuleius Saturninus [tribune of the people, 651, 654], iii. 440, 441 *n.*, 466-476
- Apricots, iii. 65 *n.*
- Apsus, river, ii. 423, 426
- Apuani, ii. 374; iii. 313
- Apulia, Hellenized, i. 12; ii. 89*f.*; iii. 109. Position during Samnite wars, i. 468, 474. Colonists sent thither, ii. 365. After the Hannibalic war, iii. 100, 102. In the Social war, iii. 521 *f*. Depopulation of, v. 394. Coinage, ii. 280
- L. Apustius, ii. 425
- Aquae, town in Africa, iii. 259
- Aquae Sextiae, foundation of, iii. 420; iv. 168. Battle of, iii. 446; v. 7. Importance of, v. 11
- Aqueducts, Anio, ii. 85; iv. 168. Aqua Appia, iv. 168. Marcia, iv. 169, 173. Tepula (*not* Calida), iv. 168
- Aquileia, iii. 416, 421; iv. 167. Colonized, ii. 372, 375, 493; iii. 27, 149. Ius of, ii. 52 *n.*, 518
- M'. Aquilius the elder [consul, 625], erects the province of Asia, iii. 279, 358 *n.* His trafficking laid bare by C. Gracchus, iii. 358; iv. 6
- M' Aquilius the younger [consul, 653], fights in the Cimbrian and Sicilian war, iii. 387; iv. 24. Envoy to Mithradates, iv. 24-26. Stirs up Nicomedes to war, iv. 26 *f*. Defeated, iv. 30. Death, iv. 31, 101 *n.*
- Aquilonia, battle at, i. 490
- Aquitania subdued, v. 59, 60
- Ara maxima*, i. 230
- Arabs in the army of Antiochus, ii. 466. In the third Mithradatic war, iv. 339, 341. Arab princes in Syria, iv. 422 *f*.
- Aratus, ii. 404, 421
- Aratus, astronomical didactic poems, v. 449
- Arausio, battle at, iii. 436
- Arcadia, iii. 269
- Arcesilaus, iv. 197
- Archaeonactidae, rulers in Panticapaeum, iv. 15
- Archagathus, first physician in Rome, iii. 193
- Archelaus, general of Mithradates, iv. 28, 30, 34, 35, 37, 41-44, 50, 52, 93
- Archelaus, high priest of Comana, iv. 439, 451
- Archers in earliest Roman army, i. 91
- Arches, building of, i. 309; ii. 119
- Archestratus, of Gela, iii. 179
- Archias, the poet, iv. 193
- Archidamus of Sparta, i. 465, 466 *n.*
- Archilochus, i. 169 *n.*
- Archimedes, ii. 310, 312
- Architecture, Italian, earliest under Greek influence, i. 301-306. First developed in Etruria, i. 304 *f*, probably from Attic models, i. 308, 309. Its later development, ii. 118-120; iii. 206*f.*; iv. 256*f.*; v. 514*f*.
- Archytas, i. 172
- Arcobarzanes, grandson of Syphax, iii. 239
- Ardea founds Saguntum, i. 185. In the Ancine league, i. 451. Dispute with Aricia, i. 447. Assigned as a Latin colony, i. 378, 445 *n.* Supports Rome against the Celts, i. 430. About 370, member of the Latin league, i. 448 «., 450. Mentioned in treaty with Carthage, i. 452. City-chronicle, ii. 80, 103. Legend of foundation linked to Odyssean cycle, ii. i *n.* Frescoes of, ii. 124, 127
- Ardayaei, in Illyria, ii. 218; iii. 427; iv. 67
- Area Capitolina*, i. 137
- Arellius, v. 516
- Aretas, king of the Nabataeans, iv. 316, 426, 430, 432, 438
- Arethusa, Arabian fortress, iv. 423
- Arevacae defeat the Romans, iii. 217. Peace with, iii. 218*f*. Revolt to Viriathus, iii. 226, 231
- Argean chapels, i. 66, 118
- Argentarius* (money-changer), ii. 86; iii. 193
- Argentinus, god of silver, ii. 70
- Argentum Oscense*, ii. 386
- Argonauts, legend of the, ii. 108
- Argos in Macedonia, iii. 428
- Argos in the Peloponnesus, ii. 430, 431, 438, 439; iii. 266. Emporium for the Romans, iii. 274
- Aria cattiva, i. 44
- Ariarathes* V., Philopator, of Cappadocia, ii. 450, 473, 499; iii. 279, 280
- Ariarathes VI., iii. 280. Killed, iv. 19

- Ariarathes, son of Ariarathes V I., iv. 19
 Ariarathes, son of Mithradates Eupator, iv. 34, 41
 Ariarathes, the pseudo-, iv. 20, 24
 Aricia, i. 48, 442 *n.*; iv. 64. Aricine league, i. 451. Battle at, i. 414. Dispute with Ardea, i. 447. About 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 *n.*, 450. A Roman burgess-community, i. 462. Dictator there, i. 442 *n.*
 Ariminum, i. 180; ii. 60, 215 *n.*, 229, 274, 279 *f.*; iv. 63, 85, 87, 166 *f.* King Arimnus in early intercourse with the shrine at Olympia, i. 180. Occupied by the Umbrian Sassinates, ii. 39. Latin colony, ii. 39, 42, 220. Bulwark against the Celts, ii. 203, 222. Seat of a naval quaestor, ii. 45. *I us of*, ii. 52 *n.*
 Ariobarzanes, of Cappadocia, iv. 25, 26, 54, 330, 350
 Ariobarzanes, son of Mithradates the Great, iv. 27
 Ariovistus, v. 34-37, 45-48
 Aristarchus, prince of the Colchians, iv. 438
 Aristion, tyrant of Athens, iv. 35, 37, 39
 Aristo, of Tyre, ii. 380
 Aristobulus, king of the Jews, iv. 425 *f.*, 430, 448
 Aristodemus, i. 149, 158
 Aristonicus, pretender to the Attalid kingdom, iii. 278*f.*, 281, 309
 Aristonicus, Pontic admiral, iv. 324
 Aristophanes, iii. 143; v. 141
 Aristotle, i. 432; ii. 109, 112, 147; iv. 140, 197
 Aristus, ii. 2 *n.*
 Armenia, ii. 401, 473; iii. 279, 281, 285, 287; iv. 5, 344, 345 (*compare* Artavasdes, Tigranes). Language, iv. 11
 Armenia, Lesser, earlier a dependency of Pontus, iii. 281. Acquired by Mithradates, iv. 12, 18
 Armenian tradition as to first Mithradatic war, iv. 51 *n.*
 Army, its earliest organization: the burgesses at the same time the warriors, i. 90. Legion of 3000 foot and 300 horse, i. 90*f.*. High estimation of the cavalry, i. 89. After the accession of the Collini, number of cavalry, and probably also that of infantry, doubled, i. 107 *f.* Servian arrangement: all freehold burgesses and non-burgesses, from 17 to 60, liable to serve, i. 118*f.* Two legions of the first levy regularly called out for service in the field, and two legions of the second levy for garrison service, each legion having 3000 hoplites and 1200 light troops, i. 119. Phalangite arrangement after Doric model, i. 118. The five classes of infantry, i. 116. Levy districts: Palatine, Subura, Esquiline, Colline, i. 117. Burgess-cavalry amounting to 1800 men, i. 119. But only 600 take the field with the legion, i. 119. Free places in the cavalry, i. 117. Classes according to age, instead of according to property, ii. 74. Reduction of the qualification for army and fleet, iii. 350. Advantages of the Roman military system, ii. 75. Traces of Greek influence, i. 255 *n.*; ii. 75. Commencement of standing army in Spain, ii. 388*f.* Decay, ii. 501*f.* Falling off of the legionary cavalry: close aristocratic corps, iii. 9. No advancement from the place of a subaltern to that of tribune, iii. 13. Decay of martial spirit, iii. 43. Decline of, iii. 295 *f.*, 302. Reforms in Cato's time, iii. 49*f.* Reorganized by Marius, iii. 4x3, 456-460. Relaxation of discipline in Sulla's time, iii. 529; iv. 135-137. Reorganized by Caesar, v. 353-356. Burgess-cavalry abolished, iii. 457. Mercenaries in Caesar's cavalry, v. 353. Difference between Roman and Parthian warfare, v. 155-158. Raising of costs for the army, iv. 162, 165. Burden of quartering in the provinces, iv. 162*f.*, 285, 298; v. 408, 413
Amilustrunt, i. 207
 Arnus, i. 157
 Arpi, ii. 90, 280. Feuds between Samnites and Iapygians about Arpi, i. 164. Lends help to the Romans in the second Samnite war, i. 473. Its conflicts with the Samnites, i. 453. Its fate in the second Punic war, ii. 293, 305, 333, 334,
 Arpinum, i. 481, 485. Obtains full burgess-rights, iii. 23. Gates in the Greek style, i. 30a
 Arretium, ii. 374; iv. 167; v. 207. Internal troubles; aid of Rome invoked, i. 437. Peace with Rome, i. 479, 490. Highways to Arretium, i. 486 *n.* Remains faithful to the Romans in the Pyrrhic war, ii. 10. Attitude in second Punic war, ii. 346, 354. Arretines persecuted by Sulla, iv. 108, 265. Sullan colony, iv. 108
 Arrest can only take place out of doors, ii. 68

- Q. Arrius [praetor, 682] fights against the gladiatorial slaves, iv. 359/C
- Arsacidae, iii. 287; iv. 5
- Arsinoe, daughter of Ptolemaeus Auletes, v. 275, 281
- Art, plastic and delineative, in the earliest times, i. 306-309. Etruscan, ii. 120f., 124-126. Campanian and Sabellian, ii. 121f. Latin, ii. 122f., 127f. In the fifth and sixth centuries, iii. 207./ In the seventh century, iv. 256-258. In the age of Caesar, v. 514-516
- Artavasdes, king of Armenia, v. 151 n., 153, 162
- Artaxata, ii. 482 n.; iv. 338, 345, 410
- Artaxiads, iii. 285; iv. 5
- Artaxias, ii. 473, 482 n.
- Artemis, Ephesian, i. 231
- Arthetaurus, ii. 493
- Artichokes, iii. 66 n.
- Artisans concentrate themselves in Rome, ii. 82. Chiefly slaves, ii. 82
- Artoces, king of the Iberians, iv. 414
- ArvaZes, i. 215. Arval chant, i. 287
- Arverni, iii. 416f., 438; iv. 469 n.; v. 13, 17, =24f., 34. 74-90
- Arx i. 47; 137
- Ascanius, iii. 186
- Asclepiades, physician, v. 460
- Asclepiades (ap. Arrian.), ii. 2 n.
- Asculum, iii. 507, 509 n., 513, 521; iv. 78; v. 209
- Asia Minor, nationalities of, iv. 11f./ Before the time of the Gracchi, iii. 275-281. Made a province, iii. 277/. Enlarged by addition of Great Phrygia, iv. 21 n. Oppression of Roman rule, iv. 6. Administration withdrawn from Lucullus, iv. 386-395. Regulated afresh by Pompeius, iv. 436-442. Subdued and regulated by Caesar, v. 283 /. Roman taxation, iii. 280, 351f., 355, 372; iv. 6, 111 n., 126, 158, 160, 162, 165, 170 f., 323, 380, 447; v. 364. A closed customs district, iv. 160
- Asia (Syria), first contact with Rome, ii. 216. Position in second Punic war, ii. 315. Extent and character of the kingdom: claims to represent the universal empire of Alexander, ii. 397 f. Its political position after the war with Antiochus, ii. 468-472. In seventh century, iii. 235 f., 276-280, 284; iv. 5 f. Occupied by Tigranes, iv. 316f. Made a Roman province by Pompeius, iv. 421, 428. Slaves chiefly drawn from Asia, iii. 306; iv. 174. Compare Antiochus
- C. Asinius Pollio, v. 139, 507
- Herius Asinius, Marrucian commander in the Social war, iii. 513
- Asnaus, ii. 428
- Aspendus, ii. 463
- Assd voce canere, i. 288
- Assignations. See Domains, *Leges agrariae*
- Association, right of, ii. 65
- Associations, iii. 92f.
- Astapa, ii. 320
- Astolpa, father-in-law of Viriathus, iii. 2:22
- Astrologers in Rome, iv. 209f..
- Asturians, ii. 389
- Asylum in Rome, i. 137
- Atarbas, ii. 183
- Atax, river, iii. 420
- Atella, ii. 204, 340. In Roman comedy, ii. 369
- Atellinaefabulae*, Latin character-masks, i. 291, 300 n.; iii. 165 n.; iv. 231 n., 234. Supplanted by mime, iv. 233 n.; v. 468-470, 469 n.
- Athamanes, ii. 318, 421, 423, 425, 426, 429, 433, 456, 457, 458, 4761 477, 485
- Athenaeus, brother of Attalus of Pergamus, iii. 276 n.
- Athenagoras, ii. 426
- Athenians, commercial intercourse with Etruria, i. 257; with Lower Italy and Etruria, ii. 79 f. Seem to have furnished the models for Etruscan artists, i. 308. Resolve to found a colony in the Adriatic against the Etruscan pirates, i. 435. Sicilian expeditions of, i. 416 f.; ii. 144. In second Punic war side with Rome against Macedonia, ii. 317 f. Attitude during the war with Philip, ii. 404, 4x4 f., 418, 441. During the war against Antiochus, ii. 456. During the war with Perseus, ii. 495, 517. Financial distress, ii. 495; iii. 265. Plunder the neighbouring places, iii. 265. Share in the first Mithradatic war, iv. 35, 38, 39. Siege by Sulla, iv. 38 f. Occupy Oropus, iv. 199. Athens, place of philosophic training, iv. 199. Silver mines, iii. 309, 383
- Athenion, leader in Servile war, iii. 385 387
- Athenodorus, pirate, iv. 354
- Athletes, Greek, in Rome, iii. 126
- A. Atilius Serranus [praetor, 562], ii. 453
- C. Atilius Regulus [consul, 529], ii. 224, 225
- L. Atilius [praetor, 536], ii. 267

- M. Atilius [consul, 460], i. 490
M. Atilius Regulus [consul, 498], ii. 178-183, 201
M. Atilius Regulus, [consul, 537], ii. 287
M. Atilius [praetor, 602], iii. 218
Atintanes, ii. 218, 220, 319, 427
Atis, ii. 222
Atrax, ii. 429
Atria on the Po, i. 143, 156, 186, 278; ii. 12; iv. 167. Commercial connection with Corcyra and Corinth, i. 176, 257. Syracusan, i. 417 *n.* Etruscan traces, i-435
Atria in the Abruzzi, Latin colony, i. 493
Atrium, i. 27, 301; iii. 207
Atropatene, ii. 401
Attalia in Pamphylia, fortress of Zenicetes, iv. 313
Attalidae, iii. 234, 264. Foundation of the dynasty, ii. 469. Their policy, iii. 275, 277. Become extinct, iii. 277
Attalus, of Pergamus; his kingdom and government, ii. 402 *F.* In second Punic war sides with Rome against Macedonia, ii. 318. Share in the war with Philip, ii. 411, 412, 413, 414, 416, 417, 420, 423, 437. Antiochus violates his territory, ii. 446*f.* Death, ii. 450, 474
Attalus, brother of Eumenes, ii. 511*f.*
Attalus II., Philadelphus, iii. 275, 276 *n.*, 277
Attalus III., Philometor, iii. 277
Attalus, of Paphlagonia, iv. 438
Attis, priest of Pessinus, iii. 276 *n.*
P. Attius Varus, lieutenant of Pompeius, v. 209. Pompeian governor in Africa, v. 230
Auctores tun's, ii. 112
Auctoritas senatus, i. 330
Audas, confidant of Viriathus, iii. 225
Cn. Aufidius [tribune of the people, 584], reintroduces the import of wild beasts from Africa, iv. 183
Cn. Aufidius, historian (about 660), ii. 248 *ft.*
Aufidus, iii. 522
Augurs, Latin, i. 218 *f.* A college of experts for interpreting the flight of birds, i. 219. Their number, i. 219. Increased to nine, i. 385. Increased to fifteen, iv. 126. Detect flaws in the election of plebeian magistrates, i. 384. Plebeians made eligible, i. 385. Chosen by the burgesses, iii. 463. Co-optation reintroduced by Sulla, iv. 115. In the municipia, iv. 133. Augural discipline, iv. 205. Lore neglected, iii. 112
Aurelia, Caesar's mother, v. 306
C. Aurelius Cotta [consul, 502], iii. 10, 18
C. Aurelius Cotta [consul, 679], friend of Drusus, iii. 503; iv. *na*, 278, 374*f.*
L. Aurelius Cotta [consul, 635], iii. 427
L. Aurelius Cotta [praetor, 684], iv. 380
L. Aurelius Orestes [consul, 597], iii. 266
M. Aurelius Cotta [consul, 680], iv. 325-399
M. Aurelius Scavrus [consul, 646], iii. 436, 466
L. Aurunculeius Cotta, Caesar's 'lieutenant in Gaul, v. 68 *n.*
Aurunci, war with the, i. 361
Ausculum, battle of, ii. 25-27
Auson, son of Ulysses and Calypso, i. 177
Ausones, the, i. 475
Auspicia publica, i. 81; iv. 206, 511
P. Autronius Paetus, Catilinarian, iv. 466, 477
Auxilium, i. 403
Auximum, iv. 78; v. 209; colonized, iii. 313
Avaricum besieged by Caesar, v. 79, 80
Aventine, i. 136, 141, 216, 231, 250; ii. 84; iii. 368. Fortified, i. 138. Assigned to the Plebs, i. 363. Temple of Diana on, see Diana
Avernus, lake of, i. 168
Aviaries, v. 378
Azizus, Arab prince, iv. 423, 427
BABYLONIA severed from Syria, iii. 288
Bacchanalian conspiracy, iii. 115*f.*
Bacchides, commander in Sinope, iv. 353
Bachelors, tax on, ii. 66
Bactrians, ii. 398; iii. 284, 287, 289
M. Baebius [praetor, 562], ii. 454
Baecula, battles at, ii. 329*f.*
Baetis, iii. 224, 226; iv. 283
Bagradas, ii. 359, 383; iii. 240, 258, 393, 402
Baiae, iv. 175, 184
Bakers in Rome, of late introduction, i. 249; iii. 123. *Pistor*—miller, iii. 124 *n.*
Ballad singers, ii. 98
Balearic isles, Carthaginian, ii. 143, 144, 330. Roman, iii. 233, 291, 382 *n.* Under a *praefectus pro legato*, ii. 219 *n.*
Balearic slingers in the Roman army, iii. 458
Bankruptcy ordinance of Caesar, v. 400
Banquets in Rome, v. 387 *n.*
Barbers in Latium, ii. 280
Barbosthenian mountains, battle at the, ii. 452
Bar-Cochba, iii. 286 *n.*
Bargylia, ii. 413
Basilicas in Rome, iii. 124 *n.*, 206. *Bas. Portia*, iii. 207

- Bastarnae, ii. 492; iv. 14, 30, 324, 416
 Bastulophoenicians, iii. 215
 Baths, warm, in Spain, ii. 385. In Rome, unproved by Caesar, v. 375
 Bato, ii. 422
 Battaces, high priest of Pessinus, iv. 210
 Beer (barley wine), ii. 385
 Belgae, iii. 416, 444; v. 24f., 34, 50, 54,
 Belli, Celtiberian people, iii. 216; iv. 210
 Bellona, temple of, ii. 91; iv. 490
 Bellovacii, v. 12 n., 52, 85, 92f.
 Bellovesus, i. 423 n.
 Beneventum, ii. 333, 335, 336; iv. 166.
 Colonized, ii. 39. *Ius* of, ii. 52 n.
 Beneventane consuls, ii. 51. Battle near, ii. 36
 Berenice, ii. 7
 Berenice (town), iv. 4
 Bernard, pass of the Great St., v. 59; i. 424
 Bernard, road over the Little St., i. 423 n.; ii. 258f.
 Beroea, iv. 316
 Bessi made subject to the Romans, iv. 307
 Betrothal, its enforcement by action-at-law early abolished at Rome, but retained in the Latin communities, i.
 Betuitus, king of the Arverni, iii. 4x7
 Bias, i. 186
 Bibracte (Autun), battle of, v. 43 f.
 Bilbilis in Spain, iv. 301
 Pithyas, Numidian sheik, iii. 252f., 257
 Bithynia, ii. 401, 455, 471, 473, 492; ii. 234, 276, 277, 306; iv. 6, 19, 24, 25, 29, 44, 54, 95, 322, 323, 326. Pontic satrapy, iv. 33. Ceded by Mithradates, iv. 49. Roman province, iv. 322, 436
 Bithynians akin to the Thracians, iv. 11
 Bituriges, i. 423; iii. 416
 Blood-revenge, traces of, i. 190f.; ii. 105
 C. Blossius of Cumae, rhetorician, iii. 320
 Boarding-bridges, ii. 174f.
 Bocchar, ii. 382
 Bocchus, king of Mauretania, iv. 92, 94
 Boeotians, ii. 403, 421, 429, 432, 441, 443, 456, 459, 498, 498 ft. With Critolaus against Rome, iii. 268. With Mithradates, iv. 35
 Bogud. See Mauretania
 Boii on the Platten See, ii. 373 n.
 Boii, Italian, i. 423 «., 424, 434; ii. 11, 221f., 324, 226, 250, 268, 369, 370. Destruction of, ii. 372; iii. 313
 Boii in Bavaria and Bohemia, iii. 423, 430. Dislodged by the Germans, v. 32, 39. Settled by Caesar in the territory of the Haedui, v. 79
 Boiorix, iii. 436, 449
 Bomilcar, Carthaginian admiral, ii. 306, 312
 Bomilcar, the confidant of Jugurtha, iii. 395, 400, 401f.
 Bona Dea, i. 231
 Bononia, formerly Felsina, Celtic, i. 424. A Latin colony, ii. 374; iii. 49. *Ins* of, ii. 52 n.
 Bookselling, v. 562
 Booty falls to the state, not to the soldier, i. 199 f. Given in largesses to the troops, iii. 42. Revenue from, iii. 20
 Bosporan kingdom, iv. 15f. Taken by Mithradates, iv. 16-18. Under Pharnaces, iv. 19 f.; v. 264. Given by Caesar to Mithradates of Pergamus, v. 283
 Bosporus, ii. 405
 Bostar, ii. 337
 Bovianum, i. 146, 475, 481. Sulla's victory at, iii. 523. Capitulation, iii. 523. Temporarily retaken, iii. 526
 Bovillae takes the place of Alba, i. 129 n.
 About 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Shrine of the *gens* of the Julii, i. 128
 Boys accompanying their fathers to the senate, ii. 95
 Braccati, ii. 59; v. 10
 Brachyllas, ii. 441
 Bradanus, river in Lower Italy, i. 171
 Brennus=king of the army, i. 428
 Bridge-building, i. 219, 309; iv. 167, 169
 Brigands in Italy, after the second Punic war, ii. 367. In the seventh century, iv. 169. Aid of, invoked by Catilina, iv. 476. Formed from the remains of the armies of Catilina and Spartacus, iv. 486. In the provinces, iv. 169; v. 410f.
 Britain, origin of the name, v. 11 n. Tin trade, iii. 420. Caesar in, v. 62-66
 Britomaris, ii. 10
 Brittany, iv. 251, 252
 Brixia, i. 423; iii. 424
 Brundisium, i. 176, 294, 295, 308, 317, 333; iv- 55, 107, 166, 177, 193; v. 211. A Latin colony, ii. 39, 42, 215. Surprised by the pirates, iv. 355. *Ius* of, ii. 52 n.
 Bruttians, origin, i. 454. Name very ancient, i. 454 n. Bilingual, i. 456. Under Greek influence, i. 457f. Art, ii. 122. Attitude during the Samnite

- war, i. 468. Share in the war with Pyrrhus, ii. ax, 35. Submit to the Romans, ii. 38. Alliance with Hannibal, ii. 294, 334, 33s, 342, 349. Treatment after second Punic war, ii. 364f.; iii. 24, 28. Pastoral husbandry, iii. 100. Coins, ii. 79
- Bruttius Sura, lieutenant of the governor of Macedonia, defeats the fleet of Mithradates, iv. 35
- Brutulus Papius, i. 470
- Bubentani, about 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n.
- Building in Rome: impulse given to it in fifth century, ii. 86 f. Stagnation in the sixth century, iii. 22 /. In the seventh century, iv. 166-168, 184. Under Caesar, v. 117, 375f. Budget for public buildings, iii. 22f.
- Bulla, amulet-case, iii. 5 n., 16, 45
- Bulla, Numidian, iii. 259
- Burgess-body, its primitive Latin divisions and normal number, i. 85 f. This normal number tripled in the earliest Roman body composed of three communities, i. 86. Practical value of these normal numbers, i. 86/. Equality of rights in the earliest times, i. 87-89. Equality among patricio-plebeian burgesses, i. 392 f. Division, i. 86. Rights, i. 93f. Burdens, i. 89-92. Extension, iii. 36/. Clients and city rabble, iii. 38 f. General character, iii. 35-40. Incipient corruption, iii. 39-42. Numbers, see Census, Population
- Burgess-cavalry. See Army
- Burgess-colony. See *Coloniae civium Romanorum*
- Burgess-rights. See *Civitas*
- Butchers' booths in the Forum, ii. 86
- Byrsa, citadel of Carthage, iii. 247 n., 248
- Byzantium, ii. 318, 405, 410, 420, 450, 45s, 495, 496; iv. 47, 328
- Byzes, Thracian chieftain, iii. 262
- CABANI (Cabenses), about 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n.
- Cabira, battle of, iv. 331f, 347- Founded anew by Pompeius, iv. 441
- Cacus, i. 22, 231
- Caecilia Metella, wife of Sulla, iv. 105
- C. Caecilius Metellus Caprarius [consul, 641], iii. 429
- L. Caecilius [praetor, 470], ii. 10
- L. Caecilius Metellus [consul, 503], ii. 186.
- L. Caecilius Dalmaticus [consul, 635], iii. 427
- Q. Caecilius Metellus Celer, lieutenant of Pompeius, iv. 4x3, 429
- Q. Caecilius Metellus Macedonicus [consul, 611], iii. 226, 233, 262, 268, 319, 324, 338, 367. Builds the colonnade in the Campus Martius, iv. 257; and the temple of Jupiter Statorum the Capitol, iv. 257. Private life, iv. 187
- Q. Caecilius Metellus Nepos [consul, 697], iv. 495, 497, 502
- Q. Caecilius Metellus Numidicus [consul, 645], character, iii. 397/C. Commander against Jugurtha, iii. 397-405. Censorship, iii. 466-468. Opposed to Saturninus, and goes into exile, iii. 471. Death, iii. 479; iv. 102 n
- Q. Caecilius Metellus Pius [consul, 674], lieutenant of Strabo in the Social war, iii. 522, 526, 547; iv. 61, 63, 64, 65, 72, 79, 81, 83, 84 f., 87, 88, 138. Related by marriage to Sulla, iv. 98. His character, iv. 269 f. Spanish campaigns, iv. 283, 292-301, Subdues Crete, iv. 352 /. Collision with Pompeius, iv. 375> 453f. Leader of the aristocracy, iv. 402-414
- Q. Caecilius Metellus Scipio [consul, 702], v. 166, 255, 287f., 300
- Caecilius (Statius), Roman poet, ii. 371; iii. 162
- A. Caecina, v. 321
- Caelian Mount, i. 136, 159
- Caelius Vivenna, i. 158f
- L. Caelius Antipater, historian, iv. 250
- M. Caelius Rufus, v. 189, 507. Brings in a law of debt, v. 317./., 390
- Caenina, i. 58, 125. Semblance of existence after destruction, i. 128.
- Caere, the first Italian town mentioned by the Greeks, i. 160. Etruscan, i. 158. Punic factory, i. 163. Relations with the Greeks, i. 179f. Relations with the Phocaeans, i. 184. Stoning of Phocaean captives, i. 185. Embassy sent to Delphi, i. 185. Treasury at Delphi, i. 180. The Tarquins at, i. 159, 316. Primitive neighbourly relations with Rome, i. 144, 158. War with Rome, i. 432f. Unfavourable terms of peace, i. 398, 433; ii. 49, 55 n. *lus* of, i. 433. Roman praefect at, ii. 49. Frescoes of, ii. 124, 126. Art at, i. 258; ii. 126. Commerce, i. 258, 262. Tombs of Caere, i. 252, 277, 302
- Caesar. See Julius.
- Caiatia, i. 476, 481; ii. 304
- Caieta, i. 177. Surprised by the pirates, iv. 355

- Calagurris, iv. 300, 301, 304
 Calatia, i. 470; ii. 294, 338, 340
 Calendar, oldest Roman table of festivals, i. 207-210. Based at first solely on the synodic lunar month and its multiplication by ten, the circle or year, i. 267, 268. The lunar month determined by immediate observation, i. 267. This mode of reckoning time subsequently long retained, i. 271. Oldest Italian solar year, i. 267 f. Oldest Roman year, 269 f. Publicly promulgated by Appius Claudius, ii. 113. Reformed by the Decemvirs, ii. 116f. Confusion of, ii. 278 n. Reform of, by Caesar, v. 438f.
 Cales, i. 475; ii. 79, 295, 304, 340; 111. 492. A Latin colony, i. 463, 472. The colony reinforced, ii. 366. Station of a naval quaestor, ii. 75. Art, ii. 122
 M. Calidius, v. 189
 Callaeci, i. 389; ii. 225, 232. Subdued by Caesar, v. 6
 Callatis, iv. 307
 Callias, ii. 106, no
 Callicrates, ii. 481, 517
 Callidromus, ii. 458
 Callimachus, v. 450
 Calpurnii, ii. 107
 C. Calpurnius Piso [praetor, 569; consul, 574], ii. 391; iii. 121
 C. Calpurnius Piso [consul, 687], iv. 393-395
 Cn. Calpurnius Piso, the Catilinarian, iv. 465, 468, 471
 L. Calpurnius Bestia [consul, 643], iii. 393, 396
 L. Calpurnius Piso [consul, 621], iii. 252, 299, 310. Chronicle of, iv. 248
 L. (not C.) Calpurnius Piso [consul, 642], as legate against the Cimbri, iii. 435
 L. Calpurnius Piso, Caesar's father-in-law, iv. 513
 M. Calpurnius Bibulus [consul, 695], iv. 508, 510f.; v. 129, 164
 M. Calpurnius Flamma, i. 460 n.
 Q. (not C.) Calpurnius Piso [consul, 619], iii. 229
 Calpus, alleged son of Numa, and ancestor of the Calpurnii, ii. 107
 Calycadnus, ii. 472
 Calypso, i. 177
 Camarina, ii. 190
 Camars=Clusium, i. 143
 Camenae, i. 298
 Cameria, i. 225
 Camerinum, v. 209
 Camilii, clan-village, i. 45
 Camillus. See Furius
 Campanians in Sicily, ii. 162. See Capua
 Camps, entrenchment of, ii. 73; watch-service in the camp, i. 255 n.
 Canaan, ii. 131
 Canary islands, Etruscan colonizing prevented by Carthage, i. 187
 Cane, ii. 461f.
 C. Caninius Rebilus, lieutenant of Curio in Sicily and Africa, v. 230
 Cannae, battle of, ii. 287-291; taken by the Romans in the Social war, iii. 521
 Cantabrians, ii. 389; iii. 228
 Cantonal constitution in Gaul, v. 19, 21, 24
 Canusium, i. 474; ii. 287, 291, 298, 303, 347. In the Social war, iii. 513, 522
 Capacity, measures of, i. 265f.
 Capena supports Veii against Rome, i. 425, 426. Makes peace, i. 426. Colonized, i. 432
 Capital punishment, i. 192. Limited, ii. 68; by Gaius Gracchus, iii. 348. Abolished by Sulla for political offences, iv. 130
 Capitoli, guild of the, i. 138 n.
 Capitolium, i. 47, 66, 138. Temple of the, ii. 100. Capitoline era, ii. 102
 Cappadocia, ii. 401, 455, 473; iii. 234, 275, 277, 279, 280, 285, 287, 288, 382 n.; iv. 6, 11, 19, 30, 46 n., 49, 54, 330. Acquired by Mithradates, iv. 19 f., 32. Restored, iv. 24f., 49, 95. Subdued by Tigranes, iv. 315 f. Enlarged by Pompeius, iv. 446. Exempt from taxation, iv. 157. Language, iv. 11
 Capsa, iii. 406
 Capua, i. 40, 256; ii. 80; iv. 166. Mentioned in Hecataeus as a Trojan colony, ii. 109 n. Wrested from the Etruscans by the Samnites, i. 419, 454. Under Greek influence, i. 457; ii. 90. Wealth and luxury of the city, i. 457; ii. 80, 82, 162. *Medix tuticus* there, i. 315. Seeks aid from Rome and submits to her supremacy, i. 458, 459 n. Revolts, i. 459 n., 461. The nobility adhere to Rome, i. 461. Their cavalry decide the battle of Sentinum, i. 489f. Position in Pyrrhic war, ii. 23. Capuan nobility favoured by the Romans, ii. 56 f. Becomes a dependent community with self-administration, i. 463; ii. 49; and legions of its own, ii. 55 n. A recruiting field, ii. 162. Hannibal attempts to get possession of it, ii. 281. Passes over to Hannibal, ii. 294, 300, 303. Roman party at, ii. 294. Hannibal at, ii. 303, 336-340. Besieged and

- taken, ii. 339 *f.* Loses its municipal constitution, ii. 340, 364; iii. 23. Ruined by the Hannibalic war, iii. 108. Campanian domain, iii. 20, 312; iv. 156; occupied by private persons, resumed by the state, iii. 328 *f.* Remains unaffected by the agrarian law of Ti. Gracchus, iii. 20. Colonization by C. Gracchus, iii. 346, 374. In the Social war, iii. 509*f.*, 521; and in the following Civil war, iv. 60, 80 *f.*, 91. Colonization renewed in 671, iv. 70, 79, 134. Abolished by Sulla, iv. 107, 126. Affected by Servilian law, iv. 472. Colonized anew by Caesar, 508, 514. Revolt of slaves, iii. 380. Gladiatorial school at, iv. 357. Mint, ii. 87. Art, ii. 122. In Roman comedy, ii. 366; **iii. 148*f.***
- Caralis, ii. 143
- Career*, Roman and Sicilian, i. 201
- Caria, ii. 434, 474; iii. 279; iv. 11. Carian city-league, iv. 33
- Carinae, i. 63, 117
- Carmen*, i. 286
- Carmentalia*, i. 209
- Carmentis, i. 298
- Carneades, iv. 193, 197-200
- Carni, ii. 371; iii. 424
- Carnutes, v. 72, 74, 81, 92
- Carpenters, i. 249
- Carpetania, iii. 222
- Carrhae, battle of, v. 158-163
- Carrinas, lieutenant of Carbo in the Social war, iv. 79, 85, 88, 90
- Carsioli colonized, i. 484. Attacked by the Marsi, i. 486
- Carteia in Spain, iii. 2147*f.*, 222, 232; iv. **190**
- Carthage, name, i. 185 *n.* Situation, ii. 135 *f.*; *iii.* =45-249. Fortifications, ii. 159; iii. 245, 249. Rome and Carthage compared, ii. 152, 160. Constitution, ii. 146-149, 154. Council, ii. 146. Magistrates, ii. 147, 154. Hundred-men or judges, 147*f.*, 154. Citizens, ii. 1487: Their numbers, ii. 157. War and peace parties, ii. 232-234, 306*f.*, 357*f.* Opposition party, ii. 150. Democratic reform of constitution by Hannibal, ii. 378. Rigour of its government, ii. 154. Position of the subjects, ii. 155*f.* Army and fleet, ii. 157-160, 236 *f.* Wealth and its sources, ii. 150-154. State-finances, ii. 150*f.*, 156. Token-money, ii. 153; iv. 180. Science and art, ii. 15a. Interweaving of the foundation-legend of Carthage with that of Rome, ii. 110. Leads the Phoenician nation in the struggle against the Hellenes for the dominion of the sea, i. 183 *f.*; ii. 137*f.* Changes the character of the Phoenician occupation, and establishes its dominion over North Africa, i. 183*f.*; ii. 138*f.* Close alliance of the Phoenicians with the Siculi, the Latins, and especially the Etruscans, i. 184 *f.*; ii. 143*f.* Early relations to Rome, i. 185*f.* Western Sicily held against the Hellenes, i. 186; ii. 143*f.* Sardinia subdued, i. 186; ii. 143. Carthaginians in Spain, ii. 142. Excludes the Hellenes from the Western Mediterranean and the Atlantic, i. 184; ii. 138, 144. Compelled by its relations with Persia to a decisive attack on the Sicilian Greeks, i. 415. Defeat of the Carthaginians at Himera, i. 415; ii. 135. Subsequent conflicts with Syracuse, ii. 144-146, 156. Maintains naval ascendancy in the Tyrrhene Sea: breaking up of the alliance with the Etruscans, i. 417 *f.* Position in Sicily: league with Rome against Pyrrhus, ii. 29-31. Almost expelled by Pyrrhus from Sicily, ii. 32*f.* Designs on Rhegium, ii. 12, 146. On Tarentum, ii. 38, 146. Commands the Italian seas in the fourth and fifth centuries, ii. 39 *f.* Navigation of the Romans restricted: commercial treaties, i. 130, 452; ii. 41 *n.* [and Appendix to vol. ii.], 44, 146. Quarrels with Rome, partly from maritime jealousy, ii. 45. First occupies Messana, then dislodged from it by the Romans, ii. 169, 170. First Punic war, ii. 161-195. Peace, ii. 195-200. Mercenary war, ii. 205-208. Second Punic war, causes of, ii. 230-234. Carthaginian preparations, ii. 236-243. Breach with Rome, ii. 245. War, ii. 247-36*X.* After second Punic war, ii. 376 *f.* Alliance with Macedonia, ii. 292 *f.*, 492. Attitude in the war with Perseus, ii. 499. War with Massinissa, iii. 237-240. Third war with Rome, iii. 241-258. Destroyed, iii. 257*f.* Colony sent thither by Gracchus, iii. 346, 366; cancelled by the senate, iii. 366, 374. Its territory distributed, iii. 346, 366, 374, 468; iv. 157. New colony sent by Caesar, v. 424*f.*
- Carthage, New or Spanish (Cartagena), ii. 39, 251, 384; iv. 93. Taken by Scipio, ii. 3277*f.*
- Carthalo, Carthaginian vice-admiral in Sicily in the first Punic war, ii. 190

