

*DRENCHED*

# **DAMAGE BOOK**

UNIVERSAL  
LIBRARY

OU\_210749

UNIVERSAL  
LIBRARY



OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Call No. 824/M995 Accession No. 1836

Author Myers.

Title Science and its future in

This book should be returned on or before the date last marked below.



# SCIENCE AND A FUTURE LIFE



## SCIENCE AND A FUTURE LIFE

To the question, " What has science to say as to man's survival of death ? " the chief spokesmen of modern science are inclined to answer, " Nothing at all." The affirmative answer she holds as unproved, and the negative answer as improvable.

Nevertheless, in spite of, and by reason of, her studied neutrality, the influence of science is every year telling more strongly against a belief in a future life. Inevitably so; since whatever science does not tend to prove, she in some sort tends to disprove ; beliefs die out, without formal refutation, if they find no place among the copious store of verified and systematised facts and inferences which are supplanting the traditions and speculations of

---

pre-scientific days as the main mental pabulum of mankind.

And the very magnitude of the special belief in question renders it, in one sense, the more easily starved. Men feel that, if it were true, there would surely be far more to be said for it than they have ever heard. The silence which surrounds the topic is almost more discouraging than overt attack. At first, indeed, in the early days of the scientific dominion, *savants* were wont to make some sort of apology, or disclaimer of competence, when their doctrines seemed too obviously to ignore man's hope of a future. Then came open assaults from audacious and confident *savants*—to whom the apologetic and optimistic *savants* seemed to have nothing particular to reply. And gradually the educated world—that part of it, at least, which science leads—is waking up to find that no mere trifles or traditions only, but the great hope which inspired their fathers aforetime, is insensibly vanishing away.

Now it is important that a question so momentous should not thus be suffered to go by default. There should be an occasional

---

stocktaking of evidence, an occasional inquiry whether, among the multifarious advances of science, any evidence has been discovered bearing on a question which, after all, is to science a question of evidence alone.

It seems to me that, even during this generation—even during the last few years—discoveries have, in fact, been made which must gradually revolutionise our whole attitude towards the question of an unseen world, and of our own past, present, or future existence therein.

Some of the discoveries of which I speak—in the realm of automatism and of human personality—have already commanded wide scientific assent, although their drift and meaning have, as I hold, been as yet very imperfectly understood. Other discoveries, which I regard as equally valid, are as yet disputed or ignored; but they are, in fact, so closely linked with what is already admitted, that all analogy (I think) leads us to suppose that, in some form or other, these newer views also are destined profoundly to modify scientific thought.

The discoveries of which I speak are not

the result of any starting novelties of method. Rather, they are examples of the fruitful results which will often follow from the simple application of well-known methods of research to a group of phenomena which, for some special historical reason, has hitherto been left outside the steady current of experiment and observation.

Now, the whole inquiry into man's survival has thus far, if I may so say, fallen between two stools. Neither those who support the thesis, nor those who impugn it, have thus far made any serious attempt to approach it by scientific method.

On the one hand, materialistic science has, naturally enough, preferred to treat the subject as hardly capable of argument. There is the obvious fact that, when a man dies, you hear nothing more from him. And there is the fact—less obvious, indeed, but more and more fully established—that to every mental change some cerebral change corresponds; with the inference that, when the brain decays, the mind is extinct as well.

This strong negative argument forms the basis of the popular treatises—Buchner's *Kraft*

*und Stoff* and *Das kiinftige Leben* may serve as examples—which urge mankind definitely to set aside all thought of a life to come. The argument is, necessarily, a purely negative one ; it rests on the absence of positive testimony to any mental energy with which some cerebral change is not directly concomitant. The negative presumption will, therefore, be *shaken* if accepted notions as to man's personality are shown to be gravely defective, while it will be at once *overthrown* if positive evidence to man's survival of bodily death can in any way be acquired.

To the arguments of Materialism, Philosophy and Religion have replied in ways of their own. As regards the nature of human personality, philosophy has had much to say ; and man's immortality has been the very corner stone of the Christian faith. But, with rare exceptions, neither philosophy nor religion has discovered, or even sought for, facts and arguments which could meet materialistic science on its own ground. The spokesmen of religion, indeed, have generally preferred, for ecclesiastical or for moral reasons, to leave the question of man's survival, or, as they have

termed it, man's immortality, to the domain of faith. On ecclesiastical grounds, they have naturally desired to retain the monopoly of spiritual teaching; they have been less concerned to prove by carnal methods that an unseen world exists, than to impress their own crowning message or revelation upon men who already believed in that world as a reality. On moral grounds, also, they have felt it dangerous to allow a dogma so essential as man's future life to be thrown into the chaldron of speculation. So long, indeed, as the earthly prosperity of the righteous was held sufficient to prove the moral government of the world, man's destiny after death might remain an open field for primitive questionings. But when earthly justice was too plainly seen to fail, then the doctrine of future reward and punishment became necessary in order to justify the ways of God to men.

Since, then, the thesis of man's survival has been far oftener defended with an ethical than with a merely scientific interest, it is no wonder that the moral and emotional arguments should have assumed almost complete predominance.

With those arguments I have in this essay

nothing to do. I am expressly laying aside all support which the belief in a future life receives either from "natural religion," from philosophy, or from revelation. I wish to debate the matter on the ground of experiments and observations such as are appealed to in other inquiries for definite objective proof.

Yet there is one argument which, since it is historical as well as religious, I must not avoid altogether. It will be urged by many readers that the Resurrection of Christ is "a fact as well attested as any in history"—better attested, they will say, than many of the recent observations on which I rely. And although on that historical question my opinion has no special value, I must not shirk this appeal. I will say, then, that I still adhere to Paley's view ; that I cannot explain that testimony given by the "twelve men of probity," in face of bonds and stripes and death, except on the supposition that Christ did in fact in some way manifest Himself to His disciples after bodily life was extinct. But I personally could not press this argument upon other minds. I recognise that, were I not convinced also of those facts of modern occurrence which are actually in dispute, then,

although I might have a *moral* right, I should hardly have a *scientific* right to pin my faith to an event so marvellous and so isolated, and dating back to a time and country with standards of historical accuracy so different from our own.

And I observe that, among the newer school of theologians, there is less and less disposition to press the argument on purely historical grounds. Preachers do not often say, "Apart from all question of what Christ was or did, we have absolute proof that He rose from the dead, and, consequently, that all men are so constituted that they will rise also." Rather they say, "Christ scaled a divine life with this great manifestation of divinity; therefore, we must believe Him when He tells us that we shall rise again."

It is natural enough to mix historical with moral proof where the purely moral elements in the demonstration have so often been found convincing. Yet it would be a grave mistake to suppose that, however cogent the moral proof of any proposition as to matter of fact may be, a scientific proof is thereby rendered superfluous. A belief which a man cannot

---

connect and correlate with other beliefs relating to similar matters cannot long maintain an independent vitality.

As I have already said, the habit of belief on definite scientific grounds tends to the atrophy of all beliefs on matters of fact which cannot be verified by rigorous historical methods, or by modern experiment and observation. Physical science is in this way far more sceptical—or, rather, far more agnostic—than Law. Law has to act on probabilities; it gives weight to moral considerations when definite proof cannot be had. But science, if definite proof is unattainable, puts the matter aside, altogether.

The result is, as we all know, that the great majority of Continental *savants* and disciples of science have practically ceased to regard a future life as a possibility worth discussing. In England and America the case is different; but even here the belief in survival seems now to rest, not so much on any definite creed, as on a temper of mind which in energetic Western races survives for some time the decay of definite dogma. I mean that view of the universe loosely styled optimism, but which

---

some now term *bonism*, with no greater barbarism in the form of the word, and more accuracy in its meaning. These sanguine races, I say, still maintain their trust that the Cosmos, as a whole, is good, even when the definite beliefs on which this trust anciently rested have one by one been cut away. "We cannot believe," they say, "that God or Nature will put us to permanent intellectual confusion." "We must hold that life has a meaning, and that man's highest instincts are in accordance with the truth of things."

One must needs feel sympathy for the various groups, semi-Christian, Theistic, or Pantheistic, who are thus striving to support, on less and less of substantive aliment, the spiritual life within. But, alas ! no sooner have the Positivist school succeeded in reducing that aliment to a large H in Humanity—the spiritual equivalent of a straw *per diem*—than the optimistic temper is found to be starved out, and the Western world to be gravitating towards the immemorial melancholy of the East.

It is the pessimists who contribute the most characteristic note to the philosophy of our

generation. They tell us that the young vigour of Western races has thus far, accepted without question the illusive brightness which Nature's witchery casts upon human fates. But, as these races attain maturity of meditation, they will pass from under the magic spell ; their restless energy will die down as it recognises that all energies in the end are vain.

Yet it is not in philosophical utterance, but in practical life, that this disillusioned view of the universe is most pervading and potent. The determined egoist has in all ages been hard for the moralist to handle. And now he can turn round on the moralist and invoke the universe to back him. The "struggle-for-lifefeur" can plausibly maintain that it is he who in reality conforms to the fundamental law of all existence—that law being the self-preservation of each separate entity ; and all alliances with other entities being mere temporary aids to self-preservation. " My ancestors," he may say, " instinctively practised tribal virtues, or they would not have survived. I can survive without practising those virtues ; and if others imitate me, and my tribe decays, I shall merely infer that a nation containing many persons

above a certain pitch of intelligence must necessarily lose the tribal instinct, the self-sacrificing *naivete* which are essential to what you call private virtue, or national greatness." We may threaten to hold aloof from such a man as this ; but he will reply that the society of dupes or prigs is not the form of enjoyment at which he particularly aims.

To all this, of course, the upright man has for his own part an unshaken answer. He refuses to believe that the universe can be an evil thing. Whatever his personal destiny may be, he is ready to throw himself into the destiny of the whole. No disenchantment can dislodge him from the august self-surrender of Cleanthes' prayer :—

Lead, lead Cleanthes, Zeus and holy Fate,  
Where'er ye place my post, to serve or wait:  
Willing I follow; if against my will,  
A baffled rebel I must follow still.

To this temper the best men come nearest; this temper we should wish to be ours. And yet we have no proof that it may not in very truth be entirely irrational. The universe may not expect anything of this kind, nor be prepared to meet our self-devotion in any way

---

whatever. All the moral grandeur which we feel in the Cosmos may be the mere figment of our own imaginations. This may be the last form of man's ineradicable anthropomorphism ; the ascription to the Sum of Things of that merger of individual interests in a vaster well-being which was necessary to our struggling ancestors in order that their tribe might survive.

The universe has no need to struggle for existence ; it exists, and there is no more to say. For aught we know, it may consist of countless units of sensation, with no ultimate end beyond their own individual and momentary pleasure, or surcease of pain, and only linked into a semblance of community by the exigencies of lust or war.

So profound is the atheism of these reflections, that there is something repugnant even in the admission that they need an answer. And yet when, sometimes, an answer is hinted at by some philosopher cognisant of the weakness of the habitual positions, there is apt to be a sinister tone in his reserve. It is suggested that it need not always be deemed incumbent on the moral teacher to proclaim that at all

---

hazards we must seek the truth. If the wisest men have decided that it is impossible to "maintain Eternal Providence" it will be well to say and think as little as possible about the destiny of man. Nay, it may be a duty to preach to the young a lying gospel ; to hide from them as long as may be the vanity of human hope. Science, it is urged, would thus be only doing what religion has often done before—setting a bar to inquiries which would lead to demoralisation and despair. Nor can one say which would be the better justification : the plea of religion, that she did but restrain the soul from a risk of wilful and fatal error ; or the plea which science would have to urge, that she was but hiding the Medusa's head under her robe, and keeping from men innocent and unfortunate the inevitable and paralysing truth.

For my own part, I am opposed to either plea. There seems to me to be something even absurdly premature in this despair of the human republic. And, meantime, it is to the simple, dispassionate love of truth, and to this alone, that I can appeal in urging a line of inquiry on which neither scientific nor

---

religious orthodoxy has thus far bestowed active support.

I maintain, then, that to suppose for a moment that mankind could have already arrived at any valid scientific conclusion negating our possible survival of death, is to show that the very idea that the subject can be treated scientifically has hardly yet entered men's minds. We sometimes see it said that "the highest intellects have grappled with the problem in vain for many an age." But what does this really mean? What materials have the highest intellects had to work upon? What observations have they made? What line of experiment have they pursued and found to be fruitless?

And what fraction of the probable duration on earth of the race of civilised men do such reasoners suppose to have already elapsed? Was there any abstract speculation worth speaking of five thousand years ago? And what proportion do five thousand years bear to the millions of years—place the number of millions as low as you will—during which, barring accidents, we may suppose that the slowly-cooling sun will still be keeping our descendants

alive? Assuredly "we are ancients of the earth and in the morning of the times," in a sense far deeper than our habitual modes of thought, our contrasts between "antiquity" and the modern world, permit us to realise. We are still in the first moment of man's awakening intelligence; we are merely opening our eyes upon the universe around us.

But even if we choose to speak of the past duration of human thought as long, and of the thinkers who have pondered on man's survival as many in number, we may yet well ask whether a failure thus far to solve any particular problem need be taken as indicating that men better equipped for the research will not solve it in due time. In dealing with any ordinary branch of science such a question could have but one answer. The only reason why it is needful here to press it is, that the existence or nature of an unseen world around us has scarcely, thus far, been treated as a scientific question at all.

And yet, if an unseen world exists—and supposing it to exist, we must in some sense be in it—that world cannot consist only of ideas and emotions, of theology and meta-

---

physics. It must be a world of science too, —a world governed by laws which cannot be moral laws alone, but which must regulate all that goes on in that world, and all communications (if any there be) which pass between that world and this.

The question, then, whether such communications can ever be received or understood, is in reality a question as to the possible extension of our terrestrial science so as to embrace possible indications of a life lying beyond, yet conceivably touching the life and the conditions of earth.

Now, the whole history of science is a history of the recognition and interpretation of continually slighter indications of forces or entities continually more subtle and remote. At each stage of progress there have been *savants* who have declared that the extreme limit of human perception had now been reached. At each stage observers accustomed to one set of inquiries, already easy and fruitful, have protested against new kinds of inquiry as chimerical and useless.

It happens thus, that an inquiry by positive methods into the survival of men, although, of

---

course, like other inquiries, it may be doomed to ultimate failure, is, nevertheless, both an almost new and a by no means hopeless thing. So novel is it, that the very observations which are urged most strongly *against* survival are scarcely a generation old ; while the observations which tell *in favour* of survival have only been systematically recorded within the last decade. Nor, in fact, need it surprise us that the problem should have remained thus practically almost untouched. The mere fact that a problem is important to us is no reason why we should expect that our ancestors should have solved it. The priest or the philosopher, indeed, may give us answers on those matters first which it most behoves us to know. But the *savant*, the actual observer and experimenter, gives us answers first, not on the most important problems, but on those which it is easiest to solve. We must discover the proper methods of search before we can get at any given result. Now, the proper methods in question touching the intimate constitution of man—on which constitution his survival or non-survival of death must depend—are partly those of physiology and partly those of psychology. The methods

of physiology are new and imperfect; the methods of experimental psychology are newer and more imperfect still.

As has been already implied, the scientific arguments *against* survival are themselves very recent. After that first obvious inference from the impenetrable silence of death, no further precision was given to the discussion until the middle of the present century. At about that date men began to realise the fact which John Stuart Mill could still treat as unproved—namely, that to every observable thought or emotion of man there probably corresponds some change or movement in the material substance of the brain.

The exactness and delicacy with which these correspondences can now be established have made a deep impression on the public mind. We seem to have tracked mental life to its inmost recesses, and to have found it everywhere enwound with an organism which tells us much of our bestial origin, nothing of our spiritual future. The very pineal gland which Descartes suggested as the seat of the soul is now regarded as a degenerate vestige of the eye of an invertebrate ancestor.

And yet, however exactly the parallelism between psychical and cerebral energies may be established, the exacter correlation can tell us little more than the vaguer told us—little more than we had always known when noting the abeyance of the spiritual life in infancy, its distortion in madness, its decay in age.

No one, indeed, can now claim—but no one could ever reasonably claim—that the soul can sway and dominate the brain as it will, and express itself in its entirety through however defective an instrument. Going back to a metaphor as old as Plato, we know, even more surely than he did, that the musician cannot play sweetly on the lyre if it be strained or broken. But as to the origin or essential significance of this close connection of "psychosis and neurosis" we avowedly know nothing at all. We do not know whether the mental energy precedes or follows on the cerebral change, nor whether the two are, somehow, but different aspects of the same fact.

Thus far we are most of us agreed. We come now to a point of greater novelty. During the last few years experiments have been made, in France and in England, on the nature of

---

human personality, which must influence our conception of this equation between mind and brain in directions as yet very imperfectly understood.

How quickly matters have moved may be best judged by a reference to the utterance of an advanced thinker a quarter of a century ago.

In 1865 the late John Stuart Mill, in his *Examination of Sir IV. Hamilton's Philosophy*, had occasion to discuss the question whether "unconscious mental modifications" do or do not exist; whether ideas can pass through the mind without forming a part, even for a moment, of the normal—assumed to be the only—current of consciousness. The only sentence which need here be cited from that discussion runs as follows: "The difference between the two opinions being *beyond the reach of experiment*" (the italics are mine), "and both being equally consistent with the facts which present themselves spontaneously, it is not easy to obtain sure grounds for deciding between them."

Most of my readers will be aware that it is, in fact, perfectly easy to decide this question by direct experiment in five minutes. Nay,

---

even at the date when Mill wrote, it was perfectly easy so to decide it, and the experiment had been already made many thousands of times ; so dangerous is it for even the greatest philosophers to neglect even the humblest adit into actual fact.

For, in truth, ever since the experiments, I will not say of Mesmer, but of DC Puysegur, it had been known to all those who were willing to take the trouble to read a few books, and to verify for themselves by actual trial the records which those books contained—it had been known, I say, that very many men and women in normal health, could by various simple methods be placed in the so-called somnambulic condition, or mesmeric trance, during which state they could talk and act intelligently ; but that when "awakened" from this trance, they remembered absolutely nothing of what had passed. It is as clear as such a matter can reasonably be made, that thoughts and emotions of almost any degree of strength and complexity may occupy a sane mind for hours together, and yet at no time enter into the current of ordinary waking consciousness.

This in itself is a striking fact enough, and

---

goes far to settle the question which Mill deemed incapable of direct attack. But these experiments have a significance which reaches far beyond the bounds of the ancient controversy. For the question is no longer of mere momentary intrusions into, or exclusions from, a stream of consciousness which is assumed to be practically synonymous with the man's entire being. On the contrary, we are now learning to conceive of our normal consciousness as representing only a fragment of the activity going on in our brains. We know of cases where a secondary current of consciousness—connected in various ways with the primary current—is always ready to take its place; so that the person lives alternately two different lives, with different chains of memory, and even different characters. Nay, we know of cases, both spontaneous and experimentally induced, where the secondary consciousness has definitely replaced the primary one, and the person now possesses what would have been called in old times a different Self from that with which her earthly consciousness began.

These conclusions, I say, are now admitted ; but, although admitted, they are still, I think,

---

very imperfectly understood. They have as yet been observed mainly by physicians, who have seldom realised their profound psychological meaning. That meaning, as I understand it, is that no known form of human consciousness manifests, or comes near to manifesting, the total Self; and, consequently, that this empirical or superficial consciousness with which we habitually identify ourselves can only discover indirectly and inferentially, by experiment and artifice, the extent of our intellectual being. We know not what fraction of ourselves it may be which till now we have taken for the whole.

As thus far stated, these expanding psychological prospects are still consistent with the view that all our mental activities, however extensive and however subdivisible, may be dependent on cerebral changes, and may end with death. Yet even were there no new powers visible in the widening inward horizon, the very magnitude of the change in our conception of personality might well make us pause before repeating the dogmas of negation which were framed with regard to far simpler and narrower facts.

---

Such a pause, at any rate, would soon bring its own justification. For in reality there is much more to add. Our notion of personality is being deepened as well as widened ; we begin to discern profounder powers—powers difficult to explain by any process of terrestrial evolution, and indicating connections between mind and mind of a character which there seems no logical necessity that death should interrupt or abolish. The direct action of mind upon mind at a distance, without the agency of the recognised organs of sense, is a fact in Nature (as I believe) which, although of frequent, or even of continual occurrence, can rarely be so isolated and observed as to be capable of direct and formal proof. That it has been, and is now being, so isolated and observed, under rigorous conditions, is the belief of a growing group of experimenters in England and other countries—a group which includes not a few names already known for accurate work accomplished in other fields.

Now this fact, as I deem it, of telepathy, or the passage of thought and emotion from one mind to another without sensory aid, does not in itself carry obvious proof of anything in man

---

which the materialistic hypothesis might not cover. " Brain-waves " might be a form of ether-waves, or in some way analogous thereto ; and this view, indeed, is now urged by the eminent Italian *savant*, Professor Lombroso, who regards telepathy as tending to show that thought is essentially a vibratory energy, and possibly capable of correlation with other modes of motion. Assume the possibility of such a view ; even thus, what need will there again be of pause and readjustment ! But in truth even the slight knowledge thus far gained of telepathy is enough to show something far more complex than any single physical law can explain. When once we have got hold of this transference of thoughts and images as an experimental fact, we find new analogies suggested, and a new light thrown on many previously inexplicable phenomena.

We find, for instance, that it is occasionally possible for an experimenter to produce by effort of will a hallucinatory image of himself in the perception of a friend at a distance, without any previous suggestion or anticipation that such an image would appear. This fact, of which we have several instances, attested by

---

trustworthy persons at each end of the chain, forms a transition between ordinary experiments in thought-transference and those spontaneous hallucinatory images which occur so frequently at or about the moment of death, and represent the dying person to a distant friend, who is often not even aware of the illness. These "Phantasms of the Living," again, although they may not actually prove that man is other than a purely material being, do at any rate so extend and alter our conception of his hidden powers that our previous psychology is seen to need fundamental readjustment. Nay more ; the connection of these apparitions with the *unconscious self* is significant in the extreme. It appears that the projection of a phantom of this kind, although it sometimes follows on an exertion of conscious will, is much more frequently an unconscious act, and takes place while the "agent" or person whose image is projected is asleep, or fainting, or even in the comatose condition which often precedes death. Now this projection of a phantom into other minds is a psychical activity of some kind, and some cerebral activity must, I do not doubt, correspond with it. But whatever the equation thus im-

---

plied may be, it assuredly must contain some elements which are not allowed for in the formulae by which the concomitance between "psychosis and neurosis" is commonly expressed. We generally suppose, for instance, that a rapid flow of blood through the brain is necessary for vigorous psychological action. But in some of our published cases the dying man seems to produce a strong psychological effect at a distance while he is lying in a state of coma, with bodily functions at their lowest ebb. In short, this kind of special telepathic energy seems to vary inversely, rather than directly, with the observable activity of the nervous system or of the conscious mind.

The solution of this puzzle is not likely to be found without a far wider knowledge of actual facts than we have yet attained. It is encouraging, therefore, to observe that the scientific world is gradually beginning to realise the importance of collecting and analysing all those instructive psychological phenomena which we class under the title of *hallucinations*, since, whatever of truth their purport may contain, they possess at any rate the special hallucinatory quality of suggesting

some material object which is not actually present. The International Congress of Experimental Psychology, which was opened in Paris in 1889 by the well-known psychologist M. Ribot, undertook the continuance of a Census of Hallucinations, which had been already set on foot, and which has since been carried on in France by M. Marillier, in America by Professor William James of Harvard, and in England by Professor Sidgwick of Cambridge. The object of this inquiry—which, be it observed, is not mystical but statistical—is to determine what percentage of sane and healthy persons experience hallucinations of any kind, what the nature or causes of such hallucinations appear to be, and what percentage of them are truth-telling, or veridical—coincide, that is to say, with some actual fact at a distance not otherwise known, as when a man sees the figure of a friend who dies at that moment.<sup>1</sup>

This whole quest, it should be understood, is practically a new one. Hallucinations had, of course, been already studied (though in somewhat cursory fashion) as symptoms of disease.

<sup>1</sup> The Report of the Census is expected to appear this year (1893) as Part XXV. of the *Proceedings of the Society for Psychical Research* (Kegan Paul, Trench, Triebner, and Co.).

---

And of late years the induction of hallucination in sane and healthy persons during the hypnotic trance had begun to be recognised as an experimental method of great value in psychology. But comparatively few *savants* have yet realised the extreme variety and instructiveness of the phantasmal sights and sounds which occur spontaneously to normal persons, and which it is now for the first time becoming possible to study in a systematic instead of a merely anecdotic manner.

And here we, of course, come face to face with the question whether any of these phantasmal appearances, which we hold to give frequent evidence of an influence of living men at a distance, can be held to give evidence of an influence of the still remoter dead. The first thing needed in such an inquiry has been to set aside altogether, not only the mass of ill-attested stories on which the believer in ghosts has been wont to rely, but also the very grounds of belief to which such stories have mainly appealed. It cannot be admitted that if, say, a mourning husband sees the phantasmal figure of his deceased wife, and hears her speak, there is proof of anything beyond a mere sub-

---

jective affection. No emotional fitness, no mere vividness of perception, can prove that the figure was not generated by the percipient's own brooding memories. But if the supposed husband does not know that his wife is dead, or even ill, and yet sees her figure shortly after her death, the apparition at once acquires evidential value. And if, not a mourning husband, but some complete stranger, sees a phantasmal figure, and afterwards identifies that figure amongst a number of photographs, and it turns out to represent someone who has recently died in the room where the apparition was seen—then, again, we have a kind of coincidence which, if often repeated, must indicate something more than chance, although the precise meaning of the incident may still be far from clear. Again, if several persons simultaneously or successively (but independently of each other) see a phantasmal figure which they describe in similar terms, it seems probable that some cause is at work beyond the mere subjective state of the percipients in question.

The study of cases of this type (many of which I have set forth elsewhere) has gradually convinced me that the least improbable hypo-

---

thesis lies in the supposition that some influence on the minds of men on earth is occasionally exercised by the surviving personalities of men departed. I believe this influence to be, usually, of an indirect and dreamlike character, but I cannot explain the facts to myself without supposing that such an influence exists.

I am further strengthened in this belief by the study of the automatic phenomena briefly noticed above. I observe that in all the varieties of automatic action—of which automatic writing may be taken as a prominent type—the contents of the messages given seem to be derived from three sources. First of all comes the automatist's own mind. From that the vast bulk of the messages are undoubtedly drawn, even when they refer to matters which the automatist once knew, but has entirely forgotten. Whatever has gone into the mind may come out of the mind ; although this automatism may be the only way of getting at it. Secondly, there is a small percentage of messages apparently telepathic—containing, that is to say, facts probably unknown to the automatist, but known to some living person in his company, or connected with him. But, thirdly, there is

---

a still smaller residuum of messages which I cannot thus explain—messages which contain facts apparently not known to the automatist nor to any living friend of his, but known to some deceased person, perhaps a total stranger to the living man whose hand is writing. I cannot avoid the conviction that in some way—however dreamlike and indirect—it is the departed personality which originates such messages as these.<sup>1</sup>

I by no means wish to impose these views upon minds not prepared to accept them. What I do desire is, that as many other men as possible should qualify themselves to judge independently of the value of the evidence on which I rely—should study what has been collected, and should repeat the experiments and extend the observations which are essential to the formation of any judgments worth the name.

To those who have watched with personal interest the slow stages which had to be passed through before the simpler facts of hypnotism received official recognition as facts and not as

<sup>1</sup> See *Proceedings of the Society for Psychical Research*, Part XVI., etc.

---

frauds, the gradual pace at which these more advanced phenomena are finding acceptance is in no way surprising. The general public are little aware of the persistent disregard of good evidence, as well as of bad, with which the early school of mesmerists were met by the medical world of their day. Yet the study of that slow victory over prejudice and apathy forms one of the most instructive chapters in the history of science.

Gradually one phenomenon after another of those discovered and attested by De Puysegur, Esdaile, Elliotson, etc., has been admitted into orthodox science under some slightly altered name. Certain phenomena, rarer and more difficult to examine, but attested by the same men with equal care, are still left in the outer court of the scientific temple. But when one has seen the somnambulic state, the insensibility under operations, etc., which were once scouted as fraudulent nonsense, becoming the common-places of the lecture-room, one can await with equanimity the general acceptance of the thought - transference and the clairvoyance which, from De Puysegur's day onwards, have repeatedly occurred in the course of those same

experiments — experiments which sometimes ruined the careers of those who made them, but which are now recognised as epoch-making in a great department of experimental psychology.

