

214595

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OU_214595

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

OUP—552—7-7-66—10,000

OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Call No. ~~301-4403~~ ^{915.4} Accession No. ~~PC~~ . 7450

Author ^{G 92C}
Ghurya, G. S.

Title Caste and race in India.

This book should be returned on or before the date
last marked below.

The History of Civilization

Edited by C. K. OGDEN, M.A.

Caste and Race
in India

The History of Civilization

Edited by C. K. OGDEN, M.A.

HARRY ELMER BARNES, Ph.D., Consulting American Editor.

Introduction and Pre-History

*SOCIAL ORGANIZATION	W. H. R. Rivers, F.R.S.
THE EARTH BEFORE HISTORY	Edmond Perrier
PREHISTORIC MAN	Jacques de Morgan
LANGUAGE: A LINGUISTIC INTRODUCTION	Professor J. Vendryes
A GEOGRAPHICAL INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY	Professor Lucien Febvre
RACE AND HISTORY	Professor E. Pittard
FROM TRIBE TO EMPIRE	Professor A. Moret
*LIFE AND WORK IN PREHISTORIC TIMES	Professor G. Renard
*MONEY AND MONETARY POLICY IN EARLY TIMES	A. R. Burns
*THE MIGRATION OF SYMBOLS	Donald A. Mackenzie
*THE DAWN OF EUROPEAN CIVILIZATION	Professor V. Gordon Childe
*THE ARYANS	Professor V. Gordon Childe

The Early Empires and Greece

THE NILE AND EGYPTIAN CIVILIZATION	Professor A. Moret
MESOPOTAMIA	Professor L. Delaporte
THE ÆGEAN CIVILIZATION	Professor G. Glotz
*MINOANS, PHILISTINIS AND GRECKS	A. R. Burn
THE FORMATION OF THE GREEK PEOPLE	Professor A. Jarde
*ANCIENT GREECE AT WORK	Professor G. Glotz
ART IN GREECE	W. Deonna and A. de Ridder
GREEK THOUGHT AND THE SCIENTIFIC SPIRIT	Professor L. Robin
THE GREEK CITY AND ITS INSTITUTIONS	Professor G. Glotz
ISRAEL	Professor A. Lods
MACEDONIAN IMPERIALISM	Professor P. Jouguet

Rome and Beyond the Roman Empire

PRIMITIVE ITALY AND ROMAN IMPERIALISM	Professor Léon Homo
THE ROMAN SPIRIT IN RELIGION, THOUGHT, AND ART	Professor A. Gremer
ROMAN POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS	Professor Léon Homo
ROME THE LAW-GIVER	Professor J. Declareuil
THE ECONOMIC LIFE OF THE ANCIENT WORLD	J. T. Coutain
THE ROMAN WORLD	Professor Victor Chapot
*ANCIENT ROME AT WORK	Paul Louis
ANCIENT PERSIA AND IRANIAN CIVILIZATION	Professor Clement Huart
*A THOUSAND YEARS OF THE TARTARS	Professor E. H. Parker
*THE HEROIC AGE OF INDIA	Professor N. K. Sidhanta
*CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA	G. S. Ghurye
*THE LIFE OF BUDDHA	E. J. Thomas, D.Litt.
CHINESE CIVILIZATION	Professor M. Granet

Middle Ages to Modern Times

THE END OF THE ANCIENT WORLD	Professor F. Lot
*TRAVEL AND TRAVELLERS OF THE MIDDLE AGES	(Ed.) Prof. A. P. Newton
*CHIVALRY	(Ed.) Professor E. Prestage
*THE COURT OF BURGUNDY	Otto Castellen
*LIFE AND WORK IN MEDIEVAL EUROPE	P. Boissonnade
*LIFE AND WORK IN MODERN EUROPE	G. Renard and G. Weulersse
*LONDON LIFE IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY	M. Dorothy George
*CHINA AND EUROPE IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY	A. Reichwein

Historical Ethnology

*THE PEOPLES OF ASIA	L. H. Dudley Buxton
*THE THRESHOLD OF THE PACIFIC	Dr. C. E. Fox
*THE SOUTH AMERICAN INDIANS	Professor Rafael Karsten
*THE AMERICAN INDIAN FRONTIER	Professor W. C. Macleod
*DEATH CUSTOMS	E. Bendann

Subject Histories

*THE HISTORY OF MEDICINE	c. G. Curns ton, M. D.
*THE HISTORY OF WITCHCRAFT	Montague Summers
*THE GEOGRAPHY OF WITCHCRAFT	Montague Summers
*THE HISTORY OF MUSIC	Cecil Gray
*HISTORY OF LATIN CHRISTIANITY	Professor P. de Labriolle

* An asterisk indicates that the volume does not form part of the French collection "L'Evolution de l'Humainité"

A full list of the SERIES will be found at the end of this volume.

Caste and Race in India

By

G. S. GHURYE, Ph.D. (Cantab.)

Reader in Sociology, University of Bombay

LONDON

KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRUBNER & CO., LTD.

BROADWAY HOUSE: 68-74, CARTER LANE, E.C.

1932

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY
STEPHEN AUSTIN AND SONS, LTD., HERTFORD.

CONTENTS

	PAGE
PREFACE	vii
CHAP.	
I FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM	1
II NATURE OF CASTE-GROUPS .	28
III CASTE THROUGH THE AGES	39
IV CASTE THROUGH THE AGES (<i>Continued</i>)	68
V RACE AND CASTE	101
VI ELEMENTS OF CASTE OUTSIDE INDIA	124
VII ORIGINS OF CASTE	142
VIII CASTE : RECENT AND CONTEMPORARY	149
IX. CONCLUSION	182
APPENDIX A, B, C, D, E, F, G .	189
BIBLIOGRAPHICAL ABBREVIATIONS	197
INDEX	201

PREFACE

IN the following pages I have made an attempt to give my views on the history and the origin of the caste-system as it was in the past and is to-day among the Hindus of India. As regards caste in recent and contemporary times, I have purposely restricted the treatment to the institution as it is found among the Hindus. Whatever elements of caste happen to occur among the other communities of India are derivative, and as such do not serve to illuminate the problem of caste.

While writing about an institution which has been studied by scholars for over half a century, one receives intellectual stimulation on its many aspects from various authors. One's obligations to them are too indirect and indefinite to be mentioned in specific references. And when such references are made, they often do not measure the scope of one's obligations. In the present book I find myself in this situation with respect to some writers on the subject of caste, notably J. C. Nesfield, Professor E. W. Hopkins, E. Senart, Sir H. H. Risley, and Dr. S. V. Ketkar. I have derived great benefit from their works, for which my sincere thanks are due to them.

Many of my friends have done me the honour of contributing something or other in connection with this work. Professor A. S. Altekar, of Benares, has read in manuscript the third and fourth chapters, and has made some useful suggestions. Mr. S. R. Deshpande, of Bombay, has particularly helped me in reading the proofs and making a few corrections. Professor D. R. Gadgil, of Poona, has read the whole manuscript, and enabled me to improve it very much by his penetrating criticisms. Dr. E. J. Thomas, of Cambridge, has helped me to avoid many pitfalls, and to insert the diacritical marks, so essential for proper pronunciation. To all of them I am grateful for their ready and generous help.

G. S. GHURYE.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY,
UNIVERSITY OF BOMBAY,
BOMBAY 1,
INDIA.

CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

CHAPTER I

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM

A FOREIGN visitor to India is struck by the phenomenon known as the caste system. He may not understand the full working of the system, but he is aware of the fact that Hindu society is divided into groups, known as castes, with varying degrees of respectability and circles of social intercourse. Raines observes : " It needs but a very short time in the country to bring home to the most casual observer the ubiquity of the institution, and to make him acquainted with some of the principal exoteric features." ¹ This is due not only to the fact that caste is the most general form of social organization in India but also because it presents such a marked contrast to the social grouping prevalent in Europe or America. Owing to these two features—ubiquity and strangeness—the institution has found many able scholars devoted to its study. With all the labours of these students, however, we do not possess a real general definition of caste. It appears to us that any attempt at definition is bound to fail because of the complexity of the phenomenon. On the other hand, much literature on the subject is marred by lack of precision about the use of the term. Hence we propose to give a description of the factors underlying this system of castes.

The earliest account of this institution, given by a foreigner of the third century B.C., mentions two of the features characterizing it before it was modified by the close cultural contact with Western Europe during the last century. " It is not permitted to contract marriage with a person of another caste, nor to change from one profession or trade to another, nor for the same person to undertake more than one, except he is of the caste of philosophers, when permission is given

¹ Baines, p. 11.

on account of his dignity." ¹ Though this statement of Megasthenes brings two of the most salient features of the institution to the forefront, yet it fails to give a complete idea of the system.

The outstanding features of Hindu society when it was ruled by the social philosophy of caste, unaffected by the modern ideas of rights and duties, may be discerned to be six.

(1) *Segmental Division of Society*.—This caste-society was not a more or less homogeneous community in which, whatever distinctions of social status may exist, they are so much in the background that a special inquiry has to be made in order to realize their presence, but a society in which various groups with distinct appellations were prominent. Castes were groups with a well-developed life of their own, the membership whereof, unlike that of voluntary associations and of classes, was determined not by selection but by birth. The status of a person depended not on his wealth as in the classes of modern Europe, but on the traditional importance of the caste in which he had the luck of being born. On the distinction between caste and class, as far only as cleavage into well-marked groups is concerned, Maclver observes : "Whereas in eastern civilizations the chief determinant of class and status was birth, in the western civilization of to-day wealth is a class-determinant of equal or perhaps greater importance, and wealth is a less rigid determinant than birth : it is more concrete, and thus its claims are more easily challenged ; itself a matter of degree, it is less apt to create distinctions of kind, alienable, acquirable, and transferable, it draws no such permanent lines of cleavage as does birth." ² To restrict ourselves to the Marathi region, a person is born either a Brahmin, Prabhu, Maratha, Vani, Sonar, Sutar, Bhandari, Chambhar, or a Mahar, etc. If he chances to take a vocation which is not earmarked for a particular caste—say the military—he remains a casteman all the same. A Brahmin general and a Maratha general, though of equal status in the army, belong to two different status-groups in their private life and there could not be any social intercourse between them on equal terms. But this is not the case in a class-society where status is determined

¹ Quotation from Megasthenes' account in Wilson, vol. i, p. 347.

² p. 124, footnote.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 3

by vocation and consequent income. A class has no council, standing or occasional, to regulate the conduct and guide the morals of its members, apart from the laws of the community as a whole. Members of one class follow different vocations, which, when organized, possess standing executive committees, which govern the members of their profession according to their rules.¹ These rules generally exclude the legitimate province of the wider community, and refer only to professional etiquette or economic gain. "In the case of the brain-working professions, these common rules and this authoritative direction seek to prescribe such matters as the qualifications for entry, the character of the training, the methods of remuneration, the conditions of employment, the rules of behaviour towards fellow professionals and the public, the qualifications and methods of selection for public appointments, and the terms of service, the maintenance of the status of the profession, and the power of expulsion."¹ Most of the castes on the other hand, excepting the high ones like the Brahmin² and the Rajput, have regular standing councils deciding on many more matters than those taken cognizance of by the committees of the trade unions, associations, or guilds, and thus encroaching on the province of the whole community. How the Brahmin and other high castes managed their affairs is not quite clear, but in the case of the Brahmins of Southern India at least, it seems from an epigraphic record that as occasion arose they used to call a special meeting of the members of the caste.³ The assembly could get its decree executed by the king's officials. The governing body of a caste is called the Panchayat. Some of the offences dealt with by it are : (a) eating, drinking, or having similar dealings with a caste or sub-caste, with which such social intercourse is held to be forbidden ; (b) keeping as concubine a woman of another caste ; (c) seduction of or adultery with a married woman ; (d) fornication ; (e) refusal to fulfil a promise of marriage ; (f) refusing to send a wife to her husband when old enough ; (g) refusing to maintain a wife ; (h) non-payment of debt ; (i) petty assaults ;

¹ *The New Statesman*, Special Supplement, 28th April, 1917, p. 38.

² Gujarat Brahmins do have such councils. See Borradaile's *Gujarat Caste-rules*, translated into Gujarati by Mangaldas Nathoobhoy.

³ Hultsch, i, No. 56.

(j) breaches of the customs of the trade peculiar to the caste; (k) encroaching on another's clientele, and raising or lowering prices; (l) killing a cow or any other forbidden animal; (m) insulting a Brahmin; (n) defying the customs of the caste regarding feasts, etc., during marriage and other ceremonies.¹ It will be seen from this list that some of the offences tried by the governing bodies of castes were such as are usually dealt with by the State in its judicial capacity. Thus, a caste was a group with a separate arrangement for meting out justice to its members apart from that of the community as a whole, within which the caste was included as only one of the groups. Hence the members of a caste ceased to be members of the community as a whole, as far as that part of their morals which is regulated by law was concerned.² This quasi-sovereignty of the caste is particularly brought to notice by the fact that the caste council was prepared to re-try criminal offences decided by the courts of law.³ This means that in this caste-bound society the amount of community-feeling must have been restricted, tend that the citizens owed moral allegiance to their castes first, rather than to the community as a whole. By segmental division we wish to connote this aspect of the system. The punishments that these councils awarded were : (1) Out-casting, either temporary or permanent; (2) fines ; (3) feasts to be given to the castemen ; (4) corporal punishment, and (5) sometimes religious expiation. The proceeds of the fines were generally spent on a common feast. Sometimes the perquisites of the Panchayat were bought out of them and sometimes again they were devoted to charitable purposes.⁴ This description of the activities of a caste-council will enable us to appreciate the remark, " The caste is its own ruler." ⁵ The diversity in the administration of law" necessarily led to differences in moral standards of the various castes. There was thus created a cultural gulf between the castes. We may note some of the items of

¹ *United Provinces Census*, 1911, p. 337 ; also cf. Hamilton, i, p. 110 ; Kerr, pp₂ 316-19 ; Martin, vol. iii, pp. 179-80 ; and Steele, pp. 150-1.

The result of this fact is to be seen in the departure of the customs of many castes from the rules laid down in the Hindu sacred laws. See Steele, p. 124 and Appendix A.

³ *United Provinces Census*, 1911, p. 337.

⁴ *Bengal Census*, 1911, pp. 467-9.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 487.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 5

cultural differences among the castes to bring out clearly the implications of this segmentation. Many of the castes have their special deities. Among such castes from Southern India the following may be noted: Komati, Kamsala, Gamalla, Idiga, Mala, and Madiga¹; from the Central Provinces, Ahir²; and from the United Provinces, Aheriya, Bahaliya, Kharwar, Korwa, Chero, Bhuiyar, Dom, Musahar, and Nai.³ About the differences in religious outlook of the Madras castes it has been said: "Amongst the Brahmin community this one fact stands off clear and distinct, that they do not indulge in the worship of Grama Devata, the village gods, to which the aboriginal population almost exclusively bows down."⁴ The customs about marriage and death vary widely among the different castes. Brahmins did not permit widow-marriage nor tolerate concubinage as a caste-practice. This could not be said of many lower castes. Not only were there such differences in cultural matters among the different castes, but in theory also different standards of conduct were upheld. Thus the Brahmin Government of Poona, while passing some legislation prohibiting the manufacture and sale of liquors, excluded the Bhandaris, Kolis and similar other castes from the operation thereof, but strictly forbade the use of drink to Brahmins, Shenvis, Prabhus and Government officers.⁵ This variety of morals and customs was so manifest that the early British Courts in India not merely asked the opinion of their pundits, but took the evidence of the heads of the castes concerned as to their actual usages. The collection of laws and customs of Hindu castes, made by Steele under the orders of Government, was intended to help the courts to ascertain the diverse customs.⁶ The Hindus have no standing arrangements for the disposal of their dead. When any person dies it is the caste-fellows who are to be invited to carry the corpse to the cremation-ground and to dispose of it. At the time of marriage a feast has, by common consent, to be given to

¹ *Godavari Dist. Gaz.*, vol. i, p. 48.

² Russell, ii, p. 31.

³ Crooke, i, pp. 45, 109; ii, pp. 93, 219, 326; iii, 247, 332; IV, 33, 43.

⁴ *Madras Census*, 1871, p. 187.

⁵ M. G. Ranade in *JBBRAS.*, vol. xx, p. 476.

⁶ Mayne, p. 45. N.B.:—Elphinstone wrote: "What we call Hindu Law applies to Brahmins only; each caste has separate laws and customs" (quoted in Romesh Dutt's *India under Early British Rule*, p. 332).

all the members of one's own caste resident in the village or the town. At the preparation of these feasts as well as in connection with other items of the marriage ceremony it is again the caste-people who run to one's help. These and similar affairs of everyday life require the co-operation of one's caste-people. Hence castes are small and complete social worlds in themselves, marked off definitely from one another, though subsisting within the larger society.

(2) *Hierarchy*.—In our discussion of the subject so far we have used the comparative degree with reference to the status of different castes, thus assuming beforehand one of the principal characteristics of the caste society, viz. the hierarchy of the groups. Everywhere in India there is a definite scheme of social precedence amongst the castes, with the Brahmin as the head of the hierarchy. Only in Southern India the artisan castes " have always maintained a struggle for a higher place in the social scale than that allowed to them by Brahmanical authority . . . There is no doubt as to the fact that the members of this great caste [Kammalan] dispute the supremacy of the Brahmins, and that they hold themselves to be equal in rank with them". John Fryer, who visited India in 1670, seems to refer to this attitude.¹ In any one of the linguistic divisions of India there are as many as two hundred castes which can be grouped in classes whose gradation is largely acknowledged by all. But the order of social precedence amongst the individual castes of any class cannot be made definite, because not only is there no ungrudging acceptance of such rank but also the ideas of the people on this point are very nebulous and uncertain. The following observations vividly bring out this state of things. " As the society now stands . . . the place due to each community is not easily distinguishable, nor is any common principle of precedence recognized by the people themselves by which to grade the castes. Excepting the Brahmin at one end and the admittedly degraded castes like the Holeyas at the other, the members of a large proportion of the intermediate castes think or profess to think that their caste is better than their neighbours' and should be ranked accordingly."² Martin remarks about Bihar that

¹ *Madras Census, 1871, p. 151 and footnote.*

² *Mysore Census, 1901, p. 400.*

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 7

the Sudras there were usually divided into four classes, but adds : " The people, who assisted me in making up this account, could not with certainty refer each caste to its class; for they never had bestowed pains to enquire concerning the various claims of such low persons." ¹

(3) *Restrictions on feeding and social intercourse*,—There are minute rules as to what sort of food or drink can be accepted by a person and from what castes. But there is very great diversity in this matter. The practices in the matter of food and social intercourse divide India into two broad belts. In Hindustan proper, castes can be divided into five groups; first, the twice-born castes; second, those castes at whose hands the twice-born can take " Pakka " food ; third, those castes at whose hands the twice-born cannot accept any kind of food but may take water ; fourth, castes that are not untouchable yet are such that water from them cannot be used by the twice-born ; last come all those castes whose touch defiles not only the twice-born but any orthodox Hindu.² All food is divided into two classes, " Kachcha " and " Pakka ", the former being any food in the cooking of which water has been used, and the latter all food cooked in " ghi " without the addition of water. "As a rule a man will never eat ' Kachcha ' food unless it is prepared by a fellow caste-man, which in actual practice means a member of his own endogamous group, whether it be caste or sub-caste, or else by his Brahmin ' Guru ' or spiritual guide."³ But in practice most castes seem to take no objection to " Kachcha " food from a Brahmin.⁴ A Brahmin can accept " Kachcha " food at the hands of no other caste; nay, some of them, like the Kanaujia Brahmins, are so punctilious about these restrictions that, as a proverb has it, three Kanujias require no less than thirteen hearths.⁵ As for the " Pakka " food, it may be taken by a Brahmin at the hands of some of the castes only.⁶ A man of higher caste cannot accept " Kachcha " food from one of the lower, though the latter may regale himself with similar food offered by a member of one of the castes accepted to be higher than his own.

¹ Martin, vol. ii, p. 466.

³ U.P. Census, 1911, p. 328.

⁵ Risley (2), p. 159.

² U.P. Census, 1901, p. 227.

⁴ U.P. Census, 1901, p. 212.

⁶ U.P. Census, 1911, p. 829.

The ideas about the power of certain castes to convey pollution by touch are not so highly developed in Northern India as in the South. The idea that impurity can be transmitted by the mere shadow of an untouchable or by his approaching within a certain distance does not seem to prevail in Hindustan. No Hindu of decent caste would touch a Chaman or a Dom ; and some of the very low castes themselves are quite strict about contact. Thus " The Bansphor and Basor, themselves branches of the Dom caste, will touch neither a Dom nor a Dhobi, whilst the Basor, with all the intolerance of the parvenu, extends his objections to the Musahar, Chamar, Dharkar and Bhangi ".¹

In Bengal the castes are divided into two main groups: (1) The Brahmins, and (2) the Sudras. The second class is further divided into four sub-classes, indicating their status as regards food and water : (a) the Sat-Sudra group includes such castes as the Kayasth and the Nabasakh; (b) then come the Jalacharaniya-Sudras, " being those castes, not technically belonging to the Nabasakh group, from whom Brahmins and members of the higher castes can take water " ; (c) then follow the Jalabyabaharya-Sudras, castes from whose hands a Brahmin cannot take water ; (d) last stand the Asprisya-Sudras, castes whose touch is so impure as to pollute even the Ganges water, and hence their contact must be avoided. They are thus the untouchables.² In the matter of food Western Bengal resembles Hindustan except in this that in Bengal there are some people who will not accept any " Kachcha " food even from the hands of a Brahmin. " Pakka " food can be ordinarily taken not only from one's own or any higher caste, but also from the confectioner class, the Myras and Halwais.³ As regards the position of the untouchables the following observation will give a clear idea. " Even wells are polluted if a low caste man draws water from them, but a great deal depends on the character of the vessel used and of the well from which water is drawn. A masonry well is not so easily defiled as one constructed with clay pipes, and if it exceeds three and a half cubits in width so that a cow may turn round in

¹ *U.P. Census, 1011*, p. 331.

¹ *Bengal Census, 1001*, p. 367.

² Risley (1), vol. li, p. 270.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 9

it, it can be used even by the lowest castes without defilement . . ." Certain low castes are looked down upon as so unclean that they may not enter the courtyard of the great temples. These castes are compelled to live by themselves on the outskirts of villages.¹

In Eastern and Southern Bengal² and in Gujarat and the whole of Southern India there is no distinction of food as "Kachcha" and "Pakka" for the purposes of its acceptance or otherwise from anyone but a member of one's own caste. In Gujarat³ and Southern India, generally speaking, a Brahmin never thinks of accepting water, much less any cooked food from any caste but that of the Brahmins, and similarly all the other castes or groups of castes more or less follow the principle of accepting no cooked food from any caste that stands lower than itself in the social scale. This rule does not apply with the same strictness to accepting water. Again as a rule, a lower caste has no scruples in accepting cooked food from any higher caste. Thus all the castes will take cooked food from the Brahmin.

The theory of pollution being communicated by some castes to members of the higher ones is also here more developed. Theoretically, the touch of a member of any caste lower than one's own defiles a person of the higher caste ; but in actual practice this rule is not strictly observed. In the Maratha country the shadow of an untouchable is sufficient, if it falls on a member of a higher caste, to pollute him. In Madras, and especially Malabar, this doctrine is still further elaborated, so that certain castes have always to keep a stated distance between themselves and the Brahmin and other higher castes so as not to defile the latter. Thus the Shanar, toddy-tapper of Madras, contaminates a Brahmin if he approaches the latter within twenty-four paces.⁴ A Nayar may approach a Nambudiri Brahmin but must not touch him; while a Tiyan must keep himself at the distance of thirty-six steps from the Brahmin, and a Pulayan may not approach him within ninety-six paces. A Tiyan must keep away from a Nayar at twelve paces, while some castes may approach the Tiyan, though they must not touch him. A Pulayan must not come near any

¹ Ibid. ² Ibid. ³ Forbes, ii, p. 240. ⁴ Bhattacharya, p. 255.

of the Hindu castes. So rigid are the rules about defilement which is supposed to be carried with them by all except the Brahmins, that the latter will not perform even their ablutions within the precincts of a Sudra's habitation.¹ Generally the washerman and barber that serve the general body of villagers, will not render their services to the unclean and untouchable castes ; " Even a modern Brahmin doctor, when feeling the pulse of a Sudra, first wraps up the patient's wrist with a small piece of silk so that he may not be defiled by touching his skin."²

(4) *Civil and Religious disabilities and privileges of the different sections*.—Segregation of individual castes or of groups of castes in a village is the most obvious mark of civil privileges and disabilities, and it has prevailed in a more or less definite form all over India. Southern India, as in the matter of ceremonial purity and untouchability, stands out distinct in the rigidity of these rules. In Northern India generally, in the Maratha country and, as it appears, sometimes in the Telugu and Canarese regions, it is only the impure castes that are segregated and made to live on the outskirts of villages. It does not seem that other groups of castes have distinct quarters of the town or village allotted to them excepting in parts of Gujarat. In the Tamil and Malayalam regions very frequently different quarters are occupied by separate castes or sometimes the village is divided into three parts : that occupied by the dominant caste in the village or by the Brahmins, that allotted to the Sudras, and the one reserved for the Panchamas or untouchables. In a village of the Ramnad District, the main portion is occupied by the Nayakars, shepherds, artisans, washermen, and barbers, forming a group living in the north-east corner of the village, while the untouchables ply their trades in the north-west and south-east corners.³ In Trichinopoly district the villages have the houses arranged in streets. " The Brahmin, Shudra and Panchama quarters are separate, and in the last of these the Pallans, Paraiyans and Chakki-liyans live in separate streets."⁴ In Madras, Pallis or agriculturists live in separate quarters " distinctively known

¹ Wilson, vol. li, pp. 74-5.

³ Slater, p. 38.

² *Ency. of R. & E.*, vol. x, p. 491 (6).
⁴ *Trichinopoly Dist. Gaz.*, vol. i, p. 81.

as the Palli teru ".¹ Sometimes, as in the district of Bellary, it is only the untouchable, like the Madiga, that is singled out for segregation, all other castes living in close proximity to one another.² In some parts of the Maratha country castes have been allotted distinct quarters of the village and called by the name of the caste : Brahmin-ali, or wada, Prabhu-ali, Sonor-ali, etc. The depressed classes, like the Mang, Mahar, etc., are forced to live on the outskirts of the village.³

In Southern India certain parts of the town or village are inaccessible to certain castes. The recent agitation by the impure castes to gain free access to certain streets in Vaikam in Travancore brings into clear relief some of the disabilities of these castes. It is recorded that, under the rule of the Marathas and the Peshwas, the Mahars and Mangs were not allowed within the gates of Poona between 3 p.m. and 9 a.m. because before nine and after three their bodies cast too long a shadow, which, falling on a member of the higher castes—especially Brahmin—denies him.⁴ However, in the Dravidian south, the very land of the supreme dominance of the Brahmin, the Brahmin was restricted in his rights of access to any part of the village. It is well known that in a village which is a gift to the Brahmins, a Paraiyan is not allowed to enter the Brahmin quarter ; but it is not known to many students that the Paraiyans will not permit a Brahmin to pass through their street; so much so that if one happens to enter their quarters they would greet him with cow-dung water. " Brahmins in Mysore consider that great luck will await them if they can manage to pass through the Holeya (untouchables) quarter of a village unmolested."⁵ All over India the impure castes are debarred from drawing water from the village well, which is used by the members of other castes. In the Maratha country a Mahar—one of the untouchables—might not spit on the road lest a pure-caste Hindu should be polluted by touching it with his foot, but had to carry an earthen pot, hung from his neck, in which to spit. Further he had to drag a thorny branch with him to wipe out his footprints and to lie at a distance prostrate on the

¹ Thurston, vi, p. 16. ² Bellary Dist. Gaz., p. 58. ³ Mann, p. 108.
⁴ Russell, iv, p. 189. ⁵ Thurston, vi, p. 88

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 13

except the Brahmins, of S. India were expressly forbidden to cover the upper part of their body above the waist.¹ In the case of women also, until 1865 they were obliged by law to go with the upper part of their bodies quite bare, if they belonged to the Tiyan or other lower castes.² Under the Peshwas a greater distinction was made in the punishment on account of the caste of the criminal than of the nature of the crime itself. Hard labour and death were punishments mostly visited on the criminals of the lower castes.³

In Madras there has been for ages a faction among the non-Brahmin castes dividing most of them into two groups, the right-hand castes and the left-hand castes. The "right-hand" castes claim certain privileges which they strongly refuse to those of the "left-hand", viz. riding on horse-back in processions, carrying standards with certain devices, and supporting their marriage booths on twelve pillars. They insist that the "left-hand" castes must not raise more than eleven pillars to the booth nor employ the devices on standards peculiar to the "right-hand" castes.⁴

Brahmanic ceremonies are to be performed with the help of a ritual, and two types of rituals have been evolved: the Vedic and the Puranic. The Vedic ritual is based on the Vedic mantras and is regarded as of great sanctity, while the Puranic is based on formulae of less sanctity, and not on revealed knowledge. How great this feeling of sanctity about Vedic lore was can be gauged from the fact that in 1843 a Brahmin professor advised the Bombay Board of Education not to publish a certain book because it contained quotations from Panini's grammar which, if printed, would be desecrated.⁶ The Sudras are asked to content themselves with the latter ritual, while for the impure castes, a Brahmin, unless he is a pseudo-Brahmin or an apostate, would not minister at all. It is only from the hands of the clean Sudras, again, that a Brahmin will accept any gifts which are meant to store up merit for the donor. Such an advanced caste as the Prabhus in the Maratha country had to establish its right of carrying on the sacred rites according

¹ Wilson, ii, p. 79. ² *Madras Census*, 1891, p. 224.

³ G. W. Forrest, *Official Writings of Mountstuart Elphinstone*, 1884, pp. 310-11.

⁴ *Madras Census*, 1871, p. 129.

⁵ D. V. Potdar, *Marathi Gadyacha Ingraft Avatar*, Appendix, pp. 42-3.

to the Vedic formulae which was being questioned during the period of the later Peshwas.¹ Certain sacraments cannot be performed by any other caste than the Brahmins. The most sacred literature cannot be studied by the Sudras. No caste can employ any other priests than the Brahmins, with very few exceptions in Southern India. The artisans of Madras seem to employ their own priests ; and the goldsmith caste of the Maratha region established their right of employing their caste-fellows as priests during the last part of the Peshwa-rule.² The innermost recesses of temples can only be approached by the Brahmins, clean Sudras and other high castes having to keep outside the sacred precincts. The impure castes, and particularly the untouchables, cannot enter even the outer portions of a temple but must keep to the courtyards. In South Malabar, the high castes do not allow the Tiyans to cremate their dead.³

A Brahmin never bows to anyone who is not a Brahmin, but requires others to salute him ; and when he is saluted by a member of a non-Brahmin caste he only pronounces a benediction. Some of the lower castes carry their reverence for the Brahmins, especially in Northern India, to such extremes that they will not cross the shadow of a Brahmin, and sometimes will not take their food without sipping water in which the big toe of a Brahmin is dipped. The Brahmin, on the other hand, is so conscious of his superiority that he does not condescend to bow even to the idols of gods in a Sudra's house.⁴ The Brahmin has been regarded as the most important subject, needing protection from the king, so much so that the king is styled the protector of the Brahmins and the cows, other subjects being regarded as too insignificant to be mentioned.

In the Maratha country, at the beginning of the seventeenth century, the great preacher Ramadas tried to inculcate in the minds of the people the idea of unity based on the bond of common locality. During the latest period of the Peshwa-rule (latter half of the eighteenth century), however, this ideal dwindled into the orthodox one wherein Brahmins figure prominently, the State having no higher function than that of pampering them.⁵ Under the Hindu rulers the

¹ Ranade, p. 478. ² Ibid., p. 478.

⁴ Bhattacharya, pp. 19-20.

³ *Madras Census*, 1891, p. 299.
Ranade, p. 456.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 15

Brahmins must have secured to themselves many pecuniary privileges, denied to others, on the strength of this orthodox theory of the proper function of the State, and perhaps more because they happened to occupy the posts of importance. Thus in the Maratha region during the period referred to above, the Konkanasth Brahmin clerks obtained the privilege of their goods being exempted from certain duties and their imported corn being carried to them without any ferry-charges. Brahmin landholders of a part of the country had their lands assessed at distinctly lower rates than those levied from other classes. Brahmins were exempted from capital punishment, and when confined in forts, they were more liberally treated than the other classes.¹ Forbes makes the following observation: "The Brahmins of Travancore, as in most other parts of India, have taken care to be exempted as much as possible from punishment; at least, their sentence is far more lenient than that passed on the other castes for the same crimes."² In Bengal the amount of rent for land frequently varied with the caste of the occupant.³

(5) *Lack of choice of occupation.*—Generally a caste or a group of allied castes considered some of the callings as its hereditary occupation, to abandon which in pursuit of another, though it might be more lucrative, was thought not to be right. Thus a Brahmin thought that it was correct for him to be a priest, while the Chambhar regarded it as his duty to cure hides and prepare shoes. This was only generally true, for there were groups of occupations like trading, agriculture, labouring in the field, and doing military service which were looked upon as anybody's, and most castes were supposed to be eligible for any of them.⁴ Among the artisans, occupations which were more or less of the same status were open to the members of these castes without incidental degradation. No caste would allow its members to take to any calling which was either degrading, like toddy-tapping and brewing, or impure, like scavenging or curing hides.

¹ Ibid., p. 455.

² James Forbes, *Oriental Memoirs*, vol. i, 1834, p. 256.

³ Holt Mackenzie in *Minutes of Evidence taken before the Select Committee on the affairs of the East India Company*, vol. lii, 1832, p. 216.

⁴ Rickards, i, p. 29.

to the Vedic formulae which was being questioned during the period of the later Peshwas.¹ Certain sacraments cannot be performed by any other caste than the Brahmins. The most sacred literature cannot be studied by the Siidras. No caste can employ any other priests than the Brahmins, with very few exceptions in Southern India. The artisans of Madras seem to employ their own priests; and the goldsmith caste of the Maratha region established their right of employing their caste-fellows as priests during the last part of the Peshwa-rule.² The innermost recesses of temples can only be approached by the Brahmins, clean Sudras and other high castes having to keep outside the sacred precincts. The impure castes, and particularly the untouchables, cannot enter even the outer portions of a temple but must keep to the courtyards. In South Malabar, the high castes do not allow the Tiyans to cremate their dead.³

A Brahmin never bows to anyone who is not a Brahmin, but requires others to salute him; and when he is saluted by a member of a non-Brahmin caste he only pronounces a benediction. Some of the lower castes carry their reverence for the Brahmins, especially in Northern India, to such extremes that they will not cross the shadow of a Brahmin, and sometimes will not take their food without sipping water in which the big toe of a Brahmin is dipped. The Brahmin, on the other hand, is so conscious of his superiority that he does not condescend to bow even to the idols of gods in a Sudra's house.⁴ The Brahmin has been regarded as the most important subject, needing protection from the king, so much so that the king is styled the protector of the Brahmins and the cows, other subjects being regarded as too insignificant to be mentioned.

In the Maratha country, at the beginning of the seventeenth century, the great preacher Ramadas tried to inculcate in the minds of the people the idea of unity based on the bond of common locality. During the latest period of the Peshwa-rule (latter half of the eighteenth century), however, this ideal dwindled into the orthodox one wherein Brahmins figure prominently, the State having no higher function than that of pampering them.⁵ Under the Hindu rulers the

¹ Ranade, p. 478.

² *Ibid.*, p. 478.

⁴ Bhattacharya, pp. 19-20.

³ *Madras Census*, 1891, p. 299.
Ranade, p. 456.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 15

Brahmins must have secured to themselves many pecuniary privileges, denied to others, on the strength of this orthodox theory of the proper function of the State, and perhaps more because they happened to occupy the posts of importance. Thus in the Maratha region during the period referred to above, the Konkanasth Brahmin clerks obtained the privilege of their goods being exempted from certain duties and their imported corn being carried to them without any ferry-charges. Brahmin landholders of a part of the country had their lands assessed at distinctly lower rates than those levied from other classes. Brahmins were exempted from capital punishment, and when confined in forts, they were more liberally treated than the other classes.¹ Forbes makes the following observation: "The Brahmins of Travancore, as in most other parts of India, have taken care to be exempted as much as possible from punishment; at least, their sentence is far more lenient than that passed on the other castes for the same crimes."² In Bengal the amount of rent for land frequently varied with the caste of the occupant.³

(5) *Lack of choice of occupation*,—Generally a caste or a group of allied castes considered some of the callings as its hereditary occupation, to abandon which in pursuit of another, though it might be more lucrative, was thought not to be right. Thus a Brahmin thought that it was correct for him to be a priest, while the Chambhar regarded it as his duty to cure hides and prepare shoes. This was only generally true, for there were groups of occupations like trading, agriculture, labouring in the field, and doing military service which were looked upon as anybody's, and most castes were supposed to be eligible for any of them.⁴ Among the artisans, occupations which were more or less of the same status were open to the members of these castes without incidental degradation. No caste would allow its members to take to any calling which was either degrading, like toddy-tapping and brewing, or impure, like scavenging or curing hides.

¹ Ibid., p. 455.

² James Forbes, *Oriental Memoirs*, vol. i, 1834, p. 256.

³ Holt Mackenzie in *Minutes of Evidence taken before the Select Committee on the affairs of the East India Company*, vol. hi, 1832, p. 210.

⁴ Rickards, i, p. 29.

It was not only the moral restraint and the social check of one's caste-fellows that acted as a restraint on the choice of one's occupation, but also the restrictions put by other castes, which did not allow members other than those of their own castes to follow their callings. Of such restrictive regulations there were in operation only those concerning the profession of priests, no one not born a Brahmin being allowed to be a priest. The effect of these rules was that the priestly profession was entirely monopolized by the Brahmins, leaving aside the ministrants of the aboriginal deities, while they were seen plying any trade or calling which suited their tastes and which was not polluting. The majority of the Konkanasth and Deshasth Brahmins of the Maratha country were devoted to secular pursuits, filling offices of every kind, including the village accountantship.¹ During the Maratha upheaval and after, the Brahmins entered the profession of arms in fairly large numbers. Before the Indian Mutiny the Kanaujia Brahmins used to enter the Bengal army as sepoy in large numbers.² Some of the Rarhi Brahmins of Bengal accepted service under Mohammedan rulers.³ Some of the Brahmins of Rajputana serve their Marwadi masters.⁴ The majority of the Brahmins in the lower Carnatic, according to Buchanan, almost entirely filled the different offices in the collection of revenue and even acted as messengers.⁵ Of the Hindustani Brahmins of Central India it is said that a considerable population of them are concerned in trade.⁶ The Havig Brahmins of the Tulu country performed all kinds of agricultural labour excepting holding the plough.⁷ About the Kanaujia Brahmins of the United Provinces it is asserted that they even till the soil with their own hands, while shop-keeping and hawking form the main source of livelihood for the Sanadhya Brahmins of that region.⁸ In Rajputana the Brahmin is not only willing to do all the labour that his piece of land requires, but is also ready to sell his labour to other more fortunate occupants.⁹ Brahmins in Madras appear as civil, public, and military servants, traders, cultivators,

¹ Campbell, *Ethnology of India*, p. 73.

² Wilson, II, 151; also compare Martin, vol. i, p. 111.

³ Bhattacharya, p. 89. ⁴ Wilson, n, p. 115. ⁵ Wilson, li, p. 59.

⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 188; also compare Malcolm, ii, pp. 122-3.

⁷ Wilson, ii, p. 67. ⁸ Bhattacharya, pp. 50-1. ⁹ Baines, p. 28.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 17

industrialists, and even labourers.¹ It seems that in the days of Akbar, too, the Brahmins were engaged in trade, cultivation, or any advantageous pursuit in general.²

More castes than one are engaged in agriculture, as for example, the Vellalas, the Reddys, and the Naickers in Southern India.³ As regards the five artisan castes, grouped together as Panchakalsi, it is observed that it is not impossible for individuals to pass from one occupation to another without any alteration of social status or loss of right of intermarriage.⁴ Weaving is practised by many of the menial castes including even the impure castes of Mahars and Chamars. If one looks at the Census Reports, especially those for 1901, one finds groups, which are regarded as separate castes, following more callings than one. The following remark of Russell is very instructive from this point of view. He observes : " Several castes have the same traditional occupation ; about forty of the castes of the Central Provinces are classified as agriculturists, eleven as weavers, seven as fishermen, and so on."⁶ In 1798 Colebrooke wrote : " Daily observation shows even Brahmins exercising the menial profession of a Sudra. We are aware that every caste forms itself into clubs or lodges, consisting of the several individuals of that caste residing within a small distance, and that these clubs or lodges govern themselves by particular rules or customs or by-laws. But though some restrictions and limitations, not founded on religious prejudices, are found among their by-laws, it may be received as a general maxim that the occupation appointed for each tribe is entitled to a preference. Every profession, with few exceptions, is open to every description of persons."⁶ When Irving says,⁷ " If we except the priesthood, caste has not necessarily any effect on the line of life in which a man embarks," he certainly overstates the position, and the following observation of Baines strikes the true note. " The occupation, again, which is common to the latter (the caste), is a traditional one, and is not by any means necessarily

¹ *Madras Census*, 1871, p. 133.

² Bose, li, p. 27, quotation from *Ain-i-Akbari*.

³ Pandian, p. 81 ; for Central India, see Malcolm, ii, p. 169.

⁴ Barnes, p. 59. Russell, i, p. 9.

⁶ Quoted in the *Encyclopedia Britannica* (11th Edition), vol. v, p. 465 (a).
⁷ p. 19.

that by which all, or even most, of the group make their living in the present day." ¹

(6) *Restrictions on Marriage*.—Most of the groups, whose features we have attempted to characterize above, are further divided into a number of sub-groups, every one of which forbids its members to marry persons from outside it. Each of these groups, popularly known as sub-castes, is thus endogamous. This principle of strict endogamy is such a dominant aspect of caste-society that an eminent sociologist is led to regard endogamy as "the essence of the caste system".² There are, however, a few exceptions to this general rule of marrying within one's own group which are due to the practice of hypergamy. In some parts of the Punjab, especially in the hills, a man of a higher caste can take to wife a girl from one of the lower castes, while, in Malabar, the younger sons of the Nambudiri and other Brahmins consort with the Kshatriya and Nayar women, among whom mother-right prevails. Excepting for these cases of inter-caste hypergamy each group has to contract matrimonial alliances within its own limits. Outside of this practice the only other authentic case where inter-caste marriage is allowed is that of some of the artisan castes of Malabar.³ Any man venturing to transgress this law will be put out of his own sub-caste and it is doubtful if he would be admitted into the folds of any other respectable caste. To illustrate from the Maratha region, a Konkanasth Brahmin must marry a girl born in a Konkanastha Brahmin family, while a Karhada Brahmin must similarly seek his partner from amongst the Karhada Brahmins and so on, the principle being that marriage must be arranged within the group which is most effectively considered to be one's own. If this rule is violated expulsion from the membership of the group is generally the penalty which the offending parties have to suffer. In Gujarat the unit within which all matrimonial alliances must be contracted is very often still smaller than the so-called sub-caste of the Marathi region. Among the Banias, the trading caste, for example, there are not only the divisions of Shrimali, Porwal, Modh, etc., but there are further sub-divisions like Dasa Porwal and Visa Porwal.⁴ This is not all.

¹ *Ethnography*, p. 11. ² Westermarek, ii, p. 59. ³ Baines, p. 59.

* Compare Malcolm, ii, p. 162.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 19

The Dasas are still further required to contract their marriages either from amongst the Dasas of Surat or of Bombay according as they belong to Surat or Bombay. When the groups are so much sub-divided the penalty for transgressing the rule of endogamy in reference to the smallest unit is not expulsion of the offending parties but the gratification by them of the offended groups.

To regard endogamy as the chief characteristic of a caste is to treat all so-called sub-castes as the real castes. Gait^x advances two reasons against this procedure of raising sub-castes to the position of castes, viz. it would be "contrary to the native feeling on the subject", and would be "highly inconvenient in practice, as it would create a bewildering multiplicity of castes". As for the second objection, we may safely pass it over, as it concerns only an administrative difficulty. As regards the Indian sentiment against making a sub-caste into a caste, it must be pointed out that, at best, this is the representation of only one side of the problem; for if, to confine ourselves to the Maratha country, a Saraswat Brahmin is known to the outsiders as a Saraswat, to a Saraswat he is better known either as a Shenvi or as a Sashtikar or Pednekar. Stated generally, though it is the caste that is recognized by the society at large it is the sub-caste that is regarded by the particular caste and the individual. It is mainly indifference towards others, so characteristic of the Indian system, that is responsible for this attitude. For a Brahmin most others are Siidras, irrespective of high or low status; and for two or three higher castes that are allied to the Brahmins in culture, the rest of the population, excepting the impure castes and some other specific groups, is Kulwadi or Sudra—a generic term for manual workers. The higher castes are grouped together as either Ashrafin in Bihar,² Bhadrakok in Bengal, or Pandhar-peshe in Maharashtra. Further, if we are to take some kind of Indian sentiment as our guide in our analysis, then, as according to the orthodox theory on this matter there are only two or at the most three castes, in the present age, we shall have to divide the whole population of any major linguistic province into two castes, Brahmin and

¹ *Ency. of R. db E.*, vol. iii, p. 234.

² Martin, i, p. 110.

20 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

Sudra, or at the most three, where the existence of the Kshatriya is grudgingly granted. Evidently no scientific student of caste, not even Gait himself, has proposed to follow Indian opinion on this matter. There is ample reason why, to get a sociologically correct idea of the institution, we should recognize sub-castes as real castes.

Of the features of a caste society dealt with so far three pertain to the caste as a whole ; for the status in the hierarchy of any sub-caste depends upon the status of the caste, from which follow the various civil and religious rights and disabilities, and the traditional occupation is determined by the nature of the caste. The other three features, which are very material in the consideration of a group from the point of view of an effective social life, viz. those that regulate communal life and prescribe rules as regards feeding, social intercourse and endogamy, belong to the sub-caste. In the matter of the Panchayat or the caste-council, which is the tribunal for enforcing the moral and economic rules of the group, the sub-caste generally possesses its own council. In the Punjab this is the case in all castes, except the artisans and menials. " Where the sub-divisions are not very clearly defined, or where the numerical strength of the whole caste is small, there is one governing body for the whole caste." ¹ The following description of the sub-caste in Bengal clearly brings out the function we have mentioned as peculiar to it. " Almost every caste is divided into a number of smaller groups which will only marry amongst themselves. Usually these groups will not eat together and often they will not even take water from each other or smoke from the same ' hukka' . . . These endogamous groups are generally known as sub-castes. Each sub-caste manages its own affairs quite independently of the others, and in the case of the lower castes each has its own separate Panchayat or standing committee, by which all social questions are decided." ² In the United Provinces it is the sub-caste that forms the unit of social organization, and as such has its own council to look after its affairs quite independently of the similar councils of the other sub-castes.³ Further, inter-dining and inter-drinking are restricted to the group which

¹ *Punjab Census*, 1011, p. 417. ² *Bengal Census*, 1001, p. 851.

³ *U.P. Census*, 1011, p. 883.

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 21

is endogamous.¹ About the Central Provinces Russell observes²: "The real unit of the system and the basis of the fabric of Indian society is this endogamous group or sub-caste." Though this group is usually little known outside the man's own caste, yet it is the members of the sub-caste that attend "the communal feasts held on the occasions of marriages, funerals and meetings of the caste [sub-caste] Panchayat". The remark of the Census Operator for Madras that it is the small endogamous sub-divisions "which are for all social purposes the real castes"³ is corroborated by F. J. Richards in the case of Salem District when he observes: "The Unit of Hindu society is the endogamous group or sub-caste."⁴ The description of the sub-caste of a Hindustani caste given by Sherring will illustrate this. He observes about the Barhai or carpenter caste of Hindustan that its seven sub-castes "are so distinct from one another that they hold no direct social intercourse with each other, either by marriages, or by eating or smoking together".⁵ Further, some of the sub-castes have such a distinctness about their cultural items, as for example among the Vellalas, that it is not possible to give a general account of the marriage and other customs applicable to all the sub-divisions. While some of them recognize freedom of divorce and re-marriage and even polyandry, others follow strictly the Brahmanic rules.⁶ The remark of Gait that "as a rule the prohibition of intermarriage between members of the different sub-castes is far less rigid than it is between members of different castes, and when the rule is broken, the penalty is usually not expulsion, but merely some form of atonement, after which the member of the higher of the two sub-castes concerned, and possibly his or her parents, take rank in the lower",⁷ may be urged as a potent reason why sub-castes should be treated as strictly subordinate to a caste. Here it

¹ Ibid., p. 353.

² Op. cit., vol. i, p. 10.

³ *Madras Census*, 1901, p. 128; also compare Kerr, p. 279: "You may sometimes hear a native say that he is a Brahmin. But not unfrequently when you ask him to name his caste, he mentions the minor sub-division, or perhaps the trade or profession, to which he belongs."

⁴ *Salem Dist. Gazetteer*, pp. 123-4.

⁵ Rev. M. A. Sherring, *Hindu Tribes and Castes*, vol. i, 1872, p. xxll.

⁶ *Madras Census*, 1891, p. 234.

⁷ Gait, op. cit., p. 282.

22 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

must be pointed out that in the United Provinces at least " the penalty for breaches of sub-caste endogamy appears to be as severe as the penalty for similar breaches of caste-endogamy ", and that, though the penalty for the transgression of rules about sub-caste commensality varies in different castes, it seems that generally caste and sub-caste commensality are much on a par in this respect.¹ Hence it is but proper to treat endogamy within each of the groups constituting caste-society as one of its principal features.

So far we have treated of the distinctions between groups in the caste-society, which were held together in a chain by the fact that they were arranged in a system, the apex of which was formed by the group designated Brahmin. Each of these groups, major as well as minor, generally known as castes and sub-castes, has a name. When any group of the same name happens to have a wide distribution, language delimits effective social intercourse, which obtains only amongst members of the same group speaking the same language. Whatever might have been the situation in the past, when the jurisdictional factor, as Jackson terms it,² was a force affecting such social intercourse, in the beginning of the nineteenth century linguistic boundaries fixed the caste-limits. In any linguistic area there were from fifty to two hundred of these major groups divided into five hundred to two thousand minor groups. An individual's circle of community-feeling was any of these minor groups, in which he or she was born ; but as far as civic life was concerned it was the major group that decided the status of an individual.

Of the major groups about half a dozen in each linguistic region were formed by primitive tribes, which were slowly absorbing whatever ideas they could from the Brahmanic civilization. They were hardly citizens. They lived not as members of towns or villages but of hamlets of their own, and were shunned by the Brahmanized peoples. Apart from their desire to imitate Brahmanic ideals as interpreted by other castes, their bond of social solidarity with other groups was the economic gain that resulted from an exchange of their economic activities with them. More or less similarly

¹ *U.P. Census, 1911, p. 354* : Gait's observation may apply only to Gujarat.
² *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1007.*

FEATURES OF THE CASTE SYSTEM 23

circumstanced were the nomadic castes, another half a dozen or a dozen. About five to fifteen groups, mostly artisans and special traders, were peculiar to the towns, where social coherence was the result of common government rather than that of the co-operation of the groups. The remaining castes were distributed among the villages, every village having about fifteen to thirty of them. And it was in the village that caste-society manifested its other aspect, viz. co-operation and inter-dependence. Village society was characterized by the possession of a number of permanent officials and menials, belonging to different castes, each having a definite status in the economic and civic life of the village. In India south of the Vindhya the system was very highly developed, and the number of such dignitaries varied from twelve to twenty-four belonging to as many different castes. These persons, irrespective of their caste-status, had not only a voice in civic affairs but were also sometimes consulted in purely social and legal matters affecting the private lives of the individuals of any caste, resident in the village. In Northern India the system of village servants, though not so highly evolved as in the south, yet served the purpose of harmonizing different groups, till the superimposition of a dominant caste had lessened the importance of the village dignitaries that were there. These latter, because of the particular form of land-tenure and revenue system and of their almost servile tenure, had no status in civic affairs, much less were they consulted in the private affairs of the members of the dominant caste.¹ In its pristine glory, however, a village or a town had a council of elders chosen from all castes and representing all the avocations in the locality.

The whole village had to deal with the government of the locality in revenue matters. This had engendered a splendid sense of solidarity among the members of the village community who were dearly attached to their lands. The stability, co-operative spirit, and sense of solidarity seen in the village communities evoked the following remarks from Sir Charles Metcalfe, who fervently pleaded against the introduction of the system of collecting revenue directly

¹ Baden-Powell, p. 26 ; Altekar, p. 122. The latter's view, in our opinion, requires a little modification as in the text.

24 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

from individual proprietors. He observes: "The village communities are little republics, having nearly everything that they want within themselves, and almost independent of any foreign relations. They seem to last within themselves where nothing else lasts. Dynasty after dynasty tumbles down; revolution succeeds revolution; Hindoo, Pathan, Mogul, Mahratta, Sik, English, are all masters in turn; but the village communities remain the same. In times of trouble they arm and fortify themselves: an hostile army passes through the country: the village communities collect their cattle within their walls, and let the enemy pass unprovoked . . . If a country remain for a series of years the scene of continued pillage and massacre, so that the villages cannot be inhabited, the scattered villagers nevertheless return whenever the power of peaceable possession revives. A generation may pass away, but the succeeding generation will return. The sons will take the places of their fathers; the same site for the village, the same position for the houses, the same lands will be reoccupied by the descendants of those who were driven out when the village was depopulated; and it is not a trifling matter that will drive them out, for they will often maintain their post through times of disturbance and convulsion, and acquire strength sufficient to resist pillage and oppression with success . . . all acting in union with a common interest as regards the Government, and adjusting their own separate interests among themselves according to established usage." ¹

The decisions of the village-councils that have come down to us from the Maratha country, bear the signatures of almost all the village-servants, including the untouchable Mahar and Mang.² An entry in the Private Diary of Anandaranga Pillay of the middle of the eighteenth century refers to a village-meeting called to consider a case of temple-desecration "in which people of all castes—from the Brahmin to the Pariah—took part".³ Dr. Matthai quotes the description of a meeting of a village Panchayat in which both the Brahmins and the Sudras took part. The Brahmin schoolmaster of the place was the accused, he having inflicted

¹ *Minutes of Evidence taken before the Select Committee on the affairs of the East India Company*, vol. in (Revenue), 1832, pp. 381-2 (Appendix 84).

² Altekar, p. 43.

³ *Ibid.*, pp. 35-6.

exceptionally severe punishment on one of the boys under his charge. The Brahmin members sat on a higher platform and the Sudras on a lower veranda; both the sections indulging freely in betel and tobacco-snuff.¹ In the diaries of the Peshwas of Poona and the Raja of Satara there are a few references to meetings of the whole village community, assembled to adjudicate quarrels between Brahmins or to offer authoritative advice in their domestic matters. A Brahmin Kulkarni had one son who had married two wives, one of whom had borne him only two daughters. On the sudden death of the son, his senior wife resolved to immolate herself. The whole village, including all the village dignitaries, was called in conference. In view of the old man's helpless condition the assembly proposed that the lady should adopt a son before burning herself. This decision was agreed to by the old man and his daughter-in-law. The whole assemblage further, in consultation with the persons concerned, selected the person to be adopted and made a request for him to his guardian.² A quarrel between Brahmin cousins in respect of some hereditary rights was referred for settlement to the whole village. The assembly that was to give the decision included Marathas, Dhangars, Gurav, Sutar, Lohar, potter, Koli, barber, Chambhar, Mahar, and Mang.³

Ideas of status were quietly accepted and did not prevent healthy co-operation and neighbourly feeling among the various caste-groups represented in the vigorous village communities of Southern India. In the case of Northern India we must remember that though, perhaps, village life did not lead to as much co-operation and fellow-feeling, the system of castes was marked by a more lenient view and practice about food and social intercourse. The various castes, in so far as they contributed their respective services towards the common life of the village, were welded together and interdependent for the purposes of civic life. Interdependence of castes was such a deeply-rooted principle that it prevented other exclusive aspects, inherent in the system, from getting the better of the idea of a common civic goal and human sympathy for co-residents and hardening into caste-spirit or caste-patriotism. If interdependence

¹ Matthai, p. 20.