- Carthalo, with Hasdrubal, leader of the I patriot party in Carthage, iii. 239, 241
- Cams, general of the Segedani, iii. 217
- Carventani, about 370, member of Latin I league, i. 448 *n.*
- Sp. Carvilius [consul, 461], i. 490; ii. 124
- Sp. Carvilius, teacher of writing: regulates the Latin alphabet, iii. 191
- Carystus, ii. 430, 452; iii. 507 *n.*
- Casilinum, ii. 282, 303, 304, 335, 337
- C. Cassius [consul, 681], iv. 360
- C. Cassius, lieutenant of Crassus, v. 160-164
- L. Cassius [tribune of the people, 617], iii. 300, 316
- L. Cassius Longinus [consul, 647], defeated by the Helvetii, iii. 435
- L. Cassius, governor of Asia Minor, iv. 24, 29, 30, 33
- L. Cassius [tribune of the people, 665], iii. 530
- L. Cassius Hemina, chronicler, iv. 248. "On the Censors," iv. 252
- Q. Cassius Longinus [tribune of the people, 705], v. 188. Governor in Southern Spain, v. 290
- Sp. Cassius [consul, 252, 261, 268], i. 361, 438; ii. 85; iii. 59
- Cassivellaunus, v. 64f.
- Castor and Pollux early worshipped by the Romans, i. 230. Temple of, ii. 70; iii. 367
- Castra, custom-house at, iii. 19
- Castrum Amerinum, i. 143
- Castrum Novum, a burgess colony, ii. 39, 42
- Castus, leader in gladiatorial war, iv. 363
- Catana, i. 166
- Cataonia, iii. 382 *n.*
- Catilia. See Sergius
- Cato. See Porcius
- Cattle and sheep, the earliest medium of exchange, i. 238. Rearing of, in Italy, i. 243, 248. Dependent on agriculture, iii. 67. Increase of cattle-rearing, iii. 68, 74, 80-82, 97 *n.*, 305
- Cauca, iii. 219, 233
- Caucaenus, chieftain of Lusitanians, iii. 2x6
- Caudine Forks, i. 471f.
- Caudium, peace of, 472f.
- Caulonia, i. 170. In the Pyrrhic war pillaged by mutineers, ii. 19
- Caunus, ii. 446
- Cavalry. See Army
- Cavea, iii. 138
- Cavum aedium, i. 301; iii. 207
- Celeres, i. 90
- Celetrum, ii. 426
- Cella, i. 304
- Celtiberians, ii. 322, 355, 356, 388, 391 iii. 216, 219, 444, 479, 493
- I Celtici, iii. 216
- Celts, character of the nation, i. 419-422. Migrations, i. 422/. Cross the Alps to Italy, i. 423 f. Cross the Po, i. 424. Attack Etruria and capture Rome, i. 424-430. Subsequent incursions into Latium, i. 431f. End of their migrations, and results, i. 432. Take part in the last Samnite war, i. 488 f. Effect of the Celtic wars on the union of Italy, ii. 59. Subdued by the Romans in the course of the sixth century, ii. 222-228, 369-374. Attitude in second Punic war, ii. 268-273. Forbidden to acquire Roman citizenship, ii. 370; iii. 24. Gallia Cisalpina in the sixth century not yet a province, ii. 215 *n.*; erected as such only by Sulla, ii. 215 *n.*; iv. 122 *n.* Italian Celts in Roman army during the Social war, iii. 507
- Celts of Asia Minor, ii. 398, 401f., 512; iii. 280. War with, ii. 469-471, 473. War against Eumenes II. of Pergamus, iii. 276. See Galatia
- Celts, Transalpine, ii. 222, 223 *n.*, 226, 228. Their tribes, i. 423; iv. 423 f. Their advance into Italy checked, ii. 370 f. Conflicts in seventh century iii. 423-426
- Celts, alleged, in Southern Russia, iv. x6
- Cenchreae, ii. 430
- Cenomani, i. 423, 434; ii. 221, 223, 224, 227, 228, 270, 369f.; iii. 424
- Censorship instituted, i. 375. Importance of the office for the governing aristocracy, i. 375; iii. *n.* Plebeians eligible, i. 383. Patricians excluded from one censorship, i. 383. Moral jurisdiction over the burgesses, i. 397, 406 *n.*; ii. 63. Rendered thereby the first of the magistracies, ii. 64. Superior in rank to the consulate, i. 400. Might not be held twice, i. 402. Not a curule office, iii. 6 *n.* Limitations, iii. 10f. Set aside by Sulla, iv. 113. Renewed, and term of office extended to five years by Pompeius, iv. 380; v. 147f. Restricted by Clodius, v. 111. Remodelled by Caesar, v. 429, 430. Insignia, iii. 45
- Censors in the Italian towns (*quinquennates*), ii. 58 *n.*, 59
- Census arose out of the Servian military arrangements, i. 119f. Every fourth

- year, i. 331. Extended to Italy, ii. 58 *n.* Extended to Sicily, ii. 211. But not to the more recently added provinces, iii. 34. Rating originally in land, i. 115 *f.* In money, i. 396 *f.* Later modifications, iii. 50 *n.* Numbers of, when introduced into the Annals, ii. 102. Those of the first four centuries probably all fictitious, ii. 54, 55 *n.* Compare Population
- C. Centenius, ii. 279
- M. Centenius, ii. 337
- Centumviri, a Latin senate, i. 86
- Centumviral court, iv. 128, 255; v. 348
- Centuripa, ii. 171, 211 *n.*, 213. Exempt from taxation, iv. 158
- Cephalenia, ii. 476, 477
- Cephaloedium, ii. 185
- Cephissus, iv. 44
- Cercina, iii. 541
- Cereatae Marianae, iii. 452
- Ceres, i. 207. Festival of, iii. 40. Temple of, in Rome, i. 355 *n.*; ii. 85, 118, 123, 127
- Certalia*, i. 207
- Cermalus, i. 63, 64
- Cervesia*, v. 13
- Cestrus, river in Pamphylia, ii. 472
- Centrones, ii. 260*f.*
- Chaeronea, battles at, iii. 269; iv. 35, 41*f.*
- Chalcedon, ii. 410; iv. 47. Siege in Mithradatic war, iv. 326
- Chalcidian colonies in Italy and Sicily, i. 166, 172, 175
- Chalcis, ii. 396, 421, 422, 430, 431, 442, 452, 454, 456, 457, 459, 499, 503; iv. 38, 42. Sides with Critolaus against Rome, iii. 268. Punishment, iii. 270, 272
- Chaldaean in Rome, iv. 210
- Chaonians in Pyrrhus' army, ii. 16
- Chaplet, as prize of victory, i. 294, 295; iii. 5
- Chariot races, i. 294, 295; iii. 124, 133
- Charondas, laws of, i. 175
- Charops, the Epirot, ii. 429; iii. 264
- Chatti, v. 31 *n.*, 72, 73
- Chelidonian islands, ii. 446
- Cherry, the wild, native in Italy, iii. 65 *n.* From Asia Minor, transplanted to Italy in Caesar's time, iii. 65 *n.*
- Chersonese, Tauric, iv. 15, 334. Free city, iv. 15, 17. Inscription, iv. 13 *n.*, 17 *n.*
- Chersonese, Thracian, ii. 400, 474, 477, 486; iii. 423
- Chilo, slave of Cato the elder, iii. 132 *n.*
- Chios, ii. 318, 406, 411*f.*, 417, 460, 473. Treatment of, by Mithradates, iv. 46. Occupied by Lucullus, iv. 47; and *in.* demnified by Sulla, iv. 49, 54
- Chlorus, iii. 276 *n.*
- Chrematas the Acarnanian, iii. 264
- Cicero. See Tullius
- Cilicia, ii. 398, 445, 472, 474; iii. 275, 281, 385; iv. 11, 317, 324, 325. Seat of pirates, iii. 292, 306; iv. 2, 5, 311. A Roman province, iii. 382; iv. 4*f.*, 313*f.* Taxation, iv. 158, 159 *n.* Province enlarged by Servilius, iv. 314. Partly occupied by Tigranes, iv. 316. Enlarged by Pompeius, iv. 436
- Cimbri, iii. 386, 430-438, 444-449
- Ciminian Forest, i. 157, 432; ii. 79. March of Q. Fabius Rullianus through it, i. 479
- Cinnatus. See Quinctius
- L. Cincius Alhnenus, historical work under his name, iii. 185 *n.*
- Cineas, ii. 15, 22, 30
- Cinna. See Cornelius
- Cinyras, ruler of Byblus, iv. 430
- Ciphers, earliest in general use throughout Italy, i. 252, 264. Greek aspirates afterwards adopted as signs for 50, 100, and 1000, i. 267. Etruscan, i. 267, 282
- Circe, i. 177
- Circeii, Latin colony, i. 446. Rises against Rome, i. 447. About 370, a member of Latin league, i. 448 *n.*, 450, 451. Mentioned in treaty with Carthage, i. 452. Not Roman burgess-community, ii. 49. Circeian promontory, i. 177
- Circus, i. 141. Flaminian, iii. 40
- Cirta, ii. 354, 384; iii. 391, 392, 402, 407; iv. 177. And surrounding district, given by Caetar to P. Sittius, v. 301, 424
- Cispus, i. 63
- Cistophorus*, iv. 182; v. 438 *n.*
- Citrons, iii. 65 *n.*
- Cius, ii. 407, 410, 411, 415, 421, 447
- Cives sine suffragio*, protected burgesses, i. 121. Burgesses without right of electing or being elected: origin of this category, i. 433. Their position: subject to Roman civic burdens and Roman tribunals, but with administration of their own, ii. 49-54, 55 *f.* Their number, ii. 55 *n.* Disappearance of this class, iii. 23, 26, 54. Right preserved, with limited self-administration: Tusculum, i. 448; ii. 248 *n.*; and the Sabines, i. 492. Without self-administration: Caere, i. 433; Capua and other places, i. 463; Anagnia, i. 484*f.*
- Civitus* (citizenship), originally coincident with patriciate, i. 80. Could not

- be lost within the state, i. 131f., 198f. Within Latium, i. 131 f. Sparingly conferred in very early times, i. 112. Given to the Alban clans, i. 128. Later *civitas* of plebeians, i. 333. Burgess-rights formerly forced upon the holders, then coveted and conferred as a favour, ii. 52 f. After subjugation of Italy, less frequently bestowed, iii. 26, 493 f. Its assumption forbidden, iii. 496. After the Social war, bestowed, with limitations, on the Italians, iii. 516f., 527f.; iv. 62f. The Sulpician law equalizing old and new burgesses, iii. 531-535. The same confirmed by Cinna, iv. 58, 70 f. By Sulla, iv. 106, 114f. Extensively conferred by Caesar on non-Italians, v. 4257f.
- Civitates foederatae*, iv. 157
- Civitates immunes*, iv. 158
- Civic community. See Urban
- Clanis, iv. 86
- Clans form the community, i. 80. Clan consists of ten households, i. 85. Clans, villages, the oldest form of settlements in Latium, i. 44/. Without political independence, parts of the canton, i. 46. *Gentes maiores et minores*, i. 108. Significance of gentile ties even at the time of the abolition of the monarchy, i. 316.
- Classes*, i. 115f., 118
- Classici*, i. 118
- Clastidium, battle of, ii. 228, 270, 272 n.
- Claudia [sister to the consul of 505], iii. x02
- Claudii, the patrician (Appendix), i. 495-508
- Claudius [decemvir, 303, 304], i. 365, 498-500
- Ap. Claudius Caecus [censor, 442; consul, 447, 458]. His character, i. 395; ii. 93. His censorship, i. 396; iii. 50 n. De-meanour in reference to Pyrrhus, ii. 22. Founds the system of useful public works and buildings, i. 476; ii. 85, 94. And of honorary memorials of private persons, ii. 91. His poems, ii. 94, 100. His calendar and formulae for actions, ii. 113. Introduces *r* instead of *s*, ii. "5
- Ap. Claudius Caudex [consul, 490], ii. 170
- Ap. Claudius [consul, 495], i. 347
- Ap. Claudius Pulcher [mil. tribune, 538; i. consul, 54a]. ii. 298, 336, 337i 340. Fights against the Salassi, iii. 4x5
- Ap. Claudius [officer in the war with Antiochus, 562], ii. 457
- Ap. Claudius [officer in the war with Perseus, 585], ii. 502, 505
- Ap. Claudius [consul, 611; censor, 618], a friend of the Gracchi, iii. 3x9, 323
- Ap. Claudius, propraetor before Nola, iii. 547. Outlawed, iv. 72
- Ap. Claudius [consul, 675], iv. 138, 306
- Ap. Claudius, lieutenant in third Mithradatic war, iv. 336, 338
- C. Claudius [mil. tribune, 490], ii. 168 f.
- C. Claudius Cento [commands the fleet, 554], ii. 422, 423
- C. Claudius Nero [censor, 550; consul, 547], propraetor in Spain, ii. 324, 330, 337, 347-348f., 351f.
- C. Claudius Marcellus [consul, 704], v. 185, 186
- C. Claudius Marcellus [consul, 705], v. 188 n.
- C. Claudius Pulcher [aedile, 655], im proves the stage-decorations, iv. 236
- Claudius Unimanus [governor of Spain, 608], iii. 223
- M. Claudius Marcellus [consul, 532, 539, 540, 544, 546], his character, ii. 30X f. Defeats the Celts, ii. 228. Takes the command after Cannae, ii. 298, 303, 304, 303. 310; iii. 51 f. War in Sicily, ii. 310-313. Charges against him, iii. 57f. His treatment of the Syracusans, Hi. 33. The first to bring art-treasures from conquered Greek cities to Rome, iii. 208. His death, ii. 343
- M. Claudius Marcellus [consul, 588, 599, 602], iii. 217f., 299 n.
- M. Claudius Marcellus in the Social war, iii. 509
- M. Claudius Marcellus [consul, 703], v. 173, 179, 330
- P. Claudius Pulcher [consul, 505], defeated at Drepana, ii. 188 f. Mocks the auspices, iii. 112
- Q. Claudius Quadrigarius, chronicler, v. 496
- Clauzus, Attus, migrates to Rome, i. 55
- Clavus*, iii. 5 n., 16, 45
- Clazomenae, ii. 461, 473. Supports the Romans in the Social war, iii. 507 n. Pillaged by the pirates, iv. 308.
- Cleonymus of Sparta, i. 482f.
- Cleopatra, daughter of Antiochus, ii. 445, 448 n., 450, 515f.
- Cleopatra, daughter of Mithradates, iv. 406
- Cleopatra, daughter of Ptolemaeus Auletes, v. 272, 276f., 281
- Cleopatra, wife of Ptolemaeus Evergetes II. iv. 4

- Clientship, meaning of the word, i. 109.
 A state of protected freedom, i. 78 f.
 Earliest position in the community, i. 79.
 A curse rests on its violation, i. 226.
 Based on assignation of land by protector to protected, i. 245f. Referred originally to the clan, not to the individual patron, i. 246.
 Growth and significance of, iii. 38 f. Not applied officially to relations of state law, ii. 47 n.
 Of towns, originating out of honorary citizenship, i. 88; iii. 33.
- Clitarchus, ii. 2 n., 112
- Clitomachus, philosopher, iv. 192
- Cloaca maxima* i. 141; ii. 119
- Cloacae, construction of, iii. 22
- P. Clodius, iv. 345, 517; v. 111-116, 126, 144f.
- Clodius Glaber, general in the Gladiatorial war, iv. 358
- Cloelii, from Alba, i. 128
- Cloelius, iv. 79
- Clondicus, Celtic leader, ii. 505
- A. Cluentius, v. 390
- L. Cluentius, Samnite leader in Social war, iii. 522
- Cluilia fossa*, i. 58
- Clunia in Spain, iv. 297, 304
- Clupea, i. 180, 182, 183, 184; iii. 252
- Clupeus*, ii. 76 n.
- Ciusium=Camars, i. 143, 414, 428; ii. 224; iv. 167
- Cnidus, iv. 47. Pillaged by the pirates, iv. 308
- Cnossus, iv. 353
- Coelesyria, conflict between Syria and Egypt about, ii. 515, 517
- Coelius. See Caelius
- Cokors amicorum*, iii. 460
- Cohorts. See Legion
- Coinage. See Money
- Colchis, iv. 13, 20, 94, 414-416
- Col lada, i. 125, 130
- Collegia* (clubs) in Rome, v. 111, 370.
 First forbidden by decree of senate in 690, iv. 267 f. Allowed again by Clodius, v. 111. Restricted by Caesar, v. 373f.
- Collini, i. 68n., 69
- Colline Gate, i. 68. Battle at the, iii. 89
- Callis*, i. 68
- Collis agonalis*, i. 68 n.
- Colonnades occur, iii. 206
- Colonies, Italian, their salutary effect on the social state of Rome, i. 391. Between the Apennines and the Po, iii. 99 f. Stoppage of colonization in Italy in the end of sixth century, iii. 312f.
- Colonies of C Gracchus, iii. 346, 374.
 Proposal of the elder Drusus, iii. 364 f.
 Of the younger Drusus, iii. 485. Of Sulla, iii. 541 f.; iv. 109, 265. Of the Servilian agrarian law, iv. 472f. Compare *Capua*
- Coloniae civium Romanorum*, i. 127 n.
 At first all on the sea-coast, i. 42, 48.
 Inland, iii. 26. All established in Italy after Aquileia, burgess-colonies, ii. 52 n.
 The Transpadane towns designated as such, v. 131 f. Rise of municipal system, iv. 131-134
- Coloniae Latinae*, oldest, i. 135. Founded by Romano-Latin league, and received into it as new independent members, i. 439. Colonists at first a mixture of Romans and Latins; subsequent predominance of Romans, i. 440, 441. Compare Latin league
- Colonies, non-Italian, projects of T. Gracchus, iii. 312. Of C. Gracchus, iii. 346. Founding of Narbo, iii. 374, 419; iv. 191; v. 422. Proposals of Saturninus, iii. 468, 476. Of the younger Drusus, iii. 485. Colonies of Caesar in Cisalpine Gaul, v. 131. In Transalpine Gaul, v. 98, 422. At various points, v. 423-425
- Colophon, ii. 473; iii. 279; iv. 47. Pillaged by the pirates, iv. 308
- Columns, building of, iii. 207
- Com ana, iv. 95, 332. High priest of, iv.
- Comedy, newer Attic, iii. 141-146
- Comedy, Roman; Hellenism and political indifference, iii. 147-151. *Dramatis personae* and situations, iii. 151 f. Composition of, iii. 153 f. Roman barbarism, iii. 154. Metres, iii. 155. Scenic arrangements, iii. 155 f.
- Comitia, non-freehold burgesses admitted generally by Appius Claudius, i. 396 f. In a more limited sense by Fabius Rullianus, i. 396. Gradual extension of their functions, i. 397 f. First step towards consulting them on administrative affairs, i. 397f. Demagogic enlargement of their functions, iii. 57f. Voting districts disorganized, iii. 37, 38. Decreasing importance, i. 398 f. Nullity of later comitia, iii. 59f. Introduction of voting by ballot, iii. 300, 316, 340. Better control aimed at by Marius, iii. 454. Condition in the time of the Gracchi, iii. 300 f., 329-333. In the time of Sulla, iii. 541-545; iv. 116f. In the time of Caesar, v. 338. Appoint

- directly to military commands, iv. 389/.
 Their corruption, iii. 302; iv. 268; v. 385
Comitia centuriata, earliest, i. 120 f.
 On the abolition of the monarchy, obtain the right of annually designating the consuls, of judging in appeals, and making new laws in concert with presiding magistrates, i. 327, 328. Priority in voting of equestrian centuries, i. 329. Assembly of the centuries in the camp, i. 328. Reform of: each of the five classes has equal number of votes; equestrian priority of vote abolished, iii. 50-54. Order of voting fixed by lot by C. Gracchus, iii. 345. Servian order of voting restored by Sulla, iii. 542, compare iv. 115. Position after the Sullan restoration, iv. 114, 115
Comitia, curiata, summoned by the king to do homage, and to sanction changes in, or exceptions from, the existing legal order, i. 93-96. Ordinary, twice a year (March 24 and May 24), i. 93. Vote taken by heads, i. 360. After admission of plebeians restricted to legislative formal acts and decrees in matters affecting the clans, i. 327 /. Plebeian curiate assembly, i. 328, 360. Compare Burgess-body
Comitia tributa, originally assembly of plebeian landholders, i. 360. Introduction of, i. 360. Patricio-plebeian, i. 368. Predominance in later times, iii. 52f. After Sulla's time, nominate new senators, iv. 113f. Nominate quaestors, iv. 113
Comitium, i. 140
 Commagene. See Antiochus and Ptolemaeus
 Commerce, oldest Italian inland, its fairs, i. 250. Media of exchange: oxen and sheep, i. 251; and copper, i. 352. Subsequent development, ii. 78f.
 Commerce, earliest Italian transmarine, especially on the west coast; import chiefly of Greek and Oriental articles of luxury, i. 252-255. Export of Italian raw produce, i. 255. Etruscan, Attic, and Latino-Sicilian, i. 257 f. Subsequent development of transmarine commerce, ii. 79-81; iii. 84. Latin commerce passive, Etruscan active, i. 255. Roman wholesale, i. 261; iv. 173 f. African, centres at Utica, iii. 460. Greek, at Argos and Delos, iii. 874. Gallic and British, at Narbo, iii. 421. Roman, penetrates to Northern Gaul, v. 30
 Commercial interests, their influence on Roman politics, iii. 238, 274, 295, 415, 421; iv. 175, 176
Commercium withdrawn from the Italian communities, ii. 52. From the Sicilians, ii. 2x0
 Commius, king of the Atrebatas, v. 85, 92, 94
Commodatum, iii. 91
 Common tillage by the clanships, i. 46, 238
 Compitum, dictator at, i. 442 n.
 Complega, ii. 386
 Compulteria, ii. 305
 Comum, ii. 228, 370; iii. 305, 425; v. 132
Concilium withdrawn from the Italian communities, ii. 53
Concilium plebis, i. 360
 Concolitanus, ii. 223, 226
 Concord, temple of, in the Capitol, i. 382. New temple erected by L. Opimius, iii. 369
Confarreatio, relation to the earliest constitution of ten curies, i. 85 n. Symbolic act, i. 202
 Confiscations by Sulla, iv. 103f.
 Confiscations by Caesar, v. 365
 Congonnetiacus, iii. 418
 Conistorgis, town of the Celtici, iii. 220
 Consensual contracts, actionable, iii. 92 n.
 Consentia, i. 466. Attitude in second Punic war, ii. 294. Stormed by the gladiators, iv. 359
Consilium, i. 330
Consualia, i. 208
 Consuls, meaning of name, i. 3x8 n. Their earliest appellations, i. 318. Supreme administrators, judges, and generals, i. 318. Each of them possessing the whole regal power: in case of collision, the *imperium* neutralize each other, i. 318 f. Authority dormant during a dictatorship, i. 325. Bound to resign office after the expiry of a year, i. 319. No fixed day for entering on their year of office, i. 319 n. Power similar to the royal, i. 317f. But differing from it, by the introduction of responsibility: consul impeachable after the expiry of his term for a crime perpetrated while in office, i. 319; by the abolition of royal taskwork and clientship, i. 3x9./; by the legal establishment of the right of the community to judge on appeal in capital sentences other than those of martial law, i. 320; by restrictions on right to delegate hit powers, i. 321, or to nominate his suc

- essor, i. 324; by the loss of the nomination of priests, and by the abolition of the more striking insignia, i. 324. Their position in reference to senate, i. 336-338. Choose senators at pleasure, i. 331. Conduct quaestor elections, i. 368. Restricted by the intercessio and jurisdiction of the tribunes, i. 350-354. Their power weakened in consequence of the conflicts between the orders, i. 400. Limited to the mainland, ii. 209. Receive a quasi-dictatorial power by decree of the senate, iii. 56. The consul conducting a consular election might propose list of, and reject, candidates, i. 324. One consul must be a plebeian, i. 380. Re-election restricted, ii. 402; iii. 14. Exclusion of the poorer citizens, iii. 14. Right of proposal, but not of deposition, vested in the community, i. 323. Re-election forbidden, iii. 299; iv. 72 n. This repealed by Sulla, iv. 116. Consular spheres of duty regulated by C. Gracchus, iii. 355, 405. By Sulla, iv. 121 f. Decline of consulate under Caesar, iv. 453; v. 329, 343 f. *Consul suffectus* in the earlier time, i. 319 n.; in Caesar's time, v. 344. Consuls in Beneventum, ii. 51. Opposition-consuls of the Italians, iii. 505
- Census*, i. 208
- Contio*, i. 93; iii. 331
- Contracts under earliest law not actionable, with the exception of betrothal, purchase, and loan, i. 195. Of the state with a Burgess need no form, i. 195. Defaulter and his property could be sold, i. 196 f. Consensual contracts and *obligatio litteris*, iii. 91 n.
- Contrebia, iii. 226; iv. 293
- Conubium between Romans and Latins, t. 132; ii. 52 n., 210. Withdrawn from the Italian communities, ii. 52, and from the Sicilian (?), ii. 210
- Conventus civium Rotnanorum*, iv. 190
- Cookery, art of, iii. 123
- Co-optation. See Priestly Colleges
- Copia. See *Thurii*
- Copper, the second oldest medium of exchange, i. 251 f. Copper money in Rome, iv. 179
- Coppersmiths, guild of, i. 249, 307
- Cora, originally Latin, i. 445 n. In the Aricine league, i. 445 n., 450. About 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450
- Corbio, about 370, a member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450
- Corcyræ, ii. 422, 425. Commercial connections with Italy, i. 176. Occupied by Agathocles, Cleonymus, Demetrius, and Pyrrhus, i. 483 f., 491; ii. 7. Roman, under a praefect, ii. 218 n., 403
- Corduene, iv. 317, 341
- Corfinium, headquarters of the insurgents in Social war, iii. 504, 522. Siege and capture by Caesar, v. 209 f.
- Corinth, ii. 396, 430, 431, 432, 434, 437, 438, 442; iii. 266 f., 268. Its commercial connections with Italy, i. 176. Colonies from, i. 166. Occupied by Mummius, iii. 270. Art-treasures carried off, iii. 270 f. Destruction of, iii. 272-274; iv. 173, 175. Roman domain, iii. 271 n.; iv. 157. Restored by Caesar, v. 425. "Copper" of, iii. 274 n.
- Corioli, about 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450
- Cornelia, mother of the Gracchi, iii. 318, 333. 369 f.; iv. 184, 250
- Cornelia, wife of Caesar, iv. 279
- Cornelians, freedmen of Sulla, iv. 110
- Cornelii, clan-village, i. 45
- Cornelius Nepos, v. 498
- A. Cornelius Cossus [copsul, 326], i. 425
- A. Cornelius Cossus [consul, 411], i. 459 n.
- C. Cornelius Cinna, Strabo's Ueutenant in the Social war, iii. 522
- Cn. Cornelius Scipio Asina [consul, 494], it. 177
- Cn. Cornelius Scipio Calvus [consul, 532] conquers the Celts, ii. 228. In Spanish campaign, ii. 291, 309, 321-323
- Cn. Cornelius Dolabella (governor in Cilicia, 674), iii. 382 n.
- Cn. Cornelius Lentulus Clodianus [consul, 682], defeated by Spartacus, iv. 360, 380
- Cn. (?) Cornelius Scipio. See L. Cornelius Scipio
- L. Cornelius Balbus maior, iv. 89
- L. Cornelius Balbus of Gades, Caesar's confidant, v. 342
- L. Cornelius Scipio [consul, 456], epitaph on, ii. 91, 93, 103 n., 115 n., 123
- L. Cornelius Scipio [consul, 495] takes Aleria, ii. 177. Epitaph on, ii. 115 n., 177
- L. Cornelius Scipio Asiaticus [consul, 564], general in war with Antiochus, ii. 464, 470. Originator of special collections, iii. 39. Erased from the roll of the equites, iii. 48. Takes the sur-

- name of Asiagenus, ii. 483 n.; iii. I
- L. Cornelius Cinna [consul, 667-670], iii. 545; iv. 57-61, 64, 65, 68, 69-71, 73, 74, 102 n.
- L. Cornelius Cinna, son of the preceding, iv. 288
- L. Cornelius Lentulus Crus [consul, 705], v. 188
- L. Cornelius Merula [consul, 666], iv. 59, 66f.
- L. (Cn. ?) Cornelius Scipio [praetor, 580], captive with Antiochus, ii. 466
- L. Cornelius Scipio Asiaticus [consul, 671], iv. 74, 80f., 101, 102 n., 103
- L. Cornelius Sisenna [praetor, 676], lieutenant of Pompeius, iv. 403. Historian of the Social and Civil wars, v. 493.
- Cornelius Sulla, surnamed Felix, iv. 142. Character, iii. 537f.; iv. 139-142. Superstition, iv. 141, 209. Political career, iv. 142-145. Serves against Jugurtha, iii. 407-409. Against the Teutones, iii. 443. Governor of Cilicia, iv. 22. General in Social war, iii. 504, 510, 513, 520. 522, 524f., 525, 529. Quarrels with Sulpicius, iii. 535 f. Marches on and occupies Rome, iii. 538, 539- First legislation, iii. 541-545. Mithradatic campaign, iii. 545, 547. Conquers Greece, iv. 36-42. At Athens, iv. 38, 39. Victorious at Chaeronea, iv. 41-43. At Orchomenus, iv. 44. Crosses to Asia, iv. 50f. Makes peace at Dardanus, iv. 53. Against Fimbria, iv. 52 f. Regulates Asiatic affairs, iv. 53f. Returns to Italy, iv. 54, 77. In conflict with the Marian party, iv. 79-93. Dictator, iv. 98-100. His executions, iv. 100 f., 106 f. Proscriptions and confiscations, iv. 102-106. Assignations to the soldiers, iv. 108f. Treatment of the Italians, iv. 107 - no. Abolishes the Gracchan institutions, iv. 110f. Reorganizes the senate, iv. 111f. Regulations as to the burgesses, iv. 114f. As to the priestly colleges, iv. 115. Regulates qualifications for office and magistracies, iv. 116-121. Erects Cisalpine Gaul as a province, ii. 2x5 n., iv. 122f. His finance, iv. 126. Judicial system, iv. 127-130. *Quæstiones*, iv. 128f. Police laws, iv. 130f. Resigns the dictatorship, iv. 138. After his retirement, iv. 150. Death and burial, iv. 151 f. His opinion of Caesar, iv. 279. Political results of his death, iv. 287.
- Vengeance of democrats on Sullans by legal process, iv. 458-460.
- P. Cornelius Dolabella [consul, 471], ii. 11
- P. Cornelius Lentulus besieges Haliartus, ii. 498
- P. Cornelius Rufinus [consul, 464, 477], i. 395; ii. 64, 86 n.
- P. Cornelius Scipio [consul, 536], commands against Hannibal in Gaul and Upper Italy, ii. 254-257, 268-272, 291. In Spain, ii. 308, 321-323
- P. Cornelius Scipio Africanus, his character, ii. 324-327. Saves his father's life at the Ticino, ii. 269. His conduct after the battle of Cannae, ii. 298. His Spanish campaigns, ii. 327-331. His African expedition, ii. 352-361. Triumph, ii. 368. Opposed to Antiochus, ii. 464-468. Separates the orders in the theatre, iii. 10. At enmity with Cato, iii. 42, 47, 76. His political position, iii. 61. Nepotism, iii. 17. Early rise of, iii. 17. Introduces honorary surnames, ii. 483 n.; iii. 44. Largesses of foreign grain at nominal prices, iii. 76. Ridiculed by Naevius, iii. 150. His trial and death, ii. 483f.
- P. Cornelius Scipio, son of Africanus, writes Roman history in Greek, iii. 185
- P. Cornelius Scipio Nasica commands at Pydna, ii. 506
- P. Cornelius Cethegus, a Marian, goes over to Sulla, iv. 78. His influence, iv. 269, 351
- P. Cornelius Dolabella, Caesar's admiral in Illyricum, v. 235. Tribune of the people, v. 3x8
- P. Cornelius Lentulus [*praetor urbanus*, c. 589], iii. 329
- P. Cornelius Lentulus Sura [consul, 683], Catilinarian, iv. 477, 479, 480
- P. Cornelius Lentulus Spinther, a Pompeian, v. 309
- P. Cornelius Scipio Aemilianus Africanus, his character, iii. 3x4-3x7, 339. Incorruptibility, iii. 295. Military tribune in Spain, iii. 219 f., 241. In Africa, iii. 250 f. In Macedonia, iii. 260. Destroys Carthage, iii. 252-258. Restores discipline in the camp before Numantia, iii. 230; iv. 2x0. Destroys Numantia, iii. 231 f. Mission to the east, iii. 292. Bearing towards the populace, iii. 331. Attitude in reference to Sempronian agrarian law, iii. 329, 331, 334, 337. Judgment on the killing of Ti. Gracchus, iii. 327. Death, iii. 338.

- Scipionic circle, iv. 192, 203, 239, 243.
 Speeches, iv. 251.
 P. Cornelius Sulla, Catilinarian, iv. 466
 Corniculum, i. 125
 Q. Cornificius, lieutenant of Caesar, v.
Corona civica, ii. 358; iii. 315
 Coronea, ii. 441., 498, 501, 503
 Correspondence published, v. 501
 Correus, Bellovacian, v. 92f.
 Corsica, Phocaeans settle in, i. 184. Etruscan, i. 186, 413, 416. Carthaginian, ii. 40. Roman fleet sent thither to found colony, ii. 44. Roman, ii. 177, 209. War with, ii. 376. Marian settlement in, iii. 479
 Cortona, ii. 111. Peace with Rome, i. 479
 C. Coruncanus, ii. 217
 L. Coruncanus, ii. 217
 Tib. Coruncanus, ii. 23, 113
 Cos, ii. 412; iv. 32, 33
 Cosa in Etruria, i. 304; iv. 291. In Lucania, ii. 295. A Latin colony, ii. 39, 42. Reinforced, ii. 366
 C. Cosconius [praetor, 664, 691], in the Social war, iii. 521. Against the Dalmatians, iv. 306
 Coses, iv. 416
 Cossyra, ii. 143; iv. 92
 Cothön, inner harbour of Carthage, iii. 248, 256
 Cotta. See Aurelius, Aurunculeius
 Cottian Alps, road over the, iv. 293
 Cotys, iv. 93, 500, 501, 510
 Crates Mallotes, grammarian, iv. 214
 Crathis, river in Bruttium, i. 171
 Credit, earliest Roman system of: no landed security, but guaranteed right of personal arrest, i. 204. Effects of, i. 346f. Demand of legal abatement during the Social war, iii. 530f. Remission of debt by the law of L. Valerius Flaccus, iv. 70. Projects of Catilina, iv. 474. Position of debtors in Caesar's time, v. 388-390. Caesar's measures, v. 398-402. Laws of M. Caelius and P. Dolabella, v. 317 f. Caesar's bankruptcy ordinance, v. 4,00 f. Compare Agriculture
 Cremera, battle on the, i. 359
 Cremona, ii. 267, 273; iv. 167. Battle at, i. 370. Reorganized as fortress, ii. 373. A Latin colony, ii. 229; iii. 49. lus of, ii. 52 n.; iii. 518
 Crete, ii. 405, 433, 439, 475, 514, 515; iii. 234, 442. The Phoenicians dislodged thence by the Hellenes, i. 183. Recruiting field, ii. 162. Seat of pirates, iii. 291 f., 306; iv. 310, 314. Made by Metellus and Pompeius a Roman province, iv. 351-354, 402f., 436. League of Cretan towns, iv. 27f.
Crimen, i. 32
 Criminal procedure: fundamental ideas, i. 32. Interference of the king, even without appeal of the injured person, in breaches of the public peace, i. 191 /. Imprisonment during investigation the rule, i. 191f. Capital punishment, i. 192. Pardon by the community, or by the gods, i. 192. Later development, ii. 66-70. Changes by C. Gracchus, iii. 346f., 352f. Under Sulla, iv. 127-129. See Jury-Courts
 Critolaus, iii. 267, 268, 269
 Crixus, leader of the Celts in the gladiatorial war, iv. 357-360
 Croton, i. 170 f., 173, 456; ii. 295, 358. Repulses the Bruttians with help of the Syracusans, i. 466. Occupied by the Romans, ii. 12, 31. Burgess-colony, ii. 365. Pillaged by mutineers in Pyrrhic war, i. 18. Surprised by the pirates, iv. 354
 Crustumeria, i. 125, 348. Crustumian tribe, i. 360
 Culture, in Caesar's time, v. 449-453
 Cumae or "Cyme," in Asia Minor, ii. 461, 473; iii. 278
 Cumae in Campania, ii. 303. Oldest Greek settlement in Italy, i. 165, 166, 167. Transferred to mainland, i. 175. Its constitution, i. 175. Dorism of language, i. 174 n. Attacked by Tyrrhenians, 230 u.c., i. 148, 158. Checks the Etruscans in Aricia, i. 414. Helps to defeat Tyrrhene fleet, i. 415; ii. 134. Conquered by Sabellians, i. 419, 454, 456. Obtains Caerite rights, i. 463; iii. 24. Sibylline oracles brought thence to Rome, i. 229. Old relations with Rome, i. 260; ii. 80
 Cumulation of offices, i. 402
 Cures, Sabine town, i. 69 n. Obtains *civitas sine suffragio*, i. 492. See Sabines
 Curia consisted of 10 *gentes*, or 100 households, i. 85. Fundamental part of the community, i. 86 f. *Compars Comitia curiata*
Curia Saliorum, i. 62
Curiae veteres, i. 62
 Curiatii, from Alba, i. 128; ii. 105
 Curicta, v. 235
Curio, i. 87. *Curio maximus* elected by the burgesses, iii. 57. All the *curiones* elected by the burgesses, iii. 463; iv.