I place together then—as I claim that history gives me a *primd facie* right to do—certain experiments which have, so to say, gained general acceptance but yesterday, and certain cognate experiments which are on their way (as I think) to general acceptance on some not distant morrow; and I draw from these a double line of argument in favour of human survival. In the first place, I point to the great extension and deepening which experiment has given to our conception of the content and capacities of the sub-conscious human mind,—amounting, perhaps, to a shifting of man's psychical centre of gravity from the conscious to the sub-conscious or subliminal strata of his being—and accompanied by the manifestation of powers at least not obviously derivable from terrestrial evolution.

And, in the second place, I claim that there is, in fact, direct evidence for the exercise of some kind of influence by the surviving per-

---

sonalities of departed men. I claim that the analysis of phantasmal sights and sounds, treated by careful rules of evidence, indicates this influence. And I claim that it is indicated also by the analysis of those automatic messages which, in various manners, carry upwards to the threshold of consciousness the knowledge acquired from unknown sources by the sub-conscious mind.

And now a word as to the special character of the fragments of knowledge as to things unseen which I regard as having been reached in the aforesaid manner. The only claim which I make for this knowledge is a claim considerably humbler than prophet or preacher has usually advanced. I do not say that these are such facts as might be selected from the whole universe of facts to edify or to console us. But I say that they are such facts as we should have been likely, on any scientific method, to get hold of amongst the first, and to assimilate the most easily.

If there be an invisible universe, it will be easiest for us to imagine it after the analogy of the largest conception which we apply to the visible universe. We shall accordingly

---

conceive it as an immense, coherent process of evolution, in which Thought and Consciousness are not, as the materialists hold them, a mere *epiphenomenon*) an accidental and transitory accompaniment of more permanent energies, a light that flashes out from the furnace door but does none of the work,—but, on the other hand, are, and always have been, the central subject of the evolutionary process itself.

Now, if this be the case, we should expect that our first intimation of the true extra-terrene character of our evolution might be the accidental discovery of some faculty within us which was not traceable to the action of our terrene antecedents. Here, as elsewhere, we might expect that knowledge of the future might be attained by inference from the past. The comparison of man as he is to the caterpillar, and of man as he may be after death to the butterfly, is a tolerably old one. Let us suppose that some humble larvae are dissecting each other, and speculating as to their destinies. At first they find themselves precisely suited to life and death on a cabbage-leaf. Then they begin to observe certain points in their construction which are useless to larval

---

life. These are, in fact, what are called " imaginal characters " — points of structure which indicate that the larva has descended from an imago, or perfect insect, and is destined in his turn to become one himself. These characters are much overlaid by the secondary or larval characters, which subserve larval, and not imaginal life, and they consequently may easily be overlooked or ignored. But our supposed caterpillar sticks to his point; he maintains that these characteristics indicate an aerial origin. And now a butterfly settles for a moment on the cabbage-leaf. The caterpillar points triumphantly to the morphological identity of some of the butterfly's conspicuous characters with some of his own latent characters ; and while he is trying to persuade his fellow-caterpillars of this, the butterfly flies away.

This is exactly what I hold to have happened in the history of human evolution. I will mention one or two great names alone. Plato was the first larva to insist upon the imaginal characters. His doctrine of Reminiscence asserted that our quasi-instinctive recognition of geometrical truths, etc., implied that

---

we, in fact, *remembered* these truths ; that geometrical capacity was a character carried into this world with us from some other stage of being. And the view thus pressed by Socrates and Plato, the very founders of science, is now renewed by the foremost of living naturalists. Mr. Wallace holds, as is well known, a modification of Plato's view. He considers that these sudden increments of faculty—mathematical, musical, and the like—which occur without apparent hereditary cause, indicate some access of energy outside the order of purely terrene evolution. Somewhat similarly, I would suggest that telepathy and cognate faculties now beginning to be recognised as inherent in the sub-conscious strata of the human intelligence, may be the results of an evolution other than that terrene or physical evolution whose successive steps and slowly-growing capacities we can in some rough way retrace.

Yet one more point to complete the parallel which I have suggested between the man and the caterpillar. We have discovered (as I hold) that we men can occasionally communicate among ourselves in a fashion at once inexplicable and practically useless—a fashion for

---

which no origin suggests itself in the history of terrene evolution. And we observe also, that information not attainable by ordinary methods is sometimes conveyed to us by this method. I argue, as the caterpillar argued about the butterfly, that here is a similarity of structure between our own intelligence and some unseen intelligence, and that what that unseen intelligence is we too may once have been, and may be destined again to be. And, addressing myself for a moment to the religious and philosophical side of man, I point out that our small or even grotesque cases of telepathic transmission between living men, or between the men called living and the men called dead, stand towards certain of the central beliefs of the Gospels and of some high philosophies in the same relation in which laboratory experiments stand to the vast operations of Nature. That same direct influence of mind on mind which we show *in minimis* would, if supposed operative *in maximis*, be a form of stating the efficacy of prayer, the communion of saints, or even the operation of a Divine Spirit.

To those who will say that all this is a mere fantasy played on the great theme of Evolution,

---

I would suggest that the theory of Evolution can never be—I do not say complete—but even coherent, until it can say some plausible word on Life, Consciousness, Thought; and that even inconclusive experiments—if ours are inconclusive—and misinterpreted observations—if ours are misinterpreted—may be the inevitable pathway through which the human mind gropes onwards into fuller light. And to those, on the other hand, who disdain the paltriness, the unspiritual character of our results, and who would fain keep alive the religious glow in humanity with no definite basis of proof, I would reply, that by small accretions sure foothold may be upbuilt, and that he who stands on a narrow coral island in mist and night will in the end see more than he who floats dreamily amid the splendours of sunset which illumine an ever-shadowing sea.

But, indeed, whatever be the significance of the facts which in my own view are already established, I am anxious not to claim from my readers more than they can fairly concede. I do not claim that all men ought to be convinced; but only that men whose minds are free from prepossession ought to feel that there

---

is a case for further inquiry. Nor can we even assume that the minds, even of able and honest men, will, in fact, be free from prepossession in such a matter as this. Most men of middle age have formed some decided opinion on points so vital ; and they must for some time continue, I do not say to judge the new evidence in the light of the old opinion, but to retain the old opinion, whatever they may think of the new evidence. I have met with instances on both sides. I know certain agnostic *savants* whose intellect pronounces the new evidence to be very strong, but whose habitual temper of mind does not permit them to dwell upon the conclusions to which that evidence points. And, on the other hand, I know certain theologians and metaphysicians who take for granted, without examination, that the new evidence must needs break down, and the new researches come to nothing, but who nevertheless continue to treat man's immortality as already proved to demonstration by favourite arguments of their own.

Such men as these—and many of our best minds are among them—will never seriously grapple with a new and complex inquiry which

lies far outside their habitual line of thought. We must appeal—as is commonly the case in any new departure of great moment—to a somewhat younger generation. There are many men now entering on active intellectual life who are practically devoid of any prepossession ; who feel neither the old religious fervour, nor, on the other hand, that ardour of negation which formed the brief reaction from an orthodox domination which could no longer maintain its hold. Such men believe in the methods of science, and in little else ; but they are often animated by a deep sympathy for mankind, and are impelled to a practical benevolence which would fain base itself upon a larger hope.

It is these men whom I wish to convince, not that my own answer to any given problem is the true one, but simply and solely that these most momentous problems of human fate can be, and must be, attacked with precisely the same steady care and dispassionate candour as have been already employed upon those myriad problems on which science has established a " consensus of experts," and has set mankind at unity.

The time for *a priori* chains of argument,

---

for the subjective pronouncements of leading minds, for amateurish talk and pious opinion, has passed away ; the question of the survival of man is a branch of Experimental Psychology. Is there, or is there not, evidence in the actual observed phenomena of automatism, apparitions, and the like, for a transcendental energy in living men, or for an influence emanating from personalities which have overpassed the tomb ? This is the definite question, which we can at least intelligibly discuss, and which either we or our descendants may some day hope to answer.

And what, after all, is this appeal of mine except a last assertion of the inductive method in a field from which Bacon debarred that method only because he deemed the position already impregnable without need of further proof? You may say, of course, that the evidence which has thus far been collected, by a few men, in a few years, is weak and insufficient. You may say this, I repeat, either after perusing the dozen or so of necessary volumes, or, as is more usual, without thinking it needful to study the actual facts at all. But in this age of the world you can scarcely impugn

---

the temper of mind which prompts the inquiry ; the readiness to repeat minute experiments, to analyse obscure indications, to prefer small facts to great assumptions—in short, what Bacon calls "the true and legitimate humiliation of the human spirit." And when Bacon speaks of those who " have but cast a glance or two upon facts and examples and experience, and straight-way proceeded, as if invention were nothing more than an exercise of thought, to invoke their own spirits to give them oracles," are we not reminded of many a proud conclusion of the metaphysician who would by his own mere sign-manual renounce the heritage of the race ;—of many an "*ignoramus et ignorabimus*" of the *savant* who would fain set his own private boundary to the still-advancing tide-wave of the discoveries and the dominion of man ?

What other effort after knowledge is equally worth our pains ? What possibility lies before mankind of equal magnitude with this possibility of demonstrating the existence of an unseen world, and man's communication therewith or existence therein ? We are standing, be it remembered, at the very beginning of the probable period of civilised human habitation of this

---

planet. We live in the infancy of our race ; but we have not the child's boundless expectation of knowledge or of joy. On the contrary, the necessary limits of our material science are dimly divined, at a distance which men already begin to measure, albeit with that calmness with which we regard the possible troubles of a hundredth generation. If we allow ourselves a speculation so perilously remote, we have to admit that the nature of light itself, the structure of our own sense-organs, the character of the elements of which our planet is composed, all indicate that there are boundaries of observation which no instruments and no inferences can overpass, and that after a few more thousand years, if you will, of theoretic discovery, we shall be reduced to mere practical applications of such small fraction of the facts of the universe as have proved accessible to men who can but peer through the bars of a prison-house into an illimitable world.

On the moral side, moreover, as well as on the scientific, we know what limitations of the ideal are imposed by the narrowing of our prospect to earth alone. I shall not here enter on the question of the intrinsic value of human

---

life, if that life ends in the tomb. It is enough to say that in the very Utopias framed by so-called Secular or Positivist enthusiasm, the elements of enterprise and aspiration—the "high strife and glorious hazard" of which Plato speaks—avowedly and inevitably tend to disappear. Suppose, for instance, an entirely successful Socialism—suppose the earth inhabited by a fixed number of healthy persons, living in equal luxury and universal peace. What are these men and women to think of or to look to more? or what will be left *Epicuri de grege porcis* to give to life its mystery, its hope, its charm? Now I do not say that the consideration of the salutary results of any given belief should lead us to entertain that belief on insufficient evidence. But I do say that such prospect of consequences should urge to strenuous effort along lines of inquiry which can be so straightforwardly conducted, so strictly defined, that it shall be open to all to criticise the process and to estimate the result. "If in anything," says Bacon again, "I have been either too credulous or too little awake and attentive, or if I have fallen off by the way, and left the inquiry incomplete, nevertheless, I so present these

---

things naked and open that my errors can be marked and set aside before the mass of knowledge be further infected by them ; and it will be easy also for others to continue and carry on my labours." Such, surely, is the temper in which those should work who hold that this same patient subjection of the human spirit to the facts of the universe, this same obedience to Nature—whom we hope in the end to rule—may at last extend beyond the material Cosmos the prospect and the hopes of man.

I will conclude this paper with a curious illustration of that survival of mediaeval conceptions which prevents men from approaching this problem with a clear and open mind. The effort to prove that there is a life beyond the grave is sometimes spoken of as *selfisJi*, by the very men who declare themselves most eager to promote the terrestrial welfare of their fellows. It is hard to say why it should be philanthropic to desire the lesser boon for mankind, and selfish to desire the greater ; unless, indeed, the genuine philanthropist is forbidden to aim at any common benefit in which he himself may expect to share. In reality, this confusion of mind has a deeper source; it is a vestige of the old

---

monkish belief that man's welfare in the next world was something in itself idle and personal, and was to be attained by means inconsistent with man's welfare in *this*. Whether Christianity ever authorised such a notion I do not now inquire. It is certain, at any rate, that Science will never authorise it. We are making as safe a deduction from world-wide analogy as man can ever make regarding things thus unknown when we assume that spiritual evolution will follow the same laws as physical evolution ; that there will be no discontinuity between terrene and post-terrene bliss or virtue, and that the next life, like this, will "resemble wrestling rather than dancing," and will find its best delight in the possibility of progress, not attainable without effort so strenuous as may well resemble pain.

There will, no doubt, in such a quest, be an element of personal hope as well ; but man, after all, must desire something, and what better can he desire ? There is little danger, I think, that with eyes fixed on so great a prospect, he should sink into a self-absorption which forgets his kind. Rather, perhaps, the race of man itself may sometimes seem to him

---

but a little thing in comparison with the majesty of that spiritual universe into whose intimate structure it may thus, and thus only, be possible to project one penetrating ray. Yet we ourselves are a part, not only of the race, but of the universe. It is conceivable that our share in its fortunes may be more abiding than we know ; that our evolution may be not planetary but cosmical, and our destiny without an end. *Major agit deus, atque opera in majora remittit.*



## CONTENTS

	PAGE
SCIENCE AND A FUTURE LIFE . . . . .	I
CHARLES DARWIN AND AGNOSTICISM . . . . .	51
THE DISENCHANTMENT OF FRANCE . . . . .	76
TENNYSON AS PROPHET . . . . .	127
MODERN POETS AND COSMIC LAW . . . . .	166
LEOPOLD, DUKE OF ALBANY :—IN MEMORIAM	211



THE Essays here reprinted, with due acknowledgment, from the *Nineteenth Century* and the *Fortnightly Review* were not composed as a consecutive series. They have, however, a certain unity of purpose, which I have emphasised by placing first the Essay in which this purpose is most plainly expressed. The Essay on "The Disenchantment of France" is now live years old, but I have let it stand unaltered, leaving my readers to decide how far its diagnosis has been justified by subsequent history.

## CHARLES DARWIN AND AGNOSTICISM

Unde refert nobis victor, quid possit oriri,  
Quid nequeat; finita potestas denique cuique  
Quanam sit ratione, atque alte terminus haerens.

LUCRETIUS.

WE cannot doubt upon what man in our own day the Roman iconoclast would have bestowed the famous eulogy from which these lines are drawn. To prove what can arise and what cannot; to show the predetermined potency of every life, and the bound firm-fixed by Fate; —all this was the privilege of Charles Darwin as it never has been of any other. No other man by his own mere tranquil observation and thought has ever modified so profoundly the common creed of mankind. No one, as Lucretius would have put it, has ever so scattered the night of superstition by "lucid shafts of

day." But the strange thing is that in this single instance Lucretius and the Pontifical College should, so to say, have been at one ; that the sanctuary of the prophet of an old ideal should have been opened to the prophet of a new, and that Darwin should be laid in the shrine of Peter.

His reception therein was deeply and honourably significant—significant of a resolute national candour which, when the case is proved and the first shock over, will set no dogma higher than truth. And it was significant also of the continuity between the two ideals, of the fact that virtue and duty are in essentials the same to the man who treats this life as all as to the man " begotten again unto a lively hope by the resurrection of Jesus Christ from the dead." For the personal character of the great innovator largely influenced the reception of his teaching by the mass of mankind. Insensibly that character, in spite of all his retirement, had stolen upon the world, through letters, through interviews, even through the tone of his scientific treatises, and from the *Life and Letters*, now before us, we do but fill the details into an outline which was already known. For the

biographer's task one thing was needful—a deep hereditary congruity of temper, an attitude towards Darwin such as was Darwin's towards Nature, the unobtrusive and sagacious interpretation of an object of reverence and love. As it has here been told, the life unfolds itself like a pure process of growth and fruitage, and needs defence or eulogy no more than a tree or a flower.

Besides the picture of Darwin's private life and the mass of letters illustrative of the development of his ideas, the book contains a few pages which briefly answer the question which many have wished to ask, namely, What was Darwin's own view of the light thrown by the evolution theory and by his own work therein upon the old problems of the soul and Providence, the intimate nature and the ultimate destiny of man? His weighty words afford material for much thought; and the few reflections which here follow are not intended either to defend or to assail the agnostic position which he takes up, but rather to indicate certain channels into which the time-honoured controversies at present tend to flow.

Four points may be briefly touched upon ;

firstly, the weakening effect of Darwinism on the argument for Providence drawn from the consensus of mankind ; secondly, its weakening effect on a similar argument drawn from the sense of sin and forgiveness ; thirdly, its apparent incompatibility with the creationist theory of the genesis of the human soul ; and fourthly, the still more urgent question whether, if agnosticism, in default of fresh evidence to an unseen world, becomes the prevalent attitude of men's minds, we may suppose that our posterity will acquiesce with Darwin's cheerfulness in the abandonment of the ancient hope.

(i) " In my journal," says Darwin in 1876 [*Life*, i. 311], "I wrote that whilst standing in the midst of the grandeur of a Brazilian forest, 'it is not possible to give an adequate idea of the higher feelings of wonder, admiration, and devotion which fill and elevate the mind.' I well remember my conviction that there is more in man than the mere breath of his body. But now the grandest scenes would not cause any such convictions and feelings to rise in my mind. It may be truly said that I am like a man who has become colour-blind, and the universal belief by men of the existence of redness makes my present loss of perception of not the least value as evidence. This argument would be a valid one if all men of all races had the same inward conviction of the existence of one God; but we know that this is very far from

---

being the case." And again [i. 313], "Then arises the doubt, Can the mind of man, which has, as I fully believe, been developed from a mind as low as that possessed by the lowest animal, be trusted when it draws such grand conclusions? . . . Would any one trust in the convictions of a monkey's mind, if there are any convictions in such a mind?"

It will be seen that the difficulty is twofold.,<sup>1</sup> In the first place, if we are compelled to recognise our ancestors as lower beings than ourselves, the tradition of antiquity becomes, so to say, worse than nothing; and in the second place, however greatly we may have advanced upon our ancestors, if nevertheless all our beliefs and emotions have been derived from theirs by slow continuous development, we cannot well have acquired a new and direct knowledge as to a matter to which our senses bear no evidence. Mr. Wallace, as is well known, conjectures that some influence, resembling that of man on the domestic animals, may have been brought to bear upon primitive man "during that strange intermediate period during which he was passing from brute to man," and that some power of spiritual communion, differentiating man from the lower races, may have been thus not found many

adherents ; yet I cannot discover what is the actual hypothesis generally framed by those who hold that there is in fact " some difference in kind and in spiritual nature between man and brute." The evolution theory, however, almost compels us to make our notions on this point in some way definite, if we are to attribute more weight to the religious instincts of saints and sages than to " the convictions of a monkey's mind."

(2) Our next topic is the change which the evolution theory—especially as expounded in chapter iii. of the *Descent of Man*—has introduced into our conception of *sin*. In the old view, the sense of sin involved a sense of relationship with a Power above ourselves whom we had offended, but who might also forgive us. Too often, in earlier ages, the sinner conceived his offence to be unpardonable, and was "thrust," as Article XVII. has it, " either into desperation, or into wretchedness of most unclean living, no less perilous than desperation." Here, then, especially might Lucretius have hailed Darwin as a *liberator* of mankind. For on the theory of descent, our sense of sin is a sense of relation, not to a

higher Power, but to our own remote and savage progenitors. If I commit a selfish or violent act, this is because the impulse to immediate enjoyment, or to self-defence, which I inherit from half-human ancestors, is temporarily stronger than the impulse to self-control or to forgiveness, which my more recent ancestors have slowly acquired and imperfectly transmitted. The remorse which follows on my action is due to the fact that the impulse which I have outraged is permanent in my breast, whilst the impulse which I have gratified was a fleeting one, and has expired with its gratification. My sin, then, so far as it went, was a case of reversion, of arrested development; it does not justify "desperation," or suggest the infinite anger of offended Deity. Yet, on the other hand, in losing the sense of divine offence we lose the sense of divine aid, of divine forgiveness. If we feel that there is no access by which spiritual strength may be borne upon the soul, and if we are at the same time conscious of helpless weakness, our new state is surely a bondage rather than a liberation—a bondage to the inexorable laws of heredity, which have determined at our birth that we

shall be able to struggle thus far, and no farther, along the upward way.

Or shall we say that while the young child is praised or blamed by its mother for every act, a school is chosen for the boy, and he is sent there to shift for himself till the holidays come? "I cannot, anyhow, be contented," says Darwin in 1860 (ii. 312), "to view this wonderful universe, and especially the nature of man, and to conclude that everything is the result of brute force. I am inclined to look at everything as resulting from designed laws, with the details, whether good or bad, left to the working out of what we may call chance."

Shall we suppose, then, that in the sight of some higher Power our battles in this small world are not, after all, very tremendous, and that we are all the better for being left to fight them out by ourselves? Or shall we ever learn more of some transcendent communication? of influences falling upon our spirit from behind the veil of visible things?

(3) Passing from these problems drawn from our actual earthly descent to the realm of philosophical, or perhaps I should rather say theological, speculation, it seems worth observ-

ing that the whole evolution theory, and Darwin's work in particular, has given to one among several theories of the genesis of the soul a certain analogical advantage over its ancient rivals. Those thinkers who have assumed that man possesses a *soul*, in the sense of some individualised vital principle surviving the death of the body, have naturally speculated as to the soul's origin, and the mode in which it joins connection with the body. *Creationists* have supposed that a soul was created by a fresh act of God for each new body. *Traducianists* have maintained that the soul was engendered by the parents, and transmitted like the bodily characteristics. *Infusionists* have held that the soul pre-existed elsewhere, but was infused into the body at some given moment. And *Transmigrationists*, developing this last doctrine, have held that the soul, thus infused into man, had previously inhabited the bodies of other men or animals.

These speculations, which occupied many great minds in the past, have now an air of fantastic unreality. Yet the unfamiliarity of the ordinary church-goer with such hypotheses by no means necessarily implies that he has

risen above them. Very probably he is content with a crude form of the Creationist hypothesis, without much regard either to the difficulties which old theology found in it or to those which modern science suggests. Its main difficulty in the schoolmen's eyes (and this Traducianism strove to meet) lay in the existence of "original sin." It was hard to believe that a soul so imperfect as ours came fresh from the hand of the Creator. And the scientific objection would be of a parallel kind. Just as it is impossible to suppose that our bodies, with their vestigial organs and their embryonic history, can be the results of a single creative impulse, even of a single creative impulse communicated to the race to which they belong, so also is it impossible to suppose that the similarly complex, similarly imperfect psychological element in us, if veritably separable from the corporeal, can be the result of one isolated creative impulse, given at some definite moment for each individual.

Yet surely, if we are to talk about the soul at all, we dare not altogether decline to search for some conceivable hypothesis of its origination. Is Traducianism conceivable? Can we

give any meaning to the notion of direct psychical progeniture from father to son? Are we not driven back on some form of Transmigrationism? some notion at least so far parallel with evolutionary theory as to allow us to think of the soul as in some way pre-existent—as having in some way undergone a progressive development analogous to the hereditary development which has made our bodies what they are? And may we not still see some reason in Plato's method, in his attempt to throw light on the soul's present and her future by collecting what seemed to him the traces of her existence in the past? His doctrine of *reminiscence* may have been but a rough scaffolding for such inquiry, yet was he not after all well inspired in thus looking for what we should now call the intellectual or emotional *vestiges* of a life passed under other conditions than ours, or, say, indications of descent from some winged creature which our "larval characters" do not wholly hide?

(4) This last speculation, though showing to what distant fields of thought the influence of the evolution theory extends, is, I need hardly say, nowhere noticed by Darwin himself.

---

Absolutely open to every kind of definite evidence, his mind refuses to dwell for long on shadowy possibilities. Where testimony seems to him inadequate, and not capable of fresh reinforcement, it insensibly fades from his view. In a characteristic passage [i. 308] he describes the mode in which he underwent that gradual loss of Christian belief which has come to many minds with such storms of emotion, such unreasoning alternations of hope and fear.

I was very unwilling to give up my belief. I feel sure of this, for I can well remember often and often inventing day-dreams of old letters between distinguished Romans, and manuscripts being discovered at Pompeii or elsewhere, which confirmed in the most striking manner all that was written in the Gospels. But I found it more and more difficult, with free scope given to my imagination, to invent evidence which would suffice to convince me. Thus disbelief crept over me at a very slow rate, but was at last complete. The rate was so slow that I felt no distress.

Darwin, it will be seen, began with what would be called a quite healthy and normal instinct of reverence and faith. Then gradually this disappears without a struggle; it is not *ejected* from the system (as, say, with Mr. Froude); it is not *encysted* (as, say, with J. S.

Mill); it is simply *atrophied*, and dissolves painlessly away; and the loss seems to leave no sense as of a void encompassing. He does not (to vary the metaphor) make his own definite facts stand out from a dusky background of the Absolute and the Unknowable, but when anywhere he finds evidence failing him he simply says, "We cannot tell."

Again, while he is quite ready to publish unpopular opinions, if candour requires it (as in the case of the *Descent of Man*) his agnosticism is far too modest and gentle-hearted to allow him to feel the mere joy of combat, the impulse which makes a man willing to admit that he knows nothing himself for the pleasure of proving to men who think they know more that they know in fact, if possible, less. It has been fortunate for the intellectual interest of life that the peace-loving Darwin and the self-effacing Wallace should have had a coadjutor more vividly touched with earthly fire, like the mortal charger who, champing more fiercely in the battle's fray, kept pace with the two undying steeds of Achilles. But we must remember that Professor Huxley's trenchant polemic has cast a kind of glory about the

mere fact of man's ignorance which cannot possibly be kept up for long. Battles there will always be ; but never again, perhaps, such a plunging through half-armed foemen, such an *epio-Teia* of the Agnostic as we associate with that brilliant name.

Once more : it is characteristic of Darwin's sobriety of mind that, although he does not pretend personally to regret old faiths, he does not throw the slightest optimistic colouring around his novel conceptions. A tone of triumph comes readily to a man who feels that he is upsetting error and preaching truth ; and this tone is sometimes taken when it is strangely inappropriate to the actual bearing of the message thus proclaimed. If there be no God, and we perish for ever, it may be right to say so and to face the facts as best one can ; but one must indeed be optimistic to find much to be *pleased* at. This optimistic illusion, which Mr. Frederic Harrison, for instance, so eloquently maintains, seems to spring partly from the mere joy of battle already spoken of, and partly from an instinct, lingering on from the ages of faith, that, be it what it may, the order of the Universe must be good. " Why good ? Why better

than the very worst?" the gathering band of pessimists call from every side; and Darwin [i- 309] goes perhaps as far as wary science will allow when he points out that the mere influence of natural selection guarantees a certain amount of happiness in the races that survive, inasmuch as "if all the individuals of any species were habitually to suffer to an extreme degree they would neglect to propagate their kind; but we have no reason to believe that this has ever, or at least often, occurred."

Thus much for the present of mankind; while as to its future some words of Darwin's are here given [i. 312], which, considering his cautious temper, are perhaps as noteworthy as any which ever fell from his pen. For he deals here with the very remotest events which we have any definite warrant for predicting, with that eschatology with which science has replaced the second advent and the millennial reign.

With respect to immortality, nothing shows me so clearly how strong and almost instinctive a belief it is, as the consideration of the view now held by most physicists, namely, that the sun with all the planets will in time grow too cold for life, unless indeed some great body dashes into the sun, and thus gives it fresh life. Believing as I do that man in the distant future

will be a far more perfect creature than he now is, it is an intolerable thought that he and all other sentient beings are doomed to complete annihilation after such long-continued slow progress. To those who fully admit the immortality of the human soul, the destruction of our world will not appear so dreadful.

Amidst the calm advance of Darwin's armies of scientific facts against the old creeds of men, this expression of "an intolerable thought" comes to us like the cry of Scipio Aemilianus over burning Carthage, when the ruin which his own legions had wrought suggested to him that Rome herself must some day fall.

*ἔσεται ἡμᾶρ ὅτ' ἂν ποτ' ὀλώλῃ Ἰλίος ἱρὴ  
καὶ Πριάμος καὶ λαὸς ἐνμμελίῳ Πριάμοιο.*

On the whole, therefore, in reviewing Darwin's life, we find neither any prejudice which warps his reception of evidence of any kind, nor any emotional pre-occupation which interferes with steady and fruitful labour upon the facts before him. In the old phrase of Sir T. Browne, he "swims smoothly in the stream of his nature, and lives but one man." He seems, as already said, to be the exemplar of a new ideal, a man as well adapted to human life, on the hypothesis that this earth is all that we can

know, as a John or a Paul was adapted to human life on the hypothesis that our citizenship is in heaven.