² Vad, *Madhavrao* i, vol. li, pp. 389, 841.

³ Vad, *Shahu Chhatrapati*, pp. 174, 176.

in civic and economic life of the village counteracted the centripetal forces of social restrictions of caste, certain special functions and occasions reminded some castes, ordinarily considered to be low, of their importance and even afforded them opportunities of enjoying temporary superiority.

In parts of the United Provinces the barber often acts as a match-maker and is present at weddings. The Dom at the burning "ghat" in Benares is an important personage. "Some years ago the head of the caste used to be conveyed to the funeral of a wealthy client in his own palanquin." The first five logs of wood for arranging the pyre must be given by a Dom, who has also to lay the foundation of the pyre and to hand a wisp of burning straw to the chief mourner for lighting the wood.¹ In the Central Provinces, as a part of the marriage ceremony, the bridegroom's party takes the bride to the house of the Kumbhar (potter) for making the marriage propitious. The wife of the potter presents her with seven new pots which are to be used at the wedding. In return for this veiled blessing the woman gets a present of clothes.² Both the barber and washerman are prominent in a Kunbi wedding. At a particular stage in the ceremony the barber and washerman take the bride and bridegroom on their shoulders and dance in the marriage-booth, for which services they receive presents.³ In Berar "at the Holi festival the fire of the Mahars is kindled first and that of the Kunbis is set alight from it". Some Telis (oil-pressers), Lohars (blacksmiths), Kunbis and other castes employ a Mahar (one of the untouchables) to fix the date of their weddings. The Mahar also officiates at the slaughter of a buffalo at the Dasahra festival.⁴ The barber acts as the Brahmin's assistant at marriage, and to the lower castes he is even the matrimonial priest.⁵ The officiating priest at the famous temple of Jagannath is a barber, food cooked for the deity by him being acceptable to all but the most orthodox amongst Brahmins. For some of the Vellalas (Tamil cultivators) he even acts as the marriage-priest.⁶

Some of the most celebrated and exclusive temples are

¹ Crooke (2), pp. 102-29.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 20.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 265.

² Russell, iv, pp. 6, 10.

⁴ *Ibid.*, pp. 18, 131.

⁶ Thurston, i, pp. 32-4.

thrown open to the Paraiyan (the Tamil unapproachable) on certain days of the year, and for the time he lords it over the Brahmin." At some festivals at the temples of Siva or the local goddess he sits by the side of the idol in the procession or ties the badge of marriage round its neck. "When there is a dispute about a boundary, it is a Paraiyan, or in other parts of India, a member of the corresponding caste, who has to walk the line with a pot of water, his own son, or a clod of his native earth, on his head." ¹ These and other occasions, on which some of the groups, which were considered to be low castes, could feel their importance, relieved the monotonous depression of these groups, and gave zest to their life even in their degraded condition.

To sum up, in each linguistic area there were about two hundred groups called castes with distinct names, usually birth in one of which determined the status in society of a given individual, which were divided into about two thousand smaller units—generally known as sub-castes—fixing the limits of marriage and effective social life and making for specific cultural tradition. These major groups were held together by the possession, with few exceptions, of a common priesthood. Over a large part of the country they were welded together for civil life in the economy and civics of village communities. Common service to the civic life, prescriptive rights of monopolist service, and specific occasions for enjoying superiority for some of the castes, considered very low, made the village community more or less a harmonious civic unit. Complete acceptance of the system in its broad outlines by the groups making up that system and their social and economic interdependence in the village not only prevented the exclusivist organization of the groups from splitting up the system into independent units, but created a harmony in civic life. Of course, this harmony was not the harmony of parts that are equally valued, but of units which are rigorously subordinated to one another.

¹ Baines, pp. 75-6.

Note.—In this chapter we have quoted many authorities that are chronologically later, by half a century or more, than the period we have here in view. But other and older authorities are almost everywhere indicated. The reason is that the later authorities give more details and are easily accessible to most people.

CHAPTER II

NATURE OF CASTE-GROUPS

WE have seen that in the Hindu caste-society there are a number of groups with distinct names. The nature of these names is likely to furnish us with a clue to some understanding of the process by which distinction between groups came to be formulated. Of the major groups called castes many bear names derived from the principal professions they followed or the crafts they practised and, in the majority of cases, are still engaged in. Brahmin means one who recites the prayer, the ritual formulae or incantations, and designates a group that was once wholly composed of individuals so engaged, though now it is the appellation of a group whose members are engaged not only in the priestly function, but also in the allied functions of clerks and writers, and even in pursuits absolutely foreign to the original ideals of a Brahmin.

* Of the groups carrying on trade and commerce a large number bear the name of Vani or Baniya, which is derived from a Sanskrit word meaning a trader. The Tamil Chetti seems also to refer to the avocation of the group in that linguistic area. Jat of the Punjab means cultivator, so also do Vellala of the Tamil and Vakkaliga of the Canarese country. Kurmi, Kunbi, and Kanbi perhaps signify the occupation of the group, viz. that of cultivation, though it is not improbable that the name may be of tribal origin. Kisan, the name of a cultivating caste of northern India, must be derived from the Sanskrit word for cultivation. Sometimes the connection of agriculture is brought out indirectly as in the name of the Lodha of the Central Provinces. The name is believed to mean "clod-hopper" from "loh", a clod.¹ The cattle-breeding group takes the significant name of Gauhi, derived from a Sanskrit word for cow. Soni or Sonar, the name of the goldsmith caste, refers to the material in which the group specializes. Barhai,

¹ Russell, iv, p. 113.

Tarkhan, Tachchan and Sutar, the designations of the carpenter-caste in the different linguistic regions, point to the material worked on, the mode of working or the special implement of the craft. The names of the metal-working castes—Lohar, Tambat, Kasar, and Thathera—come from the metal handled by these groups, viz. iron, copper, bell-metal, and brass. Bunkar, Joria, Tanti, Koshti, Patva, Pattanulkaran, and Sale are the designations of the various weaving castes. The first two are derived from a root meaning, to put the threads together,¹ and the others from Sanskrit words for silk-cloth.² Kumhar or Kumbhar, the name of the potter-caste, means one who makes pots. Tili or Teli, the oil-presser, means either one who presses oil or one who handles sesamum. The names of the barber-caste are either derived from the Sanskrit word for barber or signify those who cut the hair. Lunia and Agri mean salt-workers. Bari, the leaf-plate-making caste, derives its name from "bar", a "plantation", and Tamboli, dealers in betel-leaf, from the Sanskrit word for betel-leaf. Dharkar means rope-maker and Bansphor, the basket-maker, means the bamboo-splitter. Chamar or Chambhar, the name of the leather-working caste, is derived from a Sanskrit word meaning, worker in leather. Kahar, name of a North-Indian caste, formerly engaged chiefly as water-carriers but now as general and domestic servants, means a water-carrier. Pasi means a trapper or snarer, and is significantly the name of an aboriginal caste living by catching wild birds and small game. The names of the shepherd castes seem to be derived from words meaning sheep. Such is at least the case with Gadaria from "gadar", an old Hindi word for sheep.³

Many others of these major groups called castes bear merely tribal or ethnic names. Such are for example: Arora, Gujar, Lohana, Bhatia, Mina, Bhil, Dom, Oraon, Munda, Santal, Koch, Ahir, Mahar, Nayar, Maratha, Gond, Khond, etc.

Religious movements have not failed to give their names to groups, which are now castes. The Bishnois and Sadhs, the Jogis, the Gosains, and the Manbhaos are some of the

¹ Nesfield, p. 21. ² Russell, in, p. 581, and IV, p. 386.

³ Nesfield, p. 11.

examples of sectarian castes. The first four of these began as orders emphasizing certain aspects of Hindu tenets, while the last group was the result of a reformist movement in the Maratha region.

A few of the groups have names emphasizing a peculiarity which is somehow regarded as specific, or are of the nature of nicknames. Musahar, meaning mouse-eaters, is the name of a low aboriginal caste of the United Provinces. Bhangi, one who performs the useful function of removing night-soil, is a term probably applied to the caste simply as a token of contempt—those who are broken or outcast.¹ Bhulia, an Uriya caste of weavers, are so called merely because they are supposed to be a forgetful people—from "bhulna", "to forget".² Dangi, the name of a cultivating caste of the Central Provinces, means merely a hillman, perhaps referring to the former marauding activities of the now peaceful and once dominant people.³ The Panka of the Central Provinces are a caste of weavers and general labourers ; but their name is believed to be derived from a certain incident in their conversion to the creed of Kabir and means those who are "from water", "pani ka".⁴ Dubla, one of the aboriginal tribes of Gujarat, are so called because they are weaklings⁵: "dubla" means weak. Naikdas of Gujarat are perhaps so designated in contempt, the term meaning "little Naik". Ramoshi, a thieving caste of the Deccan, is supposed to be a short form of Rama-Vanshi, i.e. descendant of Rama, the epic hero.⁶ Kalian, the name of a Madras caste, means in Tamil a "thief". Tiyān, the toddy-tapping caste of Malabar, means a "southerner", as the Tiyāns are believed to have migrated from Ceylon. Pariah, the name of the great untouchable caste of Madras, is derived from a word for drum, which instrument is played by them.

A small number of these major groups are definitely known to be the outcome of miscegenation. Some of the members of the higher castes of Orissa and the Kayasth immigrants into Orissa keep maids of Bhandari and other clean castes and treat them as their concubines. The issue of such unions

¹ Enthoven, i, p. 105.

³ Russell, ii, pp. 457-8.

⁵ Enthoven, i, p. 841.

² Russell, ii, p. 320.

⁴ Russell, iv, p. 824.

Enthoven, iii, p. 297.

is known by the name of Shagirdapesha.¹ The Bhilalas are well known to be the offspring of Rajput males and Bhil women.² Vidur, a Maratha caste of the Central Provinces, is wholly formed by individuals of mixed descent.³

A close study of the names of the various minor units, the so-called sub-castes, within the major groups reveals the fact that the bases of distinction leading to the exclusive marking off of these groups were: First, territorial or jurisdictional separateness; second, mixed origin; third, occupational distinction; fourth, some peculiarity in the technique of one and the same occupation; fifth, sectarian difference; sixth, dissimilarity of customs; and last, adventitious circumstances, suggesting certain nicknames.

Sub-castes that bear the name of some ancient city or locality, are to be met with in the majority of the castes. The Ahirs of the Central Provinces have among their sub-castes, the following groups: Jijhotia, taking their name from Jajhoti, the classical designation of Bundelkhand; Narwaria from Narwar; Kosaria from Kosala, the ancient name of Chhattisgarh; and Kanaujia from Kanauj.⁴ The Barai have the following endogamous sub-divisions: Chaurasia, from the Chaurasi pargana of Mirzapur District; Panagaria from Panagar in Jubbulpore; Mahobia from Mahoba; Jaiswar, from the town of Jais in Rai Bareli District; Ganga-pari, coming from the other side of the Ganges.⁵ The Brahmins of Bengal have among their sub-castes the following names: Paschatya, from the western part of India; Radhiya, from Radh, the old name of Western Bengal; Barendra, from the northern part of Bengal.⁶ The names of the sub-castes of the Brahmin caste of the United Provinces are most of them territorial in origin: Kanaujia from Kanauj; Maithil from Mithila; Jijhotia from Jajhoti; Saraswat, named after the River Saraswati; and Gaur from an old name of a large part of Northern India. Most of the sub-castes of the Brahmins of the Maratha country bear names of localities: Konkanasth from the Konkan; Deshasth from the Desh, the Deccan plateau. The Saraswat Brahmins have more than six sub-castes, all territorial in origin. Of

¹ Risley, (2), p. 83.

³ Russell, iv, p. 596.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 193.

² Enthoven, i, p. 153.

⁴ Russell, ii, pp. 24-5.

Bhattacharya, p. 35.

32 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

the numerous sub-castes of the Gujarat Brahmins the majority bear territorial names, like Agarwal, from Agra, Deshaval, Harsola, Jharola, Modh, Nagar, Osval, Porval, Shrimali, Sorathia, all of them being derived from old names of localities once independent in their jurisdiction.¹ Many of the sub-divisions of the Smarta Brahmins of the Telugu country bear names derived from the old names of the various parts of that country : Velnad, Vengi Nadu, Kasal Nadu, Mulki Nadu, and Telaga Nadu. The sub-caste of the Kanara Kamma Vaidika is formed by Brahmins who originally came from the Kanarese country but are now naturalized in the Telugu country.² Following are some of the other castes among which the sub-castes bear territorial names: Vania, Mochi, Kansara, Sutar, Charan, Kumbhar, Dhed, Darji, Lohar, and Koli among Gujarat castes ; Kayasth, Bania, and Vaidya, among the North Indian castes; Chambhar, Gaoli, Koli, Kumbhar, Kunbi, Lohar, Mahar, Mali, Nhavi, Sutar, and Vani among the Maratha castes.

Many castes have divisions bearing names reminiscent of the ethnic origins of these sub-groups. Many tribes, either because some of their members followed a particular occupation or because some of them intermarried with the members of a particular caste-in-formation, have contributed their names to sub-castes. The professions and castes, which allowed or tolerated the infusion of tribal people, still distinguished the groups formed by such inclusion or mesalliance. This is how many of the occupational castes have come to possess sub-castes bearing tribal names. This process of infusion and left-handed marriage does not seem to have been restricted to tribes alone. In spite of the so-called rigidity of caste, it appears that many of the occupational and tribal castes, either permitted or connived at the intrusion of members of other castes. Only they tried to keep the progeny and the group formed by them distinct from their original group. Thus the sub-castes of some of the major castes have composite names derived from the names of other castes. The Barai, a caste of betel-vine growers in

¹ Enthoven, i, p. 221. Some of the Gujarat castes are further sub-divided according to the cities in which they reside, e.g., Shrimali Baniyas of Surat and those of Ahmedabad, etc.

² Bhattacharya, p. 99.

the Central Provinces, have a sub-division called the Kumbhardhang, who are supposed to be the descendants of a potter. The Basor, workers in bamboo, have the following sub-castes : Dumar or Dom-Basors, Basors who are derived from the Dom-tribe ; Dhubela, perhaps from the Dhobi caste; Dharkar, which is the name also of a large caste of rope-makers in Northern India. The Chambhar, leather workers of the Maratha country, have Ahir as one of their sub-castes. The Chamar of the Central Provinces has a sub-caste named Korchamars who " are said to be the descendants of alliances between Chamars and Koris or weavers ".¹ The Sali, a weaving caste of Maratha districts, has Ahir, Maratha, and Chambhar sub-castes, which announce their origins from the different ethnic and occupational groups. The Dhimar, a caste of fishermen and palanquin-bearers of the Central Provinces, retain in the name of one of their sub-castes its traditional origin from the Gonds. Among the Dheds, as among many castes of Gujarat, is a sub-caste named Gujar, derived from a mediaeval tribe of that name. Among the Gaulis, cowherds of the Maratha country, are to be found sub-castes bearing the name of Ahir, Kunbi, Kuruba, and Maratha. Gondhali, a composite caste of religious minstrels, has sub-castes bearing the names of other well-known castes of the Maratha country, like the Brahman, Dhangar, and Kumbhar. The Kolis of the Deccan have Agri, Ahir, and Bhil among their sub-castes. Nesfield has found among some castes of the United Provinces sub-castes styled after the Kol tribe, which seem to have taken to various occupations during the formative period of the caste-system.² Among the Kunbis of Nagpur is a sub-caste bearing the name Manwa derived from the Manas, who were once a dominant people in Chanda district. The Khandesh Kunbis have, as one of their sub-castes, Kumbhar, which is the name of the potter-caste. " Bodies of the Kori and Katia weaving castes of Northern India have been amalgamated with the Mahars in Districts where they have come together along the Satpura Hills and Nerbudda Valley," the latter caste still having Katia as one of its sub-castes.³ Kunbi is one of the sub-castes of the Nhavis,

¹ Nesfield, p. 106.

² Nesfield, p. 100.

³ Russell, IV, p. 133.

the Maratha caste of barbers. The Pardhans of the Central Provinces have a sub-caste called Ganda, supposed to be the offspring of intermarriages between the two castes.¹ Among the Shimpis or Maratha tailors, one finds Ahir and Maratha as two of their sub-castes, derived from well-known castes. "The Teli-Kalars appear to be a mixed group of Kalars who have taken to the oilman's profession, and the Teli-Banias are Telis who have become shopkeepers."²

The nature of many of the occupations is such that though from a broad point of view each one may be regarded as distinct, yet on closer scrutiny it presents differences which are sufficient to distinguish one aspect of it from another. Thus though leather-working may be regarded as one occupation by the society, the followers of that occupation may distinguish different branches of leather-working as shoe-making, sandal-making, or oilcan-making. Such detailed distinctions within an occupation have been thought to be adequate to designate the group of members following the particular branch of the occupation by the name of that branch. Sub-castes within many of the occupational castes bear names derived from the special branches of the occupations. Among the Chamars of the Central Provinces there are many sub-castes whose names are derived from the particular articles of leather that their members are engaged in making. The members of the sub-caste Budalgir prepare "budlas" or oilcans of leather. Jingars are saddle-makers. The Katwa sub-caste specializes in leather-cutting. The Dhimar caste of the Central Provinces has Bansia and Bandhaiya as two of their sub-castes. The former term is derived from "bansi", a bamboo fishing-rod, and the latter means those who make ropes and sacking of hemp and fibre. The Kunbis have a sub-caste called Tilole presumably because once they cultivated the sesamum (til) plant. Among the Lonaris of Belgaum there are two sub-divisions styled after their particular article which they prepare as Mith (salt) and Chune (lime). Phul Mali, Kacha Mali, Jire Mali, and Ilalde Mali, together forming the bulk of the Mali-caste, are sub-castes which take their names from occupational specialization. Thus the Phul Mali is a florist, the Kacha

¹ Russell, iv, p. 354.

² Ibid., p. 546.

Mali prepare the cotton braid, the Jire grow cumin seed (jire), and the Halde grow "halad" or turmeric. The Koshtis of the Central Provinces have Patwi and Sutsale as two of their sub-castes. Patwis make the braid of silk-thread and sew silk-thread on ornaments. Sutsales weave mostly cotton-thread.

Many sub-castes, especially among the castes that are either of the nature of ethnic groups or carry on secondary undefined occupations, are named from the nature of their special economic activities. Singaria, Tankiwala, Dhuria, Sonjhara, and Kasdhonia are some of the sub-divisions of the Dhimar caste in the Central Provinces. Singarias cultivate "singara" or water-nut. Tankiwalas are sharpeners of grindstones. Dhurias sell parched rice. Sonjhara wash for gold, and Kasdhonias wash the sands of sacred rivers to pick coins dropped in them by devout pilgrims. The Garpagari Jogi derives the name of his sub-caste from his occupation of using magic to avert hailstorms. The Manihari Jogis are pedlars selling beads, and the Ritha Biknath are so-called because they sell "ritha" or soap-nut. Khaire, Dhanoje, and Lonhare are three of the sub-castes of the Kunbis of the Central Provinces. Khaire presumably used to make catechu from "khair"; Dhanoje are those who tend small stock or "dhan", and Lonhare formerly refined salt. The Lonaria sub-caste of the Mahars of the Central Provinces is engaged in salt-making. The Dhangars have Mendhes and Mhaskes as two of their sub-divisions, named because they keep sheep (mendhis) and buffaloes (mhashi) respectively.

The Mang-garudis, a sub-division of the Mang-caste, are so called because they are snake-charmers; the Tokar-phodes take their name from their occupation of splitting (phod) bamboo (tokar); the Nades are so called because they make "nadas" or ropes. The Mangmochis are leather-workers. Kakars make ropes of untanned hide.¹ Nhavis, the barbers of the Maratha country, have among their sub-castes Vajantri and Mashalji. The former are so called because they play music and the latter because they carry torches (mashal) before processions. Among Mahars of the Maratha country two of their sub-divisions are named Panya

¹ Enthoven, ii, p. 486.

and Bele, the former from their working with leaves for making umbrellas and the latter from their making mats from chips of bamboo. In the Central Provinces the Yerande Telis, who are a sub-caste of the Teli caste, take their name from the fact that formerly they pressed only "erandi" or castor oil seed. The Sao Telis have given up oil-pressing and are now cultivators.¹

Peculiarities connected with the apparatus or technique of an occupation have given their names to the sub-divisions of some of the occupational castes. The Kumbhar, potters of the Maratha country, distinguish those who make pots by hand without the wheel as Hatghades (hand-potters), those who use a big wheel as Thorchake ("trior," big, and "chak", wheel), and those who use a small wheel as Lahanchake ("lahan," small). In the Central Provinces the potter-caste has the first sub-caste, but not the last two. Instead they have Chakere and Kurere, the latter using a revolving stone slab instead of a wheel. In addition they have a sub-caste called Gorla because the members of their sub-division make white pots only and not black ones. In the Nagpur district the Telis have two principal sub-divisions. Ekbaile are those who yoke only one bullock to their press while Dobaile yoke two bullocks.

Differences of religious schools or sects have given names to sub-divisions among some castes. The old distinctions on the basis of the Veda, which was traditionally followed by the members of a group, have persisted among the Deshasth Brahmins of the Maratha country leading to endogamous restrictions. Among them the Rigvedis and Yajurvedis—the followers of the Rig-veda and of the Yajurveda—are so far distinct as to be sub-castes. Later creeds and reformatory movements have also left their marks on caste organization. Among the Deshasth Brahmins there is also an endogamous group, whose members are supposed to be the descendants of Eknath, a reforming saint of Maharashtra.² The South Indian Brahmins carry their religious differences to such an extent that generally the

¹ Russell, iv, p. 546.

² Enthoven, iii, 327.

N.B.—It is a curious fact that among the Gujarat Baniyas their religious differences have not led to rigorous interdicting of intermarriage. There is no serious objection to marriages between Jam and Vaishnav Baniyas. Compare Malcolm, li, p. 162.

Smartas and Vaishnavas are regarded as sub-castes. The Chamars of the Central Provinces have amongst them a large sub-caste named Satnami because the members are followers of the Satnami sect. The Padam Salis have Hindu and Lingayat divisions which are endogamous. So also have the Gavlis, the Kumbhars and Malis, Lingayat divisions among them. The Pankas, a Dravidian caste of weavers, have Kabirha and Saktaha as their principal sub-castes. The Kabirhas are the followers of the sect of Kabir and the Saktahas profess to belong to the Sakta creed.¹

Differences in customs and diet have been regarded as so important that some of the castes recognize groups with such differences as sub-castes, though the names of these do not necessarily take after the differences. The Berads of Bombay have two sub-castes, the difference between them being that the members of one eat the flesh of buffaloes, bullocks or pigs, and allow their women to follow prostitution, while the members of the other do not tolerate these practices. These latter are termed Bile, i.e. white or pure, and the former Kare or black. Dhor, one of the five sub-divisions of the Katkaris, is so called because the members eat beef. Moger, a Canarese fishing-caste, has three sub-divisions named according to their rules of inheritance: Aliyasantanas, Makalasantanas, and Randesantanas, i.e. those who inherit through females, those who inherit through males, and those who are the progeny of re-married widows.² The Kunbis of the Central Provinces have a sub-caste called Gadhaio because they formerly kept donkeys (gadhav).

Many castes have sub-castes, whose names are derived from some real peculiarity now forgotten, or some adventitious circumstance to which importance is attached, and which is used to distinguish the members of the group, or, in the case of miscegenation, from the fact of mere illegitimacy of unions, the exact lineage not being attended to. The Basors of the Central Provinces have a section called Purania or Juthia because they are supposed to be the illegitimate progeny of Ahir wet-nurses (dai), employed in Rajput households. The Chungia Chamars are a branch of the

¹ Russell, iv, p. 325.

² Enthoven, iii, p. 60.

Satnami Chamars and are so called because, contrary to the rules of the sect, they smoke, evidently by means of a leaf-pipe (chungia). The Daijanya sub-caste of the Chamars is so called because their women act as midwives, though this business is practised by women of other sub-castes as well. The Dhimars have a sub-caste which is merely named Nadha or those who live on the banks of streams. Their Suvarha and Gadhwale sub-castes derive their names from their special association with two unclean animals, pig and ass. The Mahars disclose a sense of humour by designating the group of descendants of illicit unions by the term Dharmik (pious). The Pardhans, on the contrary, apply a prosaic term to such a group among them. It is known as " Thothia " or " maimed ". Though " langoti " or a narrow piece of cloth is the loincloth of many poor castes, the Pardhis have hit upon its use as the distinctive mark of their members and call one of their sub-castes Langoti. The Kumbhars have Gadhere, Bardia, and Sungana as three of their sub-castes, these groups deriving their names from the animal they use or keep : ass, bullock, and pig respectively. The Dhangers of Bombay call their bastard brethren " kadu ", while the Gujarat Kumbhars use the term Vatalia, i.e. polluted, to designate such members.

Note.—The above facts are mostly taken from the Central Provinces and Bombay Presidency, both of which regions are outside the centre of old Brahmanic culture. It would have been very instructive to know how matters stand in the Tamil region which is the farthest removed from the home of the original culture and which must have received its Sanskritic culture at the hands of small batches of immigrants. But unfortunately the ethnographic literature on Dravidian India does not enable us to have a glimpse of this aspect of caste. In the home of the Brahmanic culture, the United Provinces, the same principles can be discerned from the nomenclature of the castes and sub-castes. One additional and important feature of the caste origination of that province is that the names of Rajput clans and those of some of the eponymous personages figure very largely in the names of the sub-castes of many major groups. (See W. Crooke, *The Tribes and Castes of the North-western Provinces and Oudh*, vol. i, pp. clxiv-v.)

CHAPTER III

CASTE THROUGH THE AGES

WE have here sought to *give* a picture of Hindu caste-society as it was functioning before modern ideas affected its course. We have now to see how it came to be what it was. For the convenience of such historical treatment we propose to break up the history of India into four periods. First, the Vedic period ending about 600 B.C. and comprising the literary data of the Vedic Samhitas and the Brahmanas ; second, the post-Vedic period, extending to the beginning of the Christian era. In this period we have three types of literature which shed light on this subject. The sacred laws of the Aryas present the orthodox and the more or less idealistic standpoint while the epics testify to the contemporary practices. Buddhist literature on the other hand, gives a glimpse of the institution as it appeared to those who rebelled against it and in part provides us with a natural picture of some aspects of caste. The third period may be styled the period of the Dharma-sastras and ends with the seventh or eighth century A.D. Manu and Vishnu are the chief exponents of the social ideals of this age. The fourth period may, with propriety, be called the modern period, and it brings us down to about the middle of the nineteenth century. The customs and beliefs of contemporary Hindus are those that were mostly fixed and classified by the writers of this period. It was during this period that the present-day vernaculars of India were being evolved. A fresh religion and a somewhat different ethnic stock, accounting for many of our present-day political and social problems, were also introduced during this age. The idealistic point of view is provided by writers like Parasara, Hemadri, and Madhava, while the inscriptions and travellers' accounts reveal some of the realities of the times.

It must be mentioned at the outset that all the literary accounts of the important aspects of caste centre round the four orders in society, namely Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaisya, and

Sudra; and not the multifarious groups which are the present-day castes.

In the Rigveda, the earliest literature of the first period, three classes of society are very frequently mentioned, and named Brahma, Kshatra, and Vis. The first two represented broadly the two professions of the poet-priest and the warrior-chief. The third division was apparently a group comprising all the common people. It is only in one of the later hymns, the celebrated Purushasukta, that a reference has been made to four orders of society as emanating from the sacrifice of the Primeval Being. The names of those four orders are given there as Brahmana, Rajanya, Vaisya, and Sudra, and are said to have come from the mouth, the arms, the thighs, and the feet of the Creator! The particular limbs ascribed as the origins of these divisions and the order in which they are mentioned probably indicate their status in the society of the time, though no such interpretation is directly given in the hymn.

This origin of the four classes is repeated in most of the later works with slight variations and interpretative additions. The Taittiriya Samhita, for example, ascribes the origins of those four classes to the four limbs of the Creator and adds an explanation. The Brahmins are declared to be the chief because they were created from the mouth, punning on the word " mukha " (" mouth " and " chief "). The Rajanyas are vigorous because they were created from vigour. The Vaisyas are meant to be eaten, referring to their liability to excessive taxation, because they were created from the stomach, the receptacle of food. The Siidra, because he was created from the feet, is to be the transporter of others and to subsist by his feet. In this particular account of the creation not only is the origin of the classes interpreted theologically, but also a divine justification is sought to be given to their functions and status. The creation-theory is here further amplified to account for certain other features of these social classes. God is said to have created certain deities simultaneously with these classes. The Vaisya class, the commoners, must have been naturally very large, and this account explains that social fact by a reference to the simultaneous creation of Visvedevas, all and sundry deities, whose number is considerable. We are told that no deities

were created along with the Sudra and hence he is disqualified for sacrifice. Here again, the social regulation which forbade a Sudra to offer sacrifice is explained as an incidental consequence of the creation.¹

The fact that the four classes are described as of divine origin, although in the later hymns, must be taken as a sufficient indication that they were of long duration and very well-defined, even though the exact demarcation of their functions, the regulations guiding their inter-relations, and the extent of their flexibility may not be referred to in the main body of the Rigvedic literature, which is avowedly of a liturgical nature.

The Satapatha Brahmana lays down different sizes of the funeral mound for the four classes. The terms of address are also different, varying in the degree of politeness. In the "Human Sacrifice" the representatives of these orders are dedicated to different deities. A passage in the Aitareya Brahmana warns a Kshatrya to avoid certain mistakes in the sacrificial ritual. If he commits a particular mistake, it goes on to say, "one like a Brahmin shall be born in his line who in the second or third generation from his has the power of becoming a Brahmin, and likes to live as a Brahmin." Similarly for two other mistakes he shall have a Vaisya-like and a Siidra-like son capable of becoming a full-fledged Vaisya or Sudra in two or three generations. It is clear, that though the classes had come to be almost stereotyped by the end of the Vedic period, it was not altogether impossible for an upward or downward change to occur in a particular family in two or three generations.²

These classes are regularly referred to in later literature as varnas, so much so, that popularly Hindu religion has come to be defined as Varnasrama Dharma. Yet in the Rigveda the word "varna" is never applied to any one of these classes. It is only the Arya varna, or the Aryan people, that is contrasted with the Dasa varna. The Satapatha Brahmana, on the other hand, describes the four classes as the four varnas.³ "Varna" means "colour", and it was in this sense that the word seems to have been employed in contrasting the Arya and the Dasa, referring to their fair

¹ Muir, i, p. 16. ² Ibid., p. 439. ³ *Vedic Index*, ii, p. 247.

and dark colours respectively. The colour-connotation of the word was so strong that later on when the classes came to be regularly described as varnas, four different colours were assigned to the four classes, by which their members were supposed to be distinguished.

On the relations subsisting between the four classes the Rigveda has very little to say. The inferences that we can draw are also few. Rigvedic literature stresses very strongly the difference between the Arya and the Dasa, not only in their colour but also in their speech, religious practices, and physical features.' The Brahmin class, by the end of the period, appears to have acquired almost all the characteristics of a caste. Though the general body of the Rigvedic evidence is not quite determinative, yet a stray reference to a false claim for being regarded a Brahmin, like the one contained in the seventy-first hymn of the tenth book of the Rigveda, points to this conclusion. The Brahmin is definitely said to be superior to the Kshatriya, whom he is able to embroil with his incantations or with his knowledge of rituals. He is said to ensure a king's safety in battle by his prayers and the Aitareya Brahmana lays down that a king's offerings are not acceptable to the gods unless they are offered with the help of a Purohita (chaplain), who, as we shall later on see, was generally a Brahmin. Wherever it is necessary, as in the Rajasuya sacrifice, for the Brahmin to pay homage to the king, the fact is explained in such a way as not to affect the superiority of the Brahmins. It is even suggested that the king rules by the authority delegated to him by the Brahmin.¹ The power of the king or the nobles to harass a Brahmin is recognized and as an antidote a speedy ruin is threatened. The necessity of co-operation between the Brahmin and the Kshatriya for the complete prosperity of both is often reiterated. The Brahmins are declared to be gods on earth. The Satapatha Brahmana even sums up the rights and duties of the Brahmins, amongst which receiving gifts and observing purity of descent are mentioned. It is also said that no Brahmin should accept whatever has been refused by others, and the sanctity attaching to the Brahmin is carried so far in the Pafichavimsa Brahmana as to foreclose any inquiry into his claim to

¹ Compare *Satapatha Brahmana*, v, pp. 3, 4, 20.

Brahmin-hood. It appears from a passage in the Satapatha Brahmana that property of a Brahmin was exempt from the royal claim. The remains of the sacrificial food must be eaten by nobody but a Brahmin. According to the Satapatha Brahmana the murder of a Brahmin is alone a real murder, while the Yajurveda declares it to be a more heinous crime than that of killing any other man. It could only be expiated by a heavy ritual. In a legal dispute between a Brahmin and a non-Brahmin an arbitrator or a witness must speak in favour of the former. Though the Satapatha Brahmana enumerates freedom from being killed as a privilege of the Brahmins, the Panchavimsa Brahmana declares that a Purohita might be punished with death for treachery to his master. According to a legend in the Satapatha Brahmana, the Brahmins regarded themselves as the spreaders of civilization.

The functions of a Brahmin may be said to be teaching and officiating at sacrifices, and his aim was to be pre-eminent in sacred knowledge. To achieve this, a student's life (Brahmacharya) was enjoined. To this course, it seems, only Brahmins were generally admitted. The story of Satyakama Jabala makes this quite clear. This youth went to a famous Brahmin teacher, requesting to be admitted as a pupil. The teacher asked him to give particulars of his lineage, whereupon Satyakama is represented to have told the sage that he did not know the name of his father as he was born to his mother when she was overburdened with work. The teacher thereupon acclaimed him as a Brahmin because he told the truth, and allowed him to be his pupil.¹ It must be inferred from this anecdote that according to this teacher at least, only Brahmins could be admitted to Brahmacharya-studentship, because Satyakama was accepted as a pupil only when the teacher was satisfied that the boy was a Brahmin. The test of lineage was subordinated here to the criterion of the moral characteristic of truth-speaking. Incidentally it may be pointed out that according to the ideas of the age only Brahmins could be expected to speak the truth. This inference fundamentally conflicts with the general comment on this story that it proves the possibility of a non-Brahmin being allowed to acquire the sacred lore.

¹ *Chhandogya-Upanishad*, iv, 4.

That members of other classes could be admitted to student-ship as special cases must be inferred from the fact that in the Kathaka Samhita a rite is referred to for the benefit of a person, who had mastered the lore, though himself not a Brahmin. The priest's profession was perhaps hereditary, as we read of a Brahmaputra in a few passages as the son of a Brahmana (a priest) and also of a Brahmabandhu (a priest in name only). Nevertheless there are indications, corroborated by later tradition, that members of the Kshatriya class could also be priests. The expression "Brahmapurohita", meaning "having a Brahmin priest as Purohita", suggests the possibility of a non-Brahmin priest. Visvamitra, the chaplain of Sudas and the famous rival of Vasishtha, according to the tradition, was a Kshatriya. Yaska tells us that Devapi, who officiated as priest at his brothers' sacrifice, was a prince of the Kuru family. The Satapatha Brahmana regards a Brahmin as impure if he follows the profession of a physician.

Whether marriage among the Brahmins was hemmed in by endogamous restrictions is not quite clear from the literature of this age. According to tradition, Chyavana and Syavasva, two Vedic sages, married Kshatriya girls. On the other hand, the importance of pure descent was appreciated in so far as stress was laid on being a descendant of a Rishi. In the Aitareya Brahmana, Kavasha is taunted with being the son of a female slave and in the Panchavimsa Brahmana Vatsa is represented as having cleared himself of a similar charge.

The second order in society, the Kshatriya, is known in the earlier portions of the Rigveda as the Rajanya. The class seems to have included only the chiefs and the nobles as the word "rajanya" points to the ruling activities, and thus brings out the functional origin of the class. Usually the class is represented as inferior to the Brahmin, but a solitary reference in the Kathaka Samhita raises the Kshatriya over the Brahmin. In another text, "rajakula" or the king's family, is ranked after the Brahmin family. The phrase, "claiming falsely Kshatriya's rank," occurring in the Rigveda (vii, 104, 13), raises the presumption that the Kshatriyas constituted a compact class.

The occupations of the class, as we have mentioned above,

must have consisted in administrative and military duties, though the rank and file of the army might have been formed even by the commoners. In the prayer for the prosperity of a Kshatriya, he is said to be an archer and good chariot-fighter. That members of this class could follow other occupations is rendered probable by the mention of a Rajanya as playing on the lute in the Satapatha Brahmana. According to later tradition, some of the composers of the hymns of the Rigveda belonged to this class. The few examples of Kshatriyas acting as priests are mentioned above. It is clear from Upanishadic literature that some of the kings of the age were not only the patrons of philosophers but were themselves well versed in the profound philosophical speculations of their times. Janaka of the Videhas, Pravahana Jaivali, Ajatasatru, and Asvapati Kaikeya are some of the conspicuous names of philosopher-kings. It seems that some of the Kshatriyas, though as a class they followed other professions, had kept themselves quite alert and abreast of their Brahmin brethren. The forward and daring spirits amongst them tried to assert their equality with the Brahmins both in priestcraft and philosophical disquisitions. The conclusion seems to be legitimate, therefore, that only when the ritual later on became too elaborate, and the Kshatriyas, on the other hand, became engrossed in the work of conquest, and progressively lost intimate contact with the older dialect, that they receded from the competitive field though only to rise in open rebellion against the Brahmins.

While there are a few traditional examples of Kshatriya girls being married to Brahmins, there is not a single example from among the personages of this age where a Brahmin girl has married a Kshatriya. Though the Kshatriyas sometimes gave their daughters in marriage to Brahmins yet they seem to have had an objection to marry girls from even prosperous families of the two lower orders.

The third order in society, namely the Vaisya, figures singularly little in Vedic literature. The Aitareya Brahmana describes him as tributary to another, "to be lived upon by another," and "to be suppressed at will". Representing the common people, both the composition and the functions of this class are shadowy. According to the Taittiriya Samhita, the greatest ambition of a Vaisya was to be the "Gramani"

or the village head-man. "The son of a Vaisya woman is never anointed a king," so says the Satapatha Brahmana.¹ Though, in comparison to the higher classes, the Vaisyas' position was rather insignificant, yet the class was definitely marked off from the Sudras.

The name of the fourth class, the Sudra, occurs only once in the Rigveda. It seems the class represented domestic servants, approximating very nearly to the position of slaves. The Sudra is described as "the servant of another", "to be expelled at will", and "to be slain at will". The Panchavimsa Brahmana defines this position still more precisely when it declares that the Sudra, even if he be prosperous, cannot but be a servant of another, washing his superior's feet being his main business. Yet according to the same work some of the king's ministers were Sudras. The milk to be used for fire-oblation was not allowed to be milked by a Sudra. He was not to be addressed by a person consecrated for a sacrifice. He is declared to be unfit for sacrifice and not allowed even to be present in the hall where the sacrifice was being offered. The Satapatha Brahmana goes to the length of declaring that the Sudra is untruth itself.² Illicit connection between an Arya male and a Sudra female is mentioned, and the uneasiness felt by the Sudra husband whose wife is a mistress of an Arya is also hinted at.³ In this connection we may mention that Vasishtha quotes a Brahmana text to the effect that a woman of the black race, meaning the Sudra people, was meant only for enjoyment and not for the furtherance of any higher motives.⁴

We have seen that in the Rigveda a marked distinction was drawn between the Arya and the Dasa. In the later Vedic literature this demarcation tends to be drawn between the Arya and the Sudra, who is being described as of dark colour. As if to preserve the memory of this change, as a part of sacrificial rites a mock-fight between an Arya and a Sudra, in which the former necessarily wins, is prescribed. This change is perhaps due to the increasing association between the Aryan people and the Indian aborigines resulting

¹ Eggeing's translation, pt. v, p. 326.

² Eggeing's trans., pt. v, p. 446. ³ Ibid., p. 826. * Infra, p. 93.

in illicit unions not only between Arya males and Siidra females but also between Sudra men and Arya women.

Besides the four orders are mentioned in the Rigveda, occupations like blacksmith, leather-worker, barber, physician, goldsmith, merchant, and chariot-builder. We do not know which of these occupations were comprised in any of the four orders, nor can we say that each of them constituted a separate class. We know for certain that the status of the Rathakara—the chariot-builder—was high enough to preclude his being classified with the Sudras. The formulae for placing the sacrificial fire include one for the Rathakara, indicating his high status even in religious matters.

It is not only the variety of occupations that is striking, but also the fact that one and the same occupation bore different names. The husbandman and potter have each more than one appellation. We shall see later that two groups, following the same occupation, have different names and are recognized as independent castes or sub-castes. Naming is the first step towards distinction. We should, therefore, attach due significance to the fact of one and the same occupation being given different names, either through individual or provincial peculiarities, in the Vedic literature.

That some of the occupations at least had become hereditary is probable. The use of the patronymic, derived from the name of an occupation, lends support to this view. " kaulala," " dhaivara," " paunjishtha," and " vanija " are used in the sense of the son of a kulala (potter), of a dhivara (fisherman), of a punjishtha (fisherman), and a vanij (merchant).

Four names occurring in the Vedic literature, viz. Ayogava, Chandala, Nishada, and Paulkasa, deserve more than passing notice. A king, named Marutta Avikshita, is called the Ayogava. The meaning of the word is doubtful, but it must be pointed out that in the literature of the next period it is regularly given as the name of one of the mixed castes. The references to the Chandalas in the Yajurveda clearly show them to be a degraded people. In the next period, it will be seen, Chandalas are invariably described as the offspring of a Sudra father and a Brahmijn mother. Whether their degraded position in the Vedic period was due to such mixed descent is more than can be ascertained. At the same time the possibility of such an explanation must not

be wholly overlooked, inasmuch as illicit connection between a Sudra male and an Aryan female is sometimes hinted at. But the more plausible explanation would be that the Chandalas were a degraded group of aborigines. In the first place, it is difficult to see how a whole race of people could be the outcome of illicit unions between Aryan females and Sudra males. Secondly, they are not the only group described as degraded people in the Vedic literature. The Paulkasis are described as a despised race of men in the Brhadaranyaka Upanishad. This use of a derivative noun from Pulkasa shows the fixity of the group. The term also occurs in later literature as the name of the offspring of a Nishada or Sudra by a Kshatriya woman. Such a connection of Kshatriya females, as a regular feature, is much more than can be believed. It is more reasonable to hold that both these groups, Chandala and Paulkasa, were sections of the aborigines that were, for some reason or another, despised by the Aryans. The Nishadas, on the other hand, seem to have been a section liked by the Aryans, probably because they were amenable to their civilized notions. The Vedic expression "panchajanya" is explained by tradition, belonging to the latter part of this period, to mean the four varnas and the Nishadas, a fact which shows that these people had, by this time, become quite acceptable to the Aryans. This conclusion is also borne out by a text of the Kaushitaki Brahmana requiring the sacrificer in a particular rite to reside temporarily with the Nishadas. It seems that the Vedic Dasa, by constant association and slow assimilation, had been partially turned into the Sudra and partially into the Nishada while the refractory and incorrigible elements were despised and styled Paulkasa and Chandala.

We have seen that the three classes of the early portion of the Rigveda were later solidified into four groups, more or less compact, with three or four other groups. Though these groups were very nearly exclusive units, upward or downward march of individuals was not altogether an impossibility, though it must have been an infrequent occurrence. Only the first three orders may be said to have been recognized as far as religious and ritualistic life was concerned. The Sudra, though he was received within the precincts of the Aryan fold, was systematically debarred from

following the religious practices of the Aryans. Nay, the ideas of untouchability were first given literary expression in connection with the Sudras and the sacrifice. There were also various inequalities in the matter of religious practices between the other three classes. The impression is clearly gained that only the Brahmin and the Kshatriya were the two important orders in the society. The former was steadily gaining exclusive influence with the increasing complexity of the sacrificial ritual, while the latter produced, only off and on, individuals capable of keeping themselves abreast of the former. It was in keeping with this that the third order, Vaisya, was spoken of as the taxable group, and the fourth order, Sudra, was denied any justice. Each order seems to have been habitually endogamous though occasionally Brahmins married Kshatriya females, and all the three higher orders now and then might have kept Sudra mistresses. There was a variety of specialized occupations about which we have no means of ascertaining whether they were included in one or the other of the four orders. They seem to have been hereditary rather by custom than by regulation. The prohibition of dining in the company of others is not laid down in connection with these orders, though the general idea is there. It is only those who were performing a certain rite or who believed in a certain doctrine that were forbidden to dine in the company of others.¹

The Brahmanic literature of the post-Vedic period, while reiterating that there are only four varnas, mentions certain mixed castes (*sankara jati*) and also a group of outcast classes (*antyavasayin*). The sacred laws of the Aryas are designed to expound "*varna-dharma*", i.e. the duties ostensibly of the four orders. The text-books of the different schools may broadly be analysed into four parts. The first part, generally very short, deals with the "*asramas*" (four stages in individual life) and their duties; the second part, forming a large portion of the book, really deals with "*varna-dharma*". Much of the law proper is treated in this section under the heading, "*duties of the Kshatriya.*" The two

¹ *Vedic Index*, ii, p. 257.

Note.—The above discussion is principally based on facts collected in the *Vedic Index*. Special attention may be drawn to the articles on Arya, Brahmana, Chandala, Dasa, Kshatriya, Nishada, Paulkasa, Sudra, Vaisya, and Varna.

50 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

other parts deal with expiatory acts and inheritance. Though the main bulk of the law is treated under "varna-dharma", yet the "Sudra" does not figure much in these texts. The "varna-dharma" of the "Sudra" is such that it does not require elaborate regulation. It may justly be said that the "Sudra" was left to himself as far as his internal affairs were concerned. Mandlik observes, "The non-regenerate class thus seems to form a group by itself, and its internal economy is not specially provided for by the ordinary Arya writers on law."¹ Their case is provided for by the general dictum, fathered on Manu, that the peculiar laws of countries, castes, and families may be followed in the absence of sacred rules.² The other classes are considered derivative, and therefore so much beneath notice that only fourfold humanity is always alluded to and prevention of the confusion of these castes (varna-sankara) is considered as an ideal necessity.³ Mixture of castes is regarded to be such a great evil that it must be combated even though the Brahmins and the Vaisyas have to resort to arms, a function which is normally sinful for them.⁴ As the outcasts were deprived of the right to follow the lawful occupations of the twice-born men and, after death, of the rewards of meritorious deeds,⁵ it follows that the law-givers had no concern for them. They were enjoined to live together and fulfil their purposes, sacrificing for each other and confining other relations to themselves.⁶ Of the mixed castes those that were the outcome of hyper-gamous unions, were proposed to be treated in two different ways. Gautama excludes from the brahmanic law only the issue of a Sudra female by males of the first three orders.⁷ It is not clear how he would like to treat the remaining three possible groups. Kautilya, on the other hand, lays down that such mixed castes shall take to the occupations and practise the religious rites of the Sudras.⁸ Persons born of unions in the inverse order of castes—technically known as the pratiloma (mixed) castes—are, of course, outside the pale of the sacred law, with the possible exception of one, viz. the Suta.

¹ Mandlik, p. 432.

³ Gautama, p. 212.

⁵ Ibid., p. 277.

⁷ Gautama, p. 197.

²

⁴ Vasishtha, p. 4.

⁶ Baudhayana, p. 236.

⁸ Baudhayana, p. 220.

Arthadstra, i, p. 165.

Among the four varnas, the old distinction of Arya and Sudra now appears predominantly as Dvija and Sudra, though the old distinction is occasionally mentioned. The first three varnas are called Dvijas (twice-born) because they have to go through the initiation ceremony which is symbolic of rebirth. This privilege is denied to the Sudra who is therefore called " ekajati " (once-born).¹ The word " jati " which is here used for " varna ", henceforward is employed more often to mean the numerous sub-divisions of a " varna ". It is also the vernacular term for a " caste ". A rigorous demarcation of meaning between " varna " and " jati ", the former denoting the four large classes and the latter only their sub-divisions, cannot, however, be maintained. The word is sometimes indiscriminately used for " varna ".

This period sees a great consolidation of the position of the Brahmin class, while the degradation of the Sudras comes out in marked contrast to the growing superiority of the Brahmins. The discomfiture of the Kshatriyas is complete, and the Vaisyas, at least the general mass, have progressively approximated to the Sudras. The first indication of the appreciation of the Brahmin's position is to be found in the implication underlying the sacred law that in strictness its dictates are meant primarily for the Brahmins. Vasishtha quotes some Vedic texts to inculcate the view that a Brahmin is born with three debts, viz. to the gods, to the manes, and to the sages. The discharge of these debts was to be achieved through sacrifices, progeny, and Vedic studies. These are fulfilled through the life of a student and of a householder. According to the philosophy of life current in this period, these debts should have been common to the Kshatriya and the Vaisya, yet it is only the Brahmin that is singled out as pre-eminently the one varna on whom the discharge of these debts was incumbent. The three lower castes are ordered to live according to the teaching of the Brahmin, who shall declare their duties while the king is exhorted to regulate their conduct accordingly.²

The importance of sacrifices and ritualism had been growing and with it the prestige of the priest. The privilege of officiating at sacrificial sessions and other religious rites was exclusively preserved as a divinely appointed and

¹ Vasishtha, p. 9.

² Vasishtha, pp. 7, 8, 50.

52 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

hereditary function of the Brahmins. As the monopolist of ritual procedure, the Brahmin naturally became important. In another way also, he gained in respect because he fulfilled a very fundamental function in the general philosophy of life of these times. As the Mahabharata has it, "sacrifice sustains both the manes and the gods,"¹ and the Brahmin alone could ensure the proper performance of sacrifices. He was further represented as very beneficial to the political head of the society. Vasishtha quotes a Vedic text, declaring that the king obtains the sixth part of the merit which Brahmins accumulate by means of their sacrifices and good work.² Gifts had been praised since the Vedic times, and in the literature of this period they were enjoined as a moral duty, the performance of which brought merit to the giver. "He who, placing on the skin of a black antelope, sesamum, gold, honey, and butter, gives it to a Brahmin over-comes all sin."³ Apastamba declares that a king, who without prejudice to his servants gives land and money to deserving Brahmins, gains endless heavenly worlds. The Brahmin alone could rightfully accept the gifts. As a channel of easy acquisition of merit he thus gained added importance. "The offering made through the mouth of a Brahmin, which is neither split nor causes pain (to sentient creature) nor assails him (who makes it), is far more excellent than an agnihotra."⁴ All these circumstances and the growing unintelligibility of the scriptural language conspired to make the Brahmin almost a god on earth. Even the hard-headed Kautilya suggested that in order to avert such providential calamities as fire, flood, and pestilence, the people should worship gods and Brahmins.⁵ The pre-eminence of the Brahmin was so great that the Mahabharata declared that really speaking there was only one varna, viz. the Brahmin and the other varnas were merely its modifications.⁶ Though Gautama quotes the Vedic texts which declare that the Kshatriyas assisted by the Brahmins prosper, and that the union of the two alone upholds the moral order, yet he

¹ *Mahabharata, Santi Parva, 28-5, 72.*

³ *Vasishtha, p. 185.*

² *Vasishtha, p. 8.*

Vasishtha, p. 189.

The agnihotra has been the most fundamental and important ritual function that was enjoined on the first three castes since the earliest Vedic times.

⁵ *Arthashastra, ii, p. 419.*

⁶ *Mahabharata, Santi Parva, 50, 59.*

lays down that when a king and a Brahmin pass along the same road the road belongs to the Brahmin and not to the king.¹ Vasishtha declares that the Brahmins' king is Soma.² The Mahabharata goes even further, and emphasizes the subordinate position of the Kshatriya, whose only support is pronounced to be the Brahmin. It explains away earthly sovereignty of the Kshatriya by a social analogy. Just as a widow takes to her deceased husband's brother, so has the earth resorted to the Kshatriya in default of the Brahmin. Between a hundred year old Kshatriya and a ten year old Brahmin the latter is said to be like the father.³ And this in a society where age was the greatest consideration for respect.⁴ The superiority of a child-Brahmin over an aged Kshatriya is also supported by Apastamba.⁵ It is thus clear that the union of the Brahmin and the Kshatriya that was advocated by Gautama must be understood to mean not an alliance between two equals but a tacit domination of the former over the latter.

This pre-eminence of the Brahmin had secured him many social privileges. According to Gautama, all varnas must serve their superiors,⁶ which meant that the Brahmin, as the most superior among the varnas, was entitled to the services of the others. Naturally the Brahmin recognized no teacher who was not a member of his varna. It was only in times of distress that he was allowed to study under a non-Brahmin teacher, whom he surpassed in venerability on the completion of his studies.⁷ This was against the general notions of respectability according to which the teacher must always be venerated by his pupils. The Brahmin might follow no gainful activity, yet as long as he continued the study of the Vedas, he was said to have fulfilled his purpose.⁸ The Brahmin was exempt from the usual taxes.⁹ If a Brahmin, who followed his lawful occupations, found a treasure, he had not to hand it over to the king.¹⁰ Both the person and the property of the Brahmin were absolutely inviolate. Stealing the gold of a Brahmin

¹ Gautama, p. 235. Apastamba, pp. 124-5.

² Vasistya, p. 8. ³ *Mahabharata, Anusasana*, 12, 21-3.

⁴ Gautama, p. 208. ⁵ Apastamba, p. 53.

⁶ Gautama, pp. 231. ⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 209.

⁸ *Mahabharata, Santi-Parva*, 14, 50.

⁹ Vasishtha, p. 8. ¹⁰ Vasishtha, p. 18.

and killing a Brahmin were regarded as heinous sins (mahapataka).¹ Raising one's hand or weapon in anger against a Brahmin, actually striking him, causing blood to flow from his body, unintentionally killing him, and wilfully murdering him were offences in the ascending order of their heinousness.² Wilful murder of a Brahmin was, of course, inexpiable. The Brahmin was exempt from corporal punishment.³ Even Kautilya allowed this privilege to the Brahmin, except when he was guilty of high treason.⁴ He also exempted him from torture being applied to elicit confession.⁶ The estate of heirless persons of the Kshatriya, Vaisya, and Sudra varnas went to the king, who had to distribute it among learned Brahmins, while the estate of an heirless Brahmin belonged directly to them.⁶ The property of a Brahmin is described as the worst poison destroying him who takes it.⁷ On the other hand, in order to defray the expenses of a marriage or of a religious rite, he may help himself to the money of a Sudra by fraud or by force. He may do the same with the property of those members of the other varnas who neglect their religious duties.⁸ Even to accuse a Brahmin of a crime that he might have committed, is a sin.⁹ He must not be forced to be a witness at the instance of a non-Brahmin unless he is already mentioned in the plaint.¹⁰ Even as regards the highly lauded and essential duty of a householder, viz. hospitality, the Brahmin has his privileges. He need not treat a non-Brahmin as his guest unless he comes on the occasion of a sacrifice.¹¹

Though the various privileges of the Brahmins are sanctioned by the lawgivers, they insist from time to time that the Brahmin shall keep to the moral discipline of his class and conscientiously perform the duties laid down for him. They declare that a Brahmin who deviates from this path is equal to a Sudra and as such there can be no harm in neglecting him.¹²

¹ Vasishtha, p. 5.

² Baudhayana, p. 212. Gautama, p. 279.

³ Gautama, p. 242. Baudhayana, p. 201.

⁴ *Arthashastra*, ii, p. 277.

⁶ Gautama, p. 805. Baudhayana, p. 179.

⁷ Baudhayana, p. 180.

[•] Gautama, p. 279.

¹¹ Gautama, pp. 204-5.

¹¹ Vasishtha, pp. 16-18, 46. Baudhayana, p. 248.

Arthashastra, ii, p. 270.

⁸ Gautama, p. 270.

¹⁰ Gautama, p. 243.