- 206F. Election by the college reintroduced by Sulla, iv. 115f., 207
- Curio. See Scribonius
- M. Curius Dentatus [consul, 464, 479, 480; censor, 482], i. 393, 395, 491; ii. 36, 85; iii. 46
- Cursor. See Papirius
- Curule magistracies, iii. 4, 5 n., 6f.
- Customs, Sicilian, ii. 212; iv. 160. Extension of Italian, iii. 19f. In the seventh century, iv. 159 f. Customs - districts within the Roman state, iv. 160. Officers, iv. 166
- Cybele, worship in Rome, iii. 117
- Cyclades, the, ii. 400, 410, 412
- Cycliades, ii. 430
- Cyclopean walls. See Walls
- Cydonia, iv. 351f., 353
- Cynics, v. 444
- Cynoscephalae, battle of, ii. 433f.
- Cyprus, ii. 400, 410; iv. 11, 47. The Phoenicians dislodged thence by the Hellenes, i. 183. Separated from Egypt, iii. 235, 236. Falls to Rome, iv. 319, 450, 517
- Cypsela, iv. 52
- Cyrene, ii. 137, 400, 410, 414; iv. 40. Phoenicians dislodged thence by Hellenes, i. 183. Separated from Egypt, iii. 234, 236, 283, 4x0 n.; iv. 4. Roman, iv. 4, 322. Free city, iv. 4. Roman domains there, iv. 157. Taxation, iv. 158
- Cyusus, battle, ii. 460
- Cythnos, ii. 417
- Cyzicus, ii. 406, 450. Free city, iii. 280. ! Treatment by Fimbria, iv. 47. Besieged by Mithradates, iv. 329f. Enlargement of city-domain by Lucullus, iv. 440
- DACIAN kingdom founded, v. 105f.
- Dadasa, iv. 348
- Dahae in army of Antiochus, ii. 466
- Dalmatia. See Illyricum.
- Damareta, i. 415
- Damascus, iv. 316, 427
- Damasippus at Phacus, iii. 260
- Damium, i. 231
- Damocritus, Achaean *strategus*, iii. 266
- Damophilus, Sicilian planter, iii. 309
- Damophilus of Himera. See Demophilus
- Danala, iv. 407
- Dancing, its early religious and artistic significance, i. 285 f. Accompanying the *saturate* ii. 98f. Greek influence, iv. 258. On the stage, v. 472 f., 517. In private life, v. 516f.
- Daorsi, iii. 422
- Dardani, ii. 422, 423, 435, 492, 493, 501; iii. 263, 429; iv. 50. Subdued by Romans, iv. 307
- Dardanus, ii. 473. Peace at, iv. 52, 54
- Darius, king of the Medes, said to have been defeated by Pompeius, iv. 434 n.
- Dassaretiae, ii. 423, 426, 499
- Daunii, i. 453; ii. 21, 89. With the Etruscans surprise Cumae, i. 148. Subdued by Alexander the Molossian, i. 466
- Day late in being divided into hours, i. 268. Different times of its commencement among Italian races, i. 269f.
- Dea dia, i. 215
- Debt, procedure for, altered by the *Lex Poetelia*, i. 389f. .See Credit
- Decemviri consulari imperii legibus servandis*, institution and overthrow, i. 361-367. Introduction of money by them, ii. 787C Attempt a regulation of the calendar, ii. 116f.
- Decemviri litibus iudicandis*, i. 352; iv. 128
- Decemviri sacris faciundis*. See *Duoviri*
- Decietae, iii. 415
- Decimal system, its origin, i. 263f. Older than the duodecimal system, i. 264f. At first exclusively prevalent in Italy, i. 264. But the duodecimal system early acquired preponderance, i. 265
- Decius, Campanian captain, ii. 18
- P. Decius Mus [military tribune, 411; consul, 414], i. 459 n. Self-sacrifice probably false, i. 460 n.
- P. Decius Mus [consul, 457, 459], i. 459 n., 489
- Declamations, iv. 215-218
- Decuriones turmarum*, i. 440 n.
- Dediticii*, communities of, iii. 24, 26-28. Definition of, iii. 528 n.; iv. 107 n.
- Deiotarus, iv. 325, 437
- Delian bronze, iii. 274 n.
- Delium, ii. 457. Peace-conferences with Mithradates at, iv. 49f.
- Delminium, iii. 421
- Delos, free port, ii. 515. Emporium of the Romans, iii. 274, 293, 306, 309; iv. 34, 175. Occupied by Mithradates, iv. 34. Given to Athens, ii. 517; iv. 39
- Surprised by the pirates, iv. 354
- Delphic oracle, embassy to, from the Romans, i. 230; ii. 46. From the Caerites, i. 185. Delphic temple, ii. 495, 496. Receives gifts from Mummius, iii. 271. Emptied by Sulla, iv. 40. Celtic expedition to Delphi, iii. 425

- Demeter, secret worship, iii. 117
 Demetrias, ii. 306, 423, 425, 431, 442, 452, 459, 477. 504, 509 ; iv. 35
 Demetrius Nicator, iii. 286
 Demetrius Poliorcetes, i. 491; ii. 6, 7, 43 *n.* Changes in siege-war fare, ii. 32
 Demetrius, son of Philip of Macedonia, ii. 435, 488
 Demetrius of Pharos, ii. 218, 220, 250, 285, 292; iii. 421
 Demetrius Soter, of Syria, iii. 260, 282, 283, 285
 Democrates, ii. 412
 Democritus regarded as inventor of the arch, ii. 119. Atomic doctrine, iv. 197
 Demophilus of Himera, ii. 123
 Denarius, ii. 87
 Dentatus. See Curius
 Denteletae, Thracian tribe, iv. 34
 Depositum, iii. 91
 Dertona, iv. 167
 Desultory i. 294
 De*us fidi*s, i. 214, 230. Sabine and Latin deity, i. 69 *n.*
 Diaeus, president of the Achaean league, iii. 265, 266, 269
 Dialogue in the professional sciences, v. 507-509
 Diana, temple of, on the Aventine, i. 133, 216, 280; ii. 84; iii. 368. Sanctuary of the league, i. 142. After a Greek model, i. 231. Festival probably combined with a fair, i. 250. Effigy formed after that of Ephesus, and the oldest image of the gods in Rome, i. 306 *f.*, 308
 Diana's temple in Aricia, Federal sanctuary, i. 445 *n.*
 Diana, temple of, on Mount Tifata, receives gifts from Sulla, iv. 108
Dianiutn promontorium, pirate station instituted by Sertorius, iv. 286
 Dicaearchus, ii. 408, 4x2
 Dicaearchia. See Puteoli
 Dice-playing in Rome, iii. 123
 Dictator: relation of his power to the regal and consular, i. 325*f.* Originally general, i. 325. Nomination by the consul, i. 325. Appeals against him, i. 368. Plebeians eligible, i. 382. Dictatorship set aside, ii. 284, 297; iii. 56. Latin municipal authority, as regards ritual, throughout not collegiate, i. 442 *f.*, 442 *n.* Sulla's dictatorship, iv. 98*f.* Caesar's dictatorship, v. 327*f.*
 M. Didius [praetor, 640], iii. 429
 T. Didius [consul, 656] defeats the Lusitanians, iii. 479, 508; iv. 282. In the Social war, iii. 508, 522; iv. 102 *n.*
 Dido (Elisa), ii. no
Dies fasti, i. 189
Digitus, i. 266
Diinferi, i. 214
 Diodorus, philosopher and lieutenant of Mithradates, iv. 46
 Diogenes, Carthaginian commander, iii. 255
 Diomedes, fable of, ii. 108
 Dionysia, dancer, v. 472
 Dionysius of Syracuse, i. 417, 418, 435; ii. 144. Helps the Sabellians to ruin the towns of Magna Graecia, i. 454
 Dionysius, ruler of Tripolis, iv. 430
 Diophanes, lieutenant of Eumenes, ii. 46a
 Diophanes of Mytilene, rhetorician, iii. 320
 Diophantus, general of Mithradates, iv. 13 *n.*, 17 *n.*, 321, 331
 Diopos, i. 307
 Dioscurias, iv. 13, 413, 417
 Diphilus, comic poet, iv. 221
Disciphnae septem liberates, v. 449 *n.*
Dis pater, i. 231
 Ditalco, confidant of Viriathus, iii. 395
 Dium, ii. 432
Divalia, i. 208
 Divico, iii. 435
Divisores tribuum, iv. 268
 Divitiacus, v. 35
 Documents, earliest Roman, i. 280
 Dolabella. See Cornelius
 Dolopia, ii. 459, 477
 Domains, property of the state, not of the king, i. 92. Still vested in the clans, i. 245-248. Originally perhaps not very extensive, i. 248. Use of them regularly granted only to the burgess, i. 248. Change in their treatment under the rule of the senate: reserved substantially for the patricians, and possibly for such plebeians as sat in the senate, i. 343*f.* Assignations of land restricted, i. 344. Formation of the system of occupation: usufruct of portions of public land, until further notice, for payment of a proportion of the produce, i. 344*f.* Vain attempt of Cassius to set aside the system of occupation, i. 361. Increasing distress of the farmers, i. 379 *f.* New regulation by the Licinio-Sextian laws; occupation and the right of pasturing cattle restricted by maximum rates, i. 381, 387 *f.* Leasing of the domains acquired in the Hannibalic war, iii. 20. Extension of the posses-

- sions, iii. 48 *f.* Large assignation in the sixth century, iii. 49. Decision as to assignations falls to the burgesses, iii. 58 *f.* Occupation of Italian domains, iii. 313, 319, 321, 373-376; iv. 108*f.* Distribution attempted by C. Laelius Sapiens, iii. 317, 319. Distribution by Ti. Gracchus, iii. 320 *f.*, 327-330, 332, 485-488. Suspended, iii. 336. Resumed by C. Gracchus, iii. 34*s f.* After his death, iii. 373 *f.* Intended by Drusus, iii. 485-488. To Sulla's soldiers, iv. 109 *f.* To Pompeius' soldiers after the Spanish war, iv. 376, 378. After the Mithradatic war, iv. 502. Attempted by the Servilian law, iv. 472 *f.* Under Caesar, v. 358, 403 *f.* Produce of the extra-Italian domains, iv. 156. *Compare* Capua
- Cn. Domitius, in command against Antiochus, ii. 466
- Cn. Domitius Ahenobarbus [consul, 632] fights against the Allobroges, iii. 417 *f.*
- Cn. Domitius Ahenobarbus, son-in-law of Cinna, iv. 92
- Cn. Domitius Ahenobarbus [tribune of the people, 650; consul, 658], iii. 463
- Cn. Domitius Calvinus [dictator, 474], ii. 23
- Cn. Domitius Calvinus [praetor, 698], v. 255
- L. Domitius Ahenobarbus [consul, 660], iv. 84, 102 *n.*
- L. Domitius Ahenobarbus [consul, 700], v. 123, 210, 214, 220, 229, 267, 384
- M. Domitius Calvinus, against Sertorius, iv. 283
- Doric colonies in Italy and Sicily, i. 166*f.*, 168, 172
- Doris, ii. 396
- Dorylaeus, general of Mithradates, iv. 44
- Drachmae, Attic, v. 438 *n.* Standard of the, iv. 182*f.*
- Drama. *See* Stage
- Drepana, ii. 178, 187, 193, 194. Battle of, ii. 188*f.*
- Dress, iv. 185
- Dromichaetes, Pontic general, iv. 38, 41
- Druids, v. 23
- Drunemetum*, ii. 402
- Drusus. *See* Livius
- Duel replaced by money-wager and action at law, iii. 91. Celtic, i. 421. In Spain, ii. 386
- C. Duilius [consul, 494], ii. 176. Demonstrations of honour to, iii. 44
- M. Duilius [tribune of the people, 283, 305], i. 367
- Dumnorix, v. 41*f.*, 67
- Duodecimal system in Italy, early in use as well for the measurement of time as for measures of length and surface and for weight, i. 265*f.*
- Duoviri iuri dicundo* in the *mnicipia*, iv. 132*f.*
- Duoviri navales*, ii. 44
- Duoviri perduellionis*, i. 191
- Duoviri sacris faciundis*, custodiers of oracles, i. 230. Increased to ten and opened up to plebeians, i. 381. Increased to fifteen, iv. 126. Chosen by the burgesses, iii. 463; iv. 206*f.* Co-optation reintroduced by Sulla, iv. 115, 207
- Dyers, guild of, i. 249, 253
- Dyme, ii. 319, 430
- Dyrrhachium. *See* Epidamnus
- EAGLE introduced as a standard, iii. 460
- Ebur*, i. 260 *n.*
- Eburones, v. 54, 68, 69, 70, 71, 73*f.*
- Ebusus, ii. 143
- Echetla, ii. 170
- Echinus, ii. 421
- Ecnomus, battle of, ii. 179*f.*
- Edessa. *See* Osroene
- E dictum praetoris itrbani*, v. 433
- Education, its rise, i. 296 *f.*, 299 *f.*; ii. 115*f.*; iii. 130-132. In Latin in seventh and eighth centuries, iv. 212, 214-218; v. 451-453. In Greek, iv. 212 *f.*; v. 450. In Caesar's time, v. 449 *f.* His germs of state-training schools, v. 452./
- Egeria, ii. 107
- Egesta. *See* Segesta
- Gellius Egnatius, i. 488, 490
- Marius Egnatius, Samnite, leader in Social war, iii. 511, 522
- Egypt, character of the kingdom, ii. 399./ First contact with Rome, ii. 61. Its relations to Rome, ii. 215 *f.* Position in the second Punic war, ii. 315, 318, 344. Before the time of the Gracchi, iii. 236, 281-284, 286. After the time of the Gracchi, iv. 4, 27, 40. Financial character of the Ptolemaic government, iv. 164. Discussions as to its annexation after the death of Alexander I L, iv. 318 *f.* Ptolemaeus XI. recognized by the Romans and conducted back by Gabinius, iv. 450*f.* Intervention given up, v. 122 *f.* State at the time of the battle of Pharsalus, v. 268 *f.* State under Caesar, v. 272-282, 343
- Egyptian objects of luxury in Italian tombs, i. 253
- Elaea, ii. 462, 466

- Elaeus, ii. 417
 Elatea, ii. 430
 Elea. See Velia
 Elephants, use of, in battle, ii. 19, 25, 36, 434. Carthaginian, ii. 159, 183, 185, 186f., 251, 255, 258, 262, 422
 Elephants, the first seen in Rome, ii. 36
 Eleusinian mysteries, admission of the Romans to, ii. 2x9
 Eleusis, ii. 423; iv. 38
 Eleuthera, iv. 353
 Eleuthero-Lacones, ii. 439, 451
 Elis, ii. 317, 403, 421, 456, 459, 478
 Elorus, Syracusan, ii. 204
 Elpenor, his tomb shown at Terracina, i. 177
 Elpius, ii. 504, 506
 Elymaea, ii. 426
 Elymais, ii. 468. Temple of Nanaea at, iv. 343. Elymaeans in army of Antiochus, ii. 466
 Elymi, ii. 143
 Emancipation allowed, ii. 65. More recent than manumission, i. 76, 198f.
 Emigrants, Roman, in Spain, iv. 281-285, 300-303. With Mithradates, iv. 270, 318, 322, 329
 Emporiae [or Emporia] in Africa, ii. 377; iii. 238, 258
 Emporiae in Spain, ii. 241, 291, 375, 384, 387
 Endowments, religious, iii. no
 Engraving on stone in Etruria, i. 306, 307; ii. 121. On metal, ii. 121
 Enna, ii. 311; iii. 309, 310, 384
 Q. Ennius, Roman poet, iii. 27 n., 173-177, 204; iv. 214 f. Introduces the hexameter, iii. 175. His *Praetextatae*, iii. 177. His *Saturae*, iii. 179. His *Annales*, iii. 181-184. His translation of Epicharmus and Euhemerus, iii. 113. Changes in orthography, iii. 192. Religious position, iii. 111f. Influence on Pacuvius, iv. 220, 22a
 Entella, ii. 162
 Eordaea, ii. 425
 Epetium, iii. 422
 Ephesus, ii. 453, 459, iii. 474, iii. 278; iv. 46 n. Luxury, iii. 122. Massacre at, iv. 31f.
 Ephorus, i. 177 n.; ii. 108
 Epicharmus of Megara, iii. 113. Edited by Ennius, iii. 179
 Epicurus and his school, iv. 197-200; v. 444
 Epicydes, it 310, 311, 313
 Epidaranus (Dyrrhachium), founded, i. 176. Roman, ii. 218; iii. 262. Attached to Macedonia, iii. 262, 272 «. Highway to, iv. 168. Caesar's conflicts at, 250-254. Mint, iii. 87; iv. 181
 Epidauros, Aesculapius brought thence to Rome, ii. 71. Temple of Aesculapius emptied by Sulla, iv. 40
 Epirots (or Epirus), ii. 403, 421, 429, 456, 459, 476, 499, 502, 518; iii. 262, 421, 422; iv. 34, 36, 43; v. 245
 Epitaphs, imitation of a Greek custom, ii. 91
 Eporedia (Ivrea), colony in 654 at, iii. 416, 518
 Epos, Roman, iv. 236f.; v. 465f.
 Epulones. See *Tree viri epulones*
 Equestrian centuries: 6 centuries=600 horses, 18 centuries=1800 horses, iii. 8 n., 9. Priority in voting withdrawn, iii. 50f. Proposed increase of, by Cato, iii. 9 n. *Eguites equo publico*, *eguites equo privato*, iii. 9 n. The nobility in possession of the, iii. 8-10. Surrender of the state-horses, iii. 9
 Equestrian order, beginning of, iii. 94 f. Elevated by Gracchus, iii. 349 f. Insignia of the, iii. 351. Restriction of, by Sulla, iv. 111, 129f. Compare Jury-courts
 Equirria, i. 207
 Eratosthenes, ii. 146
 Ercte, ii. 193
 Eretria, ii. 430, 452
 Ergastulum, iii. 70, 307 n.
 Erisane, iii. 224
 Erythrae, ii. 412, 461, 473
 Eryx, ii. 187, 193
 Esquiliae=*Exquiliae*, i. 63, 65
 Etruria, boundaries, i. 156 f. In the southern portion many traces of Umbrians who were probably only dislodged at a late period, i. 156. Southern part conquered by the Romans, i. 432. Husbandry in, iii. 99; iv. 17. Slavery in, iii. 102, 308, 313
 Etruscans, different in figure and language from the Italian race, i. 150. Earlier period of the language with complete vocalization, i. 151. Later period with rejection of vowels and blunting of the pronunciation, i. 151f. Such affinity as subsists between Latin and Etruscan may be traced to borrowing, i. 152. Not otherwise demonstrably related to any known race, i. 152. May be presumed Indo-Germanic, i. 153. Came probably from Raetia to Italy, i. 154. Not from Asia Minor, i. 155. Settled up to the Celtic invasion between Alps

- and Po, i. 156. Also, south of the Po, i. 156. Lastly, and more especially, in Etruria named after them, as far as the Tiber, i. 156f. Conflicts with the Celts, i. 160. Urban life early developed in Etruria, i. 160 f. Constitution of the communities, and of the league, i. 160f. Antagonism to the Greek navigators along their coasts develops among them piracy and a commerce of their own, i. x8x. Establish themselves on the Latin and Campanian coasts, i. x8x. League of the twelve Campanian towns, i. 181f. Surprise Cumae, i. 148. Active commerce, i. 182, 257-260. Wealth and luxury, i. 257; ii. 80f. Conduct the carrying trade of the Sybarites, i. 171. Commercial intercourse with Attica and Carthage, i. 257 f.: ii. 80. Their fellowship in arms with the Phoenicians, i. 184f. Rule in consequence of it the Italian seas, i. 186, 413. Kept aloof from the Atlantic by the Phoenicians, i. 187. Culmination of their power, i. 4x3. War with Rome after expulsion of the kings, i. 317. Attack on Latium; victory over Rome, i. 414. Defeat at Aricia, i. 4x4. Naval supremacy broken by the united exertions of the Italians, Greeks, and Syracusans, i. 4x4-4x8. Their naval power thenceforth gone, ii. 40. Destructive conflicts with Dionysius of Syracuse, i. 417f. Changed position towards Carthage, i. 418. Dislodged by the Samnites from Campania, i. 4x9, 453 f. Dislodged by the Celts from northern Italy, i. 424f. Contemporary wars of Veii with Rome, i. 4118, 425f. Veii conquered, i. 426. Sudden collapse of the Etruscan power under these united attacks, i. 427. South Etruria Roman, i. 432 f. Position after the conflicts with Celts and Romans, i. 433-435. Position during the Samnite wars, i. 468. Support the Samnites, i. 479. Lay down arms, i. 479. Rise afresh against Rome, i. 487 f. Peace, i. 490. In combination with the Lucanians, Celts, and Pyrrhus against Rome, ii. 9f., 16, 18. Conclusion of peace with Rome, ii. 93. Conduct in the second Punic war, ii. 345. Join with the equites against Drusus, iii. 487. Faithful to Rome in the Social war, iii. 501. Incipient rising quieted, iii. 5x3, 519 f. Obtain burgess-rights through the Julian law, iii. 518f. Struggles against Sulla, iv. 60, 87 f. Punishment for, iv. x08. After Sulla's death, iv. 264, 988-991. Not the source of Latin civilization, i. 281f. Etruscan culture of the Roman boys a fable, i. 292 n. Religion, ii. 71. Lore of lightning, i. 234. National festival, i. 234; iii. 112 f. Art, i. 306-309; ii. 118, 120, 124f. Diversity between Northern and Southern Etruscans, i. 126. Relation to Latin art, ii. 127 f. Tragedy, iii. 196. Architecture, i. 303, 305. Writing, i. 275-282. Hellenism, ii. 90.
- Etymologies of the Stoics, iv. 203. Of Varro, v. 512 n.
- Euboea, ii. 396, 422, 457; iv. 34, 38. Roman domains there, iii. 272 n.
- Eucheir, i. 307
- Eudamus, ii. 463
- Eudoxus, ii. 117
- Euganei, iii. 424
- Eugrammos, i. 307
- Euhemerism, iv. 197, 200f.
- Euhemerus of Messene, iii. 113. Edited by Ennius, iii. 179
- Eumenes I. of Pergamus, ii. 450, 455, 469, 474, 475, 478, 482, 485, 486, 492, 494, 497, 499. 510-512
- Eumenes I I. of Pergamus, iii. 264, 275, 276 n., 281
- Eunus, slave-king in first Sicilian war, iii. 310, iv. 209
- Eupatoria, town in Pontus, iv. 330, 332
- Eupatorion, town in the Crimea, iv. 17 n.
- Euphenes, Thracian pretender to Macedonia, iv. 34
- Euphorion, iv. 450, 479 n.
- Euporus, slave of C. Gracchus, iii. 369
- Euripides, iii. 166-171
- Euripus, iv. 42-44
- Euromus, ii. 413
- Euryalus, ii. 311
- Euryluchus, ii. 452
- Eurymedon, battle of, ii. 463
- Evander of Crete, ii. 507
- Exarare, X. 280
- Exegetae, v. 515
- Exile, right of, ii. 68 f. Refusal of it legally possible, iii. 348. Is sometimes actually refused, iii. 348. Exile introduced as a punishment, probably by C. Gracchus, iii. 348
- Exports, Italian, iv. 174. Of wine and oil, iii. 415 n. Of grain, i. 171
- Exposure of children, i. 75
- Exul, i. 318 n.
- FABII, clan-village, i. 45. Celebrate the Lupercalia, i. 67 n., 213. Ascendency in

- the first times of senatorial rule, i. 359.
Destruction at the Cremera, i. 359, 418f.
Prominence of their family-tradition in the Roman annals, ii. 105
- C. Fabius Pictor, the painter, ii. 124, 148
- C. Fabius Hadrianus, Marian governor in Africa, iv. 72, 92
- M. Fabius Hadrianus, lieutenant of Lucullus, iv. 331. Commandant in Pontus, iii. 347
- Q. Fabius Labeo [consul, 571], poet, iii. 178 n.; iv. 229 n.
- Q. Fabius Maximus [dictator, 537; consul, 521, 526, 539, 540, 545], ii. 280-285, 297, 298, 304, 333, 342, 351, 358; iii. 56, 208. Pronounces the funeral oration over his son, iii. 189. His knowledge of history, iii. 189
- Q. Fabius Pictor first writes Roman history in the Greek language, iii. 184f., 186. Latin annals under his name, iii. 184 n.
- Q. Fabius Rullianus, named Maximus [censor, 450; consul, 432, 444, 446, 457, 459] i. 396f., 403, 479, 480, 488, 489
- Q. Fabius Maximus Aemilianus [consul, 609], in conflict with the Lusitanians, iii. 223, 226, 230
- Q. Fabius Maximus Allobrogicus [consul, 633], iii. 418; iv. 186
- Q. Fabius Maximus Eburnus [consul, 638], iii. 428 n.
- Q. Fabius Maximus Servilianus [consul, 612], iii. 185 n. In conflict with the Lusitanians, iii. 224
- Fabrateria, town of the Volsci, i. 464
- Fabrateria, colony of, founded on Fregellan territory, iii. 341
- C. Fabricius Luscinus [consul, 472, 476; censor, 478], i. 394f.; ii. 12, 30. Embassy to Pyrrhus, ii. 24
- / *abulm*. A tellana, i. 291, 300 n.; iii. 165 n.; iv. 231-234, 231 n., 332 n. Displaced by the mime, iv. 233 n.; v. 469 n., 470
- Fabula falliata*, iii. 147, 164 n.; iv. 229./
- Fabula praetextata*, iii. 177; iv. 222
- Fabula togata*, iii. 164 n., 165; iv. 229f.
- Faesulae, ii. 224. Sullan confiscations and colony, iv. 108. Rising after Sulla's death, iv. 289. Rendezvous of the Catilinarians, iv. 474, 476
- Fagutal, i. 63
- Falernian wine, iv. 172
- Falerii, i. 157, 256. Supports Veii against Rome, i. 426. Wars with Rome, i. 425, 434, 488; ii. 20. Colonized, i. 433. Makes peace with the invading Celts, i. 426
- I *Falernus ager*, in Campania, given in allotments, i. 463. Full franchise, ii. 49
- Faliscan alphabet, i. 144, 282
- Familia pecuniaque*, i. 193, 238
- Family among the Romans, i. 72-77. Relaxation of family life, iii. 121 f. Family life in Caesar's time, v. 390-393
- C. Fannius [consul, 632] opposes C. Gracchus, iii. 362
- L. Fannius, a commander in the Mithradatic war, iv. 323, 328, 334, 347, 348
- Fanum, ii. 229, 348; iv. 166
- Fasti, origin of, ii. 101
- Faunian measure (*versus Faunius*), i. 289/
- Faunus, i. 208, 215, 286
- Faventia, iv. 85, 87
- Felsina=Bononia, i. 156, 434
- Fenerator*, iii. 83
- Fenus nauticum*, iii. 92
- Fenus unciarum*. See Interest
- Feratia*, i. 209
- Ferentinum, i. 50, 455, 492. Not a Roman burgess-community, iii. 36
- Feriae Latinae*, i. 50, 51 n., 298
- Feriae publicae*, i. 207
- Feriae sementivae*, i. 243; iii. 72 n.
- Feronia, Grove of, fair at, i. 250f.
- Fescennium, village in Etruria, iv. 332 n. *Carmina Fescennina*, i. 289, 300 n.; iv. 231 n.
- Fetiales, keepers of state-treaties and of state-law, twenty in number, i. 202, 220
- Ficoroni casket, i. 279 n.; ii. 82, 92, 124 n.
- Ficulnea, i. 125
- Ficus ruminalis* ii. 106, 123
- Fidenae, i. 58. Conflicts between Romans and Etruscans for its possession, i. 125, 134, 158. Formula of accusing for, i. 125 n. Roman, i. 4x9. Revolts and is reconquered, i. 425. Dictators there, i. 442 n.
- Fidentia, town in Cisalpine Gaul, iv. 87
- Fides*—strings, i. 292; ii. 76 n.
- Fides, temple of, iii. 326
- Fiducia*, no mortgage, but transference of property, i. 194
- Fig-tree, indigenous in Italy, i. 242; iii. 67
- Fimbria. See Flavius
- Financial position during second Punic war, ii. 334, 343 f.; iv. 170 f. In the sixth century, iii. 19-23. In seventh century, iv. 82, 155-168. Under Sulla, iv. 126. Under Caesar, v. 362-367
- Fine-processes, i. 192, 342; ii. 62 f., 86. Chiefly instituted by the aediles, ii. 66. Application of the *multae*, ii. 86. At

- what time introduced into the Annals, ii. 103f. *Compare* Provocatio
- Finger-rings, golden, iii. 545
- Fire-kindling, i. 28
- Firmum, ii. 24. Latin colony, ii. 39. In the Social war, iii. 513. *Jus* of, ii. 52 n.
- Fish-ponds, iii. 378
- Flaccus. *See* Fulvius
- Flamen curialis*, i. 87, 217f.
- Flamen Dialis*, i. 192, 216, 241; iii. 111
- Flamen Martialis*, i. 106, 108, 216
- Flamen Quirinalis*, i. 87, 89, 175
- Flamines maiores*, i. 217. Always patrician, i. 384
- F/amines minores*, 1. 216f.
- Flamininus. *See* Quinctius
- C. Flaminius [consul, 531, 537; censor, 534] makes war on the Celts, ii. 226. Fights with Hannibal, ii. 273-276, 297; iii. 19. Suggests the *Lex Claudia*, iii. 94. Originator of the Flaminian circus and of the plebeian games, iii. 41. Distributes the Picenian possessions, iii. 48, 58 f. Does away with the equalization of the freedmen and the freeborn, iii. 53. Founder of Roman demagogism, iii. 61
- C. Flavius Fimbria, active in the Marian reign of terror, iv. 69. Conquers at Miletropolis, iv. 47 f. Death of, iv. 53. Burial, iv. 101
- Cn. Flavius, ii. 113
- M. Flavius draws up edict for Caesar's reform of the calendar, v. 439 n.
- Fleet. *See* Maritime affairs
- Flexuntēs*, i. 90
- Flora, Sabine and Latin goddess, i. 69 n.; iii. 41. *Flamen* of, i. 216
- Flute, i. 35. Latin, i. 288
- Flute-blowers, guild of, i. 249, 286
- Fodder-plants, iii. 66
- Foedus et deditio*, iii. 528 n.
- Folium*, i. 280
- Following, personal, among Celts and Germans, iv. 285
- M. Fonteius subdues the Vocontii, v. 8
- T. Fonteius [legate in Spain, 543], ii. 323, 517
- Fonteius [legate, 663] slain at Asculum, iii. 500
- Fontinalia*, i. 208
- Fora et conciliabula*, ii. 48; iii. 36
- Fordicidia*, i. 207
- Foreigners had no rights in Rome except by state-treaties, i. 199 f. These treaties the basis of the *Tus gentium*, i. 200
- Formiae, i. 177, 461. Obtains Caerite rights, i. 463. Full franchise, iii. 23
- Formula*, v. 431
- Formula togatorum*, ii. 54; iii. 164 n.
- Fors fortuna*, i. 214
- Fortes sanates*, i. 128 n.
- Fortinei, about 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n.
- Forum boarium*, i. 141
- Forum cupedinis*, v. 379
- Forum Flaminii*, ii. 229
- Forum Julium*, v. 375
- Forum Romanum*, i. 140. Embellished, i. 480; ii. 86
- Free labourers in Sicily placed among the slaves, iii. 383
- Freedmen. *See* Manumission
- Fregellae, Latin colony, i. 464, 468, 472; iii. 24. Stormed by the Samnites after the Caudine victory, i. 472, 474. Re-occupied, i. 47s f. Conquered by Pyrrhus, ii. 23. Attitude of, in second Punic war, ii. 345. Revolt, iii. 341, 362. Destruction of, iii. 341 f. Accursing of the soil, i. 125 n.
- Frentani, i. 146, 467, 482; ii. 282; iii. 501
- Fruit, v. 378f.
- Frusino, i. 483
- Fucine lake, i. 146
- Fullers, guild of, i. 249, 253; iii. 85 n.
- Cn. Fulvius Centumalus [consul, 543], ii. 342
- Cn. Fulvius Flaccus [praetor, 542], ii. 337
- M. Fulvius [consul, 449], i. 481
- M. Fulvius Nobilior [consul, 565] conquers the Aetolians, ii. 476f. Publicly exhibits the Roman calendar, iii. 194. Introduces Greek art-treasures into Rome, iii. 208
- M. Fulvius Flaccus, a friend of the Gracchi, iii. 335, 338, 340, 342, 362, 365, 367, 368, 374, 416 f. ~
- Q. Fulvius Flaccus [consul, 517, 530, 542, 545], ii. 337, 340, 342, 351
- Q. Fulvius Flaccus, son of the Gracchan
- M. Fulvius Flaccus, iii. 367, 369
- Q. Fulvius Flaccus [governor in Spain, 573], ii. 391
- Q. Fulvius Nobilior [triumvir coloniae deducendae, 570] gives burgess-rights to Ennius, iii. 27 n.
- Q. Fulvius Nobilior [consul, 601], in Celtiberian war, iii. 215 f., 228
- Functions first defined in the case of secondary offices, especially the quaestorship, i. 400 f. Then in that of the

- supreme magistrates, **and** even of the dictator, i. 400, 402
- Funda*, ii. 76 n.
- Fundi, i. 461. Obtains Caerite rights, i. 463. Obtains full burgess-rights, iii.
- Funeral rites, i. 295; iii. 104-106. Enactments of the Twelve Tables thereon, ii. 63. Gladiatorial games, iii. 126. Orations at, ii. 104. Burning of the dead, ii. 226
- A. Furius, epic poet, iv. 237
- L. Furius Camillus [dictator, 404], i. 432
- L. Furius Philus [consul, 618], against Numantia, iii. 229. In the Scipionic circle, iv. 220
- M. Furius Bibaculus, poet, v. 140, 481
- M. Furius Camillus [dictator, 358, 364, 365, 386, 387], his party-position, i. 379. Founds Temple of Concord, i. 384. Conquers Veii, i. 426. Defeats the Gauls at Alba, i. 431. A military reformer, ii. 76. Taxes bachelors, ii. 66
- Furrina, i. 209. Grove of, iii. 369
- GABII, i. 49, 58, 125, 130, 157. Foim of accursing for, i. 125 n. Treaty with Rome, i. 280. About 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450
- A. Gabinius [legate, 665] falls, in the Social war, iii. 526
- A. Gabinius [tribune of the people, 687], iv. 392-395, 429, 430, 451, 456, 513; v. 143, 151, 284 f.
- Gades, ii. 142, 2:39, 331, 332, 384, 393. Free from taxation, iv. 157. Obtains Italian municipal rights, v. 424
- Gaditanum fretum*, iii. 220
- Gaesatae, ii. 223 n.
- Gaetulia, iii. 404, 406, 410; iv. 94. Roman merchants in, iii. 260
- Gala, ii. 322
- Galatas, ii. 222
- Galba. See Sulpicus
- Galatia, ii. 450, 512; iii. 234, 276, 281; iv. 6, 25, 29, 46. Ceded by Mithradates, iv. 49
- Galerii, clan-village, i. 45
- Gallaeci. See Callaeci
- Galleys in Gaul, v. 15 n.
- Gallic* priests of Cybele, iii. 115
- Gallia braccata*, ii. 59; v. 10
- Gallia comata*, v. 10
- Gallia togata*, iii. 164 n.; v. 10
- Games. See Ludi
- Gannicus, leader in Gladiatorial war, iv. 363
- Garganus, i. 6; ii. 333. Battle in Gladiatorial war, iv. 359f.
- Gauda, king of Maurctania, iii. 388 n., 410
- Gaul, south coast (Province of Narbo), occupied by the Romans, iii. 415-420; iv. 191. Close customs-district, iv. 160. Disturbances during Sertorian war, iv. 286, 293, 298. Gaul in Caesar's time, v. 7-31. Its boundaries, v. 9 f. Relations to Rome, v. 9f., 29f. To the Germans, v. 31-33. Population, v. 12f. Urban life, v. 14. Agriculture and cattle-breeding, v. 13, 14. Commerce and manufactures, v. 15, 16. Mining, art, and science, v. 17, 18. Political organization, v. 18-22. Religion, v. 23f. Army, v. 26 f. Civilization, v. 27, 28. External relations, v. 29-32. Struggles against Caesar, v. 44-57, 67-95. Subdued by the Romans, v. 94./.
- Taxation of, v. 96, 364 f. Latin language and coins introduced, v. 97. Colonies in, v. 422f. Celtic inscription found in, v. 10 n. Compare Celts and C. Julius Caesar
- Gauls, ii. 143
- Gaurus, battle at Mount, i. 459 n.
- Gaza, iv. 316
- Gaziura, iv. 348
- Geganii, from Alba, i. 128
- Gela, i. 166; ii. 145, 190
- L. Gellius [consul, 682] defeated by Spartacus, iv. 359, 380
- Statius Gellius, i. 481
- Gelo, king of Syracuse, i. 415
- Genava, v. 8
- Gens*. See Clan
- Genthius, ii. 493, 499, 501, 502, 508, 509; iii. 421
- Gentiles*. See Agnati
- Genua, iv. 167. Culture of the vine, iii. 81 n., 415 n.
- Cn. Genucius, tribune of the plebs, i. 359
- L. Genucius [consul, 392], i. 448
- Gergovia, v. 81-87
- Germans, origin of the word, v. 20 n., 21. First emergence in Roman history, ii. 223 n.; iii. 430. Relations with the Celts, v. 31 f. Relations with the Romans, v. 33-36. Movements on the Rhine, v. 32-35. Settlements on the left bank of the Rhine, v. 33-36. In conflict with Caesar, v. 60-62
- Gerunium, ii. 283, 285, 287
- Getae, ii, 373 n.; iii. 424 n.; iv. 14; v. 103f.
- Glabbio. See Acilius

- Gladiatorial games come into vogue in Etruria, i. 436. Capuan, i. 457. In Rome, iii. 42, 126; iv. 184, 357; v. 384
- Gladiatorial war, iv. 357.364
- Goat, expiatory, i. 203
- Gold takes the first place in dealings, iii. 88. Its relative value to silver, iv. 178 f. In the Roman coinage, ii. 343; iii. 88; iv. 177f.; Depreciated by the conquest of Gaul, v. 96. Seams of, at Noreia, iii. 424; iv. 179. Washings in Gaul, v. 17
- Gold ornaments introduced into Italy, i. 253
- Goldsmiths, guild of, i. 249, 253, 307
- Gordius, Pontic satrap, iv. 20, 23, 95
- Gorgasus, ii. 123
- Gortyna, iv. 353
- Gracchus. See Sempronius
- Gracchus, ii. 392
- Graeco-Italians, state of culture, husbandry, i. 22-25. Field-measuring, i. 26 f. House, i. 25 f. Meals, fire-kindling, clothing, weapons, i. 28. Family, i. 30. State-organization, i. 30-32. Religion, i. 32-35. Art, i. 35
- Graeco more bibere, Pergraecari, congraecare*, iii. 123
- Graecostasis, ii. 90. Originally intended for the Massiliots, ii. 46
- Graecus, Graicus, Grains*, i. 15, 169 n.
- Grain, kinds of, iii. 64 n., 65, 66. Prices of, ii. 344 f.; iii. 80-82. Transmarine, ii. 367; iii. 77 f. Hence bad effect on Italian agriculture, iii. 78-80. Grain-revenues of the state, i. 342f. Requisitions on the provincials, iii. 31. In Spain, ii. 393. Distributions of grain, iii. 40. Public stores, iii. 344. Distribution introduced by C. Gracchus, iii. 344. Continued after his fall, iii. 373, 375. Increased by Saturninus, iii. 470. By Drusus the younger, iii. 485. Restricted in the Social war, iii. 504. Renewed by Cinna, iv. 70. Abolished by Sulla, iv. 110. Re-established partially in 681, iv. 371. Completely in 691; iv. 490. Revived by Caesar, v. 363 f. Compare Agriculture
- Grammar, Latin, iii. 191 f.; iv. 214 f., 252; v. 457f., 510f.
- Grammatica*, ii 116 n.
- Granicus, river, iv. 328
- Granius Licinianus explained, iv. 288
- Grapnels, Etruscan invention, i. 181
- Grassatores*, ii. 98
- Greece, relations with Macedonia, ii. 396 f. Declared free, ii. 436. The patriot party, ii. 494 f. In the first Mithradatic war, iv. 35-44. When did Greece become a Roman province? iii. 271. See Achaean league
- Greek legends early diffused in Latium, i. 293. Foundation of Rome interwoven with the cycle of Greek legend, ii. 107-111
- Greek language, knowledge of, in Italy, i. 291 f., 457; ii. 90 f., 116; iii. 129, 130f., 132
- Greeks known to the Italians, before the later general name of Hellenes came into vogue and replaced the older one of Graeci, i. 169. At first in Italy and Sicily Ionians and Aeolians from Asia Minor, i. 165 f. Then colonists of almost all Hellenic stocks, i. 165 f. Constantly in close connection with the mother-country, i. 170. Achaean, Ionian, Doric settlements in Italy, i. 170-176. Oldest Greek influence: in measures and weights, i. 266 f. In the alphabet, i. 272-278. In the calendar, i. 269-272. In the fine arts, i. 291-296; ii. 96. In architecture, ii. 302-306. In sculpture and design, i. 306 f.; ii. 120-125. In forming myths and writing history, ii. 107-112. Slight intercourse with the Greeks over the Adriatic, i. 175 f. Voyages of the Greeks to the west coast of Italy north of Vesuvius, i. 177 f. Colonies not tolerated there by the natives, i. 178 f. Wars of the Greeks with the Phoenicians and the natives joining the latter for the command of the sea, i. 182-186. Excluded from the western Mediterranean and the Atlantic, i. 186. In Lower Italy, struggles with the Sabelhan stocks, i. 419, 454 f. Hellenizing of these, i. 456 f.; ii. 91 f. Adhere to Rome in the Hannibalic war, ii. 293f.
- Ground and water rate, iii. 21
- Grumentum, ii. 347; iii. 510
- Guardianship, i. 78, 197f.
- Gulussa, iii. 240, 251, 388
- Guras, brother of Tigranes, iv. 341
- Gutta, Italian commander in the Social war, iv. 86
- Gyaros, amount of tribute from, iii. 271 n.; iv. 158
- Gythium, ii. 451, 453
- HADRUMETUM, ii. 139, 359; iii. 244. Exempt from tribute, iii. 259.
- Haedui, iii. 4x6; v. 13, 16, 19, 25, 41, 77, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 92

- Halaesa. *See* Alaea
 Haliartus, ii. 498, 501, 517
 Halicarnassus, ii. 406, 446
 Halicyae, ii. 213
 Halycus, ii. 145
 Halys, ii. 471; iii. 280, 281; iv. 95, 330, 331
 Hamae, ii. 304
 Hamilcar, Carthaginian general in Sicily, i. ii, 172, 177
 Hamilcar, Carthaginian officer, ii. 369./!
 Hamilcar Barcas, war in Sicily, ii. 192-196. Mercenary war, ii. 205 f., 208, 233 f. War in Spain, ii. 238 f. Commander-in-chief, ii. 235. His plans, ii. 236f. Party-position, ii. 237f.
 Hannibal, youth of, ii. 238. Character, ii. 243-245. Conquers Saguntum, ii. 246 f. Forces and plans of war, ii. 248 f. System of warfare, ii. 273 f. March from Spain to Italy, ii. 251-264. Allies himself with the Italian Celts, ii. 266. Italian war: first campaign, ii. 267-274. Conflict on the Ticino, ii. 268f. On the Trebia, ii. 270f. Second campaign, ii. 273-284. Crosses the Apennines, ii. 275. Battle at the Trasimene lake, ii. 278. Reorganization of the Carthaginian infantry after the Roman model, ii. 279 f. Marches and conflicts of Fabius, ii. 280-285. Third campaign, ii. 285-299. Battle at Cannae, ii. 287-291. Fourth campaign, ii. 300-304. Alliance with Philip of Macedonia, ii. 315. Following years of the war, ii. 333-350. Takes Tarentum, ii. 335. Marches on Rome, ii. 338. Returns to Africa, ii. 357 f. Battle at Zama, ii. 359f. Reforms the Carthaginian constitution after the second Punic war, ii. 378. Is compelled by the Romans to become an exile, ii. 379. Residence with Antiochus, ii. 449, 451, 454f., 459. Death, ii. 482f.
 Hannibal, son of Gisco, ii. 171, 176
 Hannibal Monomachus, ii. 244
 Hanno [Carthaginian general, 490], ii. 169
 Hanno [Carthaginian general, 492], ii. 170
 Hanno [Carthaginian general, 540], i. 333, 335
 Hanno [Carthaginian general, 542], ii. 313f.
 Hanno [Carthaginian general, 547], ii. 3 0
 Hanno, son of Bomilcar, ii. 256
 Hanno the Great, ii. 233, 235
 Hanno, son of Hannibal, ii. 176
 Harmozica, iv. 414
 Harp-players, Asiatic female, in Rome, iii. 123
 Hasdrubal, ii. 233, 243
 Hasdrubal, son of Gisco, ii. 322, 327, 330, 355, 356
 Hasdrubal, brother of Hannibal, ii. 238, 248, 290, 308, 322 f., 324, 327-331. Marches to Italy, ii. 346, 347. Death, "349
 Hasdrubal, brother-in-law of Hannibal, ii. 239, 241, 243
 Hasdrubal, son of Hanno, ii. 185
 Hasdrubal, leader of the patriot-party in Carthage, iii. 240. Under the influence of the Roman party, condemned to death, iii. 241f. Escapes by flight, iii. 243. Collects an army, iii. 244. Occupies the Carthaginian territory, iii. 245. Causes Hasdrubal, son-in-law of Massinissa, to be put to death, iii. 252. Commander-in-chief in the city, iii. 254, 256. Surrenders, iii. 257. State-prisoner in Italy, iii. 257
 Hasdrubal, Massinissa's grandson, iii. 244, 249, 252. Put to death, iii. 252
 Hasmonaei. *See* Jews
 Hasta. *See* Centumviral court
 Hastati, iii. 458
 Hatria. *See* Atria
 Hebrus, river, ii. 493; iii. 263
 Hecataeus, i. 108
 Hegesianax, ii. 453
 Hegesias of Magnesia, v. 453
 Heliopolites, iii. 278 n.
 Hellanicus, ii. 109
 Hellenism, iii. 107-109; iv. 191-195; v. 419 f. *Compare* Alexandrinism, Comecy, Culture, Education, Literature
 Helvetii, ii. 371; iii. 423 n., 435, 444, 447; v. 19. State of population, v. 47. Invade Gaul, v. 37./.; 41-43. Defeated by Caesar at Bibracte, v. 43 f. Driven back, v. 44 f.
 Helvii, iv. 293; v. 8
 C. Helvius Cinna, epic poet, v. 481
 Heniochi, iv. 417
 Hera, Lacinian, in Croton, iv. 355
 Heraea, ii. 396
 Heraclea in Italy, i. 167, 456; ii. 336. Conquered by Alexander the Molossian, i. 466. Battle of, ii. 19 f. Makes peace with Rome, ii. 31. Attitude in relation to Rome, ii. 43, 53; iii. 24
 Heraclea Minoa, ii. 145, 161, 311
 Heraclea Pontica, ii. 406. Supports the Romans in the Social war, iii. 507 n. Besieged in the Mithradatic war, iv.