How, then, we ask ourselves, does the new ideal bear comparison with the old as regards the virtue or the happiness which that old ideal aimed at securing ?

On the moral side there is certainly no perceptible decline. Never, perhaps, did a biography give such an unmingledly pleasing impression both of its hero and of his friends. In these hundreds of unstudied letters there is not a sentence which we could wish otherwise written ; nor are the surrounding group of correspondents unworthy of the central figure, In this respect their various theoretical opinions seem to make little difference ; but we soon feel that it is not from a chosen company of men such as these that we can argue as to the ultimate influence of any belief or disbelief upon the mass of mankind. Ignorant and prejudiced critics are the only villains in the tale, and even their howling comes to us faint as the wolfish sounds which Aeneas heard across the waters as he steered safe by Circe's isle. How different from the restless bitterness of

Carlyle, who makes us feel that he is struggling alone to retain reason and humanity among the crowding bears and swine!—from the sad resolve of George Eliot, who seems ever to be encountering the enchantress with the sprig of moly—herself half doubtful of its power!

And linked with this peace of conscience there is a boyish yet a steadfast happiness; a total freedom from our self-questioning complexities—from the *Welt-Schmerz* which, in one form or other, has paralysed or saddened so many of the best lives of our time. Can we get nearer to the sources of this tranquillity? Can we detect the prophylactic which kept the melancholy infection at bay?

It is again in Darwin's own lucid analysis of his intellectual life (i. too) that we find the answer to our question.

I have said that in one respect my mind has changed during the last twenty or thirty years. Up to the age of thirty, or beyond it, poetry of many kinds, such as the works of Milton, Gray, Byron, Wordsworth, Coleridge, and Shelley, gave me great pleasure, and even as a schoolboy I took great delight in Shakespeare, especially in the historical plays. I have also said that formerly pictures gave me considerable, and music very great delight. But now for many years I cannot endure to read a line of

---

poetry; I have tried lately to read Shakespeare, and found it so intolerably dull that it nauseated me. I have also almost lost my taste for pictures or music. Music generally sets me thinking too energetically on what I have been at work on, instead of giving me pleasure. My mind seems to have become a kind of machine for grinding general laws out of large collections of facts, but why this should have caused the atrophy of that part of the brain alone on which the higher tastes depend, I cannot conceive. . . . The loss of these tastes is a loss of happiness, and may possibly be injurious to the intellect, and more probably to the moral character, by enfeebling the emotional part of our nature.

Here, surely, is the solution of-the problem. The faculties of observation and reasoning were stimulated to the utmost; the domestic affections- were kept keen and strong; but the atrophy of the religious instincts, of which we have already spoken, extended yet further—over the whole range of aesthetic emotion, of mystic sentiment—over all in us which "looks before and after, and pines for what is not." And although Darwin himself suggests that his intellectual or moral nature may thus have *been* injured, we may perhaps, on the agnostic hypothesis, more truly say that his intellect was thus fruitfully constrained and his moral nature

saved from shock and storm ; nay, we may go on to argue that for all of us such limitation would be best, and that the poets should be crowned with flowers and led out for ever from the agnostic city ; and that art altogether—not only its lower forms, tinged with a human passion, but its higher forms, tinged with a divine—must needs produce on the whole more of pain than of pleasure, more of yearning than of fruition, in a race whose aspirations are for ever withering "at the touch of Eld and Death."

In Darwin these vague emotions could have found no root of baser passion round which to twine. Yet even for him there must have been moments which, if too thrillingly repeated, would have jeopardised his inward peace ; as when sitting (i. 49), like Milton, in the dim religious light that falls from the storied windows of King's College Chapel he heard the organ pealing of those ineffable things which, if they may not make man's happiness, must make man's woe.

And while the limitations of his nature in one direction secured his tranquillity, its extraordinary vigour in another direction—his

strength of scientific curiosity, his passion for the discovery of new truth—gave the impulse which carried him cheerfully across bodily sufferings so prolonged and weary that for most men they would have darkened the whole track of life. Now, looking at Darwin's nature as offering us the best agnostic pattern, we see at once that, even assuming that we can imitate its restrictions, we cannot imitate its activity. We cannot hope to rival his inventiveness, his scientific power. If we, too, are to live contented with scientific progress, this means that most of us must find our happiness in the mere contemplation of the work of others—that the exhilarating sense of men's ever-widening outlook must compensate the paltriness of our individual lots.

This is a great reduction, but this is not yet all. For even here a doubt steals in, a doubt at which one smiles at first, as Mill learnt to smile at his (quite reasonable) fear that musical combinations would in time be exhausted, but which recurs irresistibly so soon as we try to give distinctness to the popular or optimist view of the future of science. It is taken for granted in popular writings that the present

---

rush of scientific progress is to go on indefinitely ; that in proportion as the skill and energy devoted to research increase, the discoveries' made will be ever more numerous and exciting. But in truth if (as is commonly assumed) our discoveries are confined to the physical side of things, there is no ground whatever for this sanguine hope. Admitting that the visible universe is, in relation to our present faculties, practically infinite, it by no means follows that our means of scrutinising it are capable of indefinite improvement. And in fact we find the true pioneers of science greatly more cautious in their prognostic. We begin to hear that telescopy and microscopy (which in their brief existence have suggested so many more problems than they have solved) are already approaching ominously near to their theoretic limit. We begin to recognise in the length of the light-wave an irreducible bar to that scrutiny of the " infinitely little " which we most urgently need. We begin to feel that the sensitiveness of the retina, the percipient power of the brain, however supplemented by sensitive apparatus, must always be inadequate to the more delicate tasks which we would fain

assign to them ; and in short that the human body, developed for quite other purposes, must always be a rude and clumsy instrument for the apprehension of abstract truth. And more than this. Vast as is the visible universe, infinite as may have been the intelligence which went to its evolution, yet while viewed in the external way in which alone we can view it,—while seen as a product and not as a plan,—it cannot possibly suggest to us an indefinite number of universal laws. Such cosmic generalisations as gravitation, evolution, correlation of forces, conservation of energy, though assuredly as yet unexhausted, cannot in the nature of things be even approximately inexhaustible.

Man's history, in short, is as yet in its first chapter, and science has lived as yet but a moment in the brief history of man ; yet already, and, so to say, with the first glance out of our prison-windows, we have seen enough to make it tolerably certain that after a few more centuries the number of first-rate discoveries must constantly lessen, while the number of men equipped and eager for discovery will constantly increase. Unless, indeed,

some insight is gained into the psychical side of things, some communication realised with intelligences outside our own, some light thrown upon a more than corporeal descent and destiny of man,<sup>1</sup> it would seem that the shells to be picked up on the shore of the ocean of truth will become ever scantier, and the agnostics of the future will gaze forth ever more hopelessly on that gloomy and unvoyagable sea.

Such men will look back to Darwin as half-hearted Christians of to-day look back to those who expected themselves to witness the glorious consummation of all. "In this man's life," they will say, "we see the happy moment, the best that fate could do for humankind. She wrought him without a flaw ; she left in him not one secret sting of restless egotism, of unlawful desire. She gave intellectual vigour, innocent affections, the dignity of pains bravely borne. To all this we too might aspire. But she gave him also the one thing needful; the joy in which we can never share. For she

<sup>1</sup> "This is an experiment after my own heart," says Darwin (ii. 57) of one of his trials to make an unlikely seed germinate, "with chances 1000 to 1 against its success." The human race will have to try many experiments not less unpromising, if they do not choose to resign themselves to looking at the world from without, instead of from within.

inspired him with a majestic conception ; she set him on the track of truths so great and new that they seemed to fill the whole horizon, and transfigured life with their glow. Our knowledge is a hundredfold greater than his. But its ardour, its illusions are no more. For we know at last that nothing which we shall ever discover can be to us of any true concern. What profit, if we are to gaze upon the Cosmos for ever from outside? to pass and leave the giant forces playing, with a purport (if any purport) which is for ever hid from men? What gain, to watch for an hour the inscrutable pageant? to be summoned out of nothingness into illusion, and evolved but to aspire and to decay ! "

THE    DISENCHANTMENT  
OF    FRANCE  
(1888)

Quod procul a nolris flectat Fortuna gubernans !  
El ratio potius quam res persuadeat ipsa  
Succidere horrisono posse omnia victa fragore.  
LUCRETIUS.

IT has fallen to the lot of the French people to point more morals, to emphasise more lessons from their own experience than any other nation in modern history. Parties and creeds of the most conflicting types have appealed to Paris in turn for their brightest example, their most significant warning. The strength of monarchy and the risks of despotism ; the nobility of faith, and the cruel cowardice of bigotry ; the ardour of republican fraternity and the terrors of anarchic disintegration—the most famous instance of any and every extreme is to be found in the long

annals of France. And so long as the French mind, at once logical and mobile, continues to be the first to catch and focus the influences which are slowly beginning to tell on neighbouring States, so long will its evolution possess for us the unique interest of a -glimpse into stages of development through which our own national mind also may be destined ere long to pass.

Yet there has of late been a kind of reluctance on the part of other civilised countries to take to themselves the lessons which French history still can teach. In Germany there has been a tone of reprobation, an opposition of French vice to Teuton virtue ; and in England there has been some aloofness of feeling, some disposition to think that the French have fallen through their own fault into a decadence which our robuster nation need not fear.

In the brief review, however, which this essay will contain of certain gloomy symptoms in the spiritual state of France we shall keep entirely clear of any disparaging comparisons or insinuated blame. Rather we shall regard France as the most sensitive organ of the European body politic ; we shall feel that her

dangers of to-day are ours of to-morrow, and that unless there still be salvation for her our own prospects are dark indeed.

But in the first place, it may be asked, what right have we to speak of France as *decadent* at all? The word, indeed, is so constantly employed by French authors of the day that the foreigner may assume without impertinence that there is some fitness in its use. Yet have we here much more than a fashion of speaking? the humour of men who are "sad as night for very wantonness," who play with the notion of national decline as a rich man in temporary embarrassment may play with the notion of ruin? France is richer and more populous than ever before; her soldiers still fight bravely, and the mass of her population, as judged by the statistics of crime, or by the colourless half-sheet which forms the only national newspaper,<sup>1</sup> is at any rate tranquil and orderly. Compare the state

<sup>1</sup> *Le Petit Journal* has a circulation of nearly a million. What it does contain, or why it is taken, it might be hard to say; but at least it does *not* contain anything which could raise a blush, or prompt to an unlawful action. Provincial life in France seldom finds literary expression (see Theuriet, Pierre Loti, Ferdinand Fabre); when it rises to a certain intellectual level it seems to merge irresistibly into the life of Paris.

of France now with her state just a century since, before the outbreak of the Revolution. Observers who noted that misgovernment and misery, those hordes of bandits prowling over the untilled fields, assumed it as manifest that not the French monarchy only but France herself was crumbling in irremediable decay. And yet a few years later the very children reared as half slaves, half beggars, on black-bread and ditch-water were marching with banners flying into Vienna and Moscow. One must be wary in predicting the decline of a nation which holds in reserve a spring of energy such as this.

Once more. Not physically alone but intellectually France has never, perhaps, been stronger than she is now. She is lacking, indeed, in statesmen of the first order, in poets and artists of lofty achievement, and, if our diagnosis be correct, she must inevitably lack such men as these. But on the other hand her living *savants* probably form as wise, as disinterested a group of intellectual leaders as any epoch of her history has known. And she listens to them with a new deference; she receives respectfully even the bitter home-truths of M. Taine; she honours M. Renan

instead of persecuting him ; she makes M. Pasteur her national hero. These men and men like these are virtually at the head of France ; and if the love of truth, the search for truth, fortifies a nation, then assuredly France should be stronger now than under any of her kings or her Caesars.

Yet here we come to the very crux of the whole inquiry. If we maintain that an increasing knowledge of truth is necessarily a strength or advantage to a nation or an individual, we are assuming an affirmative answer to two weighty questions: the first, whether, the scheme of the universe is on the whole good rather than evil ; the second, whether, even granting that the sum of things is good, each advancing step of our knowledge of the universe brings with it an increased realisation of that ultimate goodness. Of course if we return to the first question the pessimistic answer—if the world is a bad place and cosmic suicide the only reasonable thing—the present discussion may at once be closed. For in that case there is no such thing as progress, no such thing as recovery ; and the moral discouragement of France does but

indicate her advance upon the road which we must all inevitably travel.

Let us assume, however, as is commonly assumed without too curious question, that the universe is good, and that to know the truth about it is on the whole an invigorating thing. Yet even thus it is by no means clear that each onward step we make in learning that truth will in itself be felt as invigorating. All analogy is against such a supposition ; whether we turn to the history of philosophy, and the depression repeatedly following on the collapse of specious but premature conceptions, or to the history of individual minds, and the despair of the beginner in every art or study when he recognises that he has made a false start; that he knows almost nothing; that the problems are far more difficult than his ignorance had suspected.

Now I think it is not hard to show that France, even on the most hopeful view of her, is at present passing through a moment of spiritual reaction such as this. In that country where the pure dicta of science reign in the intellectual classes with less interference from custom, sentiment, tradition than even in

Germany itself, we shall find that science, at her present point, is a depressing, a disintegrating energy.

And therefore when we compare the present state of France with her state a century ago, we must not rank her dominant *savants* as a source of national strength. Rather they are a source of disenchantment, of *disillusionment*', to use the phrase of commonest recurrence in modern French literature and speech. Personally, indeed, the class of *savants* includes many an example of unselfish diligence, of stoical candour, but their virtues are personal to themselves, and the upshot of their teaching affords no stable basis for virtue.

We may say, then, that in 1888 France possesses everything except illusions; in 1788 she possessed illusions and nothing else. The Reign of Reason, the Return to Nature, the Social Contract, Liberty, Equality, Fraternity—the whole air of that wild time buzzed with new-hatched Chimaeras, while at the same time the old traditions of Catholicism, Loyalty, Honour, were still living in many an ardent heart.

What, then, is in effect the disenchantment

---

which France has undergone? What are the illusions—the so-called, so-judged illusions—which are fading now before the influence of science? How is a foreigner to analyse the confused changes in a great people's spiritual life? Must not his own personal acquaintance with Frenchmen, which is sure to be slight and shallow, unduly influence his judgment of the nation? It seems to me that he must set aside his personal acquaintanceships and form his opinion from current literature and current events; endeavouring so far as may be to elicit such general views of life as may be latent in the varying utterances of novelist, essayist, politician, philosopher, and poet. Thus reading and thus comparing, we shall discern a gradual atrophy of certain habits of thought, certain traditional notions; and if we class as *illusions* these old conceptions from, which the French people seems gradually to be awakening, we find *them* reducible to four main heads; the *religious*, the *political*, the *sexual*, and the *personal* illusions.

I. By the "religious illusion"—speaking, it will be remembered, from the point of view of the Frenchman of the type now under discussion—I mean a belief in the moral government of

the world, generally involving a belief in man's future life, in which life we may suppose virtue victorious and earth's injustices redressed. These cardinal beliefs, now everywhere on the defensive, are plainly losing ground in France more rapidly than elsewhere. And the strange thing is that while Christianity thus declines it seems to leave in France so little regret behind it; that its disappearance is signalised only by loud battles between "Liberalism" and "Clericalism," not, as in England, by sad attempts at reconciliation, by the regrets and appeals of slowly-severing men. A book like Chateaubriand's *Gdnie du Christianisme*, nay even a book like Lamennais' *Paroles d'un Croyant*, would now be felt to be an anachronism. Militant Catholicism seems almost to have died out with M. Veuillot's articles in the *Univers*; and an application to a high ecclesiastical authority for recent defences of the faith brought to me only a recommendation to read the Bishops' Charges, the *mandements d' eveque*. Paradox as it may seem, M. Renan is almost the only French writer of influence who believes that Christianity—of course a Christianity without miracles—will be in any sense the

religion of the future ; and his recent utterances show that pious sentiment, in his hands, is liable to sudden and unexpected transformations. A passage from the preface to his play *L'Abbesse de Jouarre* (1886) will illustrate the facility with which " the cult of the ideal " when freed from " the support of superstition " flows along lines of least resistance, and into a less austere and strenuous mould.

The Abbess, too intelligent to believe in the dogmas which (from the highest motives) she has outwardly supported, and finding herself, under the Reign of Terror, confronted with the immediate prospect of death, yields (from the highest motives) to the solicitations of a fellow-prisoner, who ardently admires her. But it so happens that she is *not* guillotined ; and she afterwards experiences a delicate distress in reconciling what may be termed the morality of great crises to the conventions of ordinary life. In a passage which in these pages I can only partially quote M. Renan explains and defends her.

That which, at the hour of death, must needs assume a character of absolute sincerity, is love. I often imagine that if humanity were to acquire the

certain knowledge that the world was to come to an end in two or three days, love would break out on every side with a sort of frenzy; for love is held in check only by the absolutely necessary restrictions which the moral preservation of human society has imposed. When one perceived oneself confronted by a sudden and certain death, nature alone would speak; the strongest of her instincts, constantly checked and thwarted, would reassume its rights; a cry would burst from every breast when one knew that one might approach with perfect lawfulness the tree guarded by so many anathemas. . . . The world's last sigh would be as it were a kiss of sympathy addressed to the universe—and perhaps to somewhat that is beyond. One would die in the sentiment of the highest adoration, and in the most perfect act of prayer. . . .

I hope that my Abbess may please those idealists who have no need to believe in the existence of disembodied spirits in order to believe in duty, and who know that moral nobility does not depend on metaphysical opinions. In these days one hears men for ever talking—and from the most opposite camps—of the enfeeblement of religious beliefs. How careful, in such a matter, one should be to avoid misunderstanding! Religious beliefs transform themselves; they lose their symbolical envelope, which is a mere encumbrance, and have no further need of the support of superstition. But the philosopher's soul is unaffected by these necessary evolutions. The true, the beautiful, the good are in themselves sufficiently attractive to need no authority which shall ordain, nor reward which shall sanction them. Love, especially, will for ever maintain its sacred character. Modern

---

paradoxes inspire me with no more anxiety as to the persistence of the cult of the ideal than as to the perpetuation of the race. The danger would begin only on that day when women ceased to be fair, flowers to open voluptuously, birds to sing. In our temperate climes, and among our pleasure-loving peoples, this danger, thank God, seems still sufficiently remote.

The ancient maxim, " Let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we die," has never lacked, and will never lack, its eager advocates ; but this is perhaps the first time that it has been preached as virtually *identical* with that very religion to which " le fougueux apotre," as M. Renan gently terms St. Paul, imagined it to be directly *opposed*. The best *pendant* to the optimistic hymn above quoted may be found in a passage from M. Bourget, a critic of no starched austerity nor bigoted temper, but whose imagination pictures the mind of our successors when the flowers, the birds, the women, delight no more ; when the thorns, to speak with Biblical plainness, have ceased to crackle under the pot.

Science (he says) has rendered it impossible to repose faith in any supernatural revelation, while at the same time she proclaims herself unable to unriddle the problems of which revelation offered a solution. There are some who have thought to find the remedy

---

for this new and singular crisis by imagining the human race in the future as delivered from all thought of the Beyond, and indifferent to what we call the Absolute. But this is a gratuitous hypothesis, and seems little in harmony with the general march of thought. We have a better right, on the other hand, to predict that civilisation as it advances will subtilise ever further our nervous sensibility—will develop the weary sadness of hearts which no known pleasure satisfies, and whose unquenchable ardour yearns to slake itself at some inexhaustible spring. It is probable that in the final bankruptcy of hope to which science is leading us, many of these souls will sink into a despair such as Pascal would have sunk into had he lost his faith. The gulf whence we issue painfully, and which with pain we re-enter, will open itself before them, for ever black and void. There will be revolts of spirit, rebellions more typical than any age has known. Life will be unbearable with the knowledge that there is no more hope of understanding it, and that the same sign of fruitless question hangs for ever over the horizon of man. It will not be strange if in those days a sect of nihilists should arise, possessed with a frenzy of destruction such as those alone can comprehend who have felt within themselves the tightening clutch of spiritual death. To know that one cannot know—to be assured that no assurance is possible—ah, cruel anguish! which, spreading like a plague through the millions of men, will summon them as it were to an anti-crusade—a war against the spirit. Then in that day, and if the nightmare which I am evoking becomes fact indeed, other souls, gentler and more inclined to a happy interpretation of man's fate, will

oppose to this rebellious pessimism an optimism of melancholy peace. If the problem of the universe is insoluble, an answer may be conjectured which harmonises with our moral needs, our emotional cravings. The hypothesis of hope has its chance of being true no less than the hypothesis of despair. In M. Renan we have a finished exemplar of the religious sentiments which would unite the uncertain believers of that cruel age; and who shall venture to assert that the impulse of formless faith which sums up the disenchanted optimism of this historian of our dying religion does not express the essence of all of worship that shall remain immortal in this splendid and miserable temple which is the heart of man ?

II. Let us pass on to the second class of illusions from which France seems finally to have awakened. Under the title of " the political illusion " we may include two divergent yet not wholly disparate emotions—the enthusiasm of loyalty and the enthusiasm of equality. Each of these enthusiasms has done in old times great things for France ; each in turn has seemed to offer a self-evident, nay a divine organisation of the perplexed affairs of men. But each in turn has lost its efficacy. There is now scarcely a name but General Boulanger's in France which will raise a cheer ; scarcely even a Socialistic Utopia for which a man would care to die. The younger nations,

---

accustomed to look to France for inspiration, feel the dryness of that ancient source. " Us ne croient a rien," said a Russian of the Nihilists, " mais ils ont besoin du martyre." The Nihilists, indeed, are like the lemmings, which swim out to sea in obedience to an instinct that bids them seek a continent long since sunk beneath the waves. Gentle anarchists, pious atheists, they follow the blind instinct of self-devotion which makes the force of a naive, an unworldly people. But there is now no intelligible object of devotion left for them to seek ; and they go to the mines and to the gibbet without grasping a single principle or formulating a single hope. These are the pupils of modern France ; but in France herself the nihilistic disillusionment works itself out unhindered by the old impulse to die for an idea. The French have died for too\* many ideas already ; and just as they have ceased to idealise man's relationship to God, so have they ceased at last to idealise his relationship to his fellow-men.

III. But the process of disillusionment can be traced deeper still. Closer to us, in one sense, than our relation to the universe as a whole, more intimate than our relation to our fellow-

citizens, is the mutual relation between the sexes. An emotion such as love, at once vague, complex, and absorbing, is eminently open to fresh interpretation as the result of modern analysis. And on comparing what may be called the enchanted and disenchanted estimates of this passion—the view of Plato, for instance, and the view of Schopenhauer—we find that the discordance goes to the very root of the conception; that what in Plato's view is the accident is in Schopenhauer's the essential; that what Plato esteemed as the very aim and essence is for Schopenhauer a delusive figment, a witchery cast over man's young inexperience, from which adult reason should shake itself wholly free. For Plato the act of idealisation which constitutes love is closely akin to the act of idealisation which constitutes worship. The sudden passion which carries the lover beyond all thought of self is the result of a memory and a yearning which the beloved one's presence stirs within him—a memory of antenatal visions, a yearning towards the home of the soul. The true end of love is mutual ennoblement; its fruition lies in the unseen. Or if we look to its earthly issue,

it is not children only who are born from such unions as these, but from that fusion of earnest spirits great thoughts, just laws, noble institutions spring, " a fairer progeny than any child of man."

Not one of the speculations of antiquity outdid in lofty originality this theme of Plato's. And, however deeply the changing conditions of civilisation might modify the outward forms or setting of love, this far-reaching conception has been immanent in the poet's mind, and has made of love an integral element in the spiritual scheme of things. " Love was given," says Wordsworth in a poem which strangely harmonises the antique and the modern ideal -

Love was given,  
Encouraged, sanctioned, chiefly for that end;  
For this the passion to excess was driven—  
That self might be annulled; her bondage prove  
The fetters of a dream, opposed to Love.

And even when the passion has not been thus directly linked with ethical aims it has been credited with a heaven-sent, a mysterious charm ; like the beauty and scent of flowers, it has been regarded as a joy given to us for the mere end of joy.

In recent years, however, a wholly different

aspect of the passion of love has been raised into prominence. This new theory—for it is hardly less—is something much deeper than the mere satirical depreciation, the mere ascetic horror, of the female sex. It recognises the mystery, the illusion, the potency of love, but it urges that this dominating illusion is no heaven-descended charm of life, but the result of terrene evolution, and that, so far from being salutary to the individual, it is expressly designed to entrap him into subserving the ends of the *race*, even when death to himself (or herself) is the immediate consequence. It was in England that the facts in natural history which point to this conclusion were first set forth ; it was in Germany that a philosophical theory was founded (even before most of those facts were known) upon these blind efforts of the race, working through the passions of the individual, yet often to his ruin ; but it is in France that we witness the actual entry of this theory into the affairs of life—the gradual dissipation of the "sexual illusion" which nature has so long been weaving with unconscious magic around the senses and the imagination of man.

In the first place, then, human attractiveness has suffered something of the same loss of romance which has fallen upon the scent and colour of flowers, since we have realised that these have been developed as an attraction to moths and other insects, whose visits to the flower are necessary to secure effective fertilisation. Our own attractiveness in each other's eyes seems no longer to point to some divine reminiscence; rather it is a character which natural and sexual selection must needs have developed if our race was to persist at all; and it is paralleled by elaborate and often grotesque aesthetic allurements throughout the range of organised creatures of separate sex.

Once more. The great Roman poet of "wheat and woodland, tilth and vineyard, hive and horse and herd," insisted long ago on the divergence, throughout animated nature, of the promptings of amorous passion and of self-preservation. Passing beyond the facile optimism of pastoral singers, he showed the peace, the strength, the life of the animal creation at the mercy of an instinct which they can neither comprehend nor disobey. *In furias ignemque ruunt.* Advancing science

has both confirmed and explained this profound observation. She has discovered instances where the instinct in question conducts not merely to a remote and contingent but to an immediate and inevitable death, and where yet it works itself out with unflinching punctuality. And she has demonstrated that in the race of races the individual must not pause for breath ; his happiness, his length of days, must be subordinated to the supreme purpose of leaving a progeny which can successfully prolong the endless struggle. And here the bitter philosophy of Schopenhauer steps in, and shows that as man rises from the savage state the form of the illusive witchery changes, but the witchery is still the same. Nature is still prompting us to subserve the advantage of the race—an advantage which is not our own—though she uses now such delicate baits as artistic admiration, spiritual sympathy, the union of kindred souls. Behind and beneath all these is still her old unconscious striving; but she can scarcely any longer outwit us ; we now desire neither the pangs of passion, nor the restraints of marriage, nor the burden of offspring; while for the race we need care nothing, or may even

deem it best and most merciful that the race itself should lapse and pass away.

The insensible advance of this sexual disenchantment will show itself first and most obviously in the imaginative literature of a nation. And the transition from Romanticism to so-called Naturalism in fiction which is the conspicuous fact of the day in France is ill understood if it be taken to be a mere change in literary fashion, a mere reaction against sentimental and stylistic extravagance. The Naturalists claim, and the claim is just, that they seek at least a closer analogy with the methods of Science herself; that they rest, not on fantastic fancies, but on the *documents humains* which are furnished by the actual life of every day. But, on the other hand, the very fact that this is all which they desire to do is enough to prove that even this will scarcely be worth the doing. The fact that they thus shrink from idealising bespeaks an epoch barren in ideal. Schopenhauer boasted that he had destroyed "die Dame," the chivalrous conception of woman as a superior being; and such novels as those of Flaubert, Zola, Maupassant, Huysmans, exhibit the world with

this illusion gone. If, moreover, the relations between men and women are not kept, in a sense, *above* the relations between men and men, they will rapidly fall *below* them. We are led into a world of joyless vice from the sheer decay of the conception of virtue.

Mr. Henry James's analysis of M. de Maupassant's works will be fresh in many recollections. And I may add some corroborative words, not from Scherer or Brunetierc or any critic who stands upon the ancient ways, and whose disapproval may be discounted beforehand, but from the friendly pen of M. Lemaitre, whose description is not meant to carry moral reprobation along with it.

M. de Maupassant, too, is affected with that newest malady of authors—namely, pessimism, and the strange desire to represent the world as ugly and brutal, governed by blind instincts . . . and at the same time to exhibit with an amount of detail never previously equalled this world, which is neither interesting in itself nor as a subject of art; so that the pleasure which the writer and the reader who comprehends him enjoy is derived only from irony, pride, egoistic gratification. There is here no thought of what was once termed the ideal, no preoccupation with morality, no sympathy with mankind, but at most a contemptuous pity of the absurd and wretched race of men. On the other hand, we find a scientific

---

skill in playing with the world as an object of sense, apt for our delectation ; the interest which is refused to things in themselves is bestowed wholly on the art of rendering them in a form as plastic as possible. On the whole, the attitude is that of some misanthropic, scornful, and lascivious god.<sup>1</sup>

Yet neither this criticism nor Mr. Henry James's exhibits fully, as it seems to me, the essential weakness and emptiness of M. de Maupassant and others of the same school. Their vigour is the mere expression of their own youth and health, cleverness and prosperity ; there is no indication of any reserve of moral strength, of any stoical courage, any assured philosophy which would render them in a true sense superiors of the objects of their contemptuous dissection. A few lines from M. Bourget, describing the disciples of Flaubert, will illustrate my meaning here.