The statement that God created the Sudra to be the slave of all is repeated and he is given the name " padaja " (born from the feet). He is to be supported, to be fed, to be clothed with the remnants and castaways of food and raiment by the three varnas.¹ He is contrasted with the Arya, who, though younger than he, must be respected by him by rising from his seat.² Whereas in the Vedic period, as we have seen, the Dasa was described as the black race, in this period the Sudra is given that appellation.³ Vasishtha declares him to be a burial ground. The Veda must not be recited in his presence, no advice must be given to him, nor the remnants of the offerings to the gods. Holy law must not be expounded to him, nor must he be asked to perform a penance.⁴ He shall use the old shoes, discarded garments, etc., of the members of the other varnas, and eat the leavings of their food.⁵ So great was the feeling against the Sudra performing a sacrifice that Gautama exhorted a person to cast off his father if he either sacrificed with the money given by a Sudra or officiated at his sacrifice.⁶ It is well known that in the story of the Ramayana, Rama is represented to have killed a Sudra who was practising austerities.⁷ The Sudra was regarded to be so despicable, that a Brahmin, when, out of sheer necessity, he had to take up the Sudras' occupation, was asked not to mix with them.⁸

It is clear that the Sudra could not perform a sacrifice, could not listen to or recite the Vedic texts, nor could he practice austerities. He was categorically denied the right of initiation and consequently the first stage of individual life (asrama)—the studentship. Out of the other sacraments marriage is the only one, which is explicitly applicable to the Sudras. While laying down their duties Gautama observes : " For him (Sudra) also (are prescribed) truthfulness, meekness, and purity. Some declare that instead of sipping water (the usual method of purification) he shall wash his hands and feet. (He shall also offer) the funeral oblations."⁹ Apastamba opines that the Sudra is not

¹ *Mahtibharata, Santi*, 34, verses 31, 34, 86, 38, 59, 310,

² *Gautama*, p. 206. ³ *Apastamba*, p. 87. *Vasishtha*, p. 96.

⁴ *Vasishtha*, p. 95. ⁵ *Gautama*, p. 230.

⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 274.

⁷ *Ramayana* (Nirnaysagar Ed.). *Uttarakanda*, vii, 4, 76.

⁸ *Gautama*, p. 211. • p. 230.

entitled to the rite of initiation, the study of the Veda, and the kindling of the sacred fire. His works (rites) are declared by implication to be unproductive of rewards either in this world or in the next.¹ According to Gautama, the Sudra, if permitted, may use "namah" (obeisance) as his "mantra" (holy incantation). He states that some allow him to offer Pakayajnas² (minor sacrifices to be offered in the fire kept at home, as distinguished from major sacrifices offered in the Sruta or Vedic fire). The Mahabharata also takes the same view of the Sudra's religious duties. A Pakayajna may be offered on his behalf without his being initiated for it. Ample Dakshina (fees) seems to be a prominent feature of such sacrifices offered by the Sudras, of whom one named Paijavana is said to have given away by thousands.³ It is apparent from these data that the Sudra had no right to perform any of the important sacraments. As he could not kindle the sacred fire, his marriage was certainly not regarded as a sacrament, intended for the fulfilment of religious duties but only as a sexual union. As the minor sacrifices called the Pakayajnas were to be offered in the domestic sacred fire, and as we have seen above the Sudra had no right to kindle this fire, we cannot attach much significance to the permission given to the Sudra to offer these sacrifices. It only means that the Brahmin was not entirely unwilling to open up certain channels through which fees might flow to him, even though the donor might be a Sudra. It may be mentioned that even the Dasyus were encouraged to offer such sacrifices.⁴ The utter indifference shown to the Sudra is further brought out by the fact that out of the eight forms of marriage the two forms recommended for the Sudra are entirely devoid of religious content. In fact, one of them may be termed rape as consummated marriage. And the curious justification offered for this prescription is that the Sudras are not particular about their wives.⁶

We cannot agree with Professor Max Muller when he says that the Grhya Sutras never expressly exclude the Sudra

¹ pp. 1-2.

²

p. 231.

³ *Mahabharata, Sgnti Parva*, 59, 40-2.

⁴ *Ibid.*

Baudhfiyana, p. 207.

from the rite of initiation.¹ The ages at which this rite was to be performed are laid down only for the three classes and not for the Sudra. To us it appears that this is sufficient evidence that the Grhya Sutras did not contemplate the initiation of the Sudra. The initiation ceremony opened the door to the study of the Veda. The Sudra has, throughout, been debarred from that study. He has never been allowed to hear Vedic recitations. How possibly could the Grhya Sutras, under these circumstances, even dream of the Sudra being initiated ? The fact appears to be that undeserving as he was of this rite, he was simply ignored.

The Mahabharata says that the Sudra can have no absolute property, because his wealth can be appropriated by his master at will.² If the master of a Sudra has fallen into distress, the latter shall support him, and his treasure, if any, shall be placed at the disposal of the poor master.³ The king is enjoined to appoint only persons of the first three classes over villages and towns for their protection.⁴ A Sudra trying to hear the Vedic texts shall have his ears filled with molten tin or lac ; if he recites the Veda his tongue shall be cut off, and if he remembers it he shall be dismembered. If he assumes a position of equality with twice-born men, either in sitting, conversing, or going along the road, he shall receive corporal punishment.⁵ A Sudra, committing adultery with women of the first three castes, shall suffer capital punishment, or shall be burnt alive tied up in straw.⁶ Kautilya restricts the punishment by burning only to the crime of the violation of a Brahmin female.⁷ When a Sudra calls himself a Brahmin, either his eyes shall be destroyed by means of a poisonous ointment, or he shall be fined eight-hundred " panas ",⁸ If he intentionally reviles twice-born men or criminally assaults them, the limb with which he offends shall be cut off.⁹ Kautilya, too, lays down the same punishment, but only in the case where the assault is directed against

¹ *A History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature* (Panini Office edition, 1912), p. 106.

² *Mahabharata, Santi Parva*, 39, 59.

³ Gautama, p. 231.

Apastamba, pp. 161-2.

⁵ Apastamba, p. 165. Gautama, p. 236.

⁶ Apastamba, p. 165. Vasishtha, pp. 109-10. Baudhayana, p. 233.

⁷ *Arthashastra*, ii, p. 285.

⁸ *Arthashastra*, ii, pp. 275-6.

⁹ Gautama, p. 236.

a Brahmin.¹ On the other hand, a Sudra can be abused by a Brahmin without entailing any punishment.² A Snataka (a Vedic student) is exhorted not to go on a journey alone in the company of a Sudra.³ For a Brahmin to eat the food given by a Sudra is a sin that must be expiated; and to avoid taking it is one of the few things the practice of which assures a Brahmin of bliss in heaven.⁴ Gautama goes further and forbids a Snataka to accept water given by a Sudra.⁵ Though, as we shall see later on, marriage between a Brahmin male and a Sudra female is contemplated, yet it is said that such a wife is espoused merely for pleasure and not for the fulfilment of a religious purpose.⁶ Cohabitation with a Sildra female is a sin, which a Brahmin must wipe out.⁷ A Brahmin who marries a Sudra wife and dwells for twelve years in a village, where only well-water is obtainable, becomes equal to a Sudra.⁸ A Sudra committing adultery with an Aryan woman shall have his organ cut off and his property confiscated.⁹ Altogether so unworthy is the Sudra that, if he comes as a guest, he shall be fed together with one's servants and that, too, perhaps after getting some work out of him.¹⁰

The Sudra, thus had no civil or religious rights. Nevertheless, there are sentiments of compassion about him expressed here and there. A master is exhorted to support his Sudra servant when he is unable to work,¹¹ and to offer funeral oblation for him in case he dies childless.¹² Rarely, as in one case given by Apastamba,¹³ he is allowed to cook food, even though meant for a religious function, under the supervision of members of the other three classes. This extraordinary tolerance towards the Sudra might have been dictated by the peculiar conditions prevailing in the south during the early migration of the Indo-Aryans.

Though theoretically the position of the Sudras was very low, there is evidence to show that many of them were

¹ *Arthashastra*, ii, p. 238. Apastamba, p. 165.

² Gautama, p. 237.

⁴ Baudhayana, pp. 224, 313.

⁶ Vasishtha, p. 96.

⁸ Baudhayana, p. 244.

¹⁰ Gautama, pp. 204-5. Baudhayana, p. 289.

¹¹ Gautama, p. 280.

¹² *Mahabharata*, *Santi Parva*, 59, 88.

⁸ 165.

⁶ Baudhayana, pp. 217, 243.

⁷ Gautama, p. 76.

⁹ Baudhayana, p. 818. Apastamba, p. 84.

⁵ Gautama, p. 236.

¹³ Apastamba, p. 103.

well-to-do.¹ Some of them succeeded in marrying their daughters in royal families. Sumitra, one of the four wives of king Dasaratha, was a Sudra. Some of them even worked their way up to the throne. The famous Chandragupta is traditionally known to be a Sudra. The Abhiras who ruled over the Deccan for some time must have been Sudras.

The Vaisya, though traditionally classed with the first two varnas, is grouped on many occasions with the Sudras. As we shall see later on, the occupations ordained for these two classes are almost identical. Gain by labour is mentioned as a special mode of acquisition, both for Vaisya and Sudra.² Apart from this increasing similarity of occupations, the special occupations of the Vaisya were such that they could not be well denned. "The humblest tender of cows for a master may be of this caste, or the work may be done by one outside the Aryan ranks."³ The Vaisyas are understood to consist of labourers.⁴ Kautilya leaves no doubt as to the equality of occupational status between the two castes. The Bhagavadgita proudly proclaims that its religious doctrines can lead even the Vaisyas and the Sudras to salvation.⁵ In this matter these two classes stand in marked contrast to the other two classes. We are led to believe that the Vaisya, in spite of the injunction of the Brahmins that he should fulfil the duties prescribed for him in the sacred law, had so much fallen off that a less rigid and elaborate way of working out one's salvation was necessary for him. Out of the eight forms of marriage, the two prescribed for the Vaisyas are the same as those recommended for the Sudra. According to Vasishtha when a Brahmin marries outside his varna, his sons by a Vaisya and a Sudra wife shall inherit equal shares.⁶ Similarly only one common formula of welcome need be used for a Vaisya and a Sudra guest. Both of them are to be fed together with one's servants.⁷ In the chaos that is supposed to have ensued after Parasurama had slaughtered the Kshatriyas, we are told that both the Sudras and the Vaisyas discarded the Brahmanic rules of discipline and

¹ Compare the allusion to Paijavana and other wealthy Sudras in the *Mahābhārata*.

² Gautama, p. 229.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 88.

⁶ p. 89.

³ *JAOS.*, vol. xiii, p. 82.

Bhagavadgita, ix, 82-8.

Gautama, pp. 204, 205.

60 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

violated Brahmin females.¹ The moral of this story is clear : The Vaisyas, like the Sudras, were ready, as soon as the strong ruling arm was off, to rebel against the Brahmanic rules of conduct. And the growth of Jainism and Buddhism, particularly their quick appeal to the Vaisyas, fully corroborates this inference.

The theory of the divine origin of the four castes is off and on repeated with special stress on the origin of the Sudra from the feet of the Creator. In the Mahabharata once at least a slightly material change is introduced in this theory, where we are told that the first three castes were created first, and the Sudra created afterwards for serving the others. Evidently this divine origin did not prove as comforting to the lower classes as could be desired in the interests of social order. Salvation of self had come to be the outstanding problem of the philosophy of life. If the performance of religious rites, as laid down by the sacred law, could alone lead to salvation, there was no hope for the Sudra nor even perhaps for the Vaisya, because the former was emphatically forbidden to perform these religious rites and the latter had progressively lost their practice. A philosophy of caste, guaranteeing individual salvation to all, through the performance of duties alone, had to be formulated. Such a theory was calculated to allay the unrest and quell the rebellion against caste that might arise owing to the unsatisfactory nature of the old theory as far as salvation, which had become the most absorbing human interest, was concerned. The Buddhistic religion, however much its followers stood by caste, was ready to declare that Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaisya, Sudra, and Chandala would be all equal in the world of the gods, if they had acted virtuously in this life, and that a person's worth in life was determined by his right conduct and knowledge. The necessity of closing up the ranks against the onslaught of Buddhism and of assuring individual salvation for all led to the formulation of two slightly differing philosophies of caste.

Gautama observes : " Men of the several castes and orders who always live according to their duty enjoy after death the rewards of their works, and by virtue of a remnant of their merit, they are born again in excellent countries, castes,

¹ *MdMbhārata, Santi Parva, 48, 70.*

and families endowed with beauty, long life, learning in the Vedas, virtuous conduct, wealth, happiness, and wisdom. Those who act in a contrary manner perish, being born again in various evil conditions." ¹ According to Apastamba, sinful persons are born as low castes and even as animals. A person, for example, who steals a Brahmin's gold will be reborn as a Chandala if he is a Brahmin, as a Pulkasa if he is a Kshatriya and as a Vaina (a mixed caste) if he is a Vaisya. Men of the lower castes are reborn in higher castes if they have fulfilled their duties, while men of the higher castes are born in the lower ones as a result of their neglect of the prescribed duties.² It is clear that according to this lawgiver conscientious practice of the duties proper to one's own varna, led to a birth in a higher varna and thus to salvation. Failure to act according to one's varna duties meant birth in a lower caste and finally spiritual annihilation. In the Mahabharata, the upward march from one caste to another in succeeding births till a person is born a Brahmin, is described in detail.³

In the Bhagavadgita the Creator is said to have apportioned the duties and functions of the four castes according to the inherent qualities and capacities of individuals.⁴ Of course, this theory fails to explain how the individuals at the very beginning of creation came to be possessed of peculiar qualities and capacities. This theory of origin, though it slurs over the above difficulty, tries to provide a rational sanction for the manifestly arbitrary divisions. God separated the people into four varnas, not merely because they were created from different limbs of his body nor again out of his will, but because he found them endowed with different qualities and capacities. In so far as a justification is sought to be given for a social phenomenon, which was hitherto taken for granted, the Bhagavadgita records a remarkable change in attitude. In conformity with this, the life-philosophy preached in the book furnishes us with the other philosophy of caste referred to above. The whole episode which is made the occasion for the enunciation of the new philosophy, the philosophy of duty, ends with the burden that an individual must do the duty proper to his varna. Arjuna, the hero, is dismayed

¹ p. 235.

²

³ *Mahabharata, Anuidana Parva*, 4, 6.

pp. 102-3, 125.

⁴ *Bhagavadgita*, IV, 13.

62 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

and refuses to fight. Krishna, the preacher, ultimately persuades him to fight, and thus to do the duty proper to his Kshatriya varna. The work is a supreme effort to drive home the truth that man must perform the duties proper to his social state faithfully and truthfully and then salvation shall be his without doubt. No work is bad, impure, or sinful. It is only the way in which work is done that determines its worth. The peculiar way in which all work must be done is the way of dedicating it to God. The philosophy is beautifully illustrated in two episodes of the Mahabharata : the one about Jajali, the trader, and the other about Vyadha, the butcher. We may compare a similar phenomenon from the social history of Mediaeval Europe. Mr. R. H. Tawney observes : " The facts of class status and inequality were rationalized in the Middle Ages by a functional theory of society." ^x

This philosophy of caste takes the sting off the institution and thus skilfully stereotypes it. During the later ages, therefore, this theory of caste has been rightly harped upon. The difference between the Sutra theory of caste, detailed above, and this theory is significant. The Brahmin authors of the Sutras promised salvation to the Sudra only through the intermediacy of births in higher castes. In plain words it was tantamount to asking him to wait till doomsday. The mark of inferiority was permanently impressed on him, and his low status was declared by implication to render it impossible for him to work out his salvation in his own person. The concession granted testifies only to the liberalism of the writers on Dharma. The Gita, on the other hand, disarms opposition on more counts than one. First, it tries to provide a rationale for the original division into four varnas. Secondly, it unequivocally asserts the virtual equality of these divisions as far as the value of their distinct work and their inherent capacity for working out individual salvation are concerned.

We have seen that in the Vedic age Kshatriyas sometimes discharged the priestly functions and preached the higher philosophy. Visvamitra is one of those Kshatriyas who, according to later tradition, officiated as a priest. In the Rigveda, he and Vasishtha, the Brahmin, are said to have been the priests of King Sudas at different times.² In the

¹ *Religion and the Rise of Capitalism*, p. 22.

² Muir, vol. i, p. 875.

Epic literature, Vasishtha, the Brahmin, and Visvamitra, the Kshatriya, figure as opponents in many a story.¹ The principal cause of quarrel between them appears to be the desire of Visvamitra to reckon himself a Brahmin. On the one hand, wonderful powers of enabling his royal patrons to lead their sacrifices to a successful finish, are credited to Visvamitra and on the other great valour and military skill are attributed to him. Vasishtha is described as a perfectly peaceful and learned Brahmin, able to complete the most difficult sacrifices as well as to meet the most deadly weapons of Visvamitra in the characteristic way of the Brahmins, viz. with the help of Brahmanic lustre. Reading these stories one cannot fail to get the idea that in the quarrel of these two individuals is epitomized the history of the rivalry between the first two castes. Though, in the end, Visvamitra won Brahminhood, yet Vasishtha is represented as having defeated him on every count, not excepting even his martial skill. It seems to us that these stories were designed to teach a lesson to the Kshatriya that his physical prowess was futile before the spiritual force of the Brahmin. Visvamitra is made to remark, "Fie on the Kshatriya's strength. By the single brahmanic mace all my weapons are destroyed."² While the Brahmins of the Vedic age were content with making pious declarations that they were above the power of the king, and addressing fervent exhortation that the Kshatriyas should work in unison with the Brahmins, those of the later age were emboldened enough to draw a concrete picture of the utter futility of the Kshatriya's weapons against the spiritual prowess of the Brahmin. Though Visvamitra becomes a Brahmin in the end, the Kshatriya is crestfallen. His right to act as priest is very hard won. Visvamitra is allowed to become a Brahmin on the one condition that he renounces once for all his ways of the Kshatriyas, and through and through resorts to the methods of the Brahmins. No doubt he entered the Brahmin fold, but he could not open up the closed door to his erstwhile associates.

The Brahmins, as if not being content with showing the superiority of Brahmanic lustre over martial prowess, created in Parasurama, a Brahmin, who overpowered the Kshatriyas not by the usual Brahmanic weapon of spiritual

¹ Ibid. ² *Ramayana* (Nirnaysagar Ed.), 56, 28.

force, but by their own military weapons. Parasurama is the champion of the Brahmins and avenges his father's murder on the Kshatriyas. He is represented as having destroyed the Kshatriya race in twenty-one campaigns. Though he wrests the control of the earth from the hands of the Kshatriyas, he is not prepared to rule over it. Everywhere chaos ensues, and the need for the strong arm to govern the people is keenly felt. According to one version of the story, on the retirement of Parasurama some of the Kshatriyas, who had stealthily escaped him, were encouraged to multiply and rule. According to another account, the Kshatriyas of the post-Parasurama age were all a mixed progeny of Kshatriya females and Brahmin males.¹ Two of the most skilled of the Kshatriya heroes of the Mahabharata, namely, Bhishma and Karna, were reputed to be the most favourite disciples of Parasurama, the Brahmin teacher of the Kshatriya's art. It is clear that the story of Parasurama owed its origin—and there are many discrepancies in this story to prove this contention—mainly to the desire of the Brahmins : first, to show that the Brahmin's wrong would not remain unavenged ; second, to impress the fact that the Brahmins, if they took to arms, would prove themselves immensely superior to the Kshatriyas in warfare and last, to humiliate the Kshatriyas.

We conclude from our discussion that the Brahmin was, during the period, very strongly entrenched and that he had sufficiently subdued the Kshatriya. Henceforth the Kshatriya as a serious competitor of the Brahmin vanishes from the field. Nevertheless he has been mortified. And it is our contention, that having succumbed in the age-long struggle within the fold, he breaks away and raises the banner of revolt. Both Jainism and Buddhism appear to us to be movements started by Kshatriyas of exceptional ability preaching a new philosophy which were utilized by their immediate followers for asserting the social superiority of the Kshatriyas over the Brahmins. Whatever be the express statements about caste, in the original preachings of Mahavira and Buddha, a close student of the early literature of these religious movements will feel convinced that the chief social aim of the early writers was the assertion of the pre-eminence

¹ Muir, i, p. 452.

of the Kshatriyas. It is a well-known fact that no Jain Tirthamkara was ever born in any but a Kshatriya family.¹ In Buddhist literature there are several examples where the enumeration of the four castes is headed by the Kshatriya, the Brahmin coming next. Many a time the Kshatriyas aggressively put forward claims for prior recognition over the Brahmins.² To Buddha himself is ascribed the saying that along with the Kshatriyas the Brahmins take precedence over the other castes in the matter of marks of respect to be shown to one's social superiors.³ A legend tells us that Buddha in one of his previous incarnations, wavered as to whether he should be reborn as a Brahmin or a Kshatriya and decided in favour of the latter alternative as the Kshatriya class was then regarded as higher than the Brahmin. In one of Buddha's discourses there is a dialogue between Buddha and Ambattha, a Brahmin student, in which the latter is represented as having acknowledged the fact that a Kshatriya's son by a Brahmin wife would be recognized as a Brahmin by the Brahmins but not by the Kshatriyas, because the latter accorded equal status only to the full-blooded Kshatriyas.⁴

The express ideas in the Buddhistic literature voice the feeling that caste has nothing to do either with material success in life or with reward after death. High caste is not protected from the effects of wrong doing, and to an ascetic caste ought to be a matter of utter indifference. One of the Jataka-stories ends with the declaration from the Bodhisattva that the virtuous do not ask one about his birth if his piety is well known. A person's worth in life is determined by right conduct and knowledge.⁵ Nevertheless, in the various anecdotes about Buddha's former lives an individual's status is regarded as fixed by his conduct and even sometimes by his birth. In a dispute between two youths as to whether a person is a Brahmin by birth or by his actions, Buddha is said to have given his decision in favour of the latter

¹ *Hinduism and Buddhism*, by Sir Charles Eliot, vol. i, p. 110.

² Fick, pp. 17-19, 81-7 ; also vide Fausbøll's translation of *Sutta-Nipata*, pp. 23, 52, 75, 192. Dr. E. J. Thomas observes in his book *The Life of Buddha*, p. 174 : "The claim of the Sakyas to belong to the best caste, that of the warriors, is well known ; and though in the discourses the Brahmins are treated respectfully, their claims are criticized and rejected."

³ Fick, p. 209.

⁴ *Ambattha'Sutta, Dighamkaya*, No. 3.

⁵ Fick, pp. 19-20, 28, 30.

alternative.¹ Thus Buddha is represented as being inclined to accept the divisions, basing them only on the individual's actions and not on his birth. That he meant these divisions to be status groups and not mere names is evident from another saying attributed to him, where the highest marks of social respect are claimed for the Kshatriyas and the Brahmins.² In actual practice it seems that birth determined an individual's status in society much more than the general remarks would lead us to expect. Nay, we are inclined to look upon this theorizing about the futility of caste-ideas as mere exhortations, similar to the theorizing of the Brahmins recorded in the Upanishads and the epic literature. Buddha, in one of his former lives, had a child by a courtesan who proposed that the child should be given the name of Buddha's father. Buddha is said to have thus reflected: "A child which is born of a low woman cannot possibly be given the family name."³ A king was fraudulently made to marry a girl born of a Kshatriya father and a slave mother as if she were a blue-blooded Kshatriya. When the king perceived the deceit practised on him he complained to Buddha, who, while consoling him, observed: "The family of the mother does not matter; the family of the father alone is important." Buddha's attitude as typified in this remark is not radically different from the brahmanical doctrine. The king evidently does not seem to have been satisfied. He is represented as having divested his low-born wife of all queenly honours. It may be interpreted to be the general rule of the times that the daughter of a Kshatriya male and a slave female could not be recognized as a Kshatriya.⁴ It is narrated in the introduction to one of the Jatakas how a Sakya-chief—the Kshatriya family in which Buddha himself was born—had great scruples about dining in the same plate with, or taking the food brought by his daughter by a slave woman.⁵ In this connection it may also be pointed out that, according to later works dealing with Buddha's life, Buddha is never represented to have chosen any but the families of the two higher castes for his previous births.⁶ In the opinion of the great majority of the monks, caste distinctions had value even after they had joined the

¹ Fick, pp. 220-1.

⁴ Fick, pp. 56-7.

² Fick, p. 209.

⁵ Fick, pp. 45-6.

³ Fick, pp. 20-1.

⁶ Fick, p. 86.

brotherhood. Buddha does not countenance this view or practice.¹ From these facts we conclude that in the matter of caste-restrictions the preachings and actions of Buddha had only a general liberalizing effect. He does not seem to have started with the idea of abolishing caste-distinctions, nor do his actions, as described in the Jataka-stories, demonstrate an utter indifference towards the accident of birth; much less do they evince any conscious effort to annihilate caste. Fick has rightly observed that it is wrong to look upon Buddha as a social reformer and Buddhism as a revolt against caste.²

Whatever might have been Buddha's own views and practice, it is indubitable that his immediate followers believed in the time-honoured restrictions of caste, and being most probably Kshatriyas themselves, utilized the opportunity, offered by Buddha's revolt, to establish Kshatriya pre-eminence among the four castes. The complete discomfiture of the Kshatriyas within the Brahmanic fold had made this course inevitable. Measuring their strength with the Brahmins and failing in the contest, they naturally turned their attention to the masses. In their appeal to the masses to recognize them as the real leaders of society, they must have availed themselves of the general opinion against the Brahmin and made an excellent use of the art of ridicule in drawing a ludicrous picture of the wide contrast between the Brahmin's professions and his practices.³ Use of a language, better understood than Sanskrit by the populace, immensely helped them in their cause. It is just because this must have been the probable genesis of Buddhism that the largest bulk of the immediate followers of Buddha were Kshatriyas and other well-to-do non-Brahmins who were dissatisfied with their low position within the Brahmanic fold.

¹ Fick, p. 83.

² Fick, p. 32.

³ *Sutta Nipata* (Fausbøll's translation), pp. 46-51.

CHAPTER IV

CASTE THROUGH THE AGES (*Continued*)

THE post-Vedic period testifies to the rigid stratification and internal solidarity of the four varnas. Each group was recognized as distinct, almost complete in itself, for its social life. Among the laws that were valid, provided they did not contradict the sacred precepts, are those of castes. "Cultivators, traders, money-lenders, and artisans have authority to lay down rules for their respective classes."^x It is clear that many of the sub-divisions within each varna—and undoubtedly by now there must have existed in each varna numerous sub-divisions—had rules of their own for their internal management. Jati or caste had now grown to be such an important and universal feature of social organization that in a lawsuit both the plaintiff and the defendant had to register their jati or caste along with their residence and their village, and one of the questions to be put to the witness of the defendant was to be about the latter's caste. While defamation of one's nation or village was punishable with the lowest amercement, that of one's own caste or guild, was meted with the middle one.² Allegiance to one's caste was thus more important than loyalty to one's nation or village. Correctly stating one's caste was evidently thought to be such a matter of common honesty that the level-headed Kautilya says that among persons who may be suspected to be murderers, etc., are those who misstate their caste and "gotra". Persons of low caste and avocation might also be suspected of such serious crimes.³

The four castes were even supposed to be distinguished by their origin and particular sacraments.⁴ When officers were chosen from all castes, their positions were to be so adjusted as not to disturb the caste-order of precedence.⁵ A number of major and minor offences are also enumerated as

¹ Gautama, p. 234 ; also compare *Arthatestra*, ii, p. 203.

² *Arthatastra*, ii, pp. 105, 183, 267. ³ *Arthasastra*, ii, pp. 260-1.

⁴ *Vasishtfia*, p. 25. ⁵ *JAOS.*, vol. xiii, p. 95.

leading to loss of caste.¹ Social intercourse, eating, and intermarriage seem to have been the visible marks of an individual's assimilation in his group.² Clearly these groups and subdivisions must have had each its own internal organization to carry out effectively the avoidance of social intercourse, eating and intermarriage with their defaulting members. Adherence to prescribed duties was not wholly left to the sweet will of the individual, nor was it only to be enforced by the group. It was the duty of the king to see that the prescribed duties were performed by the proper individuals. If a man always neglected his prescribed duty and did what was forbidden, his property, with the exception of what was required for food and raiment, would be attached till he reformed his ways.³

The rules and regulations governing social life and individual conduct differed according to the caste, only the four castes being taken into account. Others, like the mixed castes and the outcasts, are mentioned, but their status in the eye of law and morals is not clearly denned. Even the Dharma was apportioned according to caste. The Brahmin was entitled to the practice of the whole of it, the Kshatriya to three-fourths of it, the Vaisya to half, and the Sudra only to a quarter.⁴ A Brahmin was to be initiated in his eighth year, a Kshatriya in the eleventh, and a Vaisya in the twelfth.⁵ A student, while begging alms, must use different formulae varying with his caste.⁶ Of the eight forms of marriage only specific ones are enjoined for each caste. Where water is drunk for purification it must reach the heart, the throat, and the interior of the mouth in the case of the first three orders respectively. In the case of the Sudra it need touch only the extremity of the lips.⁷ The higher the caste the shorter was the period of impurity to be observed at birth and death.⁸ If a man of a lower varna carried the corpse of one of a higher caste or vice versa, the period of impurity was determined by the caste of the deceased. The higher castes had their cremation-grounds

¹ Baudhayana, pp. 217-18.

² Cf. Apastamba, p. 5.

³ Gautama, p. 238.

⁴ *Mahdbharata, Santi Parva*, 34, 82-3.

⁵ Baudhayana, p. 150.

⁶ Baudhayana, p. 151. Vasishtha, pp. 56-8.

⁷ Baudhayana, p. 167.

⁸ Vasishtha, p. 20. Gautama, p. 246.

in different localities from those of the lower castes.¹ While asking a guest about his health, the terms to be used, according to the caste of the guest, were "kusala" in the case of a Brahmin, "anamaya" in the case of a Kshatriya, and "arogya" in that of a Vaisya and a Sudra. A Brahmin must feed his Kshatriya guest after his Brahmin guests have had their meals. Other guests were to be fed together with one's servants.² In certain cases where a woman's husband had emigrated, the period of waiting prescribed for her varied according to her caste, being the shortest for a Sudra and the longest for a Brahmin female.³ The rate of interest chargeable to a Brahmin debtor was 2 per cent, to a Kashatriya 3 per cent, to a Vaisya 4 per cent, and to a Sudra 5 per cent per month. Abusing the habits of a member of a higher caste was punished with fines, commencing from the lowest caste, of three "panas" and rising higher. If a person of a higher caste abused one of the lower caste, fines commenced from two "panas" and proceeded in the descending order.⁴

Baudhayana allows a sane man of any of the four castes to be a competent witness in a legal proceeding.⁵ Vasishtha's opinion is less decided. He observes: "Srotriyas, men of unblemished form, of good character, men who are holy and love truth (are fit to be) witnesses. Or (men of) any (caste may give evidence) regarding (men of) any (other castes). Let him make women witnesses regarding women; for twice-born men, twice-born men of the same caste (shall be witnesses), and good Sudras for Sudras and men of low birth for low caste men."⁶ Kautilya prescribes for the four castes, different formulæ to be employed in addressing witnesses and in swearing them in.⁷ If a Sudra committed theft, he had to pay back eight times the value of the property stolen. In the case of a Vaisya thief, the penalty was doubled. A Kshatriya had to pay twice as much as a Vaisya and a Brahmin four times. If a learned man committed theft, the punishment was very much increased.⁸ A man committing adultery with a woman of his caste had to undergo

¹ Gautama, p. 250. *Arthashastra*, i, p. 56.

² Gautama, pp. 204-5. ³ Vasishtha, p. 92.

⁴ *Arthashastra*, ii, pp. 236-7.

⁵ Baudhayana, p. 204. ⁶ Gautama, p. 243.

⁷ Vasishtha, p. 82. *Arthashastra*, i, p. 176.

⁸ Gautama, p. 237.

a certain penance.¹ Adultery with a woman of a higher caste entailed punishment, the severity of which increased with the caste-status of the woman violated.² It seems that illicit intercourse with females of lower castes by males of higher castes was not regarded in the nature of a serious offence. Only adultery with a low-caste woman was condemned as a heinous crime, the punishment for which was either banishment or degradation to the caste of the woman.³ If a Brahmin killed a Brahmin, he was to be banished from the kingdom with the mark of a headless trunk branded on his forehead ; but if a man of any of the other three castes committed the same offence, the punishment was death and confiscation of all his property. For slaying a Kshatriya, a Vaisya and a Sudra the fine was a thousand, a hundred, and ten cows respectively with a bull for the expiation of the sin in each case.⁴ Even in the matter of taxation there seems to have been much inequality on the basis of caste. From Buddhist literature we gather that both the Kshatriyas and the Brahmins, though they owned the greater portion of the land, were free from taxes.⁶

The Sudra generally was regarded as so low that his food might not be accepted by the Brahmin. There is one exception to this attitude of the lawgivers, and that is the permission given by Apastamba for food being prepared by a Sudra under the guidance of the higher varnas.⁶ As we have suggested above this relaxation of the usual rule must have been necessitated by the special conditions of South India from which Apastamba hailed. The same author lays down very strict rules in the matter of acceptance of food by a Brahmin student, who has completed his studies but has not yet entered the life of a householder. In his opinion such a person shall not eat in the houses of people belonging to the three lower castes. He quotes, but evidently does not approve of, the view that he may take food offered by persons of the two castes, next in order, who follow the prescribed rules.⁷ Baudhayana exhorts such a person to beg only

¹ Baudhayana, p. 232. Apastamba, p. 165.

² Vasishtha, pp. 109-10. *Arthashastra*, ii, p. 285.

³ *Arthashastra*, ii, p. 285.

⁴ Baudhayana, pp. 201-2. Apastamba, pp. 78-9. *Arthashastra*, ii, p. 270.

⁵ Fick, p. 119. Apastamba, p. 103.

⁷ *Ibid.*, pp. 66-7.

uncooked food from the members of the first three castes and from the carpenters,¹ (lit. chariot-makers). He enjoins a Brahmin to eschew Sudra's food. A Brahmin observing this rule is said to be the worthiest object of gifts. If the injunction is broken, dreadful consequences will follow both for the recalcitrant individual as well as for his progeny. Recitation of the Veda or offering of sacrifices cannot obliterate the evil effects of such action. A person who dies with a Sudra's food in his stomach will be born again as a pig or a Sudra. If after partaking of such food a Brahmin be so unwise as to have conjugal intercourse, the offspring will belong to the Sudra, and the Brahmin cannot ascend to heaven.² In another passage Vasishtha gives a list of persons whose food must not be eaten. They are the outcast, the Sudra, the physician, the carpenter, the washerman, the cobbler, etc.³ Gautama is more strict in his rule, and allows a Brahmin to eat the food, evidently cooked food, given only by such of the twice-born persons as are praised for the proper performance of their duties. But he differs from others in this that he recognizes the claims of some people, who are of the Sudra class, for special treatment. The food offered by a herdsman, a husbandman, an acquaintance of the family, a barber, or a servant may be accepted.⁴ A Brahmin may also accept food at the hands of a trader who is not at the same time an artisan,⁵ the latter's food being forbidden by Apastamba to a Brahmin student returned home.⁶ According to Gautama the food of a carpenter is also taboo to a Brahmin.⁷

The idea that certain persons defile, while others sanctify the company, if they sit down to a meal in one row, is present in the Sutras.⁸ In this idea lies the origin of the later practice not to dine in the same row with people of other castes than one's own. Apastamba enjoins a Brahmin student, who has returned home, not to eat sitting in the same row with unworthy people.⁹ The shrewd Kautilya advises a spy, engaged in spreading discontent among certain corporations, to make the superiors interdine and intermarry with the inferiors if the custom of any corporation prevented such

¹ p. 159.

⁴ Gautama, p. 262.

⁷ Gautama, p. 264.

² Vasishtha, pp. 38-9.

⁸ Ibid., p. 268.

⁸ Vasishtha, p. 19.

³ Ibid., p. 69.

⁶ Apastamba, p. 67.

⁹ Apastamba, p. 61.

equal to a Sudra.¹ According to the Arthashastra the Chandalas had to live beyond the burial grounds, and a simile used by the author makes it quite clear that the Chandalas had their own reservoirs of water which the other classes would not use.² There are many stories in the Jatakas, illustrating the scrupulous avoidance of the proximity of persons belonging to a despised or even a very low caste. The fear of pollution was not entirely confined to the Brahmins, but sensitive females like the daughter of a merchant in the story showed it in an excessive degree. The impurity of a person could also be imparted to objects touched by him.³ The Chandalas lived outside the town in a hamlet of their own, and it seems that the other low castes, mentioned together with them, like Pukkasa, Rathakara, Nishada did likewise.⁴

The ideal theory of castes laid down certain duties as common to all of them and some as specific to each. Out of these some were prescribed as the authorized modes of gaining livelihood, and were generally peculiar to each caste and ordinarily forbidden to the others. The Brahmin must subsist on teaching and officiating as priest at the sacrifices of the castes that were entitled to offer such religious worship and by accepting gifts. The Kshatriya was to live by administration and the profession of arms. Agriculture, trade, and pastoral pursuits were to be exploited by the Vaisya, while the one occupation prescribed for the Sudra was service of the other castes.⁵ In times of distress one might follow the occupation peculiar to the lower orders, but never that of the higher, and preferably the one prescribed for the caste next in status to one's own.⁶ Gautama is more lenient than other lawgivers and allows a Brahmin to take to agriculture and trade as lawful occupations, provided he employs servants to carry on the actual business and does not do the work himself.⁷ That the Brahmins engaged themselves in occupations other than the lawful ones, either out of necessity or even ordinarily, is clear from the injunction not to entertain at a Sraddha-dinner

¹ p. 244.

²

ii, pp. 27 and 59.

³ Fick, pp. 89, 43, 44.

⁴

Ibid., pp. 318, 823.

⁵ Vasishtha, p. 11. Gautama, pp. 224-30. Apastamba, pp. 122-3.

⁶ Vasishtha, p. 12. Gautama, pp. 209, 211. Baudhayana, pp. 235-6.

⁷ p. 225.

Brahmins who follow the profession of Kshatriyas, or live by trade or by handicrafts.¹ When a Brahmin practises trade he is enjoined to abstain from dealing in certain articles. If he engages in agriculture, he must not yoke to his plough bullocks whose noses are pierced, nor must he plough after breakfast.² A few occupations, like that of the carpenter, the charioteer, and the bard, are assigned to some of the mixed castes that are described as the result of mesalliance between the four castes. Kautilya allows all the mixed castes, in addition to their specific vocations, or, in case there is any doubt about their proper callings, to take up the occupations of the Sudra.³ It will be noticed that the theory of occupations as proper pursuits of specific castes does not accommodate the artisans. It is not that there were no artisans in the society but that their status does not seem to have been definitized. Indeed, Gautama distinguishes the artisans from those who live by personal labour, the latter expression being understood by the commentator to denote labourers like carriers.* Nay, crafts were so much advanced that pure artisans, who did not deal in their handiworks, were distinguished from artisan-traders.⁵ Kautilya fills in the gap by assigning to the Sudra, in addition to his usual duty of service, the work of artisans and court-bards. He also allows him to engage in agriculture, trade and pastoral pursuits.⁶ That even members of the Kshatriya caste did engage in agriculture and other pursuits, not proper for their class, is proved by the Kambojas and Saurashtras, who, though they are described as corporations of warriors, lived by agriculture and trade as much as by the profession of arms.⁷ In view of the later degradation of the artisans it is to be noted that Kautilya already describes them as of naturally impure character.⁸

In the Buddhistic literature many of the occupations are represented as having been hereditary, and some of the classes like that of the Brahmin and the Gahapati, the representative of the Vaisya, had definitely come to be regarded as such rigid castes that, though their members

¹ Apastamba, p. 144. Gautama, p. 255.

² Vasishtha, pp. 12-13. Bauddhayana, p. 286.

³ Arthaitetra, i, p. 165₆ Gautama, p. 228.

⁵ Ibid., p. 263. Arikṣastra, ii, p. 7.

⁷ Ibid., p. 437. Ibid., p. 221.

followed other occupations than those customary for their classes, they remained the members of their castes.¹ The Jatakas mention villages each of which consisted wholly of the followers of one occupation. Thus there were smiths' villages and potters' villages.² Some of the crafts are spoken of as low, e.g. that of the barber, who is also referred to as of inferior caste.³ Though the Buddhistic evidence as regards the actual professions taken up by the contemporary Brahmins must be taken with some discount—it was but natural for the Buddhist writers to hold up to ridicule their opponents by depicting them as violators of their own precepts—yet it must be pointed out that the cultivating and cattle-rearing Brahmin is an oft-recurring figure in the Pali texts and once even a Brahmin carpenter is mentioned.⁴

Though the orthodox theory of caste is stated in terms of only the broad categories of occupations, yet there are enough indications that in daily life further distinctions based on specialization were recognized. Traders and craftsmen are mentioned in specific relation to their special merchandise and craft. Giving directions for laying out the plan of the environs of the royal palace within the fort, Kautilya enjoins the localization of trades and crafts in various quarters; and in this connection he mentions, as separate groups, dealers in grain, purveyors of liquids, dealers in cooked rice, those who sell flesh, manufacturers of cotton threads, workers in bamboo, workers in hides, makers of gloves, ironsmiths and artisans working in precious stones.⁵ From the evidence of the Jataka literature we may conclude that these specific occupations were hereditary,⁶ though the Brahmanic theory of castes referred only to the larger categories. The Jatakas further bear testimony to the then incipient practice of naming sub-divisions of artisans on the basis of differences in the methods employed in carrying on the craft. Thus the fishermen who used nets and baskets were called "Kevattas" in contradistinction to "Balisikas" who were angling fishermen.⁷

The lawgivers look upon marriage in one's own caste among the four orders as the most ideal and in a way the

¹ Fick, pp. 180-1, 255, 276, 278.

³ Ibid., pp. 327-30.

⁵ *Arthashastra*, ii, pp. 58-9.

⁷ Ibid., p. 302.

² Ibid., p. 280.

Ibid., pp. 241, 246.

⁶ Fick, pp. 276, 278-9.

only sanctified practice, though they are prepared to recognize marriages outside the caste as perfectly lawful. Vasishtha and Gautama exhort a person about to enter on the life of a householder, to marry a female of one's own caste and the latter opines that it is only the virtuous sons, born of wives of equal caste, who are married in accordance with the approved rites, that sanctify their father's family.¹ Baudhayana recognizes only the son by a wife of equal caste as a sapinda, sons by wives of other castes being not so reckoned.² But, probably in conformity with the practice of their day, these lawgivers allowed males of higher castes to marry females of lower castes, though they refused to allow the issue of such marriages equal rights with the sons of equal marriages. In the matter of inheritance, for example, the share of a son in his patrimony varied according to the caste-status of his mother.³ The offspring of such unequal unions are said to belong neither to the caste of the father nor to that of the mother but are relegated to separate classes, called the intermediate castes. The names of these castes as given by Gautama do not correspond to those given by Baudhayana except in the case of the issue of the marriage of a Brahmin male and a Sudra female.⁴ In the case of the first three castes Baudhayana declares that the offspring of a male of the higher caste and a female of the next lower caste are "savarnas" or of equal caste. Consistently he gives the name of the issue of the marriage of a Brahmin with a Kshatriya female as a Brahmin, and that of the union of a Kshatriya male and a Vaisya female as a Kshatriya. This seems to represent the old practice, when marriages between males of higher castes and females of castes next in order were regarded as perfectly regular and entailed no disqualification on the issue. For even Gautama calls the issue of the union of a Brahmin male and a Kshatriya female "savarna", though refusing to give a similar name to the progeny of a Kshatriya male and a Vaisya female. Kautilya's nomenclature of the mixed castes agrees with that of Baudhayana except in the case of the issue of a Vaisya male and a Sudra female. But he designates the children

¹ Vasishtha, p. 42. Gautama, pp. 194, 197.

² p. 178.³ Baudhayana, p. 225.

⁴ Gautama, pp. 195-6. Baudhayana, pp. 197-8.

of the unions of males of the Brahmin and Kshatriya castes and females of the Kshatriya and Vaisya castes respectively as " savarnas ", and does not explicitly speak of them as Brahmins and Kshatriyas.¹

That restriction on such marriages was being newly put during this period is rendered probable by the fact that the rule clearly enunciated in the works of the next period, viz. that only a wife of equal caste can be a full and lawful participant in the religious ceremonies of her husband, does¹ not find its counterpart in the legal literature of this period. The lawgivers, as we shall note below, disqualify for religious rites only a Sudra wife. Nay, we have reason to believe that the still older practice contemplated unrestricted marriages of the males of the two highest castes with females of the two lower castes—Kshatriya and Vaisya. It is said in the Mahabharata that the sons of a Brahmin born of wives of the first three castes are Brahmins.²

We may conclude that in olden days Brahmins could marry females from any of the first three castes and Kshatriyas from their own and from among the Vaisyas without any stigma. The lawgivers of this period restricted this custom. While some of them recognized marriages of males of higher castes with females of the next lower caste as unobjectionable, others confined this attitude to the marriage of a Brahmin with a Kshatriya female and regarded the marriage of a Brahmin or a Kshatriya with a Vaisya female as outlandish. This view of marriage with a Vaisya female might have been due to the progressive assimilation of the Vaisya caste to the Sudras, which we have delineated above.

Marriage with a Sudra female, though theoretically allowed for all the castes, is discountenanced in many practical ways. First, even Baudhayana and Kautilya, who look with no disfavour on the marriage of a male of a higher caste with a female of the next lower caste, do not concede the social status of its father to the child of a Vaisya by a Sudra female. Kautilya regards such an issue as Sudra, while Baudhayana calls it Rathakara. Vasishtha expressly forbids any of the first three castes to marry a Sudra female. Such a union according to him leads to the degradation of the

¹ *Arthashastra*, i, p. 164. ² C. V. Vaidya, *Epic India*, p. 50.

family in this life and to loss of heavenly bliss in the next.¹ He forbids a householder, who has built the fire-altar for a Srauta sacrifice, to approach a Sudra wife, for she is meant for pleasure and not for the fulfilment of the sacred duties. He quotes an opinion that the issue of a Brahmin male and a Sudra female is as impure as a corpse.² Gautama places the claims of such offspring on a par with the rights of persons that are the issue of marriages between lower caste males and females of the higher castes because both of them are outside the pale of the sacred law.³ Thus the lawgivers definitely set their face against the marriages of men of the first three castes with Sudra females. This is the first pronounced restriction on marriage of the nature of endogamy in Hindu society.

The unions of males of lower castes with females of higher castes are contemplated and specifically treated. Yet they are not at all countenanced. As a matter of fact, they are not marriages at all. The progeny of such unions is declared to be without the pale of the sacred law,⁴ though the names of the different groups formed by them according to the difference in the caste of the father and the mother are given.⁵ Such unions are so despised that their offspring, though their actual parentage may be unknown, are held capable of being singled out, because such persons certainly are destitute of virtue and good conduct.⁶ Chandala—the untouchable and unapproachable class of this period—is represented as the issue of the union of a Sudra male with a Brahmin female.

The fresh groups, formed by the offspring of the unions of males of higher castes with females of the second lower castes, and those resulting from their unions, may intermarry and give rise to other castes. But these last mentioned mixed castes must marry among themselves.⁷

It seems reasonable to conclude that endogamy was being sought to be rigorously prescribed and was followed to a large extent, and that the writers were at great pains to explain the origin of so many different castes, which had sprung up either by miscegenation, local segregation,

¹ Vasishtha, pp. 5-6. ² ⁴ Ibid., pp. 95-6.
 • Gautama, pp. 197, 806. ³ Ibid., p. 197.
 • Baudhayana, pp. 197-8- Vasishtha, pp. 195-6. *Arthashastra*, ii,
 pp. 202-3.
 • Vasishtha, p. 94. ⁵ *Arthashastra*, ii, p. 203.

occupational specialization, or tribal incorporation, because the orthodox theory mentioned only four castes.

Fick summarizes the evidence of the Jatakas thus: "Marriage within one's own 'jati' (caste) was the rule. Everywhere in the Jatakas we meet with the effort to keep the family pure through marriage confined to people of one's own standing and profession, and not to allow it to degenerate through mixture with lower elements. When the parents desire to marry their son they seek a maiden of the same caste for him." Yet there are instances in the Jatakas where the barriers of caste against intermarriage are surmounted. Endogamy was rather a universal custom than a rigid rule of caste.¹

Gautama is the one amongst the lawgivers who recognizes the possibility of a change of caste, which can only come about by marriage.² If a Brahmin male married a Vaisya female the progeny formed a separate caste. If a girl of this caste was married to a Brahmin male, and if their daughter again was married in the same way, and if such unions were continued for five or seven generations from the girl of the original mixed stock, then the issue would be regarded as Brahmins. Evidently only the progeny through a female could thus be raised to a higher caste. The male issue of such mixed marriages could marry either among themselves or in the caste of their mother. Their progeny in the fifth or the seventh generation, if the males continued to marry in the caste of their mother, was reduced to the caste of the female of the original mixed stock. Suitable marriages, continued through generations, alone could effect a change in caste. That this elaborate rule should have led to any practical results is more than doubtful.

The epics contain some examples of intercaste marriages, but they illustrate the practice prevailing among the aristocracy and the sages. We will leave out the sages as their stories are shrouded in much mystic lore. As for the aristocracy we have already mentioned that Dasaratha had a Sudra female as one of his wives. But the Ramayana does not drop any hint that the children of the union were regarded as in any way different from the Kshatriyas. Santanu married Satyavati, the daughter of a fisherman. In

¹ Fick, pp. 51-3.

» pp. 196-7.

the story of the Mahabharata no suggestion is made that the issue would be considered lower than the Kshatriyas. The girl's father extorts a promise from the king that the son of Satyavati by him shall be the heir to the throne to the exclusion of his other son, not because he feared that the status of the son of his daughter would debar him from his right to the throne, but because the other son of the king, being the eldest, would be entitled to it. As a matter of fact, the Kauravas and the Pandavas, the heroes of the Mahabharata, are represented as the descendants of the line of Satyavati. Though the Kshatriyas were willing to marry females of lower castes and bring up the progeny as their equals, yet they could not tolerate their daughters marrying men of faulty descent. Thus Draupadi at her choice-marriage raised an objection against Karna on the ground of his low birth.

The third period¹ of Indian history, as we have conceived the periods, is marked by two developments in the ideals of the Hindu Dharma, which had an important bearing on the theory and practice of caste. The glorification of gifts to Brahmins, which became so absorbing a feature of later Hinduism, was largely the contribution of this age. True it is that certain hymns in the Rigveda praise the giving of gifts, and are known as Dana-Stutis, but they remained outside the main currents of the Upanishadic age, which was seething with philosophical discussions. Nor are they so definitive in their tone as the dictum of Manu that gifts alone—and by gifts Manu unequivocally means gifts to Brahmins—is the supreme duty of man in the Kali age.² Another noteworthy development is the schematic growth of imaginary hells as punishments for certain offenders and the progressive application of the doctrine of rebirth. Penances have been always ordained for specific breaches of sacred rules. If these are not performed by the offenders, then the picture of torments in hell is held before the sinners. The dread of these imaginary hells was a new and perhaps a more effective method of seeing that penances were scrupulously performed. Unhesitating faith in the penances

¹ Data which are common to the last period and the two succeeding ones are not repeated. Only such details as shed light on the development of the institution are collated.

² Manu, i, 86.

82 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

naturally adds to the importance of the Brahmins. The theory of rebirth is sought to be skilfully employed as a sanction for certain rules of conduct. The perpetrator of a particular offence, it is declared, will be born in such and such a low station. The murderer of a Brahmin will be reborn not as man but only as some beast. Those who relinquish without necessity their divinely ordained occupations will become the servants of the Dasyus. A Sudra who has fallen from his duty becomes an evil spirit feeding on moths.¹ Dread of horrible future births must have helped to uphold the proper practice of at least some of the rules connected with caste. The total result of the pronounced developments of these tendencies in this period is to be seen in the preposterous claims put forward in favour of the Brahmins.

According to Manu the Brahmin is the lord of this whole creation, because he is produced from the purest part of the Supreme Being, namely the mouth. Both the gods and manes have to receive their offerings through them. They are, therefore, the most exalted amongst men, so much so that, by his mere birth as a Brahmin, a person is the living embodiment of the eternal law. The function that fire served in Vedic worship is now discharged by the Brahmin. He replaces, so to say, fire as an intermediary between man and the gods. A Brahmin alone can become one with Brahman, for only he of all the varnas is entitled to enter the fourth stage of life, viz. asceticism. Feeding the Brahmins is one of the acknowledged ways of gaining religious merit.² Inscriptions of this period testify to the fact that this was not a mere pious wish of the Brahmins, but a living reality acted up to by contemporary men and women.³ A Brahmin is entitled to whatever exists in the world. In fact, the whole world is his property, and others live on his charity.⁴ So sacred are they that not to wait on them is one of the reasons leading to the degradation of the Kshatriyas. In purity they are compared with fire and water, the two pre-eminently purifying agents. Whatever forbidden acts they do in adversity do not, therefore, contaminate them.⁶

¹ Manu, xii, 54-80 ; also cf. Yajnavalkya, pp. 1243-58.

² *Collection of Smritis*, p. 423.

³ H. G. Bhandarkar, *A Peep into the Early History of India*, p. 53.

⁴ Manu, i, pp. 93-101.

Manu, x, 43, 103.

Vishnu is more audacious than Manu in asserting the worth of the Brahmins. He is not satisfied with claiming that they are the intermediaries between man and the gods, but would like to enthrone them as the equals of gods, nay even as their masters. He observes : " The gods are invisible deities, the Brahmins are visible deities. The Brahmins sustain the world. It is by the favour of the Brahmins that the gods reside in the heavens ; a speech uttered by Brahmins (whether a curse or a benediction) never fails to come true. What the Brahmins pronounce, when highly pleased (as, if they promise sons, cattle, wealth, or some other boon to a man) the gods will ratify ; when the visible gods are pleased the invisible gods are surely pleased as well."¹

Naturally many are the privileges that are claimed for the Brahmins. We will mention only a few of them, not previously noticed. While in the last period it was only the Sudra who was enjoined to serve the varnas and particularly the Brahmin, now all the three varnas are exhorted to serve the Brahmin, the theory being that each lower caste owes subservience to all the higher castes.² Narada exhorts a king to be constantly showing honour to the Brahmins for " a field furnished with Brahmins is the root of the prosperity of the world ". The king must show himself first in the morning to the Brahmins and salute them. To them belongs the right to collect flowers and such other things, to converse with other men's wives without any restraint, and to cross rivers without paying any fare for the ferry. If engaged in trade, they may use the ferry without paying any toll.³ Yet with all his exaltation a Brahmin is exhorted to follow the rules of conduct laid down for him lest he should miss the fruit of his Vedic studies. And the old saying, applauding the union of the Brahmin and the Kshatriya, is glibly paraded forth.⁴

The Sudra gets socially more degraded, though ways and means are discovered for his religious emancipation. In the latter connection, the later division into " sat" and " asat"—good and bad—Sudras first makes its appearance. And the rules regarding social and moral life, evincing greater degradation of the class, must evidently have been devised for the use of the latter class, viz. the asat Sudras. Servitude

¹ Vishnu, p. 77.

³ Narada, pp. 218-19.

²

⁴

Collection of Smritis, p. 122.
Manu, ix, 822.

is proclaimed to be a permanent condition of a Sudra, whether he be actually bought or not. A Sudra must not acquire wealth, because thereby he causes pain to the Brahmins. Manu roundly declares that a Sudra cannot commit an offence causing loss of his caste, so degraded was he.¹ Where some kinds of spirituous liquors are forbidden to the members of the twice-born castes, the Sudra is left to himself.² Evidently the Sudra was regarded beyond the pale of moral influence. The Brahmin did not even condescend to expect of him an adherence to his high moral precepts. A householder, when sipping water for personal purification, must not use it if brought by a Sudra. A member of the first three castes must not travel in the company of Sudras. It seems that the Sudras were considered to impart some sort of defilement to objects like bed and seat by their touch.³

In the sphere of religion the tendency is to forbid to the Sudra the use of the most efficacious formulae and rites on the one hand, and to exhort him to perform most of the daily rites and the obligatory sacraments prescribed for the other castes. The doctrine of salvation through the conscientious discharge of the duties proper to caste is, indeed, mentioned here and there. But evidently the Brahmin lawgivers of this period did not feel fully satisfied with that method of salvation. They had come to possess too much faith in the efficacy of the rites and sacraments to allow them to be neglected even by the Sudra. The liberalizing influence of the Buddhist revolt "and of Vaishnava compassion led the Brahmins to devise ways and means of assuring spiritual betterment to the Sudra in the Brahmanic way of rites and sacraments. It must also be mentioned that the performance of the Brahmanic rites and sacraments by a large section of the people had a selfish interest for the Brahmin, which he could not have entirely lost sight of. Rites and sacraments require the services of a Brahmin. The Sudra caste—the largest section of the people—was the only one which was denied sacred knowledge. If the Sudras wanted to perform the Brahmanic rites and sacraments they would invariably have to requisition the services of a Brahmin. To allow the Sudra the privilege of these sacred performances

¹ Manu, viii, 413-14 ; x, 126.