- 330, 333, 440. Colony of Caesar, v. 425
- Heraclea in Trachinia (near Oeta), ii. 458, 510; iii. 266, 268
- Heracleon, piratic chief, iv. 354
- Heracles, legend of, ii. 108
- Heracledes, ii. 412, 425, 426
- Heracles of Pontus, ii. 112
- Heracitus, iv. 198
- Herba pura*, i. 202
- Herculaneum, ii. 510. Position of, during the Samnite wars, i. 469. Taken and destroyed in the Social war, iii. 522
- Hercules, i. 230. Temple of, built by Mummius, iii. 270
- Hercynian Forest, iii. 423 n.
- Herdoneae, ii. 342
- Ap. Herdonius, i. 358
- Heredium*, garden land, i. 239
- C. Herennius, lieutenant of Sertorius, iv. 294, 296
- Herennius, *Rhetorica ad Herennium*, iv. 253
- Hermaean Promontory, battle at, ii. 184
- Hermaeus, Pontic general, iv. 328
- Hermes. See Mercurius
- Hermocrates, Pontic general, iv. 324
- Hermodorus of Cyprus, architect, iv. 257
- Hermus, river, ii. 466
- Hernici in alliance with Rome and Latium, i. 135. Join the Romano-Latin league and help to subdue the Aequi and Volsci, i. 445f. Rise against Rome, i. 447 f. Abstain from taking part in the Latin insurrection, i. 461. Share in the Samnite war, i. 480 f. Position towards Rome, ii. 53. League of the Hernici dissolved, i. 484 f. Individual communities obtain Latin rights, ii. 25
- H. erodes Antipater, v. 164
- Herodotus, tales of, inserted in the early history of Rome, iii. 187 n.
- Hero-worship un-Roman, i. 214
- Hesiod, his knowledge of Italy, i. 167. *Graeci* mentioned in his *Eoai*, i. 169 n.
- Hexameter introduced by Ennius, iii. 175
- Hiarbas, pretender of Numidia, iv. 92, 93
- Hide of land, size of the Roman, i. 121f., 239, 240 n.
- Hiempsal I., son of King Micipsa, iii. 388 n., 389
- Hiempsal II., king of Numidia, iii. 388 n., 541
- Hiero I. of Syracuse, i. 415f.
- Hiero II. of Syracuse, war against the Mamertines, ii. 38, 163 f. War with Rome, ii. 170 f. Peace and alliance with Rome, ii. 171. Position after the first Punic war, ii. 204. Conduct in the second Punic war, ii. 285, 295. Death of, ii. 293
- Hieroglyphs on a jug found in Italy, i. 253 n.
- Hieronimus of Cardia, ii. 112
- Hieronymus of Syracuse, ii. 293, 309f.
- Himera, river, ii. 313
- Himera (Thermae), i. 168; ii. 145, 161, 186. Battle at, i. 415; ii. 155
- Himilco [Carthaginian general, 358], ii. 187
- Himilco [Carthaginian general, 542], ii. 311 312
- Himilco Phameas, cavalry-general at Carthage, iii. 250. Goes over to the Romans, iii. 251
- Hippo Diarrhytus, ii. 194; iii. 25a
- Hippo Regius, ii. 139; iii. 388
- Hippocrates, ii. 310, 311, 312
- Hipponium, i. 166, 456
- Hirpini, i. 146; ii. 282, 294, 305, 342; iii. 502, 523
- L. Hirtuleius, lieutenant of Sertorius, iv. 283, 286, 293, 294f.
- Historical composition, its beginnings in the records of the pontifical college, i. 219f.; ii. 102-108. First treated metrically by Naevius and Ennius, iii. 184. In prose, but in the Greek language, by Q. Fabius Pictor and P. Scipio, iii. 185. The oldest Latin prose written by Cato, iii. 186. Character of the earliest historical compositions, i. 281 f.; iii. 186-190. Conventional primitive history, origin of the Roman view of it, ii. 104-107. Of the Greek view, ii. 107-110. Mixture of the two, ii. 109f.; iii. 187f. In the sixth and seventh centuries, iv. 242-250; v. 492-500. Chronicles, iv. 248f. Metrical, v. 472
- Histri*, *histriones*, i. 300
- Holidays kept sacred, i. 225, 241 f.
- Holophernes, brother of Ariarathes V. of Cappadocia, iii. 280
- Homer, his knowledge of Italy, i. 169. Data for determining when he lived, i. 169 n., 280 n.
- Homiude, involuntary, i. 203
- Honorary monuments become common, iii. 44
- Honorary surnames, iii. 44
- Honos et Virtus*, ii. 302
- Honour, questions of, how settled, iii. 91

- Horatii, clan-village, 1. 45. Horatii and Curiatii, ii. 105
- Horatius Codes, ii. 105 n.
- M. Horatius [consul, 305], i. 398
- L. Hortensius [admiral, 584], ii. 501
- L. Hortensius, iii. 332
- L. Hortensius, lieutenant of Sulla in Greece, iv. 37
- Q. Hortensius, the orator, iv. 78, 207, 269; v. 454f., 481, 503
- Q. Hortensius, son of the orator of that name, v. 234f.
- A. Hostilius Mancinus [consul, 584], ii. 501
- C. Hostilius Mancinus [consul, 617], iii. 228f., 319. Statue of, iii. 296
- L. Hostilius Mancinus [consul, 609], iii. 252
- C. Hostilius Tubulus [praetor, 547], ii. 347
- L. Hostilius Tubulus [praetor, 612], iii. 348
- Tullus Hostilius, ii. 105
- Hostius, epic poet, iv. 237
- House-architecture, Graeco-Italian, i. 27.
- Oldest Italian, i. 27, 301f. Revolution in, iii. 207
- House-father among the Romans, i. 72-77. Power of, i. 73-76
- Household tribunals, i. 73f., 76; iii. 121f.
- Household government over freedmen and clients, iii. 39
- House-searching *lance et licio*, i. 201f.
- Human sacrifices in Latium, no proof of, i. 222. In Rome, ii. 223 f. Forbidden, iv. 210. In Gaul, v. 28
- Hydrus, i. 176
- Hyle. See Velia
- Hyrchanus, King of the Jews, iv. 425, 430, 448
- IAPYGIANS, language of, and affinity with the Greeks, i. 11 f. The oldest immigrants into Italy, i. 13. Maintained their ground in Apulia against the Samnites, i. 146. Defeat the Tarentines, i. 416
- lassus, ii. 413. Pillaged by the pirates, iv. 308
- Iberians in Georgia, iv. 20, 412-414
- Iberians in Spain, ii. 385
- Ibycus, i. 172
- L. Icilius Ruga [tribune of the people, 298, 299], i. 366
- Idus, i. 207, 271
- Iguvium, v. 207. Tablets of, i. 145
- Ilerda, iv. 283, 300; v. 221-226
- Ilians, the senate intercedes for them as of kindred lineage, ii. 111. Become free, ii. 473. Favours bestowed by Sulla, iv. 54. Exempt from taxation, v. 364, 382 n.
- Illiturgi, ii. 308
- Illyrians, piratical expeditions of the rulers of Scodra, ii. 216f. Subdued by the Romans, ii. 218, 286, 499, 508. In the Hannibalic war take part with Rome against Macedonia, ii. 317. Against the Aetolians, ii. 476. Dalmatians subdued, iii. 264, 290f., 421 f., 426f.; iv. 307. Wars in Caesar's time, v. 103, 284f. Roman speculators in Illyria, iii. 307. Taxation by Rome, iii. 509f.; v. 364. Compare Genthius
- Ilva, i. 143
- Images of the gods foreign to the earliest Roman worship, i. 225, 306 f. Varro places their introduction after 176 u.c., i. 307 n.
- Imbros, ii. 437
- Imperator, meaning of word, iii. 505; v. 330-335
- Imperium, i. 82. Only divisible territorially, not functionally, and thus essentially always at once military and jurisdictional, i. 371 n.
- Imports, Italian, iv. 174
- Incendiarism, i. 192
- India, iii. 284
- Indigetes, iv. 293
- Indigitare, i. 213
- Indo-Germans, original seats of, i. 38f. Language, i. 18f. Culture: pastoral life, house - building, boats with oars, chariots, clothing, cooking and salting, working in metals, political, religious, and scientific fundamental ideas, i. 18-22. Measuring and numbering, i. 263f.
- Inheritance, law of; all equally entitled received equal shares, the widow taking a child's part, i. 198. Compare Wills
- Inheritance, tax on, iii. 90. Abolished, iv. 156
- Iniurta, damage to body or property, i. 193
- Insubres, i. 423, 434; ii. 221, 226, 227, 259, 263, 268, 357, 369, 370, 372
- Insulay i. 318 n.
- Interamna on the Liris, Latin colony, i. 476, 490
- Interamna on the Nar, city-chronicle of ii. 103
- Intercalary system, i. 270
- Intercatia, ii. 386; iii. 219
- Interest, originally 10 per cent for a year of ten months, i. 196 n., 364. Laws regulating, iii. 389, 530, 541; iv. 129, 176; v. 401 f.

- Intcrrex*, i. 99. After abolition of the monarchy, i. 319
- Intibili*, ii. 308
- Ionian gulf, older name of the Adriatic sea, i. 165
- Ionian islands, Roman, ii. 218 f., 477. Joined to province of Macedonia, iii. 263
- Ionian sea, origin of the name, i. 165
- Ipsus*, battle of, ii. 6
- Iron mines at Noreia, iii. 424
- Iron, workers in, not known at Rome till late, i. 249. Taken over from the Greeks, i. 304
- Isara, battle on the, iii. 448
- Isaurians, subdued, iv. 313f. Revolt, iv. 325
- Isidorus, Pontic admiral, iv. 329
- Isis, worship of, iv. 210; v. 446
- Issa, i. 417; ii. 217, 218 n., 493; iii. 422. Standing commandant there, ii. 2x8 n.
- Isthmian games, admission of Romans to, ii. 219. Entrusted to Sicily, iii. 273
- Isthmian temple receives gifts from Mummus, iii. 271
- Isthmus*, iii. 269
- Istrians, ii. 229, 372, 425; iii. 43, 421
- Istropolis, iv. 307
- Italia (Corfinium), iii. 504, 522
- Italica, iii. 214, 271 n.; iv. 295
- Italy, its physical conformation and character, i. 5-7. Primitive races, i. 9f. Union under the leading of Rome, ii. 46-58. Original restricted import of the name, i. 169. Transference of the name to the territory from the Sicilian Straits to the Arnus and Aesis, ii. 59. Denoted after the acquisition of Sicily the continental territory administered by the consuls, from the Sicilian Straits to the Alps, ii. 213 f., 215 n., 219 n. How far this geographical distinction becomes a political one, ii. 213 f. Northern Italy separated and first constituted by Sulla a special province, Gallia Cisalpina, ii. 2x5 n.; iv. 121 f. The possessions on the east coast of the Adriatic included, ii. 218 n. Italian communities beyond Italy: Ariminum, ii. 205, 220. Messana, ii. 203. Ravenna, ii. 221. Sena Gallica, ii. 12, 220. Practically bounded by the Po, iii. 518. Legal boundary of, changed by Sulla to the Rubico; and all Italians made Roman citizens, iv. 122 f., 132. North Italy united with Italy, v. 421f.. See Celts, Transpadane
- Italians migrated into the peninsula from the north, i. 13, 39. Indo-Germanic stock, i. 14 f. Language of, i. 14f., Their near affinity with the Greeks, i. 15. Contrast to the Greeks in family, state, religion, and art, i. 28-36. Artistic endowments of, i. 283f.
- Italus, laws of, i. 26, 31
- Ityraeans, iv. 430
- C. Iudacilius from Asculum, commander in the Social war, iii. 513, 520
- Indices—consules*, i. 318
- Indices decemviri*, i. 352
- Indicium legitimum* and *quod imperio continetur*, i. 335 n.
- Iugurum*, i. 265 n.
- Ins*, i. 189. *lus* and *indicium* separated, i. 322; ii. 68
- Ins gentium*, i. 200; v. 432
- Ins imaginum*, hereditary distinction connected with the obtaining of a curule office, i. 373; iii. 4, 105
- JANICULUM, i. 59, 134, 137; iv. 169
- Jannaeus, iv. 423, 425, 426
- Janus, i. 209, 212. Effigy of, ii. 123
- Japydes, iii. 425, 427
- Jazyges, iv. 14
- Jews under the Maccabees, iii. 285-1; iv. 5, 316, 423-426. Treasures in Cos carried off by Mithradates, iv. 33. Send envoys to Lucullus, iv. 341. Subdued by Pompeius, iv. 430 f. Placed under high priests, iv. 439. Revolts under Aristobulus, and breaking up of the land, iv. 448 f. Taxation, iv. 158 n., 162 n. Their position in Caesar's state, v. 417-419. Jews in Alexandria, v. 281, 418. In Rome, iv. 210; v. 371f., 418
- Juba, king of Numidia, v. 203, 230, 231, 264, 269, 288, 300f.
- Judges, Carthaginian, ii. 147f.
- Jugurtha at Numantia, iii. 230, 389. Jugurthine war, iii. 388-408. Put to death in Rome, iii. 409
- Julia, Caesar's daughter, iv. 514. Death of, v. 166
- Julia, wife of Marius, iii. 453
- Julii from Alba, i. 128. Family shrine at Bovillae, i. 128
- C. Julius Caesar, candidate for the consulship in 667, iii. 532; iv. 66, 67
- C. Julius Caesar, his character, iv. 278-1; v. 305-314. Year of his birth, iv. 278 n. His conduct after Sulla's death and during Lepidus' revolt, iv. 288. Supports the Plotian law, iv. 303. Serves in Mithradatic war, iv. 325. Brings Sullan partisans to trial, iv. 373. Sup-

- ports the *Lex Gabinia***, iv. 393. His gladiatorial games, iv. 399, 456. *Pontifex Maximus*, iv. 460, 491. Conspires with Catilina, iv. 466, 467, 482, 486, 487, 488. An opponent of Pompeius, iv. 493. Praetor, iv. 497, 498. Governor in Spain, iv. 503; v. 6, 7. Allied with Pompeius and Crassus, iv. 504f. Consul, iv. 508. Governor of the two Gauls, iv. 512 f.; v. 200 f. Conflicts with the Gauls, v. 38-94. Crosses the Rhine, v. 67 f.; 73. Invades Britain, v. 63-66. Makes Gaul a Roman province, v. 94-98. At Luca, v. 124/. Asks for the hand of Pompeius' daughter, v. 166. Differences between him and Pompeius, v. 175f., 178f., 180f. Recalled, v. 184. His ultimatum, v. 186f. Marches into Italy, v. 190-192. His army, v. 195-199. Conquers Italy, v. 206-212. Pacifies and regulates Italy, v. 212-218. Spanish campaign, v. 219-227. Takes Massilia, v. 227/. Plan of his campaign against Pompeius, v. 244. Crosses to Greece, v. 247. Operations round Dyrrhachium, v. 250-254. In Thessaly, v. 256 f. Battle of Pharsalus, v. 258-264. Pursues Pompeius to Egypt, v. 271f. Regulates Egypt, v. 274. Conflicts at Alexandria, v. 275-282. Conquers Pharnaces, v. 282/. Goes to Africa, v. 293. Battle of Thapsus, v. 298f. His attitude towards the old parties, v. 315-324. The new monarchy takes legal shape, v. 326-336. Regulates the state, v. 336-350. Reorganizes the army, v. 351-359. Regulates the finances, v. 361-367. Regulates economic relations, v. 367-374, 397-406. Arranges the provinces, v. 406, 412f. Position towards the Jews, v. 417 f. Towards Hellenism, v. 418f. Latinizes the provinces, v. 421-428. Census of the Empire, v. 429/. Religion of the Empire, v. 430f. Law of the Empire, v. 431-435. Coinage, v. 435-438. Reforms the calendar, v. 438 f. His Memoirs, v. 499. As grammarian, v. 457f.
- L. Julius Caesar [consul, 664], in the Social war, iii. 508, 509, 510, 515, 517, 532; iv. 66, 102 n., 222
- Sex. Julius Caesar, Roman envoy to the Achaeans, iii. 267
- Dec. Junius Brutus [consul, 616], iii. 232, 367, 427. Builds the temple of Mars in the Flaminian circus, iv. 257
- Dec. Junius Brutus [consul, 677], iv. 269
- Dec. Junius Brutus, Caesar's lieutenant, v. 55, 217f.
- L. Junius Pullus [consul, 505], ii. 190
- L. Junius Brutus Damasippus, Marian praetor in the Social war, iv. 79, 83, 88,
- M. Junius Pera [dictator, 538], ii. 303
- M. Junius Silanus [propraetor, 544], ii. 327, 331
- M. Junius Brutus [plebeian tribune, 671], iv. 70, 79
- M. Junius Brutus, orator, v. 507
- M. Junius Brutus, Lepidus' lieutenant, iv. 291
- M. Junius Pennus [praetor, 628], iii. 340
- M. Junius Silanus [consul, 645] defeated by the Cimbri, iii. 434
- M. Junius Brutus, collection of juristic opinions by, iv. 251, 255
- M. Junius Gracchanus, treatise on Magistracies, iv. 252
- Juno Moneta*, i. 281
- Junonia, ni. 346, 366. See Carthage
- Jupiter Capitolinus, i. 141, 208, 293. His statue on the Capitol, i. 306; ii. 124. Temple of, i. 100; iv. 97
- Jupiter Latiaris, i. 50
- Jupiter Stator, temple on the Capitol, iv. 257
- Jurisprudence, rudiments of, i. 219f.; ii. 112; iii. 195. In the seventh century, iv. 254f. Position of jurists towards Sulla's laws, iv. 263
- Jury-courts transferred by C. Gracchus from the senate to the Equites, iii. 52f., 373, 377, 481 f., 484 f. Proposition to restore the right to the senate, in. 485f. Plautign law, iii. 516. Restored by Sulla to the senate, iv. in, 129 f. Attempt to repeal this alteration, iv. 372 f. Mixed courts under Aurelian law, iv. 379 f. New enactments of Pompeius, v. 146 f. Of Caesar, v. 347f.
- Juturna, i. 40. Fountain of, ii. 70
- Juventius, praetor, against the pseudo Philip, iii. 261
- KALENDAE, i. 271
- King, modelled on the father of the household, i. 81 f. Represents the community before the gods and foreign countries, i. 81 f. His command unlimited, i. 82. His jurisdiction, i. 82f. King is irresponsible, inasmuch as the supreme judge cannot be accused at his own bar, i. 319. Leader of the army, i. 82, 91. Delegation of his authority,

- i. 82f. Insignia, i. 83, 99. Limitation of the regal power, i. 84. Manages the finances, i. 92. Judge, i. 189 f. Change of the existing legal order possible only by co-operation of the king and the burgesses, i. 94f. Abolition of the tenure for life, and introduction of the consulate, i. 315-319. Vow of the burgesses never to endure a king, i. 316. Similar changes of constitution in the Italian and Greek communities, i. 315
- LABEO. See Fabius
- Laberius, composer of mimes, v. 312 n., 470 n., 471
- Labici, i. 49, 130. Assignations at, i. 378. About 370, a member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Not a colony, i. 450 n.
- T. Labienus, v. 39, 53, 55, 194f.
- Labourers from without employed in agriculture, iii. 70
- Lacedaemonians, ii. 405, 421, 452, 480f.
- Lacinian promontory, i. 177
- Laconia, recruiting ground, ii. 162
- Locus, iii. 206
- Lade, island of, ii. 412
- C. Laelius [consul, 564], ii. 327. A *novus komo*, iii. 15
- C. Laelius Sapiens [consul, 614], iii. 255, 256, 317, 319, 327, 329. In the Scipionic circle, iv. 220. Speeches, iv. 251
- Laestrygonies, i. 177, 181
- P. Laetorius, friend of C. Gracchus, iii. 368
- Laevinus. See Valerius
- T. Lafrenius (Afranius), Italian commander in the Social war, iii. 513
- I Laetani, iv. 293
- Lamia, ii. 459
- M. Lamponius, Lucanian leader in Social war, iii. 510, 526; iv. 86, 88
- Lampoons, i. 288; and incantations forbidden, ii. 98
- Lampsacus, ii. 406, 411, 447 n., 453, 469 n., 495; iv. 326, 328
- Lancea, i. 28 n.
- Land, division of, at the time of the Servian reform: one-half of landholders having an entire hide, the other half I, $\frac{3}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, and $\frac{1}{8}$ respectively, i. 116. The greater landholders, i. 116, 245-248
- J Land-distribution. See Domains
- Landholders in Latium also merchants, i. 261 n.
- Land-measuring, iii. 335. Graeco-Italian, I
- Language, Latin, already substantially formed at the time of the Twelve Tables, ii. 113. Its extension, iv. 189f.; v. 416 f., 421-428, 453f. In Gaul, v. 9f. 30, 48 f. In Spain, iv. 190. By Sertorius, iv. 285f.
- Lanuvium, i. 40; iv. 64. In the Aricine league, i. 445 n., 447. Revolts against Rome, i. 450. About 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Roman burgess-community, i. 462. Conquered by Marius, iv. 64. Frescoes of, ii. 124, 127. Dictator there, i. 442 n. Lanuvini ridiculed by Naevius, iii. 149 n.
- Laodice, alleged mother of the pseudo-Philip, iii. 260
- Laodicea, iii. 28; iv. 30, 31
- Lapathus, pass at Tempe, ii. 503
- Larentalia, i. 209
- Lares, number of, i. 107. Character of this worship, i. 213 f. Their worship connected with sanitary police, i. 225. *Lares Permarini*, their temple, ii. 463. Lases—Lares, borrowed by the Etruscans from Latium, i. 229
- I Larinum, town of the Frentani, Sullan government there, iv. 104
- Larisa on the Peneius, ii. 434, 457, 499, 500
- Larisa Cremaste, ii. 421
- Lasthenes, Cretan general, iv. 351, 352
- Latins, a branch of the Italians, i. 13f. Language, i. 14, 281; ii. 113. Relation to the Umbrians and Samnites, i. 14, 16. Direction of their migration, i. 39f. Oldest inhabitants of Campania, Lucania, the Bruttian country, i. 40; and East Sicily, i. 40/. Settlements of the, i. 42 f., 44 f. Passive traffic, i. 256. With Sicily, i. 258f.
- Latiniprisci cives Rontani*, i. 128 n.
- Latin communities, their position in reference to the domain-question, iii. 336f. Their right of migration curtailed, iii. 493. Faithful to Rome in the Social war, iii. 502. Acquire burgess - rights in consequence, iii. 516 f. Lowest form of Latin rights given by Sulla to the insurgent communities, iv. 107. *Ins Latnum* granted to towns in Cisalpine Gaul, iii. 517 f. Latin urban communities in Transalpine Gaul, iv. 422, 423 n. In Sicily, v. 364
- Latin league, of 30 cantons under the presidency of Alba, i. 50. Federal festival, i. 50. Place of assembly for the league, i. 50. Community of rights and of marriage among the members of

the cantons, i. 50f. Military constitution of the league, i. 51. Sacred truce, i. 51. After the fall of Alba, Rome presides in its room, i. 129. Original constitution of the Romano-Latin league; Rome not a member of the league, like Alba, but occupying an independent position with reference to the independent league of the 30 communities, i. 130f.; and prohibited from separate alliance with any single Latin community, i. 133. Double army furnished in equal proportions by the two parties, with a single command alternating between them, i. 133f., 439. Equal partition of the spoil, i. 439f.. Representation before other nations, if not *de jure*, at least practically in the hands of Rome, i. 440. Equal alliance and equality of rights in private intercourse between Rome and Latium, i. 131. In consequence of this, a general right of settlement on the part of any burgess of a Latin community anywhere in Latium, i. 132. Document of treaty, i. 280. War between Rome and Latium, and renewal of the league, i. 438. Later constitution of the league; the Latins lose the right of making war and treaties with foreign nations, i. 439f. Commande ship-in-chief reserved to the Romans, and the staff-officers of the Latin and Roman contingents nominated accordingly by the Roman commander, i. 440. Does not furnish more troops than the Romans, i. 440. The contingents of the communities remain together under their own leader, i. 440. The right to share in the spoil continued at least formally to subsist, i. 440. Position of the Latins as to private rights not changed, i. 441. Revolt against Rome, i. 446f. The league remained open till 370, so that every community newly invested with Latin rights was admitted; thereafter closed, i. 448f. At that time 47 communities, of which, however, only 30 entitled to vote, i. 450. List of the towns belonging to it, i. 448 n. Isolation of the communities furnished with Latin rights after 370 by the withdrawal of the *commercium et conubium* with the other Latin communities, i. 451. Separate leagues of particular groups forbidden, i. 451. Remodelling of the municipal constitution after the pattern of that of Rome, i. 441 f., 452. Ex-

asperation against Rome, t. 452. Revolt after subjugation of Capua, i. 460f. The league politically dissolved and converted into a religious festal association, i. 461. In lieu of it, treaties between Rome and the several communities; their isolation carried out, i. 461 f. Position during the war with Pyrrhus, ii. 21, 23. Position after the Pyrrhic war; inferior rights of Ariminum and the other Latin communities founded thereafter, ii. 50, 52. Admission of the Latins to the senate during the Hannibalic war refused, ii. 298. Increased oppression after the Hannibalic war, iii. 24-26. Restriction of freedom of movement also as to the older Latin communities, iii. 25 f. Compare *Coloniae Latinae*

Latinizing of Italy, ii. 607f., 88f. Of the country between the Alps and the Po, ii. 371; iv. 189f.; v. 415 f. See Language, Latin

Latinus, name occurs even in the Theogony of Hesiod, i. 177 n.

Latinus, king of the Aborigines, ii. no n. As son of Odysseus and Circe, i. 177

Latium, physical character and earliest boundaries, i. 6, 41-44. Extended originally by the founding of new Latin communities; afterwards geographically fixed, i. 451f.

Laurentum, i. 49, 459 n. In the Aricine league, i. 445 n., 447. About 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Adheres to Rome, i. 461. Later federal relation, i. 462

Lauro in Spain, iv. 295

Laus, i. 40, 170, 171. Occupied by the Lucanians, i. 454, 456

Lautumiae, origin of the word, i. 201

Laverna, i. 212

Lavinium, i. 49. About 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n. Trojan Penates there, ii. no

Law, Roman, same as in Latium, i. 131. Even in its oldest form known to us, of comparatively modern character, i. 189. No symbols therein, i. 201 f. Ultimate basis of, in the state, i. 203. Its subsequent development under Greek influence, ii. 62-70. Codified, ii. 66. Beginnings of a regular administration of law in the municipia and colonies, ii. 49, 68f.; iii. 38 f. Its regulation in the time of Sulla, iv. 132f. Scipio Aemilianus attempts improvement of its administration, iii. 316. Military law, ii. 74

Law, its codification projected by Caesar, v. 434. Re-establishment of the regal jurisdiction by Caesar, v. 347f. Appeals, v. 348. Municipal jurisdiction, iv. 131 f.; v. 425 f. Compare Jury-courts; *Quaestiones*

Lazi, iv. 334

Leases in Italy not usual, iii. 65f.

Legal style, technical, ii. 114

Legati legionis pro Praetore, v. 354

Legatio libera, v. 410 n.

Leges—

Acilia de repetundis, iii. 353 n.

Aemilia [M. Scauri] *de suffragiis libertinorum*, iii. 379

Appuleia agraria, iii. 468 n., 469, 471, 480

Appuleia de maiestate, iii. 440, 441 n., 468 n., 476

Appuleia frumentaria, iii. 468 ft., 470, 480

Aufidia allows the import of wild beasts from Africa, iv. 183

Aurelia, on the composition of the jury-courts, iv. 379

Baebia, ii. 392

Caecilia, abolition of Italian tolls, iv. 502

Canuleia, i. 371

Cassia agraria, i. 361

Cassia tabellaria, iii. 300, 316

Claudia, iii. 81f., 94, 349

Cornelia de edictis praetoriis, v. 434

Corneliae. See L. Cornelius Sulla

Domitia de sacerdotiis, iii. 463. Set aside by Sulla, iv. 115

Fabia de plagiaris, iv. 356

Flaminia agraria, iii. 58, 99, 332

Fulvia de civitate socii dandi, iii. 362

Gabinia, iv. 388-395

Hortensia, i. 385, 390, 396, 398

/cilia as to the right of the tribunes to assemble the people, i. 353

/cilia as to the Aventine, i. 362

Julia, giving Latin rights to the Italians, iii. 517

Julia agraria of Caesar, iv. 508f., 510 f.; v. 124

Junia de Peregrinis, iii. 340

Labiæna, on the election of priests, iv. 457

LiLina Muda, against usurpation of burgess-rights, iii. 496

Licintae Sextiae, i. 380f., 387, 393; ii. 77 n.; iii. 312f.

Liviae (of the elder Drusus), iii. 363f. 372, 374f.

Liviae (of the younger Drusus), iii. 485-489

Mae cilia agraria, i. 378

Maenia, i. 384

Mamilia, iii. 396, 441 n.

Manilla, iv. 396-400

Muda de civitate, iv. 496

Muda [of 613] on bribery, iii. 441 n.

Octavia frumentaria, iv. 289 n.

Ogulinia, i. 385

Ovinia, i. 406 n., 407; iii. 7; iv. 112

Peducaea, iii. 441 n.; iv. 209

Plautia iudiciaria (?), iii. 516, 528 n.

Plautia Papiria de civitate, iii. 517, 524; iv. 62 n.

Poetelia, i. 389f.

Plotia, as to the proscribed, iv. 303

Pojnpeia de iudiciis, v. 138

Povipeia as to bestowing Latin rights on the Transpadanes, iii. 518

Publilia [of 383], i. 359, 360

Publilia [of 415], i. 384, 396

reglae, i. 112

Roscia, theatre-law [687], iv. 111 n.

sacrae, as to appointment of the plebeian tribunes and aediles, i. 349

Semproniafrumentaria, iii. 345f.

Semproniae, iii. 320f., 329-333

Servilia, iv. 472

Sulpiciae, iii. 531-536

siemptuariae, iv. 172, 185. *Aemilia* [M. Scauri], iii. 379. Of Caesar, v. 397. Compare ii. 63 f.

tabellariae (*Gabinia*, *Cassia*, *Papiria*), iii. 300, 316f., 340

Terentia Cassiafrumentaria, iv. 289n.

Trentilia, i. 362

Thoria agraria, iii. 375 n.

Trtia agraria, iii. 480

Valeria de provocatione, i. 320

Valeria, on Sulla's dictatorship, iv. 99, 109

Valeriae Horatiae, i. 354 n., 366f, 396

Villia annalis, iii. 14

Voconia, iii. 50 n.

Legion, phalangitic, i. 90; ii. 72. Origin of the manipular legion, ii. 72-76.

Manipular arrangement imitated by Pyrrhus, ii. 25. Divided into cohorts, iii. 450. Of half its former number after the Social war, iv. 36 n.

Legis actio sacramento, i. 92, 196. *Sacramentum* raised, ii. 68. *Per mantis iniectionem*, i. 197. *Actiones* publicly promulgated by Ap. Claudius, ii.