They exhibit the human animal as dominated by his environment, and almost incapable of an individual reaction against surrounding things. Hence springs that despairing fatalism which is the philosophy of all the existing school of novelists. Hence the renunciation, ever more marked, of larger hopes, of generous ardours, of whatsoever among our intimate energies can be called faith in an ideal. And

<sup>1</sup> *Les Contemporains*, p. 301.

since our age is smitten with a malady of the will, the psychology of our fashionable literature adjusts itself to the gradual weakening of the inward spring. Slowly, in many a mind which the romances of our day have shaped, the conviction is formed that effort is useless, that the force of external causes cannot be withstood.<sup>1</sup>

IV. And thus we are brought, by a natural transition, to the fourth and last illusion from which French thought is shaking itself free—the illusion which pervades man more profoundly than any other—the dream of his own free-will, and of his psychical unity. It is in the analysis of this *personal illusion* that much of the acutest French work has lately been done; it is here that ordinary French opinion is perhaps furthest removed from the English type; and it is here, moreover, as I shall presently indicate,—it is on this field of experimental psychology, that the decisive battles of the next century seem likely to be fought. In this essay, however, I must keep clear of detail, and must touch only on the general effect of the mass of teaching of which Taine and Ribot on the psychological side, Charcot and Richet on the physiological side, may serve as repre-

<sup>1</sup> *Essais de psychologie contemporaine*, 1st series, p. 166.

sentatives. These names might be supplemented by many more ; and indeed it is in this direction of physiological psychology, in the widest sense, that the strongest stream of French intellect seems to me to be at present flowing.

As regards the freedom of the will, indeed, it might have been supposed that the controversy had now been waged too long to admit of much accession of novel argument. Nor, of course, can any theory which we hold as to human free-will reasonably influence our actions one way or the other. Yet we know that as a matter of actual observation Mahomedan fatalism does influence conduct, and the determinism which is becoming definitely the creed of France may similarly be traced throughout their modern pictures of life and character as a paralysing influence in moments of decisive choice, of moral crisis. The following passage from a writer of recognised authority will show the unhesitating way in which the French mind presses home conclusions which, though based in a large measure on English doctrines, are seldom so trenchantly formulated at home :—

Is personality (inquires M. Ribot), is character independent of heredity ? The problem is important, since it involves the question whether the power of heredity has any assignable limit. It is plain that there are only two possible hypotheses: we may either admit that at each birth a special act of creation infuses into each being the germ of character and personality, or we may admit that this germ is the product of earlier generations, and is inevitably deduced from the character of the parents and the circumstances under which the new life is originated. The first of these hypotheses is so far from scientific that it is not worth discussing. We are left to the second view. And here we find ourselves brought abruptly back to the very heart of our subject. We thought that we were escaping from heredity, and now we find it in that very germ which forms the most intimate and personal element of our being. After having shown by a long enumeration of facts that the sensitive and intellectual faculties are transmitted, that one may inherit a given instinct, a given passion, a given type of imagination, just as easily as a tendency to consumption, to rickets, to longevity, we hoped at least that a part of the psychic life lay outside determinism, that the character, the person, the self, escaped the law of heredity ; but no, heredity, which is equivalent to determinism, envelops us on every side, without and within.<sup>1</sup>

We have now traced the spread in France of what I have termed disenchantment over the main departments of moral and intellectual

<sup>1</sup> *L'heredite psychologique*, 2nd edit. p. 323.

---

life. It might remain to ask whether any definite test exists, reducible to numbers, by which we can measure the effect on national prosperity of this less firm and eager grasp on existence. This might be attempted in many ways, although, considering the subtlety of the motives at work, we cannot expect more than an inferential, an approximate result. Setting aside in this essay the subject of relative frequency of *suicide* (where the comparison between one nation and another is much complicated by differences in the material welfare of the lower classes), I will briefly consider in what way this disenchanted temper affects the central problem of the French publicist—the practical cessation of the growth of population. "A vrai dire, c'est le peril national tout entier," says Professor Richet of this check in numbers ; "il n'en existe pas d'autre."

To us in England, of course, the opposite view is more familiar. We feel the perils not of defect of population but of excess ; and so far as our own comfort is concerned we should be glad indeed if our numbers were as stationary as in France. And if all European nations agreed to limit population—just as if all nations

agreed to disarm—an epoch of marked material prosperity would no doubt ensue. At present, however, there seems no chance whatever of this, and we are engaged in a general scramble to overstock our own countries, and thence to overspread the earth. A nation which falls out of this scramble may gain in comfort for the time, but it will lose its status ; its specific type will become relatively unimportant; its thought and literature will lose their power with mankind. Great and powerful though France is now, such countries as Holland and Belgium are not without their warning for her in the near future. In fifty years, if the present rates of increase are maintained, she will rank-sixth only among European nations. In 150 years she will have sunk almost beneath consideration in a world of Russians and Germans, Anglo-Saxons and Chinese.<sup>1</sup> Without repro-

<sup>1</sup> See Professor Charles Richet's articles in the *Revue des Deux Mondes*, April 15 and June 1, 1882; and M. E. Cheysson's paper in *La reforme sociale*, July 1, 1883. M. Guyau in his *L'irreligion de l'avenir* (p. 274, etc.) draws out the connection between this decline in population and the decay of religious belief. As between Brittany and Normandy, for instance, the difference is not due to Norman prudence alone ; for the Breton is also prudent, but in a different way ;—he postpones marriage till (at an average age of thirty-four for men, twenty-nine for women) a property sufficient for a good-sized family has been amassed.

---

ducing the elaborate computations by which the relative decline of France has been exhibited by statisticians, it is enough to say that in the present acute phase of national competition France cannot afford to forego the motive power of the *ver sacrum*—of yearly swarms of young men pressing forward to develop their country either by colonisation without her borders, or by novel and eager enterprise within. At the same time it is of course desirable that multiplication should be combined with *providence*—that the increase of numbers should not proceed from the lowest and most reckless classes alone. Now in comparing the natality or rate of increase of different provinces in France, it seems that the increase is rapid in two main quarters—first (as with ourselves) among the degraded inhabitants of the poorer quarters of great cities, and secondly in those provinces where Catholicism is still a dominant power. Between Catholic Brittany and free-thinking Normandy the contrast is striking ; and the more so inasmuch as the difference of *race* between these provinces seems all in favour of the Norman population, whose young mothers, indeed, are in special

---

request for the benefit of infants other than their own. Yet the annual births in Brittany are thirty-three for each thousand of population ; in Normandy only nineteen.

Now in the educated classes, where rapidity of increase is still more important, the impulses in either direction, though less crudely defined, are not therefore less potent. On the one side there is the wish for new objects of affection and the satisfaction with the lot upon which the children will enter; on the other side, besides the obvious economical reasons, there is the decline in the value set upon existence and the doubt whether it is well to summon new beings as sensitive as ourselves into a world which to each fresh generation seems to loom more awful in the obscurity of its meaning and of its end.

A few quotations may show that this is no imaginary picture ; and my first instance shall be taken from the loftiest, the sincerest of living French poets—the author whose name comes first to the lips of a Frenchman, challenged to prove that the tradition of " high thinking " is not yet extinct. In his poem *Le vœu* M. Sully-Prudhomme draws the following practical

lesson from a contemplation of the misery of man :—

Du plus aveugle instinct je me veux rendre maitre,  
Helas ! non par vertu, mais par compassion.  
Dans Pinvisible essaim des condamnes k naitre,  
Je fais grace a celui dont je sens Paiguillon.

Demeure dans Pempire innomme du possible,  
O fils le plus aime qui ne naitras jamais !  
Mieux sauve que les morts et plus inaccessible,  
Tu ne sortiras pas de Pombre ou je dormais !

These words do not fall from a mere fantastic artist; they come from a philosopher and moralist, a man of strong human sympathies, and who by no means despairs altogether of the future of mankind. I pass on to the passionate cry of an avowed, but not a morbid, pessimist. I must not here stop to discuss Madame Ackermann, one of the most significant figures in contemporary literature ; but it should be understood that her sadness is in no way a personal matter, but represents the impression irresistibly wrought upon her by the mere " riddle of the painful earth." I quote the lines which close her poem on *Pascal* with the wild conception of some such insult offered to man's distant and cruel Lord as might move Him to

shiver into fragments this planet which is our scene of pain.

Notre audace du moins vous sauverait de naitre,  
 Vous qui dormez encore au fond de l'avenir,  
 Et nous triompherions d'avoir, en cessant d'etre,  
 Avec l'Humanite force Dieu d'en finir.  
 Ah ! quelle immense joie apres tant de souffrance !  
 A travers les debris, par-dessus les charniers,  
 Pouvoir enfin jeter ce cri de delivrance :  
 Plus d'hommes sous le ciel, nous sommes les derniers<sup>1</sup>

I will call one more witness ; this time a less serious but still a noteworthy personage ; a novelist who by a certain mixture of Flemish realism and Parisian perversity has become the most advanced (I do not say the ablest) representative both of the decadent and of the naturalistic school.

M. J. K. Huysmans, speaking through the mouth of his decrepit hero, DCS Esseintes, strenuously deprecates the cruelty of adding fresh sufferers to the condemned-list of miserable men ; nay, he carries his propagandist (or anti-propagandist) zeal so far as to recommend the legislation of infanticide, and to denounce the child-saving labours of St. Vincent de Paul.

Thanks to his odious precautions, this man had deferred for years the death of creatures without

---

intelligence or sensation, so that becoming later on almost rational, and at any rate capable of pain, they might foresee the future; might await and dread that Death of which, when he found them, they knew not the very name; might perhaps even invoke that Death upon themselves, in anger at the condemnation to existence which he inflicted on them in obedience to a ridiculous code of theology.

We have here, I think, indications, as clear as in so complex a matter could be reasonably expected, that this " disenchantment of France," this general collapse of hopes and ideals, does enter as a moral factor into the causes which are now arresting the advance of French population. If, therefore, population is to receive a fresh impulse, it would seem desirable either that some fresh value should be found for life, or that the race should accustom itself more thoroughly to the narrowed ideal. And this view is supported, so to say, from the opposite quarter by the growing influence throughout French politics, business, society, of a race whose distinguishing peculiarity lies in the fact that they have already traversed their great disappointment; that they have learnt at last to silence the heart's infinite appeal ; that they walk among us, but not of

---

us, grimly smiling when our voices repeat, in new tones of yearning, those very phrases from Hebrew psalmist or prophet which the Chosen People themselves have found to fail. For—with the exceptions which sheer atavism must needs produce in the race of a David and a Paul—the modern Jew has crystallised his religion into a mere bond of race; it steadies rather than disturbs his worldly endeavour, and he stands before us in complete adaptation to changed spiritual conditions, the type of what we all may some day become, if our inward Jerusalem also is destroyed, and the Holy City of our dreams laid level with the dust of the earth. The Jews at one end of the scale, the Chinese at the other—these are the races that have already fitted themselves for a universe without hope. Who shall say that they shall not therefore gradually subdue us? as after some age-long heaping of sandbanks along a solitary coast the creatures which can first endure the life of land-locked pools will displace those through whose structure runs an indomitable yearning for the tides and vastness of the sea.

The prospect at which we have arrived is a

---

gloomy one—so gloomy that we instinctively shrink from accepting it as inevitable. There must surely, we feel, be some outlet, some direction in which we may find the dawn of a new hope for France. The classification which we have thus far followed will aid us in an inquiry as to the possible reformation, on a more stable basis, of any of those hopes and beliefs whose evanescence seems to threaten a national decline.

(i) First and most important is the question of religion. And here there are three main channels in which we could imagine a religious revival, in the broadest sense, as tending to flow. We might have a revival in the Christian direction, or in the mystical, or in the Stoic. Any one of these convictions, if sufficiently widespread, might regenerate a nation. But each in turn must be regarded as an *emotional* impulse, as a *subjective* view ; each appeals to minds predisposed to receive it, but fails to convince the egoist or the pessimist by irrefragable logic or indubitable historical proof. As regards Christianity: in the first place, it is scarcely possible that the historical proof can at this late day be materially strengthened,

---

That proof, we may fairly suppose, will continue to seem adequate to many minds which nature or grace has cast in the Christian mould. But as to the Christianity without miracles—the Theism with a Christian colouring, which in England is sometimes suggested as a substitute for the orthodox creeds—for this growth there seems in France no soil prepared, no temper from which this religion of compromise could spring. The same is the case with mysticism, and with the *a priori* or affirmative schools of metaphysic. Names which command respect might be cited in either group, but none have a real hold on the national intelligence. With perhaps greater plausibility the neo-Stoics—if we may so term the agnostics who still cling to duty and feel their last enthusiasm in resignation to universal law—might claim for their creed the prospect of ultimate triumph. Assuredly men like these are essential in every country, if any high morality is to be upheld in this ebb of fixed beliefs. Yet an act of faith, for which the French mind in general is ill-prepared, is still necessary if we are to accept the Cosmos even on Stoic terms. For there is a possibility that even here we may be duped

once more ; that we may find *vacuas sedes et inania templa* in the sanctuary of Duty herself; that in the veritable and intimate scheme of the universe there may be no such conception as Virtue.

I will not, however, press into my argument any of the darker currents of French thought—the cynicism or the pessimism of a Flaubert, an Ackermann, a Baudelaire. I will rather sum up the situation in one of the last utterances of a noble mind, "the conclusion of the whole matter" as it seemed at last to Emile Littre—once the most enthusiastic of all those who embraced the too - sanguine synthesis which still draws back some wistful glances to the memory of a Worship of Humanity which has brought little strength to man. The words which I shall quote are simple and personal ; but they may stand as the expression of more than an individual fate.

Voltaire in old age writes in one of his letters that at the sight of a starry night he was wont to say to himself that he- was about to lose that spectacle; that through all eternity he should never see it more. Like him, I love to contemplate—with the reflection that it is perhaps for the last time—the starlit night, the greenness of my garden, the immensity of the

sea. I go yearly to the seaside; I went thither this year. My room opened upon the beach, and when the tide was high the waves were but a few paces from where I sat. How often did I sink into contemplation, imagining to myself those Trojan women who *pontum adspectabant flentes* ! I did not weep; but I felt that these solemn spondees best harmonised with the grandeur of that sight, and with the vagueness of my own meditations.<sup>1</sup>

*Pontum adspectabant flentes* ! Fit epigraph for a race who have fallen from hope, on whose ears the waves' world-old message still murmurs without a meaning : while the familiar landmarks fade backwards into shadow, and there is nothing but the sea.

(2) As regards the revival of what I have called the *political illusion*, the enthusiasm either of loyal subordination or of co-operant equality, there is no need for much discussion here. Changes of some kind impend ; but the peculiarity of the situation is that from no change is any real or definite good expected by reasonable men. And of course, on the view taken in this essay, little advantage can be hoped for a mere *rearrangement* of existing material—the material in this case being re-

<sup>1</sup> *Consolation, Revolution, Positivisme, Remarques*, p. 430.

presented by the beliefs and aspirations of the best minds of France. There must be, not rearrangement only, but *renewal*—a fresh influx of hope, conviction, felicity, if outward institutions are to reflect anything save the inward uncertainty or despair.

(3) And still more markedly is this the case as regards that ideal relation between the sexes which, as I have already intimated, seems to be in danger of fading in France into something less permanent and "pure." Our estimate of the value of human affections must depend largely on our estimate of the value of human personality itself. Now it is of course true that the Stoic may rank human dignity high, though he looks for no individual survival; his loves may even take an added solemnity from the nearness of their final hour. But from man's transitory state we find French dramatists and romancers drawing, not *this*, but the opposite, the more obvious inference; and amid all the brevity and instability of human life there is nothing that seems to him more brief or more unstable than the passion in which that life culminates with strongest charm. There is something melancholy, and

---

the more melancholy for its very unconsciousness, in the way in which *quetquzs annees* come to be assumed as the natural limit of any intimate fusion of souls. A few years ! and the lovers who enter thereupon are resigned already to an ultimate solitude, and count beforehand the golden moments which are all that they can steal from fate.

(4) It seems, then, that in our search for some prospect of a renewal of spiritual energy in France we are driven back on our fourth heading, on what I have termed the *personal illusion*; or, in other terms, the belief in the unity and persistence of the personality of man. For in no other direction can we foresee any great change to be effected either by subjective emotion or by scientific discovery. Speculations on the moral government of the universe lie too far beyond the range of proof; and on the other hand the problems of social progress and the elevation of the sexual tie depend in the last resort on what is held to be the profounder truth as to man's inward being, and his place in the scheme of things.

But have we any instrument of self-investigation such as this inquiry needs ? Shall we

not here also be reduced to mere vagueness, to mere effictional appeals, or to those metaphysical arguments which are little more than disguised or regulated emotion? Is our psychology more than a mere descriptive system? Can the "introspective method" afford anything beyond an empirical knowledge of the processes of thought as they appear to the thinker? Or if we turn to psychophysiology, with its new promise of exact experiment, what do we get beyond such determinations of the rapidities and connections of nervous processes as merely prolong into the brain itself the analysis already applied to the operation of the organs of sense? Can either of these methods get down into the region where the answers to our real problems might perhaps be found?

No doubt the lessons of introspection are limited; the lessons of objective experiment are as yet rudimentary. Yet in France at this moment psychology is in a more rapidly progressive, a more revolutionary condition than any other science whatever. It has so happened that to a new group of theoretical conceptions—namely, to the evolution doctrine,

---

as applied to mankind by Darwin, and the psychical analysis of Spencer and Taine—has been superadded a still newer group of psychophysiological observations and experiments: the observations, namely, on hysteria and the experiments in hypnotism of which Dr. Charcot's wards at the Salpetriere form the most celebrated centre. We have here in psychology some kind of approach to a prediction of small perturbations; to something deeper than the old-fashioned manual's sharp partition of the sane mind and the insane;—the sane mind treated like some orrery unwinding itself with diagrammatic regularity; the insane mind relegated to an inscrutable chaos. Readers of Dr. Hughlings-Jackson's "Croonian Lectures on the Evolution and Dissolution of the Nervous System" and similar tractates are of course prepared for novel methods of analysis, for the discovery of unsuspected lines of cleavage amid the strata of mental operation. But to the ordinary English reader such a book, for instance, as Binet and Fe"re"s Handbook of Hypnotism (miscalled Animal Magnetism) in the *International Scientific Series* will come with a string of surprises which will

almost suggest a mystification. Yet Dr. Fere is one of the most distinguished of rising French physiologists; M. Binet is a psychologist of repute ; and the book is a quasi-official *resume* of the doctrines of the Salpetriere school. And if we take a somewhat wider view, I believe that many Frenchmen will concur with me in accounting the *Revue philosophique*, with the Societe de Psychologic physiologique (including MM. Taine, Charcot, Ribot, Richet, Janet, Sully-Prudhomme, etc.), as perhaps the most vital, the most distinctive nucleus of modern French thought.<sup>1</sup>

Yet even if this be so, and the strongest tide of French speculation be now running in the channel of experimental psychology, can we expect that these specialised researches can deeply influence men's general conception of human fates ? It is at least not easy to say in what *other* way that general view is to be

<sup>1</sup> As I write these lines I observe in the *Revue des Deux Mondes* for April I (1888) an article by Professor Paul Janet explaining a very unusual step which has been taken by the authorities of the College de France, "the transformation of the old traditional chair of Natural and International Law into a chair of Experimental and Comparative Psychology." Of the new chair M. Ribot, the editor of the *Revue philosophique*, is the first occupant. See also Professor Janet's remarks (p. 549) on the Societe de Psychologic physiologique.

affected. It will hardly be permanently altered by emotion, by rhetoric ; if modified at all, it must be modified by scientific discovery. And if by scientific discovery, then why not by discovery in that which, if a science at all, is the highest of sciences ? In default of other revelations, *de caelo descendit γνῶθι σεαυτόν.*

In thus judging, we do but return to the doctrine of Socrates and Plato. In their eyes man's knowledge of himself was the all-important, the light-bringing truth. The central question in Plato's philosophy—it must needs be a central question in all philosophies—was whether there exists in man a principle independent of the material universe. Plato supports his affirmative view partly by metaphysical arguments which, like most metaphysical arguments, have now passed out of date. But he supports it also by an argument based on actual, though insufficient, observation and experiment—namely, by the argument that our apparently intuitive recognition of geometrical truths and the like proves that we must have been already familiar with those truths in some previous existence. This special chain of reasoning seems now no longer valid. We explain " re-

miniscence " by heredity, or by the unconscious generalisations of the child. But Plato's method of attacking the great problem on a side where actual observation was possible—this was surely eminently reasonable, eminently sound; and methods similar, but of greater potency, lie ready to our hand to-day.

Of course, however, any discoveries which can be thus reached by definite inquiry are likely to be of modest dimensions as compared to the large utterance of priest or prophet. They may be significant; they will scarcely be overwhelming. Personally, indeed, for reasons which I shall not here repeat, but with which some of my readers may be already acquainted, I am disposed to think that such discoveries are likely to prove highly favourable to human hopes. I do not attribute this view to the psycho-physiological school of France. Yet no one who watches the vigour and rapidity of the intellectual movement in which they are concerned can doubt that we are on the verge of some considerable readjustment of our conceptions of the intimate nature of man. And at the same time it becomes every year more and more difficult to conceive of a spiritual regener-

ation of France which shall start from an emotional, as opposed to a scientific, basis. Her educated classes, at least, seem equally insusceptible to old and to new forms of religious contagion. Catholicism seems to be slowly dying, but the " Religion of Humanity " was stillborn. And the moral fervour, the enthusiastic resignation, of a Clifford or a George Eliot amongst ourselves is replaced in a Taine or a Ribot by a tone of pure neutrality, as of men conscientiously analysing a Cosmos for which they are in no way responsible.

Let us hope that in this very neutrality there may be a certain element of advantage. Just as a Goncourt or a Maupassant may see certain facts of life the more lucidly on account of his detachment from moral interests, from moral dignity, so may the psycho-physiologists of France be aided in discovering some of the deeper elements in man's nature by dint of their very indifference to everything save the discovery itself.

In expressing these hopes, no doubt, we seem to be assuming that religion is essentially an affair of *knowledge*—the knowledge of those vital facts on which our general conception of

the universe must necessarily repose. And this seems at variance with the view that religion is essentially an affair of *faith*—the clinging of the soul to the beliefs and ideals which she feels as spiritually the highest. Yet the two points of view are not radically inconsistent. Rather it may be said that faith in this sense will always be indispensable; but that whereas in all ages a certain nucleus of ascertained fact has been regarded as faith's needful prerequisite, the only difference is that in our own day so much of that ancient nucleus has shrivelled away that some fresh accession is needed before the flower of faith can spring from it and shed fragrance on the unseen. And to this quest of fresh *material for religion* the disengaged temper of the French mind may contribute some added alertness, adaptability, power.

The position of this type of Frenchman may perhaps be formulated as follows. "In the first place," he would say, "I cannot respond to stimuli addressed to my emotions alone. I have had too many of such stimuli; and after the break-down of Catholicism, with its ancient appeal and its majestic promises, I have no appetite for the vague Theism, the austere

---

Stoicism, which are all that you can now offer me. I see little reason to suppose that we survive death, or that life has a moral meaning ; and I cannot feel much enthusiasm for a world so incurably incomplete, so fundamentally unjust as our own. Not that I am a fanatical pessimist; I shall simply do my work, enjoy my pleasures, and think as little as may be about anything beyond. At the same time I am quite aware that we are still at the beginning of our scientific knowledge of the universe and of man. It is possible that you may discover something which will change my attitude. You will not, I think, discover a God, or prove a moral government of the world. But short of that you may unearth some fact in man's nature which may make his destinies somewhat more hopeful, and a Providence somewhat less improbable than at present. Supposing—to take the extreme limit of what I can conceive you as proving—supposing that you could show me that I should survive death, I should certainly readjust my conceptions from top to bottom. In that case I would produce emotions worthy of the occasion. Meantime I shall keep them till they are really called for,

and shall pay no attention save to definite experiment, definite reasoning, addressed to problems which do not lie plainly beyond the scope of human intelligence, even though they may thus far have wholly baffled human inquiry."

Somewhat in this fashion do the great questions present themselves to minds no longer prepossessed in favour of the Scheme of Things. The group of conceptions which we call the universe—like the group of experiences which we call human life—when viewed, as Wordsworth says, "in disconnection dull and spiritless," cease to impose themselves overwhelmingly on the mind. Their glory seems unable to resist a gaze which analyses without idealising; and analysis without idealisation is the very impulse and outcome of disenchanted France.

I have now, though in a very brief and imperfect way, accomplished the task which seemed to me to have some promise of instruction. I have tried to decompose into its constituent elements the vague but general sense of *malaise* or decadence which permeates so much of modern French literature and life. And after referring this disenchantment to the

loss of certain beliefs and habits of thought which the majority of educated Frenchmen have come with more or less distinctness to class as *illusions*, I have endeavoured—it may be thought with poor success—to suggest some possibility of the reconstitution of these illusions on a basis which can permanently resist scientific attack. In *experimental psychology* I have suggested, so to say, a nostrum, but without propounding it as a panacea ; and I cannot avoid the conclusion that we are bound to be prepared for the worst, Yet by "the worst" I do not mean any catastrophe of despair, any cosmic suicide, any world-wide unchaining of the brute that lies pent in man. I mean merely the peaceful, progressive, orderly triumph of *Vhomme sensuel moyen* ; the gradual adaptation of hopes and occupations to a purely terrestrial standard : the calculated pleasures of the cynic who is resolved to be a dupe no more.

Such is the prospect from our tower of augury—the warning note from France, whose inward crises have so often prefigured the fates through which Western Europe was to pass ere long. Many times, indeed, have declining

nations risen anew, when some fresh knowledge, some untried adventure, has added meaning and zest to life. Let those men speak to us, if any there be, who can strengthen our hearts with some prevision happier than mine. For if this vanward and eager people is never to be "begotten again unto a lively hope" by some energy still unfelt and unsuspected, then assuredly France will not suffer alone from her atrophy of higher life. No ; in that case like causes elsewhere must produce like effects ; and there are other great nations whose decline will not be long delayed.

## TENNYSON AS PROPHET (1889)

And we, the poor earth's dying race, and yet  
No phantoms, watching from a phantom shore  
Await the last and largest sense to make  
The phantom walls of this illusion fade,  
And show us that the world is wholly fair.

*The Ancient Sage.*

THE aspect, the countenance of Lord Tennyson—best rendered in Sir J. Millais' portrait, but faithfully given also in many a photograph—must often have struck his admirers with a sense of surprise. It does not fit the popular conception of him—a conception founded mainly on his earlier work, and which presents him as a refined, an idyllic poet, the chanter of love and friendship, the adorer of half-barbarous legends with a garb of tender grace. The faces of other poets—of the ethereal Shelley, the sensuous Keats, the passionate Byron, the benignant Wordsworth—correspond well enough

---

to our notion of what they ought to be. But Tennyson's face expresses not delicacy but power; it is grave even to sternness; it is formidable in the sense which it gives of strength and wisdom won through pain.

For indeed, both in aspect and in mood of mind there has arisen between the poet of the " Dream of Fair Women " and the poet of " Vastness " a change like the change between the poet of *Comus* and the poet of *Samson Agonistes*. In each case the potent nature, which in youth felt keenlier than any contemporary the world's beauty and charm, has come with age to feel with like keenness its awful majesty, the clash of unknown energies, and " the doubtful doom of humankind." And the persistence of Lord Tennyson's poetic gift in all its glory—a persistence scarcely rivalled since Sophocles—has afforded a channel for the emergence of forces which must always have lain deep in his nature, but which were hidden from us by the very luxuriance of the fancy and the emotion of youth.

I would speak, then, of Tennyson as a *prophet* meaning by that term much more than a self-inspired mystic, an eloquent visionary. I know

---

not how else to describe a service which humanity will always need. Besides the *savant*, occupied in discovering objective truth—besides the artist occupied in representing and idealising that truth—we need some voice to speak to us of those greatest, those undiscoverable things which can never be wholly known but must still less be wholly ignored or forgotten. For such a service we need something more than orator or priest; we need a sage, but a sage whose wisdom is kindled with emotion, and whose message comes to us with the authority of a great personality, winged at once and weighted by words of power.