² Vishnu, pp. 95-6.

³ Vishnu, pp. 99, 198-9.

was thus to ensure a large clientele for the Brahmin. Yet neither the genuine desire to widen the scope of his religious regulations so as to make it possible for the lowly Sudra to work for his spiritual uplift in the Brahmanic way, nor the selfish motive of procuring mass clientele was strong enough for the Brahmin to override completely his supreme faith in the sanctity of his lore or his high regard for ceremonial purity. He began to make a distinction between the Sudra who behaved properly according to the Brahmin's ideas, and the Sudra who was slovenly enough not to come up to this standard of conduct—the "sat" and the "asat" Sudra. Only the former class of Sudras was allowed the privilege of the practice of rites and sacraments though without the use of the Vedic formulae.

Yajnavalkya opines that the usual sacraments are accompanied by the recital of mantras only in the case of the first three castes. The Sudras, by implication, were to perform them without the use of Vedic formulae. They had to use the ordinary formula of "namas" (obeisance). They may offer in the same way the daily sacrifices on the domestic fire and also the annual offering to the manes.¹ According to the Sukraniti, a Sudra must perform all the sacred duties incumbent on him with the help of the "namas" formula, which is here explained in the terminology, usual in the next period, as the mantra given in the Puranas.² Atri, Laghusankha, and Likhita draw a distinction between the Sudra and the other castes by enjoining the Sudra to undertake only charitable and welfare works and to eschew the Vedic performances.³ Manu is more rigorous in his refusal of the right of the Sudra to fulfil the sacred law of the Aryas. But even he is prepared to make a concession in the case of those Sudras who are desirous of gaining merit, and exhorts them to imitate the practices of the virtuous.⁴ Yajnavalkya also seems to recognize the higher claims of those Sudras whose conduct is proper according to the Brahmanic ideas.⁵ Ushanas, Brhadyama, and Laghuvishnu classify the Sudras into sat and asat.⁶ The most potent agent for personal

¹ Yajnavalkya, pp. 11, 86. ² Sukraniti, p. 363.

³ Collection of Smritis, pp. 11, 124, 182.

⁴ Manu, x, 126, 128. Yajnavalkya, p. 1165.

⁶ Collection of Smritis, pp. 48, 101, 122.

purification that the Brahmins have thought of, viz. the liquid made up by the mixture of cow's milk, curds, ghee, urine, and dung is, however, considered so sacred a thing that if a Sudra drinks it, he commits as heinous a sin as a Brahmin who drinks spirituous liquor.¹

The approximation of the Vaisya to the Sudra, already noticed in the last period, is carried further in this. In the account of the creation of the four castes from the body of the Supreme Being, Manu groups the two castes together as being produced out of that half of the body, which is less sanctified than the other half, above the navel, from which the Brahmin and the Kshatriya are represented to have emerged.² While dealing with the question as to who should be treated as his guest by a Brahmin, Manu and Vishnu recommend that a Vaisya and a Sudra guest may be allowed to eat with one's servants, out of compassion.³ Yajnavalkya prescribes for the Vaisya the same period of death-impurity as the one he recommends for a good Sudra.⁴ We have seen that a Brahmin could not generally accept food offered by a Sudra, though he could eat what was given to him by a Vaisya. Some writers of this period extend this privilege to the good Sudras, thus putting them on a par with the Vaisyas.⁵

Of the castes that are supposed to spring from mixed marriages the offspring of hypergamous unions among the first three castes are allowed by Manu the rites and duties of the twice-born. The other mixed castes, according to him, are entitled only to the religious privileges of the Sudra.⁶ Yajnavalkya's view is clearly stated only in the case of the Chandala, whom he describes as outside the pale of the sacred law.⁷ Sukranlti strikes a different note, more in consonance with the religious feelings of the later period, when worship through muttering the name of god came to be believed to be the universal and easy method of spiritual uplift. The issue of the mixed marriages of a hypergamous nature, excepting perhaps the offspring of a Brahmin male and a Kshatriya female, are to be treated as Sudras. This view is in marked contrast with that of the Dharma literature,

¹ Vishnu, p. 175. *Collection of Smritis*, p. 23.

² Manu, i, 92.

⁴ Yajnavalkya, p. 1165.

⁶ Manu, x, 41.

Manu, iii, 112. Vishnu, p. 216.

⁵ *Collection of Smritis*, p. 122.

⁷ Yajnavalkya, p. 67.

but in perfect conformity with that of the Arthashastra. Progeny of unions in the inverse order of castes is assigned to a new category, to be met with in the caste-organization of the later period. They are the lowest of the Sudras and must practise their duties for their religious uplift by repeating only the name of god.¹ Thus the pratilomas (offspring of unions of females of higher and males of lower castes) are no doubt outside the pale of the Brahmanic law, as they were in the last period ; but the general tendency of liberalizing religious regulations, as we observed has effected a change in the attitude towards the necessity of spiritual betterment for these groups. And the newer method of religious worship is thrown open to them.

The solidarity of a caste as a unit of social organization is more and more acknowledged. It is the duty of the king to see that the various castes observe their own rules and regulations, and to bring back the erring members to their path of duty. He has also to differentiate between different castes by appropriate marks of distinction.² The king must inquire into the laws of castes before settling disputes. When enacting any law he must see that the proposed law is not at variance with the customs of castes.³ Narada recognizes the right of a member of a caste to succeed to the property of the deceased in case he has no near heirs entitled to succession.⁴ Yajnavalkya requires a suitor to mention his caste in his plaint.⁵ The solidarity of the Brahmin caste is very pronounced. Members of the caste owe certain duties to fellow-members on certain occasions. One of them is that of inviting certain neighbours of the caste to a dinner-party, at which twenty Brahmins are entertained.⁶

What constitutes effective association between members of a group is important from the point of view of the development of caste. Vishnu's views on association, though they govern the outcasts and the " mlecchas ", are very much like the ideas that regulated social intercourse between caste and caste or between the members of a single caste in later times. Sitting on the same bench, riding in the same carriage, lying on the same couch, or eating in the company of a person

¹ *Sukraniti*, p. 164.

² Yajnavalkya, p. 218. Narada, p. 215. *Sukraniti*, p. 164.

³ Mami, viii, 41, 46. *Sukraniti*, p. 187.

⁴ Narada, p. 201.

⁵ Yajnavalkya, p. 247.

⁶ Manu, viii, 302.

88 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

proves such close relations that if a person happens to be an outcast, the person associating with him in the ways indicated above, becomes himself an outcast after a year. One who habitually drinks water from or bathes in a pool situated in a foreign country reduces himself to the status of the inhabitant of that country.¹ We can clearly recognize in these ideas, once they were applied to social behaviour, the potency to create, in course of time, newer and newer castes.

Food offered by a Sudra is generally forbidden to a Brahmin as in the last period, but with this difference that, in addition to the specifically privileged Sudras, there is the class of the good Sudras whose food may be accepted. The general tenor of the detailed regulations leads us to believe that this permitted food was to be either uncooked or specially prepared in milk or ghee. According to Vishnu, a member of the first three castes has to undergo a penance if he takes food offered by a carpenter, a blacksmith, a goldsmith, a dealer in molasses and other liquids, an oil-presser, a weaver, a dyer of cloths, a cane-worker, or a washerman. The later distinction between food prepared without the addition of water and that in which water is used is mentioned by Atri. He allows a Brahmin to accept from a Sudra anything that is prepared in ghee and articles like milk, buttermilk, curds, etc. Consistently with this distinction in food the same writer forbids a Brahmin to take water from a Sudra. The food offered even by a Kshatriya and a Vaisya was not considered to be perfectly innocuous.²

The origin of the later practice for members of different castes not to sit in the same row for taking meals must be discovered in an idea expressed by Angiras. He opines that if, among persons taking their meals sitting in a row, one of them happens to have committed any sin, all the others share it. This possibility of guilt can, however, be evaded by the interposition of doors, posts, ashes, and water.³

We have already noticed that Vishnu enjoins the householders of the first three castes not to travel in the company of the Sudras. The reason for this injunction was that

¹ Vishnu, pp. 138, 255.

² Vishnu, pp. 163, 164. *Collection of Smritis*, pp. 3, 4, 16, 20, 122, 392.

³ *Collection of Smritis*, p. 5.

probably the Sudra was considered to impart pollution by contact. As a matter of fact, according to the interpretation put by the commentator on another rule, the Sudra's touch defiled objects like vehicles and seats and thus rendered them unfit for use unless water was sprinkled over them.¹ Angiras requires a Brahmin to sip water for purification if he comes in contact with a washerman, a leather-worker, a fisherman, or a cane-worker. A Brahmin, drinking water from the vessel of a Chandala, has to undergo the penance of living on cow's urine for a number of days. Nay, Laghusankha goes even further and prescribes this penance if a Brahmin happens to drink water from a well from which a Chandala has drawn water. Samvarta's opinion is still more stringent inasmuch as he regards even running water as defiled, if it is used by the Antyajās or outcasts. Atri declares a washerman, a leather-worker, a cane-worker, a fisherman, and a Bhil to be Antyajās or outcasts. Vedavyasa adds to this list several other castes. According to Usanas, the Chandala was to enter a village for sweeping, etc., in the first half of the day, carrying a broom under his armpit and a small pot hanging at his neck.² These regulations remind one of Poona life in the days of the Peshwas.

The later theory of pollution by contact and its limits finds its first mention in Vrddhaharita who observes that the effect of contact is limited to three persons, the fourth person if touched being free. A regulation of Atri about touch-pollution fully breathes the later spirit. In sacrificial sessions, in religious and marriage processions, and on all festive occasions there is no question of untouchability.³

The traditional scheme of occupations of the four castes is laid down as usual, but with some modifications, quite in keeping with the changed attitude towards the status of some of these groups. Thus, Yajnavalkya allows a Sudra, in case of necessity, to engage in trade, which was erstwhile regarded as the sole preserve of the Vaisyas.⁴ Agriculture, again, in the opinion of some, was a proper occupation for the Sudra. Further, it was more and more regarded as a suitable occupation for all castes.⁵ Nevertheless, Manu, as

¹ Vishnu, p. 99.

² Collection of Smritis, pp. 1, 16, 18, 22, 46, 125-6, 357, 421.

³ Collection of Smritis, pp. 20, 314. ⁴ Yajnavalkya, p. 85.

⁵ Collection of Smritis, pp. 178, 273. Sukraniti, p. 151.

90 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

usual, represents the more orthodox view. Remarking that some regard agriculture as an excellent occupation, he contends that it is blameworthy because the plough injures the earth and the living organisms.¹ There are indications that the ideal occupations prescribed for the four castes were not necessarily followed by them. The Brahmins particularly seemed to have taken to many of the occupations that were either entirely forbidden to them or allowed only in straightened circumstances. This is the only legitimate inference we can draw from the long lists of unholy Brahmins who must not be invited to a Sraddha dinner.² Charudatta, a Brahmin by birth, is represented in the play "Mrcchakatika" as following the occupation of a Vaisya.³ That the occupation of the Kshatriya was often usurped by other castes is abundantly clear from the accounts of some of the ruling houses of this period. Harshavardhana of Kanauj, by contemporary account, was a Vaisya,⁴ while the Kadamba rulers of Banawasi were Brahmins.⁵

We have seen above the reason advanced by Manu why agriculture should be regarded as an unworthy occupation for the Brahmin. In regard to other occupations we do not know the grounds on which the status of an occupation was determined. Yet there is no doubt that there was some denned scheme of status of different occupations which depended not so much on their lucrateness as on their ceremonial purity. Narada distinguishes all work as either pure or impure, and mentions the following as impure work : sweeping the gateway, the privy, and the road, rubbing the master's limbs, shampooing the secret parts, and gathering and putting away the leavings of food, ordure, and urine.⁸

There is still permission for the three castes to marry outside their varna excepting from among the Sudras. But a wife of lower varna is declared to be unfit for the performance of religious rites.

The number of new groups formed by unions between the members of the four castes, and by further intermarriages between these groups and so on, is no longer the limited

¹ Manu, x, 84. ² Manu, iii, 150-66.

³ *The Little Clay Cart*, translated by A. W. Ryder, 1905, pp. 138, 151.

⁴ Vaidya, vol. 1, pp. 61-2.

⁵ V. A. Smith, *The Oxford History of India*, 1923, p. 199.

⁶ Narada, pp. 131-2.

number that it was in the last period.¹ With all this multiplicity, however, to each group is ascribed some more or less definite occupation. It appears that in reality new occupational groups having the characteristics of castes had arisen, and the Brahmanic account of their origins was a mere theory based on permutations and combinations of the four original castes which bounded the Brahmins' mental horizon.

That intercaste marriages were not absolutely out of vogue even to the end of the period under review is proved by epigraphical and other data. But it seems that except in the case of a Brahmin marrying a Sudra female the issue of such marriages were not singled out for special treatment, proper for a class different from that of the father or the mother. It is recorded by a Chinese traveller that the daughter of King Harsha, who was a Vaisya, was married to a Kshatriya, and it does not appear that the children of the union were regarded as anything else than Kshatriyas.² A Jodhpur inscription of the latter half of the ninth century mentions the case of a Brahmin, who had two wives, one of whom was a Kshatriya lady. The children of this lady were classed as Kshatriyas.³ In the tenth century, Rajasekhara, a Brahmin, took a Chahamana lady to wife.⁴ An inscription from Bengal, belonging to the seventh century, describes a certain individual as "parasava" and makes him the issue of a Brahmin father and a Sudra mother, which is in conformity with rules of the sacred laws.⁵ Bana, in his Harshacharita, also tells us that his father, a Brahmin, had a Sudra wife besides a Brahmin one, and describes her issue as his "parasava brothers".

The state of caste-organization as revealed by literature and the inscriptions of the fourth period is strikingly similar to that which we noticed as prevailing about the middle of the nineteenth century. The leading authorities of this period are Parasara, Hemadri, Madhava, and Kamalakara. The traditional account of the total extermination of the

¹ Mami, x, 8-40. *Collection of Smritis*, pp. 40-8.

² Vaidya, vol. i, pp. 61-2.

³ Quoted in R. C. Majumdar's *Corporate Life in Ancient India*, 1922, pp. 872-3.

⁴ Vaidya, vol. ii, p. 180.

⁵ *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. xv, pp. 805, 810-11.

Kshatriyas by Parasurama, strengthened by their defection to Buddhism, had already given rise to the theory that the Kshatriya race had ceased to exist with the Nandas. The rout of the older Kshatriya families, caused by the inroads of the Hunas, further lent support to this theory. Finally the rude shock that was given to Hindu sovereignty by the early Moslem conquerors so far convinced the Pandits of the extinction of the Kshatriyas that we find Kamalakara only grudgingly acknowledging their existence as a rare phenomenon.¹ This shadowy existence of the Kshatriyas rendered it unnecessary for the Brahmins, even if they wished it, to curtail their privileges excepting in very few particulars which touched the interests of the Brahmin caste. We, therefore, find the old scheme of four castes mentioned *in toto* in the literature of this period. Yet hypergamy is forbidden in favour of endogamy by Hemadri.² The use of spirituous drinks is sinful only for the Brahmin and not for the Kshatriya and the Vaisya as formerly. A Brahmin may take the food prepared either by a Kshatriya or a Vaisya in their own houses only on certain religious occasions, provided these persons have lived according to the sacred law.³

We have already noticed the progressive assimilation of the Vaisya with the Sudra, and we find Kamalakara, the latest authority of this period, openly declaring that the Vaisyas are hardly known to exist in the Kali age. In the regulations of this period it is becoming more and more clear that writers on religion treat both the Vaisyas and the Sudras as almost indistinguishable. The occupations prescribed by Parasara, who is *par excellence* the mentor of the age, for both of them are the same, viz. agriculture, trade and crafts, with the usual addition of service of the Brahmins in the case of the Sudras.⁴ Vaisya's food is almost as much taboo to the Brahmin as that of the Sudra.⁵

In the treatment of the Sudras, it seems that the distinction made in the last period between sat and asat Sudra was acted upon locally in Northern India, and did not appeal to writers on sacred law hailing from Southern India. To them a Sudra was a Sudra, and as such an untouchable, except

¹ *Sudra-Kamalakara*, p. 299.

² Hemadri, vol. iii, pt. ii, p. 667.

³ Parasara, vol. ii, pt. ii, pp. 78-9; vol. ii, pt. i, p. 410.

⁴ Parasara, vol. i, pt. ii, pp. 15-16.

⁵ Hemadri, vol. iv, p. 882.

in name. Madhava exhorts a Brahmin to avoid living in the same house with a Sudra or accompanying him in a carriage. Nor must he take food ordinarily cooked by him. But food that is prepared *in* oily substance or in milk may be accepted, provided it is eaten not in the house of the Sudra but on the banks of a river.¹ Hemadri even goes further and impresses upon a Brahmin the sinfulness of eating one's food in a Sudra's house though prepared by oneself with the raw materials supplied by the Sudra.² Even the food of the few privileged Sudras that was formerly acceptable to the Brahmin is ruled out by Madhava as a custom unsuited for the Kali age.³ So determined has the opposition to the Sudra's food become, that Kamalakara is at pains to explain away ancient texts by all manner of fanciful interpretations.⁴

In the field of religion the Sudra has not only retained the rights that were conceded to him but finds them now ever more well-defined and codified beyond all cavil by Kamalakara, who even upholds his right to the use of the all-purifying mixture, "panchagavya."⁵ As already observed, this religious emancipation of the Sudra does not by any means put him on an equal footing with the higher castes. Even within the folds of new creeds like devotional Vaishnavism, where, perfect religious equality may, naturally, be expected, the Sudra's inferiority is taken for granted and even acted upon. It is precisely because Ramananda, one of the apostolic successors of Ramanuja, the founder of perhaps the most catholic form of Vaishnavism, was insulted by his brethren for his social inferiority that he travelled northwards and established a new sect. He asked his followers not to inquire about anyone's caste.⁶

The religious upheaval in Maharashtra, which began about the beginning of the thirteenth century, produced considerable appreciation in the religious position of the Sudra. We have already seen that the Sudra was exhorted to mutter the descriptive names of God for his salvation. This method of spiritual betterment was gradually becoming an integral

¹ Parasara, vol. ii, pt. ii, p. 29 ; pt. i, pp. 410-11.

² Hemadri, vol. IV, p. 882. ³ Parasara, vol. i, pt. 1, p. 136.

⁴ *Sudra-Kamalakara*, p. 279.

⁵ The five products of the cow, see above, p. 86.

⁶ Hemachandra Raychaudhuri, *Materials for the Study of the Early History of the Vaishnava Sect*, 1920, p. 117. Nicol Macnicol, *Indian Theism*, 1915, p. 115.

part of the developing creeds of Saivism and Vaishnavism. About the beginning of this period or a little earlier, Namamahatmya or the importance of muttering the names of God was freely acknowledged in the Brahmanic works. In the period under review, there flourished in Maharashtra a number of Sudras of outstanding personality. They explored and perfected this easy method of salvation for the Sudras. The special method of preaching by means of peripatetic sermons delivered in temples with the accompaniment of some simple music was carried to perfection by two non-Brahmin saints, Namdev and Tukaram. The traditional history written by Mahipati in the middle of the eighteenth century makes this quite clear. Further, of the saints who contributed to the new religious forces a large majority was formed by the non-Brahmins. Of the Brahmin saints, many cannot be regarded as being wholly in a direct line of this movement. They still laid much emphasis on the philosophic aspect of religion. Jnaneshwar with his brother and sister and Ekanath seem to be the outstanding Brahmin saints who had the greatest sympathy with the new doctrine of salvation through the muttering of the names of God. The former were the children of a Brahmin ascetic, returned to the living of a householder and as such much despised by the Brahmins. Ekanath ventured to feed the untouchables at a Sraddha-dinner (dinner in honour of the manes) before the invited Brahmins had had their meals. For this sacrilegious act, even though God had performed a miracle in favour of Ekanath, the local Brahmins insisted on his undergoing a purificatory rite which Ekanath duly performed. Almost everywhere the Brahmins figured as opponents of the new movement which appeared to them to upset the good old Brahmanic way of salvation through proper rites and ceremonies and to undermine the system of caste.¹ By their poetic ability, their capacity for religious experience, and by their pure life, these Sudras impressed their contemporaries—irrespective of caste—so much so that they were enthroned as saints and adored by all. These saints of Maharashtra produced a revolution without the uproar of a rebellion. The Sudra, who was accustomed to

¹ *Vide Bhaktavijaya*, by Mahipati (*Marathi*), edited by L. B. Gokhale, 1888.

look upon it as a great privilege to be allowed to practise the Brahmanic rites, though only with the accompaniment of the Puranic mantras, now produced individuals who struck out a new path and established themselves as teachers. And the Brahmin, who was doubtful whether a Sudra was even capable of profiting by his religious teachings, had to accept some of these Sudras as his religious masters. Devotional schools of religious sects produced a more or less similar change in the other parts of India.

These Sudra saints, though they exploited the easy method of salvation and thus freed the Sudras from the Brahmanic domination in their spiritual life, upheld the old order of the four castes including their own status of inferiority in the scheme. There was another contemporary movement which proclaimed a wholesale revolt against caste. It was the sect of the Manbhavas.¹ It proved to be an unsuccessful attempt, giving rise to a new caste. The followers of this sect were contemptuously treated and even bitterly hated by all classes of the population.

In the treatment of the outcast section of the society this period witnesses a development which is in keeping with the ideas of ceremonial purity. Untouchability is graded according to the supposed impurity of the object. A Brahmin should keep a distance of one yuga, two, three, and four yugas between himself and a degraded person, a woman in her period, a parturient woman, and a Chandala respectively in order that he may not catch pollution from them.²

In the sphere of religion the lot of these people is sought to be improved for the first time in the history of Hinduism. It was Ramanuja, the Brahmin, who took bold steps for the religious betterment of these people. He secured for them the privilege of visiting the temple one day in the year and devised something which they might put on corresponding to the sacred thread of the Brahmins.³

In Maharashtra and other parts of India also the untouchables produced some saints who were not only adored by their own caste but in course of time came to be highly respected by all.

¹ V. L. Bhawe, *Maharashtra Saraswat (Marathi)*, vol. i, pp. 70-2.

² Parasara, vol. ii, pt. ii, p. 38.

³ J. N. Farquhar, *Outline of the Religious Literature of India*, 1920, pp. 244-5.

The traditional assignment of occupations to the four castes is very largely modified. We have already noticed that the Vaisyas and the Sudras are given common occupations, viz. trade, agriculture, and crafts. The Brahmin is allowed to live on agriculture provided he employs Sudra labourers to do the actual work. Madhava candidly observes that it is not possible in the Kali age for the Brahmin to maintain himself on sacrificial fees. The economic aspect seems to have affected the writer to such an extent that he allows all the four varnas to trade and practise crafts.¹ The ancient profession of the Kshatriyas we find taken up by other castes as well. It is well known that the Peshwa rulers of Poona were Brahmins. The Chera, Chola, and Pandya kings belonged to the caste of the Vellalas,² a cultivating caste of Madras. The Nayak kings of Madura and Tanjore were Baliyas, traders by caste.³ In some parts even the aboriginal races "ruled over 'petty principalities. The Gonds provide perhaps the best example.⁴ The occupational diversity was far greater than the one contemplated in the old scheme and is frankly recognized both by Madhava and Kamalakara in their treatment of mixed marriages.

As regards the regulations of marriage, the four castes and the other groups are regarded as completely endogamous units, hypergamy being positively discouraged. The list of groups considered to have been the result of mixed unions becomes very large and includes almost all the groups, occupational or otherwise, known to the authors, as behaving like unit castes. Kamalakara's list, for example, includes such groups as Kolhati or Bahurupi, Kandur, Sali, Mochi, Burud, Ghasi, and others.⁵ Madhava tries to explain the origins only of about sixty groups and characteristically observes, "Innumerable are the caste-like groups that are produced by miscegenation."⁶ It is clear that whatever element of reality this theory of the origins of the numerous caste-like groups, besides the four ancient castes, possessed at the outset, by now it was merely a hypothetical explanation of the increasing caste-groups in the society. The Brahmin

¹ Parasara, vol. i, pt. ii, pp. 3-5, 15-16.

² Kanakasabhai, p. 113.

Madras Census, 1901, p. 144.

⁴ *Imperial Gazetteer of India*, vol. x, p. 13.

⁵ *Sudrakamaldkara*, pp. 289-92.

Parasara, vol. i, pt. ii, p. 125.

writers could not rid themselves of the idea of the four original castes. To them numerous further groups could have been only produced as a result of unions between the members of the four castes, just as these latter were created from the body of the Supreme Being. In fact, this is the Brahmanical theory of the origins of the numerous castes. Madhava makes a frank and rare admission that the practices and regulations about the four castes have been changing from age to age.¹

Caste, as a group, comprised within a larger class and with no necessary connection with occupation, is contemplated by the commentators and Nibandha-writers of this period. According to them, out of the many tribunals designed for justice one consisted of persons living in the same place, following different occupations, and belonging to different castes, while another consisted of people carrying on one type of occupation but belonging to different castes.² Nilakantha explains a regulation of Yajnavalkya about witnesses to mean that they shall be according to the caste and varna of the litigants. If the castes of the parties differ, then witnesses belonging to the varna in which the castes are comprised should be called in.³

Thus by the end of this period we visualize the caste-organization, as revealed in the literature of the period, to be not at all different in any essential point from the one which we described as prevailing in the middle of the nineteenth century. Perhaps it may be contended that there is not the same multiplicity of groups described in this literature. We have opined that the theory of mixed castes, as expanded by the contemporary writers, marks the numerous groups that had come into existence. Now we propose to present some data from the inscriptions and travellers' accounts bearing on the names and the variety of contemporary groups which corroborate our view.

In a Prakrit inscription of an early date of the Brahmanic king Sivaskandavarman of Kandri are mentioned " Vallave " (herdsman) and " Govallave " (cow-herdsman).⁴ In an

¹ Ibid., pt. i, p. 139.

² *Vyavahara-Mayukha* (Kane's edition, 1926), pp. 5, 215 ; compare also Medhatithi's comment on Mami, viii, p. 219.

³ *Vyavahara-Mayukha*, loc. cit., notes, p. 69.

⁴ *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. 1, p. 5.

inscription of the tenth century from Lalitpur district, occur the following names of professions or castes in combination with the proper names of individuals : " Vanika " (merchant), " Nemakavanika " (salt-merchant), " Tambulika " (betel-seller) and " Sutradhara " (carpenter). In the same place is mentioned the shop of a brazier (Kamsara) and that of a Brahmin betel-seller.¹ In a South Indian inscription the boundaries of a particular piece of land are fixed in reference to the lands of a blacksmith (Karuman).² In other inscriptions we have references to individuals as the " Vellalan " (cultivator) So-and-so or of such and such locality.³ Ilavas (toddy-tappers) are referred to in another inscription.⁴ In the ancient city of Conjeevaram certain quarters were mostly inhabited by weavers, " who were patronized by the king and consisted of two sections of Pattasalins," which correspond with the identical divisions of the present day Saliyans (weavers) of Madras.⁵ Venkayya has observed that many names of individuals occurring in some of the South Indian inscriptions have as their second portions names of " profession or caste to which the individual belonged, with a complimentary epithet, the whole title meaning ' the great dancing master . . . carpenter, goldsmith, brazier, Brahmana, etc., of the king ' whose name is prefixed to the title ".⁶

A " Gaudakaranika " (writer from the Gauda country) is mentioned in a North Indian inscription of the end of the tenth century.⁷ In an inscription from Dharwar District belonging to the eleventh century occurs a clear reference to the division of the non-Brahmin castes of Madras into those of the right hand and those of the left.⁸ Another reference to this well-known but not properly understood distinction occurs in a Madras inscription of about the same time.⁹ Another inscription mentions some persons who refer to themselves as Siva-Brahmanas.¹⁰ Kanmalar (artisans

¹ *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. 1, pp. 173-7.

² *South Indian Inscriptions*, vol. in, p. 106.

³ *Ibid.*, pp. 21 and 253.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 437.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 268.

⁶ *Archæological Survey of India, Annual Report*, 1906-7, p. 243, f.n. 5.

⁷ *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. I, pp. 77, 85.

⁸ *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. xv, p. 81.

⁹ *South Indian Inscriptions*, vol. lli, pp. 114-17.

¹⁰ *Ibid.*, pp. 470-1.

of Madras) are allowed certain rights, which were evidently not enjoyed by them previously. They may blow double conchs and drums at their marriages and funerals ; they may wear sandals, and cover their houses with plaster.¹ In an inscription from Chingleput District, ascribed to the middle of the twelfth century, a witness to a document refers to himself as " I, the carpenter So-and-so, who possesses the better half of the land of the carpenters in the village ".² Nagar Brahmins are mentioned in an inscription from Gujarat belonging to the middle of the twelfth century. An inscription from Orissa, ascribed to the end of the twelfth century, mentions a class of Radhiya Brahmins.³ Maga or Sakadvipiya Brahmins were known as such about the same time.⁴ Jaina inscriptions from Mount Abu of the first and second quarters of the thirteenth century mention the following castes : Pragvata, Dharkatta, Shrimala, Oswala, Modha, and also Guguli Brahmins, who are found at present chiefly at Dwaraka.⁵ Two Maudgala Brahmins are mentioned in one inscription of A.D. 1240 as ministers of one of the Yadava kings. They also served their master in military capacity.⁶ A family of Kayastha race, whose occupation is that of writing, is referred to in a North Indian inscription belonging to the end of the thirteenth century. Some members of this family distinguished themselves as warriors, while one was the governor of a fort.⁷ A " Mochi " (shoemaker) founded a temple of Vishnu at Raipur in the Central Provinces in about A.D. 1415.⁸ In a Sanskrit inscription at Chitorgarh belonging to about A.D. 1429, the composer of the eulogy described himself as the son of one Vishnu Bhatt of the Dasapura caste.⁹

Duarte Barbosa speaks of eighteen castes in Malabar, each with customs and idol-worship of their own. The Brahmins serve the kings in almost any respectable capacity but in arms. Some of them even act as courtiers. Though the main occupation of the Tiyans is that of tapping toddy yet many of them are found as quarry men, as soldiers, and even

¹ Ibid., p. 47.

² Ibid., p. 82.

³ *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. 1, pp. 296, 303, 304 ; vol. vi, p. 203, and f.n. 3.

⁴ Ibid., vol. ii, p. 331.

⁵ Ibid., vol. vii, pp. 206, 208.

⁶ *Archaeological Survey of Western India, Report*, vol. lii, p. 86.

⁷ *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. i, pp. 331-3, 336.

⁸ Ibid., vol. h, p. 229.

⁹ Ibid., vol. ii, pp. 409, 420.

as agrestic serfs.¹ Domingos Paes tells us that there were many Brahmins in the service of the King of Vijayanagar as officers of the towns and cities, while others lived by trade or cultivation.² Abul Fazal remarks that the Vaisya and the Sudra are divided into numerous branches. He actually mentions the following castes : Kayasth, Bhar, Bachgoti, Chandel, Chauhan, Gaharwal, Gautami, Ghelot, Kausik, and Raghubansi evidently as sub-divisions among the Rajputs, Ahir, Lodh, Gujar, Kurmi, Bagri, Mina, Meo, Mehtar, Bhil, Koli, Gwalia, Garasiah, Khasia, Baoriya, Bisen, Bais, Khand, and Khari, a division of the Gaud Kayasths.³ Moreland rightly summarizes the position of caste at the end of Akbar's reign in the following words : " Among the Hindus the caste system existed substantially as it exists to-day and the differences among castes and races were such that we find travellers speaking of Baniyas or of Gujaratis as ' nations ' distinct from Brahmans or Rajputs."⁴ In the time of Jehangir, the Baniyas of Gujarat had numerous sub-divisions neither of which would eat nor drink with others.⁵ Hamilton, in the middle of the eighteenth century, mentions sixty-five divisions of the Baniyas of Surat.⁶

¹ *The Book of Duarte Barbosa*, by M. Longworth Dames, vol. ii, 1921, pp. 7, 37, 60.

² R. Sewell, *A Forgotten Empire*, ed. 1924, p. 245.

³ *Ain-i-Akbar*, translated by Jarrett, vol. m, 1894, p. 118, and vol. ii, pp. 129, 181, 161, 162-3, 164-8, 177, 182, 184, 187, 189, 191, 198, 204-5, 255, 290.

⁴ *India at the Death of Akbar*, 1920, p. 23.

⁵ *Jehangir's India*, by Moreland and Geyl, 1925, p. 76.

⁶ *A new account of the East Indies*, by Capt. Alexander Hamilton, vol. i, 1740, p. 151.

CHAPTER V

RACE AND CASTE¹

CASTE is such an obtrusive factor of Indian social organization that since the time of Megasthenes it has never failed to attract the attention of the foreigner—be he traveller, administrator, or student of Sanskrit literature. The application of the sociological method to the explanation of caste, i.e. a systematic attempt to elucidate the genesis and growth of the institution of caste, by a comprehensive study of the contemporary castes, however, may be said to begin with the works of Denzil Ibbetson and J. C. Nesfield. Both of them, in general, endorse the view² that caste is mainly occupational in origin, i.e. occupations which were organized into guilds slowly became exclusive and stratified into castes. Nesfield went further and, affirming the essential unity of the Indian race, emphatically denied that racial distinction was the basis of caste.³ This extraordinary statement of Nesfield led Herbert Risley to use anthropometry for a solution of the riddle of caste, for that alone could decide questions of racial affinity.⁴ He carried on extensive measurements on many of the castes of Northern India and published the results of his splendid work in two volumes entitled *Anthropometric Data from Bengal* (1891). The bearing of these data on the theory of caste he discussed in the introduction to his *Tribes and Castes of Bengal*, and later on in *The People of India*. His work further led to a succession of monographs on the anthropometric data from other Indian Provinces as a part of the ethnographic survey of India.

In any anthropometric work the student is, at the very outset, faced by two problems. The first is the question of the unit. What shall we take as a unit on which measurements may be taken and compared? Shall we take a geographical or political area as our unit and compare one with

¹ This chapter is an adaptation of the author's paper "The Ethnic Theory of Caste", published in *Man in India*, 1924.

² Risley, (2), pp. 268-5. ³ *Ibid.*, p. 265.

* *Ibid.*, p. 20.

another ? Or shall we take a whole people, and take our measurements on them and then analyse them ? The solution of this problem is fundamental to anthropometric work. But as our main purpose is to institute comparisons between castes this problem need not engage us here. We may take one caste as a unit and compare it with another. This procedure does not involve the acceptance of Risley's view about the isolation of castes which, as pointed out by his learned annotator, W. Crooke,¹ requires much modification. We may thus take our measurements on Brahmins and compare them with those on Chamars. But the data show us that neither Brahmins nor Chamars among themselves have a uniform physical type. We ask ourselves the reason of this, and we are led to the question of the origins of the different physical types. Thus we have to deal with the general ethnology of India as, indeed, Herbert Risley did. We have to analyse racial mixture as well as compare different castes.

This procedure opens up the second problem, viz. what is the method by which we can detect racial affinities and compare groups ? For such comparisons the average has long been in use. Risley principally used the average for his work, rarely bringing in the seriations and still more rarely the absolute measurements.² Seriations, like the frequency curve, only serve the purpose of showing the actual distribution and cannot be conveniently used for purposes of comparison, a fact which explains the rare use of these by Risley when dealing with the types, though they are given in the appendices. The average without the standard deviation is an abstraction which tells us almost nothing. The standard deviation is very useful both as serving the basis of the formula that we have utilized for comparison, as well as giving us an idea of the actual range of variation. " A range of six times the standard deviation usually includes 99 per cent or more of all the observations in the case of distributions of the symmetrical or moderately asymmetrical type."³ We have therefore given in the appendix the means and their standard deviations for selected castes.

¹ Ibid., p. xvii.

² Op. cit., p. 37.

³ Yule, *An Introduction to the Theory of Statistics*, 1916, p. 40.

It will be observed that for the Punjab and Gujarat we have very meagre data : for Sindh proper we have none. For Madras, Mr. E. Thurston ¹ has given us a long list of averages but very few individual measurements. Hence in the case of Dravidian India we can compare only two castes, data for which are taken from the paper of Sir Thomas Holland. Though we accept Thurston's averages for the general ethnology of India, yet we must point out that they are very crude in so far as the absolute measurements seem to have been recorded in centimetres.² For more scientific conclusions about Dravidian India we require fresh measurements taken on typical Dravidian castes.

When we compare two groups, what we want to know is not merely the differences between the two groups as regards the cephalic index or nasal index separately, but we want an expression which conveniently sums up all the differences in the various attributes that we may like to compare. Such an expression was used by Mr. T. A. Joyce in 1912. In order to compare two groups he starts with one character, say, the cephalic index, and works out the fraction

where M_1 and M_2 are the means for the cephalic indices of the two groups, $M_1 - M_2$ is their difference without regard to sign, and $a_1 a_2$ are the standard deviations. Similar fractions are found for as many characters as one likes, and all of them are added together. This sum is called the "Differential Index".³ The expression is a convenient quantity showing the actual differentiation of two peoples and can be fruitfully used for comparisons. Another great advantage of this expression is that by its help we can include for comparison absolutes like the cephalic length, which have, unfortunately, been too much neglected in anthropological work. Further this item is of special importance in the field of Indian ethnology; for here we have sometimes to deal with two dolichocephalic peoples whose absolute measurements of the head are essentially different. Thus the Chamar of the United Provinces has a cephalic index of 72.90, while his cephalic length and cephalic breadth are 185.18 and 134.9 respectively. The corresponding figures for the Chhatri of the same province are : 73.12, 188.35, 137.68. We have

¹ Vol. i, p. xi.

² *Ibid.*, pp. lv, lxi.

³ *JRAL.*, 1912, p. 451.

given in the appendix the differential indices for selected castes. In this chapter we have attempted to attack the problem of caste with the help of this index.

We have said above that it was Risley who, under special circumstances, applied anthropometry to the solution of the problem of caste. But owing to the method which he followed, the light he was able to throw on the subject was not as decisive as was expected. Again he devoted more attention to the classification and origins of the various physical types of India than to the problem of caste proper.

It may be taken to be an historical fact that peoples calling themselves " Arya " poured into India through the north-west somewhere about 2000 B.C. It is equally clear from our discussion that an institution closely akin to caste has been very often described in Sanskrit books, which are the works of either the Aryans or the Aryan-inspired aborigines. Can we trace a close connection between the immigration of the Aryans and the rise of the institution of caste ? We have seen that the Brahmins, who were the moral guides and legislators of the immigrant Aryans, tried to keep their blood free from any intermixture with the lower classes, though they had no objection to the members of their own class having progeny from females of lower classes, provided these were not admitted into the Brahmin class. Can anthropometry shed any light on this aspect of caste ? It appears to us that anthropometry will furnish us with a good guide, provided certain assumptions about the physical types of the Indo-Aryans and of the aborigines of Hindustan can be plausibly made.

These assumptions are two : First, that the Aryan type may be described as long-headed and fine-nosed. The average cephalic index may be said to vary between 70 and 75 and the nasal index from 65 to 75. The ground for this assumption is that almost all the averages of the cephalic index and the nasal index given by Risley ¹for the castes of the Punjab and Rajputana fall within these ranges; and these are the regions which, from their geographical position, must have been the home of Aryan settlements. We may reasonably hold, therefore, that the predominant physical type of the Punjab and Rajputana represents the Aryan type. The second assumption is that the aboriginal

¹ Op. cit., Appendix, iii, p. 896.

type may be deduced from such peoples as the Musahar, who, not being within the pale of Hinduism, are like the jungle-tribes of Southern India. Their chief characteristic is the broad nose, the nasal index being above 80. Very often the head is long. The broad nose seems to have been noticed even by the Vedic poets as a characteristic of the aborigines, whom they sometimes describe as "noseless",¹

We can now proceed to set out the conclusions we get from our anthropometrical inquiry. Taking the Brahmin of the United Provinces as the typical representative of the ancient Aryans we shall start comparisons with him. If we turn to the table of differential indices we find that he shows a smaller differential index² as compared with the Chuhra and the Khatri of the Punjab than with any caste from the United Provinces except the Chhatri. The differential index between the Khatri and the Chuhra is only slightly less than that between the Brahmin of the United Provinces and the Chuhra. This means that the Brahmin of the United Provinces has closer physical affinities with the Chuhra and the Khatri of the Punjab than with any caste from his own province except the very high caste of the Chhatri. The Brahmin is as much akin to the Chuhra as the latter is to the Khatri of the Punjab. The only valid conclusion is that the United Provinces Brahmin does not materially differ from the physical type of the Punjab, i.e. on the assumption, previously explained, that he fairly represents the physical type of the Aryans. The reality of this close affinity between the United Provinces Brahmin and the Punjab Chuhra is more clearly brought out if we look at the table of differential indices between the United Provinces Brahmin and the Brahmins of other regions. They are very high as compared with that between the Chuhra and the United Provinces Brahmin. Even the differential index between the United Provinces Brahmin and the Bihar Brahmin, who from what we know about the history of the spread of Aryan culture, is expected to be very nearly allied to the former, is just twice as high as that between the United Provinces Brahmin and the Chuhra. This ought to serve

¹ *Vedic Index, vide Dasyu.*

² We have used only those differential indices which are based on six characters.

as a conclusive proof of the fact that the United Provinces Brahmin has essentially retained the same physical type as that of the Punjabis and of the ancient Aryans.

We must now examine in what relation the United Province Brahmin stands to the other castes of his province. From the table of differential indices for the United Provinces we see that in physical affinity with the Brahmin, the Kayasth and the Kurmi stand next to the Chuhra, while at the other end of the scale come the Pasi and the Chamar. In the scheme of social precedence, the Kayasth belongs to the fourth rank, the Kurmi stands at the head of the eighth, while the Pasi and the Chamar take the eleventh and the twelfth rank.¹

The true significance of this gradation in physical affinity with the Brahmin can be demonstrated by the study of the other provinces. On historical grounds we expect Bihar to approximate to the United Provinces. On referring to the table we find that the Kurmi comes near to the Brahmin, and the Chamar and the Dom stand much differentiated from him. But the Chamar in this case is not as much distinct from the Brahmin as the United Provinces Chamar is from the United Provinces Brahmin. The social status of the Kurmi is defined by stating that he ranks third while the Chamar and the Dom rank fifth and sixth.² Thus in Bihar the state of affairs in some way corresponds to that which we have tried to demonstrate for the United Provinces. The table for Bengal shows that identical conditions do not prevail there. The Chandal, who stands sixth in the scheme of social precedence and whose touch pollutes,³ is not much differentiated from the Brahmin, from whom the Kayasth, second in rank, can hardly be said to be distinguished. The gradation observed in the United Provinces is thus absent in Bengal. Still more is this the case in Bombay. Here the Deshasth Brahmin bears as close an affinity to the Son Koli, a fisherman caste, as to his own compeer, the Chitpavan Brahmin. The Mahar, the untouchable of the Maratha region, comes next together with the Kunbi, the peasant. Then follow in order the Shenvi Brahmin, the Nagar Brahmin and the high-caste Maratha. These results are rather odd.

¹ *United Provinces Census Report, 1901*, p. 248.

² *Bengal Census Report, 1901*, pp. 873.

• *Ibid.*, p. 869.

Stated in a generalized form they mean that there is no correspondence between social gradation and physical differentiation in Bombay. We venture to think that the results from Bengal would have been equally striking if we had data for the various sub-castes of the Brahmins. This contention is rendered plausible by some measurements published by Rai Bahadur Ramaprasad Chanda.¹ The following are the means and their standard deviations :—

	<i>Cephalic Index.</i>		<i>Nasal Index.</i>	
	m.	St. Dev.	m.	St. Dev.
Brahmin (31) . . .	78.84	3.64	75.61	10.31
Paschatya Vaidik Brahmin (50) . . .	79.83	3.56	71.97	8.62

With such differences between the two sub-castes of the Brahmins of Bengal we have reason to expect more startling results than we have, though these are quite insignificant.

Our survey of the regions of India other than Hindustan has made it abundantly clear that Hindustan is unique in this respect that here we have the Brahmin at the head of the physical hierarchy ; then follows a high caste or two, hardly differing from him in physical type ; then comes a group of castes, slightly differentiated from the Brahmin ; low castes like the Chamar and the Pasi, whom we may look upon as the Hinduized representatives of the aborigines, stand far removed from him. This is just the situation that should have resulted from the regulations, which, as we have shown above, were being promulgated by the Brahmins to avoid their class being contaminated by the infusion of the aboriginal blood. The evidence from literature is thus amply corroborated by the physical facts. Restrictions on marriage of a fundamentally endogamous nature were thus racial in origin.

We shall now turn to the conclusions arrived at by Risley. Believing in the " marked divergence of type that distinguishes the people of the Eastern Punjab from the people of Western Hindustan ", to account for the people of Hindustan he brings in a second wave of the Aryans with few or no women. They married aboriginal women and thus modified their original type; " but a certain pride

¹ pp. 255-7.

of blood remained to them, and when they had bred females enough to serve their purposes and to establish a distinct *jus connubii* they closed their ranks to all further intermixture of blood. When they did this, they became a caste like the castes of the present day",¹ We have tried to indicate that as far as the published data go the Hindustani Brahmin does not materially differ from the physical type of the Punjabis. An acute observer of Upper India, W. Crooke remarked that a traveller from the Punjab glides into Hindustan without marking any change in the physical type.² It is, therefore, not necessary to postulate a second invasion of the Aryans, who could not bring their women-folk with them.⁸ Nor need we propose a theory entirely contradictory to the literary records of the Brahmins.

There is another proposition of Risley's which we must examine more minutely, for it is one which, if true to facts, affects our conclusion. He observes, "If we take a series of castes in Bengal, Bihar, the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, or Madras, and arrange them in the order of the average nasal index so that the caste with the finest nose shall be at the top, and that with the coarsest at the bottom of the list, it will be found that this order substantially corresponds with the accepted order of social precedence."⁴ In the argument elaborated above it is evident that we depend upon the uniqueness of Hindustan amongst the provinces of India as regards the gradation of the physical type for our explanation of the origin of endogamy. The statement of Risley in a way challenges that basis. For Bengal and Bombay we think we have made a good case, and our figures will speak for themselves; but as regards Madras, for lack of material, we have not been able to apply the formula for differential index. It may be urged against us that as far as nasal index, without the absolute measurements of the nose, can be an indication of racial mixture, Madras seriously damages our argument. We shall therefore examine the association between nasal index and social status province by province.

Risley, after comparing the nasal indices of some of the

¹ (2), pp. 56, 274-5.

²

Northern India, 1907, p. 107.

³ The hypothesis of two or three Aryan inroads has been examined by the author in his paper "The Ethnic Theory of Caste", published in *Man in India*, 1024.

Op. cit., p. 29.

castes of Hindustan, says that the order of gradation established by means of the nasal index is "substantially" the same as that of social precedence.¹ This mild statement has been reproduced in an exaggerated form in an authoritative book on ethnology. It is said in Keane's *Man Past and Present*² that the Hindustani type of the United Provinces has "a nose index exactly corresponding to social station". We shall presently show that Risley's statement is only partially true, and that Keane's generalization has no ground. The following is the order in which some of the typical castes of the United Provinces stand according to their nasal index as given in our table for the province: Babhan, Brahmin, Chhatri, Kayasth, Kurmi, Tharu, Bania, Bhar, Kol, Lohar, Dom, Pasi, Musahar and Chamar. The order of social precedence as given in the Census Report of 1901³ is as follows: Brahmin, Babhan, Rajput, Kayasth, Bania, Kurmi and Lohar, Mallah and Bind, etc., Bhar and Tharu, etc., Kol and Muchi, etc., Pasi and Dosadh, etc., Chamar, Musahar and Dom, etc. To these we may add Kachhi, Lodha, and Koiri. Risley's⁴ averages for these are: 82.9, 83.4, and 88.6. In our list the first two will rank after Kol and the third after Lohar. But in the scheme of social precedence they are grouped together with Kurmi. These comparisons prove that the statement of Keane is baseless and that of Risley is true only in a broad sense. For Bengal Risley's⁵ averages give us the following order: Brahmin of Eastern Bengal and Kayasth, Brahmin of Western Bengal, Chandal and Sadgop, Goala, Muchi, Pod, Kaibart, and Rajbansi Kochh. These castes may be arranged according to social status as: Brahmin, Kayasth and others, Sadgop and others, Kaibarta and Goala, Bhuiya and others, Kochh, and Pod, Chandal, Muchi and others.⁶ It is evident that these lists disprove Risley's statement. From Risley's⁷ averages for Orissa some of the castes rank as below: Shashan Brahmin, Panda Brahmin and Teli, Khandait, Mastan Brahmin and Guria, and Chasa, Karan, Pan., Kewat, and Bauri. The social order of precedence is: Brahmin, Karan, Khandait and others, Chasa, Guria and others, Gola and others, Teli and

¹ Op. cit., p. 40.

² p. 248.

⁵ p. 401.

⁷ Op. cit., p. 401.

² p. 546.

⁴ Op. cit., p. 400.

⁶ *Bengal Census Report, 1901*, p. 369.

110 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

Kewat, etc., Chamar, Bauri and others, Pan and others.¹ We leave out Bombay, as it is not included in Risley's observation. By looking at the table the reader can satisfy himself that the figures for Bombay are more telling than in the case of the provinces so far dealt with. Finally we come to Madras. Here we must treat of the different linguistic areas separately for the schemes of social precedence in the various areas are different. We shall begin with the Telugu region. According to the averages given by Risley (p.398) and by E. Thurston (vol. i, pp. lxxviii-lxxiii) the order of castes is as follows: Kapu, Sale, Golla, Mala, Madiga and Togata, and Komati. According to their social status they are ranked as below: Brahmin . . . Komati, Golla and Kapu and others, and Sale, Togata and others. Mala and Madiga occupy the lowest rank, being the Pariahs of the Telugu country.² In the Canarce region the nasal index gives the following order: Karnatak Smarta Brahmin, Bant, Billava, Mandya Brahmin, Vakkaliga, Ganiga, Linga-Banajiga, Panchala, Kuruba, Holey, Deshasth Brahmin, Toreya, and Bedar. In the scheme of social precedence the castes take the ranks as under: Brahmin . . . Bant and Vakkaliga, Toreya, etc., guxuba and Ganiga, Badaga and Kurumba and Solaga, Billava, Bedaf and Holey.³ The significance of the comparison is enhanced when we remember that the nasal index of the Holey, the untouchable of the Canarese region, is 75-1, that of the highest sub-caste of the Brahmin being 71-5, while those of the jungle Kurumba and the Solaga, who when Hinduized, occupy the rank allotted to them in the list, are 86-1 and 85-1 respectively. The ranks of the Linga-Banajiga and the Panchala cannot be determined, as they do not recognize the authority of the Brahmin. The Tamil castes may be arranged according to their nasal index as follows: Ambattan, Vellal, Idaiyan, Agamudaiyan, Tamil Brahmin, Palli, Malaiyali, Shan, Paraiyan, Irula, and Malasar. The social status of these castes is: Brahmin, Idaiyan and Vellala, Agamudaiyan and Palli, Ambattan and others, Irula and Malasar and Malaiyali, Shan and others, Paraiyan.⁴ The nasal indices of four typical Malayalam

¹ *Bengal Census Report, 1901, p. 875.*

² *Madras Census Report, 1901, pp. 136-7.*

³ *Ibid.*, pp. 136-9. *Ibid.*, p. 31.

castes are : Tiyan, 75 ; Nambudiri Brahmin, 75-5 ¹; Nayar, 76-7 ; Cheruman, 77-2. The order of social precedence among these is: Nambudiri, Nayar, Tiyan, and Cheruman. The nasal index of the Kanikar, a jungle tribe of Travancore, is 84.6. Thus the Cheruman belongs to the same race as the Brahmin rather than to that of the Kanikar. We hope that these comparisons will have made it abundantly clear that the proposition of Risley has almost no basis in fact outside Hindustan.

Outside Hindustan in each of the linguistic areas we find that the physical type of the population is mixed, and does not conform in its gradation to the scale of social precedence of the various castes. The Brahmin is not so far distinguished in his physical features from other castes as to stand out apart from them and at the head of the physical hierarchy. Some of the castes, very low in their social status, actually stand higher in physical features than some of the higher castes. In Hindustan, on the other hand, the gradation of physical types from the Brahmin downwards to Musahar corresponds very closely to the scheme of social precedence prevailing among the Hindustani castes. This state of things can be the result only of such regulations that prevented the possibility of Brahmin blood being mixed with aboriginal blood but allowed the mixture of blood of the other groups in varying proportions. As we have seen, this was just what the Brahmins attempted to do by their rules of conduct. The Brahmin kept himself pure by decreeing that only those persons both of whose parents were Brahmins were to be regarded as Brahmins. Thus the Brahmins were the first to be endogamous with reference to their class. We have also seen that the Brahmins of each of the linguistic areas show greater physical affinity with other castes of their region than with the Brahmins of other areas and of Hindustan. It is clear from this that the Brahmanic practice of endogamy must have been developed in Hindustan and thence conveyed as a cultural trait to the other areas without a large influx of the physical type of the Hindustani Brahmins.

The treatment of the subject cannot be complete without an investigation of the physical types of India and their

¹ Rivers, *The Todas*, p. 708.

origins.¹ In this field also a lead has been given by Risley. It is best to start with a statement of his view. He distinguishes seven types: (1) The Turko-Iranian of Baluchistan and North-West Frontier Provinces. We do not discuss this type, because we think that it essentially lies outside cultural India. (2) The Indo-Aryan type of Kashmir, Punjab, and Rajputana. It is dolichocephalic with a narrow nose. We leave out other physical characteristics as they are far too vague. (3) The Mongoloid type of the Himalayas, Nepal, Assam, and Burma. (4) The Dravidian type extending from Ceylon to the valley of the Ganges and pervading the whole of Madras, Hyderabad, and the Central Provinces, most of Central India and Chhota-Nagpur. It was "probably the original type of the population of India". It is dolichocephalic with a "very broad nose". (5) The Aryo-Dravidian type of the United Provinces, Bihar and Ceylon. It is also found in parts of Rajputana. The head-form is long with a tendency to medium. (6) The Mongolo-Dravidian type of Lower Bengal and Orissa. "The head is broad; nose medium with a tendency to broad." (7) The Scytho-Dravidian type of Western India. "The head is broad; nose moderately fine."²

The Indo-Aryan type: The first objection against this type is that the term used to denote it in the scheme proposed by Risley is unsatisfactory. In the case of the other types the names are compound terms composed of the names for the two physical types from which the particular type is supposed to be derived. Thus what is meant by the term Aryo-Dravidian is that the type is considered to be a mixture of the Aryan type and the Dravidian type. But in the case of the Indo-Aryan type no such mixture is meant. The Indo-Aryan type is not to be understood to be a mixture of the Indian and Aryan but to be a pure type. Indo-Aryan means nothing more than the Aryan of India as opposed to the Aryan of Iran. Thus it will be seen that in Risley's scheme the term is rather misleading. Nevertheless, as in our treatment of the subject we wish to avoid all compound terms we shall retain the term Indo-Aryan to denote the Aryan type of India. Instead we cannot use the term Aryan,

¹ In our discussion of the physical types we have confined our remarks to the Hindu population. ² Risley, (2), pp. 33-4.

for in philology it is generally applied to the Iranians as well as the Indians. By Indo-Aryan, then, we mean the physical type to which the Vedic Indians largely contributed. The physical characteristics of this type are solely inferred from those of the present population of the Punjab. We have no reason to think that after the Aryan immigration into India and before Darius' dominion there was any considerable influx of foreigners. When Darius held sway over a part of the Punjab the country appears to have been already very populous and prosperous.¹ The physical type of the Punjab is so uniform as to preclude any possibility of large mixture. Hence we can reasonably assume that a large bulk of the present inhabitants of the Punjab are the descendants of the Vedic Aryans. Their number might have swollen by later immigrants whose physical type must have been similar to theirs but whose cultural affinities we may not be able to trace. Secondly, an objection has been levelled at Risley's classification of the Rajputs as Indo-Aryans.² It has been contended that some of the clan-names of the Rajputs are the same as those of the Gurjara tribe or caste and that the reigning dynasty of Kanauj during the ninth century and after was of the Gurjara stock. Hence it is argued that some of the Rajput clans are of non-Aryan descent.³ Even the Minas and Meos of Rajputana are not physically much differentiated from the Rajputs.⁴ The Rajputs are thus of the same race as the other peoples of Rajputana. We cannot hold that Rajputana was untenanted before the foreign tribes entered it in the sixth century A.D. Perhaps it will be said that the Gurjaras were of the same physical type as the population of Rajputana and hence their presence cannot be detected by physical anthropology, though they had come in large numbers and produced the present population of Rajputana. This supposition is not tenable; for the Gurjaras are believed to be allied in blood to the White Huns,⁶ who seem to have been a brachycephalic people.⁶ It is reasonable therefore to assume that a few ruling families of the Gujaras with a small band of followers succeeded in gaining ascendance and imposing their culture

¹ *Cambridge History of India*, vol. i, pp. 335-7. ² Risley, p. xx.