Legislation bydecreeof the community, i. 95. Acquired practically by the senate, i. 408

Lemnos, ii. 438, 477, 517; iv. 329

- Lemonii, clan-village, i. 45
 Lemures, i. 212
Lemuria, i. 209
 Lending money, business of, iii. 83. Public opinion thereon, iii. 96
 Length, measures of, origin of, i. 263
 Early introduction of the duodecimal system, i. 265 f. Afterwards, undei
 Greek influence, the foot divided into four handbreadth and sixteen finger-breadths, i. 265, 266
 Lentulus. See Cornelius
 Leontini, i. 166; ii. 310; iii. 384. Syracusan, ii. 204. Domain of, ii. 313; iii. 20, 308; iv. 157, 158 n.
 Lepidus. See Aemilius
 Leptis magna, ii. 140, 384
 Leptis minor, ii. 139; iii. 244; v. 364
 Exempt from taxation, iii. 259
 Lesbians, treatment of, after war with Perseus, ii. 517
 Lete, town in Macedonia, iii. 428 n.
 Leucae, iii. 278f.
 Leucas, ii. 432, 435, 517
 Leuci, v. 48, 85
 Leucopetra, iii. 269
 Levy remodelled, iii. 295f., 303.
Lex primarily contract, i. 94. *Lex edictum*, i. 334. Interval between the introduction and passing of a, iii. 480
Liber, i. 280
Liberalia, i. 209
Liber pater, i. 231
Liberti Latini Iuniani, iii. 527 n.
 Libra, etymology, i. 263. Division of, i. 265. Relation to Sicilian mina, i. 259
 Liburnae, ii. 217
 Libyans, agriculture of the, ii. 138 f.
 Position towards Carthage, ii. 140 f.
 Libyphoenicians, ii. 139, 140 n.
 C. Licinius Stolo, i. 380, 388
 C. Licinius Calvus, v. 139, 140, 481, 507
 C. Licinius Macer seeks to restore the tribunician power, iv. 372. Chronicler, ii. 67 n.; v. 496
 L. Licinius Crassus [consul, 659], the orator, iii. 426, 441 n., 465, 484, **488**, 497; iv. 184, 186, 215, 218, 257
 L. Licinius Lucullus [consul, 603], iii. 219
 L. Licinius Lucullus [praetor, 651], iii. 386
 L. Licinius Lucullus, his character, iv. 337, 444-447. Sulla's lieutenant, iv. 40, 46, 48, 54, 94, 269, 271. Commands against Mithradates, iv. 324-335. War with Tigranes, iv. 334-340. Advances into Armenia, iv. **345** /. Retreats to Mesopotamia, iv. **346**. Retreats to Pontus, iv. 348. Character of his operations in Asia, iv. 443-448. Superseded in the chief command by Pompeius, iv. 407. Opponent of Pompeius, iv. 501. Humbles himself before Caesar, and retires from public life, iv. 454, 516. His improvements in stage-decorations, iv. 236. His library and art-collections, v. 460, 5x5
 L. Licinius Murena, iv. 38, 53, 94, 95, 305, 313, 320
 M. Licinius Crassus, his character, iv. 275-278. Takes part in the Social war, iv. 72, 77, 88, 89, 91. In Sulla's confiscations, iv. 105. Finishes the Servile war, iv. 362, 363. Allied with Pompeius and the democrats, ii. 378f., 382f. Joins the democrats against Pompeius, iv. 461f. In the conspiracy of Catilina, iv. 485-488. At Luca, v. 124f. Goes to Syria, v. 150. Conflicts with the Parthians, v. 151-160. Put to death, v. 161. His wealth, v. 384. Influence thence arising, v. 389
 M. Licinius Lucullus, quaestor, and lieutenant to Sulla, iv. 85, 87, 269, 270. Fights in the east, iv. 307. Suggests the sharper punishment of outrages on property perpetrated by armed bands, iv. 356. His improvements in stage-decorations, iv. 236
 P. Licinius Crassus [consul, 583], ii. 500f..
 P. Licinius Crassus Mucianus [consul, 623], Pontifex maximus, iii. 279, 293, 319, 334; iv. 192. His estate, iv. 176
 P. Licinius Crassus [consul, 657], iii. 479, 508, 589; iv. 67, 102 n.
 P. Licinius Crassus, lieutenant under Caesar, v. 39, 48, 55, 63, **154**, 158, 159
 P. Licinius Nerva, governor of Sicily in 650, iii. 383
 Lictores, i. 82, 94, 190. Lay aside their axes in appeal cases, i. 320
 Ligurians, i. 156, 157, 434; ii. 221, **228**, 351, 3699 374-375; iii. **214**, 291, 313, 382 n., **414**, 415, 417, 443, 446, 458
 Ligurians of Lower Italy, ii. 374
 Lilybaeum, ii. 143, 185, 187, 205, 249, 266; iii. 243. Greek settlement there frustrated, i. 184. Held by the Carthaginians against Pyrrhus, ii. 32. Besieged by the Romans, ii. 187f., **190**, 191, 195
Limitatio, Graeco-Italian, i. 27
 Linen comes from Egypt to Italy, iii. 85
Lincre i. 280

- Lingones, Italian, ii. 221, 226
 Lingones, Gallic, v. 85
 Lipara, i. 177; ii. 176; iv. 354. A Greek colony, i. 186. Roman, ii. 186, 198
 Liris, i. 444
 Lissus, i. 417; ii. 218
 Litternum, ii. 304
 Literati, Greek, in Rome, v. 459/C
 Literature, origin of Roman, iii. 134 f.
 Its destructive influences on religion, iii. 112f. In the seventh century, iv. 229-254. In Caesar's time, v. 453-510
Lit tera, i. 280
Litterati, iv. 215
Litteris obligatio iii. 90 n.
Litteratores, it. 116
 Livius Andronicus, iii. 135 f., 156; iv. 214, 232 n. Publicly read his own poems, iii. 178
 C Livius [admiral, 563, 564], ii. 457, 460, 462
 M. Livius Salinator [consul, 535, 547; censor, 550], ii. 347, 348.A 352; iii. 136
 M. Livius Drusus, the elder, iii. 363, 364, 365, 429
 M. Livius Drusus, the younger, iii. 483-489, 497f.; iv. 180, 186
 Livy corrected, iii. 444 n., iv. 91 n.
 Locri occupied by the Romans, ii. 12.
 Its fortunes in the Pyrrhic war, ii. 21, 30, 31, 35. In the Hannibalic war, ii. 295, 350. Exempted from land-service, ii. 43. Remains unaffected by the general Latinizing, iv. 191 f.
 Locris, ii. 396
Locupletes, i. 115
 M. Lolhus Palicanus [tribune of the people, 683], iv. 379
 Longobriga, iv. 284; iii. 499
Lorum, iii. 5 n.
 Luca, a Volscian town, i. 464
 Luca, conference at, v. 124f.
 Lucanians, constitution, i. 315. First appearance, i. 454 f. Under Greek influence, i. 456, 465 /; ii. 79, 90. Fight against Archidamus and Alexander the Molossian, i. 463. Their attitude during the Samnite wars, i. 466, 468. In the third Samnite war, i. 486f. The Romans abandon the Greek towns in Lucania to them, ii. 9f. Intervention of the Romans contrary to treaty during the Lucanian siege of Thurii, ii. 10. War with Rome, ii. 10, 12. Take part in the Pyrrhic war, ii. 19, 21, 22. Left in the lurch by Pyrrhus, ii. 30 f. Submit to the Romans, ii. 38. Dissolution of the
 I confederacy, or its subsistence without political significance, ii. 53. Their conduct in the Hannibalic war, ii. 294, 300, 305, 342, 365. State after it, iii. 100, 101. In the Social war, iii. 510, 524
Luc aria, i. 208
 Lucres, i. 53f., 56
 Luceria, i. 472; ii. 280, 282, 283, 287, 294, 305, 333; v. 208, 211. Conflicts between Iapygians and Samnites about, i. 146. Occupied by the Samnites after the Claudine victory, i. 471. Taken by the Romans, i. 474. Latin colony, i. 493
 C. Lucilius, poet, iv. 193, 194, 215, 237-241, 252. In the Scipionic circle, iv. 220
 C. Lucilius Hirrus, v. 209
 C. Lucretius [admiral, 583], ii. 500, 501, 503
 Q. Lucretius Ofella goes over to Sulla, iv. 78, 84, 87, 89, 137, 140
 T. Lucretius Carus, v. 444, 473-478
 Lucullus. See Licinius
Ludi, increase of, iii. 340f., 124-127, 1337f. Provincials burdened for their cost, iii. 31 f. Distinction of the senatorial places, iii. 10. In Sulla's time, i. 183f. In Caesar's time, v. 471. Greek, iv. 192; v. 516 n.
Ludi Apollinares, iii. 41, 125
Ludi Atellani, ii. 231. Compare *Fabula*
Ludi Cereales, iii. 40, 125
Ludi Florales, iii. 40, 125
Ludi maximi, ii. 96 n.
Ludi Megalenses, iii. 41, 125
Ludi Osci, iv. 231
Ludi piebei, iii. 40 n., 125
Ludi Romani, original nature of, i. 293. Probably modelled after the Olympic festival, i. 295. Changed from competitions of the bourgeois to competitions of professional riders and prize-fighters, i. 297. A day added after the expulsion of the kings, i. 342. Last for four days, ii. 97. For six days, ii. 124. Provided by the curule aediles, i. 383; iii. 41. "Sale of Veientes," i. 426. Introduction of dramatic representations, ii. 98. Cost of the festival, ii. 97. Palm branches distributed at, ii. 91
Ludii, ludioncs, i. 286
 Luerius, king of Arverni, iii. 416, 417
 Lugudunum Convenarum, iv. 304; v. 8
 Luna, ii. 377; iv. 167. Burgess-colony, ii. 375; iii. 26, 49, 312
 Lupercal, i. 62. Luperci, Lupercalia, i. 54, 56, 67 n., 106, 108, 208, 215

- Lupus. See Rutilius
 Lusitanians, ii. 389, 391
 Lusitanian war, lii. 216. Banditti in, iii. 233 f. Revolt, iii. 479. Subdued by Caesar, v. 7
 Lusones, iii. 227
 Lustrum up to 474 could not be presented by the plebeian censor, i. 384. Usual prayer on presenting it, iii. 317. Changed by Scipio Aemilianus, iii. 317
 C. Lutatius Catulus [consul, 512], ii. 194 f.
 Q. Lutatius Catulus [consul, 652], iii. 447-459, 508; iv. 67, 102 n., 103. Poet, iv. 236 n., 242. Memoirs, iv. 250
 Q. Lutatius Catulus [consul, 676], iv. 269, 288, 289 n., 290, 291, 394 f., 453, 460, 483, 493, 497
 Lutetia, v. 84
 Lutia, town of the Arevacae, iii. 231
 Lyaeus, i. 231
 Lycaonia, ii. 474; iii. 281
 Lycia, ii. 474, 513; iii. 280; iv. 54, 313. Language, iv. 11f.
 Lycian cities, league of, iv. 33, 311
 Lyciscus, ii. 498, 517, 518; in. 264
 Lycophron, v. 450
 Lycortas, ii. 479
 Lyctus, iv. 353
 Lycus, river, iv. 331
 Lydia, ii. 398, 474; iv. 11. Language, iv. 11f.
 Lyncestis, ii. 424, 425
 Lyra, i. 292 n.
 Lysimachia, ii. 410, 421, 435, 448, 465, 474
 MA, Cappadocian goddess (= Bellona), iv. 210
 Maccabees. See Jews
 T. Maccius Plautus, Roman poet, iii. 142, 145, 152, 160 f.; iv. 220. Compared with Terence, iv. 224-229
 Macedonia, land and people, ii. 395-397. Claims to continue the universal empire of Alexander, ii. 399. Its relation to Rome, ii. 215, 250, 252. Description of the country before the beginning of the third war with Rome, ii. 490 f. Broken up into four confederacies, ii. 508 f. Becomes a province, iii. 262 f. In the Sertorian times, iv. 299. Greece placed under the Macedonian governor, iii. 271. Struggles in the mountains, iii. 414. Overrun by the Thracians, iv. 34. Occupied by Mithradates, iv. 34. In the Mithradatic war, iv. 38, 50. In Caesar's time, v. 104f. Roman domain-land in Macedonia, iv. 156, 157. Mines, iv. 156. Taxation, ii. 509 n.; iii. 363. Compare Perseus, Philip
 Machanidas of Sparta, ii. 317, 405
 Machares, son of Mithradates, iv. 318, 334, 411, 420
 Madytus, ii. 448
 Maeander, ii. 474; iv. 38
 Maecenas, i. 302
 Maedi, iii. 428, 429; iv. 50
 Sp. Maelius, i. 376
 C. Maenius [consul, 416], i. 463
 Magaba, mountain in Asia Minor, ii. 471
 Magadates, Armenian satrap, iv. 317, 341
 Magalia, iii. 247 n., 249, 253, 257
 Magi among the Parthians, iii. 288
 Magic, i. 191. Incantations, i. z56f.
 Magister equitum, i. 317 n., 325. Not originating out of the tribuni celerum, i. 91 n. Plebeians eligible, i. 383
 Magister populi, i. 325. Compare Dictator
 Magistrates, not paid, iii. 91, 94. Cannot be impeached during tenure of office, iii. 32. Edicts of, while in office, equivalent to law, i. 335. Military authority distinguished from the civil, after expulsion of the kings, i. 335 f. General and army as such might not enter the city, i. 335. Deputy-magistrates (*pro magistrate, pro consue, pro praetore, pro quaestore*) admissible only in military, not in civil government, i. 323. Deputies appointed by senate, i. 409. Order of succession, limits of age, intervals prescribed by law, i. 375; in. 13 f. Division into curule and lower, iii. 6. Decline of the magistracy, iii. 18. Sulla's regulations as to qualification, iv. 116. Caesar's regulations, v. 412 f. Filling up of the governorships in the provinces, iv. 390 n.; v. 147, 178f., 343 f.
 Decius Magius, ii. 294
 L. Magius, commander in Mithradatic war, iv. 323, 334
 Minatus Magius of Aeclanum forms in the Social war a loyalist corps of Hirpini, iii. 502
 Magnesia on the Maeander, ii. 412, 474; iv. 54
 Magnesia near Mount Sipylus, battle at, ii. 466f.; iii. 285; iv. 33
 Magnesia, Thessalian peninsula, ii. 396, 452, 453, 454, 477, 485
 Magnopolis, iv. 441
 Mago, Carthaginian admiral in 476, ii. 39
 Mago conquers at Kronion, ii. 145. His

- book on agriculture, ii. 151; iii. 312; iv. 172 *n.* His clan, i. 413; ii. 147
- Mago the Samnite, ii. 244
- Mago, Hannibal's brother, ii. 238, 271, 276. Fights in Spain against the Scipios, ii. 322, 327, 328, 330, 331. Landing and struggle in Italy, ii. 350, 351, 357. Called to Africa, ii. 357
- Maiestatem populi Romam comiter conservare*, ii. 47 *n.*
- Maize, iii. 64 *n*
- Malaca, ii. 384
- Malchus [Carthaginian general about 200], i. 186
- Malea, ii. 405
- Cn. Mallius Maximus [consul, 649], defeated by the Helvetii, iii. 436
- Mamercus, alleged son of Numa and ancestor of the Aemilii, ii. 107
- Mamercus Haemylus, alleged son of Pythagoras and ancestor of the Aemilii, ii. 107
- Mamers, ii. 249
- Mamertines. *See* Messana
- C. Mamilius Limetanus [pleb. tribune, 645], iii. 396
- Mamuralia*, i. 207
- Mamurius, the armourer, i. 249
- Mamurra of Formiae, Caesar's favourite, v. 142 *n.*
- Mancaeus, commandant of Tigranocerta, iv. 339
- Mancinus. *See* Hostilius.
- Mancipatio* belongs not merely to Roman, but generally to Latin law, i. 200. Is purchase with immediate and simultaneous delivery and payment, i. 195. Thus originally not a formal act, i. 200. Refers originally to moveables, i. 195 *n.*, 238 *f.* Rearranged for agricultural property in consequence of the Servian regulation of freehold-relations, i. 195 *n.* The other objects of property excluded from *mancipatio* by a subsequent misunderstanding, i. 195 *n.* Obligatory consequences of, i. 196
- Manes, i. 214
- C. Manilius [pleb. tribune, 688], iv. 396
- M.' Manilius conducts siege of Carthage by land, iii. 249*f.*
- Manipular organization. *See* Legion
- C. Manlius, a Catihnarian, i. 474
- Cn. Manlius Volso [consul, 565], ii. 470; iii. 32
- Cn. Manlius [praetor, 682] fights against the gladiators, iv. 360
- L. Manlius Volso [consul, 498], ii. 178
- L. Manlius fights against Sertorius, iv. 283 ;
- I. L. Manlius, poet, iv. 242
- M. Manlius Capitolinus saves the Capitol, ii. 430. Condemned, i. 379
- T. Manlius Imperiosus Torquatus [consul, 414], i. 459 *n.*, 461
- T. Manlius Torquatus [praetor, 539], ii. 308
- Mantua, i. 156. Etruscan, i. 434
- Manumission, foreign to the old law, i. 198. *Vindicta censu testamento*, i. 199. Freedmen among the clients, i. 79 *f*
- Tax on manumissions, i. 389; ii. 83; iv. 156. Freedmen in the *comitia tributa* restricted to the four urban tribes, i. 396 *f.*; ii. 82; iii. 53. Deprived of the suffrage in the *comitia centuriata*, i. 396. Their economic relation to the manumitter, ii. 82. Social and political position in general, v. 369. Increasing importance of, iii. 39. Share in military service, i. 488; iii. 50; and in the suffrage, iii. 52 *f.* In the reform of the centuries, equalized with the freeborn, iii. 52 *f.* This equalization cancelled again by C. Flaminius, iii. 53. Bestowal of unrestricted suffrage intended by Sulpicius, iii. 531, 534. By Cinna, iv. 58, 66*f.* Cancelled by Sulla, iv. 106. Striving after equalization of political rights, iv. 264, 458. Freedmen with the rights of Latins and Dediticii, iii. 527 *n.*; iv. 107 *n.*
- Manus iniectio*. *See* *Legis actiones*
- Marble begins to be used for building, iv. 257. From Luna, v. 514. Numidian, v. 514
- Marcellus. *See* Claudius
- Marcus, prophecies of, iii. 41
- Marcus, Ancus, i. 104. Fortification of Janiculum and foundation of Ostia referred to him, i. 58*f.*
- C. Marcus [officer in Spain, 544], ii. 323, 330
- C. Marcus Censorinus, lieutenant of Carbo in the first civil war, iv. 86
- C. Marcus Rutilus [dictator, 398], i. 398
- C. Marcus Rutilus [consul, 444], i. 480
- C. Marcus Figulus [consul, 598], iii. 422
- Cn. Marcus Conolanus, i. 358
- L. Marcus Censorinus [consul, 605] besieges Carthage, iii. 243, 249
- L. Marcus Philippus [consul, 663], iii. 380, 484, 487, 498 *n.*; iv. 70, 78, 92, 98; iv. 269, 289 *n.*, 296*f.*
- Q. Marcus Philippus [consul, 568, 585], ii. 497, 503, 514
- : Q. Marcus Rex [consul, 686], iv. 345, 349, 350

- Marcomam, ill. 422 n.; v. 31 n.
- Mariana, colony in Corsica, iii. 479
- Maritime affairs, Rome's original maritime importance, i. 59 f. Plundering of the Latin coasts by pirates, ii. 40 f. Their commerce limited by unfavourable treaties with Carthage and Tarentum, ii. 41, 42. Roman fortification and securing of the Italian coast-towns, ii. 42. Gradual decline of the Roman fleet, ii. 40. Efforts to revive it, ii. 43 f. Fleets in first Punic war, ii. 173-175, 185, 186, 194 f., 199, 200. Fleet neglected by the Romans, iv. 169; v. 361. In the Social war, formed with the help of the maritime cities of Asia Minor, iii. 507. Sailing ships, i. 254 n.; v. 15, 16. *Compare* Piracy
- C. Marius, his character and career, iii. 452-454. Superstition, iii. 478; iv. 208 f. Political position, iii. 454 f. Compared with Pompeius, iv. 204. His relationship with Caesar, iv. 279. Tribune of the people [635], iii. 375. In the Jugurthine war, iii. 398, 400 f., 404-409. Consul, iii. 404f. In Teutonic war, iii. 441-446. In Cimbric war, iii. 448-450. His military reforms, iii. 413, 443, 456-462. Political projects, iii. 462 f. For the sixth time consul, iii. 467 - 476. Politically annihilated, iii. 477. Goes to the east, iii. 477; iv. 19 n. Returns, iii. 477. In Social war, iii. 504, 508, 511, 512, 520. Discontented, iii. 529. Nominated commander-in-chief against Mithradates, iii. 536. Driven from Rome by Sulla, iii. 539. Flight, iii. 539. Returns, iv. 60 f. His reign of terror, iv. 66 f. Seventh time consul, iv. 68. Death, iv. 69, 102 n. His ashes scattered, iv. 103. Rehabilitation of his memory, iv. 460f.
- C. Marius the younger [consul, 672], iii. 530; iv. 81, 83, 84, 90, 102 n.
- M. Marius, lieutenant of Sertorius, iv. 324, 329. Death, iv. 329
- M. Marius Gratidianus, adopted nephew of Marius, iv. 103
- Marius Egnatius. *See* Egnatius
- Marl used in Gaul, v. 13
- Maronea, ii. 417, 465, 486, 488, 511f..
- Marriage, religious and civil marriage, i. 73 n., in. Marital power, i. 30. The connection without manus admitted in lieu of marriage, ii. 65. Between patricians and plebeians null, i. 334, 364. Between patricians and plebeians declared valid by the Canuleian law, i. 371. Between patricians and plebeians, how regarded in aristocratic circles, i. 386. Relaxation of, iii. 121. Celibacy and divorces increase, iii. 121 f. Marriage in Sulla's time, iv. 186 f. In Caesar's time, v. 392
- Marrucini, i. 146, 467, 482; iii. 501, 521
- Mars, oldest chief god of the Italian burges - community, i. 67, 207, 210 f. Temple in the Flaminian circus, iv. 257. Dance-chant in honour of, i. 287
- Mars *quirinus* i. 68 n. Sabine and Latin deity, i. 69 n.
- Marshes, draining of, iv. 168
- Marsians, i. 146; iii. 100. Offshoots of the Umbrians, i. 11. Take part in the Samnite war, i. 468, 480 f. Organization in later times, iii. 501. In the Social war, iii. 501, 511, 521
- Martha, Cimbrian prophethess in the Cimbrian war, iii. 454; iv. 208
- Masks on the stage, iii. 156. Masks in the Atellana, i. 191
- Massaesylians, ii. 354, 382
- Massilia, ii. 375; iv. 174; v. 16. Founded, i. 183, 185; ii. 137. Naval power, ii. 40. Maritime stations on Mediterranean coast, iii. 415, 419. Relations to Rome, i. 260; ii. 45, 384; iii. 415 f., 419, 443; iv. 293, 509, 511. To Lampsacus, ii. 447 n., 469 n. How far belonging to the province of Narbo, iii. 272 n. Competition of Roman merchants after Narbo was founded, iv. 175. Its conflicts with Carthage, ii. 143. Its position in second Punic war, ii. 255, 292. Conquered by Caesar, v. 227, 228. Its mint, ii. 387; iv. 181. Exempt from taxation, iv. 158. Remains unaffected by the general Latinizing, iv. 192; v. 10
- Massinissa, character of, ii. 382f. Takes part in second Punic war, ii. 322, 330, 331, 354, 355, 356, 360. His conduct after second Punic war, ii. 356, 360, 457, 492, 518 f.; iii. 237 f. Death, iii. 251. Table of his descendants, iii. 388 «.
- Massiva, iii. 388 n., 395, 402
- Massylians, ii. 354, 382
- Mastanabal, iii. 251, 388
- Mastaraa, i. 159
- Materisy Cimbric weapon, iii. 433
- Mater magna in Rome, iii. 41, 1T5; iv. 209/; v. 445
- Mater matuta, i. 209 n.
- C. Matius, author of a cookery book, v. 513
- Matral'ta, i. 209

- Mauretania (Mauri), ii. 382 ; iii. 393, 404- I 408; iv. 92; v. 291 , Haunt of the pirates, iv. 310f.
- Maxitani, or Maxyes, ii. 137
- Mazaca, iv. 316
- Mcdama, i. 166
- Medes in the army of Mithradates, iv. a8
- Media, ii. 444. Independent, iii. 287, 288. Falsely said to be conquered by Pompeius, iv. 437 n.
- Media Atropatene, iv. 315
- Medicine in Rome, iii. 193; iv. 254
- Mediolanum, i. 423 ; ii. 228
- Mediterranean, its significance in ancient history, i. 3f.
- Meditrinalia*, i. 208
- Medix tuticus*, i. 315
- Medullia, i. 125
- Megacles, i. 19
- Megalopolis, ii. 430, 480; iv. 242. In Pontus, iv. 441
- Megara in Greece, iii. 269 ; iv. 38. Sends out colonies, i. 166
- Megara in Sicily, Syracusan, ii. 206
- Megaravicus defends Numantia, iii. 226
- Melita, ii. 143
- Melitaea, iv. 43
- Melitene, iv. 315, 338
- Melpum, i. 423, 427
- C. Memmius, iii. 393, 394, 465, 475
- L. Memmius, quaestor of Pompeius in Spain, iv. 296
- Memoir-literature, iv. 250
- Menagenes, iii. 276 n.
- Menander of Athens, Attic comedian, iii. 141-147
- Menapii, v. 37, 54, 58, 72
- Mende, ii. 426
- Menenii, clan-village, i. 45
- Menippus, ii. 453
- Mercantile dealings, extent of the Roman, iii. 86
- Mercatus*, i. 250
- Mercedonius*, i. 270
- Mercenaries, ii. 138.
- Merchants, proper, why none in Rome, i. 261. Strive to acquire a freehold settlement, ii. 82f. Mercantile spirit of the Romans, iii. 89-93
- Mercurtates*, i. 138 n.
- Mercurius, i. 214, 230, 255
- Merula. See Cornelius
- Mesembria, iv. 307
- Mesopotamia, iii. 289; iv. 5, 315. Confirmed to the Parthians, iv. 406
- Messana, i. 167; ii. 145, 203, 205, 213; iii. 386. Campanians or Mamertines there, ii. 18, 162, 163 f.; iii. 309. Al- I
- liance with Rome and Carthage against Pyrrhus, ii. 29. Maintain themselves against him, ii. 32. War with Hiero of Syracuse, ii. 38, 164 f. Surrender to the Romans, ii. 165. Received into the Italian confederacy, ii. 165 f., 167 n. The city occupied by the Carthaginians, ii. 169. These dislodged by the Romans, ii. 169 f. Exempted from taxation, iv. 157. Mint of the Mamertines restricted to copper, ii. 211 n.
- Messapians, i. 455, 465, 466
- Messene, ii. 317, 403, 439, 456, 459, 478
- C. Messius [pleb. tribune, 697], v. 121
- Metapontum, i. 170, 171, 173, 456, 465, 482; ii. 294, 336, 349. Stormed by the gladiators, iv. 359
- Metaurus, ii. 348
- Metellus. See Caecilius
- Metilii, from Alba, i. 128
- Sp. Metilius [tribune of the people, 337], i. 378
- Metiodorus of Athens, painter and philosopher, iv. 258
- Metrophanes, Pontic general, iv. 328
- Mezentius, i. 158
- Micipsa, iii. 251, 258, 388 n., 389. His son Micipsa, iii. 388 n.
- Mi/es*, foot-soldier, i. 91
- Milestones, iv. 167
- Miletopolis, victory of Fimbria at, iv. 47
- Miletus, i. 174 ; ii. 412, 473; iii. 260, 507 n. ; iv. 15. Carrier for the commerce of the Sybarites, i. 171
- Milev, *colonia Samensis*, v. 302 n.
- Milo, general of Pyrrhus, ii. 16, 17, 31, 37
- Military service, length of, iii. 346 f.
- Milyas, district of, ii. 474
- Mimus*, v. 468-471
- Mincius, battle on the, ii. 370
- Minerva borrowed by the Etruscans from Latium, i. 229. Temple of, at Rome iii. 136, 368
- Mines, Spanish, iii. 20, 307. Macedonian iii. 21
- Minturnae, naval colony, i. 492 ; ii. 42, 49. Slave - rising, iii. 309. Marius there, iii. 540 f.
- C. Minucius [*praeфекtus annonae*, 315], i. 376
- M. Minucius Rufus [magister equitum, 537], ii. 283, 284f.
- M. (Q. ?) Minucius Rufus [consul, 644] fights in Macedonia, iii. 429
- Q. Minucius [praetor in Spain, 558], ii.
- Q. Minucius Thermus [praetor, 705], v. 207

- Minucius, confidant of Viriathus, iii. 225 J
 Mirror-designing, Etruscan, i. 308, ii. 124
 Misenum surprised by the pirates, iv. 355. Misenean Cape, i. 177
 Mithra, worship of, v. 445f.
 Mithradates of Media, son-in-law of Tigranes, in the Armenian war, iv. 349
 Mithradates I., the Arsacid, iii. 287
 Mithradates II., the Arsacid, iv. 5
 Mithradates of Pergamus, v. 279 f., 283
 Mithradates V., Eucrates, iii. 281; iv. 6, 19, 20
 Mithradates VI., Eupator, king of Pontus, his character, iv. 6-10. Extends his kingdom, iv. 12 f., 16-20. Allied with Tigranes, iv. 18. Difficulties with the Romans, iv. 21 f. First war with Rome, iii. 523, 536; iv. 26-52. Orders a massacre of all Italians, iv. 317f. Occupies Asia Minor, iv. 29. Occupies Thrace, Macedonia, Greece, iv. 34-37. Loses them again, iv. 42-49. Sues for peace, iv. 48f. Peace with Sulla at Dardanus, iv. 52, 305. Chronology of first Mithradatic war, iv. 19 n., 45 n. Armenian tradition about it, iv. 51f. Vanquishes Murena, iv. 94f. Extends his empire on the Black Sea, iv. 318. Alliance with the pirates and with Sertorius, iv. 300, 314, 322 f. Organizes his army after Roman model, iv. 318. Second war with Rome, iv. 320f. Victorious near Chalcedon, iv. 326. Besieges Cyzicus in vain, iv. 327f. Driven back to Pontus, iv. 330. Defeated near Cabira, iv. 331f. Flight to Armenia, iv. 332 f. Induces Tigranes to continue the war, iv. 343. Forms a new army, iv. 343 f. Defeats the Romans at Ziela and regains Pontus, iv. 349f. Variance with Tigranes, iv. 406. War with Pompeius, iv. 407f. Defeated at Nicopolis, iv. 409. Breach with Tigranes, iv. 410 f. Crosses the Phasis, iv. 411. Goes to Panticapaeum, iv. 417. Revolt against him, iv. 418f. Death, iv. 420. His gold coinage, iv. 181
 Mithradates, son of Mithradates VI., Eupator, iv. 32, 47, 95
 Mithradates, king of Parthia, v. 151
 Mithrobarzanes, Armenian general, iv. 339
 Mnasiippus the Boeotian, iii. 264
 Moenia, meaning of the word, i. 91
 Molochath, ii. 282; iii. 387, 406, 410
 Molottians, ii. 502, 517
 Money of the Greek colonies in Italy and Sicily, i. 166. Cast copper money appears in Rome at the time of the Decemvirs, and spreads thence over Italy, ii. 78, 79. Etrusco-Umbrian and East-Italian cast copper money, ii. 79. Etruscan silver money of the oldest times, i. 306. Proportional ratio of copper to silver, ii. 79. Silver money of Lower Italy, ii. 79. Artistic value of the cast copper coinage, ii. 124. Monetary unity of Italy, ii. 87. System of the denarius, ii. 87. Debasement of the coin during second Punic war, ii. 343. Later coinage, iii. 87 f.; iv. 178-183. Copper money restricted to small change, iv. 179. Diffusion of the Roman money, iii. 88 f. In Sicily, ii. 210f.; iii. 87f. In Spain, ii. 385f., 393; iii. 87. In the territory of the Po, iii. 87. Local, v. 436f.. Traffic in gold bars, iv. 179; v. 435. Coinage of gold not permitted in the provinces, iv. 18 f. Caesar introduces a gold currency, v. 437. Token money (plated *denarii*), iii. 485; iv. 180. *Denarii* of Scaurus, iv. 432. Of Pompeius, iv. 444. Money dealings monopolized by the capital, iv. 173 f.; v. 380, 409f. Coins of the Italians in the Social war, iii. 505, 524 n.
 Money-changers. See *Argentarius*
 Moneyed aristocracy, iii. 93 f..
Mons sacer, i. 348
 Montani, i. 68, 139
 Months, names of, everywhere come into use only after the introduction of the solar year, and thence recent in Italy, i. 269f. Roman, i. 269, 270
 Morggritia, iii. 384
 Morges, i. 40
 Morimene, iv. 439
 Morini, v. 54, 58
 Mortgage, unknown in early times, i. 204
 Motya, ii. 143. Punic, i. 186
 Mourning, time of, abridged after the battle of Cannae, ii. 298. After the battle of Arausio, iii. 438
 P. Mucius Scaevola [consul, 621], iii. 319, 320, 325, 327, 334, 338. Private life, iv. 258. Historian, iv. 248
 Q. Mucius Scaevola [consul, 659], iii. 481, 497; iv. 69, 84, 102 n., 205. Juridical writer, iv. 205, 251, 256
Muita, origin of the designation, i. 19a
Mulvius pons, iv. 167
 L. Mummius [consul, 608], iii. 215 f., 268 f., 270, 271 n., 274; iv. 257. His plays, iv. 235 f., 236 n.
 Sp. Mummius, brother of Lucius, in the

- Scipionic circle, iv. 20. His Epistles, iv. 237
- Mundus* i. 62
- Munatius, legate of Sulla, iv. 38
- Municeps*, passive burgess, i. 121, 441. Active right of election in the comitia tributa, i. 441 n.
- Municipal constitution, Latin, remodelled after the pattern of the Roman consular constitution, i. 443 f., 452
- Municipal system, originally no closer municipal union allowed within the Roman burgess-body; such a system initiated when the Roman franchise was forced on whole communities, as on Tusculum, i. 448; ii. 48 n.; iii. 36. Developed in Italy, iv. 130-135. Regulated by Caesar, v. 405. Extended to the provinces, v. 427f. *Compare* *Ius*
- Murder, i. 191
- Music, Etruscan predominates in Rome, i. 99. In later times, Greek, iv. 258. On the stage, v. 472, 516 f. In domestic life, v. 516 f. As a subject of instruction, v. 449, 517
- Muthul, battle on the, iii. 399 f.
- Mutina, burgess-colony, ii. 230, 267, 373; iii. 26, 49, 291. Battle of, ii. 373
- Muttines, ii. 313
- Mutuum*, i. 200
- Mycenae, i. 302
- Mylae, battle of, ii. 175f.
- Mylasa, ii. 412, 413
- Myndus, ii. 412, 446; iii. 279
- Myonnesus, ii. 463
- Myrina, ii. 413, 447
- Mysia, ii. 473. Language of, iv. 11. Mysians in army of Antiochus, ii. 466
- Mysteries, systematic dealings in, iv. 208-211
- Mytilene, ii. 318, 406, 462; iv. 31, 48, 93, 94
- NABATABAN state, iv. 3x6, 422, 426, 432 n. Petra, capital of the, iv. 426
- Nabis, ii. 405, 431, 433. 438f. 451, 480
- Cn. Naevius, his comedies, iii. 150f., 157-160; iv. 219, 222. His *praetextata* iii. 177. His *saturae*, iii. 178 f. His "Punic War," iii. 179 f., 184, 186; iv. 215
- Nails fastened in the Capitoline temple, ii. 100
- Names, proper, Roman, i. 31, 78, 210. Etruscan, i. 151 f. Greek *cognomina* come into use, ii. 91
- Nanaea, temple of, in Elymais, iv. 343
- Naraggara, ii. 359
- Narbo, iii. 374, 419; iv. 168, 176, 191; v. 11, 16, 422. Exempt from taxation, iv. 158. See Gaul
- Narnia, ii. 348. A Latin colony, i. 485. Reinforced, ii. 366
- Nasica. See Cornelius
- Natural philosophy, influence on the Roman religion, iii. 112 f.
- Naupactus, ii. 459
- Nautical loan=bottomry, iii. 92. Not a branch of usury legally forbidden, iii. 97 n.
- Naval warfare, ancient, ii. 173 f.
- Navigation, oar-boats already known in Indo-Germanic period, i. 20, 27. Sailing ships probably derived by the Italians from the Greeks, i. 179. Developed earliest among the Gauls, v. 15. Earliest nautical terms of Latin, later ones of Greek origin, i. 254 n.
- Naxos, i. 165, 166
- Neae, iv. 329
- Neapolis, i. 175; ii. 173, 294, 303f. Old relations with Rome, i. 260. Holds out against the Samnites, i. 419, 455, 456. Palaeopolis and Neapolis threatened by the Romans, and therefore J occupied by the Samnites, i. 469. Siege I of the city by the Romans, and treaty of the Campanian Greeks with Rome 469. Attitude towards Rome, ii. 43, 53; iii. 24. In the Social war, iii. 502. In the first Civil war, iv. 80, 91. Deprived of Aenaria (Ischia), iv. 107, 126
- Rights of, in later times, iii. 519. Remains unaffected by the general Latinizing, iii. 519; iv. 191f.
- Neapolis, the Carthaginian, iii. 252
- Neetum, -ii. 313. Syracusan, i. 204
- C. Negidius defeated by Viriathus, iii. 223
- Nemausus, v. 422
- Nemetum, iii. 416 n.
- Neniae, i. 288, 293 n.
- Neoptolemus, general of Mithradates, iv. 17, 28, 30, 38
- Nepete, Etruscan, i. 157. Latin colony, i. 432
- Nepheris, fortress at Carthage, iii. 249, 251, 254, 255
- Neptunalia*, i. 208
- Neptunia, colony at Tarentum, iii. 374
- Nequinum, i. 485
- Nervii, v. 14, 27, 30, 32. Contest of, with Caesar, v. 51-54
- Nestus, river, iii. 263
- Nexum*, loan, i. 195, 196. Originally not I a formal act, i. 200

- Nicaea in Bithynia, iv. 3*9
 Nicaea in Corsica, Etruscan, i. x86
 Nicaea in Liguria, iii. 415
 Nicaea on the Maliac gulf, ii. 431
 Nicanor, ii. 418, 433 f
 Nicomedes I L., of Bithynia, allied with Mithradates, iv. 19, 21, 22. Death of, iv. 24
 Nicomedes III., Philopator, of Bithynia, in the Mithradatic war, iv. 24, 25, 7.6 f., 29, 53. Dies, iv. 322. Scymnus dedicates his book to him, v. 459 n.
 Nicomedia, near Chalcedon, iv. 47, 329, 331
 Nicopolis, battles near, iv. 409 f.; v. 282. Established as a city by Pompeius, iv. 441
 Nicostratus, ii. 435
 Night, fourfold division of, among Greeks and Romans, i. 255 n.
 P. Nigidius Figulus, v. 321, 448
 Nile, iii. 213, 282. Battle at the, v. 280
 Nisibis, iv. 315, 341, 348
 Nitiobroges, iii. 435
 Nobility developed from the equalization of the patricians and plebeians, and the successive admission of plebeian *gentes* among the consular houses, i. 339 f., 393 f.; iii. 4-8. In possession of the senate, iii. 7. In possession of the equestrian centuries, iii. 8-10. Closing of the circle, *novi homines*, iii. 14 f., 298, 299. Hereditary character of, iii. 16. At the same time an aristocracy of wealth, iii. 41f.
 Nola, i. 40; ii. 304, 305. Attitude during the Samnite wars, i. 469, 475. Alliance with Rome, i. 475. Attitude towards Rome, i. 475; ii. 53; iii. 241. Under Greek influence, i. 456; ii. 79, 90. Remains faithful in the Social war, iii. 502. Compelled to surrender, iii. 510. Besieged by the Romans, iii. 522, 523, 536, 547; iv. 60, 61, 63. Taken, iv. 91. Stormed by the gladiators, iii. 359
 Nomentum, i. 49. Long time independent, i. 125. About 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Roman burgess-community, i. 462. Dictator there, i. 442 n.
 Nonae, i. 243, 271
 Norba, Latin colony, i. 445; ii. 49; iii. 36. About 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Not a Roman burghs-community, ii. 49. In the first Civil war, iv. 84, 90f. Treatment by Sulla, iv. 107
 C. Norbanus tpleb. tribune, 651], iii. 440, 441 n., 442, 478, 526, 531; iv. 74, 79, 80, 81, 87, 1 2 n., 340
 Noreia, iii. 424. Battle near, iii. 434
 Norici, iii. 424
Novi homings, iii. 15, 299
 Noviodunum (Nyon), v. 45
 Novius, composer of Atellan plays, iv. 231 n., 233, 234 n.
 Nuceria, ii. 303. Position during the Samnite wars, i. 469, 475. Peace with Rome, i. 492. Under Greek influence, i. 456. Slave rising, iii. 380. Remains faithful in the Social war, iii. 502, 510. Obtains burgess-rights, iii. 519. Stormed by the gladiators, iv. 359
 Numana, Syracusan, i. 417
 Numantia, iii. 217, 219, 226-232, 296
 Numa Pompilius, ii. 104, 107. Discovery of his pretended writings, iii. 114
 Numbers, odd, i. 271
 Numidians, people and kingdom, ii. 381-384. War with Rome under Jugurtha, iii. 389-409. Internal feuds, iv. 93 f.
 Numidians in the Roman army during the Social war, iii. 507, 510. In the first Civil war, iv. 93 f. Roman merchants in Numidia, iii. 260. Exempt from taxation, iv. 157. Numidian marble, v. 514. *Compare* Massinissa
 Q. Numitorius Pullus betrays Fregellae, iii. 341
Nundmae, i. 250
 Q. Nunnus [candidate for the tribuneship of the people, 653] slain, iii. 467
 Nursia, Sabine town, obtains *civitas sine suffragio*, i. 492. Birthplace of Sertorius, iv. 281. *See* Sabines
 OATS, iii. 64 n.
 Ocilis, iii. 218
 Oriculum, i. 485
 Cn. Octavius, guardian of Antiochus Eupator, iii. 282 f. Put to death, iii. 283, 296. Monument, iii. 284
 Cn. Octavius [consul, 589, *not* 626], builder of the porticus Octavia, iv. 257
 Cn. Octavius [consul, 667], iii. 545; iv. 58f., 62, 64, 65, 66, to 2 n.
 L. Octavius, legate of Pompeius, iv. 403
 M. Octavius [pleb. tribune], colleague of Tib. Gracchus, iii. 322, 356. Superstition of, iv. 209
 M. Octavius, admiral of Pompeius, v. 235, 284, 285, 286, 289
 October horse, the, i. 64f., *no*
 Octolophus, ii. 424
 Odessus, iv. 307
 Odomantice, iii. 261