Yet Tennyson's prophetic message has been so delicately interwoven with his metrical and literary charm, and has found, moreover, its most potent expression in poems so recent in date, that it has not often, I think, been adequately recognised, or traced with due care from its early to its later form. There need, therefore, I trust, be no presumption in an attempt—for which the writer, of course, is alone responsible—to arrange in clearer connection those weighty utterances which the exigencies of art have scattered irregularly over

---

many pages, but which those who seek the guidance of great minds must often desire to reunite.

We have not here, indeed, a developed system whose dogmas can be arranged in logical order. Rather may the reader be disposed to say that there is no sure message ; that the net result consists in hopes and possibilities which the poet himself regards as transcending proof. Alas ! like the haul of living things from the deep sea, the group of dogmas which any mind brings up from the gulf of things is apt to dwindle as the plummet sinks deeper down ; and we have rather to ask, " Is there at the bottom life at all ? " than to expect to find our highly-organised creeds still flourishing when we have plunged far into the dark abyss.

This may sound but a cheerless saying, and the Christian reader may perhaps complain of a lack of explicit adhesion to Christian doctrine in our representative poet. But I would beg him to consider that the cause of any creed, however definite, can hardly at present be better subserved than by indirect and preliminary defences. I would remind him

---

that the Gospel story is not now supported, in Haley's fashion, by insistence on its miracles alone, but rather and mainly by subjective arguments, by appeals to its intrinsic beauty and probability, its adaptation to the instincts and needs of men. Christianity assumes an unseen world, and then urges that the life of Christ is the fittest way in which such a world could come into contact with the world we know. The essential spirituality of the universe, in short, is the basis of religion, and it is precisely this basis which is now assailed. In former times the leading opponents of Christianity were mainly "Deists," and admitted in some form or other a spiritual substratum for visible things. Rousseau's irreducible minimum of religion included a God and a future life. But now the position is changed. The most effective assailants of Christianity no longer take the trouble to attack, as Voltaire did, the Bible miracles in detail. They strike at the root, and begin by denying—outright or virtually—that a spiritual world, a world beyond the conceivable reach of mathematical formulae, exists for us at all. They say with Clifford that "no intelligences except those of men and

---

animals have been at work in the solar system"; or, implying that the physical Cosmos is all, and massing together all possible spiritual entities under the name which most suggests superstition, they affirm that the world "is made of ether and atoms, and there is no room for ghosts."

Now it is evident that unless this needful preamble of any and every religion can be proved—say rather unless the existence of an unseen profounder world can be so presented as to commend itself to our best minds as the more likely hypothesis—it will be useless to insist nowadays on the adaptation of any given religion to the needs of the soul. The better adapted it is to man, the stronger will be the presumption that it is a system created by man—"the guess of a worm in the dust, and the shadow of its desire." It does not, of course, follow that even were the existence of a spiritual world demonstrated, any specific revelation of that world would be manifestly true. But at any rate *unless* such a world be in some sense believed in by the leading minds of the race, no specific revelation whatever can permanently hold its ground. If, therefore, certain

---

readers feel that Tennyson's championship is confined mainly to what they may regard as mere elements of Natural Religion, they need not on that account value him the less as a leader of the spiritual side of human thought. The work which he does may not be that which they most desire. But at least it is work indispensably necessary, if what they most desire is ever to be done. And they may reflect also that the Laureate's great predecessor did more for a spiritual view of the universe by his "Tintern Abbey" or his Platonic Ode than by his *Ecclesiastical Sonnets* or his pious hymn to St. Bees.

And first let us briefly consider the successive steps which mark Tennyson's gradual movement to his present position. They show, I think, an inward development coinciding with, or sometimes anticipating, the spiritual movement of the age. We may start with the "Supposed Confessions of a Second-rate Sensitive Mind"—a juvenile work, from whose title, for present purposes, we may perhaps omit the adjectives "supposed" and "second-rate." In this, the most agitated of all his poems, we find the soul urging onward

Thro' utter dark a full-sail'd skiff,  
Unpiloted i' the echoing dance  
Of reboant whirlwinds ;—

and to the question " Why not believe, then ? " we have as answer a simile of the sea which cannot slumber like a mountain tarn, or

Draw down into his vexed pools  
All that blue heaven which hues and paves

the tranquil inland mere. Thus far there is little that is distinctive, little beyond the common experience of widening minds. But in " The Two Voices " we have much that will continue characteristic of Tennyson, and a range of speculation not limited by Christian tradition. Here we first encounter what may be termed his most definite conjecture, to which he returns in " De Profundis," and in the " Epilogue " which forms one of his latest works—namely, the old Platonic hypothesis of the multiform pre-existence of the soul. His analogy from " trances " has received, I need not say, much reinforcement from the experimental psychology of recent years.

It may be that no life is found,  
Which only to one engine bound  
Kails off, but cycles always round.

As old mythologies relate,  
Some draught of Lethe may await  
The slipping thro' from state to state,

As here we find in trances, men  
Forget the dream that happens then,  
Until they fall in trance again.

There can be no doubt that any hypothesis of our survival of death must logically suggest our existence before earthly birth. Since, however, this latter hypothesis is not insisted on (though neither is it denied) by Christian orthodoxy, and has no quite obvious bearing on man's hopes and fears, it has dropped out of common thought, and its occurrence in individual speculation marks a certain disengagement and earnestness of inquiry.

The next main step is represented by *In Memoriam* ; and in reading *In Memoriam* it is difficult to realise that the book was written by a young man, some half-century ago ; so little is there, in all its range of thought and emotion, which the newest Science can condemn or the truest Religion find lacking. So sound an instinct has led the poet to dwell on the core of religion—namely, the survival of human love and human virtue—so genuine a candour has

---

withheld him from insisting too positively on his own hopeful belief. In spite of its sparse allusion to Christianity, *In Memoriam* has been widely accepted as a helpful companion to Christian devotion. Is not this because the Christian feels that the survival of human love and virtue—however phrased or supported—is the essence of his Gospel too? that his good news is of the survival of a consummate love and virtue, manifested with the express object of proving that love and virtue *could* survive?

It is hardly too much to say that *In Memoriam* is the only speculative book of that epoch—epoch of the "Tractarian movement," and much similar "up-in-the-air balloon-work"—which retains a serious interest now. Its brief cantos contain the germs of many a subsequent treatise, the indication of channels along which many a wave of opinion has flowed, down to that last "Philosophic der Erlösung," or Gospel of a sad Redemption—

To drop head foremost in the jaws  
Of vacant darkness, and to cease—

which tacitly or openly is possessing itself of so many a modern mind.

Yet *In Menwriam*, in spite of all its pregnancy, hardly forms a part of what I have called the prophetic message of Tennyson. He still is feeling for Wisdom ; he has not reached the point from whence he can speak with confidence and power.

The first words, as I hold them, of the message are presented, with characteristic delicacy, in the form of a vision merely, and in one of the least conspicuous poems. The wife's dream in " Sea Dreams " is an utterance of deep import---the expression of a conviction that the truth of things is good ; and that the resistless force of truth, destroying one after another all ancient creeds, and reaching at last to the fair images of Virgin Mother and sinless Babe, is nevertheless an impulse in harmony with the best that those creeds contained ; and sheds a mystic light on the ruined minsters, and mixes its eternal music with the blind appeals of men.

But round the North, a light,  
A belt, it seem'd, of luminous vapour, lay,  
And ever in it a low musical note  
Swell'd up and died; and, as it swelFd, a ridge  
Of breaker issued from the belt, and still  
Grew with the growing note, and when the note

---

Had reach'd a thunderous fulness, on those cliffs  
Broke, mixt with awful light (the same as that  
Living within the belt) whereby she saw  
That all those lines of cliffs were cliffs no more,  
But huge cathedral fronts of every age,  
Grave, florid, stern, as far as eye could see,  
One after one : and then the great ridge drew,  
Lessening to the lessening music, back,  
And past into the belt and swell'd again  
Slowly to music : ever when it broke  
The statues, king or saint, or founder fell

But here the subtlest point is that the very lamentations of those who regret this ruin are themselves part and parcel of the same harmonious impulse—

Their wildest wailings never out of tune  
With that sweet note

to which the ancient images arc crumbling down, and the resistless wave advancing from a luminous horizon of the sea.

Where, then, are we to look for a revelation of the secret which, broadening from its far belt of light, is to overwhelm the limited and evanescent phases of human faith ?

The nearest approach to a statement of creed in Tennyson's poems is to be found in a few stanzas which he read at the first meeting

---

of the Metaphysical Society, the group of thinkers mentioned in his sonnet on the inception of the Review in which these pages first appeared :—

The sun, the moon, the stars, the seas, the hills and  
the plains—  
Are not these, O Soul, the Vision of Him who  
reigns ?

Is not the Vision He ? tho' He be not that which He  
seems ?  
Dreams are true while they last, and do we not live  
in dreams ?

Earth, these solid stars, this weight of body and  
limb,  
Are they not sign and symbol of thy division from  
Him? . . .

Speak to Him thou for He hears, and Spirit with  
Spirit can meet—  
Closer is He than breathing, and nearer than hands  
and feet. . . .

And the ear of man cannot hear, and the eye of man  
cannot see;  
But if we could see and hear, this Vision—were it  
not He?

In the " Higher Pantheism " of these familiar  
lines, the reader accustomed to the study of  
religions will seem to recognise that we have

come to the end of the story. We have reached the end of Oriental religion, the end of Greek ; we stand where stood Plotinus, fusing into a single ecstasy every spiritual emotion of that ancient world.

But to see and to have seen that Vision is reason no longer, but more than reason, and before reason, and after reason; as also is that Vision which is seen. And perchance we should not speak of *sight*. For that which is seen—if we must needs speak of the Seer and the Seen as twain and not as one—that which is seen is not discerned by the seer nor conceived by him as a second thing; but, becoming as it were other than himself, he of himself contributeth nought, but as when one layeth centre upon centre he becometh God's and one with God. Wherefore this visidri is hard to tell of. For how can a man tell of that as other than himself, which when he discerned it seemed not other, but one with himself indeed ?<sup>1</sup>

Or take again the words of Arthur at the end of the " Holy Grail "—the spiritually central passage, so to say, in all the *Idylls of the King*—when the king describes the visions of the night or of the day which come when earthly work is done—

In moments when he feels he cannot die,  
And knows himself no vision to himself,  
Nor the high God a vision ;

<sup>1</sup> Plotinus, *Enn.* vi. 10.

---

and compare this with any one of the passages where Plotinus endeavours in halting speech to reproduce those moments of unison whose memory brightens his arid argument with oases of a lucid joy.

And it may be that this was not vision, but some other manner of sight, ay, an ecstasy and a simplicity and a self-surrender, and a still passion of contact and of unison, when that which is within the Holy Place is discerned. . . . And falling from that sight if he arouse again the virtue in him, and perceive himself wholly adorned, he shall be lifted up once more ; through Virtue looking upon Mind and through Wisdom upon very God. Thus is the life of blessed gods and of godlike men a renunciation of earthly joy, a deliverance from earthly sorrow, a flight of the One to the One.

To some such point as this, as I have said, the instinct of reverence, the emotion of holiness, must tend to lead souls to such emotions born. And in former times this mystical standpoint seemed in some sense independent of controversy. Historical criticism on the Gospels, geological disproof of the Mosaic cosmogony, scarcely rose into that thinner air. But the assault now made is more paralysing, more fundamental. For it is based on formulae which are in a certain sense demonstrable, and

---

which seem to embrace the whole extent of things. The Cosmos, we now say, is a system of ether and atoms, in which the sum of matter and the sum of energy are constant quantities. And the Cosmos is the scene of universal evolution, according to unchangeable law. Hence it seems to follow that no human soul or will can add a fresh energy of its own ; that there can be nothing but a ceaseless transformation of force, which would proceed in just the same way were all consciousness to be removed from the automata who fancy that they direct the currents along which they inevitably flow. It seems to follow, too, that even the highest of these automata have been brought into a momentary existence by no Heavenly Father, no providential scheme ; but in the course of a larger and unconscious process, which in itself bears no relation to human happiness or virtue.

As all this begins to be dimly realised, men may be seen, like ants in a trodden ant-hill, striving restlessly to readjust their shattered conceptions. It is borne in upon them that the traditional optimism of Western races may be wholly illusory ; that human life may indeed, as the East has held, be on the whole an evil,

---

and man's choice lie between a dumb resignation and that one act of rebellion which makes at least an end. And thus, in an age little given to metaphysic, we find pessimistic systems more vigorous than any other, and the intellect of France, Russia, Germany deeply honeycombed with a tacit despair.

But though pessimism may spread among the thoughtful, it cannot possibly be the practical creed of progressive peoples. They must maintain their energy by some kind of compromise between old views and new; and the compromises which we see around us, though at war among themselves, are yet the offspring of the same need, and serve to break, at different points, the terrible transition. There is the movement which began with Broad-Churchism, and which seems now to broaden further into a devotion to Christ which altogether repudiates the Resurrection on which His first followers based His claim to be the bringer of a true Gospel rather than the most mistaken of all enthusiasts. And a few steps farther from old beliefs stands that other compromise known as Positivism—a religion consisting simply in the resolute maintenance of the traditional opti-

---

mistic view when the supposed facts that made for optimism have all been abandoned. Never have we come nearer to "the grin without the cat" of the popular fairy tale than in the brilliant paradoxes with which some kindly rhetorician—himself steeped in deserved prosperity—would fain persuade us that all in this sad world is well, since Auguste Comte has demonstrated that the effect of our deeds lives after us, so that what we used to call eternal death—the cessation, in point of fact, of our own existence—may just as well be considered as eternal life of a very superior description.

But although these and similar compromises are only too open to the pessimist's attack, one may well hesitate as to whether it is right or desirable to assail them. Should we not encourage any illusion which will break the fall, and repeat in favour of these fragile substitutes the same reticence which it so long seemed well to use in criticising Christianity itself?

Such, at any rate, is not Lord Tennyson's attitude in the matter. In his view, it seems, these blanched survivals of optimism may be brushed aside without scruple. He is not afraid

---

to set forth a naked despair as the inevitable outcome of a view of the world which omits a moral government or a human survival. A grave responsibility, which the clear-seeing poet would scarcely have undertaken, had not his own confidence in the happier interpretation been strong and assured.

His presentation of absolute hopelessness is put in the mouth of a man undergoing one of those seasons of unmerited anguish which are the real, the intimate problem with which any religion or any philosophy has to deal.

"A man and his wife, having lost faith in a God, and hope of a life to come"—so run the prefatory words to "Despair"—and being utterly miserable in this, resolve to end themselves by drowning. The woman is drowned, but the man rescued by a minister of the sect he had abandoned ;"—and to this minister he describes the reflections of that which had so nearly been his own last hour.

And first of all, and prompting to the suicidal act, was the passion of pity for himself and all mankind—the feeling that there was no hope or remedy except that last plunge into the dark.

---

But pity—the Pagan held it a vice—was in her and  
in me,  
Helpless, taking the place of the pitying God that  
should be !  
Pity for all that aches in the grasp of an idiot power,  
And pity for our own selves on an earth that bore not  
a flower;  
Pity for all that suffers on land or in air or the deep,  
And pity for our own selves till we long'd for eternal  
sleep.

"It seems to me," says the character in which one of the ablest of our younger writers has expressed her own inward battle, "it seemed to me as if I saw, mysteriously, a new Satan, a rebel angel of good, raising his banners against the Jehovah of Evil ; a creature like Frankenstein's image, a terrible new kind of monster, more noble than its base maker." How shall a man avoid such indignant compassion as this ? Let him face his own doom bravely as he may, how shall he look complacently on the anguish of others, knowing that for their forlornness there is no pity anywhere save such thin stream as he and his like can give ? that there lives, perhaps, no creature wiser or more helpful than himself in the star-sown fields of heaven ?

<sup>1</sup> *Baldwin*, by Vernon Lee, p. 124.

And the suns of the limitless Universe sparkled and  
shone in the sky,  
Flashing with fires as of God, but, we knew, that their  
light was a lie—  
Bright as with deathless hope—but, however they  
sparkled and shone,  
The dark little worlds running round them were worlds  
of woe like our own—  
No soul in the heaven above, no soul on the earth  
below,  
A fiery scroll written over with lamentation and woe.

" The starry heavens without; the moral law within": with what an irony must that old formula of august hope strike on a mind like this ! " The moral law within " : the inherited instincts which have made my tribe successful among its neighbour tribes, but which simply fail and have no further meaning in this my solitary extremest hour ! " The starry heavens without" : appalling spectacle of aimless immensity ! inconceivable possibilities of pain ! vastness of a Universe which knows not of our existence and could not comprehend our prayer!

O we poor orphans of nothing—alone on that lonely  
shore—  
Born of the brainless Nature who knew not that which  
she bore !

---

The man and wife bid farewell to each other  
as the water rises round them.

Ah God, should we find Him, perhaps, perhaps, if we  
died, if we died ;

We never had found Him on earth, this earth is a  
fatherless Hell—

' Dear Love, for ever and ever, for ever and ever,  
farewell,'

Never a cry so desolate, not since the world began,  
Never a kiss so sad, no, not since the coming of man !

A comparison of these lines with the lines in the " Palace of Art " where Tennyson, still a young man, has painted the soul's last distress, will show how far more awful the world-problem reflected in the poet's mind has become since that earlier day. In the " Palace of Art " the soul which has lived for her own pleasure alone feels herself " exiled from eternal God," severed like a land-locked pool from the mighty movement of all things " toward one sure goal." It is an agony of remorse and terror, but it carries with it a germ of hope. There *is* the goal towards which the universe is striving. There *is* the eternal God. And after repentance and purification the erring soul can hope to renew the sacred sympathies, and to rejoin the advancing host.

---

On the other hand the woe described in "Despair" deepens where that other sorrow found its dawn. There is absolutely nothing to which effort can be directed, or appeal can lie. It is no longer conceivable that any soul, by any action or passion, can alter the immutable destiny which hangs blindly over all.

Yet I must not speak as if those who deem human survival a superfluous consolation had made no effort to meet such crises as that on which Tennyson dwells. I quote a well-known passage in which Clifford has depicted the "unseen helper" who may be looked for when no other help is nigh.

He who, weaned or stricken in the fight with the powers of darkness, asks himself in a solitary place, "Is it all for nothing? shall we indeed be overthrown?" he does find something which may justify that thought [of an unseen helper of men]. In such a moment of utter sincerity, when a man has bared his own soul before the immensities and the eternities, a presence in which his own poor personality is shrivelled into nothingness, arises within him, and says, as plainly as words can say, "I am with thee, and I am greater than thou." . . . The dim and shadowy outlines of the superhuman Deity fade slowly away from before us; and as the mist of his presence floats aside, we perceive with greater and greater clearness the shape of a yet grander and nobler figure—of Him

---

who made all Gods and shall unmake them. From the dim dawn of history, and from the inmost depth of every soul, the face of our father Man looks out upon us with the fire of eternal youth in his eyes, and says, " Before Jehovah was, I am ! "

Yet would one not be in danger of observing that the face of this summarised or composite ancestor was of somewhat too simian a type ? Might not " the fire of youth in his eyes " suggest unpleasantly that he had called his descendants into being for reasons quite other than a far-seeing desire that they should suffer and be strong ? And if Jehovah and all gods be his fable and his fiction, does that make him a whit more strong to save ?

Why should we bear with an hour of torture, a  
moment of pain,  
If every man die for ever, if all his griefs are in vain,  
And the homeless planet at length will be wheel'd thro'  
the silence of space,  
Motherless evermore of an ever-vanishing race,  
When the worm shall have writhed its last, and its last  
brother-worm will have fled  
From the dead fossil skull that is left in the rocks of  
an earth that is dead ?

" What is it to me," said Marcus Aurelius, " to live in a world without a Providence ? " " I live,"

---

said Prince Bismarck in 1878, "a life of great activity, and occupy a lucrative post; but all this could offer me no inducement to live one day longer, did I not believe in God and a better future." It is well to quote men like these when one sees the words " morbid" and "unmanly," taking in the Positivist Camp the place which the words " dangerous " and " unsound " have occupied so long in orthodox polemics. It is not clear why it should be unmanly to face the bitter as well as the sweet ; to see life in a dry light, tinted neither by the sunset rays of a vanishing Paradise, nor by the silvery moonlight of a philosopher's dream.

In Tennyson's view, at any rate, this deliberate rejection of human life as meaningless without a future is not the mere outcome of such misery as that of the spokesman in " Despair." It forms the theme of one of his last and most majestic personal utterances, of that poem of " Vastness," which one may place beside the choruses in the *Oedipus at Colonus*, as illustrations, the one of an old man's wisdom in all its benignity, the other of an old man's wisdom in all its authority and power.

The insignificance of human life, if moral

evolution be for ever checked by death, is no new theme ; but it is here enforced as though by Plato's "spectator of all time and of all existence," with a range of view which sees one man's death recall or prefigure, not, as Dido's, the fall only of Tyre or Carthage, but the desolation of entire planets, and the evanescence of unknown humanities in dispeopled fields of Heaven, Seen with that cosmic gaze, earth's good and evil alike seem the illusions of a day.

Many a hearth upon oŭr dark globe sighs after many  
     a vanish'd face,  
 Many a planet by many sun may roll with the dust  
     of a vanish'd race.

Raving politics, never at rest—as this poor earth's  
     pale history runs,—  
 What is it all but a trouble of ants in the gleam of a  
     million million of suns ? . . .

Stately purposes, valour in battle, glorious annals of  
     army and fleet,  
 Death for the light cause, death for the wrong cause,  
     trumpets of victory, groans of defeat ; . . .

Pain, that has crawl'd from the corpse of Pleasure, a  
     worm which writhes-all day, and at night  
 Stirs up again in the heart of the sleeper, and stings  
     him back to the curse of the light; . . .



---

for the like history and the like doom. Let these cry aloud if they will into the interstellar spaces, and call it prayer ; they hear not each other, and there is none else to hear. For in this infinity love and virtue have no share ; they are of all illusions the most fragile, derivative, evanescent ; they have no part or lodgment in the fixed reality of things.

And yet this prospect, which is slowly imposing itself as inevitable, is in reality but a conjecture like all the rest. Such, we may admit, must be the universe if it be reducible to ether and atoms alone ; if life and consciousness be its efflorescence and not its substratum, and that which was from the beginning be the lowest and not the highest of all. But in truth a reduction of the Cosmos into ether and atoms is scarce more reasonable than its reduction into the four elements, air, water, earth, and fire. The ancients boldly assumed that the world was made of things which our senses can reach. The modern *savant* too often tacitly implies that the world is made of things which our *calculations* can reach. Yet this is still a disguised, a mediate anthropomorphism. There is no reason to assume that our calculations, any

---

more than our senses, have cognisance of any large fraction of the events which are occurring even in our own region of time and space. The notion that we have now attained to a kind of outline sketch of the universe is not really consistent with the very premises on which it is based. For on those premises our view must inevitably have limits depending on nothing wider than the past needs of living organisms on this earth. We have acquired, presumably, a direct perception of such things as it has helped our ancestors most to perceive during their struggle for existence; and an indirect perception of such other things as we have been able to infer from our group of direct perceptions. But we cannot limit the entities or operations which may coexist, even in our part of the Cosmos, with those already known. The universe may be infinite in an infinite number of ways.

Considerations such as these are not formally disputed, but they are constantly ignored. In spite of the continued hints which nature gives us to enlarge our conceptions in all kinds of unlooked-for ways, the instinct of system, of a rounded and completed doctrine, is apt to be too strong for us, and a determined protest

against premature synthesis is as much needed now as ever. Such protest may naturally take one of two forms. It may consist of a careful registration of residual phenomena in all directions, which the current explanations fail to include. Or it may consist—and this is the prophet's task—of imaginative appeal, impressive assertion of the need of a profounder insight and a wider purview before we quit our expectant attitude, and act as though apparent limitations were also real, or the universe fathomed in any of its dimensions by human perception and power. It is in this mood that Tennyson draws from the standing mystery of a child's birth the conception of a double, a synchronous evolution ; of a past which has slowly shaped the indwelling spirit as well as the fleshly habitation. First comes the physical ancestry :—

Out of the deep, my child, out of the deep,  
Where all that was to be, in all that was,  
Whirl'd for a million ceons thro' the vast  
Waste dawn of multitudinous-eddying light.

For thus does the baby's structure remount to the primordial nebula ; the atoms of its hand have been volleyed for inconceivable ages

through far-off tracts of gloom, and have passed through a myriad combinations, inanimate and animate, to become the child's for a moment, and to speed once more away.

Out of the deep, my child, out of the deep,  
From that great deep, before our world begins,  
Whereon the Spirit of God moves as he will—  
Out of the deep, my child, out of the deep,  
From that true world within the world we see,  
Whereof our world is but the bounding shore.

For thus an invisible world may antecede the visible, and an inconceivable world the conceivable ; while yet we ourselves, here and now, are living equally in both ; though our spirit be beclouded by its " descent into generation " ; which, in Plotinus' words, is " a fall, a banishment, a moulting of the wings of the soul."

O dear Spirit half-lost  
In thine own shadow and this fleshly sign  
That thou art thou—who wailest being born  
And banish'd into mystery, and the pain  
Of this divisible-indivisible world  
Among the numerable-innumerable  
Sun, sun, and sun, thro' finite-infinite space  
In finite-infinite Time—our mortal veil  
And shatter'd phantom of that infinite One,  
Who made thee unconceivably Thyself  
Out of His whole World-self and all in all.

Is there, then, any hint of a possibility of transcending these contradictory inconceivables? of re-attaining the clearness which is blurred and confused by the very fact of our individuation? of participating in that profounder consciousness which, in Tennyson's view, is not the "epiphenomenon" but the root and reality of all?

A passage in the "Ancient Sage," known to be based upon the poet's own experience, describes some such sensation of resumption into the universal, following upon a self-induced ecstasy.

And more, my son ! for more than once when I  
Sat all alone, revolving in myself  
The word that is the symbol of myself,  
The mortal limit of the Self was loosed,  
And past into the Nameless, as a cloud  
Melts into Heaven. I touch'd my limbs, the limbs  
Were strange not mine—and yet no shade of doubt,  
But utter clearness, and thro' loss of Self  
The gain of such large life as match'd with ours  
Were Sun to spark—unshadowable in words,  
Themselves but shadows of a shadow-world.

This passage raises in the directest form a question which becomes ever more vitally important as external systems of theology crumble

---

away. Can ecstasy ever be a state higher than normal life, or is it always referable to delusion or disease? Now it is undoubted that the great majority of states of true ecstasy which are now observed occur in hysterical patients, as one phase of a complex attack. The temptation to rank ecstasy on much the same level with hysterical spasm or mutism is naturally irresistible. And yet, as I have urged elsewhere, this is by no means a safe conclusion. A hysterical fit indicates a lamentable instability of the nervous system. But it is by no means certain, *a priori*, that every symptom of that instability, without exception, will be of a degenerative kind. The nerve-storm, with its unwonted agitations, may possibly lay bare some deep-lying capacity in us which could scarcely otherwise have come to light. Recent experiments on both sensation and memory in certain abnormal states have added plausibility to this view, and justify us in holding that, in spite of its frequent association with hysteria, ecstasy is not necessarily in itself a morbid symptom.

And if we can allow ourselves to look at ecstasy apart from its associations with hysteria

and fanaticism—as it is presented to us, say, by Plato or Wordsworth, or, in more developed form (as we have seen), by Tennyson or Plotinus—then, assuredly, it is a phenomenon which cannot be neglected in estimating man's actual or nascent powers of arriving at a knowledge of truth. "Great wit and madness" are both of them divergences from the common standard; but the study of genius may have as much to teach us of the mind's evolution as the study of insanity has to teach us of its decay.

And, moreover, if indeed, as Tennyson has elsewhere suggested, and as many men now believe, there exist some power of communication between human minds without sensory agency—

Star to star vibrates light; may soul to soul  
Strike thro' some finer element of her own?—

then surely it would be in accordance with analogy that these centres of psychical perception should be immersed in a psychical *continuum*, and that their receptivity should extend to influences of larger than human scope. And if so, then the obscure intuitions which have made the vitality of one-religion

---

after another may have discerned confusedly an ultimate fact, a fact deeper than any law which man's mind can formulate, or any creed to which his heart can cling. For these things, to whatever purport, were settled long ago; they must be the great structural facts of the Cosmos, determined before our Galaxy shaped itself or souls first entered into man.