³ V. A. Smith, *Early History of India*, 1914, pp. 411-14.

⁴ Risley, p. 396. Smith, *op. cit.*, p. 321. ⁶ Keane, p. 326.

over the then population of Rajputana. It is also urged that some of the Rajput clans "are descended from the so-called aboriginal tribes—Gonds, Bhars, Kols and the like",* apparently because both the Rajputs and the aboriginal tribes have certain clan-names in common. In physical characteristics these tribes are sharply distinguished from the Rajputs, and yet we are asked to believe that they are essentially the same because some features of social organization are common to both. The common clan-names can better be explained as a borrowing by the lowly castes or tribes from the dominant Rajputs. Thus there does not appear to be any serious objection to the Rajputs being classed as Indo-Aryans. Thirdly, there does not seem to be any foundation for Risley's classification of the Kashmiris as Indo-Aryans. The Kashmiris appear to be a mesaticephalic people, perhaps a mixture of the Alpine folk of Central Asia and the Indo-Aryans of the Punjab. Lastly, we have made it clear that Risley was not right in restricting the type to the Punjab and Rajputana. The type includes one or two high castes of Hindustan as well.

As regards the affinities of Indo-Aryans outside India, Dr. Haddon would perhaps see in them modified Proto-Nordics.² But it is better to connect them with the tall variety of the Mediterranean Race.

The Mongoloid type : Here we have no comment to make except to draw attention to a mistake of Risley,³ repeated in *Man Past and Present*⁴ Both the Kanets of Kulu and Lahoul are there classed as Mongoloid. A glance at the table for the Punjab will show that whereas the Kulu Kanets are very much akin to the Khatri of the Punjab, the Lahoul Kanets are quite distinct from them. The Kanets of Kulu are Indo-Aryans, while the Kanets of Lahoul are a mixture of the Kulu Kanets with the Mongoloid folk. Indeed, it was to elucidate the process of racial mixture between the Kanets of Kulu (who show marked points of contact with the Punjabis) and the Mongoloids that Holland, our authority on the subject, undertook a study of these two peoples.⁵

The Dravidian type: Here we come upon the weakest

¹ V. A. Smith, op. cit., p. 418.

³ p. 34.

⁵ *JRAL* 1902, pp. 114, 120.

² *Wanderings of Peoples*, pp. 26-7.

* p. 547.

part of Risley's great work. The type is supposed to spread from Ceylon to the valley of the Ganges, the best representatives of it being Nayars, Paniyans, Santals, and others.¹ This view has been repeated in *Man Past and Present*,² where though the jungle tribes like the Paniyans are classed apart as Pre-Dravidian, the Nayars and the Santals are given as the typical representatives of the Dravidian type. Now even if we leave aside complexion, the Santal stands as far apart from the Nayar as the Paniyan. The nasal index of the Nayar is 76·7 ; that of the Santal, 88·8 ; that of the Paniyan, 95·1. The close connection of the Santal with the jungle tribes of Southern India comes out more clearly if we take into account other tribes like the Irula and the Kanikar. It may be broadly stated that the nasal index of the jungle tribes ranges from 80 to 90. We have therefore to connect the Santal with the jungle tribes and separate the Nayar and others like him from them.

We propose to break up this composite group into four distinct types:—

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| (a) The Pre-Dravidian type. | (b) The Munda type, |
| (c) The Dravida type. | (d) The Western type. |

Before dealing with the types we must make a note about the use of certain terms. The Malayalam and the Tamil regions seem to have been collectively referred to by Sanskrit writers as "Dravida desa", i.e. the Dravid country, as opposed to the "Andhra desa" or the Telugu country. The type that we propose to designate Dravida is predominant only in the Malayalam and the Tamil regions. Hence it should be deservedly called the Dravida type. "Dravidian" is only an anglicized form of the word "dravida". But in philology it has been used to denote not only Tamil and Malayalam but Canarese, Telugu, and kindred languages. It is also employed to designate a culture different from the Aryan culture. We think that it was developed by the interaction between the peoples of the Dravida type and those of the Western type. It is convenient to retain this word to denote a culture which in its social organization was characterized at one end by such practices as cross-cousin

¹ Risley (2), pp. 84-5.

¹ p. 847.

marriage and at the other by dual organization and matrilineal descent.

(a) The Pre-Dravida type : The characteristic representatives of this type inhabit mostly the jungles of Southern India. They are also found in Western India, in the hilly country of Central India, in Rajputana, and the United Provinces, everywhere penetrating like a wedge. Such a distribution makes it probable that these peoples were the first occupants of Southern India. Being pressed by later immigrants they seem to have taken to the hills and jungles, or again managed to become low members of the social polity of the immigrants. The immigrants who pressed upon them were the people of the Dravida type. Hence the name of the type under consideration. If we regard the Irula, the Kadir, the Kanikar, the Paniyan and the like as the best representatives of this type, its physical characteristics may be thus summed up : The head is long, the cephalic index being generally below 75 ; the nose broad, the nasal index being always above 80. The Bhil and the Katkari of Western India are members of this racial stock. The Musahar, the Pasi, the Chamar, and the low castes in general of the United Provinces belong to the same type. From the fact that among the Kanikars of the hills the system of inheritance is from father to son, only a portion of the personal property being given to the nephew, while among those of the plains an equal distribution of one's self-acquired property is made between the sons and the nephews,¹ it is reasonable to assume that the pre-Dravida people were essentially patrilineal. When they moved northwards they seem to have imbibed many of the items of the Dravidian culture. They carried with them the practice of raising megalithic tombs and monuments, which are found in the Central Provinces, Eastern Rajputana, and the Mirzapur District of the United Provinces. They spoke Dravidian languages ; for some of the names of the villages in the Central Provinces end in a Canarese termination² and some village-names in the districts of Gaya and Mirzapur are distinctly Dravidian.³ As for social organization they seem to have carried the practice of

¹ Thurston, *op. cit.*, iii, p. 169.

² *Central Provinces District Gazetteers: Chanda*, p. 123.

³ *JASB.* 1908, pt. iii, pp. 92-3.

cross-cousin marriage, which now exists among them for the most part as a survival.¹

This type has till now been known as pre-Dravidian. Some authorities connect these people with the Sakai of the Malay Peninsula and the Australians.² They might have been the autochthones of India. That there is a negrito strain in this type is rendered clear by the researches of Dr. B. S. Guha.³

(b) The Munda type : Peoples having a very slight tendency towards mesaticephaly and a broad-nose, nasal index above 80, are massed together in Chhota-Nagpur and Western Bengal. They are also found in Bihar, but not in the United Provinces.⁴ In this region Munda culture seems to have originally flourished.⁵ Hence it is best to designate the type as the Munda type. Some of the peoples belonging to this type speak Indo-Aryan and Dravidian languages. In culture the Munda peoples have affinities with the Indonesian and the Melanesian regions. That this Munda culture had a far wider distribution than at present is certain because " Munda languages must once have been spoken over a wide area in Central India, and probably also in the Ganges Valley ",⁶ Further, some of the names of villages in the districts of Gaya and Mirzapur are Munda in origin.⁷ The Munda languages are closely related to the Mon-Khmer languages spoken by the Sakais and Semangs of the Malay Peninsula and are further connected with the languages of Indonesia, Melanesia, and Polynesia in such a manner as to allow us to group them together as one family.⁸ A survival of a peculiar custom, viz. the marriage of grandfather with his granddaughter, is confined only to this part of India. The existence of it among the Oraons was first made known by Rai Bahadur S. C. Roy. A counterpart of it is known from Melanesia.⁹ Roy has also noticed certain practices from Bihar which are reminiscent of this survival.¹⁰ Another sort of survival of this curious custom is reported from Ganjam, where even now a Munda language is spoken.

¹ *JRAS.* 1907, p. 026.

³ *Nature*, 1929, pp. 942-3.

⁷ Haddon, p. 26.

⁸ *JASB.* 1903, pt. iii, pp. 92-3.

⁹ *Linguistic Survey of India*, iv, p. 14, and f.n. p. 21.

¹⁰ S. C. Roy, *The Oraons*, pp.

² Keane, p. 422.

⁴ Risley, pp. 399-400.

• *Linguistic Survey of India*, iv, p. 9.

352-4. ¹⁰ *Ibid.*

Among the Sollokhondia section of the Gaudo caste if a girl fails to secure a husband before puberty she has to go through a nominal marriage with an old man, "preferably the girl's grandfather."^x A similar practice prevails amongst the Kurumo of Ganjam.² The custom of marriage between the granddaughter and the grandfather must have formed part of the Munda culture. A neolithic artefact known as the "shouldered celt" is peculiar to the highlands of Bengal and Assam. Identical tools are found in the Malayan region.³ The limited distribution of this implement in India lends support to the conclusion that the people who brought it to India must have come from the Malayan region. Further as the tool is not found west of the area characterized by the Munda culture it is reasonable to suppose that the Munda people were responsible for the introduction of this artefact. The conclusion about the origin of the Munda type is that the people of this type came into India from the Malayan region when they were in the Neolithic Age.

The typical representative of this type are the Munda, the Santal, the Musahar, and the Chamar of Bihar.

(c) The Dravida type : This type is characterized by a long head, the cephalic index being below 75, and by a medium nose, the nasal index being less than 77. It is restricted to the Tamil and Malayalam countries.⁴ Matrilineal descent seems to have been the chief characteristic of the social organization of the peoples of this type. A statement of Megasthenes is construed to refer to this peculiarity of the social organization of Malabar.⁵ A physical trait which we have not so far taken into consideration is the hair. It is of special importance in connection with the inquiry of the affinities of this type. Most castes of Malabar excepting the Nambudiri have little or no hair on the cheeks and the chest. They are clean-shaven, and the Nambudiri imitating them shaves all his body excepting the top-knot. "Amongst the people of good caste in Malabar, to speak of one as a hairy man is to speak of him reproachfully."⁶ This lack of hair on the cheeks definitely connects the Dravidas with

¹ Thurston, op. cit., ii, p. 276. ² Ibid., vol. iv, p. 179.

³ *Journal of the Department of Letters*, Calcutta University, i, p. 165.

⁴ Thurston, op. cit., p. 41. W. Logan, *Malabar*, i, p. 247.

* *Madras Museum Bulletin*, vol. iii, p. 38.

the Brown Race as it is characterized by Elliot Smith.¹ When they came to India from Mesopotamia or Arabia, cannot be ascertained. They might have brought with them the matrilineal type of social organization from these countries; for there is some evidence for thinking that both the Arabs and the Babylonians practised mother-right.²

The best representatives of this type are: The Nayar, the Tiyan, the Badaga, the Agamudaiyan, and the Vellala. We exclude the Nambudiris from this type because their cephalic index is above 75, and they are extremely hairy. The Todas are a problem. Their cephalic and nasal indices are such as to include them under this type; but their hairy system is particularly well developed. Rivers connects them with the Malabar castes and attributes their hairiness to their environment.³ Against this explanation R. Chanda has justly urged that the Badagas, another tribe of the Nilgiris, do not seem to be hairy.⁴ In view of the fact that "of all the castes or tribes of Malabar, the Nambudiris perhaps show the greatest number of resemblances to the customs of the Todas",⁵ it is not unlikely that they might have some connection with the Nambudiris.

(d) The Western type: We shall deal with this type in connection with the Scytho-Dravidian type of Risley.

The Aryo-Dravidian type: As the discussion so far must have made it clear we cannot speak of any Aryo-Dravidian type. We have shown that the high castes of the United Provinces (and perhaps also of Bihar) must be classed as Indo-Aryan. The lower castes of the United Provinces must be referred to the pre-Dravidian type and those of Bihar to the Munda type. That we must separate the low castes of Bihar from those of the United Provinces is made clear by the differential index. The differential index between the Brahmins of the two provinces is 2.05; that between the Kurmis is 3.49; and that between the Chamars is 4.01. The intermediate castes of the United Provinces must be considered to be the result of a mixture between the Indo-Aryan and the pre-Dravidas, while those of Bihar that of

¹ *The Ancient Egyptians*, pp. 50-62.

² H. Schaeffer, *The Social Legislation of the Primitive Semites*, p. 8.

³ *The Todas*, p. 708.

⁴ *Journal of the Department of Letters, Calcutta University*, vol. viii, p. 800.

⁵ *The Todas*, p. 709.

120 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

the Indo-Aryans and the Mundas, with perhaps a pre-Dravida strain.

The Mongolo-Dravidian type: This type, according to Risley, is a mixture of the pure Mongoloid and Dravidian types and comprises the population of Lower Bengal and Orissa. He describes the head of this type as broad, but we think it would be better to describe it as medium. Only the Rajbansi Maghs of the Chittagong Hills show a cephalic index of over 79; and they are essentially Mongoloid. In Bengal the nasal index, with very few exceptions, is below 78.¹ The nasal index of the Mongoloid type in India is in most cases above this figure.² Nor does the orbito-nasal index support any idea of a Mongoloid mixture. In the case of the Mongoloid people it is below 110.³ In three lower castes of Bengal—the Pod, the Bagdi, and the Chandal—the figures are: 111.5, 112.2, and 114.0. In Orissa the orbito-nasal index varies from 112 to 117. We do not wish to imply that there is no Mongoloid mixture in this part of India, but only to point out that the published data of anthropometry do not give us any clue. The Savaras of Ganjam are distinctly Mongoloid.⁴ Again some of the castes of the United Provinces show marked cultural affinities with the Mongoloid peoples. Among the Bahelias, Dhangars, Dharkars, Dombs, Dusadhs, and Nais, marriage is permitted in the line of one's mother's sister.⁵ Everywhere else in India such marriages are strictly prohibited; hence the permission for such marriages among these castes is very unusual. We can explain it only as a borrowing from the Mongoloid people, the Bhotias of Sikkim. Among them one can marry one's cousin on the maternal side, either mother's brother's child or mother's sister's child.⁸ We think that the Bengalis are only an extension of the Western type. The differential index shows that the Bengal Brahmin is more nearly related to the Shenvi and the Chitpavan Brahmins of Bombay than to the Bihar Brahmin and far more so than to the United Provinces Brahmin. There is evidence to show that the people of Bengal have affinities

¹ Risley, p. 401.

³ Ibid., p. 81.

⁵ *U.P. Census Report, 1911*, p. 212.

• *Bengal Census Report, 1911*, p. 826.

²

⁴ Ibid., p. 402.

⁸ Thurston, *op. cit.*, vi, p. 312.

with the Dravidian culture. The use in marriage of the Chank-bangles even by the Brahmins is an instance in point.¹ Risley thinks that there is "a strain of Indo-Aryan blood in the higher groups".² In view of the fact that the differential index between the Bengal Brahmin and the Chandal is 1.11, while between him and the United Provinces Brahmin is 3.89, there does not seem any ground for this supposition. In Orissa there appears to be some mixture of the Munda type, because the nasal index is sometimes very high. The people of Bengal and Orissa, therefore, would best be regarded as a mixture of the Western and the Munda types slightly modified in some cases by the Mongoloid type.

The Scytho-Dravidian type: What Risley exactly meant by the term Scythian is not clear. He could not have meant Mongoloid, for then there would have been no point in distinguishing this type from the Mongolo-Dravidian. Perhaps he used the word as equivalent to the Sakas of Sanskrit writers. That there is no evidence of the Sakas having reached so far as this type stretches is amply proved.³ We must, therefore, give up this designation of the type. We propose to call it the Western type, because it characterizes the Western Coast from Gujarat to South Canara, and thence spreads inwards into Coorg, Mysore, the Deccan, and the Telugu country and through Orissa into Bengal. The head is mostly medium with a slight tendency towards broad; the nasal index in most communities is below 78. Representatives of this type are: Sale, Bant, Vakkaliga, Coorga, Shenvi, Prabhu, Nagar, Chitpavan, Mala, Madiga, and Holey. Chanda seeks to connect the Gujaratis, the Marathis, and the Bengalis with a people of the Alpine Race that, he supposes, came from Chinese Turkistan. And he has the support of no less an authority than Dr. Haddon, who first postulated an immigration of the Alpine folks to account for the "strongly marked brachycephalic element in the population of Western India".⁴ Against this route of immigration it must be objected that if the Alpine people came through Kashmir and the Punjab, how is it that we

¹ J. Hornell, *The Sacred Chank of India*, pp. 145-6.

² *Op. cit.*, p. 88. Risley, (2), p. xx.

⁴ *Op. cit.*, p. 27, and Map 1.

have no trace of either brachycephaly or mesaticephaly in the intervening area till we come to Gujarat? Further, the highest cephalic indices are recorded from the southern part of the region characterized by this type, i.e. from Bellary. The distribution of the type sketched above suggests quite a different route of immigration, i.e. on the western coast by sea. Most of the castes are mesaticephalic and nine of the castes of the Marathi region, pooled together, give 77-84 as the mean cephalic index. It is therefore more reasonable to seek the origin of this type in a mixed stock rather than in a purely Alpine one, for the latter has a very broad head, the cephalic index being often 85 and upwards.¹ Western Asia seems to provide us with such a people; for there we notice a prolonged process of mixture between the Brown race and the Alpine race.² Dr. Schoff sees in Cutch or Kachh reminiscences of the Kassites who migrated from Elam about 2200 B.C.³ Mr. H. J. E. Peake thinks that about 2000 B.C. some of the Nordics had made their appearance in Asia Minor.⁴ If some of them accompanied the people who landed on the western coast of India, we can explain the colour of the eyes of the Chitpavans of Bombay. It is greenish grey rather than blue. Their eyes are known in Marathi as "cat-eyes".⁵

Giuffrida-Ruggeri has suggested the following classification of the ethnic elements in India: (a) Negritos. Apparently there are no representatives of these. He thinks that they survive in tribes like the Bhils, the Gonds, etc.⁶ (b) Pre-Dravidians (Australoid-Veddaic); (c) Dravidians. They are connected with the Ethiopians, with the exception of the Somalis and Gallas.⁷ Under this category he includes the mesaticephalic and the brachycephalic peoples of the Canarese-Telugu regions.⁸ Being afraid of sea migration—witness his gibe at Elliot Smith⁹—he brings the Dravidians into India from Iran, and explains the "elevation of the cephalic index among the inhabitants of the south by a mixture with the brachycephalic Negritos, the most ancient

¹ Keane, p. 438.

² Elliot Smith, op. cit., p. 136.

³ *The Periplus of the Erythrean Sea*, p. 134.

⁴ *JRAJ.* 1916, p. 172, and Map 2.

⁵ *Bombay Gazetteer*, vol. x, p. 111, f.n. 3.

⁶ *Journal of the Department of Letters*, Calcutta University, v, p. 226.

⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 219.

Ibid., p. 222.

Ibid., p. 233.

population between India and the Persian Gulf ".¹ He entirely misses the significance of the distribution of the dolichocephalic and mesaticephalic types explained above, (d) Tall dolichocephalic elements (Toda),² (e) dolichocephalic Aryans. Under this class he includes Kafirs, Dards, Rajputs, and the Kanet of Lahoul.³ (f) Brachycephalic Leucoderms. He accepts the hypothesis of Chanda,⁴ about immigration of the Alpine folk. It will have been quite clear from our discussion that such a classification is untenable. The ascertained facts of Indian anthropometry are far better accommodated by the classification that emerges out of our discussion.

We would distinguish six main physical types among the Hindu population of India. These are: (1) The Indo-Aryan; (2) pre-Dravidian; (3) the Dravida; (4) the Western; (5) the Munda; and (6) the Mongoloid. The Indo-Aryan type is confined to the Punjab, Rajputana, and part of the United Provinces, and is mixed with the pre-Dravida and Munda types in the last province among its lower classes. The Munda type centres round Chota-Nagpur. The population of Bihar is formed by the mixture of three types, viz. the Indo-Aryan, the pre-Dravida, and the Munda, while that of Bengal and Orissa combines the Western, the Munda, and the Mongoloid types. The Mongoloid proper is confined to the Himalayas, Nepal, and Assam. The Western type is found on the western coast right up to the northern limits of Malabar, in Mysore and the Telugu country, and in the whole of Maharashtra. The Dravida type makes up the population of the Tamil and Malayalam speaking districts of the south, excepting the rudest hill-tribes. The jungle folks of South India generally represent the pre-Dravidian type.

¹ Ibid., p. 216.

³ Ibid., p. 256.

²

⁴

Ibid., p. 220.

Ibid., p. 216.

CHAPTER VI

ELEMENTS OF CASTE OUTSIDE INDIA

W the elements of Indian caste before us it will be instructive to see if any one or many of them in combination characterize any other community, civilized or tribal. In our quest for these elements we shall pass under review Egypt, Western Asia, China, Japan, America, and the tribal peoples on the one hand and Rome and tribal Europe on the other.

In Egypt during the Pyramid age there were three principal classes—the land-owners, the serfs and the slaves, the last two being distinguished from the first by the fact of their owning no land.¹ During the Eighteenth Dynasty, to judge from the classification made in an official census, there seem to have been at least four classes. They were the soldiers—who included among them all free persons of the middle class, not engaged in any of the other callings—the priests, the craftsmen, and the serfs. The first two classes had so many common interests that they were clearly distinguished from the rest.² Yet in actual influence and possession of wealth the clergy were far superior to the soldiers.³ And they had by then formed themselves into a huge sacerdotal organization.⁴ The social situation is thus summarized by Petrie : " When we look at the various classes of the country, it is evident that there was, very naturally, a large amount of hereditary succession to office and to business. We see the same in England or any other country, although everyone is free to change his occupation as he prefers. In Egypt, on the contrary, no artisan was allowed to have another trade or employment, or be reckoned in any other class. Hence, once in a trade, it was impossible to move out of it, and the natural facility of a boy learning his father's trade tended to fix each generation into the same line. Thus the impression

¹ Breasted, (2), p. 67.

³ Maspero, p. 305.

²

Breasted, (1), p. 246.

⁴

Breasted, (1), p. 247.

ELEMENTS OF CASTE OUTSIDE INDIA - 125

which the Greeks received when stepping into such a society was that its structure was a group of genea or hereditary tribes¹ It was not only the artisan who was prevented from attempting to enter another calling but it appears that a member of other lower classes as well was not allowed to follow any other occupation than that of his father. As a result, offices often remained in the same families for many generations. Thus we are told in the inscriptions that the office of architect continued in a certain family for twenty-three generations.² The goldsmith's art as well as that of the painter and the sculptor was traditionally handed down from father to son.³ As regards the three middle-class professions, viz. those of a priest, a scribe, and a warrior, though generally they ran in the same families, yet there was no restriction against any one following any of these callings, even if one's father might not have professed that particular calling but had carried on one of the other two. Nay, one and the same man, on suitable occasions, could be a priest, a military or naval commander or could act as a scribe and an official. "A general in the army could marry the daughter of a priest, and his children could be scribes, priests or public functionaries."⁴ By the time of the early Ptolemies, however, and possibly earlier, only persons descended from priests could enter their profession. They had secured for the members of their class exemption from poll-tax.⁵ Under the influence of their scribes, who were trying to exalt their own profession over the others, the Egyptians came to hold the agriculturists as well as the able craftsmen in light esteem.⁶

Among the Sumerians the aristocracy of the city was formed by the priests and the officials, while the free land-holding citizens formed the middle class, who worked their lands with the help of the slaves.⁷ The fragments of Sumerian laws that have come down to us recognize no inequalities among these classes of the community.⁸ But in the Code of Hammurapi, the nature and amounts of fines and punishments

¹ Petrie, pp. 11-12. * Spencer, iii, p. 422. ³ Erman, p. 460.

⁴ Wilkinson, i, p. 159, a note by Dr. S. Birch.

⁵ A. M. Blackman, in *Ency. of JR and E.*, vol. x, p. 299 (6).

¹ Erman, p. 446. Breasted, (1), p. 169.

⁷ Breasted, (2), p. 119.

• Brooks, p. 88.

are mostly determined by the class-status of the offender and the sufferer.¹ The law of limb for limb was restricted to those cases only where the sufferer belonged to the highest class, physical injuries in other cases being generally compensated for by fines. For a physical injury inflicted by a patrician on a plebeian the fine was one-third that which a plebeian would have had to pay if he had caused it to his equal. In the case of theft, the patrician paid thrice the fine that the plebeian had to pay. While divorcing a wife, for whom no bride-price was paid, the patrician had to pay her thrice the award that was demanded of a plebeian. The surgeon's fees depended on the status of the patient: the higher the status, the larger the fees.²

Woolley thinks that this class-system was military in its origin, and that was the reason why the lives of the patricians, who formed the regular army, were valued more than those of the non-combatant citizens.³ This theory enables us to explain why in those cases where property was involved the higher classes had also greater responsibilities.

Whether all the males that were either descended from the same parents or were adopted in the family actually carried on the trade of the family is not quite clear. "Certainly many men who carried on a trade were 'sons' of the trade-father, but apparently not all."⁴ And a clause in the code of Hammurapi lends support to the view that to enter into a particular trade, which was not followed by one's father, one had to seek legal adoption into a family that carried on that trade.⁵ In some cities the trades had their distinct quarters.⁶

A slave could marry a free woman, the offspring being regarded as free. No disgrace seems to have attached to such a marriage, and such a free woman could bring with her a marriage-portion as if she had married in her own rank.⁷

In the earliest period of the Iranian civilization, i.e. before 700 B.C., three classes of society are often mentioned, priests, warriors, and husbandmen, but the fourth class, formed by artisans, is only once mentioned, though there is frequent

¹ Jastrow, p. 298.

² Johns, p. 74, and pp. 44-63 of the *Code of Hammurapi* edited by him.

³ Woolley, p. 97.

⁴ Johns, p. 121.

⁵ Brooks, p. 44.

⁶ Johns, p. 121.

⁷ *Ibid.*, pp. 75, 186.

reference to the work carried on by members of this class, viz. goldsmith, blacksmith, mason, etc. The origin of these classes is attributed to Zarathushtra.¹ According to the Shah Namah, however, the four classes were made by Yima.² In the Sasanian period, A.D. 226-651, we get more frequent references to these classes, and their relative position is also more well-defined. The priests are likened to the head of man, the warriors to his hands, the husbandmen to his stomach, and the artisans to his feet. Further, we are told that the members of the last class engaged also in trade and commerce. The four classes were credited with different virtues, good nature being that of the premier class, resourcefulness and manliness of the second, strenuous tillage of the third, and diligence and skill of the fourth. It is further observed that " the work of the priest is of the highest merit, and the lowest in the scale of usefulness is the work of the fourth class, more particularly their work of trade and commerce ".³

The profession of the priest seems to have been hereditary from the earliest times when the classes began to be recognized. By the Sasanian period it was held to be the natural order to follow the occupation of one's ancestors. And if anyone wanted to try a profession other than the one of his class, he was allowed to do so only if, on examination, he proved to be a man of marked talent.⁴

It was only in the late period of Iranian history that there came to be any impediments to intermarriage between the different classes of society, the priestly class arrogating to itself the right of marrying girls from the laity, though refusing to give theirs to the lay youths.⁵ Intermarriage of a Zoroastrian with a non-Zoroastrian was condemned in strong terms.⁶

In China it is supposed that society has, from time immemorial, been divided into four orders, viz. gentlemen, agriculturists, artisans, and merchants. Gentlemen were all the members of the governing class, the members of the civil service, admission to which depended on a literary test, open to almost all who would like to try their luck and intelligence. " No profession except that of serving the

¹ Dhalla, p. 65.

⁴ Ibid., p. 296.

²

Ibid., p. 7.

⁵ Ibid., p. 78.

³

Ibid., p. 295.

⁶

Ibid., p. 804.

128 RACE AND CASTE IN INDIA

State entitles a man to be called a 'gentleman.'" Banker, barrister, physician, priest, merchant-prince, manufacturer, engineer, none of these could be styled a gentleman on the strength of either his profession or wealth. "The sole cachet of aristocracy is literary attainment which has given its proofs." ¹ There was a slightly lower grade division of this class which was formed by those who had qualified for the civil service and yet had to be on the waiting list for lack of suitable appointments. These were known as the scholars.² Next in order stood the workers of the soil, agriculture being regarded, from very early times, a very noble calling. The social ladder was not quite complete with the traders. There still remained the barbers and their sons who were reckoned among the pariah classes and were debarred from competing for the civil service.³ According to Confucius, the people were divided into five classes, which in the descending order of social prestige were scholars, farmers, artisans, merchants, and servants, soldiers being always included in the last group.⁴

Ranks were distinguished by different head-dresses, garments, badges, etc.⁵ None whose parents were engaged in one of the degrading occupations, like menial service, play-acting or brothel-keeping, was allowed to compete for the civil service.⁶ One of the emperors forbade the use of silk garments or carriages by merchants; and in order to render that calling unpopular he levied heavy taxes on them.⁷

Except the civil service, it appears that most of the other occupations were customarily hereditary. One of the Chinese books lays it down as a rule that "the sons of officers ought always to be officers, the sons of artisans ought always to be artisans. The sons of merchants ought always to be merchants, and the sons of farmers ought always to be farmers".⁸

Marriages between officials and actresses or singing girls were not allowed. In the case of nobles of hereditary rank entering into such a union the penalty was degradation to

¹ Brinkley, xi, pp. 202-4.

² Ibid., pp. 120-46.

⁶ Brinkley xi, pp. 208-0.

⁸ Spencer, iii, p. 422.

² Bashford, p. 43.

⁵ Douglas, p. 116.

⁵ Werner (I), Table I.

⁷ Brinkley, x, p. 132.

a lower class.¹ Play-actors, policemen, and boatmen had to marry women of their own class. No slave could marry a free woman.²

In Japan during her military age—twelfth century to the middle of the nineteenth century A.D.—society was divided into five distinct groups. Hereditary soldiers, the Samurai, were the foremost of these. Farmers, artisans, and traders followed next in order. The fifth class was formed by two groups called the Eta and the Hinin, who were the Pariahs and outcasts of the community.³ Every occupation that brought a man into contact with unclean things, such as the corpses of human beings, the carcasses of animals, and offal of all descriptions, was degraded.⁴ Occupations that catered for the sensuous side of man as well as those that did not carry a fixed scale of remuneration were regarded as low.⁵ The degrading callings, and some others like stone-cutting and casting of metal, were relegated to the outcasts.⁶ After the Revolution a change was brought about in the social classification, and at the present day there are three classes established by law. These are the nobility, the gentry, and the common people, who include farmers, artisans, and merchants. The first two classes constitute only five per cent of the population, the rest being commoners. Every householder is required to nail up over his door a ticket with his name and class inscribed thereon.⁷

During the eight centuries of military feudalism preceding the Revolution the Samurai was a being apart. His conduct was governed by special canons and special tribunals judged his offences. If he was temporarily imprisoned and had to be presented at a court, he was carried in a closed sedan-chair, while a common prisoner was marched through the streets.

The tribunal that adjudicated on matters relating to temples and shrines was distinct from that which had jurisdiction in the case of artisans, merchants, and others, and there was yet another tribunal to try questions relating to the agriculturists. During the latter part of the seventeenth century separate jails were built: one for the Samurai and priests, another for the merchants and peoples, and a third

¹ Mollendorff, p. 20. ² Werner (2), p. 40. ³ Longford, pp. 69-70.
⁴ Brinkley (2), vol. ii, p. 41. ⁵ Ibid.
⁶ Ibid., p. 49. ⁷ Chamberlain, p. 95.

for the farmers. Till the latter part of the seventeenth century it had not been usual to send a Samurai to gaol for any crime.¹ The Eta had to live on the outskirts of towns and villages and were governed by their own headmen. Prejudice against this unfortunate class of people was so great that it long survived the removal of their legal disabilities in 1871, serving as a theme for novelists.²

The outcasts could not marry persons of the higher classes.³ During the feudal age intermarriage among the various recognized classes of society required special permission.⁴

In Mexico, as a result of its conquest by the Europeans, the population is divided into three main well-marked groups with numerous sub-divisions. The highest class is formed of members who have a more or less pure Spanish descent, the half-breed coming next, and the pure Indians forming the lowest class.⁵ When the Spaniards captured Mexico they found that the sons generally learned the trades and entered the professions of their fathers. "The different trades were arranged into something like guilds, having each a particular district of the city appropriated to it, with its own chief, its own tutelary deity, its peculiar festivals."⁶

Among the Kayans and Kenyaks of Borneo there are three classes, the upper, middle, and the slave.⁷ The Maoris distinguish the following orders in their society: the sacerdotal chiefs of smaller tribal divisions, the skilled artisans and other professionals, the bulk of the comparatively poor population and lastly the slaves taken captive in war.⁸ The Samoans recognize six classes: The chiefs, priests, landed gentry, large landowners, commoners, and slaves, the first not being wholly uniform.⁹ But the most distinct and unbridgeable gulf lies between the patricians and the plebeians—the two main divisions of society comprising the above classes.¹⁰ Tahitian society was divided into classes that were insuperably distinct from one another. The privileged class was composed of the reigning king, the chieftains, and their relations. Next in rank came the gentry

¹ Brinkley, iv, pp. 64-6, 76.

³ Brinkley (2), vol. li, p. 50.

⁵ Spence, p. 25.

⁷ Hose and McDougall, i, p. 68.

• Ibid., p. 347.

² Chamberlain, pp. 149-50.

⁴ *Ency. of R. and E.*, viii, p. 459 (6).

⁶ Spencer, vol. iii, pp. 422-45.

⁸ Lowie, pp. 846-7.

¹⁰ Ibid., p. 349.

and the farmers, artisans and fishermen forming the next class. The lowest class comprised servants and slaves.¹ Among the Hawaiians, society was marked by an exclusive class-division, all persons belonging by birth to one of the three classes. The *arais* or the nobles, the *hakuaina* or land-proprietors and the priests, the *canaka-mowree* or the common people, comprising the small farmers, artisans and professionals, fishermen and labourers.²

In some parts of Fiji the people are divided into small independent bodies with smaller groups within each, these latter being called *Matanggali*. In some cases the *Matanggali* of a tribe have different functions, and some of these groups among the ordinary people are differentiated by the possession of special occupations.³

The people of Borneo nearly always marry within their class, though persons of the middle class sometimes do marry females of the slave class.⁴ In the Carolinas, apart from the slaves, there are two classes, the lower of which is forbidden to touch the higher on pain of death. Further they are not allowed to carry on fishing and seafaring nor to marry with members of the higher class.⁵ Africa provides us with a very interesting case of obligatory endogamy. The smiths often form a social group kept distinct from the rest of the community to which they belong by having to marry within their group.⁶

In South Arabia there were two classes of Pariahs. One class, comprising the artisans, was regarded as the subordinate menials of the dominant class. Its members were required to live on the outskirts of the towns, and though admitted into the mosques were not allowed to visit Arab houses. The other class of Pariahs was regarded as still inferior, and its members were not allowed to enter even the mosque, though they were devout Mohammedans.⁷

There is enough evidence to show that the Arabs had no

¹ Featherman, *h b*, p. 37. ² *Ibid.*, p. 244.

³ Rivers, pp. 264-5. N.B.—The account of the Fijian and the Samoan classes given by A. M. Hocart makes it quite clear that these systems are copied from the Hindu caste system. See the summary appearing in *Nature*, 7th April, 1928, p. 551.

⁴ Hose and McDougall, pp. 71-2.

⁵ Hobhouse, *Morals in Evolution*, 1910, p. 274, f.n. 5.

⁶ Rivers in *Ency. of R. and E.*, viii, p. 424 (*b*).

⁷ Featherman, *v*, p. 424.

law of endogamy. But they did not like to intermarry with people who had different tastes and customs.¹

In ancient Rome the populace was for a long time divided into three groups—the patricians, the plebeians, and the slaves. Of these the first two classes comprised all the free men. It is a matter of common knowledge that the evolution of political institutions in Rome was largely the result of a conflict between the patricians, the politically privileged class, and the plebeians who were politically insignificant. Up to the time of Servius Tullius the patricians alone ranked as citizens. Their marriage was regarded as sanctified and lawful. They had the special powers of testamentary disposition. None but they could consult the gods of Rome by a specially sacred procedure.² The plebeians were the artisans and craftsmen of Rome. Their marriages were not celebrated with the religious ceremony to which the patricians were accustomed, and were looked upon by the latter as not involving the legal consequences of marriage.³ This disparity between the class and the mass in their political as well as socio-religious rights was gradually removed, so that by 287 B.C. the two groups were placed on a footing of equality.⁴ During the early part of the Empire, the development of economic life had advanced so far that specialized arts and crafts had formed guilds. Thus the shoemakers had amongst them various divisions like boot-makers, sandal-makers, slipper-makers, and ladies' shoe-makers. Workers in the same craft and trade congregated at Rome and elsewhere in Italy in special districts.⁵ Roman laws of the fifth century of the Christian era reveal the upper class as cut off from the masses. Every social grade and occupation was "practically hereditary, from the senator to the waterman on the Tiber, or the sentinel at a frontier post". There was a tendency to stereotype social life by preventing free circulation among different callings and grades in society.⁶ The Theodosian Code compelled a youth to follow the employment of his father.⁷

In prehistoric Rome endogamy existed to this extent that

¹ Smith, W. Robertson, pp. 74-5, and f.n. 2.

³ Ibid., pp. 11-12.

⁵ Friedlander, vol. i, p. 147.

⁷ Lambert, p. 12.

² Muirhead, p. 6.

Leage, p. 14.

⁶ Dill, pp. 228-33.

marriage was arranged within the limits of the gens.¹ Generally marriage could take place only between parties that were equal in their political rights. Thus a free man could not wed a freed woman without the special permission of the Senate.² In the fifth century A.D. men were not permitted to marry out of their guild. If the daughter of a member of the baker-caste married a man not belonging to it, her husband had to adopt her father's calling.³

In Anglo-Saxon England society was divided into three well-marked classes of people: The nobleman, the common freeman, and the slave. There seems to have been also a fourth class called the "Laet". It represented a class "intermediate between freemen and slaves, which was rather infrequent in England though very common among the Teutonic peoples of the Continent".⁴ This class, which was a feature peculiar to Kentish society, seems to have had three sub-divisions differing in their wergelds, with wergelds of 80, 60, and 40 shillings respectively.⁵ The first class had two divisions, a higher and a lower order, the higher order, whose immediate overlord was the king, being subject to higher charges and heavier fines. The membership of the class was partly inherited and partly acquired. Possession of a certain amount of land by a family continuously for three generations bestowed permanent nobility on the members of that family.⁶ The second class comprised all the free persons of English blood who were not members of the first class. Though there were many sub-divisions in this class we find no evidence of any difference in wergeld,⁷ "the fixed sum with which a person's death must be atoned for to his kindred or which he might in some cases have to pay for his own misdoing." The nobleman's wergeld was six times that of a commoner's; and his oath also was worth as much.⁸ The slave being unfree was not a legal person and as such had no wergeld.

The clergy seem to have been regarded as members of the nobility; yet there was a special scale of wergeld for them. A priest, who was a nobleman previous to his taking orders,

¹ Warde Fowler, in *Ency. of R. and E.*, viii, p. 463 (a).

² Leffingwell, p. 39.

⁴ Attenborough, p. 177.

⁶ *Ibid.*, pp. 79-84.

⁸ Pollock and Maitland, p. 33.

³ Dill, *op. cit.*, p. 233.

⁵ Chadwick, p. 112.

⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 85.

could have the option of demanding either the wergeld that was proper to his original rank or one that was due to him because of his ecclesiastical rank.¹

If a slave stole the property of a freeman he had to pay twice the amount as compensation, while if the theft was committed by a freeman he paid thrice. If it was the priest's property that was stolen ninefold compensation had to be paid.² If a man's servant killed a nobleman, whose wergeld was three hundred shillings, the owner had to surrender the servant and pay the price of three men; but if he killed a commoner, whose wergeld was only a hundred shillings, then the owner paid the price of only one man in addition to surrendering the homicide. If a priest killed a man—any freeman—he was ejected from the monastic order and his property confiscated.³ Fornication with a nobleman's serving maid was to be compensated for by double the sum of money that had to be paid in the case of the same offence being committed in respect of the serving maid of a commoner. If a man committed adultery with the wife of a servant, he had to pay compensation, while if he did the same with the wife of a freeman he had to procure a second wife in addition to compensation. If a betrothed woman of the commoner class was guilty of fornication, she was ordered to pay 60 shillings as compensation to the surety of the marriage; if she belonged to the higher class, this amount was to be greater in proportion to her wergeld. "If anyone rapes the slave of a commoner, he shall pay 5 shillings to the commoner, and a fine of 60 shillings. If a slave rapes a slave, castration shall be required as compensation." For illicit union a nobleman had to pay double the commoners' compensation.⁴ Breaking into the premises of a nobleman had to be atoned for by thrice or six times the fine that had to be paid for the same offence against a commoner. In the scale of compensation that a man had to pay for fighting in the house of another the same proportion had to be maintained between the two classes.⁶ A priest could clear himself of any charge by a simple declaration; a clerk had to place his hand on the altar accompanied by three men of

¹ Ibid., p. 84.

³ Ibid., pp. 19-75.

⁵ Ibid., pp. 81-8.

²

⁴

Attenborough, pp. 5, 17.

Ibid., pp. 7, 9, 15, 25, 73, 75.

his class, while a commoner was required to clear himself by the collective oath of himself and three of his own class.¹ " If a nobleman who holds land neglects military service, he shall pay 120 shillings and forfeit his land ; a nobleman who holds no land shall pay 60 shillings; a commoner shall pay a fine of 30 shillings for neglecting military service." ²

By the thirteenth century the law of status had become relatively insignificant, and the law of tenure had risen into prominence. Accordingly the lawbooks have very little to say about the ranks of men and a great deal about tenures. " In the main all free men are equal before the law. Just because this is so, the line between the free and the unfree seems very sharp." And even this distinction is very apt to appear in practice as a difference in tenures. The clergy was gaining in importance. Every ordained clerk was subject to special rules of ecclesiastical and secular law. If he committed any of the crimes known as felonies, he could be tried only by an ecclesiastical court. A layman assaulting a clerk had to submit to a trial by the ecclesiastical as well as by the temporal tribunals.³

By the fifteenth century commercial spirit had grown so much that the dwindling ideas of status had come to be replaced by social distinctions based on the possession of wealth, even land being regarded as a mere manifestation and a source of wealth. The failure of the sumptuary laws of this period is a measure of the futility of keeping the old class divisions.⁴

In Scandinavia during the early Middle Ages the following scheme of social classes was in force : highborn men comprising the kingly families and their immediate liegemen ; the nobles ; the great landed middle class, including all grades of freeholders ; freed men and their descendants of many generations ; and slaves. It was possible for members of lower rank to rise to the superior grade both by marriage as well as by accumulation of wealth. Yet the social stratification made its mark on social etiquette and legal and sacerdotal affairs. Thus, in the great banqueting halls seats were so arranged that the lowliest members might be

¹ Ibid., p. 29.

²

³ Pollock and Maitland, pp. 407, 412, 439, 441.

Ibid., p. 53.

⁴ Abram, p. 72.

accommodated nearest the door. " Class discrimination was, however, felt most in connection with legal and governmental matters, in determining the amount of wergeld which must be paid if a member of the population was wronged or killed, in the composition of the juries, and in the exercise of legislative and judicial power at the political assemblies." In the public cemeteries of the Christian church the nobles were buried in the most sacred ground, nearest to the church, while the other classes had their burying places at further distances in the descending order of their rank, the slaves being relegated to the ground nearest the wall of the churchyard.¹

During mediaeval times all over Europe trades and crafts were highly organized. There was a certain lack of freedom, therefore, about the choice of a trade or a craft. Thus in England, where smithcraft was held in special esteem, no villain was allowed to carry on that craft without his lord's permission.² When the important crafts came to be organized into guilds, anyone who desired to practise a particular craft had to join the guild as a journeyman to take his training in that craft. At first, it seems, admission was unrestricted and the conditions fairly light, thus making the guilds more or less free associations of persons, engaged in crafts and pledged to instructing the new generation in the means of getting their livelihood. But in later times, about the thirteenth century, they degenerated into family coteries. The widow of a guildsman might carry on the trade of her husband or could confer the freedom of the guild on her second husband if he was following the same trade. But if she married a man not belonging to the same craft, not only could she not confer the freedom of the guild on her new husband but she herself was excluded from the guild. In the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries the restrictions on admission to craft-guilds became more and more rigorous, and the handicrafts became practically the monopolies of a few families.

About the same time in Germany a candidate for admission into a guild had to furnish proofs of pure birth in order to be worthy of such admission. Whole classes of people were

¹ Williams, pp. 35-6.

²Traill,

vol. i, p. 104.

being excluded from the guilds on the pretence of some infamy attaching to their birth, so much so that, after the sixteenth century, legislation had to be passed to remedy this growing evil. Apprentices, who proved the purity of their birth, had to undergo heavy expenses and during their probation had to undertake long travel, extending over as many as five years, before they could set up as masters of their crafts. Further, before a craftsman could set up independent practice, he had to prepare a masterpiece, which generally was a very costly and a useless article. The sons of master-craftsmen were, on the other hand, free from all these prohibitive conditions. In effect the guilds became clubs of families, hereditarily carrying on the particular crafts.

"The punishments decreed by the craft-guilds consisted in the payment of fines, or in earlier times in certain quantities of wax, or of beer or wine to be drunk at their feasts." Offences like forging led to exclusion from the guild and withdrawal of the right to practise the craft.¹ The members of each craft usually occupied the same locality. Thus in London, the weavers concentrated in Cannon Street, smiths in Smithfield, and so on. "Such a grouping must have enormously strengthened the sense of corporate life in each craft."²

Though the crafts, the practice of which was from very early times hedged in by many restrictions, had become more or less hereditary, the learned professions and commerce had begun to offer fresh fields for the intelligent youths. "The church had always provided clever youths with an opportunity of rising in the world." Toward the end of the fourteenth and throughout the following century, it seems, the clergy were largely recruited from the middle classes and even from serfs, the economic changes rendering the profession less attractive to the members of the upper classes. In the fifteenth century the legal profession also opened up possibilities of a brilliant career.³

In the cities of Europe guilds were ranked according to the recognized importance of their trades. The principle

¹ Toulmin Smith (Lujó Brentano in), pp. cxxvi-vii, cxxxii, cxlix- cli.

² Ashley, p. 96. ³ Abram, pp. 99, 106.

on which the occupations were rated was evidently not **the** vital importance of the needs which were supplied by them. "The status of a profession seems to have depended on whether it was more or less honourable, lucrative or ancient. The place of honour was reserved for those crafts in which brainwork took precedence over manual work. They were regarded as more honourable, evidently because in the dualistic conception which governed Christian societies, spirit was placed above matter, the intellectual above the animal part of man." The occupations demanding intellectual work alone came to be designated "liberal" professions, as contrasted with those involving manual labour, these latter being termed "servile". In the university towns the professors occupied the first rank and shared with the nobles the privilege of walking on the wall side of the pavement. The doctors also belonged to this category, though their brethren of lower origins, the surgeon-barbers, were relegated to a lower status on account of their partiality for surgical operations. Though craftsmen usually were rated low, the goldsmiths had secured a high rank. Dealers in articles that were to be brought from distant countries, like spices and furs, were ranked very high. Bankers, moneychangers, and wholesale dealers were distinguished not only because their professions required quickness of perception, complicated calculations, wide range of vision and rare thought, but also because of their show of wealth. "It is easy to see that in the priority accorded to the great industrial and commercial guilds, the second of the principles we have mentioned was at work, namely, that a craft was considered more or less honourable according to the wealth it yielded . . . It was undoubtedly for this reason that the butchers, who had numerous assistants working under their orders and who made considerable profit, sometimes managed in Paris to be included among the 'Six Guilds'." Home crafts suffered from internal competition and thus were ranked rather low. At Florence the baker and bread-makers came last in the list of the twenty-one official guilds.¹

It was in keeping with these ideas of occupational dignity that in France a member of the nobility had his noble state

¹ Renard, pp. 57-61.

suspended if he carried on trade or handicraft, incompatible with the profession of arms.¹

The laws of the Anglo-Saxons laid it down that none was to seek in marriage a mate outside one's class, so that if a person of lower status married a woman of a higher class he was to perish.² By the fifteenth century in England this rule of endogamy had almost vanished, so much so that one of the usual methods by which the needy nobles replenished their empty purses was by marrying the daughters or widows of rich merchants. Such marriages went a long way in fusing the upper and the middle classes.³ In France, in the later period of the Roman Empire, the workmen, employed in the manufactures of the State like that of arms, were not allowed to marry their daughters outside their group.⁴ In the eyes of the tribal law the only legal marriage that could take place was between free-born people of equal status. The free woman who married her own slave lost her freedom, and had her property confiscated, and the slave was killed on the wheel. Where a free man married either his slave or a freed woman, neither the wife nor the issue of the union attained the rank of the man.⁵ During the feudal age, however, legitimate children took the status of their father, the principle that gentility came from the father being gradually recognized. If a free woman married a nobleman she attained his status but not so a servile woman.⁶ In Germany "the consequences of equality of birth in private law made themselves felt in the law of family and inheritance. Only an equal born member of the estate had the right of exercising guardianship over minors and women. And only between those equal in birth was there a right of inheritance". Originally members of different status-groups could not contract a legal marriage. A free woman's marriage with a slave meant loss of freedom to the

¹ Brissaud (2), p. 300.

² N. K. Sidhanta, *The Heroic Age of India*, pp. 136-7.

³ Abram, p. 89. N.B.—Compare the practice of the Japanese Samurai, in the middle of the eighteenth century, of adopting into their families the sons of rich commoners to replenish their treasury; Yosoburo Takekoshi, *The Economic Aspects of the History of the Civilization of Japan*, 1930, vol. li, pp₄ 452-3.

⁴ Brissaud (2), p. 48.

⁵ Bnssaud (1), pp. 158-9.

⁶ Brissaud (2), pp. 291, f.n. 6, 298.

woman or of life to the slave. Under the influence of Christianity the rigour of laws against unequal marriages was much lessened, such unions being regarded as only inferior marriages and the issue as quite legitimate. But the higher status of one of the parties could not be conferred on the other, the issue being naturally relegated to the status of the lower-born. Exceptionally the children of a union, where the wife was a free woman and the husband half-free or unfree, were allowed to inherit the natural freedom of their mother. In the newer grouping of society on the occupational basis " the principle of equal birth was less and less heeded ". Only the higher nobility has still clung to it.¹

To sum up, distinction by birth has been usually recognized by many primitive peoples and almost all the major civilizations of ancient times. The primitive peoples, in so far as their arts and crafts are neither many, nor highly specialized, have few classes whose status is fixed. But wherever status is recognized, privileges and restrictions in the matter of the choice of avocation are very common. Restriction on the choice of one's mate based on birth is comparatively infrequent among them. In tribal England, in Rome, and in Asiatic civilizations, occupations not only tended to become hereditary but were actually prescribed to be followed by specific classes and graded in certain order as high and low. Specialized occupations had come to form themselves into units of community life. Society was divided into two, three, four, or five well-marked status-groups, intermarriage between which was often prohibited. The Chinese civilization, before it was influenced by Indian ideas, seems to have been the freest in its social grouping.

Well-marked status-groups within a society, distinguished from one another by rights and disabilities, separated from one another by the absence of freedom of intermarriage, may, therefore, be considered to be a common characteristic of the mental background and social picture of the Indo-European cultures. Specialization of occupations, accompanied by solidarity within specific occupations and great unfreedom about their choice, was a feature common to the ancient and mediaeval times. The circumstances that led

¹ Huebner, pp. 92-4, 99.

to the abolition of distinctions, based on birth and occupation, in Rome and in England are peculiar to each and cannot be gone into here. Suffice it for us to remember that in each case it was the special conditions, making for political unity and commercial aggrandisement, that slowly killed the ideas of status by birth and removed the unfreedom of occupation.

Note.—It has not been possible to check all the references in this chapter as I could not get all the books in Bombay (G. S. G.).

CHAPTER VII

ORIGINS OF CASTE

SOCIAL differentiation with its attendant demarcation of groups and of status of individuals is a very widespread feature of human society.¹ In by far the larger number of communities this status depends on the individual's achievement in those fields of activity which are prized by those communities. They range from capacity for certain types of supernatural experience to ability to acquire wealth. The visible marks of this differentiation are, as we have seen, special rights for some groups and disabilities on others in the matter of dress, occupation, and even food. In other communities the status of an individual is determined by birth. People, speaking Indo-European tongues, carried this theory of status by birth to a farther extent than any other peoples, both in the matter of the number of differentiated groups within a society as well as in the matter of their rights and disabilities. Some of them even enjoined that members of a group shall marry in their own group. Thus it would be seen that the Hindu system is unique only in this that it alone classified some groups as untouchable and unapproachable.² In other respects it only differs in the thoroughness with which the scheme is worked out and in the number of differentiated groups.

Of the many cultures that flourished in India the literary records of the Indo-Aryan culture are not only the earliest but contain the first mention and a continuous history of the factors that make up caste. The only other culture whose records are intelligible is the Dravidian; but when that culture put forward its documents that are extant, it had already been immensely influenced by the Indo-Aryan tradition. The brahmanic variety of this Indo-Aryan civilization—it is the most widely and deeply spread aspect—

¹ See C. C. North, *Social Differentiation*.

² Untouchability in Japan can hardly be regarded as quite independent of the Indian ideas on the subject.

was developed in the Gangetic plain. We therefore conclude that some of the important aspects of caste originated in this region. The data of physical anthropology of the present day population of the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, analysed in the fifth chapter, corroborate this view. Caste in India then must be regarded as a brahmanic child of the Indo-Aryan culture, cradled in the land of the Ganges and thence transferred to other parts of India by the Brahmin-prospectors. That the spread of the Indo-Aryan culture outside Hindustan must have been largely the work of individual Brahmins or of small batches of Brahmin preachers, is clear from the legends about Agastya, who is regarded amongst the Tamils as the pioneer of their culture. The picture of Brahmin sages, maintaining small colonies in the then forested parts of South India, preserved in the Ramayana is another indication of the same fact. Memories preserved in the Pali work, the Sutta Nipata, in the story of the Brahmin sage Bavari also point in the same direction. On the basis of this hypothesis the following genesis of the origins of the various factors of caste is clearly warranted by the data adduced in the preceding chapters.