- Odrysians, ii. 493. Subdued by the Romans, iv. 307
- Odysseus, legend of, localized on the west coast of Italy, i. 177; ii. 107-111
- Odyssey, oldest Roman school-book, iii. 136
- Oenia (Oeniadae), ii. 476
- Oenomaus, leader in Gladiatorial war, iv. 357, 360
- Oenotria, i. 24, 171
- Ofella. *See* Lucretius
- Officers, emergence of marked distinction between subaltern and staff-officers, ii. 73 *f.* Part of the officers chosen, after 392, by the people, i. 397; ii. 74
- Oil, supply of, for the baths of the capital, introduced by Caesar, v. 365
- Olbia in Narbonese Gaul, iii. 415
- Olbia on the Black Sea, iv. 16
- Olive, culture of, first brought by the Greeks to Italy, i. 242. Its increase, iii. 67, 80, 305, 307. Prohibited for the Transalpine territory dependent on Massilia, iii. 415 *n.*; iv. 171 *f.*
- Olympia, King Arimnus in primitive intercourse with the Olympian Zeus, i. 180. Temple presented with gifts by Mummius, iii. 271. Emptied by Sulla, iv. 40
- Olympus in Greece, ii. 396
- Olympus in Lycia, stronghold of pirates, iv. 313
- Olympus, mountain in Asia Minor, ii. 471
- Opalia*, i. 208
- Opici, earliest name given to the Italians by the Greeks, i. 15, 27, 40, 168
- Optconsiva*, i. 208
- Opimian wine, iv. 172
- L. Opimius [consul, 633] takes Fregellae, iii. 341. Opposes C. Gracchus, iii. 366, 369, 371, 390, 396 *f.*
- Oppius, i. 63
- Q. Oppius, against Mithradates in Capadocia, iv. 29, 31
- Sp. Oppius Cornicen, decemvir, i. 367
- Ops, i. 208, 213
- Optimates and Populares, iii. 303/; After Sulla's death, iv. 263-280. Under Caesar, v. 315-324
- Oracles, i. 222; iii. 41, 114. *See* Sibylline oracles
- Oranges, iii. 65 *n.*
- Orchomenus, i. 302; ii. 396; iii. 266. Battle of, iv. 44
- Orestis, ii. 426, 436, 499
- Oreus, ii. 319, 426, **430**
- Oricum, ii. 316
- Oriental objects of luxury found in Italian tombs, i. 253 *f.*, 255 *f.*
- Oriental religions in Italy, iv. 208 *f.*
- Oringis, ii. 331
- Oroanda, stronghold of pirates, iv. 314
- Orodes, brother of Mithradates II. the Arsacid, iv. 5
- Oroizes, prince of the Albanians, iv. 413, 416
- Orontes, iii. 213
- Oropus occupied by the Athenians, ii. 495; iv. 199
- Orthography, long fluctuation of Roman, ii. 114, 115 *n.* Development of a more settled orthography by Sp. Carvilius and Ennius, ii. 191 *f.* By Accius and Lucilius, iv. 252. *See* Alphabet
- Osaces, Parthian prince, v. 163, 164
- Osca, iv. 300, 302, 304. Training institute erected there by Sertorius, iv. 285; v. 416. So-called "silver of Osca," ii. 386
- Osiris, iv. 210; v. 446
- Osrhoene, iii. 287; iv. 315
- Ostia, i. 60, 173. Not an urban community, but a burgess-colony, i. 124. Seat of a naval quaestor, ii. 45. Emporium of transmarine traffic, iv. 174 *f.*, 177, 193, 209. Surprised by the pirates, iv. 355. Roadstead sanded up, iv. 169 *f.*
- Oxus, iii. 284, 288
- Oxybii, iii. 415
- Oxyntas, son of Jugurtha, iii. 510
- PACCIAECUS, iv. 282
- Pacorus, son of the Parthian king Orodes, v. 162
- M. Pacuvius, Roman painter and poet, iii. 207 *f.* Tragedian, iv. 222, 223
- Paehgnians, i. 146; ii. 282; iii. 24. Take part in Samnite wars, i. 480-482. Organization in later times, iii. 499. Share in Social war, iii. 501, 504, 512, 522
- Paerisadae, ruling family in Panticapaeum, iv. 15
- Paestum, i. 455; ii. 295. Latin colony, ii. 39, 42. Battle at, i. 466
- Pagani Aventinenses*, i. 138 *n.*; *pagi laniculensis*, i. 138 *n.*
- Pagus*, i. 45
- Painting, ii. 121, 122, 207 *f.*; iv. 257; v. 515 *f.*
- Palaeopolis. *See* Neapolis
- Palaestina, conflict between Syria and Egypt about, ii. 515. Assailed by Antiochus, ii. 445. *See* Jews
- Palatine, i. 62-65, 68 *f.*, 137, 139. Remains of the citadel-wall, i. 303 *n.*
- Pales, i. 207 *f.*

- Pallantia, iii. 320, 229, 294, 301
Palliata. See *Fabula*
 Palma in the Balears, iii. 233; iv. 191
 Palms in Italy, iii. 65. Branches of, in the games, ii. 91
Pacttus, i. 266
 Pamphylia, ii. 471, 472, 512; iii. 275, 280; iv. 30, 47, 311, 314, 323
 Panaetius of Rhodes, iv. 203, 204, 214.
 In the Scipionic circle, iv. 192, 220
 Panares, Cretan general, iv. 351, 352
 Pandataria, governor of, ii. 219 n.
 Pandosia, i. 170, 466; ii. 19
 Panium, Mount, battle of, ii. 445
 Panormus, ii. 143, 178, 186, 205, 211 n., 213. Punic, i. 186. Battle of, ii. 186, 194. Mint restricted to copper, ii. 211 n. Exempt from taxation, ii. 213. Capital of Roman Sicily, ii. 213
 Panticapaeum, iv. 15, 17, 420
 Paphlagonia, ii. 401, 471; hi. 379, 280; iv. 6, 24, 29, 33. Acquired by Mithradates, iv. 19f., 21. Evacuated by him, iv. 22, 49.
 Papirii, clan-village, i. 45. Substituted this form of the name for "Papisii" after the consul of 418, ii. 115
 C. Papirius Carbo, friend of the Gracchi, iii. 335, 338, 340, 341, 342, 372
 C. Papirius Carbo Arvina [praetor, 669], proscribed by Marius the younger and put to death, iv. 84
 C. Papirius Carbo, brother of the democratic consul, a Sullan, besieges Volaterrae, iv. 91
 C. Papirius Carbo [tribune of the people, 665], iii. 517, 524
 Cn. Papirius Carbo [consul, 641], iii. 434./
 Cn. Papirius Carbo [consul, 669, 670, 672], iv. 58, 61, 74, 76, 81, 83, 85, 86, 87, 92, 102 n.
 L. Papirius Cursor [consul, 438], i. 474, 480
 L. Papirius Cursor [consul, 461], i. 490
 Papius Brutulus. See Brutulus
 C. Papius Mutilus, leader in the Social war, iii. 508, 509, 510, 523, 524; iv. 91
Parilia, i. 208
 Parma, Celtic population cf. ii. 221. Burgess-colony, ii. 374; iii. 26, 49, 271 n.; iv. 168
 Paros, ii. 417, 437
Parricida, i. 191
 Parthenius, poet, v. 460, 465
 Parthians, ii. 398. Foundation of the kingdom, iii. 286 f. In the seventh century of Rome, iii. 288 f.; iv. 5, 314 f., 343. First contact with Romans, iv. 23.
 Allied Arith Pompeia against Mithradates and Tigranes, iv. 405. Differences with Pompeius, iv. 433, 435f. 445 f. Expedition of Crassus against, v. 151-160. Further conflicts with, v. 160 f. Allied with the Pompeian party, v. 270. Their mode of warfare, v. 155-158. Slave-recruiting, iii. 316
 Parthini, ii. 218
 Parthyene, ii. 444
 Pasiteles, iv. 257f.
 Pastoral husbandry, iii. 74 f., 307 f.; v. 379f.
 Patara, ii. 462
 Paternal authority, i. 30. Restricted, ii. 65
Pater patriae, iv. 483
 Patrae, iii. 269; iv. 55
Patres conscripti, i. 281, 330
 Patricians, the Roman burgesses, i. 80. Disappearing of the old burgesses, i. 112f. After abolition of the monarchy, a privileged clan-nobility, i. 333f. Acquire the government upon the abolition of the monarchy, i. 336-338. Their privileges as an order set aside, i. 370-384. Their subsequent continuance as an aristocratic class, i. 381-385. Deprived by law of a number of political rights, i. 385. Stability of the patriciate, ii. 14, 15 n. Patriciate conferred by Caesar, v. 337
Patronus, i. 79; iii. 387: See *Clientship*
 Paullus. See *Aemilius*
 Pausistratus, ii. 461
 Pay, paid first from the districts afterwards from the state chest, i. 380. Raised by Caesar, v. 366
 Peaches, iii. 65 n.
 Pear trees, iii. 67
Pecuhum, i. 75, 238
Pecunia, i. 238
Pedarii in the senate, i. 330
 Pedasa, ii. 413
 Pedigrees, family, ii. 104, 107
 Sex. Peducaeus [tribune of the people, 641]. See *Lex Peducaea*
 Pedum, about 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. A Roman burgess-community, i. 462
 Pelagonia, ii. 425, 508
 Pelasgi, iii. 187
 Pelium, ii. 426
 Pella, ii. 508
 Pelops, king of Sparta, ii. 317
 Pelorus, river in the country of the Asiatic Iberians, iv. 4x4

- Penates, i. 81, 209, 213 216; iii. 186.
 Their names kept secret, i. 211, 212.
 Temple of, i. 140. Inventions of
 Timaeus as to the Penates, ii. 110
- Peneius, ii. 426, 427
- Pentri, ii. 294
- Peparethus, ii. 425
- Ptduellio*, i. 191
- Peregrini*. See Foreigners
- Peregrin dediticii*, iii. 24
- Pergamus, town of, ii. 411, 462. Pontic
 residency, iv. 30 f., 32, 47. Perga-
 mene art-monuments, ii. 469
- Pergamus, kingdom of, ii. 411-413, 461 f.,
 469, 474f., 510-512; iii. 261, 274-279,
 288, 324. Roman domains, iv. 157
- Perinthus, ii. 410; iv. 328
- Peristylum*, iii. 207
- C. Perpenna, commander in Social war,
 iii. 511
- M. Perpenna, his conflict with the Thra-
 cians, iii. 279
- M. Perpenna, governor of Sicily in
 Cinna's time, iv. 86, 92, 93, 287. Goes
 to Spain to join the Sertorians, iv. 291,
 294, 296. Assassinate Sertorius, iv.
 302. Takes command of the army, iv.
 303. Is taken prisoner and executed,
 iv. 303
- Perrhaebians, ii. 456, 486, 495
- Persepolis, iii. 289
- Perseus, king of Macedonia, ii. 488, 489,
 490, 492-507. His library, iv. 213
- Persians, relation to Carthage and the
 state of things in the west, i. 415.
 Persia severed from Syria, iii. 288/
- Persius, i. 301
- Perusia, one of the twelve towns of
 Etruria, i. 161. Peace with Rome, i.
 479, 490
- Pesongi, iii. 276 n.
- Pessinus, the high-priest of, iii. 276 n.;
 iv. 438. Worship of Cybele at, iii. 115
- Petelia, ii. 294, 300; iv. 363
- Petra, capital of the Nabataeans, iv. 426
- Cn. Petreius, centurion in the army of
 Catulus, iii. 447
- M. Petreius defeats Catilina at Pistoria,
 iv. 485. Pompeian leader in Spain, v.
 219, 220, 226. Goes to Africa, v. 386.
 His death, v. 301
- Peucini, iv. 14
- Phacus, iii. 260
- Phalanna, town in Thessaly, ii. 501
- Phalaris, bull of, iii. 257
- Phanagoria, iv. 15; iv. 419; v. 264
- Pharisees, iv. 424f.
- Pharnacea, iv. 332
- Pharmaces I., of Pontus, iii. 277, 281
- Pharnaces, son of Mithradates, iv. 31 n.,
 419; v. 264, 282, 283
- Pharos, ii. 217
- Pharsalus, ii. 421. Position of, v. 258 n,
 259. Battle of, v. 261-263
- Phaselis, stronghold of pirates, iv. 313
- Phasis, iv. 13, 411, 414, 415
- Pherae, ii. 429, 457
- Philemon of Soli, Attic comic poet, iii
 741, 143; iv. 221
- Philinus, ii. 156
- Philippi, iv. 34, 44
- Philippus V. of Macedonia: character of,
 ii. 407-409, 487 f. Commencement of
 reign, ii. 220. Alliance with Hannibal,
 ii. 285, 292f., 308, 316, 319. Aetolian
 war, ii. 315. First war with Rome, and
 peace, ii. 316-319. Carthaginian in-
 trigues with, ii. 350, 354. His plan for
 invading Italy, ii. 372. Expedition to
 Asia Minor; war with Rhodes and
 Pergamus, ii. 411-413, 417f. Roman
 intervention, ii. 413-419. Second war
 with Rome; landing of the Romans, ii
 417, 422f. Naval war, ii. 4227f. Cam-
 paigns of Galba, ii. 422-426; and Flami-
 ninus, ii. 428-435. Peace, ii. 435. His
 attitude during and after the war with
 Antiochus, ii. 455 f., 457f., 464, 477 f;
 His fresh preparations against Rome,
 ii. 485-487. Death, ii. 488
- Philippus, the pseudo-, iii. 260 f.
- Philistus, canal of, i. 417
- Philocles, ii. 418, 430
- Philodemus, the Epicurean, v. 459
- Philology, germs of, ii. 114f. Developed
 into grammar, iii. 191f.
- Philopoemen, ii. 421, 452, 479, 482; iii.
 270
- Philosophy at Rome, iii. 192 f.; iv. 254
- Philosophy, Greek, iv. 196-204
- Phocaea, ii. 461, 473; iii. 278
- Phocaeans discover Italy, i. 165. Found
 Massilia, i. 183. Are driven from Cor-
 sica, i. 184. Settle in Lucania, i. 184f.
 Relations of, with Rome, i. 185, 260
- Phocis, ii. 396, 430, 431, 437; iii. 269
- Phoenice, ii. 217
- Phoenicians, home of, ii. 131. National
 character, ii. 131-134. Commerce, ii.
 134 f. Contest command of the sea
 with the Greeks, i. 183 f. In Italy, i.
 163f. See Carthage
- Phoenix, officer of Mithradates, iv. 419
- Phraates, king of the Parthians, iv. 343,
 406, 433-435 J v. 151
- Phrygia, ii. 398, 401, 471, 474; iv. 25.

- Given to Mithradates, iii.281, 358 n.
 Pontic satrapy, iv. 32f. Great Phrygia united to the province of Asia, iv. 21.
 Language of, iv. 16
 Phthiriasis, iv. 151
 Physicians in Rome, unknown till a late period, i. 249. At first only Greeks, iii. 193. Low state of medical knowledge in Rome, iv. 254
 Picentes, Picenum, i. 146, 482; iii. 24, 36, 48, 58 f., 99; v. 207 f. War With Rome, ii. 39. Share in the Social war, iii. 514, 521 f.; iv. 78 f., 81, 85. Coinage, ii. 80
 Picentes, Campanian, ii. 294, 365
 Picentia, iv. 358
 Pictones, v. 15
Pium, ii. 72
Pilumnus populus i. 90
 Pinarii, ii. 107
 Pinna, town of the Vestini, remains faithful in the Social war, iii. 501f.
 Pinnes, ii. 218
 Pinus, said to be son of Numa, and ancestor of the Pinarii, ii. 107
 Pipers, guild of, i. 286
 Piracy, ii. 216-218. In the first half of the seventh century of Rome, iii. 233, 290-292, 381f., 421 f.; iv. 3, 4, 169. Supported by Mithradates against the Romans, iv. 28. In concert with Sertorius, iv. 282, 286, 298, 299. Increase of, iv. 306, 307-309. Organization, iv. 309-312. Conflicts of Servilius with the pirates, iv. 313 f., 351 f. Share in second Mithradatic war, iv. 322f., 351 f. Campaign of Metellus against, iv. 353f. Pompeius sent under the Gabinian law to suppress it, iv. 388-395. Successes of Pompeius, iv. 395-399. Pompeius settles the pirates in towns, iv. 440. Subsequent regulations against piracy, iv. 400-402. Revival after the battle of Pharsalus, v. 269f.
 Piraeus, ii. 422, 427. Siege by Sulla, iv. 38, 39, 41
 Pirustae, iii. 422 n.
 Pisae, ii. 374. Road from, to the mouth of the Po, i. 162, 182. Road to Rome, iv. 167
 Pisaurum, a burgess-colony, ii. 374; iii. 25, 49
 Pisidians, ii. 450; iii. 275f.; iv. 325
 Piso. See Calpurnius
 Pistoria, iv. 484, 486
 Pitane, iv. 48
 Placentia, ii. 267, 268, 269, 270, 272, 273, 347, 369, 370, 372, 373; iv. 87, 167, 168.
 Latin colony, ii. 230; iii. 49. Its *lus*, ii. 52 n.
 Plastic art, its rise in Italy, i. 306 f.
 Etruscan, ii. 120 f. Campanian and Sabellian, i. 121 f. Latin, ii. 122-124; iii. 207f.
 Plato, iv. 197
 Plautius, legate, in Social war, iv. 63
 C. Plautius Decianus [consul, 425], i. 460 n.
 L. Plautius [praetor, 608 ?], iii. 222
 L. Plautius Hypsaesus [praetor], iii. 310
 M. Plautius Silvanus [tribune of the people, 665], iii. 516, 517 n., 524
 M. Plautius Lyco, Roman painter, iii. 208
 Novius Plautius, ii. 82 n., 124 n
 Plautus. See Maccius
 Plebeians, Plebes, meaning of word, i. 109. Arose out of the body of clients, i. 109f. Rapid growth in number and importance, i. 111, 113f., 132. Weakening of the tie of clientship, and formation of a plebs, dependent only on the king, as a second Roman community, i. 114. Made eligible for military commands, i. 121. Relation of clientship to the kings not transferred to the consuls, i. 319f. Position towards the old burgesses after expulsion of the kings, i. 327 f. Admitted to the curiae thereafter, i. 328 f., 333. Also to the senate, i. 329 f. Position in senate, i. 330 f. 339. Acquire burgess-rights, i. 333. Importance of rights so acquired, i. 339f. Archives and treasury, i. 354 n. Compare *Patricii* and *Tribuni plebis*
 Plebiscitum, originally without legal force, i. 353. Equal to law by *Lex Publilia*, if previously assented to by the senate, i. 360, 361. Unconditionally equal by *Lex Hortensia*, i. 385
 C. Plinius, ii. 352
 Pleraei or Paralii, iii. 427
 Pleuron in Aetolia, ii. 478
 Pleuratus of Scodra, ii. 422, 437
 A. Plotius fights the Umbrians in Social war, iii. 514
 L. Plotius Gallus, teacher of Latin rhetoric, iv. 216
 Poediculi, i. 465; ii. 89
 Poena, i. 32, 193
 Poeni. See Phoenicians
 Poeta, iii. 197 n.
 Poetry, Latin, beginnings of, i. 284f. Its slight success, i. 296-298. Oldest poems, ii. 100
 Police, urban, ii. 84
 Pollentia in the Baleares, iii. 233, iv. 190

- Pollii, clan-village, i. 45
- Pollux, i. 258
- Polybius in the Scipionic circle, iv. 192, 220. His views, iv. 204f., 212. Character, iv. 242-247
- Polyxenidas, ii. 460, 461, 462
- Pomerium, its advance, i. 128. Its legal significance, iv. 122. Extended by Sulla, iv. 122 n.
- Pomona, Flamen of, i. 216
- Q. Pompeidius Silo, leader in Social war, iii. 500, 501, 508, 509, 512, 524, 526
- Pompeii, i. 469. *Medix tuticus* there, i. 315. During Social war, iii. 510, 522, 529. A Sullan colony, iv. 108, 109, 265
- Pompeiiopolis, iv. 441
- Cn. Pompeius, his character, iv. 271-275, 384f., 444-448; v. 166f. Vainglory of, 434 n., 441 f. Surname of "Magnus," iv. 94. In Sulla's army, iv. 79 f., 85. Proprætor in Sicily and Africa, iv. 95 f. He opposes Sulla, iv. 136 f. Yet honoured by him, iv. 94, 137, 150. His attitude after Sulla's death, iv. 287. Conflict with Brutus and Lepidus, iv. 291. Compels the senate to send him to Spain, iv. 292. Lays out a road over the Alps, iv. 293. Contest in Spain, iv. 293-304. Returns from Spain, iv. 375. Coalesces with the democrats and with Crassus, iv. 377, 378. In Piratic war, fo 395 f. In Mithradatic war, iv. 404-412. Makes peace with Tigranes, iv. 411. Defeats the Caucasian tribes, iv. 412-416. Makes Syria a Roman province, iv. 428 f. Regulates Asiatic affairs, iv. 436-441. His triumph, iv. 444. Attitude to the parties after his Asiatic expedition, iv. 490-502. Coalesces with Caesar and Crassus, iv. 504 f.; v. 107-110. Marries Julia, daughter of Caesar, iv. 514. Relations with Caesar, v. 114 f. Quarrels with Clodius, v. 1x2 f. Administration of corn supplies, v. 120 f. At Luca, v. 124-126. Sole consul, v. 146. His second marriage, v. x66. Dictatorship, v. 167. Difference with Caesar, v. 167 f., 173-xoo. Power and army of, v. 201-205. Embarks for Greece, v. 2ix. Plan of his campaign, v. 234 f. Organizes his army in Macedonia, v. 237-244. Conflicts around Dyrrhachium, v. 250-254. Battle of Pharsalus, v. 258-262. Flight to Egypt, v. 262f., 271. His death, v. 272f. His wealth, v. 365
- Cn. Pompeius, son of Pompeius the Great, v. 266, 274
- I Cn. Pompeius Strabo [consul, 665], iii. 511, 513, 516, 520, 521, 522, 526, 535, 546; iv. 36, 61, 62, 64
- M. Pompeius, lieutenant in third Mithradatic war, iv. 332
- Q. Pompeius [consul, 613], against Numantia, iii. 227
- Q. Pompeius, son of the consul of the same name, of 613 [tribune of the people, 620], opponent of Ti. Gracchus, iii. 323f.
- Q. Pompeius Rufus [consul, 666], iii. 535, 546; iv. 102 n.
- Q. Pompeius, son of Q. Pompeius Rufus, iii- 535
- Sex. Pompeius [prætor, 636], iii. 428
- Sex. Pompeius, son of Cn. Pompeius Magnus, v. 271, 274
- Pompo, said to be son of Numa and ancestor of the rompilli, ii. 107
- Pomponii, ii. 107
- L. Pomponius, Atellan poet, iv. 231 n.; 233
- M. Pomponius, friend of the Gracchi, iii. 368
- T. Pomponius Atticus, v. 382
- Pomptine marshes, drying of, iv. 169.
- Canal planned by Caesar, v. 376
- Pons publicus*, i. 65, 137; ii. 105
- Pontia, a Latin colony, i. 476; ii. 42
- Pontifex Maximus instituted, i. 324.
- Chosen by the burgesses, iii. 57. The choice re-committed to the pontifical colleges by Sulla, iv. 115, 206 f.
- Pontifces, a Latin institution, i. 218 n.
- A college of experts for making roads and the Tiber-bridge; entrusted also with all public measurements and calculations, especially the calendar, and the relative superintendence of administration of justice and worship; originally five in number, i. 2x8 n., 219. Their number increased to eight; plebeians eligible, i. 385. Increased to fifteen, iv. 126. Keep the roll of magistrates and public records, ii. 100-102. Their edicts or so-called *Leges regiae*, ii. 112. Chosen by the burgesses, iii. 463. Co-optation reintroduced by Sulla, iv. 45, 206 f. In the Municipia, iv. 133
- Gavius Pontius, i. 470, 472, 491
- Pontius of Telesia, iv. 86, 88, 90. His son kills himself in Praeneste, iv. 90
- Pontus, earlier history of, ii. 40X; iii. 279; iv. 6. Its condition under Mithradates, iv. 12. Conquered by the Romans, iv. 332 f., 347 f. A province, iv. 436.

- Anchovies from Pontus come to Rome, iii. 123
- C. Popillius, made to pass under the yoke by the Helvetii, iii. 435
- C. Popillius Laenas [consul, 582, 586J, ii. 516
- M. Popillius Laenas [consul, 581, 582, 596], a poet, iii. 178 *n.*; iv. 229 *n.*
- M. Popillius Laenas [consul, 615], iii. 227, 228
- P. Popillius Laenas [consul, 622], iii. 326, 335, 356, 372; iv. 166 *f.*
- Poplicola*, ii. 105
- Poplifugia*, i. 209
- Populares. *See* Optimates.
- Population of the oldest Roman territory, i. 61. At the time of Servius Tullius' reforms, i. 122 *f.* Decrease of, caused by the war with Pyrrhus, ii. 31, 55 *n.* And by second Punic war, ii. 191. Falling off in sixth century, iii. 101*f.* In the seventh century, iii. 314, 393-395. Inconsequence of the Civil wars, iv. 177; v. 392 *f.* Increase after the Gracchan distribution of land, iii. 335, 345. Numbers of burgesses and allies, iii. 493 *f.* 495 *n.* In Caesar's time, v. 368 *f.* *Compare* Census
- Populonia, i. 154, 181, 257. Coins of, i. 182, 257, 306; ii. 78. The Greeks dislodged thence, i. 181. Battle near, ii. 71. In first Civil war, iv. 91
- Populus*, originally the burgess-army, i. 90
- Populus Romanus quirites*, or *quiritium*, i. 90 *n.*
- C. Porcius Cato Censorius [consul, 640], iii. 429
- L. Porcius Cato [consul, 665], iii. 514, 520, 530; iv. 103 *n.*
- M. Porcius Cato [consul, 559; censor, 570], character, iii. 45-47. Political tendencies, iii. 48-55. A *nevus homo*, iii. 18. In Spanish war, ii. 390. Share in war with Antiochus, ii. 457 *f.* As consular military tribune, iii. 42, 43. As governor, iii. 30. His strict administration of justice, iii. 30, 31. Protects the Spaniards, iii. 33. Censorship, iii. 11, 19, 206. Taxes luxury-slaves and other articles of luxury, iii. 122. Builds the first Roman Basilica, iii. 207. Proposes an increase of the horses of the equites, iii. 9 *n.*, 49. Reprimands the equites, iii. 10. Breach with Scipio, iii. 42. Opposes distribution of corn in Rome, iii. 76. Impeaches Galba, iii. 220. Commissioner to Carthage, iii. 238. His death, iii. 251. His estimate of Hamilcar, ii. 237*f.*; of Scipio Aemilianus, iii. 251. Opinions respecting farmers and the mercantile classes, iii. 97. On woman, iii. 118. On Socrates, iii. 114, 192. On the Istrian war, iii. 43. As to the Rhodians, iii. 515. Respecting the Celts, i. 420. On the acquisition of wealth, iii. 89. On wills, iii. 90. On money-lending, iii. 96. Other sayings of, ii. 200; iii. 21, 40, 55, 93, 124, 298. His private life, iii. 117-120, 152. Reads Thucydides and other Greek historians, iii. 189. A poet, iii. 179. The first Latin prose historian, iii. 185, 186, 187; iv. 250. Collects his speeches and letters, iii. 250, 315. Manuals by, iii. 37 *n.*, 192 *f.*, 194, 195; iv. 211. Cato and Hellenism, iii. 213, 218. Cato and new worships, iii. 116. Judgment on the Greek philosophers, i. 192 *f.*, 199. On Greek rhetoric, iii. 199, 218. Upon medicine, iii. 193. On Greek literature, iii. 196. On the Roman poets, ii. 98
- M. Porcius Cato Licinianus [t about 600], author of juristic works, iv. 255
- M. Porcius Cato Uticensis, his character, iv. 454 *f.* Opponent of Pompeius, iv. 493, 497, 498, 511, 516*f.* Leader of the aristocracy, v. 134 *f.* Attitude in reference to Catilina's conspiracy, iv. 482. Re-establishment of the Sempronian corn-largesses on his proposal, iv. 490; v. 361. Mission to Cyprus, iv. 450, 517*f.* Return to Rome, v. 129 *n.*, 134. Fights against the Caesarians, v. 230, 240 *f.* After the battle of Pharsalus, v. 266, 267. In Africa, v. 287, 288, 289, 396. Death, v. 299, 300
- Porsena, king of Clusium, i. 414, 424
- Port dues, i. 60, 92. Lowered, i. 341. Abolished by Metellus Nepos, iv. 502. Reestablished by Caesar, iv. 503
- Portunatia*, i. 208
- Posidonia, i. 170, 171, 173, 456
- Possession only protected by law at a later period, ii. 68
- Possessiones*. *See* Domains
- A. Postumius [dictator or consul, 255 (?), 258 (?)], victor at Lake Regillus, i. 438
- A. Postumius Albinus [consul, 603], iii. 204; iv. 193, 248
- A. Postumius Albinus [consul, 655] defeated by Jugurtha, iii. 395, 399, 412. Put to death at Pompeii by his soldiers, who believed themselves betrayed, iii. 529; iv. 102 *n.*

- Sp. Postumius Albinus [consul, 433], i. 1
- Sp. Postumius Albinus [consul, 644], iii. 395. 396 f.
- Postumius, Tyrrhene corsair, ii. 41
- Potatoes, iii. 64 n.
- Potentia, burgess-colony, ii. 373 f; iii. 26, 49
- Potters, guild of, i. 249, 253, 307
- Pottery, early Etruscan, i. 306. Articles of, in Italian tombs, i. 253, 256 f
- Apulian, iii. 109. Clay vase from the Quirinal, i. 277 n., 287 n. From the Esquiline, ii. 123. In Cales, ii. 123. Imported from Greece to Italy, ii. 80 f.
- Præcia, iv. 269
- Praefecti* of the Roman isles, ii. 219 n.
- Praefecti annonae*, i. 377
- Praefecti cohortum*, i. 440 n.
- Praefecti iuri dicundo* in subject communities, ii. 49, 67, 210; iv. 131
- Praefecti sociorum*, i. 440 n.
- Praefecti urbae*, i. 83, 108, 321. Under Caesar, v. 342
- Praeficae*, i. 299
- Praeneste, i. 49, 126. Legends as to, its foundation, i. no n., in. Rebels against Rome, i. 447. About 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 «., 450. Must cede part of territory, but remains in federal relation to Rome, i. 462. Execution of senators in Pyrrhic war, ii. 18. Not a Roman burgess-community, ii. 49, 50; iii. 25, 36. Art at, i. 257; ii. 124, 127. Bracelet of, i. 277 n., 279 n. Sepulchral chambers, . 253 n, 302; ii 81. Obtains burgess-rights though the *Lex Julia*, iii. 519. Besieged by Sulla, iv. 84, 90. Territory confiscated, iv. 107, 126. Sullan colony, iv. 108. Lot-oracle of, iii. 114. Forbidden to be consulted, iii. 117. Strained relations with Rome; mentioned in Roman comedy, iii. 149
- Praes*, i. 195
- Praesul*, i. 318 n.
- Praetexta*, iii. 5, 16, 45. *Camp*. *Fabula*
- Praetores, older name of the consuls, i. 318. Afterwards as auxiliaries to the consuls, with definite functions for jurisdiction, i. 383; ii. 49, 66. *Praetor peregrinus*, iii. 12. For administration of the transmarine districts, Sicily, Sardinia, and Corsica, ii. 209 f; iii. 12. Two for Spain, ii. 392; iii. 12. Plebeians eligible for the office, i. 383. Proposal to extend their tenure of office to two years, ii. 392. The increase in their number insufficient, iii. 12. Functions regulated by Sulla, iv. 118 f., 126; and by Caesar, v. 343 f.
- Praetors of the Latin towns, i. 440 «., 442 n., 452
- Praetors of the Italians in the Social war**, iii. 505
- Praetoriani*, their origin, iii. 460
- Praetorium*, iii. 460
- Praetuttii*, i. 146
- Prandium*, iii. 123
- Precarium*, i. 245. Applied to the state domains, i. 345
- Priapus, iv. 328
- Priests nominated by the king**, i. 81. But not by the consuls, i. 324. Extension of their right to cancel state acts on the ground of religious informalities, i. 377; ii. 71; iv. 206. Colleges of, partly for officiating in acts of worship, i. 215 f.; partly as skilled advisers of the magistrates, i. 217, 218 f. Chosen by the community, iii. 56 f. Again filled up by co-optation after Sulla, iv. 115, 206, 381. Special: see *Flamines*.
- Primitive races in Italy, no trace of**, i. 9
- Princeps senatus*, i. 331
- Principes*, iii. 458
- Prisci Latini* y. 42
- Private life of the Romans**, iii. 117-127
- Private process**. King interferes only on appeal of injured party, i. 192. Settled regularly by compromise, which the magistrate interfered supplementarily to enforce, i. 192 f., as in the case of theft and *iniuria*, i. 193. In the form of wager, i. 196, 197. Procedure in execution, i. 197
- Privernum**, i. 453, 459 n., 463
- Pro consule, pro praetore, pro quaesior*
- See *Magistrate*
- Procurator** iii. 91
- Prodigality, declaration of**, i. 194
- Proditio*, i. 191
- Proletarii*, i. 115, 247. Admitted by Maruts to enlistment, iii. 459
- Promercale* i. 60
- Property is that which the state assigns to the individual burgess, i. 193 f. Idea developed primarily as to moveables, i. 193, 194. Free transferability, i. 194. Of restrictions on property, servitudes alone known to the earlier law, i. 194
- Propontis, ii. 405 f.
- Proscœnium* or *pulpitum*, iii. 138
- Proscriptions, Sullan, the first, iii. 540 f., 543; the second, iv. 102 f. The democrats attempt the rehabilitation of

- the proscribed and of their children, iv 460/
- Proserpina, i. 231
- Provincial*, at first the consular departments of duty, i. 401; ii. 215 n.; iii. 271 n., 382 n.; iv. 122 n., 289 n.; v. 426f. Originally settled by free agreement between the consuls themselves, later by the senate, more rarely by the community, i. 400f. Distribution of the provinces by the senate, iv. 119 f. Number of, in Sulla's time, iv. 120. Number of, in Caesar's time, v. 406. Provincial constitution, originally the arrangement established for the transmarine possessions, ii. 209 f.; iii. 30 f. Provincial diets, ii. 210 n. Provincial territory not regarded as domain, ii. 211. No *commercium* and *conubium* between provincial communities, ii. 210. Autonomous communities in, ii. 211. General census, ii. 211. Tenthhs and customs, ii. 211f. Spanish, government of the, ii. 392-394. Position of the governors, iii. 30-35. Jurisdiction, iv. 131. Presents and requisitions, iii. 31f. Controlled by the courts of law, iii. 32 /, By the senate, iii. 34. Provincial quaestors, iii. 35. Relation of the provinces to Rome, iii. 361. State in time of the Gracchi, iii. 381 f. Management of the soil, iv. 172. Impoverishment and depopulation, iv. 176f. Provincial coinage, iv. 181 f.; mostly copper small money, iv. 181
- Provocatio. See Appeal
- Prusias, of Bithynia, iii. 318, 410, 455, 464, 473, 482 /, 486
- Prusias II., of Bithynia, the "Hunter," ii. 499, 519; iii. 276, 277
- Prusias on Olympus, iv. 329
- Prusias on the sea, iv. 329
- Pteleum, ii. 454, 458
- Ptolemaeus Apion, iv. 4
- Ptolemaeus XI., Auletes, iv. 319, 322, 453
- Ptolemaeus Epiphanes, ii. 410. War with Macedonia, ii. 410, 414-420. With Syria and Macedonia, ii. 444f. Peace, ii. 444, 445, 448. Betrothal with the Syrian Cleopatra, ii. 445f. 448 n. Marriage, ii. 448 n., 450. Attitude during the war with Antiochus, ii. 455
- Ptolemaeus Euergetes, ii. 215, 399
- Ptolemaeus Euergetes I L., the Fat, ii. 516; iii. 234, 282; iv. 4
- Ptolemaeus, the Cyprian, iv. 319, 322
- Ptolemaeus, son of Lagus, ii. 6, 399
- Ptolemaeus Mennaues, ruler of Chalcis on the Libanus, iv. 438
- Ptolemaeus VI., Philometor, ii. 450 n. War with Syria, and Roman intervention, ii. 515, 516. Dispute with Ptolemaeus Euergetes, the Fat, ii. 516; iii. 282. Roman intervention, iii. 234. Death, iii. 284
- Ptolemaeus Philopator, ii. 315, 318, 444
- Ptolemaeus Soter I L., Lathyrus, iv. 4, 318
- Ptolemaeus of Commagene, iii. 287
- Ptolemais, iv. 4, 316, 317
- Publicani*, origin of, i. 343. Favoured by C. Gracchus, iii. 351 f.
- Pudicitia patricia*, *plebeia*, i. 386
- Pulpitum*. See *Proscænium*
- Punians. See Phoenicians
- Punicum, near Caere, i. 163
- Punicus, chieftain of the Lusitani, iii. 215
- Punic war, first, ii. 170-202. Second, causes of, ii. 231-235. Carthaginian preparations, ii. 232-245. Rupture between Rome and Carthage, ii. 245 f. Carthaginian forces and plans, ii. 247-251. Hannibal's march from Spain to Italy, ii. 257-264. Italian war, ii. 266-350. Conflict on the Ticino, ii. 268f. Battle on the Trebia, ii. 270-273. At the Trasimene lake, ii. 277f. Marches and conflicts of Fabius, ii. 281-286. Battle of Cannae, ii. 287-291. War in Sicily, ii. 310-314. War in Macedonia, ii. 315-320. War in Spain, ii. 320-331. War in Italy, ii. 333-351. Tarentum taken by Hannibal, ii. 335f. His march on Rome, ii. 338 f. Capua taken by the Romans, ii. 339. Tarentum taken by the Romans, ii. 342. Hasdrubal's approach, ii. 346. Battle of Sena, ii. 348. Hannibal retires, ii. 349. African expedition of Scipio, ii. 351-361. Battle of Zama, ii. 359f. Peace, ii. 360f., 362. Results of the war, ii. 363-368
- Punic war, third, iii. 241-245
- Pupinii, clan-village, i. 45
- M. Pupius Piso [consul, 693] unsuccessful in Thrace, v. 104 f.
- Purple brought from Tyre to Italy, iii. 85
- Puteal, ii. 120 n.
- Puteoli, i. 175; ii. 337. A burgess-colony, ii. 365. Its custom-house, iii. 19. Emporium of transmarine commerce, iv. 174f. 177, 193, 209
- Pydna, battle of, ii. 506; iii. 262. Its historical significance, ii. 519f.
- Pylaemenes, the pseudo-, iv. 19, 21, 22
- Pylaemenids, royal family of Paphlagonia, die out, iv. 19f.