Enough, perhaps, has been said to indicate the aspect in which this great poet's teaching—in itself, no doubt, many-sided, and transcending the grasp of any single disciple—has presented itself to at least one student, who has spared no pains to follow it. As here conceived, it is a teaching which may well outlast our present confusion and struggle. For Tennyson is the prophet simply of a Spiritual Universe: the proclaimer of man's spirit as part and parcel of that Universe, and indestructible as the very root of things. And in these beliefs, though science may not prove them, there is nothing which can conflict with science; for they do but assert in the first place that the universe is infinite in more ways than our instruments can measure; in the second place that evolution, which is the law

for the material universe, is the law for virtue as well. It is not on interference but on analogy, not on catastrophe but on completion, that they base the foundation of hope. More there may be—truths holier, perhaps, and happier still; but should not *these* truths, if true they be, suffice for man? Is it not enough to give majesty to the universe, purpose and dignity to life, if he can once believe that his upward effort—what he here calls virtue—shall live and persist for ever? "Give her the glory of going on, and still to be."

If there are some who will deem 'this' hope insufficient, there are many more among the disciples of science who will smile at it as an unprovable dream. For my own part, too, I believe that the final answer—and this I say in no unhopeful spirit—must depend on the discoveries of Science herself. "We are ancients of the earth"; and if there be indeed an unseen world we assuredly need not imagine that we have yet exhausted our means of discovering it. But meantime we more than ever need our prophets; and the true poet comes nearer to inspiration than any prophet to whom we can hope to listen now. Let his intuitions come

---

to us dissolved in that fusion of thought and melody which makes the highest art we know ; let flashes of a strange delight—" like sparkles in the stone aventurine"—reveal at once the beauty and the darkness of the meditations whence the song has sprung. Give us, if so it may be, the exaltation which lifts into a high community ; the words which stir the pulse like passion, and wet the eyes like joy, and with the impalpable breath of an inward murmur can make a sudden glory in the deep of the heart. Give us—but who shall give it ? or how in days like these shall not the oracles presently be dumb ?

In Tennyson and Browning we have veritable fountain-heads of the spiritual energy of our time. " Ranging and ringing thro' the minds of men," their words are linked in many a memory with what life has held of best. But these great poets have passed already the common term of man ; and when we look to the pair whose genius might have marked them as successors, we see too clearly the effect of this " dimness of our vexation " upon sensitive and generous souls. The " singer before sunrise"—capable of so quick a response to all

---

chivalrous ardours—has turned his face from the vaster problems, has given himself to literature as literature, and to poetry as art alone. And he, again, who dwelt with so ravishing a melancholy on Eld and Death, whose touch shall shrivel all human hope and joy,—he has felt that every man may well grasp with hasty eagerness at delights which so soon pass by for all, and has followed how incoherent an ideal along how hazardous a way !

It seems sometimes as though poetry, which has always been half art, half prophecy, must needs abandon her higher mission ; must turn only to the bedecking of things that shall wither and the embalming of things that shall decay. She will speak, as in the *Earthly Paradise*, to listeners

laid upon a flowery slope  
Twixt inaccessible cliffs and unsailed sea;

and behind all her utterance there will be an awful reticence, an unforgotten image of the end. How, then, will Tennyson's hopes and visions sound to men, when his living utterance has fallen silent, like the last oracle in the Hellenic world ? I can imagine that our descend-

---

ants may shun the message whose futile confidence will add poignancy to their despair. Or, on the other hand, if indeed the Cosmos make for good, and evolution be a moral as well as a material law, will men in time avail to prove it? For then they will look back on Tennyson as no belated dreamer, but as a leader who in the darkest hour of the world's thought would not despair of the destiny of man. They will look back on him as Romans looked back on that unshaken Roman who purchased at its full price the field of Cannae, on which at that hour victorious Hannibal lay encamped with his Carthaginian host.

## MODERN POETS AND COSMIC LAW

(1893)

But earth's dark forehead flings athwart the heavens  
Her shadow crown'd with stars—and yonder—out  
To northward—some that never set, but pass  
From sight and night to lose themselves in day.  
I hate the black negation of the bier,  
And wish the dead, as happier than ourselves  
And higher, having climb'd one step beyond  
Our village miseries, might be borne in white  
To burial or to burning, hymn'd from hence  
With songs in praise of death, and crown'd with flowers!

*The Ancient Sage.*

WORDSWORTH, Darwin, Tennyson,—the three greatest Englishmen of our century,—all now have passed away. *Greatest* I call them, not for personal faculties alone, which are hard to compare as between the many men of genius whom our age has produced, but because it seems to me that these men's faculties have achieved most in the most important directions, in the intuition, discovery, promulgation of fundamental cosmic law. And by cosmic law I here

mean not such rules merely as may hold good universally for matter, or motion, or abstract quantities, but principles which, even if as yet but dimly and narrowly understood, may conceivably be valid for the whole universe, on all possible planes of being. Of such principles, we have as yet but three ;—Uniformity, Conservation, Evolution. We believe that all operations in the universe obey unchanging law. We believe that all matter and all energy known to us are indestructible. And we believe that all physical and vital operation in the universe is at present following certain obscurely discernible streams of tendency, whose source and goal are alike unknown. The first of these laws lies at the root of all Science ; the second at the root of Physics ; the third at the root of Biology.

It is not, of course, with any one of these three laws that the work of Wordsworth or of Tennyson is connected. Of a *fourth* cosmic principle, to which, as I hold, they have helped to introduce mankind, there will be mention later on. Meantime my purpose is briefly to review the work of Tennyson and of our two great poets who survive—Browning I must

omit for want of space—in reference to its most serious or philosophical import.<sup>1</sup> And such criticism, if it is to have any real value, must needs start thus *ab ovo*, and must take account of the speculative or ethical standpoint from which each poet writes. Nor can such standpoint be any longer indicated by words which merely express inclusion or non-inclusion among the adherents of any definite form of faith.

For the change which is coming over our questionings of the universe affects the poet not less intimately, if less directly, than it affects the *savant* or the philosopher. The conceptions which he breathes in from the intellectual atmosphere are no longer traditional, but scientific ; no longer catastrophic, but evolutionary ; no longer planetary, but cosmical. He may still feel that certain facts in human history have had a unique importance for man. But he must recognise that in order to understand those very facts we must endeavour to understand the universe around us. That

<sup>1</sup> In thus continuing, after the poet's death, the argument begun, in the essay just preceding, during his lifetime, I may say that I have reason to believe that the line there taken, based in part upon his own conversation, was not unacceptable to Lord Tennyson.

---

universe cannot have changed appreciably in two thousand years. Taking it as a whole, what was going on then must be going on now.

Yet if the poet endeavours to nourish himself on cosmical laws, he soon finds how ill-suited they are for the sustenance of the human heart. They are the offspring, not of philosophical musing or generous emotion, but of observations, experiments, computations, conducted with an entire absence of ethical preoccupation. Imperfectly understood in themselves, they are yet more difficult to translate into formulae which will answer the questions that we most wish to ask. Does the law of the uniformity of Nature cancel all that has been held as miracle or revelation, or may so-called miracle and revelation themselves form a stable element in the succession of cause and effect? Does the law of the conservation of energy condemn man's consciousness to extinction when the measurable energies which build up his chemical texture pass back into the inorganic world, or may his conscious life be a form of activity which, just because it is not included in our cycle of mutually transformable energies, is itself in its own proper form as imperishable as

they? What does evolution mean, when we get below the obviously superficial terms in which we now describe it as progressing from the simple to the complex, from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous, and the like? Does it apply to the moral, or only to the material world? In its application to the material world, is it in any sense continuous and eternal, or is it always temporary and truncated, as must needs be the case with our planetary and solar evolution, and may conceivably be the case with all the stellar evolution which we perceive or infer? And if it applies to the moral future of mankind, is it truncated there also, as must be the case if man exists only while he can inhabit the surface of a planet which, at the best, is only warranted habitable for a few million years? or has it the continuity and eternity for which man's personal immortality alone would offer scope?

And broadly, if the alien and impersonal character of all these laws convinces us that the universe is in no way constructed to meet the moral needs of man, can we then discern its purpose?—is any effort possible to us, or must we drift helplessly with the cosmic stream?

It so happens that the respective attitudes of Mr. Swinburne and Mr. William Morris towards these fundamental problems are specially interesting in two opposite ways—with Mr. Swinburne, from his extraordinary intellectual detachment from the ordinary emotions of humanity; with Mr. Morris from the intensity with which he personally shares those emotions.

Mr. Swinburne's case is a very unusual one. His temperament, it need hardly be said, is one of exceptional keenness and fervour; but he has himself explained that this fervour is elicited mainly by poetry and by the aspects of Nature. The name which the poet assumes in his principal autobiographical poem, "Thalassius," or Child of the Sea,—like the symbolical parentage of the Sun-God which he assigns to himself,—is significant of a nature for which these elemental relationships rank as primary passions, and which finds its intensest stimulus in flooding light and stormy ocean. Not, of course, that a temperament so vivid has wholly escaped strong personal feeling. Thalassius describes both a sad experience of love, and also a period of reckless wandering, "by many a vine-leafed, many a rose-hung road." But

from this wandering he feels, in his allegory,  
the Sea, his mother, recall him,

And charm him from his own soul's separate sense  
With infinite and invasive influence,  
That made strength sweet in him and sweetness  
strong,  
Being now no more a singer, but a song.

To no poet, perhaps, was this last line ever  
more justly applicable. The idea is further  
developed in a passage from "On the Cliffs,"  
where the poet addresses the nightingale,—in  
whom also the intensity and volume of song seem  
to transcend the actual personal emotion :—

We were not made for sorrow, thou and I,  
For joy nor sorrow, sister, were we made,  
To take delight and grief to live or die,  
Assuaged by pleasures and by pains affrayed,  
That melt men's hearts or alter; we retain  
A memory mastering pleasure and all pain,  
A spirit within the sense of ear and eye,  
A soul behind the soul, that seeks and sings,  
And makes our life move only with its wings.

The essential isolation—the view of life as  
from without—which follows on this character,  
is described in "Thalassius" :—

From no loved lips and on no loving breast  
Have I sought ever for such gifts as bring  
Comfort, to stay the secret soul to sleep.

---

The joys, the loves, the labours, whence men reap  
Rathe fruit of hopes and fears,  
I have made not mine; the best of all my days  
Have been as those fair fruitless summer strays,—  
Those waterwaifs which but the sea-wind steers,—  
Glad flakes of foam and flowers on footless ways  
Which take the wind in season and the sun,  
And when the wind wills is their season done.

One marked element of the poet's youthful training has not yet been mentioned. This was the influence of Walter Savage Landor ; —an influence pointing mainly towards the worship of Liberty. And it is well for the world that this early bias was implanted, and that in after years the last of " the world's saviours "—the representative, for poetry even more than for history, of the last great struggle where all chivalrous sympathies could range themselves undoubtingly on one side—should have received a crown of song such as had scarcely before been laid at the feet of any living hero. But since Mazzini's work was done, there has been no struggle which has called forth the poet's sympathy with equal clearness. " Republic " was a word with which he was wont to conjure ; but we have just seen one of the three largest empires of the world

---

turned into a republic without producing a stanza from Mr. Swinburne, or indeed any appreciable result except a fall in stocks.

The fact is that, fortunately for mankind, Liberty is becoming a matter for the statesman to define rather than for the poet to invoke ; and that the denunciation of tyranny is falling into the same obsolescence which has already overtaken the glorification of personal prowess as a theme of song. The youths who bore their swords in myrtles are almost as remote from us now as the youth \who dragged his enemy round the walls of Troy. We thrill to the old music ; but that *motif* can be worked afresh no more. Liberty represents the next stage of progress after Peace and Plenty ; when men, having attained by forceful government to security of property, are inevitably urged by the mere weight of multitude to arrange their laws in such fashion as the greatest number suppose to make for their greatest happiness. This may be done with tardy clumsiness, or with that hastier clumsiness which we term Revolution. But the obstacles to this process in civilised countries are no longer picturesque ; and the poet, though not yet the statesman,

---

has already to face that difficulty which John Stuart Mill felt in the background. When we have rectified all the anomalies which the Radical Reformer—not yet the Socialist—can discover, what are we to turn to next? For that perplexity, as he has told us, Mill found a solution which met the needs of his individual soul. It lay in the study of the poems of Wordsworth. But although this was in fact (as I shall later try to show) the best line of thought open to that philosopher, there is here no hint of fresh general occupation for the human race as a whole. Rather it suggests to us, what the subsequent history of thought has confirmed, that we are now thrown back upon fundamental problems; that before the race can make out for itself a new practical ideal—such as Plenty and Liberty were once to the many, and such as Science is now to the few—we must somehow achieve a profound readjustment of our general views of the meaning of life and of the structure of the universe.

And, in fact, with this great upheaval of thought Mr. Swinburne, by the mere force of circumstances, finds himself largely concerned. It is not that his main interest is in philo-

---

sophical speculation ; his main interest is in literature and poetry. But he has the intelligence to catch, the voice to utter, whatever speculation is in the air around him ; and assuredly some of the utterances to which his receptive but, so to say, detached and disinterested genius prompts him, surpass Lucretius himself in the singularity of their divergence from the traditional stream of human thought and song.

We are bound to face the possibility that the human race came into existence from the operation of purely physical causes, and that there may therefore be in all the universe no beings higher than ourselves ; not even the remote and indifferent gods of the Lucretian heaven. By many modern minds, in whom the sense of pity for unmerited suffering and the desire for ideal justice have become passionately strong, this conception, which absolutely negatives the possibility of any pity or justice more efficacious than our own, is felt as an abiding nightmare, which seems from time to time to deepen into a terrible reality. This is the mood of mind illustrated in its extreme form in Tennyson's "Despair." Yet this very hypothesis has inspired

one of Mr. Swinburne's most exultant poems, the magnificent "Hymn of Man," too well known to need more than a few lines of quotation :—

In the gray beginning of years, in the twilight of things that began,  
 The word of the earth in the ears of the world, was it God? was it man? . . .  
 When her eyes new-born of the night saw yet no star out of reach;  
 When her maiden mouth was alight with the flame of musical speech;  
 When her virgin feet were set on the terrible heavenly way,  
 And her virginal lids were wet with the dew of the birth of the day; . . .  
 Did her heart rejoice, and the might of her spirit exult in her then,  
 Child, yet no child of the night, and motherless mother of men?

*Aeneadum genetrix*, so sang Lucretius in the same tone long ago, personifying, with a half-ironical enthusiasm, the blind Power which ruled his world; which had no care for human virtue or human pain :—

· Nee bene promeritis capitur, nee tangitur ira.

Still more striking is the long passage in which Tristram of Lyonesse proudly avows,

before the great spectacle of the universe, the inevitable nothingness of man.

Ay, what of these ? but, O strong sun ! O sea !  
I bid not you, divine things ! comfort me,  
I stand not up to match you in your sight;  
Who hath said ye have mercy toward us, yz who  
    have might ? . . .  
For if in life or death be aught of trust,  
And if some unseen just God or unjust  
Put soul into the body of natural things,  
And in Time's pauseless feet and world-wide wings  
Some spirit of impulse and some sense of will,  
That steers them thro' the seas of good and ill,  
To some incognisable and actual end,  
Be it just or unjust, foe to man or friend,  
How should we make the stable spirit to swerve,  
How teach the strong soul of the world to serve, . . .  
The streams flow back toward whence the springs  
    began,  
That less of thirst might sear the lips of man ?

Mr. Swinburne, of course, knows as well as anybody what answer man, in all his insignificance, makes to such appeals as these. When Tristram asks :—

Hath he such eyes as, when the shadows flee,  
The sun looks out with to salute the sea ?

we answer: Nay ; but he has eyes that can weep; and therefore in a moral universe no

" great blazing lump," be it sun or Sirius, could be of so much account as he.

But in these poems at any rate we have the most striking extant record of an important phase of thought. We have the strict materialistic synthesis clad in its most splendid colouring, and its most inexorable scorn of men.

Growing out of this there is another phase of thought which also Mr. Swinburne has presented with singular fire. That is the resolve that even if there be no moral purpose already in the world, man shall put it there; that ever if all evolution be necessarily truncated, yet moral evolution, so long as our race lasts, there shall be ; that even if man's virtue be momentary, he shall act as though it were an eternal gain. This noble theme inspires the verses called " The Pilgrims," too familiar for long quotation here :—

— Is this so sweet that one were fain to follow ?  
Is this so sure where all men's hopes are hollow,  
    Even this your dream, that by much tribulation  
    Ye shall make whole flawed hearts and bowed  
    necks straight?

—Nay, though our life were blind, our death were  
    fruitless,

Not therefore were the whole world's high hope  
    rootless;

---

But man to man, nation would turn to nation,  
And the old life live, and the old great word  
be great.

Fine as this is, there is a vagueness about the offered promise which leaves the wisdom of the Pilgrims' self-sacrifice open to more than one criticism. For, on the one hand, Science looks coldly on the notion of interfering with our present well-being for the advantage of distant generations—preferring to remind us that we know so little of the conditions of life even a hundred years hence that, with the best intentions, it would be no easy matter to benefit any one more remote than our grandchildren; and, on the other hand, the gentle cynical philosophy which spoke through the mouth of M. Renan bids us note that, inasmuch as man's whole existence may very possibly be the *mauvaise plaisanterie* of some irresponsible Power, it will be judicious so to act as to be able at the worst to assure ourselves that we have never been completely taken in,

Whatever, indeed, of wisdom rather than of cynicism this advice contains has been exemplified by Mr. Swinburne's career; for he has given himself whole-heartedly to an object

---

which is neither selfish nor unworthy, and yet which is in some sense independent of what the universe may be or do. I need not say that I mean the Art of Poetry; which for himself forms an adequate issue from these deeper perplexities, although it is ill adapted for mankind at large, since it absolutely requires the possession of genius. A world of amateur art is not in itself an ideal.

Poetic imagination leads Mr. Swinburne, as is natural, to the expression of various other moods of mind, not necessarily consistent with the mood of "The Pilgrims." Thus the Lucretian satisfaction at liberation from the terrors of religion forms the theme of a beautiful roundel:—

We have drunken of Lethe at last, we have eaten of  
lotus;  
What hurts it us here that sorrows are born and  
die?  
We have said to the dream that caressed and the  
dread that smote us,  
Good-night and good-bye.

Or sometimes he dwells simply upon the fact that we die, and that our loves perish with us: but dwells on it somehow as with an intelligence interested in noting that fact, rather

---

than with a heart that feels it as inmost pain.

Or they loved their life through, and then went  
whither ?

And were one to the end—but what end who  
knows ?

Love deep as the sea as a rose must wither,

As the rose-red seaweed that mocks the rose.

Shall the dead take thought for the dead to love  
them ?

What love was ever as deep as a grave ?

They are loveless now as the grass above them,

Or the wave.

I know not what in the easy brilliancy of these lines gives the impression that they are an imaginative description of the inhabitants of some other planet, or at least that Thalassius is as much concerned for his seaweed as for anything else. And of all Swinburne's poems, perhaps the most wonderful, with melody farthest beyond the reach of any other still living man, is that "Garden of Proserpine," whose close represents in well-known words the deep life-weariness of men who have had enough of love. There is here far more than the Lucretian satisfaction in the thought that we shall sleep tranquilly through the hazardous future as we slept tranquilly through the raging

past—*ad confligendum venientibus undique Poem's*—when all the perils which menaced Rome were as nothing to us yet unborn. No, there is here a profounder renouncement of life ; there is the grim suspicion which has stolen into many a heart, that we do in truth feel within us, as years go by, a mortality of spirit as well as flesh ; that the " bower of unimagined flower and tree " withers inevitably into a frozen barrenness from which no new life can spring:--

And love, grown faint and fretful,  
With lips but half regretful  
Sighs, and with eyes forgetful  
Weeps that no loves endure.

When we turn from Swinburne to William Morris we pass into a very different emotional clime. Similar as the two poets are in thoroughness of artistic culture and in width of learning, the personal temperaments which their poems reveal are in some sense complementary. In Swinburne we have seen the vivid but detached intelligence rendering in turn with equal eloquence, and apparently with equal satisfaction, every attitude of mind which the known cosmic laws, construed strictly as against man's

---

hopes, can be shown to justify. In Morris we have a man equally hopeless indeed, but not equally indifferent to hope—steeped, rather, in all the delicate joys, the soft emotions, which make the charm of life, and feeling at every turn with sad discouragement the shadow and imminence of the End. He is above all things the poet of Love; but in his poems love is never without the note of yearning, the sense of an unseizable and fugitive joy :—

Love is enough : while ye deemed him a-sleeping,  
    There were signs of his coming and sounds of  
        his feet ;  
His touch it was that would bring you to weeping,  
    When the summer was deepest and music most  
        sweet;  
    In his footsteps ye followed the day to its dying,  
Ye went forth by his gown-skirts the morning to  
    meet:  
    In his place on the beaten-down orchard-grass  
        lying,  
    Of the sweet ways ye pondered yet left for life's  
        trying.

We asked ourselves but now whether Liberty, which Swinburne sang, could still be said to offer a permanent motive and object in life for the mass of mankind. To this question Morris has an unexpectedly definite answer.

---

He desires, indeed, a reconstruction of society far more radical than the mere republican demands. He embarks with light heart on a task which one might have thought difficult enough to supply the world with unrealised ideah for a thousand years. Yet he believes that this socialistic reconstruction will be effected so rapidly that the problem as to the subsequent aims and occupations of mankind confronts us almost at once. And, as the stanza above quoted suggests, it is in Love that he finds the main, though not the only, interest of the happy and equalised race to be.

Now we may certainly say that just as Liberty represents the next stage of human progress after Peace and Plenty, so does Love represent the next stage beyond Liberty. When men have got their communities arranged to their mind, they will find time—as a number of leisured persons find time already—to devote their main attention to such happiness as the relation between the sexes can bring. But here, almost for the first time, the question of the unknown future begins to have a practical bearing on life. If love is at once brought thus into prominence and also deprived of all beyond its

---

earthly fruition, is there not a fear lest it should either sink into mere animal passion or lose its tranquillity in yearning pain? Morris has treated this question in two ways; answering it generally in the sadder tone, and as though from actual experience; but once with resolute cheerfulness, in a polemical composition. Let us take this latter first.

It is sometimes urged as an advantage attending the loss of belief in a future life that those who count this life as all are more eager to make their fellow-men happy in it. Without further assenting to this view, we may admit that Morris's belief in earth as the only possible Paradise has helped to drive him, by the most generous road, into a socialism where we may watch him tossing between various Scyllae and Charybdes with which we are not here concerned. What now interests us is the delightful romance in which he has described earthly life led happily, with no thought of life beyond. What to retain, what to relinquish, has here been carefully thought out. Religion and philosophy disappear altogether; science and poetry are in the background; but we are left with the decorative arts, open-air exercise, and an

---

abundance of beautiful and innocent girls. The future of the human race, in short, is to be a kind of affectionate picnic.

I know not, indeed, how the given problem could have been more attractively solved. But how long will life last thus, a la Rousseau? Will the haymaking lovers go on haymaking for countless generations and still keep their emotions at precisely the right temperature? Dangers of one kind need no insistence; and as for troubles in a higher air, it may be noted that nothing in "News from Nowhere" strikes a truer note than the author's yearning regret at severance from his bewitching heroine—the daughter of a world to be. He feels that in order to live her life he must himself be changed; and although he speaks of the needed change as if it were but a forgetting of pain and sorrow, a re-entry into Eden,—yet when we compare his picture of that ideal life with his or any active man's life here and now, we feel that there will be more loss than gain; and that the fuller pleasure cannot compensate for the absence of moral evolution.

That old and just gravamen against almost all theological paradises—that they provide for

---

joy but not for progress—holds good of Morris's many imagined paradises as well. They are abodes of unchanging bliss, dimly felt to be in themselves unsatisfactory, though attractive in comparison with the briefer pleasures which man's common life affords. If they are to be enjoyed without satiety, there must, as in "Ogier the Dane," be a transformation of personality, a forgetfulness of the heroic deeds and strenuous joys of earth. Yet, on the other hand, these strenuous joys are never felt to have any clear advantage over the amorous paradises, on account of their hazardous shortness. Orpheus gains no victory in argument over the Sirens, whose invitations would be irresistible if there were not so much reason to suspect their good faith. And in the "Hill of Venus," that most terrible of all pictures of remorseful satiety, a Christian hope has to be invoked in order that there may seem to be any other alternative than endless loathing or endless death.

Perhaps, indeed, the fact may be that man is not constructed for flawless happiness, but for moral evolution. Few passages in Morris are more affecting than those in which the Wanderers, who have failed to find the Acre of

the Undying, express at once their half-shame at having undertaken that quest, and their regret that it has been all in vain. In the lines in which their poet pleads their excuse, he manages to remind the reader of many valid reasons which impel to that bootless desire :—

Ah, doubt and fear they well might have indeed.  
Cry out upon them, ye who have no need  
Of life, to right the blindness and the wrong !  
Think scorn of these, ye who are made so strong  
That with no good-night ye can loose the hand  
That led you erst thro' Love's sweet flowery land !  
Laugh, ye whose eyes are piercing to behold  
What makes the silver seas and skies of gold !  
Pass by in haste, ye folk, who day by day  
Win all desires that lie upon your way !

It is from no lack of sympathy with heroism that Morris has tarried in this world of soft regrets. Seldom have heroic passion, god-like endeavour, been so painted as in that scene between Sigurd and Brynhild on the summit of Hind-fell :—

And where on the wings of his hope is the spirit of  
Sigurd borne ?

But all that triumphant adventure rests in the last resort on the existence of Odin and his halls of gold ; Odin, seen sometimes in visible

form, and encouraging the younger heroes with memory of their sires, whose valour reaps now its high reward :—

For on earth they thought of my threshold, and the  
    gifts I have to give,  
Nor prayed for a little longer, and a little longer to  
    live.

It is the privilege of poetry thus " simple, sensuous, and passionate" that the singer can reveal himself without self-consciousness, and utter without loss of dignity the inward softness of the strong. Who has dwelt longer than this robust and manly worker in that sunlit mist of yearning which hangs suspended above the watershed of joy and pain ? Who has breathed more intimately the last forlornness, and such an inward cry as oftenest is only guessed in a tear ?

Come down, O love, may not our hands still meet,  
Since still we live to-day, forgetting June,  
Forgetting May, deeming October sweet—  
—O hearken, hearken ! through the afternoon,  
The gray tower sings a strange old tinkling tune !  
Sweet, sweet and sad, the failing year's last breath,  
Too satiate of life to strive with death.

And we too—will it not be soft and kind,  
That rest from life, from patience and from pain,

---

That rest from bliss we know not when we find,  
That rest from Love which ne'er the end can gain ?—  
—Hark, how the tune swells, that erewhile did wane !  
Look up, love! ah, cling close and never move !  
How can I have enough of life and love ?

If in these October stanzas we have the last fruitless attempt at resignation, in the poem which preludes to November we have a mood more dreadful still. We have the recognition that the Cosmos has no true place for man ; we have that underlying aspect of Nature which, once seized, is no less than appalling ; when the familiar garden seems alien and terrible as a gulf in the Milky Way; and, nakedly confronted with the everlasting universe, man that must die feels more than the bitterness of death,

Look out upon the real world, where the moon,  
Half-way 'twixt root and crown of these high trees,  
Turns the dead midnight into dreamy noon,  
Silent and full of wonders, for the breeze  
Died at the sunset, and no images,  
No hopes of day, are left in sky or earth ;—  
Is it not fair, and of most wondrous worth ?

Yea, I have looked and seen November there;  
The changeless seal of change it seemed to be,  
Fair death of things that, living once, were fair,  
Bright sign of loneliness too great for me,

---

Strange image of the dread eternity,  
In whose void patience how can these have part,  
These outstretched feverish hands, this restless  
heart?

We have traced in the work of these two poets almost every mood of feeling possible to high-minded men under the shadow of an inevitable doom. There has been courage, and there has been calm ; there has been the solemn sadness of impossible resignation, and that imperious cry for Life ! more Life ! which is the very voice of the human heart. Is this then all ? and must poets in every age be content to renew the old desiring, and to fall back baffled from the same impalpable wall of gloom ?

There are still those among us who will answer, Nay. There are still those who, while accepting to the full the methods and the results of Science, will not yet surrender the ancient hopes of our race. And we shall point out that these poets, while strictly within their rights in assuming nothing which Science has not sanctioned, have yet omitted from their purview no trifling part of human thought, belief, and emotion. They have taken no heed of the traditions, the instincts, the phenomena

---

which have led men to believe in another world mixed with ours. They have ignored what the still greater poet to whom we now come has called

the silent Word  
Of that world-prophet in the heart of man.