The Indo-Aryans, when they entered India, came with a certain sense of their importance and a spirit of exclusiveness born of such an attitude. They must have had among them at least three well-defined classes, intermarriage between whom must have been rather rare, though not positively forbidden. Their first regulations in this line began with the task of excluding the Sudras, which class must have been largely formed by the aborigines, from their religious worship. We have seen that the Sudra was represented as the lowest class in society. Very early in their Indian history the Aryans enjoined that the Sudra shall not practise the religious worship developed by them. Nay, they even forbade his presence in the sacrificial hall. The three first castes were first enjoined not to marry a Sudra female before any other restriction of an endogamous nature was tried to be promulgated. A Sudra male trying to marry a Brahmin female was the greatest sacrilege that could be perpetrated against society. The various factors that characterize caste-society were the result, in the first instance, of the attempts on the part of the upholders of the brahmanic

civilization to exclude the aborigines and the Sudras from religious and social communion with themselves. That the Sudra class was largely formed by those aborigines who had accepted the over-lordship of the Indo-Aryans and had entered into their service is more than probable. It will have been noticed that in the earliest literature only the first three classes—the Brahmin, the Kshatriya, and the Vaisya—are postulated and that it is only in one place, which is regarded by most Vedic scholars to be chronologically one of the latest, that the Sudra class is mentioned as one of the four. It has not been found possible yet to give a satisfactory derivation of the word Sudra in terms of Sanskritic roots. Further, when this fourth class is definitely formulated the brahmanic literature contemplates it as in contradistinction to the other three classes. Thus the Vedic opposition between the Arya and the Dasa is replaced by the brahmanic classification of the " dvijati " and the " ekajati " (the Sudra), suggesting the transmutation of the Dasa into the Stidra in the minds of the writers of the Brahmanic and later periods.

As an important constituent of the Brahmanic culture in connection with the sacrificial ritual there arose very exaggerated notions of ceremonial purity. Not only the correct wording and pronunciation of the sacred formulae but also the strictest adherence to the minutest details of the ritual procedure were essential for the proper performance of rites. Distinctions began to be made between things pure and things impure. Whatever was unclean was of course impure. But with the change of outlook on the animal world even some of the formerly honourable occupations came to be looked upon as degrading. How fastidious the Brahmanic ideal of ceremonial purity had come to be by the time of the Sutras is best illustrated by the meticulous rules laid down in them for purification and for general conduct.

The Brahmins, partly out of their honest desire to preserve the purity of Vedic ritual, partly being the victims of their own ideas of ceremonial purity, and partly also owing to their consciousness of superiority over the aborigines, first enacted rules for the guidance of their own members, and intended to prevent the possibility of the Sudras in any

way lowering their moral standard and introducing their low blood.

It is because the Brahmins put restrictions on the acceptance of food and drink from the Sudras during the second stage of the development of their culture that we find that in Northern India generally there is some leniency shown by them towards some of the higher castes, while in Southern India such an attitude and practice is considered a great sacrilege. As the taboo was laid against the Sudra, and as the Brahmin most probably continued to treat the other two castes as almost his own equals in this matter, even when in later times the taboo became stricter and was made widely applicable, by force of habit and tradition, he continued to take water from pure castes and specially prepared food from high castes. When the pioneers of brahmanic culture progressed into Southern India with restrictions against food and water from the Sudra as one of the items of their tradition, as they had none of the other castes—the Kshatriya and the Vaisya—to whom they were accustomed, to think of in their new regions, they applied their rules against the Sudras to all the indigenous population of the Southern countries. And the seed fell on a very congenial soil. The Southern peoples before their contact with the Indo-Aryan culture most probably had beliefs about the sanctity and power of food to transmit certain qualities very much like those of primitive peoples.¹ This is why the restrictions on food and drink are so rigorous in South India, where, as we said, the Brahmin does not accept food or water at the hands of anyone but a Brahmin.

With the progressive assimilation of the Vaisyas with the Sudras the Brahmins enacted rules to keep their group free from admixture with them by assigning different status to the issue of the union of a Brahmin male and a Vaisya female. And with the march of time and particularly after the overthrow of Buddhism they stopped taking food at the hands of the Vaisyas. Owing to the peculiar position of the Kshatriyas, the king having belonged to that group, in theory

¹ A. E. Crawley, *The Mystic Rose*, 1902, pp. 157-60. On p. 162 he thus summarizes the attitude towards food : " It is clear that men believe human roperties to be transmitted not only by contact with the food of others, but by eating with them or in their presence."

at least the Brahmins did not prohibit food being taken at their hands. Yet they tried to preserve their racial purity by treating the offspring of a Brahmin male and a Kshatriya female as belonging to a separate group.

The restrictions on intermarriage and on food were thus in their origin the outcome of the desire of the Brahmins to keep themselves pure. This desire was partly due to the exaggerated notions of purity, partly to the enormous importance that came to be attached to the perpetuation of Vedic lore without even the slightest change, and in part also to the pride of superiority, which the Brahmins had shared in common with the other Indo-Aryan classes in the beginning, and which they alone could keep unimpaired. The total discomfiture of the Kshatriyas and the complete dissociation of the language of the people from the old language of the scriptures made it easy for the Brahmins to have it all their own way.

It must, however, be said to the credit of the Brahmins that they did not quite forget the original solidarity of the first three classes. They tried to preserve the purity, on their model of course, of both the Kshatriyas and the Vaisyas at least in their ideal scheme, though they treated the latter for all practical purposes as equal to the Sudras.

This social pattern set for themselves by the most respected class in society could not fail to be imitated with fervid enthusiasm by all manner of groups that would claim respectability. Thus it must have been that the original restrictions on intermarriage and regulations about the acceptance of food, which contemplated only four classes in society, came to be the characteristics of each and every well-marked group.

Group solidarity and group prestige would soon assert themselves against marrying on unequal terms : the lower group would refuse to marry their females to the males of the higher classes only to create a new group giving itself superior airs. It was bound to close up its ranks and be endogamous.

With functional differentiation in society there came into being separate occupational groups with more or less distinct interests. We have noticed it as a widespread feature of ancient and medieval society that the occupation of each group tends to become customarily hereditary among its

members. **Only** the Brahmins reserved as their monopoly the occupation of a priest. No doubt they were in the beginning inspired by the laudable motive of preserving the all-important sacred lore. But later on equally clearly they looked upon their priestcraft as their monopolistic activity and rigorously kept it up, while the traditional occupations of the other two castes were progressively encroached upon by other castes. There is also a natural inclination for each occupational group, as we have already noticed, to be habitually endogamous. Both these tendencies became rules: the former more or less nebulous, and the latter very rigid, after the pattern of the Brahmins. Occupations thus became endogamous groups.

The attitude of respect for details, that was first fostered in connection with ritual, became the prevailing attitude in social behaviour. Adherence to details of social and customary etiquette became the distinguishing mark of membership of a group. Distinction in any detail tended to be translated into separateness of membership and hence of a group.

The lack of rigid unitary control of the State, the unwillingness of the rulers to enforce a uniform standard of law and custom, their readiness to recognize the varying customs of different groups as valid, and their usual practice of allowing things somehow to adjust themselves,¹ helped the fissiparous tendency of groups and fostered the spirit of solidarity and community feeling in every group.

Both these circumstances conspired to encourage the formation of small groups based on petty distinctions.

Special rights for the higher classes and disabilities on the lower ones was almost a universal feature of class-society, and the Brahmanic theory of four castes with their rights and disabilities does not call for any special explanation. Only the practice of untouchability is peculiar to the Hindu system. It will have been clear from the history of this factor of caste, narrated in the third and fourth chapters, that the ideas of untouchability and unapproachability arose out of the ideas of ceremonial purity, first applied to the aboriginal Sudras

¹ See V. A. Gadgil in the *Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 1926, p. 161, for the connection of this practice with the -village-organization of Northern India.

148 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

in connection with the sacrificial ritual and expanded and extended to other groups because of the theoretical impurity of certain occupations.

Multiplicity of the groups and the thoroughness of the whole system are due to the habit of the Hindu mind to create categories and to carry things to their logical end which characterized their literature, philosophy, and religious creeds.¹

¹ Professor C. G. Seligman attributes this mental trait to the Nordic race (see his presidential address to the Royal Anthropological Institute, *JRAI*, 1924).

CHAPTER VIII

CASTE : RECENT AND CONTEMPORARY

WE have seen that the Brahmin was at the apex of the hierarchical organization of caste and that the Hindu kings upheld the institution with the help of their civil power. With the advent of the British as the political head of society-things were bound to take on a different aspect. The British brought with them their own traditional form of government, and as Christians they could not have much sympathy with the institutions of the Hindus. As prudent foreigners wishing to consolidate their power over a strange land and people they decided to leave the peculiar institutions of the country severely alone except where they egregiously violated their cherished ideas of government. They introduced a system of education which did not demand of the learners any change of religion. Ideas and behaviour patterns, very different from those to which the people were accustomed, were thus presented as isolated from religion. The policy of comparative non-interference naturally gave scope for the revolt of the castes that were not quite comfortable under the Brahmin supremacy. Later on with the incoming of the modern industrial organization and the growth of industrial cities, large numbers of peoples congregated in cities of mixed populations, away from the influence of their homes and unobserved by their caste or village people. This is the background of the picture of contemporary caste. In this chapter we shall trace the consequences of these circumstances on our institution.

Early in the history of the British rule the practice of the rulers over the three Presidencies was not uniform. In Bengal one of the Regulations, while recognizing the integrity of caste organization, allowed suits for restoration of caste to be entertained by the ordinary courts.¹ It was held that cases of expulsion from clubs or voluntary associations were of an entirely different nature from excommunication from

¹ Kikani, p. lii.

150 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

caste.¹ In Bombay, however, the pertinent regulation expressly provides that no court shall interfere in any caste question, "beyond the admission and trial of any suit instituted for the recovery of damages on account of the alleged injury to the caste and character of the plaintiff arising from some illegal act of the other party."² Social privileges of the membership of a caste are held to be wholly within the jurisdiction of the caste. It is only when a complainant alleges that a legal right either of property or of office is violated by his exclusion from the caste that a suit may be entertained by a court of law.⁸ This autonomy of caste, it is further held, exists only under the law and not against it. Hence caste-proceedings must be according to usage, giving reasonable opportunity of explanation to the person concerned and must not be influenced by malice.⁴

This recognition of the integrity of caste for internal affairs did not protect the institution from inroads on some of its very vital powers. The establishment of British courts, administering a uniform criminal law, removed from the purview of caste many matters that used to be erstwhile adjudicated on by it. Questions of assault, adultery, rape, and the like were taken before the British courts for decision, and the caste councils in proportion lost their former importance. Even in matters of civil law, such as marriage, divorce, etc., though the avowed intention of the British was to be guided by caste-customs, slowly but surely various decisions of the High Courts practically set aside the authority of caste. .,

The first British administrators on the Bombay side employed, as early as 1826, officials like Borradaile and Steele, to make compilations of the various usages and customs of the many castes of the presidency. These painstaking officers made useful compilations. But similar compendiums were not prepared in other provinces. The result was that the Widow-remarriage Act of 1856 contained clauses practically violating the customs of some of the so-called lower castes. While legalizing the marriage of a Hindu widow, this Act deprived such a remarried widow of all her rights and interests in her deceased husband's property.

¹ Kikani, p. 7.

³ Kikani, p. 182.

² Kikani, p. iii.

* Ibid., p. xii.

Fortunately the courts have taken a reasonable view of these sections of the Act, and have decreed that the Act with its restrictive clauses applies only to those widows who could not, without the aid of this Act, remarry according to their caste-usage. Widows of castes allowing remarriage forfeited their rights and interests in their deceased husband's property only when caste-usage enjoined such forfeiture.¹

So early as 1876, the High Court of Bombay ruled that " Courts of law will not recognize the authority of a caste to declare a marriage void, or to give permission to a woman to remarry ".² When any caste-council, in utter ignorance of its changed status, ventures to step in as a tribunal to try one of its defaulting members, it is promptly made to realize the force of law. It is well known that one of the most usual methods in the old regime of detecting an offence was to submit the accused person to an ordeal of varying intensity. Recently the caste-council of Pakhah Rajputs of Ahmedabad submitted a man and his mother, both accused of witchcraft, to an ordeal usual in such cases. As one of the suspects failed to come out successful, the council demanded penalty for the alleged crime. A suit was filed for recovery of this penalty but was dismissed as being against policy. Thereupon one of the persons lodged a complaint for defamation against the persons who had complained against them to the caste-council.³

The hereditary and prescriptive right of the Brahmins to act as priests to all castes of the Hindus, with only a few exceptions, has been the one uniform and general principle inhering in caste-society through all its vicissitudes. Later on we shall describe the attitude of the people towards this question ; but here we should like to point out how certain decisions of the High Courts have emboldened the non-brahmanic castes to dislodge the Brahmins from their monopoly of priesthood. In Bengal and in North India generally it is now settled that there is no office of priest recognized as such in law, and a householder may employ anyone he likes for the performance of any priestly service and pay the fees to him. A similar view has been taken in the Madras Presidency.⁴ When in the Maratha country the

¹ Mayne, pp. 779-82.

³ *The Times of India* (Bombay), 30th June, 1928.

² Mandlik, p. 430.
⁴ Kikani, p. vi.

152 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

non-Brahmin reformists started the practice of performing their religious rites without the aid of the Brahmin priests, the latter lodged a complaint asking for an injunction against the persons so violating their rights. The High Court of Bombay decreed that people could engage any priest they liked, and were not at all bound to call for the services of the hereditary priests ; but unlike the High Court of Madras, they decreed that the hereditary priest must be paid some fees by way of compensation.¹

This opens the way to the dissolution of the only bond holding together the diverse castes, viz. the employment of common priesthood.

The Caste-Disabilities Removal Act of 1850 dealt another blow at the integrity of caste. The Act does not, as may be expected from its title, remove civil disabilities existing between caste and caste, but facilitates conversion to another religion or admission into another caste. Notwithstanding any custom of caste disinheriting a person for change of caste or religion, this Act provides that a person does not forfeit his ordinary rights of property by loss of caste or change of religion.

Regarding the most important aspect, and almost the only surviving one, viz. that of prohibition against marriage outside the caste, the practice of the British courts has varied. In some early cases it was held that marriages between persons belonging to different divisions of the Brahmins or the Sudras were invalid unless specially sanctioned by custom ; but recent decisions decree otherwise. In a Madras case when a Hindu, belonging to the Sudra class, married a Christian woman, turned into a Hindu, the marriage was accepted as one between members of different divisions of the Sudra class and therefore valid. Integrity of caste was so far recognized that the Court held that where a caste regards marriage as valid and treats the parties as its members, the court cannot declare it null and void.²

Social reformers, however, were not satisfied with the existing state of affairs, and legislators tried to introduce bills legalizing intercaste marriages. The Special Marriage Act of 1872 made it possible for an Indian of whatever caste

¹ Latthe, vol. ii, p. 373.

² Mayne, pp. 108-9.

or creed to enter into a valid marriage with a person belonging to any caste or creed, provided the parties registered the contract of marriage, declaring *inter alia* that they did not belong to any caste or religion. The clause requiring the solemn renunciation of caste and religion by the parties to a civil marriage was considered a great hardship and a moral dilemma by all progressive elements in the country. To add to this grievance, members of the Brahma Samaj, who were regarded as outside the purview of this Act, were held, by a decision of the Privy Council to be Hindus for the purposes of the Act. Marriages of Brahma-Samajists could no longer be valid unless the parties signed a declaration that they did not belong to any caste or religion. Continued agitation was carried on by reformers to liberalize the marriage law. Owing partially to the apathy of the Government and the hostility of the conservative section of the Hindus, both B. N. Basu and Mr. V. J. Patel, one after the other, failed in their efforts in this direction. It was only in the Reformed Legislature that Sir Hari Sing Gour succeeded in getting a pertinent bill passed into law, though not in the original form intended by the first reformers. It is known as the Special Marriage Amendment Act of 1923. It applies only to Hindus including Jains, Sikhs, and Brahmos. Persons marrying under the provisions of this Act, to whatever caste they may belong, need not make the declaration prescribed in the Act of 1872. This advantage, however, is gained not without a substantial sacrifice. If two Hindus belonging to different castes marry under this Act they are not required to renounce their religion in declaration but have to forfeit certain of their personal rights as Hindus. They cannot adopt. On their marriage they cease to be the members of the joint family to which they previously belonged. Whatever rights in the property of the family would have accrued to them by survivorship under the Hindu Law cease. As regards their own property they will be governed by the Indian Succession Act and not by the Hindu Law.¹

Under the old regime of caste certain sections of Hindu society which were regarded as untouchable were devoid

¹ *The Legislative Assembly Debates*, vol. III, pp. 3899-926.

of many of the civil rights. The question of removing their disabilities and placing them on a footing of civil equality came up for consideration before the British administrators. In 1856 the Government of Bombay had to consider the case of a Mahar boy, who was refused admission to the Government School at Dharwar. The principle involved in the case occupied the attention of the Government for about two years. Finally in 1858 it was announced in a press-note that " although the Governor-in-Council does not contemplate the introduction of low-caste pupils into schools, the expenses of which are shared with Government by local contributors and patrons who object to such a measure, he reserves to himself the full right of refusing the support of Government to any partially aided school in which the benefits of education are withheld from any class of persons on account of caste or race, and further resolves that all schools maintained at the sole cost of Government shall be open to all classes of its subjects without distinction ".¹ In a press-note of 1915 we still find the complaint that contact with Western civilization and English education had not successfully combated the old ideas about untouchability. It further refers to the " familiar sight of Mahar and other depressed class boys in village schools where the boys are often not allowed to enter the schoolroom but are accommodated outside the room on the verandah ". In 1923 the Government issued a resolution that no grants would be paid to any aided educational institution which refused admission to the children of the Depressed Classes. By this time the practice of segregating the Depressed Class boys was fast disappearing especially in the Central Division of the Presidency. In many Local Board and Municipal schools Depressed Class pupils are now allowed to sit in their classes like boys and girls of the caste Hindus.

While the Bombay Government was thus enforcing the right of the Depressed Classes to equal treatment, the Madras

¹ *The Bombay Chronicle*, 31st March, 1924.

N.B.—John Wilson wrote in 1877 : " Few, if any, of the Antyaja are found in Government schools. This is to be ascribed not only to the Brahmanical fear of contamination and the general caste prejudices of the people, but to the want of firmness on the part of the Government educational authorities as has been the case in some instances of the agents of the missionary bodies." (*Indian Caste*, vol. ii, p. 45.)

Government had on its Statute-book so late as the end of 1928 a law empowering village Magistrates to punish the offenders of the lower castes by imprisonment in the stocks, though the Government had definitely pledged itself in 1914 to discontinue this inhuman practice.¹ In 1925 a Bill was introduced in the Madras Legislative Council to put under statute the principle of a resolution passed in the previous session of the Council throwing open all public roads, streets, or pathways, giving access to any public office, well, tank, or place of public resort, to all classes of people including the Depressed.²

In the Reformed Constitution the Depressed Classes have special representation in Local and Legislative bodies by nomination.

The majority of the castes which were under various disabilities, excluding the Depressed Classes, were non-Brahmin. The uniform laws of the British did not recognize any of these disabilities as lawful. Yet the services were mainly manned by Brahmin and allied castes, who were the first to profit by English education. Their traditional attitude towards caste naturally influenced their dealings with the non-Brahmin classes. This situation gradually awakened some of the non-Brahmin leaders and sympathetic officers of the Government to demand special treatment to those half-submerged classes. As a response, Chatfield, the Director of Public Instruction in Bombay, allowed in 1878 some concessions in the matter of fees in primary schools to the boys of some of these castes. Later on were instituted scholarships in secondary schools and colleges for boys from some of these classes..

The early non-Brahmin leaders had also urged upon the Government the necessity of special representation for their members both in the administrative bodies as well as in the services. For a pretty long time this appeal remained unheeded. The cry was, however, taken up by the late **Maharaja** of Kolhapur,⁸ and a strong case for it was made by him at the time when Montague came to India to consult

¹ *Forward* (Calcutta), 7th November, 1923.

² *Bombay Chronicle*, 1st May, 1925.

³ *Memorandum prepared by the Government of Bombay for Submission to the Indian Statutory Commission, 1928, (1029), p. 228.*

the people and the Government of India as regards the future form of Government. In the reformed constitution framed by Montague and Lord Chelmsford special representation through mixed electorates was conceded to the non-Brahmins. Under these provisions the whole Hindu populace in the Bombay Presidency is divided into three sections : Brahmins and allied castes, the intermediate classes formed by Marathas and others, and the backward classes including the so-called untouchables.¹ This classification, with the addition of other Indians like the Parsis in the appropriate sections, is also followed in recruiting the various services. A Resolution of the Government of Bombay Finance Department, dated 17th September, 1923, expressly prohibits recruitment to the lower services from the advanced class of Brahmins and others till a certain proportion of the posts are held by members of the intermediate and backward classes.² It is because of this avowed intention of the Government to see certain castes represented in the services of the Province that heads of Government Institutions, while inviting applications for vacancies under their charge require the applicant to state his caste and sub-caste.³

British administrators, following the popular practice, have used caste names as a convenient mode of description of persons. The Police Reports while giving details about offenders also mention their caste. The Railway risk-note, that every sender of parcels has to fill in and sign, had, at least till very recently, an entry for the caste of the sender.⁴ This cannot be regarded as intended to give or elicit information as regards the person's occupation. There is a separate entry provided to describe one's profession. Perhaps the caste-entry has been inserted to enable the officers concerned to form a rough estimate of the moral character of the person.

The unique institution of caste did not fail to arouse intellectual curiosity among the more intelligent of the Britishers in India, officials as well as non-officials, and our

¹ *ibid*, p. 44.

² This resolution was modified by a later one, dated 5th February, 1925, wherein the absolute restriction on the recruitment from the advanced classes was removed.

³ See notices by the Dean of the Grant Medical College and the Principal of the Gujarat College in the *Times of India*, 26th April, 1926.

⁴ G.I.P. Railway Risk-note. Form " B " B.I.P.—176-9-24-30000.

understanding of the institution is largely helped by their work. Some of the early officials like Elliot, Dalton, Sherring, and Nesfield, evinced their interest in the subject by collecting information and publishing it with their comments. Later officials, however, adopted the easier method of utilizing the decennial census for collecting and presenting the information and indulging in the theories of the origins of caste.¹ This procedure reached its culmination in the Census of 1901 under the guidance of Sir Herbert Risley of ethnographic fame. With a view to help "us towards presenting an intelligible picture of the social grouping of that large proportion of the people of India which is organized, admittedly or tacitly, on the basis of caste" the Census Commissioner changed the classification of 1891 into one based on "social precedence as recognized by the native public opinion at the present day and manifesting itself in the facts that particular castes are supposed to be the modern representatives of one or other of the castes of the theoretical Hindu system". And this procedure Risley chose in spite of his clear admission that even in this caste-ridden society a person, when questioned about his caste, may offer a bewildering variety of replies: "He may give the name of a sect, of a sub-caste, of an exogamous sect or section, of a hypergamous group; he may mention some titular designation which sounds finer than the name of his caste; he may describe himself by his occupation or by the province or tract of country from which he comes."² Various ambitious castes quickly perceived the chances of raising their status. They invited conferences of their members, and formed councils to take steps to see that their status was recorded in the way they thought was honourable to them. Other castes that could not but resent this "stealthy" procedure to advance, equally eagerly began to controvert their claims. Thus a campaign of mutual recrimination was set on foot. "The leaders of all but the highest castes frankly looked upon the Census as an opportunity for pressing and perhaps obtaining some recognition of social claims which were denied by persons of castes higher than their own."³ In 1911 the Census-reporter for Madras wrote the following:

¹ See Kitts, p. 1.

² *India Census, 1901*, pp. 537-8.

• *Bengal Census, 1921*, p. 846.

158 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

" It has been pointed out to me by an Indian gentleman that the last few years, and especially the occasion of the present census, have witnessed an extraordinary revival of the caste spirit in certain aspects. For numerous castes⁴ Sabhas' have sprung up, each keen to assert the dignity of the social group which it represents." ¹

It is difficult to see any valid public reason for this elaborate treatment of caste in the Census-reports. The Government have never avowed their intention of helping every caste to retain its numbers and prosperity. Nor have they any time helped a particular caste because it registered numerical decline or economic dislocation. Not even the declared policy of the Provincial Governments to provide special representation either by election or nomination to certain classes of people necessitates an enumeration of the people by their castes. For this representation is not dependent on numbers. It is not proportional. All that the particular officers of the Government have to do is to determine in the light of their experience whether a particular person is one who can legitimately claim to belong to one of the three large groups of the population, devised for political purposes. And a Court of Law in any disputed case will settle the point by reference to the usual practice of the people. The conclusion is unavoidable that the intellectual curiosity of some of the early officials is mostly responsible for the treatment of caste given to it in the Census, which has been progressively elaborate in each successive Census since 1872. The total result has been, as we have seen, a livening up of the caste-spirit.

In the old regime one caste used to petition the sovereign to restrain another caste from carrying a procession through a particular street or from using a particular mark. Such cases are on record in the Diaries of the Peshwas. The British Government in India by their declared policy effectively discouraged such interference and thus removed some of the occasions for a demonstration of the bitter caste-spirit. On the other hand, the desire of the Census officials to give an intelligible picture of caste by means of nice grading of contemporary groups has provided a good rallying point for the old caste-spirit.

¹ *Madras Census, 1911, p. 178.*

The one undisputed consequence of the promulgation of a uniform law and of certain administrative measures has been the removal of almost all the legal inequality in the treatment of different castes—particularly the so-called low-castes. Only in the case of the Depressed castes has the Government not proceeded to the logical end. One of the disabilities that these castes, which are proud to call themselves Hindus, and which the higher castes eagerly claim as of their fold in a controversy about political representation of the Hindus, is that they are denied access to Hindu temples. They are required to stop outside the temple proper in the compound and satisfy themselves that they have had a glimpse of the idol of God. A devout Hindu feels very strongly that his homage and prayer to God must be paid in full sight of the idol of God. Hindu religion is not an established church. There are temples for the idols of God maintained by private individuals or by public trusts. The latter sometimes receive grants from the State. The famous temple of Parvati at Poona is such a one. The Depressed classes want to visit the temple as other caste-Hindus do. The trustees refuse to allow them the right. The Government of Bombay, who make a substantial grant towards the maintenance of the temple, have not yet thought fit to intervene as a matter of public policy. We fail to see how the Government, that has accepted the principle that whichever institution is maintained either wholly or partially with the help of public money must impose no bar on any person merely because of his caste or creed, can contemplate with unconcern the distressing plight of the Depressed classes for a practical demonstration of their elementary rights. It is clearly the duty of the Government, still sadly undischarged, to declare that the problem of access to the Hindu temples that receive any support out of the public money, must be solved on a basis agreed to by the representatives of all the classes of the Hindus, and that failing such an agreement, grants of money from public funds should be stopped.

The British Government, we have seen, did not recognize caste as a unit empowered to administer justice. Caste was thus shorn of one of its important functions as a community. Individual members might, therefore, be

expected to feel less of the old feeling of solidarity for their caste-group. But nothing of the kind is observed to have taken place.¹ First, though a caste could not administer justice, the Government would not set aside the customs of a caste in matters of civil law unless they were opposed to public policy. Caste thus retained its cultural integrity. Secondly, many other aspects of the British Administration, some of which like the Census have been dealt with above, provided more than sufficient incentive for the consolidation of the caste-group. Mr. Middleton, one of the two Superintendents of Census Operations of 1921, makes eloquent remarks about the effects of the British Administration on caste in the Punjab. He observes : "I had intended pointing out that there is a very wide revolt against the classification of occupational castes ; that these castes have been largely manufactured and almost entirely preserved as separate castes by the British Government. Our land records and official documents have added iron bonds to the old rigidity of caste. Caste in itself was rigid among the higher castes, but malleable amongst the lower. We pigeon-holed every one by caste, and if we could not find a true caste for them, labelled them with the name of an hereditary occupation. We deplore the caste-system and its effects on social and economic problems, but we are largely responsible for the system which we deplore. Left to themselves such castes as Sunar and Lohar would rapidly disappear and no one would suffer . . . Government's passion for labels and pigeon-holes has led to a crystallization of the caste system, which, except amongst the aristocratic castes, was really very fluid under indigenous rule . . . If the Government would ignore caste it would gradually be replaced by something very different amongst the lower castes."² The situation in the Punjab, cannot be taken as typical of other provinces. It is well known that the Punjab was not much influenced by rigid caste-system. Yet the process of pigeon-holing and thus stereotyping has undoubtedly counteracted whatever good results might have ensued from the dethronement of caste as a unit

¹ During the recent Civil Disobedience movement the influence of caste was clearly visible in Gujarat.

² *Punjab Census, 1921*, pp. 343-4. The last remark of Mr. Middleton appears to us to be an overstatement even in the case of the Punjab, where caste has been more fluid than elsewhere in India.

of the administration of justice.¹ The total effect has been, at the least, to keep caste-solidarity quite intact.²

The relations of an individual member to a group *in* which he is born, and to which he is bound by ties, traditional, sentimental, and cultural, in a society where almost everyone belongs to one of such groups, and none can hope to have any respectable status without his group, are such that they are not susceptible to change as a result of legal enactment, administrative rules, or judicial decisions. Though caste has ceased to be a unit administering justice, yet it has not lost its hold on its individual members, who still continue to be controlled by the opinion of the caste. The picture of the control of an individual's activities by his caste, given in 1925 by an eminent social worker of Gujarat, convinces one, by its close similarity with our description of caste of the middle of the nineteenth century, that as regards at least this aspect of caste, there has been almost no change during the course of three-quarters of a century. She observes : "On our side of the country, I mean in Gujarat, the greatest hindrance to all social reforms is the caste. If I want to educate my girl, the caste would step in and say you should not do it. If I wish to postpone my children's marriage till they are sufficiently grown up, the caste would raise its hand and forbid me. If a widow chooses to marry again and settle respectably in her home the caste would threaten to ostracize her. If a young man wishes to go to Europe for bettering his own or the country's prospects, the caste would, though perhaps nowadays give him a hearty send-off, yet close its doors on him when he returns. If a respectable man of the so-considered Untouchable class is invited to a house, the caste would deliver its judgment against that householder and condemn him as unfit for any intercourse."³

It must have become clear by now that the activities of

¹ N B—We are glad to note that as a result of the agitation earned on by the Jat-Pat-Torak Mandal of Lahore the Government of India made some concession in the matter of the filling in of the column for caste at the Census of 1931 in the case of persons who do not conform to the practices of their caste.

² Compare J. Murdoch, *Caste*, 1887, pp. 38—42, and also the quotation from Sherring therein ; and Rev. John Morrison, *New Ideas in India*, 1906, p. 33.

³ Lady Vidyagauri Ramanbhai as reported in the *Indian Social Reformer* (Bombay), 5th September, 1925.

the British Government have gone very little towards the solution of the problem of caste. Most of these activities, as must be evident, were dictated by prudence of administration and not by a desire to reduce the rigidity of caste, whose disadvantages were so patent to them. The most important step they have taken is the recent regulation in some of the Provinces that a definite percentage of posts in the various services shall be filled from the members of the non-Brahmin or the intermediate castes, provided they have the minimum qualifications. This was originally the demand of the leaders of the non-Brahmin movement. And it is the most obvious remedy against caste-domination. But the obvious is not necessarily the wisest. We contend that the restriction on the numbers of the able members of the Brahmin and the allied castes, imposed by this resolution of the Government, penalizes some able persons simply because they happen to belong to particular castes. When in the case of certain services recruited by means of competitive examinations, some vacancies are offered to candidates who have failed to attain a particular rank in the examination, on the ground that they belong to certain castes, which must be represented in the higher services of the country, it clearly implies that even the accepted standard of qualifications and efficiency is abandoned. The result has been the pampering of caste even at the cost of efficiency and justice. The Government of Bombay, in their memorandum submitted to the Indian Statutory Commission, 1928 (p. 94), complain that the District School Boards, where the non-Brahmins have had a majority, "have almost in every case attempted to oust the Brahmins regardless of all consideration of efficiency." Yet this action is only a logical development of the attitude of the Government which nursed, rather than ignored, the spirit of caste.

On the whole, the British rulers of India, who have throughout professed to be the trustees of the welfare of the country, never seem to have given much thought to the problem of caste, in so far as it affects the nationhood of India. Nor have they shown willingness to take a bold step rendering caste innocuous. Their measures generally have been promulgated piecemeal and with due regard to the safety of British domination.

It may be argued that, if the British masters of India did not take any comprehensive steps to minimize the evil effects of caste which they openly deplored, it must be said to their credit that they did not at least consciously foster the institution. But in the face of the utterances of some responsible British officers, after the Mutiny of 1857 was quelled, it is not possible to endorse this view. The Mutiny opened the eyes of the administrators of the country as well as of the students of British Indian history to the potentialities of caste. It was almost the unanimous opinion of persons connected with the Government of India that the deep causes of the Mutiny were to be found in the fact that the Bengal Army was composed largely of the higher castes, viz. the Brahmins and the Rajputs. The special Commission presided over by Lord Peel, which was appointed to suggest a reorganization of the Indian Army, took evidence from many high officials who were sometime or other closely connected with India. Lord Elphinstone opined that it was desirable that men of different castes should be enlisted in the Army, while Major-General H. T. Tucker went further and insisted on the necessity of keeping the country under British domination through the policy of dividing and separating into distinct bodies the nationalities and castes recruited to the Army. Such being the general tenor of the main bulk of evidence the Commission recommended that "The Native Indian army should be composed of different nationalities and castes and as a general rule mixed promiscuously through each regiment". Lord Ellenborough advised the same, but clearly pointed out that the recommendation was based solely on the ground of British interests and not on the consideration of efficiency of the Army. He lamented the fact that if the suggested procedure were adopted "we must abandon the hope of ever again seeing a native army composed like that we have lost. It was an army which, under a General that it loved and trusted, would have marched victorious to the Dardanelles".¹ Ever since then the Indian Army has been studiously purged of the higher castes. The lesson of the Mutiny, viz. that the safety of the British domination in India was very closely

¹ *Report of the Peel Commission on the Organization of the Indian Army, 1859, p. 14, and Appendix, pp. 6, 10, 147.*

connected with keeping the Indian people divided on the lines of caste, was driven home to the British rulers. Some officials like Sir Lepel Griffin thought that caste was useful in preventing rebellion,¹ while James Kerr, the Principal of the Hindu College at Calcutta, wrote the following in 1865 : " It may be doubted if the existence of caste is on the whole unfavourable to the permanence of our rule. It may even be considered favourable to it, provided we act with prudence and forbearance. Its spirit is opposed to national union." ² The maxim of " divide and rule " began to be preached by historians and journalists alike.³ Because the Mutiny was largely the work of soldiers of the high castes of Brahmins and Rajputs, there was a clamour in England that the high-caste sepoys should be exterminated.⁴ Suspicion of high castes therefore dates from the Mutiny. The valuable lesson so dearly purchased was not going to be lost. It being repeated in the form of the general principle of " divide and rule " could not have failed to influence the policy and conduct of later officials. It is well to remember in this connection that even the Roman Church, in its desire to propagate its faith, was prepared to accommodate caste in its practical programme, though it was opposed to the humanitarian principles of the Church. Pope Gregory XV published a bull sanctioning caste regulations in the Christian Churches of India.⁵

The British brought with them a casteless culture and a literature full of thoughts on individual liberty. With the introduction of English education many of the intelligent minds of the country came in closer contact with the religion of the rulers and some outstanding personalities amongst them. As a result some Indians like Raja Ram Mohan Roy and Devendranath Tagore started movements which aimed at liberalizing religion and practising the brotherhood of man. The Brahma Samaj had not only monotheism to preach but also to establish a brotherhood wherein man shall not be divided from man because of caste. The Bombay

¹ Vide Murdoch, *Caste*, p. 43.

² Kerr, p. 361, footnote.

³ L. J. Trotter, *History of India under Queen Victoria*, vol. ii, 1886, p. 91. and *The Times of India*, 3rd July, 1897 (leading article).

⁴ Edward Sullivan, *Letters on India*, 1858, pp. 124-5.

⁵ *Encyclopædia Britannica*, 11th Ed., vol. v, p. 468 (a).

Prarthana Samaj, inspired by the ideals of Brahma Samaj in Bengal, has also thrown caste overboard as far as its tenets go. While this movement of repudiating caste was being fostered, other capable Hindu minds thought of remodelling Hindu society after the pristine ideals supposed to be enshrined in the Vedas. Swami Dayanand preached that the fourfold division of the Hindu people should be substituted for the manifold ramifications of contemporary caste. The one important innovation that this school of thought carried out in their programme of reconstruction was that even the fourth class of the Hindu society, viz. the Sudras, could study the Vedas.¹ Viewing both these movements as an outsider one cannot but be impressed by the manifest success of the Arya Samaj movement of Swami Dayananda. Speaking of the Prarthana Samaj of Bombay, it will be very hard to point out examples from among its high-caste leaders, who, when they had to arrange for the marriages of their sons or daughters, made any effort to practise the ideal they preached. Nay, some of the eminent leaders of the Samaj while openly denouncing caste, busied themselves with the affairs of the caste-groups in which they were born. The greater popularity of the Arya Samaj, compared with that of the Prarthana Samaj, is due to the following reasons : First, the Arya Samaj tried to revive the ancient purity of the Vedic society and thus appealed to the traditional sentiment of the people; secondly, the sincerity of the members of the Arya Samaj was much better demonstrated in actual practice ; and thirdly, its chief centre of activity was transferred to the Punjab, where caste has been flexible.

Movements against caste of a more militant nature were not slow to arise. In 1873 Jyotirao Phooley of Poona, though a man of Mali caste and of comparatively little education, started an association of members called the Satyashodhak Samaj with the purpose of asserting the worth of man irrespective of caste. The breadth of his vision and the extent of his reforming activities led him to proclaim in his books and to carry out in his practice a revolt against the tyranny of the caste-system. He exhorted the

¹ See the account of the Arya Samaj given by Pandit Harikishan Kaul in the *Punjab Census Report* for 1911, pp. 133-6.

non-Brahmin castes not to engage any Brahmin priest to conduct their marriage ritual, which he tried to reduce to a very simple procedure. He had perceived the necessity of educating the class of people to whom his appeal was directed, and had started primary schools both for boys and girls of the non-Brahmin castes as early as 1848. The catholicity of his mind is further proved by the fact that Phooley started in 1851 a primary school for the so-called untouchables in Poona, the very centre of orthodoxy, where, only fifty years before that, persons of these castes could not even move about during the best part of the day.

Phooley's was a revolt against caste in so far as caste denied ordinary human rights to all the members of Hindu society, and not merely a non-Brahmin movement to cast off the domination of the Brahmins. In his writings he demanded representation for all classes of the Hindus in all the local bodies, the services, and the institutions.¹ The movement did not receive any support from the Brahmins in general. Only stray individuals like Ranade showed sympathy with it. Even among the non-Brahmins the progress of Phooley's ideas was slow. It was the late Maharaja of Kolhapur who infused new life into the agitation, so much so that Montague and Chelmsford, in their Indian political reforms, had to grant some of the demands.²

It would be interesting to know the ideas of the late Maharaja of Kolhapur, who did so much for the recognition of the non-Brahmin movement. On the eve of the announcement of the Indian reforms he said : " If castes remain as they are, Home Rule in the sense it is meant will result in nothing but a kind of oligarchy. This of course does not mean, I may tell once more, that I am against Home Rule. Surely we want it. Under the present circumstances, however, we must have the protection and guidance of the British Government until the evil of caste-system becomes ineffective. To prevent Home Rule from culminating in oligarchy, we must have communal representation at least for ten years.

¹ Phooley, pp. 25, 33, 59, 63.

² This demand for representation in the services was first made in a petition addressed by the artisan castes of Madras to the Board of Revenue in 1840. " All classes of men, to the destruction of Brahmanical monopoly, should be appointed to public offices without distinction." John Wilson, *Indian Caste*, vol. II, p. 89, footnote.

It will teach us what our rights are. Once we know them, communal representation can be dispensed with."¹

purpose for which this staunch advocate of the non-Brahmin movement urged communal representation is by now more than achieved. An analysis of the membership of the various local bodies in the presidencies of Bombay and Madras clearly proves that the non-Brahmins know their rights and are generally keen to conduct a strong campaign against any measure which they feel unjust to them. A number of motions tabled and questions asked in the Bombay Legislative Council tell the same story.² The activity of a Madras association of non-Brahmins and handicraftsmen further illustrates this. One Brahmin member of the Government of Madras during Lord Pentland's tenure issued an order that the Vishwakarmans—handicraftsmen—must not suffix the word "Achary" to their names but that they must continue to use the traditional word "Asary". The said association memorialized to the Governor, as the word "Asary" carried some odium in the eyes of the people, protesting against the order, which they described as a stab in the dark. Not being able to move the Governor to cancel the order they sent a petition to the Secretary of State.³

Rao Bahadur A. B. Latthe, the biographer of the late Maharaja of Kolhapur, evidently realizing that the case for special representation cannot be sanctioned on the plea urged by his late hero, seeks other grounds—grounds that one knowing Indian conditions is sure to declare as likely to continue for at least a few generations—to support a worse form of special representation. He observes, "Unless, among the Hindus, caste disappears altogether, there is little chance of avoiding political expedients, like communal electorates though their harmful results are obvious."⁴

There are other leaders of the non-Brahmins who are at pains to proclaim that their movement, including their

¹ Latthe, vol. ii, p. 494.

² Memorandum submitted by the Government of Bombay to the Indian Statutory Commission, 1928, pp. 527-9.

³ *The Times of India* (Bombay), 25th October, 1924.

⁴ *The Indian Social Reformer* (Bombay), 3rd January, 1925. We are glad to note that during the progress of the Round Table Conference Latthe has changed his view and is prepared to forego such special representation.

insistence on strict reservation of posts in the various services, is not inspired by any anti-Brahmin feeling. Others again assert that the large class of taxpayers represented by the non-Brahmin classes must have an adequate share of state-support in the form of reserved posts.

The logic of these arguments is transparent, and opposed to the accepted criteria of nationality and the guiding principles of social justice. Nevertheless only a microscopic minority, even of the small number that recognizes the evils of these demands, propounds that communalism must be abandoned. The Chairman of the Reception Committee of the meeting of the Madras non-Brahmin party in 1924 made a strong appeal " to abandon the communal policy pursued hitherto and transform the party into an organization representing the forces working for reform along constitutional lines into which everyone without distinction of caste, religion, or colour would have free admission ".¹ The party did not accept this wholesome principle of development until late in 1930.

What are the interests which the leaders of the non-Brahmin movement wish to safeguard by means of special representation ? If there are any such interests, are they identical for all the castes that are officially included in the category of non-Brahmins ? These are questions which it is not at all easy for the protagonists of the movement to answer. The economic interests of the artisans, the tenant-farmers, landlords, and mill-workers are not identical. All these are very well represented in the non-Brahmin group. Nor has there been any attempt, to our knowledge, on the part of the Brahmins during recent times to penalize these classes of people simply because of caste-feelings. If any such legislation were introduced the British element in the Government of the country could effectively checkmate it.

The non-Brahmin castes can be regarded as one group only in social matters because the attitude of the Brahmins as regards food and social intercourse, and religious instruction and ministrations towards them, has been uniform. There has been enough awakening in the country for the Brahmins not to try the dangerous path of imposing legal restrictions in these matters. Even the Tamil Nadu Congress Committee decided

¹ *The Indian Daily Mail* (Bombay), 14th October, 1924,

in 1925 that " the gradation of merit based on birth should not be observed in Indian social life ".¹ Whatever liberalizing of the Brahmin attitude in this respect has taken place during the last forty years is mainly due to education and social reform campaign and not to the very recent reserved or communal representation.

Reserved representation is thus not necessary. Nay, it is harmful in so far as it tends to perpetuate the distinction based on birth. Co-operation in the satisfaction of the needs of common social life through the machinery of Government is one of the potent factors that have dissolved tribal bonds and created nation-communities. This co-operation may be based on both territorial contiguity and affinity of interests. Special representation for some castes, which have, as shown above, interests that are neither common to them, nor necessarily conflicting with the interests of other castes, means the negation of such co-operation. In countries where the nation-community is strongly built up on the basis of the feeling of unity no such principle is recognized for the representation of the different interests, even when they can be parcelled out into groups with conflicting interests. Thus we have not heard of " labour " claiming special representation in the British Parliament. Where it is a question of engendering a feeling of unity the people must be made to co-operate irrespective of their caste. It is only by such activity that the feeling of nation-community can be created. To harp on the caste-differences and to allow special representation is to set at naught the fundamental condition for the rise of community feeling.

Certain types of non-Brahmin leaders find it easy to secure a seat on the legislature or a local body through the door of reserved representation, and that is the main reason, perhaps, why they are so strong in claiming it. But they fail to see that their example would be soon followed by many of the large castes that comprise at present the non-Brahmin category and their chances of an easy seat would be very much diminished. That this is not mere imagination will be clear to anyone who has followed the history of the demand for special representation in Indian political life. Ere long

¹ *The Bombay Chronicle*, 2nd May, 1925.

we shall witness the situation of many different castes that are individually large enough, each clamouring for special representation.¹ National life will thus be reduced to an absurdity. As it is, the non-Brahmins in the Bombay Presidency, wherever they could have their way, have shown unmistakable tendency to be anti-Brahmin and to harass their Brahmin employees in the matter of transfers, etc.² Perhaps, in the name of justice and efficiency, the time has come when the interests of the Brahmins have to be protected against the majority-party. All points considered, special representation is unnecessary and harmful.

It has been mentioned above that the other demand of the non-Brahmins, which is already granted, is reservation of posts in the various services. This feature has latterly been so far insisted upon by the party that a journalist of long standing recently described it as "immediately and on the surface a movement to secure a larger share of offices in the administration".³ The principle is also liable, like representation, to be reduced to absurdity by separate demands by individual castes, officially forming the non-Brahmin group. There are the clearest indications of this development in the nearest future. Not long after the declaration of this policy by the Madras Government it was faced with this situation. "The hundreds of small communities into which Indian society is divided were not slow to take advantage of the opportunity which was so conveniently afforded them, and began to clamour for special representation in the Legislature, local bodies, the public services, and even educational institutions. The Government, in which also the non-Brahmin element was very influential, tried to satisfy the ever-increasing demand for the plums of office, but naturally could not succeed. It created jealousies and enmities which have now reacted with disastrous effect on the party."⁴

The reasons on which reservation of posts can be supported are two. First, that the Brahmins and other castes, which

¹ Between the time this was written and is appearing in print the Marwans of Calcutta put forward a plea for special representation.

² *Memorandum submitted by the Government of Bombay to the Indian Statutory Commission*, 1928, p. 229.

³ *Indian Social Reformer*, 11th February, 1928.

* *The Indian Daily Mail* (Bombay), 14th October, 1924.

have a very strong majority in the personnel of the services, can and do harass the populace simply because they are non-Brahmins. Second, that in the selection for fresh vacancies the dominant castes make it impossible for the non-Brahmins to get the posts. The former allegation is sometimes made, but our experience does not lead us to believe that such harassment exists on an appreciable scale. Even if it did exist, there is enough general awakening to bring the offenders immediately to book. Caste feeling being what it is, it is very likely that strong bias in favour of one's caste-fellows leads many to prefer them or to use influence in their favour to the detriment of the non-Brahmins or other castes. If proper precaution can be taken against such a contingency, there would be no scope for the vicious principle of the reservation of posts. Such precaution, it appears to us, can be effectively taken if all recruitment to all the public services is made on the results of competitive examinations held by a board consisting of persons well-known for their liberal and casteless views.

The problem of the depressed classes, in so far as it is the result of the caste system, deserves special treatment. Among these classes are castes that follow the skilled occupations of tanning, shoe-making, and working in bamboo and cane. These are considered so low by the other Hindus that, as pointed out before, they were not allowed to approach other castes within a measurable distance. They have thus been segregated most effectively for centuries. Their ideas of cleanliness have lagged very far behind those of caste-Hindus. Education has never been a luxury enjoyed by them. Utterly despised by the higher sections of society they have had no incentive to imitate them. Those who feel that the inhuman treatment of these very useful classes of society is wrong realize that a change in it depends as much upon reform in the habits of these classes as upon a change in the attitude of the caste-Hindus. To alter the habits of these people education, both through teaching and propoganda, is essential. Some aspects of these habits also depend on the economic position of these classes. To better the economic position of the depressed classes is thus necessary in order to bring about a real change in their social status.

In the Maratha region since the time of Jyotirao Phooley,

172 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

all reformers who have felt the injustice of the situation have begun their campaign with provision for the education of the members of the depressed classes.

Individual workers like Messrs. V. R. Shinde and A. V. Thakkar have done much not only to rouse the feeling of the caste-Hindus against the unjust doctrine of untouchability but also to prepare the depressed classes for better treatment by spreading education amongst them. The problem of the removal of untouchability is now made a national one through the efforts of Mahatma Gandhi. We have already dealt with the liberalizing consequences of certain administrative aspects of the British rule. The campaign has, in no small measure, benefited by the efforts made by Christian and Muhammadan missionaries to convert the depressed classes to their faiths. The more reasonable section of the high-caste Hindus have sensed a real danger to their faith in allowing their doctrine of untouchability to drive away into the folds of other faiths members of the untouchable castes—members who have been quite good and devout Hindus.

The result of this many-sided attack is to be seen in the change of viewpoint of many a member of the higher castes. Incidents like the following one from Bengal are more and more to be witnessed. "Kulin Brahmins of Nabadwip, Shantipur, Krishnagar, Kustia and other places accepted and drank water from the hands of Namashudras, washermen, boatmen, dais, and other untouchables and drank the water amidst scenes of great enthusiasm. Young Brahmins and old Bhattacharyas, Mukherjis, Banerjis, Chatterjis, Maitras, all took part in the interesting function."¹ In their determined effort to pass through the roads of Vaikam in Travancore in 1924, which were, in the caste regime prohibited to the untouchables, these latter were helped by many a high-caste Hindu. While the trustees of Hindu temples, taking shelter behind certain decisions of the Privy Council of doubtful applicability, have closed the temple doors to the untouchables, individual owners of private temples have allowed free access for all classes of Hindus to the temples under their management. This is not to say that there is no organized effort to combat the spread of the doctrine of

¹ *Forward* (Calcutta), 6th March, 1924,

anti-untouchability. In the beginning of 1925 a number of merchants of Bombay, among whom were included some of the leading public men, convened a meeting of orthodox Hindus. Almost every speaker denounced what they called the heresies of Gandhi in respect of untouchability, and declared that the Hindu religion was in danger at his hands.¹ It is more or less clear that the conflict will last for some time to come, and it is the duty of those who have no belief in untouchability to preach its abolition and to demonstrate their belief in their own conduct.

Such being the attitude of high-caste Hindus in general, there is much justification for demanding some representation for the untouchable classes in the local and legislative bodies. The classes, ground down by age-long tradition, have not yet produced a sufficient number of men who can organize them to take care of their rights by public agitation. We have remarked that a large part of the problem of the removal of untouchability centres round the habits and customs of the untouchable castes. Education of these classes is a crying necessity. Poverty and established practice conspire to make them shun education. Under the circumstances a liberal system of stipends for their members at all stages of education is highly desirable.

The growth of city life with its migratory population has given rise to hotels and restaurants. The exigencies of office work have forced city people to put aside their old ideas of purity. Caste-Hindus have to eat articles of food prepared by Christians, Musalmans, or Persians, because Hindu restaurants have not been easily or equally accessible during office hours. In Hindu hotels, they have to take their meals in the company of people of almost any caste—as the hotel-keeper cannot manage to reserve accommodation for members of different castes. What was originally done under pressure of necessity has become a matter of routine with many in their city life. This freedom from caste-restrictions about food, though seen in the city, is a mere garb that is usually cast aside by city people when they go to their villages. The force of custom and sentiment is so great that it has led the people to create a dual standard of life rather than break

¹ *The Times of India*, 5th January, 1925.

with their village folk. Especially is this true of all formal occasions. While this slow and enforced change was taking place special dinners whereat persons sit in a row irrespective of caste have, from time to time, been successfully arranged by some associations. Conscious effort and the force of flux have effected an altogether healthy and appreciable modification in the people's attitude in the matter of supposed pollution imparted through food and drink by certain classes of people. Whereas in Poona a handful of people like M. G. Ranade and others were subjected to social tyranny and ultimately forced to undergo expiatory rites in 1891-2 for having taken tea at a Christian missionary's place, to-day, no one even takes notice of the Brahmins dining at the Government House.

In those parts of India, where the untouchables were really unapproachable, certain exigencies of modern life have forced high-caste Hindus to change their attitude and practice to some extent. "In towns, where private scavenging and sweeping are enforced, the scavengers and sweepers have not only to go near the houses but have sometimes to enter into them for scavenging. This has done away with distance pollution."¹

There is much more freedom in the matter of choice of occupation to-day than under the old regime. First, new occupations, which require abilities similar to those displayed in older occupations, have arisen out of the new requirements. Many of these occupations, like those of draftsmanship and cabinet-making, have come to be looked upon with greater esteem and are better remunerated than their older prototypes. Draftsmanship is partially allied to clerkship (in so far as it involves desk-work in an office) and largely to the ancient designer's avocation. Recruits to this profession, therefore, hail both from the higher castes of Brahmins and others as well as from the lower castes, such as higher artisans. Such occupations as tailoring and shoe-making have appreciated in public esteem partly because of the new machinery making them easy and less tedious, and largely because the new technique and craftsmanship is associated with the new rulers. They are, therefore, taken up by more

¹ *Travancore Census, 1921, p. 106.*

and more members of very high castes. Secondly, dislocation of the old economic order and provision of facilities for training in arts and crafts have led to an extensive shifting of the old lines of division between occupations. The total result is that at present many members of the Brahmin caste are seen engaged in almost any of the occupations, excepting those of casual labourer, sweeper, and scavenger. Many members of the various artisan castes are teachers, shopkeepers, bank clerks, shop assistants, and architects.

In the textile mills of Bombay not a few members of even the untouchable castes have found work quite different from what they were used to under the regime of caste. Whatever restrictions caste imposed on the choice of occupation have largely ceased to guide individuals, and it is ignorance and lack of enterprise that have kept the occupational unfreedom of caste, even to the extent that it is observed, and not the old ideas of what was considered to be one's traditional or hereditary occupation.

The endogamous nature of caste has remained almost the same with this difference that whereas formerly marriage outside one's caste was not to be even thought of, to-day many educated young men and women are prepared to break through the bonds of caste if mutual love or attraction demands it. In Bombay we have known many examples, mostly members of younger generation, who have managed their own matrimonial affairs, the parties to which belong to two different castes. A large majority of such marriages, known as inter-caste or mixed marriages, is formed by couples where the female partner belongs to a caste lower than that of the male partner. Yet the opposite variety, where the male partner belongs to a lower caste, is not altogether rare. As for the older generation, it may be said without exaggeration that, in spite of the talk about social reform, it has made very little advance in its ideas on the subject of intermarriage. When, therefore, elderly persons arrange the marriages of their wards they hardly ever think of going beyond their caste—even though it be a section of a large group—from which to select a bride or a bridegroom. If they venture to ignore the limits of the narrowest division—if for example a Chitpavan Brahmin selects a girl from the Karhada Brahmin for his son, in the

Maratha country—he is looked up to as a reformer. It would be hard to point out examples of marriages between members of outright separate castes arranged for their wards by the elderly guardians. It is the recklessness and enthusiasm of youth alone that is prepared to transgress the bounds of caste for the purpose of marriage.

When the city of Bombay began to attract large numbers of people from rural areas, the immigrants, with their traditions of caste, began to congregate, as far as possible, according to their castes, though the village affinity influencing the place of residence, modified this tendency. The Brahmin castes of the Maratha country are vegetarians, while the other castes are usually non-vegetarians. The Brahmins had the additional motive of escaping bad odours given out by fish and flesh when they are being dressed, to try to live together in buildings where only Brahmins dwelt. This tendency for every large caste to live in isolation from other castes has been steadily growing during the last twenty years. It will be observed that this desire is only the old-caste practice of reserving special parts of the village for the different castes moulded to suit the changed conditions of city life. The inclination of the people was encouraged and aggravated by private charity expressing itself through the channels of caste. With the quickening of caste-consciousness and the fostering of caste-patriotism, philanthropic persons have been building houses and chawls to be rented only to their caste-members at moderate rents. Charity, intending to further the educational interests of a caste, has found expression also in providing free hostels to the student members of the caste. As a result, in those areas of Bombay which are largely inhabited by the middle classes, we find to-day whole chawls which are occupied by members of one or two castes with close affinity, whole buildings rented at moderate or even nominal rents only to the members of a particular caste, and hostels giving free accommodation to the students of a particular caste. Buildings meant for members of particular castes generally bear prominent boards blatantly announcing the fact of their reservation and where it is a case of individual endowment also the name of the philanthropic donor. Even the colleges and the University are infested with endowments from which

scholarships are to be paid to students of certain specified castes.