- Pyrrhonian, piratic captain, iv. 354
 Pyrgi, i. 178, 179. Its walls, i. 304.
 Stormed by Dionysius, i. 418. Burgess-colony, ii. 42
- Pyrrhus, king of Epirus, historical position of, ii. 3-6. Character and early history of, ii. 6-9. Seizes Corcyra, i. 491. Tarentum submits to, ii. 15. His resources for war, ii. 16 f. Difficulties with Tarentum, ii. 17. War with Rome, ii. 18f. Battle near Heraclea, ii. 19. Attempts at peace, ii. 21 f. March to Campania and Latium, ii. 23. Second Italian campaign, ii. 24-28. Battle near Ausculum, ii. 25f. Sicilian expedition, ii. 28-34. Renewal of the war in Italy, ii. 35. Battle near Beneventum, ii. 36. Returns to Greece, ii. 36. Death, ii. 37
- Pythagoras, ii. 87, 91, 100, 107. Is reckoned as friend of Numa, iii. 190. Pythagorean league of friends, i. 172. Influence of his doctrines on the Roman calendar, i. 271
- Pythagoreanism, New, v. 447./.
- Pytheas the Boeotian, iii. 270
- Pytheas, geographer, iii. 430
- Pythium, pass of, ii. 506
- Pyxus, i. 170
- Quadrans*, iv. 180
- Quaestio*, ii. 68
- Quaestiones perpetuae repetundarum*, iii. 300. Organized in general by Gracchus, iii. 348, 353. Reorganized by Sulla, iv. 128-130. Under Caesar, v. 347f. Compare Jury-courts
- Quaestors*, oldest (*paricidii*), i. 191. After abolition of monarchy, became standing annual office, i. 321. Have charge [as *urbani*] of state treasure and archives, i. 322. Two new ones, to manage the military chest, chosen from the nobility, but nominated by the tribes under the presidency of the consuls, i. 368. After 333, all the four nominated by the comitia tributa, i. 375. In 333, the plebeians eligible for all the quaestorships, i. 375. Increased to eight, iv. 112 n. By Sulla to twenty, iv. 112, 123. Their functions, iv. 123 n. Raised by Caesar to forty, v. 339. *Quaestores classici*, four; their appointment and functions, ii. 45, 58, 207; iv. 112 n., 123 n. Provincial, iii. 34; iv. 123 n.
- Quaestors* in the Municipia, iv. 133
- Quaestus*, iii. 94
- Querquetulani, about 370, member of the Latin league, i. 448 n.
- Quinctii celebrate the Lupercalia, 67 n., 215f.
- Quinctilii from Alba, i. 128
- Quinctius [praetor, 611], iii. 223
- L. Quinctius Cincinnatus [dictator, 315], i. 376
- L. Quinctius Flaminius [consul, 562], iii. 19
- L. Quinctius [pleb. tribune, 680], iv. 371, 393
- T. Quinctius, leader of the military revolt of 412 (?), i. 460 n.
- T. Quinctius Capitolinus [consul, 315], i. 376
- T. Quinctius Flaminius [consul, 556: censor, 565], character, ii. 428. Commands against Philip, ii. 428-435. Regulates Macedonia and Greece, ii. 436-443; iii. 271. Negotiates with Antiochus, ii. 449 f., 451, 453f. Visits Greece, ii. 453 f. 459 f., 478, 480, 481. His share in Hannibal's death, ii. 482. Conduct towards Philip, ii. 488. Nepotism, iii. 17, 19. Early rise, iii. 17. Hellenism, iii. 130 f. Brings Greek art treasures to Rome, iii. 208 f.
- T. Quinctius Pennus [dictator, 393], i. 431
- T. Quinctius Pennus Capitolinus Crispinus [consul, 546], ii. 343
- Quinquatrus*, i. 207
- Quindecevirum satris faciundis*. See *Duoviri*
- Quinquennialitas* in Italian communities, ii. 58f. 58 n.; iv. 133
- Quirinal city, i. 66-71. Vase, i. 277, 287 n.
- Quirinalia*, i. 207
- Quirinus, i. 207
- Quirites*, i. 68 n., 69 n. Meaning of the word, i. 90 n., 93
- C. RABIRIUS, iv. 458f.
- Racing, i. 294
- Raeti, iii. 424. Etruscan, i. 154, 434
- Ragae, iii. 289
- Raia, mother of Sertorius, iv. 281
- Ramnes, i. 53, 55, 56
- Raphia, ii. 444
- Ras-ennae, i. 150 n.
- Raudine Plain, battle of the, iii. 448 f. Site of, iii. 448 n.
- Ravenna, i. 156; ii. 220; iii. 517: v. 207
- Readministration of the same office restricted, i. 402
- Reate, Sabine town, receives *civitas situ suffragio*, i. 492. See *Sabines*
- Reatini penetrate into Latium, i. 145
- Recifatorum* mixed Romano-Latin court for commercial cases, i. 200

- Recruiting in Campania, i. 457
 Recruiting system of Marius, iii. 457, 458
Rediculus Tutantis, ii. 339
Regt'a, i. 140, 141 n.
Regi/ug/u/tt, i. 209
 Regillus, lake, battle at, i. 438 ; ii. 50, 70
 Regulus. See Atilius
Rei i. 190
 Religion of the Etruscans gloomy and tiresome mysticism, i. 232-235. Pre dominance of malignant and cruel gods, i. 233. Interpretation of signs and portents, i. 233f. Rudiments of speculation, i. 234f.
 Religion of the Italians, its fundamental principles, i. 32-35
 Religion, Roman, abstraction and personification, i. 206, 211-214. At first unaffected by the influence of Greek ideas, i. 212, 214. Systematic classification and ranking of the gods essential, i. 212/T Practical tendency of Roman worship, i. 214, 225. Its character of festal joy, i. 221 ; modified by the frugality and sobriety of the people, i. 221f. Tendency to insipid ceremonial, i. 222 f. Opposed to all artistic effort and speculative apprehension of the religious idea, i. 224f. But intelligible to all, and preserving the simplicity of faith, i. 227. From the practical tendency of worship the priests develop the moral law, i. 225 /., 227. Foreign worships, i. 228-231; ii. 70f. Oriental religions in Italy, iv. 408 f.; v. 445 f. Faith becomes torpid owing to Hellenism, iii. 109, 111 f.; iv. 195. Public worship becomes more costly, ii. 71 ; iii. 109 f. Superstitions, iii. 114 f. Later state-religion, iv. 204-206. Under Caesar, v. 3467f., 430f., 443 445
 Religion, Sabellian and Umbrian, essentially agreeing with the Latin, i. 231 f.
 Religious chants, i. 286f.
Remi, v. 50, 54, 85
 Remus, ii. 105
 Rents in Rome, iv. 184 n.; v. 385f.
 Representative institutions unknown to antiquity, iii. 330, 332, 506; iv. 135; v. 326/
Responsa, literature of juristic opinions, iv. 255
 Retogenes, Numantine, iii. 231
 Reuxinales. See Roxolani
Rex, i. 81
Rex sacrorum, i. 316, 324. Always patrician, i. 385
 Rhegium, i. 6, 266, 456; ii. 294, 333, 350, 365; iv. 362. Occupied by Romans, ii. 12. Mutiny of garrison, ii. 18. Its attitude towards Pyrrhus, ii. 18, 21. Captured by the Romans, ii. 38. Emptied from land service, ii. 43. Remained faithful in Social war, iii. 502. Retained, even after admission to Roman citizenship, its communal constitution, iii. 24, 519. Remained unaffected by the general Latinizing, iii. 519 ; iv. 191f.
 Rhetoric in Rome, iii. 192f.; iv. 216f., 253f.; v. 451f.
 Rhine, the, German frontier of Rome, v. 49
 Rhoda in Spain founded, i. 186. Masilian maritime station, iii. 415
 Rhodes, ii. 319; iii. 234, 280, 292; iv. 16, 103. Its treaty with Rome, ii. 3, 46. Its position after the second Punic war, ii. 406f. War with Philip, ii. 411, 412, 414, 416, 418, 420, 422, 438. Joins in the war with Antiochus, ii. 446 f., 450, 455, 474. Its attitude during the war with Perseus, ii. 494, 499. Humiliated, ii. 513-515 ; iii. 274. Its wars against the pirates, iii. 292. Resists Mithradates, iv. 33, 40, 47. Rewarded by Sulla, iv. 54. Exempt from taxation, iv. 157. Seat of philosophic training, iv. 199, 325. Rhodian school of rhetoricians, v. 455
 Rhone, passage of, by Hannibal, ii. 255f.
 Rhyndacus, battle on the river, iv. 328
 Rice, iii. 64 n.
 Road from Arretium to Bononia, ii. 374. From Italy, through Gaul, to Spain, ii. 375. From Rome to Luna, ii. 375. From Luca to Arretium, ii. 375. *Compare* Via
 Roads, construction of, ii. 85, 120. Paving of streets under Caesar, v. 374
 Robber bands. See Brigands
Robigalia, i. 208
Robigus, i. 208
Rogatio, i. 94
Roma quadrata, i. 62
 Romances, v. 483
 Rome, legends as to its foundation, i. 107-111. Attempts to fix the year of its foundation, iii. 190. Site of, i. 53, 57f. Originally centre of an agricultural community, i. 261. At the same time emporium of Latium, i. 56-60. Gradual rise of the city, i. 60 f. The Seven ring-walls *er septintontium*, i. 63 f. Amalgamation of the Palatine and Quirinal regions, i. 106-109. The

- united city walled in by Servius, i. 71, 136f. The seven hills, i. 139 n. Taken and burnt by the Gauls, i. 429 f. Threatened by Pyrrhus, ii. 23. Threatened by Hannibal, ii. 338 f. Occupied by Sulla, iii. 539. Regained by the Marians, iv. 65 f. Occupied by Sulla, iv. 84; and maintained in the battle at the Colline gate, iv. 89
 Romee, ii. 110 n.
 Romilii, clan-village, i. 45, 6a
 Romulus, the acquisition of the *septem Pag'i* referred to him, i. 59
 Romus and Romylus, ii. now.
 Rorarii, ii. 74
 Q. Roscius, the actor, iv. 140, 236; v. 472
 Sex. Roscius, v. 382
 Rostra, Roman orators' platform, i. 140.
 So called as decorated with the beaks of the Antiate galleys, i. 4697.
 Round temple, ii. 120 n.
 Roxolani (Reuxinales), iv. 14, 17, 18 n.
 Rubi, iii. 522
 Rubicon. See Italy
 Rufinus. See Cornelius
 Rufus. See Caecilius, Mmucius, Pompeius, Rutilius
 P. Rupilius [consul, 621], iii. 310, 311
 Rusicade, harbour of Ciria, iii. 391
 Ruspina, battle at, v. 294 f.
 P. Rutilius Nudus, lieutenant in the Mithradatic war, iv. 326
 P. Rutilius Lupus [consul, 664], iii. 503, 508, 511, 512; iv. 102 n.
 P. Rutilius Rufus [consul, 649], iii. 398, 400, 401, 459, 481, 482, 483; iv. 112. Memoirs, iv. 350
 Rutuli, abodes, i. 444. Conflicts with Rome, i. 135. Subdued, i. 445. Dispute with Aricia, i. 447
 Rye, iii. 64 n.
 SABELLIANS, iii. 100. Have little intercourse with foreign nations, i. 252, 283. Position during the Samnite wars, i. 468. Art, i. 300; ii. 121f.
 Sabine and Latin goddess, i. 69 n.
 Sabines, ii. 224. Influence upon Rome, i. 54 f. Penetrate into Latium, i. 143, 145. Fight with Rome, i. 234. Subsequently in but slight intercourse with Rome, i. 444. Subdued by Rome, and become ewes *sine suffragio*, i. 492. Acquire full burgess-rights, ii. 48, 89. Writing, i. 281
 Saburra, general of King Juba, v. 332
 Sacer, meaning of, i. 226
 Sacramentum. See Actions at law
 Sacrificial animals, how procured, i. 92
 Sacriportus in Latium, battle at, iv. 83
 Sad atas, king of the Odrysians, iv. 307
 Sadducees, iv. 244 f.
 Saccular games, iii. 125
Saepta Julia, v. 375
 Saeturnus, i. 208, 213, 290 n.
 M. Saevius Nicanor Postumus, teacher of Roman literature, iv. 216
 Sagaei, ii. 493
 Sagras, battle on the river, ii. 70
 Saguntum, iii. 226; iv. 294, 296. Founded, i. 185. Allied with Rome, ii. 241. At war with Hannibal, and is stormed, ii. 246, 247. Regained by Rome, ii. 320, 321, 384, 393. Lusitani settled at Saguntum, iii. 232
 Salapia, ii. 341; iii. 521
 Salassi, ii. 253, 258; iii. 416
 Saldæ, iii. 410
 Salernum, a burgess-colony, ii. 39, 365. Share in the Social war, iii. 514
 Sahi, Collini and Palatini, i. 68, 106 f., 108, 217, 286, 287f. Always patrician, i. 384f.
 Sallentini, ii. 89. Join Tarentum against the Lucanians, i. 483. War with Rome, 11.39
 C. Sallustius Crispus, iv. 489 n.; v. 145. His erroneous chronology of the Jugurthine war, iii. 398 n. Character of this book, iii. 410 n. Fragment of the Histories, its date determined, iv. 297 n.
 Salona, iii. 427; iv. 168, 306
 Salt known to the primeval Indo-Germans, i. 21. State monopoly of, i. 342; iii. 20; iv. 156
Salt-as, iii. 74
 Salus, temple on the Capitol, ii. 122
 Salvians. See Salyes
 Salvius, king of the slaves in the second Sicilian slave-war (Tryphon), iii. 384
 Salyes, iii. 417; v. 7
 Same, ii. 476
 Samnites, ii. 80, 280, 365; iii. 24. A branch of the Umbrians, i. 14. Language of, i. 14 f. Writing, i. 278, 282. Settle in the mountains of Central Italy, i. 146. Legend of their wanderings, i. 146. Seclusion, i. 147. Absence of sepulchral decorations, ii. 81. Federal constitution without centralization, i. 148. Without effort after conquest, i. 148 f. First treaty with Rome, i. 453. Unaffected by Greek influences, i. 458. Contrast with the Hellenizing Sabelian stocks, i. 457f. Samnite wars, i. 465-481, 486-493. Share in the war with

- Pyrrhus, ii. 21, 35, 30. Submit to Rome, ii. 38f. Their league dissolved, ii. 53. Remain still associated, though politically insignificant, iii. 499. Alliance with Hannibal, ii. 395, 300 f. Their country desolate after the second Punic war, iii. 34, 100. Acquainted with Greek literature, iii. 196. Share in Social war, iii. 501, 522, 523, 524. Coins from that period, iii. 524 n. Their demands after it, iv. 63, 64. Fight with Sulla, iv. 63f., 82, 88 f. Their punishment, iv. 91, 108 f.
- Samos, ii. 406, 411, 446, 461, 462, 463; iii. 279; iv. 47. Pillaged by the pirates, iv. 308
- Samosata, iv. 341, 437
- Samothrace, ii. 495, 507. Pillaged by the pirates, iv. 308
- Sampsiceramus, emir in Hemesa, iv. 438
- Sancus. See Semo
- Sangarius, river in Bithynia, iv. 30, 327
- Sanigae, iv. 334
- Santones, v. 15
- Sarama, i. 22
- Sarapis, iv. 446
- Sardinia, Carthaginian, i. 186, 413; ii. 143. Assailed by the Romans, ii. 177. Roman, ii. 205, 207. Carthage endeavours to regain it, ii. 308. Wars in, ii. 376; iii. 214. Lepidus' expedition to, iv. 291. Occupied by Caesar, v. 230. Taxation, iv. 158
- Sardis, ii. 446, 474; iv. 45
- Sarmatae, iv. 14
- Samus, Nucerian river-god, v. 30a *.
- Sarranus, i. 185 n.
- Sassinates, war with Rome, ii. 39
- Saticula, Latin colony, i. 475, 476
- Satricum near Antium, Latin colony, i. 446. About 370, member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450
- Satricum near Arpinum, Roman burgess-community *sine suffragio*, different from Satricum near Antium, i. 474 n. Passes over to the Samnites, i. 474. Punished, i. 474 f.
- Satura, i. 35; ii. 98. Led to alternative chants, and thereby, in some measure, to comedy, i. 288f.; iii. 178 f. After Naevius' time=miscellaneous poems, iii. 179. In the seventh century, iv. 337-242. Development independent of the Atellanæ, iv. 231 n.
- P. Satureius, murderer of Ti. Gracchus, iii. 326
- Saturnalia, i. 208, 289 n.; ii. 24; iii. 125
- Saturnia, town in Etruria, i. 304. Battle at, iv. 85 f.
- Saturnian metre (*versus Saturnius*), i. 289, 290
- Saturnus, i. 208, 290 n.
- Saumacus, Scythian prince, iv. 17 n.
- Sauromatae, iv. 14, 20
- Savage state, no trace of, in Italy, i. 9, to Scaena, ii. 97; iii. 138
- Scaevola. See Mucius
- Scaptia, about 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450
- Scarabaei, Etruscan, i. 307
- Scarpheia in Locns, iii. 269
- Scaurus. See Aemilius, Aurelius
- Sceptics, iv. 197f., 199
- Sciathus, ii. 425, 426; iv. 35
- Scilurus, Scythian king, iv. 17, 18 n.
- Scipio. See Cornelius
- Scodra, kingdom of, its war with Rome, ii. 217 f., 508. Made tributary to Rome, ii. 218; iii. 422. Annexed to province of Macedonia, iii. 26a
- Scolacium, colony, iii. 375
- Scopas, ii. 445
- Scordisci, iii. 427, 428, 429
- Scotussa, ii. 433
- Scribere, i. 280
- C. Scribonius Curio [consul, 678], iv. 307, 371. Lieutenant of Sulla in Asia, iv. 54
- C. Scribonius Curio, partisan of Caesar, v. 183, 184, 187, 188, 230-333, 389, 507
- L. Scribonius Libo, admiral under Pompeius, v. 235
- Scriptura, i. 92, 248, 281. Subsequently not demanded, i. 344. In the provinces, iv. 158
- Scutum, ii. 76 n. A Greek word, i. 254
- Scylax, i. 435; ii. 108. Description of the coast under his name, i. 177 n., 435, 455; ii. 109 n.
- Scymnus, i. 177 n.; v. 459 n.
- Scyros, ii. 437; iv. 329
- Scythians, in what is now Southern Russia, iv. 13 n., 14, 17, 18. In the army of Mithradates, iv. 20
- Secession to the Sacred Mount, first, i. 347; second, i. 366
- Segeda, iii. 216
- Segesta, ii. 145, 211 n., 213
- Segestica, or Siscia, iii. 427
- Segobriga, iv. 301
- Segusiavi, Roman estates in their territory, v. 30
- Seleucia on the Orontes, iv. 3x7
- Seleucia on the Tigris, iii. 287
- Seleucus, son of Antiochus the Great, ii. 448f., 462

- Seleucus II., Callinicus, ii. 215
 Seleucus, piratic captain, iv. 333
 Selgians, iii. 275
 Selinus, i. 183; ii. 145
Sella curulis, chariot-seat, i. 83, 189
 Sellasia, battle of, ii. 320
 Semo Sancus, Sabine and Latin deity, i. 69 n. Temple on the Quirinal, i. 380
 Sempronia, the sister of the Gracchi, ii. 463
 A. Sempronius Asellio [praetor, 665] murdered, iii. 530f.
 C. Sempronius Gracchus, iii. 326, 338. Character, iii. 342-344. Member of the land commission, iii. 323, 335. Quaestor, iii. 341. Plebeian tribune, iii. 342-370. Speeches of, iv. 351. Improves the Italian roads, iv. 167. His fall and death, iii. 366-370. Contrast between the Sullan and Gracchan legislation, iv. 110 f.
 C. Sempronius Tuditanus [consul, 625], chronicler, iv. 348. "On the Magistrates," iv. 252
 P. Sempronius Sophus [consul, 450], ii. 113. Subdues the Aequi, i. 484
 P. Sempronius Sophus [consul, 486], iii. 126
 P. Sempronius Asellio, historian, iv. 250
 Ti. Sempronius Gracchus [consul, 539, 541], ii. 304, 305, 333i 335, 339f.
 Ti. Sempronius Gracchus [consul, 577, 591; censor, 585], iii. 31, 130. Sardinian wars, ii. 376. In Spanish war, ii. 391 f.; iii. 215, 318. Interference against the freed men, iii. 53
 Ti. Sempronius Gracchus, character, iii. 317, 320, 333. Quaestor, iii. 228. Plebeian tribune, iii. 320-325. Agrarian law, iii. 320 f. Death, iii. 325-327
 Ti. Sempronius Gracchus, the spurious, 463, 473f.
 Ti. Sempronius Longus [consul, 536], ii. 266, 270, 373
 Ti. Sempronius Longus [consul, 560], iii. 44
 Sena Gallica, maritime colony, ii. 13, 42, 49, sac Battle of, ii. 348 /. In the first Civil war, iv. 85
 Senate originates in the clan-constitution, and represents it, i. 96, 97. / Number of members fixed, i. 97f. Membership for life, i. 98. Chosen by the king, i. 98. Its prerogatives: office of *interrex*, i. 98 f.; confirmation of the resolutions of the community, i. 100f.; as state council, i. 101 f. Had originally no share in election of the king, i. 83.

Not legislating, but guardian of the law, i. 101. Increase of its functions on abolition of the monarchy, i. 339f. Of its political power, i. 337, 338. Distinction, after abolition of the monarchy, between the narrower patrician senate (*aires*), for the exercise of the *auctoritas*, and the wider patricio-plebeian body (*aires conscripti*) for giving their *consilium*, i. 330. Right of consulars to vote first, i. 330 f. The reference to the clan-organization falls into abeyance, i. 331. Number of senators, i. 331. Chosen by consul, i. 331. Right of former magistrates to be admitted to the senate, i. 331. Acting magistrates have a seat, but no vote, i. 331. Revision of roll every fourth year, i. 331. Plebeian senators excluded from debate, i. 374. Their admission, i. 380-382. Conducts the government after equalization of the orders, i. 406. Right of the magistrate to reject senators from the list limited, i. 406 f. Establishment of the right of past curule magistrates to a provisional seat and vote, and to enrolment at next census, i. 407; iii. 7. Exclusion of non-curule senators from debating, i. 381, 407; iii. 7. Later *de facto* powers of the senate: initiative in legislation, i. 408; right of dispensing from the laws, i. 408; nomination of dictator, i. 402, 409; right of prolonging tenure of office of magistrates, i. 409. Its absolute control of the administration, especially of finance, i. 409f.; iii. 7f. Political value of this institution, i. 410f. Gradations of rank in, iii. 7f. Preponderance of the nobility in the senate, iii. 8. Special seats in the theatre, iii. 10. Insignia of senators, i. 99; iii. 4, 5 n. Its numbers according to Sulla's arrangements, iv. 112; and according to Caesar's arrangements, v. 349f. Extraordinary supplement to, by Sulla, iii. 541; iv. 112, 113 n.; and by Caesar, v. 339. Admission to, connected by Sulla with the quaestorship, and not with the aedileship, iv. 112. Number before and after Sulla, iv. 113 n. Censorial *lectio* abolished by Sulla, iv. 112, 125. But restored, iv. 380. Senators excluded from the equestrian centuries, iii. 300, 35a. Powers of; its initiative in legislation formally confirmed by Sulla, iii. 542; iv. 114. But again abolished, iv. 380. Its right to give dispensation from laws restricted, fc

456. Its supremacy limited by C. Gracchus, iii. 352. Senatorial courts. See Jury-courts. Decline and corruption of the senate, iii. 293, 294 f. Legal enactments against the graver abuses, iv. 456. Coteries or "cliques" in, iii. 293f., 298, 533. Arrangements of Caesar, v. 339, 340. Opposition-senate of the Italians, iii. 505, 506 n.; as also of Sertorius, iv. 284; and of Pompeius, v. 238 n., 289.
- Senones, i. 424, 427, 434. War with Rome, ii. 10. Conquered by the Romans and expelled from Italy, ii. 10, 11, 220.
- Sentinum, battle of, i. 489 f.
- C. Scentius [praetor, 665?], iv. 34.
- Septem pagi, i. 58.
- Septemviri epulones. See *Tres virt.*
- L. Septimius, assassin of Pompeius, v. 272.
- Septittontitutti, i. 63, 209.
- L. Septumuleius, iii. 369.
- Sequani, iii. 434, 443; v. 19, 25, 34.
- Sergii, clan-village, i. 45.
- L. Sergius Catilina, character, iv. 465 f. Conspiracy of, iv. 466-482. Death, iv. 485.
- Q. Sertorius, character of, iv. 281 f. In the Marian revolution, iv. 58, 60, 61, 62, 67, 69. In the war against Sulla, iv. 80, 81. In Spain, iv. 91 f. In Mauretania, iv. 93, 103, 282. Becomes general of the Lusitanians, iv. 282. His struggle in Spain, iv. 283f., 285f. His organizations there, iv. 284 f. His treaty with Mithradates, iv. 299, 324. His contest with Pompeius, iv. 294-301. His death, iv. 302.
- Servian constitution, a military reform by equalizing the burgesses and *mtoeci* as to army-service and *tributum*, and transferring these obligations to all the freeholders in the state who were capable of bearing arms, i. 114-122. The work of a reforming legislator, probably after the model of the Greeks of Lower Italy, i. 123.
- Servian wall, remains of, i. 303 n.
- Servilii, from Alba, i. 128.
- C. Servilius Ahala [magister equitum, 315], i. 376.
- C. Servilius, commander in second Sicilian Servile war, iii. 386.
- C. Servilius [praetor, 663] murdered at Asculum, iii. 500.
- C. Servilius Glauca, associate of Saturninus, iii. 465, 466, 467, 47a, 474t 475, 476.
- Cn. Servilius Geminus [consul, 537], ii. 273. 274. 279, 287, 289, 290.
- P. Servilius Priscus Structus [consul, 259, 278], i. 347.
- P. Servilius Vatia Isauricus [consul, 675], iii. 382 n.; iv. 138, 313.
- P. Servilius Rullus [pleb. tribune, 690], iv. 472.
- Q. Servilius Ahala [dictator, 394], i. 431.
- Q. Servilius Caepio [consul, 614], iii. 224.
- Q. Servilius Caepio [consul, 648], iii. 376, 436, 437, 439. 440 n., 466, 471 n.
- Q. Servilius Caepio [quaestor, 651 or 654], iii. 471 n., 484. Falls in the Social war, iii. 512.
- Servius Tullius. See *Mastama*.
- Sestus, ii. 417, 448, 461.
- Setia, a Latin colony, i. 446. About 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 «., 450.
- Settlement, right of, unrestricted in Rome, i. 111.
- Seusamora, iv. 414.
- Sextilius, lieutenant of Lucullus in third Mithradatic war, iv. 339.
- C. Sextius Calvinus [consul, 630], iii. 417.
- L. Sextius Lateranus [plebeian tribune, 377, 378; consul, 387], i. 380, 382.
- Shingle roofs in Rome, ii. 86.
- Shoemakers, guild of, i. 249.
- Shofetes, ii. 147.
- Sibylline oracles, i. 229f., 291; iii. 41 f.; V. 122f.
- Sicani, ii. 143.
- L. Siccus Dentatus murdered, i. 366f.
- Siceli, ii. 143.
- Sicily position of, i. 6. Its early trade with Rome, i. 200f., 258 f.; ii. 80, 210. Its condition after the death of Agathocles, ii. 28. Pyrrhus in, ii. 28-35. Carthaginian rule in, ii. 137, 143. Phoenician party in, ii. 156. Condition of, before first Punic war, ii. 161. Sur rendered to Rome by Carthage, ii. 196, 204. Completely Roman, ii. 314. Sends grain to Rome, ii. 344; iii. 77. Slavery in, iii. 307-310. Occupied by Caesar, v. 230. Communities of, obtain *lus Latinum*, v. 364. Forms a closed customs-district, iv. 160. Taxation of, iv. 158, 161 n., 164f. Two quaestors, iv. 123 n. Privilege in judicial procedure, iv. 132. Coining, iv. 181. Compare *Slaves*.
- Cn. Sicius [praetor, 582], ii. 497, 499.
- L. Sicius [pleb. tribune, 678], iv. 371.
- Siculi or Sicani, Latin, i. 26.

- Sicyon undertakes the Isthmian games, iii. 273
- Side in Pamphylia, iv. 311
- Sidicini in Teanum, i. 458
- Sidon, its decline, ii. 142
- Siga, ii. 354
- Signia, a Latin colony, i. 445; ii. 49; in. 36. Perhaps about 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Not Roman burgess-community, ii. 49
- Sigovesus, i. 423
- Sila, forest of, ii. 38
- Silarus, ii. 365
- Silas, ruler of Lysias, iv. 430
- Silo. See *Pompaedius*
- Silvani*, i. 208, 213
- Silver supplanted in commerce by gold, iii. 88. Etruscan silver coins of earliest period, i. 306. Mines, Spanish, ii. 239, 393. Silver in the Roman coinage, ii. 87f.; iv. 178f. Its export to the Celtic territory prohibited, iii. 95. Articles of, in Roman households, i. 39a; ii. 85, 153f.; iv. 185f.
- Simon Maccabaeus, iii. 286. Coins of, iii. 286 n.
- Sindi on the Caucasus, dependent on *Panticapaeum*, iv. 15
- Sinnaca, surprise at, v. 160f..
- Sinope, town, ii. 407, 408; iv. 6, 12, 16, 20, 333, 334, 440, 447, Pontic residency, iii. 281. Colonized by Caesar, v. 495
- Sinope, Pontic governorship, iv. 3a
- Sinti, iv. 50
- Sinuessa, maritime colony, i. 492; ii. 42, 49. Slave-rising, iii. 309
- Siphnus pillaged by the pirates, iii. 392
- Sipontum, burgess-colony, ii. 365
- Sirens, i. 177
- Siris, i. 170
- Siscia or Segestica, iii. 425, 437
- P. Sittius, iv. 488; v. 295, 301, 424
- Slaves, i. 30. At first not numerous, i. 847. Their increase; Licinio-Sextian laws enact that a certain proportion of free labourers be employed by landlords, i. 381, 387; ii. 77; iii. 312. Stern domestic discipline among, iii. 118. Employed in rural labour, i. 345; ii. 77; iii. 68-71. Management of business by, iii. 85/. Increase of, iii. 313; iv. 177f.; v. 368f., 393 f. Trade in, iii. 293, 306 f.; iv. 174. Result of the system, iii. 305f.; iv. 174; v. 341 f., 394. Conspiracies and insurrections of, in Italy, ii. 83; iii. 102, 309-311, 380 f., 382f. Gladiatorial war. iv. 357-364.
- In Sicily, first, iii. 309-311; second, iii. 383-387
- Slings, ii. 76 n.; iii. 458
- Smyrna, ii. 406, 446, 453, 461, 473; iv. 45
- Soani, iv. 416
- Socii narvales*, ii. 174
- Socrates, Bithynian pretender, iv. 24
- Sodalicia*. See *Collegia*
- Sodomy, i. 191
- Sol, Sabine and Latin deity, i. 69 *.
- Soli, in Cilicia, ii. 475
- Solon, laws of, ii. 86. Their influence on the Laws of the Twelve Tables, i. 362 f.; ii. 65. Roman coinage from Solonian pattern, ii. 79
- Soluntum, i. 186; ii. 143, 185
- Sopater, ii. 182, 422
- Sophene, iii. 281, 285; iv. 5, 316
- Sophocles, iii. 167
- Sora, in the Samnite wars sometimes Roman, sometimes Samnite, i. 453, 463, 475. 476. A Latin colony, i. 485
- Soracte, i. 250
- SorSyi*, i. 229 n.
- Sosander, iii. 276 n.
- Sosigenes, Greek mathematician, aids Caesar in his reform of the calendar, v. 438
- Sosilus of Sparta, ii. 244
- Spain, Phoenicians in, ii. 142, 144. Under Hamilcar, ii. 238, 239. Silver mines of, ii. 239; iii. 214; iv. 157. A Roman province, ii. 331. Culture after second Punic war, ii. 384-387. Constant war* fare in, ii. 387-391. Divided into two provinces, Further and Hither Spain, ii. 389. Conflicts there in the first half of seventh century, iii. 215-232; in the second half, iii. 415 f., 479. In the first Civil war, iv. 92f. In the Sertorian war, iv. 281-286, 293 302. Caesar as praetor there, v. 6. Caesar and the Pompeians in Spain, v. 219-227. Taxation, iv. 158f.. Urban rights in, iii. 214, 232, 233; iv. 190. Coinage, iv. 181
- Sparta, ii. 3, 318, 438-440. 451f., 480, 481; iii. 265 f., 267, 268; iv. 38. Compare *Lacedaemonians*
- Spartacus, iv. 357-364
- Spartocidae, ruling family in *Panticapaeum*, iv. 15
- Sfatiun*, i. 296
- Speeches, literature of, its beginnings, iii. 189. In the seventh and eighth centuries, iv. 250; v. 501-506
- Spelt (*far*), chiefly cultivated in Italy, i. 240
- Spracheius, ii. 396

- Spina, i. 143, 156, 278. IS traffic with
Coryra and Corinth, i. 176, 179. Its
intercourse with Delphi, i. 180
- Spolegium, a Latin colony, ii. 139, 279.
lus of, ii. 52 n. Treatment by Sulla,
iv. 107 f.
- Staberius Eros, teacher of literature, iv.
265 n.
- Stabiae shares in the Social war, iii. 510.
Taken and destroyed by Sulla, iii. 522
- Standards, military, iii. 460
- Stage, origin of the Roman, ii. 97f.; iii.
138 f. At first for musicians, etc., of
all sorts, ii. 97 f. Censured, ii. 98 f.
Livius Andronicus substitutes Greek
drama for the old lyrical stage poem,
iii. 135 f. Comedy predominates, iii.
141. Under Greek influence, iii. 141A,
147f., 170, 176f. Stage in the seventh
and eighth centuries, iv. 221f.; v. 471f. Dra-
matic literature, iii. 220-242; v. 471f.
Tragedy, iii. 171-177. Graeco-Roman
comedy, iv. 224 f., 229f. The mime,
v. 408 f. *Compare* Fabula
- State loans, ii. 153
- State treasury, iii. 20, 23, 88
- State treaties at later period considered
invalid unless ratified by the people,
iii. 58
- Statius Caecilius, ii. 371; iii. 162
- Statues in the Forum, ii. 86f.
- Stenius Statilius, general of Lucanians,
ii. 9
- Stesichorus, ii. 108 f., 109 n.
- Stilo. See Aelius
- Stipendi cogere*, iii. 111
- Stipendium* in the provinces, iii. 259
- Stoeni, iii. 426
- Stoics, etymologies of the, iv. 203. Sto-
icism, iv. 197f., 201-204; iv. 442f.
- Stratonicea, ii. 434
- Straton's Tower, iv. 316
- Subulotus*, i. 300
- Subura, i. 63, 64, 66, 68
- Sucro, battle on the river, iv. 295f.,
Suebi; v. 31
- Suessa Aurunca, a Latin colony, i. 476
- Suessa Pometia, i. 135, 445. In the
Aricine league, i. 445 n., 451. A Latin
colony, i. 445. Destroyed before 372,
i. 449 n.
- Suessiones, iii. 416; v. 14, 24, 50, 51, 85
- Suessula, i. 459 n.; ii. 304
- Suetonius, emendation of, iv. 469 n.
- Sugambri, v. 31, 62
- Sulci, v. 364
- Sulla. See Cornelius
- Sulmo, town of the Paeligni, v. 211.
Razed under Sulla, iv. 108
- C. Sulpicius Gallus [consul, 588] con-
versant with astronomy, iii. 194
- C. Sulpicius Petrus [dictator, 396], i. 432
- P. Sulpicius Galba [consul, 543, 554]; ii.
318, 339, 419, 422, 423, 424, 435, 432, 453
- P. Sulpicius Rufus, his political position
and character, iii. 531f. First political
activity, iii. 442 n., 531. In the Social
war, iii. 504. His laws, iii. 531-534.
His death, iii. 540
- Servius Sulpicius, general in the Social
war, iii. 512, 513, 521
- Servius Sulpicius Galba [praetor] defeated
by the Lusitanians, iii. 220
- Sun-dial, first in Rome, iii. 194
- Sun, eclipses of, when recorded from
observation in the city annals, ii. 102
- Sunium, i. 396; iii-383
- Surface, measures of, i. 265
- Surrentum, i. 181
- Suthul, iii. 395
- Sutrium, iv. 167. Etruscan, i. 157. A
Latin colony, i. 432, 479, 486
- Swinging, i. 296
- Sybaris, i. 166, 168, 170, 173, 416
- Syphax, ii. 321, 331, 354, 355, 356, 382
- Syracuse, i. 166; iii. 383. Heads the
Sicilian Greeks in the struggle with
Carthage, i. 416 f. Aspires to sove-
reignty over Sicily and Lower Italy;
conflicts with Carthage, i. 417f. Seeks
the aid of Pyrrhus against Carthage, ii.
28. Besieged by Carthaginians, ii. 30f.
Relieved by Pyrrhus, ii. 32. Results
of these wars, ii. 39f. Its first relations
with Rome, ii. 40 f., 46. Its position
between Rome and Carthage, ii. 144f.;
Its territory after first Punic war, ii.
204. Siege by Marcellus, ii. 309-313.
Port dominated by the pirates, iv. 354,
362. See Asia.
- Syrtis major, iii. 387
- TABLE, Greek customs at, ii. 91. Luxury
at, iii. 122-124; iv. 185f., 271; v. 378
f., 387f.
- Tai/linum*, iii. 90, 207
- Tabula*, i. 28, 280
- Tactics, Roman and modern, v. 198.
- Celtic, v. 26, 27, 65, 77f. Parthian, v.
155-158
- Talaura, iv. 332, 349
- Talio*, i. 32
- Tanners, i. 253
- Tarentum or Taras, i. 166, 168; iv. 166.
Its rapid rise, i. 416. First aristocratic,

- then democratic, i. 477. The most flourishing seat of commerce and manufactures in Magna Graecia, i. 174. Its commerce with Eastern Italy, i. 176f., 252; ii. 80. By treaty closes the Adriatic to Rome, ii. 12, 42. Its resources for war, ii. 17. Its mercenaries, i. 465 f.; ii. 3. Its burgess-army, ii. 31. Makes head against the Samnites, i. 455, 460, 466. Attitude during the Sajnate war, i. 468, 491. Supports (indirectly) the Samnites against Rome, i. 478. Peace with Rome, i. 482f. Hesitates to join the Lucanians, ii. 10, 12. Attack of its mob on the Roman fleet, ii. 12f. Attack on Thurii, ii. 13f. Attempts at peace of the Romans, ii. 14. Submits itself to Pyrrhus, ii. 15. Remains occupied during Pyrrhus' Sicilian expedition, ii. 30 f. After Pyrrhus' death handed over to the Romans, ii. 37 f. Its fate, ii. 38. Its relation to Rome, ii. 53. Faithful to Rome in second Punic war, ii. 294, 333. Taken by Hannibal, ii. 317, 335. Retaken by Rome, ii. 342. Ruined by the war, iii. 100. As the colony of Neptunia, iii. 374. Remains unaffected by the general Latinizing, iv. 191f.
- Tarcondimotus, Cilician tetrarch, iv. 438
- Tarpeian Hill, the, i. 137 n.
- Tarquinius, home of the, i. 159. Banishment of the whole clan, i. 316; ii. X05
- Tarquinius, one of the twelve Etruscan towns, i. 161f. Aids Veii against Rome, i. 426. War with Rome, i. 432 y. Treaties of peace with Rome, i. 433, 479. Art at, ii. 126
- Tarracina (Terracina), v. an. Temporarily Latin colony, i. 446. Mentioned in treaty of Rome with Carthage, i. 346 n., 450 f. Revolts from Rome, i. 461. Roman burgess-colony, i. 46a; ii. 4a. The tomb of Elpenor shown there, i. 177
- Tarraco, ii. 321, 329, 393
- L. Tarutius, astrologer, v. 446
- Task-work, i. 91, 316; iii. 22
- Tatius, story of his death, i. 190 n.; ii. 105
- Taulantii, it 6
- Taurians in the Crimea, iv. 17, 22
- Taurini, ii. 359, 268
- Taurisci, ii. 226; iii. 424-428
- Tauroentium (Tauroeis), iii. 415. Battle off, v. 228
- Tauromenium, ii. 161, 313; iii. 310. Symmuran, ii. 304. Exempt from taxation, iv. 157
- Taurus, ii. 472; iii. 275, 282; iv. 23
- Tautamus, successor of Viriathus, iii. 226
- Taxation, direct, unknown, i. 91. Priests compelled to pay taxes, iii. 110. Laid on the provinces, iii. 295; iv. 157f.; v. 560f. System of, iv. 164-170; v. 360 f. Employment of slaves in, iii. 307 f. Compare Asia, Gaul, Africa, Macedonia, tributum
- Taxiles, Mithradatic general, iv. 41, 324, 331, 339
- Teanum Apulum, i. 474
- Teanum Sidicinum, ii. 303, 340; iii. 492; iv. 91; v. 208. Under Greek influence, i. 456. Seeks aid from Rome, i. 458. Left by Rome to the Samnites, i. 459 n. Occupied by the latter, i. 464. Passive burgess-rights, iii. 23 f.
- Teate, town of the Marrucini, battle in Social war at, iii. 521
- Technical style, Roman, ii. 114
- Tectosages in Asia Minor, ii. 401, 471
- Tectosages in Gaul, iii. 443
- Tegea, iii. 267
- Telamon, battle of, ii. 225 f.
- Tclegonus, ii. 110 n.
- Telesia, ii. 281
- Tellenii, about 370, member of Latin league, i. 448 n.
- Tellus, i. 207, 213
- Telmissus, ii. 474; iii. 280
- Temesa, i. 170
- Tempe, pass of, ii. 429, 503
- Temple, none in earliest Roman religion, i. 224 f., 305. Tuscanic, originating under Greek influence, i. 304, 305. Wooden, not stone, i. 234. Relation to Doric and Ionic forms, i. 308
- Tempium, i. 27, 225
- Tempsa seized by robbers, iv. 364
- Tencteri, v. 31, 37, 60
- Tenedos, ii. 417; iv. 48, 329, 334
- Tenthis, Sicilian, ii. 212; iv. 158. In Sardinia and elsewhere, iv. 158. Distinction between tax-tenth and the proprietor's tenth, iv. 158 n.
- Tertrbut, i. 28
- Tergeste, v. 103
- C. Terentius Varro [consul, 538], ii. 284, 287-391, 396, 397, 398
- M. Terentius Varro Lucullus regulates as Sul Ian officer the northern boundary of Italy, iv. 122 n.
- M. Terentius Varro, v. 219, 337, 444, 483-492, 492 n. 494, 510-513
- P. Terentius Afer, the poet, iv. 221, 334-229. In the Scipionic circle, iv. 220
- P. Terentius Varro Atactnus, v. 416, 480