We shall not let our case go thus by default. We shall urge that although the cosmic laws now known are *neutral*—for that they are *adverse* we certainly shall not admit—it is most certain that there are still cosmic laws unknown, and that of these there may well be some one within range of discovery which may govern more directly the region in which these problems lie. We shall do well, therefore, to consider whether there be any primary belief held in common by all religions ; and, if so, whether that belief is capable of being expressed in a form in which it might conceivably be proved by Science to be a cosmical law—a fourth law lying at the root of Psychology as those other laws at the root of Physics and Biology. If we can do this we shall at least know where we are and what we have to aim at; and the controversy, which is now too often like a fight between a dog and

---

a fish,—between the subjective instincts which glide in the ocean and the objective facts which bark on the shore,—may be conducted in something more resembling a common clement.

It is plain that the thesis upon which we are to combine must in some way express our belief in a spiritual world. But it will not be enough to affirm the *co-existence* of such a world with our own ; for mere co-existence will be incapable of proof. Nor, on the other hand, must we call upon mankind to believe that the two worlds are in reality *one*, or that the material world is shadow and illusion, and the spiritual world real alone. This, again, is a dogma beyond the possible reach of experiment. Let us take a middle term, and speak of the *interaction* or *interpenetration* of the two worlds. If we believe that a spiritual world has in any way been manifested to mankind, we must suppose that mankind has in some way been perceptible to that world as well. There will therefore have been *interaction* between the two. Or the word *interpenetration* will include both any manifest interaction, and also those vaguer intimations "of something far more deeply interfused " which we cannot afford to despise,

---

although we must not put them forward as evidence for a possibly demonstrable cosmic law.

It is on the ground, then, of their association with this assumed fourth cosmic law of interpenetrating worlds that I would claim both for Wordsworth and for Tennyson a commanding place among the teachers of this century. I do not, of course, claim a *scientific* eminence for poets, one of whom was ignorantly hostile to Science, while the other, although neither hostile nor ignorant, wrote no memoirs and made no experiments. But certain truths ultimately provable by science may be in the first instance attained by other than scientific methods. They may rise into consciousness, as I have elsewhere tried to show,<sup>1</sup> in some sense ready-made, and accompanied with no logical perception of the processes which, deep in our being, may have been used to reach them. The "genius" shown in discovery or in creative art may be defined as "an uprush of subliminal faculty," and the rapt absorption of a Newton, the waking dream of a Raphael, the inward

<sup>1</sup> "The Mechanism of Genius," *Proceedings of the Society for Psychical Research* (Triebner), Part xxii.

---

audition of a Mozart, do but represent the same process occurring in different regions of thought and emotion. The mystic claims a like inspiration ; but since we have no canons by which to test the validity of the message which he brings us, we do well for the most part to set mystic messages aside altogether. But nevertheless just as Faraday, by making many provably true divinations in the physical universe, secured mankind's attention for certain divinations which he could not prove ; so also may a great poet, by manifestly fruitful inspirations in his own special art, claim our attention for alleged inspirations in a field where our critical tests can no longer follow him. The fact that fools have rushed in is not in itself a reason for angels to fear to tread. High art is based upon unprovable intuitions; and of all arts it is Poetry whose intuitions take the brightest glow, and best illumine the mystery without us from the mystery within.

Few poets, indeed, in any age have thus deserved the name of prophet ; to fewer still ought we to grant it in such an age as our own. For we shall need to be assured that the prophet's convictions come neither from tradi-

tion nor from temperament; that he is not buoyed up by mere personal gladness, nor heedless of the austere rejoinders which Science has made to many a facile hope. It is well that Tennyson should have shown at every stage his readiness for stern self-questioning, for the facing of naked truth: it is well that the "empyrean heights of thought" of *In Memoriam*, xciv., should have been followed by the grim alternatives of cxix.—"I trust I have not wasted breath";—that the mystical glory of "The Voice and the Peak" should have left him still capable of shuddering with the nightmare of a godless world in his incomparable "Despair." For thus we discern him as a spirit which has scaled from abyss to summit the whole ascension open to incarnate man; one who from deep discouragement, from melancholy isolation, has slowly climbed the "Mount of Vision," and has uttered thence his auguries, meet for the wise to hear.

Well also that, like his own Akbar promulgating "the Divine Faith," he has infused the least possible of the special or the transitory into his appeal for eternal things. For it is in very truth the *desiderium orbis catholici* which our

---

prophet's voice must meet; with some such authoritative inauguration and prophetic heralding as has ushered in each great successive expansion of the conceptions and ideals of men.

I know not how soon Science may sanction the prophet's hope ;—Science which after her first flush of all-conquering achievement begins to realise anew that " A thousand things are hidden still, And not a hundred known." But in an epoch of transition and bewilderment great souls make the surest harbourage ; and even as for the storm-tost philosopher early in this century the best haven lay in the poems of Wordsworth, so now in the poems of Tennyson lies the best haven for men far more numerous and in far worse straits, at our troubled century's close.

I have placed Wordsworth and Tennyson together as realising with extraordinary intuition, promulgating with commanding genius, the interpenetration of the spiritual and the material worlds. But between Wordsworth's poems and the more significant of Tennyson's, Darwin had given " the holding turn " to man's growing belief in the Law of Evolution. And it was the influence, however indirect, of this *third* law of

---

the Cosmos which enabled the later Laureate to enrich and deepen his predecessor's conception of the *fourth*. To Wordsworth the sense of the soul in Nature was in itself an all-sufficing joy. He felt it, and he was at peace. But with Tennyson the fourth law at once completes the third, and is confirmed by it. For with the affirmation of a spiritual universe he links a claim for moral evolution.

The one conception, of course, does not necessarily imply the other. If worlds interpenetrate they do not interpenetrate for the special benefit of man. Their interaction must be a great structural fact in the Cosmos, a fundamental reality reaching backward throughout an immeasurable past. Existing before man was thought of, it may exist now with no thought for man.

But, on the other hand, here we have a new possibility which alone will explain the perturbations and complete the lacunae of the older generalisation. If man is now interacting with a spiritual world, he may act and advance in that world, for aught we know, for ever; and in that case Evolution may be no longer a partial and truncated, but a universal and endless law.

" What hurts it us here if planets are born and die ?"    What need we care for the shrinking sun, the squandered energy, the omen of the moon's frozen peace?    If man's soul grow for ever, it matters no more how many solar systems she wears out than how many coats.

Nevertheless, to correspond with this expansion without us, there must be an expansion within.    If man is to march with the Cosmos, it must be progress and not joy which is his goal.    Thus alone can we rally to the standard of Life all that is bravest and most generous, as well as all that is most native and ineradicable in the human heart.

Glory of warrior, glory of orator, glory of song,  
     Paid with a voice flying by to be lost on an endless  
     sea—

Glory of Virtue, to fight, to struggle, to right the  
     wrong—

    Nay, but she aim'd not at glory, no lover of glory  
     she :

Give her the glory of going on, and still to be.

The wages of sin is death : if the wages of Virtue be  
     dust,

    Would she have heart to endure for the life of the  
     worm and the fly ?

She desiies no isles of the blest, no quiet seats of the  
     just,

To rest in a golden grove, or to bask in a summer sky:  
Give her the wages of going on, and not to die.

*σάλπιγξ δ' αὐτῇ πάντ' ἐκεῖν' ἐπέφλεγεν.* This cry is the trumpet-call of man's true salvation ; the Summons to no houri-haunted paradise, no passionless contemplation, no monotony of prayer and praise ; but to endless advance by endless effort, and, if need be, by endless pain. Still shall be asked, amid vaster alternatives, the stern question of Cato :—

utrumncsecundis  
An magis adversis staret Romana propago?

Still shall cause produce effect ; still shall all that is be transmuted and not destroyed. Let no man trust to an instant deliverance, nor dream of an age-long peace. For thus our modern thought has risen at last to the height of the solitary Plotinus ; who, when he was told that the shade of Hercules in the meadow of asphodel rejoiced in the great deeds that he had done, replied that the shade of Hercules might boast thus to shades ; but that the true Hercules accounted all past deeds as nought, " being transported into a more sacred place, and

---

strenuously engaging, even above his strength, in those contests in which the wise engage."

Is not this, at last and undoubtedly, the true hope and ideal of man? Is not all well, if to this end the cosmic laws be working, and Fate's tangled web be spun? Prospects vast as these cannot be provable, nay, cannot be truly definable nor clearly imaginable by man. But that which for us is the vital point,—the actual fact of the interaction of material and spiritual worlds,—this surely, as I have already hinted, ought to be ultimately capable of demonstration. The human end to the chain can at least be investigated, the human sensitivity tested, the human testimony weighed. On this topic I shall not here dwell at length. It may suffice to say that there are those who, however imperfectly, are endeavouring to perform this plain duty; and that to these men Lord Tennyson, almost from the inception of their task, gave the support of his name. Neither shall I attempt to assemble the passages, some of them quoted in the preceding essay, from which the grounds of this sympathy may be more or less plainly inferred. But I will remind the reader that for any estimation of Tennyson's final

opinions, the later poems are, of course, the most significant. In his last years there was inequality of poetic merit,—an inequality which admitted nevertheless of more than one masterpiece ; but there was no decline in intellectual grasp and power. Nay, I think that all will some day recognise that there was even a lifelong gain in wisdom ; a lifelong maintenance of that position, in sympathy with and yet in advance of his time, which was first manifest when *In Memoriam*—now so intelligible and so orthodox—perplexed as well as charmed the reading public of its earlier day.

From " The Ancient Sage," which (with the fully concordant " Akbar's Dream ") approaches perhaps as nearly as any of the poet's works to a personal creed or testament, comes the passage which I have prefixed as motto to this essay ; and also this other passage, treating of the possible development of powers as yet unrecognised in man :—

My son, the world is dark with griefs and graves,  
So dark that men cry out against the Heavens.  
Who knows but that the darkness is in man ?  
The doors of Night may be the gates of Light ;  
For wert thou born or blind or deaf, and then  
Suddenly heal'd, how would'st thou glory in all

The splendours and the voices of the world !  
And we, the poor earth's dying race, and yet  
No phantoms, watching from a phantom shore  
Await the last and largest sense to make  
The phantom walls of this illusion fade,  
And show us that the world is wholly fair.

The volumes called *Demeter and other Poems*, and *The Death of CEnone*, published since my former essay, contain some very definite indications of the poet's later views. We must remember that it was his habit to scatter pregnant sayings in unexpected places ; and that his sincerity and scrupulosity of style allow us to dwell with confidence on his briefest expressions. Compare, then, with a well-known passage in " Aylmer's Field " these lines from " Demeter " :—

Last as the likeness of a dying man,  
Without his knowledge, from him flits to warn  
A far-off friendship that he comes no more.

And observe, in " Happy," the poet's anticipation of the full evolution of this faculty of direct communication in the spiritual world :—

When we shall stand transfigured, like Christ on  
Hermon hill,  
And moving each to music, soul in soul and light in  
light,  
Shall flash thro' one another in a moment as we  
will.

Consider, too, all that is implied in the following passage from "The Ring"; a poem quasi-dramatic in form, but in which the principal speaker, apart from the actual story, seems a mere vehicle for reflections not obviously other than Tennyson's own :—

The Ghost in Man, the Ghost that once was Man,  
 But cannot wholly free itself from Man,  
 Are calling to each other thro' a dawn  
 Stranger than earth has ever seen; the veil  
 Is rending, and the Voices of the day  
 Are heard across the Voices of the dark.  
 No sudden heaven, nor sudden hell, for man,  
 But thro' the Will of One who knows and rules—  
 And utter knowledge is but utter love—  
 Ionian Evolution, swift or slow,  
 Thro' all the Spheres—an ever opening height,  
 An ever lessening earth.

The conception of endless progress with which this passage concludes is resumed in a form characteristic enough of the bard's personality in the lines "By an Evolutionist" :—

The Lord let the house of a brute to the soul of a  
 man,  
 And the man said " Am I your debtor ? "  
 And the Lord—" Not yet: but make it as clean as  
 you can,  
 And then I will let you a better."

. . . . .

---

I have climb'd to the snows of Age, and I gaze at a  
field in the Past,  
Where I sank with the body at times in the sloughs  
of a low desire,  
But I hear no yelp of the beast, and the Man is quiet  
at last  
As he stands on the heights of his life with a  
glimpse of a height that is higher.

Here surely is the answer to that despair of man's moral vitality which " weeps that no loves endure" ; to that *gran rifiuto* of Life and Progress which craves only " the sleep eternal in an eternal night." " Eld and Death " have not hushed at least this song; but from the great old age of this grave and meditative man his trumpet-call sounds ever more solemnly triumphant; and Death, whose " truer name is Onward," is discerned auspicious and anear. The lesson of Evolution, as this Evolutionist delivers it to us, is " Lay hold on Life! For Life the Universe is making; help thou that Life to be ! " The final purpose, indeed, which we may thus subserve, lies far beyond the grasp of men. But while we still subserve it—through stress, perchance, and strenuous pain—how easily may those ancient longings of the human spirit find their fulfilment by the way! That

---

joy of the poet in Nature, that exultation in the stormy or shining universe; where is its limit now? And as for the heart's deeper needs, all that the "idle singer" sang in our empty day;—shall not the lovers learn, in Plato's words, "what it was that they had so long been desiring," and perceive why through earth's close caresses those loved ones seemed still so far, and their impalpable tokens of amity were more thrilling than any cruder joy? Shall they not recognise that no terrene Matter or Energy, but Love itself is the imperishable of that higher world; so that earth's brief encounter with some spirit, quickly dear, may be the precursory omen of a far-off espousal, or the unconscious recognition of fond long-severed souls? Shall they not find that the life-long loyalty to the touch of a vanished hand has been no vain or one-sided offering of the heart; but that the affection has been stablished by an unseen companionship, and that the Beloved has answered all? *Id cinerem et Manis credo curare sepultos.*

And what of "the Nameless of the hundred names"? Does our conception of infinite interpenetration, infinite evolution, infinite unity,

raise us to clearer vision of that " whole world-self and all-in-all" ?

The sun, the moon, the stars, the seas, the hills and  
the plains—

Are not these, O Soul, the Vision of Him who reigns ?

Speak to Him thou for He hears, and Spirit with  
Spirit can meet—

Closer is He than breathing, and nearer than hands  
and feet.

And the ear of man cannot hear, and the eye of man  
cannot see ;

But if we could see and hear, this Vision—were it  
not He?

In every age the Poet has looked round him on the universe, and spokesmen of our race have set down in solemn language the impress left upon the soul. First of all come Homer's lines, majestic, unsurpassable ; forging the very art of poetry with the same Titan strokes as Achilles' shield :—

*ἐν μὲν γαῖαν ἔτευξ', ἐν δ' οὐρανόν, ἐν δὲ θάλασσαν,  
ἠελιόντ' ἀκάμαντα σελίγην τε πλήθουσιν—*

But these lines and those that follow have no philosophy behind them. They are a naked triumphant inventory of " the whole world and creatures of God."

And then, as the Ionian youth begins to decline into questioning sadness, we have that catalogue of the disenchanting Menander — Menander, who held that man most blest of all,

*ὅστις θεωρήσας ἀλίπῳς, Παρμένων,  
τὰ σεμνὰ ταῦτ', ἀπῆλθεν, ὅθεν ἦλθεν, ταχύ—*

who having looked once upon those glorious objects, this spectacle of sun and night and sea, should then pass satisfied and swiftly from a life which had nought else so reverend to show.

And next comes Virgil's monumental enumeration :—

*Principle caelum ac terras camposque liquentis,  
Lucentemque globum Lunae, Titaniaque astra,—*

and those succeeding lines which have become the Roman charter of a spiritual world, the epigraph writ across the heavens to testify that there is a Mind in the universe, a Soul within the sum of things. And, lastly, we have Tennyson penetrating to a still profounder identification ; to the sense that what we have held far off and future, that verily is here and now ; and that what is in truth the Nameless, that is our world and we ; " for we here are in God's bosom, a land unknown."

All men mourn the poet. But those of us who cling to the spiritual aspect of the universe have more than a great poet to mourn. We have lost our head and our chief; the one man, surely, in all the world to-day, who from a towering eminence which none could question affirmed the realities which to us are all. For him we may repeat Lucretius' homage to the sage and poet whom that other island " bore within her three-cornered shores " ;—that Sicily,

Quae cum magna modis multis miranda videtur  
Gentibus humanis regio, visendaque fertur,  
Rebus opima bonis, multa munita virum vi,—  
Nil tamen hoc habuisse viro praeclarius in se,  
Nee sanctum magis et mirum carumque videtur.

Our island too " in many ways is marvellous, and such as folk come far to see ; laden she is with riches and guarded with great force of men ; yet seems she to have held within her borders nothing than this man more glorious, nothing more holy, wonderful, and dear."

LEOPOLD, DUKE OF ALBANY:—IN  
MEMORIAM

[Written as a private memoir, and published (1884) by desire.]

*ἀλλά με σὺς τε πόθος σά τε μήδεα, φαίδιμ' Ὀδυσσεῦ,  
σὴ τ' ἀγανοφροσύνη μελιηδέα θυμὸν ἀπηῦρα.*

To those who love to watch the shaping of character, that subtle intertexture of ancestral and individual warp and woof, there is always something interesting, almost pathetic, in the sight of a young life which springs up amid fixed hereditary surroundings, and has to accommodate its fresh impulses to the strong tradition of bygone men. From the legend of Buddha downwards, there has been many a royal romance in which the interest has turned on the young spirit's self-liberation from the trammelling conditions, its resolute emergence into a freer and higher life. But there are other

---

cases, not less worth record, where the progress of the inward drama has led, not to the casting off of hereditary usages or duties, but to their voluntary and fruitful acceptance, to the gradual self-identification of the new life with, the old—the absorption of personal ambitions or pleasures in the *mos majonim*, the ancient vocation of the race.

In the case of an English Prince there can be no doubt in which of these directions an upward progress must tend. There can be no summons from without which leads to higher serviceableness than that great birthright duly used; a young life needs no better aim than to become such that the English people may account it as truly royal. And it was in this process of widening conceptions, of quickening conscience, that the great interest of Prince Leopold's career consisted for those who watched him with anxiously loving eyes. His inward drama lay in the gradual transformation of his boyish idea of royal descent as a title to enjoyment, hampered by wearisome restraints, to his manlier view of that high birth as a summons to duty, and his willing submission to its accompanying restrictions, as part and

parcel of the calling which his whole heart embraced.

It is at Windsor Castle, when he was fifteen years old, that these recollections begin. He was then ya most engaging boy ; with the physical charm which accompanies the union of high spirit with fragile delicacy, and the moral charm of a nature whose affections, at once vivid and diffident, seemed to beseech the regard and notice which all who knew him were eager to bestow. He had already attracted the earnest good-will, the serious hopes of many of the leading men of the time, and already in his autograph book was conspicuous that maxim, from Archbishop Trench's hand, which should be written on all tablets and engraved on all hearts of princes—

O righteous doom, that they who make  
Pleasure their only end,  
Ordering the whole life for its sake,  
Miss that whereto they tend.

But they who bid stern Duty lead,  
Content to follow, they  
Of Duty only taking heed,  
Find pleasure by the way.

The impetuous boy had not yet risen to

---

any such level as this. He was at an age when the desire for companionship, action, adventure, begins to be strong ; and the glimpses which his Etonian visitors gave him of a free world of games and friendships formed a tantalising contrast to his carefully guarded days. This impulse, this disappointment, were to last throughout his life. His strong innate tendency towards active amusements—riding, dancing, social gaieties of all kinds—was destined always to urge him to efforts beyond his strength. And now in boyhood, with health even more delicate than in adult years, he had many hours of restless indolence, of idle beating against the bars of his fate. And indeed to one who has not yet the force for independent action or pleasure, the life of Windsor Castle must sometimes seem as if it were conceived on too vast a scale, and established too immutably, for the needs of a young and ardent spirit. The tramp of the sentinel beneath the windows, the martial music at dawn of day, even the stately symmetry of the avenues which radiate from the Central Keep—all signs of pomp are signs also of circumscription, and the concrete embodiment of eight hundred years of monarchy weighs

heavily on the individual heart. The pacings of a vague unrest have sounded along many a terrace fringed with flowers, in Home Park, and Hollow Garden, and Orangery, and on the steep Slopes of the royal hill.

But all this must needs be so ; and human life itself might seem to lose in dignity were there not something of solemn and symbolical in the ordering of earth's greatest home.. In any training for the summits either of hereditary position or of individual genius the danger in our time is from relaxation rather than from restraint. The young tree must not branch too soon ; its sap must rise in steady spiral if it is to reach a height—

Ubi aera vincere summum  
Arboris baud ullae jactu potuere sagittae.

And at Windsor there was much more than state ; there were the family affections, made more unique by isolation ;—the maternal solicitude which, from the first to the last day of that son's life, no cares of State could ever distract or slacken ;—the companionship both of the younger and of that just-elder sister whose romantic girlhood lavished its wealth of love on him. And there was much of the

---

buoyancy as well as of the restlessness of early youth ; there were happy wanderings amid the boskages of the park, where the Angora goats which he loved to watch flecked the foreground with their soft whiteness, and the Ostle's bastions closed the vista with wall of steadfast gray. And indoors, too, were merry mockeries and bursts of boyish sportiveness, racings along the endless passages, hidings in the niches of ancient walls, climbings to the Round Tower's roof, beneath the Flag of England, in the rushing sunny air.

The first time, perhaps, when he seemed to awake to a sense of his own part in historic greatness was when the Garter had just been bestowed on him, in April 1871. That was a time of deeply-stirred emotion. The much-loved sister was going forth, a bride, from the home of her ancestors. It was as though a strain of beauty and tenderness were floating on the wind away. Then it was, as he sat at evensong at the royal oriel in St. George's Chapel, gazing upon the high vault thronged with banners, the walls inlaid with arms and blazonry of many a famous line, that his look was as though his spirit were kindling within

---

him and yearning to take rank with his forefathers and heroes of a bygone day.

It was at any rate in this manner, through the affections, through the imagination, through personal intercourse with the representatives of knowledge or action, that his education was in great measure gained. The frequent troubles of health which interfered with regular reading never seemed to check his eagerness to see and talk with any noteworthy man. Many visitors to the Castle must remember interviews with the young Prince in his rooms, interviews often prolonged far beyond mere complimentary limits, and leaving behind them the memory of a listener best pleased with what was best worth hearing, and whose transparent face expressed that pleasure with a boy's straightforward charm. There might one meet Mr. Gladstone, concentrating, perhaps, on some morsel of Wedgwood china the great and complex engine of his mind; or, on a later day, Mr. Disraeli, fresh from private audience (December 18, 1877) and moved beyond his wont. And from the very first it was observable how quickly the young Prince learnt from men, how retentive was his memory for names,

---

for faces, for anything which had been said in his presence ; how adroitly he fitted the pieces into that map of the human world which all of us carry in our heads in some fashion or other, but which in his case came to contain so many known points, and each in such true relation to the rest.

His entrance at Oxford—still under the guidance of Sir Robert Collins, his best and lifelong friend—was a new source of interest and excitement. There was at first something of pathetic wistfulness in the way in which he regarded his joyous contemporaries, able to take their pleasures in a fashion more active than he could share; but as he began to make real intimacies his affectionate nature found full play ; and never, perhaps, has undergraduate felt more delightfully that first bloom of friendship which idealises the young man's world. Lord Brooke, Lord Harris, Sidney Herbert, Walter Campbell, Herbert Gladstone, and a few others, formed the nucleus of a group which constantly widened, and which fused together senior and junior men with a success which, as University hosts well know, is the highest proof of academical tact and *bonhomie*. He was still shy, but his shy-

---

ness was of that winning kind which irresistibly suggests the pleasure to be derived from overcoming it. And at Oxford he was met on all sides with a manly welcome; the only trace (as it were by reaction) of the tuft-hunting of former days being a slight unwillingness on the part of some independent spirits to countenance one who might be suspected of wishing to approach learning by a royal road. But these men, too, were won; nor, indeed, would they have found it easy to suggest how better to combine dignity with simplicity, or to be patrician without pride.

Among the leaders of the University Prince Leopold had many friends. The Dean of Christchurch (Dr. Liddell), Professors Rolleston, Acland, Jowett, Max Miiller, Mr. Goldwin Smith, Mr. Coxe<sup>1</sup>—the list might be extended till most of the well-known names were told. But among all these figures there was one figure which stood alone. There was one heart to which the Prince's heart went forth with a loving reverence such as he never felt

<sup>1</sup> In a pre-nuptial will the Duke bequeathed his collection of autographs to the Bodleian, and the Duchess has carried out this bequest. Had he died childless, he wished his library to go to the Unattached Students of Oxford.

for any other man. Certain colloquies of Mr. Ruskin's at the bedside of Prince Leopold—as he lay recovering from perilous illness, and still in danger of a relapse—will dwell in the mind of him who heard them as ideal examples of the contact of an elder and a younger soul. How close was that union in a region where earthly rank was swept away ! How poor a thing did any life seem then which had not known the hallowing of sorrow ! How solemn was that unspoken Presence which men have miscalled Death !

From teachers, from friends, from suffering, the Prince learnt much at Oxford. He returned to Windsor no longer a boy but a man ; able to take up in firmer fashion his apportioned thread of fate.

Such, at least, was the impression given when, a few months later, he devoted two whole days to a methodical survey of the Castle's treasures. And here it was evident how his historic interest had grown ; how in those thousand chambers, the fabric of a score of kings, he had learnt to decipher in brief and summary the great story of the English race ; from the rude helm of a Plantagenet, hanging in

---

some deserted gallery, to that treasure-house which holds in rich confusion the visible tokens of Queen Victoria's Indian sway—the golden gifts of Rajah and Maharajah, and tribute of the imperial East.

But the time came when it was his eager desire to have a home of his own, and to take his place in that class of country gentlemen among whom our English princes are proud to be enrolled. Boyton Manor is a typical country gentleman's home. Above it stretch the wild Wiltshire downs; beneath them the old Elizabethan manor-house stands in its terraced nook, and long glades fringed with beeches push deep into the hollowed hill. The Prince's establishment was a modest one; for his means, considering the unavoidable demands upon them, were never large, and from the time when an income of his own was accorded to him a great part of it was returned by him to the nation in subscriptions to philanthropic ends. But at Boyton he exercised much quiet hospitality, and himself gained greatly in social initiative and in the power of dealing with men and women. On the occasion of one dinner-party in particular, when several of his royal

---

kinsfolk were staying with him, and some of his guests came prepared to derive honour rather than pleasure from the entertainment, with a simple and almost boyish grace he set the shyest at ease, and transformed what had seemed formidably like a royal family party into a scene of unaffected enjoyment. Such successes are not wholly trifling; they imply genuine kindness and alert attention; and those who saw the Prince beginning to regard these social gatherings as occasions for bestowing happiness rather than for receiving amusement, felt that in one more direction he was learning to look primarily to the duties rather than the pleasures of his lot. "Boy amongst boys, but amongst men a man," he kept through life his youthful freshness, though he learnt more and more to combine with it the manlier gifts of consideration, counsel, and sympathy.

Boyton, however, was hardly more than a transitional stage between tutelage and independence, and it was with his removal to Claremont in 1879<sup>tnat</sup> his developed manhood may be said to open. It was in that year that his individuality grew more marked and definite, and his talk, without losing its ingenuous

---

boyishness, began to have substance and to show thought of his own. Here, then, it may be well to recall the upshot of many conversations, the drift of much which was habitually working in his mind during these last four active years.

The question of his public duties is best approached, as he in fact approached it, from the side of actual experience, from the consideration of what the nation does practically demand from a royal personage *en disponibilite*, from a young Prince whom it believes to be both willing and able to respond to modern needs. And it will be found that, although the new demands made on royalty may be different from the old ones, they are certainly not less onerous; and a Prince whom circumstances preclude from war or politics is by no means driven to find his only resort in pleasure. At first sight, indeed, it might seem as though the main interests of civilised peoples gave little scope for the intervention of princes. We note the steady rise of commerce and industry, of science, art, and letters. And we observe that one group of these pursuits is unfitting for royalty, while success in the other demands

---

personal rather than hereditary qualifications. But this increasing complexity of society is in fact developing besides these a new calling of the highest importance, and increasingly in need of active official heads. *Philanthropy* in the widest sense of the word, including all organised and disinterested attempts to better by non-political means the condition of the nation, tends to absorb a larger and larger part of the activity of civilised men. In fact the proportion of national activity which is thus directed may be taken as no bad test of the degree of advance to which any people's civilisation has attained. This generous effort, however, tends by no means wholly to good ; much of it is wasted on demonstrable impossibilities ; much of it is debased by an admixture of selfish objects ; much of it, through sheer ignorance, does absolute harm. Philanthropy, in short, is a field where guidance is eminently necessary, and where experience shows that any indication of royal approval carries immediate weight. The multitude of applications for the use of the Duke of Albany's name for public objects of this kind would probably surprise every one except those millionaires who have learnt, by

---

the demands made on their purses, how multifarious are modern efforts for the welfare of mankind.