The introduction of co-operative schemes of amelioration have afforded another opportunity for caste-solidarity to manifest itself. Co-operative housing more than any other aspect of co-operative undertaking, has appealed to the caste-spirit, though credit societies of individual castes, like that of the Reddis, are not altogether unknown. In fact it would be true to remark that only those co-operative housing societies have succeeded most which have restricted their membership to their caste-fellows. Even in business this tendency to restrict the holding of shares to the members of a particular caste is sometimes apparent. Recently the Brahmins of Madras started a fund called the " Triplicane Fund ", shares in which could be held only by Brahmins. Those responsible for starting it included gentlemen of " culture, education, and learning ". " Such being the case, we regret that one of the rules of the Fund is so narrowly conceived as to exclude all that are not Brahmins from the right of holding shares. It is just this type of exclusiveness that furnishes interested parties like the ministerialists their best nutriment. Those who decry the excesses of communalism should themselves first set the example of a healthy, wholesome, non-communal outlook in the practical affairs of life." ¹

One feature of Hindu society during the last thirty or forty years has been the marked tendency for every caste to form its own association comprising all members of the caste speaking the same language. In the old regime the caste-panchayat or council was usually restricted to the confines of the village or the town. Rarely, if at all, did the jurisdiction of the council, in the case of the majority of the castes, extend beyond these limits. " In the large majority of cases, the caste-consciousness is limited by the bounds of the village and its organizations do not extend beyond the village area." ² The functions of these new organizations are : (1) To further the general interests of the caste and particularly to guard its social status in the hierarchy from actual or potential attacks of other castes ; (2) to start funds to provide

¹ *The Indian Social Reformer* (Bombay), 16th October, 1920.

² Matthai, p. 65.

178 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

studentships for the needy and deserving students of the caste, usually at the secondary and college stage of education, and sometimes even to help them to proceed to foreign countries for higher academic qualifications ; (3) to help poor people of the caste; (4) and sometimes to try to regulate certain customs of the caste by resolutions passed at the annual meeting of the members of the caste. All these objects, excepting perhaps that of providing studentships, were used to be achieved, in a great or a small measure, by an arrangement not always permanent. Sometimes an *ad hoc* committee would take up the work and carry it out. We have already referred to the stubborn opposition of the Kammalans of Madras to the supreme position of the Brahmins in the hierarchy. The Kayasth Prabhus of Poona and many other castes of the Maratha country protested from time to time to the court of the Peshwa against certain restrictions which other castes professed to enforce upon them to stamp their status as low. Surely some elderly persons of the particular caste must have volunteered to put its case before the proper tribunal. Relief of the poor was not usually a duty undertaken by a caste. When a caste decided to apply some of its funds to charitable purposes, it handed over the money to the local priest to be used by him for benevolent purposes. The ruling ideals of the time led people to distribute charity in particular channels. The ideal was rather to build temples and rest-houses, dig wells and tanks, and to endow free feeding at the temples for a certain number of Brahmins and at the public feeding houses for travellers and others in need. All this direction of charity was most often preached and accepted without reference to caste. Only the artisan castes, which had strong guild-like organizations, had some standing provision for helping the indigent among its members.¹ Occasionally a caste would relieve its own poor by feeding them through the headman. The funds for this purpose were available from the residue of the fines imposed on the defaulting members of the caste.

¹ *Ibid.*, pp. 65, 68-9. Even the artisan castes sometimes depended on special collections for a specific purpose. The guilds of artisans in Broach, for example, when they required funds, collected them by subscriptions among the members of the caste. (*Imperial Gazetteer of India, Provincial Series, Bombay Presidency*, vol. 1, p. 312.)

We have noticed above that the Brahmins of South India assembled the Brahmins of four quarters and decided to put a stop to the practice of taking money for a bride prevailing among them. The oil-mongers of Kanchi proposed to bind themselves by certain conditions about donations to temples and to observe them as "jatidharma", i.e. duty which every member owed to his caste.¹

The community-aspect of caste has thus been made more comprehensive, extensive, and permanent. More and more of an individual's interests are being catered for by caste. Greater caste-consciousness is the outcome. The students and the needy who are helped by their caste-funds naturally owe much to their caste and later in life look upon it with feelings of gratitude and pride. They feel it their proud duty to strengthen the caste-organization, remembering their obligations to it. Thus a vicious circle has been created. The feeling of caste-solidarity is now so strong that it is truly described as caste-patriotism.

From our discussion of the non-Brahmin and the depressed class movements it will be evident that the old hierarchy of caste is no longer acquiesced in. Many are the castes that employ priests of their own caste. Some of the castes, the goldsmiths of the Maratha country for example, have already started asserting their dignity by refusing to take food at the hands of castes, other than the Brahmin, which, according to their old practice, do not reciprocate that courtesy. In this process it is the lower caste that starts the movement in order to raise its own status. To add to this the old profession of a teacher, and the more or less new profession of a Government clerk, are coveted by many more castes than was the practice in the old regime. There is a veritable scramble for these petty jobs. Conflict of claims and opposition has thus replaced the old harmony of demand and acceptance. The contrast in the old and new situation is vividly brought out in the description of village conditions in a part of the Madras Presidency existing more than a century ago and those subsisting ten years ago. A report on the state of the village in 1808 contains the following: "Every village with its twelve 'ayagandees', as they are denominated, is a petty commonwealth, with the 'mocuddim',

¹ Mookerji, p. 186.

' potail', ' kapoo ', ' reddy ', or chief inhabitant, at the head of it; and India is a great assemblage of such commonwealths . . . While the village remains entire, they care not to what power it is transferred." ¹ The District Gazetteer of Tinnevely, on the other hand, had to record in 1917 the following: " With all the inducements to co-operation it can scarcely be said that the average Tinnevely village possesses the strength born of unity. General Panchayats are practically unknown, disputes are too readily taken to the law-courts instead of being settled in the village, and the best efforts of the revenue and irrigation officers and of the police are often hampered by deep-seated faction. It is among the individual castes that the spirit of cohesion is most clearly seen. This often takes the practical form of a ' Mahimai ', or general fund, levied by each community for its own use . . . The objects of expenditure are usually the support of temples, mosques, or churches owned by the contributors; occasionally the money is diverted to petitions or litigation in which the caste as a whole is interested." ² Caste-solidarity has taken the place of village-community.

To sum up, social and religious privileges and disabilities born of caste are no longer recognized in law and only partially in custom. Only the depressed classes are labouring under certain customary and semi-legal disabilities. Caste no longer rigidly determines an individual's occupation, but continues to prescribe almost in its old rigour the circle into which one has to marry. One has still to depend very largely on one's caste for help at critical periods of one's life, like marriage and death. One's closest companions and friends are mainly delimited by the circle of one's caste. The difference between the old regime and contemporary society lies in this that whereas under the ancient organization the facts mentioned above were almost universally true, to-day there is a section of society—the modernly educated persons—small yet important, which has risen above all these restrictions. They are bound to serve as beacon-lights to the wavering members of society. Attitudes of exclusiveness and distrust, enshrined in the old vernacular

¹ *The Fifth Report from the Select Committee on the Affairs of the East India Company*, vol. ii (ed. 1883), p. 575.

² *Madras District Gazetteers: Tinnevely*, vol. i, 1917, p. 104.

proverbs, between caste and caste, still persevere even in the minds of the educated. Caste-associations are very common and command the services of even the most highly educated persons to further their object of helping the members of their castes. As long as endogamy is prescribed and practised, wider self-interest dictates that one should help the aggrandisement of the members of one's caste. For the better the economic prospects for the youths of the caste the greater the chances of getting well-to-do husbands for one's daughters. The rule of endogamy is in a way the fundamental factor of contemporary caste.

Caste has thus become the centre of an individual's altruistic impulse and philanthropic activities. The existence of definite organization has rallied round the caste the feelings of consciousness of kind. In the desire to help one's caste-fellows many forget the principles of social justice, and are led to do, consciously or otherwise, injustice to the members of other castes. Unfortunately many leaders in civic life are associated with the movement of amelioration of their respective castes. The mental undercurrents of those who are to be led breathe distrust of such leaders. The conduct of these leaders in the matter of the marriages of their wards—usually in their own caste—strengthens this lack of confidence felt by the populace, and acts as a buttress against the attacks on caste-endogamy. Hardly any caste accepts its accredited status or concedes the precedence of another caste, though it may demand such precedence of a caste supposed to be lower than it in the old hierarchy.

Economic conditions have led many castes to clamour for petty jobs in the clerical line. This factor enhances the feeling of caste-animosity. Even the apex of the ancient scheme, the priesthood of the Brahmin, which has been, with one or two exceptions, the great bond of social solidarity in this finely divided society, is being loosened by caste after caste. Contemporary caste-society presents the spectacle of self-centred groups more or less in conflict with one another.

CHAPTER IX

CONCLUSION

WE have seen that the practice of caste has changed, and also that a section of the Hindus have not only acquiesced in that change but have actively helped it. The orthodox Hindus do not like the modifications that have come about owing to changed circumstances. They actually deprecate them, and if they had the power they would fain reinstate the old situation. The progressive elements on the other hand not only welcome the changes but propose further modifications. Among these there are at least three, more or less clearly distinguishable, schools of thought. There are those who believe that the best way to bring about the desired end is to hark back to the imagined pure state of Hindu society which was characterized by the existence of only the four traditional castes, viz. Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaisya, and Sudra. The greatest exponent of this point of view is Mahatma Gandhi.¹ There are others who would go ahead rather than look back on the past in the vain hope of reinstating it under totally different conditions. But they think that the only way in which abolition of caste can be achieved as a concrete fact is, in the first place, to amalgamate the various sub-castes of a present day caste, which have much cultural unity and economic similarity. Then the castes which are approximately on a footing of equality should be consolidated, and the procedure may be followed till society becomes casteless. They contend that this process being slow would afford sufficient time for education and the formation of informed opinion, with the necessary adjunct of the requisite mental adjustment of those classes which are not yet prepared for a wholesale change in their age-long customs. The third point of view considers caste, especially in its present form, so degrading in some of its aspects and so anti-national in others, that it would abolish it altogether without any hesitation or delay.

¹ *Young India*, by Mahatma Gandhi, 1919-22, pp. 479-83.

Mahatma Gandhi has not given us a complete programme by means of which he proposes to reinstate the four old orders. It is not quite clear whether persons would be assigned to one or the other of these classes on the ground of their birth in a particular caste or on the strength of the occupation they now follow. Yet as he lays great stress on birth and heredity and subscribes to the view "once born a Brahmin always a Brahmin", he would no doubt rather rearrange the other castes into their proper groups on the basis of birth. If it were sought to put this procedure into operation we do not hesitate to think that there would be great strife among the various castes. The Kshatriya, Vaisya, and Sudra classes have not remained as distinct and intact as the Brahmins. There is much difference of opinion as to which of the castes should be included in one or the other of the above three classes. Even if an amicable settlement on this point were possible, what about the untouchable classes? Gandhi is vehemently opposed to untouchability, and he would naturally propose some respectable status to these classes. Where are they to be provided for? Wherever it may be proposed to include them, there is bound to be a tremendous protest from that class. The criterion of birth in a caste for the purposes of the proposed fourfold classification is thus found to be quite unsatisfactory. If the actual occupation of an individual is to be the test of his status, how are the modern occupations to be accommodated in this old scheme of fourfold humanity? Even if we successfully adjust the claims of all persons and classify them properly, it is an open question if marriage between the classes is to be permitted or prohibited, or if any social restrictions in the matter of food, etc., are still to continue. Altogether it appears to us that a return to the old fourfold division of society is impracticable, and even if accomplished would serve no more useful purpose than that of reminding us of our past heritage.¹

To propose to abolish caste by slow consolidation of the smaller groups into larger ones is to miss the real problem. The method has been tried in the Bombay Presidency for the last twenty years and more with disastrous results. The

¹ In this connection attention may be drawn to A. J. Toynbee's criticism of Plato's ideal of four castes *vide Ency. of R. and E.*, vol. x, p. 552 (b).

sub-castes that join together to create a super organization retain their internal feelings of exclusiveness with undiminishing vigour. The new organization takes up a rather militant attitude against other castes, especially those which are popularly regarded as immediately higher or lower than the caste which it represents. And, as pointed out in the last chapter, caste-consciousness becomes more definite and virile. We have seen that, even among the castes which are grouped together for political purposes, the common aim of fighting other castes has not proved strong enough to induce individual castes, comprised in the group, to ignore their claims at the time of the distribution of the spoils of office. As we envisage the situation and as our analysis of contemporary caste must make it clear, the problem of caste arises mainly out of caste-patriotism. It is the spirit of caste-patriotism which engenders opposition to other castes, and creates an unhealthy atmosphere for the full growth of national consciousness. It is this caste-patriotism that we have to fight against and totally uproot. If the procedure advocated by the protagonists of the second viewpoint were to prove successful, we believe the problem of diminishing caste-patriotism will be ever so much more difficult. It would lead to three or four large groups being solidly organized for pushing the interests of each even at the cost of the others. Acute conflict will be the only consequence. Further, during this lengthy process of slow amalgamation those who will marry in defiance of the barriers of sub-caste will still be imbued with caste-mentality. The main problem will thus remain for years as acute as at present.

The true remedy against the present evil of caste is that we must fight it all round with a bold front without making any compromise. It is caste-patriotism that must be killed. Anything, either in our individual capacity or in our administration that gives prominence to caste tends to create in the minds of the members of a caste tender feelings about it. It follows from this that in order to diminish caste loyalty the first step that we should take is to ignore it altogether. The State must make it clear by its actions that it does not recognize caste as caste, though its individual members may be guided by its group existence. It ought forthwith to cease making any inquiry about a citizen's caste. Caste

must be regarded as a purely individual concern with which the State as the national organization should have nothing to do. Neither in official records, nor in applications, nor in statistical returns must the caste of a citizen be recorded. The Census need not busy itself with recording the castes of individuals nor should it present any tables by castes.

Every educated and progressive Hindu leader ought to ignore caste. He must not only denounce the institution on the platform and in the press, but must show by his way of living that his professions are sincere. He should never associate himself with any caste council, even though it may be doing some little immediate good to its members, always remembering that even the good emanating from a fundamental evil is so much tainted that it loses the moral characteristics of the good. If prominent leaders continue dinning into the ears of their followers that thinking in terms of caste is an unadulterated evil, and if they further carry out their precepts in practice, we are sure the sentiment of caste loyalty will slowly die a natural death. We have to create an atmosphere where even partially educated people should be ashamed of boasting of their caste and of decrying the caste of others in place of the present situation where individuals proudly and blatantly speak of their castes and caste-associations. We contend this can be achieved by constant preaching and unflinching practice of the leaders.

Fusion of blood has been found to be an effective method of cementing alliances and nurturing nationalities. The history of royal families and of European nations is replete with such examples. To further our national ideals we must resort to the same procedure. Caste sets its face against such a custom, as it is of the essence of caste that marriage must be within its limits. That parents must seek mates for their children from among the members of their caste is a circumstance that forces people in no small measure to make friendships in the caste and in other ways to help its aggrandizing programme. Economic progress of one's caste means increased facility for finding out well-to-do husbands for one's daughters or wives for sons. Once marriage becomes free and unfettered by extraneous considerations, one of the inner motives for participation in the organized activities of a caste will vanish.

The Special Marriage Amendment Act, though not perfect in its provisions, now makes it possible for persons to marry outside their caste with self-respect and honesty. More and more individuals must be found to take advantage of the Act and to enter into inter-caste marriages. We believe this culmination is not far off. As marriage comes to be arranged by the young parties in preference to their elders, restrictions of caste on marriage will vanish in proportion. We have seen that most of such inter-caste marriages that have taken place were managed by the youth, and their parents and guardians had no effective voice therein. As marriage becomes an affair to be managed among the young by their own choice, proper opportunities for the young people to come into touch with those of the opposite sex must be provided. Otherwise marriage either becomes difficult or maladjusted. That is the experience of many parents and guardians who have educated their daughters or female wards up to the highest standards of university education. It appears to us that co-education at all stages of instruction is the best method of bringing together young people of opposite sex, apart from its being the best prophylactic for sex morals. The enthusiasm of youth will surely transcend the artificial bounds of caste.

Thus while caste would be ignored and caste-patriotism actively denounced, the people who marry without reference to caste would not only create a casteless atmosphere for the management of civic affairs, but would rear up the next generation, which would be still more hostile to caste. Even though uneducated and village folk may continue to marry in their own castes, the further evil effects of endogamy, viz. the creation of caste-patriotism, would be effectively checked.

In the previous chapter we have shown that untouchability is the last of the social disabilities of the caste regime still present with us. We have also indicated that it must be rigorously put down in all public institutions. We have also suggested, contrary to our confirmed view about ignoring caste, that the present untouchable classes should be given special educational facilities. For education, with the consequent amelioration of economic position, will alone enable the reformers to solve the problem of untouchability,

and no amount of mere propaganda against it can achieve that end.

As the result of our analysis we saw that the old bonds of this finely graded society have dwindled away. Some of these bonds, viz. of the village economy, are such that, however we may regret their decadence, we cannot reinstate them. We are sorry; but, in full realization of the tremendous changes in the social condition of man, we make peace with the new era. On the other hand, the feature of contemporary Hindu society which tends to snap the running thread of common priesthood is to our mind fundamentally harmful. It is not suggested that we should like to see the Brahmin enthroned once again as the monopolist of priestly services in direct opposition to the pronounced views of some of the higher castes amongst the non-Brahmins. We think that the facts must be squarely faced by all the leaders of Hindu society and particularly by the orthodox ones. We strongly believe that the time has arrived when the Hindus must not leave their priestly function in the hands of anybody who chooses to parade himself as a priest. A central organization with provincial branches should be started to impart training in priesthood. Only those who hold the requisite certificates from this association should be allowed to practise the profession. In the matter of admission, the orthodox section should unconditionally surrender itself to the reformed view, and allow any one possessing the minimum standard of education the right to join the institutions maintained by this association for training in priestcraft. No longer should the old distinction between Vedic rites and non-Vedic ones be maintained. It must be the choice of the worshipper to ask his priest to conduct his service either according to the Vedic formulae or the Puranic ones. So long as the overwhelming majority continue to believe in ritualism, with all the past sins of the priestly class, it is better to have well-informed priests, who should be asked to pledge themselves that they shall conduct their service according to the dictates of the worshipper as to whether the one or the other type of formulae be used. It would provide Hindu society with its old bond of a common priesthood, based not on hereditary right but on liking and capacity. It would at the same time take the edge off the non-Brahmin clamour against the Brahmin priests.

188 CASTE AND RACE IN INDIA

The present political upheaval has liberated tremendous psychical energy which, it appears to us, if properly utilized by the leaders, should lead to the achievement of the reforms advocated above. Perhaps a sceptic whispers, "Such revolutions are not brought about in the lethargic types of Indian climes." Him we only remind that this apparently lethargic land and its peoples have, in the past, proved themselves capable of truly great achievements. The phenomenon of the conquering Indo-Aryans, who were passionate eaters of flesh and drinkers of intoxicating beverages, settling down as the upper castes of Hindu society and abjuring their coveted food and drink for centuries, is a moral triumph of the people of India, for which there is hardly any parallel in human history. The same people, now called upon to throw off caste, would rise to the occasion and achieve a still greater triumph.

APPENDIX A
PUNJAB

Name of Caste (Number of individuals measured is given in parentheses).	Cephalic	Index.	Nasal	Index.	Cephalic	Length.	Cephalic	Breadth.	Nasal	Length.	Nasal	Breadth.
	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.
Arora (27)	72 79	3 8	71 60	5 05								
Awan (33)	74-45	2 73	69 21	5 85								
Biloch (60)	80 21	4 68	69 81	5 70								
Chuhra (80)	73 49	2 69	75 29	6 04	186 74	6 15	137 16	4 75	48 06	2 21	36 11	2 45
Gujar (13)	72 30	2 66	67 37	4 87								
Kanet: Kulu . . (60)	74 11	2 57	74	5 98								
Kanet: Lahoul . (30)	77 48	2 37	66 45	5 53								
Khatn (60)	74 15	3 02	73 30	6 89	185 72	6 05	137 50	5 24	48 82	2 76	35 70	2 81
Machhi (19)	72 50	1 82	70 29	5 22								
Pathan (80)	76 55	3 50	68 70	5 13								
Sikh (80)	72 76	2 92	69 10	6 06								

APPENDIX B
UNITED PROVINCES OF AGRA AND OUDH

Name of Caste (Number of individuals measured is given in parentheses).	Cephalic		Nasal		Cephalic		Cephalic		Nasal		Nasal	
	I	M.	St. Dev.	M.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.
Bania . . . (80)	72	02	3	36	80	55						
Babhan . . . (26)	73	52	2	25	73	60						
Bhar . . . (100)	73	66	3	06	81	97						
Brahmin . . . (100)	73	29	3	12	74	88	187	56	5	58	137	42
Chamar . . . (100)	72	90	2	92	86	52	185	18	5	79	134	98
Chhatri . . . (100)	73	12	3	12	78	36	188	35	6	51	137	68
Dom . . . (100)	74	28	3	54	83	60	183	91	6	63	136	40
Kayasth . . . (100)	72	48	2	99	78	87	186	62	5	75	135	42
Khatri . . . (15)	71	98	2	71	79	15						
Kol . . . (32)	72	51	2	96	82	39						
Kurmi . . . (100)	73	25	3	23	79	46	184	05	6	21	135	13
Lohar . . . (45)	72	93	3	34	83	45						
Musahar . . . (18)	74	29	2	94	86	17						
Pasi . . . (100)	72	68	3	21	85	92	185	10	6	89	134	48
Tharu . . . (65)	74	11	3	06	80	30						

APPENDIX C

BIHAR

Name of Caste (Number of individuals measured is given in parentheses).	Cephalic		Nasal Index.		Cephalic Length.		Cephalic Breadth.		Nasal Length.		Nasal Breadth.	
	M.	St. Dev.	M	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.
Babhan . . . (59)	76 98	3 66	73 55	7 02								
Bind . . . (13)	73 68	3 64	82 76	7 68								
Brahmin . . . (67)	74 91	3 02	73 24	6 35	187 88	6 27	140 81	4 74	49 33	3 53	36 11	2 54
Dom : Maghaya . (100)	76 21	3 25	82 58	6 98	186 37	6 29	142 20	4 26	48 04	3 09	39-62	2 42
Chamar . . . (62)	76.26	4 35	82 93	7 20	184 42	7 28	140 35	5 28	46 08	2 90	38 13	2 52
Kurmi . . . (71)	75 82	3 50	79 04	6 96	186 97	6 67	141 55	4 54	47 66	3 46	37 45	2.42
Musahar . . . (77)	75 79	2 91	89 20	8 86	183 17	5 91	138 69	4 18	45 52	3 01	40-40	2 97

APPENDIX D
BENGAL

Name of Caste (Number of individuals measured is given in parentheses).	Cephalic		Nasal		Cephalic		Cephalic Breadth.		Nasal Length.		Nasal Breadth.	
	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.
Brahmin . . . (100)	78 89	3 63	70 89	6 85	181 76	5 82	143 25	4 54	49 71	4 09	35 09	2 72
Chandal. . . (67)	78 11	4 04	74 27	6 83	183 31	6 94	143 18	4 52	49 69	2 69	36.79	2 88
Kayastha . . . (100)	78.30	3.82	70 78	6.34	182 47	6 08	142 88	4 95	50 19	3 09	35 39	2 52
Koibarta . . . (100)	77 57	3 85	76 68	6 70	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Muchi . . . (27)	77 22	3 55	75 30	6 92	182 93	6 76	142	4 45	49 19	2 94	36 89	2.66
Rajbansi . . . (100)	75 36	3 21	76 86	5 81	186 28	6 85	140 26	5 15	48 96	2 85	37 57	2 52
Sadgop . . . (48)	77 95	3 23	74 24	7 46								

APPENDIX E

BOMBAY

Name of Caste (Number of individuals measured is given in parentheses).	Cephalic	Index.	Nasal	Index.	Cephalic	Length	Cephalic	Breadth.	Nasal	Length.	Nasal	Breadth.
	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.
Bhil: Khandesh . (103)	72 56	3 04	95 80	11 84	182 92	6 11	132 61	4 43	41 12	3-49	39 05	3 14
Chitpavan Brahmin (100)	77 41	3 95	76 99	6 60	186 43	7 37	144-25	5 16	49-34	3-26	37 87	2 15
Deshasth Brahmin . (100)	77 09	4 37	79 73	6 14	185 5	6 39	142 94	5 41	48 93	2-91	38 81	2-25
Katkan . (109)	74-37	2 65	88 46	8 05	178 86	6 20	133 04	3 95	44 04	2 94	38-78	2-49
Kunbi . . . (100)	77 52	4 36	79-44	5 70	180 10	6 35	139-49	5-55	47 81	2 62	37 95	2-09
Mahar . . . (100)	77 17	3 55	82 23	6 20	181-40	5 83	140 12	5 15	47-21	2 58	38 73	2 37
Maratha (High Caste) (100)	76-34	4 11	71 47	6 35	184 62	6 39	140-92	6 52	52 02	3 37	37 01	2-44
Maratha Ghati . (100)	78 33	4-53	80 32	7 47								
Nagar Brahmin . (100)	79 93	4 33	73 58	7 66	184-51	7 47	147 16	5 97	50 76	3-56	37 18	2-57
Prabhu . . . (100)	80 00	3 80	76 18	7 13								
Shenvi Brahmin (100)	79 08	4 06	75 15	7 46	186-22	7 18	147 15	5 29	50 27	3 63	37 62	2-36
Son Koh . . . (100)	77-62	3 24	76-77	6 96	185 00	5 83	143 45	4-71	49 62	3 15	37 98	2-62
Vani (Gujarat) . (139)	78 52	3 46	76 30	8 09								

M. = Mean ; St. Dev. = Standard Deviation.

APPENDIX F

MADRAS

Caste.	Language.	Cephalic	Index.	Nasal	Index.
		M.	St. Dev.	M.	St. Dev.
Kapu . . . (49)	Telugu	78 08	3 70		
Smarta Brahmin (60)	Canarese	78 45	4 41		
Coorg . . . (32)	Kodagu	79-72	3 79	72 12	5 22
Billava . . . (50)	Tulu .	80 36	4 40		
Sivalh . . . (40)	„ .	80 44	5-21		
Vakkaliga . (50)	Canarese	81 76	5 03		
Toda . . . (76)	Toda .	73 36	2 22		
Yeruva . . . (25)	Canarese	73 48	3 06	89 76	5 26
Vellal . . . (40)	Tamil .	73-92	2 74		
Nayar . . . (39)	Malayalam .	74 44	2 85		
Pattar Brahmin (25)	Tamil .	74 44	3 31		
Tamil Brahmin (40)		76 55	3 44		

M. = Mean ; St. Dev. = Standard Deviation.

APPENDIX G

DIFFERENTIAL INDEX

(Based on six characters : Cephalic index, cephalic length, cephalic breadth, nasal index, nasal length, and nasal breadth)

PUNJAB		
Chuhra and Khatri		88
UNITED PROVINCES		
Brahmin and Chhatri		89
" " " " Kayastb		1 47
" " " " Kurmi		1 83
" " " " Dom		2 56
" " " " Pasi		3 17
" " " " Chamar		3 32
Pasi and Chamar		27
Kurmi and "		1-71
BIHAR		
Brahmin and Kurmi		1 75
" " " " Chamar		2 42
" " " " Dom		2 95
Chamar and Kurmi		1 45
BENGAL		
Brahmin and Kayastha		43
" " " " Chandal		1 11
BOMBAY		
Deshasth Brahmin and Son Koli		95
" " " " Chitpavan Brahmin		1 02
" " " " Mahar		1 61
" " " " Kunbi		1 73
" " " " Shenvi Brahmin		2 10
" " " " Nagar Brahmin		2 59
" " " " High Caste Maratha		2 64
GENERAL		
U.P. Kurmi and Bihar Kurmi		3 49
U.P. Chamar and Bihar Chamar		4 01
U.P. Brahmin and Punjab Chuhra		
" " " " Khatri		1 02
" " " " " " " "		1 38
" " " " Bihar Brahmin		2 05
" " " " Chitpavan Brahmin		3-66
" " " " Deshasth Brahm		3 94
Bengal Brahmin and Shenvi Brahm		
" " " " Chitpavan Brahmin		2-30
" " " " Bihar Brahm		2-44
" " " " Deshasth Brahm		2-52
" " " " U.P. Brahmin		2 96
" " " " " " " "		3 89

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL ABBREVIATIONS

- Abram, A., *Social England in the Fifteenth Century*, London, 1909.
- Altekar, A. S., *A History of Village Communities in Western India*, Bombay, 1927.
- Apastamba, *Dharmasutra*. (Buhler's trans, in *SBE.*, vol. ii, Oxford, 1879.)
- Arthashastra* (1), ed. by R. Shama Sastri, 1919.
(2), Translation by R. Shama Sastri (2nd ed.).
- Ashley, W. J., *An Introduction to Economic History*, vol. I, pt. i, London, 1888.
- Attenborough, F. L., *The Laws of the Earliest English Kings*, ed. and trans, by F. L. Attenborough, Cambridge, 1922.
- Baden-Powell, B. H., *The Indian Village Community*, London, 1890.
- Barnes, Athelstane, *Ethnography (Castes and Tribes)*, Strassburg, 1912.
- Bashford, J. W., *China, an interpretation*, New York, 1916.
- Baudhayana, *Dharmasutra*. (Buhler's trans, in *SBE.*, vol. xiv, Oxford, 1882.)
- Bengal Census, 1921, Census of India, 1921, Bengal Report*.
- Bhattacharya Jogendranath, *Hindu Castes and Sects*, Calcutta, 1896.
- Bose Pramathanath, *A History of Hindu Civilization during British Rule*, vol. ii, Calcutta, 1894.
- Breasted, J. H. (1), *A History of Egypt*, London, 1906.
(2), *Ancient Times*, Boston, U.S.A., 1917.
- Briggs, G. W., *The Chamars*, Calcutta, 1920.
- Bnnkley, Capt. F. (1), *Oriental Series*, 11 vols., 1902 ff.
(2), *Japan*, 3 vols., *China*, vol. i, etc. ; Boston, U.S.A., 1902 ff.
- Brissaud, J. (1), *A History of French Private Law*, trans, by R. Howell, London, 1912.
(2), *A History of French Public Law*, trans, by J. W. Garner, London, 1915.
- Brooks, B. Allard, *A Contribution to the Study of the Moral Practices of Certain Social Groups in Ancient Mesopotamia*, 1920.
- Cambridge History of India*, vol. i, *Ancient India*, edited by E. J. Rapson, Cambridge, 1922.
- Chadwick, H. M., *Studies on Anglo-Saxon Institutions*, Cambridge, 1905.
- Chamberlain, B. H., *Things Japanese*, London, 1905.
- Chanda, R., *The Indo-Aryan Races*, 1916.
- Collection of Smritis, Smrtinam samuccaya*, ed. Anandashram Sanskrit Series, No. 48, Poona, 1905.
- Crooke, W. (1), *The Tribes and Castes of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh*, 4 vols., Calcutta, 1896.
(2), *Natives of Northern India*, London, 1907.
- Dhalla, M. N., *Zoroastrian Civilization*, New York, 1922.
- Dill, Sir Samuel, *Roman Society in the Last Century of the Western Empire*, London, 1910.
- Douglas, R. K., *Society in China*, London, 1894.
- Ency. of R. and E., Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics*.
- Erman, A., *Life in Ancient Egypt*, trans, by H. M. Tirard, London, 1894.
- Featherman, A. (II b), *Social History of the Races of Mankind* (Second Division), Oceano-Melanesians, London, 1888.
(V), *Ibid.*, (Fifth Division), *Aramceans*, 1881.
- Fick, R., *The Social Organization in North-East India in Buddha's Time* (Eng. trans, by Maitra), 1920.
- Forbes, A. K., *Ras Mala*, 2 vols., 1856, ed. by H. G. Rawlinson, Oxford, 1924.

198 BIBLIOGRAPHICAL ABBREVIATIONS

- Friedlander, Ludwig, *Roman Life and Manners under the Early Empire* (Eng. trans. of 7th ed.), London, 1909.
- Gautama, *Dharmasutra*. (Burner's trans. in *SBE.*, vol. li, Oxford, 1879.)
- Godavan Dist. Gaz., District Gazetteer of Godavan*, pt. i.
- Haddon, A. C., *The Wanderings of Peoples*, Cambridge, 1919.
- Hamilton, Walter, *A Geographical Description of Hindostan*, 2 vols., London, 1820.
- Hemadri, *Chaturvargachinldmani* (Bibl. Ind.), Calcutta, 1871 ff.
- Hose, C. and McDougall, W., *The Pagan Tribes of Borneo*, 2 vols., London, 1912.
- Huebner, R., *A History of Germanic Private Law* (Eng. trans.), London, 1918.
- Hultzsch, E., *South-Indian Inscriptions*, ed. and trans. (*Archceol. Survey of India*, N.S., vol. in), Madras, 1890 ff.
- India Census, 1921, Census of India, 1921, Report.*
- Irving, B. A., *The Theory and Practice of Caste*, London, 1853.
- Jastrow, Morns (Jr.), *The Civilization of Babylonia and Assyria*, Philadelphia, 1915.
- Johns, C. H. W., *Babylonian and Assyrian Laws, Contracts and Letters*, Edinburgh, 1904.
- JASB., Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal,*
- JBBRAS., Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society.*
- JRAI., Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute.*
- JRAS., Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.*
- Kanakasabhai, Pillai V., *The Tamils Eighteen Hundred Years Ago*, Madras, 1904.
- Keane, A. H., *Man Past and Present*, 1920.
- Kerr, James, *The Domestic Life, Character, and Customs of the Natives of India*, London, 1865.
- Kitts, Eustace J., *A Compendium of the Castes and Tribes found in India*, Bombay, 1885.
- Lambert, J. Malet, *Two Thousand Years of Guild Life*, Hull, 1891.
- Latthe, A. B., *Memoirs of His Highness Shri Shahu Chhatrapati, Maharaja of Kolhapur*, Bombay, 1924.
- Leage, R. W., *Roman Private Law*, London, 1906.
- Leflingwell, G. W., *Social and Private Life at Rome in the Time of Plautus and Terence*, New York, 1918.
- Logan, W., *Malabar*, 3 vols., Madras, 1887.
- Longford, J. H., *Japan of the Japanese*, 2nd ed., London, 1915.
- Lowie, R. H., *Primitive Society*, New York, 1920.
- Mbh., Mahabharata* (Kumbhakonam ed.).
- Maclver, R. M., *Community*, London, 1920.
- Madras Census, 1871, Census of India, 1871, Madras Report.*
- Malcolm, Sir John, *Memoir of Central India and Malwa*, London, 1823.
- Mandlik, V. N., *Vyavahra Mayukha*, 1880.
- Mann, H. II., and Kamtkar, N. V., *Land and Labour in a Deccan Village*, No. 2, London, 1921.
- Manu, *Laws of Manu* (Trans. by G. Buhler in *SBE.*, vol. xxv, Oxford, 1886).
- Martin, R. M., *The History . . . and Statistics of Eastern India*, 3 vols., London, 1838.
- Maspero, Sir Gaston, *The Dawn of Civilization*, 5th ed., London, 1910.
- Matthai, John, *Village Government in British India*, London, 1915.
- Mayne, J. D., *A Treatise on Hindu Law and Usage*, London, 1914.
- Mollendorff, P. G., *The Family Law of the Chinese*, 1896.
- Mookerji Radhakumud, *Local Government in Ancient India*, Oxford, 1919.
- Muir, J., *Original Sanskrit Texts*, vol. i, London (2nd ed., 1872).
- Muirhead, J., *Historical Introduction to the Private Law of Rome*, 3rd ed., London, 1916.
- Mysore Census, 1901, Census of India, 1901, Mysore Report.*
- Narada, *Smrti*. (Jolly's trans. in *SBE.*, vol. xxxli, Oxford, 1889.)
- Nesfield, J. C., *Brief View of the Caste System of the North Western Provinces and Oudh*, Allahabad, 1885.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL ABBREVIATIONS 199

- Pandian, T. B., *Indian Village Folk*, London, 1898.
Punjab Census, 1921, Census of India, 1921, Punjab Report.
- Parasara, *Pardiara Dharma Samhita*, ed. by Islampurkar, Bombay, 1893-1918. (Bombay Sanskrit Series.)
- Petrie, Sir W. M. Flinders, *Social Life in Ancient Egypt*, London, 1923.
- Phooley, *Life of*, by P. S. Patil (Marathi), 1927.
- Pollock and Maitland, *The History of English Law*, vol. i, Cambridge (ed. 1911).
- Ranade, Ranade M. G., in *JBBRAS.*, vol. xx.
- Renard, G. F., *Guilds in the Middle Ages* (Eng. trans.), New York, 1919.
- Rickards, R., *India*, 2 vols., London, 1829-32.
- Risley, Sir H. H. (1), *Tribes and Castes of Bengal, Ethnographic Glossary*, Calcutta, 1891.
 (2), *The People of India*, 2nd ed., Calcutta, London, 1915.
- Rivers, W. H. R., *The History of Melanesian Society*, 2 vols., Cambridge, 1914.
- Russell, R. V., *The Tribes and Castes of the Central Provinces*, 4 vols., London, 1916.
- SBE., Sacred Books of the East*, Oxford, 1879, etc.
Sudrakamaldkara, by Kamalakarabhatta (Nirnaysagar ed., 1928).
Sukraniti, trans. by Benoy Kumar Sarkar, Allahabad, 1914.
- Slater, Gilbert, *Some South Indian Villages*, Madras, 1918.
- Smith, J. Toulmin, *English Guilds*, London, 1870.
- Smith, W. Robertson, *Kinship and Marriage in Early Arabia*, new ed., London, 1903.
- Spence, L., *Mexico of the Mexicans*, London, 1917.
- Spencer, Herbert, *Principles of Sociology*, vol. in, ed. 1897.
- Steele, Arthur, *The Law and Custom of Hindu Castes*, London, 1868.
- Thurston, E., *Tribes and Castes of Madras*, 7 vols., 1907-9.
- Traill, H. D., *Social England*, vol. i, London, 1898.
- Travancore Census, 1921, The Census of India, 1921, Report on Travancore.*
Trichonopoly Dist. Gaz., District Gazetteer of Trichonopoly, pt. i.
U.P. Census, 1901, Census of India, 1901, Report on the North Western Provinces and Ough.
- Vad. G. C. (1), *Selections from the Satara Raja's and the Peshwa's Diaries*, Shahu Chhatrapati.
 (2), *Ibid.* Madhavrao, L
- Vaidya, C. V., *History of Mediaeval Hindu India*, 3 vols., Poona, 1921 ff.
 Vasishtha, *Dharma-sutra* (Bühler's trans. in *SBE.*, vol. xiv, Oxford, 1882).
Vedic Index, by A. A. Macdonell and A. B. Keith, 2 vols., London, 1912.
- Vishnu, *Institutes of Vishnu*. (Jolly's trans. in *SBE.*, vol. vii, Oxford, 1880.)
- Werner, E. T. C. (1), *Spencer's Descriptive Sociology*, No. ix, London, 1910.
 (2), *China of the Chinese*, London, 1919.
- Westermarck, E. A., *History of Human Marriage*, 5th ed., 3 vols., London, 1921.
- Wilkinson, Sir J. G., *The Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians*, new ed., 3 vols., 1878.
- Williams, M. W., *Social Scandinavia in the Viking Age*, New York, 1920.
- Wilson, John, *Indian Caste*, 2 vols., Bombay, 1877.
- Woolley, C. Leonard, *The Sumerians*, Oxford, 1928.
- Yajñtavalkya, *Yajñavalkyasmṛiti*, with the *Mitakshara*, ed. by S. S. Setlur, 1912.

INDEX

- Abhiras, tribe, 59**
Aborigines, Aboriginal castes,
 Aboriginal tribes, 29, 30, 46,
 48, 96, 104, 105, 107, 143, 144
Aboriginal type, 105
Abul Fazal, castes in time of, 100
Agamudaiyan, caste, 110, 119
Agastya, legend of, 143
Agri, caste, 29
Aheriya, caste, 5
Ahir, caste, 5, 29, 31, 100
Aitareya Brahmana: warning to
 Kshatriyas, 41; on the im-
 portance of Purohita, 42; on
 the low birth of Kavasha, 44;
 on the position of a Vaisya, 45
Ajataŕatru, philosopher-king, 45
Akbar, castes in time of, 17, 100
Alpine race, 114, 121, 122, 123
Ambattan, caste, 110
Ambattha, Brahmin student, 65
Angiras, Smrti, 89
Antyajas, 89; see Outcast
Apastamba, Dharma Sutra, 52, 53,
 55, 58, 61, 71, 72, 73
Arabs, absence of endogamy among,
 181, 132
Arjuna, 61
Arora, tribe, 29
Arthasastra, 74, 87; see Kautilya
Artisan castes of Madras: struggle
 for higher social status, 6;
 non-Brahmin priests of, 14
Arya: contrast with Dasa, 41, 46;
 illicit unions with Sudra, 47;
 contrast with Sudra, 55; in-
 vasion of India by, 104;
 opposition of Dasa to, 144
Aryan, people, 47, 48, 49, 104, 105,
 106, 107, 108, 112, 113, 123,
 143
Aryan, type, 104, 112
Arya Samaj: attitude towards caste,
 165; reasons of the popularity
 of, 165
Aryo-Dravidian type, 112, 119
Asat Sudra, 83, 85, 92
Ashrann, 19
Asprisya Sudras, 8
Asvapati, philosopher-king, 45
Atri, Smrti, 85, 88, 89
Australians, 117
Ayogava, mixed caste, 47
Babhan, caste, 109
Bachgoti, caste, 100
Badaga, caste, 110, 119
Bagdi, caste, 120
Bagri, caste, 100
Bahahya, Baheliya, caste, 5, 120
Bahurupi, caste, 96
Barnes, Sir A., 1, 17, 32
Baligas, caste, 96
Bahsikas, caste, 76
Bana, Parasava brothers of, 91
Bama. caste, divisions and sub
 —divisions of, 18, 19, 100
 occupational nature of, 28
 territorial subcastes of, 32
 nasal index of, 109
Bansphor, caste, 8, 29
Bant, caste, 110, 121
Baoriya, caste, 100
Barai, caste, endogamous sub-
 divisions, of 31, 32
Barbosa, Duarte, on castes in
 Malabar, 99
Barber: caste, 10, 25, 29, 76;
 as match-maker and marriage
 priest, 26
Barhai, caste, seven subcastes of,
 21, 22
Ban, caste, 29
Basor, caste, 8, 33, 37
Basu, B. N., efforts to liberalize
 marriage law, 153
Baudhayana, Dharma Sutra, 70, 71,
 73, 77, 78
Bauri, caste, 109, 110
Bedar, caste, 110
Bengali Brahmin, 120, 121; sub-
 castes of, 31
Berad, caste, subcastes of, 37
Bhadralok, 19
Bhagavadgita, Gita: assurance of
 salvation to Vaisya and Sudra,
 59; duties and functions of
 four castes, 61, 62
Bhandari, caste, 2, 5, 80
Bhangi, caste, 8, 30
Bhatia, caste, ethnic origin of, 29
Bhil, tribe, 29, 81, 89, 100, 122
Bhilala, caste, 29
Bhishma, discipleship of Parasurama,
 64
Bhotia, caste, 120
Bhuiya, caste, 109

- Rhqiwar, caste, 5
 Bhulia, caste, nickname origin of, 30
 Billava, caste, 110
 Bind, caste, 109
 Bisen, caste, 100
 Bishnois, caste, sectarian origin of, 29
 Blacksmith, caste, 88, 98
 Borradaile, H., a Bombay officer, 150
 Brahmanas, 39
 Brahmin Government of Poona, 5 ; see Peshwas
 Brahmins, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 18, 14, 15, 17, 19, 24, 26, 27, 31, 32, 36, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 100, 102, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 119, 143, 144, 145, 146, 151, 152, 156, 162, 163, 164, 168, 170, 171, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 182, 183, etc. ; absence of caste-councils, 3 ; attitude towards other castes, 42, 48, 51, 53, 88, 89, 145, 146 ; of Behar, 106, 119, 120 ; endogamy among, 107, 111 ; exclusive right of priestcraft, 14, 16, 44, 51, 52, 81, 147, 151, 152, 181 ; food restrictions for, 5, 7, 8, 9, 145, 146 ; in Malabar, 99, 100 ; marriage among, 44, 45, 58, 77, 80 ; occupations of, 16, 17, 74, 75, 76, 89, 90, 96, 175 ; privileges of, 14, 15, 42, 43, 53, 54, 83 ; revolt against the supremacy of, 6, 60, 64, 65 ; saints, 94 ; sole receiver of gifts, 52, 82 ; supremacy over Kshatriyas, 51, 52, 53 ; in U.P., 105, 106, 109, 119, 120, 121
 Brahmos, 153
 Brahma Samaj : inclusion in Hindu society, 153 ; aims of, 164
 Bhadaranyaka Upanishad, on Paulkasas, 48
 Brhadyama, Smrti, classification of Sudras by, 85
 Brown race, 119, 122
 Buchanan, C, 16
 Buddha : assertion of Kshatriya pre-eminence by, 64 ; discussion with Ambattha, 65 ; previous births of, 66 ; attitude towards caste, 67
 Buddhism, Buddhist religion, 60, 64, 67, 92, 145
 Buddhist literature, 39, 65, 71, 75
 Buddhistic revolt, 84
 Bunker, caste, 29
 Burud, caste, 96 ; see Cane-worker
 Cane-worker, caste, 88, 89
 Carpenter, caste, 12, 72, 88
 Caste : method of abolition of, 182, 183, 184, 185 ; autonomy of, 150 ; British policy with regard to, 161, 162, 163, 164 ; change of, 80 ; as channel of charity, 176, 177, 178, 181 ; contrast with class, 2 ; Deities of, 5 ; disabilities of, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 ; endogamy of, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 76-80, 96, 181, 186 ; food restrictions of, 7, 8, 9 ; gradations of, 6, 7 ; intermarriage, 76-80, 86, 87, 90, 91, 96, 143, 144, 146, 152, 153, 175, 176, 186 ; lack of choice of occupation, 15, 16, 17, 18, 175 ; in Malabar, 99 ; based on nicknames, 30 ; based on occupations, 28, 29 ; origin of, 101, 142-8, 157 ; privileges of, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 ; revival of spirit of, 157, 158 ; revolt against, 95, 164, 165, 166 ; based on sect, 30 ; segregation of, in modern cities, 176, 177 ; social intercourse of, 7, 8, 9, 10 ; solidarity of, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 78, 74, 87, 97, 146, 147, 148, 160, 161, 177, 179, 180 ; based on names of tribes, 29 ; based on territory, 81
 Caste-Disabilities-Removal Act, 152
 Census Reports, and revival of caste-spirit, 157, 158
 Cephalic Index, 103, 104, etc.
 Chahamana, caste, 91
 Chakkiliyan, caste, segregation of, 10
 Chamar, Chambhar, caste, 15, 17, 25, 29, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 102, 103, 106, 107, 109, 110, 116, 118, 119
 Chanda, Rai Bahadur, Rama Prasad, 107, 119, 121, 123
 Chandal, caste, 109, 120
 Chandala, 47, 48, 60, 61, 73, 74, 79, 86, 89, 95, 106, 109, 120, 121 ; origin of, 47, 48
 Chandel, caste, 100
 Chandragupta, Sudra origin of, 59
 Chank bangles, use of, by Bengal Brahmins, 121
 Charan, caste, 32
 Charudatta, pursuit of Vaisya's occupation by, 90
 Chasa, caste, 109

- Chatfield, concessions in fees to backward castes by, 155
- Chero, tribe 5
- Cheruman, caste, 111
- Chetti, caste, 28
- Chhatri, caste, 103, 105, 109
- Chitpavan Brahmins : physical affinities with Deshasth Brahmins, 106, 120 ; green-grey eyes of, 122 ; *see* Konkanasth Brahmins
- Christians, 178
- Chuhra, 105, 106
- Clean Sudras, 13, 14
- Colebrooke, H. T., on diversity of occupations of castes, 17
- Communal representation, 167, 178
- Confucius, division of people into five classes by, 128
- Coppersmith, caste, 12
- Crooke, W., 102, 108
- Cross-cousin marriage, 115, 116, 117
- Dana-stutis, 81
- Dangi, tribe, 30
- Dards, caste, 123
- Darius, 113
- Darji, caste, 32
- Dasa : contrast with Arya, 41, 46 ; merging into Sudra and Nishada classes, 55 ; opposition between Arya and, 144
- Dasapura, caste, 99
- DaSaratha, Sudra, wife of, 59, 80
- Dasyu, 56, 82
- Dayanand Swami, 165
- Depressed classes : residence of, 11 ; horn as a distinguishing mark of, 12 ; disappearance of segregation in schools, 154 ; special representation in local and legislative bodies, 155, 173 ; entry into Hindu temples, 159, 172
- Deshasth Brahmins : devotion to secular pursuits of, 16 ; territorial derivation of, 31 ; sub-castes of, 36 ; physical affinities of, 106, 110
- Devapi, 44
- Dhangar, caste, 25, 35, 38, 120
- Dharkar, caste, 8, 29, 33, 120
- Dharkatta, caste, 99
- Dhed, caste, 82, 33
- Dhimar, caste, 33, 35, 38
- Dhobi, caste, 8 ; *see* Washerman
- Divide and Rule policy, 164
- Dom, Domb : caste, 5, 8, 26, 29, 106, 109, 120 ; prominence at the burning ghat, 26
- Dosadh or Dusadh, caste, 109, 120
- Draupadi, objection against Kama's low birth, by 81
- Dravidian culture, 121, 144
- Dravidian India, 103
- Dravidian languages, 115, 116, 117
- Dravidian type, Dravida type : 112, 114, 115, 118, 120, 122, 123
- Dubla, caste, nickname-origin of, 30
- Dviija, Dvijati, 51, 144 ; *see* Twice-born castes
- Dyer of cloths, caste, 88
- Egyptians, 124, 125
- Ekajati, 51, 144
- Ekanath, performance of purificatory rites by, 94
- Ellenborough, Lord, on the recruitment of the Indian army, 164
- Elliot, 157
- Elphinstone, Lord, on Indian army, 163
- Endogamy, 18, 19, 79, 80, 111, 181, 132, 182
- Eta, untouchability of, 129, 130
- Fick, R. : on the nature of Buddhistic revolt, 67 ; on the endogamy of castes, 80
- Fishermen, caste, 89
- Food : Kachcha, Pakka, 7, 8, 9 ; restrictions of, 7, 8, 9
- Forbes, James, on Brahmins of Travancore, 15
- Fryer, John, on the anti-Brahmin attitude of Kammalans, 6
- Gadana, caste, 29
- Gadarwal, caste, 100
- Gait, E. A. : on multiplicity of castes, 19, 20 ; on prohibition of intermarriage, 21
- Gamalla, caste, 5
- Gandhi, Mahatma : on untouchability, 172, 173 ; on reinstatement of traditional four castes, 182, 183
- Ganiha, caste, 110
- Garasiah, caste, 100
- Gaudakaranika, caste, 98
- Gaoh, Gauh, Gavli, caste, 28, 32, 33, 37
- Gautama : Dharma Sutra, 50, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58, 60, 72, 74, 75, 77, 79 ; on the change of caste, 80
- Gautami, caste, 100
- Gens, 133
- Ghasi, caste, 96
- Ghelot, caste, 100
- Gola, caste, 109

- Golla, caste, 110
 Goldsmith, caste, non-Brahmin priests of, 14, 179
 Gond, tribe, 20, 83, 96, 114, 122
 Gondhali, caste, subcastes of, 83
 Gosai, caste, sectarian origin of, 29
 Gotra, 68
 Gour, Sir Hari Sing, 158 ; *see* Special Marriage Amendment Act
 Govallave (cow-herdsmen), caste, 97
 Grhya Sutras, on exclusion of Sudra from initiation, 56, 57
 Greeks, 125
 Gregory XV, Pope, Bull on caste-regulations, 164
 Griffin, Sir Lepel, on the usefulness of caste, 164
 Guguh Brahmins, 99
 Guha, Dr. B. S., on the pre-Dravidian type, 117
 Gujar, Gurjar, caste, 29, 33, 100, 103
 Gurav, caste, inclusion in the village-assembly of, 25
 Guria, caste, 109
 Gwaha, caste, 100
- Haddon, Dr. A. C, 114, 121
 Halwai, caste, 8
 Hamilton, Capt. Alexander, on divisions among Baniyas, 100
 Hammurapi, Code of, 125, 126
 Harsha, Harshavardhana, 90, 91
 Havig Brahmins, pursuit of military service by, 16
 Hawaiians, three class-divisions among, 129
 Hemadri, 39, 91, 92, 93
 Hindu temples, 159, 172
 Hinin, untouchability of, 129
 Holeya, caste, 6, 11, 110, 121
 Holland, Sir Thomas, 103, 114
 Hunas, invasion of India by, 92
 Hvergamy, 18, 92, 96, etc.
- Idiga, caste, 5
 Idaiyan, caste, 110
 Iivas (toddy tappers), caste, 98
 Impure castes, 10, 11, 14, 19
 Indian army, exclusion of higher castes from, 163
 Indian mutiny, 16 ; *see* Mutiny of 1857
 Indo-Aryan culture, 142, 143, 144, 145
 Indo-Aryans, 58, 112, 113, 114, 119, 120, 121, 143, 144, 188
 Indo-Aryan, type, 112, 113, 119, 128
 Initiation, exclusion of Sudra from, 55, 56, 57
 Iranians, 113
 Irula, caste, 110, 115, 116
- Irving, B. A., 17
 Izhava (toddy tapper), caste, 12
- Jackson, 22
 Jain inscriptions, mention of different castes in, 99
 Jainism : Vaisya followers of, 60 ; assertion of Kshatriyas social supremacy by, 64
 Jains, 153
 Jajah, episode of, 62
 Jalabyabaharya-Sudra, 8
 Jalacharamya-Sudra, 8
 Janaka, philosopher-king, 45
 Jat, caste, 28
 Jataka, stories, 65, 66, 67, 74, 76, 80
 Jati, 51, 68, 80
 Jat-pat-torak mandal, 161
 Jehangir, castes in time of, 100
 Jnaneshwar, 94
 Jogi, caste, 29, 35
 Joria, caste, 29
 Joyce, T. A., on differential Index, 103
- Kachhi, tribe, nasal index of, 109
 Kadamba, rulers of Banawasi, 90
 Kadir, tribe, 116
 Kafirs, 123
 Kahar, tribe, 29
 Kaibart, caste, 109
 Kah age, 81, 92, 93, 96
 Kalian, tribe, 30
 Kamalakara, Nibandha-writer, 91, 92, 93, 96
 Kambojas, corporation of warriors, occupations of, 75
 Kammalans, caste, opposition to Brahmins of, 6, 178 ; *see* Artisans of Madras
 Kamsala, caste, 5
 Kanaujiya, Brahmins, military services of, 16
 Kandu, castes, 96
 Kanets, caste, 114, 123
 Kanikar, tribe, 111, 115, 116
 Kanmalar, caste, 98
 Kapu, caste, 110
 Karan, caste, 109
 Karhada Brahmins, endogamy of, 18, 75
 Karna, discipleship of Parasurama, 64 ; low birth of, 81
 Karnatak Smart Brahmin, nasal index of, 110
 Kasar, caste, 29, 32
 Kashmiris, 114
 Kassites, migration from Elam, 122
 Kathaka Samhita : a rite for non-Brahmins, 44 ; Kshatriya's superiority over Brahmin, 44