- lerina, i. 170, 454
 Termantia, iii. 326, 937
Terminalia, i. 208
Termini Grachani, in. 335 ; iv. 167
Terminus, i. 127, 213
 Territory of Rome, original limits, i. 58, 125. Boundary of the Tiber, i. 13f. Subjection of the towns between the Tiber and the Anio, i. 125 f. Extension after the fall of Alba, i. 125/., 134 f. Possessions on right bank of Tiber lost, i. 414. Recovered, i. 419. Veii conquered, i. 418, 425 f. South Etruria conquered, i. 432. Extension of territory east and southwards, i. 443-446. Extent of, at end of Samnite wars, i. 492f. After the Pyrrhic war, ii. 39, 46-49. Practically extended to the Po, ii. 372f.
 Tesserae, tokens at first for the four "night-watches," i. 255 n.
 Testament. See Will
 Teucer, son of Ajax, iv. 439
 Teuta, ii. 218; iii. 421
 Teutobod, iii. 444, 446
 Teutones, iii. 430, 444*447
 Thaeanae, iii. 258
 Thala, iii. 402
 Thapsus, ii. 39/ ; iii. 244. Exempt from taxation, iii. 259. Battle of, v. 298.
 Thasos, ii. 411, 415, 425, 438, 478. Thasian wine, iv. 172
 Thaumaci, ii. 427
 Theatre, no permanent, in Rome, iii. 138. Free admission to, in. 139. In the seventh and eighth centuries, iv. 235^h ; v. 471f. Seats in, separate for the senators, iii. 10, 138; for the equites, iii. 351; in, 386; v. 117. Building of a stone theatre by Pompeius, v. 117, 471
 Thebes, the Boeotian, ii. 432. Financial distress of, iii. 265. Pillages the neighbouring communities, iii. 265. Joins with Critolaus against Rome, iii. 268. Punishment, iii. 273
 Thebes, Phthiotic, ii. 421
 Theft, i. 192, 193. Its punishment mitigated, ii. 65. Of field produce, i. 191f.
 Themiscyra, iv. 330
 Theodosia, iv. 15
 Theodotus, Roman painter, iii. 207
 Theophanes of Mitylene, confidant of Pompeius, v. 430
 Theophiliscus of Rhodes, ii. 4x1
 Theophrastus, ii. 44, 112
 Theopomiras, i. 436; il 112
 Thermae. See Himera
 Thermopylae, ii. 457; iii. a68; iv. 41. Battle at, ii. 458
Thesaurus, i. 330, 360 n.
 Thespieae, art-treasures carried off by Mummius, iii. 270. In the first Mithradatic war, iv. 35
 Thessalonica, ii. 500, 508; iii. 263. Inscription of, iii. 428
 Thessaly, ii. 396, 429, 438, 456, 457, 458, 476, 477, 485. 498, 500. 502, 504, 517 ; iii. 261, 266; iv. 35, 41
 Theudalis in Affrica, tax-free, iii. 259
 Thicveste, ii. 139, 236
 Thisbae, town in Boeotia, ii. 498, 501, 503 n.
 C. Thoranius Iquaestor, 681], lieutenant in the Gladiatorial war, iv. 359
 Thorius fights against Sertorius, iv. 284
 Thracians, ii. 317, 435, 448, 453, 475, 477. Invade Macedonia and Epirus, iii. 426; iv. 34. Invade Asia, iii. 423. In the army of Mithradates, iv. 20. In the Roman army, iii. 458. Thrace, iii. 960, 261, 262, 279, 414. Subdued by the Romans, iv. 307
 Three, the number, in oldest priestly colleges, i. 54
 Thuri (Copia), at war with the Lucanians i. 454, 455, 466. Assailed by the Lucanians, applies to Rome for aid, ii. 9, xo, 11. Captured by the Tarentines, ii. 13. Fate of, in second Punic war, ii. 294, 336, 350. Exempted from land-service, ii. 43. A Latin colony, il 52 n., 365. Slave-rising, iii. 380. Stormed by the gladiators, iv. 359. Chariot-races thence derived, i. 296
 Thyaira in Lydia, iii. 279; iv. 52
 Tiber, i. 42, 56, 59 f. Its regulation neglected, iv. 169. Caesar's project for altering its course, v. 376
 Tibur, i. 49, 126. In the Aricine league, i. 445 n., 451. Revolts from Rome, i. 447. About 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Obligated to cede part of its territory, but remains in federal relation with Rome, i. 462. Not a Roman burgess-community, ii. 49; iii. 35, 36. Obtains burgess-rights by the Julian law, iii. 519
 Ticinus, fight on the, ii. 268f.
 Tifata, Mount, ii. 338. Battle on, iv. 79 f. Temple of Diana at, iv. 108
 Tigorini, iii. 435 n., 445, 449
 Tigranes of Armenia, iv. 5, 23, 34, 49. Alliance with Mithradates, iv. 18. Joins him against Rome, iv. 37. His relations with Rome, iv. 305f. Conquers several

- Parthian satrapies, Cappadocia, Syria, and Cilicia, iv. 311, 315-318. His part as "great-king," iv. 318. His complications with Rome, iv. 320. A 323, 334-338. His contest with Lucullus and Pompeius, iv. 338-347, 404 f. Variance with Mithradates, iv. 406f. Open rupture, iv. 410f. Suppliant to Pompeius, iv. 411f.
- Tigranes, son of foregoing, iv. 406, 433
- Tigranocerta, iv. 338 n. Founded, iv. 317. Battle of, iv. 339f..
- Tilphossian Mount, battle at, iv. 37
- Timaeus, i. 435; ii. 110f.; iii. x86, 189
- Timarchus, satrap of Media, makes himself independent, iii. 287
- Timarchus, Syrian envoy, bribes the senate, iii. 394
- Time, basis for measurement of, i. 263
- Timoleon, ii. 41, 161
- Tin, trade in British, iii. 420; v. 17
- Tingis, Greek, i. 187. Besieged by Sertorius, iv. 282
- Tipas, king of the Maedi, iii. 428
- Tisaean promontory, iv. 41
- Titles (*sodales Titii*), i. 53, 55, 56, 215
- Titinius, writer of comedies, iii. 164 f.; iv. 230
- C. Titius, orator about 593, iv. 251. Excites in 665 mutiny against Cato, iii. 530. Drastic description taken from his speeches, iv. 187f.
- Sex. Titius [tribune of the people, 655], iii. 480
- Title-hunting in republican Rome, iii. 43
- Titthi, Celtiberian tribe, iii. 216
- Q. Titurius Sabinus, Caesar's lieutenant, v. 55, 68, 69, 70
- Tius, iii. 281; iv. 333
- Toga, i. 89
- Togata*. See *Fabula*
- Togati*, oldest legal designation of the Italians as opposed to the Celtic *braccati*, ii. 59; iii. 164 n.; v. 10
- Tolenus, river in Latium, iii. 511
- Tolerini, about 370, member of the Latin league, i. 448 n.
- Tolistobogi (or Tolistoagii), ii. 401, 469n., 471; iv. 325
- Tolosa, iii. 409, 436; v. 8. Spoil of, iii. 436, 439, 440 n.
- Tollumius, king of Veii, i. 425
- Tomatoes, iii. 64
- Tombs, Etruscan painting of, i. 308. Ornaments of, ii. 81
- Tomi, iv. 307
- Torboletes, ii. 246
- Torrhebi in Lydia confounded with the Italian Etruscans, i. 155
- Torture only applied to slaves, L 192, 205
- Tota*= community, i. 85
- Tougeni, iii. 435 «, 444
- Town-life in Asia Minor stimulated by Pompeius, iv. 439-442
- Trades in Rome, at first important and honoured, i. 248. Guilds, i. 249. Exclusion of artisans from serving in the army by the Servian reform, i. 249f. Subsequent position of, iii. 84. Decay of, in later times, iv. 173
- Tragyrum, iii. 422
- Trajan, the Emperor, treatment of the Greeks, iii. 273 f.
- Tralles, ii. 474; iv. 45
- Transpadani claim burgess-rights, iv. 264, 457f., 469, 474. 512f.; v. 131 n., 421
- Trapezus, iv. 12, 332
- Trasimene Lake, battle at the, ii. 278 f.
- Travels, scientific, iv. 245 n.
- Trebria, battle on the, ii. 270 f.
- L. Trebellius [pleb. tribune, 687], iv. 394, 398
- C. Trebonius, Caesar's lieutenant, v. 228
- L. Tremellius [quaestor, 612], iii. 263
- Tres viri eputones*, iii. no. Increased to seven, iv. 126
- Tres mensarii*, ii. 343
- Tres nocturni* or *cafiales*, *lu* 66
- Treveri, v. 32, 37, 72f.
- C. Triarius, lieutenant of Lucullus, iv. 347, 348
- : *Triarii*, iii. 458
- Triballi, iii. 425
- Tribes of the clans (*Ramnians*, *Tities*, *Luceres*), i. 53-56. Formerly communities, i. 85. Of little practical significance, i. 86f.
- Tribes, Servian, levy districts, i. 117
- Three of the Palatine, one (*collina*) of the Quirinal city, i. 64 f., 106, 107. Their order of precedence, i. 108 f. Number increased to twenty-one: their voting, i. 359 f. These new districts (*tribus rusticae*) arose out of the clan-villages, i. 45. Four new ones added in the year 367, i. 432. Two others in the year 422, i. 462. Two more in the year 436, i. 463. Two more in the year 455, i. 485. Increased to thirty-five: the four urban ranking last, i. 396f. Intimate union of the respective rural tribes, i. 399. Disorganisation, iii. 37-39
- Tribunal*, i. 140, 189

- Tribuni celerum*, i. 83,90 n., 317 n. Probably increased to six, i. 107f.
- Tributti militum*, i. 83, 90, 439, 440 n. Why six in number, i. 107 f. Part chosen by the community, i. 397. Twenty-four nominated by the comitia, iii. 13, 57. Qualification for the office by proof of many years' service, iii. 13
- Tribuni militum consulari potestate* appointed, eligible from both orders, i. 371-374. Their authority equal, whether patrician or plebeian, i. 371 n. Honour of a triumph and *ius imaginum* refused to them, i. 373f. Abolished, i. 380
- Tribuni plebis*, their institution, i. 349. Arise out of the military tribunes, and named after them, i. 354. Comparison between consular and tribunician power, i. 354 f. Not magistrates, and without a seat in the senate, i. 355. Political value of the office, i. 355 f. At first two, i. 349. Subsequently four, i. 361. Then ten, i. 362. Their right of intercessio, i. 350 f. Criminal jurisdiction, i. 350-352; iv. 127. Acquire the right of consulting the people and procuring "resolves," i. 353. Inviolable, i. 353 f. Suspension of the office during the decemvirate, and its abolition aimed at, i. 362. Restored, i. 368. Share in the discussions of the senate : seated on a bench near the door, i. 369. Obtain, after equalization of the orders, the distinctive prerogative of supreme magistracy—the right of convoking the senate and transacting business with it—and become the usual organ of the senate, L 403-405. Political value of this measure, i. 405 f. Their re-election permitted by C. Papirius Carbo, iii. 340 n., 344. Their initiative in legislation restricted by Sulla, iii. 542; iv. 116-1x8, 264. Restoration of the tribunician power, iv. 371, 381
- Tribuni at Venusia*, ii. 51
- Tributum*, i. 92, 380; iii. 21. Laid upon the freeholders, i. 115. Ceases to be levied in Italy, iii. 303; iv. 156. In the provinces, iv. 157 f.
- Trifanum, battle of, 459 n., 461
- Trigemina porta, iii. 368
- Triocala, iii. 386
- Triphylia, ii. 396
- Triumph, meaning of, i. 35, 296. Refused by senate, granted by burgesses, i. 398. Becomes common, iii. 43 f. On the Alban Mount, iii. 43
- Triumvirate, first, of Pompeius, Crassus, and Caesar, iv. 378. Second, iv. 504f.
- Trocmi, ii. 401, 471
- Troia, game of, i. 294 n.
- Tryphon, king of Syria, iii. 286, 292
- Tryphon, leader in the Sicilian slave-rising, iii. 384, 385, 386
- Tubiustriut*, i. 209
- Tuder, town in Umbria, iv. 91
- Tulianum*, i. 137, 302; ii. 1x9; iii. 409
- M. Tullius Cicero, father of the orator, iv. 194
- M. Tullius Cicero, his character, iv. 470, 516f.; v. 132f., 504f. His birthplace, iv. 266. Opposes Sulla, iv. 266. Impeaches Verres, iv. 373. Defends the Manilian law, iv. 397 f. Consul, iv. 470. Opposes the Servilian agrarian law, iv. 474. Conduct during Catilina's conspiracy, iv. 475, 478 f., 481-484. Banished for his conduct therein, iv. 516-518. Recalled, v. 112, 118. Supports the corn-distribution of Pompeius, v. 121. Opposes Caesar's agrarian law, v. 124. Goes to the camp of Pompeius, v. 237. After the battle of Pharsalus, v. 265, 288. Submits to Caesar, v. 129, 132 f. Creator of classical Latin, v. 455 f. As a forensic orator, v. 503-506. Writes dialogues, v. 507-5x0. Literary opposition to, v. 506f.
- Q. Tullius Cicero, v. 70f.
- Tunes, iii. 249. Battle of, ii. 182f., 201
- Tunes, Lake of, iii. 248, 254
- Turdetani, it. 385; iii. 220, 221; iv. 174
- S. Turpilius, comic poet, iv. 229
- T. Turpilius Silanus commands the garrison of Vaga, iii. 402. Executed by court martial, iii. 402
- Turiaf river, iv. 296
- Turs-ennae*, i. 155
- Tusca, river, iii. 258
- Tusca, town, iii. 238
- Tuscan Sea, i. 181
- Tusculum, i. 48, 58. Legends as to its foundation, i. 110 n., 111. In the Aricine league, i. 445 n., 451. Helps the Roman government amidst internal troubles, i. 358. Revolts, i. 447./, 460. About 370, a member of Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Forced to enter the Roman burgess-union, i. 451. Obtains full burgess-rights, ii. 48 n. Dictator there, i. 442 n. Architecture, i. 303.
- Tutela*, i. 78
- Tutomotulus, king of the Salyes, iii. 4x7
- Twelve Tables, laws of the : their origin, i. 361 f. Essentially a written embodiment of the existing public and private law, i. 363,364. Restrict luxury,

- ii. 63, 81f. Literary significance, ii. 112, 116
- Tyndaris, ii. 184
- Tyndaris, promontory of, battle off the, ii. 178
- Tyre, ii. 142
- Tyrrheno-Pelasgians, their relation to the Etruscans, i. 155
- UBII, v. 31, 61
- Ulbia, ii. 177
- Ulixes, whence derived, i. 258
- Umbrians, ii. 224. A branch of the Italians, i. 13f. Language of, i. 12f, 16f, 282; ii. 115. Writing, i. 278, 282. Migration, i. 39f., 143f. Their original district, i. 143-147, 158, 434. Join Etruscans in surprising Cumae, i. 158. Share in the Samnite war, i. 480f. Their attitude in the second Punic war, ii. 347. Their agriculture, iii. 99. Position towards proposals of the younger Drusus, iii. 486. Remain faithful in the Social war, iii. 501. Incipient insurrection, iii. 513f., 519. Repressed by Sulla, iv. 91
- Urban community contrasted with a state, iii. 330f., 505f.; iv. 132-134
- Urbanitas* v. 452
- Urbs* i. 47
- Urso, iii. 223
- Usalis in Africa, tax-free, iii. 259
- Uscudama (Adrianople), town of the Bessi, iv. 307
- Usipetes, v. 31, 37, 60
- Usuariutn*, i. 6b
- Usury, i. 364, 389, 390. See Interest
- Usus* in marriage, i. 113 n.
- Utica, iii. 249, 392. Its relations with Carthage, ii. 136, 140f., 155. Offers itself to Rome, ii. 207. Scipio's conflicts at, ii. 354, 355. Holds firm to Rome, iii. 242, 243, 244, 245, 253, 259; v. 287. Curio's victory at, v. 231. Seat of the governor of Africa, iii. 259f.
- Uxama, iv. 304
- Uxentum, ii. 29
- VACCA. See Vaga
- Vaccae, iii. 219, 220, 228, 229, 230, 232; iv. 190, 297
- Vadimonian Lake, battle at the, i. 479
- Vaga (Vacca), ii. 383; iii. 402; v. 286
- Valentia in Bruttium. See Vibo
- Valentia** in Spain, iv. 295, 996. Obtains Italian municipal constitution, iii 332; iv. 190
- Valerius Ant.as, historian, v. 406/.
- Valerius Cato, teacher of Latin literature, v. 480
- C. Valerius Flaccus, Sullan governor in Spain, iv. 93; v. 7
- C. Valerius Triarius, Lucullus' lieutenant, iv. 329, 334, 348
- L. Valerius Poplicola [consul, 305], i. 398
- L. Valerius Flaccus [consul, 559; censor, 570], ii. 457; iii. 47f.
- L. Valerius Flaccus [consul, 654], iii. 467f.; iv. 72 n.
- L. Valerius Flaccus [consul, 668], iv, 40, 43, 47, 70, 72 n., 98, 102 n.
- L. Valerius Flaccus, lieutenant of Pompeius in Asia, iv. 413
- L. Valerius Flaccus [praetor, 691] defended by Cicero, iv. 73 «.
- M.' Valerius Maxim us [dictator, 260], i. 348
- M.' Valerius Maximus Messalla [consul, 491; censor, 502], ii. 170; iii. 44 n. Orders the first frescoes to be painted in Rome, iii. 207
- M. Valerius Corvus [consul, 406, 408, 411, 419, 454, 4551, i. 403, 459 n.; iii 17. Not called Calenus, iii. 44 n.
- M. Valerius Laevinus [consul, 544], ii. 305, 314, 317, 415
- P. Valerius Falto [praetor, 513], ii. 195
- P. Valerius Laevinus [consul, 474], ii. 19, 21, 23
- P. Valerius Poplicola, ii. 105
- Q. Valerius Catullus, v. 140 f., 44s, 481-483
- Vardaei. See Ardyaei
- P. Varinius [praetor, 681], general in Gladiatorial war, iv. 358, 359
- Q. Varius [pleb. tribune, 663 71, iii. 503, 516; iv. 67
- Varro. See Terentius
- Vascones, iv. 297
- Vates, i. 286, 298 n.
- P. Vatinius [pleb. tribune, 696], iv. 51a; v. 138, 285
- Vectigalia*, i. 92
- Vediovis, i. 137, 207, 212
- Veii, i. 157. Rome's nearest neighbour and chief opponent in Etruria, i. 157/. Contest with Rome, i. 134. Taken by Rome, i. 425-427. Assignment of territory, i. 378. Colonized, i. 432. Art at, i. 306; ii. 126
- Velabrum*, i. 63
- Velia, ridge between Palatine and Esquiline, i. 63
- Velia (Elea), Phocaeen colony, i. 166. Its old relations with Rome, i. 260

- Velino, the, widened, ii. 85
Velites i. 90 n., 118
 Velitrae, a Latin colony, i. 445 n. Opposition to Rome, i. 447. About 370, a member of the Latin league, i. 448 n., 450. Revolts from Rome, i. 461. Severe punishment, i. 462. Presumably retained passive burgess-rights, iii. 23. Terra-cottas, ii. 122. Volscian language maintains itself there, ii. 122
 Velloccasi, iii. 444
 Venafrum, town in Samnium, iii. 509
 Veneti, in Italy, i. 156, 434; ii. 221, 224, 228, 371; iii. 424. Veneti in Gaul, v. 15. 16, 55-57
 Venus, ii. 71
 Venusia, iii. 492; iv. 166. A Latin colony, i. 493. Reinforced, ii. 366. Popular tribunes at, ii. 51. Attitude of, in Pyrrhic war, ii. 21. In second Punic war, ii. 190, 295, 343. In Social war, iii. 510, 513, 523, 526
 Vercellae, near the scene of the battle of the Raudine Plain, iii. 448 «.
 Vercingetorix, v. 75-91
 L. Verginius, i. 366
 Vermina, son of Syphax, ii. 382
 Verona, i. 423; iv. 167
 C. Verres, iv. 373; v. 408
Veru, ii. 76 n.
 Verulae, i. 485
 Vesontio, capital of Sequani, v. 46/.
 Vesta, i. 26, 81, 209, 213, 216. Temple of, Servian, i. 140. After Greek model, i. 142
 Vestalia, i. 209
 Vestals, i. 106, 192, 217; iv. 207
Vestibulum i. 302
 Vestini, i. 146, 482. Share in Social war, iii. 501, 512, 522
 Vesuvius, battle at, i. 459 n.
 Veterans of Marius, allotments of land to, iii. 468. Of Sulla, iv. 108 f.
 C. Vetilius, against Viriathus, iii. 221
 P. Vettius Scato, Italian leader in Social war, iii. 509, 512, 513
 T. Vettius, at the head of a slave-revolt, iii. 381
 Vettones, share in Lusitanian war, iii. 215, 218, 225
 Vetulonium, one of the twelve Etruscan towns, i. 161
 Veturii, clan-village, i. 45
 T. Veturius Calvinus [consul, 432], i. 470
Via Aemilia, from Ariminum to Placentia, ii. 374; iv. 167
Via Aemilia from Luna to Genua, ii. 374
Via Appia, i. 471. Continued to Capua, i. 476. To Venusia, i. 493. To the Ionian Sea, ii. 39; iv. 166
Via Amelia, ii. 375; iv. 167
Via Cassia, i. 486 n.; ii. 274, 374; iv. 167
Via Domitia, iii. 416; iv. 168
Via Egiptia, iii. 263; iv. 168
Via Flaminia, i. 485; ii. 274; iv. 166, 167
Via Gabinia, iii. 427; iv. 167
Via Postumia, iv. 167
Via sacra, i. 138 n.
Via Valeria, i. 485. Compare Road
 C. Vibius Pansa [pleb. tribune, 703], v. 180
 Vibo (Valentia), a Latin colony, ii. 52 n., 365; iii. 100
 L. Vibullius Rufus, v. 209, 210
 Victor, emendation of, iii. 428 n.
Victorinus, iii. 87
 Victumulae, gold washings at, iii. 381, 415
Vicus, i. 45. Tuscan, i. 159
 Vienna, v. 8
Vigiliae, i. 255 n.
 P. Villius [consul, 555], ii. 428, 432, 453
Vinalia, i. 208
 Vindalium, battle of, iii. 418, 419 n.
 Vindelici, i. 423 n.
Vindex, i. 197
Vindiciae, i. 196
 Vindicius, ii. 105
 Vine, culture of the: its original home, i. 38. Very ancient in Italy, i. 23, 158, 171. Before the Greek immigration, i. 241. Priestly supervision, i. 225, 241 f. Increase of, i. 80, 305; iv. 172 f. Management, iii. 67 n. Outlay and returns, iii. 80 n. Prohibited to the Transalpinos (round Massilia), iii. 4x5; iv. 171 f.
 Viridumarus, ii. 228
 Viriathus, iii. 220-226, 267
 Vitruvius Vaccus, i. 463
 Caelius Vivenna, i. 158
 Voconius, lieutenant of Lucullus. in the Mithradatic war, iv. 329
 Vocontii, iii. 417; v. 8
 Volaterrae, siege by Sulla, iv. 91. Confiscation, iv. 108, 265. Obtains from Sulla the *ius* of Ariminum, ii. 52 n.
 Volcae-Arecomici, iv. 293; v. 8
Volcanalia, i. 209; iii. 217
 Volcanus, i. 209, 249
 Volci, one of the twelve Etruscan towns, i. 161. Sepulchral chambers, i. 253. Art, i. 126
 Volsci, their settlements, i. 444. Their wars with Rome, i. 135. Clients of the

- Etruscans, i. 181. Subdued by Rome,] i. 444-446. Revolt against Rome, i. 461. Received, in great part, into the Roman burgess-union, i. 48; iii. 23
- Volsinii, chief town of Etruria, i. 161, 250; ii. 121. Wars with Rome, i. 426f., 490. Roman intervention in favour of the civic aristocracy, i. 436f.; ii. 57
- Voltinii, clan-village, i. 45
- Voltumna, temple of, in Etruria, assembly and fair at, i. 250
- Voltumalia*, i. 208
- Volturnum, ii. 337
- Voltumnus, i. 40
- Volux, son of Bocchus, iii. 408
- Vote by ballot, iii. 73. Controlled by Marius, iii. 198
- Vow, i. 223
- WALLS, so-called Cyclopean, arose under Greek influence in Italy, i. 302
- War, declaration of, Roman, i. 101. Required, in the case of aggressive wars, the consent of the burgesses, i. 96
- Formula of, i. 202. Commencement of, signified by singed bloody staff, i. 202
- War-chariots, i. 294f, 296. Celtic, i. 421. Employed against Pyrrhus' elephants, ii. 26
- Waxen masks, iii. 105
- Wealth, Roman, iii. 88f.
- Week, Roman, i. 267
- Weights, starting-point, i. 263f. Duodecimal system, i. 265. Afterwards modelled on the Attico-Sicilian, i. 266
- Wheat, cultivation of, in Italy, ii. 77; iii. 66
- Wild animals, fights of, in Rome, iii. 126; iv. 183, 184
- Wills, foreign to the primitive law, and requiring sanction by decree of the people, i. 95, 194. Private, arise from the transfer of all property to a friend during the owner's lifetime, i. 198; ii. 65
- Winds, namvs of the, how far borrowed, i. 254 n.
- Wine, Greek, imported to Rome, iii. 123. When drunk unmixed, iii. 123
- Wine presented to the governor, iii. 31
- Witness, false, i. 191
- Wolf, She-, of the Capitol, ii. 92, xof, 123 128
- Woman, position of, in the Roman family, i. 72-77. Her emancipation, iii. 121 f.
- Women in Caesar's time, v. 391f. Act in the mimes, v. 469, 516 n.
- Wonders and prodigies, when recorded in the Annals, ii. 102f.
- Wool-spinning by women, i. 73 f. Mentioned in epitaphs, i. 74 n.
- Words borrowed from Greek in Latin, i. 242 n., 254, 266. Bear throughout Doric forms, i. 260
- Words borrowed from Latin in Sicilian Greek, i. 254, 259
- Words borrowed from Oriental languages reach the Latin only through the medium of the Greeks, i. 260 n.
- Writing materials, oldest, i. 280
- XANTHIPPUS of Sparta, ii. 182 n., 183
- YEAR, oldest Roman, i. 268. Beginning of, fixed at January 1, iii. 215
- ZACYNTHUS, ii. 477, 478
- Zama regia, battle of, ii. 359 n., 360. Numidian, iii. 259. Siege in Jugurthine war, iii. 398 n., 401
- Zamolxis, v. 105
- Zancle. See Messina
- Zariadrids, iv. 5
- Zariadris, ii. 473
- Zenicetes, pirate prince, iv. 3x3
- Zeno, the Stoic, iv. 197, 198
- Zeus, Venasian, iv. 439
- Zeuxis of Lydia, ii. 412
- Ziela, battle of, v. 283
- Zygi, iv. 41 6

COLLATION OF EDITIONS

[It is hoped that this collation will facilitate the use of the present edition for the verifying of references made to the last form of the original, or to either of the earlier English forms of the book.]

Present Edition.	German (eighth edition).	First English (Crown 8vo, 1862-66).	Second English (Demy 8vo, 1868).
Vol. I. 10	Vol. I. 9	Vol. I. 9	Vol. I. 9
20	16	17	17
30	24	25	26
40	31	34	35
50	39	41	43
60	47	50	52
70	55	58	60
80	62	66	69
90	69	78	79
100	77	82	87
110	85	90	96
120	92	100	106
130	101	109	115
140	108	117	123
150	116	125	132
160	123	133	140
170	131	141	148
180	138	149	157
190	146	158	166
200	154	166	174
210	162	175	182
220	169	179	191
230	177	187	199
240	184	195	207
250	192	203	216
260	200	211	224
270	207	218	232
280	216	224	239
290	224	233	248
300	231	242	256
310	240	250	266
320	248	259	275

Present Edition.	German 8th edition).	(Ci	ii English 8vo, 186a-)	Second English (Demy 8vo, 1868)
Vol. I. 330	OL I. 256		. I. 266	Vol. I. 283
340	263		272	291
35°	271		281	301
360	278		288	308
370	287		296	317
380	295		304	325
390	302		311	334
400	310		320	343
410	318		327	351
420	325		335	359
430	333		343	367
440	341		351	376
450	349		358	383
460	357		367	392
470	365		375	400
480	372		383	408
490	380		390	417
500				
Vol. II. 10	390		401	428
20	398		409	436
30	405		417	444
40	413		425	453
50	421		432	460
60	429		441	470
70	437		451	479
80	445		459	487
90	453		467	495
100	461		475	504
110	469		483	513
120	476		491	521
130	484	II. a		Vol. I L 2
140	492	10		11
150	499	18		19
160	507	26		28
170	515	35		37
180	522	43		45
190	530	51		54
200	537	59		62
210	545	68		71
220	553	76		79
230	560	83		87
240	568	91		96
250	575	99		104
260	583	107		113
270	590	115		121
280	598	123		130
290	605	131		138
300	613	130		146
310	620	147		154
320	628	155		163

COLLATION OF EDITIONS

59t

Present Edition.	Ge	pan.	<*£&%\$&«).
Vol. II. 330	Vol. I. 636	Vol. II. 163	Vol II. 171
340	643	171	180
350	651	179	188
360	658	187	197
370	666	195	206
380	673	203	214
390	681	211	223
400	689	218	231
410	696	226	240
420	704	234	248
430	711	242	257
440	719	250	265
450	727	257	274
460	735	265	282
470	742	273	290
480	750	280	298
490	758	288	306
500	765	296	314
510	773	304	323
520	780	312	331
Vol. III. 10	789	321	340
20	796	329	348
30	804	337	357
40	811	345	365
50	819	353	374
60	827	359	382
70	835	367	390
80	842	375	398
90	850	383	406
100	858	391	415
110	866	399	423
120	873	407	431
130	881	415	440
140	889	423	448
150	897	431	456
160	905	439	464
170	912	447	473
180	921	455	481
190	929	464	490
200	937	472	498
210	944	480	507
220	Vol. II. 8	Vol. III. 8	VOL III. 9
230	15	16	17
240	23	25	26
250	31	33	34
260	39	41	43
270	47	49	51
280	55	57	59
290	62	651	68
300	70	73	76

Present Edition.	German (eighth edition).	First English (Crown 8vo, 180a-66).	Second English (Demy 8vo, 1861)
Vol. III. 310	Vol. II. 78	Vol. III. 81	Vol. III. 85
320	86	90	94
330	93	98	102
340	102	106	111
350	109	114	120
360	117	122	128
370	124	130	136
380	132	138	145
390	140	146	153
400	148	155	162
410	155	162	170
420	164	171	179
430	172	178	187
440	179	186	196
450	187	194	204
460	195	202	212
470	203	210	220
480	210	218	229
490	218	226	237
500	226	234	246
510	234	242	254
520	242	350	263
530	249	258	271
540	257	266	280
Vol. IV. 10	268	278	292
20	376	285	300
30	384	293	308
40	292	301	317
50	299	309	325
60	307	317	333
70	315	325	342
80	332	333	350
90	330	341	359
100	338	350	368
110	345	358	376
120	353	366	385
130	361	373	392
140	368	381	401
150	376	389	409
160	384	398	418
170	391	406	426
180	399	413	435
190	407	422	443
200	415	430	451
210	432	438	460
220	430	446	469
230	438	454	477
240	446	462	485
250	455	470	494
260	463	478	50a

COLLATION OF EDITIONS

593

Present Edition.	Geupnan (eighth edition;.	First English Irown 8vo, 1862-66).	Second English (Demy 8vo, 1868).
Vol. IV. 270	Vol. III. 9	Vol. IV. 9	Vol. IV. 9
280	18	17	18
290	27	25	26
300	35	33	35
310	44	41	43
320	53	49	51
330	61	57	60
340	70	65	68
350	78	73	77
360	86	81	85
370	94	88	93
380	102	96	101
390	111	104	110
400	120	113	118
410	128	121	126
420	137	129	135
43°	145	137	143
440	154	145	151
45°	162	152	160
460	171	161	169
470	180	169	177
480	188	176	186
490	196	184	194
500	205	193	203
510	213	201	211
Vol. V. 10	226	215	225
20	234	223	233
30	242	231	242
40	251	239	250
50	259	247	259
60	267	255	267
70	275	263	275
80	283	271	284
90	291	279	292
100	300	287	301
110	307	295	309
120	315	303	318
130	324	311	326
140	332	319	334
150	341	328	344
160	349	336	352
170	356	344	361
180	364	351	369
190	372	359	378
200	379	367	386
210	387	375	394
220	395	383	402
230	402	391	411
240	410	399	419
250	418	406	427

HISTORY OF ROME

Present Edition.	German (eighth edition).	First English (Crown 8vo, 1862-66).	Second English (Demy 8vo, 1868)
Vol. V. 260	Vol. III. 426	Vol. IV 415	Vol. IV. 436
270	434	422	444
280	441	430	453
290	449	438	461
300	457	446	469
310	465	454	478
320	473	462	486
330	481	469	495
340	489	477	503
350	496	485	511
360	504	493	520
370	512	501	528
380	520	509	536
390	528	517	545
400	536	525	553
410	544	533	562
420	551	540	570
430	559	548	578
440	568	556	587
450	575	564	596
460	583	573	604
470	591	580	612
480	599	589	621
490	608	597	630
500	616	605	638
510	624	613	646
518	630	619	653

THE END

By Dr. THEODOR MOMMSEN
**THE PROVINCES OF
THE ROMAN EMPIRE**
FROM CAESAR TO DIOCLETIAN

TRANSLATED BY

W. P. DICKSON, D.D.

Second Impression, Revised, and with a Note on
Roman Britain, by

PROF. F. HAVERFIELD

2 vols. 8vo. 2is. net.

A THENALUM.—"The new edition of this standard **work, revised**, so far as was necessary, by Professor Haverfield, will be welcome to all scholars. There is, indeed, no great summary of the Roman Empire in its provinces to compare with it. . . . We are glad to see a newer and more accurate version put into the hands of a new generation of English readers."

SPECTATOR.—"It is, as Mr. Haverfield terms it, '**a wonderful book**,' which 'sums up a vast and multifarious mass of detail,' and so enables us 'to discern the true character of the Empire.' "

**THE HISTORY OF
THE ROMAN REPUBLIC**

ABRIDGED FROM THE HISTORY BY
PROFESSOR MOMMSEN

BY

C. BRYANS AND F. J. R. HENDY

Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

WORKS ON ROMAN HISTORY

A HISTORY OF THE LATER ROMAN EMPIRE FROM ARCADIUS TO IRENE (395 A.D. to 800 A.D.). By J. B. BURY, M.A., LL.D. Two Vols.

New Edition in preparation.

A HISTORY OF THE EASTERN ROMAN EMPIRE, FROM THE FALL OF IRENE TO THE ACCESSION OF BASIL I. (A.D. 802-867). By J. B. BURY, M.A., LL.D. 8vo. [12s.net.](#)

ROMAN SOCIETY DURING THE LAST CENTURY OF THE EMPIRE OF THE WEST. By Sir SAMUEL DILL, M.A. New Edition, revised. Extra Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d. net

ROMAN SOCIETY FROM NERO TO MARCUS AURELIUS. By Sir SAMUEL DILL, M.A. Second Edition. 8vo. 15s. net.

LIFE IN THE ROMAN WORLD OF NERO AND ST. PAUL. By Professor T. G. TUCKER, Litt.D. 8vo. 12 s. 6d. net.

ROMAN PUBLIC LIFE. By A. H. J. GREENIDGE, M.A. Extra Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

CIVIL WAR AND REBELLION IN THE ROMAN EMPIRE, A.D. 69-70. By BERNARD W. HENDERSON, M.A. 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.

THE INFLUENCE OF WEALTH IN IMPERIAL ROME. By Prof. W. S. DAVIS. Extra Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d. net.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

WORKS ON ROMAN HISTORY

THE RELIGIOUS EXPERIENCE OF THE
ROMAN PEOPLE FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES
TO THE AGE OF AUGUSTUS. By W. WARDE
FOWLER, M.A. 8vo. 12s. net.

THE CITY-STATE OF THE GREEKS AND
ROMANS. By W. WARDE FOWLER, M.A. Crown
8vo. 5 s.

SOCIAL LIFE AT ROME IN THE AGE OF
CICERO. By W. WARDE FOWLER, M.A. 8vo.
1 os. net.

THE ROMAN FESTIVALS OF THE PERIOD
OF THE REPUBLIC. By W. WARDE FOWLER, M.A.
Extra Crown 8vo. 6s.

THE ROMAN ASSEMBLIES FROM THEIR
ORIGIN TO THE END OF THE REPUBLIC.
By G. W. BOTSFORD, Ph.D. 8vo. 17s. net.

A HISTORY OF ROMEJO THE BATTLE
OF ACTIUM. By E. S. SHUCKBURGH, M.A. Crown
8vo. 8s. 6d.

THE ROMANS ON THE RIVIERA AND
THE RHONE. By W. H. BULLOCK HALL, F.R.G.S.
8vo. 6s.

RELIGION OF NUMA, AND OTHER ESSAYS
ON THE RELIGION OF ANCIENT ROME. By
JESSE BENEDICT CARTER. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. net.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD* LONDON.

WORKS ON GREEK HISTORY

HISTORY OF GREECE FROM ITS COMMENCEMENT TO THE CLOSE OF THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE GREEK NATION. By ADOLF HOLM. Authorised Translation from the German. Revised by F. CLARKE, M.A. In Four Vols. Extra Crown 8vo. Vol. I. Up to the end of the Sixth Century B.c. Vol. II. The Fifth Century -B.c. Vol. III. The Fourth Century B.c. up to the Death of Alexander. 6s. net each. Vol. IV. 7s. 6d. net.

A HISTORY OF GREECE FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE DEATH OF ALEXANDER THE GREAT. By Professor J. B. BURY, LL.D. Illustrated. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d. Library Edition. Two Vols. 8vo. 25s. net.

LIFE IN ANCIENT ATHENS. The Social and Public Life of a Classical Athenian from Day to Day. By Professor T. G. TUCKER, Litt.D. Illustrated. Crown 8vo. 5s.

HELLENISTIC ATHENS. An Historical Essay. By Prof. WILLIAM SCOTT FERGUSON. 8vo. 12s. net.

LIFE IN THE HOMERIC AGE By Professor THOMAS DAY SEYMOUR. Illustrated. 8vo. 17s. net.

A HANDBOOK OF GREEK CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY. By A. H. J. GREENIDGE, M.A. Extra Crown 8vo. 5s.

By J. P. MAHAPFY, D.D.

GREEK LIFE AND THOUGHT, FROM THE AGE OF ALEXANDER TO THE ROMAN CONQUEST. Crown 8vo. 12s. 6d.

PROBLEMS IN GREEK HISTORY. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE EMPIRE OF THE PTOLEMIES. Crown 8vo. 12s. 6d.

SOCIAL LIFE IN GREECE FROM HOMER TO MENANDER. Crown 8vo. 9s.

A SURVEY OF GREEK CIVILIZATION. Extra Crown 8vo. 6s.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