This widespread eagerness for his approval and advocacy certainly took the Prince himself by surprise. Thinking very modestly of his own knowledge and powers, he was at first inclined to respond to few of such appeals, and only where he felt that some special taste or interest of his own gave him a right to a decided opinion. But he gradually recognised that this was not really all which his post in the world demanded of him. He began to enter into the ideal which his wise father had perhaps been the first among royal personages distinctly to conceive and steadily to apply—the ideal of royalty as a source of disinterested counsel and encouragement, not thrust upon a nation, but always ready when desired, and representing thus some part of the old *paternal* function which, as nations grow to manhood, must needs change its character or disappear. The peculiarity of the Prince Consort's position prevented his great qualities from being rapidly realised; and the nation lost him before it knew him well enough to feel all the gratitude

---

which he deserved. Prince Leopold, on the other hand, had the inestimable advantage of being his mother's son as well as his father's, and of beginning life with an unlimited draft of credit on England's affection and respect. And he became gradually aware that the nation was demanding of *him*, almost beyond his powers, that which he felt that his father would have been able to supply so much more fully than was in his time demanded, namely, a kind of headship of philanthropy, a guidance and encouragement of the manifold efforts which our age is making towards a higher and purer life. A selfish or a timid man might shrink from such a responsibility as this ; a foolish or a vain man might degrade it by supporting mere favourites and advocating mere crotchets of his own. But from vanity of this kind Prince Leopold was completely free. He had by no means an exaggerated opinion of his own powers ; and when he heard his abilities or character ranked with his father's, he was merely pained to think how much of the credit due to the originator of a wise line of thought or conduct is often diverted to a successor whom circumstances enable to carry out the

---

pregnant, suggestion in a popular and conspicuous way. Fortunately this very modesty, simplicity, straightforwardness of character were precisely what was most needed in the Prince's position. For what the public expects a royal opinion to represent is not simply an individual preference, however refined or ingenious, but rather a kind of *resume* or outcome of the best opinions held at the time. Just as a great newspaper gains its power by subordinating to "the common sense of most" all personal predilection or whim, so a princely supporter of schemes of public welfare will carry permanent weight only if the public feels that it can count on his position as a real guarantee of impersonality, of detachment not only from unworthy motives, but from every kind of prepossession or crotchet. His business is not to be a special pleader, but an arbitrator; not an explorer, but a map-maker; not to lead revolutions in opinion, but to confer a *de jure* title on opinions which are rapidly acquiring a *de facto* sway.

This was not altogether an attractive programme for a young man of spirit. To say nothing from the impulse of the moment to

---

write nothing without the gravest deliberation, to enforce accepted truths and sanction winning causes—there may seem little in such work which can be embraced with enthusiasm. Yet here again the voluntary acceptance of limitations is soon seen to render possible the achievement of most important good. Though only those causes be supported which a consensus of careful opinion pronounces to be both deserving of success and likely to attain it, the field of choice is still very large. And sometimes (as was the case, for instance, with the question of parks, open spaces, preservation of the Lake-country from railways, etc., in which Mr. Ruskin's influence was discernible), the ultimate success of some philanthropic effort can be safely predicted at a very early stage by those who make it a business to watch all such efforts as they arise, to study their inter-relation, and to know something of the character of their supporters. Assuredly there is work here—work earnestly demanded and gratefully welcomed by the nation—for as many public-spirited princes as any reigning family can supply.

Moreover, there is another branch of this

---

work more onerous than any task for tongue or pen. If a great personage wishes to give the full weight of his support to any cause, it is often necessary that he should be actually stamped on the popular retina in visible connection with it, actually looked at hour after hour while the cause is kept before the minds of men. It is obvious that for this function royalty is uniquely fitted, and Prince Leopold recognised to the full that this must form a large element in his life. Some eminent examples have accustomed the public to so high a standard of royal vigour that the fatigue of these duties of ceremony and *representation* is scarcely realised by ordinary observers. To Prince Leopold's delicate constitution those fatigues were most severe, though he met them with readiness, and would only jestingly allude to the inconvenience of holding one's hat three inches above one's head for a couple of hours in an east wind, or to the pains which he took to catch some one's eye in the crowd each time that he bowed and smiled, till his head grew too dizzy and his cheeks too strained for more than an automatic salute.

The Duke of Albany desired, as is known, a

---

sphere of activity of a more definite kind. It was a bitter disappointment to him that he was not permitted to succeed Lord Lorne in Canada, and it was long before he could heartily acquiesce in the interdiction from this high duty which reasons of State imposed. But here again he did at last acquiesce, and recognised also that the task would have involved too severe a strain on his physical powers. He still hoped some day to fill what seemed a less fatiguing position of the same kind in Australia ; and the aspiration indicated his desire for serious and regular work, as well as his deep interest in that great process of expansion which is carrying our England into every quarter of the globe.

On the whole, then, it may be said that in public matters his brief career was a progressive self-adjustment to the conditions of his lot, a growing acceptance of duty, and not caprice or pleasure, as the guide of life. So far as he achieved this, he attained happiness ; and so far as sickness and suffering helped him to achieve it, they were the blessings of his life.

For aid in this conversion of pain into education, of restraint into guidance, the late

---

Prince devoutly sought the grace and influence of a higher Power. A loyal son of the Church, he retained through life much of the simple piety of his boyish years. But to say this is not enough. The Prince had learnt at the gates of death a sense of the reality of the Unseen which many theologians might envy. "The untravelled traveller" had brought back with him from that bourne, so nearly overpassed, a conviction, into whose intimate basis it would have been over-curious to pry, of the near, the interpenetrating presence of a spiritual world. And like most men for whom these great conceptions have passed from an "article of faith" to what may almost be called a fact of experience, he could scarcely understand the difficulty felt by other minds in attaining to a certainty like his own. He longed that they should see things as he saw them; that they should feel the validity of every class of evidence which points to this world's confusion as transitory, and to death as a liberation and not 'a close.

This practical manner of viewing speculative topics showed itself in an interesting way when, some two years before his death, a society was

---

founded which had for its object to investigate, on strictly scientific principles, and without prepossession of any kind, those obscure and scattered facts or fancies which point to the existence of an unseen or immaterial principle in man. Although it would obviously have been unfitting for the Prince to have lent his name to a study so novel and tentative, his sympathies with the effort thus initiated were very warm. Yet even in this speculative region his point of view was philanthropic rather than scientific. Himself intimately convinced of the existence of a soul in man, he readily assumed that a candid and organised inquiry would sooner or later convince other minds also. What he desired, then, was that any scientific evidence which could be gained as to the soul and a future life should be actively brought to bear on the masses who in many parts of the world are losing those beliefs altogether. Russian Nihilism and German Socialism loom large before the eyes of princes ; and it is obvious enough how direct in these cases is the relation between disbelief in a future life and reckless rebellion against the laws and limitations which hedge round the only existence for which these poor

---

men hope. Prince Leopold can certainly not be accused of wishing to still the cry of the poor and miserable in this life by presenting them with a blank cheque on an unknown futurity. But, while eager to ameliorate and cheer the lives of the poor in every possible way, he was conscious that "the hope of a better resurrection" was in their case especially needful, both as a background of contentment and as a stimulus to well-doing. And perceiving, as a mere matter of fact, that great masses of men, in Germany especially, are becoming less and less disposed to accept the validity of religious instinct and historical tradition—more and more resolved to trust such teaching only as can base itself on contemporary experience and appeal to tangible experiment—he earnestly desired that the dignitaries of great churches, the leaders of all sections of religious thought, should welcome any prospect of an alliance with scientific discovery, and convert to the upbuilding of the higher life those modern modes of thought which have sometimes been pursued to its prejudice, or been held to have proved its unreality.

But there is some danger lest such a discus-

---

sion as this should give the impression of a more sustained seriousness than his conversation actually showed. The trains of thought above indicated did indeed exist in his mind, but they came out in no set fashion, and only in intimate moments; while no man more thoroughly enjoyed the lighter talk of society, and its lively comment on the personages and events of the day. One thing was specially noticeable in his pleasant, humorous chat, and that was his tendency to think as well as possible of almost every woman of his acquaintance. He who thus cares for the womanhood in women is rewarded by wider and keener interests than are felt by the man whose admirations have a selfish taint. From the society of the old, and of young children, the Duke derived especial pleasure. Few brothers have held their sisters so dear; nor did he ever talk intimately on these matters without introducing some affectionate allusion to his nieces at Darmstadt.

This quick susceptibility to feminine charm and virtue, while it makes a man more likely to choose well in marriage, makes it also eminently desirable that he should have the best possible range of choice. Here, too, there were limita-

tions in the Prince's lot; here, too, there was a period of discontent and disheartenment; and here, too, the old lesson was repeated on a larger scale ; the restriction of choice became its guidance, and the most perfect of love-matches blossomed on royal soil. How eagerly did those who knew the all-importance to the Duke of domestic happiness watch for the first glimpse of the bride in St. George's Chapel! with what thankfulness did they read in that face the heaven-made marriage, and the soul to his akin!

πάραυτα δ' ἔλθειν ἐς Ἰλίου πόλιν  
λέγοι,

With her came tranquillity and contentment, the deep satisfaction of the heart ;—what *seemed* a hold upon the earthly future, what *was* but a flying foretaste of the stability of a serener world. The life of Clarcmont, till then expectant and provisional, rounded itself into happy wholeness, and its master threw himself with new energy into all that could adorn the home which the Queen's gift and his wife's companionship had made his own indeed, so far as transitory man can find his haven in these possessions of a day. The birth of his child

---

was a completing joy, and he loved to picture Claremont to himself as destined to become one of those great English homes which knit together sexes, ages, ranks of life, nay, even animals and men, in a closer and more patriarchal polity than the modern world elsewhere knows ; where the same tranquillity of well-being pervades mansion, stables, farm ; while the master's central presence is felt as the strength and stay of all, and radiates an ordering beneficence from fence to fence of the domain.

Claremont is a noble setting for such a life. The house itself is large and stately, but it is the park and woodland which make the special character of the place. For through the sorrows and the vicissitudes which have passed over the majestic home—the self-sought death of the founder of an empire, the sad retirement of exiled monarchs, the extinction in mother and infant at once of a great nation's proudest hope—through all these seasons Nature has worked unseen ; the woods have spread, the shadows deepened ; great pines have reared themselves in sombre pyramids, and flowering shrubs have met and tangled in an undergrowth of bloom and green.

---

Hoc nemus, hunc, inquit, frondoso vertice collem—  
Quis deus incertum est—habitat deus.

The domain of Claremont, its solitary solemnity, the gloom of its embosomed glades, recalls some seat of oracle where ancient men adored an unapparent divinity, uncertain between love and fear, nor knew whose whisper rolled in the woodlands, whose form had been guessed amid the shade.

But with the coming of that home's mistress all omens gave their sign for peace. The two together, one in heart, in aspiration, in duty, desired that the happy life which the nation's gift supported should be such in every detail that the whole nation might look on it if it would, and recognise royalty only by its graciousness, and elevation by its repose. It was their hope gradually to make Claremont a rallying-point, not of rank or fashion merely, but of whatever was best and highest in every direction, invoking the arts and graces of life,—music especially, for which the Prince himself had so true a gift,—to make a society that should be delightful without false excitement, a stately but simple home. Lives thus wisely led by other highly-placed personages the Duke

---

watched always with sympathetic interest. And in certain graver matters of social governance in which the last appeal lies sometimes to royalty alone, he would dwell with admiration on the judgment and firmness which his eldest brother had shown in many cases where the heads of an aristocratic society may, by their potent intervention at critical moments, largely determine the welfare of other lives.

How much of influence might in time have come to that home's master we cannot know ; but we may be sure that whatever had come to him would in this temper have been exerted well. For just as learning and wealth and beauty are odious or beneficent, according as their possessors have realised aright that their learning was not given them for pride, nor their wealth for luxury, nor their beauty for adulation ; so also may royal rank become an unmixed source of happiness when they who hold it have learnt to account themselves not as the depositaries of privilege, but as the channels of honour. For it is not the orator only who " receives from the multitude in a vapour what he returns to them in a flood," but the great House with which our English

---

nation has identified her name and fortunes receives the convergent rays of a world-wide and immemorial affection, which it is the royal task to focus in a steady glow, directing back on what is best and worthiest in all our empire the warmth and light which were derived diffusedly from every heart within that empire's bound. The Duke of Albany felt this to the utmost,—and he felt, too, with almost painful vividness the generous abundance of the recognition which England gave to his efforts for her good. It was his nature to think that any other man in his position would have worked harder and done better than he ; and he was often depressed at the thought of his insufficiency to repay the confidence of such a multitude of men.

For, indeed, he hardly recognised the strength of the attachment which his own character and presence inspired. He was always afraid that his friends would grow tired of him ; that they would become absorbed in other interests; that they would marry and come and see him no more. At the height of his popularity his manner kept a certain wistfulness, as if he were asking for an affection

on which he had no right to rely. He did not know how dear to others was his soft laugh of sympathy, his steady gaze of affection, the sound of his gentle speech,—the *ayavo<sup>^</sup>poo-vvri*—the loving-kindness—which his friends may now seek far and mournfully, and whose remembrance fills their eyes with tears.

And then, too, how high was their hope ! What years of usefulness and honour seemed opening before him they loved ! Still was Mr. Ruskin the honoured teacher ; still was it possible to watch, in fuller maturity, the contact of the elder and the younger mind. Who could help thinking of Plato's great conception, where the spirit which once has looked on truth in the wake of some divinity in the ideal world seeks out on earth the awakening intelligence most apt to follow, and fashions that young life to greatness, " after the likeness of his tutelary god " ? It seemed as though that teacher—who, if any man, has " gazed in clear radiance on visions innocent and fair, "—had found a " royal soul " to whom to prophesy, and from whose answering fervour virtue and blessing might be born.

But it was not best that this should be.

---

Not in this world of shows, but in the world of realities, was the next lesson to be taught to that advancing soul. The—earthly bliss dissolved in a moment, the earthly promise<sup>^</sup> vanished like a dream. Only in the vistas of that beechen woodland, and in that vale of rhododendrons, and by that still water's edge where the gigantic forest-trees "high over-arched imbower," pictures from the past will live imprinted on one woman's heart; pictures enduring beneath their apparent transiency, and indissoluble by any touch of change. It is not the ebb and flow of common hours which traces the limit of our being, but the flood-tide on which the soul has once swept forward leaves the wave-mark which she can reach for evermore.

Those who believe, not in word only, but in deed and in truth, in the great destiny of enfranchised souls, will not need to *compassionate* any true and upright spirit which is called away, however suddenly, from a life however sweet. He may leave wife, and child, and fame, and fortune, but duty and virtue are with him still, and that peremptory call is an upward summons, a step in his high career.

---

With the survivors of the well-beloved son, brother, husband, the whole world will mourn. Yet such a death is a stingless sorrow. No parting can sever the spiritual bond which the strong heart chooses to maintain ; what love has lost in joy it has gained in consecration ; it is uplifted at one stroke among flawless and eternal things. Thus shall even his nearest and dearest feel as the years roll by ; thus too let others feel who from a distance share and reverence their sorrow ; others—for whom also the falling of that handful of light earth into the flower-strewn vault marked the earthly close of an irreplaceable, a unique affection—the conversion of one of life's best delights into a memory and an anticipation—nay, the transference of a part of the very heart itself from the visible into that ideal world where such as he are more than princes, and where all high hopes find their goal

## IN THE WOLSEY CHAPEL, WINDSOR.

Prince well-beloved ! true heart and presence fair !  
High o'er the marble of thy carved repose  
From Windsor's Keep the Flag of England  
blows;

A thousand years float in the storied air.  
There sleeps thy Sire; and often gently there  
Comes one who mourns with steadfast eyes, and  
strows

The rhododendron round thee and the rose ;  
Love is her silence and her look is prayer.  
Nor now that Banner's broad-flung triumphings,  
Nor spirit whispering to the sons of kings  
Of strong continuance, age-long empery ;—  
But that one woman's gaze the promise brings  
To thee that sleepest of eternal things,  
Realms yet unreached, and high love still to be.

THE END



BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

**ESSAYS.** Two Vols. I. Classical. II. Modern. Globe  
8vo. 5s. each. [Eversley Series.

*SATURDAY REVIEW*.—"Several of Mr. Myers's essays were worth printing and reprinting, without reading and leading again. . . . Of Mr. Myers's leading and erudition we can hardly speak too highly."

*ATHENIUM*.—"And so throughout the volumes the reader feels that whether he agrees with the writer's critical judgments or not, they are the independent judgments of a man whose knowledge is always fast hand, and whose power of creating ideas is above rather than below his power of expressing them. . . . The ground covered by these two volumes is, however, so various and so wide that it is impossible in an article like this to do more than indicate it."

*SPECTATOR*.—"They are crowded with the results of reflection and search, and deal with such a variety of interesting subjects, that the critic is baffled, not, as he too often is, by poverty, but rather by the superabundance of interest."

**ST. PAUL.** A Poem. New Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo.  
2s. 6d.

*FORTNIGHTLY REVIEW*.—"It breathes throughout the spirit of St. Paul, and with a singular stately melody of verse."

*SPECTATOR*.—"It is rare to find a writer who combines to such an extent the faculty of communicating feelings with the faculty of euphonious expression. His arrangement of words is governed by an exquisite susceptibility, not only to their sound, but to those more suitable harmonies that depend on their associations, and he shows a skill rarely surpassed in using simple material to produce complex effects of rhythm."

**THE RENEWAL OF YOUTH, and other  
Poems.** Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

*DAILY NEWS*.—"No recent volume of verse merits, for is likely to receive, so kind a reception as Mr. F. W. H. Myers's *Renewal of Youth*. One cannot read a page of his work without recognising that 'poet' is the name he deserves when he is at his best. Among the many echoes and the few voices of the time he is one of the voices."

*ATHENIUM*.—"Mr. Myers uses skilfully and well, for the purpose of crystallising his thoughts, experiences, and reflections, the instrument of poetry. What he says will be read with attention and often with delight."

**WORDSWORTH.** Crown 8vo. 1s. 6d. Sewed, 1s.  
*English Men of Letters Series.*

*SPECTATOR*.—"In the exquisite little sketch which Mr. Myers has given of Wordsworth, in Mr. John Motley's series of *Men of Letters*, as a piece of English at least, the gem, we venture to say, of the whole series."

*ACADEMY*.—"Mr. Myers gives us a picture of the man and an estimate of his work which is certainly not inferior to anything that has preceded it. . . . Possibly the best chapter in the book—every chapter of which is excellent—is that on Natural Religion."

*SATURDAY REVIEW*.—"He has given an excellent account of the poet's life, such as it is, and a running commentary on his principal works. . . . The reader will . . . probably be inclined to pronounce the book which Mr. Myers has written a very good one."

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

# The Eversley Series.

Globe 8vo. Cloth. 5s. per volume.

- The Works of Matthew Arnold. ESSAYS IN CRITICISM. First Series. ESSAYS IN CRITICISM. Second Series. EARLY AND NARRATIVE POEMS. LYRIC AND ELEGIAC POEMS. DRAMATIC AND LATER POEMS. AMERICAN DISCOURSES.
- The Holy Bible. Ananged in paragraphs, with an Introduction by J. W. MACKAIL, M.A. In 8 volumes. Vol. i. GENESIS—NUMBERS. Vol. 2. DEUTERONOMY—2 SAMUEL. Vol. 3. i KINGS—Esther. Vol. 4. Jon—SONG OF SOLOMON. Vol. 5. ISAIAH—LAMENTATIONS. Vol. 6. EZEKIEL—MALACHI. Vol. 7. MATTHEW—JOHN. Vol. 8. ACTS—REVELATION.
- Essays by George Brimley. Third Edition.
- Chaucer's Canterbury Tales. Edited by A. W. POLLARD. 2 Vols.
- Dean Church's Miscellaneous Writings. Collected Edition. 9 Vols. MISCELLANEOUS ESSAYS. DANTE: and other Essays. ST. ANSELM. | SPENSER | BACON. THE OXFORD MOVEMENT, Twelve Years 1833-1845.
- THE BEGINNING OF THE MIDDLE AGES. (Included in this Series by permission of MeSeS. LONGMANS and Co.)
- OCCASIONAL PAPERS. Selected from *The Guardian*, *The Times*, and *The Saturday Review*, 1846-1890. 2 Vols.
- Life and Letters of Dean Church. Edited by his Daughter, MARY C. CHURCH.
- Emerson's Collected Works. 6 Vols. With Introduction by JOHN MORLITY MISCELLANIES. | ESSAYS. | POEMS. ENGLISH TRAITS AND REPRESENTATIVE MEN. THE CONDUCT OF LIFE, AND SOCIETY AND SOLITUDE. LETTERS AND SOCIAL AIMS.
- Letters of Edward FitzGerald. Edited by W. A. WRIGHT. 2 Vols.
- Letters of Edward FitzGerald to Fanny Kemble, 1871-1883. Edited by W. A. WRIGHT.
- More Letters of Edward FitzGerald. [In the Press.]
- Pausanias and other Greek Sketches. By J. G. FRAZER, M.A.
- Goethe's Prose Maxims. Translated, with Introduction, by T. B. SAUNDERS. \*\* *The Scientific and Artistic Maxims*, were selected by Professor Huxley and Lord Leighton respectively. Thomas Gray's Collected Works in Prose and Verse. Edited by EDMUND JOSSÉ. Vol. 1. Poems, Journals, and Essays. Vols. 2 and 3. Letters. Vol. 4. Notes on Aristophanes and Plato.
- Works by John Richard Green. SIRAYSTUDIES FROM ENGLAND AND ITALY. History of the ENGLISH PEOPLE. 8 Vols. THE MAKING OF ENGLAND. With Maps. In 2 Vols. THE CONQUEST OF ENGLAND. With Maps. In 2 Vols. OXFORD, 1750-1850. [In the Press.]
- Guesses at Truth. By Two BROTHERS. The Choice of Books, and other Literary Pieces. By FREDERIC HARRISON. Earthwork out of Tuscany. By MAURICE HEWELIT
- Poems of Thomas Hood. Edited, with Prefatory Memoir, by ALFRED AINGER. In 2 Vols. Vol. i. SERIOUS POEMS. Vol. 2. POEMS OF WIT AND HUMOUR. With Vignettes and Portraits.
- R. H. BUTTON'S Collected Essays. LITERARY ESSAYS. ESSAYS ON SOME OF THE MODERN GUIDES OF ENGLISH THOUGHT IN MATTERS OF FAITH. THEOLOGICAL ESSAYS. CRITICISMS ON CONTEMPORARY THOUGHT AND THINKERS. 2 Vols. ASPECTS OF RELIGIOUS AND SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT. Selected from the *Spectator*, and Edited by his Niece, E. M. ROSCOE. With Portrait.
- Thomas Henry Huxley's Collected Works. 9 Vols. Vol. 1. METHOD AND RESULTS. Vol. 2. DARWINIANA. Vol. 3. SCIENCE AND EDUCATION. Vol. 4. SCIENCE AND HEBREW TRADITION. Vol. 5. SCIENCE AND CHRISTIAN TRADITION. Vol. 6. HUME. With Helps to the Study of Berkeley. Vol. 7. MAN'S PLACE IN NATURE: and other Anthropological Essays. Vol. 8. DISCOURSES, BIOLOGICAL AND GEOLOGICAL. Vol. 9. EVOLUTION AND ETHICS, AND OTHER ESSAYS.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

# The Eversley Series.—Continued.

Globe 8vo. Cloth. 5s. per volume.

- French poets and Novelists. By HENRY JAMES. Science and a Future Life, and other Essays. By F. W. H. MYERS, M.A.
- Partial Portraits. By HENRY JAMES. Classical Essays. By F. W. H. MYERS.
- Letters of John Keats to his Family and Friends. Edited by SIDNEY COLVIN. Modern Essays. By F. W. H. MYERS.
- Charles Kingsley's Novels and Poems. **Records of Tennyson, Buskin, and Browning.** By ANNE THACKERAY RITCHIE.
- WES—VAKD Ho ' 2 Vols.  
 HYPATIA. 2 Vols.  
 YEAST. i Vol.  
 ALTON LOCKE. 2 Vols.  
 Two YEARS AGO. 2 Vols.  
 HERKWARD THE WAKE. 2 Vols.  
 POEMS. 2 Vols.
- Works by Sir John R. Seeley, K. C. M. G., Litt. D.  
 THE EXPANSION OF ENGLAND. Two Courses of Lectures, LECTURES AND ESSAYS.  
 ECCE HOMO. A Survey of the Life and Work of Jesus Christ.  
 NATURAL RELIGION.  
 LECTURES ON POLITICAL SCIENCE.
- Charles Lamb's Collected Works. Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by Canon AINGER. 6 Vols.  
 THE ESSAYS OF ELIA.  
 POEMS, PLAYS, AND MISCELLANEOUS ESSAYS.  
 MRS. LUCE'S SCHOOL, and other Writings.  
 TALES FROM SHAKESPEARE. By CHARLES and MARY LAMB.  
 THE LETTERS OF CHARLES LAMB. 2 Vols.
- The Works of Shakespeare. With short Introductions and Footnotes by Professor C. H. HERFORD. In 10 Vols.
- Works by James Smetham.  
 LETTERS. With an Introductory Memoir, Edited by SARAH SAIKTIAM and WILLIAM DAVIES. With a Portrait.  
 LITERARY WORKS. Edited by WILLIAM DAVIES.
- Life of Charles Lamb. By Canon AINGER.
- Historical Essays. By J. B. LIGHTFOOT, D.D.
- The Poetical Works of John Milton. Edited, with Memoir, Introduction, and Notes, by DAVID MASSON, M.A. 3 Vols.  
 Vol. 1. THE MINOR POEMS.  
 Vol. 2. PARADISE LOST.  
 Vol. 3. PARADISE REGAINED. AND SAMSON AGONISTES.
- Life of Swift. By Sir HENRY CRAIK, K.C.B., 2 Vols. New Edition.
- Selections from the Writings of Thoreau. Edited by H. S. SALT.
- John Morley's Collected Works. In ii Vols.  
 VOLTAIRE. i Vol.  
 ROUSSEAU. 2 Vols.  
 DIDEROT AND THE ENCYCLOPAEDISTS. 2 Vols.  
 ON COMPROMISE. i Vol.  
 MISCELLANIES. 3 Vols.  
 BUKKE. i Vol.  
 STUDIES IN LITERATURE. i Vol.
- Essays in the History of Religious Thought in the West.** By B. F. WESTCOTT, D. D., D. C. L., Lord Bishop of Durham.
- The Works of William Wordsworth.** Edited by Professor KNIGHT.  
 POETICAL WORKS. 8 Vols.  
 PROSE WORKS. 2 Vols.
- The Journals of Dorothy Wordsworth. 2 Vols.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.

MACMILLAN'S LIBRARY  
OF  
**ENGLISH CLASSICS**

EDITED BY A. W. POLLARD

A Series of reprints of Standard Works in Library Form.

*Demy 8vo. Cloth elegant. Price 3s 6d. net per volume.*

The following works are now ready :—

BACON'S ESSAYS ; Colours of Good and Evil; and Advancement of Learning . . . . .	i vol.
SHERIDAN'S PLAYS . . . . .	i vol.
MALORY'S MORTE D'ARTHUR . . . . .	2 vols
STERNE'S TRISTRAM SHANDY AND SENTIMENTAL JOURNEY. . . . .	2 vols
BOSWELL'S LIFE OF JOHNSON . . . . .	3 vols.
CARLYLE'S FRENCH REVOLUTION . . . . .	2 vols.
FIELDING'S TOM JONES. . . . .	2 vols.
WHITE'S NATURAL HISTORY OF SELBORNE . . . . .	1 vol.
TRAVELS OF SIR JOHN MANDEVILLE, with Illustrative Narratives from Hakluyt . . . . .	1 vol.
LOCKHART'S LIFE OF SCOTT . . . . .	5 vols.
DON QUIXOTE TRANSLATED BY SHELTON . . . . .	3 vols.
WALTON'S LIVES AND COMPLETE ANGLER. . . . .	1 vol.
DE QUINCEY'S CONFESSIONS OF AN ENGLISH OPIUM EATER; Murder as a Fine Art; The English Mail Coach, and other Essays . . . . .	1 vol.
CARLYLE'S SARTOR RESARTUS, AND HEROES AND HERO WORSHIP. . . . .	1 vol.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LTD., LONDON.