- Katia, caste, 33
 Katkari, tribe, 87, 116
 Kauravas, 81
 Kaushitaki Brahmana, on Nishadas, 48
 Kausik, caste, 100
 Kautilya, 50, 52, 54, 57, 59, 68, 70, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78 ; *see* Arthasastra
 Kavasha, low birth of, 44
 Kayans, three classes of, 180
 Kayasth, caste, 8, 30, 32, 99, 106, 109
 Keane, A. H., on close correspondence of nose index to social status, 109
 Kentish society, three sub-divisions of, 183
 Kenyaks, three classes of, 130
 Kerr, James, on the usefulness of caste, 164
 Kevat, caste, 109, 110
 Kevattas, caste, 76
 Khand, caste, 100
 Khandait, caste, 109
 Kharwar, caste, 5
 Khasia, caste, 100
 Khatn, caste, 105, 114
 Khond, tribe, 29
 Koiri, caste, 109
 Kol, caste, 109, 114
 Kolhati, caste, 96
 Koli, caste, 5, 32, 83, 100
 Komti, caste, 5, 110
 Konkarnasth Brahmins : exemption from duties of, 15 ; devotion to secular pursuits, 16 ; endogamy of, 18, 175 ; territorial derivation of, 31 ; *see* Chitpavan Brahmins
 Kori, caste, 33
 Korwa, caste, 5
 Koch, Kochh, tribe, 29, 109
 Krishna, preaching of, 62
Kshatriyas, 18, 20, 39, 41, 42, 44, 45, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70, 71, 74, 75, 77, 78, 81, 82, 83, 86, 88, 90, 91, 92, 96, 144, 145, 146, 182, 183 ; competition with Brahmins, 63, 64 ; dependence on Brahmins, 42, 63 ; destruction of, 59, 63, 64 ; alleged extinction of, 92 ; marriage among, 77-80 ; occupations of, 74, 75, 96 ; and priestcraft, 44, 62, 63 ; revolt against Brahmins, 64, 65
 Kulwadi, caste, 19
 Kumbhar, Kumbhar, caste, 26, 37, 88 ; *see* Potter
 Kunbi, caste, 26, 29, 32, 33, 34, 35, 106
 Kurmi, caste, 28, 100, 106, 109, 119
 Kurumba, caste, 110
 Kurumo, caste, 118
 Laet, a class in Anglo-Saxon England, 133
 Laghusankha : Smrti, on distinction between Sudra and other castes, 85 ; on pollution of water, 89
 Laghuvishnu, Smrti, on classification of Sudras, 85
 Latthe, A. B. Rao Bahadur, on communal electorates, 167
 Leather-workers, caste, 89
 Likhita, Smrti, on distinction between Sudra and other castes, 85
 Linga-Banajiga, caste, 110
 Lingayat, caste, 12
 Lonari, caste, subdivisions of, 34
 Lodha, caste, 28, 100, 109
 Lohana, caste, ethnic origin of, 29
 Lohar : caste, 25, 26, 82, 109, 160, inclusion in village assembly of, 25
 Lunia, caste, occupational origin of, 29
 MacIver, R. M., on the distinction between caste and class, 2
 Madhava, commentator on Parasara-Smrti, 39, 91, 93, 96, 97
 Madiga, caste, 5, 11, 110, 121
 Maga Brahmins, 99
 Mahabharata, 52, 53, 56, 57, 60, 61, 62, 64, 78, 81
 Mahapataka (serious sins), 54
 Mahar, caste, 2, 11, 12, 17, 24, 25, 26, 29, 32, 33, 35, 38, 106, 154 ; to determine date of the wedding, 26
 Maharaja of Kolhapur, advocacy of non-Brahmin cause by, 155, 166
 Mahavlra, preachings of, 64
 Mahipati, author of *History of Saints*, 94
 Mala, caste, 5, 110, 121
 Malaiyali, caste, 110
 Malasar, caste, 110
 Mali, caste, 32, 34, 165
 Manbhaos, caste : sectarian origin of, 29 ; revolt against caste, 95
 Mandlik, V. N., on internal economy of Sudra, 50
 Mandya, caste, 110
 Mang, caste, 11, 24, 25, 35
 Manu, Smrti, 39, 50, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 89, 90
 Maoris, social orders among, 130
 Maratha, 2, 13, 29, 106, 156
 Maratha rule, 11, 12

- Martin, R. M., on the gradations of caste, 6
- Marutta Avikshita, 47
- Mastan Brahmins, 109
- Matrilinal descent, 118
- Matthai, Dr. John, on the village panchayat, 24
- Maudgala, Brahmins, mention in an inscription of, 99
- Mediterranean race, 114
- Megasthenes, 2, 101, 118
- Mehtar, caste, 100
- Metcalf, Sir Charles, on solidarity of Indian village, 23, 24
- Meo, caste, 100, 118
- Middleton, on Government's responsibility for caste propagation, 160
- Mina, caste, 29, 100, 113
- Missionaries, Christian, 172 ; Mohammedans, 172
- Mochi, Muchi, caste, 32, 96, 99, 109
- Modha, caste, mention in Jain inscriptions of, 99
- Moger, caste, three sub-divisions of, 37
- Mohammedans, 131
- Mongolo-Dravidian type, 112, 120, 121
- Mongoloid, people, 120
- Mongoloid type, 112, 114, 120, 121, 123
- Moreland, on castes in Akbar's time, 100
- Mrcchakatika, 90
- Muller, Professor Max, on the initiation of Sudra's 56, 57
- Munda culture, 117, 118
- Munda languages, 117
- Munda people, 29, 117, 118, 120
- Munda type, 115, 117, 119, 121, 123
- Musahar, tribe, 5, 8, 30, 105, 109, 111, 116, 118
- Musalman, 173
- Mutiny of 1857, 163, 164 ; *see* Indian Mutiny
- Myras, caste, 8
- Nabasakh, caste, 8
- Nagar Brahmins, 99, 106, 121
- Nai, Nhavi, caste, 5, 32, 33, 35, 120 ; *see* Barber
- Naikda, caste, nickname origin of, 30
- Namadev, Maratha saint, 94
- " Namas " (obeisance), formula, 85
- Nambudiri Brahmins, 9, 12, 18, 111, 118, 119
- Narada, Smrti: on privileges of Brahmins, 83 ; on succession to property, 87 ; on pure and impure works, 90
- Nayaka kings, 96
- Nayakars, rsaickers, caste, 17
- Nayar, caste, 9, 18, 29, 111, 115, 119
- Nasal index, 103, 104, 107, 108, etc.
- Negritos, 112
- Nemamavanika, caste, 98
- Nesfield, J. C, 101, 157
- Nibandha writers, 97
- Nilakantha, on the caste and Varna of litigants, 87
- Nishada : partiality of Aryans for, 47 48 ; degradation of, 74
- Non-Brahmins : two divisions of, in Madras, 13, 14, 98 ; legal dispute between Brahmins and, 43 ; support to Buddha, 67 ; saints, 94, 95 ; scholarships for, 155 ; special representation in administration, 155, 156, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170 ; representation in services, 156, 168, 170, 171 ; anti-Brahmin feeling of, 168, 170
- Nordics, 122
- Oil-pressers, caste, 88
- Oraon, caste : ethnic origin of, 29 ; prevalence of marriage of grandfather with grand-daughter, 117
- Origins of caste, 148
- Oswala, caste, 99
- Out-castes, 49, 50, 72, 73, 87, 88, 89, 95 ; in Japan, 129, 130
- Paes Domingos, on Brahmin traders and cultivators, 100
- Paijavana, munificence of, 56
- Pakayajftas, 56
- Pakkah Rajputs, caste council of, 151
- Pallans, caste, 10
- Pali texts, 76, 143
- Pali, caste, 10, 110
- Pan, caste, 109
- Panchagavya, 86, 93
- Panchajanah, 48
- Panchala Brahmins, 110
- Panchamas, 10 ; *see* untouchables
- Panchavimsa Brahmana : on four orders of society, 40 ; on extreme sanctity of Brahmin, 42 ; on capital punishment for Brahmin, 43 ; on alleged low birth of Vatsa, 44 ; on main duty of Sudra, 46
- Panchayat (governing body of a caste), 3, 4, 177, 178, 180 ; offences tried by, 3, 4 ; punishments awarded by, 4 ; *see* Standing councils of caste
- Panda Brahmins, 109

- Pandavas, 81
 Pandharpesha, 19
 Panini's grammar, 13
 Paniyan, caste, 115
 Panka, tribe, 80, 37
 Paraiyan, caste, 10, 11, 27
 ParaSara, Smrti, 39, 91, 92
 Paraśava brothers, 91
 Paradurama, destruction of Kshatnyas, by, 59, 63, 64, 91, 92
 Pardhans, caste, 34, 38
 Pardhi, caste, 39
 Pariah, caste, 30
 Parsis, 156
 Parvati temple, 159
 Paschatya Vaidika Brahmin, cephalic index of, 107 ; nasal index of, 107
 Pasi, tribe, 29, 106, 107, 109, 116
 Patel, V. J., efforts to liberalize marriage law, 153
 Pattanulkaran, caste, 29
 Pattasahns, caste, 98
 Patva, caste, 29
 Paulkasa, origin of, 47, 48
 Peake, H. J. E., on appearance of Nordics in Asia Minor, 122
 Peel, Lord, 163
 Persians, 173
 Peshwas, diaries of, 12, 25 ; rule of, 11, 12, 13, 14
 Petrie, Sir W. M. Flinders, on social situation in Egypt, 124
 Phooley, Jyotirao, revolt against caste, 165, 166, 171
 Pod, caste, 109, 120
 Pollution by touch, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 73, 89, 95, 106, 174, etc.
 Polyandry, 21
 Potter, caste, 12, 25, 26, 32, 36, 38, 47, 76
 Prabhu, Kayasth Prabhu : prohibition of drinks, 5 ; protest against certain caste-restrictions, 178 ; right of Vedic rituals, 13, 14
 Prarthana Samaj, contrast with Arya Samaj, 165
 Pratiloma castes, 50
 Pravahana Jaibali, philosopher-king, 115
 Pre-Dravidian type, 115, 116, 117, 119, 122, 123
 Ptolemies, 125
 Pulayan, caste, 9
 Pulkasa, 61
 Puranas, 85
 Puranic ritual, 13, 85, 95, 187
 Purusha-sukta hymn, four orders of society in, 40
 Pyramid age, 124
 Radhiya Brahmins, mention in an Orissa inscription of, 99
 Raghubansi, sub-division of Rajputs, 100
 Raja of Satara, diaries of, 25
 Rajasekhara, Brahmin, marriage with a chahaman lady, 91
 Rajbansi Kochh, 109
 Rajbansi Maghs, cephalic index of, 120
 Rajput, caste, 3, 31, 100, 109, 113, 114, 123, 163, 164
 Rama, 55
 Ramadas, 14
 Rama Mohan Roy, movement to liberalize religion and caste, 164
 Ramananda, establishment of new sect by, 93
 Ramanuja, 93, 95 ; efforts for betterment of untouchables, 95
 Ramayana, 55, 80
 Ramoshi, caste, 30
 Ranade, M. G. : sympathy with Phooley, 166 ; expiatory rites by, 174
 Rathakara, high status of, 47 ; degradation of, 74
 Reddys, caste, 17
 Reservation of posts in services, 170, 175 ; *see* Non-Brahmins
 Reserved representation, 169 ; *see* Non Brahmins and Depressed Classes
 Richards, F. J., on subcaste as unit of Hindu society, 21
 Rigveda, 40, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 62, 81
 Risley, Sir Herbert, 101, 102, 103, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 119, 121, 157
 Roman Church, accommodation of caste in, 164
 Roy, S. C. Rai Bahadur, on Oraons, 117
 Russell, R. V., on traditional occupations of castes, 17 ; on subcaste as basis of Indian Society, 21
 Sad-gop, caste, 109
 Sadh, caste, 29
 Saints of Maharashtra, 93, 94, 95
 Saivism, 94
 Sakais, 117
 Sale, caste, 96, 121
 Sahyans, caste, 98
 Samhitas, 39
 Samoans, sin classes of, 130
 Samurai, privileges of, 129, 130
 Samvarta, Smrti, on pollution of water, 89
 Sanadhya Brahmins, 16
 Santal, tribe, 29, 115, 118

- Santanu, marriage with fisherman's daughter, 80
- Sarasvat Brahmins: divisions of, 19 ; territorial derivation of, 31
- Sasanian period, 127
- Satapatha Brahmana, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46
- Sat-Sudra, 8, 83, 85, 92
- Satyakama, Jabala, 43
- Satyashodhaka Samaj, the aims of, 165
- Satyavati, low family of, 80, 81
- Saurashtras, corporation of warriors, occupations of, 75
- Savaras, caste, Mongoloid origin of, 120
- Schoff, Dr., 122
- Scytho-Dravidian type, 112, 119
- Segregation of castes, 10, 11
- Seligman, Professor C. J., 148
- Semangs, 117
- Shah Namah, 127
- Shanan, caste, 110
- Shanar, caste, 9, 12
- Shashan Brahmins, 109
- Shenvi Brahmins : prohibition of drinks, 5 ; physical affinities, 106, 120 ; nasal index of, 121
- Sherring, M. A., 21, 157
- Shimpi, caste, 34
- Shinde, V. R., efforts in the interests of depressed classes, 172
- Siva-Brahmins, 98
- Sivaskandavarman, king of Kanchi, 97
- Smith, G. Elliott, 119, 122
- Santaka, 58
- Solaga, caste, 110
- Soma, 53
- Sonar, Soni, 2, 29, 160 ; *see* Goldsmith
- Son Koli, caste, 106
- Spaniards, 130
- Special Marriage Act of, 1872, 152
- Special Representation, 173
- Sraddha-dinner, 74, 90, 94
- Standing caste councils : offences tried by, 3 ; punishments awarded by, 4, 20 ; loss of importance, 150, 151 ; assumption of new duties by, 177, 178, 179
- Steele, Arthur, 4, 5, 150
- Sub-castes, 21, 31, 32, 33, 34, 38, etc.
- Sudas, 62
- Sudra, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 17, 19, 24, 25, 40, 41, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 152, 165, 182, 183 ; subcastes in Bengal, 8 ; disqualification for sacrifice and sacraments, 41, 55, 56 ; duties and occupations, 46, 74, 75, 76, 89, 90, 92, 93, 96 ; origin, 40 ; illicit connection between Arya male and Sudra female, 46, 49 ; debarred from initiation, 51 ; degradation of, 55, 56, 57, 58, 83, 84, 93 ; adultery of Sudra male with Arya female, 58 ; Sat, 83, 85, 92 ; Puranic rites, 84, 85, 95 ; and Panchagavya, 86, 93 ; saints, 93, 94, 95
- Sukraniti : on suitability of " namas " formula for Sudras, 85 ; on issue of mixed marriage, 86
- Sumerians, 125
- Sumitra, 59
- Suta, caste, 50
- Sutar, caste, 2, 25, 29, 32
- Sutrardhar, caste, 98
- Sutras, 72
- Sutta Nipata, story of Bavari in, 143
- Syavasva, 44
- Tachchan, caste, occupational origin of, 29
- Tagore, Devendranath, movements to liberalize religion and caste, 164
- Tahitiyan society, divisions of, 130
- Taittiriya Samhita : origin of four classes in, 40 ; greatest ambition of Vaisya in, 45
- Tambat, caste, occupational origin of, 29
- Tambuhka, caste, 98
- Tamil Brahmins, 110
- Tanti caste, occupational origin of, 29
- Tarkhan, occupational origin of, 29
- Tawney, R. H., on class status and inequality, 62
- Teli-Baniyas, caste, 34
- Teli-kalars, caste, 34
- Telis (oil-pressers), caste, 26, 36, 109
- Thakkar, A. V., services in the cause of untouchables, 172
- Tharu, tribe, 109
- Thathera, caste, occupational origin of, 29
- Theodosian code, compulsion to follow hereditary occupation, 132
- Thurston, E., 103
- Tiyan, caste, 9, 13, 14, 30, 99, 111, 119
- Toda, tribe, 119, 123

- Togata, caste, 110
 Toreya, caste, 110
 Tukaram, Maratha saint, 94
 Turko-Iranian type, 112
 Twice-born castes, 7, 50, 57, 86
- Untouchables, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 95, 142, 153, 156, 161, 166, 172, 173, 174, 183, 186; *see* Depressed classes
- Untouchability, 10, 11, 49, 95, 172, 183, etc.; *see* Pollution by touch
- Upanishads, theorizing of, 66
- Usanas, Smṛti: on classification of Sudras, 85; on movements of Chandala in a city, 89
- Vaidya, caste, 32
- Vaina, mixed caste, 61
- Vaishnavism: devotional sect, inferiority of Sadra in, 93; spiritual betterment of Sudra in, 94
- Vaisya, 39, 40, 41, 45, 49, 50, 51, 59, 60, 61, 69, 70, 71, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 86, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 96, 144, 145, 146, 182; debarred from kingship, 46; approximation to Śūdras, 59, 60, 86, 92; duties and occupations of, 74, 75, 92, 96; marriage among, 77, 80
- Vakkaliga, caste, 28, 110, 121
- Vallave (herdsmen) caste, mention in Prakrit inscription of, 97
- Vani, caste, 2, 28, 32
- Vanika, caste, 98
- Varna-dharma, 49, 50
- Varnas, 41, 49, 51, 53, 54, 59, 61, 68, 69, 71, 82, 83, 90, 96, 97
- Varna-sahkara (mixture of castes), prevention of, 50
- Vasishtha, sage, rivalry with Visvamitra, 44, 62, 63
- Vasishtha, Dharma Sutra, 46, 51, 52, 53, 55, 59, 70, 72, 77, 78
- Vatsa, low origin of, 44
- Vedavyasa, Smṛti, on outcasts, 89
- Vedic ritual, great sanctity of, 13
- Vellal, caste, 17, 21, 26, 28, 96, 110, 119
- Vellalan, caste, 98
- Venkayya, on names of individuals in South Indian inscription, 98
- Vidur, caste, 31
- Village-councils, inclusion of all castes in, 24
- Vishnu, Smṛti, 39, 83, 86, 87, 88
- Vishvakarmans, caste, 167
- Visvamitra, rivalry with Vasishtha, 44, 62, 63
- Vrddhahanta, Smṛti, on pollution by contact, 98
- Vyadha, episode of, 62
- Washermen, caste, 10, 26, 88, 89, 172; importance of, at Kunbi-wedding, 26
- Western type, 115, 119, 120, 123
- White Huns, 113
- Widow remarriage, 150, 151
- Widow-remarriage Act, 150
- Woolley, C. L., on Sumenan class-system, 126
- Yajnavalkya, Smṛti, 85, 86, 87, 89, 97
- Yajurveda, 43, 47
- Yaska, 44
- Zarathushtra, 127

Fifty volumes are now available

THE HISTORY OF CIVILIZATION

A COMPLETE HISTORY OF MANKIND FROM
PREHISTORIC TIMES TO THE PRESENT DAY
IN NUMEROUS VOLUMES DESIGNED
TO FORM A COMPLETE
LIBRARY OF SOCIAL
EVOLUTION

Edited by

C. K. OGDEN

of Magdalene College, Cambridge



Published by

KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRUBNER & CO., Ltd.

BROADWAY HOUSE: 6874, CARTER LANE, LONDON

1930

THE HISTORY OF CIVILIZATION

THIS series marks one of the most ambitious adventures in the annals of book publishing. Its aim is to present in accessible form the results of modern research throughout the whole range of the Social Sciences—to summarize in one comprehensive synthesis the most recent findings of historians, anthropologists, archaeologists, sociologists, and all conscientious students of civilization.

To achieve success in this stupendous undertaking, the new French series, *L'Evolution de l'Humanite*, in which the leading savants of France are collaborating with the Director of the Bibliotheque de Synthese Historique, M. Henri Berr, is being incorporated. Distinguished historians, both European and American, are contributing volumes in their several departments

The field has been carefully mapped out, as regards both subjects and periods; and, though the instalments will be published as they are ready, the necessary chronological sequence will be secured by the fact that the volumes of the French collection will be used as a nucleus. Each work will be entirely independent and complete in itself, but the volumes in a given group will be found to supplement one another when considered in relation to a particular subject or period.

The volumes are uniformly bound in a fine art-cambric cloth, with specially designed gold lettering and emblem, royal octavo in size.

THE TIMES LITERARY SUPPLEMENT devoted a leading article to the first four volumes, in which the series was described as being " composed by all the talents ".

THE MANCHESTER GUARDIAN wrote that " it is an heroic attempt, which will be sympathetically watched, to bring some light into the vast mass of ill-organized knowledge which we owe to modern research and so make it available in the end for the guidance of the world."

NATURE, the leading scientific journal, in a six-column review, provides a striking summary of the aims and objects of the series: " The History of Civilization promises to be perhaps the most important contribution so far undertaken towards the task of organization and systematization of the social studies. A glance at the prospectus makes us anticipate a library of masterpieces, for the best workers of France, Great Britain, and some other countries are contributing from their own speciality and are attempting to bring it into line with the contributions from neighbouring fields and with the results of general

sociology. Including all the volumes of the important French collection, *L'Evolution de l'Humanite*, the English library contains additions and improvements which will place it above its continental counterpart. The volumes already issued bear out our best hopes."

*The following plan, comprising just under one hundred titles, though not definitive, will serve to convey a general notion of the nature and scope of the enterprise :**

A. PRE-HISTORY AND ANTIQUITY

I INTRODUCTION AND PRE-HISTORY

- Social Organization W. H. R. Rivers
- The Earth Before History Edmond Perrier
- Prehistoric Man Jacques de Morgan
- *Life and Work in Prehistoric Times G. Renard
- The Dawn of European Civilization V Gordon Childe
- Language: a Linguistic Introduction to History J. Vendryes
- A Geographical Introduction to History L. Fehvre
- Race and History E. Pittard
- The Aryans V. Gordon Childe
- From Tribe to Empire A. Moret and G. Davy
- Money and Monetary Policy in Early Times A. R. Burns
- Woman's Place in Simple Societies J. L. Myres
- *Cycles in History J. L. Myres
- *The Diffusion of Culture G. Elliot Smith

II THE EARLY EMPIRES

- The Nile and Egyptian Civilization A. Moret
- The Mesopotamian Civilization L. Delaporte
- The Ægean Civilization G. Glotz

III GREECE

- The Formation of the Greek People A. Jarde
- *Ancient Greece at Work G. Glotz
- The Religious Thought of Greece G. Sourdille
- Art in Greece W, Deonna and A, de Ridder
- Greek Thought and the Scientific Spirit L. Robtn
- The Greek City and its Institutions G Glotz
- Macedonian Imperialism P. Jouguet

IV ROME

- Primitive Italy and Roman Imperialism Lion Homo
- The Roman Spirit in Religion, Thought, and Art A. Grenier
- Roman Political Institutions Leon Homo
- Rome the Law-Giver J Declareutl
- Economic Life of the Ancient World J. Toutain

* AN asterisk denotes that the volume does *not* form part of the French collection *L'Evolution et l'Humanité*.

The Roman World	<i>Victor Chapot</i>
*Ancient Rome at Work	<i>Paul Louis</i>
The Celts	<i>H. Hubert</i>
V BEYOND THE ROMAN EMPIRE	
Germany and the Roman Empire	<i>H. Hubert</i>
Ancient Persia and Iranian Civilization	<i>Clement Huart</i>
The Civilization of China	<i>M. Granet</i>
The Religion of China	<i>M. Granet</i>
*Feudal Japan	<i>G. F. Hudson</i>
*A Thousand Years of the Tartars	<i>E. H. Parker</i>
*Nomads of the European Steppe	<i>G. F. Hudson</i>
India	<i>(Ed.) S. Levi</i>
*The Heroic Age of India	<i>N. K. Sidbanta</i>
*Caste and Race in India	<i>G. S. Ghurye</i>
*The Life of Buddha as Legend and History	<i>E. H. Thomas</i>
*The History of Buddhism	<i>E. H. Thomas</i>
\. CHRISTIANITY AND THE MIDDLE AGES	
I THE ORIGINS OF CHRISTIANITY	
Israel and Judaism	<i>A. Lods</i>
Jesus and the Birth of Christianity	<i>C. Guignebert</i>
The Formation of the Church	<i>C. Guignebert</i>
The Advance of Christianity	<i>C. Guignebert</i>
*History and Literature of Christianity	<i>P. de Labriolle</i>
II THE BREAK-UP OF THE EMPIRE	
The End of the Ancient World	<i>F. Lot</i>
The Eastern Empire	<i>C. Diehl</i>
Charlemagne	<i>L. Halphen</i>
The Collapse of the Carolingian Empire	<i>F. Lot</i>
The Origins of the Slavs	<i>(Ed.) P. Boyer</i>
•Popular Life in the East Roman Empire	<i>Norman Baynes</i>
•The Northern Invaders	<i>B. S. Phillpotts</i>
III RELIGIOUS IMPERIALISM	
Islam and Mahomet	<i>E. Doutte</i>
The Advance of Islam	<i>L. Barrau-Dihigo</i>
Christendom and the Crusades	<i>P. Alphanbery</i>
The Organization of the Church	<i>R. Genestal</i>
IV THE ART OF THE MIDDLE AGES	
The Art of the Middle Ages	<i>P. Lorquet</i>
*The Papacy and the Arts	<i>E. Strong</i>
V RECONSTITUTION OF MONARCHIC POWER	
The Foundation of Modern Monarchies	<i>C. Petit-Dutaillis</i>
The Growth of Public Administration	<i>E. Meynial</i>
The Organization of Law	<i>E. Meynial</i>

- VI SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC EVOLUTION
- The Development of Rural and Town Life G. Bourgin
 Maritime Trade and the Merchant Gilds P. Boissonnade
 *The Court of Burgundy Otto Cartellieri
 *Life and Work in Medieval Europe P. Boissonnade
 *The Life of Women in Medieval Times Eileen Power
 *Travel and Travellers of the Middle Ages (Ed.) A. P. Newton
 *Chivalry and its Historical Significance (Ed.) Edgar Prestage
- VII INTELLECTUAL EVOLUTION
- Education in the Middle Ages G. Huisman
 Philosophy in the Middle Ages E. Brchier
 Science in the Middle Ages Abel Rey and P. Boutroux
- VIII FROM THE MIDDLE AGES TO MODERN TIMES
- Nations of Western and Central Europe P. Lorquet
 Russians, Byzantines, and Mongols (Ed.) P. Boyer
 The Birth of the Book G. Renaudet
 •The Grandeur and Decline of Spain C. Hughes Hartmann
 •The Influence of Scandinavia on England M. E. Seaton
 •The Philosophy of Capitalism T. E. Gregory
 •The Prelude to the Machine Age Mrs. Bertrand Russell
 •Life and Work in Modern Europe G. Renard and G. Weulersse
 *London Life in the Eighteenth Century M. Dorothy George
 *China and Europe in the Eighteenth Century A. Reichwein

A special group of volumes will be devoted to

(1) SUBJECT HISTORIES

- *The History of Medicine C. G. Cumston
 *The History of Witchcraft Montague Summers
 *The Geography of Witchcraft Montague Summers
 *The History of Money T. E. Gregory
 *The History of Taste J. Isaac
 *The History of Oriental Literature E. Powys Mathers
 *The History of Music Cecil Gray

(2) HISTORICAL ETHNOLOGY

- *The Ethnology of Africa L. H. Dudley Buxton
 *The Peoples of Asia L. H. Dudley Buxton
 *The Threshold of the Pacific C. E. Fox
 *The South American Indians Rafael Karsten
 *The American Indian Frontier J. G. Macleod
 *The Ethnology of India T. C. Hodson

In the Sections devoted to MODERN HISTORY the majority of titles will be announced later.

VOLUMES PUBLISHED

The following volumes have already been issued. They are arranged roughly in the order in which they were published. But their place in the scheme of the whole series may be discovered from the list above :

THE EARTH BEFORE HISTORY: *Man's Origin and the Origin of Life*

By EDMOND PERRIER, *late Hon. Director of the Natural History Museum of France.*

With 4 maps, 15s. net

" It goes back to the birth of the world and the transformations of land and water, and takes us through the growth of life on the planet, the primitive animal forms, the peopling of the seas, and the forms of life in the primary, secondary, and tertiary periods, to the growth of the human form. Thus, starting from the origin of matter, it leads us in easy stages to *homo sapiens* himself."

Daily News.

" A remarkable volume."—*Yorkshire Post.*

PREHISTORIC MAN: *A General Outline of Prehistory*

By JACQUES DE MORGAN, *late Director of Antiquities in Egypt.*

With 190 illustrations and maps, 12s. 6d. net.

" A notable and eminently readable study in the early history of civilization, and one well worth its place in the great series now being issued by the publishers. It bears on every page the impress of the personality of its author, who strives to give the reader a clear, composite picture of early civilization taking one topic after another."—*Nation.*

" A masterly summary of our present knowledge at a low price. As a full survey the book has no rival, and its value is enhanced by the lavish illustrations."

New Leader

SOCIAL ORGANIZATION

By W. H. R. RIVERS, LL.D., F.R.S. *Preface by PROFESSOR G. ELLIOT SMITH*

Second edition, 10s. 6d. net.

" *Social Organization* is the first volume of the series of historical works on the whole range of human activity. May the present book be of good augury for the rest! To maintain so high a standard of originality and thoroughness will be no easy task."—JANE HARRISON, in *Nation.*

The book is a great contribution to the sum of human knowledge in the region of pure sociology."—*Daily News.*

THE THRESHOLD OF THE PACIFIC: *an Account of the Social Organization, Magic, and Religion of the People of San Cristoval in the Solomon Islands*

By C. E. FOX, LITT.D. Preface by PROFESSOR G. ELLIOT SMITH

With 14 plates and 40 text illustrations, 18s. net.

" A masterpiece. One of the very best contributions to ethnology we possess. It has, besides its intrinsic value as a masterly record of savage life, also an indirect one ; it is a remarkable testimony to the indispensable need of scientific method for the observer. His account of magical ritual and spells will become a classical source for students. The account of the life-history of the individual is depicted with a clearness and fulness unrivalled in ethnographic literature . . . " *Times Literary Supplement*.

LANGUAGE : *a Linguistic Introduction to History*

By J. VENDRYES, Professor in the University of Paris

16s. net.

" A book remarkable for its erudition and equally remarkable for originality and independence of thought "—*Sunday Times*.

" As an introduction to philology this volume is a splendid piece of *haute vulgarisation* for which anyone who at all loves words or who is at all curious about language, must be grateful. It covers nearly all the ground from every useful angle. A wide, level-headed and erudite study."—*Nation*.

A GEOGRAPHICAL INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY

By LUCIEN FEBVRE, Professor in the University of Strasburg

With 7 maps, 16s. net

" A masterpiece of criticism, as witty as it is well-informed, and teeming with nice observations and delicate turns of argument and phrase."

Times Literary Supplement.

" A broad, clear-headed introduction to the fascinating study of human geography. It is much more than a text-book for the student: it is a work that anyone with no knowledge of geography can read with avidity, for it is the greatest of pleasures to watch the clear logical thought of the writer rapidly treating with masterly power these great and important topics."—*Nation*.

THE HISTORY AND LITERATURE OF
CHRISTIANITY: *from Tertullian to Boethius*

By PIERRE DE LABRIOLLE, Professor of Literature at the University of Poitiers. Foreword by CARDINAL GASQUET.

25s. net

" A masterly volume A scholar of the finest accomplishment, an enthusiast for his subject, and himself an artist in letters, he has produced a book comprehensive and authoritative, and also a joy to read from the first page to the last."

Universe

* This interesting and valuable book."—W. L. COURTNEY, in *Daily Telegraph*.

LONDON LIFE IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

By M. DOROTHY GEORGE.

Second impression. With 8 plates, 21s. net.

"Mrs. George, by her cumulative method, imparts a shuddering impression of the brutalised life led by the masses under the first two Georges. Her work is full of eloquent detail. All who like to get at close quarters with history will feel immensely debtors to her industrious research and faculty of clear statement. And she will have the satisfaction of restoring faith to many minds in the reality of progress."—*Observer*.

"One of the best pieces of research in social and economic history which have appeared for many years."—*Nation*.

A THOUSAND YEARS OF THE TARTARS

By E. H. PARKER, *Professor of Chinese in the Victoria University of Manchester*.

With 5 illustrations and maps, 12s. 6d. net

"Professor Parker takes us back to a period roughly contemporaneous with that of the foundation of the Roman empire, and shows their history to be, like that of the Northern barbarians and Rome, a constant struggle with China. With an unfamiliar subject the book is not an easy one to read, but the author has done all that was possible to enliven his subject and has certainly succeeded in giving us a most valuable text-book."—*Saturday Review*.

CHINA AND EUROPE: *their Intellectual and Artistic Relations in the Eighteenth Century*

By ADOLPH REICHWEIN.

With 24 plates, 12s. 6d. net.

"Among the volumes of the monumental *History of Civilization*, this study of the influence of Chinese art and thought on the European art and thought of the eighteenth century will find not the least popular and distinguished place. The chapter headed 'Rococo' will be of especial interest to connoisseurs. . . . The illustrations are numerous and beautiful."—*Sunday Times*.

"A fascinating subject. The references to literature are admirably full and complete."—*Times Literary Supplement*.

THE DAWN OF EUROPEAN CIVILIZATION

By V. GORDON CHILDE, B LITT.

Second Impression. With 198 illustrations and 4 maps, 16s net.

"Higher praise of Mr. Childe's book, which forms a volume of the monumental *History of Civilization* could scarcely be given than to say that it is in all respects worthy of the volumes which preceded it."—*Sunday Times*.

"He has done a very great service to learning, and given a clear and reliable outline of the earliest civilization of Europe. His book 'fills a gap' indeed."—*Nation*.

"A very fine piece of work."—*Manchester Guardian*.

MESOPOTAMIA: *the Babylonian and Assyrian Civilization*

By L. DELAPORTE, *Professor in the Catholic Institute of Paris.*

With 60 illustrations and maps, 16s. net.

" This book is for the most part very good. The author has handled his difficult material cleverly. Where he succeeds is in his admirably written description of the social life, of which he makes a fascinating story. Here is presented an entertaining picture of the inhabitants in 2000 B.C. Then from the earlier Babylonians he passes to the Assyrians, dealing with them in a similar excellent way. This is one of the best books of its kind which we have seen for some time."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

THE AEGEAN CIVILIZATION

By G. GLOTZ, *Professor of Greek History in the University of Paris*

With 4 plates, 87 text illustrations, and 3 maps, 16s. net.

" This is a marvellous summary, divided into four books, describing in detail the material, social, religious, artistic and intellectual life of the people. Every one of these sections is full of interesting and new knowledge. A wonderful book, thoroughly scholarly and attractive in presentation."—*Birmingham Post.*

" Reads like a romance . . . presents a very vivid picture of this marvellous civilization."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

THE PEOPLES OF ASIA

By L. H. DUDLEY BUXTON, M.A., F.S.A., *Lecturer in Physical Anthropology in the University of Oxford*

With 8 plates, 12s. 6d. net.

" Although the physical characters of the principal racial strains are described in some detail, the author keeps before his readers the bearing of these data upon the broader problems of racial distribution, as well as the intensely interesting question of the interaction of race, environment, and modification by contact due to migration. The exposition of anthropological method given in an introductory chapter is admirably lucid."—*Manchester Guardian.*

RACE AND HISTORY: *an Ethnological Introduction to History*

By E. PITTARD, *Professor of Anthropology in the University of Geneva.*

Second Impression. With 9 illustrations and maps, 21s. net.

A companion to Febvre's *Geographical Introduction to History*, which estimated the value of " environment " as a factor in history, while the present volume considers the " racial " factor. " No one is better qualified to compose a thoroughly level-headed treatise on the subject of race. For the peoples who occupy a conspicuous place in history, and especially the peoples of Europe, no better guide could be found."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

LIFE AND WORK IN MEDIEVAL EUROPE, *from the Fifth to the Fifteenth Century*

By P. BOISSONNADE, *Professor in the University of Poitiers.*

Translated with an Introduction by EILEEN POWER, D.Lit.

With 8 plates, 16s. net.

"His work is so interesting that it is to be hoped he will follow Sir James Frazer's admirable example and take each chapter in turn for the purpose of converting its highly concentrated essence of history into a more ample dish for scholars. His subject is attractive and his pages are eminently readable by laymen."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

"There is no book in English which gives so clear and comprehensive a view of the labour question all through the Middle Ages. Readers will find no single volume so useful and so readable as this."—G. G. COULTON, in *Observer.*

LIFE AND WORK IN MODERN EUROPE, *from the Fifteenth to the Eighteenth Century*

By G. RENARD, *Professor at the College of France, and G WEULERSSE, Professor at the Lycée Carnot. Introduction by EILEEN POWER, D. Lit., Reader in Economic History in the University of London.*

With 8 plates, 16s. net.

"This can certainly be pronounced a most useful book. There is nothing that covers anything like the same ground, indeed, there is actually no book in English which even pretends to give an outline of European economic history as a whole. It is interestingly written, and is a storehouse of valuable information."—*New Statesman*

TRAVEL AND TRAVELLERS OF THE MIDDLE AGES

Edited by A. P. NEWTON, Rhodes Professor of Imperial History in the University of London.

With 8 plates and maps, 12s. 6d. net.

"This work is no mere collection of stray essays, but in some respects the most important contribution to the history of medieval travel since Professor Beazley's *Dawn of Modern Geography* and the new edition of Yule's *Cathay*. . . . We have said enough to indicate that this work is one which should appeal both to the general reader and to the scholar. The illustrations are good."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

CHIVALRY: *Its Historical Significance and Civilizing Influence*

Edited by EDGAR PRESTAGE, Camoens Professor in the University of London.

With 24 full-page plates, 15s. net.

"This is an excellent book, at once learned and entertaining, a valuable addition to our painfully limited library of medieval studies. The book is worth having, and there is an abundance of beautiful illustrations."—*Daily News.*

"An equally interesting and beautiful volume, a piece of work which appeals alike to the general reader and to the specialist in history."—*Journal of Education.*

ANCIENT GREECE AT WORK : *an Economic History of Greece from the Homeric Period to the Roman Conquest*
By G. GLOTZ, *Professor of Greek History in the University of Paris*
With 49 illustrations, 16s. net.

"This is a learned but thoroughly interesting description of farming, industry, and business in general in ancient Greece, and should interest the student of economics as well as the classical scholar, since it shows practices developing from their simplest form. Besides giving hard economic facts the author makes interesting remarks on the Greek attitude to slaves, to foreigners, and to labour. This is a very readable and unusual book."—*Spectator*.

"A really fascinating economic history of the Greek people."—*New Leader*.

THE FORMATION OF THE GREEK PEOPLE

By A. JARDE, *Professor of History at the Lycee Lakanal*.
With 7 maps, 16s. net.

"One reader at least will tell the world he has enjoyed the book, has profited by it, and is not yet done with it; he means to use it again, and meanwhile ventures to tell others interested that this is a book for them." *Nation*.

"He has given his readers an analysis of the course of events in the various City states in their external relations *inter se* and with other peoples, of their political, social, and intellectual development, of Hellenic expansion and of Hellenic unity, which is little short of brilliant."—*Nature*.

THE ARYANS : *a Study of Indo-European Origins*

By V. GORDON CHILDE, *B.Litt.*

With 8 plates, 28 text illustrations, and a map, 10s. 6d. net.

"Mr. Childe has followed up his interesting book, *The Dawn of European Civilization*, with another archaeological study not less scholarly and sound. By a joint use of philological deduction and archibological induction, he contrives a thoroughly scientific handling of the problem."—*Times Literary Supplement*.

"Here is a book that must be of perennial interest, for it covers the whole field to the time of writing, and is precisely what a work dealing with problems of enormous intricacy should be."—*New Statesman*.

FROM TRIBE TO EMPIRE : *Social Organization among the Primitives and in the Ancient East*

By A. MORET, *Professor in the University of Paris*, and G. DAVY, *of the University of Dijon*.

With 47 illustrations and 7 maps, 16s. net.

"The object of the authors of this valuable addition to the series is to demonstrate how Empires grew from the primitive totemistic clan. Leaving M. Davy's excited, learned, and highly controversial dissertation on primitive society for M. Moret's calm review of the history of the Ancient East is like passing from storm into quiet. M. Moret's story remains the most lucid and satisfactory general survey of the Ancient East that has yet appeared. It is the very romance of history, and he would be dull indeed who did not find recreation and delight in these stirring pages."—*New Statesman*.

THE HISTORY OF MEDICINE, *from the time of the Pharaohs to the end of the Eighteenth Century* By C. G. CUMSTON, M.D.

With 24 plates, 16s. net.

" Will be an invaluable source of reference to those who wisely remain students all their days Beginning with the first dynasty of the Pharaohs, the ideas and the personalities of medicine are described in a manner which compels wonder for the amount of literary research, thought, and time which must have been devoted to its construction."—*British Medical Journal*.

"The book should be as interesting to the general public as to the doctors."—*Sunday Times*.

THE HISTORY OF WITCHCRAFT AND DEMONOLOGY

By MONTAGUE SUMMERS, *editor of Congreve, Wycherley, etc.*

With 8 full-page plates, 12s. 6d. net.

" Mr. Summers has just the literary style to do justice to the stewing of witches' broth or the licentious dancing of the Sabbat. This book is one of the most masterly products of psychological-historical literature ; and one feels that the editor of this learned series was perfectly justified in including in it such a storehouse of facts. Mr. Summers has our hearty thanks. His book is enthralling."—*Outlook*.

" No more learned, no more copiously documented work on the subject has seen the light for a long while."—*Birmingham Post*.

THE GEOGRAPHY OF WITCHCRAFT

By MONTAGUE SUMMERS.

With 8 full-page plates, 21s. net.

" The *History* described the general characteristics of European witchcraft in the middle ages ; the present volume gives particulars of actual witches in the various countries of Western Europe. Mr. Summers includes within the scope of his exceedingly painstaking work all the varieties of the black art, from cattle laming to the concoction of love philtres, to demoniac possession and unnatural vice. The book is beautifully produced and contains some excellent illustrations."—*Spectator*.

THE CIVILIZATION OF THE SOUTH AMERICAN INDIANS, *with special reference to Magic and Religion*

By RAFAEL KARSTEN, Ph. D., *Professor at the University of Finland, Helsingfors.* Preface by PROFESSOR E. WESTERMARCK.

25s. net.

" A very solid piece of work. . . Whether Professor Karsten be right or wrong in his contentions, his book can be read with the utmost profit, because he cites the evidence fully and fairly."—*Times Literary Supplement*.

" Dr. Karsten can congratulate himself on having written a work that will form not merely a contribution to the ethnology of South America, but also a valuable addition to the small number of really useful works on the ideas of the less cultured peoples."—*Saturday Review*.

PRIMITIVE ITALY, *and the Beginnings of Roman Imperialism*

By LEON HOMO, *Professor in the University of Lyons.*

With 13 maps and plans, 16s. net.

"This able and scholarly work, which has summoned to its aid all the resources of anthropology, archaeology, epigraphy and philology. Here is laid bare the real history of Rome's origins, and especially of her Etruscan origins. A volume characterized alike by scientific caution and a marked power of lucid reconstruction."—*Spectator*.

"He gives us a spirited account of the development of Rome from her obscure origins to her establishment as the dominant power of the Mediterranean world. It would be hard to find a clearer or better proportioned account of the stages by which Rome achieved the miracle . . ."—*Times Literary Supplement*.

ANCIENT ROME AT WORK : *an Economic History of Rome from the Origins to the Empire*

By PAUL LOUIS.

With 4 illustrations and 6 maps, 16s. net.

"The main stages in Rome's imperial progress are indicated, and the economic causes of her decline are adequately analysed. Agriculture and commerce, industry and finance, roads and communications, slavery and its developments, the rise of the colonate, and the influence of guilds are dealt with in turn, and their bearing on society and the social structure are discussed. . . . The volume presents a vivid, rapidly-moving picture of the economics of the Roman State."—*Times Literary Supplement*.

THE ROMAN SPIRIT *in Religion, Thought, and Art*

By A. GRENIER, *Professor in the University of Strasburg.*

With 16 plates and 16 text illustrations, 16s. net.

"I have not space to set out all the things in the book that have interested me and given me pleasure. The sections on religion and literature are fresh and stimulating. The classical scholar and the general reader can be recommended alike to read every page of this admirable book."—*Nation*.

"A brilliant interpretation of Latin literature and religion."—*New Leader*.

ROME THE LAW-GIVER

By J. DECLAREUIL, *Professor in the University of Toulouse.*

16s. net.

"The level of scholarship is extremely high, and the treatment hardly more technical than the subject-matter demands. The author traces the development of Roman law from its origin to its codification, and on to the later refinements which in their range, subtlety, and realistic logic have given it such unrivalled universality and completeness. While recommending this valuable synopsis as a whole, we may note as specially significant the chapter on the organization of credit."—*Saturday Review*.

THE LIFE OF BUDDHA, *as Legend and History*

By E. J. THOMAS, D.LITT, *Under Librarian in the University Library, Cambridge.*

With 4 plates and a map, 12s. 6d. net.

"He has produced an authoritative account of all that is known of the life of the great teacher. We would recommend this important work to all interested in Eastern philosophy."—*Spectator*.

"The treatment of his subject is as thorough as one could wish. His knowledge of the sources, his historical sense, and the soundness of his judgment make him a safe guide in a field in which there are many pitfalls. The book is a worthy addition to a notable series."—*Manchester Guardian*

ANCIENT PERSIA, *and Iranian Civilization*

By CLEMENT HUART, *Member of the Institute of France.*

With 4 plates, 35 text illustrations, and a map, 12s. 6d. net

"A very good account of the cultural history of old Iran. A vivid picture of the country and an account of the scripts is followed by a history of the Achæmenids, Arsacids, and Sassanids. The real value of the book consists in the excellent analyses of the cultural data referring to each epoch; the social organization, the religious cults and beliefs, and the artistic productions. The powerful character sketches of the monarchs and heroes receive new life from the background in which they are set."—*Nature*.

"An admirable epitome of the known facts."—*New Statesman*

ART IN GREECE

By A. DE RIDDER, *Curator at the Louvre Museum, and*
W. DEONNA, *Director of the Geneva Museum of Art and History.*

With 24 plates and 66 text illustrations, 21s. net.

"A fascinating addition to the series. The authors have written attractively not only of Greek art from its beginnings to the Hellenistic period and its final decline, but of everyday Greek life and its relation to art and the artists of the time."—*Daily News*.

"Even on the most familiar ground it is remarkably fresh and penetrating."
New Statesman.

MONEY AND MONETARY POLICY IN EARLY TIMES

By A. R. BURNS, B.Sc. ECON.

With 16 plates, 25s. net.

"He has treated the subject with care and caution and shown clearly what the puzzles are. He deals mainly with Greece and Rome, slightly with Assyria, and gives a paragraph at the end of each chapter to the wholly independent and interesting coinage of China."—*Times Literary Supplement*.

"He is to be congratulated. The book is a striking contrast to the previous superficial treatments of the subject. Documents have been searched and the material obtained, digested, and presented in a most readable form."

Economist.

THE NILE AND EGYPTIAN CIVILIZATION

By A. MORET, *Professor at the College of France.*

With 24 plates, 79 text illustrations and 3 maps, 25s. net.

" This brilliant story of Egyptian society. M. Moret's peculiar contribution to Egyptology is that he has taken the *disjecta membra* of Egyptian history and of them has built anew the Hying body of that amazing culture. What was it that secured to Egypt a civilization more stable than that of any other of the great kingdoms of antiquity ? M. Moret tells us. It was the Nile, coupled with the establishment of a religious system imposing its sanctions on every social duty. As seen in his sympathetic retrospect, this great religion is curiously attractive. It was the real moral and spiritual force permeating the whole of Egyptian life. Art and science and literature ministered to it, and it sustained for milleniums the most massive, coherent, and amiable civilization the world has known "—*Times Literary Supplement.*

THE HISTORY OF MUSIC

By CECIL GRAY.

12s. 6d. net.

" Here is just the book readers have been looking for, and looking for too long in vain. No music-lover would find it other than arresting from cover to cover. Its distinction of style . . . its meticulous accuracy its fresh and original standpoint. It is not too much to say that it is one of the most illuminating books of this decade "—SIR RICHARD TERRY, in *Queen.*

" A book which is quite one of the best of its kind."—*Observer.*

THE ROMAN WORLD

By VICTOR CHAPOT, *Professor at the Ecole des Beaux-Arts.*

With 2 plates and 12 maps, 16s. net.

" This survey of the Roman Empire presents in a compendious form an account of the expansion of Rome, the machinery of provincial government, and finally a survey of the Empire and its fortunes province by province. This is the fullest account of the Empire which has appeared in English since the translation of Mommsen's two volumes nearly fifty years ago. It is enriched by the discoveries that have been made in the meantime, and its excellent bibliography brings the sources up to date. The volume has some useful maps."

—*Times Literary Supplement.*

MACEDONIAN IMPERIALISM, and the Hellenization of the East

By P. JOUGUET, *Professor in the University of Paris.*

With 7 plates and 5 maps, 21s. net.

" He has told a most fascinating story and told it so well that it forms an excellent sequel to the ordinary histories of Greece. Particularly valuable is his account of the Hellenization of Asia and of Egypt, of the public and private life of the latter, and of the establishment of the Greek and Macedonian military and other colonies. To read his book shows that no one can afford to neglect the study of the Hellenistic period, which was responsible for many fundamental elements of modern civilization."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

THE AMERICAN INDIAN FRONTIER

By WILLIAM CHRISTIE MACLEOD, *Assistant Professor in the University of Pennsylvania.*

With 13 maps, 25s. net.

"It is a tale, alike for its romantic and its historical values, well worth the telling; and it is not likely to find many tellers so competent and so vivid as Professor Macleod. His book is an important contribution to historical ethnology. The picture of American Indian culture drawn, with a wealth of colour and atmosphere, by this leading authority is in many ways attractive. The erudition is enlivened by innumerable human touches."—*New Statesman.*

GREEK THOUGHT, and the *Origins of the Scientific Spirit* By L. ROBIN, *Professor in the University of Paris.*

With a map, 2is. net

"His contribution will probably rank as one of the finest in the series. For immense erudition combined with perfect clarity of expression the book can have few equals."—*Nature.*

"Apart from his account of the three outstanding figures of Greek philosophy [Plato, Aristotle and Pythagoras], a special meed of thanks is due to him for his full treatment of Plotinus and of the Stoics. Professor Robin's work is characterized throughout by an exceptional sense of proportion."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

LIFE AND WORK IN PREHISTORIC TIMES

By G. RENARD, *Professor at the College of France.*

With 9 plates, 12s. 6d. net.

"In a text which is always informing and never dull, it is hard to know where to begin or when to stop [quoting]. Throughout there is a pithiness of diction resulting in memorable epigram. In short, the conjunction of style and matter is so fortunate that it gives the whole volume the individuality that marks a contribution to literature as contrasted with a mere textbook. The student who wishes to use it in the latter capacity will get from it just the right stimulus to send him forward. He will be made to realize the importance of the evolution of the useful and decorative arts. He will be conducted through a veritable museum of curious and telling facts. In short, there is inspiration in everything that Professor Renard has written."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

THE COURT OF BURGUNDY

By OTTO CARTELLIERI.

With 25 plates, 213. net.

"Professor Cartellieri chose a period steeped in romantic colour. When he began to work he was fascinated by the rich and splendid culture of the brilliant court. But there were bigger matters, as he found the more he explored, and his attention turned to spiritual and social questions. The result is the work of a specialist, who has the gift of attractively presenting pictures of a strange period, its life and manners, its art, literature, and music, its ruler and Court, how the knight and the lady lived, the feasts, jousts, and tournaments."—*Times.*

"His richly-illustrated volume is a learned and engaging guide to the culture of late medieval society at its most brilliant."—*Saturday Review.*

THE HEROIC AGE OF INDIA

By N. K. SIDHANTA, *Professor of English at Lucknow University.*

12s. 6d. net.

"A valuable contribution. The Heroic Age is an epoch in practically all races and cultures. They all show characteristics which the Indian age also displays. The *Mahabharata* is his principal quarry; the heroes of that epic seem near to us. With their drinking and love-making, their chivalry and brutality, they are of the schoolboy age of humanity. It is a delightful world to which Professor Sidhanta transports us. Not only scholars but all who would recapture the illusions of boyhood owe him a debt."

—*Times Literary Supplement.*

THE GREEK CITY, and its Institutions

By G. GLOTZ, *Professor of Greek History in the University of Paris.*

16s. net.

"The theme of this admirable book is the autonomous Greek city as it appeared in time from its first dim beginnings in the Homeric age down to its overthrow by Philip of Macedon. It combines great learning with philosophical power, and with a pure and lively style. It, of course, contains the facts, but it contains much more. His remarks on ostracism and the selection of magistrates by lot are good examples of his knowledge and his reasoning power."

—*Sunday Times.*

"He is eminently qualified to write of Greek institutions, and his account of the evolution of man as a 'political animal' in Greece is enriched with the results of discovery since the days of Fustel de Coulanges, whom he rivals in logic and lucidity."—*Times Literary Supplement.*

ROMAN POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS, from City to State

By LEON HOMO, *Professor in the University of Lyons*

16s. net

"No other English book presents in so convenient a form the story of the stages through which the Roman Constitution arrived at its ultimate form of absolute monarchy and bureaucratic organization. From a description of the rise of the oligarchy, he proceeds to give a lively account of the period of transition in which the ideals of Pompey and Caesar, Principate and Monarchy, struggle for the victory, and goes on to show how the Principate of Augustus passes by inevitable development into the military monarchy of the later Emperors."

—*Times Literary Supplement.*

THE ECONOMIC LIFE OF THE ANCIENT WORLD

By J. TOUTAIN, *Sometime Member of the French School at Rome.*

With 6 maps, 16s. net.

"He has written a lucid and attractive volume, mainly concerned with Greece and Rome. But he sketches the beginnings of trade in primitive society, the history of Carthage, and the dawn of commerce in prehistoric Italy as well as the development of Etruria. Those who imagine that capital is a modern phenomenon may be commended to the chapter on capitalism in Republican Rome from the Punic Wars onwards."—*Spectator.*

Other volumes, which are nearing publication, include:

MINOANS, PHILISTINES AND GREEKS : B.C. 1400-900

By A. R. BURN, *sometime Scholar of Christ Church, Oxford.*

With 16 plates, about 12s. 6d. net.

This book is a study of the Late Bronze and Early Iron Ages in the lands bordering the eastern Mediterranean. Evidence for the history of this age is not lacking. Archaeology can provide a skeleton; Greek and Hebrew legend and the surviving fragments of Lydian and Phoenician tradition, with occasional assistance from Hittite or Egyptian documents, enable us to clothe the skeleton with flesh and blood. Nearly a quarter of the book consists of a critique of the evidence. The remainder is an attempt to give a continuous narrative of the period, and to place in their historical context the brilliant Hebrew legends of the Judges and of Saul and David, and the Greek epic traditions of Minos and Theseus and of the wars of Thebes and Troy.

DEATH CUSTOMS : *an Analytical Study of Burial Rites*

By E. BENDAM, *Ph.D., A.M.*

About 12s. 6d. net.

Taking the primitive life of such widely-separated areas as Australia, Melanesia, North Siberia, and India as the background for her study, the author deals here with the conception of death throughout the world, with its effect upon mourning and burial customs, and so upon the sociological, psychological, and general cultural characteristics of life itself. The nature of primitive mentality and the diffusion of cultural traits are among the subjects specially discussed.

CHINESE CIVILIZATION

By M. GRANET, *Professor at L'Ecole des Langues Orientales.*

With 12 plates and 5 maps, about 21s. net.

Professor Granet's work marks a turning-point in Chinese studies. His account here of Chinese history and civilization, despite the daring of his hypotheses, is marked by sobriety and backed up by a wealth of evidence. The first part is devoted to the political history of China and the second to a fascinating analysis of civilization and society, the life in the fields, the foundation of the chieftainships, and the seignorial town.

THE END OF THE ANCIENT WORLD, *and the Beginning of the Middle Ages*

By FERDINAND LOT, *Professor in the University of Paris.*

With 3 plates, and 3 maps, about 16s. net.

The present volume treats of the collapse of the Roman Empire and the dawn of the Middle Ages. The author analyses the various elements constituting this new world and draws vivid portraits of its outstanding personalities, in order to show that the barbarians did not, as is commonly held, bring a regeneration but a general bankruptcy of the human spirit. The main thesis is that the new world which began in the eighth century, transformed from within rather than from without, owed its life to the three forces of Islamism, the Papacy, and Feudalism. A mentality came into being as different from that of the ancient world as it is from ours of today—the mentality of the Middle Ages.

